

Orion Marine Group Inc  
Form 10-K  
March 06, 2013  
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number: 1-33891

ORION MARINE GROUP, INC.

Delaware

26-0097459

State of Incorporation

IRS Employer Identification Number

12000 Aerospace Suite 300

Houston, Texas 77034

(713) 852-6500

Address of Principal Executive Office

Telephone number (including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered

Common stock, \$0.01 par value per share

The New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act:  Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act:  Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days:  Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every interactive data file required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files):  Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K  Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (Check One):

Large Accelerated Filer  Accelerated Filer  Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company

Edgar Filing: Orion Marine Group Inc - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act)  Yes  No  
There were 27,232,289 shares of common stock outstanding as of February 25, 2013. The aggregate market value of the Registrant's common equity held by non-affiliates was approximately \$184 million as of June 30, 2012, the last business day of the Registrant's most recently completed second quarter, based upon the last reported sales price on the New York Stock Exchange on that date.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Part III – Portions of the Registrant's definitive Proxy Statement to be issued on connection with the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed on or about April 8, 2013.

Table of Contents

ORION MARINE GROUP, INC.

2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K

Table of Contents

<u>PART I</u>		<u>3</u>
Item 1.	<u>Business</u>	<u>3</u>
Item 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>10</u>
Item 1B.	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	<u>20</u>
Item 2.	<u>Properties</u>	<u>20</u>
Item 3.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>20</u>
Item 4.	<u>Mine Safety Disclosure (not applicable)</u>	<u>20</u>
<u>PART II</u>		<u>21</u>
Item 5.	<u>Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	<u>21</u>
Item 6.	<u>Selected Financial Data</u>	<u>22</u>
Item 7.	<u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>25</u>
Item 7A.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	<u>33</u>
Item 8.	<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	<u>34</u>
Item 9.	<u>Changes In and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	<u>34</u>
Item 9A.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>34</u>
Item 9B.	<u>Other Information</u>	<u>35</u>
<u>PART III</u>		<u>35</u>
Item 10.	<u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	<u>35</u>
Item 11.	<u>Executive Compensation</u>	<u>35</u>
Item 12.	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	<u>36</u>
Item 13.	<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	<u>36</u>
Item 14.	<u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	<u>36</u>
<u>PART IV</u>		<u>36</u>
Item 15.	<u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	<u>36</u>
<u>SIGNATURES</u>		<u>39</u>

Table of Contents

PART I

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

In addition to historical information, this Annual Report on Form 10-K and the documents incorporated by reference herein may contain forward-looking statements that are not based on historical fact. When used in this report, words such as “expects”, “anticipates”, “believes”, “seeks”, “estimates”, “plans”, “intends” and similar words identify forward-looking statements. You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. Although such statements are based on management’s current estimates and expectations and currently available competitive, financial and economic data, forward-looking statements are inherently uncertain and involve risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to differ materially from what may be inferred from the forward-looking statements. Some of the factors that could cause or contribute to such differences are listed and discussed in Item 1A “Risk Factors”, below and elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. We undertake no obligation to release publicly any revisions or updates to any forward-looking statements that are contained in this document.

Item 1. BUSINESS

General

We are a leading marine specialty contractor serving the heavy civil marine infrastructure market. We provide a broad range of marine construction services on, over and under the water along the Gulf Coast, the Atlantic Seaboard, the West Coast, Alaska, Canada, as well as the Caribbean Basin, the Middle East and Australia. Our customers are federal, state and municipal governments as well as private commercial and industrial enterprises.

We are a Delaware corporation, with our corporate offices located in Houston, Texas. The common stock of Orion Marine Group, Inc. commenced trading publicly in 2007 and is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol ORN. Unless the context otherwise requires, all references herein to “Orion”, the “Company”, the “Registrant”, “we”, “us” or “our” refer to Orion Marine Group, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries and affiliates.

History

We were founded in 1994 as a marine construction project management business. Throughout the years, we expanded beyond the project management business, established fixed geographic operating bases and made several strategic acquisitions since 1997.

Business Strategy

General

We pursue the following business strategies in order to improve our business and prospects, increase our revenue and profitability and, ultimately, enhance stockholder value:

Expand and Fill in Our Service Territory

We grow our business by seeking opportunities in geographic markets that we do not currently serve by establishing a physical presence in new areas through selective acquisitions or greenfield expansions.

Pursue Strategic Acquisitions

We evaluate acquisition opportunities in parallel with our greenfield expansion. Our strategy calls for the timely and efficient integration of acquisitions into our culture, bidding process and internal controls. We believe that attractive acquisition candidates are available due to the highly fragmented and regional nature of the industry, high cost of capital for equipment and the desire for liquidity among an aging group of existing business owners. We believe our financial strength, industry expertise and experienced management team will be attractive to acquisition candidates. In 2012, we acquired substantially all of the assets of West Construction, Inc., which expanded our

presence in Alaska, the West Coast and opened up international opportunities in the Middle East and Australia.

**Continue to Capitalize on Favorable Long-Term Industry Trends**

Our growth has been driven by our ability to capitalize on infrastructure spending across multiple end-markets we serve including port infrastructure, government funded projects, transportation, oil and gas, and environmental restoration markets.

**Continue to Reinvest in our Core Business**

Since our inception, we have focused on pursuing technically complex projects where our specialized services and equipment differentiate us from our competitors. We intend to continue to grow our fleet through the purchase and development of equipment.

## Table of Contents

### Financial Information About Segments

Although we describe our business in this report in terms of the services we provide, our base of customers and the geographic areas in which we operate, we have concluded that our operations comprise a single reportable segment pursuant to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("U.S. GAAP"). In making this determination, we considered the nature of the services we provide, the nature of our internal processes for delivering those services, and the types of customers we have. We have a high degree of skill set commonality among our workforce in each category of service we provide, including project managers, project engineers and construction personnel. We use common tools, policies and procedures across our respective business units, including common software and standard project review meeting. We have similar customers across our operating regions. We organize, evaluate and manage our financial information around each project when making operating decisions and assessing our overall performance.

### Services Provided

We prefer to act as a single-source, turnkey solution for our customers' marine contracting needs. We provide a broad range of heavy civil marine construction services, including dredging, repair and maintenance, and other specialty services. In so doing, we operate as a single reporting segment, and many of our contracts encompass multiple services within a single project. Therefore, financial reporting by service is not useful for the reader when assessing our overall performance.

### Marine Construction Services

Marine construction services include construction of marine transportation facilities, marine pipelines, bridges and causeways, and marine environmental structures. We generally serve as the prime contractor for many of these types of projects and we have the capability of providing design-build services.

Marine transportation facility construction projects include public port facilities for container ship loading and unloading; cruise ship port facilities; private terminals; special-use Navy terminals, recreational use marinas and docks, and other marine-based facilities. These projects typically consist of steel or concrete fabrication dock or mooring structures designed for durability and longevity, and involve driving piles of concrete, pipe or sheet pile to provide a foundation for the port facility structure that we subsequently construct on the piles. We also provide on-going maintenance and repair, inspection services, emergency repair, and demolition and salvage to such facilities.

Our marine pipeline service projects generally include the installation and removal of underwater buried pipeline transmission lines; installation of pipeline intakes and outfalls for industrial facilities; construction of pipeline outfalls for wastewater and industrial discharges; river crossing and directional drilling; creation of hot taps and tie-ins; and inspection, maintenance and repair services.

Our bridge and causeway projects include the construction, repair and maintenance of all types of overwater bridges and causeways, as well as the development of fendering systems in marine environments, and we serve as the prime contractor for many of these projects, some of which may be design-build contracts. These projects involve fabricating steel or concrete structures designed for durability and longevity, and involve driving concrete, pipe or sheet pile to create support for the concrete deck roadways that we subsequently construct on the piles. These piles can exceed 50 inches in diameter, can range up to 170 feet in overall length, and are often driven 90 feet into the sea floor. We also provide ongoing maintenance and repair, as well as emergency repair, to bridges, pile supports, and fendering systems for bridges.

Marine environmental structure projects may include the installation of concrete mattresses to promote erosion protection; construction of levees to contain environmental mitigation projects, and the installation of geotubes for wetlands and island creation. Such structures are used for erosion control, wetlands creation and environmental remediation.

#### Dredging services

Dredging generally enhance or preserve the navigability of waterways or the protection of shorelines through the removal or replenishment of soil, sand or rock. Dredging involves the removal of mud and silt from the channel floor by means of a mechanical backhoe, crane and bucket or cutter suction dredge and pipeline systems. Dredging is integral to marine capital and maintenance projects, including: maintenance for previously deepened waterways and harbors to remove silt, sand and other accumulated sediments; construction of breakwaters, jetties, canals and other marine structures; deepening ship channels and wharves to accommodate larger and deeper draft ships; containing erosion of wetlands and coastal marshes; land reclamation; and beach nourishment and creation of wildlife refuges. Maintenance dredging projects provide a source of recurring revenue as active channels typically require dredging every one to three years due to natural sedimentation. The frequency of maintenance dredging may be accelerated by heavy rainfall or major weather events such as hurricanes. Areas where no natural deep water ports exist, such as the Texas Gulf Coast, require substantial maintenance dredging. We maintain multiple specialty dredges of various sizes and specifications to meet customer needs. In 2012, we expanded the reach of a dredge ladder to enable us to dig deeper and participate further in specialty dredging needs of the oil and gas industry.

## Table of Contents

### Specialty Services

Our specialty services include salvage, demolition, surveying, towing, diving and underwater inspection, excavation and repair. Our diving services are largely performed in shallow water and include inspections, salvage and pile restoration and encapsulation. Our survey services include surveying pipelines and performing hydrographic surveys which determine the configuration of the floors of bodies of water and detect and identify wrecks and other obstructions. Most of these specialty services support our other construction services and provide an incremental touch-point with our customers.

### Industry Overview

Our services are provided to customers in diverse end markets, including port expansion and maintenance, bridges, causeways and other marine infrastructure, the cruise industry, the Department of Defense, the oil and gas industry, coastal protection and reclamation, along with hurricane restoration and repair and environmental remediation. We believe that this diversity in our customer base enables us to mitigate the negative effects of any downturn in a specific end market and respond quickly to the needs of expanding end markets.

### Port Expansion and Maintenance

Ports and harbors are vital to trade for the U.S. economy, help position the U.S. as a leader in global trade and are essential to national security. We anticipate that U.S. ports will need to build larger dock space and deepen their channels to accommodate larger container, dry bulk and liquid cargo ships in order to remain globally competitive.

We believe that ports located on the Gulf Coast and Atlantic Seaboard can also expect greater volume growth as the Panama Canal expansion should contribute to increased traffic of larger container ships from the Pacific Ocean. As a part of our existing operations, we service most major ports across the Gulf Coast and the southeast Atlantic Coast.

### Bridges and Causeways

According to the American Society of Civil Engineers, as of their most recent report for 2009, 26% of the nation's bridges are structurally deficient or functionally obsolete. As the system ages, the infrastructure cannot support the growing traffic loads, resulting in frequent delays for repairs. At the same time, the repairs become more expensive due to long-deferred maintenance.

### Marine Infrastructure

The U.S. Marine Transportation System ("MTS") consists of waterways, ports and their intermodal connections, vessels, vehicles, and system users, as well as shipyards and repair facilities crucial to maritime activity. The MTS is primarily an aggregation of federal, state, local and privately owned facilities and private companies. U.S. inland and intracoastal waterways require substantial maintenance and improvement. While waterway usage is increasing, the facilities and supporting systems are aging. In addition, channels and waterways must maintain certain depths to accommodate ship and barge traffic. Natural sedimentation in these channels and waterways require maintenance dredging to maintain navigability.

### Cruise Industry

An expected increase in cruise ships and cruise ship size has generated a need for substantial port infrastructure development, including planning and construction of new terminals and facilities, as well as on-going maintenance and repair services. These larger vessels require development of new piers and additional dredging services to accommodate deeper drafts. Our service area includes, among others, the ports of Miami, Galveston, Tampa, New Orleans, Canaveral, Juneau, Seattle and the Caribbean Basin, which includes numerous cruise facilities and is the most popular cruise destination in the North American market.

### The Department of Defense and Homeland Security



The US Navy has the responsibility for the maintenance of 39 facilities in the United States, which includes a significant amount of marine infrastructure. We believe the US Navy will continue to maintain strategic facilities, including maintenance and upgrades to its marine facility infrastructure.

The US Coast Guard maintains more than 50,000 federal aids to navigation, which include buoys, lighthouses, day beacons and radio-navigation signals, and additionally has oversight responsibility for over 18,000 highway and railroad bridges that span navigable waterways throughout the country. As part of the Department of Homeland Security, we anticipate that US Coast Guard needs for varied marine construction services, including those listed above, will provide opportunities for us in the future.

We anticipate that the continued implementation of the U.S. Base Realignment and Closure Program (“BRAC”), will shift emphasis towards improving domestic military infrastructure, including port and other facilities located on, or near, the water. This should result in the need for increased infrastructure at the realigned facilities where personnel and equipment will be moved from facilities targeted for closure.

Table of Contents

Oil and Gas Industry

We construct, repair and remove underwater pipelines, and provide marine construction and on-going maintenance services for private refineries, terminal facilities and docks, and other critical near shore oil and gas infrastructure. Increased levels of capital expenditures by midstream and downstream oil and gas companies in response to higher oil and gas prices should increase demand for our services.

U.S. Coastal and Wetland Restoration and Reclamation

We believe that increases in coastal population density and demographic trends will lead to an increase in the number of coastal restoration and reclamation projects, and, as the value of waterside assets rises from a residential and recreational standpoint, citizens and municipalities will increase spending on restoration and reclamation projects.

Hurricane Restoration and Repair

Hurricanes are often very destructive to the existing marine infrastructure and natural protection barriers of the prime storm territories of the Gulf Coast, the Atlantic Seaboard and the Caribbean Basin, including bridges, ports, underwater channels and sensitive coastal areas. Typically, restoration and repair opportunities continue for several years after a major hurricane event. These events provide incremental projects to our industry that contribute to a favorable bidding environment and high capacity utilization in our markets.

Environmental Remediation

We believe there will be additional funding for the protection of natural habitats, environmental preservation, wetlands creation and remediation for high priority projects in Louisiana and other areas in the markets we serve that will protect and restore sensitive marine and coastal areas, advance ocean science and research, and ensure sustainable use of ocean resources.

Customers

Our customers include federal, state and local governmental agencies in the United States, as well as private commercial and industrial enterprises in the US and the Caribbean Basin. Most projects are competitively bid, with the award typically going to the lowest qualified bidder. In 2012, the US Army Corps of Engineers accounted for 17% of our total revenue. Our customer base shifts from time to time depending on the mix of contracts in progress.

The following table represents concentrations of revenue by type of customer for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010.

	2012	%	2011	%	2010	%	
Federal	\$64,049	22	% \$108,123	42	% \$143,697	41	%
State	35,799	12	% 48,604	19	% 40,145	11	%
Local	44,626	15	% 40,647	15	% 41,868	12	%
Private	147,568	51	% 62,478	24	% 127,425	36	%
	\$292,042	100	% \$259,852	100	% \$353,135	100	%

We do not believe that the loss of any single customer, other than the US Army Corps of Engineers, would have a material adverse effect on our operations.

Backlog

Our contract backlog represents our estimate of the revenues we expect to realize under the portion of the contracts remaining to be performed. Given the typical duration of our contracts, which generally is less than one year, our backlog at any point in time usually represents only a portion of the revenue that we expect to realize during a twelve month period. We include projects in our backlog only when the customer has provided an executed contract, purchase order or change order. Our backlog under contract as of December 31, 2012, was approximately \$184.1

million and at December 31, 2011 was approximately \$164.5 million. These estimates are subject to fluctuations based upon the scope of services to be provided, as well as factors affecting the time required to complete the project. In addition, many projects that make up our backlog may be canceled at any time without penalty; however, we can generally recover actual committed costs and profit on work performed up to the date of cancellation. Although we have not been materially adversely affected by contract cancellations or modifications in the past, we may be so affected in the future, especially during economically uncertain periods. Consequently, backlog is not necessarily indicative of future results. In addition to our backlog under contract, we also have a substantial number of projects in negotiation or pending award at any given time.

## Table of Contents

### Seasonality and Quarterly Results

Our quarterly revenues and results of operations fluctuate depending upon the mix, size, scope, and progress schedules of our projects under contract, the productivity of our labor force and the utilization of our equipment. These factors, as well as others affect the rate at which revenue is recognized as projects are completed.

### Competition

We compete in our service areas of the Gulf Coast, the Atlantic Seaboard, the Caribbean Basin, the West Coast, Alaska and Canada, with several regional marine construction services companies and a few national marine construction services companies. From time to time, we compete with certain national land-based heavy civil contractors that have greater resources than we do. Our industry is highly fragmented with competitors generally varying within the markets we serve and with few competitors competing in all of the markets we serve or for all of the services that we provide. We believe that our turnkey capability, expertise, experience and reputation for providing safe and timely quality services, safety record and programs, equipment fleet, financial strength, surety bonding capacity, knowledge of local markets and conditions, and project management and estimating abilities allow us to compete effectively. We believe significant barriers to entry exist in the markets in which we operate, including the ability to bond large projects, maritime law constraints, specialized marine equipment and technical experience; however, a U.S. company that has adequate financial resources, access to technical expertise and specialized equipment may become a competitor.

### Bonding

In connection with our business, we generally are required to provide various types of surety bonds that provide an additional measure of security for our performance under certain government and private sector contracts. Our ability to obtain surety bonds depends upon our capitalization, adequate working capital, past performance, management expertise, and external factors, including the capacity of the overall surety market. Surety companies consider such factors in light of the amount of our backlog that we have currently bonded and their own current underwriting standards, which may change from time to time. The capacity of the surety market is subject to market-driven fluctuations driven primarily by the level of surety industry losses and the degree of surety market consolidation. The bonds we provide typically are for the contract amount of the project and have face amounts ranging from approximately \$1.0 to approximately \$50.0 million. As of December 31, 2012, we had approximately \$110 million in surety bonds outstanding. On December 31, 2012, we believe our capacity under our current bonding arrangement, including bonds outstanding, was in excess of \$400 million in aggregate surety bonds.

### Trade Names

We operate under a number of trade names. In late 2011, we began to consolidate our operations under the brand name "Orion Marine Group, Inc.". We may be known as Orion Marine Group, Orion Marine Construction, Orion Marine Contractors, Orion Diving & Salvage, Orion Middle East and Orion Australia, as well as our former names of King Fisher Marine Service, Orion Construction, Misener Marine Construction, Misener Diving & Salvage, F. Miller Construction, Orion Dredging Services, T.W. LaQuay Dredging, Northwest Marine Construction, West Construction, and West ME. We do not generally register our trademarks with the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office, but instead rely on state and common law protections. While we consider our trade names to be valuable assets, we do not consider any single trademark or trade name to be of such material importance that its absence would cause a material disruption of our business.

### Equipment

We operate and maintain a large and diverse equipment fleet, substantially all of which we own, that includes the following:

⚓ Barges — Spud barges, material barges, deck barges, anchor barges and fuel barges are used to provide work platforms for cranes and other equipment, to transport materials to the project site and to provide support for the project at the

project site.

• Dayboats — Small pushboats, dredge tenders and skiffs are used to shift barges at the project site, to move personnel and to provide general support to the project site.

• Tugs — Larger pushboats and tug boats are used to transport barges and other support equipment to and from project site.

Dredges — 24” cutter head suction dredges (diesel), 20” cutter head suction dredge (diesel/electric), 20” cutter head suction dredges (diesel), 16” cutter head suction dredges, and 12” portable cutter head suction dredges are used to provide dredging services at project sites

• Cranes — Crawler lattice boom cranes with lift capability from 50 tons to 400 tons and hydraulic rough terrain cranes with lift capability from 15 tons to 60 tons are used to provide lifting and pile driving capabilities on project sites, and to provide bucket work, including mechanical dredging and dragline work, to project sites.

7

---

## Table of Contents

We believe that our equipment generally is well maintained and suitable for our current operations. We have the ability to extend the useful life of our equipment through capital refurbishment at periodic intervals. Most of our fleet is serviced by our own mechanics who work at various maintenance sites and facilities, including our dry dock facilities. We are also capable of building, and have built, much of our highly specialized equipment. Our strategy is to move our fleet from region to region as our projects require. We have pledged our owned equipment as collateral under our credit facility.

### Equipment Certification

Some of our equipment requires certification by the U.S. Coast Guard and, where required, our vessels' permissible loading capacities require certification by the American Bureau of Shipping ("ABS"). ABS is an independent classification society which certifies that certain of our larger, seagoing vessels are "in-class," signifying that the vessels have been built and maintained in accordance with ABS standards and applicable U.S. Coast Guard rules and regulations. All of our vessels that are required to be certified by ABS have been certified as "in-class." These certifications indicate that the vessels are structurally capable of operating in open waters, which enhances the mobility of our fleet.

### Government Regulations

We are required to comply with regulatory requirements of federal, state and local governmental agencies and authorities including the following:

- regulations concerning workplace safety, labor relations and disadvantaged businesses;
- licensing requirements applicable to shipping and dredging; and
- permitting and inspection requirements applicable to marine construction projects.

We are also subject to government regulations pursuant to the Dredging Act, the Merchant Marine Act of 1920, commonly referred to as the "Jones Act", the Shipping Act and the Vessel Documentation Act. These statutes require vessels engaged in the transport of merchandise or passengers between two points in the U.S. or dredging in the navigable waters of the U.S. to be documented with a coastwise endorsement, to be owned and controlled by U.S. citizens, to be manned by U.S. crews, and to be built in the U.S. The U.S. citizenship ownership and control standards require the vessel-owning entity to be at least 75% U.S.-citizen owned, and prohibit the demise or bareboat chartering of the vessel to any entity that does not meet the 75% U.S. citizen ownership test. These statutes, together with similar requirements for other sectors of the maritime industry, are collectively referred to as "cabotage" laws.

We believe that we are in material compliance with applicable regulatory requirements and have all material licenses required to conduct our operations.

### Environmental Matters

#### General

Our marine infrastructure construction, salvage, demolition, dredging and dredge material disposal activities are subject to stringent and complex federal, state, and local laws and regulations governing environmental protection, including air emissions, water quality, solid waste management, marine and bird species and their habitats, and wetlands. Such laws and regulations may require that we or our customers obtain, and that we comply with, various environmental permits, registrations, licenses and other approvals. These laws and regulations also can restrict or impact our business activities in many ways, such as delaying the appropriation and performance of particular projects; restricting the way we handle or dispose of wastes; requiring remedial action to mitigate pollution conditions that may be caused by our operations or that are attributable to others; and enjoining some or all of our operations deemed in non-compliance with environmental laws and regulations. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations may result in the assessment of administrative, civil and/or criminal penalties, the imposition of remedial obligations and the issuance of orders enjoining future operations.

We believe that compliance with existing federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations will not have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, or financial condition. Nevertheless, the trend in environmental regulation is to place more restrictions and limitations on activities that may affect the environment. In addition, we could be affected by future laws or regulations, including those imposed in response to climate change concerns. As a result, there can be no assurance as to the amount or timing of future expenditures for environmental compliance or remediation, and actual future expenditures may be different from the amounts we currently anticipate. The following is a discussion of the environmental laws and regulations that could have a material effect on our marine construction and other activities.

#### Waste Management

Our operations could be subject to the federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (“RCRA”) and comparable state laws, which impose detailed requirements for the handling, storage, treatment and disposal of hazardous and non-hazardous solid wastes. Under the auspices of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”), the individual states administer some or all of the

## Table of Contents

provisions of RCRA, sometimes in conjunction with their own more stringent requirements. Generators of hazardous wastes must comply with certain standards for the accumulation and storage of hazardous wastes, as well as recordkeeping and reporting requirements applicable to hazardous waste storage and disposal activities.

### Site Remediation

The Comprehensive, Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (“CERCLA”), also known as “Superfund,” and comparable state laws and regulations impose liability, without regard to fault or the legality of the original conduct, on certain classes of persons responsible for the release of hazardous substances into the environment. Such classes of persons include the current and past owners or operators of sites where a hazardous substance was released, and companies that disposed or arranged for the disposal of hazardous substances at offsite locations, such as landfills. CERCLA authorizes the EPA, and in some cases third parties, to take actions in response to threats to the public health or the environment and to seek to recover from the responsible classes of persons the costs they incur. Under CERCLA, such persons may be subject to joint and several liability for the costs of cleaning up the hazardous substances that have been released into the environment, for damages to natural resources and for the costs of certain health studies. In addition, neighboring landowners and other third parties often file claims for personal injury and property damage allegedly caused by the hazardous substances released into the environment.

We currently own or lease properties that have been used by other industries for a number of years. Although we typically have used operating and disposal practices that were standard in the industry at the time, wastes may have been disposed of or released on or under the properties owned or leased by us, on or under other locations where such substances have been taken for disposal, or on or under project sites where we perform work. In addition, some of the properties may have been operated by third parties or by previous owners whose treatment and disposal or release of wastes was not under our control. These properties and the substances disposed or released on them may be subject to CERCLA, RCRA and analogous state laws. Under such laws, we could be required to remove or remediate previously disposed wastes or property contamination, or to perform remedial activities to prevent future contamination.

### Water Discharges

The Federal Water Pollution Control Act, also known as the Clean Water Act (“CWA”), and analogous state laws impose strict controls with respect to the discharge of pollutants, including spills and leaks of oil and other substances, into waters of the U.S., including wetlands. The discharge of pollutants into regulated waters is prohibited, except in accordance with the terms of a permit issued by the EPA or an analogous state agency. The CWA also regulates the discharge of dredged or fill material into waters of the U.S., and activities that result in such discharge generally require permits issued by the Corps of Engineers. Moreover, above ground storage of petroleum products is strictly regulated under the CWA. Under the CWA, federal and state regulatory agencies may impose administrative, civil and/or criminal penalties for non-compliance with discharge permits or other requirements of the CWA and analogous state laws and regulations.

The Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (“OPA”), which amends and augments the CWA, establishes strict liability for owners and operators of facilities that are sites of releases of oil into waters of the U.S. OPA and its associated regulations impose a variety of requirements on responsible parties related to the prevention of oil spills and liability for damages resulting from such spills. For instance, OPA requires vessel owners and operators to establish and maintain evidence of financial responsibility sufficient to cover liabilities related to an oil spill for which such parties are statutorily responsible. We believe we are in compliance with all applicable OPA financial responsibility obligations. In addition, while OPA requires that certain vessels be outfitted with double hulls by 2015, given the make up and expected make up of our fleet of vessels, we do not expect to incur material expenditures to meet these requirements.

In 2009, regulations promulgated by the EPA covering certain previously exempt discharges to water from certain marine vessels became effective. The regulations provide for a general permit to cover such discharges and impose on marine vessel operators, including the Company, certain discharge, permitting, recordkeeping, reporting, monitoring,



maintenance, and operating restrictions and requirements with respect to materials that are or may be discharged from certain vessels. Applicability of these restrictions and requirements is based on size and type of vessel, and they apply only to a minority of the Company's vessels. The Company, nevertheless, is implementing such restrictions and requirements with respect to its vessels which are subject thereto, and the Company does not anticipate that such regulations or the associated permit terms, restrictions and requirements will adversely impact the Company's business and results of operations.

#### Air Emissions

The Clean Air Act ("CAA") and comparable state laws restrict the emission of air pollutants from many sources, including paint booths, and may require pre-approval for the construction or modification of certain facilities expected to produce air emissions, impose stringent air permit requirements, or require the utilization of specific equipment or technologies to control emissions. We believe that our operations are in substantial compliance with the CAA.

## Table of Contents

### Climate Change

The U.S. Congress is actively considering legislation to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases in response to climate change concerns. In addition, several states have declined to wait on Congress to develop and implement climate control legislation and have already taken legal measures to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases. Passage of climate control legislation or other regulatory initiatives by Congress or various states of the U.S., or the adoption of regulations by the EPA and analogous state agencies that restrict emissions of greenhouse gases in areas in which we conduct business could have an adverse affect on our operations and demand for our services.

### Endangered Species

The Endangered Species Act (“ESA”) restricts activities that may affect endangered species or their habitats. We conduct activities in or near areas that may be designated as habitat for endangered or threatened species. For instance, seasonal observation of endangered or threatened West Indian Manatees adjacent to work areas may impact construction operations within our Florida market. Manatees generally congregate near warm water sources during the cooler winter months. Additionally, our dredging operations in the Florida market are impacted by limitations for placement of dredge spoil materials on designated spoil disposal islands, from April through August of each year, when the islands are inhabited by nesting colonies of protected bird species. Further, restrictions on work during the Whooping Crane nesting period in the Aransas Pass National Wildlife Refuge from October 1 through April 15 each year and during the non-dormant grass season for sea grass in the Laguna Madre from March 1 through November 30 each year impact our construction operations in the Texas Gulf Coast market. In addition, our West Coast operations are impacted by an in water work closure affecting work during the fish spawning window from mid February until mid July. We plan our operations and bidding activity with these restrictions and limitations in mind, and they have not materially hindered our business in the past. However, these and other restrictions may affect our ability to obtain work or to complete our projects on time in the future. In addition, while we believe that we are in material compliance with the ESA, the discovery of previously unidentified endangered species could cause us to incur additional costs or become subject to operating restrictions or bans in the affected area.

### Employees

At December 31, 2012, we had approximately 1,200 employees, 250 of whom were full-time salaried personnel and most of the remainder of whom were hourly personnel. We will hire additional employees for certain large projects and, subject to local market conditions, additional crew members are generally available for hire on relatively short notice. Our employees are not currently represented by labor unions, except certain employees located in the Pacific Northwest and Alaska, in respect of which collective bargaining agreements are in place. We consider our relations with our employees to be good.

### Financial Information About Geographic Areas

The Company primarily operates in the Gulf Coast, Atlantic Seaboard, Pacific Northwest and Alaska areas of the United States. Revenues generated outside the United States, primarily in the Caribbean Basin, totaled 4.1%, 0.9%, and 5.4% of total revenues for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Our long-lived assets are substantially located in the United States.

### Access to the Company’s Filings

The Company maintains a website at [www.orionmarinegroup.com](http://www.orionmarinegroup.com) on which we make available, free of charge, access to the various reports we file with, or furnish to, the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”). The website is made available for information purposes only. It should not be relied upon for investment purposes, and none of the information on our website is incorporated into this Annual Report on Form 10-K by reference. In addition, the public may read and copy any materials we file with the SEC at the SEC’s Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Room 1580, Washington, D.C. 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-732-0330. The SEC also maintains an Internet site at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov) that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC.

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

Risk Factors Relating to Our Business

We depend on continued federal, state and local government funding for marine infrastructure. A reduction in government funding for marine construction or maintenance contracts can materially affect our financial results.

A substantial portion of our operations depend on project funding by various government agencies and are adversely affected by reduced levels of, or delays in, government funding. A future decrease or delay in government funding in any of our geographic markets could delay project lettings and result in intense competition and pricing pressures for projects that we bid on in the future.

10

---

## Table of Contents

As a result of competitive bidding and pricing pressures, we may either be awarded fewer projects, or realize lower profit margins on contracts awarded to us, which in either case could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

A significant portion of our business is awarded through government contracts. Our operating results may be adversely affected by the terms of the government contracts or our failure to comply with applicable terms.

Government contracts are subject to specific procurement regulations, contract provisions and a variety of socioeconomic requirements relating to their formation, administration, performance and accounting. Many of these contracts include express or implied certifications of compliance with applicable laws and contract provisions. As a result of our government contracting and subcontracting, claims for civil or criminal fraud may be brought by the government for violations of these regulations, requirements or statutes. We may also be subject to qui tam litigation brought by private individuals on behalf of the government under the Federal Civil False Claims Act, which could include claims for up to treble damages. Further, if we fail to comply with any of these regulations, requirements or statutes, our existing government contracts could be terminated, we could be suspended or debarred from future government contracting or subcontracting, including federally funded projects at the state level. In addition, government customers typically can terminate or modify any of their contracts with us at their convenience, and certain government agencies may claim immunity from suit to recover disputed contract amounts. If our government contracts are terminated for any reason, or if we are suspended or debarred from government work, we could suffer a significant reduction in expected revenue which could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

We derive a significant portion of our revenues from a small group of customers. The loss of one or more of these customers could negatively impact our business, operating results and financial condition.

We believe that we will continue to rely on a relatively small group of customers for a substantial portion of our revenues for the foreseeable future. We may not be able to maintain our relationships with our significant customers. The loss of, or reduction of our sales to, any of our major customers could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition. See “Business — Customers” for information relating to concentrations of revenue by type of customer and for a description of our largest customers.

We may not be able to fully realize the revenue value reported in our backlog.

We had a backlog of work to be completed on contracts totaling approximately \$184.1 million as of December 31, 2012. Backlog develops as a result of new awards, which represent the potential revenue value realizable pursuant to new project commitments received by us during a given period. Backlog consists of projects under contract which have either (a) not yet been started or (b) are in progress but are not yet complete. In the latter case, the revenue value reported in backlog is the remaining value related to work that has not yet been completed. We cannot guarantee that the revenue projected in our backlog will be realized, or if realized, will result in earnings. From time-to-time, projects are cancelled that appeared to have a high certainty of going forward at the time they were recorded as new awards. In the event of a project cancellation, we may be reimbursed for certain costs but typically have no contractual right to recover the total revenue reflected in our backlog. In addition to being unable to recover certain direct costs, cancelled projects may also result in additional unrecoverable costs due to the resulting under-utilization of our assets.

We may be unable to obtain sufficient bonding capacity for our contracts and the need for performance and surety bonds may adversely affect our business.

We are generally required to post bonds in connection with government and certain private sector contracts to ensure job completion if we were to fail to finish a project. We have entered into a bonding agreement with Liberty Mutual

Surety of America (“Liberty”) pursuant to which Liberty acts as surety, issues bid bonds, performance bonds and payment bonds, and obligates itself upon other contracts of guaranty required by us in the day-to-day operations of our business. However, Liberty is not obligated under the bonding agreement to issue bonds for us. We may not be able to maintain a sufficient level of bonding capacity in the future, which could preclude us from being able to bid for certain contracts and successfully contract with certain customers, or increase our letter of credit utilization in lieu of bonds, thereby reducing availability under our credit facility. In addition, the conditions of the bonding market may change, increasing our costs of bonding or restricting our ability to get new bonding which could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

Our business depends on key customer relationships and our reputation in the heavy civil marine infrastructure market, which is developed and maintained by our executives and key project managers. Loss of any of our relationships, reputation or chief executives or key project managers would materially reduce our revenues and profits.

## Table of Contents

Our contracts are typically entered into on a project-by-project basis, so we do not have continuing contractual commitments with our customers beyond the terms of the current contract. We benefit from key customer relationships built over time and with both public and private entities that are a part of or use the MTS. We also benefit from our reputation in the heavy civil marine infrastructure market developed over years of successfully performing on projects. Both of these aspects of our business were developed and are maintained through our executives and key project managers. We do not maintain key person life insurance policies on any of our employees. Our inability to retain our executives and key project managers could have a material adverse affect on our current customer relationships and reputation. The inability to maintain relationships with these customers or obtain new customers based on our reputation could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

To be successful, we need to attract and retain qualified personnel, and any inability to do so could adversely affect our business.

Our future success depends on our ability to attract, retain and motivate highly skilled personnel in various areas, including engineering, project management, procurement, project controls, finance, and senior management. If we do not succeed in retaining and motivating our current employees and attracting new high quality employees, our business could be adversely affected. Accordingly, our ability to increase our productivity and profitability will be limited by our ability to employ, train and retain skilled personnel necessary to meet our requirements. We may not be able to maintain an adequate skilled labor force necessary to operate efficiently. Our labor expenses may also increase as a result of a shortage in the supply of skilled personnel, or we may have to curtail our planned internal growth as a result of labor shortages. We may also spend considerable resources training employees who may then be hired by our competitors, forcing us to spend additional funds to attract personnel to fill those positions. In addition, certain of our employees hold licenses and permits under which we operate. The loss of any such employees could result in our inability to operate under such licenses and permits, which could adversely affect our operations until replacement licenses or permits are obtained. If we are unable to hire and retain qualified personnel in the future, there could be a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

Certain provisions of our certificate of incorporation and bylaws and of Delaware law may make it difficult for stockholders to change the composition of our board of directors and may discourage a takeover or change of control.

We are a Delaware corporation. Various anti-takeover provisions under Delaware law impose impediments on the ability of others to acquire control of us, even if a change of control would be beneficial to our shareholders. In addition, certain provisions of our charters and bylaws may impede or discourage a takeover. For example:

- our Board of Directors is divided into three classes serving staggered three-year terms;
- vacancies on the Board of Directors can only be filled by other directors;
- there are various restrictions on the ability of a shareholder to nominate a director for election; and
- our Board of Directors can authorize the issuance of preference shares.

These types of provisions in our charters and bylaws could also make it more difficult for a third party to acquire control of us, even if the acquisition would be beneficial to our shareholders. Accordingly, shareholders may be limited in the ability to obtain a premium for their shares.

We could suffer contract losses if we fail to accurately estimate our costs or fail to execute within our cost estimates on fixed-price, lump-sum contracts.

Much of our revenue is derived from fixed-price, lump-sum contracts. Under these contracts, we perform our services and execute our projects at a fixed price and where, as a result, we could benefit from cost savings, but we may be unable to recover any cost overruns. Fixed-price contracts carry inherent risks, including risks of losses from

underestimating costs, operational difficulties and other factors that may occur over the contract period. If our cost estimates for a contract are inaccurate, or if we do not execute the contract within our cost estimates, we may incur losses or the project may not be as profitable as we expected. In addition, we are sometimes required to incur costs in connection with modifications to a contract (change orders) that may not be approved by the customer as to scope and/or price, or to incur unanticipated costs, including costs for customer-caused delays, errors in specifications or designs, or contract suspension or termination, that we may not be able to recover. These, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition. The revenue, cost and gross profit realized on such contracts can vary, sometimes substantially, from the original projections due to changes in a variety of factors, such as:

- failure to properly estimate costs of engineering, design, material, equipment or labor;
- unanticipated technical problems with the structures or services being supplied by us, which may require that we spend our own money to remedy the problem;
- project modifications creating unanticipated costs;
- changes in the costs of equipment, materials, labor or subcontractors;

Table of Contents

- our suppliers' or subcontractors' failure to perform;
- difficulties in our customers obtaining required governmental permits or approvals;
- changes in local laws and regulations;
- delays caused by local weather conditions; and
- exacerbation of any one or more of these factors as projects grow in size and complexity.

These risks increase if the duration of the project is long-term because there is an elevated risk that the circumstances upon which we based our original bid will change in a manner that increases costs. In addition, we sometimes bear the risk of delays caused by unexpected conditions or events.

We may incur higher costs to acquire, manufacture and maintain equipment necessary for our operations.

We have traditionally owned most of the equipment used in our projects, and we do not bid on contracts for which we do not have, or cannot quickly procure, whether through construction, acquisition or lease, the necessary equipment. We are capable of building much of the specialized equipment used in our projects, including dayboats, tenders and dredges. To the extent that we are unable to buy or build equipment necessary for our needs, either due to a lack of available funding or equipment shortages in the marketplace, we may be forced to rent equipment on a short-term basis, which could increase the costs of completing contracts, thereby reducing contract profitability. In addition, our equipment requires continuous maintenance, which we provide through our own repair facilities and dry docks, as well as certification by the U.S. Coast Guard. If we are unable to continue to maintain the equipment in our fleet or are unable to obtain the requisite certifications, we may be forced to obtain third-party repair services, be unable to use our uncertified equipment or be unable to bid on contracts, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

In addition, our vessels may be subject to arrest/seizure by claimants as security for maritime torts committed by the vessel or us or the failure by us to pay for necessities, including fuel and repair services, which were furnished to the vessel. Such arrest/seizure could preclude the vessel from working, thereby causing delays in marine construction projects.

The timing of new contracts may result in unpredictable fluctuations in our cash flow and profitability. These factors as well as others that may cause our actual financial results to vary from any publicly disclosed earnings guidance and forecasts are outside of our control.

A substantial portion of our revenues is derived from project-based work. It is generally very difficult to predict the timing and location of awarded contracts. The selection of, timing of or failure to obtain projects, delays in awards of projects, the rebidding or termination of projects due to budget overruns, cancellations of projects or delays in completion of contracts could result in the under-utilization of our assets and reduce our cash flows. Even if we are awarded contracts, we face additional risks that could affect whether, or when, work will begin. For example, some of our contracts are subject to financing and other contingencies that may delay or result in termination of projects. This may make it difficult to match workforce size and equipment location with contract needs. In some cases, we may be required to bear the cost of a ready workforce and equipment that is larger than needed at the time, resulting in unpredictability in our cash flow, expenses and profitability. If an expected contract award or the related notice to proceed is delayed or not received, we could incur substantial costs without receipt of any corresponding revenues. Delays by our customers in obtaining required approvals for their infrastructure projects may delay their awarding contracts for those projects and, once awarded, the ability to commence construction under those contracts. Moreover, construction projects for which our services are contracted may require significant expenditures by us prior to receipt of relevant payments by a customer and may expose us to potential credit risk if such customer should encounter financial difficulties. Such expenditures could reduce our cash flows and necessitate increased borrowings under our credit facilities. Finally, the winding down or completion of work on significant projects that were active in previous



periods will reduce our revenue and earnings if such significant projects have not been replaced in the current period. From time-to-time we may publicly provide earnings or other forms of guidance, which reflect our predictions about future revenue, operating costs and capital structure, among other factors. Any such predictions may be impacted by these factors as well as others that are beyond our control and might not turn out to be correct.

Our business is subject to significant operating risks and hazards that could result in injury or death to persons, which could result in losses or liabilities to us.

Our safety record is an important consideration for us and for our customers. If serious accidents or fatalities occur or our safety record was to deteriorate, we may be ineligible to bid on certain work, and existing service arrangements could be terminated. Further, regulatory changes implemented by OSHA or the U.S. Coast Guard could impose additional costs on us. Adverse experience with hazards and claims could have a negative effect on our reputation with our existing or potential new customers and our prospects for future work.

Table of Contents

Our business is subject to significant operating risks and hazards that could result in damage or destruction to property, which could result in losses or liabilities to us.

The businesses of marine infrastructure construction, port maintenance, dredging and salvage are generally subject to a number of risks and hazards, including environmental hazards, industrial accidents, hurricanes, adverse weather conditions, collisions with fixed objects, cave-ins, encountering unusual or unexpected geological formations, disruption of transportation services and flooding. These risks could result in damage to or destruction of, dredges, transportation vessels, other maritime structures and buildings, and could also result in personal injury or death, environmental damage, performance delays, monetary losses or legal liability.

Our current insurance coverage may not be adequate, and we may not be able to obtain insurance at acceptable rates, or at all.

We maintain various insurance policies, including general liability and workers' compensation. We are partially self-insured under some of our policies, and our insurance does not cover all types or amounts of liabilities. We are not required to, and do not, specifically set aside funds for our self-insurance programs.

At any given time, we are subject to multiple workers' compensation and personal injury claims. We maintain substantial loss accruals for workers' compensation claims, and our workers' compensation and insurance costs have been rising for several years notwithstanding our emphasis on safety. Our insurance policies may not be adequate to protect us from liabilities that we incur in our business. In addition, some of the projects that we bid on require us to maintain builder's risk insurance at high levels. We may not be able to obtain similar levels of insurance on reasonable terms, or at all. Our inability to obtain such insurance coverage at acceptable rates or at all could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

Furthermore, due to a variety of factors such as increases in claims and projected significant increases in medical costs and wages, our insurance premiums may increase in the future and we may not be able to obtain similar levels of insurance on reasonable terms, or at all. Any such inadequacy of, or inability to obtain, insurance coverage at acceptable rates, or at all, could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

Our employees are covered by federal laws that provide seagoing employees remedies for job-related claims in addition to those provided by state laws.

Many of our employees are covered by federal maritime law, including provisions of the Jones Act, the Longshore and Harbor Workers Act, ("USL&H") and the Seaman's Wage Act. Jones Act laws typically operate to make liability limits established by USL&H and state workers' compensation laws inapplicable to these employees and to permit these employees and their representatives to pursue litigation against employers for job-related injuries. Because we are not generally protected by the limits imposed by state workers' compensation statutes, we have greater exposure for claims made by these employees as compared to employers whose employees are not covered by these provisions.

For example, in the normal course of business, we are party to various personal injury lawsuits. We maintain insurance to cover claims that arise from injuries to our hourly workforce subject to a deductible. Over the last several years, there has been an increase in suits filed in Texas due in large part to two Texas law firms aggressively pursuing personal injury claims on behalf of dredging workers residing in Texas. Aggressive medical advice is increasing the seriousness of claimed injuries and the amount demanded in settlement. During 2012, we recorded approximately \$3.6 million of expense for our self-insured portion of these liabilities. We believe our recorded self insurance reserves represent our best estimate of the outcomes of these claims. Should these trends persist; we could continue to be negatively impacted in the future.

Many of our contracts have penalties for late completion.

In many instances, including in our fixed-price contracts, we guarantee that we will complete a project by a scheduled date. If we subsequently fail to complete the project as scheduled, we may be liable for any customer losses resulting from any delay, generally in the form of contractually agreed-upon liquidated damages. In addition, failure to maintain a required schedule could cause us to default on our government contracts, giving rise to a variety of potential damages. To the extent that these events occur, the total costs of the project could exceed our original estimates, and we could experience reduced profits or, in some cases, a loss for that project.

14

---

Table of Contents

We may choose, or be required, to pay our suppliers and subcontractors even if our customers do not pay, or delay paying, us for the related services.

We use suppliers to obtain necessary materials and subcontractors to perform portions of our services and to manage work flow. In some cases, we pay our suppliers and subcontractors before our customers pay us for the related services. If we choose, or are required, to pay our suppliers and subcontractors for materials purchased and work performed for customers who fail to pay, or delay paying, us for the related work, we could experience a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

We extend credit to customers for purchases of our services, and in the past we have had, and in the future we may have, difficulty collecting receivables from major customers that have filed bankruptcy or are otherwise experiencing financial difficulties.

We generally perform services in advance of payment for our customers, which include governmental entities, general contractors, and builders, owners and managers of marine and port facilities located primarily in the Gulf Coast, the Atlantic Seaboard, the Pacific Northwest, and the Caribbean Basin. Consequently, we are subject to potential credit risk related to changes in business and economic factors. On occasion, we have had difficulty collecting from governmental entities or customers with financial difficulties. If we cannot collect receivables for present or future services, we could experience reduced cash flows and losses beyond our established reserves.

Our strategy of growing through strategic acquisitions may not be successful.

We may pursue growth through the acquisition of companies or assets that will enable us to broaden the types of projects we execute and also expand into new markets. We have completed several acquisitions and plan to consider strategic acquisitions in the future. We may be unable to implement this growth strategy if we cannot identify suitable companies or assets or reach agreement on potential strategic acquisitions on acceptable terms. Moreover, an acquisition involves certain risks, including:

- difficulties in the integration of operations, systems, policies and procedures;
- enhancements in our controls and procedures including those necessary for a public company may make it more difficult to integrate operations and systems;
- failure to implement proper overall business controls, including those required to support our growth, resulting in inconsistent operating and financial practices at companies we acquire or have acquired;
- termination of relationships with the key personnel and customers of an acquired company;
- additional financial and accounting challenges and complexities in areas such as tax planning, treasury management, financial reporting and internal controls;
- the incurrence of environmental and other liabilities, including liabilities arising from the operation of an acquired business or asset prior to our acquisition for which we are not indemnified or for which the indemnity is inadequate;
- disruption of or receipt of insufficient management attention to our ongoing business; and
- inability to realize the cost savings or other financial benefits that we anticipate.

Future acquisitions may require us to obtain additional equity or debt financing, which may not be available on attractive terms. Moreover, to the extent an acquisition transaction financed by non-equity consideration results in additional goodwill, it will reduce our tangible net worth, which might have an adverse effect on our credit and bonding capacity.

The anticipated investment in port and marine infrastructure may not be as large as expected, which may result in periods of low demand for our services.

The demand for port construction, maintenance infrastructure services and dredging may be vulnerable to downturns in the economy generally and in the marine transportation industry specifically. The amount of capital expenditures on port facilities and marine infrastructure in our markets is affected by the actual and anticipated shipping and vessel needs of the economy in general and in our geographic markets in particular. If the general level of economic activity deteriorates, our customers may delay or cancel expansions, upgrades, maintenance and repairs to their infrastructure. A number of other factors, including the financial condition of the industry, could adversely affect our customers and their ability or willingness to fund capital expenditures in the future. During downturns in the U.S. or world economies, the anticipated port usage in our geographic markets may decline, resulting in less port construction, upgrading and maintenance. As a result, demand for our services could substantially decline for extended periods.

## Table of Contents

Any adverse change to the economy or business environment in the regions in which we operate could significantly affect our operations, which would lead to lower revenues and reduced profitability.

Our operations are currently concentrated in the Gulf Coast, the Atlantic Seaboard, the West Coast (including Alaska) and the Caribbean Basin. Because of this concentration in these geographic locations, we are susceptible to fluctuations in our business caused by adverse economic or other conditions in any of these regions, including natural or other disasters.

During the ordinary course of our business, we may become subject to lawsuits or indemnity claims, which could materially and adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition.

We have been and may from time to time be named as a defendant in legal actions claiming damages in connection with marine infrastructure projects and other matters. These are typically claims that arise in the normal course of business, including employment-related claims and contractual disputes or claims for personal injury (including asbestos-related lawsuits) or property damage which occurs in connection with services performed relating to project or construction sites. These actions may seek, among other things, compensation for alleged personal injury, workers' compensation, employment discrimination, breach of contract, property damage, environmental damage, punitive damages, civil penalties or other losses, consequential damages or injunctive or declaratory relief. Contractual disputes normally involve claims relating to the timely completion of projects, performance of equipment, design or other engineering services or project services. We may incur liabilities that may not be covered by insurance policies, or, if covered, the dollar amount of such liabilities may exceed our policy limits or fall below applicable deductibles. A partially or completely uninsured claim, if successful and of significant magnitude, could cause us to suffer a significant loss and reduce cash available for our operations.

Furthermore, our services are integral to the operation and performance of the marine infrastructure. As a result, we may become subject to lawsuits or claims for any failure of the infrastructure that we work on, even if our services are not the cause for such failures. In addition, we may incur civil and criminal liabilities to the extent that our services contributed to any property damage or personal injury. With respect to such lawsuits, claims, proceedings and indemnities, we have and will accrue reserves in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"). In the event that such actions or indemnities are ultimately resolved unfavorably at amounts exceeding our accrued reserves, or at material amounts, the outcome could materially and adversely affect our reputation, business, operating results and financial condition. In addition, payments of significant amounts, even if reserved, could adversely affect our liquidity position.

Our operations are subject to environmental laws and regulations that may expose us to significant costs and liabilities.

Our marine infrastructure construction, salvage, demolition, dredging and dredge material disposal activities are subject to stringent and complex federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations, including those concerning air emissions, water quality, solid waste management, and protection of certain marine and bird species, their habitats, and wetlands. We may incur substantial costs in order to conduct our operations in compliance with these laws and regulations. For instance, we may be required to obtain, maintain and comply with permits and other approvals (as well as those obtained for projects by our customers) issued by various federal, state and local governmental authorities; limit or prevent releases of materials from our operations in accordance with these permits and approvals; and install pollution control equipment. In addition, compliance with environmental laws and regulations can delay or prevent our performance of a particular project and increase related project costs. Moreover, new, stricter environmental laws, regulations or enforcement policies, including those imposed in response to climate change, could be implemented that significantly increase our compliance costs, or require us to adopt more costly methods of operation.

Failure to comply with environmental laws and regulations, or the permits issued under them, may result in the assessment of administrative, civil and criminal penalties, the imposition of remedial obligations and the issuance of injunctions limiting or preventing some or all of our operations. In addition, strict joint and several liability may be imposed under certain environmental laws, which could cause us to become liable for the investigation or remediation of environmental contamination that resulted from the conduct of others or from our own actions that were in compliance with all applicable laws at the time those actions were taken. Further, it is possible that we may be exposed to liability due to releases of pollutants, or other environmental impacts that may arise in the course of our operations. For instance, some of the work we perform is in underground and water environments, and if the field location maps or waterway charts supplied to us are not accurate, or if objects are present in the soil or water that are not indicated on the field location maps or waterway charts, our underground and underwater work could strike objects in the soil or the waterway bottom containing pollutants and result in a rupture and discharge of pollutants. In addition, we sometimes perform directional drilling operations below certain environmentally sensitive terrains and water bodies, and due to the inconsistent nature of the terrain and water bodies, it is possible that such directional drilling may cause a surface fracture releasing subsurface materials. These releases may contain contaminants in excess of amounts permitted by law, may expose us to remediation costs and fines and legal actions by private parties seeking damages for non-compliance with environmental laws and regulations or for personal injury or property damage. We may not be able to recover some or any of these costs through insurance or increased

Table of Contents

revenues, which may have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition. See “Business — Environmental Matters” for more information.

Our operations are susceptible to adverse weather conditions in our regions of operation.

Our business, operating results and financial condition could be materially and adversely affected by severe weather, particularly along the Gulf Coast, the Atlantic Seaboard and Caribbean Basin where we have concentrated operations. Repercussions of severe weather conditions may include:

- evacuation of personnel and curtailment of services;
- weather-related damage to our equipment, facilities and project work sites resulting in suspension of operations;
- inability to deliver materials to jobsites in accordance with contract schedules; and
- loss of productivity.

Our operations may be subject to risks from earthquakes and other seismic activity.

We recently expanded our business into Alaska. Our business, operating results, and financial condition could be adversely affected by earthquakes or other seismic activity in that area, due to catastrophic loss and damage to our facilities, equipment, and project work sites, as well as interruptions to projects in process and loss of productivity.

Our dependence on petroleum-based products increases our costs as the prices of such products increase, which could adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition.

We use diesel fuel and other petroleum-based products to operate our equipment used in our construction contracts. Decreased supplies of those products relative to demand and other factors can cause an increase in their cost. Future increases in the costs of fuel and other petroleum-based products used in our business, particularly if a bid has been submitted for a contract and the costs of those products have been estimated at amounts less than the actual costs thereof, could result in a lower profit, or a loss, on one or more contracts.

Terrorist attacks at port facilities could negatively impact the markets in which we operate.

Terrorist attacks, like those that occurred on September 11, 2001, targeted at ports, marine facilities or shipping could affect the markets in which we operate our business and our expectations. Increased armed hostilities, terrorist attacks or responses from the U.S. may lead to further acts of terrorism and civil disturbances in the U.S. or elsewhere, which may further contribute to economic instability in the U.S. These attacks or armed conflicts may affect our operations or those of our customers or suppliers and could impact our revenues, our production capability and our ability to complete contracts in a timely manner.

We may be subject to unionization, work stoppages, slowdowns or increased labor costs.

We primarily have a non-union workforce. If a majority of our employees unionized, it could limit the flexibility of our workforce and could result in demands that may increase our operating expenses and adversely affect our profitability. Each of our different employee groups could unionize at any time and would require separate collective bargaining agreements. If any group of our employees were to unionize and we were unable to agree on the terms of their collective bargaining agreement or we were to experience widespread employee dissatisfaction, we could be subject to work slowdowns or stoppages. In addition, we may be subject to disruptions by organized labor groups protesting our non-union status. Any of these events would be disruptive to our operations and could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.



We may be unable to sustain historical revenue growth rates.

We may be unable to sustain the revenue growth rates we experienced in the past, due to limits on additional growth in our current markets, less success in competitive bidding for contracts, limitations on access to necessary working capital and investment capital to sustain growth, limitations on access to bonding to support increased contracts and operations, the inability to hire and retain essential personnel and to acquire equipment to support growth, and the inability to identify acquisition candidates and successfully integrate them into our business. A sustained decrease in revenue growth could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition if we are unable to reduce the growth of our operating expenses at the same rate.

## Table of Contents

We are subject to risks related to our international operations.

We currently have on-going projects in the Caribbean Basin, and we are pursuing work in the Middle East. International operations subject us to additional risks, including:

- uncertainties concerning import and export license requirements, tariffs and other trade barriers;
- restrictions on repatriating foreign profits back to the U.S.;
- changes in foreign policies and regulatory requirements;
- difficulties in staffing and managing international operations;
- taxation issues;
- currency fluctuations; and
- political, cultural and economic uncertainties.

These risks could restrict our ability to provide services to international customers and could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

Restrictions on foreign ownership of our vessels could limit our ability to sell off any portion of our business or result in the forfeiture of our vessels or in our inability to continue our operations in U.S. navigable waters.

The Dredging Act, the Jones Act, the Shipping Act and the Vessel Documentation Act require vessels engaged in the transport of merchandise or passengers between two points in the U.S. or dredging in the navigable waters of the U.S. to be owned and controlled by U.S. citizens. The U.S. citizen ownership and control standards require the vessel-owning entity to be at least 75% U.S. citizen-owned, thus restricting foreign ownership interests in the entities that directly or indirectly own the vessels which we operate. If we were to seek to sell any portion of our business unit that owns any of these vessels, we may have fewer potential purchasers, since some potential purchasers might be unable or unwilling to satisfy the foreign ownership restrictions described above; additionally, any sales of certain of our larger vessels to foreign buyers would be subject to approval by the U.S. Maritime Administration. As a result, the sales price for that portion of our business may not attain the amount that could be obtained in an unregulated market. Furthermore, although our certificate of incorporation contains provisions limiting ownership of our capital stock by non-U.S. citizens, foreign ownership is difficult to track and if we or any operating subsidiaries cease to be 75% controlled and owned by U.S. citizens, we would become ineligible to continue our operations in U.S. navigable waters and may become subject to penalties and risk forfeiture of our vessels.

We may be vulnerable to the cyclical nature of the markets in which our customers operate, which may be exacerbated during economic downturns.

Current global economic conditions are affecting numerous industries and companies and many states are facing difficult budget decisions which could result in reduced demand for general construction projects. This reduced demand may increase the number of potential bidders in our markets and could increase the competitive environment through pressure on pricing. Budgeting decisions and constraints due to the tight credit markets may result in diversion of governmental funding from projects we perform to other uses. A weak economy may also produce less tax revenue, thereby decreasing funds for public sector projects. Lower levels of activity may result in a corresponding decline in the demand for our services, which could have a material adverse effect on our revenue and profitability.

Negative conditions in the credit and financial markets could impair our ability to operate our business, or implement our acquisition strategies

Instability in the credit markets in the United States and abroad, could affect the availability of credit and may make it difficult or expensive to obtain in spite of increased liquidity and low interest rates. We may face challenges if conditions in the financial markets deteriorate. While these conditions have not impaired the Company's ability to access credit markets and finance operations, at this time, there can be no assurance that there will not be a further deterioration in financial markets and confidence in major economies. A continuing shortage of liquidity could have an impact on the lenders under our credit facility or on our customers.

Risk Factors Related to our Accounting, Financial Results and Financing Plans

Actual results could differ from the estimates and assumptions that we use to prepare our financial statements.

To prepare financial statements in conformity with GAAP, management is required to make estimates and assumptions as of the date of the financial statements, which affect the reported values of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses, and disclosures

## Table of Contents

of contingent assets and liabilities. Areas requiring significant estimates by our management include: contract costs and profits, application of percentage-of-completion accounting, and revenue recognition of contract change order claims; provisions for uncollectible receivables and customer claims and recoveries of costs from subcontractors, suppliers and others; valuation of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in connection with business combinations; accruals for estimated liabilities, including litigation and insurance reserves; and the value of our deferred tax assets. Our actual results could differ from those estimates.

Our use of the percentage-of-completion method of accounting could result in a reduction or reversal of previously recorded revenue and profit.

As is more fully discussed in “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Critical Accounting Policies,” we recognize contract revenue using the percentage-of-completion method. A significant portion of our work is performed on a fixed-price or lump-sum basis. The balance of our work is performed on variations of cost reimbursable and unit price approaches. Contract revenue is accrued based on the percentage that actual costs-to-date bear to total estimated costs. We utilize this cost-to-cost approach as we believe this method is less subjective than relying on assessments of physical progress. While the cost-to-cost approach is the most widely recognized method used for percentage-of-completion accounting, the use of estimated cost to complete each contract is a significant variable in the process of determining income earned and is a significant factor in the accounting for contracts. The cumulative impact of revisions in total cost estimates during the progress of work is reflected in the period in which these changes become known. Due to the various estimates inherent in our contract accounting, actual results could differ from those estimates, which may result in a reduction or reversal of previously recorded revenue and profit.

An impairment charge to goodwill could have a material adverse impact on our financial condition and results of operations.

At December 31, 2012, goodwill carried on our Consolidated Balance Sheets was \$34.8 million, representing 11.0% of our total assets of \$317.3 million. Under GAAP, we are required to review goodwill not less than annually, and if events or circumstances change between annual tests, for possible impairment based on a fair value approach of the reporting units. In September 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) amended accounting guidance on goodwill impairment testing to allow companies to perform a qualitative assessment before calculating the fair value of its reporting units.

Changes in macroeconomic indicators, the overall business climate, a sustained decline in a reporting unit's market value, operating performance indicators, and other factors could affect our assessment of fair value, either through a qualitative approach or through direct testing, and result in an impairment charge with a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations in the period in which the charge is taken.

Failure to establish and maintain effective internal control over financial reporting could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and stock value.

Maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting is necessary for us to produce reliable financial reports and is important in helping to prevent financial fraud. If we are unable to achieve and maintain adequate internal controls, our business, operating results and financial condition could be harmed. We are required under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (“SOX”) and the related rules of the SEC to annually assess the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting and our independent registered public accounting firm is required to issue a report on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. During the course of the related documentation and testing, we may identify significant deficiencies or material weaknesses that we may be unable to remediate before the requisite deadline for those reports. If our management or our independent registered public

accounting firm were to conclude in their reports that our internal control over financial reporting was not effective, this could have a material adverse effect on our ability to process and report financial information and the value of our common stock could significantly decline.

Our bonding requirements may limit our ability to incur indebtedness.

We generally are required to provide various types of surety bonds that provide an additional measure of security for our performance under certain government and private sector contracts. Our ability to obtain surety bonds depends upon various factors including our capitalization, working capital and amount of our indebtedness. In order to help ensure that we can obtain required bonds, we may be limited in our ability to incur additional indebtedness that may be needed for potential acquisitions and operations. Our inability to incur additional indebtedness could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

Table of Contents

A downturn in economic conditions may impact our customers' ability to pay for services and finance projects.

Our primary customers are governmental agencies in the United States, as well as a wide variety of private customers in diverse industries. It is possible that current economic conditions may affect some of our customers' ability to access sufficient capital to finance or complete projects. Our cash flows may be adversely impacted through customer delays in payment or non-payment of our accounts receivable, or through delays or cancellations of projects awarded to us. We monitor our accounts receivable balances closely and maintain contact with our customers to assess the economic viability of projects in process.

Risks related to ownership of our common stock

The price of our common stock may be volatile.

The market price of our common stock may be influenced by many factors, some of which are beyond our control, including actual or anticipated fluctuations in our operating results, or those of our competitors, public announcements by us or our competitors regarding acquisitions, strategic investments, project awards, the financial market and general economic conditions, changes in stock market analyst recommendations, sales of common stock by our executive officers, directors and/or significant stockholders, as well as reactions to those described above under "Risk Factors related to our Business".

Item 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None

Item 2. PROPERTIES

Our corporate headquarters is located at 12000 Aerospace, Suite 300, Houston, Texas 77034, with 10,983 square feet of office space that we lease, with an initial term expiring February 28, 2017 and with two five year extensions at our option. Our finance and executive offices are located at this facility. We lease office space for our regional offices in Alaska, Louisiana, Texas, Virginia and Washington. We own property for our waterfront maintenance and dock facilities, including equipment yards in Texas and Florida, which total approximately 87.7 acres. We may lease smaller project related offices throughout our operating areas when the need arises.

We believe that our existing facilities are adequate for our operations. We do not believe that any single facility is material to our operations and, if necessary, we could readily obtain a replacement facility. Some of our real estate assets are pledged to secure our credit facility.

Item 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Although we are subject to various claims and legal actions that arise in the ordinary course of business, except as described below, we are not currently a party to any material legal proceedings or environmental claims.

From time to time, we are a party to various other lawsuits, claims and other legal proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of our business. These actions typically seek, among other things, compensation for alleged personal injury, breach of contract, property damage, punitive damages, civil penalties or other losses, or injunctive or declaratory relief. With respect to such lawsuits, claims and proceedings, we accrue reserves when it is probable a liability has been incurred and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. We do not believe any of these proceedings, individually or in the aggregate, would be expected to have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, cash flows, or on our financial condition.

Item 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURE (not applicable)

20

---

Table of Contents

Item MARKET FOR REGISTRANT’S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND  
5. ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Market Information

Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange (“NYSE”) and trades under the symbol “ORN”. We have provided to the NYSE, without qualification, the required certification regarding compliance with NYSE corporate governance listing standards.

The following table sets forth the low and high prices of a share of our common stock during each of the fiscal quarters presented, based on NYSE reports:

	Low	High
2012		
Fourth quarter – December 31	\$6.09	\$7.93
Third quarter – September 30	\$6.05	\$8.14
Second quarter – June 30	\$6.04	\$8.25
First quarter – March 31	\$6.87	\$8.23
2011		
Fourth quarter – December 31	\$5.18	\$7.14
Third quarter – September 30	\$5.48	\$9.85
Second quarter – June 30	\$8.85	\$11.07
First quarter – March 31	\$10.33	\$12.86

Holders

As of February 28, 2013, we had approximately 4,525 stockholders of record including beneficial holders.

Dividends

For the foreseeable future, we intend to retain earnings to grow our business and do not intend to pay dividends on our common stock. We have not historically paid dividends and payments of future dividends, if any, will be at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend on many factors, including general economic and business conditions, our strategic plans, our financial results and condition, legal requirements, and other factors that our board of directors deems relevant. Our existing credit facility restricts our ability to pay cash dividends on our common stock, and we may also enter into credit agreements or other borrowing arrangements in the future that will restrict our ability to declare or pay cash dividends on our common stock.

Issuer Purchase of Equity Securities

In 2011, the Board of Directors of the Company approved a common share repurchase program that authorizes the repurchase of up to \$40 million in open market value, which expired in May, 2012. During 2011, the Company repurchased 305,500 shares at an average price of \$9.83 per share. No shares were repurchased in 2012.

Performance Graph\*



## Edgar Filing: Orion Marine Group Inc - Form 10-K

The following graph shows the changes, since our common stock began trading on the NASDAQ Global Market on December 20, 2007, in the value of \$100 invested in (1) the common stock of Orion Marine Group, Inc., (2) the Standard & Poor's 500 Index and (3) the Dow Jones Heavy Construction Group Index. The values of each investment are based on share price appreciation, with reinvestment of all dividends, assuming any were paid. For each graph, the investments are assumed to have occurred at the beginning of each period.

21

---

Table of Contents

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Orion Marine Group, Inc.	100.00	64.40	140.40	77.33	44.33	48.73
S&P 500	100.00	63.00	79.67	91.67	93.61	108.59
Dow Jones US Heavy Civil Construction	100.00	44.88	51.30	65.87	54.30	65.94

Note: The above information was provided by Research Data Group, Inc.

\*This table and the information therein is being furnished but not filed.

## Item 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table presents selected financial data for each of the last five fiscal years. This selected financial data should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes beginning on page F-1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and Item 7 “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations”. These historical results are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations to be expected for any future period. Computations of basic and diluted earnings per share have been adjusted retroactively for all periods presented to reflect a 2.23 for one reverse split of the Company’s common stock in 2007.

The table below includes the non-GAAP financial measure of EBITDA. For a definition of EBITDA and a reconciliation to net income calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP, please see “Non-GAAP Financial Measures” immediately below.

Table of Contents

	Amounts in thousands, except share and per share information				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Contract revenues	\$292,042	\$259,852	\$353,135	\$293,494	\$261,802
Gross profit	14,370	10,178	65,233	62,697	50,451
Selling, general and administrative expenses	28,573	29,519	32,646	30,695	27,730
Other (income) expense, net	2,303	120	(1,254	) 438	964
Net (loss) income attributable to common stockholders	(11,866	) (13,114	) 21,882	20,030	14,475
Net (loss) income per share:					
Basic	\$(0.44	) \$(0.49	) \$0.81	\$0.85	\$0.67
Diluted	\$(0.44	) \$(0.49	) \$0.81	\$0.84	\$0.66
Weighted average shares outstanding:					
Basic	27,138,927	26,990,059	26,899,373	23,577,854	21,561,201
Diluted	27,138,927	26,990,059	27,165,852	23,979,943	21,979,535
Other Financial Data					
EBITDA	\$5,772	\$2,949	\$53,634	\$50,538	\$41,321
Capital expenditures	24,644	14,894	29,050	22,693	14,485
Cash interest expense	697	212	547	553	1,234
Depreciation and amortization*	21,570	22,092	19,458	18,536	18,848
Net cash provided by (used in):					
Operating activities	24,438	32,676	13,839	40,336	26,471
Investing activities	(33,273	) (14,053	) (95,755	) (21,598	) (47,337
Financing activities	12,940	(2,818	) 354	60,286	33,994

\*includes depreciation and amortization of finite lived intangible assets

	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
(in thousands)					
Balance Sheet Data:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$43,084	\$38,979	\$23,174	\$104,736	\$25,712
Working capital	55,775	75,840	76,728	130,760	47,021
Total assets	317,315	283,087	307,579	273,157	186,322
Total debt	12,621	—	—	—	34,125
Total stockholders' equity	225,234	233,636	246,856	221,666	105,611

## Non-GAAP Financial Measures

We include in this Annual Report on Form 10-K the non-GAAP financial measure of EBITDA. We define EBITDA as income before interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization. EBITDA is used as a supplemental financial measure by our management and by external users of our financial statements such as investors, commercial banks and others, to assess:

- the financial performance of our assets without regard to financing methods, capital structure or historical cost basis;
- the ability of our assets to generate cash sufficient to pay interest costs and support our indebtedness;
- our operating performance and return on capital as compared to those of other companies in our industry, without regard to financing or capital structure; and
- the viability of acquisitions and capital expenditure projects and the overall rates of return on alternative investment opportunities.

EBITDA is not a presentation made in accordance with GAAP. EBITDA should not be considered an alternative to, or more meaningful than, net income, operating income, cash flows from operating activities or any other measure of financial performance presented in accordance with GAAP as measures of operating performance, liquidity or ability to service debt obligations. Because EBITDA excludes some, but not all, items that affect net income and is defined differently by different companies in our industry, our definition of EBITDA may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of other companies. EBITDA has important limitations as an analytical tool, and you should not consider it in isolation.

The following table provides a reconciliation of EBITDA to our net income for the periods indicated as calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP:

23

---

Table of Contents

	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Net (loss) income attributable to common stockholders	\$(11,866	) \$(13,114	) \$21,882	\$20,030	\$14,475
Income tax (benefit) expense	(4,640	) (6,347	) 11,959	11,534	7,282
Interest expense(income), net	708	318	335	438	964
Depreciation and amortization*	21,570	22,092	19,458	18,536	18,600
EBITDA	\$5,772	\$2,949	\$53,634	\$50,538	\$41,321

\*includes depreciation, amortization of finite-lived intangible assets and amortization of deferred financing costs

Table of Contents

Item 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based on and should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes beginning on page F-1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Certain statements made in our discussion may be forward-looking. Forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties and a number of factors could cause actual results or outcomes to differ materially from our expectations. See "Forward-Looking Statements" at the beginning of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional discussion of some of these risks and uncertainties. Unless the context requires otherwise, when we refer to "we", "us" and "our", we are describing Orion Marine Group, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries.

Overview

We are a leading marine specialty contractor serving the heavy civil marine infrastructure market. We provide a broad range of marine construction and specialty services on, over and under the water along the Gulf Coast, the Atlantic Seaboard, the West Coast, Canada and the Caribbean Basin, as well as have a presence in Australia and the Middle East. Our customers include federal, state and municipal governments, the combination of which accounted for approximately 49% of our revenue in the year ended December 31, 2012, as well as private commercial and industrial enterprises. We are headquartered in Houston, Texas.

2012 Recap and 2013 Outlook

In 2012, our backlog recovered substantially from the levels experienced in 2011. We were successful in winning work through a strategic decision to drive volume to offset lower job margins. In addition, we completed an acquisition of assets in Alaska, which expanded our presence in the Pacific Northwest area and provided greater opportunities in both the private and governmental sectors.

Our overall outlook for 2013 remains optimistic. Our tracking database of future projects of interest remains strong for the foreseeable future. We continue to see new bid opportunities in the private sector, reflecting increases in capital projects, both in new construction and refurbishment of existing infrastructure. Bridge projects funded by various state departments of transportation, as well as projects led by local port authorities, continue to be let at normal levels. However, current and future lettings from the US Army Corps of Engineers remain inconsistent and uncertain, which continues to put pressure on the utilization of our dredging assets.

In the long-term, we see positive trends in demands for our services in our end markets, including:

- General demand to repair and improve degrading US marine infrastructure;
  - Language in the Transportation Bill urging action for resolution of the discrepancy between Harbor Maintenance tax collections and expenditures;
  - Renewed focus on coastal rehabilitation along the Gulf Coast
- Expected increases in cargo volume and future demands from larger ships transiting the Panama Canal will require ports along the Gulf Coast and Atlantic Seaboard to perform additional dredging services and expand port infrastructure; and
- Improving economic conditions and increased activity in the petrochemical industry will necessitate capital expenditures, including larger projects, which had been postponed, as well as maintenance call-out work.

Our focus in 2013 will be to concentrate on our core business objectives; to manage our business effectively and efficiently, continue to maintain a strong backlog while maintaining our pricing discipline; to closely monitor the costs

of our operations; and to maintain our strong balance sheet.

#### Critical Accounting Policies

The consolidated financial statements contained in this report were prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (“US GAAP”). The preparation of these financial statements in conformity with US GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect both the Company’s carrying values of its assets and liabilities, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Although our significant accounting policies are described in more detail in Note 2 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements; we believe the following accounting policies to be critical to the judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our financial statements.

## Table of Contents

### Revenue Recognition

We enter into construction contracts principally on the basis of competitive bids. Although the terms of our contracts vary considerably, most are made on a fixed price basis. Revenues from construction contracts are recognized on the percentage-of-completion method. The percentage-of-completion method measures the ratio of costs incurred and accrued to date for each contract to the estimated total costs for each contract at completion. This requires us to prepare on-going estimates of the costs to complete each contract as the project progresses. In preparing these estimates, we make significant judgments and assumptions concerning our significant cost drivers of materials, labor and equipment, and we evaluate contingencies based on possible schedule variances, production delays or other productivity factors.

Actual costs may vary from the costs we estimated. Variations from estimated contract costs along with other risks inherent in fixed price contracts may result in actual revenue and gross profits differing from those we estimated and could result in losses on projects. If a current estimate of total contract cost indicates a loss on a contract, the projected loss is recognized in full when determined, without regard to the percentage of completion. We consider unapproved change orders to be contract variations on which we have customer approval for scope change, but not for price associated with that scope change. These costs are included in the estimated cost to complete the contracts and are expensed as incurred. We recognize revenue equal to cost incurred on unapproved change orders when realization of price approval is probable and the estimated amount is equal to or greater than our cost related to the unapproved change order and the related margin when the change order is formally approved by the customer. Revenue recognized on unapproved change orders is included in contract costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts on the balance sheet. We consider claims to be amounts that we seek or will seek to collect from customers or others for customer-caused changes in contract specifications or design, or other customer-related causes of unanticipated additional contract costs on which there is no agreement with customers on both scope and price changes. Revenue from claims is recognized when agreement is reached with customers as to the value of the claims, which in some instances may not occur until after completion of work under the contract. Costs associated with claims are included in the estimated costs to complete the contracts and are expensed when incurred. Depending on the size of a particular project, variations from estimated project costs could have a significant impact on our operating results for any fiscal quarter or year. We believe our exposure to losses on fixed price contracts is limited by the relatively short duration of the contracts we undertake and our management's experience in estimating contract costs.

### Long-Lived Assets

Our long-lived assets consist primarily of equipment used in our operations. Fixed assets are carried at cost and are depreciated over their estimated useful lives, ranging from one to thirty years, using the straight-line method for financial reporting purposes and accelerated methods for tax reporting purposes. The carrying value of our long-lived assets is evaluated periodically based on utilization of the asset and physical condition of the asset, as well as the useful life of the asset to determine if adjustment to the depreciation period or the carrying value is warranted. If events and circumstances such as poor utilization or deteriorated physical condition indicate that the asset(s) should be reviewed for possible impairment, we use projections to assess whether future cash flows, including disposition, on a non-discounted basis related to the tested assets are likely to exceed the recorded carrying amount of those assets to determine if an impairment exists. If we identify a potential impairment, we will estimate the fair value of the asset through known market transactions of similar equipment and other valuation techniques, which could include the use of similar projections on a discounted basis. We will report a loss to the extent that the carrying value of the impaired assets exceeds their fair values.

### Goodwill

We have acquired businesses and assets in purchase transactions that resulted in the recognition of goodwill. In accordance with US GAAP, acquired goodwill is not amortized, but is subject to impairment testing at least annually



or more frequently if events or circumstances indicate that the asset more likely than not may be impaired.

Goodwill recorded on our Consolidated Balance Sheets is subject to impairment testing at least annually or more frequently if events or circumstances indicate that the asset more likely than not may be impaired. We evaluate goodwill at the reporting unit level. We have determined that we have three reporting units, which are based on geography, and are referred to internally as “East Coast”, “Gulf Coast” and “Pacific Northwest”. Each reporting unit conducts similar business as described in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, which includes marine construction specialty services. This reporting unit grouping reflects our internal management structure, which is based on the geographic location of our primary field offices.

At December 31, 2012, goodwill totaled \$34.8 million, of which \$22.6 million related to the Gulf Coast, \$9.6 million resulted from the acquisition of equipment on the East Coast, and \$2.6 million resulted from the acquisition of assets and a business in Anchorage, Alaska on October 31, 2012.

## Table of Contents

We assess the fair value of our reporting units based on a weighted average of valuations based on market multiples, discounted cash flows, and consideration of our market capitalization. The key assumptions used in the discounted cash flow valuations are discount rates and perpetual growth rates applied to cash flow projections. Also inherent in the discounted cash flow valuation models are past performance, projections and assumptions in current operating plans, and revenue growth rates over the next five years. These assumptions contemplate business, market and overall economic conditions. We also consider assumptions that market participants may use.

As required by the Company's policy, annual impairment assessments and testing of goodwill are performed during the fourth quarter of each year or when circumstances arise that indicate a possible impairment might exist. Based on this testing, we determined that the estimated fair value of our reporting units exceeded their respective carrying values as of October 31, 2012, goodwill was not impaired, and no events have occurred since that date that would require an interim impairment test. In the future, our estimated fair value could be negatively impacted by extended declines in our stock price, changes in macroeconomic indicators, sustained operating losses, and other factors which may affect our assessment of fair value.

### Income Taxes

We account for income taxes using the liability method prescribed by US GAAP. We evaluate valuation allowances for deferred tax assets for which future realization is uncertain. The estimation of required valuation allowance includes estimates of future taxable income. In our assessment of our deferred tax assets at December 31, 2012, we considered that it was more likely than not that all of the deferred tax assets would be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. We consider the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income and tax planning strategies in making this assessment.

The Company accounts for uncertain tax positions in accordance with the provisions ASC 740-10, which prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for financial statement disclosure of tax positions taken, or expected to be taken, on our consolidated tax return. We evaluate and record any uncertain tax positions based on the amount that management deems is more likely than not to be sustained upon ultimate settlement with the tax authorities in the tax jurisdictions in which we operate.

### Insurance Coverage, Litigation, Claims and Contingencies

We maintain insurance coverage for our business and operations. Insurance related to property, equipment, automobile, general liability and a portion of workers' compensation is provided through traditional policies, subject to a deductible. A portion of our workers' compensation exposure is covered through a mutual association, which is subject to supplemental calls.

The Company maintains two levels of excess loss insurance coverage, totaling \$100 million in excess of primary coverage, which excess loss coverage responds to most of the Company's liability policies. The Company's excess loss coverage responds to most of its policies when a primary limit of \$1 million has been exhausted; provided that the primary limit for Maritime Employer's Liability is \$10 million and the Watercraft Pollution Policy primary limit is \$5 million.

We have elected to retain a portion of losses that may occur through the use of various deductibles, limits and retentions under our insurance programs. Losses on these policies up to the deductible amounts are accrued in our consolidated financial statements based on known claims incurred and an estimate of claims incurred but not yet reported. We derive our accruals from known facts, historical trends and industry averages to determine the best estimate of the ultimate expected loss. Actual claims may vary from our estimate. We include any adjustments to such reserves in our consolidated results of operations in the period in which they become known.

#### Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees and Others

We measure the cost of equity compensation to our employees and independent directors based on the estimated grant-date fair value of the award and recognize the expense over the vesting period. We use the Black-Scholes option pricing model to compute the fair value of the awards of equity instruments. The Black-Scholes model requires the use of highly subjective assumptions in the computation. Changes in these assumptions can cause significant fluctuations in the fair value of the option award.

#### Consolidated Results of Operations

#### Backlog Information

Our contract backlog represents our estimate of the revenues we expect to realize under the portion of contracts remaining to be performed. Given the typical duration of our contracts, which is generally less than a year, our backlog at any point in time usually represents only a portion of the revenue that we expect to realize during a twelve month period. Many projects that make up our backlog may be canceled at any time without penalty; however, we can generally recover actual committed costs

Table of Contents

and profit on work performed up to the date of cancellation. Although we have not been materially adversely affected by contract cancellations or modifications in the past, we may be in the future, especially in economically uncertain periods. Consequently, backlog is not necessarily indicative of future results. In addition to our backlog under contract, we also have a substantial number of projects in negotiation or pending award at any time.

Backlog at December 31, 2012 was \$184.1 million, as compared with \$164.5 million at December 31, 2011, an increase of 11.9% from the prior year period and reflects the success of our pricing strategies, as well as the continued improvement in the economy.

Current Year -- Year ended December 31, 2012 compared with year ended December 31, 2011

	Year ended December 31,		2011		
	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	
	(dollar amounts in thousands)				
Contract revenues	\$292,042	100.0	% \$259,852	100.0	%
Cost of contract revenues	277,672	95.0	249,614	96.1	
Gross profit	14,370	5.0	10,238	3.9	
Selling, general and administrative expenses	28,573	9.7	29,519	11.4	
Operating loss	(14,203	) (4.7	) (19,281	) (7.5	)
Other income (expense)					
Loss on sale of assets	(1,822	) (0.6	) (60	) —	
Other income	227	—	198	0.1	
Interest income	35	—	31	—	
Interest (expense)	(743	) (0.3	) (349	) (0.1	)
Other expense, net	(2,303	) (0.9	) (180	) —	
(Loss) income before income taxes	(16,506	) (5.6	) (19,461	) (7.5	)
Income tax (benefit) expense	(4,640	) (1.6	) (6,347	) (2.4	)
Net (loss) income attributable to common stockholders	\$(11,866	) (4.0	)% \$(13,114	) (5.1	)%

**Contract Revenues.** Revenues in 2012 increased approximately 12.4% as compared with 2011, and was substantially related to changes in the size, scope, composition and schedules of the mix of jobs in each period. In the second half of 2012, we commenced work on several large projects for private sector customers, which is reflected in the shift of revenue generated from the public sector to the private sector. Revenue generated from private customers was 51% and 24% in 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Contract revenue generated from public sector customers represented 49% of total revenue the year, as compared with 76% during 2011. We view these revenue shifts from one sector to another as normal in the marine construction business.

**Gross Profit.** Gross profit was \$14.4 million in the twelve month period ended December 31, 2012, an increase of \$4.1 million compared with 2011, primarily related to better utilization of equipment, particularly in the second half of the year, as well as our decision to adjust bid margins to build volume. Gross margin in 2012 was 5.0% as compared to 3.9% in the prior year period, primarily related to the mix of jobs in progress between periods. As measured by cost, our self-performance rate was 83% during 2012, as compared with 85% in the prior year period. The 2% reduction in our self-performance rate indicates an increase in the percent of work that was subcontracted to third parties, and is reflective of the types of jobs in progress at any point in time.

**Selling, General and Administrative Expense.** Selling, general and administrative ("SG&A") expenses were \$28.6 million, a decrease of \$0.9 million, or 3.2%, as compared with the prior year period, primarily related to cost containment measures put in place during 2011, and decreases in our estimates related to self-insurance expenses and property taxes.

**Income Tax (Benefit) Expense.** Our effective tax rate in 2012 was 28.1% and differed from the statutory rate of 35%, due to permanent differences, including incentive stock option expense, and to state income taxes, which reduced our overall tax benefit. Our effective rate for the year ended December 31, 2011 was 32.6%.

Table of Contents

Prior Year—Year Ended December 31, 2011 compared with Year Ended December 31, 2010

The following information is derived from our historical results of operations (dollars in thousands):

	Twelve months ended December 31,				
	2011		2010		
	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	
Contract revenues	\$259,852	100.0	% \$353,135	100.0	%
Cost of contract revenues	249,614	96.1	% 288,361	81.7	%
Gross profit	10,238	3.9	% 64,774	18.3	%
Selling, general and administrative expenses	29,519	11.4	% 32,646	9.2	%
Operating (loss) income	(19,281	) (7.5	)% 32,128	9.1	%
Other (income) expense					
Gain from bargain purchase	—	—	% 1,589	0.4	%
(Loss) gain on sale of assets, net	(60	) —	% 459	0.1	%
Other income	198	0.1	% —	—	%
Interest income	31	—	% 99	—	%
Interest expense	(349	) (0.1	)% (434	) —	%
Other expense (income), net	(180	) —	% 1,713	0.5	%
(Loss) income before income taxes	(19,461	) (7.5	)% 33,841	9.6	%
Income tax (benefit) expense	(6,347	) (2.4	)% 11,959	3.4	%
Net (loss) income	\$(13,114	) (5.1	)% \$21,882	6.2	%

**Contract Revenues.** Total revenue in 2011 decreased approximately \$93.3 million, or 26.4%, as compared with 2010. As projects schedules progressed, we were not able to replace our backlog with new work as quickly due to:

- Postponement of capital infrastructure projects, particularly in the private sector;
- Constraints in the government budgeting process to fund federal projects, resulting in a slowdown in letting of contracts;
- Fewer contract awards received due to aggressive pricing pressure in the market.

The factors above resulted in gaps between project end dates and the remobilization of equipment to new projects. In addition, we were unable to commence work as expected on two major projects due to permitting and other factors beyond our control. These delays shifted revenue generated from these projects into later periods. Contract revenue generated from public agencies, including the US Corps of Engineers, represented 76% of total 2011 revenues, as compared with 64% in 2010. Revenue generated from the private sector was 24% and 36% in 2011 and 2010, respectively. The shift in work toward the public sector is due in part to the dredging assets purchased in 2010, which added to our capacity to bid on federal projects, as well as to the weak economy, which reduced capital expenditure spending by the private sector.

**Gross Profit.** Gross profit in 2011 was 10.2 million, a decrease of \$55.1 million or 84.4% from 2010, and was primarily related to increased equipment costs, including an increase in depreciation as a result of the acquisitions completed in 2010, and to maintenance and repairs, mainly on dredging assets. Gross profit was impacted additionally by underutilized equipment and to labor expense for crews idled when projects completed. We increased our estimates for liabilities related to self-insurance claims, particularly due to two non-fatal job related accidents in the year. Gross margin was 3.9% as compared with 18.5% in 2010. The variance between periods was due to the factors described above, to pricing pressure on projects in progress, and to the mix and scope of contracts in the current year as compared with the same period last year. As measured by cost, we self-performed approximately 86% of work during the period, as compared with a self-performance rate of 82% in 2010.

Selling, General and Administrative Expense. As compared with 2010 SG&A expenses decreased approximately \$3.1 million or 9.6%, primarily related to cost containment measures put in place during the year, including the elimination of bonus expense (\$1.8 million), offset in part by higher costs related to our self-insured group medical plan (\$0.6 million). In 2010, transaction costs related to the business acquisitions totaled \$1.7 million.

Other Income, net of expense. Other income of \$1.3 million in 2010 resulted from a gain of \$1.6 million on the purchase of the business in the Pacific Northwest, which fair value, net of the related deferred tax liability, exceeded the purchase price. The balance related to interest expense, net of interest income.

Table of Contents

Income Tax (Benefit) Expense. Our effective rate for the year ended December 31, 2011 was 32.6%. This differed from the Company's statutory rate of 35% primarily due to state income taxes, net of the federal benefit and the non-deductibility of certain permanent tax items, such as incentive stock compensation expense. Our effective tax rate at December 31, 2010 was 35.3%.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our primary liquidity needs are to finance our working capital, fund capital expenditures, and pursue strategic acquisitions. Historically, our source of liquidity has been cash provided by our operating activities and borrowings under our Credit Facility (as defined below).

Our working capital position fluctuates from period to period due to normal increases and decreases in operational activity. At December 31, 2012, our working capital was \$55.8 million, as compared with \$75.8 million at December 31, 2011. As of December 31, 2012, we had cash on hand of \$43.1 million. Availability under our revolving credit facility was subject to a borrowing base, which did not limit our borrowing availability of approximately \$31.5 million.

We expect to meet our future internal liquidity and working capital needs, and maintain our equipment fleet through capital expenditure purchases and major repairs, from funds generated in our operating activities for at least the next 12 months. We believe our cash position is adequate for our general business requirements discussed above and to service our debt.

The following table provides information regarding our cash flows and our capital expenditures for the years ending December 31 2012, 2011 and 2010:

	Year ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Cash flows provided by operating activities	\$24,438	\$32,676	\$13,839
Cash flows used in investing activities	\$(33,273)	\$(14,053)	\$(95,755)
Cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities	\$12,940	\$(2,818)	\$354
Capital expenditures (included in investing activities above)	\$(24,647)	\$(14,894)	\$(29,050)

Operating Activities. During 2012, our operations provided approximately \$24.4 million in net cash inflows, as compared with cash provided by operations in the prior year period of \$32.7 million. The decrease in cash inflows from operations of \$8.2 million between periods was related to:

- a decrease in our net loss of approximately \$1.2 million;
- an increase in trade accounts receivable balances, related to the timing of billings to customers, as compared with net collections of receivables in the prior year period, which resulted in a net outflow between periods of \$52.9 million;
- net outflow of cash of \$5.6 million between periods related to billings to and payments from customers for costs that cannot be billed, or receipt of payments for items such as mobilization at project commencement;
- receipt of \$14.1 million in cash in 2012 related to the carryback of current operating losses to prior year tax returns
- changes in other working capital components, including trade payables, which are related to the composition of projects in process, and which resulted in a net inflow of cash between 2012 and 2011 of \$40.8 million
- changes in non-cash components of approximately \$6.1 million, primarily related to changes in our net deferred tax liability, resulting from an increase in our net operating loss carryforwards in the current year.



The increase in cash provided from operations between 2011 and 2010 was due to increases in working capital balances, particularly decreases in accounts receivable and net underbillings, reflecting the lower project activities in that period.

Changes in working capital are normal within our business and are not necessarily indicative of any fundamental change within working capital components or trend in the underlying business.

Investing Activities. Capital asset additions and betterments to our fleet were \$24.6 million in 2012, as compared with \$14.9 million in 2011. Also in 2012, we purchased approximately 18 acres of land and buildings in Tampa, Florida, for a price of approximately \$13.7 million and purchased the assets of West Construction for \$9 million. In 2010, we acquired the business of T.W. LaQuay Dredging for approximately \$61 million, and we expanded into the Pacific Northwest through a business acquisition totaling \$6.7 million.

## Table of Contents

Financing Activities. We funded the purchase of land and buildings in Tampa, Florida, as well as the purchase of West Construction, from our Credit Facility through draws totaling \$18.0 million, of which \$10.9 million was converted to a term loan. We repaid amounts drawn on the revolving portion of our Credit Facility, such that at December 31, 2012, \$2.5 million remained on the revolver. In addition, scheduled installment payments on the term loan component totaled approximately \$780,000 in the year. In 2011, we repurchased approximately 300,000 shares of stock into treasury for approximately \$3.0 million. The share buyback program expired in May, 2012. No shares were repurchased in 2012.

### Sources of Capital

In June 2010, the Company entered into a credit agreement (the "Credit Agreement") with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as administrative agent, and Wells Fargo Securities, LLC as sole lead arranger and bookrunner, and other lenders, from time to time. The Credit Agreement was amended in June 2012 in response to the Company's near term outlook, at which time Wells Fargo became the sole lender.

The amended Credit Agreement provides for borrowings under a revolving line of credit and swingline loans, an accordion, and a term loan (together, the "Credit Facility"). The Credit Facility is guaranteed by the subsidiaries of the Company, secured by the assets of the Company, including stock held in its subsidiaries, and may be used to finance working capital, repay indebtedness, fund acquisitions, and for other general corporate purposes. Interest is computed based on the designation of the loans, and bear interest at either a prime-based interest rate or a LIBOR-based interest rate. Principal balances drawn under the Credit Facility may be prepaid at any time, in whole or in part, without premium or penalty. Amounts repaid under the revolving line of credit may be re-borrowed. All of the components of the Credit Facility mature on June 30, 2013.

### Provisions of the revolving line of credit and accordion

As part of the amendment, the Company elected to reduce the maximum borrowing availability under the revolving line of credit and swingline loans (as defined in the Credit Agreement) from \$75 million to \$35 million, with a \$20 million sublimit for the issuance of letters of credit. An additional \$25 million is available subject to the Lender's discretion.

Revolving loans may be designated as prime rate based loans ("ABR Loans") or Eurodollar Loans, at the Company's request, and may be made in an integral multiple of \$500,000, in the case of an ABR Loan, or \$1 million in the case of a Eurodollar Loan. Swingline loans may only be designated as ABR Loans, and may be made in amounts equal to integral multiples of \$100,000. The Company may convert, change or modify such designations from time to time.

Borrowings are subject to a borrowing base, which is calculated as the sum of 80% of eligible accounts receivable, plus 90% of adjusted cash balances, as defined in the Credit Agreement. At December 31, 2012, our borrowing base reflected no limitation in borrowing availability.

During 2012, the Company drew on its credit facility to fund the purchase of the land in Tampa, Florida in January in the amount of \$13 million. The borrowing of \$13 million was converted into a term loan in the amount of \$10.9 million, with the balance of \$2.1 million remaining under the revolver. The Company repaid the revolver portion in September. In October, the Company drew \$5 million under the revolver to fund the purchase of the assets of West Construction, of which \$2.5 million was repaid in December.

At December 31, 2012, \$2.5 million was outstanding under the revolver, which, combined with our outstanding letters of credit, reduced our maximum borrowing availability to approximately \$31.5 million.

Provisions of the term loan

At December 31, 2012, the term loan component of the Credit Facility totaled \$10.1 million and is secured by specific dredge assets of the Company. Principal payments on the term loan, in the amount of \$389,000, commenced in September, 2012 and are due quarterly.

Financial covenants

Restrictive financial covenants under the Credit Facility were modified in June 2012 to:

• Eliminate the Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio;

• Eliminate the Leverage Ratio;

• Change the Net Worth covenant to a Tangible Net Worth covenant, with the minimum Tangible Net Worth requirement of a base amount of \$180 million as of the effective date of the amendment, plus 50% of consolidated quarterly net income (if positive), plus 75% of all issuances of equity interests by Borrower during that quarter; and

## Table of Contents

Add a Profitability Covenant such that the Company shall not sustain a consolidated net loss for two consecutive fiscal quarters, commencing with the quarter ending September 30, 2012.

In addition, the Credit Facility contains events of default that are usual and customary for similar transactions, including non-payment of principal, interest or fees; inaccuracy of representations and warranties; violation of covenants; bankruptcy and insolvency events; and events constituting a change of control.

The Company is subject to a commitment fee, at a current rate of 0.25% of the unused portion of the maximum available to borrow under the Credit Facility. The commitment fee is payable quarterly in arrears.

Interest at December 31, 2012, was based on a LIBOR-option interest rate of 2.75%. For the year ended December 31, 2012, the weighted average interest rate was 2.40%. At December 31, 2012, the Company had letters of credit outstanding of approximately \$742,000.

At December 31, 2012, the Company was in compliance with its financial covenants.

The Company expects to meet its future internal liquidity and working capital needs, and maintain its equipment fleet through capital expenditure purchases and major repairs, from funds generated by its operating activities for at least the next 12 months. We believe our cash position is adequate for our general business requirements and to service our debt.

### Bonding Capacity

We are generally required to provide various types of surety bonds that provide additional security to our customers for our performance under certain government and private sector contracts. Our ability to obtain surety bonds depends on our capitalization, working capital, past performance and external factors, including the capacity of the overall surety market. At December 31, 2012, we believe our capacity under our current bonding arrangement was in excess of \$400 million, of which we had approximately \$110 million in surety bonds outstanding. We believe our strong balance sheet and working capital position will allow us to continue to access our bonding capacity.

### Effect of Inflation

We are subject to the effects of inflation through increases in the cost of raw materials, and other items such as fuel. Because the typical duration of a project is between three to nine months we do not believe inflation has had a material impact on our operations.

### Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

We currently have no off balance sheet arrangements, other than operating leases to which we are a party, and which are discussed above under "Bonding Capacity" and "Sources of Capital" and which arise in the normal course of business. These arrangements are not reasonably likely to have an effect on our financial condition, or results of operations that is material to investors. See Note 20 – Commitments and Contingencies of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements beginning on page F-1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

### Contractual Obligations

The following table sets forth information about our contractual obligations and commercial commitments as of December 31, 2012:

Edgar Filing: Orion Marine Group Inc - Form 10-K

	Total (in thousands)	Payment Due by Period			
		< 1 year	1-3 years	3-5 years	> 5 years
Long-term debt obligations	\$12,621	\$12,621	\$—	\$—	\$—
Operating lease obligations	5,141	2,573	2,188	380	—
Purchase obligations (1)	—	—	—	—	—
Total	\$17,762	\$15,194	\$2,188	\$380	\$—

(1) Commitments pursuant to other purchase orders and subcontracts related to construction contracts are not included since such amounts are expected to be funded under contract billings.

## Table of Contents

To manage risks of changes in the material prices and subcontracting costs used in tendering bids for construction contracts, we obtain firm quotations from our suppliers and subcontractors before submitting a bid. These quotations do not include any quantity guarantees, and we have no obligation for materials or subcontract services beyond those required to complete the contracts that we are awarded for which quotations have been provided.

### Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) No. 2010-28, Intangibles – Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): When to Perform Step 2 of the Goodwill Impairment Test for Reporting Units with Zero or Negative Carrying Amounts was issued in December 2010. The amendments in this ASU modify Step 1 of the goodwill impairment test for reporting units with zero or negative carrying amounts. For those reporting units, an entity is required to perform Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test if it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists. In determining whether it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists, an entity should consider whether there are any adverse qualitative factors indicating that an impairment may exist. The qualitative factors are consistent with the existing guidance and examples, which require that goodwill of a reporting unit be tested for impairment between annual tests if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount. The amendments in this ASU are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2010. The adoption of this accounting standard update did not have a material impact on the Company’s financial position, results of operations, cash flows or disclosures.

ASU 2010-29, Business Combinations (Topic 805) – Disclosure of Supplementary Pro Forma Information for Business Combinations was issued in December 2010. ASU 2010-29 provides clarification as to the presentation of pro forma revenue and earnings disclosure requirements for business combinations and expands supplemental pro forma disclosures to include a description of the nature and amount of material, non-recurring pro forma adjustments directly attributable to the business combination included in the reported pro forma revenue and earnings. The Company will comply with the provisions of ASU 2010-29 for any future business combinations.

ASU 2011-08, Intangibles -- Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Goodwill for Impairment was issued in September 2011. This accounting standard update amends accounting guidance on goodwill impairment testing, and is intended to reduce complexity and costs by allowing an entity the option to make a qualitative evaluation about the likelihood of goodwill impairment to determine whether it should calculate the fair value of a reporting unit. In addition, this amendment expands upon examples of events and circumstances that an entity should consider in determining whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying value. This accounting standard update is effective for interim and annual goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is permitted. Early adoption of this standard in September 2011 did not have an impact on the Company's financial position, results or operations or cash flows, as it is intended to simplify the assessment of goodwill impairment.

During the periods presented in these financial statements, the Company implemented other new accounting pronouncements other than those noted above that are discussed in the notes where applicable.

### Item 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We do not enter into derivative financial instruments for trading, speculation or other purposes that would expose the Company to market risk. In the normal course of business, our results of operations are subject to risks related to fluctuation in commodity prices and fluctuations in interest rates.

Commodity price risk

We are subject to fluctuations in commodity prices for concrete, steel products and fuel. Although we attempt to secure firm quotes from our suppliers, we generally do not hedge against increases in prices for concrete, steel and fuel. Commodity price risks may have an impact on our results of operations due to the fixed-price nature of many of our contracts, although the short-term duration of our projects may allow us to include price increases in the costs of our bids.

Interest rate risk

At December 31, 2012, we had approximately \$12.6 million outstanding on our Credit Facility. Our objectives in managing interest rate risk are to lower our overall borrowing costs and limit interest rate changes on our earnings and cash flows. To achieve this, we closely monitor changes in interest rates and we utilize cash from operations to reduce our debt position.

Table of Contents

Item 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The information required by this Item 8 is submitted as a separate section beginning on page F-1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and is incorporated herein by reference.

Additionally, a two-year Summary of Selected Quarterly Financial Data (unaudited) is included in “Selected Quarterly Financial Data” under Item 6 - Selected Financial Data.

Item 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None

Item 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain a set of disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”) is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC’s rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Our management, with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on such evaluation, our principal executive and financial officers have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective with reasonable assurance as of the end of such period.

Management’s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect our transactions and dispositions of our assets; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and directors; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Internal control over financial reporting cannot provide absolute assurance of achieving financial reporting objectives because of its inherent limitations. Internal control over financial reporting is a process that involves human diligence and compliance and is subject to lapses in judgment and breakdowns resulting from human failures. Internal control over financial reporting can also be circumvented by collusion or improper management override. Because of such



limitations, there is a risk that material misstatements may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by internal control over financial reporting. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. However, these inherent limitations are known features of the financial reporting process. Therefore, it is possible to design into the process safeguards to reduce, though not eliminate, this risk.

Management conducted an assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012. In making this assessment, management used the criteria described in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this assessment, management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

Grant Thornton LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm who audited the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report, has issued a report on our internal control over financial reporting dated March 6, 2013 and expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of our internal control over the financial reporting as of December 31, 2012.

## Changes in Internal Control

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the Company's quarter ended December 31, 2012, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

## Item 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None

## Item 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

## Directors, Executive Officers, Promoters and Control Persons

The information required by Paragraph (a), and Paragraphs (c) through (g) of Item 401 of Regulation S-K (except for information required by Paragraph (e) of that Item to the extent the required information pertains to our executive officers) and Item 405 of Regulation S-K is hereby incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the close of our fiscal year.

The following table presents the information required by Paragraph (b) of Item 401 of Regulation S-K.

Name	Age	Position with the Company	Year Joined the Registrant
Richard L. Daerr, Jr.	68	Chairman of the Board	2007
J. Michael Pearson	65	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director	2006
Thomas N. Amonett	69	Director	2007
Austin J. Shanfelter	56	Director	2007
Gene Stoever	75	Director	2007
Mark R. Stauffer	50	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	1999
James L. Rose	48	Executive Vice President	2005
Peter R. Buchler	67	Executive Vice President, Chief Administrative Officer, Chief Compliance Officer, General Counsel and Secretary	2009

## Code of Ethics

We have adopted a code of ethics for our chief executive, chief financial and principal accounting officers; a code of business conduct and ethics for members of our Board of Directors; and corporate governance guidelines. The full text of the codes of ethics and corporate governance guidelines is available at our website [www.orionmarinegroup.com](http://www.orionmarinegroup.com). Although we have never done so, in the event we make any amendment to, or grant any waiver from, a provision of the code of ethics that applies to the principal executive officer, principal financial officer or principal accounting officer that requires disclosure under applicable Commission rules, we will disclose such amendment or waiver and the reasons therefore on our website. We will provide any person without charge a copy of any of the aforementioned codes of ethics upon receipt of a written request. Requests should be addressed to: Orion Marine Group, Inc. 12000 Aerospace, Houston, Texas 77034, Attention: Corporate Secretary.

Corporate Governance

The information required by Items 407(c)(3), (d)(4) and (d)(5) of Regulation S-K is hereby incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the close of our fiscal year.

Item 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this Item is hereby incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the close of our fiscal year.

35

---

Table of Contents

Item SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND  
12. RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by Item 403 of Regulation S-K is hereby incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the close of our fiscal year.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The following table presents certain information about our equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2012:

Plan category	Column A	Column B	Column C
	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in Column A)
Equity compensation plans approved by shareholders	2,283,842	\$9.23	1,524,088
Equity compensation plans not approved by shareholders	—	—	—
Total	2,283,842	\$9.23	1,524,088

Item 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by this Item is hereby incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the close of our fiscal year.

Item 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this Item is hereby incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the close of our fiscal year.

Item 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

The following documents are filed as part of this Report:

1. Financial Statements

The Company's Consolidated Financial Statements at December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012 and the notes thereto, together with the Report of the Independent Registered

Public Accounting Firm on those Consolidated Financial Statements are hereby filed as part of this Report, beginning on page F-1.

2. Financial Statement Schedule

The following financial statement schedule of the Company for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011 is filed as part of this Report and should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Company.

Schedule II – Schedule of Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

3. Exhibits

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description
1 .01	Form of Indemnity Agreement for Directors and Certain Officers dated November 24, 2008 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 1.01 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 25, 2008 (File No. 001-33891)).
2 .1	Asset Purchase Agreement dated February 28, 2008, by and among OMGI Sub, LLC, Orion Marine Group, Inc., Subaqueous Services, Inc. and Lance Young (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2.01 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 4, 2008 (File No. 001-33891)).
2 .2	Purchase Agreement dated January 28, 2010 by and among LaQuay Holdings., Inc and Seagull Services Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 2, 2010 (File No. 001-33891)).
3 .1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Orion Marine Group, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed August 20, 2007 (File No. 333-145588)).
3 .2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of Orion Marine Group, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed August 20, 2007 (File No. 333-145588)).
4 .1	Registration Rights Agreement between Friedman, Billings, Ramsey & Co., Inc. and Orion Marine Group, Inc. dated May 17, 2008 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed August 20, 2007 (File No. 333-145588)).
10 .2	Credit Agreement dated as of June 25, 2012 between Orion Marine Group, Inc. the lenders from time to time party thereto and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent; Wells Fargo Securities, LLC as Sole Lead Arranger and Bookrunner (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 filed August 3, 2012 (File No. 001-33891)).
10 .3	Purchase/Placement Agreement, dated May 9, 2007, between Orion Marine Group, Inc. and Friedman, Billings, Ramsey & Co., Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed August 20, 2007 (File No. 333-145588)).
† 10 .4	2005 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed August 20, 2007 (File No. 333-145588)).
† 10 .5	Form of Stock Option Agreement Under the 2005 Stock Incentive Plan & Notice of Grant of Stock Option (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed August 20, 2007 (File No. 333-145588)).
† 10 .6	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement Under the 2005 Stock Incentive Plan & Notice of Grant of Restricted Stock (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed August 20, 2007 (File No. 333-145588)).
† 10 .7	Orion Marine Group, Inc. Long Term Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed August 20, 2007 (File No. 333-145588)).
† 10 .8	Form of Stock Option Agreement Under the 2007 Long Term Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed August 20, 2007 (File No. 333-145588)).
† 10 .9	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement and Notice of Grant of Restricted Stock (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed August 20, 2007 (File No. 333-145588)).

Table of Contents

10.1	Orion Marine Group, Inc. 2011 Long Term Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Appendix A to the Company's Definitive Proxy Statement filed April 4, 2011 (File No. 001-33891)).
† *10.11	Form of Stock Option Agreement Under the 2011 Long Term Incentive Plan.
† *10.12	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement and Notice of Grant of Restricted Stock under the 2011 Long Term Incentive Plan.
† 10.13	Executive Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2008 (File No. 001-33891)).
† 10.14	Subsidiary Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2008 (File No. 001-33891)).
† 10.15	Employment Agreement, dated December 4, 2009, by and between Orion Marine Group, Inc. and J. Michael Pearson (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 10, 2009 (File No. 001-33891)).
† 10.16	Employment Agreement, dated January 1, 2011, by and between Orion Marine Group, Inc. and Mark Stauffer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2011 filed August 5, 2011 (File No. 001-33891)).
† 10.17	Employment Agreement, dated December 11, 2009, by and between Orion Marine Group, Inc. and James L. Rose (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 17, 2009 (File No. 001-33891)), as amended by the First Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated March 30, 2011, by and between Orion Marine Group, Inc. and James L. Rose (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2011 filed August 5, 2011 (File No. 001-33891)).
† 10.18	Employment Agreement, dated December 11, 2009, by and between Orion Marine Group, Inc. and Peter R. Buchler (filed as exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 17, 2009 (File No. 001-33891)), as amended by the First Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated June 30, 2011, by and between Orion Marine Group, Inc. and Peter R. Buchler (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2011 filed August 5, 2011 (File No. 001-33891)).
† 10.19	Schedule of Changes to Compensation of Non-employee Directors, effective for 2009 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.26 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 filed August 6, 2009 (File No. 001-33891)).
* 21 .1	List of Subsidiaries.
* 23 .1	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
24 .1	Power of Attorney (included on signature page of this filing).
* 31 .1	Certification of CEO pursuant to Section 302.
* 31 .2	Certification of CFO pursuant to Section 302.
* 32 .1	Certification of CEO and CFO pursuant to Section 906.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document.
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.
101.CAL	XBRL Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.

\*Filed herewith

\*\*Incorporated by reference to the Company's report on Form 8K filed with the SEC on March 4, 2008

†Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement

(b)Financial Statement Schedules





Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ORION MARINE GROUP, INC.

March 6, 2013

By: /s/ J. Michael Pearson  
 J. Michael Pearson  
 President and Chief Executive Officer and Director

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this Report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ J. Michael Pearson J. Michael Pearson	President and Chief Executive Officer and Director	March 6, 2013
/s/ Mark R. Stauffer Mark R. Stauffer	Chief Financial Officer Chief Accounting Officer	March 6, 2013
/s/ Richard L. Daerr, Jr. Richard L. Daerr, Jr.	Chairman of the Board	March 6, 2013
/s/ Thomas N. Amonett Thomas N. Amonett	Director	March 6, 2013
/s/ Austin J. Shanfelter Austin J. Shanfelter	Director	March 6, 2013
/s/ Gene Stoever Gene Stoever	Director	March 6, 2013

Table of Contents

ORION MARINE GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
WITH REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

December 31, 2012

F-1

---

Table of Contents

ORION MARINE GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2012

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm F-3

Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2012 and 2011 F-5

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 F-6

Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity for the Year Ended December 31, 2012 F-7

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 F-8

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements F-9

F-2

---

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of  
Orion Marine Group, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Orion Marine Group, Inc. (a Delaware corporation) and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Orion Marine Group, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Orion Marine Group, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) and our report dated March 6, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Grant Thornton LLP  
Houston, Texas  
March 6, 2013

F-3

---

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of  
Orion Marine Group, Inc.

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Orion Marine Group, Inc. (a Delaware corporation) and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Orion Marine Group Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on Orion Marine Group Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Orion Marine Group, Inc. has maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by COSO.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements of the Company as of and for the year ended December 31, 2012, and our report dated March 6, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

/s/ GRANT THORNTON LLP  
Houston, Texas  
March 6, 2013

F-4

---

Table of Contents

Orion Marine Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
 Consolidated Balance Sheets  
 December 31, 2012 and 2011  
 (In Thousands, Except Share and Per Share Information)

	2012	2011
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$43,084	\$38,979
Accounts receivable:		
Trade, net of allowance of \$0	45,072	20,954
Retainage	8,213	5,977
Other	1,712	1,111
Income taxes receivable	3,110	13,998
Note receivable	46	51
Inventory	4,354	3,361
Deferred tax asset	37	1,182
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts	19,245	15,112
Asset held for sale	920	—
Prepaid expenses and other	2,857	2,470
Total current assets	128,650	103,195
Property and equipment, net	150,671	146,107
Accounts receivable, long-term	1,410	1,410
Inventory, non-current	915	—
Goodwill	34,817	32,168
Intangible assets, net of amortization	627	—
Other assets	225	207
Total assets	\$317,315	\$283,087
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Current debt	\$12,621	\$—
Accounts payable:		
Trade	28,744	11,977
Retainage	2,433	374
Accrued liabilities	12,456	9,339
Taxes payable	252	—
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts	16,369	5,665
Total current liabilities	72,875	27,355
Other long-term liabilities	564	606
Deferred income taxes	18,496	21,287
Deferred revenue	146	203
Total liabilities	92,081	49,451
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock -- \$0.01 par value, 10,000,000 authorized, none issued	—	—
Common stock -- \$0.01 par value, 50,000,000 authorized, 27,530,220 and 27,436,922 issued; 27,212,489 and 27,119,191 outstanding at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively	275	274
Treasury stock, 317,731 shares, at cost	(3,003)	(3,003)



Edgar Filing: Orion Marine Group Inc - Form 10-K

Additional paid-in capital	160,973	157,560
Retained earnings	66,939	78,805
Equity attributable to common stockholders	225,184	233,636
Noncontrolling interest	50	—
Total stockholders' equity	225,234	233,636
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$317,315	\$283,087

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

F-5

---

Table of Contents

Orion Marine Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
 Consolidated Statements of Operations  
 (In Thousands, Except Share and Per Share Information)

	Year ended December 31,			
	2012	2011	2010	
Contract revenues	\$292,042	\$259,852	\$353,135	
Costs of contract revenues	277,672	249,614	288,361	
Gross profit	14,370	10,238	64,774	
Selling, general and administrative expenses	28,573	29,519	32,646	
Operating (loss) income	(14,203	) (19,281	) 32,128	
Other income (expense)				
Gain from bargain purchase of a business	—	—	1,589	
(Loss) gain from sale of assets, net	(1,822	) (60	) 459	
Other income	227	198	—	
Interest income	35	31	99	
Interest expense	(743	) (349	) (434	)
Other expense (income), net	(2,303	) (180	) 1,713	
(Loss)/income before income taxes	(16,506	) (19,461	) 33,841	
Income tax (benefit) expense	(4,640	) (6,347	) 11,959	
Net (loss) income	\$(11,866	) \$(13,114	) \$21,882	
Net income/(loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest	—	—	—	
Net (loss) income attributable to Orion common stockholders	\$(11,866	) \$(13,114	) \$21,882	
Basic loss (income) per share	\$(0.44	) \$(0.49	) \$0.81	
Diluted loss (income) per share	\$(0.44	) \$(0.49	) \$0.81	
Shares used to compute loss (income) per share				
Basic	27,138,927	26,990,059	26,899,373	
Diluted	27,138,927	26,990,059	27,165,852	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

Orion Marine Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity  
(In Thousands, Except Share Information)

	Common Stock		Treasury Stock		Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Non-controlling	
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount			Interest	Total
Balance, December 31, 2009	26,852,407	\$268	(11,646)	)—	\$151,361	\$70,037	\$ —	\$221,666
Stock-based compensation	—	\$—	—	—	\$2,542	\$—	—	\$2,542
Exercise of stock options	82,510	\$1	—	—	\$668	\$—	—	\$669
Excess tax benefits from exercise of stock options	—	\$—	—	—	\$96	\$—	—	\$96
Issuance of restricted stock	82,248	\$1	—	—	\$—	\$—	—	\$1
Forfeiture of restricted stock	—	\$—	(585)	)—	\$—	\$—	—	\$—
Net income	—	\$—	—	—	\$—	\$21,882	—	\$21,882
Balance, December 31, 2010	27,017,165	\$270	(12,231)	)—	\$154,667	\$91,919	\$ —	\$246,856
Stock-based compensation	—	\$—	—	—	\$2,712	\$—	—	\$2,712
Exercise of stock options	32,124	\$—	—	—	\$185	\$—	—	\$185
Issuance of restricted stock	400,417	\$4	—	—	\$(4)	)\$—	—	\$—
Forfeiture of restricted stock	(12,784)	)\$—	—	—	\$—	\$—	—	\$—
Purchase of stock into treasury	—	\$—	(305,500)	(3,003)	)\$—	\$—	—	\$(3,003)
Net income	—	\$—	—	—	\$—	\$(13,114)	)—	\$(13,114)
Balance, December 31, 2011	27,436,922	\$274	(317,731)	(3,003)	)\$157,560	\$78,805	\$ —	\$233,636
Stock-based compensation	—	—	—	—	3,115	—	—	3,115
Exercise of stock options	56,658	1	—	—	298	—	—	299
Issue restricted stock	36,640	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Noncontrolling interest, acquired	—	—	—	—	—	—	16	16
Contributions from noncontrolling interest	—	—	—	—	—	—	34	34
Net loss	—	—	—	—	—	(11,866)	)—	(11,866)
Balance, December 31, 2012	27,530,220	\$275	(317,731)	\$(3,003)	)\$160,973	\$66,939	\$ 50	\$225,234

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

F-7

---

Table of Contents

Orion Marine Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows  
(In Thousands)

	Year ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Cash flows from operating activities			
Net loss	\$(11,866 )	\$(13,114 )	\$21,882
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	21,570	22,092	19,458
Deferred financing cost amortization	103	132	296
Bad debt expense (recoveries)	12	255	(285 )
Deferred income taxes	(1,646 )	5,192	4,959
Stock-based compensation	3,115	2,712	2,542
Loss (gain) on sale of property and equipment	1,822	60	(459 )
Gain on bargain purchase from acquisition of a business	—	—	(1,589 )
Excess tax benefit from stock option exercise	—	—	(96 )
Change in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	(26,966 )	26,135	(5,073 )
Income tax receivable	10,888	(6,331 )	(5,119 )
Inventory	(497 )	(370 )	(1,519 )
Note receivable	5	39	1,246
Prepaid expenses and other	(497 )	(377 )	(698 )
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts	(4,133 )	10,991	(13,733 )
Accounts payable	18,826	(12,970 )	(7,651 )
Accrued liabilities	2,803	(2,728 )	3,024
Income tax payable	252	(262 )	(50 )
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts	10,704	1,277	(3,241 )
Deferred revenue	(57 )	(57 )	(55 )
Net cash provided by operating activities	24,438	32,676	13,839
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	374	841	827
Purchase of property and equipment	(24,647 )	(14,894 )	(29,050 )
Acquisition of business in Alaska	(9,000 )	—	—
Acquisition of business in Pacific Northwest	—	—	(6,653 )
Acquisition of TW LaQuay Dredging	—	—	(60,879 )
Net cash used in investing activities	(33,273 )	(14,053 )	(95,755 )
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Borrowings from Credit Facility	18,000	—	—
Payments made on borrowings from Credit Facility	(5,379 )	—	—
Contributions from noncontrolling interest	34	—	—
Exercise of stock options	298	185	669
Excess tax benefit from stock option exercise	—	—	96
Increase in loan costs	(13 )	—	(411 )
Purchase of shares into treasury	—	(3,003 )	—
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	12,940	(2,818 )	354
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	4,105	15,805	(81,562 )
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	38,979	23,174	104,736
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$43,084	\$38,979	\$23,174

Edgar Filing: Orion Marine Group Inc - Form 10-K

Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:

Cash paid during the period for:

Interest	\$697	\$212	\$547
Taxes (net of refunds)	\$(14,039 )	\$(4,948 )	\$12,149

Supplemental disclosure of non cash transaction:

Fair value of earnout from acquisition of business in Alaska	\$271	\$—	\$—
--	-------	-----	-----

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

F-8

---

Table of Contents

Orion Marine Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010  
(Tabular Amounts in thousands, Except for Share and per Share Amounts)

1. Description of Business and Basis of Presentation

Description of Business

Orion Marine Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries (hereafter collectively referred to as “Orion” or the “Company”) provide a broad range of marine construction services on, over and under the water along the Gulf Coast, the Atlantic Seaboard, the West Coast, Alaska, Canada and in the Caribbean Basin. Our heavy civil marine projects include marine transportation facilities; bridges and causeways; marine pipelines; mechanical and hydraulic dredging and specialty projects. We are headquartered in Houston, Texas.

Although we describe our business in this report in terms of the services we provide, our base of customers and the geographic areas in which we operate, we have concluded that our operations comprise one reportable segment pursuant to Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) Topic 280 – Segment Reporting. In making this determination, we considered that each project has similar characteristics, includes similar services, has similar types of customers and is subject to the same regulatory environment. We organize, evaluate and manage our financial information around each project when making operating decisions and assessing our overall performance.

Basis of Presentation

These consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the parent company, Orion Marine Group, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries and have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Reclassifications

The gain or loss on sale of assets in the prior year periods has been reclassified to conform to current year presentation in Other Income/Expense.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Principles

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (“U.S. GAAP”) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Management’s estimates, judgments and assumptions are continually evaluated based on available information and experience; however, actual amounts could differ from those estimates.

On an ongoing basis, the Company evaluates the significant accounting policies used to prepare its condensed consolidated financial statements, including, but not limited to, those related to:

- Revenue recognition from construction contracts;
- Allowance for doubtful accounts;
- Testing of goodwill and other long-lived assets for possible impairment;

Income taxes;  
Self-insurance; and  
Stock based compensation.

#### Revenue Recognition

The Company records revenue on construction contracts for financial statement purposes on the percentage-of-completion method, measured by the percentage of contract costs incurred to date to total estimated costs for each contract. This method is used because management considers contract costs incurred to be the best available measure of progress on these contracts. Contract revenue reflects the original contract price adjusted for agreed upon change orders. Contract costs include all direct costs, such as material and labor, and those indirect costs related to contract performance such as payroll taxes and insurance. General and administrative costs are charged to expense as incurred. Unapproved claims are recognized as an increase in contract revenue only when the collection is deemed probable and if the amount can be reasonably estimated for purposes of calculating total profit or loss on long-term contracts. Incentive fees, if available, are billed to the customer based on the terms and conditions of the contract. The

F-9

---



## Table of Contents

Company records revenue and the unbilled receivable for claims to the extent of costs incurred and to the extent we believe related collection is probable and includes no profit on claims recorded. Changes in job performance, job conditions and estimated profitability, including those arising from final contract settlements, may result in revisions to costs and revenues and are recognized in the period in which the revisions are determined. Provisions for estimated losses on uncompleted contracts are made in the period in which such losses are determined, without regard to the percentage of completion. Revenue is recorded net of any sales taxes collected and paid on behalf of the customer, if applicable.

The current asset “costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts” represents revenues recognized in excess of amounts billed, which management believes will be billed and collected within one year of the completion of the contract. The liability “billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts” represents billings in excess of revenues recognized.

The Company’s projects are typically short in duration, and usually span a period of less than one year. Historically, we have not combined or segmented contracts.

### Classification of Current Assets and Liabilities

The Company includes in current assets and liabilities amounts realizable and payable in the normal course of contract completion.

### Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents. At times, cash held by financial institutions may exceed federally insured limits. The Company has not historically sustained losses on our cash balances in excess of federally insured limits. Cash equivalents at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 consisted primarily of money market mutual funds and overnight bank deposits.

### Foreign Currencies

Historically, the Company’s exposure to foreign currency fluctuations has not been material and has been limited to temporary field accounts, located in countries where the Company performs work, which amounts were insignificant in either 2012 or 2011.

### Risk Concentrations

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk principally consist of cash and cash equivalents and accounts receivable.

The Company depends on its ability to continue to obtain federal, state and local governmental contracts, and indirectly, on the amount of funding available to these agencies for new and current governmental projects. Therefore, a substantial portion of the Company’s operations may be dependent upon the level and timing of government funding. Statutory mechanics liens provide the Company high priority in the event of lien foreclosures following financial difficulties of private owners, thus minimizing credit risk with private customers.

### Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable are stated at the historical carrying value, less write-offs and allowances for doubtful accounts. The Company has significant investments in billed and unbilled receivables as of December 31, 2012 and 2011. Billed receivables represent amounts billed upon the completion of small contracts and progress billings on large contracts in accordance with contract terms and milestones. Unbilled receivables on fixed-price contracts, which are included in costs in excess of billings, arise as revenues are recognized under the percentage-of-completion method. Unbilled amounts on cost-reimbursement contracts represent recoverable costs and accrued profits not yet billed. Revenue associated with these billings is recorded net of any sales tax, if applicable. Past due balances over 90 days and other higher risk amounts are reviewed individually for collectability. In establishing an allowance for doubtful accounts, the Company evaluates its contract receivables and costs in excess of billings and thoroughly reviews historical collection experience, the financial condition of its customers, billing disputes and other factors. The Company writes off uncollectible accounts receivable against the allowance for doubtful accounts if it is determined that the amounts will not be collected or if a settlement is reached for an amount that is less than the carrying value. As of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company had not recorded an allowance for doubtful accounts.

F-10

---

## Table of Contents

Balances billed to customers but not paid pursuant to retainage provisions in construction contracts generally become payable upon contract completion and acceptance by the owner. Retention at December 31, 2012 totaled \$8.2 million, of which \$2.5 million is expected to be collected beyond 2013. Retention at December 31, 2011 totaled \$6.0 million.

At December 31, 2012, the Company had approximately \$1.4 million in long term receivables. These relate to items that are due the Company for work performed under contract, but are not expected to be collected within one year. Management continues to evaluate and assess these items for collectibility. At this time, no valuation allowance has been recorded.

The Company negotiates change orders and claims with its customers. Unsuccessful negotiations of claims could result in a change to contract revenue that is less than its carrying value, which could result in the recording of a loss. Successful claims negotiations could result in the recovery of previously recorded losses. Significant losses on receivables could adversely affect the Company's financial position, results of operations and overall liquidity.

### Advertising Costs

The Company primarily obtains contracts through the open bid process, and therefore advertising costs are not a significant component of expense. Advertising costs are expensed as incurred. Advertising expenses totaled \$57,000 in 2012, \$30,000 in 2011, and \$63,000 in 2010.

### Environmental Costs

Costs related to environmental remediation are charged to expense. Other environmental costs are also charged to expense unless they increase the value of the property and/or provide future economic benefits, in which event the costs are capitalized. Liabilities, if any, are recognized when the expenditure is considered probable and the amount can be reasonably estimated.

### Fair Value Measurements

We evaluate and present certain amounts included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements at "fair value" in accordance with GAAP, which requires us to base our estimates on assumptions market participants, in an orderly transaction, would use to price an asset or liability, and to establish a hierarchy that prioritizes the information used to determine fair value. In measuring fair value, we use the following inputs in the order of priority indicated:

Level I – Quoted prices in active markets for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities.

Level II – Observable inputs other than Level I prices, such as (i) quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; (ii) quoted prices in markets that have insufficient volume or infrequent transactions; and (iii) inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level III – Unobservable inputs to the valuation methodology that are significant to the fair value measurement.

We generally apply fair value valuation techniques on a non-recurring basis associated with (1) valuing assets and liabilities acquired in connection with business combinations and other transactions; (2) valuing potential impairment loss related to long-lived assets; and (3) valuing potential impairment loss related to goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets.

### Inventory

Inventory consists of parts and small equipment held for use in the ordinary course of business and is valued at the lower of cost or market using historical average cost. Where shipping and handling costs are incurred by us, these

charges are included in inventory and charged to cost of contract revenue upon use.

#### Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are recorded at cost. Ordinary maintenance and repairs that do not improve or extend the useful life of the asset are expensed as incurred. Major renewals and betterments of equipment are capitalized and depreciated generally over three to seven years until the next scheduled maintenance.

When property and equipment are retired or otherwise disposed of, the cost and accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and any resulting gain or loss is included in results of operations for the respective period. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the related assets for financial statement purposes, as follows:

F-11

---

Table of Contents

Automobiles and trucks	3 to 5 years
Buildings and improvements	5 to 30 years
Construction equipment	3 to 15 years
Vessels and dredges	1 to 15 years
Office equipment	1 to 5 years

The Company generally uses accelerated depreciation methods for tax purposes where appropriate.

Dry-docking activities and costs are capitalized and amortized on the straight-line method over a period ranging from three to 15 years until the next scheduled dry-docking. Dry-docking activities include, but are not limited to, the inspection, refurbishment and replacement of steel, engine components, tailshafts, mooring equipment and other parts of the vessel. Amortization related to dry-docking activities is included as a component of depreciation. These activities and the related amortization periods are periodically reviewed to determine if the estimates are accurate. If warranted, a significant upgrade of equipment may result in a revision to the useful life of the asset, in which case, the change is accounted for prospectively.

Property and equipment are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to estimated undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If the carrying amount of an asset exceeds its estimated future cash flows, an impairment charge is recognized in the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds the fair value of the asset. Assets to be disposed of are separately presented in the balance sheet and reported at the lower of the carrying amount or the fair value, less the costs to sell, and are no longer depreciated. In late 2012, several assets were identified and recorded as held for sale, with an expected disposition date within one year. No property and equipment was held for sale at December 31, 2011.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill

Goodwill recorded on our Consolidated Balance Sheets is subject to impairment testing at least annually or more frequently if events or circumstances indicate that the asset more likely than not may be impaired. We evaluate goodwill at the reporting unit level. The Company has three reporting units, which are based on geography, and are referred to internally as “East Coast”, “Gulf Coast” and “Pacific Northwest”. Each reporting unit conducts similar business, which includes marine construction specialty services. This reporting unit grouping reflects our internal management structure, which is based on the geographic location of our primary field offices.

At December 31, 2012, goodwill totaled \$34.8 million, of which \$22.6 million related to the Gulf Coast (including goodwill recorded upon the purchase of T.W. LaQuay Dredging, LLC, in 2010), and \$9.6 million resulted from the acquisition of equipment on the East Coast in 2008. With the acquisition of the assets of West Construction in October 2012, goodwill at the Pacific Northwest reporting unit totaled \$2.6 million.

The Company assesses the fair value of its reporting units based on a weighted average of valuations based on market multiples, discounted cash flows, and consideration of our market capitalization. The key assumptions used in the discounted cash flow valuations are discount rates and perpetual growth rates applied to cash flow projections. Also inherent in the discounted cash flow valuation models are past performance, projections and assumptions in current operating plans, and revenue growth rates over the next five years. These assumptions contemplate business, market and overall economic conditions. We also consider assumptions that market participants may use.

As required by the Company's policy, annual impairment tests of goodwill are performed as of October 31 of each year or when circumstances arise that indicate a possible impairment might exist. The first step of the October 31, 2012 goodwill impairment test resulted in no indication of impairment, and no events have occurred since that date that would require an interim impairment test. The discount rate used in testing goodwill for the impairment test was 18.50% for the Gulf Coast reporting unit and 18.5% for the East Coast reporting unit. Future cash flow projections for each reporting unit were based on management's estimates, which were conservative estimates with little short-term expected growth due to the Company's operating losses in 2011 and 2012, pricing pressures and market conditions. As compared with the impairment test performed in 2011, the discount rate decreased by 325 basis points in the Gulf Coast reporting unit and by 150 basis points in the East Coast reporting unit, due to decreases in the cost of equity, expected inflation rates, and risk while the stabilized growth rate remained constant at 300%. The impairment test concluded that the fair value of the Gulf Coast reporting unit and the East Coast reporting unit exceeded carrying values by 24% and 7%, respectively. In the future, our estimated fair value could be negatively impacted by extended declines in our stock

F-12

---

## Table of Contents

price, changes in macroeconomic indicators, sustained operating losses, and other factors which may affect our assessment of fair value.

### Intangible assets

Intangible assets that have finite lives continue to be subject to amortization. In addition, the Company must evaluate the remaining useful life in each reporting period to determine whether events and circumstances warrant a revision of the remaining period of amortization. If the estimate of an intangible asset's remaining life is changed, the remaining carrying value of such asset is amortized prospectively over that revised remaining useful life.

### Stock-Based Compensation

The Company recognizes compensation expense for equity awards over the vesting period based on the fair value of these awards at the date of grant. The computed fair value of these awards is recognized as a non-cash cost over the period the employee provides services, which is typically the vesting period of the award. The fair value of options granted is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The fair value of restricted stock grants is equivalent to the fair value of the stock issued on the date of grant.

Compensation expense is recognized only for share-based payments expected to vest. The Company estimates forfeitures at the date of grant based on historical experience and future expectations. See Note 15 to the consolidated financial statements for further discussion of the Company's stock-based compensation plan.

### Income Taxes

The Company determines its consolidated income tax provision using the asset and liability method prescribed by US GAAP, which requires the recognition of income tax expense for the amount of taxes payable or refundable for the current period and for deferred tax liabilities and assets for the future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in an entity's financial statements or tax returns. The Company must make significant assumptions, judgments and estimates to determine its current provision for income taxes, its deferred tax assets and liabilities, and any valuation allowance to be recorded against any deferred tax asset. The current provision for income tax is based upon the current tax laws and the Company's interpretation of these laws, as well as the probable outcomes of any tax audits. The value of any net deferred tax asset depends upon estimates of the amount and category of future taxable income reduced by the amount of any tax benefits that the Company does not expect to realize. Actual operating results and the underlying amount and category of income in future years could render current assumptions, judgments and estimates of recoverable net deferred taxes inaccurate, thus impacting the Company's financial position and results of operations. The Company computes deferred income taxes using the liability method. Under the liability method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. Under the liability method, the effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

The Company accounts for uncertain tax positions in accordance with the provisions of ASC 740-10 which prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for financial statement disclosure of tax positions taken, or expected to be taken, on our consolidated tax return. We evaluate and record any uncertain tax positions based on the amount that management deems is more likely than not to be sustained upon examination and ultimate settlement with the tax authorities in the tax jurisdictions in which we operate.

### Insurance Coverage

The Company maintains insurance coverage for its business and operations. Insurance related to property, equipment, automobile, general liability, and a portion of workers' compensation is provided through traditional policies, subject to a deductible or deductibles. A portion of the Company's workers' compensation exposure is covered through a mutual association, which is subject to supplemental calls.

The Company maintains two levels of excess loss insurance coverage, totaling \$100 million in excess of primary coverage. The Company's excess loss coverage responds to most of its liability policies when a primary limit of \$1 million has been exhausted; provided that the primary limit for Maritime Employer's Liability is \$10 million and the Watercraft Pollution Policy primary limit is \$5 million.

F-13

---



## Table of Contents

Separately, the Company's employee health care is provided through a trust, administered by a third party. The Company funds the trust based on current claims. The administrator has purchased appropriate stop-loss coverage. Losses on these policies up to the deductible amounts are accrued based upon known claims incurred and an estimate of claims incurred but not reported. The accruals are derived from known facts, historical trends and industry averages to determine the best estimate of the ultimate expected loss. Actual claims may vary from our estimate. We include any adjustments to such reserves in our consolidated results of operations in the period in which they become known.

The accrued liability for self-insured claims includes incurred but not reported losses of \$3.8 million and \$3.4 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

## Warranty Costs

Provision for estimated warranty costs, (if any) is made in the period in which such costs become probable and is periodically adjusted to reflect actual experience. The Company historically has not been subject to significant warranty provisions. The Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2011 included \$0.1 million related to warranty costs, which expired in 2012.

## New Accounting Pronouncements

Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2010-28, Intangibles – Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): When to Perform Step 2 of the Goodwill Impairment Test for Reporting Units with Zero or Negative Carrying Amounts was issued in December 2010. The amendments in this ASU modify Step 1 of the goodwill impairment test for reporting units with zero or negative carrying amounts. For those reporting units, an entity is required to perform Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test if it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists. In determining whether it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists, an entity should consider whether there are any adverse qualitative factors indicating that an impairment may exist. The qualitative factors are consistent with the existing guidance and examples, which require that goodwill of a reporting unit be tested for impairment between annual tests if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount. The amendments in this ASU are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2010. The adoption of this accounting standard update did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations, cash flows or disclosures.

ASU 2010-29, Business Combinations (Topic 805) – Disclosure of Supplementary Pro Forma Information for Business Combinations was issued in December 2010. ASU 2010-29 provides clarification as to the presentation of pro forma revenue and earnings disclosure requirements for business combinations and expands supplemental pro forma disclosures to include a description of the nature and amount of material, non-recurring pro forma adjustments directly attributable to the business combination included in the reported pro forma revenue and earnings. The Company will comply with the provisions of ASU 2010-29 for any material future business combinations.

ASU 2011-08, Intangibles -- Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Goodwill for Impairment was issued in September 2011. This accounting standard update amends accounting guidance on goodwill impairment testing, and is intended to reduce complexity and costs by allowing an entity the option to make a qualitative evaluation about the likelihood of goodwill impairment to determine whether it should calculate the fair value of a reporting unit. In addition, this amendment expands upon examples of events and circumstances that an entity should consider in determining whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying value. This accounting standard update is effective for interim and annual goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is permitted. Early adoption of this standard in September 2011

did not have an impact on the Company's financial position, results or operations or cash flows, as it is intended to simplify the assessment of goodwill impairment.

During the periods presented in these financial statements, the Company implemented other new accounting pronouncements other than those noted above that are discussed in the notes where applicable.

### 3. Business Acquisition

On October 31, 2012, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company expanded its business in the Pacific area through the acquisition of substantially all of the assets of West Construction, Inc., (the "Seller") located in Anchorage, Alaska, for \$9.0 million in cash. The assets acquired included construction equipment, inventory, and work in progress. Additionally, the Company hired

F-14

---

Table of Contents

substantially all of the Seller's personnel, entered into a two year consulting and sales agreement, and entered into a non-compete agreement with the Seller. The purchase agreement contained customary representations, warranties, covenants and indemnities.

An additional amount of up to \$1.0 million, may be payable to the Seller and is based on 20% of Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization ("EBITDA") generated during the first twelve months immediately following the closing. The fair value of the liability on the date of acquisition was \$271,000, and was based upon the expected EBITDA discounted back at the cost of capital to estimate the equivalent present value. The estimated value remained unchanged at December 31, 2012.

In addition, the Company acquired full ownership interest in one foreign entity, a two-thirds interest in another foreign entity, and the rights to the trade name.

The Company entered into a lease agreement with the Seller, effective upon the date of closing, for office space in Anchorage, Alaska for an initial three year term.

The purchase price was allocated as follows:

Fixed assets, including inventory	\$5,936	
Seller receivables	1,425	
Intangible assets	702	
Goodwill	2,649	
Payable to Seller	(1,425	)
Noncontrolling interest	(16	)
	\$9,271	

The purchase price was allocated to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed using estimated fair values as of the acquisition date. Finite intangible assets include the trade name and backlog, which were estimated at \$332,000 and \$370,000, respectively, and amortize over a period of one and three years.

The fixed assets acquired, consisting primarily of construction equipment, are to be depreciated in accordance with Company policy, generally 3 to 15 years.

The goodwill of \$2.6 million arising from the acquisition consists primarily of synergies, economies of scale, and business opportunities expected from the combination with the Company. Goodwill for tax purposes is approximately \$2.6 million, which is amortizable over a 15 year period. Costs associated with the transaction were conducted by the Company's personnel, and external costs were minimal, primarily related to documentation fees, and were included in selling, general and administrative expenses.

The results and operations of West Construction have been included in the Consolidated Statements of Operations since the acquisition date of October 31, 2012. The acquisition did not have a material impact on the Company's results of operations, and accordingly, pro forma disclosures are not presented.

4. Concentration of Risk and Enterprise Wide Disclosures

Accounts receivable include amounts billed to governmental agencies and private customers and do not bear interest. Balances billed to customers but not paid pursuant to retainage provisions generally become payable upon contract completion and acceptance by the owner. The table below presents the concentrations of receivables (trade and retainage) at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively:



Table of Contents

	December 31, 2012			December 31, 2011		
Federal Government	\$7,375	14	%	\$5,958	22	%
State Governments	1,761	3	%	379	1	%
Local Governments	5,532	10	%	6,207	24	%
Private Companies	38,617	73	%	14,387	53	%
Total receivables	\$53,285	100	%	\$26,931	100	%

At December 31, 2012, two private sector customers accounted for 11.7%, and 9.9% of total receivables. At December 31, 2011, receivables from the US Army Corps of Engineers and a private sector customer accounted for 9.1% and 12.5% of total receivables, respectively.

Additionally, the table below represents concentrations of revenue by type of customer for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

	Year ended December 31,					
	2012		2011		2010	
Federal	\$64,049	22 %	\$108,123	42 %	\$143,697	41 %
State	35,799	12 %	48,604	19 %	40,145	11 %
Local	44,626	15 %	40,647	15 %	41,868	12 %
Private	147,568	51 %	62,478	24 %	127,425	36 %
	\$292,042	100 %	\$259,852	100 %	\$353,135	100 %

In the twelve months ended December 31, 2012, the US Army Corps of Engineers and a private sector customer generated 16.7%, and 10.7% of total revenues, respectively. In the year ended December 31, 2011, the US Army Corps of Engineers represented 24.0% of total revenues. The US Army Corps of Engineers and a private sector customer represented 28% and 9.8% of contract revenues in the year ended December 31, 2010.

The Company does not believe that the loss of any one of these customers, other than the US Army Corps of Engineers, would have a material adverse effect on the Company and its subsidiaries and affiliates.

Revenues generated outside the United States totaled 4%, 1%, and 5% of total revenues for the years ended 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively and were primarily located in the Caribbean.

The Company's long-lived assets are substantially located in the United States.

## 5. Contracts in Progress

Contracts in progress are as follows at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Costs incurred on uncompleted contracts	\$750,218	\$232,267
Estimated earnings	171,475	44,261
	921,693	276,528
Less: Billings to date	(918,817)	(267,081)
	\$2,876	\$9,447

Included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet under the following captions:

Edgar Filing: Orion Marine Group Inc - Form 10-K

Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts	19,245	\$15,112
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts	(16,369	) (5,665
	\$2,876	) \$9,447

F-16

---

Table of Contents

Contract costs include all direct costs, such as materials and labor, and those indirect costs related to contract performance such as payroll taxes and insurance. Provisions for estimated losses on uncompleted contracts are made in the period in which such estimated losses are determined. Changes in job performance, job conditions and estimated profitability may result in revisions to costs and income and are recognized in the period in which the revisions are determined. An amount equal to contract costs attributable to claims is included in revenues when realization is probable and the amount can be reliably estimated.

## 6. Property and Equipment

The following is a summary of property and equipment at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Automobiles and trucks	\$ 1,591	\$ 1,541
Building and improvements	22,037	13,520
Construction equipment	140,835	132,317
Dredges and dredging equipment	96,927	96,278
Office equipment	4,095	3,882
	265,485	247,538
Less: accumulated depreciation	(136,556	) (119,440
Net book value of depreciable assets	128,929	128,098
Construction in progress	6,949	8,655
Land	14,793	9,354
	\$ 150,671	\$ 146,107

For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, depreciation expense was \$21.5 million, \$22.1 million and \$19.4 million, respectively. Substantially all depreciation expense is included in the cost of contract revenue in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income. The assets of the Company are pledged as collateral under the Company's Credit Agreement (as defined in Note 11).

In January 2012, the Company purchased approximately 18 acres of land, including buildings and improvements, from Lazarra Leasing, LLC ("Seller"). The property, located in Tampa, Florida, was formerly partially rented by the Company.

The purchase price of \$13.7 million included construction of dock improvements at Seller's facility, in an amount not to exceed \$279,700; rental of a portion of the land retained by the Seller for an initial term of 20 years, with payment of \$250,000 for the entire term paid in advance; and leaseback by the Seller of three buildings for up to 24 months following the sale, with the first 12 months rent abated.

As discussed in Note 3 (above), the Company purchased the assets of West Construction for approximately \$9.3 million, which increased fixed assets by approximately \$4.5 million.

The Company drew from its Credit Facility (as defined in Note 11) to purchase the Tampa property and finance the acquisition of West Construction.

In 2012, the Company committed to a plan to analyze under-utilized property and equipment, and adopted a plan to dispose of such assets. These assets have been separately presented in the Consolidated Balance Sheets as "Assets Held for Sale" and are no longer depreciated. In connection with this disposal, the carrying value of certain of these assets exceeded fair value, and consequently, the Company recorded an impairment loss of \$2.0 million on those assets. The loss is recorded in the "Other" section of the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Prior year loss or

gain on the sale of assets reflect the sale of assets, less any cost to sell, in the ordinary course of business.

7. Inventory

Inventory at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, of \$4.4 million and \$3.4 million respectively, consists of spare parts and small equipment held for use in the ordinary course of business.

Non current inventory at December 31, 2012 totaled \$0.9 million and consisted primarily of spare engines components kept on hand for the Company's dredging assets.

F-17

---



Table of Contents

## 8. Fair Value

The fair value of financial instruments is the amount at which the instrument could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing parties. Due to their short term nature, we believe that the carrying value of our accounts receivables, other current assets, accounts payables and other current liabilities approximate their fair values. We have a note receivable in the amount of \$46,000, for which we believe that the carrying value approximates its fair value, and which bears interest at 10%. In addition, we have a long-term receivable in the amount of \$1.4 million, which we believe that the carrying value of this receivable approximates its fair value.

The fair value of the Company's reporting units (as needed for purposes of determining indications of impairment to the carrying value of goodwill) is determined using a weighted average of valuations based on market multiples, discounted cash flows, and consideration of our market capitalization. In 2012, we acquired the assets of West Construction, which resulted in the valuation of fixed assets and goodwill on a non-recurring basis, as presented in the table below:

	December 31, 2012	Level 1	Level II	Level III
Assets acquired in business combinations	\$5,936	—	—	\$5,936
Goodwill and other intangibles	\$3,352	—	—	\$3,352
Fair value of earnout liability	\$(271 )	—	—	\$(271 )

The fair value of the earnout liability will be re-assessed on a not less than quarterly basis.

In 2010, the acquisitions of TWLD and our expansion into the Pacific Northwest resulted in the valuation of fixed assets and goodwill on a non-recurring basis, as presented in the table below:

	December 31, 2010	Level 1	Level II	Level III
Assets acquired in business combinations	\$54,153	—	—	\$53,746
Goodwill and other intangibles	\$20,072	—	—	\$20,072

The fair value of the Company's debt at December 31, 2012 approximated its carrying value of \$12.6 million, as interest is based on current market interest rates for debt with similar risk and maturity. The Company had no debt at December 31, 2011. If the Company's debt was measured at fair value, it would have been classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

## 9. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

## Goodwill

The table below summarizes changes in goodwill recorded by the Company during the periods ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Beginning balance, January 1	\$32,168	\$32,168
Additions	2,649	—
Ending balance	\$34,817	\$32,168

No indicators of goodwill impairment were identified during the year ended December 31, 2012.

F-18

---

Table of Contents

## Intangible assets

The tables below present the activity and amortizations of finite-lived intangible assets:

	2012	2011	
Intangible assets, January 1	\$6,900	\$6,900	
Additions	702	—	
Total intangible assets, end of year	7,602	6,900	
Accumulated amortization	\$(6,900)	\$(6,895)	)
Current year amortization	(75)	(5)	)
Total accumulated amortization	(6,975)	(6,900)	)
Net intangible assets, end of year	\$627	\$—	

The intangible assets were acquired in 2012 as part of the purchase of West Construction (see Note 3 above), and amortize over a period of one to three years, as follows:

	2013	2014	2015
Amortization	\$419	\$111	\$97

## 10. Accrued Liabilities

Accrued liabilities at December 31, 2012 and 2011 consisted of the following:

	2012	2011
Accrued salaries, wages and benefits	\$3,552	\$2,913
Accrual for self-insurance liabilities	3,806	3,401
Property taxes	2,458	2,358
Other accrued expenses	2,640	667
	\$12,456	\$9,339

## 11. Long-term Debt and Line of Credit

In June 2010, the Company entered into a credit agreement (the "Credit Agreement") with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as administrative agent, and Wells Fargo Securities, LLC as sole lead arranger and bookrunner, and other lenders, from time to time. The Credit Agreement was amended in June 2012 in response to the Company's near term outlook, at which time Wells Fargo became the sole lender.

The amended Credit Agreement provides for borrowings under a revolving line of credit and swingline loans, an accordion, and a term loan (together, the "Credit Facility"). The Credit Facility is guaranteed by the subsidiaries of the Company, secured by the assets of the Company, including stock held in its subsidiaries, and may be used to finance working capital, repay indebtedness, fund acquisitions, and for other general corporate purposes. Interest is computed based on the designation of the loans, and bear interest at either a prime-based interest rate or a LIBOR-based interest rate. Principal balances drawn under the Credit Facility may be prepaid at any time, in whole or in part, without premium or penalty. Amounts repaid under the revolving line of credit may be re-borrowed. All of the components of the Credit Facility mature on June 30, 2013.

Provisions of the revolving line of credit and accordion

As part of the amendment, the Company elected to reduce the maximum borrowing availability under the revolving line of credit and swingline loans (as defined in the Credit Agreement) from \$75 million to \$35 million, with a \$20 million sublimit for the issuance of letters of credit. An additional \$25 million is available subject to the Lender's discretion.

F-19

---

## Table of Contents

Revolving loans may be designated as prime rate based loans (“ABR Loans”) or Eurodollar Loans, at the Company’s request, and may be made in an integral multiple of \$500,000, in the case of an ABR Loan, or \$1 million in the case of a Eurodollar Loan. Swingline loans may only be designated as ABR Loans, and may be made in amounts equal to integral multiples of \$100,000. The Company may convert, change or modify such designations from time to time.

Borrowings are subject to a borrowing base, which is calculated as the sum of 80% of eligible accounts receivable, plus 90% of adjusted cash balances, as defined in the Credit Agreement. At December 31, 2012, our borrowing base reflected no limitation in borrowing availability.

During 2012, the Company drew on its credit facility to fund the purchase of the land in Tampa, Florida in January in the amount of \$13 million. The borrowing of \$13 million was converted into a term loan in the amount of \$10.9 million, with the balance of \$2.1 million remaining under the revolver. The Company repaid the revolver portion in September. In October, the Company drew \$5 million under the revolver to fund the purchase of the assets of West Construction, of which \$2.5 million was repaid in December.

At December 31, 2012, \$2.5 million was outstanding under the revolver, which, combined with our outstanding letters of credit, reduced our maximum borrowing availability to approximately \$31.5 million.

### Provisions of the term loan

At December 31, 2012, the term loan component of the Credit Facility totaled \$10.1 million and is secured by specific dredge assets of the Company. Principal payments on the term loan, in the amount of \$389,000, commenced in September, 2012 and are due quarterly.

### Financial covenants

Restrictive financial covenants under the Credit Facility were modified in June 2012 to:

• Eliminate the Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio;

• Eliminate the Leverage Ratio;

• Change the Net Worth covenant to a Tangible Net Worth covenant, with the minimum Tangible Net Worth requirement of a base amount of \$180 million as of the effective date of the amendment, plus 50% of consolidated quarterly net income (if positive), plus 75% of all issuances of equity interests by Borrower during that quarter;

• Add a Profitability Covenant such that the Company shall not sustain a consolidated net loss for two consecutive fiscal quarters, commencing with the quarter ending September 30, 2012.

In addition, the Credit Facility contains events of default that are usual and customary for similar transactions, including non-payment of principal, interest or fees; inaccuracy of representations and warranties; violation of covenants; bankruptcy and insolvency events; and events constituting a change of control.

The Company is subject to a commitment fee, at a current rate of 0.25% of the unused portion of the maximum available to borrow under the Credit Facility. The commitment fee is payable quarterly in arrears.

Interest at December 31, 2012, was based on a LIBOR-option interest rate of 2.75%. For the year ended December 31, 2012, the weighted average interest rate was 2.40%. At December 31, 2012, the Company had letters of credit outstanding of approximately \$742,000.

At December 31, 2012, the Company was in compliance with its financial covenants and expects to be in compliance in 2013.

The Company expects to meet its future internal liquidity and working capital needs, and maintain its equipment fleet through capital expenditure purchases and major repairs, from funds generated by its operating activities for at least the

next 12 months. We believe our cash position is adequate for our general business requirements.

## 12. Purchase of Common Shares

In May 2011, the Board of Directors of the Company approved a common share repurchase program that authorized the repurchase of up to \$40 million in open market value. The shares may be repurchased over time, depending on market conditions, the market price of the Company's common shares, the Company's capital levels and other considerations. The share repurchase program is expected to expire one year from the date the program was approved by the Company's Board of Directors. During 2011, the Company repurchased 305,500 shares at an average price of \$9.83 per share. No shares were repurchased in 2012, and the program expired in May 2012.

F-20

---

Table of Contents

## 13. Income Taxes

The following table presents the components of our consolidated income tax expense for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010:

	Current	Deferred	Total
Year ended December 31, 2012			
U.S. Federal	\$(3,214	) \$(2,174	) \$(5,388
State and local	220	528	748
	\$(2,994	) \$(1,646	) \$(4,640
Year ended December 31, 2011			
U.S. Federal	\$(12,386	) \$6,736	\$(5,650
State and local	847	(1,544	) (697
	\$(11,539	) \$5,192	\$(6,347
Year ended December 31, 2010			
U.S. Federal	\$6,923	\$4,754	\$11,677
State and local	77	205	282
	\$7,000	\$4,959	\$11,959

The Company's income tax provision reconciles to the provision at the statutory U.S. federal income tax rate for each year ended December 31, as follows:

	2012	2011	2010
Statutory amount (computed at 35%)	\$(5,776	) \$(6,812	) \$11,783
State income tax, net of federal benefit	564	(415	) 252
Permanent differences	446	576	(197
Other (net)	126	304	121
Consolidated income tax provision	\$(4,640	) \$(6,347	) \$11,959
Consolidated effective tax rate	27.9	% 32.6	% 35.3

The Company's effective tax rate is based on expected income, statutory tax rates and tax planning opportunities available to it. For interim financial reporting, the Company estimates its annual tax rate based on projected taxable income (or loss) for the full year and records a quarterly tax provision in accordance with the anticipated annual rate.

In the current year, the rate differed from the Company's statutory rate of 35% primarily due to the losses incurred in the current year, offset by state income taxes, and the non-deductibility of certain permanent tax items, such as incentive stock compensation expense.

The Company's deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows:

Table of Contents

	December 31, 2012		December 31, 2011	
	Current	Long-term	Current	Long-term
Assets related to:				
Accrued liabilities	\$1,786	\$—	\$1,182	\$—
Intangible assets	22	2,005	—	2,712
Net operating loss carryforward	—	7,367	—	2,457
Non-qualified stock options	—	1,113	—	291
Other	28	1,499		413
Total assets	1,836	11,984	1,182	5,873
Liabilities related to:				
Depreciation and amortization	—	(27,845 )	—	(25,355 )
Goodwill and gain on purchase of a business	—	(2,635 )	—	(1,738 )
Deferred revenue on maintenance contracts	(1,738 )	—	—	—
Other	(61 )	—	—	(67 )
Total liabilities	(1,799 )	(30,480 )	—	(27,160 )
Net deferred assets (liabilities)	\$37	\$(18,496 )	\$1,182	\$(21,287 )

As reported in the balance sheets:

	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Net current deferred tax assets	37	1,182
Net non-current deferred tax liabilities	(18,496 )	(21,287 )
Total net deferred tax liabilities:	\$(18,459 )	\$(20,105 )

In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets at December 31, 2012, the Company considered whether it was more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The realization of deferred tax assets depends upon the generation of future taxable income, which includes the reversal of deferred tax liabilities related to depreciation, during the periods in which these temporary differences become deductible. As of December 31, 2012, the Company believes that all of the deferred tax assets will be utilized and therefore has not recorded a valuation allowance.

The Company has a net operating loss carryforward ("NOL") in 2012 of \$2.4 million for state income tax reporting purposes due to the losses sustained in various states in 2011 and 2012. The Company believes it will be able to utilize these NOL's against future income, due to expiration dates well into the future, and therefore no valuation allowance has been established. For federal tax reporting purposes, the Company carried all of its 2011 NOL back to 2009 and 2010 and received approximately \$13.1 million in cash against these tax years. Part of the loss generated in 2012 was carried back to 2010, and the Company's income tax receivable reflects this estimate. Approximately \$4.8 million remains as a tax carryforward, which the Company believes it will be able to utilize before expiration.

The Company and its subsidiaries file consolidated federal income tax returns in the United States and also file in various states. With few exceptions, the Company remains subject to federal and state income tax examinations for the years of 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011. The Company's policy is to recognize interest and penalties related to any unrecognized tax liabilities as additional tax expense. In 2012, the Company recorded \$99,000 as additional tax expense related to penalties and interest incurred as a result of filings in the U.S. Virgin Islands. No interest or penalties have been accrued at December 31, 2012 and 2011, as the Company has not recorded any uncertain tax positions. The Company believes it has appropriate and adequate support for the income tax positions taken and to be



taken on its tax returns and that its accruals for tax liabilities are adequate for all open years based on an assessment of many factors including past experience and interpretations of tax law applied to the facts of each matter.

Although the Company believes its recorded assets and liabilities are reasonable, tax regulations are subject to interpretation and tax litigation is inherently uncertain; therefore the Company's assessments can involve both a series of complex judgments about future events and rely heavily on estimates and assumptions. Although the Company believes that the estimates and assumptions supporting its assessments are reasonable, the final determination of tax audit settlements and any related litigation could be materially different from that which is reflected in historical income tax provisions and recorded assets and liabilities. If the Company were to settle an audit or a matter under litigation, it could have a material effect on the income tax provision, net income,

F-22

---

Table of Contents

or cash flows in the period or periods for which that determination is made. Any accruals for tax contingencies are provided for in accordance with US GAAP.

In July 2012, consent was received from the IRS permitting the Company to change its tax accounting method for certain service revenue effective for the taxable year ended December 31, 2011, which resulted in the deferral of approximately \$10.9 million of taxable income. At the time the consent was given, the Company was under audit by the IRS for its 2009 and 2010 tax years, and the Company expects that its 2011 and 2012 tax returns will also be reviewed due to the losses generated in 2011 and 2012. The Company does not expect any material changes to its returns will result from these audits and reviews.

The Company does not believe that its tax positions will significantly change due to any settlement and/or expiration of statutes of limitations prior to December 31, 2013.

## 14. (Loss) Earnings Per Share

Basic (loss) earnings per share are based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each period. Diluted earnings per share are based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding and the effect of all dilutive common stock equivalents during each period. The exercise price for certain stock options awarded by the Company exceeds the average market price of the Company's common stock. Such stock options are antidilutive and are not included in the computation of (loss) earnings per share. For the year ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, no potential common stock equivalents were included as the effect of such would be anti-dilutive. Antidilutive stock options outstanding totaled 515,906 in the year ended December 31, 2010.

The following table reconciles the denominators used in the computations of both basic and diluted (loss) earnings per share:

	Year ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Basic:			
Weighted average shares outstanding	27,138,927	26,990,059	26,899,373
Diluted:			
Total basic weighted average shares outstanding	27,138,927	26,990,059	26,899,373
Effect of dilutive securities:			
Common stock options	—	—	266,479
Total weighted average shares outstanding assuming dilution	27,138,927	26,990,059	27,165,852
Anti-dilutive stock options	2,283,840	2,128,906	515,906
Shares of common stock issued from the exercise of stock options	56,658	32,124	82,510

## 15. Stock-Based Compensation

The Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors is responsible for the administration of the Company's stock incentive plans, which include the balance of shares remaining under the 2007 Long Term Incentive Plan (the "2007 LTIP") and the 2011 Long Term Incentive Plan (the "2011 LTIP") which was approved by the shareholders in May 2011 and authorized the maximum aggregate number of shares to be issued of 3,000,000. In general, the Company's plans provide for grants of restricted stock and stock options to be issued with a per-share price equal to the fair market value of a share of common stock on the date of grant. Option terms are specified at each grant date, but are generally are 10 years from the date of issuance. Options generally vest over a three to five year period.

Restricted Stock

The following table summarizes the restricted stock activity under the the Company's equity incentive plans :

F-23

---

Table of Contents

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Fair Value Per Share
Nonvested at January 1, 2010	89,705	\$1.65
Granted	82,248	\$13.86
Vested	(43,136	) \$12.31
Forfeited/repurchased shares	(585	) \$0.02
Nonvested at December 31, 2010	128,232	\$14.72
Granted	400,417	\$6.00
Vested	(63,299	) \$1.99
Forfeited/repurchased shares	(12,783	) \$15.24
Nonvested at December 31, 2011	452,567	\$7.09
Granted	36,640	\$6.55
Vested	(171,986	) \$8.14
Forfeited/repurchased shares	—	\$—
Nonvested at December 31, 2012	317,221	\$6.46

Independent directors receive equity compensation each year in the form of grants with a fair value of \$60,000, with vesting in six months from the date of grant. In March 2010, certain named executive officers were granted a total of 3,539 shares with a grant date fair value of \$17.68 per share, and in November 2010, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors approved grants of restricted stock, totaling 61,177 shares to its named executive officers, with vesting over a three year period. The shares had a fair value on the date of grant of \$13.69. In August, 2011, awards of restricted stock, totaling 360,417 shares, with a fair value of \$6.00 per share, were granted to the Company's named executive officers with vesting over a five year period. On the same day, the Company's independent directors each received grants of 10,000 shares with a fair value of \$6.00 per share, which vest over six months. In November, 2012, the Company's independent directors received their annual equity compensation grants of 9,160 shares, with a fair value of \$6.55, which vest in May, 2013.

## Stock Options

The following table summarizes the stock option activity under the Company's equity incentive plans:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share	Weighted Average Contractual Life (Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at January 1, 2010	1,186,320	\$11.76		
Granted	217,552	\$13.78		
Exercised	(82,510	) \$8.11		
Forfeited	(12,364	) \$14.97		
Outstanding at December 31, 2010	1,308,998	\$12.30		
Granted	953,800	\$6.02		
Exercised	(32,124	) \$5.78		
Forfeited	(101,768	) \$15.68		
Outstanding at December 31, 2011	2,128,906	\$9.42		
Granted	262,051	\$6.56		
Exercised	(56,658	) \$5.27		

Edgar Filing: Orion Marine Group Inc - Form 10-K

Forfeited	(50,457	)	\$7.97		
Outstanding at December 31, 2012	2,283,842		\$9.23		
Vested at December 31, 2012 and expected to vest	2,231,215		\$9.30	8.04 years	\$947
Exercisable at December 31, 2012	1,303,709		\$11.20	6.52 years	\$373

The Company calculates the fair value of each option on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes pricing model and the following weighted-average assumptions in each year:

F-24

---

Table of Contents

	2012	2011	2010	
Weighted average grant-date fair value of options granted	\$4.08	\$4.03	\$6.58	
Risk-free interest rate	1.42	% 1.5	% 1.5	%
Expected volatility	68.5	% 68.4	% 52.3	%
Expected term of options (in years)	6.2	7.5	5.4	
Dividend yield	—	% —	% —	%

The risk-free interest rate is based on interest rates on U.S. Treasury zero-coupon issues that match the contractual terms of the stock option grants. The expected term represents the period in which the Company's equity awards are expected to be outstanding, which for the years presented is based on the exercise history.

For years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, compensation expense related to stock based awards outstanding for the periods was \$3.1 million, \$2.7 million and \$2.5 million, respectively.

The Company applies a 5.5% forfeiture rate to its option grants. No options were granted in the quarter ended December 31, 2012.

In the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company received proceeds of approximately \$298,000 upon the exercise of 56,658 options. In the year ended December 31, 2011, 32,124 options were exercised, generating proceeds of \$185,000, and in the year ended December 31, 2010, proceeds of \$669,000 were generated from the exercise of 82,510 options.

As of December 31, 2012, there was \$5.4 million of unrecognized compensation cost, net of estimated forfeitures, related to the Company's non-vested equity awards, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 3.9 years.

	2012	2011	2010
Total intrinsic value of options exercised	\$130	\$143	\$799
Total fair value of shares vested	\$1,997	\$1,383	\$2,518

## 16. Employee Benefits

All employees except the Associate Divers, the Associate Tugmasters, and union employees in the Pacific Northwest, are eligible to participate in the Company's 401(k) Retirement Plan after completing six months of service. Each participant may contribute between 1% and 80% of eligible compensation on a pretax basis, up to the annual IRS limit. The Company matches 100% on the first 2% of eligible compensation contributed to the Plan and 50% on the next 2% of eligible compensation contributed to the Plan. Participants' contributions are fully vested at all times. Employer matching contributions vest over a four-year period. At its discretion, the Company may make additional matching and profit-sharing contributions. During the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, the Company contributed \$1.1 million to the plan in each respective year.

The Company contributes to several multiemployer defined pension plans under the terms of collective-bargaining agreements that cover its union-represented employees. Risks of participating in these multiemployer plans are different from single-employer plans in the following aspects:

- Assets contributed to the multiemployer plan by one employer may be used to provide benefits to employees of other participating employers.

If a participating employer stops contributing to the plan, the unfunded obligations of the plan may be borne by the remaining participating employers.

- If the Company chooses to stop participating in its multiemployer plans, it may be required to pay a withdrawal liability based on the underfunded status of the plan.

The following table presents the Company's participation in these plans:

F-25

---

Table of Contents

Pension Trust Fund	Pension Plan Employer Identification Number	Pension Protection Act ("PPA") Certified Zone Status (1)		FIP/RP Status Contributions			Surcharge Imposed	Expiration of Collective Bargaining Agreement
		2012	2011	P/I (2)	2012	2011		
International Union of Operating Engineers-Employers Construction Industry Retirement Plan	91-6028571	Green	Green	N/A	\$486	\$336	\$124	2015
Associated General Contractors of Washington Carpenter, Piledrivers, and Millwrights	91-6029051	Green	Green	N/A	\$400	\$331	\$84	2015
Alaska Carpenters Trust Fund	92-0120866	Green	Green	N/A	\$142	\$—	\$—	2014

1. The most recent PPA zone status available in 2012 and 2011 is for the plan's year end during 2011 and 2010, respectively. Zone status is based on information received from the plan and is indicative of the plans funding status. Among other factors, plans in the red zone are generally less than 65 percent funded, plans in the orange zone are less than 80 percent funded and have an Accumulated Funding Deficiency in the current year or projected into the next six years, plans in the yellow zone are less than 80 percent funded, and plans in the green zone are at least 80 percent funded.

2. The FIP/RP Status P/I column indicates plans for which a financial improvement plan ("FIP") or a rehabilitation plan ("RP") is either pending ("P"), or implemented ("I").

3. Other smaller funds for pensions and other employee benefits, primarily in Alaska.

There are currently no plans to withdraw from any of the multiemployer plans in which the Company participates.

## 17. Commitments and Contingencies

### Operating Leases

In July 2005, the Company executed a sale-leaseback transaction in which it sold an office building for \$2.1 million and entered into a ten year lease agreement. The Company, at its option, can extend the lease for two additional five year terms. Scheduled increases in monthly rent are included in the lease agreement.

The sale of the office building resulted in a gain of \$562,000 which has been deferred and amortized over the life of the lease. The Company recognized approximately \$56,000 in each of the years ending December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Rent expense under this agreement was \$172,620, \$172,620, and \$170,219 for each of the years ending December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

In 2005, the Company entered into a lease agreement for vehicles under a continuing operating lease agreement. Rental expense under this lease for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was \$2.8 million, \$4.6 million, and \$3.6 million, respectively.



The Company leases its corporate office in Houston, Texas under a lease with an initial term of nine years. In addition, the Company leases other facilities, including office space and yard facilities, under terms that range from one to five years. The Company also leases short-term field office space at its various construction sites for the duration of the projects.

F-26

---

Table of Contents

As part of the purchase of property in Florida in 2012, the Company entered into a long-term lease of land for a 20 year term, and paid \$250,000 for the entire period. The long-term portion of the balance of the lease term is included in long-term prepaids in the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Future minimum lease payments under non-cancelable operating leases as of December 31, 2012 are as follows:

	Amount
Year ended December 31,	
2013	\$2,572
2014	1,546
2015	643
2016	279
2017	101
Thereafter	—
	\$5,141

## Litigation

From time to time the Company is a party to various lawsuits, claims and other legal proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of business. These actions typically seek, among other things, compensation for alleged personal injury, breach of contract, property damage, punitive damages, civil penalties or other losses, or injunctive or declaratory relief. With respect to such lawsuits, the Company accrues reserves when it is probable a liability has been incurred and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. The Company does not believe any of these proceedings, individually or in the aggregate, would be expected to have a material adverse effect on results of operations, cash flows or financial condition.

## 18. Selected Quarterly Financial Data

The following table sets forth selected unaudited financial information for the eight quarters in the two-year period ended December 31, 2012. This information has been prepared on the same basis as the audited financial statements and, in the opinion of management, contains all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation.

	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Total Year
	(in thousands, except per share data)				
2012					
Revenues	\$50,890	\$67,132	\$75,386	\$98,634	\$292,042
Operating (loss) income	(9,918)	(7,695)	(2,293)	5,703	(14,203)
(Loss) income before income taxes	(9,895)	(7,918)	(2,480)	3,787	(16,506)
Net (loss) income	(6,336)	(5,421)	(1,595)	1,486	(11,866)
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	—	—	—	—	—
Net (loss) income attributable to common stockholders	(6,336)	(5,421)	(1,595)	1,486	(11,866)
Earnings (loss) per share:					
Basic	\$(0.23)	\$(0.20)	\$(0.06)	\$0.05	\$(0.44)
Diluted	\$(0.23)	\$(0.20)	\$(0.06)	\$0.05	\$(0.44)
	First	Second	Third	Fourth	Total

Edgar Filing: Orion Marine Group Inc - Form 10-K

	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Year
	(in thousands, except per share data)				
2011					
Revenues	\$79,057	\$70,899	\$54,583	\$55,313	\$259,852
Operating income (loss)	2,524	(5,265	) (9,062	) (7,478	) (19,281
Income (loss) before income taxes	2,448	(5,340	) (9,108	) (7,461	) (19,461
Net income (loss)	1,539	(3,216	) (6,218	) (5,219	) (13,114
Earnings per share:					
Basic	\$0.06	\$(0.12	) \$(0.23	) \$(0.19	) \$(0.49
Diluted	\$0.06	\$(0.12	) \$(0.23	) \$(0.19	) \$(0.49

F-27

---

Table of Contents

## REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors and Stockholders  
of Orion Marine Group, Inc.

We have audited in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) the consolidated financial statements of Orion Marine Group, Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") referred to in our report dated March 6, 2013, which is included in the annual report to security holders and incorporated by reference in Part II of this form. Our audits of the basic consolidated financial statements included the financial statement schedule listed in the index appearing under Item 15 (2), which is the responsibility of the Company's management. In our opinion, this financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

/s/ Grant Thornton LLP  
Houston, Texas  
March 6, 2013

## ORION MARINE GROUP, INC.

SCHEDULE II - VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS  
(Dollars in thousands)

Description	Balance at the Beginning of the Period	Charged to Revenue, Cost or Expense	Deduction	Balance at the End of the Period
Year ended December 31, 2010:				
Provision for Doubtful Accounts	\$1,202	\$(285	)\$(917	)\$—
Year ended December 31, 2011:				
Provision for Doubtful Accounts	\$—	\$255	\$(255	)\$—
Year ended December 31, 2012:				
Provision for Doubtful Accounts	\$—	\$12	\$(12	)\$—