

UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC.
Form 10-K
February 25, 2015

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

☒ ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014

or

☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 001-33251

UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 65-0231984
(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer

incorporation or organization) Identification No.)

1110 West Commercial Blvd., Suite 100, Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33309

(Address of principal executive offices)

Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (954) 958-1200

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Title of each class | Name of each exchange on which registered |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Common Stock, \$.01 Par Value | New York Stock Exchange |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None.

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. ☒ Yes ☐ No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. ☐ Yes ☒ No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. ☒ Yes ☐ No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). ☒ Yes ☐ No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company.

Large accelerated filer ☐ Accelerated filer ☒

Non-accelerated filer ☐ Smaller Reporting Company ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). ☐ Yes ☒ No

State the aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was sold as of June 30, 2014: \$408,384,004.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of Common Stock of Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. as of February 18, 2015: 35,310,017



Table of Contents

UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page No. |
|---|----------|
| <u>PART I</u> | |
| Item 1. <u>Business</u> | 3 |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u> | 12 |
| Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 19 |
| Item 2. <u>Properties</u> | 19 |
| Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 20 |
| Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | 20 |
| <u>PART II</u> | |
| Item 5. <u>Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 21 |
| Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | 23 |
| Item 7. <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 24 |
| Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 49 |
| Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 51 |
| Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 88 |
| Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 88 |
| Item 9B. <u>Other Information</u> | 88 |
| <u>PART III</u> | |
| Item 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | 89 |
| Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u> | 89 |
| Item 12. | 89 |

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

| | | |
|----------|--|----|
| Item 13. | <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> | 89 |
| Item 14. | <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u> | 89 |

PART IV

| | | |
|----------|---|----|
| Item 15. | <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | 90 |
| | <u>Signatures</u> | 95 |

Exhibit 21: List of Subsidiaries

Exhibit 23.1: CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Exhibit 31.1: CERTIFICATION

Exhibit 31.2: CERTIFICATION

Exhibit 32: CERTIFICATION

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Information called for in PART III of this Form 10-K is incorporated by reference to the registrant's definitive Proxy Statement to be filed within 120 days of the close of the registrant's fiscal year in connection with the registrant's annual meeting of shareholders.

Table of Contents

NOTE ABOUT FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains, in addition to historical information, “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. The forward-looking statements anticipate results based on our estimates, assumptions and plans that are subject to uncertainty. Forward-looking statements may appear throughout this report, including without limitation, the following sections: “Business,” “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations,” and “Risk Factors.” These forward-looking statements may be identified by their use of words like “plans,” “seeks,” “expects,” “will,” “should,” “anticipates,” “estimates,” “intends,” “believes,” “likely,” “targets” and other words with meanings. These statements may address, among other things, our strategy for growth, catastrophe exposure management, product development, investment results, regulatory approvals, market position, expenses, financial results, litigation and reserves. We believe that these statements are based on reasonable estimates, assumptions and plans. However, if the estimates, assumptions or plans underlying the forward-looking statements prove inaccurate or if other risks or uncertainties arise, actual results could differ materially from those communicated in these forward-looking statements. A detailed discussion of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results and events to differ materially from such forward-looking statements is included in the section titled “Risk Factors” (Part I, Item 1A of this report). We undertake no obligation to update or revise publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise.

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

The Company

Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. (“UIH”) is a Delaware corporation originally incorporated as Universal Heights, Inc. in 1990. The name was changed to Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. in 2001. UIH and its wholly-owned subsidiaries (“we” or the “Company”) have evolved into a vertically integrated insurance holding company. Our insurance products are offered to our customers through Universal Property & Casualty Insurance Company (“UPCIC”) and American Platinum Property and Casualty Insurance Company (“APPCIC”), collectively referred to as the “Insurance Entities.” Substantially all aspects of insurance underwriting, distribution and claims processing are performed by our subsidiaries. Our principal executive offices are located at 1110 West Commercial Boulevard, Suite 100, Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33309, and our telephone number is (954) 958-1200.

In 1997, we organized, UPCIC, as part of our strategy to take advantage of what management believed to be profitable business and growth opportunities in Florida’s residential property and casualty insurance marketplace. UPCIC was formed to participate in the transfer of homeowners’ insurance policies from the Florida Residential Property and Casualty Joint Underwriting Association (“JUA”). UPCIC began operating as a Florida licensed property and casualty insurance company and acquired homeowners’ insurance policies issued by the JUA in 1997. Since then, UPCIC has produced its insurance policies through its network of independent insurance agents.

In 2006, we acquired all of the outstanding common stock of Atlas Florida Financial Corporation, which owned all of the outstanding common stock of Sterling Premium Finance Company, Inc. (“Sterling”), from certain of our executive officers for \$50,000, which approximated Sterling’s book value. Sterling was renamed Atlas Premium Finance

Company and commenced offering premium finance services in November 2007.

We formed Blue Atlantic Reinsurance Corporation (“BARC”) in 2007 as a wholly owned subsidiary of UIH to be a reinsurance intermediary broker. BARC became licensed by the Florida Department of Financial Services as a reinsurance intermediary broker on January 4, 2008.

In 2008, we formed a second Florida property and casualty insurance subsidiary, Infinity Property and Casualty Insurance Company (“Infinity”), which was subsequently renamed American Platinum Property and Casualty Insurance Company.

Insurance Business

The Florida Insurance Code currently requires that residential property insurers holding a certificate of authority before July 1, 2011, such as our Insurance Entities, maintain capitalization, referred to as “minimum capitalization,” equivalent to the greater of ten percent of the insurer’s total liabilities or \$5 million. The dollar amount for the minimum capitalization is scheduled to increase to \$10 million on July 1, 2016 and then to \$15 million on July 1, 2021. Both Insurance Entities’ statutory capital and surplus exceeded the minimum capitalization requirements as of December 31, 2014. The Insurance Entities are also required to adhere to prescribed premium-to-capital surplus ratios which were also met as of December 31, 2014.

Table of Contents

Our primary product is homeowners' insurance offered through the Insurance Entities. Our criteria for accepting insurance risk includes, but are not limited to, common industry underwriting standards such as, defined coverage limitations on buildings and contents and risk condition. Also, to manage exposure and risk, we utilize standard industry catastrophe modeling techniques for hurricane and windstorm exposure.

We may consider underwriting other types of policies in the future, subject to approval by the appropriate regulatory authorities. See "Government Regulation and Initiatives," "Competition" and "Product Pricing" for a discussion of the material regulatory and market factors that may affect the Insurance Entities' ability to obtain additional policies.

The nature of our business tends to be seasonal reflecting consumer behaviors in connection with the residential real estate market and the hurricane season which occurs during the period from June 1 through November 30 each year. The amount of written premium tends to increase just prior to the second quarter of our fiscal year and to decrease approaching the fourth quarter.

UPCIC is licensed to transact insurance business in Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Indiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, North Carolina, Pennsylvania and South Carolina. APPCIC is licensed to transact insurance business only in Florida. Also see "Item 7 – Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" for discussion on our growth strategy and geographical diversification.

The Insurance Entities' average annual premium for policies in force as of December 31, 2014 was approximately \$1,428.

The geographical distribution of the Insurance Entities' policies in force and total insured values were as follows as of December 31, 2014 (dollars in thousands):

| As of December 31, 2014 | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------|---------|---------------|---------|---|
| State | Count | % | Total Insured | | |
| | | | Value | | % |
| Florida | 506,913 | 91.1 % | \$115,248,811 | 87.9 % | |
| Other states | 49,435 | 8.9 % | 15,874,916 | 12.1 % | |
| Grand Total | 556,348 | 100.0 % | \$131,123,727 | 100.0 % | |

Table of Contents

The geographical distribution of the Insurance Entities' policies in force and total insured values for Florida by county were as follows as of December 31, 2014 (dollars in thousands):

| County | As of December 31, 2014 | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|---------------------|---------|--|
| | Count | % | Total Insured Value | % | |
| South Florida | | | | | |
| Broward | 60,383 | 11.9 % | \$ 16,135,160 | 14.0 % | |
| Palm Beach | 59,275 | 11.7 % | 14,575,531 | 12.6 % | |
| Miami-Dade | 40,670 | 8.0 % | 8,826,625 | 7.7 % | |
| South Florida exposure | 160,328 | 31.6 % | 39,537,316 | 34.3 % | |
| Other significant* Florida counties | | | | | |
| Pinellas | 35,930 | 7.1 % | 5,904,253 | 5.1 % | |
| Lee | 29,048 | 5.7 % | 4,853,818 | 4.2 % | |
| Collier | 22,132 | 4.4 % | 3,843,641 | 3.3 % | |
| Hillsborough | 21,101 | 4.2 % | 5,249,383 | 4.6 % | |
| Polk | 19,774 | 3.9 % | 5,930,048 | 5.2 % | |
| Escambia | 19,600 | 3.9 % | 5,416,770 | 4.7 % | |
| Brevard | 18,958 | 3.7 % | 3,759,536 | 3.3 % | |
| Orange | 16,741 | 3.3 % | 3,789,422 | 3.3 % | |
| Sarasota | 15,273 | 3.0 % | 2,429,822 | 2.1 % | |
| Pasco | 11,385 | 2.2 % | 3,722,460 | 3.2 % | |
| Total other significant* counties | 209,942 | 41.4 % | 44,899,153 | 39.0 % | |
| Summary for all of Florida | Count | % | Total Insured Value | % | |
| South Florida exposure | 160,328 | 31.6 % | 39,537,316 | 34.3 % | |
| Total other significant* counties | 209,942 | 41.4 % | 44,899,153 | 39.0 % | |
| Other Florida counties | 136,643 | 27.0 % | 30,812,342 | 26.7 % | |
| Total Florida | 506,913 | 100.0 % | \$ 115,248,811 | 100.0 % | |

*Significant counties defined as policy count or insured value greater than 2.50% of total policy count or total insured value for policies in force as of December 31, 2014.

The total policies in force as of December 31, 2014 including and excluding wind coverage is as follows (dollars in thousands):

| Type of coverage | As of December 31, 2014 | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|---------------------|---------|--|
| | Count | % | Total Insured Value | % | |
| Policies with wind coverage | 543,419 | 97.7 % | \$ 128,604,814 | 98.1 % | |
| Policies without wind coverage | 12,929 | 2.3 % | 2,518,913 | 1.9 % | |
| Grand Total | 556,348 | 100.0 % | \$ 131,123,727 | 100.0 % | |

INSURANCE Operations

The Insurance Entities generate revenues primarily from the collection of premiums. Universal Risk Advisors, Inc. (“URA”), our managing general agent, generates revenue through policy fee income and other administrative fees from the marketing of the Insurance Entities’ insurance products through our distribution network of independent agents. URA performs underwriting, rating, policy issuance, reinsurance negotiations, and certain administration functions for the Insurance Entities. We have also formed Universal Adjusting Corporation, which adjusts claims for the Insurance Entities, and Universal Inspection Corporation, which performs property inspections for homeowners’ insurance policies underwritten by the Insurance Entities.

Atlas Premium Finance Company offers premium finance services to policyholders of the Insurance Entities. BARC serves as a reinsurance intermediary on behalf of URA for the Insurance Entities. Universal Logistics Corporation assists with operational duties associated with our day-to-day business.

APPCIC is authorized to write homeowners multi-peril coverage on homes valued in excess of \$1.0 million, which are limits and coverages currently not targeted through its affiliate, UPCIC. APPCIC began writing insurance policies in Florida in November 2011.

Table of Contents

We also generate income by investing available funds in excess of those retained for claims-paying obligations and insurance operations. See the “Investments” section below.

Coastal Homeowners Insurance Specialists, Inc., (“Coastal”) a wholly owned subsidiary of UIH, was incorporated in Florida in July 2001. This entity represents our agency operations and generates income from commissions and other charges for servicing policies when agents leave the Insurance Entities’ agent network. Coastal also serves as an alternative to independent agents and provides quotes on the Insurance Entities’ websites.

Management of Exposure to Catastrophic Losses

The Insurance Entities are exposed to potentially numerous insured losses arising out of single or multiple occurrences, such as natural catastrophes. The Insurance Entities’ exposure to catastrophic losses arises principally out of hurricanes and windstorms. Through the use of standard industry modeling techniques that are susceptible to change, the Insurance Entities manage their exposure to such losses on an ongoing basis from an underwriting perspective. The catastrophe models we utilize analyze credible scientific evidence, regarding the potential impact of global climate change which the Company considers to determine the potential impact of laws and regulations intended to combat climate change and the effect of such change, laws and regulations on our ability to manage exposure under the Insurance Entities’ policies.

The Insurance Entities protect themselves against the risk of catastrophic loss by obtaining annual reinsurance coverage as of the beginning of hurricane season on June 1 of each year.

The Insurance Entities rely on reinsurers to limit the amount of risk retained under their policies and to increase their ability to write additional risks. Our intention is to limit the Insurance Entities’ exposure and therefore protect their capital, even in the event of catastrophic occurrences, through reinsurance agreements. The Insurance Entities also must participate in the Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund (“FHCF”). Residential insurers transacting business in Florida must purchase certain reimbursement protection from the FHCF. Most property and casualty insurers operating in Florida, including the Insurance Entities, are subject to assessment if the FHCF lacks sufficient claims-paying resources to meet its reimbursement obligations to insurers. The Insurance Entities obtain a significant portion of their reinsurance coverage from the FHCF.

Our reinsurance program consists of excess of loss, quota share and catastrophe reinsurance for multiple hurricanes. Our catastrophe reinsurance program is subject to the terms and limitations of the reinsurance contracts and currently covers certain levels of the Insurance Entities’ projected exposure through three catastrophe events.

Management evaluates the financial condition of its reinsurers and monitors concentrations of credit risk arising from similar geographic regions, activities or economic characteristics of the reinsurers to minimize its exposure to significant losses from reinsurer insolvencies. However, see “Item 1A. Risk Factors — Reinsurance subjects us to the credit risk of our reinsurers and may not be adequate to protect us against losses arising from ceded risks, which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition.” While ceding premiums to reinsurers reduces the Insurance Entities’ risk of exposure in the event of catastrophic losses, it also reduces their potential for greater profits in the event that such catastrophic events do not occur. We believe that the extent of our Insurance Entities’ reinsurance is typical of companies similar in size and geographic exposure in the homeowners’ insurance industry.

UPCIC has in recent years purchased reinsurance coverage up to and above the 100-year “Probable Maximum Loss” (“PML”). PML is a general concept applied in the insurance industry for defining high loss scenarios that should be

considered when underwriting insurance risk. Catastrophe models such as AIR CLASIC/2 and RMS Risk Link, produce loss estimates that are quantified in terms of dollars and probabilities. The Insurance Entities' PML amounts are modeled using both the AIR CLASIC/2 and RMS Risk Link versions in effect at the date of the calculation. Probability of exceedance or the probability that the actual loss level will exceed a particular threshold is a standard catastrophe model output. For example, the 100-year PML represents a 1.00% Annual Probability of Exceedance. It is estimated that the 100-year PML is likely to be equaled or exceeded in one year out of 100 on average, or 1 percent of the time. It is the 99th percentile of the annual loss distribution. However, as the Insurance Entities write policies throughout the year, the 100-year PML will change. It is possible that the reinsurance in place may not always surpass the 100-year PML at every point in time in one specific model. In addition, modeling results are merely estimates and are subject to various assumptions. Please see "Item 1A. Risk Factors — As a property and casualty insurer, we may face significant losses from catastrophes and severe weather events."

Although we use what we believe to be widely recognized and, commercially available models to estimate hurricane loss exposure, discrepancies between the assumptions and scenarios utilized in the models and the characteristics of future hurricane events could result in losses that are not covered by the Insurance Entities' reinsurance program. See "Item 1A. Risk Factors — As a property and casualty insurer, we may face significant losses from catastrophes and severe weather events."

Table of Contents

Liability for Unpaid Losses and LAE

The liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses (“LAE”) periodically established by the Insurance Entities, also known as reserves, are estimates of amounts needed to pay reported and unreported claims and related loss adjustment expenses. The estimates necessarily will be based on certain assumptions related to the ultimate cost to settle such claims. There is an inherent degree of uncertainty involved in the establishment of a reserve for unpaid losses and LAE and there may be substantial differences between actual losses and the Insurance Entities’ reserve estimates. We rely on industry data, as well as the expertise and experience of independent actuaries in an effort to establish accurate estimates and an adequate liability. Furthermore, factors such as storms and weather conditions, climate changes and patterns, inflation, claim settlement patterns, legislative activity and litigation trends may have an impact on the Insurance Entities’ future loss experience.

The Insurance Entities are directly liable for loss and LAE payments under the terms of the insurance policies that they write. In many cases, several years may elapse between the occurrence of an insured loss and the Insurance Entities’ payment of that loss. As required by insurance regulations and accounting rules, the Insurance Entities reflect their liability for the ultimate payment of all incurred losses and LAE by establishing a liability for those unpaid losses and LAE for both reported and unreported claims, which represent estimates of future amounts needed to pay claims and related expenses.

When a claim involving a probable loss is reported, the Insurance Entities establish a liability for the estimated amount of their ultimate loss and LAE payments. The estimate of the amount of the ultimate loss is based upon such factors as the type of loss, jurisdiction of the occurrence, knowledge of the circumstances surrounding the claim, severity of injury or damage, potential for ultimate exposure, estimate of liability on the part of the insured, past experience with similar claims and the applicable policy provisions. All newly reported claims received are set up with an initial average liability. That claim is then evaluated and the liability is adjusted upward or downward according to the facts and damages of that particular claim. In addition, management provides for a liability on an aggregate basis to provide for losses incurred but not reported (“IBNR”). We utilize independent actuaries to help establish liabilities for unpaid losses and LAE. We do not discount the liability for unpaid losses and LAE for financial statement purposes.

The estimates of the liability for unpaid losses and LAE are subject to the effect of trends in claims severity and frequency and are continually reviewed. As part of this process, we review historical data and consider various factors, including known and anticipated legal developments, changes in social attitudes, inflation and economic conditions. As experience develops and other data become available, these estimates are revised, as required, resulting in increases or decreases to the existing liability for unpaid losses and LAE. Adjustments are reflected in results of operations in the period in which they are made and the liabilities may deviate substantially from prior estimates.

Liability claims historically tend to have longer time lapses between the occurrence of the event, the reporting of the claim to the Insurance Entities and the final settlement than do property claims. Liability claims often involve third parties filing suit and the ensuing litigation. By comparison, property damage claims tend to be reported in a relatively shorter period of time with the vast majority of these claims resulting in an adjustment without litigation.

Based upon consultations with our independent actuarial consultants and their statement of opinion on losses and LAE, we believe that the liability for unpaid losses and LAE is currently adequate to cover all claims and related expenses which may arise from incidents reported and IBNR. However, if our liability for unpaid losses and LAE

proves to be inadequate, we will be required to increase the liability with a corresponding reduction in net income in the period in which the deficiency is identified. Future losses in excess of established liabilities for unpaid losses and LAE could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. See “Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Liquidity and Capital Resources.”

Investments

We also generate income by investing funds in excess of those retained for claims-paying obligations and insurance operations. We conduct these investment activities through each of the Insurance Entities and UIH. We have retained investment advisers to advise us and manage the investment portfolio. Our investment committee reports overall investment results to our Board of Directors, at least on a quarterly basis. Our investment advisers may assist in such reports to the Board of Directors.

Table of Contents

The investment activities of the Insurance Entities are subject to regulation and supervision by the OIR. See “Government Regulation and Initiatives” below. The Insurance Entities may only make investments that are consistent with regulatory guidelines, and our investment policies for the Insurance Entities accordingly limit the amount of investments in, among other things, non-investment grade fixed maturity securities (including high-yield bonds) and the amount of total investments in preferred stock and common stock. While we seek to appropriately limit the size and scope of investments in the UIH portfolio, UIH is not similarly restricted by Florida law. Therefore, the investments made by UIH may significantly differ from those made by the Insurance Entities. We do not purchase securities on margin. As of December 31, 2014, approximately 99% of our portfolio was held by our Insurance Entities and 1% was held by UIH.

See “Item 8 — Note 3 (Investments)” for more information about our investments.

Government Regulation and Initiatives

UPCIC is organized, licensed and domiciled in the State of Florida as a property and casualty insurer, and is licensed to transact insurance business in Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Indiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, North Carolina, Pennsylvania and South Carolina. APPCIC is organized, licensed and domiciled in the State of Florida and is licensed to transact insurance business only in Florida. Although UPCIC is regulated by state insurance regulators and applicable state insurance laws in each state where it is licensed, the principal insurance regulatory authority of each of UPCIC and APPCIC is the OIR. The OIR also regulates Atlas Premium Finance Company. A separate agency, the Florida Department of Financial Services, is responsible for the licensure and regulation of the Company’s managing general agency and insurance agency subsidiaries. These subsidiaries also are subject to state licensing laws and regulations in other states in which they transact business on behalf of UPCIC. Likewise, the independent agents that transact business on behalf of the Insurance Entities are subject to state licensing laws and regulations in the states in which they operate.

State insurance authorities have broad regulatory, supervisory and administrative authority with respect to various aspects of the insurance business. Such authority includes but is not limited to: the granting and revocation of licenses to transact business; the enforcement of standards applicable to advertising, marketing, rating and underwriting practices; the enforcement of standards of solvency; the nature of, and limitations on, investments; limitations on the payment of dividends and distributions; the review and approval of policy forms and rates; the periodic examination of the affairs of insurance companies; the review and approval of certain affiliate transactions; enforcement of unfair trade and claims practices; and the form and content of required financial statements. Also, applicable state insurance laws, rather than federal bankruptcy laws, apply to the liquidation or rehabilitation of insurance companies. Such regulation and supervision are primarily for the benefit and protection of policyholders and not for the benefit of investors.

While the federal government currently does not directly regulate the insurance business, federal legislation and administrative policies in a number of areas can significantly affect the insurance business. In addition, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (the “Dodd-Frank Act”) established a federal office to monitor the insurance industry and certain lines of business. See “—Federal Oversight”. It is not possible to predict the future impact of changing state or federal regulation on the operations of the Company. See “Risk Factors—Risks Related to the Insurance Industry —We are subject to extensive regulation and potential further restrictive regulation may increase our operating costs and limit our growth”.

National Association of Insurance Commissioners (“NAIC”)

The NAIC is an organization whose mandate is to benefit state insurance regulatory authorities and consumers by promulgating model insurance laws and regulations for adoption by the states. The NAIC also provides standardized insurance industry accounting and reporting guidance through its Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual (the “Manual”). However, model insurance laws and regulations are only effective when adopted by the states, and statutory accounting and reporting principles continue to be established by individual state laws, regulations and permitted practices. Changes to the Manual or modifications by the various state insurance departments may impact the statutory capital and surplus of the Insurance Entities. The Company cannot predict what additional compliance costs these pending model laws or regulations may impose if adopted by Florida in the future.

Insurance Holding Company Laws

UIH, as the indirect parent company of the Insurance Entities, and Universal Insurance Holding Company of Florida (“UIHCF”), as the direct parent company of the Insurance Entities, are subject to the insurance holding company laws of the State of Florida. These laws generally require an authorized insurer that is a member of a holding company system to register with the OIR and to furnish annually financial and other information about the operations of companies within the holding company system. Generally, material transactions between UPCIC or APPCIC and another company in the holding company system, including sales, loans, reinsurance agreements and service agreements, must be fair and reasonable and require prior notice and non-disapproval by the OIR.

Table of Contents

The Florida Insurance Code prohibits any person from acquiring control of UIH, UIHCF or the Insurance Entities unless that person has filed a notification with specified information with the OIR and has obtained the OIR's prior approval. Under the Florida Insurance Code, acquiring 10% or more of the voting securities of an insurance company or its parent company is presumptively considered an acquisition of control of the insurance company, although such presumption may be rebutted. Some U.S. state insurance laws require prior notification to state insurance regulators of an acquisition of control of a non-domiciliary insurance company doing business in that state. These laws may discourage potential acquisition proposals and may delay, deter or prevent an acquisition of control of UIH (in particular through an unsolicited transaction), even if the shareholders of UIH might consider such transaction to be desirable.

In 2014, the Florida legislature adopted certain provisions of the NAIC's Insurance Holding Company System Regulatory Model Act and Regulation (the "Amended Holding Company Model Act"). The new laws introduce the concept of "enterprise risk" within an insurance holding company system. These laws impose more extensive informational requirements on parents and other affiliates of licensed insurers with the purpose of protecting the licensed companies from enterprise risk, including requiring an annual enterprise risk report by the ultimate controlling person identifying the material risks within the insurance holding company system that could pose enterprise risk to the licensed companies. UIH's first enterprise risk report will be due by April 1, 2015. We cannot predict with any degree of certainty the additional capital requirements, compliance costs or other burdens these changes may impose on us and our Insurance Entities.

Financial Reporting

The Insurance Entities prepare and file quarterly and annual financial statements in a format established by the NAIC and adopted by administrative rules in Florida as the Insurance Entities' domiciliary state. The Insurance Entities' financial statements are prepared in accordance with statutory accounting principles, which differ from U.S. GAAP.

Examinations

As part of their regulatory oversight process, state insurance departments conduct periodic financial examinations of the books, records, accounts and operations of insurance companies that are domiciled in their states. Under Florida law, these examinations generally occur every five years, although the OIR may conduct limited or full scope reviews more frequently. The financial examination reports are available to the public at the conclusion of the examination process. In addition, state insurance regulatory authorities may make inquiries, hold investigations and administer market conduct examinations with respect to insurers' compliance with applicable insurance laws and regulations. These inquiries or examinations may address, among other things, the form and content of disclosures to consumers, advertising, sales practices, claims practices and complaint handling. The reports arising from insurance authorities' examination processes typically are available to the public at the conclusion of the examinations.

Capital Requirements

State insurance authorities monitor insurance companies' solvency and capital requirements using various statutory requirements and industry ratios. Among these tools, regulatory authorities use a risk-based capital ("RBC") model to monitor and regulate the solvency of licensed property and casualty insurance companies. Insurers having less surplus than required by applicable statutes and ratios are subject to varying degrees of regulatory action depending on the level of capital inadequacy. As of December 31, 2014, the Insurance Entities' capital and surplus exceeded applicable statutory and RBC requirements. The calculation of RBC requires certain judgments to be made and depends on the Insurance Entities' financial positions as of a specific date, typically at the end of a calendar

year. Accordingly, the Insurance Entities' current RBC may be greater or less than the RBC calculated as of any other date of determination.

Restrictions on Dividends and Distributions

State insurance laws govern the payment of dividends by insurance companies. Under the Florida Insurance Code, the Insurance Entities generally may pay dividends from statutory unassigned surplus subject to limitations based on the Insurance Entities' levels of statutory net income and statutory capital and surplus. The maximum dividends that may be paid by the Insurance Entities without prior regulatory approval is limited to the greater of statutory net income from operations for the preceding calendar year or 10.0% of statutory unassigned surplus as of the preceding year end. These dividends are referred to as "ordinary dividends." However, if the dividend, together with other dividends paid within the preceding twelve months, exceeds this statutory limit or is paid from sources other than earned surplus, the entire dividend generally is considered an "extraordinary dividend" and is subject to prior regulatory approval. Dividends paid by the Company's subsidiaries other than the Insurance Entities are not subject to the statutory restrictions set forth in the Florida Insurance Code. Dividends paid to the Company's shareholders in 2014 were paid from the earnings of the Company and its non-insurance subsidiaries and not from the capital and surplus of the Insurance Entities.

Table of Contents

Federal Oversight

Although the insurance business in the United States is primarily regulated by the states, federal initiatives can affect the Company's business in a variety of ways. From time to time, federal measures are proposed which may significantly affect the insurance business. These areas include financial services regulation, securities regulation, privacy regulation and taxation. In addition, various forms of direct and indirect federal regulation of insurance have been proposed from time to time, including proposals for the establishment of an optional federal charter for insurance companies.

Privacy Regulation

Federal and state laws and regulations require financial institutions to protect the security and confidentiality of non-public personal information and to notify customers and other individuals about their policies and practices relating to their collection and disclosure of customer information and their practices relating to protecting the security and confidentiality of that information. Federal and state lawmakers and regulatory bodies may be expected to consider additional or more detailed regulation regarding these subjects and the privacy and security of non-public personal information.

Statutory Insurance Organizations

Many states in which the Insurance Entities operate have statutorily-mandated insurance organizations or other insurance mechanisms in which the Insurance Entities are required to participate or to potentially pay assessments. Each state has insurance guaranty association laws providing for the payment of policyholders' claims when insurance companies doing business in that state become impaired or insolvent. These guaranty associations typically are funded by assessments on insurance companies transacting business in the respective states. When the Insurance Entities are subject to assessments they generally must remit the assessed amounts to the guaranty associations. The Insurance Entities may subsequently seek to recover the assessed amounts through recoupments from policyholders or, in some cases, credits against future premium tax obligations. The Insurance Entities might not be able to fully recoup the amounts of those assessments. While the Company cannot predict the amount or timing of future guaranty association assessments, the Company believes that any such assessments will not have a material effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Several states, including Florida, have insurance mechanisms that provide insurance to consumers who are not otherwise able to obtain coverage in the private insurance market. The largest such insurance mechanism is Florida's Citizens Property Insurance Corporation ("Citizens"). The degree to which these state-authorized insurance mechanisms compete with private insurers such as the Insurance Entities varies over time depending on market and public policy considerations beyond the Company's control. If these insurance mechanisms do not have sufficient resources to meet their claims obligations, the Insurance Entities might be required to pay assessments that are then recouped from policyholders or to add surcharges to their premiums for collection from policyholders and remittance. As indicated above under Government Regulation and Initiatives, most property and casualty insurers operating in Florida, including the Insurance Entities, are subject to assessment if the FHCF lacks sufficient claims-paying resources to meet its reimbursement obligations to insurers. FHCF assessments are added to policyholders' premiums and are collected and remitted by the Insurance Entities.

Product Pricing

The rates charged by the Insurance Entities generally are subject to regulatory review and approval before they may be implemented. The Insurance Entities periodically submit their rate revisions to regulators as required by law or deemed by us to be necessary or appropriate for the Insurance Entities' business. We prepare these filings for the Insurance Entities based on objective data relating to their respective business and on judgment exercised by management and retained professionals.

The premiums charged by the Insurance Entities to policyholders are affected by legislative enactments and administrative rules, including state-mandated programs requiring residential property insurance companies like ours to provide premium discounts when policyholders verify that insured properties have certain construction features or other windstorm loss reduction features. The level of required premium discounts may exceed the expected reduction in losses associated with the construction features for which the discounts are provided. Although the Insurance Entities may submit rate filings to address any premium deficiencies, those rate filings are subject to regulatory oversight and may not be approved.

On February 7, 2013, we announced that UPCIC received approval from the OIR for premium rate increases for its homeowners and dwelling fire programs within Florida. The premium rate increases were expected to average approximately 14.1% statewide for its homeowners program and 14.5% for its dwelling fire program. The effective dates for the homeowners program rate increase were January 18, 2013, for new business and March 9, 2013, for renewal business. The effective dates for the dwelling fire program rate increase were January 14, 2013, for new business and March 3, 2013, for renewal business. In late 2013, UPCIC secured approval for an overall rate decrease for homeowners in the amount of 2.4%, effective in early 2014. In addition, an increase in UPCIC's average dwelling fire rates of 8.1% also became effective in early 2014.

Table of Contents

On January 13, 2015 the North Carolina Rating Bureau announced the implementation of the North Carolina Commissioner of Insurance's Order calling for an overall statewide homeowners' insurance rate change of 0.0%, resulting in a decrease of 0.3% for the owners forms, an increase of 11.2% for the tenants forms and an increase of 8.1% for the condominiums forms. These changes are applicable to all new and renewal policies effective on or after June 1, 2015. Based on the distribution of UPCIC's North Carolina portfolio of homeowners' risks as of December 31, 2014, we estimate that the impact of these approved rate changes results in an overall rate increase of 0.6% in UPCIC's in force North Carolina premium.

Competition

The insurance industry is highly competitive and many companies currently write homeowners' property and casualty insurance. Additionally, we must compete with companies that have greater capital resources and longer operating histories and newly formed and less capitalized companies that might have more aggressive underwriting or pricing strategies. Increased competition from other private insurance companies as well as Citizens could adversely affect our ability to conduct profitable business. In addition, our Financial Stability Rating® is an important factor in establishing our competitive position and may affect our sales. Although our pricing is inevitably influenced to some degree by that of our competitors, we believe that it is generally not in our best interest to compete solely on price, choosing instead to compete on the basis of underwriting criteria, our distribution network and high quality service to our agents and insureds.

Employees

As of February 12, 2015, we had 335 full-time employees. None of our employees are represented by a labor union.

Available Information

Our internet address is <http://www.universalinsuranceholdings.com>. Our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to such reports are available, free of charge, through our website as soon as reasonably practicable after their filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). The SEC maintains an internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding our filings at <http://www.sec.gov>.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

We are subject to a variety of risks, the most significant of which are described below. Our business, results of operations and financial condition could be materially and adversely affected by any of these risks or additional risks.

Risks Relating to the Property-Casualty Business

As a property and casualty insurer, we may face significant losses from catastrophes and severe weather events

Because of the exposure of our property and casualty business to catastrophic events, our operating results and financial condition may vary significantly from one period to the next. Catastrophes can be caused by various natural and man-made disasters, including wildfires, tornadoes, hurricanes, tropical storms, sinkholes and certain types of terrorism. Because of our concentration in Florida, we are particularly exposed to hurricanes and windstorms affecting Florida. We may incur catastrophe losses in excess of: those experienced in prior years; those estimated by a catastrophe model we use; the average expected level used in pricing; and our current reinsurance coverage limits.

In addition, we are subject to claims arising from weather events such as rain, hail and high winds. The incidence and severity of weather conditions are largely unpredictable. There is generally an increase in the frequency and severity of property claims when severe weather conditions occur. The nature and level of catastrophes in any period cannot be predicted and could be material to our operations. In addition, impacts of catastrophes and our catastrophe management strategy may adversely affect premium growth.

Although we use widely recognized and commercially available models to estimate hurricane loss exposure, other models exist that might produce higher or lower loss estimates. The loss estimates developed by the models are dependent upon assumptions or scenarios incorporated by a third-party developer and by us (or our representatives). However if these assumptions or scenarios do not reflect the characteristics of future catastrophic events that affect areas covered by our policies or the resulting economic conditions, then we could have exposure for losses not covered by our reinsurance program.

Despite our catastrophe management programs, we retain significant exposure to catastrophic events. Our liquidity could be constrained by a catastrophe, or multiple catastrophes, which result in extraordinary losses and have a negative impact on our business.

Unanticipated increases in the severity or frequency of claims may adversely affect our profitability and financial condition

Changes in the severity or frequency of claims may affect our profitability. Changes in homeowners' claim severity are driven by inflation in the construction industry, in building materials and in home furnishings and by other economic and environmental factors, including increased demand for services and supplies in areas affected by catastrophes. However, changes in the level of the severity of claims are not limited to the effects of inflation and demand surge in these various sectors of the economy. Increases in claim severity can arise from unexpected events that are inherently difficult to predict. Although we pursue various loss management initiatives in order to mitigate future increases in claim severity, there can be no assurances that these initiatives will successfully identify or reduce the effect of future increases in claim severity.

We may experience declines in claim frequency from time to time. The short-term level of claim frequency we experience may vary from period to period and may not be sustainable over the longer term. A significant long-term increase in claim frequency could have an adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition.

Actual claims incurred may exceed current reserves established for claims and may adversely affect our operating results and financial condition

Recorded claim reserves in the property-casualty business are based on our best estimates of losses, both reported and IBNR, after considering known facts and interpretations of circumstances. Internal factors are considered including our experience with similar cases, actual claims paid, historical trends involving claim payment patterns, pending levels of unpaid claims and contractual terms. External factors are also considered which include but are not limited to changes in the law, court decisions, changes to regulatory requirements and economic conditions. Because reserves are estimates of the unpaid portion of losses that have occurred, including IBNR losses, the establishment of appropriate reserves, including reserves for catastrophes, is an inherently uncertain and complex process. The ultimate cost of losses may vary materially from recorded reserves and such variance may adversely affect our operating results and financial condition.

Table of Contents

Predicting claim expense relating to environmental liabilities is inherently uncertain and may have a material adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition

The process of estimating environmental liabilities is complicated by complex legal issues concerning, among other things, the interpretation of various insurance policy provisions and whether those losses are, or were ever intended to be covered; and whether losses could be recoverable through reinsurance. Litigation is often a complex, lengthy process that involves substantial uncertainty for insurers. Actuarial techniques and databases used in estimating environmental net loss reserves may prove to be inadequate indicators of the extent of probable loss. Ultimate net losses from environmental liabilities could materially exceed established loss reserves and expected recoveries and have a material adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition.

The failure of the risk mitigation strategies we utilize could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations

We utilize a number of strategies to mitigate our risk exposure, such as:

- engaging in rigorous underwriting;
- carefully evaluating terms and conditions of our policies; and
- ceding risk to reinsurers.

However, there are inherent limitations in all of these strategies and no assurance can be given that an event or series of events will not result in loss levels in excess of our probable maximum loss models, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations. It is also possible that losses could manifest themselves in ways that we do not anticipate and that our risk mitigation strategies are not designed to address. Such a manifestation of losses could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

These risks may be heightened during difficult economic conditions such as those recently experienced in Florida and elsewhere.

Reinsurance may be unavailable at current levels and prices, which may limit our ability to write new business or to adequately mitigate our exposure to loss

Our reinsurance program is designed, utilizing our risk management methodology, to help mitigate our exposure to catastrophes. Market conditions beyond our control determine the availability and cost of the reinsurance we purchase. No assurances can be made that reinsurance will remain continuously available to us to the same extent and on the same terms and rates as are currently available. For example, our ability to afford reinsurance to reduce our catastrophe risk may be dependent upon our ability to adjust premium rates for our cost, and there are no assurances that the terms and rates for our current reinsurance program will continue to be available next year. If we are unable to maintain our current level of reinsurance or purchase new reinsurance protection in amounts that we consider sufficient and at prices that we consider acceptable, we would have to either accept an increase in our exposure risk, reduce our insurance writings, or develop or seek other alternatives.

Regulation limiting rate changes and requiring us to participate in loss sharing or assessments may decrease our profitability

From time to time, political dispositions affect the insurance market, including efforts to effectively maintain rates that allow us to reach targeted levels of profitability. Despite efforts to remove politics from insurance regulation, facts and history demonstrate that public policymakers, when faced with untoward events and adverse public sentiment, can act

in ways that impede a satisfactory correlation between rates and risk. Such acts may affect our ability to obtain approval for rate changes that may be required to attain rate adequacy along with targeted levels of profitability and returns on equity. Our ability to afford reinsurance required to reduce our catastrophe risk may be dependent upon the ability to adjust rates for our cost.

Additionally, we are required to participate in guaranty funds for insolvent insurance companies and other statutory insurance entities. The guaranty funds and other statutory entities periodically levy assessments against all insurance companies doing business in the state and the amounts and timing of those assessments are unpredictable. Our operating results and financial condition could be adversely affected by any of these factors.

Table of Contents

The potential benefits of implementing our profitability model may not be fully realized

We believe that our profitability model has allowed us to be more competitive and operate more profitably than other similarly situated insurers. However, because many of our competitors have adopted underwriting criteria and sophisticated models similar to those we use and because other competitors may follow suit, our competitive advantage could decline or be lost. Competitive pressures could also force us to modify our profitability model. Furthermore, we cannot be assured that the profitability model will accurately reflect the level of losses that we will ultimately incur from the business generated.

Our financial condition and operating results and the financial condition and operating results of our Insurance Entities may be adversely affected by the cyclical nature of the property and casualty business

The property and casualty market is cyclical and has experienced periods characterized by relatively high levels of price competition, less restrictive underwriting standards and relatively low premium rates, followed by periods of relatively lower levels of competition, more selective underwriting standards and relatively high premium rates. A downturn in the profitability cycle of the property and casualty business could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Renewed weakness in the Florida real estate market could adversely affect our loss results

As of December 31, 2014, approximately 91% of our policies in force and 88% of total insured values were derived from customers located in Florida. In recent years, Florida experienced a significant economic downturn and among the highest real estate value diminution in the country. While the real estate market in Florida appears to be on the rebound, renewed weakness in that market could result in fewer home sales, which may adversely affect the number of policies we are able to sell and/or the rates we are able to charge to customers. Additionally, higher incidents of foreclosed or vacant homes may result in increased claims activity under residential insurance policies, which could negatively affect our operating results.

Changing climate conditions may adversely affect our financial condition, profitability or cash flows

Property and casualty insurers are subject to claims arising from catastrophes. Catastrophic losses have had a significant impact on our historical results. Catastrophes can be caused by various events, including hurricanes, tsunamis, windstorms, earthquakes, hailstorms, explosions, flooding, severe winter weather and fires and may include man-made events, such as terrorist attacks. The incidence, frequency and severity of catastrophes are inherently unpredictable.

Longer-term weather trends may be changing and new types of catastrophe losses may be developing due to climate change, a phenomenon that has been associated with extreme weather events linked to rising temperatures, including effects on global weather patterns, greenhouse gases, sea, land and air temperature, sea levels, rain and snow. The science regarding climate change is still emerging and developing. However, to the extent the frequency or severity of weather events is exacerbated due to climate change, we may experience increases in catastrophe losses in both coastal and non-coastal areas.

Because we conduct the majority of our business in Florida, our financial results substantially depend on the regulatory, economic and weather conditions present in that state

Though we are licensed to transact insurance business in Delaware, Georgia, Hawaii, Indiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, North Carolina, Pennsylvania and South Carolina, we still write a substantial majority of our premium in Florida; therefore, prevailing regulatory, legal, economic, political, demographic, competitive, weather and other conditions in Florida affect our revenues and profitability. Changes in conditions could make doing business in Florida less attractive for us and would have a more pronounced effect on us than it would on other insurance companies that are more geographically diversified.

We are subject to increased exposure to certain catastrophic events such as hurricanes, as well as an increased risk of resulting losses. The occurrence of one or more catastrophic events or other conditions affecting losses in Florida may cause a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

We have been entering and in the future may enter new markets, but there can be no assurance that our diversification strategy will be effective

Although we intend to continue focusing on Florida as a key market for our insurance products, we have in the past, and may in the future, seek to take advantage of prudent opportunities to expand our core business into other states where we believe the independent agent distribution channel is strong. As a result of a number of factors, including the difficulties of finding appropriate expansion opportunities and the challenges of operating in an unfamiliar market, we may not be successful in this diversification. Additionally, in order to carry out any such strategy, we would need to obtain the appropriate licenses from the insurance regulatory authority of any such state if we are not currently licensed to transact business in any state.

Table of Contents

Because we rely on insurance agents, the loss of these agent relationships or our ability to attract new agents could have an adverse impact on our business

We currently market our policies to a broad range of prospective policyholders through approximately 7,000 independent insurance agents. Many of these agents are independent insurance agents that own their customer relationships, and our agency contracts with them limit our ability to directly solicit business from our existing policyholders. Independent agents most commonly represent other insurance companies, and we do not control their activities. Certain of those insurance companies pay higher commissions than we do, provide services to the agents that we do not provide, or are otherwise more attractive to the agents than we are. We cannot assure you that we will retain our current relationships, or be able to establish new relationships, with insurance agents. The loss of these marketing relationships could adversely impact our ability to attract new agents, retain our agency network, or write new or renewal insurance policies.

Changes to Florida's wind mitigation discount law could materially affect our income

Florida law currently mandates that insurance companies offer a reduction in insurance premiums for policyholders whose properties have certain features that increase such properties' ability to withstand windstorm damage, based on an inspection of such properties. Changes to the current wind loss mitigation program in Florida could require that we provide greater premium discounts or reconfigure existing discount programs, which could affect our income.

Risks Relating to Investments

We are subject to market risk which may adversely impact investment income

Our primary market risk exposures are changes in equity prices and interest rates. A decline in market interest rates could have an adverse effect on our investment income as we invest cash in new interest bearing investments that may yield less than our portfolio's average rate of return. A decline in market interest rates could also lead us to purchase longer-term or riskier assets in order to obtain adequate investment yields resulting in a duration gap when compared to the duration of liabilities. An increase in market interest rates could also have an adverse effect on the value of our investment portfolio by decreasing the fair values of the fixed maturity securities that comprise a large portion of our investment portfolio. A decline in the quality of our investment portfolio as a result of adverse economic conditions or otherwise could cause additional realized losses on securities.

Our overall financial performance is dependent in part on the returns on our investment portfolio, which may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations or cause such results to be volatile

The performance of our investment portfolio is independent of the revenue and income generated from our insurance operations, and there is no direct correlation between the financial results of these two activities. Thus, to the extent that our investment portfolio does not perform well due to the factors discussed above or otherwise, our results of operations may be materially adversely affected even if our insurance operations perform favorably. Further, because the returns on our investment portfolio may be volatile, our overall results of operations may likewise be volatile from period to period even if we do not experience significant financial variances in our insurance operations.

Risks Relating to the Insurance Industry

We are subject to extensive regulation and potential further restrictive regulation may increase our operating costs and limit our growth

The laws and regulations affecting the insurance industry are complex and subject to change. Moreover, they are administered and enforced by a number of different governmental authorities, including state insurance regulators, state securities administrators, the SEC, the U.S. Department of Justice, and state attorneys general, each of which exercises a degree of interpretive latitude. Consequently, we are subject to the risk that compliance with any particular regulator's or enforcement authority's interpretation of a legal issue may not result in compliance with another's interpretation of the same issue, particularly when compliance is judged in hindsight. In addition, there is risk that any particular regulator's or enforcement authority's interpretation of a legal issue may change over time to our detriment, or that changes in the overall legal environment may, even absent any particular regulator's or enforcement authority's interpretation of a legal issue changing, cause us to change our views regarding the actions we need to take from a legal risk management perspective, thus necessitating changes to our practices that may, in some cases, limit our ability to grow and achieve or improve the profitability of our business. Furthermore, in some cases, these laws and regulations are designed to protect or benefit the interests of a specific constituency rather than a range of constituencies. For example, state insurance laws and regulations are generally intended to protect or benefit purchasers or users of insurance products, not holders of securities issued by us. In many respects, these laws and regulations limit our ability to grow and improve the profitability of our business.

Table of Contents

The Insurance Entities are highly regulated by state insurance authorities in Florida, the state in which each is domiciled, and UPCIC is also regulated by state insurance authorities in the other states in which it conducts business. Such regulations, among other things, require that certain transactions between the Insurance Entities and their affiliates must be fair and reasonable and require prior notice and non-disapproval by the applicable state insurance authority. State regulations also limit the amount of dividends and other payments that can be made by the Insurance Entities without prior regulatory approval and impose restrictions on the amount and type of investments the Insurance Entities may have. These regulations also affect many other aspects of the Insurance Entities' businesses. Compliance with applicable laws and regulations is time consuming and personnel-intensive, and changes in these laws and regulations may materially increase UIH's direct and indirect compliance efforts and other expenses of doing business. If the Insurance Entities fail to comply with applicable regulatory requirements, the regulatory agencies can revoke or suspend their licenses, withhold or withdraw required approvals, require corrective action and impose operating limitations, impose penalties or pursue other remedies available under applicable laws and regulations.

State legislatures and insurance regulators regularly re-examine existing laws and regulations applicable to insurance companies and their products. Changes in these laws and regulations, or in interpretations thereof, can be made for the benefit of the consumer, or for other reasons, at the expense of insurers, and thus could have an adverse effect on UIH's financial condition and results of operations.

Recently there has been increased scrutiny of the insurance regulatory framework in the United States and some state legislatures have considered or enacted laws that alter, and in many cases increase, state authority to regulate insurance holding companies and insurance companies. The Insurance Entities' domiciliary state has adopted legislation introducing the concept of "enterprise risk" within an insurance holding company system. These new laws impose more extensive informational requirements on parents and other affiliates of licensed insurers with the purpose of protecting the licensed companies from enterprise risk. The new laws require the ultimate controlling person of an insurance holding company system to file an annual enterprise risk report identifying the material risks within the insurance holding company system that could pose enterprise risk to the licensed companies. While UIH believes the Company is well prepared to comply with the new laws, UIH cannot guarantee the impact of any interpretations of the new law or the potential impact of other proposed or future legislation or rule-making in the U.S. or elsewhere would not have an adverse effect on UIH's results of operations or financial condition.

Although the federal government does not directly regulate the insurance business, federal legislation and administrative policies in several areas, including employee benefits regulation, age, sex and disability-based discrimination, financial services regulation, securities regulation and federal taxation, can significantly affect the insurance business. The Dodd-Frank Act created the Federal Insurance Office within the U.S. Department of the Treasury headed by a Director appointed by the Treasury Secretary. The Federal Insurance Office is designed principally to exercise a monitoring and information gathering role, rather than a regulatory role. Nonetheless, these activities could ultimately lead to changes in the regulation of insurers and reinsurers in the United States, including insurance group holding companies. The Dodd-Frank Act requires extensive rule-making and other future regulatory action, which in some cases will take a period of years to implement.

In recent years, the state insurance regulatory framework has come under public scrutiny and members of Congress have discussed proposals to provide for federal chartering of insurance companies. We can make no assurances regarding the potential impact of state or federal measures that may change the nature or scope of insurance regulation.

UIH is a holding company and, consequently, its cash flow is dependent on dividends, interest and other permissible payments from its subsidiaries

UIH is a holding company that conducts no insurance operations of its own. All operations are conducted by the Insurance Entities and by other operating subsidiaries that primarily support the business of the Insurance Entities. As a holding company, UIH's sources of cash flow consist primarily of dividends, interest and other permissible payments from its subsidiaries. The ability of the Insurance Entities to make such payments is limited by applicable law, as set forth in Item 1, "Business—Insurance Operations—Government Regulation and Initiatives—Restrictions on Dividends and Distributions" in this Form 10-K. The ability of our other subsidiaries to pay dividends may be adversely affected by reductions in the premiums or number of policies written by the Insurance Entities, by changes in the terms of the parties' contracts, or by changes in the regulation of insurance holding company systems. UIH depends on such payments for general corporate purposes, for its capital management activities and payment of any dividends to its common shareholders. For more details on our cash flows, see "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Liquidity and Capital Resources" in this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

UIH could be adversely affected if the Insurance Entities' controls designed to ensure compliance with guidelines, policies and legal and regulatory standards are not effective

The Insurance Entities' business is highly dependent on the ability to engage on a daily basis in a large number of insurance underwriting, claims processing and investment activities, many of which are highly complex. These activities often are subject to internal guidelines and policies, as well as legal and regulatory standards. A control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable guarantee that the control system's objectives will be met. The Insurance Entities' failure to comply with these guidelines, policies or standards could lead to financial loss, unanticipated risk exposure, regulatory sanctions or penalties, civil or administrative litigation, or damage to UIH's reputation.

Litigation or regulatory actions could have a material adverse impact on UIH

The Insurance Entities and their affiliates from time to time are subject to civil or administrative actions and litigation. Civil litigation frequently results when the Insurance Entities do not pay insurance claims in the amounts or at the times demanded by policyholders or their representatives. The Insurance Entities also may be subject to litigation or administrative actions arising from the conduct of their business and the regulatory authority of state insurance departments. Further, the Company and its subsidiaries are subject to other types of litigation inherent in operating their businesses, employing personnel, contracting with vendors and otherwise carrying out their affairs. Current and future litigation or regulatory matters may negatively affect UIH by resulting in the payment of substantial awards or settlements, increasing legal and compliance costs, requiring UIH to change certain aspects of its business operations, diverting management attention from other business issues, harming UIH's reputation with customers or making it more difficult to retain current customers and to recruit and retain employees or agents. See "Item 8 — Note 5 (Insurance Operations) and Note 15 (Commitments and Contingencies)".

The amount of statutory capital that each of the Insurance Entities has and the amount of statutory capital it must hold can vary and is sensitive to a number of factors outside of UIH's control, including market conditions and the regulatory environment and rules

Insurance regulators adopt accounting standards and statutory capital and reserve requirements for the Insurance Entities. In addition, the rating agencies responsible for reviewing the Insurance Entities have their own metrics for evaluating the Insurance Entities' financial strength. In any particular year, the Insurance Entities' statutory surplus amounts and financial ratios may change depending on a variety of factors. Further, the requirements and ratios adopted by regulatory authorities or rating agencies may change over time. The Insurance Entities' failure to maintain compliance with current or future requirements could adversely affect our business.

Our future results are dependent in part on our ability to successfully operate in an insurance industry that is highly competitive

The insurance industry is highly competitive. Many of our competitors have well-established national reputations and market similar products. In addition, we compete with companies that have greater capital resources and longer operating histories as well as with newly formed and less capitalized companies that might have more aggressive underwriting or pricing strategies. Because of the competitive nature of the insurance industry, including competition for producers such as independent agents, there can be no assurance that we will continue to develop and maintain productive relationships with independent agents, effectively compete with our industry rivals, or that competitive pressures will not have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results or financial condition. Our ability to successfully operate may also be impaired if we are not effective in filling critical leadership positions, in

developing the talent and skills of our human resources, in assimilating new executive talent into our organization, or in deploying human resource talent consistent with our business goals.

Difficult conditions in the economy generally could adversely affect our business and operating results

In recent years, the United States economy has experienced widespread job losses, higher unemployment, lower consumer spending, declines in home prices and substantial increases in delinquencies on consumer debt, including defaults on home mortgages. Moreover, past disruptions in the financial markets, particularly the reduced availability of credit and tightened lending requirements, affected the ability of borrowers to refinance loans at more affordable rates. A general economic slowdown also could adversely affect us in the form of consumer behavior and pressure on our investment portfolio. We cannot predict the likelihood of a future recession, but as with most businesses, we believe a longer or more severe recession than the one recently experienced could have an adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

Table of Contents

A downgrade in our Financial Stability Rating® may have an adverse effect on our competitive position, the marketability of our product offerings, and our liquidity, operating results and financial condition

Financial Stability Ratings® are important factors in establishing the competitive position of insurance companies and generally have an effect on an insurance company's business. On an ongoing basis, rating agencies review the financial performance and condition of insurers and could downgrade or change the outlook on an insurer's ratings due to, for example, a change in an insurer's statutory capital; a change in a rating agency's determination of the amount of risk-adjusted capital required to maintain a particular rating; a change in the perceived adequacy of an insurer's reinsurance program; an increase in the perceived risk of an insurer's investment portfolio; a reduced confidence in management or a host of other considerations that may or may not be within an insurer's control. Demotech, Inc., has assigned a Financial Stability Rating® of A for the Insurance Entities. Because these ratings are subject to continuous review, the retention of these ratings cannot be assured. A downgrade in or withdrawal of these ratings, or a decision by Demotech to require the Insurance Entities' parent company to make a capital infusion into the Insurance Entities to maintain their ratings, may adversely affect our liquidity, operating results and financial condition. Financial Stability Ratings® are primarily directed towards policyholders of the Insurance Entities, and are not evaluations directed toward the protection of investors in the Insurance Entities' ultimate parent, including holders of the Company's common stock, and are not recommendations to buy, sell or hold securities.

Our insurance subsidiaries are subject to examination and actions by state insurance departments

The Insurance Entities are subject to extensive regulation in the states in which they do business. State insurance regulatory agencies conduct periodic examinations of the Insurance Entities on a wide variety of matters, including policy forms, premium rates, licensing, trade and claims practices, investment standards and practices, statutory capital and surplus requirements, reserve and loss ratio requirements and transactions among affiliates. Further, the Insurance Entities are required to file annual and other reports with state insurance regulatory agencies relating to financial condition, holding company issues and other matters. If an insurance company fails to obtain required licenses or approvals, or if the Insurance Entities fail to comply with other regulatory requirements, the regulatory agencies can suspend or revoke their licenses, withdraw or withhold required approvals, require corrective action and impose operating limitations, penalties or other remedies available under applicable laws and regulations.

Reinsurance subjects us to the credit risk of our reinsurers and may not be adequate to protect us against losses arising from ceded risks, which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition

Reinsurance does not legally discharge us from our primary liability for the full amount of the risk we insure, although it does make the reinsurer liable to us in the event of a claim. As such, we are subject to credit risk with respect to our reinsurers. The collectability of reinsurance recoverables is subject to uncertainty arising from a number of factors, including changes in market conditions, whether insured losses meet the qualifying conditions under our reinsurance contracts for covered events such as windstorms, vandalism, brush fires, earthquakes and riots or are excluded explicitly for events such as a chemical terrorism event impacting our policyholders and whether reinsurers, or their affiliates, have the financial capacity and willingness to make payments under the terms of a reinsurance treaty or contract. Our inability to collect a material recovery from a reinsurer could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition. In addition, we may not buy enough reinsurance to cover a single substantial storm or multiple storms going forward or be able to timely or cost-effectively obtain reinsurance. The Insurance Entities are responsible for losses related to catastrophic events with incurred losses in excess of coverage provided by our reinsurance program and for losses that otherwise are not covered by the reinsurance program.

Adverse capital and credit market conditions may significantly affect our ability to meet liquidity needs or our ability to obtain credit on acceptable terms

The capital and credit markets have been experiencing extreme volatility and disruption. In some cases, the markets have exerted downward pressure on the availability of liquidity and credit capacity. In the event that we need access to additional capital to pay our operating expenses, make payments on our indebtedness, pay for capital expenditures or fund acquisitions, our ability to obtain such capital may be limited and the cost of any such capital may be significant. Our access to additional financing will depend on a variety of factors such as market conditions, the general availability of credit, the overall availability of credit to our industry, and credit capacity, as well as lenders' perception of our long- or short-term financial prospects. Similarly, our access to funds may be impaired if regulatory authorities or rating agencies take negative actions against us. If a combination of these factors were to occur, our internal sources of liquidity may prove to be insufficient, and in such case, we may not be able to successfully obtain financing on favorable terms.

Table of Contents

Loss of key executives could affect our operations

Our future operations will depend in large part on the efforts of our Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, Sean P. Downes, and on the efforts of our Chief Operating Officer, Jon W. Springer, both of whom have served in executive roles at the Company or its affiliates for many years. The loss of the services provided by Mr. Downes or Mr. Springer, could have a material adverse effect on the Company and on our financial condition and results of operations.

Breaches of our information systems or denial of service on our website could have an adverse impact on our business and reputation

Our business depends significantly on the effective operation of our information systems, as we retain confidential and proprietary information on them. We have committed and will continue to commit significant resources to develop, maintain and enhance our existing information systems, transition existing systems to upgraded systems and develop new information systems in order to keep pace with continuing changes in information processing technology, evolving industry and regulatory standards and changing customer preferences.

Our information systems have been, and likely will continue to be, subject to computer viruses, other malicious codes or other computer-related penetrations. To date, we are not aware of a material breach of cybersecurity. We commit significant resources to administrative and technical controls to prevent cyber incidents and protect our information technology, but our preventative actions to reduce the risk of cyber threats may be insufficient to prevent physical and electronic break-ins and other cyber-attacks or security breaches. Such an event could compromise our confidential information as well as that of our customers and third parties with whom we interact, impede or interrupt business operations and may result in other negative consequences, including remediation costs, loss of revenue, additional regulatory scrutiny and litigation and reputational damage. In addition, any data security breach of our agents or third-party vendors, or any prolonged denial of service on our website, could harm our business and reputation.

Our failure to maintain effective and efficient information systems and protect the security of such systems could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Risks Relating to Debt Obligations

Our revolving line of credit and term loan have restrictive terms and our failure to comply with any of these terms could have an adverse effect on our business and prospects.

We have entered into a revolving line of credit and term loan, each of which contains a number of affirmative and negative covenants so long as any amounts are outstanding thereunder. The negative covenants in these instruments limit our ability and the ability of our subsidiaries to, among other things:

- incur additional indebtedness;
- merge, consolidate or dispose of our assets or the capital stock or assets of any subsidiary;
- pay dividends, make distributions or redeem capital stock;
- enter into certain transactions with our affiliates;
- make material changes or modifications to our organizational structure; and
- grant liens on our assets or the assets of our subsidiaries.

Our revolving line of credit also includes certain affirmative covenants, including financial covenants requiring us to maintain minimum unencumbered liquid assets of \$5 million, minimum shareholders' equity of \$120 million and a

maximum leverage percentage of 30%, in each case, as such terms are defined and calculated under the revolving line of credit. A breach of any of these covenants would result in a default under our revolving line of credit, which could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial condition.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

The Company's operations are conducted primarily from its campus headquartered in Fort Lauderdale, Florida containing approximately 67,000 square feet. The facilities in our campus are suitable and adequate for the Company's operations.

Table of Contents

There are no mortgages or lease arrangements for the buildings in our campus and are all adequately covered by insurance.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are routinely involved in legal proceedings, claims, and governmental inquiries, inspections or investigations (“Legal Matters”) arising in the ordinary course of our business. While we cannot predict with certainty the results of Legal Matters in which we are currently involved or may in the future be involved, we do not expect that the ultimate costs to resolve any of the Legal Matters that are currently pending will have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition or liquidity.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not Applicable

Table of Contents

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Our common stock, par value \$0.01 per share ("Common Stock"), is quoted and traded on the New York Stock Exchange LLC ("NYSE") under the symbol UVE. Our common shares were quoted and traded on the NYSE MKT LLC ("NYSE MKT") from April 30, 2007 through December 2, 2013.

The following table sets forth prices of the Common Stock, as reported by the NYSE and NYSE MKT:

| | | | Dividends |
|--------------------------------------|---------|---------|-----------|
| For the year ended December 31, 2014 | High | Low | Declared |
| First Quarter | \$14.96 | \$10.14 | \$ 0.10 |
| Second Quarter | \$15.20 | \$11.54 | \$ 0.10 |
| Third Quarter | \$14.45 | \$12.06 | \$ 0.10 |
| Fourth Quarter | \$21.06 | \$12.42 | \$ 0.25 |

| | | | Dividends |
|--------------------------------------|---------|--------|-----------|
| For the year ended December 31, 2013 | High | Low | Declared |
| First Quarter | \$4.85 | \$4.32 | \$ 0.08 |
| Second Quarter | \$7.92 | \$4.88 | \$ 0.08 |
| Third Quarter | \$8.55 | \$7.05 | \$ 0.10 |
| Fourth Quarter | \$14.63 | \$6.58 | \$ 0.23 |

As of February 13, 2015, there were approximately 37 registered shareholders of record of our Common Stock.

As of December 31, 2014, there was one shareholder of our Series A Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock ("Preferred Stock") and one shareholder of our Series M Preferred Stock.

During the year ended December 31, 2014, a shareholder converted 9,975 shares of Series A Preferred Stock into 24,938 shares of Common Stock. There were no conversions of Series A Preferred Stock in 2013. We declared and paid aggregate dividends to holders of record of the Company's Series A Preferred Stock of \$13 thousand and \$20 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

During the year ended December 31, 2014, a shareholder converted 8,000 shares of Series M Preferred Stock into 40,000 shares of Common Stock. During the year ended December 31, 2013, several shareholders converted 77,740 shares of Series M Preferred Stock into 388,700 shares of Common Stock. We declared and paid aggregate dividends to holders of record of the Company's Series M Preferred Stock of \$0.4 thousand and \$9 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Applicable provisions of the Delaware General Corporation Law may affect our ability to declare and pay dividends on our Common Stock. In particular, pursuant to the Delaware General Corporation Law, a company may pay dividends out of its surplus, as defined, or out of its net profits, for the fiscal year in which the dividend is declared and/or the preceding year. Surplus is defined in the Delaware General Corporation Law to be the excess of net assets of the company over capital. Capital is defined to be the aggregate par value of shares issued. Our ability to pay dividends, if and when declared by our Board of Directors, may also be restricted by regulatory limits on the amount of dividends, which the Insurance Entities are permitted to pay UIH. Section 628.371 of the Florida Statutes sets forth limitations, based on net income and statutory capital, on the amount of dividends that the Insurance Entities may pay to UIH without approval from the OIR. In addition, under the Company's revolving loan agreement and related revolving note ("DB Loan") with Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas ("Deutsche Bank"), and the Company's unsecured term loan agreement and related term note ("Term Loan") with RenaissanceRe Ventures Ltd. ("RenRe Ventures"), so long as any amounts or obligations are outstanding thereunder, UIH will be restricted from paying dividends to its shareholders if an event of default (or an event, the giving of notice of which or with the lapse of time or both, would become an event of default) is continuing at the time of and immediately after paying such dividend.

Table of Contents

Stock Performance Graph

The following graph compares the cumulative total stockholder return of UIH's Common Stock from December 31, 2009 through December 31, 2014 with the cumulative total return of the SNL Insurance P&C, the NYSE MKT Composite Index and the NYSE Composite Index.

| Index | Period Ending | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | 12/31/09 | 12/31/10 | 12/31/11 | 12/31/12 | 12/31/13 | 12/31/14 |
| Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. | 100.00 | 88.59 | 70.27 | 96.38 | 338.42 | 495.65 |
| SNL Insurance P&C | 100.00 | 119.24 | 120.55 | 142.31 | 188.53 | 216.52 |
| NYSE MKT Composite Index | 100.00 | 125.60 | 133.49 | 142.32 | 151.80 | 157.50 |
| NYSE Composite Index | 100.00 | 113.60 | 109.43 | 127.11 | 160.65 | 171.67 |

SNL Insurance P&C includes all publicly traded insurance underwriters in the property and casualty sector in the United States and was prepared by SNL Financial, Charlottesville, Virginia. The graph assumes the investment of \$100 in UIH's Common Stock and in each of the two indices on December 31, 2009 with all dividends being reinvested on the ex-dividend date. The closing price of UIH's Common Stock on December 31, 2014 (the last trading day of the year) was \$20.45 per share. The stock price performance on the graph is not necessarily indicative of future price performance.

The stock prices used to calculate total shareholder return for UIH are based upon the prices of our common shares quoted and traded on NYSE and NYSE MKT.

We believe that the increase in stock price and increase in the total return performance relative to other indices since the first quarter of 2013 is attributable to the increase of our profitability, our focus on long-term capital growth and strategic initiatives intended to increase shareholder value such as share repurchases and increasing cash dividends per share. Other contributing factors may include moving to the NYSE, obtaining analyst coverage and engaging a leading global investment adviser to manage our investment portfolio.

Table of Contents

Future Dividend Policy

Future cash dividend payments are subject to business conditions, our financial position, and requirements for working capital and other corporate purposes.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following selected consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto and “Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” appearing elsewhere in the Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The following tables provide selected financial information as of and for the periods presented (in thousands, except per share data):

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Income statement data: | | | | | |
| Direct premiums written | \$789,577 | \$783,894 | \$780,128 | \$721,462 | \$666,309 |
| Ceded premiums written | (399,730) | (522,116) | (517,604) | (512,979) | (466,694) |
| Net premiums written | 389,847 | 261,778 | 262,524 | 208,483 | 199,615 |
| Change in net unearned premium | (62,970) | 5,877 | (31,404) | (9,498) | (29,172) |
| Premiums earned, net | \$326,877 | \$267,655 | \$231,120 | \$198,985 | \$170,443 |
| Total revenue | \$369,276 | \$301,159 | \$269,939 | \$225,861 | \$239,923 |
| Total expenses | 241,672 | 200,603 | 217,380 | 192,143 | 177,645 |
| Income before income taxes | 127,604 | 100,556 | 52,559 | 33,718 | 62,278 |
| Income taxes, net | 54,616 | 41,579 | 22,247 | 13,609 | 25,294 |
| Net income and comprehensive income | \$71,529 | \$58,601 | \$30,312 | \$20,109 | \$36,984 |
| Earnings per share data: | | | | | |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$2.17 | \$1.64 | \$0.76 | \$0.51 | \$0.95 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | 2.08 | 1.56 | 0.75 | 0.50 | 0.91 |
| Dividends declared per common share | \$0.55 | \$0.49 | \$0.46 | \$0.32 | \$0.32 |

| | As of December 31, | | | | |
|--|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Balance sheet data: | | | | | |
| Total assets (1) | \$911,774 | \$920,090 | \$925,735 | \$894,026 | \$803,837 |
| Total liabilities (1) | 692,858 | 744,481 | 762,221 | 744,021 | 664,047 |
| Unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses | 134,353 | 159,222 | 193,241 | 187,215 | 158,929 |
| Unearned premiums | 395,748 | 383,488 | 388,071 | 359,842 | 328,334 |

| | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Long-term debt | 30,610 | 37,240 | 20,221 | 21,691 | 23,162 |
| Total stockholders' equity (12/31/2014 Pro-Forma Balance \$218.9) (2) | \$199,916 | \$175,609 | \$163,514 | \$150,005 | \$139,790 |

(1) Total assets and total liabilities for the year 2010 has been adjusted for a reclassification of reinsurance receivable.

This adjustment had no impact on earnings or stockholders' equity. The adjustment was \$37.6 million for 2010.

(2) See "Item 8 – Note 8 (Stockholders' Equity)" for an explanation of events subsequent to December 31, 2014 giving rise to pro-forma stockholders' equity of \$218.9 million as of December 31, 2014.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations ("MD&A") is intended to help the reader understand the results of operations and financial condition of UIH. MD&A is provided as a supplement to, and should be read in conjunction with, our financial statements and accompanying notes in Part II, Item 8 below.

Overview

UIH, with its wholly-owned subsidiaries, is a vertically integrated insurance holding company performing all aspects of insurance underwriting, distribution and claims. Through our wholly-owned subsidiaries, including UPCIC and APPCIC, collectively referred to as the "Insurance Entities", we are principally engaged in the property and casualty insurance business offered primarily through a network of independent agents. Our primary product is homeowners insurance, which we currently offer in ten states.

We generate revenues primarily from the collection of premiums. Other significant sources of revenue include commissions collected from reinsurers through our wholly-owned reinsurance intermediary subsidiary, policy fees collected from policyholders through our wholly-owned managing general agency subsidiary and financing fees charged to policy holders who defer premium payments. We also generate income by investing funds that are in excess of those retained for claims-paying obligations and insurance operations. The nature of our business tends to be seasonal reflecting consumer behaviors in connection with the residential real estate market and the hurricane season which occurs during the period from June 1 through November 30 each year. The amount of written premium tends to increase just prior to the second quarter of our fiscal year and to decrease approaching the fourth quarter.

Throughout 2014, we continued to execute our goals of growing our business, investing in ourselves, increasing profitability and returning value to shareholders. We have done this by expanding into different states, lowering our quota share cession rate, strategically managing rates, not renewing certain policies and replacing them with policies that we believe provide more adequate premium, working with our investment advisors to maximize total rate of return while maintaining liquidity and minimizing risk, continuing to purchase shares of our own stock and paying higher quarterly dividends. These actions, coupled with operational improvements made to streamline claims and underwriting have resulted in an increase in earnings, earnings per share and an improvement in our overall financial condition. See "Results of Operations" below for a discussion of our results for 2014 compared to 2013.

While policy count is one measure of the overall growth of our business, we believe that our strategy of balancing competitive pricing with disciplined underwriting standards, streamlining claims management and expanding the size of our business through superior products and services, will maximize our long term growth. Our focus on long term capital strength and growth leads us to be selective in the risks we are willing to accept, which may limit the number of policies written. In contrast, from time to time, some of our competitors lower their premiums to a level that is below what we believe to be adequate in order to generate and maintain capital and surplus for the protection of our Insurance Entities and our policyholders.

Our overall growth strategy includes taking prudent measures to increase our policy count and improve the quality of our business. These initiatives include reducing rates and expanding into selected markets while maintaining rate adequacy. For example, we reduced overall rates for homeowners' insurance in Florida by 2.4% effective in January 2014 for new business and March 2014 for renewals.

As a result of our growth strategy and initiatives, we have seen increases in policy count and insured value in Florida and other states since December 31, 2013. Our expansion in states outside of Florida is yielding growth in policy count of 37.2% since December 31, 2013.

The following table provides policy count and total insured value for Florida and other states as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 (dollars in thousands):

| State | As of December 31, 2014 | | | | As of December 31, 2013 | | | |
|--------------|-------------------------|--------|---------------------|--------|-------------------------|--------|---------------------|--------|
| | Count | % | Total Insured Value | % | Count | % | Total Insured Value | % |
| Florida | 506,913 | 91.1 % | \$ 115,248,811 | 87.9 % | 499,949 | 93.3 % | \$ 110,785,839 | 90.7 % |
| Other states | 49,435 | 8.9 % | 15,874,916 | 12.1 % | 36,039 | 6.7 % | 11,305,295 | 9.3 % |
| Grand total | 556,348 | 100.0% | \$ 131,123,727 | 100.0% | 535,988 | 100.0% | \$ 122,091,134 | 100.0% |

Our efforts to ensure rate adequacy has helped to improve underwriting results, leading to our decision to retain a greater share of our profitable business by reducing our quota share cession rate.

Table of Contents

2014 Highlights

- We reduced our quota share cession rate from 45% to 30% in June
- Net earned premiums grew by \$59.2 million or 22.1%
- Total revenues increased by \$68.1 million or 22.6%
- Net income and diluted earnings per common share grew by \$14.0 million and \$0.52, respectively compared to 2013
- We repurchased a total of 1,166,208 shares of our common stock in the open market under a share repurchase program
- We paid dividends per common share of \$0.55 including a \$0.15 special dividend in December
- In April, the Insurance Commissioner of Delaware issued a Certificate of Authority to UPCIC, thereby approving UPCIC as a licensed insurance entity in the state of Delaware
- In April, UPCIC submitted applications to the regulatory entities in Pennsylvania, consistent with the Company's strategy to increase geographical diversification
- In June, UPCIC began writing homeowners insurance policies in Delaware
- In October, the Indiana Department of Insurance issued a Certificate of Authority to UPCIC approving UPCIC as a licensed insurance entity in the state of Indiana
- In November, Demotech, Inc., affirmed the Financial Stability Rating® of "A" for the Insurance Entities
- In December, we sold 1 million shares of common stock in a privately negotiated transaction at \$19.00 per share which were issued from treasury

Trends

The market price per share of our common stock has increased significantly over the past few years. As disclosed in "Item 8 — Note 9 (Share-Based Compensation)", stock price affects assumptions utilized in the pricing model for the fair value of stock option awards. In addition, the fair value of the restricted share grants are determined based on the market price of our common stock at the date of grant. As a result, the increase in our common stock price has contributed to the increase of share-based compensation. The increase in our stock price is also a factor in the Company's effective income tax rate due to an increase in non-deductible expenses including certain share-based compensation.

2014-2015 Reinsurance Program

Effective June 1, 2014, we entered into multiple reinsurance agreements comprising our 2014-2015 reinsurance program.

See "Item 8 — Note 4 (Reinsurance)."

REINSURANCE GENERALLY

We use reinsurance to reduce our exposure to catastrophic and non-catastrophic losses through a combination of quota share, catastrophe and other forms of reinsurance. Below is a description of our 2014-2015 reinsurance program. We believe that the overall terms of the 2014-2015 reinsurance program are more favorable than the 2013-2014 reinsurance program. We realized cost reductions in part due to market conditions and our preparation and efforts to manage risk exposure. We also are retaining a greater percentage of gross written premiums with wind risk than we did under our 2013-2014 reinsurance program by reducing our quota share cession rate by 15 percentage points. We expect to increase our overall profitability by retaining more premium; however the reduction in the quota share cession rate affects several line items in our Consolidated Statements of Income. By lowering our cession rate, we increase the amount of premium we retain as well as the related risk. This results in an increase in both earned

premium and losses and LAE. The lower cession rate also reduces the amount of ceding commissions we receive that offset costs associated with writing premium. This reduction in ceding commission increases general and administrative expenses.

The overall reduction in reinsurance costs lowers the amount of brokerage commissions received by our wholly-owned reinsurance broker subsidiary. Other favorable changes in the 2014-2015 reinsurance program include a reduction in the amount of risk retained per catastrophe occurrence to \$21 million from \$27.5 million under the 2013-2014 reinsurance program.

Table of Contents

While we believe the changes to the current reinsurance program are beneficial, there can be no assurance that our actual results of operations or financial condition will be positively affected. The Insurance Entities remain responsible for insured losses notwithstanding the failure of any reinsurer to make payments otherwise due to the Insurance Entities. A major catastrophic event, multiple catastrophes, or the insolvency of one of the larger participants in the reinsurance program could have a material adverse effect on the Insurance Entities' solvency and our results of operations, financial condition and liquidity.

UPCIC REINSURANCE PROGRAM

UPCIC's reinsurance program, which generally runs from June 1 through May 31 of the following year, consists of quota share, various forms of catastrophe coverage and individual property and liability per risk/per policy coverage. Under the 2014-2015 reinsurance program, UPCIC retains a pre-tax liability of \$21 million for the first, second and third catastrophic events under its Florida program with coverage up to \$1.794 billion. UPCIC retains a pre-tax liability of \$21 million for the first and second catastrophic events under its programs in Delaware, Georgia, Maryland, Massachusetts, North Carolina and South Carolina with coverage up to \$125 million and a pre-tax liability of \$7 million under its program in Hawaii with coverage up to \$30 million. UPCIC reduced its quota share percentage to 30% under its 2014-2015 program compared to 45% under its 2013-2014 program thus retaining more risk and premium per policy. UPCIC has mandatory catastrophe coverage through the FHCF plus voluntary quota share, catastrophe and per risk coverage with private reinsurers. The estimated total net cost after the proportional quota share deductions of UPCIC's catastrophe, FHCF and per risk related coverage, including reinstatement premium protection coverage is \$173.8 million. The largest private participants in UPCIC's program include Odyssey Re, Everest Re, Renaissance Re, Nephila Capital, ACE Tempest Re and Lloyd's of London syndicates.

APPCIC REINSURANCE PROGRAM

APPCIC's reinsurance program, which generally runs from June 1 through May 31 of the following year, consists of various forms of catastrophe coverage and individual property and liability per risk/per policy coverage. Under the 2014-2015 reinsurance program, APPCIC retains a pre-tax liability of \$2.5 million for the first and second catastrophic events with coverage up to \$39.3 million. APPCIC has mandatory catastrophe coverage through the FHCF and voluntary catastrophe and per risk coverage with private reinsurers. The estimated total cost of APPCIC's catastrophe, FHCF and per risk related coverage, including reinstatement premium protection is \$5.0 million. The largest private participants in APPCIC's reinsurance program include ACE Tempest Re, Hiscox, Odyssey Re, Hannover Ruck, and Lloyd's of London syndicates.

UIH PROGRAM

Separately from the Insurance Entities' reinsurance programs, UIH protects its own assets against diminution in value due to catastrophe events by purchasing coverage that would provide \$80 million in the form of insurance proceeds plus an amount equal to the forgiveness of related debt through a catastrophe risk-linked transaction contract, effective June 1, 2013 through May 31, 2016. This contract provides for recovery by UIH in the event of exhaustion of UPCIC's catastrophe coverage. The total cost to UIH of this risk-linked transaction contract is \$9.0 million per year for each of the three years.

Wind Mitigation Discounts

The insurance premiums charged by the Insurance Entities are subject to various statutory and regulatory requirements. Among these, the Insurance Entities must offer wind mitigation discounts in accordance with a program

mandated by the Florida Legislature and implemented by the OIR. The current levels of wind mitigation discounts mandated by the Florida Legislature have had a significant negative effect on the Insurance Entities' premium. The percentage reduction of in-force premium from wind mitigation credits in Florida for UPCIC policies as of December 31, 2014 was 36.7% compared to 33.8% as of December 31, 2013. The percentage reduction of in-force premium from wind mitigation credits in Florida for APPCIC policies as of December 31, 2014 was 63.7% compared to 63.3% as of December 31, 2013.

critical accounting policies AND ESTIMATES

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Our primary areas of estimate are described below.

Table of Contents

Recognition of Premium Revenues

Property and liability premiums are recognized as revenue on a pro rata basis over the policy term. The portion of premiums that will be earned in the future are deferred and reported as unearned premiums. Management believes that its revenue recognition policies conform to generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America.

Liability for Unpaid Losses and LAE

A liability is established to provide for the estimated costs of paying losses and LAE under insurance policies the Insurance Entities have issued. Underwriting results are significantly influenced by an estimate of a liability for unpaid losses and LAE. The liability is an estimate of amounts necessary to settle all outstanding claims, including claims that have been incurred, but not yet reported as of the financial statement date.

Characteristics of Reserves

Reserves are established based on estimates of the ultimate cost to settle claims, less losses that have been paid. Claims are typically reported promptly with relatively little reporting lag between the date of occurrence and the date the loss is reported. UPCIC's claim settlement data suggests that homeowners' property losses have an average settlement time of less than one year, while homeowners' liability losses generally take longer.

Reserves are the difference between the estimated ultimate cost of losses and LAE incurred and the amount of paid losses as of the reporting date. Reserves are estimated for both reported and unreported claims, and include estimates of all expenses associated with processing and settling all incurred claims. We update reserve estimates periodically as new information becomes available or as events emerge that may affect the resolution of unsettled claims. Changes in prior year reserve estimates (reserve re-estimates), which may be material, are determined by comparing updated estimates of ultimate losses to prior estimates, and the differences are recorded as losses and LAE in the Consolidated Statements of Income in the period such changes are determined. Estimating the ultimate cost of losses and LAE is an inherently uncertain and complex process involving a high degree of judgment and is subject to the evaluation of numerous variables.

The Actuarial Methods used to Develop Reserve Estimates

Reserves for losses and LAE are determined in four primary segments. These segments are the estimation of reserves for Florida non-catastrophe losses, hurricane losses, sinkhole losses, and non-Florida non-catastrophe losses. Evaluations are performed for loss and LAE separately, and on a net and direct basis for each segment. The analyses for non-catastrophe losses are further separated into data groupings of like exposure. These groups are property damage on homeowner policy forms HO-3 and HO-8 combined, property damage on homeowner policy forms HO-4 and HO-6 combined, property damage on dwelling fire policies, and all liability exposures combined.

Reserve estimates for both losses and LAE are derived using several different actuarial estimation methods that are variations on one primary actuarial technique. That actuarial technique is known as a "chain ladder" estimation process in which historical payment and reserving patterns are applied to actual paid and reported amounts (paid losses or LAE plus individual case reserves established by claim adjusters) for an accident period to create an estimate of how losses are likely to develop over time. An accident period refers to classifying claims based on the date in which the claims occurred, regardless of the date it was reported to the Insurance Entities. This analysis is used to prepare estimates of required reserves for payments to be made in the future. Transactions are organized into half-year accident periods for purposes of the reserve estimates. The key data elements used to determine our reserve estimates

include claim counts, loss and LAE payments, case reserves, and the related development factors applicable to this data.

The first method for estimating unpaid amounts for each segment is the reported development method. This method is based upon the assumption that the relative change in a given accident period's reported loss estimates from one evaluation point to the next is similar to the relative change in prior periods' reported loss estimates at similar evaluation points. In utilizing this method, actual 6-month historical loss activity is evaluated. Successive periods can be arranged to form a triangle of data. Report-to-report ("RTR") development factors are calculated to measure the change in cumulative reported losses from one evaluation point to the next. These historical RTR factors form the basis for selecting the RTR factors used in projecting the current valuation of losses to an ultimate basis. In addition, a tail factor is selected to account for loss development beyond the observed experience. The tail factor is based on trends shown in the data and consideration of industry loss development benchmarks. This method's implicit assumption is that the relative adequacy of case reserves has been consistent over time, and that there have been no material changes in the rate at which claims have been reported or settled. In instances where changes in settlement rates are detected, the RTR factors are adjusted accordingly, utilizing appropriate actuarial techniques.

Table of Contents

The second method is the paid development method. This method is similar to the reported development method; however, case reserves are excluded from the analysis. While this method has the disadvantage of not recognizing the information provided by current case reserves, it has the advantage of avoiding potential distortions in the data due to changes in case reserving methodology. This method's implicit assumption is that the rate of payment of claims has been relatively consistent over time.

The third method is the paid development method, but performed using only the payment history of closed claims. This method is similar to the paid development method; however, payments that are made during the claims settlement process are not considered. While this method has the disadvantage of not recognizing the information provided by current case reserves or partial payments, it has the advantage of avoiding potential distortions in the data due to changes in settlement practices during the life of an active claim. This method's implicit assumption is that the rate of claims closures has been relatively consistent over time. In instances where changes in claim closure rates are detected, the RTR factors are adjusted accordingly, utilizing appropriate actuarial techniques.

Bornhuetter-Ferguson ("B-F") methods are also utilized for estimating unpaid loss and LAE amounts, and relate to the reported, paid and closed development methods discussed above. B-F methods are essentially a blend of two other methods. The first method is an expected loss method, whereby the IBNR estimate equals the difference between predetermined estimates of expected losses and actual reported losses. The second method in each case is the development method (each described above), whereby actual losses are multiplied by an expected development factor. The B-F methods combine these two methods by setting ultimate losses equal to actual reported (or paid) losses plus expected unreported (or unpaid) losses. As an experience year matures and expected unreported (or unpaid) losses become smaller, the initial expected loss assumption becomes gradually less important. This has the advantage of stability, but it does not respond to actual results as they emerge.

Two parameters are needed in each application of the B-F method: an initial assumption of expected losses and the expected reporting or payment pattern. Initial expected losses for each accident period other than the current year is determined using the estimated ultimate loss ratio from the prior analysis. Initial expected losses for the current year's accident periods are determined based on trends in historical loss ratios, rate changes, and underlying loss trends. The expected reporting pattern is based on the reported, paid, or closed claim loss development method described above. This method is often used for long-tail lines and in situations where the reported loss experience is relatively immature or lacks sufficient credibility for the application of other methods.

An additional method, called the Reported Counts and Averages method, is utilized for the estimate of LAE for each segment, and also for the estimation of loss and LAE reserves for sinkhole losses. In this method, an estimate of unpaid losses or expenses is determined by separately projecting ultimate reported claim counts and ultimate reported claim severities (cost per reported claim) for each accident period. Typically, loss development methods are used to project ultimate claim counts and claim severities based on historical data using the same methodology described in the reported development method above. Estimated ultimate losses are then calculated as the product of the two items. This method is intended to avoid data distortions that may exist with the other methods for the most recent years as a result of changes in case reserve levels, settlement rates and claims handling fees. In addition, it may provide insight into the drivers of loss experience. This method is only utilized for sinkhole losses due to unique settlement patterns that have emerged since the passage of legislation that codified claim settlement practices with respect to sinkhole related claims and subsequent policy form changes implemented by the Company. Claims for sinkholes are expected to be reported and settled at different rates and ultimate values than historically observed, requiring a departure from traditional development methodologies.

In selecting RTR development factors used in each method above, due consideration is given to how the RTR development factors change from one year to the next over the course of several consecutive years of recent history. In addition to paid and reported loss development triangles, various diagnostic triangles, such as triangles showing historical patterns in the ratio of paid to reported losses and closed to reported claim counts are typically prepared. These diagnostic triangles are utilized in order to monitor the stability of various determinants of loss development, such as consistency in claims settlement and case reserving.

The implicit assumption of these techniques is that the selected RTR factors combine to form loss development patterns that are predictive of future loss development. The effects of inflation are implicitly considered in the reserving process, the implicit assumption being that the selected development factors includes an adequate provision. Occasionally, unusual aberrations in loss patterns are caused by external and internal factors such as changes in claim reporting, settlement patterns, unusually large losses, an unusually large amount of catastrophe losses, process changes, legal or regulatory changes, and other influences. In these instances, analyses of alternate development factor selections are performed to evaluate the effect of these factors. In some cases, actuarial judgment is relied upon in order to make appropriate development factor assumptions needed to develop a best estimate of ultimate losses. Claims reported in 2013 and 2014, for example, have benefited from several initiatives designed to expedite claim closure rates and reduce settlement costs introduced in our claims department during the last 24 months. These changes influenced development pattern selections applied to 2012, 2013 and 2014 accident year claims in the reserving estimates for each of the methods described above.

Table of Contents

Estimates of unpaid losses for hurricane experience are not developed using company specific development patterns, due to the relatively infrequent nature of storms and the high severity typically associated with them. Both the reported development method and the paid development method were used to estimate ultimate losses. However, the development patterns were based on industry data determined by our consulting actuary. There is an inherent assumption that relying on industry development patterns as opposed to company specific patterns produces more credible results for projecting hurricane losses.

Estimation methods described above all produce an estimate of ultimate losses and LAE. Based on the results of these methods, a single estimate (commonly referred to as an actuarial point/central estimate) of the ultimate loss or LAE is selected accordingly for each accident-year claim grouping. Estimated IBNR reserves are determined by subtracting the reported loss from the selected ultimate loss, or the paid LAE from the ultimate LAE. The estimated loss IBNR reserves are added to case reserves to determine the total estimated unpaid losses. No case reserves are carried for LAE, therefore the estimated LAE IBNR reserves equal the total estimated unpaid LAE. For each segment, the reserving methods are carried out on both a net and direct basis in order to estimate liabilities accordingly. When selecting a single actuarial point/central estimate on a net basis, careful consideration is given for the reinsurance arrangements that were in place during each accident year exposure period and segment being reviewed.

How Reserve Estimates are Established and Updated

Reserve estimates are developed for both open claims and unreported claims. The actuarial methods described above are used to derive claim settlement patterns by determining development factors to be applied to specific data elements. Development factors are calculated for data elements such as claim counts reported and settled, paid losses and paid losses combined with case reserves. Historical development patterns for these data elements are used as the assumptions to calculate reserve estimates.

Often, different estimates are prepared for each detailed component, incorporating alternative analyses of changing claim settlement patterns and other influences on losses, from which a best estimate is selected for each component, occasionally incorporating additional analyses and actuarial judgment as described above. These estimates are not based on a single set of assumptions. Based on a review of these estimates, the best estimate of required reserves is recorded for each accident year and the required reserves are summed to create the reserve balance carried on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Reserves are re-estimated periodically by combining historical payment and reserving patterns with current actual results. When actual development of claims reported, paid losses or case reserve changes are different than the historical development pattern used in a prior period reserve estimate, and as actuarial studies validate new trends based on indications of updated development factor calculations, new ultimate loss and LAE predictions are determined. This process incorporates the historic and latest trends, and other underlying changes in the data elements used to calculate reserve estimates. The difference between indicated reserves based on new reserve estimates and the previously recorded estimate of reserves is the amount of reserve re-estimates. The resulting increase or decrease in the reserve re-estimates is recorded and included in "Losses and loss adjustment expenses" in the Consolidated Statements of Income. Total reserve re-estimates in 2014, 2013 and 2012, expressed as a percent of the net losses and LAE liability balance as of the beginning of each year, were (0.8%), (2.6%), and 6.8%, respectively. There are inherent uncertainties associated with this estimation process, especially for a company with limited development history. However, with the passing of each year, the Company's own historical trends have become more reliable for use in predicting future results.

Factors Affecting Reserve Estimates

Reserve estimates are developed based on the processes and historical development trends as previously described. These estimates are considered in conjunction with known facts and interpretations of circumstances and factors including our experience with similar cases, actual claims paid, differing payment patterns and pending levels of unpaid claims, loss management programs, product mix and contractual terms, changes in law and regulation, judicial decisions, and economic conditions. When these types of changes are experienced, actuarial judgment is applied in the determination and selection of development factors in order to better reflect new trends or expectations. For example, if a change in law is expected to have a significant impact on the development of claim severity, actuarial judgment is applied to determine appropriate development factors that will most accurately reflect the expected impact on that specific estimate. This example appropriately describes the reserving methodology selection for use in estimating sinkhole liabilities after the passing of legislation, as noted above. Another example would be when a change in economic conditions is expected to affect the cost of repairs to property; actuarial judgment is applied to determine appropriate development factors to use in the reserve estimate that will most accurately reflect the expected impacts on severity development.

Table of Contents

As claims are reported, for certain liability claims of sufficient size and complexity, the field adjusting staff establishes case reserve estimates of ultimate cost, based on their assessment of facts and circumstances related to each individual claim. For other claims which occur in large volumes and settle in a relatively short time frame, it is not practical or efficient to set case reserves for each claim, and an initial case reserve of \$2,500 is set for these claims. In the normal course of business, we may also supplement our claims processes by utilizing third party adjusters, appraisers, engineers, inspectors, other professionals and information sources to assess and settle catastrophe and non-catastrophe related claims.

Changes in homeowners current year claim severity are generally influenced by inflation in the cost of building materials, the cost of construction and property repair services, the cost of replacing home furnishings and other contents, the types of claims that qualify for coverage, deductibles and other economic and environmental factors. We employ various loss management programs to mitigate the effect of these factors.

Key assumptions that materially affect the estimate of the reserve for loss and LAE relate to the effects of emerging claim and coverage issues. As industry practices and legal, judicial, social and other environmental conditions change, unexpected and unintended issues related to claim and coverage may emerge. These issues may adversely affect our business by either extending coverage beyond our underwriting intent or by increasing the number or size of claims. Key assumptions that are premised on future emergence that are inconsistent with historical loss reserve development patterns include but are not limited to:

- adverse changes in loss cost trends, including inflationary pressures in home repair costs;
- judicial expansion of policy coverage and the impact of new theories of liability; and
- plaintiffs targeting property and casualty insurers, in purported class action litigation related to claims-handling and other practices.

As loss experience for the current year develops for each type of loss, it is monitored relative to initial assumptions until it is judged to have sufficient statistical credibility. From that point in time and forward, reserves are re-estimated using statistical actuarial processes to reflect the impact loss trends have on development factors incorporated into the actuarial estimation processes.

Causes of Reserve Estimate Uncertainty

Since reserves are estimates of the unpaid portions of claims and claims expenses that have occurred, the establishment of appropriate reserves, including reserves for catastrophes, requires regular reevaluation and refinement of estimates to determine ultimate loss and LAE estimates.

At each reporting date, the highest degree of uncertainty in reserve estimates arises from claims remaining to be settled for the current accident year and the most recent preceding accident year, and claims that have occurred but have not been reported. The estimate for the current accident year contains the greatest degree of uncertainty because it contains the greatest proportion of losses that have not been reported or settled but must be estimated as of the current reporting date. During the first year after the end of an accident year, a large portion of the total losses for that accident year are settled. When accident year losses paid through the end of the first year following the initial accident year are incorporated into updated actuarial estimates, the trends inherent in the settlement of claims emerge more clearly. Consequently, this is the point in time at which the largest re-estimates of losses for an accident year can occur. After the second year, the losses paid for the accident year typically relate to claims that are more difficult to settle, such as those involving litigation.

Reserves for Catastrophe Losses

Loss and LAE reserves also include reserves for catastrophe losses. Catastrophe losses are an inherent risk of the property-casualty insurance industry that have contributed, and will continue to contribute, to potentially material year-to-year fluctuations in results of operations and financial position. A catastrophe is an event that produces significant pre-tax losses before reinsurance and involves multiple first party policyholders, or an event that produces a number of claims in excess of a preset, per-event threshold of average claims in a specific area, occurring within a certain amount of time following the event. Catastrophes are caused by various natural events including high winds, tornadoes, wildfires, tropical storms and hurricanes. The nature and level of catastrophes in any period cannot be predicted.

Table of Contents

The estimation of claims and claims expense reserves for catastrophes also comprises estimates of losses from reported and unreported claims, primarily for damage to property. In general, estimates for catastrophe reserves are based on claim adjuster inspections and the application of historical loss development factors as described previously. However, depending on the nature of the catastrophe, as noted above, the estimation process can be further complicated. For example, for hurricanes, complications could include the inability of insureds to be able to promptly report losses, limitations placed on claims adjusting staff affecting their ability to inspect losses, determining whether losses are covered by our homeowners policy (generally for damage caused by wind or wind driven rain), or specifically excluded coverage caused by flood, estimating additional living expenses, and assessing the impact of demand surge and exposure to mold damage. The effects of numerous other considerations, include the timing of a catastrophe in relation to other events, such as at or near the end of a financial reporting period, which can affect the availability of information needed to estimate reserves for that reporting period. In these situations, practices are adapted to accommodate these circumstances in order to determine a best estimate of losses from a catastrophe.

Key Actuarial Assumptions That Affect the Loss and LAE Estimate.

The aggregation of estimates for reported losses and IBNR forms the reserve liability recorded in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

To develop a statistical indication of potential reserve variability within reasonably likely possible outcomes, actuarial techniques are applied to the data elements for paid losses and reported losses separately for homeowners losses excluding catastrophe losses and catastrophe losses to estimate the potential variability of our reserves, within a reasonable probability of outcomes.

At any given point in time, the recorded loss reserve represents the Company's best estimate of the ultimate settlement and administration cost of insured claims incurred and unpaid. Since the process of estimating loss reserves requires significant judgment due to a number of variables, such as fluctuations in inflation, judicial decisions, legislative changes and changes in claims handling procedures, ultimate liability may exceed or be less than these estimates. Reserves for losses and LAE are revised as additional information becomes available, and adjustments, if any, are reflected in earnings in the periods in which they are determined.

In selecting the RTR development factors described above in the section titled The Actuarial Methods Used to Develop Reserve Estimates, due consideration is given to how the RTR development factors change from one year to the next over the course of several consecutive years of recent history. In addition to the loss development triangles cited above, various diagnostic triangles, such as triangles showing historical patterns in the ratio of paid to reported losses and paid to reported claim counts, are typically prepared as a means of determining the stability of various determinants of loss development, such as consistency in claims settlement and case reserving.

On an annual basis, the Company's appointed independent actuary provides a Statement of Actuarial Opinion ("SAO") that indicates the carried reserves make a reasonable provision for all of the Insurance Entities' unpaid loss and LAE obligations under the terms of contracts and agreements with our policyholders. The SAO is reviewed and projected ultimate losses and LAE amounts per the SAO are compared to the Company's own projection of ultimate losses and LAE to ensure that loss and LAE reserves recorded at each annual balance sheet date are based upon an analysis of all internal and external factors related to known and unknown claims against the Insurance Entities. Recorded reserves are compared to the indicated range provided in the report accompanying the SAO. At December 31, 2014, the recorded amount for net loss and LAE falls within the range determined by the appointed independent actuaries and approximates their best estimate.

Potential Reserve Estimate Variability.

The methods employed by actuaries include a range of estimated unpaid losses reflecting a level of uncertainty. Projections of loss and LAE liabilities are subject to potentially large errors of estimation since the ultimate disposition of claims incurred prior to the financial statement date, whether reported or not, is subject to the outcome of events that have not yet occurred. Examples of these events include jury decisions, court interpretations, legislative changes, public attitudes, and social/economic conditions such as inflation. Any estimate of future costs is subject to the inherent limitation on one's ability to predict the aggregate course of future events. It should therefore be expected that the actual emergence of losses and LAE will vary, perhaps materially, from any estimate.

In selecting the range of reasonable estimates, the range of indications produced by the various methods is inspected, the relative strengths and weaknesses of each method are considered, and from those inputs a range of estimates can be selected. For reasons cited above, this range of estimated ultimate losses is typically smaller for older, more mature accident periods and greater for more recent, less mature accident periods. The greatest level of uncertainty is associated with the most recent accident years, and particularly years in during which catastrophe events occurred.

Table of Contents

The inherent uncertainty associated with the Insurance Entities' loss and LAE liability is magnified due to their concentration of property business in catastrophe-exposed coastal states, primarily Florida. The 2004 and 2005 hurricanes created great uncertainty in determining ultimate losses for these natural catastrophes due to issues related to applicability of deductibles, availability and cost of repair services and materials, and other factors. UPCIC experienced unanticipated unfavorable loss development on catastrophe losses from claims related to 2004 and 2005 being reopened and new claims being opened due to public adjusters encouraging policyholders to file new claims, and from homeowners' association assessments related to condominium policies. Due to the inherent uncertainty, the parameters of the loss estimation methodologies are updated on an annual basis as new information emerges.

Adequacy of Reserve Estimates

We believe our net loss and LAE reserves are appropriately established based on available methodology, facts, technology, laws and regulations. We calculate and record a single best reserve estimate, in conformance with generally accepted actuarial standards, for reported losses and IBNR losses and as a result we believe no other estimate is better than our recorded amount.

We have created a proprietary claims analysis tool ("ISM") to analyze and calculate reserves to supplement analysis performed by our independent actuaries. ISM is a custom built application that aggregates, analyzes and forecasts reserves based on historical data that spans more than a decade. It identifies historical claims data using same like kind and quality variables that exist in present claims and sets forth appropriate, more accurate reserves on current claims. ISM is utilized by management in reviewing the topography of existing and incoming claims. ISM is analyzed at each quarters' end and adjustments to reserves are made at an aggregate level when appropriate.

Due to the uncertainties involved, the scenarios described and quantified above are reasonably likely, but the ultimate cost of losses may vary materially from recorded amounts, which are based on our best estimates. The liability for unpaid losses and LAE at December 31, 2014 is \$134.4 million.

Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs/Deferred Ceding Commissions

We incur costs in connection with the production of new and renewal insurance policies that are referred to as policy acquisition costs. Commissions and state premium taxes are costs of acquiring insurance policies that vary with, and are directly related to, the successful production of new and renewal business. These costs are deferred and amortized over the period during which the premiums are earned on the underlying policies. We collect ceding commissions from certain reinsurers in connection with our quota share reinsurance contracts. We estimate the amount of ceding commissions to be deferred on a basis consistent with the deferral of acquisition costs incurred with the production of the original policies issued and the terms of the applicable reinsurance contracts. The deferred ceding commissions are offset against the deferred policy acquisition costs with the net result presented as "deferred policy acquisition costs, net" on our Consolidated Balance Sheets. As of December 31, 2014, deferred policy acquisition costs were \$54.6 million and deferred ceding commissions were \$28.9 million. Deferred policy acquisition costs were reduced by deferred ceding commissions and shown net on the Consolidated Balance Sheet in the amount of \$25.7 million.

Provision for Premium Deficiency

Our policy is to evaluate and recognize losses on insurance contracts when estimated future claims and maintenance costs under a group of existing policy contracts will exceed anticipated future premiums and investment income. The determination of the provision for premium deficiency requires estimation of the costs of losses, catastrophic reinsurance and policy maintenance to be incurred and investment income to be earned over the remaining policy

period. Management has determined that a provision for premium deficiency was not warranted as of December 31, 2014.

Reinsurance

In the normal course of business, we seek to reduce the risk of loss that may arise from catastrophes or other events that cause unfavorable underwriting results by reinsuring certain levels of risk in various areas of exposure with other insurance enterprises or reinsurers. While ceding premiums to reinsurers reduces our risk of exposure in the event of catastrophic losses, it also reduces our potential for greater profits in the event that such catastrophic events do not occur. We believe that the extent of our reinsurance is typical of a company of our size in the homeowners' insurance industry. Amounts recoverable from reinsurers are estimated in a manner consistent with the provisions of the reinsurance agreement and consistent with the establishment of our liability. The Insurance Entities' reinsurance policies do not relieve them from their obligations to policyholders. Failure of reinsurers to honor their obligations could result in losses; consequently, allowances are established for amounts deemed uncollectible. No such allowance was deemed necessary as of December 31, 2014.

Table of Contents

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

We had no off-balance sheet arrangements during 2014.

RELATED PARTIES

Scott P. Callahan, a director of the Company, provides the Company with consulting services and advice with respect to the Company's reinsurance and related matters through SPC Global RE Advisors LLC, an entity affiliated with Mr. Callahan. The Company entered into the consulting agreement with SPC Global RE Advisors LLC effective June 6, 2013. During 2014 and 2013, we paid consulting fees of \$120 thousand and \$68 thousand, respectively, to SPC Global RE Advisors LLC.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations

year ended December 31, 2014 COMPARED TO YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

Net income increased by \$14.0 million, or 23.8%, to \$73.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to the year ended December 31, 2013. Diluted earnings per common share increased by \$0.52, or 33.3%, to \$2.08 for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to the year ended December 31, 2013, as a result of an increase in net income and cumulative share repurchases since December 31, 2013.

The increase in net income of \$14.0 million, or 23.8%, for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to the year ended December 31, 2013 reflects an increase in net earned premiums, the absence of trading losses generated in the first quarter of 2013, and an increase in realized gains from investments sold from our portfolio of investments available-for-sale. These were partially offset by a decrease in commissions and an increase in operating expenses. The reduction in the cession rate of our quota share reinsurance contracts is a significant factor behind our results. A more detailed discussion of these factors follows the table below.

The following table summarizes changes in each component of our Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to the year ended December 31, 2013 (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December | | Change | |
|--|---------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| | 31, | | | |
| | 2014 | 2013 | \$ | % |
| PREMIUMS EARNED AND OTHER REVENUES | | | | |
| Direct premiums written | \$789,577 | \$783,894 | \$5,683 | 0.7 % |
| Ceded premiums written | (399,730) | (522,116) | 122,386 | -23.4 % |
| Net premiums written | 389,847 | 261,778 | 128,069 | 48.9 % |
| Change in net unearned premium | (62,970) | 5,877 | (68,847) | NM |
| Premiums earned, net | 326,877 | 267,655 | 59,222 | 22.1 % |
| Net investment income (expense) | 2,375 | 1,928 | 447 | 23.2 % |
| Net realized gains (losses) on investments | 5,627 | (14,740) | 20,367 | NM |
| Net change in unrealized gains (losses) on investments | — | 7,850 | (7,850) | -100.0% |
| Commission revenue | 14,205 | 18,615 | (4,410) | -23.7 % |
| Policy fees | 13,982 | 13,661 | 321 | 2.3 % |
| Other revenue | 6,210 | 6,190 | 20 | 0.3 % |
| Total premiums earned and other revenues | 369,276 | 301,159 | 68,117 | 22.6 % |
| OPERATING COSTS AND EXPENSES | | | | |
| Losses and loss adjustment expenses | 123,275 | 108,615 | 14,660 | 13.5 % |
| General and administrative expenses | 118,397 | 91,988 | 26,409 | 28.7 % |
| Total operating costs and expenses | 241,672 | 200,603 | 41,069 | 20.5 % |
| INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES | 127,604 | 100,556 | 27,048 | 26.9 % |
| Income taxes, current | 53,498 | 34,216 | 19,282 | 56.4 % |
| Income taxes, deferred | 1,118 | 7,363 | (6,245) | -84.8 % |
| Income taxes, net | 54,616 | 41,579 | 13,037 | 31.4 % |
| NET INCOME | \$72,988 | \$58,977 | \$14,011 | 23.8 % |

| | | | | |
|---|----------|----------|----------|---------|
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes | (1,459) | (376) | (1,083) | 288.0 % |
| COMPREHENSIVE INCOME | \$71,529 | \$58,601 | \$12,928 | 22.1 % |

NM – Not meaningful.

Table of Contents

The following discussion provides comparative information for significant changes to the components of net income and comprehensive income for 2014 compared to 2013.

Net earned premiums were \$326.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to \$267.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The increase in net earned premiums of \$59.2 million, or 22.1%, reflects a decrease in ceded earned premiums of \$70.4 million, partially offset by a decrease in direct earned premiums of \$11.2 million. Premium earned in the current period reflects premium written over the past 12 months and any changes in rates or policy count during that time. The decrease in ceded earned premiums is attributable to lower reinsurance costs with the 2013-2014 and 2014-2015 reinsurance programs reflected in the results for 2014 compared to the costs of the 2012-2013 and 2013-2014 reinsurance programs reflected in the results for 2013. In our 2014-2015 reinsurance program, we reduced the rate of quota share ceded premium from 45% in our 2012-2013 and 2013-2014 reinsurance programs to 30%. This reduction is reflected in the results beginning in the month of June 2014. The decrease in direct earned premiums is due primarily to a reduction in the number of policies in force in Florida through May 2014, and rate decreases for new business and renewals in Florida which went into effect in January and March 2014, respectively. As discussed above in “Overview”, we have taken what we believe to be prudent measures to increase policy count, while maintaining rate adequacy, resulting in an increase in the number of policies in force in Florida since May 2014.

As discussed under “Item 1. Business — Investments,” during 2013, our investment committee authorized management to engage Deutsche Investment Management, a leading global investment adviser specializing in the insurance industry, to manage our investment portfolio. Working with the investment adviser, we transitioned the composition of our portfolio to include a greater percentage of fixed income securities and a smaller percentage of equity securities, which we expect will provide a more stable stream of investment income and reduce the effects of market volatility. Our overall investment objective is to maximize total rate of return while maintaining liquidity and minimizing risk. Our investment strategy includes maintaining investments to support unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses for our insurance subsidiaries in accordance with guidelines established by insurance regulators.

We currently hold these investments in a portfolio available for sale with changes in fair value reflected in stockholders’ equity with the exception of any other than temporary impairments which would be reflected in earnings. In the first quarter of 2013, we liquidated 100% of the equity securities that were held in our trading portfolio resulting in net losses of \$8.2 million. See “Item 8 — Note 3 (Investments)” for the composition of our portfolio as of December 31, 2014.

We sold investment securities available for sale during the year ended December 31, 2014, resulting in a net realized gain of \$5.6 million. We took the opportunity throughout 2014 to realize gains ahead of potential volatility in the equity markets. These realized gains will be applied to capital loss carryforwards for state income taxes. For the year ended December 31, 2013, we realized net losses on investments of \$14.7 million, reflecting the underlying market conditions as we liquidated one hundred percent of the equity securities held in our trading portfolio during March 2013.

The decrease of \$7.9 million in the net change in unrealized gains for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to the same period in 2013 reflects the absence of investment securities held in a trading portfolio during the year ended December 31, 2014. The investment securities held during the year ended December 31, 2014 were available for sale with changes in fair value recorded in equity. The majority of the net change in unrealized gains on investments for the year ended December 31, 2013 reflects the reversal of unrealized losses on investments held at December 31, 2012 and sold during the three months ended March 31, 2013 as we liquidated one hundred percent of the equity securities held in the trading portfolio through March 31, 2013.

Commission revenue is comprised principally of brokerage commissions we earn from reinsurers. For the year ended December 31, 2014, commission revenue was \$14.2 million, compared to \$18.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The decrease in commission revenue of \$4.4 million, or 23.7%, was the result of a decrease in the cost of certain reinsurance contracts upon which brokerage commissions are earned as well as overall changes in the structure of the reinsurance programs in effect during the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to the year ended December 31, 2013, including the reduction in the rate of quota share ceded premium from 45% to 30% as described above.

Table of Contents

Losses and LAE were \$123.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to \$108.6 million for the same period in 2013. The increase in net losses and LAE of \$14.7 million is driven by the decrease in the amount of loss and LAE ceded to reinsurers under our quota share reinsurance contracts effective with the 2014-2015 reinsurance program discussed above. The net loss and LAE ratios, or net losses and LAE as a percentage of net earned premiums, were 37.7% and 40.6% during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and were comprised of the following components (in thousands):

| | For the year ended December 31, | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|-----------|---|-----------|---|
| | 2014 | | | | | |
| | Direct | | Ceded | | Net | |
| Loss and loss adjustment expenses | \$199,181 | | \$75,906 | | \$123,275 | |
| Premiums earned | \$777,317 | | \$450,440 | | \$326,877 | |
| Loss & LAE ratios | 25.6 | % | 16.9 | % | 37.7 | % |

| | For the year ended December 31, | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|-----------|---|-----------|---|
| | 2013 | | | | | |
| | Direct | | Ceded | | Net | |
| Loss and loss adjustment expenses | \$216,852 | | \$108,237 | | \$108,615 | |
| Premiums earned | \$788,477 | | \$520,822 | | \$267,655 | |
| Loss & LAE ratios | 27.5 | % | 20.8 | % | 40.6 | % |

See “Item 8 — Note 5 (Insurance Operations)” for change in liability for unpaid losses and LAE.

For the year ended December 31, 2014, general and administrative expenses were \$118.4 million, compared to \$92.0 million for the same period in 2013. The overall increase in general and administrative expenses of \$26.4 million, or 28.7%, includes an increase of \$11.8 million in the amortization of net deferred policy acquisition costs resulting mostly from changes with the 2014-2015 reinsurance program including a reduction in the rate of ceded premium from 45% to 30% in our quota share contracts. We also had an increase of \$5.9 million in the amount of stock-based compensation, an increase in bonuses of \$2.6 million, an increase of \$2.0 million in advertising and promotional expenses and an increase of \$2.8 million in other remaining expenses combined. Also, our recovery of FIGA assessments declined by \$4.8 million as compared to prior year. FIGA assessments are initially charged to insurance companies, which then are allowed to recover the assessed amounts from their policyholders. UPCIC recovered the vast majority of its most recent FIGA assessment over a 12-month period ending in early February 2014. We therefore recovered more of the assessment in 2013 than in 2014 due to the timing of the initial assessment and the associated recovery period. These increases were partially offset by a reduction of \$2.4 million related to insurance premiums paid for UIH-level coverage, most of which is related to catastrophe-linked insurance and a reduction of \$1.1 million in regulatory fees.

Income taxes increased by \$13.0 million, or 31.3% primarily as a result of an increase in income before income taxes. The effective tax rate increased to 42.8% for the year ended December 31, 2014 from 41.3% for the same period in 2013 primarily from an increase in the amount of non-deductible expenses including certain compensation.

Comprehensive income includes net income and other comprehensive income or loss. The other comprehensive loss for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, reflect after tax changes in fair value of securities held in our

portfolio of securities available for sale and reclassification out of cumulative other comprehensive income for securities sold. See “Item 8 — Note 14 (Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)).”

Table of Contents

year ended December 31, 2013 COMPARED TO YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012

Net income increased by \$28.7 million, or 94.6%, for the year ended December 31, 2013 reflecting an improvement in underwriting results. The improvement in underwriting results was moderately offset by a greater amount of trading portfolio losses generated as the Company liquidated its trading portfolio during the first quarter of 2013. Diluted earnings per share increased by \$0.81 for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to 2012, \$0.17 of which was attributable to a reduction in shares of Common Stock outstanding as a result of UIH's repurchase of shares from Bradley Meier and Norman Meier. See "Item 8 — Note 8 (Stockholders' Equity) and Note 13 (Earnings Per Share)."

The following table summarizes changes in each component of our Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to the year ended December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December | | Change | |
|--|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|
| | 31, | 2012 | \$ | % |
| 2013 | | | | |
| PREMIUMS EARNED AND OTHER REVENUES | | | | |
| Direct premiums written | \$783,894 | \$780,128 | \$3,766 | 0.5 % |
| Ceded premiums written | (522,116) | (517,604) | (4,512) | 0.9 % |
| Net premiums written | 261,778 | 262,524 | (746) | -0.3 % |
| Change in net unearned premium | 5,877 | (31,404) | 37,281 | NM |
| Premiums earned, net | 267,655 | 231,120 | 36,535 | 15.8 % |
| Net investment income (expense) | 1,928 | 441 | 1,487 | 337.2 % |
| Net realized gains (losses) on investments | (14,740) | (11,943) | (2,797) | 23.4 % |
| Net change in unrealized gains (losses) on investments | 7,850 | 9,443 | (1,593) | -16.9 % |
| Net foreign currency gains (losses) on investments | — | 22 | (22) | -100.0 % |
| Commission revenue | 18,615 | 20,383 | (1,768) | -8.7 % |
| Policy fees | 13,661 | 14,475 | (814) | -5.6 % |
| Other revenue | 6,190 | 5,998 | 192 | 3.2 % |
| Total premiums earned and other revenues | 301,159 | 269,939 | 31,220 | 11.6 % |
| OPERATING COSTS AND EXPENSES | | | | |
| Losses and loss adjustment expenses | 108,615 | 126,187 | (17,572) | -13.9 % |
| General and administrative expenses | 91,988 | 91,193 | 795 | 0.9 % |
| Total operating costs and expenses | 200,603 | 217,380 | (16,777) | -7.7 % |
| INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES | 100,556 | 52,559 | 47,997 | 91.3 % |
| Income taxes, current | 34,216 | 18,434 | 15,782 | 85.6 % |
| Income taxes, deferred | 7,363 | 3,813 | 3,550 | 93.1 % |
| Income taxes, net | 41,579 | 22,247 | 19,332 | 86.9 % |
| NET INCOME | \$58,977 | \$30,312 | \$28,665 | 94.6 % |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes | (376) | — | (376) | 100.0 % |
| COMPREHENSIVE INCOME | \$58,601 | \$30,312 | \$28,289 | 93.3 % |

NM – Not meaningful.

Table of Contents

The following discussion provides comparative information for significant changes to the components of net income and comprehensive income for 2013 compared to 2012.

Net earned premiums were \$267.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$231.1 million for 2012. The increase in net earned premiums of \$36.5 million, or 15.8% compared to the prior year, was driven primarily by an increase in direct earned premiums. The increase in direct earned premiums is due primarily to rate increases over the past 24 months. These rate increases, along with strategic initiatives we have undertaken to manage our exposure such as the decision not to renew certain policies we believe had inadequate premiums relative to projected risks and expenses, have resulted in a reduction in the number of policies in force in Florida. The benefit from the rate increases continued to be partially offset by wind mitigation credits within the state of Florida. Ceded earned premiums remained relatively unchanged for each of the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 reflecting a reduction in the quota-share cession rate from 50% for the 2011-2012 reinsurance program to 45% currently in effect beginning with the 2012-2013 reinsurance program, offset by the cost of reinsurance in 2013 that replaced underlying catastrophic coverage that was commuted effective December 31, 2012.

Net investment income for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$1.9 million, compared to \$441 thousand for the same period in the prior year. The increase in net investment income of \$1.5 million reflects an increase in the amount of interest earning and dividend paying securities held in the investment portfolio partially offset by an increase in investment expenses associated with asset management fees charged by our investment advisers to manage certain segments of the available for sale portfolio. When the portfolio was classified as trading, all fees were paid in the form of commission on investment trades and reflected in net realized gains and losses on investments and changes in unrealized gains and losses on investments.

Net realized losses on investments of \$14.7 million were recorded during the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$11.9 million of net realized losses recorded in 2012. The net realized losses of \$14.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 includes realized losses of \$16.0 million recorded upon the liquidation of our trading portfolio during the first quarter of 2013 and realized gains of \$1.3 million generated from the sale of securities available for sale. The realized losses for both the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 reflect a reduction in value of securities that were sold from our trading portfolio. We used proceeds from the liquidation of our trading portfolio, plus cash on hand, to fund a portfolio of investments available for sale.

Net changes in unrealized gains on investments of \$7.9 million were recorded during the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to \$9.4 million recorded during 2012. The change in unrealized gains for both periods resulted primarily from the reversal of unrealized losses on investments held at December 31, 2012 and 2011 that were subsequently sold.

Commission revenue for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$18.6 million, compared to \$20.4 million for 2012. The decrease in commission revenue of \$1.8 million, or 8.7%, was the result of a decrease in the cost of certain reinsurance contracts upon which brokerage commissions are earned as well as overall changes in the structure of the reinsurance programs in effect during the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to 2012.

Policy fees were \$13.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$14.5 million for 2012. The decrease of \$0.8 million, or 5.6%, reflects a reduction in the number of policies written and renewed, which we believe is primarily due to the rate increases that have taken effect, as well as the aforementioned strategic initiatives, which we believe have caused some attrition.

Table of Contents

The net losses and LAE ratios, or net losses and LAE as a percentage of net earned premiums, were 40.6% and 54.6% during years ended December 31, 2013, and 2012, respectively, and were comprised of the following components (in thousands):

| | For the year ended December 31, 2013 | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|-----------|---|-----------|---|
| | Direct | | Ceded | | Net | |
| Loss and loss adjustment expenses | \$216,852 | | \$108,237 | | \$108,615 | |
| Premiums earned | \$788,477 | | \$520,822 | | \$267,655 | |
| Loss & LAE ratios | 27.5 | % | 20.8 | % | 40.6 | % |

| | For the year ended December 31, 2012 | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|-----------|---|-----------|---|
| | Direct | | Ceded | | Net | |
| Loss and loss adjustment expenses | \$249,064 | | \$122,877 | | \$126,187 | |
| Premiums earned | \$751,899 | | \$520,779 | | \$231,120 | |
| Loss & LAE ratios | 33.1 | % | 23.6 | % | 54.6 | % |

The reduction in the net losses and LAE ratio reflects an increase in net premiums earned and a decrease in losses and LAE. The increase in net earned premium is attributable to an increase in direct earned premium as described above. The decrease in losses and LAE expenses is primarily attributable to a reduction in losses and LAE for the current accident year combined with favorable development during 2013 related to prior accident years, compared to unfavorable development recorded during 2012.

General and administrative expenses were \$92.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$91.2 million for 2012. The increase in general and administrative expenses of \$0.8 million, or 0.9% was due to several offsetting factors including an increase of \$3.5 million in performance bonuses, an increase of \$3.9 million in amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs, an increase in share-based compensation of \$2.6 million, an increase in insurance department fines of \$1.3 million and an increase of \$1.1 million in other general and administrative expenses. These increases were offset by a reduction in general and administrative expenses of \$11.6 related to mandatory assessments by the FIGA. FIGA assessments are initially charged to insurance companies and ultimately recovered from policyholders. The charges of \$6.3 million recorded during the year ended December 31, 2012 reflect an assessment received in the fourth quarter of 2012 followed by recoveries of \$5.4 million recorded during the year ended December 31, 2013.

Income tax expense was \$41.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$22.2 million for the same period in 2012. The increase of \$19.3 million was due to an increase in taxable income. Our effective tax rate decreased slightly to 41.3% for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to 42.3% for the same period during 2012.

Comprehensive income includes net income and other comprehensive income (loss). The other comprehensive loss of \$376 thousand for the year ended December 31, 2013, reflects after-tax changes in fair value of securities held in our investment portfolio of securities available for sale net of reclassifications out of cumulative other comprehensive income (loss) for securities sold during the year. See "Item 8 — Note 14 (Other Comprehensive Income (Loss))." There

was no other comprehensive income (loss) for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION CHANGES

We believe that cash flows generated from operations will be sufficient to meet our working capital requirements for at least the next twelve months. Our policy is to invest amounts considered to be in excess of current working capital requirements.

The following table summarizes, by type, the carrying values of investments as of the dates presented (in thousands):

| Type of Investment | As of December 31, | |
|------------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Fixed maturities | \$353,949 | \$289,418 |
| Equity securities | 19,642 | 65,022 |
| Short-term investments | 49,990 | — |
| Total | \$423,581 | \$354,440 |

See “Item 8 — Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows” for explanations of changes in investments.

Prepaid reinsurance premiums represent the amount of ceded unearned premiums. The decrease of \$50.7 million to \$190.5 million as of December 31, 2014 is due to the reduction in the premium we cede to quota share reinsurers.

Reinsurance recoverable represents ceded losses and LAE. The decrease of \$52.6 million to \$55.2 million as of December 31, 2014 was primarily due to the reduction in the losses and LAE we cede to quota share reinsurers and also due to the timing of settlements and amounts available for right of offset with our reinsurers.

Reinsurance receivable, net, represents inuring premiums receivable, net of ceded premiums payable with our quota share reinsurer. The increase of \$7.3 million to \$7.5 million as of December 31, 2014 was primarily due to the timing of settlements and amounts available for right of offset with our reinsurers.

Premiums receivable represent amounts due from policyholders. The increase of \$4.5 million to \$51.0 million as of December 31, 2014 reflects an increase in the amount of direct premium written in December of 2014 compared to December 2013.

See “Item 8 — Note 5 (Insurance Operations)” for a roll-forward in the balance of our deferred policy acquisition costs and our unpaid losses and LAE.

Property and equipment increased by \$8.0 million to \$17.3 million as of December 31, 2014 primarily from purchases of real estate of \$6.0 million and building improvements of \$1.4 million for the additional property acquired in December of 2014.

Income taxes recoverable represent amounts due from taxing jurisdictions within one year and arise when tax payments exceed taxable income. Income taxes recoverable of \$5.7 million and \$8.2 million as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, represent amounts recoverable for federal income taxes.

Unearned premium represents the portion of direct written premium that will be earned pro rata in the future. The increase of \$12.3 million to \$395.7 million as of December 31, 2014 is due primarily to the increase in direct premium written principally in the second half of 2014.

Book overdrafts represent outstanding checks in excess of cash on deposit and are examined monthly to determine if legal right of offset exists for accounts with the same banking institution. The decrease of \$9.0 million to \$5.9 million as of December 31, 2014 is attributed to an increase in cash deposits applied with the right to offset.

Reinsurance payable, net, represents our liability to reinsurers for ceded written premiums, net of ceding commissions receivable. The decrease of \$20.2 million to \$66.1 million as of December 31, 2014 was primarily due to the reduction in the premium we cede to quota share reinsurers.

Income taxes payable represent amounts due to taxing jurisdictions within one year and arise when taxable income exceeds tax payments. Income taxes payable of \$1.8 million and \$2.6 million as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, represent amounts payable for state income taxes.

See “Item 8 — Note 12 (Income Taxes)” for a schedule of deferred income taxes as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, which shows the components of deferred tax assets and liabilities as of both balance sheet dates.

Table of Contents

See “Liquidity and Capital Resources” for explanations of changes in contingently redeemable common stock, long-term debt and treasury shares.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity

Liquidity is a measure of a company’s ability to generate sufficient cash flows to meet its short and long-term obligations. Funds generated from operations have been sufficient to meet our liquidity requirements and we expect that in the future funds from operations will continue to meet such requirements.

The balance of cash and cash equivalents as of December 31, 2014 was \$115.4 million compared to \$117.3 million at December 31, 2013. See “Item 8 — Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows” for a reconciliation of the balance of cash and cash equivalents between December 31, 2014 and 2013. The decrease in cash and cash equivalents was driven by cash flows used for investing and financing activities in excess of those generated from operations. Most of the balance of cash and cash equivalents maintained is available to pay claims in the event of a catastrophic event in addition to any amounts recovered under our reinsurance agreements.

The balance of restricted cash and cash equivalents as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 was \$2.6 million. Restricted cash as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, represents cash equivalents on deposit with regulatory agencies in the various states in which our Insurance Entities do business.

As discussed in “Item 8 — Note 7 (Long-Term Debt),” UIH entered into the DB Loan with Deutsche Bank in March 2013. The DB Loan makes available to UIH an unsecured line of credit in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$10 million. Draws under the DB Loan have a maturity date of March 27, 2015 and carry an interest rate of LIBOR plus a margin of 5.50% or Deutsche Bank’s prime rate plus a margin of 3.50%, at the election of UIH. The DB Loan contains certain covenants and restrictions applicable while amounts are outstanding thereunder, including limitations with respect to our indebtedness, liens, distributions, mergers or dispositions of assets, organizational structure, transactions with affiliates and business activities. No amounts have been drawn under the unsecured line of credit.

In May 2013, UIH also entered into a \$20 million Term Loan with RenRe Ventures also discussed in “Item 8 — Note 7 (Long-Term Debt).” The Term Loan bears interest at the rate of 50 basis points per annum and matures on the earlier of May 23, 2016 or the date that all principal under the Term Loan is pre-paid or deemed paid in full. The Term Loan is amortized over the three-year term and UIH may prepay the loan without penalty. The Term loan contains certain covenants and restrictions applicable while amounts are outstanding thereunder, including limitations with respect to our indebtedness, liens, distributions, mergers or dispositions of assets, organizational structure, transactions with affiliates and business activities. We were in compliance with all covenants as of December 31, 2014. We used the net proceeds of the Term Loan to repurchase 4,666,000 shares of common stock owned by Mr. Bradley Meier in May 2013. In May 2014, we made a principal payment of \$6.0 million on the Term Loan. The Term Loan had a carrying amount of \$13.3 million as of December 31, 2014.

Liquidity for UIH and its non-insurance subsidiaries is required to cover the payment of general operating expenses, dividends to shareholders (if and when authorized and declared by our Board of Directors), payment for the possible repurchase of our common stock (if and when authorized by our Board of Directors), payment of income taxes, and interest and principal payments on debt obligations. The declaration and payment of future dividends by UIH to its shareholders, and any future repurchases of UIH common stock, will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend upon many factors, including our operating results, financial condition, debt covenants and any regulatory

constraints. Principal sources of liquidity for UIH and its non-insurance subsidiaries include revenues generated from fees paid by the Insurance Entities to the managing general agency and for policy administration, inspections and claims adjusting services. Additional sources of liquidity include brokerage commissions earned on reinsurance contracts and any unused credit lines. UIH also maintains investments in equity securities which would generate funds upon sale.

The maximum amount of dividends which can be paid by Florida insurance companies without prior approval of the Commissioner of the OIR is subject to restrictions relating to statutory surplus. The maximum dividend that may be paid by the Insurance Entities to UIH without prior approval is limited to the lesser of statutory net income from operations of the preceding calendar year or statutory unassigned surplus as of the preceding year end. During the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Insurance Entities did not pay dividends to UIH.

Table of Contents

Liquidity for the Insurance Entities is primarily required to cover payments for reinsurance premiums, claims payments including potential payments of catastrophe losses offset by recovery of any reimbursement amounts under our reinsurance agreements, fees paid to affiliates for managing general agency services, inspections and claims adjusting services, agent commissions, premium and income taxes, regulatory assessments, general operating expenses, and interest and principal payments on debt obligations. The principal source of liquidity for the Insurance Entities consists of the revenue generated from the collection of net premiums, after deductions for expenses and the collection of reinsurance recoverable.

Our insurance operations provide liquidity in that premiums are generally received months or even years before losses are paid under the policies written. The Insurance Entities maintain substantial investments in highly liquid, marketable securities which would generate funds upon sale.

The Insurance Entities are responsible for losses related to catastrophic events with incurred losses in excess of coverage provided by the Insurance Entities' reinsurance programs and for losses that otherwise are not covered by the reinsurance programs, which could have a material adverse effect on either the Insurance Entities' or our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity. See "Item 7. Management's Discussion of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — 2014-2015 Reinsurance Program" for a discussion of our reinsurance programs.

Capital Resources

Capital resources provide protection for policyholders, furnish the financial strength to support the business of underwriting insurance risks, and facilitate continued business growth. At December 31, 2014, we had total capital of \$249.5 million, comprised of stockholders' equity of \$199.9 million (12/31/14 Pro-Forma Balance of \$218.9 million), mezzanine equity of \$19.0 million (12/31/14 Pro-Forma Balance of \$0) and total long term debt of \$30.6 million. Our debt-to-total-capital ratio and debt-to-equity ratio were 12.3% and 15.3%, respectively, at December 31, 2014. The debt-to-equity ratio was 14.0% at December 31, 2014 after taking into consideration pro-forma adjustments to equity as described in "Item 8 – Note 8 (Stockholders' Equity)." At December 31, 2013, we had total capital of \$212.8 million, comprised of stockholders' equity of \$175.6 million and total long term debt of \$37.2 million. Our debt-to-total-capital ratio and debt-to-equity ratio were 17.5% and 21.2%, respectively, at December 31, 2013. The increase in stockholders' equity during the year ended December 31, 2014 is attributed to net income partially offset by dividends declared and common share repurchases.

The Insurance Entities are required annually to comply with the NAIC Risk-Based Capital ("RBC") requirements. RBC requirements prescribe a method of measuring the amount of capital appropriate for an insurance company to support its overall business operations in light of its size and risk profile. NAIC's RBC requirements are used by regulators to determine appropriate regulatory actions relating to insurers who show signs of weak or deteriorating condition. As of December 31, 2014, based on calculations using the appropriate NAIC RBC formula, the Insurance Entities' reported total adjusted capital was in excess of the requirements. Failure by the Insurance Entities to maintain the required level of statutory capital and surplus could result in the suspension of their authority to write new or renewal business, other regulatory actions, or ultimately, in the revocation of their certificate of authority by the OIR.

On November 9, 2006, UPCIC entered into a \$25.0 million surplus note with the State Board of Administration of Florida ("SBA") under Florida's Insurance Capital Build-Up Incentive Program ("ICBUI"). The surplus note has a twenty-year term and accrues interest, adjusted quarterly based on the 10-year Constant Maturity Treasury Index. For the first three years of the term of the surplus note, UPCIC was required to pay interest only.

In May 2008, the Florida Legislature passed a law providing participants in the ICBUI an opportunity to amend the terms of their surplus notes based on law changes. The new law contains methods for calculating compliance with the writing ratio requirements that are more favorable to UPCIC than prior law and the prior terms of the surplus note. On November 6, 2008, UPCIC and the SBA executed an addendum to the surplus note that reflects these law changes. The terms of the addendum were effective July 1, 2008. In addition to other less significant changes, the addendum modifies the definitions of "Minimum Required Surplus," "Minimum Writing Ratio," "Surplus," and "Gross Written Premium," as defined in the original surplus note.

Table of Contents

Prior to the execution of the addendum, UPCIC was in compliance with each of the loan's covenants as implemented by rules promulgated by the SBA. UPCIC currently remains in compliance with each of the loan's covenants as implemented by rules promulgated by the SBA. An event of default will occur under the surplus note, as amended, if UPCIC: (i) defaults in the payment of the surplus note; (ii) fails to submit quarterly filings to the OIR; (iii) fails to maintain at least \$50 million of surplus during the term of the surplus note, except for certain situations; (iv) misuses proceeds of the surplus note; (v) makes any misrepresentations in the application for the program; (vi) pays any dividend when principal or interest payments are past due under the surplus note; or (vii) fails to maintain a level of surplus and reinsurance sufficient to cover in excess of UPCIC's 1-in-100 year probable maximum loss as determined by a hurricane loss model accepted by the Florida Commission on Hurricane Loss Projection Methodology as certified by the OIR annually. Further, UPCIC will be subject to increases in interest rates if it drops below a net written premium to surplus of 1:1 below a gross written premium to surplus ratio of 3:1 for three consecutive quarters beginning January 1, 2010. As of December 31, 2014, UPCIC's net written premium to surplus ratio and gross written premium to surplus ratio were in excess of the required minimums and, therefore, UPCIC is not subject to increases in interest rates.

On December 14, 2012, we filed a shelf registration statement on Form S-3 with the SEC, registering (i) for issuance up to \$100 million of Common Stock, preferred stock, debt securities, warrants, rights or depositary shares, or units consisting of any combination thereof and (ii) for resale up to 7 million shares of Common Stock owned by our former Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, Bradley I. Meier. We will not receive any proceeds from the sale of shares of Common Stock by Mr. Meier. The shelf registration statement is intended to give UIH additional flexibility to finance future business opportunities and support our insurance subsidiaries by accessing the capital markets in an efficient and cost effective manner. On December 2, 2014, we sold in a privately negotiated transaction one million shares of Common Stock under the shelf registration statement to Ananke Catastrophe Investments Ltd., an affiliate of Nephila Capital Ltd., at a price of \$19.00 per share, leaving available for issuance under the shelf registration statement securities having a value of \$81 million.

During the year ended December 31, 2014, we repurchased an aggregate of 1,225,000 shares of UIH's common stock owned by Bradley I. Meier, the Company's former Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, as discussed under "Item 8 — Note 8 (Stockholders' Equity)." The repurchase cost was an aggregate of \$14.7 million or an average cost of \$12.03 per share and was funded using cash on hand.

During the year ended December 31, 2014, we also repurchased an aggregate of 1,166,208 shares of UIH's common stock in the open market, in compliance with Rule 10b-18 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as discussed under "Item 8 — Note 8 (Stockholders' Equity)". The repurchase cost was an aggregate of \$15.0 million or an average cost of \$12.86 per share and was funded using cash on hand.

As discussed under "Item 8 — Note 6 (Property and Equipment)," on July 12, 2013, UPCIC entered into a purchase agreement to acquire an office building adjacent to its principal office in Fort Lauderdale, Florida ("Property"). The purchase of the Property was completed in December 2014 for approximately \$6.0 million and was funded using cash on hand.

Table of Contents

Liability for Unpaid Losses and LAE

We are required to periodically estimate and reflect on our balance sheet the amount needed to pay losses and related loss adjustment expenses on reported and unreported claims. See “Item 1. Business — Liability for Unpaid Losses and LAE,” for a description of this process. The following table sets forth a reconciliation of beginning and ending liability for unpaid losses and LAE as shown in our consolidated financial statements for the periods presented (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Balance at beginning of year | \$159,222 | \$193,241 | \$187,215 |
| Less: Reinsurance recoverable | (68,584) | (81,415) | (88,002) |
| Net balance at beginning of year | 90,638 | 111,826 | 99,213 |
| Incurred (recovered) related to: | | | |
| Current year | 124,011 | 111,560 | 119,458 |
| Prior years | (736) | (2,945) | 6,729 |
| Total incurred | 123,275 | 108,615 | 126,187 |
| Paid related to: | | | |
| Current year | 73,981 | 62,529 | 54,141 |
| Prior years | 52,929 | 67,274 | 59,433 |
| Total paid | 126,910 | 129,803 | 113,574 |
| Net balance at end of year | 87,003 | 90,638 | 111,826 |
| Plus: Reinsurance recoverable | 47,350 | 68,584 | 81,415 |
| Balance at end of year | \$134,353 | \$159,222 | \$193,241 |

Based upon consultations with our independent actuarial consultants and their statement of opinion on losses and LAE, we believe that the liability for unpaid losses and LAE is currently adequate to cover all claims and related expenses that may arise from incidents reported and IBNR. The company has adjusted prior year reserves to reflect both positive and negative development trends. The company continues to see an increase in claim settlement rates as a result of ongoing claims department initiatives which were introduced in 2013. This has resulted in favorable development for the majority of claim segments, particularly for the most recent accident years, but is partially offset by unfavorable development in bringing closure to claims previously in a litigious environment.

The following table provides total unpaid loss and LAE, net of related reinsurance recoverable for the dates presented (in thousands):

| | Years Ended December 31, | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Unpaid Loss and LAE, net | \$29,126 | \$28,230 |
| IBNR loss and LAE, net | 57,877 | 62,408 |
| Total unpaid loss and LAE, net | \$87,003 | \$90,638 |

| | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Reinsurance recoverable on unpaid loss and LAE | \$ 16,716 | \$ 24,421 |
| Reinsurance recoverable on IBNR loss and LAE | 30,634 | 44,163 |
| Total reinsurance recoverable on unpaid loss and LAE | \$ 47,350 | \$ 68,584 |

The table below illustrates the change over time of the direct reserves established for unpaid losses and LAE for the Insurance Entities (Liability for Unpaid Losses and LAE “re-estimates”) at the end of the last ten calendar years through December 31, 2013 (in thousands):

- The first section shows the liability for unpaid losses and LAE as originally reported at the end of the stated year.
- The second section, reading down, shows the cumulative amounts paid as of the end of successive years with respect to that reserve liability.
- The third section, reading down, shows retroactive re-estimates of the original recorded reserve as of the end of each successive year which is the result of our expanded awareness of additional facts and circumstances that pertain to the unsettled claims.
- The last section compares the latest re-estimated reserve to the reserve originally established, and indicates whether the original reserve was adequate to cover the estimated costs of unsettled claims.

Table of Contents

The Liability for Unpaid Losses and LAE re-estimates tables are cumulative and, therefore, ending balances should not be added since the amount at the end of each calendar year includes activity for both the current and prior years. Unfavorable reserve re-estimates are shown in parentheses.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Balance Sheet Liability | 134,353 | 159,222 | 193,241 | 187,215 | 158,929 | 127,195 | 87,933 | 68,766 | 49,454 | 66,855 | 57,561 |
| Cumulative paid as of: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| One year later | | 97,587 | 127,664 | 125,735 | 107,091 | 88,363 | 70,058 | 52,638 | 42,533 | 79,226 | 66,020 |
| Two years later | | | 165,148 | 175,256 | 149,494 | 122,459 | 91,255 | 71,171 | 54,774 | 103,201 | 80,684 |
| Three years later | | | | 199,857 | 176,730 | 142,049 | 106,011 | 78,284 | 64,732 | 111,610 | 92,262 |
| Four years later | | | | | 189,823 | 154,448 | 114,609 | 86,197 | 69,212 | 118,312 | 94,705 |
| Five years later | | | | | | 161,286 | 120,312 | 89,460 | 73,878 | 122,377 | 97,367 |
| Six years later | | | | | | | 123,541 | 91,879 | 76,172 | 126,831 | 98,828 |
| Seven years later | | | | | | | | 93,216 | 77,303 | 128,809 | 101,029 |
| Eight years later | | | | | | | | | 76,847 | 129,299 | 101,907 |
| Nine years later | | | | | | | | | | 128,481 | 101,483 |
| Ten years later | | | | | | | | | | | 101,483 |
| Balance Sheet Liability | 134,353 | 159,222 | 193,241 | 187,215 | 158,929 | 127,195 | 87,933 | 68,766 | 49,454 | 66,855 | 57,561 |
| One year later | | 157,479 | 198,771 | 206,655 | 181,207 | 143,037 | 107,670 | 80,081 | 68,107 | 118,686 | 74,207 |
| Two years later | | | 205,807 | 221,097 | 194,786 | 157,604 | 115,541 | 87,261 | 69,647 | 125,067 | 95,373 |
| Three years later | | | | 226,868 | 204,998 | 166,189 | 122,050 | 90,881 | 73,650 | 124,039 | 101,312 |
| Four years later | | | | | 204,353 | 170,224 | 126,905 | 94,275 | 77,846 | 125,210 | 100,945 |
| Five years later | | | | | | 168,331 | 127,690 | 95,545 | 78,891 | 129,916 | 101,571 |
| Six years later | | | | | | | 126,330 | 94,732 | 79,214 | 130,865 | 101,419 |
| | | | | | | | | 94,010 | 78,378 | 130,894 | 102,434 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| Seven years later | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Eight years later | | | | | | | | 77,620 | 129,891 | 102,723 | |
| Nine years later | | | | | | | | | 129,083 | 102,310 | |
| Ten years later | | | | | | | | | | 101,403 | |
| Gross cumulative redundancy (deficiency) | 1,743 | (12,566) | (39,653) | (45,424) | (41,136) | (38,397) | (25,244) | (28,166) | (62,228) | (43,842) | |

Table of Contents

The following Liability for Unpaid Losses and LAE re-estimates table illustrates the change over time of the reserves, net of reinsurance with separate disclosure of the related re-estimated reinsurance recoverable, established for unpaid losses and LAE for the Insurance Entities at the end of the last eleven calendar years through December 31, 2014 (in thousands):

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|---------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Reserves for unpaid losses and LAE | 134,353 | 159,222 | 193,241 | 187,215 | 158,929 | 127,195 | 87,933 | 68,766 | 49,454 | 66,855 | 57,000 |
| Reinsurance recoverable | 47,350 | 68,584 | 81,415 | 88,002 | 79,115 | 62,899 | 43,375 | 37,557 | 32,314 | 60,785 | 56,000 |
| Reserves for unpaid losses and LAE, net of reinsurance | 87,003 | 90,638 | 111,826 | 99,213 | 79,814 | 64,296 | 44,558 | 31,209 | 17,140 | 6,070 | 1,000 |
| Reserves for unpaid losses and LAE, net of reinsurance, by duration | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Less than 1 year later | | 52,875 | 67,222 | 59,228 | 54,056 | 43,859 | 34,168 | 23,698 | 20,026 | 12,813 | 1,000 |
| 1 to 5 years later | | | 86,458 | 83,538 | 71,079 | 60,917 | 44,011 | 31,737 | 23,354 | 23,725 | 11,000 |
| More than 5 years later | | | | 95,938 | 84,237 | 66,619 | 51,090 | 34,457 | 26,945 | 25,388 | 21,000 |
| Less than 1 year later | | | | | 90,846 | 72,404 | 51,690 | 38,160 | 28,573 | 27,909 | 22,000 |
| 1 to 5 years later | | | | | | 75,925 | 54,104 | 36,837 | 30,659 | 29,365 | 25,000 |
| More than 5 years later | | | | | | | 55,884 | 37,694 | 28,866 | 31,356 | 26,000 |
| Less than 1 year later | | | | | | | | 38,678 | 29,126 | 29,445 | 28,000 |
| 1 to 5 years later | | | | | | | | | 29,506 | 29,421 | 28,000 |
| More than 5 years later | | | | | | | | | | 29,749 | 28,000 |
| Reserves for unpaid losses and LAE, net of reinsurance, by duration, cumulative | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Less than 1 year later | 87,003 | 90,638 | 111,826 | 99,213 | 79,814 | 64,296 | 44,558 | 31,209 | 17,140 | 6,070 | 1,000 |
| 1 to 5 years later | | 89,883 | 108,826 | 105,942 | 91,280 | 70,482 | 53,233 | 37,576 | 29,196 | 25,261 | 4,000 |
| More than 5 years later | | | 113,001 | 109,514 | 95,265 | 78,102 | 55,027 | 39,958 | 30,528 | 30,932 | 22,000 |
| Less than 1 year later | | | | 113,038 | 98,765 | 79,641 | 58,488 | 39,468 | 31,319 | 31,165 | 28,000 |
| 1 to 5 years later | | | | | 98,338 | 80,519 | 58,548 | 41,376 | 31,355 | 31,476 | 28,000 |
| More than 5 years later | | | | | | 79,544 | 58,025 | 40,414 | 32,232 | 31,657 | 28,000 |
| Less than 1 year later | | | | | | | 57,363 | 39,250 | 30,891 | 32,473 | 28,000 |
| 1 to 5 years later | | | | | | | | 39,088 | 29,775 | 31,082 | 29,000 |
| More than 5 years later | | | | | | | | | 29,821 | 29,842 | 29,000 |
| Less than 1 year later | | | | | | | | | | 29,977 | 28,000 |
| 1 to 5 years later | | | | | | | | | | | 28,000 |
| More than 5 years later | | | | | | | | | | | 28,000 |
| Reserves for unpaid losses and LAE, net of reinsurance, cumulative | | 755 | (1,175) | (13,825) | (18,524) | (15,248) | (12,805) | (7,879) | (12,681) | (23,907) | (2,000) |

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------|
| redundancy (deficiency) | 0.8 | % -1.1 | % -13.9 | % -23.2 | % -23.7 | % -28.7 | % -25.2 | % -74.0 | % -393.9 | % -1 |
| Estimated | | | | | | | | | | |
| Liability-Latest Estimated | 157,479 | 205,807 | 226,868 | 204,353 | 168,331 | 126,330 | 94,010 | 77,620 | 129,083 | 10 |
| Liability- Estimated | 67,596 | 92,806 | 113,830 | 106,015 | 88,787 | 68,967 | 54,922 | 47,799 | 99,106 | 72 |
| Liability-Latest Cumulative | 89,883 | 113,001 | 113,038 | 98,338 | 79,544 | 57,363 | 39,088 | 29,821 | 29,977 | 28 |
| redundancy (deficiency) | 1,743 | (12,566) | (39,653) | (45,424) | (41,136) | (38,397) | (25,244) | (28,166) | (62,228) | (4 |

The cumulative redundancy or deficiency represents the aggregate change in the estimates over all prior years. A deficiency indicates that the latest estimate of the liability for losses and LAE is higher than the liability that was originally estimated and a redundancy indicates that such estimate is lower. It should be emphasized that the table presents a run-off of balance sheet liability for the periods indicated rather than accident or policy loss development for those periods. Therefore, each amount in the table includes the cumulative effects of changes in liability for all prior periods. Conditions and trends that have affected liabilities in the past may not necessarily occur in the future.

Underwriting results of insurance companies are frequently measured by their combined ratios, which is the sum of the loss and expense ratios described in the following paragraph. However, investment income, federal income taxes and other non-underwriting income or expense are not reflected in the combined ratio. The profitability of property and casualty insurance companies depends on income from underwriting, investment and service operations. Underwriting results are considered profitable when the combined ratio is under 100% and unprofitable when the combined ratio is over 100%.

Table of Contents

The following table provides the statutory loss ratios, expense ratios and combined ratios for the periods indicated for the Insurance Entities:

| | Years Ended December 31, 2014 2013 | | |
|--------------------|--|-----|---|
| Loss Ratio (1) | | | |
| UPCIC | 42 % | 46 | % |
| APPCIC | 30 % | 46 | % |
| Expense Ratio (1) | | | |
| UPCIC | 33 % | 39 | % |
| APPCIC | 58 % | 159 | % |
| Combined Ratio (1) | | | |
| UPCIC | 75 % | 85 | % |
| APPCIC | 88 % | 205 | % |

(1) The ratios are net of reinsurance, including catastrophe reinsurance premiums which comprise a significant cost, and inclusive of LAE. The expense ratios include management fees and commission paid to an affiliate of the Insurance Entities in the amount of \$65.5 million and \$66.3 million for UPCIC for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively and \$1.0 million and \$1.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively for APPCIC. The fees and commission paid to the affiliate are eliminated in consolidation.

In order to reduce losses and thereby reduce the loss ratio and the combined ratio, we have taken several steps. These steps include closely monitoring rate levels for new and renewal business, restructuring the homeowners' insurance coverage offered and reducing the cost of catastrophic reinsurance coverage.

Ratings

The Insurance Entities' financial strength is rated by a rating agency to measure the Insurance Entities' ability to meet their financial obligations to its policyholders. The agency maintains a letter scale Financial Stability Rating® system ranging from A" (A double prime) to L (licensed by state regulatory authorities).

In November 2014, Demotech, Inc. affirmed the Financial Stability Rating® of "A" for the Insurance Entities. According to Demotech, Inc., the assigned rating represents a company's continued positive surplus related to policyholders, liquidity of invested assets, an acceptable level of financial leverage, reasonable loss and loss adjustment expense reserves, and realistic pricing. The ratings of the Insurance Entities are subject to at least annual review by Demotech, Inc., and may be revised upward or downward or revoked at the sole discretion of Demotech, Inc. Financial Stability Ratings® are primarily directed towards policyholders, and are not evaluations directed toward the protection of investors in the Company, including holders of the Company's common stock, and are not recommendations to buy, sell or hold securities.

See "Item 1A. Risk Factors — A downgrade in our Financial Stability Rating® may have an adverse effect on our competitive position, the marketability of our product offerings, and our liquidity, operating results and financial

condition.”

47

Table of Contents

Contractual Obligations

The following table represents our contractual obligations for which cash flows are fixed or determinable as of December 31, 2014 (in thousands):

| | | Less than | 1-3 | 3-5 | Over 5 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|--------------|----------|----------|----------|
| | Total | 1 year | years | years | years |
| Unpaid losses and LAE, direct (1) | \$134,353 | \$66,908 | \$43,530 | \$16,391 | \$7,524 |
| Long-term debt | 33,976 | 8,959 | 10,702 | 3,520 | 10,795 |
| Operating leases | 140 | 140 | — | — | — |
| Employment agreements (2) | 14,963 | 14,963 | — | — | — |
| Total contractual obligations | \$183,432 | \$90,970 | \$54,232 | \$19,911 | \$18,319 |

(1) There are generally no notional or stated amounts related to unpaid losses and LAE. Both the amounts and timing of future loss and LAE payments are estimates and subject to the inherent variability of legal and market conditions affecting the obligations and make the timing of cash outflows uncertain. The ultimate amount and timing of unpaid losses and LAE could differ materially from the amounts in the table above. Further, the unpaid losses and LAE do not represent all of the obligations that will arise under the contracts, but rather only the estimated liability incurred through December 31, 2014.

(2) These amounts represent minimum salaries, which may be subject to annual percentage increases, non-equity incentive compensation based on pre-tax or net income levels, and fringe benefits based on the remaining term of employment agreements we have with our executives. These amounts do not reflect equity awards of approximately 500 thousand shares of restricted common stock to be granted to executives in 2015 under their employment agreements.

Impact of Inflation and Changing Prices

The consolidated financial statements and related data presented herein have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles which require the measurement of financial position and operating results in terms of historical dollars without considering changes in the relative purchasing power of money over time due to inflation. Our primary assets are monetary in nature. As a result, interest rates have a more significant impact on our performance than the effects of the general levels of inflation. Interest rates do not necessarily move in the same direction or with the same magnitude as the cost of paying losses and LAE.

Insurance premiums are established before we know the amount of loss and LAE and the extent to which inflation may affect such expenses. Consequently, we attempt to anticipate the future impact of inflation when establishing rate levels. While we attempt to charge adequate rates, we may be limited in raising its premium levels for competitive and regulatory reasons. Inflation also affects the market value of our investment portfolio and the investment rate of return. Any future economic changes which result in prolonged and increasing levels of inflation could cause increases in the dollar amount of incurred loss and LAE and thereby materially adversely affect future liability requirements.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements Not Yet Adopted

In June 2014, the FASB issued guidance which clarifies that a performance target that affects vesting and could be achieved after the requisite service period should be treated as a performance condition and should not be reflected in estimating the grant-date fair value of the award. Compensation costs should reflect the amount attributable to the periods for which the requisite service has been rendered. Total compensation expense recognized during and after the requisite service period, which may differ from the vesting period, should reflect the number of awards that are expected to vest and should be adjusted to reflect the number of awards that ultimately vest. The guidance is effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015 and may be applied either prospectively or retrospectively. Early adoption is permitted. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a material effect on our results of operations, financial position or liquidity.

In May 2014, the FASB issued updated guidance to clarify the principles for revenue recognition. The guidance in this update affects any entity that either enters into contracts with customers to transfer goods or services or enters into contracts for the transfer of nonfinancial assets unless those contracts are within the scope of other standards (e.g. insurance contracts). Although insurance contracts are not within the scope of this updated guidance, the Company's commission revenue, policy fees, and payment plan fees may be subject to this updated guidance. This guidance is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within that reporting period, and earlier adoption is not permitted. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a material effect on our results of operations, financial position or liquidity.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Market risk is the potential for economic losses due to adverse changes in fair value of financial instruments. We carry all of our investments at fair value in our statement of financial condition. Our investment portfolio as of December 31, 2014, is comprised of fixed maturities and equity securities exposing us to changes in interest rates and equity prices.

Our investment objectives with respect to fixed maturities are to maximize after-tax investment income without exposing the surplus of our Insurance Entities to excessive volatility. Our investment objectives with respect to equity securities are to enhance our long-term surplus levels through capital appreciation and earn a competitive rate of total return versus appropriate benchmarks. We cannot provide any assurance that we will be able to achieve our investment objectives.

See “Item 8 — Note 3 (Investments)” for more information about our investments.

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the sensitivity of a fixed-rate instrument to changes in interest rates. When interest rates rise, the fair value of our fixed-rate investment securities decline.

The following table provides information about our fixed income investments, which are sensitive to changes in interest rates. The table presents cash flows of principal amounts and related weighted average interest rates by expected maturity dates for investments available for sale as of the dates presented (in thousands):

| December 31, 2014 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---|------|---|------|---|------------------|---|------|---|
| | Amortized Cost | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Fair Value Total | | | |
| | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | Thereafter | Other (1) | Total | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fixed income investments | \$101,406 | \$58,521 | \$37,184 | \$55,985 | \$33,494 | \$6,660 | \$111,691 | \$404,941 | \$403,939 | | | | | | | | | |
| Weighted average interest rate | 0.77 | % | 1.07 | % | 3.00 | % | 1.69 | % | 1.95 | % | 4.92 | % | 2.39 | % | 1.76 | % | 1.76 | % |
| December 31, 2013 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Amortized Cost | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Fair Value Total | | | |
| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | Thereafter | Other (1) | Total | | | | | | | | | | |
| | \$3,827 | \$47,366 | \$62,287 | \$27,668 | \$54,201 | \$4,588 | \$91,502 | \$291,439 | \$289,418 | | | | | | | | | |

Fixed
income
investments

Weighted
average

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|------|---|------|---|------|---|------|---|------|---|------|---|------|---|------|---|------|---|
| interest rate | 7.43 | % | 1.16 | % | 1.29 | % | 3.88 | % | 1.79 | % | 1.97 | % | 1.73 | % | 1.84 | % | 1.83 | % |
|---------------|------|---|------|---|------|---|------|---|------|---|------|---|------|---|------|---|------|---|

(1) Comprised of mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities which have multiple maturity dates, and perpetual maturity securities, and are presented separately for the purposes of this table.

The tables above represent average contract rates which differ from the book yield of the fixed maturities. The fixed maturity investments in our available for sale portfolio are comprised of United States government and agency securities, corporate bonds, redeemable preferred stock and mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities. Duration is a measure of interest rate sensitivity expressed as a number of years. The weighted average duration of the fixed maturity investments in our available for sale portfolio at December 31, 2014 was 2.3 years.

To a lesser extent, we also have exposure to interest on our debt obligations which are in the form of a surplus note, and on any amounts we draw under the DB Loan. The surplus note accrues interest at an adjustable rate based on the 10-year Constant Maturity Treasury rate. Draws under the DB Loan accrue interest at a rate based on LIBOR or Deutsche Bank's prime rate plus an applicable margin.

Table of Contents

Equity Price Risk

Equity price risk is the potential for loss in fair value of investments in common stock and mutual funds from adverse changes in the prices of those instruments.

The following table provides information about the investments in our available for sale portfolio subject to price risk as of the dates presented (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2014 | | | December 31, 2013 | |
|-------------------------|----------------------|---------|--|----------------------|---------|
| | Fair | | | Fair | |
| | Value | Percent | | Value | Percent |
| Equity securities: | | | | | |
| Common stock | \$270 | 1.4 % | | \$9,295 | 14.3 % |
| Mutual funds | 19,372 | 98.6 % | | 55,727 | 85.7 % |
| Total equity securities | \$19,642 | 100.0 % | | \$65,022 | 100.0 % |

A hypothetical decrease of 20% in the market prices of each of the equity securities held at December 31, 2014 and 2013 would have resulted in a decrease of \$3.9 million and \$13.0 million, respectively, in the fair value of those securities.

Table of Contents

Item 8. Financial Statements and supplementary data

| | PAGE |
|---|------|
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | 52 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2014 and 2013</u> | 53 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u> | 54 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u> | 54 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u> | 55 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u> | 56 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 57 |

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To The Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Fort Lauderdale, Florida

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries (the “Company”) as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, stockholders’ equity and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2014. We also have audited the Company’s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in the 2013 Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company’s management is responsible for these consolidated financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying “Management’s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting.” Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and an opinion on the Company’s internal control over financial reporting based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company’s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company’s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company’s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of

changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2014 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on the criteria established in the 2013 Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

/s/ Plante & Moran, PLLC

Chicago, Illinois

February 25, 2015

52

Table of Contents

UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands, except per share data)

(See Note 8 for Pro-Forma Balance Sheet Information)

| | December 31, 2014 | | | 2013 |
|---|----------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------|
| | As Reported | PRO-FORMA Adjustment Unaudited | PRO-FORMA Unaudited | |
| ASSETS | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$115,397 | \$ — | \$ 115,397 | \$117,275 |
| Restricted cash and cash equivalents | 2,635 | — | 2,635 | 2,600 |
| Fixed maturities, at fair value | 353,949 | — | 353,949 | 289,418 |
| Equity securities, at fair value | 19,642 | — | 19,642 | 65,022 |
| Short-term investments, at fair value | 49,990 | — | 49,990 | — |
| Prepaid reinsurance premiums | 190,505 | — | 190,505 | 241,214 |
| Reinsurance recoverable | 55,187 | — | 55,187 | 107,847 |
| Reinsurance receivable, net | 7,468 | — | 7,468 | 203 |
| Premiums receivable, net | 50,987 | — | 50,987 | 46,461 |
| Other receivables | 2,763 | — | 2,763 | 2,587 |
| Property and equipment, net | 17,254 | — | 17,254 | 9,289 |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs, net | 25,660 | — | 25,660 | 15,899 |
| Income taxes recoverable | 5,675 | — | 5,675 | 8,152 |
| Deferred income tax asset, net | 11,850 | — | 11,850 | 12,051 |
| Other assets | 2,812 | — | 2,812 | 2,072 |
| Total assets | \$911,774 | \$ — | \$ 911,774 | \$920,090 |
| LIABILITIES, CONTINGENTLY REDEEMABLE COMMON STOCK AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | | | |
| LIABILITIES: | | | | |
| Unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses | \$134,353 | \$ — | \$ 134,353 | \$159,222 |
| Unearned premiums | 395,748 | — | 395,748 | 383,488 |
| Advance premium | 17,919 | — | 17,919 | 22,959 |
| Accounts payable | 4,121 | — | 4,121 | 3,441 |
| Book overdraft | 5,924 | — | 5,924 | 14,947 |
| Reinsurance payable, net | 66,066 | — | 66,066 | 86,232 |
| Income taxes payable | 1,799 | — | 1,799 | 2,566 |
| Other liabilities and accrued expenses | 36,318 | — | 36,318 | 34,386 |
| Long-term debt | 30,610 | — | 30,610 | 37,240 |
| Total liabilities | 692,858 | — | 692,858 | 744,481 |

Commitments and Contingencies (Note 15)

| | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| Contingently redeemable common stock (See Notes 8 and 18) | 19,000 | (19,000) | — | — |
| Issued shares - 1,000 | | | | |
| Outstanding shares - 1,000 | | | | |
| STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY: | | | | |
| Cumulative convertible preferred stock, \$.01 par value | — | — | — | — |
| Authorized shares - 1,000 | | | | |
| Issued shares - 12 and 30 | | | | |
| Outstanding shares - 12 and 30 | | | | |
| Minimum liquidation preference - \$8.49 and \$6.98 per share | | | | |
| Common stock, \$.01 par value | 448 | — | 448 | 436 |
| Authorized shares - 55,000 | | | | |
| Issued shares - 43,769 and 43,641 | | | | |
| Outstanding shares - 34,102 and 35,366 | | | | |
| Treasury shares, at cost - 9,667 and 8,275 | (62,153) | — | (62,153) | (35,467) |
| Additional paid-in capital (See Notes 8 and 18) | 40,987 | 19,000 | 59,987 | 42,282 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes | (1,835) | — | (1,835) | (376) |
| Retained earnings | 222,469 | — | 222,469 | 168,734 |
| Total stockholders' equity (See Notes 8 and 18) | 199,916 | 19,000 | 218,916 | 175,609 |
| Total liabilities, contingently redeemable common stock | | | | |
| and stockholders' equity | \$911,774 | \$ — | \$ 911,774 | \$920,090 |

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(in thousands, except per share data)

| | For the Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| PREMIUMS EARNED AND OTHER REVENUES | | | |
| Direct premiums written | \$789,577 | \$783,894 | \$780,128 |
| Ceded premiums written | (399,730) | (522,116) | (517,604) |
| Net premiums written | 389,847 | 261,778 | 262,524 |
| Change in net unearned premium | (62,970) | 5,877 | (31,404) |
| Premiums earned, net | 326,877 | 267,655 | 231,120 |
| Net investment income (expense) | 2,375 | 1,928 | 441 |
| Net realized gains (losses) on investments | 5,627 | (14,740) | (11,943) |
| Net change in unrealized gains (losses) on investments | — | 7,850 | 9,443 |
| Net foreign currency gains (losses) on investments | — | — | 22 |
| Commission revenue | 14,205 | 18,615 | 20,383 |
| Policy fees | 13,982 | 13,661 | 14,475 |
| Other revenue | 6,210 | 6,190 | 5,998 |
| Total premiums earned and other revenues | 369,276 | 301,159 | 269,939 |
| OPERATING COSTS AND EXPENSES | | | |
| Losses and loss adjustment expenses | 123,275 | 108,615 | 126,187 |
| General and administrative expenses | 118,397 | 91,988 | 91,193 |
| Total operating costs and expenses | 241,672 | 200,603 | 217,380 |
| INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES | 127,604 | 100,556 | 52,559 |
| Income taxes, current | 53,498 | 34,216 | 18,434 |
| Income taxes, deferred | 1,118 | 7,363 | 3,813 |
| Income taxes, net | 54,616 | 41,579 | 22,247 |
| NET INCOME | \$72,988 | \$58,977 | \$30,312 |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$2.17 | \$1.64 | \$0.76 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding - Basic | 33,569 | 35,866 | 39,614 |
| Fully diluted earnings per common share | \$2.08 | \$1.56 | \$0.75 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding - Diluted | 35,150 | 37,776 | 40,616 |
| Cash dividend declared per common share | \$0.55 | \$0.49 | \$0.46 |

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

| | For the Years Ended December 31, | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Net income | \$72,988 | \$58,977 | \$30,312 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | (1,459) | (376) | — |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | \$71,529 | \$58,601 | \$30,312 |

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012

(in thousands)

(See Note 8 for Pro-Forma Stockholders' Equity Information)

| | Common Shares Issued | Preferred Shares Issued | Common Stock Amount | Preferred Stock Amount | Additional Paid-In Capital (3) | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income | Treasury Stock | Total Stockholders' Equity (3) |
|---|----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---|-------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Balance, December 31, 2011 | 41,100 | 108 | \$ 411 | \$ 1 | \$ 36,536 | \$ 116,158 | \$ — | \$(3,101) | \$ 150,005 |
| Stock option exercises | 285 | — | 3 | — | 667 | — | — | (583) | 87 |
| Grants and vesting of restricted stock | 650 | — | 7 | — | (7) | — | — | — | — |
| Retirement of treasury shares | (146) | — | (2) | — | (581) | — | — | 583 | — |
| Share-based compensation | — | — | — | — | 3,829 | — | — | — | 3,829 |
| Net income | — | — | — | — | — | 30,312 | — | — | 30,312 |
| Other | — | — | — | — | — | (3) | — | — | (3) |
| Excess tax benefit (shortfall), net (1) | — | — | — | — | (1,760) | — | — | — | (1,760) |
| Declaration of dividends | — | — | — | — | — | (18,956) | — | — | (18,956) |
| Balance, December 31, 2012 | 41,889 | 108 | 419 | 1 | 38,684 | 127,511 | — | (3,101) | 163,514 |
| Stock option exercises | 2,330 | — | 23 | — | 9,446 | — | — | (11,609) | (2,140) |
| Grants and vesting of restricted stock | 1,000 | — | 10 | — | (10) | — | — | (1,021) | (1,021) |
| Conversion of preferred stock | 389 | (78) | 4 | (1) | (3) | — | — | — | — |
| Purchases of treasury stock | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | (32,366) | (32,366) |
| Retirement of treasury shares | (1,967) | — | (20) | — | (12,610) | — | — | 12,630 | — |
| Share-based compensation | — | — | — | — | 6,416 | — | — | — | 6,416 |
| Net income | — | — | — | — | — | 58,977 | — | — | 58,977 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------|-------|--------|------|-----------|------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| Change in net unrealized gains (losses) (2) | — | — | — | — | — | — | (376) | — | (376) |
| Excess tax benefit (shortfall), net (1) | — | — | — | — | 359 | — | — | — | 359 |
| Declaration of dividends | — | — | — | — | — | (17,754) | — | — | (17,754) |
| Balance, December 31, 2013 | 43,641 | 30 | 436 | — | 42,282 | 168,734 | (376) | (35,467) | 175,609 |
| Stock option exercises | 1,900 | — | 19 | — | 9,347 | — | — | (15,402) | (6,036) |
| Grants and vesting of restricted stock | 950 | — | 10 | — | (10) | — | — | (11,545) | (11,545) |
| Conversion of preferred stock | 65 | (18) | 1 | — | (1) | — | — | — | — |
| Purchases of treasury stock | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | (29,736) | (29,736) |
| Treasury shares reissued | — | — | — | — | (3,050) | — | — | 3,050 | — |
| Retirement of treasury shares | (1,787) | — | (18) | — | (26,929) | — | — | 26,947 | — |
| Share-based compensation | — | — | — | — | 12,342 | — | — | — | 12,342 |
| Net income | — | — | — | — | — | 72,988 | — | — | 72,988 |
| Change in net unrealized gains (losses) (2) | — | — | — | — | — | — | (1,459) | — | (1,459) |
| Excess tax benefit (shortfall), net (1) | — | — | — | — | 7,006 | — | — | — | 7,006 |
| Declaration of dividends | — | — | — | — | — | (19,253) | — | — | (19,253) |
| Balance, December 31, 2014 (3) | 44,769 | 12 | \$ 448 | \$ — | \$ 40,987 | \$ 222,469 | \$ (1,835) | \$ (62,153) | \$ 199,916 |

(1) Excess tax benefits are related to share-based compensation.

(2) Represents change in fair value of available for sale investments, net of tax benefit of \$916 thousand and \$236 thousand in years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

(3) See Note 8 - Stockholders' Equity for an explanation of events subsequent to December 31, 2014 giving rise to pro-forma stockholders' equity of \$218.9 million as of December 31, 2014.

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(in thousands)

| | For the Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | |
| Net Income | \$72,988 | \$58,977 | \$30,312 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Bad debt expense | 431 | 428 | 319 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1,194 | 1,012 | 840 |
| Amortization of share-based compensation | 12,342 | 6,417 | 3,830 |
| Amortization of original issue discount on debt | 840 | 601 | — |
| Accretion of deferred credit | (840) | (601) | — |
| Book overdraft increase (decrease) | (9,023) | (11,047) | 509 |
| Net realized (gains) losses on investments | (5,627) | 14,740 | 11,943 |
| Net change in unrealized (gains) losses on investments | — | (7,850) | (9,443) |
| Net foreign currency (gains) losses on investments | — | — | (22) |
| Amortization of premium/accretion of discount, net | 2,116 | 1,582 | (4) |
| Deferred income taxes | 1,118 | 7,363 | 3,813 |
| Excess tax (benefits) shortfall from share-based compensation | (7,006) | (359) | 1,760 |
| Other | 19 | 10 | 5 |
| Net change in assets and liabilities relating to operating activities: | | | |
| Restricted cash and cash equivalents | (35) | 30,409 | 45,304 |
| Prepaid reinsurance premiums | 50,709 | (1,293) | 3,174 |
| Reinsurance recoverable | 52,660 | (18,656) | (3,485) |
| Reinsurance receivable, net | (7,265) | 24,131 | 30,871 |
| Premiums receivable, net | (4,911) | 3,256 | (4,589) |
| Accrued investment income | (230) | (720) | 325 |
| Other receivables | 12 | 131 | 360 |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs, net | (9,761) | 1,383 | (4,286) |
| Purchase of trading securities | — | (26,009) | (343,962) |
| Proceeds from sales of trading securities | — | 102,661 | 360,000 |
| Income taxes recoverable | 2,477 | (5,558) | (2,594) |
| Other assets | (740) | (459) | 331 |
| Unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses | (24,869) | (34,019) | 6,026 |
| Unearned premiums | 12,260 | (4,583) | 28,229 |
| Advance premium | (5,040) | 7,937 | (4,368) |
| Accounts payable | 680 | (927) | 54 |
| Reinsurance payable, net | (20,166) | 973 | (2,237) |
| Income taxes payable | 6,239 | 2,226 | (13,801) |
| Other liabilities and accrued expenses | 2,774 | 4,803 | 3,292 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | 123,346 | 156,959 | 142,506 |

Cash flows from investing activities:

| | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|----------|
| Proceeds from sale of property and equipment | 84 | 8 | 28 |
| Purchase of property and equipment | (9,267) | (1,350) | (2,726) |
| Purchases of equity securities, available for sale | (116,541) | (77,859) | — |
| Purchases of fixed maturities, available for sale | (106,885) | (305,330) | — |
| Purchases of short-term investments, available for sale | (50,000) | — | — |
| Proceeds from sales of equity securities, available for sale | 163,981 | 15,542 | — |
| Proceeds from sales of fixed maturities, available for sale | 17,153 | — | — |
| Maturities of fixed maturities, available for sale | 24,287 | 16,306 | — |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | (77,188) | (352,683) | (2,698) |

Cash flows from financing activities:

| | | | |
|--|------------|------------|------------|
| Preferred stock dividend | (13) | (29) | (287) |
| Common stock dividend | (19,240) | (17,725) | (18,669) |
| Issuance of common stock | 73 | — | 207 |
| Purchase of treasury stock | (29,736) | (32,366) | — |
| Proceeds received from issuance of common and contingently redeemable common stock | 19,000 | — | — |
| Payments related to tax withholding for share-based compensation | (17,655) | (3,161) | (121) |
| Excess tax benefits (shortfall) from share-based compensation | 7,006 | 359 | (1,760) |
| Repayment of debt | (7,471) | (1,471) | (1,471) |
| Proceeds from borrowings | — | 20,000 | — |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | (48,036) | (34,393) | (22,101) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | (1,878) | (230,117) | 117,707 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 117,275 | 347,392 | 229,685 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 115,397 | \$ 117,275 | \$ 347,392 |
| Supplemental cash and non-cash flow disclosures: | | | |
| Interest paid | \$ 1,486 | \$ 1,166 | \$ 414 |
| Income taxes paid | \$ 44,769 | \$ 36,634 | \$ 28,151 |
| Non-cash transfer of investments from trading to available for sale portfolio | \$ — | \$ 4,004 | \$ — |

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 – NATURE OF OPERATIONS AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Nature of Operations, Basis of Presentation and Consolidation

Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. (“UIH”) is a Delaware corporation originally incorporated as Universal Heights, Inc. in November 1990. UIH, with its wholly-owned subsidiaries (the “Company”), is a vertically integrated insurance holding company performing all aspects of insurance underwriting, distribution and claims. Through its wholly-owned subsidiaries, including Universal Property & Casualty Insurance Company (“UPCIC”) and American Platinum Property and Casualty Insurance Company (“APPCIC”), collectively referred to as the “Insurance Entities,” the Company is principally engaged in the property and casualty insurance business offered primarily through a network of independent agents. Risk from catastrophic losses is managed through the use of reinsurance agreements. The Company’s primary product is homeowners’ insurance currently offered in nine states as of December 31, 2014, including Florida, which comprises the vast majority of the Company’s in-force policies. See “NOTE 5 – INSURANCE OPERATIONS,” for more information regarding the Company’s insurance operations.

The Company generates revenues primarily from the collection of premiums and invests funds in excess of those retained for claims-paying obligations and insurance operations. Other significant sources of revenue include commissions collected from reinsurers, policy fees collected from policyholders through our wholly-owned managing general agency subsidiary and payment plan fees charged to insureds who pay premium in installments.

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America (“U.S. GAAP”). The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of UIH and its wholly owned subsidiaries. All material intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

To conform to current period presentation, certain amounts in the prior periods’ consolidated financial statements and notes have been reclassified. Such reclassifications were of an immaterial amount and had no effect on net income or stockholders’ equity.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. The Company’s primary areas of estimate are the recognition of premium revenues, liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, provision for premium deficiency and reinsurance. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

NOTE 2 – SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The significant accounting policies followed by the Company are summarized as follows:

Cash and Cash Equivalents. The Company includes in cash equivalents all short-term, highly liquid investments that are readily convertible to known amounts of cash and have an original maturity of three months or less. These amounts are carried at cost, which approximates fair value. The Company excludes any net negative cash balances from cash and cash equivalents that the Company has with any single financial institution. These amounts represent outstanding checks not yet presented to the financial institution and are reclassified to liabilities and presented as book overdraft in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Company maintains its cash and cash equivalents at various financial institutions and accounts are insured up to \$250 thousand per depositor under FDIC insurance coverage. Throughout the year, the Company's cash balances were in excess of FDIC insurance coverage. The Company has not experienced any losses in such accounts and does not believe it is exposed to any significant credit risk on cash and cash equivalents.

Restricted Cash and Cash Equivalents. The Company classifies amounts of cash and cash equivalents that are restricted in terms of their use and withdrawal separately on the face the Consolidated Balance Sheets. See "NOTE 5 – INSURANCE OPERATIONS," for a discussion of the nature of the restrictions.

Investment Securities, Trading. Investment securities held in the Company's trading portfolio consisted of both fixed maturities and equity securities. Investment securities held in trading were recorded at fair value on the consolidated balance sheet, with unrealized gains and losses reported in current period earnings. All investment securities held by the Company as of December 31, 2012 were held in the trading portfolio. The Company liquidated its trading portfolio of equity securities and transferred the fixed maturities that were outstanding at December 31, 2012 into its portfolio of securities available for sale effective March 1, 2013.

Table of Contents

Gains and losses realized on the disposition of investment securities held in trading were determined on the first-in-first-out (“FIFO”) basis and credited or charged to income. Premium and discount on investment securities held in trading were amortized and accreted using the interest method and charged or credited to investment income.

Investment Securities, Available for Sale. Investment securities available for sale consist of fixed maturities, equity securities and short-term investments with maturities of greater than three months. Investment securities available for sale are recorded at fair value on the consolidated balance sheet. Unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale are excluded from earnings and reported as a component of other comprehensive income, net of related deferred taxes until reclassified to earnings upon the consummation of sales transaction with an unrelated third party or when the decline in fair value is deemed other than temporary.

The assessment of whether the impairment of a security’s fair value is other than temporary is performed using a portfolio review as well as a case-by-case review considering a wide range of factors. There are a number of assumptions and estimates inherent in evaluating impairments and determining if they are other than temporary, including: 1) the Company’s ability and intent to hold the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for an anticipated recovery in value; 2) the expected recoverability of principal and interest; 3) the extent and length of time to which the fair value has been less than amortized cost for fixed maturity securities or cost for equity securities referred to as severity and duration; 4) the financial condition, near-term and long-term prospects of the issue or issuer, including relevant industry conditions and trends, and implications of rating agency actions and offering prices referred to as credit quality; and 5) the specific reasons that a security is in a significant unrealized loss position, including market conditions which could affect liquidity. Additionally, once assumptions and estimates are made, any number of changes in facts and circumstances could cause the Company to subsequently determine that an impairment is other than temporary, including: 1) general economic conditions that are worse than previously forecasted or that have a greater adverse effect on a particular issuer or industry sector than originally estimated; 2) changes in the facts and circumstances related to a particular issue or issuer’s ability to meet all of its contractual obligations; and 3) changes in facts and circumstances obtained that causes a change in our ability or intent to hold a security to maturity or until it recovers in value. Management’s intent and ability to hold securities is a determination that is made at each respective balance sheet date giving consideration to factors known to management for each individual issuer of securities such as credit quality and other publicly available information.

Gains and losses realized on the disposition of investment securities available for sale are determined on the FIFO basis and credited or charged to income. Premium and discount on investment securities are amortized and accreted using the interest method and charged or credited to investment income.

Derivatives. Derivatives were held in the Company’s trading portfolio and were reported at fair value with changes in their value reported as unrealized gains or losses until exercised, sold or upon expiration at which time the gain or loss was recognized as a realized gain or loss. The premium received for a written call option was recorded as a liability until the option was either exercised or expired. If the option was exercised by the holder, the Company recognizes the premium received by adjusting the amount of the realized gain or loss on the underlying security by the amount of the option premium received. If the option expired or otherwise terminated, the premium received was recognized as a component of realized gains or losses. All derivatives held in the Company’s trading portfolio were liquidated during the first quarter of 2013.

Premiums Receivable. Generally, premiums are collected prior to providing risk coverage, minimizing the Company’s exposure to credit risk. The Company performs a policy level evaluation to determine the extent the premiums

receivable balance exceeds the unearned premiums balance. The Company then ages this exposure to establish an allowance for doubtful accounts based on prior experience. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company had recorded allowances for doubtful accounts in the amounts of \$357 thousand and \$446 thousand, respectively.

Property and Equipment. Property and equipment is recorded at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is provided on the straight-line basis over the estimated useful life of the assets. Estimated useful life of all property and equipment ranges from three to twenty-seven-and-one-half years. Expenditures for improvements are capitalized and depreciated over the remaining useful life of the asset. Routine repairs and maintenance are expensed as incurred. Website development costs are capitalized and amortized over their estimated useful life. The Company reviews its property and equipment annually and whenever changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable.

Leases. The Company has operating leases that are subject to annual increases and amortizes the scheduled annual rental increases over the term of the leases.

Table of Contents

Recognition of Premium Revenues. The Company recognizes revenue when realized or realizable and earned. Property and liability premiums are recognized as revenue on a pro rata basis over the policy term. The portion of premiums that will be earned in the future is deferred and reported as unearned premiums. The Company believes that its revenue recognition policies conform to U.S. GAAP. In the event policyholders cancel their policies, unearned premiums represent amounts that the Insurance Entities would refund policyholders. Accordingly, the Company determines unearned premiums by calculating the pro rata amount that would be due to the policyholders at a given point in time based upon the premiums owed over the life of each policy.

Recognition of Commission Revenue and Policy Fees. Commission revenue generated from agency operations and the Managing General Agent (MGA)'s policy fee on all new and renewal insurance policies are recognized as income upon policy inception. Brokerage commission revenue earned on ceded reinsurance is recognized over the term of the reinsurance agreements.

Recognition of Policyholder Payment Plan Fee Revenue. The Company offers its policyholders the option of paying their policy premiums in full at inception or in two or four installment payments. The Company charges fees to its policyholders that elect to pay their premium in installments and records such fees as revenue when the policyholder makes the installment payment election and the Company bills the fees to the policyholder. These fees are included in Other Revenue in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Income.

Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs. Certain costs incurred in connection with the successful acquisition and renewal of insurance business are deferred and amortized over the terms of the policies to which they are related. A portion of reinsurance ceding commissions received are deferred and amortized over the effective period of the related insurance policies. Deferred policy acquisition costs and deferred ceding commissions are netted for balance sheet presentation purposes.

Insurance Liabilities. Unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses ("LAE") are provided for as claims are incurred. The provision for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses includes: (1) the accumulation of individual case estimates for claims and claim adjustment expenses reported prior to the close of the accounting period; (2) estimates for unreported claims based on industry data; and (3) estimates of expenses for investigating and adjusting claims based on the experience of the Company and the industry.

Inherent in the estimates of ultimate claims are expected trends in claim severity, frequency and other factors that may vary as claims are settled. The amount of uncertainty in the estimates for casualty coverage is significantly affected by such factors as the amount of claims experience relative to the development period, knowledge of the actual facts and circumstances and the amount of insurance risk retained. In addition, the Company's policyholders are currently concentrated in South Florida, which is periodically subject to adverse weather conditions, such as hurricanes and tropical storms. The methods for making such estimates and for establishing the resulting liability are periodically reviewed, and any adjustments are reflected in current earnings.

Provision for Premium Deficiency. It is the Company's policy to evaluate and recognize losses on insurance contracts when estimated future claims and maintenance costs under a group of existing contracts will exceed anticipated future premiums. No accruals for premium deficiency were considered necessary as of December 31, 2014 and 2013.

Reinsurance. In the normal course of business, the Company seeks to reduce the risk of loss that may arise from catastrophes or other events that cause unfavorable underwriting results by reinsuring (ceding) certain levels of risk in various areas of exposure with other insurance enterprises or reinsurers. The Company remains responsible for insured losses in the event of the failure of any reinsurer to make payments otherwise due to the Company. Amounts

recoverable from reinsurers are estimated in a manner consistent with the provisions of the reinsurance agreement and consistent with the establishment of the liability of the Company. Allowances are established for amounts deemed uncollectible if any.

Income Taxes. Income tax provisions are based on the asset and liability method. Deferred federal and state income taxes have been provided for temporary differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and their reported amounts in the consolidated financial statements, net of valuation allowance if any. The Company reviews its deferred tax assets for recoverability.

Income (Loss) Per Share of Common Stock. Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing the Company's net income (loss) available to common stockholders, by the weighted-average number of shares of Common Stock outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share is computed by dividing the Company's net income (loss) by the weighted average number of shares of Common Stock outstanding during the period and the impact of all dilutive potential common shares, primarily preferred stock, unvested shares and options. The dilutive impact of stock options and unvested shares is determined by applying the treasury stock method and the dilutive impact of the preferred stock is determined by applying the "if converted" method.

Fair Value Measurements. The Company's policy is to record transfers of assets and liabilities, if any, between Level 1 and Level 2 at their fair values as of the end of each reporting period, consistent with the date of the determination of fair value.

Table of Contents

Share-based Compensation. The Company accounts for share-based compensation based on the estimated grant-date fair value. The Company recognizes these compensation costs in general and administrative expenses and generally amortizes them on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the award, which is the vesting term. Individual tranches of performance-based awards are amortized separately since the vesting of each tranche is subject to independent annual measures. The fair value of stock option awards are estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the grant-date assumptions discussed in “NOTE 9 – SHARE BASED COMPENSATION.” The fair value of the restricted share grants are determined based on the market price on the date of grant.

Statutory Accounting. UPCIC and APPCIC prepare statutory financial statements in conformity with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the Florida Office of Insurance Regulation (“OIR”). The OIR requires that insurance companies domiciled in Florida prepare their statutory financial statements in accordance with the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (“NAIC”) Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual, as modified by the OIR. Accordingly, the admitted assets, liabilities and capital and surplus of UPCIC and APPCIC as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 and the results of operations and cash flows, for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, have been determined in accordance with statutory accounting principles, but adjusted to U.S. GAAP for purposes of these financial statements. The statutory accounting principles are designed primarily to demonstrate the ability to meet obligations to policyholders and claimants and, consequently, differ in some respects from U.S. GAAP.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In July 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued accounting guidance on the presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss or a tax credit carryforward exists. Under this guidance, an unrecognized tax benefit, or a portion of an unrecognized tax benefit, should generally be presented in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward. This guidance is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2013, but earlier adoption is permitted. The Company adopted this guidance effective January 1, 2014. The adoption did not have an impact on the presentation of the Company’s financial statements and notes herein.

In June 2011, the FASB updated its guidance to the Comprehensive Income Topic 220 of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification and in February 2013, the FASB further amended such topic. This February 2013 guidance requires disclosure about amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component. In addition, an entity is required to present, either on the face of the statement of operations or in the notes, significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by the respective line items of net income, but only if the amount reclassified is required to be reclassified to net income in its entirety in the same reporting period. For amounts that are not required to be reclassified in their entirety to net income, an entity is required to cross-reference to other disclosures that provide additional detail about those amounts. This guidance is to be applied prospectively to interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The Company adopted this guidance effective January 1, 2013. The adoption of this guidance results in additional disclosures but did not impact the Company’s results of operations, cash flows or financial position.

In September 2010, the FASB issued guidance related to accounting for costs associated with acquiring or renewing insurance contracts. This guidance defines allowable deferred policy acquisition costs as costs incurred by insurance entities for the successful acquisition of new and renewal contracts. Such costs result directly from and are essential to the contract transaction(s) and would not have been incurred by the insurance entity had the contract(s) not occurred. This guidance is effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2011, with early adoption permitted. The Company adopted this guidance prospectively effective January 1, 2012. Under the new guidance, the Company’s net deferred policy acquisition costs were reduced from \$13.0 million to \$11.4 million, a difference of 13% at

December 31, 2011. The resulting \$1.6 million difference was charged directly to earnings during the three months ended March 31, 2012. This charge represents a charge-off of capitalized costs existing at December 31, 2011, which would have been amortized to earnings within a twelve-month period under the old guidance.

Table of Contents

NOTE 3 – INVESTMENTS

Securities Available for Sale

The following table provides the cost or amortized cost and fair value of securities available for sale as of the dates presented (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2014 | | | |
|---|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| | Cost or Amortized | Gross Unrealized | Gross Unrealized | Fair Value |
| | Cost | Gains | Losses | |
| Fixed Maturities: | | | | |
| U.S. government obligations and agencies | \$ 120,627 | \$ 38 | \$ (627) | \$ 120,038 |
| Corporate bonds | 120,025 | 171 | (364) | 119,832 |
| Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities | 107,589 | 136 | (502) | 107,223 |
| Redeemable preferred stock | 6,700 | 165 | (9) | 6,856 |
| Equity Securities: | | | | |
| Common stock | 331 | 4 | (65) | 270 |
| Mutual funds | 21,296 | — | (1,924) | 19,372 |
| Short-term investments | 50,000 | — | (10) | 49,990 |
| Total | \$426,568 | \$ 514 | \$ (3,501) | \$423,581 |

| | December 31, 2013 | | | |
|---|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| | Cost or Amortized | Gross Unrealized | Gross Unrealized | Fair Value |
| | Cost | Gains | Losses | |
| Fixed Maturities: | | | | |
| U.S. government obligations and agencies | \$ 105,229 | \$ 19 | \$ (1,033) | \$ 104,215 |
| Corporate bonds | 94,708 | 265 | (770) | 94,203 |
| Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities | 91,502 | 75 | (577) | 91,000 |
| Equity Securities: | | | | |
| Common stock | 8,500 | 916 | (121) | 9,295 |
| Mutual funds | 55,113 | 2,266 | (1,652) | 55,727 |
| Total | \$355,052 | \$ 3,541 | \$ (4,153) | \$354,440 |

The Company has made an assessment of its invested assets for fair value measurement as further described in “NOTE 16 – Fair Value Measurements.”

The following table provides the credit quality of investments with contractual maturities as of the dates presented (in thousands):

| December 31, 2014 | | | |
|-------------------------|------------|------------------|---|
| Standard and Poor's | | % of | |
| Rating Services | Fair Value | Total Fair Value | |
| AAA | \$31,783 | 7.9 | % |
| AA | 207,953 | 51.5 | % |
| A | 79,675 | 19.7 | % |
| BBB | 45,781 | 11.3 | % |
| BB and Below (1) | 2,677 | 0.7 | % |
| No Rating Available (2) | 36,070 | 8.9 | % |
| Total | \$403,939 | 100.0 | % |

Table of Contents

| December 31, 2013 | | |
|---------------------|-----------|------------------|
| Standard and Poor's | Fair | % of |
| Rating Services | Value | Total Fair Value |
| AAA | \$82,889 | 28.6 % |
| AA | 120,976 | 41.8 % |
| A | 46,689 | 16.1 % |
| BBB | 38,114 | 13.2 % |
| No Rating Available | 750 | 0.3 % |
| Total | \$289,418 | 100.0 % |

(1) As of December 31, 2014, \$268 thousand of these investments were rated “Baa3” by Moody’s Investors Service, Inc.

(2) As of December 31, 2014, \$7.9 million of these investments were rated “Aaa” by Moody’s Investors Service, Inc.

The following table summarizes the cost or amortized cost and fair value of mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities as of the dates presented (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2014 | | December 31, 2013 | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| | Cost or Amortized | Fair Value | Cost or Amortized | Fair Value |
| Mortgage-backed securities: | | | | |
| Agency | \$64,905 | \$64,619 | \$64,028 | \$63,547 |
| Non-agency | 8,053 | 7,987 | — | — |
| Asset-backed securities: | | | | |
| Auto loan receivables | 16,551 | 16,556 | 14,816 | 14,841 |
| Credit card receivables | 13,481 | 13,457 | 11,478 | 11,425 |
| Other receivables | 4,599 | 4,604 | 1,180 | 1,187 |
| Total | \$107,589 | \$107,223 | \$91,502 | \$91,000 |

The following table summarizes the fair value and gross unrealized losses on securities available for sale, aggregated by major investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position as of the dates presented (in thousands):

| December 31, 2014 | |
|---------------------|---------------------|
| Less Than 12 Months | 12 Months or Longer |
| Unrealized | Unrealized |

| | Number of Issues | Fair Value | Losses | | Number of Issues | Fair Value | Losses |
|---|------------------------|---------------|-----------|--|------------------------|---------------|-------------|
| Fixed maturities: | | | | | | | |
| U.S. government obligations and agencies | 3 | \$27,341 | \$ (55) | | 4 | \$34,050 | \$ (572) |
| Corporate bonds | 67 | 58,271 | (238) | | 12 | 15,105 | (126) |
| Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities | 20 | 48,335 | (273) | | 5 | 16,842 | (229) |
| Redeemable preferred stock | 12 | 1,153 | (9) | | — | — | — |
| Equity securities: | | | | | | | |
| Common stock | 2 | 87 | (20) | | 2 | 117 | (45) |
| Mutual funds | 2 | 10,514 | (97) | | 1 | 8,859 | (1,827) |
| Short-term investments | 2 | 37,490 | (10) | | — | — | — |
| Total | 108 | \$183,191 | \$ (702) | | 24 | \$74,973 | \$ (2,799) |

Table of Contents

| | December 31, 2013 | | | 12 Months or Longer | | |
|---|---------------------|-----------|-------------|---------------------|-------|------------|
| | Less Than 12 Months | | | Number | | |
| | Number | | Unrealized | Number | | Unrealized |
| | of | Fair | | of | Fair | |
| | Issues | Value | Losses | Issues | Value | Losses |
| Fixed maturities: | | | | | | |
| U.S. government obligations and agencies | 6 | \$71,042 | \$ (1,033) | — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Corporate bonds | 55 | 65,926 | (770) | — | — | — |
| Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities | 16 | 67,110 | (577) | — | — | — |
| Equity securities: | | | | | | |
| Common stock | 13 | 3,517 | (121) | — | — | — |
| Mutual funds | 5 | 19,646 | (1,652) | — | — | — |
| Total | 95 | \$227,241 | \$ (4,153) | — | \$ — | \$ — |

At December 31, 2014, we held fixed maturity and equity securities that were in an unrealized loss position as presented in the table above. For fixed maturity securities with significant declines in value, we perform quarterly fundamental credit analysis on a security-by-security basis, which includes consideration of credit quality and credit ratings, review of relevant industry analyst reports and other available market data. For fixed maturity and equity securities, the Company considers whether it has the intent and ability to hold the securities for a period of time sufficient to recover its cost basis. Where the Company lacks the intent and ability to hold to recovery, or believes the recovery period is extended, the security's decline in fair value is considered other than temporary and is recorded in earnings. Based upon management's intent and ability to hold the securities until recovery and its credit analysis of the individual issuers of the securities, management has no reason to believe the unrealized losses for securities available for sale at December 31, 2014 are other than temporary.

The following table presents the amortized cost and fair value of investments with contractual maturities as of the date presented (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2014 | |
|---|------------------------|------------|
| | Cost or Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| Due in one year or less | \$101,406 | \$101,368 |
| Due after one year through five years | 185,184 | 184,457 |
| Due after five years through ten years | 3,067 | 3,057 |
| Due after ten years | 3,593 | 3,673 |
| Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities | 107,589 | 107,223 |
| Perpetual maturity securities | 4,102 | 4,161 |
| Total | \$404,941 | \$403,939 |

Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay with or without penalty.

The following table provides certain information related to securities available for sale during the periods presented (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Sales proceeds (fair value) | \$181,134 | \$15,542 |
| Gross realized gains | \$6,205 | \$1,301 |
| Gross realized losses | \$(578) | \$(4) |
| Other than temporary impairment | \$— | \$— |

Table of Contents

The following table presents the components of net investment income, comprised primarily of interest and dividends, for the periods presented (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|--------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Cash and cash equivalents (1) | \$83 | \$148 | \$705 |
| Fixed maturities | 3,329 | 1,420 | 66 |
| Equity securities | 988 | 1,982 | 566 |
| Short-term investments | 46 | — | — |
| Total investment income | 4,446 | 3,550 | 1,337 |
| Less: Investment expenses (2) | (2,071) | (1,622) | (896) |
| Net investment (expense) income | \$2,375 | \$1,928 | \$441 |

(1)Includes interest earned on cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash and cash equivalents.

(2)Includes investment expenses related to cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash and cash equivalents.

Trading Portfolio

The Company liquidated its trading portfolio of equity securities and transferred the fixed maturities that were outstanding at December 31, 2012 into its portfolio of securities available for sale effective March 1, 2013. The unrealized gain (loss) associated with the fixed maturities trading portfolio was recognized in earnings up to the date of transfer.

The following table provides the effect of trading activities on the Company's results of operations for the periods presented by type of instrument and by line item in the Consolidated Statements of Income (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|--|----------------------------|------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Realized gains (losses) on investments: | | |
| Equity securities | \$(15,969) | \$(12,286) |
| Derivatives (non-hedging instruments) (1) | (68) | 343 |
| Total realized gains (losses) on trading portfolio | (16,037) | (11,943) |
| Change in unrealized gains (losses) on investments: | | |
| Fixed maturities | 13 | 195 |
| Equity securities | 7,758 | 9,158 |
| Derivatives (non-hedging instruments) (1) | 89 | 145 |
| Other | 14 | (55) |
| Total change in unrealized gains (losses) on trading portfolio | 7,874 | 9,443 |
| Net gains (losses) recognized on trading portfolio | \$(8,163) | \$(2,500) |

(1) This table provides the alternative quantitative disclosures permitted for derivatives that are not used as hedging instruments and are included in the trading portfolio.

The Company liquidated its trading portfolio in March 2013; therefore, for periods subsequent to March 31, 2013 there was no effect of trading activities on the Company's results of operations.

Table of Contents

NOTE 4 – REINSURANCE

The Company seeks to reduce its risk of loss by reinsuring certain levels of risk in various areas of exposure with other insurance enterprises or reinsurers, generally as of the beginning of the hurricane season on June 1 of each year. The Company's reinsurance program consists of excess of loss, quota share and catastrophe reinsurance, subject to the terms and conditions of the applicable agreements. The Company is responsible for insured losses related to catastrophes and other events in excess of coverage provided by its reinsurance program. The Company remains responsible for the settlement of insured losses irrespective of the failure of any of its reinsurers to make payments otherwise due to the Company.

The Company reduced the percentage of premiums ceded by UPCIC to its quota share reinsurers to 30% beginning with the reinsurance program effective June 1, 2014, from 45% under the prior year quota share contracts that were effective June 1, 2013 through May 31, 2014. By ceding 15 percentage points less premium to its quota share reinsurers, the Company expects to increase its profitability by retaining more premium. The reduction in cession rate also decreases the amount of losses and LAE that may be ceded by UPCIC and effectively increases the amount of risk retained by UPCIC and the Company. The reduction of cession rate also reduces the amount of ceding commissions earned from the Company's quota share reinsurer during the contract term and decreases the amount of deferred ceding commission, as of December 31, 2014, that is a component of net deferred policy acquisition costs.

The following table presents quota-share cession rates by reinsurance program and the years they were in effect:

| Reinsurance Program | Cession Rate |
|----------------------|--------------|
| June 2011 - May 2012 | 50% |
| June 2012 - May 2013 | 45% |
| June 2013 - May 2014 | 45% |
| June 2014 - May 2015 | 30% |

Amounts recoverable from reinsurers are estimated in a manner consistent with the reinsurance contracts. Reinsurance premiums, losses and LAE are accounted for on a basis consistent with those used in accounting for the original policies issued and the terms of the reinsurance contracts. Deferred ceding commissions are netted against deferred policy acquisition costs and amortized over the effective period of the related insurance policies.

In order to reduce credit risk for amounts due from reinsurers, the Insurance Entities seek to do business with financially sound reinsurance companies and regularly evaluate the financial strength of all reinsurers used.

The following table presents ratings from rating agencies and the unsecured amounts due from the Company's reinsurers whose aggregate balance exceeded 3% of the Company's stockholders' equity as of the dates presented (in thousands):

Ratings as of December 31, 2014

Standard

and Poor's Moody's

Due from as of

| Reinsurer | AM Best Company | Rating Services | Investors Service, Inc. | December 31, | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| | | | | 2014 | 2013 |
| Everest Reinsurance Company | A+ | A+ | A1 | \$16,780 | \$87,789 |
| Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund | n/a | n/a | n/a | 31,870 | 33,593 |
| Odyssey Reinsurance Company | A | A- | A3 | 136,339 | 142,190 |
| Total (1) | | | | \$184,989 | \$263,572 |

(1) Amounts represent prepaid reinsurance premiums, reinsurance receivables, and net recoverables for paid and unpaid losses, including incurred but not reported reserves, loss adjustment expenses, and offsetting reinsurance payables.

n/a No rating available, because entity is not rated.

65

Table of Contents

The Company's reinsurance arrangements had the following effect on certain items in the Consolidated Statements of Income for the periods presented (in thousands):

| For the year ended December 31, 2014 | | | |
|---|---------------------|--------------------|--|
| | Premiums Written | Premiums Earned | Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses |
| Direct | \$789,577 | \$777,317 | \$199,181 |
| Ceded | (399,730) | (450,440) | (75,906) |
| Net | \$389,847 | \$326,877 | \$123,275 |

| For the year ended December 31, 2013 | | | |
|---|---------------------|--------------------|--|
| | Premiums Written | Premiums Earned | Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses |
| Direct | \$783,894 | \$788,477 | \$216,852 |
| Ceded | (522,116) | (520,822) | (108,237) |
| Net | \$261,778 | \$267,655 | \$108,615 |

| For the year ended December 31, 2012 | | | |
|---|---------------------|--------------------|--|
| | Premiums Written | Premiums Earned | Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses |
| Direct | \$780,128 | \$751,899 | \$249,064 |
| Ceded | (517,604) | (520,779) | (122,877) |
| Net | \$262,524 | \$231,120 | \$126,187 |

The following prepaid reinsurance premiums and reinsurance recoverable and receivable are reflected in the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of the dates presented (in thousands):

| | As of December 31, | |
|--|--------------------|-----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Prepaid reinsurance premiums | \$190,505 | \$241,214 |
| Reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses and LAE | \$47,350 | \$68,584 |

| | | |
|--|----------|-----------|
| Reinsurance recoverable on paid losses | 7,837 | 39,263 |
| Reinsurance receivable, net | 7,468 | 203 |
| Reinsurance recoverable and receivable | \$62,655 | \$108,050 |

Table of Contents

NOTE 5 – INSURANCE OPERATIONS

Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs, net

The Company defers certain costs in connection with written policies, called Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs (“DPAC”), net of corresponding amounts of ceded reinsurance commissions, called Deferred Reinsurance Ceding Commissions (“DRCC”). Net DPAC is amortized over the effective period of the related insurance policies.

The following table presents the beginning and ending balances and the changes in DPAC, net of DRCC, for the periods presented (in thousands):

| | For the years ended December 31, | | |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| DPAC, beginning of year (1) | \$54,099 | \$54,431 | \$50,200 |
| Capitalized Costs | 108,072 | 109,981 | 107,180 |
| Amortization of DPAC | (107,568) | (110,313) | (102,949) |
| DPAC, end of year | \$54,603 | \$54,099 | \$54,431 |
| DRCC, beginning of year (1) | \$38,200 | \$37,149 | \$38,845 |
| Ceding Commissions Written | 64,810 | 89,679 | 85,063 |
| Earned Ceding Commissions | (74,067) | (88,628) | (86,759) |
| DRCC, end of year | \$28,943 | \$38,200 | \$37,149 |
| DPAC (DRCC), net, beginning of year (1) | \$15,899 | \$17,282 | \$11,355 |
| Capitalized Costs, net | 43,262 | 20,302 | 22,117 |
| Amortization of DPAC (DRCC), net | (33,501) | (21,685) | (16,190) |
| DPAC (DRCC), net, end of year | \$25,660 | \$15,899 | \$17,282 |

(1) The beginning balances for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 have been adjusted in connection with the adoption of the FASB's updated guidance related to deferred policy acquisition costs as discussed below.

As discussed in “NOTE 2 – SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES,” the Company prospectively adopted new accounting guidance effective January 1, 2012 related to accounting for costs associated with acquiring or renewing insurance contracts. This guidance resulted in a 13% reduction of our net deferred policy acquisition costs as of December 31, 2011, and a corresponding pre-tax charge of \$1.6 million against earnings during the first quarter of 2012. This charge represents a charge-off of capitalized costs existing at December 31, 2011, which would have been amortized to earnings within a twelve-month period under the old guidance. In the period of adoption (three months ended March 31, 2012), approximately \$9 million of net costs would have been deferred under the old guidance compared to the \$5.6 million under the new guidance. Future expenses will be accelerated with the adoption of this guidance, as the amounts being deferred have decreased, partially offset by less amortization. The effect of this change in periods subsequent to March 31, 2012, on income and per share amounts is not determinable as the historical methodology will have been discontinued after adoption.

Liability for Unpaid Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses

The Insurance Entities establish liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses on reported and unreported claims of insured losses. These liability estimates are based on known facts and interpretation of factors such as claim

payment patterns, loss payments, pending levels of unpaid claims, product mix and industry experience. The establishment of appropriate liabilities, including liabilities for catastrophes, is an inherently uncertain process. Management regularly updates its estimates as new facts become known and further events occur which may impact the resolution of unsettled claims.

The level of catastrophe loss experienced in any year cannot be predicted and could be material to results of operations and financial position. The Company's policyholders are concentrated in South Florida, which is periodically subject to adverse weather conditions, such as hurricanes and tropical storms. During the twelve-month periods ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, the Company did not experience any significant effects from catastrophic events. Management continuously evaluates alternative business strategies to effectively manage the Company's exposure to catastrophe losses, including the maintenance of catastrophic reinsurance coverage as discussed in "NOTE 4 – REINSURANCE."

Table of Contents

Management believes that the liabilities for claims and claims expense as of December 31, 2014 are appropriately established in the aggregate and adequate to cover the ultimate cost of reported and unreported claims arising from losses which had occurred by that date. However, if losses exceeded direct loss reserve estimates there could be a material adverse effect on the Company's financial statements. Also, if there are regulatory initiatives, legislative enactments or case law precedents which change the basis for policy coverage, in any of these events, there could be an effect on direct loss reserve estimates having a material adverse effect on the Company's financial statements.

Set forth in the following table is the change in liability for unpaid losses and LAE for the periods presented (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Balance at beginning of year | \$ 159,222 | \$ 193,241 | \$ 187,215 |
| Less: Reinsurance recoverable | (68,584) | (81,415) | (88,002) |
| Net balance at beginning of period | 90,638 | 111,826 | 99,213 |
| Incurred (recovered) related to: | | | |
| Current year | 124,011 | 111,560 | 119,458 |
| Prior years | (736) | (2,945) | 6,729 |
| Total incurred | 123,275 | 108,615 | 126,187 |
| Paid related to: | | | |
| Current year | 73,981 | 62,529 | 54,141 |
| Prior years | 52,929 | 67,274 | 59,433 |
| Total paid | 126,910 | 129,803 | 113,574 |
| Net balance at end of period | 87,003 | 90,638 | 111,826 |
| Plus: Reinsurance recoverable | 47,350 | 68,584 | 81,415 |
| Balance at end of year | \$ 134,353 | \$ 159,222 | \$ 193,241 |

The company has adjusted prior year reserves to reflect both positive and negative development trends. The company continues to see an increase in claim settlement rates as a result of ongoing claims department initiatives which were introduced in 2013. This has resulted in favorable development for the majority of claim segments, particularly for the most recent accident years, but is partially offset by unfavorable development in bringing closure to claims previously in a litigious environment.

Regulatory Requirements and Restrictions

The Insurance Entities are subject to regulations and standards of the Florida Office of Insurance Regulation. These standards require the Insurance Entities to maintain specified levels of statutory capital and restrict the timing and amount of dividends and other distributions that may be paid to the parent company. Except in the case of extraordinary dividends, these standards generally permit dividends to be paid from statutory unassigned surplus of the regulated subsidiary and are limited based on the regulated subsidiary's level of statutory net income and statutory capital and surplus. The maximum dividend that may be paid by UPCIC and APPCIC to their immediate parent company, Universal Insurance Holding Company of Florida ("UIHCF"), without prior regulatory approval is limited to the lesser of statutory net income from operations of the preceding calendar year or 10.0% of statutory unassigned surplus as of the preceding year end. These dividends are referred to as "ordinary dividends." However, if the dividend,

together with other dividends paid within the preceding twelve months, exceeds this statutory limit or is paid from sources other than earned surplus, the entire dividend is generally considered an “extraordinary dividend” and must receive prior regulatory approval.

Based on the 2013 statutory net income and statutory capital and surplus levels, UPCIC had the capacity to pay ordinary dividends of \$290 thousand during 2014. APPCIC did not have the capacity to pay ordinary dividends during 2014. For the year ended December 31, 2014, no dividends were paid from UPCIC or APPCIC to UIHCF. Dividends paid to the shareholders of UIH are paid from the earnings of UIH and its non-insurance subsidiaries and not from the capital and surplus of the Insurance Entities.

Table of Contents

The Florida Insurance Code requires insurance companies to maintain capitalization equivalent to the greater of ten percent of the insurer's total liabilities or \$5.0 million. The following table presents the amount of capital and surplus calculated in accordance with statutory accounting principles, which differ from U.S. GAAP, and an amount representing ten percent of total liabilities for both UPCIC and APPCIC as of the dates presented (in thousands):

| | As of December 31, | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Ten percent of total liabilities | | |
| UPCIC | \$42,659 | \$39,179 |
| APPCIC | \$514 | \$625 |
| Statutory capital and surplus | | |
| UPCIC | \$200,173 | \$161,803 |
| APPCIC | \$14,036 | \$13,708 |

As of the dates in the table above, both UPCIC and APPCIC met the Florida capitalization requirement. UPCIC and APPCIC are also required to adhere to prescribed premium-to-capital surplus ratios and have met those requirements at such dates.

Through UIHCF, the Insurance Entities' parent company, UIH recorded capital contributions for the periods presented (in thousands):

| | For the Years Ended December 31, | | |
|-----------------------|--|------|----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Capital Contributions | \$— | \$— | \$28,550 |

UPCIC and APPCIC are required annually to comply with the NAIC Risk-Based Capital ("RBC") requirements. RBC requirements prescribe a method of measuring the amount of capital appropriate for an insurance company to support its overall business operations in light of its size and risk profile. NAIC RBC requirements are used by regulators to determine appropriate regulatory actions relating to insurers who show signs of weak or deteriorating condition. As of December 31, 2014, based on calculations using the appropriate NAIC RBC formula, UPCIC's and APPCIC's reported total adjusted capital was in excess of the requirements.

The Insurance Entities are required by various state laws and regulations to maintain certain assets in depository accounts. The following table represents assets held by insurance regulators as of the dates presented (in thousands):

As of
December 31,

| | 2014 | 2013 |
|--------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Restricted cash and cash equivalents | \$2,635 | \$2,600 |
| Investments | \$3,609 | \$3,707 |

In November 2012, the Florida Insurance Guaranty Association (“FIGA”) Board of Directors determined the need for an emergency assessment upon its member companies. The assessment was 0.9% of each respective member’s Florida net direct premiums for calendar year 2011. The Insurance Entities’ participation in this assessment totaled \$6.3 million based on 2011 net direct premiums generated in Florida of approximately \$704.8 million. Pursuant to Florida statutes, insurers are permitted to recoup the assessment by adding a surcharge to policies in an amount not to exceed the amount paid by the insurer to FIGA. As a result, the Insurance Entities recorded this assessment as an expense during the year ended December 31, 2012 and began to recoup the assessment on February 1, 2013. The vast majority of this assessment was recovered in 2013.

UPCIC received an order from the OIR dated May 30, 2013 related to the OIR’s Target Market Conduct Final Examination Report of UPCIC for the period January 2009 through May 2013 (“OIR Order”). The OIR Order alleged certain violations and findings and sought to impose certain requirements and an administrative fine of \$1.3 million upon UPCIC. On October 4, 2013, UPCIC and the OIR signed a Consent Order settling the OIR Order. The Consent Order clarified language contained in the OIR Order, imposed certain requirements on UPCIC and required UPCIC to pay the administrative fine of \$1.3 million, which it paid on October 18, 2013.

Table of Contents

NOTE 6 – PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Property and equipment consisted of the following for the dates presented (in thousands):

| | As of December 31, | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Land | \$3,987 | \$1,287 |
| Building | 9,848 | 6,508 |
| Leasehold improvements | — | 17 |
| Construction in progress | 1,427 | — |
| Computers | 2,303 | 619 |
| Furniture | 1,286 | 1,112 |
| Automobiles and other vehicles | 3,454 | 3,067 |
| Software | 653 | 2,055 |
| Total cost | 22,958 | 14,665 |
| Less: Accumulated depreciation | (5,704) | (5,376) |
| Property and equipment, net | \$17,254 | \$9,289 |

On July 12, 2013, UPCIC entered into a lease agreement (“Lease Agreement”) for an office building adjacent to its principal office in Fort Lauderdale, Florida (“Property”). The Company took possession of the Property and began making monthly rental payments on the Lease Agreement in October 2013. Also on July 12, 2013, UPCIC entered into a purchase agreement to acquire the Property and completed the closing on the acquisition in December 2014 for approximately \$6.0 million. The Property is currently reflected in land, building and construction in progress and will be placed into service once construction is complete.

Depreciation and amortization was \$1.2 million, \$1.0 million and \$840 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The following table provides realized gains (losses) on the disposal of property and equipment for the periods presented (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------|--------|--------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Realized gain (loss) on disposal | \$(19) | \$(10) | \$(6) |

Table of Contents

NOTE 7 – LONG-TERM DEBT

Long-term debt consists of a surplus note entered into by UPCIC with carrying amounts of \$17.3 million and \$18.8 million as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively; a term loan with a carrying amounts of \$13.3 million and \$18.5 million as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively; and any amounts drawn upon an unsecured line of credit.

Surplus Note

On November 9, 2006, UPCIC entered into a \$25.0 million surplus note with the State Board of Administration of Florida (“SBA”) under Florida’s Insurance Capital Build-Up Incentive Program (“ICBUI”). Under the ICBUI, which was implemented by the Florida Legislature to encourage insurance companies to write additional residential insurance coverage in Florida, the SBA matched UPCIC’s funds of \$25.0 million that were earmarked for participation in the program. The carrying amount of the surplus note is included in the statutory capital and surplus of UPCIC of approximately \$200.2 million as of December 31, 2014.

The surplus note calls for serial maturities and is scheduled to be fully repaid on December, 31, 2026 and accrues interest at a rate equivalent to the 10-year U.S. Treasury Bond rate, adjusted quarterly based on the 10-year Constant Maturity Treasury rate. For the first three years of the term of the surplus note, UPCIC was required to pay interest only. The effective interest rate paid on the surplus note was 2.73%, 2.21% and 1.96% for years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Any payment of principal or interest by UPCIC on the surplus note must be approved by the Commissioner of the OIR. Quarterly principal payments of \$368 thousand are due through 2026. Aggregate principal payments of \$1.5 million were made during each of the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012.

In May 2008, the Florida Legislature passed a law providing participants in the Program an opportunity to amend the terms of their surplus notes based on law changes. The new law contains methods for calculating compliance with the writing ratio requirements that are more favorable to UPCIC than prior law and the prior terms of the existing surplus note. On November 6, 2008, UPCIC and the SBA executed an addendum to the surplus note that reflects these law changes. The terms of the addendum were effective July 1, 2008. In addition to other less significant changes, the addendum modifies the definitions of Minimum Required Surplus, Minimum Writing Ratio, Surplus, and Gross Written Premium, respectively, as defined in the original surplus note.

UPCIC has been and currently remains in compliance with each of the loan’s covenants as implemented by rules promulgated by the SBA. An event of default will occur under the surplus note, as amended, if UPCIC: (i) defaults in the payment of the surplus note; (ii) fails to submit quarterly filings to the OIR; (iii) fails to maintain at least \$50 million of surplus during the term of the surplus note, except for certain situations; (iv) misuses proceeds of the surplus note; (v) makes any misrepresentations in the application for the program; (vi) pays any dividend when principal or interest payments are past due under the surplus note; or (vii) fails to maintain a level of surplus and reinsurance sufficient to cover in excess of UPCIC’s 1-in-100 year probable maximum loss as determined by a hurricane loss model accepted by the Florida Commission on Hurricane Loss Projection Methodology as certified by the OIR annually.

The original surplus note provided for increases in interest rates for failure to meet the Minimum Writing Ratio. Under the terms of the surplus note agreement, at December 31, 2007, the interest rate on the note was increased by 450

basis points. As of June 30, 2008, the additional interest rate on the note was decreased from 450 basis points to 25 basis points. Under the terms of the surplus note, as amended, the net written premium to surplus requirement and gross written premium to surplus requirement have been modified. Further, UPCIC will be subject to increases in interest rates if it drops below a net written premium to surplus of 1:1 below a gross written premium to surplus ratio of 3:1 for three consecutive quarters beginning January 1, 2010. As of December 31, 2014, UPCIC's net written premium to surplus ratio and gross written premium to surplus ratio were in excess of the required minimums and, therefore, UPCIC is not subject to increases in interest rates.

Term Loan

On May 23, 2013, UIH entered into a \$20 million unsecured term loan agreement and related term note ("Term Loan") with RenaissanceRe Ventures Ltd. ("RenRe Ventures"). See "NOTE 11 – RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS," for a discussion of a series of agreements entered into with RenRe Ventures and its affiliate Renaissance Reinsurance Ltd. ("RenRe").

The Term Loan bears interest at the rate of 0.50% per annum and matures on the earlier of May 23, 2016 or the date that all principal under the Term Loan is pre-paid or deemed paid in full. The Term Loan is amortized over the three-year term and UIH may prepay the loan without penalty. Principal is payable annually on the anniversary of the closing date in three annual installments and interest is payable in arrears on the same dates as the principal payments. The Term Loan contains financial covenants and as of December 31, 2014, UIH was in compliance with such covenants.

Table of Contents

The stated interest rate of the Term Loan of 0.50% is below the Company's borrowing rate resulting in imputed interest and an original issue discount computed by calculating the present value of the future principal and interest payments utilizing the Company's borrowing rate. Concurrent with the establishment of the original issue discount, the Company recorded a deferred credit, a component of other liabilities and accrued expenses, for an equal amount against premium payments the Company will make in connection with a catastrophe risk-linked transaction contract entered into with RenRe on the same date and with the same maturity date as the Term Loan. The original issue discount will be amortized to interest expense over the life of the Term Loan and the deferred credit will be amortized as a reduction in insurance expense, a component of general and administrative expenses, over the life of a covered loss index swap with RenRe.

The following table provides the principal amount and unamortized original issue discount of the Term Loan as of the dates presented (in thousands):

| | As of December 31, | |
|--|-----------------------|----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Principal amount | \$14,000 | \$20,000 |
| Less: Unamortized discount | (670) | (1,510) |
| Term Loan, net of unamortized discount | \$13,330 | \$18,490 |

Through the interest rate payment of 0.50% per annum and the amortization of the discount, the effective interest rate on the Term Loan is 5.99%.

Amortization of the original issue discount is included in interest expense, a component of general and administrative expenses, in the Consolidated Statements of Income and was \$840 thousand and \$601 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Should UIH default on either the DB Loan (defined below) or the Term Loan, it will be prohibited from paying dividends to its shareholders.

Unsecured Line of Credit

On March 29, 2013, UIH entered into a revolving loan agreement and related revolving note with Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas ("Deutsche Bank"), amended as of May 23, 2013 ("DB Loan"). The DB Loan makes available to UIH an unsecured line of credit in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$10.0 million. Draws under the DB Loan have a maturity date of March 27, 2015 and carry an interest rate of LIBOR plus a margin of 5.50% or Deutsche Bank's prime rate plus a margin of 3.50%. The interest rate is at the election of UIH. The DB Loan contains financial covenants. As of December 31, 2014, UIH was in compliance with all such covenants. UIH had not drawn any amounts under the unsecured line of credit as of December 31, 2014.

Maturities

The following table provides an estimate of principal payments to be made for the amounts due on the surplus note and the Term Loan as of December 31, 2014 (in thousands):

| | |
|------------|----------|
| 2015 | \$8,471 |
| 2016 | 8,471 |
| 2017 | 1,471 |
| 2018 | 1,471 |
| 2019 | 1,471 |
| Thereafter | 9,925 |
| Total (1) | \$31,280 |

(1) Differs from amount presented in the Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2014 due to unamortized discount as presented in the table above.

Interest Expense

Interest expense was \$1.5 million, \$1.2 million, and \$414 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Table of Contents

NOTE 8 – STOCKHOLDERS’ EQUITY (SEE PRO-FORMA INFORMATION BELOW)

Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock

As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company had shares outstanding of Series A Preferred Stock and Series M Preferred Stock. Each share of Series A Preferred Stock and Series M Preferred Stock is convertible by the Company into shares of Common Stock.

The following table provides certain information for each series of convertible preferred stock as of the dates presented (in thousands, except conversion factor):

| | As of December 31, 2014 | | | As of December 31, 2013 | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|-------|----------------------------|-------------|-------|
| | Series A | Series M | Total | Series A | Series M | Total |
| Shares issued and outstanding | 10 | 2 | 12 | 20 | 10 | 30 |
| Conversion factor | 2.50 | 5.00 | NM | 2.50 | 5.00 | NM |
| Common shares resulting if converted | 25 | 10 | 35 | 50 | 50 | 100 |

NM – Not meaningful.

The Series A Preferred Stock pays a cumulative dividend of \$0.25 per share per quarter. The Company declared and paid aggregate dividends to holders of record of the Company’s Series A Preferred Stock of \$13 thousand and \$20 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

During the year ended December 31, 2014, 9,975 shares of Series A Preferred Stock were converted into an aggregate of 24,938 shares of UIH’s common stock. There were no conversions of Series A Preferred Stock during the year ended December 31, 2013.

The Series M Preferred Stock pays a cumulative dividend of \$0.20 per share per year. The Company declared and paid aggregate dividends to holders of record of the Company’s Series M Preferred Stock of \$0.4 thousand and \$9 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

During the year ended December 31, 2014, 8,000 shares of Series M Preferred Stock were converted into an aggregate of 40,000 shares of UIH’s common stock. During the year ended December 31, 2013, 77,740 shares of Series M Preferred Stock were converted into an aggregate of 388,700 shares of UIH’s common stock.

Table of Contents

Common Stock and Contingently Redeemable Common Stock

The following table summarizes the activity relating to shares of the Company's Common Stock and Contingently Redeemable Common Stock during the periods presented (in thousands):

| | Issued Shares | Treasury Shares | Outstanding Shares |
|---|------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Balance, as of December 31, 2011 | 41,100 | (1,018) | 40,082 |
| Options exercised | 285 | — | 285 |
| Restricted stock grant | 650 | — | 650 |
| Shares acquired through cashless exercise (1) | — | (146) | (146) |
| Shares cancelled | (146) | 146 | — |
| Balance, as of December 31, 2012 | 41,889 | (1,018) | 40,871 |
| Conversion of preferred stock | 389 | — | 389 |
| Shares repurchased | — | (7,257) | (7,257) |
| Options exercised | 2,330 | — | 2,330 |
| Restricted stock grant | 1,000 | — | 1,000 |
| Shares acquired through cashless exercise (1) | — | (1,967) | (1,967) |
| Shares cancelled | (1,967) | 1,967 | — |
| Balance, as of December 31, 2013 | 43,641 | (8,275) | 35,366 |
| Conversion of preferred stock | 65 | — | 65 |
| Shares repurchased | — | (2,392) | (2,392) |
| Treasury shares reissued and classified as contingently redeemable common stock (2) | | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| Options exercised | 1,900 | — | 1,900 |
| Restricted stock grant | 950 | — | 950 |
| Shares acquired through cashless exercise (1) | — | (1,787) | (1,787) |
| Shares cancelled | (1,787) | 1,787 | — |
| Balance, as of December 31, 2014 | 44,769 | (9,667) | 35,102 |

(1) All shares acquired represent shares tendered to cover the strike price for options and tax withholdings on the intrinsic value of options exercised or Restricted Stock (as defined in "NOTE 9 – SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION") vested. These shares have been cancelled by the Company.

(2) Subsequent to December 31, 2014 contingently redeemable common stock was reclassified to common stock.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, UIH entered into various repurchase agreements with Bradley I. Meier, the Company's former Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, to repurchase shares of UIH's common stock owned by Mr. Meier. UIH repurchased an aggregate of 7,016,000 shares from Mr. Meier at a total cost of \$30.5 million.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, UIH entered into a repurchase agreement with Norman M. Meier, a former director and the former UIH Secretary, to repurchase shares of UIH's common stock owned by Mr. Meier. UIH repurchased an aggregate of 241,933 shares from Mr. Meier at a total cost of \$1.8 million.

During the year ended December 31, 2014, UIH entered into various repurchase agreements with Bradley I. Meier to repurchase shares of UIH's common stock owned by Mr. Meier. UIH repurchased an aggregate of 1,225,000 shares from Mr. Meier at a total cost of \$14.7 million. Mr. Meier represented he owned approximately 3% of UIH's outstanding common stock as of December 31, 2014. See "NOTE 11 – RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS" for details of the right of first refusal to repurchase shares from Mr. Meier.

During the year ended December 31, 2014, UIH repurchased an aggregate of 1,166,208 shares of its common stock in the open market in compliance with Rule 10b-18 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, at a total cost of \$15.0 million.

Table of Contents

Dividends Declared

The Company declared dividends on its outstanding shares of common stock to its shareholders of record as follows for the periods presented (in thousands, except per share amounts):

| | For the year ended December 31, | | | | | |
|----------------|---------------------------------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|
| | 2014 | | 2013 | | 2012 | |
| | Per | Aggregate | Per | Aggregate | Per | Aggregate |
| | Share | Amount | Share | Amount | Share | Amount |
| First Quarter | \$0.10 | \$ 3,464 | \$0.08 | \$ 3,259 | \$0.10 | \$ 4,012 |
| Second Quarter | \$0.10 | \$ 3,502 | \$0.08 | \$ 2,821 | \$0.08 | \$ 3,214 |
| Third Quarter | \$0.10 | \$ 3,429 | \$0.10 | \$ 3,511 | \$0.08 | \$ 3,270 |
| Fourth Quarter | \$0.25 | \$ 8,845 | \$0.23 | \$ 8,134 | \$0.20 | \$ 8,174 |

Applicable provisions of the Delaware General Corporation Law may affect the ability of the Company to declare and pay dividends on its Common Stock. In particular, pursuant to the Delaware General Corporation Law, a company may pay dividends out of its surplus, as defined, or out of its net profits, for the fiscal year in which the dividend is declared and/or the preceding year. Surplus is defined in the Delaware General Corporation Law to be the excess of net assets of the company over capital. Capital is defined to be the aggregate par value of shares issued. Moreover, the ability of the Company to pay dividends, if and when declared by its Board of Directors, may be restricted by regulatory limits on the amount of dividends, which the Insurance Entities are permitted to pay the Company.

Restrictions limiting the payment of dividends by UIH

UIH pays dividends to shareholders, which are funded by earnings on investments and distributions from the earnings of its consolidated subsidiaries. Generally, other than as disclosed above and in “NOTE 7 – LONG-TERM DEBT,” there are no restrictions for UIH limiting the payment of dividends. However, UIH’s ability to pay dividends to shareholders may be affected by restrictions on the ability of the Insurance Entities to pay dividends to UIH through UIHCF. See “NOTE 5 – INSURANCE OPERATIONS,” for a discussion of these restrictions. There are no such restrictions for UIH’s non-insurance consolidated subsidiaries. Notwithstanding the restriction on the net assets of the Insurance Entities, UIH received distributions from the earnings of its non-insurance consolidated subsidiaries of \$55.8 million, \$26.9 million and \$40.2 million during the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively and made capital contributions to the Insurance Entities of \$28.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2012. UIH did not make any capital contributions to the Insurance Entities during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013. The Company prepares and files a consolidated federal tax return for UIH and its consolidated subsidiaries with all U.S. GAAP tax related entries recorded on the books of UIH. Since the U.S. GAAP tax related entries are not recorded at the subsidiary level, the Company does not have the ability to produce the amount of net assets for each of its subsidiaries in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

Contingently Redeemable Common Stock (SEE PRO-FORMA INFORMATION BELOW)

On December 2, 2014, UIH sold 1,000,000 registered shares of its common stock at a price of \$19.00 per share, in a privately negotiated transaction, to Ananke Catastrophe Investments Ltd. (“Ananke”), an affiliate of Nephila Capital

Ltd., which is subject to certain holding period restrictions. Ananke is required to hold the shares of common stock for a minimum of six months and then may: 1) sell up to one-third during the next three-month period, 2) sell another one-third during the next three-month period and 3) sell the remaining purchased thereafter.

UIH purchases insurance coverage through a covered loss index swap transaction which protects its own assets against diminution in value due to certain catastrophe events impacting UPCIC. In the event any covered loss index swap in place is triggered for payment, UIH shall, at the option of Ananke, repurchase all or any part of the common stock then owned by Ananke at a price of \$19.00 per share. The proceeds from the covered loss index swap would be used to fund the repurchase. Circumstances under which the covered loss index swap would be triggered are considered by the Company to be remote. The Company must exhaust a specific layer within UPCIC's Florida catastrophe reinsurance coverage before triggering payment on the covered loss index swap. The specific layer that triggers payment has a remote probability of occurrence according to the leading insurance industry catastrophe models licensed by the Company that simulate expected loss outcomes on UPCIC's portfolio of risks. Subsequent adjustments to the carrying amount will not be made since the contingently redeemable common stock is not currently redeemable and it is not probable it will become redeemable in the future. The capital resulting from this transaction is not restricted.

Table of Contents

PRO-FORMA INFORMATION CONCERNING STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

On February 19, 2015, the company entered into an amended agreement with Ananke to delete, in its entirety effective December 2, 2014, the provision giving rise to the redemption feature described above. This modification results in classification of the common shares in permanent equity on the date of the amendment. No consideration was exchanged with the amendment since both parties agreed that, given the remote possibility of the redemption to occur, the value of the redemption feature was de-minimis. The following table has been provided to show the pro-forma effects to equity on the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2014.

The following table shows the effect of unaudited pro-forma adjustments to the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2014 (in thousands, except per share amounts):

| | December 31, 2014 | | |
|---|-------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|
| | As Reported | PRO-FORMA Adjustment Unaudited | PRO-FORMA Unaudited |
| Total assets | \$911,774 | — | \$ 911,774 |
| Total liabilities | 692,858 | — | 692,858 |
| Contingently redeemable common stock | 19,000 | (19,000) | — |
| STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY: | | | |
| Cumulative convertible preferred stock, \$.01 par value | — | — | — |
| Common stock, \$.01 par value | 448 | — | 448 |
| Treasury shares, at cost | (62,153) | — | (62,153) |
| Additional paid-in capital | 40,987 | 19,000 | 59,987 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes | (1,835) | — | (1,835) |
| Retained earnings | 222,469 | — | 222,469 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 199,916 | 19,000 | 218,916 |
| Total liabilities, contingently redeemable common stock | | | |
| and stockholders' equity | \$911,774 | — | \$ 911,774 |

Table of Contents

NOTE 9 – SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

Equity Compensation Plan

On October 13, 2009, the Company’s Board of Directors approved, and recommended that the Company’s stockholders approve, the 2009 Omnibus Incentive Plan (“Incentive Plan”). On November 16, 2009, the Company’s stockholders approved the Incentive Plan by written consent.

An aggregate of 1.8 million shares of Common Stock was initially reserved for issuance and available for awards under the Incentive Plan. Awards under the Incentive Plan may include incentive stock options, non-qualified stock option awards (“Stock Option”), stock appreciation rights, non-vested shares of Common Stock (“Restricted Stock”), restricted stock units, performance share or unit awards, other share-based awards and cash-based incentive awards. Awards under the Incentive Plan may be granted to employees, directors, consultants or other persons providing services to the Company or its affiliates. The Incentive Plan also provides for awards that are intended to qualify as “performance-based compensation” in order to preserve the deductibility of such compensation by the Company under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code.

At the 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders held on May 11, 2011, shareholders voted to approve the recommendation of the Company’s Board of Directors to amend the Incentive Plan. The Incentive Plan allows for amendments which are intended for the plan to remain a flexible and effective source of incentive compensation in terms of the number of shares of stock available for awards, in terms of its design, as well as whether it generally conforms with the best practices in today’s business environment. Significant aspects of the amendment include: an increase of 2.4 million shares in the shares reserved for grant, an adjustment to the annual maximum awards limits, a prohibition against re-pricing of options and stock appreciation rights without shareholder approval, and an addition of specific elements to the performance goals.

At the 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders held on June 8, 2012, shareholders voted to approve the recommendation of the Company’s Board of Directors to amend the Incentive Plan. Significant aspects of this amendment include: an increase of 3 million in the shares reserved for grant, an extension of the term, an expansion of the list of performance goals, a provision for recovery compensation in connection with financial restatements, and certain modifications in order to provide internal consistency.

At the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders held on June 6, 2013, shareholders voted to approve the recommendation of the Company’s Board of Directors to amend the Incentive Plan to add 3 million shares to the shares reserved for grant.

As of December 31, 2014, 3,664,024 shares remained reserved for issuance and were available for new awards under the Incentive Plan.

The following table provides certain information related to Stock Options and Restricted Stock during the year ended December 31, 2014 (in thousands, except per share data):

| For the year ended December 31, 2014 | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|
| Stock Options | Restricted Stock |
| Weighted | Weighted |

| | Number | Average Exercise Price per Share (1) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value | Weighted Average Remaining Term | Number of Shares (2) | Average Grant Date Fair Value per Share (1) |
|-------------------------------------|---------|---|---------------------------------|--|----------------------------|--|
| Outstanding as of December 31, 2013 | 4,125 | \$ 5.56 | | | 1,600 | \$ 4.94 |
| Granted | 230 | 15.81 | | | 950 | 12.88 |
| Forfeited | (50) | 4.51 | | | n/a | n/a |
| Exercised | (1,900) | 4.93 | | | n/a | n/a |
| Vested | n/a | n/a | | | (1,875) | 6.28 |
| Expired | — | — | | | n/a | n/a |
| Outstanding as of December 31, 2014 | 2,405 | \$ 6.99 | \$ 32,362 | 3.88 | 675 | \$ 12.40 |
| Exercisable as of December 31, 2014 | 962 | \$ 4.93 | \$ 14,926 | 3.02 | | |

(1) Unless otherwise specified, such as in the case of the exercise of Stock Options, the per share prices were determined using the closing price of the Company's Common Stock as quoted on the exchanges on which the Company was listed. Shares issued upon exercise of options represent original issuances in private transactions pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended or issuances under the Company's Incentive Plan.

(2) All shares outstanding as of December 31, 2014 are expected to vest.

n/a Not applicable

77

Table of Contents

The following table provides certain information in connection with the Company's share-based compensation arrangements for the periods presented (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|----------|-----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Compensation expense: | | | |
| Stock options | \$675 | \$930 | \$1,188 |
| Restricted stock | 11,667 | 5,487 | 2,642 |
| Total | \$12,342 | \$6,417 | \$3,830 |
| Deferred tax benefits: | | | |
| Stock options | \$260 | \$359 | \$458 |
| Restricted stock | 83 | 433 | 468 |
| Total | \$343 | \$792 | \$926 |
| Realized tax benefits: | | | |
| Stock options | \$7,321 | \$2,365 | \$168 |
| Restricted stock | 967 | 374 | 291 |
| Total | \$8,288 | \$2,739 | \$459 |
| Excess tax benefits (shortfall): | | | |
| Stock options | \$6,472 | \$418 | \$(1,618) |
| Restricted stock | 534 | (59) | (142) |
| Total | \$7,006 | \$359 | \$(1,760) |
| Weighted average fair value per option or share: | | | |
| Stock option grants | \$3.39 | \$0.79 | \$0.87 |
| Restricted stock grants | \$12.88 | \$5.43 | \$3.37 |
| Intrinsic value of options exercised | \$18,979 | \$6,131 | \$437 |
| Fair value of restricted stock vested | \$28,350 | \$2,548 | \$1,164 |
| Cash received for strike price and tax withholdings | \$73 | \$— | \$652 |
| Shares acquired through cashless exercise (1) | 1,787 | 1,966 | 147 |
| Value of shares acquired through cashless exercise (1) | \$26,947 | \$12,630 | \$583 |

(1) All shares acquired represent shares tendered to cover the strike price for options and tax withholdings on the intrinsic value of options exercised or Restricted Stock vested. These shares have been cancelled by the Company. The following table provides the amount of unrecognized compensation expense as of the most recent balance sheet date and the weighted average period over which those expenses will be recorded for both Stock Options and Restricted Stock (dollars in thousands):

| | As of December 31, 2014 | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| | Stock Options | Restricted Stock |
| Unrecognized expense | \$1,485 | \$3,413 |
| Weighted average remaining years | 2.40 | 0.62 |

Stock Options

Stock Options granted by the Company generally expire between 5 to 7 years from the grant date and generally vest over a 1 to 3 year service period commencing on the grant date.

The Company used the modified Black-Scholes model to estimate the fair value of employee Stock Options on the date of grant utilizing the assumptions noted below. The risk-free rate is based on the U.S. Treasury bill yield curve in effect at the time of grant for the expected term of the option. The expected term of options granted represents the period of time that the options are expected to be outstanding. Expected volatilities are based on historical volatilities of our Common Stock. The dividend yield was based on expected dividends at the time of grant.

Table of Contents

The following table provides the assumptions utilized in the Black-Scholes model for Stock Options granted during the periods presented:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|----------------------------|--------|--------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Weighted-average risk-free interest rate | 0.48 % | 0.27 % | 0.62 % |
| Expected term of option in years | 2.98 | 3.21 | 4.25 |
| Weighted-average volatility | 40.3 % | 34.1 % | 48.4 % |
| Dividend yield | 3.9 % | 9.1 % | 11.4 % |
| Weighted average grant date fair value per share | \$3.39 | \$0.79 | \$0.87 |

On September 4, 2012, the Company granted Stock Options for an aggregate 500 thousand shares of Common Stock to the Company's Chief Operating Officer ("COO") in consideration for services rendered pursuant to terms of an employment agreement and to provide the COO with a continued incentive to share in the success of the Company. The options have an exercise price of \$3.51, expire on September 4, 2019 and vest over two years as follows: options for 250 thousand shares on the one year anniversary of the grant date and options for 250 thousand shares on the two year anniversary of the grant date.

On March 12, 2013, the Company granted the COO a Stock Option to purchase 100 thousand shares of common stock. The option has an exercise price of \$4.51 per share, expires on March 12, 2018 and vests over three years as follows: one third on the one (1) year anniversary of the date of grant, one third on the two (2) year anniversary of the date of grant and one third on the three (3) year anniversary of the date of grant.

On July 8, 2013, the Company granted the COO an additional Stock Option to purchase 300 thousand shares of common stock. The option has an exercise price of \$7.33 per share, expires on July 8, 2018 and vests over three years as follows: one third on the one (1) year anniversary of the date of grant, one third on the two (2) year anniversary of the date of grant and one third on the three (3) year anniversary of the date of grant.

On November 12, 2013, the Company granted the Chief Administrative Officer ("CAO") a Stock Option to purchase 200 thousand shares of common stock. The Company also granted the Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") a Stock Option to purchase 300 thousand shares of common stock. Both option grants have an exercise price of \$8.01 per share, expire on November 12, 2020 and vest over three years as follows: one third on the one (1) year anniversary of the date of grant, one third on the two (2) year anniversary of the date of grant and one third on the three (3) year anniversary of the date of grant.

Restricted Stock Grants

Restricted Stock grants are awarded to certain employees in consideration for services rendered pursuant to terms of employment agreements and or to provide to those employees with a continued incentive to share in the success of the Company. Restricted Stock generally vest over a three year service period commencing on the grant date. The prices discussed below reflect the market price of UIH's common stock on the grant date.

Effective August 23, 2012, the Company issued 650 thousand shares of Restricted Stock at a price of \$3.37 per share to its COO. The stock vested cumulatively over a two-year period as follows: 38.5 percent, 77 percent and 100 percent, respectively, on January 1, 2013, January 1, 2014 and December 31, 2014.

Effective April 1, 2013, the Company issued 500 thousand shares of Restricted Stock to its CEO, 250 thousand shares of Restricted Stock to its COO and 100 thousand shares of Restricted Stock to its CAO. All three Restricted Stock grants were issued at a price of \$4.88 per share and vested on April 7, 2014.

Effective August 8, 2013, the Company issued 150 thousand shares of Restricted Stock at a price of \$8.55 per share to its Chief Financial Officer. The stock vests cumulatively over a two-year period as follows: 50 percent and 50 percent, respectively, on October 1, 2014 and October 1, 2015.

Effective April 1, 2014, the Company issued 500 thousand shares of Restricted Stock at a price of \$12.88 per share to its CEO. The stock vests on April 7, 2015.

Effective April 1, 2014, the Company issued 250 thousand shares of Restricted Stock at a price of \$12.88 per share to its COO. The stock vested on December 31, 2014.

Table of Contents

Effective April 1, 2014, the Company issued 200 thousand shares of Restricted Stock at a price of \$12.88 per share to its CAO. The stock vests cumulatively over a two-year period as follows: 50 percent and 50 percent, respectively, on December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2015.

NOTE 10 – EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLAN

Effective January 1, 2009, the Company adopted a qualified retirement plan covering substantially all employees. It is designed to help the employees meet their financial needs during their retirement years. Eligibility for participation in the plan is generally based on employee's date of hire or on completion of a specified period of service. Employer contributions to this plan are made in cash.

The plan titled the "Universal Property & Casualty 401(K) Profit Sharing Plan and Trust" ("401(k) Plan") is a defined contribution plan that allows employees to defer compensation through contributions to the 401(k) Plan. The contributions are invested on the employees' behalf, and the benefits paid to employees are based on contributions and any earnings or loss. The 401(k) Plan includes a Company contribution of 100 percent of each eligible participant's contribution that does not exceed five percent of their compensation during the 401(k) Plan year. The Company may make additional profit-sharing contributions. However, no additional profit-sharing contribution was made during the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012.

The Company accrued for aggregate contributions of approximately \$599 thousand, \$709 thousand and \$598 thousand to the 401(k) Plan during the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

NOTE 11 – RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Downes and Associates, a multi-line insurance adjustment corporation based in Deerfield Beach, Florida performed certain claims adjusting work for UPCIC. Downes and Associates is owned by Dennis Downes, who is the father of Sean P. Downes, Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company. All amounts paid to Downes and Associates were no greater than amounts that would need to be paid to third parties on an arm's-length basis for similar services. The Company's agreement with Downes and Associates was terminated effective November 30, 2013 and on December 1, 2013 Dennis Downes became an employee of the Company.

Scott P. Callahan, a director of the Company, provides the Company with consulting services and advice with respect to the Company's reinsurance and related matters through SPC Global RE Advisors LLC, an entity affiliated with Mr. Callahan. The Company entered into the consulting agreement with SPC Global RE Advisors LLC effective June 6, 2013.

The following table provides payments made by the Company to related parties for the periods presented (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------|-------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Downes and Associates | \$— | \$477 | \$623 |
| SPC Global RE Advisors LLC | \$120 | \$68 | \$— |

There were no amounts due to SPC Global RE Advisors LLC as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Payments due to Downes and Associates and SPC Global RE Advisors LLC were or are generally made in the month the services are provided.

See “NOTE 8 – STOCKHOLDERS’ EQUITY,” for details on the repurchase agreements between UIH and each of Messrs. Bradley Meier and Norman Meier.

RenRe currently is, and has been, a participant in the Company’s reinsurance programs. On May 23, 2013, the Company entered into a series of contracts with RenRe and its affiliate, RenRe Ventures. As discussed in “NOTE 7 – LONG-TERM DEBT,” UIH entered into an unsecured Term Loan with RenRe Ventures. The Term Loan is part of a series of agreements entered into by the Company, RenRe and RenRe Ventures pursuant to which, among other things, the Company has purchased a catastrophe risk-linked transaction contract from RenRe and entered into an agreement whereby RenRe will reserve reinsurance capacity for the Company’s reinsurance programs and receive a right of first refusal in respect of a portion thereof. As part of the series of agreements with RenRe and RenRe Ventures, on May 23, 2013, UIH, RenRe Ventures and Mr. Bradley Meier agreed to assign to RenRe Ventures a portion of UIH’s right of first refusal to repurchase shares of its common stock owned by Mr. Meier under the first repurchase agreement entered into on April 1, 2013. RenRe Ventures had a right of first refusal to repurchase one-third of the shares offered by Mr. Meier to any third party, up to the lesser of 2 million shares or 4.99% of UIH’s outstanding common stock, through December 31, 2014 which has expired. Mr. Meier represented he owned approximately 3% of UIH’s outstanding common stock as of December 31, 2014.

Table of Contents

NOTE 12 – INCOME TAXES

The following table reconciles the statutory federal income tax rate to the Company's effective tax rate for the periods presented:

| | For the years ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------|---------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Statutory federal income tax rate | 35.0 % | 35.0 % | 35.0 % |
| Increases (decreases) resulting from: | | | |
| Disallowed meals & entertainment | 0.4 % | 0.4 % | 0.6 % |
| Disallowed compensation | 4.2 % | 2.3 % | 3.2 % |
| State income tax, net of federal tax benefit (1) | 3.6 % | 3.6 % | 3.6 % |
| Other, net | (0.4 %) | — | (0.1 %) |
| Effective tax rate | 42.8 % | 41.3 % | 42.3 % |

(1) Included in income tax is Florida income tax at a statutory rate of 5.5%.

Deferred income taxes represent the temporary differences between the U.S. GAAP and tax basis of the Company's assets and liabilities. The tax effects of temporary differences are as follows as of the dates presented (in thousands):

| | As of December 31, | |
|---|-----------------------|-----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Deferred income tax assets: | | |
| Unearned premiums | \$ 15,835 | \$ 10,976 |
| Advanced premiums | 1,332 | 1,742 |
| Unpaid losses and LAE | 1,654 | 2,598 |
| Regulatory assessments | 111 | 345 |
| Share-based compensation | 520 | 1,459 |
| Accrued wages | 539 | 255 |
| Allowance for uncollectible receivables | 138 | 172 |
| Additional tax basis of securities | 53 | 45 |
| Capital loss carryforwards | 918 | 1,149 |
| Other comprehensive income | 1,152 | — |
| Total deferred income tax assets | 22,252 | 18,741 |
| Deferred income tax liabilities: | | |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs, net | (9,898) | (6,133) |
| Prepaid expenses | (504) | (548) |
| Other comprehensive income | — | (9) |
| Total deferred income tax liabilities | (10,402) | (6,690) |
| Net deferred income tax asset | \$ 11,850 | \$ 12,051 |

A valuation allowance is deemed unnecessary as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, because management believes it is probable that the Company will generate taxable income sufficient to realize the tax benefits associated with the net deferred income tax asset shown above in the near future.

Liabilities for unrecognized tax benefits, if any, are recorded in accordance with issued FASB guidance on Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes. The Company recognizes accruals for interest and penalties, if any, related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense.

The Company filed a consolidated federal income tax return for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 and intends to file the same for the year ended December 31, 2014. The tax allocation agreements between the Company and the Insurance Entities provide that they will incur income taxes based on a computation of taxes as if they were stand-alone taxpayers. The computations are made utilizing the financial statements of the Insurance Entities prepared on a statutory basis of accounting and prior to consolidating entries which include the conversion of certain balances and transactions of the statutory financial statements to a U.S. GAAP basis.

Tax years that remain open for purposes of examination of the Company's income tax liability due to taxing authorities, include the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011. However, there is currently an IRS examination underway related to the loss carryback of realized losses from securities sold during 2012 applied to the 2009 tax year.

Table of Contents

NOTE 13 – EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings per share (“EPS”) is based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period, excluding any dilutive common share equivalents. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution resulting from exercises of stock options, vesting of restricted stock and conversion of preferred stock.

The following table reconciles the numerator (i.e., income) and denominator (i.e., shares) of the basic and diluted earnings per share computations for the periods presented (in thousands, except per share data):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Numerator for EPS: | | | |
| Net income | \$72,988 | \$58,977 | \$30,312 |
| Less: Preferred stock dividends | (13) | (29) | (287) |
| Income available to common stockholders | \$72,975 | \$58,948 | \$30,025 |
| Denominator for EPS: | | | |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 33,569 | 35,866 | 39,614 |
| Plus: Assumed conversion of share-based compensation (1) | 1,535 | 1,531 | 513 |
| Assumed conversion of preferred stock | 46 | 379 | 489 |
| Weighted average diluted common shares outstanding | 35,150 | 37,776 | 40,616 |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$2.17 | \$1.64 | \$0.76 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$2.08 | \$1.56 | \$0.75 |
| Weighted average number of antidilutive shares | 64 | 518 | 818 |

(1) Represents the dilutive effect of unvested Restricted Stock and unexercised Stock Options.

Table of Contents

NOTE 14 – OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

The following table provides the components of other comprehensive income (loss) on a pretax and after-tax basis for the periods presented (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | 2013 | | |
|--|-------------------------|----------|------------|----------|---------|-----------|
| | 2014 | | | 2013 | | |
| | Pre-tax | Tax | After-tax | Pre-tax | Tax | After-tax |
| Net unrealized gains (losses) on investments | | | | | | |
| available for sale arising during the period | \$3,252 | \$1,255 | \$1,997 | \$685 | \$264 | \$ 421 |
| Less: Amounts reclassified from accumulated | | | | | | |
| other comprehensive income (loss) | (5,627) | (2,171) | (3,456) | (1,297) | (500) | (797) |
| Net current period other comprehensive | | | | | | |
| income (loss) | \$(2,375) | \$(916) | \$(1,459) | \$(612) | \$(236) | \$ (376) |

There were no amounts of other comprehensive income for the year ended December 31, 2012.

The following table provides the reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income for the periods presented (in thousands):

| Details about Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income Components | Amounts Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income Year Ended | | Affected Line Item in the Statement Where Net Income is Presented |
|---|--|---------|--|
| | December 31, 2014 | 2013 | |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on investments | | | |
| available for sale | | | |
| | \$5,627 | \$1,297 | Net realized gains (losses) on investments |
| | (2,171) | (500) | Income taxes, current |
| | \$3,456 | \$797 | Net of tax |

Table of Contents

NOTE 15 – COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Operating Leases and Other

In July 2013, UPCIC entered into a lease agreement (“Lease Agreement”) for an office building adjacent to its principal office in Fort Lauderdale, Florida (“Property”) and expects to use the Property for additional office and storage space. The Company took possession of the Property and began monthly rental payments in October 2013. The lease agreement was classified as an operating lease. As discussed in “NOTE 6 – PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT,” UPCIC purchased the Property in December 2014.

The following table provides future minimum rental payments required under the non-cancelable operating leases as of the date presented (in thousands):

| |
|--------------|
| As of |
| December |
| 31, 2014 |
| 2015 \$ 140 |
| Total \$ 140 |

Total rental expense was \$753 thousand, \$423 thousand and \$286 thousand in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

On December 2, 2014, UIH entered into a letter agreement with Ananke that calls for a minimum annual spend of \$5 million towards covered loss index swaps during the period from June 1, 2016 through May 31, 2025.

Litigation

Certain lawsuits have been filed against the Company. These lawsuits involve routine matters incidental to the claims aspect of the Company’s business for which estimated losses are included in Unpaid Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses in the Company’s Financial Statements. In the opinion of management, these lawsuits are not material individually or in the aggregate to the Company’s financial position or results of operations. Accruals made or assessments of materiality of disclosure related to probable or possible losses do not consider any anticipated insurance proceeds.

Table of Contents

NOTE 16 – FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

U.S. GAAP defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants as of the measurement date. U.S. GAAP describes three approaches to measuring the fair value of assets and liabilities: the market approach, the income approach and the cost approach. Each approach includes multiple valuation techniques. U.S. GAAP does not prescribe which valuation technique should be used when measuring fair value, but does establish a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs used in applying the various techniques. Inputs broadly refer to the assumptions that market participants use to make pricing decisions, including assumptions about risk. Level 1 inputs are given the highest priority in the hierarchy while Level 3 inputs are given the lowest priority. Assets and liabilities carried at fair value are classified in one of the following three categories based on the nature of the inputs to the valuation technique used:

- Level 1 – Observable inputs that reflect unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets as of the reporting date. Active markets are those in which transactions for the asset or liability occur in sufficient frequency and volume to provide pricing information on an ongoing basis.
- Level 2 – Observable market-based inputs or unobservable inputs that are corroborated by market data.
- Level 3 – Unobservable inputs that are not corroborated by market data. These inputs reflect management’s best estimate of fair value using its own assumptions about the assumptions a market participant would use in pricing the asset or liability.

Summary of significant valuation techniques for assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis

Level 1

Common stock: Comprise actively traded, exchange-listed U.S. and international equity securities. Valuation is based on unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets in active markets that the Company can access.

Mutual funds: Comprise actively traded funds. Valuation is based on daily quoted net asset values for identical assets in active markets that the Company can access.

Level 2

U.S. government obligations and agencies: Comprise U.S. Treasury Bills or Notes or U.S. Treasury Inflation Protected Securities. The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical assets in inactive markets or similar assets in active or inactive markets, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields and credit spreads.

Corporate Bonds: Comprise investment-grade fixed income securities. The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical assets in inactive markets or similar assets in active or inactive markets, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields and credit spreads.

Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities: Comprise securities that are collateralized by mortgage obligations and other assets. The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical assets in inactive markets or similar assets in active or inactive markets, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields, collateral performance and credit spreads.

Redeemable Preferred Stock: Comprise preferred stock securities that are redeemable. The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active.

Short-term investments: Comprise investment securities subject to remeasurement with original maturities within one year but more than three months. The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active.

As required by U.S. GAAP, assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment, and may affect the placement of the asset or liability within the fair value hierarchy levels.

Table of Contents

The following tables set forth by level within the fair value hierarchy the Company's assets that were measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of the dates presented (in thousands):

| | Fair Value Measurements As of December 31, 2014 | | | |
|---|--|-----------|---------|-----------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| Fixed maturities: | | | | |
| U.S. government obligations and agencies | \$— | \$120,038 | \$ — | \$120,038 |
| Corporate bonds | — | 119,832 | — | 119,832 |
| Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities | — | 107,223 | — | 107,223 |
| Redeemable preferred stock | — | 6,856 | — | 6,856 |
| Equity securities: | | | | |
| Common stock | 270 | — | — | 270 |
| Mutual funds | 19,372 | — | — | 19,372 |
| Short-term investments (1) | — | 37,490 | — | 37,490 |
| Total assets accounted for at fair value | \$19,642 | \$391,439 | \$ — | \$411,081 |

- (1) Excludes \$12.5 million of certificates of deposit not subject to remeasurement.

| | Fair Value Measurements As of December 31, 2013 | | | |
|---|--|-----------|---------|-----------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| Fixed maturities: | | | | |
| U.S. government obligations and agencies | \$— | \$104,215 | \$ — | \$104,215 |
| Corporate bonds | — | 94,203 | — | 94,203 |
| Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities | — | 91,000 | — | 91,000 |
| Equity securities: | | | | |
| Common stock | 9,295 | — | — | 9,295 |
| Mutual funds | 55,727 | — | — | 55,727 |
| Total assets accounted for at fair value | \$65,022 | \$289,418 | \$ — | \$354,440 |

The Company utilizes third-party independent pricing services that provide a price quote for each fixed maturity and equity security and short-term investment. Management reviews the methodology used by the pricing services. If management believes that the price used by the pricing service does not reflect an orderly transaction between participants, management will use an alternative valuation methodology. There were no adjustments made by the Company to the prices obtained from the independent pricing source for any fixed maturities, equity securities or short-term investments included in the tables above.

The following table summarizes the carrying value and estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments that are not carried at fair value as of the dates presented (in thousands):

| | As of December 31, 2014 | | 2013 | |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| | (Level 3) | | (Level 3) | |
| | Carrying value | Estimated fair value | Carrying value | Estimated fair value |
| Liabilities (debt): | | | | |
| Surplus note | \$ 17,280 | \$ 14,951 | \$ 18,750 | \$ 15,900 |
| Term loan | \$ 13,330 | \$ 13,330 | \$ 18,490 | \$ 18,490 |

Level 3

Long-term debt: The fair value of the surplus note was determined by management from the expected cash flows discounted using the interest rate quoted by the holder. The SBA is the holder of the surplus note and the quoted interest rate is below prevailing rates quoted by private lending institutions. However, as the Company's use of funds from the surplus note is limited by the terms of the agreement, the Company has determined the interest rate quoted by the SBA to be appropriate for purposes of establishing the fair value of the note.

Table of Contents

The fair value of the Term Loan approximates the carrying value given the original issue discount which was calculated based on the present value of future cash flows using the Company's effective borrowing rate for similar instruments.

NOTE 17 – QUARTERLY RESULTS FOR 2014 AND 2013 (UNAUDITED)

The following table provides a summary of quarterly results for the periods presented (in thousands except per share data):

| | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| For the year ended December 31, 2014 | | | | |
| Net premiums earned | \$63,807 | \$73,362 | \$94,288 | \$95,420 |
| Investment income | 518 | 412 | 644 | 801 |
| Total revenues | 74,305 | 86,989 | 103,500 | 104,482 |
| Total expenses | 51,188 | 56,580 | 66,348 | 67,556 |
| Net income | 13,549 | 17,126 | 21,341 | 20,972 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$0.41 | \$0.50 | \$0.64 | \$0.63 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$0.38 | \$0.49 | \$0.61 | \$0.59 |
| For the year ended December 31, 2013 | | | | |
| Net premiums earned | \$65,409 | \$66,867 | \$68,927 | \$66,452 |
| Investment income | 12 | 137 | 382 | 1,397 |
| Total revenues | 67,455 | 77,756 | 78,368 | 77,580 |
| Total expenses | 47,693 | 48,068 | 53,255 | 51,587 |
| Net income | 11,959 | 17,029 | 14,407 | 15,582 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$0.30 | \$0.47 | \$0.43 | \$0.46 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$0.29 | \$0.44 | \$0.40 | \$0.44 |

The improvement in the fourth quarter results for 2014 compared to 2013 includes an increase in revenues of \$26.9 million offset by an increase in operating expenses of \$16.0 million. The primary driver behind these increases was the reduction of the quota-share cession rate from 45% to 30% in June of 2014. The Company has also benefited from an overall decrease in the cost of certain reinsurance and operational initiatives to expedite the settlement of claims. These initiatives have reduced both the severity of claims as well as the time to settle them, thereby reducing losses and loss adjustment expenses as a percent of earned premium.

NOTE 18 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The Company performed an evaluation of subsequent events through the date the financial statements were issued and determined there were no recognized or unrecognized subsequent events that would require an adjustment or additional disclosure in the consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2014.

On January 13, 2015, the Company declared a dividend of \$0.12 per share on its outstanding common stock payable on March 2, 2015, to shareholders of record on February 18, 2015.

On February 19, 2015, the company entered into an amended agreement with Ananke to delete, in its entirety effective December 2, 2014, the provision giving rise to the redemption feature described in Note 8. See Note 8 for the pro-forma effects of the amendment under the heading PRO-FORMA INFORMATION CONCERNING STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY.

Table of Contents

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND
FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

NONE

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that the Company files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission’s rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company’s management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of its principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company’s disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15 under the Exchange Act. Based on that evaluation, the Company’s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2014.

Management’s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. The Company’s internal control system was designed to provide reasonable assurance to the Company’s management and Board of Directors regarding the preparation and fair presentation of published financial statements.

All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation.

Management assessed the effectiveness of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (“COSO”) in the 2013 Internal Control – Integrated Framework. Based on this assessment under the framework in 2013 Internal Control – Integrated Framework, management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2014.

Management’s assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014 has been audited by Plante & Moran, PLLC, the independent registered public accounting firm who also audited the Company’s consolidated financial statements. The auditor’s attestation report on management’s assessment of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting is presented above at “Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.”

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the fourth quarter of 2014 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

NONE

Table of Contents

part iii

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

The Company has adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that is applicable to all directors, officers and employees of the Company. The code is publicly available at the Company's headquarters in Fort Lauderdale, Florida and also on the Company's website at www.universalinsuranceholdings.com. A copy of the Company's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics may be obtained free of charge by written request to Frank C. Wilcox, CFO, Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc., 1110 West Commercial Boulevard, Suite 100, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33309.

For information regarding our Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance, reference is made to our definitive proxy statement for our Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after December 31, 2014 and which is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

For information regarding Executive Compensation, reference is made to our definitive proxy statement for our Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after December 31, 2014 and which is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

For information regarding Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters, reference is made to our definitive proxy statement for our Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after December 31, 2014 and which is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

For information regarding Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence, reference is made to our definitive proxy statement for our Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after December 31, 2014 and which is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

For information regarding Principal Accountant Fees and Services, reference is made to our definitive proxy statement for our Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after December 31, 2014 and which is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(1) Financial Statements

The following consolidated financial statements of the Company and the report of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm thereon filed with this report:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2014 and 2013.

Consolidated Statements of Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012.

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012.

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

(2) Financial Statement Schedules

The following additional financial statement schedules are furnished herewith pursuant to the requirements of Form 10-K.

| | Page |
|---|------|
| Schedules required to be filed under the provisions of Regulation S-X Article 7: | |
| <u>Schedule II Condensed Financial Information of Registrant</u> | 96 |
| <u>Schedule V Valuation Allowances and Qualifying Accounts</u> | 100 |
| <u>Schedule VI Supplementary Information Concerning Consolidated Property-Casualty Insurance Operations</u> | 101 |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firms</u> | 102 |
| All other schedules are omitted because they are not applicable, or not required, or because the required information is included in the Consolidated Financial Statements or in notes thereto. | |

Table of Contents

(3)Exhibits

- 3.1 Registrant's Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as amended (9)
- 3.2 Registrant's Amended and Restated Bylaws (3)
- 3.3 Certificate of Designation for Series A Convertible Preferred Stock dated October 11, 1994 (2)
- 3.4 Certificate of Designations, Preferences, and Rights of Series M Convertible Preferred Stock dated August 13, 1997 (1)
- 3.5 Certificate of Amendment of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation dated October 19, 1998 (2)
- 3.6 Certificate of Amendment of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation dated December 18, 2000 (2)
- 3.7 Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Designations of the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock dated October 29, 2001 (2)
- 3.8 Certificate of Amendment of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation dated December 7, 2005 (6)
- 3.9 Certificate of Amendment of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation dated May 18, 2007 (6)
- 4.1 Form of Common Stock Certificate (9)
- 10.1 The Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. Second Amended and Restated 2009 Omnibus Incentive Plan (10)*
- 10.2 Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement, dated February 4, 2010, by and between the Company and Sean P. Downes (7)*
- 10.3 Director Services Agreement, dated July 12, 2007, by and between the Company and Ozzie A. Schindler (4)*
- 10.4 Director Services Agreement, dated July 12, 2007, by and between the Company and Joel M. Wilentz (4)*
- 10.5 Director Services Agreement, dated July 12, 2007, by and between the Company and Reed J. Slogoff (4)*
- 10.6 Performance-Based Restricted Stock Award, dated March 28, 2011, by and between the Company and Sean P. Downes (8)*
- 10.7 Restricted Stock Award Agreement dated August 27, 2012, by and between the Company and Sean P. Downes (11)*
- 10.8 Non-qualified Stock Option Agreement by and between the Company and Sean P. Downes (11)*
- 10.9 Form of Indemnification Agreement (12)*
- 10.10

Founder and Adviser Agreement, dated February 6, 2013, by and between the Company and Bradley I. Meier (13)*

10.11 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated February 22, 2013, by and between the Company and Sean P. Downes (14)*

10.12 Employment Agreement, dated February 22, 2013, by and between the Company and Jon W. Springer (14)*

10.13 Employment Agreement, dated February 22, 2013, by and between the Company and Norman M. Meier (14)*

10.14 Employment Agreement, dated March 21, 2013, by and between the Company and Stephen J. Donaghy (15)*

10.15 Employment Agreement, dated as of August 5, 2013, by and between Frank Wilcox and the Company (22)*

10.16 Director Services Agreement, dated June 6, 2013, by and between the Company and Scott P. Callahan (19)*

10.17 Director Services Agreement, dated June 6, 2013, by and between the Company and Darryl L. Lewis (19)*

10.18 Amendment to Second Amended and Restated 2009 Omnibus Incentive Plan (20)*

10.19 Management Agreements by and between Universal Property & Casualty Insurance Company and Universal P&C Management, Inc. dated as of June 2, 1997 (1)

10.20 Florida Insurance Capital Build-Up Incentive Program Surplus Note ("Surplus Note") between the Company and the State Board of Administration of Florida ("SBA") (5)

10.21 Addendum No. 1 to the Surplus Note between the Company and the SBA (5)

10.22 Multiple Line Quota Share Reinsurance Contract between the Company and Everest Reinsurance Company (5)

10.23 Independent Adjusting Firm Agreement between the Company and Downes and Associates (5)

Table of Contents

| | |
|-------|--|
| 10.24 | Revolving Loan Agreement, dated March 29, 2013, by and between the Company and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas (16) |
| 10.25 | Revolving Note, dated March 29, 2013, by the Company in favor of Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, in the original principal amount of \$10,000,000 (16) |
| 10.26 | Repurchase Agreement, dated April 1, 2013, by and between the Company and Bradley I. Meier (17) |
| 10.27 | Term Loan Agreement, dated May 23, 2013, by and between the Company and RenaissanceRe Ventures Ltd. (18) |
| 10.28 | Term Note, dated May 23, 2013, by the Company in favor of RenaissanceRe Ventures Ltd., in the original principal amount of \$20,000,000 (18) |
| 10.29 | Sharing Agreement, dated May 23, 2013, by and between RenaissanceRe Ventures Ltd. and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas and acknowledged by the Company and the guarantors party thereto (18) |
| 10.30 | First Amendment and Consent to Revolving Loan Agreement, dated May 23, 2013, by and between Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas and the Company (18) |
| 10.31 | Office Lease, dated July 12, 2013, by and between Commercial Station LLC and Universal Property & Casualty Insurance Company (21) |
| 10.32 | Agreement of Purchase and Sale, dated July 12, 2013, by and between Commercial Station LLC and Universal Property & Casualty Insurance Company (21) |
| 10.33 | Consulting Agreement, dated as of August 5, 2013, by and between George De Heer and the Company (22) |
| 10.34 | Repurchase Agreement, dated January 2, 2014, by and between the Company and Bradley I. Meier (23) |
| 10.35 | Repurchase Agreement, dated March 25, 2014, by and between the Company and Bradley I. Meier (24) |
| 10.36 | Director Services Agreement, dated June 5, 2014, by and between the Company and Ralph J. Palmieri (25)* |
| 10.37 | Director Services Agreement, dated June 5, 2014, by and between the Company and Richard D. Peterson (25)* |
| 10.38 | Amendment No. 1 to Employment Agreement, dated December 15, 2014, between Jon W. Springer and the Company (26)* |
| 10.39 | Amendment No. 1 to Employment Agreement, dated December 15, 2014, between Stephen J. Donaghy and the Company (26)* |
| 21 | List of Subsidiaries |
| 23.1 | Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (Plante & Moran, PLLC) |

Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

| | |
|-------------|--|
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32 | Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 101. INS | XBRL Instance Document |
| 101. SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document |
| 101. CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document |
| 101. DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document |
| 101. LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document |
| 101. PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document |
| 92 | |

Table of Contents

- * These Exhibit Numbers are management contracts or compensatory plans required to be filed as Exhibits to this Form 10-K.
- (1) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended April 30, 1997 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 13, 1997, as amended.
 - (2) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2002 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 9, 2003.
 - (3) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 11, 2007.
 - (4) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 10, 2007.
 - (5) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 10, 2009.
 - (6) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-163564) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 8, 2009.
 - (7) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 10, 2010.
 - (8) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, file with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 12, 2011.
 - (9) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 filed with the SEC on March 26, 2012.
 - (10) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, file with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 14, 2012.
 - (11) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, file with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 29, 2012.
 - (12) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, file with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 15, 2012.
 - (13) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 7, 2013.
 - (14) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 22, 2013.

- (15) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 21, 2013.
- (16) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 4, 2013.
- (17) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 1, 2013.
- (18) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 24, 2013.
- (19) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 6, 2013.
- (20) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-189122) deemed effective on June 6, 2013.
- (21) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 18, 2013.
- (22) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 5, 2013.
- (23) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 6, 2014.

Table of Contents

- (24) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 25, 2014.
- (25) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 6, 2014.
- (26) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 17, 2014.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the Registrant has duly caused this report on Form 10-K to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, hereunto duly authorized.

UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC.

Dated: February 25, 2015 By: /s/ Sean P. Downes

Sean P. Downes, President, Chief Executive Officer and Principal Executive Officer

By: /s/ Frank C. Wilcox

Frank C. Wilcox, Chief Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer

In accordance with the Exchange Act, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| | | |
|--|--|-------------------|
| /s/ Sean P. Downes Sean P. Downes | President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer) | February 25, 2015 |
| /s/ Jon W. Springer Jon W. Springer | Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer and Director | February 25, 2015 |
| /s/ Frank C. Wilcox Frank C. Wilcox | Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer) | February 25, 2015 |
| /s/ Scott P. Callahan Scott P. Callahan | Director | February 25, 2015 |
| /s/ Darryl L. Lewis Darryl L. Lewis | Director | February 25, 2015 |
| /s/ Ralph J. Palmieri Ralph J. Palmieri | Director | February 25, 2015 |
| /s/ Richard D. Peterson Richard D. Peterson | Director | February 25, 2015 |
| /s/ Michael A. Pietrangelo Michael A. Pietrangelo | Director | February 25, 2015 |
| /s/ Ozzie A. Schindler Ozzie A. Schindler | Director | February 25, 2015 |
| /s/ Joel M. Wilentz | Director | February 25, 2015 |

Joel M. Wilentz

95

Table of Contents

SCHEDULE II – CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT

Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. had no long term obligations, guarantees or material contingencies as of December 31, 2014 and 2013. The following summarizes the major categories of the parent company's financial statements (in thousands, except per share data):

CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS

| | As of December 31, | |
|---|--------------------|-----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| ASSETS | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$14,890 | \$4,878 |
| Investments in subsidiaries and undistributed earnings | 216,656 | 174,068 |
| Equity securities, at fair value | 627 | 10,474 |
| Fixed maturities, at fair value | 2,967 | 750 |
| Other receivables | — | 244 |
| Income taxes recoverable | 5,675 | 8,152 |
| Deferred income taxes | 11,850 | 12,052 |
| Other assets | 1,040 | 346 |
| Total assets | \$253,705 | \$210,964 |
| LIABILITIES, CONTINGENTLY REDEEMABLE COMMON STOCK AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| LIABILITIES: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$169 | \$146 |
| Income taxes payable | 1,799 | 2,566 |
| Long-term debt | 13,330 | 18,490 |
| Other accrued expenses | 19,491 | 14,153 |
| Total liabilities | 34,789 | 35,355 |
| Contingently redeemable common stock | 19,000 | — |
| Issued shares - 1,000 | | |
| Outstanding shares - 1,000 | | |
| STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY: | | |
| Cumulative convertible preferred stock, \$.01 par value | — | — |
| Authorized shares - 1,000 | | |
| Issued shares - 12 and 30 | | |
| Outstanding shares - 12 and 30 | | |
| Minimum liquidation preference - \$8.49 and \$6.98 per share | | |
| Common stock, \$.01 par value | 448 | 436 |
| Authorized shares - 55,000 | | |
| Issued shares - 43,769 and 43,641 | | |
| Outstanding shares - 34,102 and 35,366 | | |
| Treasury shares, at cost - 9,667 and 8,275 | (62,153) | (35,467) |
| Additional paid-in capital | 40,987 | 42,282 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes | (1,835) | (376) |

| | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| Retained earnings | 222,469 | 168,734 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 199,916 | 175,609 |
| Total liabilities, contingently redeemable common stock | | |
| and stockholders' equity | \$253,705 | \$210,964 |

See accompanying notes to condensed financial statements

Table of Contents

CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

| | For the Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| PREMIUMS EARNED AND OTHER REVENUES | | | |
| Assumed premiums written | \$— | \$— | \$ 10,686 |
| Premiums earned | — | — | 10,686 |
| Net investment income (expense) | (23) | 207 | 25 |
| Net realized gains (losses) on investments | 625 | (2,947) | (1,880) |
| Net change in unrealized gains (losses) on investments | — | 1,625 | 1,162 |
| Management fee | 121 | 134 | 142 |
| Total premiums earned and other revenues | 723 | (981) | 10,135 |
| OPERATING COSTS AND EXPENSES | | | |
| General and administrative expenses | 39,062 | 32,476 | 25,051 |
| Total operating cost and expenses | 39,062 | 32,476 | 25,051 |
| LOSS BEFORE INCOME TAXES AND EQUITY IN NET | | | |
| EARNINGS OF SUBSIDIARIES | (38,339) | (33,457) | (14,916) |
| Benefit from income taxes | (16,403) | (13,834) | (6,312) |
| LOSS BEFORE EQUITY IN NET EARNINGS OF | | | |
| SUBSIDIARIES | (21,936) | (19,623) | (8,604) |
| Equity in net income of subsidiaries | 94,924 | 78,600 | 38,916 |
| CONSOLIDATED NET INCOME | \$ 72,988 | \$ 58,977 | \$ 30,312 |

See accompanying notes to condensed financial statements

Table of Contents

CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

| | For the Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---|----------------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Cash flows from operating activities | | | |
| Net Income | \$72,988 | \$58,977 | \$30,312 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: | | | |
| Equity in net income of subsidiaries | (94,924) | (78,600) | (38,916) |
| Distribution of income from subsidiaries | 55,805 | 26,898 | 40,222 |
| Depreciation | 2 | — | — |
| Amortization of share-based compensation | 12,342 | 6,417 | 3,830 |
| Amortization of original issue discount on debt | 840 | 601 | — |
| Accretion of deferred credit | (840) | (601) | — |
| Net realized (gains) losses on investments | (625) | 2,947 | 1,880 |
| Net change in unrealized (gains) losses on investments | — | (1,625) | (1,162) |
| Deferred income taxes | 1,118 | 7,363 | 3,813 |
| Excess tax benefits from share-based compensation | (7,006) | (359) | 1,760 |
| Other | — | — | — |
| Net changes in assets and liabilities relating to operating activities: | | | |
| Restricted cash and cash equivalents | — | — | 30,220 |
| Purchases of equity securities, trading | — | (3,972) | (58,836) |
| Proceeds from sale of equity securities, trading | — | 16,712 | 60,379 |
| Income taxes recoverable | 2,477 | (5,558) | (2,594) |
| Income taxes payable | 6,239 | 2,226 | (13,801) |
| Other operating assets and liabilities | 5,752 | 1,347 | 2,911 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | 54,168 | 32,773 | 60,018 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | |
| Capital contributions to subsidiaries | (5,585) | (1,600) | (28,555) |
| Purchases of equity securities, available for sale | (15,836) | (10,357) | — |
| Purchase of fixed maturities, available for sale | (3,000) | (750) | — |
| Proceeds from sales of equity securities, available for sale | 26,060 | — | — |
| Proceeds from sales of fixed maturities, available for sale | 770 | — | — |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | 2,409 | (12,707) | (28,555) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | |
| Proceeds from borrowings | — | 20,000 | — |
| Repayment of debt | (6,000) | — | — |
| Preferred stock dividend | (13) | (29) | (287) |
| Common stock dividend | (19,240) | (17,725) | (18,669) |
| Issuance of common stock | 73 | — | 207 |
| Purchase of treasury stock | (29,736) | (32,365) | — |
| Proceeds received from issuance of common and contingently redeemable common stock | 19,000 | — | — |
| Payments related to tax withholding for share-based compensation | (17,655) | (3,161) | (121) |

Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|----------|----------|----------|
| Excess tax benefits (shortfall) from share-based compensation | 7,006 | 359 | (1,760) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | (46,565) | (32,921) | (20,630) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 10,012 | (12,855) | 10,833 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 4,878 | 17,733 | 6,900 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$14,890 | \$4,878 | \$17,733 |

See accompanying notes to condensed financial statements

Table of Contents

NOTE 1 – GENERAL

The financial statements of the Registrant should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements in “Item 8.”

Nature of Operations and Basis of Presentation

Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. (the “Company”), is a Delaware corporation originally incorporated as Universal Heights, Inc. in November 1990. The Company is an insurance holding company whose wholly-owned subsidiaries perform all aspects of insurance underwriting, distribution and claims. Through its wholly-owned subsidiaries, including Universal Property & Casualty Insurance Company and American Platinum Property and Casualty Insurance Company, collectively referred to as the “Insurance Entities”, the Company is principally engaged in the property and casualty insurance business offered primarily through a network of independent agents. Risk from catastrophic losses is managed through the use of reinsurance agreements.

The Company generates revenues from premiums earned on reinsurance contracts entered into with the Insurance Entities and earnings on investments. The Company also receives distributions of earnings from its insurance and non-insurance subsidiaries.

Certain amounts in the prior periods’ condensed financial statements have been reclassified in order to conform to current period presentation. Such reclassifications had no effect on net income or stockholders’ equity.

NOTE 2 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On February 19, 2015, the Company amended its agreement dated December 2, 2014 with a third party to issue shares of its common stock from treasury. The amendment deletes, in its entirety effective December 2, 2014, the provision giving rise to a redemption feature that resulted in mezzanine equity presentation on the Company’s Condensed Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2014. The shares have been reclassified to permanent equity as of February 20, 2014. No consideration was exchanged with the amendment since both parties agreed that, given the remote possibility of the redemption feature to occur, the value of the redemption feature was de-minimis.

Table of Contents

SCHEDULE V – VALUATION ALLOWANCES AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

The following table summarizes activity in the Company's allowance for doubtful accounts for the periods presented (in thousands):

| Description | Beginning Balance | Additions Charges to Charges to Other Accounts | | Deductions | Ending Balance |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|--|---|------------|-------------------|
| | | Earnings | | | |
| Year Ended December 31, 2014: | | | | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | \$ 446 | 431 | — | 520 | \$ 357 |
| Year Ended December 31, 2013: | | | | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | \$ 530 | 428 | — | 512 | \$ 446 |
| Year Ended December 31, 2012: | | | | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | \$ 715 | 319 | — | 504 | \$ 530 |

Table of Contents

SCHEDULE VI – SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION CONCERNING CONSOLIDATED PROPERTY

AND CASUALTY INSURANCE OPERATIONS

The following table provides certain information related to the Company's property and casualty operations as of, and for the periods presented (in thousands):

| | As of December 31, Reserves for Unpaid Losses and LAE | For the Year Ended December 31, Incurred Loss and LAE Current Year | Incurred Loss and LAE Prior Years | Paid Losses and LAE | Net Investment Income |
|------|---|--|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 2014 | \$ 134,353 | \$ 124,011 | \$ (736) | \$ 126,910 | \$ 2,375 |
| 2013 | \$ 159,222 | \$ 111,560 | \$ (2,945) | \$ 129,803 | \$ 1,928 |
| 2012 | \$ 193,241 | \$ 119,458 | \$ 6,729 | \$ 113,574 | \$ 441 |

| | As of December 31, Deferred Policy Acquisition Cost ("DPAC") | For the Year Ended December 31, Amortization of DPAC | Net Premiums Written | Net Premiums Earned | As of December 31, Unearned Premiums |
|------|---|---|----------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| 2014 | \$ 25,660 | \$ (33,501) | \$ 389,847 | \$ 326,877 | \$ 395,748 |
| 2013 | \$ 15,899 | \$ (21,685) | \$ 261,778 | \$ 267,655 | \$ 383,488 |
| 2012 | \$ 17,282 | \$ (16,190) | \$ 262,524 | \$ 231,120 | \$ 388,071 |

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To The Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Fort Lauderdale, Florida

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2014, and the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in the 2013 Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO); such consolidated financial statements and report are included elsewhere in this Form 10-K and are incorporated herein by reference. Our audits also included the consolidated financial statement schedules of the Company listed in the accompanying index at Item 15. These consolidated financial statement schedules are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion based on our audits. In our opinion, such consolidated financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

/s/ Plante & Moran, PLLC

Chicago, Illinois

February 25, 2015