EATON VANCE MUNICIPAL BOND FUND Form N-CSR November 25, 2016

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED

MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act File Number: 811-21142

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)

Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Maureen A. Gemma

Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(Name and Address of Agent for Services)

(617) 482-8260

(Registrant s Telephone Number)

September 30

Date of Fiscal Year End

September 30, 2016

Date of Reporting Period

Item 1. Reports to Stockholders

Municipal Bond Funds

Annual Report

September 30, 2016

Municipal (EIM)

California (EVM) New York (ENX)

Commodity Futures Trading Commission Registration. Effective December 31, 2012, the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) adopted certain regulatory changes that subject registered investment companies and advisers to regulation by the CFTC if a fund invests more than a prescribed level of its assets in certain CFTC-regulated instruments (including futures, certain options and swap agreements) or markets itself as providing investment exposure to such instruments. Each Fund has claimed an exclusion from the definition of the term—commodity pool operator—under the Commodity Exchange Act. Accordingly, neither the Funds nor the adviser with respect to the operation of the Funds is subject to CFTC regulation. Because of its management of other strategies, each Fund—s adviser is registered with the CFTC as a commodity pool operator and a commodity trading advisor.

Fund shares are not insured by the FDIC and are not deposits or other obligations of, or guaranteed by, any depository institution. Shares are subject to investment risks, including possible loss of principal invested.

Annual Report September 30, 2016

Eaton Vance

Municipal Bond Funds

Table of Contents

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance	2
Performance and Fund Profile	
Municipal Bond Fund California Municipal Bond Fund New York Municipal Bond Fund	4 5 6
Endnotes and Additional Disclosures	7
Financial Statements	8
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	38
Federal Tax Information	39
Annual Meeting of Shareholders	40
Dividend Reinvestment Plan	41
Board of Trustees Contract Approval	43
Management and Organization	46
Important Notices	49

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2016

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance

Economic and Market Conditions

As the period opened on October 1, 2015, U.S. Treasurys, along with municipal bonds, were about two months into a rally that would continue for most of the period.

In the closing months of 2015, concerns about the Chinese economy, falling commodity prices and uncertainty about the Federal Reserve Board s (the Fed) interest rate decisions led many asset classes to experience dramatic volatility. But the municipal market, a high quality asset class with a generally improving credit landscape, continued its steady rally despite a Fed rate hike in December 2015. A combination of lower than expected new issue supply and strong inflows into municipal mutual funds in the final quarter of 2015 was an additional tailwind for the asset class.

In January of 2016, the municipal rally accelerated as U.S. equities experienced what was widely reported as their worst-ever start to a new year. The combination of plummeting oil prices and slowing economic growth in China helped drive a global flight to quality, with investors fleeing asset classes regarded as risky for the perceived safety of U.S. Treasurys and municipal bonds. Falling government interest rates around the world, driven by actions such as quantitative easing in Japan and the European Union, put many sovereign rates into negative territory and made Treasurys look attractive by comparison.

Great Britain s June 2016 vote to leave the European Union, ongoing Fed caution, and mixed U.S. economic reports continued to fuel the municipal rally in the summer of 2016. Even the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico s July 1, 2016 default on over \$1 billion in municipal bond and debt service payments its second default in 2016 and its largest to date failed to put a dent in the municipal rally, as the market had expected the defaults for some time.

In the final month of the period, however, remarks by three central banks the European Central Bank, the Bank of Japan and the Fed seemed to indicate that rates might begin to rise sooner than markets had anticipated. As a result, municipal rates crept upward in September of 2016 and prices declined modestly for the month.

For the one-year period as a whole, the yield curve flattened for municipal AAA-rated⁷ issues. Rates rose in the one- to three-year area of the curve driven in part by new

money market regulations set to take effect in mid-October 2016 but fell for maturities of four to 30 years. In general, longer maturities saw greater rate declines and thus better price performance. Across the yield curve, municipal bonds outperformed U.S. Treasurys for the period.

Fund Performance

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2016, Municipal Bond Fund shares at net asset value (NAV) outperformed the 8.72% return of the Funds benchmark, the Bloomberg Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index (the Index³), while California Municipal Bond Fund and New York Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV underperformed the Index.

The Funds overall strategy is to invest primarily in higher quality bonds (rated A or higher). In managing the Funds, management employs leverage through Residual Interest Bond (RIB) financing⁶ to seek to enhance the Funds tax-exempt income. The use of leverage has the effect of achieving additional exposure to the municipal market, and thus magnifying a fund s exposure to its underlying investments in both up and down market environments. During this period of generally falling rates and rising prices in the medium- and long-maturity areas of the municipal

yield curve, the use of leverage contributed to performance versus the Index which does not employ leverage for all three Funds.

Management hedges to various degrees against the greater potential risk of volatility caused by the use of leverage and investing in bonds at the long end of the yield curve, by using Treasury futures and/or interest-rate swaps. As a risk management tactic within the Funds overall strategy, interest rate hedging is intended to moderate performance on both the upside and the downside of the market. During this period of positive performance by municipal bonds, the Funds Treasury futures hedge mitigated some of the upside and thus detracted modestly from the Funds performance relative to the unhedged Index.

Fund-specific Results

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV returned 10.19%, outperforming the 8.72% return of the Index. The main contributors to performance versus the Index included leverage, as mentioned earlier; security selection in the special tax sector, which was the best-performing sector in the Index during the period; and an overweight, relative to the Index,

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and include management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than or equal to one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2016

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance continued

in zero coupon bonds, which were the best-performing coupon structure in the Index during the period. The chief detractors from performance relative to the Index were the Fund shedging strategy, an overweight in prerefunded, or escrowed, bonds; and an underweight and security selection in A-rated and BBB-rated bonds.

Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV returned 8.22%, underperforming the 8.72% return of the Index. The Fund s hedging strategy, an overweight in prerefunded bonds, and an underweight and security selection in A-rated and BBB-rated bonds all detracted from performance versus the Index. In contrast, leverage contributed to performance relative to the Index, as did an overweight in zero coupon bonds and an overweight and security selection in insured Puerto Rico bonds. The majority of the Fund s Puerto Rico holdings were insured by various municipal bond insurers. It should be noted that most uninsured bonds issued by the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico and its various conduit issuers were no longer included in the Index. As Puerto Rico continued to deal with an ongoing fiscal crisis, bonds issued by its various legal entities were impacted by a number of factors throughout the period. As the period ended, the situation in Puerto Rico was continuing to evolve.

Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV returned 8.01%, underperforming the 8.72% return of the Index. Key detractors from performance versus the Index included the Fund s hedging strategy, an overweight in prerefunded bonds, and an underweight in A-rated and BBB-rated bonds. Primary contributors to performance relative to the Index included leverage, an overweight in the industrial development revenue sector, and an overweight in the special tax sector.

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and include management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than or equal to one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2016

Performance^{2,3}

Portfolio Manager Cynthia J. Clemson

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	08/30/2002	10.19%	9.20%	5.88%
Fund at Market Price		14.91	8.24	5.71
Bloomberg Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		8.72%	6.42%	5.29%
% Premium/Discount to NAV ⁴				
				5.94%

Distributions ⁵	
Total Distributions per share for the period	\$ 0.727
Distribution Rate at NAV	4.60%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	8.13%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	4.89%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price	8.64%

% Total Leverage ⁶	
Residual Interest Bond (RIB) Financing	38.44%

Fund Profile

Credit Quality (% of total investments)^{7,8}

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and include management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than or equal to one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

4

California Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2016

Performance^{2,3}

RIB Financing Fund Profile

Portfolio Manager Craig R. Brandon, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ter	1 Years
Fund at NAV	08/30/2002	8.22%	8.16%		4.78%
Fund at Market Price		22.99	8.03		5.49
Bloomberg Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		8.72%	6.42%		5.29%
% Premium/Discount to NAV ⁴					
					+3.91%
Distributions ⁵					
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$	0.684
Distribution Rate at NAV					5.24%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV					10.68%
Distribution Rate at Market Price					5.04%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price					10.27%
% Total Leverage ⁶					

Credit Quality (% of total investments)^{7,8}

40.98%

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and include management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than or equal to one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

New York Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2016

Performance^{2,3}

Portfolio Manager Craig R. Brandon, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	08/30/2002	8.01%	7.33%	5.19%
Fund at Market Price		19.75	6.93	5.56
Bloomberg Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		8.72%	6.42%	5.29%
% Premium/Discount to NAV ⁴				
				+0.21%
Distributions ⁵				
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$ 0.718
Distribution Rate at NAV				5.02%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV				9.73%
Distribution Rate at Market Price				5.01%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price				9.71%

Credit Quality (% of total investments)^{7,8}

% Total Leverage⁶ RIB Financing

Fund Profile

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

39.00%

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and include management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than or equal to one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2016

Endnotes and Additional Disclosures

- The views expressed in this report are those of the portfolio manager(s) and are current only through the date stated at the top of this page. These views are subject to change at any time based upon market or other conditions, and Eaton Vance and the Fund(s) disclaim any responsibility to update such views. These views may not be relied upon as investment advice and, because investment decisions are based on many factors, may not be relied upon as an indication of trading intent on behalf of any Eaton Vance fund. This commentary may contain statements that are not historical facts, referred to as forward looking statements. The Fund s actual future results may differ significantly from those stated in any forward looking statement, depending on factors such as changes in securities or financial markets or general economic conditions, the volume of sales and purchases of Fund shares, the continuation of investment advisory, administrative and service contracts, and other risks discussed from time to time in the Fund s filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.
- ² Bloomberg Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. with maturities of 22 years or more. Prior to August 24, 2016, Bloomberg Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index was named Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index. Unless otherwise stated, index returns do not reflect the effect of any applicable sales charges, commissions, expenses, taxes or leverage, as applicable. It is not possible to invest directly in an index.
- ³ Performance results reflect the effects of leverage. Performance since inception for an index, if presented, is the performance since the Fund s or oldest share class inception, as applicable.
- ⁴ The shares of the Fund often trade at a discount or premium from their net asset value. The discount or premium of the Fund may vary over time and may be higher or lower than what is quoted in this report. For up-to-date premium/discount information, please refer to http://eatonvance.com/closedend.
- ⁵ The Distribution Rate is based on the Fund s last regular distribution per share in the period (annualized) divided by the Fund s NAV or market price at the end of the period. The Fund s distributions may be comprised of amounts characterized for federal income tax purposes as tax-exempt income, qualified and non-qualified ordinary dividends, capital gains and nondividend distributions, also known as return of capital. The Fund will determine the federal income tax character of distributions paid to a shareholder after the end of the calendar year. This is reported on the IRS form 1099-DIV and provided to the shareholder shortly after each year-end. For information about the tax character of distributions made in prior calendar years, please refer to Performance-Tax Character of Distributions on the Fund s webpage available at eatonvance.com. The Fund s distributions are determined by the investment adviser based on its current assessment of the Fund s long-term return potential. Fund distributions may be affected by numerous factors including changes in Fund performance, the cost of financing for Funds that employ leverage, portfolio holdings, realized and projected returns, and other factors. As portfolio and market conditions change, the rate of distributions paid by the Fund could change.

Taxable-equivalent performance is based on the highest combined federal and state income tax rates, where applicable. Lower tax rates would result in lower tax-equivalent performance. Actual tax rates will vary depending on your income, exemptions and deductions. Rates do not include local taxes. Subsequent distributions declared, but not reflected in Fund Performance, reflect a reduction of the monthly distribution for California Municipal Bond Fund and New York Municipal Bond Fund.

⁶ Fund employs RIB financing. The leverage created by RIB investments provides an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater price volatility). The cost of leverage rises and falls with changes in short-term interest rates. See Floating Rate Notes Issued in Conjunction with Securities Held in the notes to the financial statements for more information about RIB financing. RIB leverage represents the amount of Floating Rate Notes outstanding at period end as a percentage of Fund net assets plus Floating Rate Notes.

Ratings are based on Moody s, S&P or Fitch, as applicable. If securities are rated differently by the ratings agencies, the higher rating is applied. Ratings, which are subject to change, apply to the creditworthiness of the issuers of the underlying securities and not to the Fund or its shares. Credit ratings measure the quality of a bond based on the issuer s creditworthiness, with ratings ranging from AAA, being the highest, to D, being the lowest based on S&P s measures. Ratings of BBB or higher by S&P or Fitch (Baa or higher by Moody s) are considered to be investment-grade quality. Credit ratings are based largely on the ratings agency s analysis at the time of rating. The rating assigned to any particular security is not necessarily a reflection of the issuer s current financial condition and does not necessarily reflect its assessment of the volatility of a security s market value or of the liquidity of an investment in the security. Holdings designated as Not Rated are not rated by the national ratings agencies stated above.

8 The chart includes the municipal bonds held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds, consistent with the Portfolio of Investments.

Fund profile subject to change due to active management.

Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2016

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 160.9%

ъ.	
Prin	cinal

Amount

Security	(000	s omitted)		Value
Education 15.1% California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Southern California), Prerefunded to 10/1/18, 5.25%, 10/1/38 ⁽¹⁾ Houston Higher Education Finance Corp., TX, (St. John s School), 5.25%, 9/1/33 Houston Higher Education Finance Corp., TX, (William Marsh Rice University), 5.00%, 5/15/35 ⁽¹⁾ Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Boston College), 5.50%, 6/1/27 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Boston College), 5.50%, 6/1/30 New York Dormitory Authority, (Rockefeller University),	\$	9,750 3,985 15,000 5,810 8,325	\$	10,616,483 4,596,259 17,026,950 7,822,991 11,422,399
5.00%, 7/1/40(1)		15,300		16,981,776
North Carolina Capital Facilities Finance Agency, (Duke University), 5.00%, 10/1/38(1)		13,500		14,825,295
North Carolina Capital Facilities Finance Agency, (Duke University), 5.00%, 10/1/41(1)		10,000		12,157,100
University of California, Prerefunded to 5/15/19, 5.25%, 5/15/39		720		802,318
University of California, Prerefunded to 5/15/19, 5.25%, 5/15/39 University of Massachusetts Building Authority, 5.00%, 11/1/39 ⁽¹⁾		2,460 14,175		2,741,252 16,898,584
University of Michigan, 5.00%, 4/1/40		25		30,685
University of Michigan, 5.00%, 4/1/40 ⁽¹⁾		15,000		18,410,700
University of Nebraska, 5.00%, 7/1/40		20		24,047
University of Nebraska, 5.00%, 7/1/40 ⁽¹⁾		11,800		14,187,966
			\$	148,544,805
Electric Utilities 2.4% Francy Northwest W.A. (Columbia Consisting Station) 5.00%, 7/1/40	\$	2,320	\$	2,766,020
Energy Northwest, WA, (Columbia Generating Station), 5.00%, 7/1/40 Pima County Industrial Development Authority, AZ, (Tucson Electric Power Co.), 5.25%, 10/1/40	Ф	10.000	Ф	11,213,500
Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City Board of Public Utilities, KS, 5.00%, 9/1/36		3,425		3,939,298
Utility Debt Securitization Authority, NY, 5.00%, 12/15/35		4,500		5,448,960
			\$	23,367,778
Escrowed / Prerefunded 6.0% Charleston, SC, Waterworks and Sewer Revenue, Prerefunded to 1/1/21, 5.00%, 1/1/35 Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Wesleyan University), Prerefunded to 7/1/20, 5.00%, 7/1/39 ⁽¹⁾ Security	\$	2,735 14,700 Principal	\$	3,192,456 16,857,225 Value

Amount

	(000	s omitted)	
Escrowed / Prerefunded (continued) Honolulu City and County, HI, Wastewater System, Prerefunded to 7/1/21, 5.25%, 7/1/36 ⁽¹⁾ Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, Prerefunded to 12/1/20, 6.00%, 12/1/34 South Carolina Public Service Authority, Prerefunded to 1/1/19, 5.50%, 1/1/38 Tarrant County Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., TX, (Scott & White Healthcare), Prerefunded to 8/15/20,	\$	9,750 720 565	\$ 11,650,958 866,966 622,624
5.25%, 8/15/40 Tarrant County Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., TX, (Scott & White Healthcare), Prerefunded to 8/15/20, 5.25%, 8/15/40		450 5,655	522,653 6,568,000
Tennessee School Bond Authority, Prerefunded to 5/1/18, 5.50%, 5/1/38 University of California, Prerefunded to 5/15/19, 5.25%, 5/15/39 University of Colorado, (University Enterprise Revenue), Prerefunded to 6/1/21, 5.25%, 6/1/36 ⁽¹⁾		5,000 1,270 10,000	5,368,400 1,415,199 11,939,100
			\$ 59,003,581
General Obligations 17.3% California, 5.00%, 10/1/33 California, 5.00%, 10/1/33(1) Chicago Park District, IL, (Harbor Facilities), 5.25%, 1/1/37(1) Delaware Valley Regional Finance Authority, PA, 5.75%, 7/1/32 Klein Independent School District, TX, (PSF Guaranteed), 5.00%, 2/1/36(1) Massachusetts, 5.00%, 7/1/35(1) Miami-Dade County, FL, 4.00%, 7/1/37 Mississippi, 5.00%, 10/1/30(1) Mississippi, 5.00%, 10/1/36(1) New York, NY, 5.00%, 10/1/32 Oregon, 5.00%, 8/1/35(1) Oregon, 5.00%, 8/1/35 Port of Houston Authority of Harris County, TX, 5.00%, 10/1/35 Tacoma School District No. 10, WA, 5.00%, 12/1/39(1) Washington, 4.00%, 7/1/28(1) Washington, 5.00%, 2/1/35(1)	\$	15 18,800 8,320 3,000 2,000 10,000 15,970 10,000 6,750 2,000 7,500 10,000 10,000 18,250	\$ 18,525 23,217,812 9,251,507 3,975,870 2,312,500 12,155,700 17,913,868 11,802,500 14,161,802 12,021,100 7,864,020 2,330,080 8,612,925 12,055,100 11,185,400 21,762,030
Hospital 13.0% California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/27	\$	1,000	\$ 1,168,740

Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2016

Portfolio of Investments continued

Insured Electric Utilities 0.6%

	Principal			
		Amount		
Security	(000	s omitted)		Value
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/28 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Cedars-Sinai Medical Center), 5.00%, 8/15/39 Hawaii Department of Budget and Finance, (Hawaii Pacific Health), 5.50%, 7/1/38 Highlands County Health Facilities Authority, FL, (Adventist Health System), 5.25%, 11/15/36 Knox County Health, Educational and Housing Facilities Board, TN, (Covenant Health), 0.00%, 1/1/38 Knox County Health, Educational and Housing Facilities Board, TN, (Covenant Health), 0.00%, 1/1/41 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Partners HealthCare System), 5.00%, 7/1/41(1) Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Henry Ford Health System), 5.25%, 11/15/46 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital), 5.25%, 7/1/35 Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, (Cleveland Clinic Health System), 5.00%, 1/1/32 Tampa, FL, (BayCare Health System), 5.00%, 11/15/46(1) Vermont Educational and Health Buildings Financing Agency, (University of Vermont Medical Center), 4.00%, 12/1/42 Vermont Educational and Health Buildings Financing Agency, (University of Vermont Medical Center), 5.00%, 12/1/46 West Virginia Hospital Finance Authority, (West Virginia United Health System Obligated Group), 5.375%, 6/1/38 Wisconsin Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Ascension Health Alliance Senior Credit Group), 5.00%, 11/15/41(1) Wisconsin Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Ascension Senior Credit Group), 4.50%, 11/15/39	\$	1,770 11,570 2,790 7,190 5,040 10,000 5,355 4,385 10,950 12,000 5,000 1,600 7,605 11,500 16,000	\$	2,049,678 12,770,619 3,342,448 7,230,983 1,753,164 2,974,800 12,017,200 5,383,167 5,161,145 12,746,238 14,349,120 5,340,950 1,882,224 8,963,253 13,226,725 18,382,400
			\$	128,742,854
Industrial Development Revenue 0.5% Maricopa County Pollution Control Corp., AZ, (El Paso Electric Co.), 4.50%, 8/1/42	\$	4,245	\$ \$	4,522,538 4,522,538
Insured Education 2.2%				
Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (College of the Holy Cross), (AMBAC), 5.25%, 9/1/32	\$	15,900	\$	21,588,066
			\$	21,588,066
		Principal		
		Amount		
Security	(000	s omitted))	Value

Louisiana Energy and Power Authority, (AGM), 5.25%, 6/1/38 4,905 \$ 5,745,177 5,745,177 Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 10.9% American Municipal Power-Ohio, Inc., OH, (Prairie State Energy Campus), (AGC), Prerefunded to 2/15/19, 5.75%, 2/15/39 5,000 5,564,450 Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC), Prerefunded to 10/1/18, 5.25%, 10/1/26 3,185 3,458,719 Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC), Prerefunded to 10/1/18, 5.25%, 10/1/27 1,985 2,155,591 Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC), Prerefunded to 10/1/18, 5.50%, 10/1/38 3,170 3,458,121 Colorado Health Facilities Authority, (Catholic Health), (AGM), Prerefunded to 4/29/18, 5.10%, 10/1/41(1) 11,500 12,272,340 District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority, (AGC), Prerefunded to 10/1/18, 5.00%, 10/1/34(1) 8,500 9,197,255 Iowa Finance Authority, Health Facilities, (Iowa Health System), (AGC), Prerefunded to 8/15/19, 5.625%, 8/15/37 2,972,996 2,625 Kane, Cook and DuPage Counties School District No. 46, IL, (AMBAC), Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 1/1/22 13,145 12,232,080 New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (School Facilities Construction), (AGC), Prerefunded to 12/15/18, 2,064,262 5.50% 12/15/34 1,875 Paducah Electric Plant Board, KY, (AGC), Prerefunded to 4/1/19, 5.25%, 10/1/35 2,735 3,030,216 Palm Beach County Solid Waste Authority, FL, (BHAC), Prerefunded to 10/1/19, 5.00%, 10/1/24 775 867,334 Palm Beach County Solid Waste Authority, FL, (BHAC), Prerefunded to 10/1/19, 5.00%, 10/1/26 1,575 1,762,645 Palm Springs Unified School District, CA, (AGC), Prerefunded to 8/1/19, 5.00%, 8/1/32 8,955 9,991,899 San Diego County Water Authority, CA, Certificates of Participation, (AGM), Prerefunded to 5/1/18, 5.00%, 5/1/38⁽¹⁾ 24,000 25,592,640 South Carolina Public Service Authority, (BHAC), Prerefunded to 1/1/19, 5.50%, 1/1/38 625 688,744 Texas Transportation Commission, (Central Texas Turnpike System), (AMBAC), Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 8/15/20 5,570 5,311,051 Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (MultiCare Health System), (AGC), Prerefunded to 8/15/19, 6.00%, 8/15/39 5,795 6,634,116

9

\$ 107,254,459

Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2016

Portfolio of Investments continued

		Principal	
		Amount	
Security	(000	s omitted)	Value
Insured General Obligations 7.2% Chicago Park District, IL, (Limited Tax Park), (BAM), 5.00%, 1/1/39 Chicago Park District, IL, (Limited Tax Park), (BAM), 5.00%, 1/1/39(1) Cincinnati City School District, OH, (AGM), (FGIC), 5.25%, 12/1/30 Clark County, NV, (AMBAC), 2.50%, 11/1/36 Frisco Independent School District, TX, (AGM), (PSF Guaranteed), 2.75%, 8/15/39 Kane, Cook and DuPage Counties School District No. 46, IL, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/22 Port Arthur Independent School District, TX, (AGC), 4.75%, 2/15/38(1) Yuma and La Paz Counties Community College District, AZ, (Arizona Western College), (NPFG), 3.75%, 7/1/31	\$	35 13,600 3,750 11,845 9,530 16,605 10,950 4,275	\$ 39,264 15,256,888 5,091,975 11,041,317 9,417,927 14,867,619 11,501,333 4,281,968 \$71,498,291
			ψ /1,130, 2 31
Insured Hospital 9.5% Arizona Health Facilities Authority, (Banner Health), (BHAC), 5.375%, 1/1/32 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Sutter Health), (AGM), 5.05%, 8/15/38 ⁽¹⁾ Illinois Finance Authority, (Children s Memorial Hospital), (AGC), 5.25%, 8/15/47) Maricopa County Industrial Development Authority, AZ, (Catholic Healthcare West), (BHAC), 5.25%, 7/1/32 Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (LifeBridge Health), (AGC), 4.75%, 7/1/47 ⁽¹⁾ New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Virtua Health), (AGC), 5.50%, 7/1/38 Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (Providence Health Care), Series C, (AGM), 5.25%, 10/1/33 ⁽¹⁾ Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (Providence Health Care), Series D, (AGM), 5.25%, 10/1/33 ⁽¹⁾	\$	8,250 11,000 15,000 1,675 17,450 13,115 8,700 12,605	\$ 8,694,922 11,733,920 15,945,000 1,728,366 17,837,564 14,614,569 9,378,774 13,605,584 \$ 93,538,699
Insured Industrial Development Revenue 1.0% Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, (Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc.), (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/39 ⁽¹⁾	\$	9,000	\$ 9,985,320 \$ 9,985,320
			Ψ 2,200,0 <u>20</u>
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 0.1% New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (School Facilities Construction), (AGC), 5.50%, 12/15/34	\$	1,035	\$ 1,121,360
			\$ 1,121,360
Security		Principal	Value

Amount

	000 s omitted)
Insured Other Revenue 1.6% Harris County-Houston Sports Authority, TX, (AGM), (NPFG), 0.00%, 11/15/34 New York City Industrial Development Agency, NY, (Yankee Stadium), (AGC), 7.00%, 3/1/49	\$ 16,795 6,750	\$ 8,530,348 7,708,433
		\$ 16,238,781
Insured Solid Waste 0.2% Palm Beach County Solid Waste Authority, FL, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/24	\$ 1,985	\$ 2,220,858
		\$ 2,220,858
Insured Special Tax Revenue 5.6% Alabama Public School and College Authority, (AGM), 2.50%, 12/1/27 Houston, TX, Hotel Occupancy Tax, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 9/1/24 Miami-Dade County, FL, Professional Sports Franchise Facilities, (AGC), 7.00%, (0.00% until 10/1/19), 10/1/39 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	\$ 15,975 18,035 15,000 28,945	\$ 16,050,881 14,831,082 18,274,500 5,846,022
		\$ 55,002,485
Insured Student Loan 0.6% Maine Educational Loan Authority, (AGC), 5.625%, 12/1/27	\$ 5,540	\$ 6,036,938
name zeatational zeath radionty, (1200), 01020 %, 12.1121	, 2,5.10	
		\$ 6,036,938
Insured Transportation 12.8%		
Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 4.75%, 1/1/34) Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.00%, 1/1/28 Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.00%, 1/1/29 Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.125%, 1/1/30 Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.125%, 1/1/31 Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.25%, 1/1/32 Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.25%, 1/1/33 Clark County, NV, (Las Vegas-McCarran International Airport), (AGM), 5.25%, 7/1/39 E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/21	\$ 21,640 2,500 1,000 1,800 1,570 1,015 1,150 8,080 10,200	\$ 22,533,947 2,964,525 1,183,860 2,130,750 1,853,432 1,202,055 1,361,186 8,994,575 9,326,370
E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/39 Harris County, TX, Toll Road Revenue, (BHAC), (NPFG), 5.00%, 8/15/33 ⁽¹⁾	25,000 7,800	9,092,250 8,082,594

10

Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2016

Portfolio of Investments continued

		Principal		
		Amount		
Security	(000	s omitted)		Value
Insured Transportation (continued)	¢.	6.710	Ф	7 120 005
Manchester, NH, (Manchester-Boston Regional Airport), (AGM), 5.125%, 1/1/30	\$		\$	7,128,905
Metropolitan Washington Airports Authority, D.C., (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/29 New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, (AGC), 5.50%, 12/15/38		1,785 11,700		1,978,601 12,596,805
North Carolina Turnpike Authority, (Triangle Expressway System), (AGC), 5.50%, 1/1/29		1,015		1,101,275
North Carolina Turnpike Authority, (Triangle Expressway System), (AGC), 5.75%, 1/1/39		1,160		1,266,071
Port Palm Beach District, FL, (XLCA), 0.00%, 9/1/24		1,605		1,166,193
Port Palm Beach District, FL, (XLCA), 0.00%, 9/1/25		1,950		1,354,587
Port Palm Beach District, FL, (XLCA), 0.00%, 9/1/26		1,000		666,660
San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency, CA, (Toll Road Bonds), (NPFG), 0.00%, 1/15/25		26,215		20,834,109
Texas Transportation Commission, (Central Texas Turnpike System), (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/15/20		10,275		9,681,105
			\$	126,499,855
Insured Water and Sewer 5.1% Chicago, IL, Wastewater Transmission Revenue, (BHAC), 5.50%, 1/1/38	\$	2,060	\$	2,157,356
Chicago, IL, Wastewater Transmission Revenue, (NPFG), 0.00%, 1/1/23		13,670		11,570,151
DeKalb County, GA, Water and Sewerage Revenue, (AGM), 5.25%, 10/1/32 ⁽¹⁾		10,000		12,797,500
Detroit, MI, Water Supply System, (NPFG), 5.00%, 7/1/34		10		10,032
Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, (AGM), 5.25%, 8/1/32		5,540		7,627,417
Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, (AGM), 5.25%, 8/1/38		1,070		1,513,483
Michigan Finance Authority, (Detroit Water and Sewerage Department), (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/32		2,615		3,073,043
Michigan Finance Authority, (Detroit Water and Sewerage Department), (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/33		2,240		2,623,757
Michigan Finance Authority, (Detroit Water and Sewerage Department), (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/35		2,730		3,176,846
Michigan Finance Authority, (Detroit Water and Sewerage Department), (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/37		2,240		2,594,726
San Luis Obispo County, CA, (Nacimiento Water Project), (NPFG), 4.50%, 9/1/40		3,535		3,647,696
			\$	50,792,007
Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 3.4%				
Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., NY, 5.75%, 2/15/47	\$	1,980	\$	2,334,559
North Carolina, Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.00%, 5/1/26		10		12,414
North Carolina, Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.00%, 5/1/26 ⁽¹⁾		16,000 Principal		19,863,200
		F		
		Amount		
Security	(000	s omitted)		Value

Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation (continued) North Carolina, Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.00%, 5/1/30 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 10,000	\$ \$	11,605,100 33,815,273
Other Revenue 2.6% New York City Transitional Finance Authority, NY, (Building Aid), 5.00%, 7/15/36 ⁽¹⁾ Oregon Department of Administrative Services, Lottery Revenue, 5.25%, 4/1/30 Texas Municipal Gas Acquisition and Supply Corp. III, Gas Supply Revenue, 5.00%, 12/15/30	\$ 10,750 9,200 1,700	\$	12,466,130 10,736,124 1,939,785
Senior Living / Life Care 0.1% Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (Charlestown Community, Inc.), 6.125%, 1/1/30	\$ 1,175	\$ \$ \$	25,142,039 1,375,020 1,375,020
Special Tax Revenue 15.1% Central Puget Sound Regional Transit Authority, WA, Sales and Use Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 11/1/30 Central Puget Sound Regional Transit Authority, WA, Sales and Use Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 11/1/30 ⁽¹⁾ Connecticut, Special Tax Obligation, (Transportation Infrastructure), 5.00%, 1/1/31 ⁽¹⁾ Massachusetts School Building Authority, Dedicated Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 8/15/37 ⁽¹⁾ New York City Transitional Finance Authority, NY, Future Tax Revenue, 3.00%, 2/1/39 ⁽¹⁾ New York City Transitional Finance Authority, NY, Future Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 2/1/37 ⁽¹⁾ New York Convention Center Development Corp., Hotel Occupancy Tax, 5.00%, 11/15/45 ⁽¹⁾ New York Dormitory Authority, Personal Income Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 6/15/31 New York Dormitory Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 3/15/34 New York Dormitory Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 3/15/35	\$ 20 12,575 20,000 20,200 15,000 20,000 13,000 10,000 3,285 12,040	\$	25,000 15,718,624 23,925,000 24,555,322 15,225,300 23,587,600 15,578,030 12,034,300 3,914,373 14,330,490 148,894,039
Transportation 13.4% Dallas and Fort Worth, TX, (Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport), 5.25%, 11/1/30 Dallas and Fort Worth, TX, (Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport), 5.25%, 11/1/31	\$ 3,205 4,950	\$	3,935,099 6,062,809

11

Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2016

Portfolio of Investments continued

	Principal			
		Amount		
Security	(000	s omitted)		Value
Transportation (continued) Delaware River Port Authority of Pennsylvania and New Jersey, 5.00%, 1/1/35 Illinois Toll Highway Authority, 5.00%, 1/1/37 ⁽¹⁾ Kansas Department of Transportation, 5.00%, 9/1/35 ⁽¹⁾ Los Angeles Department of Airports, CA, (Los Angeles International Airport), 5.25%, 5/15/28 Metropolitan Transportation Authority, NY, 5.25%, 11/15/32 Metropolitan Transportation Authority, NY, 5.25%, 11/15/38 Metropolitan Transportation Authority, NY, 5.25%, 11/15/40 Miami-Dade County, FL, (Miami International Airport), 5.00%, 10/1/41 Miami-Dade County, FL, Aviation Revenue, 5.00%, 10/1/37 New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, (Transportation System), 5.00%, 12/15/24 Orlando-Orange County Expressway Authority, FL, 5.00%, 7/1/35 Orlando-Orange County Expressway Authority, FL, 5.00%, 7/1/40 Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, 6.00%, 12/1/34 Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, 5.00%, 7/15/39 Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Authority, NY, 5.00%, 11/15/33	\$	8,275 10,000 10,000 3,285 4,380 4,640 4,735 10,825 4,615 10,000 2,915 2,590 4,280 14,360 5,000 5,000	\$	9,235,645 11,934,900 12,347,500 3,777,159 5,416,877 5,519,141 5,453,631 12,048,333 5,495,034 11,633,500 3,268,327 2,901,914 5,051,513 17,394,412 5,652,650 5,332,150
Water and Sewer 14.6% California Department of Water Resources, 5.25%, 12/1/35 ⁽¹⁾ California Department of Water Resources, (Central Valley Project), Prerefunded to 12/1/20, 5.25%, 12/1/35 Charleston, SC, Waterworks and Sewer Revenue, 5.00%, 1/1/45 ⁽¹⁾ Dallas, TX, Waterworks and Sewer System, 5.00%, 10/1/41 ⁽¹⁾ Detroit, MI, Sewage Disposal System, 5.00%, 7/1/32 Detroit, MI, Sewage Disposal System, 5.25%, 7/1/39 Detroit, MI, Water Supply System, 5.25%, 7/1/41 King County, WA, Sewer Revenue, 5.00%, 1/1/34 ⁽¹⁾ Marco Island, FL, Utility System, 5.00%, 10/1/40 Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District, MO, 5.00%, 5/1/35 ⁽¹⁾ Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District, MO, 5.00%, 5/1/36 ⁽¹⁾ New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, NY, 5.00%, 6/15/31 Portland, OR, Water System, 5.00%, 5/1/36		9,715 285 25,000 15,000 1,070 1,965 2,910 10,000 1,445 6,325 8,750 7,925 10,000 5,385 rincipal	\$	11,383,447 335,488 29,963,500 18,345,300 1,199,663 2,228,015 3,236,036 11,512,400 1,638,211 7,175,966 10,718,575 9,680,070 11,877,600 6,193,181
Security	(000 s	omitted)		Value

Water and Sewer (continued)

Texas Water Development Board, 5.00%, 10/15/40(1) 15,500 \$ 18,955,415

144,442,867

Total Tax-Exempt Investments 160.9%

(identified cost \$1,427,514,200)

\$ 1,588,474,423

Other Assets, Less Liabilities (60.9)%

(601,458,684)

Net Assets 100.0% 987,015,739

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets.

At September 30, 2016, the concentration of the Fund s investments in the various states and territories, determined as a percentage of total investments, is as follows:

New York 12.5%

Others, representing less than 10% individually

87.5%

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2016, 35.7% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution or financial guaranty assurance agency ranged from 0.2% to 12.6% of total investments.

(1) Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1G).

12

Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2016

Portfolio of Investments continued

Futures Contracts

rutures Contracts			Expiration	Net Unrealized			
Description	Contracts	Position	Month/Year	Aggregate Cost	Value	App	reciation
Interest Rate Futures U.S. Long Treasury Bond	229	Short	Dec-16	\$ (39,156,055)	\$ (38,507,781)	\$ \$	648,274 648.274

13

Abbreviations:

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.

AMBAC AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

BAM Build America Mutual Assurance Co.

BHAC Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.

FGIC Financial Guaranty Insurance Company

NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

PSF Permanent School Fund XLCA XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

California Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2016

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 169.9%

		Amount	
Security	(000	s omitted)	Value
Education 12.9% California Educational Facilities Authority, (California Institute of Technology), Prerefunded to 11/1/19, 5.00%, 11/1/39(1) California Educational Facilities Authority, (Claremont McKenna College), 5.00%, 1/1/27 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvey Mudd College), 5.25%, 12/1/31 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvey Mudd College), 5.25%, 12/1/36 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Loyola Marymount University), 5.00%, 10/1/30 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara University), 5.00%, 2/1/29 California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of San Francisco), 6.125%, 10/1/36 California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Southern California), Prerefunded to 10/1/18, 5.25%, 10/1/39 California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of the Pacific), 5.00%, 11/1/30 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.00%, 10/1/35 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/26 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/26 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/27	\$	10,000 770 550 940 1,375 285 650 6,200 1,790 1,175 800 2,270 2,395	\$ 11,258,600 808,631 651,409 1,113,317 1,522,304 317,786 798,246 6,750,994 2,079,139 1,369,415 933,624 2,694,149 2,820,927
California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/28		2,520	2,952,256
Electric Utilities 1.3% Southern California Public Power Authority, (Tieton Hydropower), 5.00%, 7/1/35 Vernon, Electric System Revenue, 5.125%, 8/1/21	\$	1,890 1,420	\$ 36,070,797 \$ 2,142,693 1,571,699
Escrowed / Prerefunded 2.8%			\$ 3,714,392
California Educational Facilities Authority, (Claremont McKenna College), Prerefunded to 1/1/18, 5.00%, 1/1/27 San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District, (Election of 2004), Prerefunded to 8/1/17, 5.00%, 8/1/35 Vernon, Electric System Revenue, Prerefunded to 8/1/19, 5.125%, 8/1/21	\$	1,910 5,000 610	\$ 2,009,644 5,176,500 663,241 \$ 7,849,385
Security	I	Principal	Value

Amount

Principal

(000 s omitted)

General Obligations 48.4% Burbank Unified School District, (Election of 2013), 4.00%, 8/1/31 ⁽¹⁾ California, 5.50%, 11/1/35 Contra Costa Community College District, (Election of 2006), 5.00%, 8/1/38 Contra Costa Community College District, (Election of 2006), 5.00%, 8/1/38 ⁽¹⁾ Desert Community College District, 5.00%, 8/1/37 ⁽¹⁾ Foothill-De Anza Community College District, Prerefunded to 8/1/21, 5.00%, 8/1/36 ⁽¹⁾ Midpeninsula Regional Open Space District, 5.00%, 9/1/26 Midpeninsula Regional Open Space District, 5.00%, 9/1/28 Midpeninsula Regional Open Space District, 5.00%, 9/1/30 Mountain View Whisman School District, (Election of 2012), 4.00%, 9/1/42 ⁽¹⁾ Palo Alto, (Election of 2008), 5.00%, 8/1/40 ⁽¹⁾ Palomar Community College District, 5.00%, 8/1/44 ⁽¹⁾ San Bernardino Community College District, (Election of 2002), 5.00%, 8/1/32 San Diego Community College District, (Election of 2006), 5.00%, 8/1/31 San Jose Unified School District, 5.00%, 8/1/32 ⁽¹⁾ San Jose-Evergreen Community College District, (Election of 2010), 5.00%, 8/1/37 ⁽¹⁾ Santa Monica Community College District, (Election of 2008), 5.00%, 8/1/44 ⁽¹⁾ Southwestern Community College District, (Election of 2008), 5.00%, 8/1/44 ⁽¹⁾ Southwestern Community College District, (Election of 2008), 5.00%, 8/1/35	\$ 4,600 20 9,750 7,500 10,000 1,250 465 300 10,000 7,020 10,000 5,775 1,375 2,545 7,500 4,975 7,500 940 7,500	\$ 7,688,739 5,388,026 24,127 11,762,107 9,199,500 11,619,900 1,652,713 603,863 383,712 11,235,600 8,004,555 12,058,700 6,618,670 1,600,528 2,968,895 9,286,500 5,936,269 8,991,825 1,201,254 9,047,775
Ventura County Community College District, 5.00%, 8/1/30 ⁽¹⁾	8,000	9,993,600
		\$ 135,266,858
Hospital 12.1% California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/27 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/28 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Cedars-Sinai Medical Center), 5.00%, 8/15/39 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (City of Hope), 5.00%, 11/15/32 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (St. Joseph Health System), 5.00%, 7/1/33	\$ 1,750 550 4,505 1,795 2,565 4,480	\$ 2,045,295 636,905 4,972,484 2,136,427 3,038,140 5,290,746

14

California Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2016

Portfolio of Investments continued

		Principal	
		Amount	
Security	(000	s omitted)	Value
Hospital (continued) California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (St. Joseph Health System), 5.00%, 7/1/37 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Sutter Health), 5.25%, 8/15/31 ⁽¹⁾ Torrance, (Torrance Memorial Medical Center), 5.50%, 6/1/31 Washington Township Health Care District, 5.00%, 7/1/32	\$	2,100 5,000 3,950 3,165	\$ 2,462,712 5,956,000 4,010,514 3,235,358
			\$ 33,784,581
Insured Electric Utilities 11.6% Anaheim Public Financing Authority, (Electric System District), (BHAC), (NPFG), Prerefunded to 4/1/17, 4.50%, 10/1/32 ⁽¹⁾ Northern California Power Agency, (Hydroelectric), (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/24 Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/34 Sacramento Municipal Utility District, (AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/27 Sacramento Municipal Utility District, (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.25%, 7/1/24	\$	20,000 2,000 3,840 615 4,000	\$ 20,374,199 2,139,720 4,212,058 661,863 4,992,880
			\$ 32,380,720
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 12.9% Antelope Valley Community College District, (Election of 2004), (NPFG), Prerefunded to 8/1/17, 5.25%, 8/1/39 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Sutter Health), (AMBAC), (BHAC), Prerefunded to 5/15/17, 5.00%, 11/15/38 ⁽¹⁾ East Bay Municipal Utility District, Water System Revenue, (AGM), (FGIC), Prerefunded to 6/1/17, 5.00%, 6/1/32 Glendale, Electric System Revenue, (AGC), Prerefunded to 2/1/18, 5.00%, 2/1/31 Palm Springs Unified School District, (Election of 2008), (AGC), Prerefunded to 8/1/19, 5.00%, 8/1/33 Riverside Community College District, (Election of 2004), (AGM), (NPFG), Prerefunded to 8/1/17, 5.00%, 8/1/32	\$	4,175 2,000 345 2,240 4,500 5,705	\$ 4,332,857 2,053,460 354,874 2,365,485 5,021,055 5,908,839
Sacramento Municipal Utility District, (AGM), Prerefunded to 8/15/18, 5.00%, 8/15/27 San Diego County Water Authority, Certificates of Participation, (AGM), Prerefunded to 5/1/18, 5.00%, 5/1/38 ⁽¹⁾		385 10,000	415,122 10,663,600
San Luis Obispo County, (Nacimiento Water Project), (BHAC), (NPFG), Prerefunded to 9/1/17, 5.00%, 9/1/38		4,750	4,935,250 \$ 36,050,542
Insured General Obligations 11.6% Burbank Unified School District, (Election of 1997), (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/21 Security	\$	4,135 Principal	\$ 3,870,443 Value
		Amount	

	(000	s omitted)	
Insured General Obligations (continued) San Diego Unified School District, (NPFG), 0.00%, 7/1/22 San Diego Unified School District, (NPFG), 0.00%, 7/1/23 San Juan Unified School District, (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/21 San Mateo County Community College District, (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/22 San Mateo County Community College District, (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/23 San Mateo County Community College District, (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/25 San Mateo Union High School District, (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/21	\$	2,300 5,000 5,630 4,840 4,365 3,955 5,240	2,080,074 4,415,400 5,259,715 4,443,701 3,905,234 3,383,225 4,887,977 2,245,769
Insured Hospital 3.7% California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Kaiser Permanente), (BHAC), 5.00%, 4/1/31(1)	\$	10,000	0,193,000 0,193,000
Insured Special Tax Revenue 6.1% Hesperia Public Financing Authority, (Redevelopment and Housing Projects), (XLCA), 5.00%, 9/1/31 Hesperia Public Financing Authority, (Redevelopment and Housing Projects), (XLCA), 5.00%, 9/1/37 Pomona Public Financing Authority, (NPFG), 5.00%, 2/1/33 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	\$	595 7,240 5,940 15,020	605,591 7,348,383 5,961,503 3,033,589 6,949,066
Insured Transportation 1.4% San Jose, Airport Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 3/1/33 San Jose, Airport Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 3/1/37	\$	1,885 2,040	1,917,045 2,074,170 3,991,215
Insured Water and Sewer 1.7% Riverside, Water System Revenue, (AGM), 5.00%, 10/1/38 San Luis Obispo County, (Nacimiento Water Project), (BHAC), (NPFG), 5.00%, 9/1/38 San Luis Obispo County, (Nacimiento Water Project), (NPFG), 4.50%, 9/1/40	\$	1,595 250 2,750	1,724,929 259,417 2,837,670 4,822,016
Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 1.1% California Public Works Board, 5.00%, 11/1/38	\$	2,565	3,078,513 3,078,513

15

California Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2016

Portfolio of Investments continued

	Principal			
		Amount		
Security	(000	s omitted)		Value
Other Revenue 0.3% California Infrastructure and Economic Development Bank, (The Scripps Research Institute), 5.00%, 7/1/24 California Infrastructure and Economic Development Bank, (The Scripps Research Institute), 5.00%, 7/1/26	\$	250 470	\$	315,063 606,403
			\$	921,466
Special Tax Revenue 10.5% Jurupa Public Financing Authority, 5.00%, 9/1/30 Jurupa Public Financing Authority, 5.00%, 9/1/32 Riverside County Transportation Commission, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.25%, 6/1/39 ⁽¹⁾ San Bernardino County Transportation Authority, 5.25%, 3/1/40 San Bernardino County Transportation Authority, 5.25%, 3/1/40 ⁽¹⁾ San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transportation District, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 7/1/36 ⁽¹⁾	\$	625 625 6,285 5 10,375 6,250	1	751,038 743,538 7,659,458 6,073 2,601,371 7,481,750
			\$ 2	9,243,228
Transportation 11.3% Bay Area Toll Authority, Toll Bridge Revenue, (San Francisco Bay Area), Prerefunded to 4/1/19, 5.25%, 4/1/29 ⁽¹⁾ Long Beach, Harbor Revenue, 5.00%, 5/15/27 Long Beach, Harbor Revenue, 5.00%, 5/15/42 ⁽¹⁾ Los Angeles Department of Airports, (Los Angeles International Airport), 5.00%, 5/15/35 ⁽¹⁾ San Francisco City and County Airport Commission, (San Francisco International Airport), 5.00%, 5/1/35 San Jose, Airport Revenue, 5.00%, 3/1/31	\$	6,500 1,960 7,500 7,500 2,190 1,750		7,206,745 2,243,044 9,075,825 8,547,825 2,460,991 1,991,132
			\$ 3	1,525,562
Water and Sewer 20.2% Beverly Hills Public Financing Authority, Water Revenue, 5.00%, 6/1/37 ⁽¹⁾ El Dorado Irrigation District, 5.00%, 3/1/30 ⁽²⁾ El Dorado Irrigation District, 5.00%, 3/1/36 ⁽²⁾	\$	5,725 2,500 4,750		6,810,059 3,144,800 5,815,283
Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, Water System Revenue, 5.00%, 7/1/39 ⁽¹⁾ Los Angeles, Wastewater System Revenue, 5.00%, 6/1/43 ⁽¹⁾ Orange County, Sanitation District Wastewater Revenue, 5.00%, 2/1/35 ⁽¹⁾ Security	Pri	10,000 7,500 10,000 incipal	1	2,009,100 8,939,400 2,361,700 Value

Amount

See Notes to Financial Statements.

(000 s omitted) Water and Sewer (continued) Rancho California Water District Financing Authority, 5.00%, 8/1/46(2) \$ 6,060 \$ 7,426,712 56,507,054 Total Tax-Exempt Investments 169.9% (identified cost \$434,967,788) \$ 474,594,164 Other Assets, Less Liabilities (69.9)% \$ (195,313,651) Net Assets 100.0% \$ 279,280,513 The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets. The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by California municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2016, 28.8% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution or financial guaranty assurance agency ranged from 0.1% to 16.6% of total investments. (1) Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1G). (2) When-issued security.

16

California Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2016

Portfolio of Investments continued

Futures Contracts

			Expiration			Net	Unrealized
Description	Contracts	Position	Month/Year	Aggregate Cost	Value	App	preciation
Interest Rate Futures U.S. 10-Year Treasury Note U.S. Long Treasury Bond	100 79	Short Short	Dec-16 Dec-16	\$ (13,124,812) (13,507,984)	\$ (13,112,500) (13,284,344)	\$	12,312 223,640
						\$	235,952

17

Abbreviations:

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.

AMBAC AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

BHAC Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.

FGIC Financial Guaranty Insurance Company

NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

XLCA XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

New York Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2016

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 162.5%

	Principal			
	Amount			
Security	(000 s omitted	l) Value		
Bond Bank 1.7% New York Environmental Facilities Corp., 5.00%, 10/15/39	\$ 3,360	\$ 3,785,006		
		\$ 3,785,006		
Education 28.5% Geneva Development Corp., (Hobart and William Smith Colleges), 5.00%, 9/1/30 Geneva Development Corp., (Hobart and William Smith Colleges), 5.00%, 9/1/33 Geneva Development Corp., (Hobart and William Smith Colleges), 5.00%, 9/1/34 Geneva Development Corp., (Hobart and William Smith Colleges), Series 2012, 5.00%, 9/1/32 Geneva Development Corp., (Hobart and William Smith Colleges), Series 2014, 5.00%, 9/1/32 Hempstead Local Development Corp., (Adelphi University), 5.00%, 6/1/20 Hempstead Local Development Corp., (Adelphi University), 5.00%, 6/1/21 Hempstead Local Development Corp., (Adelphi University), 5.00%, 6/1/31 Hempstead Local Development Corp., (Adelphi University), 5.00%, 6/1/32 Monroe County Industrial Development Corp., (St. John Fisher College), 5.00%, 6/1/39 New York City Cultural Resource Trust, (The Juilliard School), 5.00%, 1/1/39 New York City Cultural Resource Trust, (The Juilliard School), 5.00%, 1/1/39(1) New York Dormitory Authority, (Columbia University), 5.00%, 10/1/41(1) New York Dormitory Authority, (Cornell University), 5.00%, 7/1/37(1) New York Dormitory Authority, (Rockefeller University), 5.00%, 7/1/39(1) New York Dormitory Authority, (Rockefeller University), 5.00%, 7/1/40 New York Dormitory Authority, (Rockefeller University), 5.00%, 7/1/40(1) New York Dormitory Authority, (Rockefeller University), 5.00%, 7/1/40 New York Dormitory Authority, (Rockefeller University), 5.00%, 7/1/40	\$ 200 105 200 1,330 200 760 950 800 300 405 240 10,000 10,000 5,700 10,000 2,000 2,700 1,175 250 5,250 Principal	122,891 233,382 1,566,301 234,636 858,823 1,097,525 914,656 342,996 473,417 261,497 10,895,700 11,651,300 6,500,337 11,041,600 2,260,340 554,960 2,996,784 1,369,133 293,853		
Security	(000 s omitted) Value		
Education (continued) Onondaga County Cultural Resources Trust, (Syracuse University), 5.00%, 12/1/38	\$ 3,305	\$ 3,973,866		

			\$ 6	3,909,314
Electric Utilities 1.6%	¢	2 905	¢	2 527 226
Utility Debt Securitization Authority, 5.00%, 12/15/33	\$	2,895	\$	3,527,326
			\$	3,527,326
			Ψ	3,527,520
Escrowed / Prerefunded 0.9%				
New York Dormitory Authority, (North Shore-Long Island Jewish Obligated Group), Prerefunded to 5/1/17, 5.00%, 5/1/26	\$	2,055	\$	2,106,149
			Ф	2 107 140
			\$	2,106,149
General Obligations 11.2%				
Long Beach City School District, 4.50%, 5/1/26	\$	4,715		5,233,791
New York, 5.00%, 2/15/34 ⁽¹⁾		7,250		8,432,475
New York City, 5.00%, 8/1/34 ⁽¹⁾		8,650	1	0,361,230
Peekskill, 5.00%, 6/1/35 Peekskill, 5.00%, 6/1/36		465 490		495,174 521,796
FCCKSKIII, 5.00%, 0/1/30		490		321,790
			\$ 2	25,044,466
			·	.,. ,
Hospital 10.3%	Ф	1.005	ф	2 0 6 7 0 1 0
Dutchess County Local Development Corp., (Health Quest Systems, Inc.), 4.00%, 7/1/41	\$	1,895	\$	2,065,019
New York Dormitory Authority, (Highland Hospital of Rochester), 5.00%, 7/1/26 New York Dormitory Authority, (Highland Hospital of Rochester), 5.20%, 7/1/32		620 820		696,235 914,702
New York Dormitory Authority, (Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center), 4.375%, 7/1/34 ⁽¹⁾		9,325	1	0,214,046
New York Dormitory Authority, (North Shore-Long Island Jewish Obligated Group), 5.00%, 5/1/20		1,065		1,207,635
Suffolk County Economic Development Corp., (Catholic Health Services of Long Island Obligated Group), 5.00%, 7/1/28		5,890		6,668,599
Suffolk County Economic Development Corp., (Catholic Health Services of Long Island Obligated Group), Prerefunded to		1 010		4 404 700
7/1/21, 5.00%, 7/1/28		1,010		1,194,729
			\$ 2	2,960,965
			Ψ -	2,500,505
Housing 3.2%				
New York Housing Development Corp., 4.95%, 11/1/39	\$	2,500	\$	2,615,300
Novy Vork Housing Emphas Agency (EHLMC) (ENMA) (CNMA) 2 200/- 11/1/46				
New York Housing Finance Agency, (FHLMC), (FNMA), (GNMA), 3.20%, 11/1/46		3,500		3,446,660
New York Mortgage Agency, 3.55%, 10/1/33		3,500 995		3,446,660 1,034,292
			\$	

18

See Notes to Financial Statements.

New York Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2016

Portfolio of Investments continued

		Principal		
		Amount		
Security	(00	0 s omitted))	Value
Industrial Development Revenue 1.2% New York Liberty Development Corp., (Goldman Sach New York Liberty Development Corp., (Goldman Sach	***	490 1,440		656,463 1,995,177 2,651,640
Insured Education 8.6% New York Dormitory Authority, (City University), (AM New York Dormitory Authority, (Educational Housing New York Dormitory Authority, (Pratt Institute), (AGC New York Dormitory Authority, (St. John s University Oneida County Industrial Development Agency, (Hami Oneida County Industrial Development Agency, (Hami Oneida County Industrial Development Agency, (Hami	Services CUNY Student Housing), (AMBAC), 5.25%, 7/1/23 C), Prerefunded to 7/1/19, 5.00%, 7/1/34 C), Prerefunded to 7/1/19, 5.125%, 7/1/39 y), (NPFG), Prerefunded to 7/1/17, 5.25%, 7/1/37 ilton College), (NPFG), 0.00%, 7/1/34 ilton College), (NPFG), 0.00%, 7/1/36	925 1,750 1,555 2,405 3,750 5,555 8,455 4,000	\$	1,279,136 2,096,570 1,729,518 2,683,066 3,876,263 2,511,804 3,480,670 1,572,480 9,229,507
Insured Electric Utilities 5.8% Long Island Power Authority, Electric System Revenue New York Power Authority, (BHAC), (NPFG), 4.50%,		5,000 7,210		5,593,500 7,500,563 3,094,063
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 4.7% Eastchester Union Free School District, (AGM), Preref Freeport, (AGC), Prerefunded to 10/15/18, 5.00%, 10/1 Freeport, (AGC), Prerefunded to 10/15/18, 5.00%, 10/1 Nassau County Sewer and Storm Water Finance Autho Nassau County Sewer and Storm Water Finance Autho Wantagh Union Free School District, (AGC), Prerefund Wantagh Union Free School District, (AGC), Prerefund	15/20 15/21 rity, (BHAC), Prerefunded to 11/1/18, 5.125%, 11/1/23 rity, (BHAC), Prerefunded to 11/1/18, 5.375%, 11/1/28 ded to 11/15/17, 4.50%, 11/15/19	175 185 195 300 3,835 785 825 Principal	\$	184,448 200,779 211,632 327,168 4,202,125 817,978 859,658
Security	(00	0 s omitted)		Value

Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded (continued) Wantagh Union Free School District, (AGC), Prerefunded to 11/15/17, 4.75%, 11/15/22 Wantagh Union Free School District, (AGC), Prerefunded to 11/15/17, 4.75%, 11/15/23 William Floyd Union Free School District, (AGC), Prerefunded to 12/15/20, 4.00%, 12/15/24	\$ 905 950 1,590	\$ 945,553 992,569 1,787,303 \$ 10,529,213
		Ψ 10,527,213
Insured General Obligations 6.3% Brentwood Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.75%, 11/15/23 Brentwood Union Free School District, (AGC), 5.00%, 11/15/24 East Northport Fire District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/1/20 East Northport Fire District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/1/21 East Northport Fire District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/1/22 East Northport Fire District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/1/23 Hoosic Valley Central School District, (AGC), 4.00%, 6/15/23 Longwood Central School District, Suffolk County, (AGC), 4.15%, 6/1/23 Longwood Central School District, Suffolk County, (AGC), 4.25%, 6/1/24 Oyster Bay, (AGM), 4.00%, 8/1/28	\$ 2,290 2,390 200 200 200 200 1,110 820 860 4,585	\$ 2,540,251 2,677,302 216,246 216,146 216,130 215,920 1,206,814 861,025 904,557 5,020,758
Insured Hospital 2.0% New York Dormitory Authority, (Hudson Valley Hospital Center), (AGM), (BHAC), 5.00%, 8/15/36	\$ 4,355	\$ 14,075,149 \$ 4,510,430
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 2.5% Ulster County Resource Recovery Agency, Solid Waste System, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 3/1/21 Ulster County Resource Recovery Agency, Solid Waste System, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 3/1/23 Ulster County Resource Recovery Agency, Solid Waste System, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 3/1/25	\$ 1,490 1,090 3,635	\$ 1,399,378 983,910 3,137,296 \$ 5,520,584
Insured Other Revenue 2.0% New York City Transitional Finance Authority, (BHAC), 5.50%, 7/15/38	\$ 4,050	\$ 4,383,477 \$ 4,383,477

19

See Notes to Financial Statements.

New York Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2016

Portfolio of Investments continued

		rincipal Amount	
Security		omitted)	Value
Insured Special Tax Revenue 2.1% New York Thruway Authority, Miscellaneous Tax Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.50%, 4/1/20 Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 7/1/36 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	\$	2,175 3,000 6,705	\$ 2,521,260 916,380 1,354,209
			\$ 4,791,849
Other Revenue 11.4% Battery Park City Authority, 5.00%, 11/1/34 Brooklyn Arena Local Development Corp., (Barclays Center), 0.00%, 7/15/31 New York City Transitional Finance Authority, (Building Aid),	\$	4,925 4,900	\$ 5,552,888 2,813,972
5.00%, 7/15/32 ⁽¹⁾ New York Liberty Development Corp., (7 World Trade Center), 5.00%, 9/15/32		10,000 4,110	12,262,700 4,887,283
Special Tax Revenue 26.9% Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Dedicated Tax Fund, 5.00%, 11/15/31 ⁽¹⁾ New York City Transitional Finance Authority, Future Tax Revenue, 3.00%, 2/1/39 ⁽¹⁾ New York City Transitional Finance Authority, Future Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 2/1/35 ⁽¹⁾ New York City Transitional Finance Authority, Future Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 8/1/36 New York City Transitional Finance Authority, Future Tax Revenue, 5.50%, 11/1/35 ⁽¹⁾ (2) New York Dormitory Authority, Personal Income Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 6/15/31 ⁽¹⁾ New York Dormitory Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 3/15/34 New York Thruway Authority, Miscellaneous Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 4/1/26 Sales Tax Asset Receivables Corp., 5.00%, 10/15/30 ⁽¹⁾	\$	10,000 3,250 10,000 2,000 1,000 6,500 6,600 2,370 8,900	\$ 12,112,500 3,298,815 11,557,900 2,461,000 1,177,000 7,822,295 7,864,494 2,689,168 11,213,021 \$ 60,196,193
Transportation 18.4% Metropolitan Transportation Authority, 5.25%, 11/15/38 Nassau County Bridge Authority, 5.00%, 10/1/35 Nassau County Bridge Authority, 5.00%, 10/1/40 New York Thruway Authority, 5.00%, 10/1/37 Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, 5.00%, 10/15/35(1) Security	\$ Prin	3,430 1,565 300 7,280 8,000	\$ 4,079,882 1,751,783 334,212 8,458,268 9,790,720 Value

Amount

	(000	s omitted)		
Transportation (continued) Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, 5.00%, 10/15/41 ⁽¹⁾ Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Authority, 5.00%, 11/15/38 ⁽¹⁾	\$	5,000 10,000	\$	6,064,500 10,828,100
			\$	41,307,465
Water and Sewer 13.2% Albany Municipal Water Finance Authority, 5.00%, 12/1/26 Albany Municipal Water Finance Authority, 5.00%, 12/1/29 New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), 5.00%, 6/15/34 New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), 5.00%, 6/15/44(1) New York Environmental Facilities Corp., Clean Water and Drinking Water, 4.00%, 6/15/46(1)	\$	755 500 1,000 8,750 15,000	\$	885,502 588,335 1,185,960 10,137,750 16,763,850 29,561,397
Total Tax-Exempt Investments 162.5% (identified cost \$332,539,464)			\$	363,797,288
Other Assets, Less Liabilities (62.5)%			\$	(139,987,540)
Net Assets 100.0%			\$	223,809,748

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by New York municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2016, 20.9% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution or financial guaranty assurance agency ranged from 2.7% to 7.3% of total investments.

- (1) Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1G).
- (2) Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$427,000.

20

See Notes to Financial Statements.

New York Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2016

Portfolio of Investments continued

Futures Contracts

			Expiration				Net	Unrealized
Description	Contracts	Position	Month/Year	Agg	gregate Cost	Value	App	reciation
Interest Rate Futures U.S. Long Treasury Bond	50	Short	Dec-16	\$	(8,549,357)	\$ (8,407,812)	\$ \$	141,545 141,545

Abbreviations:

AGC	Assured Guaranty Corp.
AGM	Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.
AMBAC	AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.
BHAC	Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.
FHLMC	Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corp.
FNMA	Federal National Mortgage Association
GNMA	Government National Mortgage Association
NPFG	National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

21

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2016

Statements of Assets and Liabilities

		September 30, 2016				
Assets	Municipal Fund	Co	lifornia Fund	New York Fund		
Investments	runu	Ca	morma runu	110	w Tork Fund	
Identified cost	\$ 1,427,514,200	\$	434,967,788	\$	332,539,464	
Unrealized appreciation	160,960,223		39,626,376		31,257,824	
Investments, at value	\$ 1,588,474,423	\$	474,594,164	\$	363,797,288	
Cash	\$	\$	10,331,832	\$		
Restricted cash*	928,000		462,000		205,000	
Interest receivable	19,274,433		4,897,628		4,425,077	
Receivable for variation margin on open financial futures contracts	336,344		158,219		73,438	
Receivable from the transfer agent			9,905		20,821	
Deferred debt issuance costs	296,738		92,512		19,681	
Total assets	\$ 1,609,309,938	\$	490,546,260	\$	368,541,305	
Liabilities						
Payable for floating rate notes issued	\$ 616,440,000	\$	193,880,000	\$	143,090,000	
Payable for when-issued securities			16,327,481			
Due to custodian	2,420,677				824,024	
Payable to affiliate:						
Investment adviser fee	791,614		233,756		195,956	
Interest expense and fees payable	2,264,149		640,956		465,429	
Accrued expenses	377,759		183,554		156,148	
Total liabilities	\$ 622,294,199	\$	211,265,747	\$	144,731,557	
Net Assets	\$ 987,015,739	\$	279,280,513	\$	223,809,748	
Sources of Net Assets						
Common shares, \$0.01 par value, unlimited number of shares authorized	\$ 681,683	\$	213,943	\$	156,618	
Additional paid-in capital	951,295,647		302,268,737		221,068,839	
Accumulated net realized loss	(126,570,088)		(64,347,694)		(29,936,176)	
Accumulated undistributed net investment income			1,283,199		1,121,098	
Net unrealized appreciation	161,608,497		39,862,328		31,399,369	
Net Assets	\$ 987,015,739	\$	279,280,513	\$	223,809,748	
Common Shares Outstanding	68,168,250		21,394,348		15,661,780	
Net Asset Value						
Net assets ÷ common shares issued and outstanding	\$ 14.48	\$	13.05	\$	14.29	

^{*} Represents restricted cash on deposit at the broker for open financial futures contracts.

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2016

Statements of Operations

	Year Ended September 30, 2016							
Investment Income	Municipal Fund	C-1	ifornia Fund	NI	v York Fund			
Interest	\$ 63,511,526	\$	18,375,386	s s	14,244,912			
Total investment income	\$ 63,511,526	э \$	18,375,386 18,375,386	ֆ \$	14,244,912			
Total investment income	\$ 03,311,320	Ф	10,373,300	Ф	14,244,912			
Expenses								
Investment adviser fee	\$ 9,497,157	\$	2,814,035	\$	2,366,224			
Trustees fees and expenses	68,000		25,438		19,871			
Custodian fee	339,411		130,944		93,486			
Transfer and dividend disbursing agent fees	17,942		17,967		17,982			
Legal and accounting services	147,593		96,550		88,594			
Printing and postage	68,519		18,238		17,585			
Interest expense and fees	5,188,617		1,620,423		1,188,138			
Miscellaneous	75,893		33,216		31,730			
Total expenses	\$ 15,403,132	\$	4,756,811	\$	3,823,610			
Net investment income	\$ 48,108,394	\$	13,618,575	\$	10,421,302			
Realized and Unrealized Gain (Loss)								
Net realized gain (loss)								
Investment transactions	\$ 18,333,384	\$	2,199,794	\$	2,681,013			
Extinguishment of debt	(806)		(8,986)		(5)			
Financial futures contracts	(5,040,888)		(2,327,201)		(1,100,627)			
Net realized gain (loss)	\$ 13,291,690	\$	(136,393)	\$	1,580,381			
Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)								
Investments	\$ 28,392,787	\$	7,747,718	\$	4,441,218			
Financial futures contracts	1,290,978		595,359		281,873			
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	\$ 29,683,765	\$	8,343,077	\$	4,723,091			
Net realized and unrealized gain	\$ 42,975,455	\$	8,206,684	\$	6,303,472			
Net increase in net assets from operations	\$ 91,083,849	\$	21,825,259	\$	16,724,774			

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2016

Statements of Changes in Net Assets

	Year Ended September 30, 2016					
Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets	Municipal Fund	California Fund			w York Fund	
From operations						
Net investment income	\$ 48,108,394	\$	13,618,575	\$	10,421,302	
Net realized gain (loss) from investment transactions, extinguishment of debt and financial						
futures contracts	13,291,690		(136,393)		1,580,381	
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) from investments and financial futures						
contracts	29,683,765		8,343,077		4,723,091	
Net increase in net assets from operations	\$ 91,083,849	\$	21,825,259	\$	16,724,774	
Distributions to common shareholders						
From net investment income	\$ (49,547,411)	\$	(14,631,864)	\$	(11,243,021)	
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (49,547,411)	\$	(14,631,864)	\$	(11,243,021)	
Capital share transactions						
Reinvestment of distributions to common shareholders	\$	\$	41,840	\$	46,018	
Net increase in net assets from capital share transactions	\$	\$	41,840	\$	46,018	
Net increase in net assets	\$ 41,536,438	\$	7,235,235	\$	5,527,771	
Net Assets						
At beginning of year	\$ 945,479,301	\$	272,045,278	\$	218,281,977	
At end of year	\$ 987,015,739	\$	279,280,513	\$	223,809,748	
•	, , , , , , , , ,		, , , , ,		-,,	
Accumulated undistributed net investment income						
included in net assets						
At end of year	\$	\$	1,283,199	\$	1,121,098	

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2016

Statements of Changes in Net Assets continued

	Year Ended September 30, 2015						
Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets	Municipal Fund	Ca	lifornia Fund	Ne	w York Fund		
From operations Net investment income	\$ 51,778,676	\$	14,719,842	\$	11,207,002		
Net realized gain (loss) from investment transactions, extinguishment of debt and financial futures contracts Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) from investments and financial futures	(4,457,385)		2,286,443		981,677		
contracts	(142,512)		(6,262,877)		(2,564,720)		
Net increase in net assets from operations Distributions to common shareholders	\$ 47,178,779	\$	10,743,408	\$	9,623,959		
From net investment income	\$ (52,218,244)	\$	(14,631,999)	\$	(11,244,698)		
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (52,218,244)	\$	(14,631,999)	\$	(11,244,698)		
Capital share transactions							
Cost of shares repurchased (See Note 5)	\$	\$	(87,649)	\$	(287,318)		
Net decrease in net assets from capital share transactions	\$	\$	(87,649)	\$	(287,318)		
Net decrease in net assets	\$ (5,039,465)	\$	(3,976,240)	\$	(1,908,057)		
Net Assets							
At beginning of year	\$ 950,518,766	\$	276,021,518	\$	220,190,034		
At end of year	\$ 945,479,301	\$	272,045,278	\$	218,281,977		
Accumulated undistributed net investment income included in net assets							
At end of year	\$ 1,467,917	\$	2,250,747	\$	1,878,214		

25

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2016

Statements of Cash Flows

	Year Ended September 30, 2016					
	Municipal					
Cash Flows From Operating Activities		ınd		lifornia Fund		w York Fund
Net increase in net assets from operations	\$	91,083,849	\$	21,825,259	\$	16,724,774
Adjustments to reconcile net increase in net assets from operations to net cash provided by (used in)						
operating activities:						
Investments purchased		(285,788,465)		(63,803,728)		(49,687,821)
Investments sold		275,661,626		62,183,743		38,757,265
Net amortization/accretion of premium (discount)		(1,969,432)		(115,932)		575,249
Amortization of deferred debt issuance costs		40,581		10,634		4,914
Decrease in restricted cash				26,000		
Decrease (increase) in interest receivable		(292,186)		266,698		(73,915)
Increase in receivable for variation margin on open financial futures contracts		(207,532)		(105,969)		(45,313)
Increase in receivable from the transfer agent				(9,905)		(20,821)
Increase in payable to affiliate for investment adviser fee		36,649		10,023		7,266
Increase in interest expense and fees payable		1,142,458		308,613		244,766
Increase in accrued expenses		162,048		61,285		39,595
Net change in unrealized (appreciation) depreciation from investments		(28,392,787)		(7,747,718)		(4,441,218)
Net realized gain from investments		(18,333,384)		(2,199,794)		(2,681,013)
Net realized loss on extinguishment of debt		806		8,986		5
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$	33,144,231	\$	10,718,195	\$	(596,267)
Cash Flows From Financing Activities						
Distributions paid to common shareholders, net of reinvestments	\$	(49,547,411)	\$	(14,590,024)	\$	(11,197,003)
Proceeds from secured borrowings		190,380,000		40,000,000		25,000,000
Repayment of secured borrowings	((161,595,000)		(21,305,000)		(17,335,000)
Increase (decrease) in due to custodian		(12,381,820)		(4,491,339)		824,024
Net cash used in financing activities	\$	(33,144,231)	\$	(386,363)	\$	(2,707,979)
Net increase (decrease) in cash	\$		\$	10,331,832	\$	(3,304,246)
Cash at beginning of year	\$		\$		\$	3,304,246
Cash at end of year	\$		\$	10,331,832	\$	
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:						
Noncash financing activities not included herein consist of:						
Reinvestment of dividends and distributions	\$		\$	41,840	\$	46,018
Cash paid for interest and fees		4,005,578		1,301,176		938,458
* ***		, ,		, ,		,

26

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2016

Financial Highlights

	Municipal Fund Year Ended September 30,									
	- 1	2016		2015		2014		2013		2012
Net asset value Beginning of year		13.870		13.940		12.290		14.100		12.560
Income (Loss) From Operations Net investment income ⁽¹⁾ Net realized and unrealized gain (loss)	\$	0.706 0.631	\$	0.760 (0.064)	\$	0.783 1.633	\$	0.768 (1.812)	\$	0.763 1.584
Total income (loss) from operations	\$	1.337	\$	0.696	\$	2.416	\$	(1.044)	\$	2.347
Less Distributions From net investment income	\$	(0.727)	\$	(0.766)	\$	(0.766)	\$	(0.766)	\$	(0.807)
Total distributions	\$	(0.727)	\$	(0.766)	\$	(0.766)	\$	(0.766)	\$	(0.807)
Net asset value End of year	\$	14.480	\$	13.870	\$	13.940	\$	12.290	\$	14.100
Market value End of year	\$	13.620	\$	12.510	\$	12.520	\$	11.560	\$	14.460
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value ⁽²⁾		10.19%		5.69%		21.00%		(7.59)%		19.33%
Total Investment Return on Market Value ⁽²⁾		14.91%		6.14%		15.44%		(15.17)%		24.45%
Ratios/Supplemental Data Net assets, end of year (000 s omitted) Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets):	\$ 9	87,016	\$ 9	945,479	\$ 9	950,519	\$:	837,447	\$ 9	960,528
Expenses excluding interest and fees ⁽³⁾		1.05%		1.05%		1.12%		1.15%		1.30%
Interest and fee expense ⁽⁴⁾		0.53%		0.38%		0.43%		0.47%		0.48%
Total expenses ⁽³⁾		1.58%		1.43%		1.55%		1.62%		1.78%
Net investment income		4.92%		5.43%		6.01%		5.67%		5.75%
Portfolio Turnover		18%		5%		9%		18%		17%

⁽¹⁾ Computed using average shares outstanding.

⁽²⁾ Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested. Distributions are assumed to be reinvested at prices obtained under the Fund s dividend reinvestment plan.

⁽³⁾ Excludes the effect of custody fee credits, if any, of less than 0.005%. Effective September 1, 2015, custody fee credits, which were earned on cash deposit balances, were discontinued by the custodian.

⁽⁴⁾ Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1G).

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2016

Financial Highlights continued

California Fund Year Ended September 30,				30,	
	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012
Net asset value Beginning of year	\$ 12.720	\$ 12.900	\$ 11.510	\$ 12.980	\$ 11.740
Income (Loss) From Operations					
Net investment income ⁽¹⁾	\$ 0.637	\$ 0.688	\$ 0.700	\$ 0.698	\$ 0.689
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss)	0.377	(0.184)	1.326	(1.514)	1.282
Total income (loss) from operations	\$ 1.014	\$ 0.504	\$ 2.026	\$ (0.816)	\$ 1.971
Less Distributions					
From net investment income	\$ (0.684)	\$ (0.684)	\$ (0.657)	\$ (0.654)	\$ (0.731)
Total distributions	\$ (0.684)	\$ (0.684)	\$ (0.657)	\$ (0.654)	\$ (0.731)
Anti-dilutive effect of share repurchase program (see Note 5) $^{(1)}$	\$	\$ 0.000 ⁽²⁾	\$ 0.021	\$	\$
Net asset value End of year	\$ 13.050	\$ 12.720	\$ 12.900	\$ 11.510	\$ 12.980
Market value End of year	\$ 13.560	\$ 11.630	\$ 11.350	\$ 10.330	\$ 12.650
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value ⁽³⁾	8.22%	4.46%	18.96%	(6.18)%	17.34%
Total Investment Return on Market Value ⁽³⁾	22.99%	8.55%	16.62%	(13.60)%	9.42%
Ratios/Supplemental Data					
Net assets, end of year (000 s omitted)	\$ 279,281	\$ 272,045	\$ 276,022	\$ 250,407	\$ 282,353
Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets):	\$ 277,201	Ψ 2.72,0 i.ε	<i>\$ 270,022</i>	Ψ 2 20, .07	\$ 202 ,555
Expenses excluding interest and fees ⁽⁴⁾	1.13%	1.11%	1.16%	1.22%	1.25%
Interest and fee expense ⁽⁵⁾	0.58%	0.40%	0.42%	0.46%	0.48%
Total expenses ⁽⁴⁾	1.71%	1.51%	1.58%	1.68%	1.73%
Net investment income	4.89%	5.36%	5.75%	5.56%	5.57%
Portfolio Turnover	12%	7%	7%	22%	27%

⁽¹⁾ Computed using average shares outstanding.

⁽²⁾ Amount is less than \$0.0005.

⁽³⁾ Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested. Distributions are assumed to be reinvested at prices obtained under the Fund s dividend reinvestment plan.

Excludes the effect of custody fee credits, if any, of less than 0.005%. Effective September 1, 2015, custody fee credits, which were earned on cash deposit balances, were discontinued by the custodian.

(5) Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1G).

28

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2016

Financial Highlights continued

	New York Fund Year Ended September 30,				
	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012
Net asset value Beginning of year	\$ 13.940	\$ 14.040	\$ 12.740	\$ 14.460	\$ 13.170
Income (Loss) From Operations					
Net investment income ⁽¹⁾	\$ 0.666	\$ 0.716	\$ 0.752	\$ 0.735	\$ 0.728
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss)	0.402	(0.100)	1.219	(1.767)	1.308
Total income (loss) from operations	\$ 1.068	\$ 0.616	\$ 1.971	\$ (1.032)	\$ 2.036
Less Distributions					
From net investment income	\$ (0.718)	\$ (0.718)	\$ (0.690)	\$ (0.688)	\$ (0.746)
Total distributions	\$ (0.718)	\$ (0.718)	\$ (0.690)	\$ (0.688)	\$ (0.746)
Anti-dilutive effect of share repurchase program (see Note $5)^{(1)}$	\$	\$ 0.002	\$ 0.019	\$	\$
Net asset value End of year	\$ 14.290	\$ 13.940	\$ 14.040	\$ 12.740	\$ 14.460
Market value End of year	\$ 14.320	\$ 12.600	\$ 12.330	\$ 11.540	\$ 14.660
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value ⁽²⁾	8.01%	5.07%	16.72%	(7.16)%	15.87%
Total Investment Return on Market Value ⁽²⁾	19.75%	8.14%	13.16%	(17.05)%	15.03%
Ratios/Supplemental Data					
Net assets, end of year (000 s omitted)	\$ 223,810	\$ 218,282	\$ 220,190	\$ 202,452	\$ 229,792
Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets):					
Expenses excluding interest and fees ⁽³⁾	1.18%	1.20%	1.22%	1.21%	1.22%
Interest and fee expense ⁽⁴⁾	0.53%	0.37%	0.40%	0.42%	0.43%
Total expenses ⁽³⁾	1.71%	1.57%	1.62%	1.63%	1.65%
Net investment income	4.66%	5.11%	5.65%	5.29%	5.29%
Portfolio Turnover	11%	5%	7%	12%	17%

⁽¹⁾ Computed using average shares outstanding.

⁽²⁾ Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested. Distributions are assumed to be reinvested at prices obtained under the Fund s dividend reinvestment plan.

⁽³⁾ Excludes the effect of custody fee credits, if any, of less than 0.005%. Effective September 1, 2015, custody fee credits, which were earned on cash deposit balances, were discontinued by the custodian.

(4) Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1G).

29

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2016

Notes to Financial Statements

1 Significant Accounting Policies

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund and Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund, (each individually referred to as the Fund, and collectively, the Funds), are Massachusetts business trusts registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act), as non-diversified, closed-end management investment companies. The Funds investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax, including alternative minimum tax, and, in state specific funds, taxes in its specified state.

The following is a summary of significant accounting policies of the Funds. The policies are in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP). Each Fund is an investment company and follows accounting and reporting guidance in the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification Topic 946.

A Investment Valuation The following methodologies are used to determine the market value or fair value of investments.

Debt Obligations. Debt obligations (including short-term obligations with a remaining maturity of more than sixty days) are generally valued on the basis of valuations provided by third party pricing services, as derived from such services—pricing models. Inputs to the models may include, but are not limited to, reported trades, executable bid and asked prices, broker/dealer quotations, prices or yields of securities with similar characteristics, interest rates, anticipated prepayments, benchmark curves or information pertaining to the issuer, as well as industry and economic events. The pricing services may use a matrix approach, which considers information regarding securities with similar characteristics to determine the valuation for a security. Short-term obligations purchased with a remaining maturity of sixty days or less are generally valued at amortized cost, which approximates market value.

Derivatives. Financial futures contracts are valued at the closing settlement price established by the board of trade or exchange on which they are traded.

Fair Valuation. Investments for which valuations or market quotations are not readily available or are deemed unreliable are valued at fair value using methods determined in good faith by or at the direction of the Trustees of a Fund in a manner that fairly reflects the security s value, or the amount that a Fund might reasonably expect to receive for the security upon its current sale in the ordinary course. Each such determination is based on a consideration of relevant factors, which are likely to vary from one pricing context to another. These factors may include, but are not limited to, the type of security, the existence of any contractual restrictions on the security s disposition, the price and extent of public trading in similar securities of the issuer or of comparable entities, quotations or relevant information obtained from broker/dealers or other market participants, information obtained from the issuer, analysts, and/or the appropriate stock exchange (for exchange-traded securities), an analysis of the entity s financial condition, and an evaluation of the forces that influence the issuer and the market(s) in which the security is purchased and sold.

B Investment Transactions and Related Income Investment transactions for financial statement purposes are accounted for on a trade date basis. Realized gains and losses on investments sold are determined on the basis of identified cost. Interest income is recorded on the basis of interest accrued, adjusted for amortization of premium or accretion of discount.

C Federal Taxes Each Fund's policy is to comply with the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code applicable to regulated investment companies and to distribute to shareholders each year substantially all of its taxable, if any, and tax-exempt net investment income, and all or substantially all of its net realized capital gains. Accordingly, no provision for federal income or excise tax is necessary. Each Fund intends to satisfy conditions which will enable it to designate distributions from the interest income generated by its investments in non-taxable municipal securities, which are exempt from regular federal income tax when received by each Fund, as exempt-interest dividends.

As of September 30, 2016, the Funds had no uncertain tax positions that would require financial statement recognition, de-recognition, or disclosure. Each Fund files a U.S. federal income tax return annually after its fiscal year-end, which is subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service for a period of three years from the date of filing.

D Legal Fees Legal fees and other related expenses incurred as part of negotiations of the terms and requirement of capital infusions, or that are expected to result in the restructuring of, or a plan of reorganization for, an investment are recorded as realized losses. Ongoing expenditures to protect or enhance an investment are treated as operating expenses.

E Use of Estimates The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of income and expense during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

F Indemnifications Under each Fund s organizational documents, its officers and Trustees may be indemnified against certain liabilities and expenses arising out of the performance of their duties to each Fund. Under Massachusetts law, if certain conditions prevail, shareholders of a Massachusetts business trust (such as a Fund) could be deemed to have personal liability for the obligations of the Fund. However, each Fund s Declaration of Trust contains an express disclaimer of liability on the part of Fund shareholders and the By-laws provide that the Fund shall assume the defense on behalf of any Fund shareholders. Moreover, the By-laws also provide for indemnification out of Fund property of any shareholder held personally liable solely by reason of being or having been a shareholder for all loss or expense arising from such liability. Additionally, in the normal course of business, each Fund enters into agreements with service providers that may contain indemnification clauses. Each Fund s maximum exposure under these arrangements is unknown as this would involve future claims that may be made against each Fund that have not yet occurred.

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2016

Notes to Financial Statements continued

G Floating Rate Notes Issued in Conjunction with Securities Held The Funds may invest in residual interest bonds, also referred to as inverse floating rate securities, whereby a Fund may sell a variable or fixed rate bond for cash to a Special-Purpose Vehicle (the SPV), (which is generally organized as a trust), while at the same time, buying a residual interest in the assets and cash flows of the SPV. The bond is deposited into the SPV with the same CUSIP number as the bond sold to the SPV by the Fund, and which may have been, but is not required to be, the bond purchased from the Fund (the Bond). The SPV also issues floating rate notes (Floating Rate Notes) which are sold to third-parties. The residual interest bond held by a Fund gives the Fund the right (1) to cause the holders of the Floating Rate Notes to generally tender their notes at par, and (2) to have the Bond held by the SPV transferred to the Fund, thereby terminating the SPV. Should the Fund exercise such right, it would generally pay the SPV the par amount due on the Floating Rate Notes and exchange the residual interest bond for the underlying Bond. Pursuant to generally accepted accounting principles for transfers and servicing of financial assets and extinguishment of liabilities, the Funds account for the transaction described above as a secured borrowing by including the Bond in their Portfolio of Investments and the Floating Rate Notes as a liability under the caption Payable for floating rate notes issued in their Statement of Assets and Liabilities. The Floating Rate Notes have interest rates that generally reset weekly and their holders have the option to tender their notes to the SPV for redemption at par at each reset date. Accordingly, the fair value of the payable for floating rate notes issued approximates its carrying value. If measured at fair value, the payable for floating rate notes would have been considered as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy (see Note 8) at September 30, 2016. Interest expense related to the Funds liability with respect to Floating Rate Notes is recorded as incurred. The SPV may be terminated by the Fund, as noted above, or by the occurrence of certain termination events as defined in the trust agreement, such as a downgrade in the credit quality of the underlying Bond, bankruptcy of or payment failure by the issuer of the underlying Bond, the inability to remarket Floating Rate Notes that have been tendered due to insufficient buyers in the market, or the failure by the SPV to obtain renewal of the liquidity agreement under which liquidity support is provided for the Floating Rate Notes up to one year. Structuring fees paid to the liquidity provider upon the creation of an SPV have been recorded as debt issuance costs and are being amortized as interest expense to the expected maturity of the related trust. Unamortized structuring fees related to a terminated SPV are recorded as a realized loss on extinguishment of debt. At September 30, 2016, the amounts of the Funds Floating Rate Notes and related interest rates and collateral were as follows:

	Municipal	California	New York
	Fund	Fund	Fund
Floating Rate Notes Outstanding Interest Rate or Range of Interest Rates (%) Collateral for Floating Rate Notes Outstanding	\$ 616,440,000 0.88 - 1.34 \$ 837,394,036	\$ 193,880,000 0.87 - 0.90 \$ 265,588,057	\$ 143,090,000 0.87 - 0.89 \$ 192,623,186

For the year ended September 30, 2016, the Funds average Floating Rate Notes outstanding and the average interest rate including fees and amortization of deferred debt issuance costs were as follows:

	Municipal	California	New York
	Fund	Fund	Fund
Average Floating Rate Notes Outstanding	\$ 606,796,899	\$ 191,031,052	\$ 141,875,724
Average Interest Rate	0.86%	0.85%	0.84%

In certain circumstances, the Funds may enter into shortfall and forbearance agreements with brokers by which a Fund agrees to reimburse the broker for the difference between the liquidation value of the Bond held by the SPV and the liquidation value of the Floating Rate Notes, as well as any shortfalls in interest cash flows. The Funds had no shortfalls as of September 30, 2016.

The Funds may also purchase residual interest bonds in a secondary market transaction without first owning the underlying bond. Such transactions are not required to be treated as secured borrowings. Shortfall agreements, if any, related to residual interest bonds purchased in a secondary market transaction are

disclosed in the Portfolio of Investments.

The Funds investment policies and restrictions expressly permit investments in residual interest bonds. Such bonds typically offer the potential for yields exceeding the yields available on fixed rate bonds with comparable credit quality and maturity. These securities tend to underperform the market for fixed rate bonds in a rising long-term interest rate environment, but tend to outperform the market for fixed rate bonds when long-term interest rates decline. The value and income of residual interest bonds are generally more volatile than that of a fixed rate bond. The Funds investment policies do not allow the Funds to borrow money except as permitted by the 1940 Act. Management believes that the Funds restrictions on borrowing money and issuing senior securities (other than as specifically permitted) do not apply to Floating Rate Notes issued by the SPV and included as a liability in the Funds Statement of Assets and Liabilities. As secured indebtedness issued by an SPV, Floating Rate Notes are distinct from the borrowings and senior securities to which the Funds restrictions apply. Residual interest bonds held by the Funds are securities exempt from registration under Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933.

Final rules implementing section 619 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Volcker Rule) prohibit banking entities from engaging in proprietary trading of certain instruments and limit such entities investments in, and relationships with, covered funds (such as SPVs), as

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2016

Notes to Financial Statements continued

defined in the rules. The compliance date for the Volcker Rule for certain covered funds was July 21, 2015 while for other covered funds the compliance date is July 21, 2017, as announced on July 7, 2016. The Volcker Rule precludes banking entities and their affiliates from (i) sponsoring residual interest bond programs and (ii) continuing relationships with or services for existing residual interest bond programs. As a result, residual interest bond trusts were restructured to comply with the Volcker Rule and all residual interest bonds held by the Funds at September 30, 2016 are Volcker Rule compliant. The effects of the Volcker Rule may make it more difficult for the Funds to maintain current or desired levels of leverage and may cause the Funds to incur additional expenses to maintain their leverage.

Legal and restructuring fees incurred in connection with the restructuring of residual interest bond trusts are recorded as interest expense.

- H Financial Futures Contracts Upon entering into a financial futures contract, a Fund is required to deposit with the broker, either in cash or securities, an amount equal to a certain percentage of the contract amount (initial margin). Subsequent payments, known as variation margin, are made or received by the Fund each business day, depending on the daily fluctuations in the value of the underlying security, and are recorded as unrealized gains or losses by the Fund. Gains (losses) are realized upon the expiration or closing of the financial futures contracts. Should market conditions change unexpectedly, the Fund may not achieve the anticipated benefits of the financial futures contracts and may realize a loss. Futures contracts have minimal counterparty risk as they are exchange traded and the clearinghouse for the exchange is substituted as the counterparty, guaranteeing counterparty performance.
- I When-Issued Securities and Delayed Delivery Transactions The Funds may purchase or sell securities on a delayed delivery or when-issued basis. Payment and delivery may take place after the customary settlement period for that security. At the time the transaction is negotiated, the price of the security that will be delivered is fixed. The Funds maintain cash and/or security positions for these commitments such that sufficient liquid assets will be available to make payments upon settlement. Securities purchased on a delayed delivery or when-issued basis are marked-to-market daily and begin earning interest on settlement date. Losses may arise due to changes in the market value of the underlying securities or if the counterparty does not perform under the contract.
- J Statement of Cash Flows The cash amount shown in the Statement of Cash Flows of a Fund is the amount included in the Fund s Statement of Assets and Liabilities and represents the unrestricted cash on hand at its custodian and does not include any short-term investments.

2 Distributions to Shareholders and Income Tax Information

Each Fund intends to make monthly distributions of net investment income to common shareholders. In addition, at least annually, each Fund intends to distribute all or substantially all of its net realized capital gains (reduced by available capital loss carryforwards). Distributions are recorded on the ex-dividend date. Distributions to shareholders are determined in accordance with income tax regulations, which may differ from U.S. GAAP. As required by U.S. GAAP, only distributions in excess of tax basis earnings and profits are reported in the financial statements as a return of capital. Permanent differences between book and tax accounting relating to distributions are reclassified to paid-in capital. For tax purposes, distributions from short-term capital gains are considered to be from ordinary income.

The tax character of distributions declared for the years ended September 30, 2016 and September 30, 2015 was as follows:

	Year I	Year Ended September 30, 2016			
	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund		
Distributions declared from:					
Tax-exempt income	\$ 49,546,972	\$ 14,551,701	\$ 11,153,560		
Ordinary income	\$ 439	\$ 80,163	\$ 89,461		

	Year 1	Year Ended September 30, 2015			
	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund		
Distributions declared from:					
Tax-exempt income	\$ 52,211,758	\$ 14,631,999	\$ 11,144,007		
Ordinary income	\$ 6.486	S	\$ 100.691		

During the year ended September 30, 2016, the following amounts were reclassified due to expired capital loss carryforwards, the tax treatment of distributions in excess of net tax-exempt income and differences between book and tax accounting, primarily for premium amortization and accretion of market discount.

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2016

Notes to Financial Statements continued

	Municipal		
	Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
Change in:			
Paid-in capital	\$ (6,907,960)	\$ (533,889)	\$
Accumulated net realized loss	\$ 6,936,860	\$ 488,148	\$ (64,603)
Accumulated undistributed net investment income	\$ (28,900)	\$ 45,741	\$ 64,603
These reclassifications had no effect on the net assets or net asset value per share of the Funds.			

As of September 30, 2016, the components of distributable earnings (accumulated losses) on a tax basis were as follows:

	Municipal		
	Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
Undistributed tax-exempt income	\$	\$ 1,283,199	\$ 1,121,098
Capital loss carryforwards and deferred capital losses	\$ (131,763,857)	\$ (64,083,820)	\$ (30,097,471)
Net unrealized appreciation	\$ 166,802,266	\$ 39,598,454	\$ 31,560,664
TEL 1:00 1	1.1	1 1 0 0	C A . 1

The differences between components of distributable earnings (accumulated losses) on a tax basis and the amounts reflected in the Statements of Assets and Liabilities are primarily due to wash sales, residual interest bonds, futures contracts, premium amortization and accretion of market discount.

At September 30, 2016, the following Funds, for federal income tax purposes, had capital loss carryforwards and deferred capital losses which would reduce the respective Fund s taxable income arising from future net realized gains on investment transactions, if any, to the extent permitted by the Internal Revenue Code, and thus would reduce the amount of distributions to shareholders, which would otherwise be necessary to relieve the Funds of any liability for federal income or excise tax. Under tax regulations, capital losses incurred in taxable years beginning after December 2010 are considered deferred capital losses and are treated as arising on the first day of a Fund s next taxable year, retaining the same short-term or long-term character as when originally deferred. Deferred capital losses are required to be used prior to capital loss carryforwards, which carry an expiration date. As a result of this ordering rule, capital loss carryforwards may be more likely to expire unused. The amounts and expiration dates of the capital loss carryforwards, whose character is short-term, and the amounts of the deferred capital losses are as follows:

	Municipal	California	New York
Expiration Date	Fund	Fund	Fund
September 30, 2017	\$ 18,034,628	\$ 4,562,453	\$ 7,946,914
September 30, 2018	56,183,712	23,169,615	8,909,352
September 30, 2019	16,458,561	7,665,268	6,463,209
Total capital loss carryforwards	\$ 90,676,901	\$ 35,397,336	\$ 23,319,475

Deferred capital losses:

 Short-term
 \$ 32,052,183
 \$ 9,728,388
 \$ 4,438,231

 Long-term
 \$ 9,034,773
 \$ 18,958,096
 \$ 2,339,765

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2016

Notes to Financial Statements continued

The cost and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments of each Fund at September 30, 2016, as determined on a federal income tax basis, were as follows:

	Municipal	ipal California		cipal California New Y	
	Fund	Fund	Fund		
Aggregate cost	\$ 805,232,157	\$ 241,115,710	\$ 189,146,624		
Gross unrealized appreciation Gross unrealized depreciation	\$ 167,959,404 (1,157,138)	\$ 40,165,946 (567,492)	\$ 32,644,074 (1,083,410)		
Net unrealized appreciation	\$ 166,802,266	\$ 39,598,454	\$ 31,560,664		

3 Investment Adviser Fee and Other Transactions with Affiliates

The investment advisor fee is earned by Eaton Vance Management (EVM) as compensation for investment advisory services rendered to each Fund. Pursuant to the investment advisory agreement for New York Fund, the fee is computed at an annual rate of 0.65% of its average weekly gross assets. Pursuant to the investment advisory agreement and a subsequent fee reduction agreement between each of Municipal Fund and California Fund and EVM, the fee is calculated at an annual rate of 0.60% of each Fund saverage weekly gross assets. The fee reductions cannot be terminated without the consent of a majority of Trustees and a majority of shareholders. Average weekly gross assets include the principal amount of any indebtedness for money borrowed, including debt securities issued by a Fund. Pursuant to a fee reduction agreement between each Fund and EVM, average weekly gross assets are calculated by adding to net assets the amount payable by the Fund to floating rate note holders, such adjustment being limited to the value of the Auction Preferred Shares (APS) outstanding prior to any APS redemptions by the Fund. The investment adviser fee is payable monthly. EVM also serves as the administrator of each Fund, but receives no compensation. For the year ended September 30, 2016, the investment adviser fees were as follows:

	Municipal	California	New York
	Fund	Fund	Fund
Investment Adviser Fee	\$ 9,497,157	\$ 2,814,035	\$ 2,366,224

Trustees and officers of the Funds who are members of EVM s organization receive remuneration for their services to the Funds out of the investment adviser fee. Trustees of the Funds who are not affiliated with the investment adviser may elect to defer receipt of all or a percentage of their annual fees in accordance with the terms of the Trustees Deferred Compensation Plan. For the year ended September 30, 2016, no significant amounts have been deferred. Certain officers and Trustees of the Funds are officers of EVM.

4 Purchases and Sales of Investments

Purchases and sales of investments, other than short-term obligations, for the year ended September 30, 2016 were as follows:

Municipal California New York

	Fund	Fund	Fund
Purchases	\$ 285,788,465	\$ 80,131,209	\$ 49,687,821
Sales	\$ 275,661,626	\$ 54,174,193	\$ 38,757,265

5 Common Shares of Beneficial Interest

The Funds may issue common shares pursuant to their dividend reinvestment plans. For the year ended September 30, 2016, the California Fund and New York Fund issued 3,162 and 3,195 common shares, respectively, pursuant to its dividend reinvestment plan and there were no common shares issued by the Municipal Fund. For the year ended September 30, 2015, there were no common shares issued by the Funds.

On November 11, 2013, the Boards of Trustees of the Funds authorized the repurchase by each Fund of up to 10% of its then currently outstanding common shares in open-market transactions at a discount to net asset value (NAV). The repurchase program does not obligate the Funds to purchase a specific amount of shares. There were no repurchases of common shares by the Funds for the year ended September 30, 2016. During the year ended

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2016

Notes to Financial Statements continued

September 30, 2015, the number, cost (including brokerage commissions), average price per share and weighted average discount per share to NAV of common shares repurchased, were as follows:

	Year Ended September 30, 2015		
	Municipal Fund	California Fund	New York Fund
Common shares repurchased		7,500	23,000
Cost, including brokerage commissions, of common shares repurchased		\$ 87,649	\$ 287,318
Average price per share		\$ 11.69	\$ 12.49
Weighted average discount per share to NAV		9.90%	11.61%

6 Overdraft Advances

Pursuant to the custodian agreement, SSBT may, in its discretion, advance funds to the Funds to make properly authorized payments. When such payments result in an overdraft, the Funds are obligated to repay SSBT at the current rate of interest charged by SSBT for secured loans (currently, the Federal Funds rate plus 2%). This obligation is payable on demand to SSBT. SSBT has a lien on a Fund s assets to the extent of any overdraft. At September 30, 2016, the Municipal Fund and New York Fund had a payment due to SSBT pursuant to the foregoing arrangement of \$2,420,677 and \$824,024, respectively. Based on the short-term nature of these payments and the variable interest rate, the carrying value of the overdraft advances approximated its fair value at September 30, 2016. If measured at fair value, overdraft advances would have been considered as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy (see Note 8) at September 30, 2016. The Funds average overdraft advances during the year ended September 30, 2016 were not significant.

7 Financial Instruments

The Funds may trade in financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of their investing activities. These financial instruments may include financial futures contracts and may involve, to a varying degree, elements of risk in excess of the amounts recognized for financial statement purposes. The notional or contractual amounts of these instruments represent the investment a Fund has in particular classes of financial instruments and do not necessarily represent the amounts potentially subject to risk. The measurement of the risks associated with these instruments is meaningful only when all related and offsetting transactions are considered. A summary of obligations under these financial instruments at September 30, 2016 is included in the Portfolio of Investments. At September 30, 2016, the Funds had sufficient cash and/or securities to cover commitments under these contracts.

Each Fund is subject to interest rate risk in the normal course of pursuing its investment objective. Because the Funds hold fixed-rate bonds, the value of these bonds may decrease if interest rates rise. The Funds enter into U.S. Treasury futures contracts to hedge against changes in interest rates.

The fair values of open derivative instruments (not considered to be hedging instruments for accounting disclosure purposes) and whose primary underlying risk exposure is interest rate risk at September 30, 2016 were as follows:

	Municipal	California	New York
	Fund	Fund	Fund
Asset Derivative: Futures Contracts	\$ 648,274(1)	\$ 235,952(1)	\$ 141,545 ⁽¹⁾

Total \$ 648,274 \$ 235,952 \$ 141,545

(1) Amount represents cumulative unrealized appreciation on futures contracts. Only the current day s variation margin on open futures contracts is reported within the Statement of Assets and Liabilities as Receivable or Payable for variation margin, as applicable.

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2016

Notes to Financial Statements continued

The effect of derivative instruments (not considered to be hedging instruments for accounting disclosure purposes) on the Statement of Operations and whose primary underlying risk exposure is interest rate risk for the year ended September 30, 2016 was as follows:

	Municipal	California	New York
	Fund	Fund	Fund
Realized Gain (Loss) on Derivatives Recognized in Income Change in Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) on Derivatives Recognized in Income	\$ (5,040,888) ⁽¹⁾ \$ 1,290,978 ⁽²⁾	\$ (2,327,201) ⁽¹⁾ \$ 595,359 ⁽²⁾	\$ (1,100,627) ⁽¹⁾ \$ 281,873 ⁽²⁾

⁽¹⁾ Statement of Operations location: Net realized gain (loss) Financial futures contracts.

(2) Statement of Operations location: Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) Financial futures contracts.

The average notional amount of futures contracts outstanding during the year ended September 30, 2016, which is indicative of the volume of this derivative type, was approximately as follows:

	Municipal	California	New York
	Fund	Fund	Fund
Average Notional Amount: Futures Contracts Short 8 Fair Value Measurements	\$ 36,918,000	\$ 25,644,000	\$ 8,061,000

Under generally accepted accounting principles for fair value measurements, a three-tier hierarchy to prioritize the assumptions, referred to as inputs, is used in valuation techniques to measure fair value. The three-tier hierarchy of inputs is summarized in the three broad levels listed below.

- Level 1 quoted prices in active markets for identical investments
- Level 2 other significant observable inputs (including quoted prices for similar investments, interest rates, prepayment speeds, credit risk, etc.)
- Level 3 significant unobservable inputs (including a fund s own assumptions in determining the fair value of investments)

In cases where the inputs used to measure fair value fall in different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level disclosed is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The inputs or methodology used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities.

At September 30, 2016, the hierarchy of inputs used in valuing the Funds investments and open derivative instruments, which are carried at value, were as follows:

Municipal Fund Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Tax-Exempt Investments	\$	\$ 1,588,474,423	\$	\$ 1,588,474,423
Total Investments Futures Contracts	\$ \$ 648,274	\$ 1,588,474,423 \$	\$ \$	\$ 1,588,474,423 \$ 648,274
Total	\$ 648,274	\$ 1.588.474.423	\$	\$ 1,589,122,697

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2016

Notes to Financial Statements continued

California Fund Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Tax-Exempt Investments	\$	\$ 474,594,164	\$	\$ 474,594,164
Total Investments Futures Contracts	\$ \$ 235,952	\$ 474,594,164 \$	\$ \$	\$ 474,594,164 \$ 235,952
Total	\$ 235,952	\$ 474,594,164	\$	\$ 474,830,116
N. V. I.E. I				
New York Fund Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Tax-Exempt Investments	\$	\$ 363,797,288	\$	\$ 363,797,288
Total Investments Futures Contracts	\$ \$ 141,545	\$ 363,797,288 \$	\$ \$	\$ 363,797,288 \$ 141,545
Total	\$ 141,545	\$ 363,797,288	\$	\$ 363,938,833

The Funds held no investments or other financial instruments as of September 30, 2015 whose fair value was determined using Level 3 inputs. At September 30, 2016, there were no investments transferred between Level 1 and Level 2 during the year then ended.

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2016

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Trustees and Shareholders of Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund and Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund:

We have audited the accompanying statements of assets and liabilities of Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund and Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund (collectively, the Funds), including the portfolios of investments, as of September 30, 2016, and the related statements of operations and cash flows for the year then ended, the statements of changes in net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended. These financial statements and financial highlights are the responsibility of the Funds management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial highlights based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and financial highlights are free of material misstatement. The Funds are not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of their internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Funds internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned as of September 30, 2016, by correspondence with the custodian and brokers; where replies were not received from brokers, we performed other auditing procedures. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements and financial highlights referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial positions of Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund, Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund and Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund as of September 30, 2016, the results of their operations and their cash flows for the year then ended, the changes in their net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Boston, Massachusetts

November 17, 2016

Eaton Vance

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2016

Federal Tax Information (Unaudited)

The Form 1099-DIV you receive in February 2017 will show the tax status of all distributions paid to your account in calendar year 2016. Shareholders are advised to consult their own tax adviser with respect to the tax consequences of their investment in the Funds. As required by the Internal Revenue Code and/or regulations, shareholders must be notified regarding exempt-interest dividends.

Exempt-Interest Dividends. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2016, the Funds designate the following percentages of distributions from net investment income as exempt-interest dividends:

Municipal Bond Fund California Municipal Bond Fund New York Municipal Bond Fund 100.00%

99.45%

99.20%

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2016

Annual Meeting of Shareholders (Unaudited)

The Funds held their Annual Meeting of Shareholders on July 21, 2016. The following action was taken by the shareholders:

Item 1: The election of Scott E. Eston, Thomas E. Faust Jr. and Cynthia E. Frost as Class II Trustees of each Fund for a three-year term expiring in 2019.

Nominee for Class II Trustee

Nominee for Class II Trustee

Elected by
All Shareholders:
All Shareholders:
Elected by All Shareholders:
Elected by All Shareholders:
Cynthia E. Frost

Municipal Fund
For 58,923,307