

BELDEN INC.
Form DEF 14A
April 10, 2012
Table of Contents

SCHEDULE 14A

(RULE 14a-101)

INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

PROXY STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 14(a) OF THE SECURITIES

EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 (AMENDMENT NO.)

Filed by the registrant ☒

Filed by a Party other than the registrant ☐

Check the appropriate box:

- ☐ Preliminary proxy statement
- ☐ Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- ☒ Definitive proxy statement
- ☐ Definitive additional materials
- ☐ Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12

BELDEN INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of filing fee (Check the appropriate box):

- ☒ No fee required.
- ☐ Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

Edgar Filing: BELDEN INC. - Form DEF 14A

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

“ Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

“ Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the form or schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount previously paid:

(2) Form, schedule or registration statement no.:

(3) Filing party:

(4) Date filed:

Table of Contents

April 10, 2012

Dear Stockholder:

I am pleased to invite you to our 2012 Annual Stockholders Meeting. We will hold the meeting at 11 a.m. central time on Wednesday, May 30, 2012 at the Saint Louis Club (16th Floor), Pierre Laclede Center, 7701 Forsyth Boulevard, St. Louis, Missouri.

Consistent with past practice, we are pleased to be taking advantage of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission rule allowing companies to furnish proxy materials to their stockholders primarily over the Internet. We believe that this e-proxy process has expedited stockholders receipt of proxy materials, lowered the associated costs, and conserved natural resources.

On April 10, 2012, we began mailing our stockholders a notice containing instructions on how to access our 2012 Proxy Statement and 2011 Annual Report and vote online. The notice also included instructions on how to receive a paper copy of your annual meeting materials, including the notice of annual meeting, proxy statement, and proxy card. If you received your annual meeting materials by mail, the notice of annual meeting, proxy statement, and proxy card from our Board of Directors were enclosed. If you received your annual meeting materials via e-mail, the e-mail contained voting instructions and links to the annual report and the proxy statement on the Internet, which are both available at <http://investor.belden.com/financialDocuments.cfm>.

The agenda for this year's annual meeting consists of the following items:

Agenda Item

1. Election of the Nine Directors Nominated by the Company's Board of Directors
2. Ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for 2012
3. Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation

Board Recommendation

FOR

FOR

FOR

Please refer to the proxy statement for detailed information on the proposals and the annual meeting. Your participation is appreciated. ***In connection with Item 3, I direct your attention to our Compensation Discussion & Analysis beginning on page 19. We are hopeful that you can support this proposal and would appreciate the opportunity to engage with you if you have any questions or concerns about our executive compensation program.***

Sincerely,

John Stroup

President and Chief Executive Officer

Table of Contents

BELDEN INC.

7733 Forsyth Boulevard

Suite 800

St. Louis, Missouri 63105

314-854-8000

NOTICE OF 2012 ANNUAL STOCKHOLDERS MEETING

TIME AND DATE	11:00 a.m. CDT on Wednesday, May 30, 2012
PLACE	Lewis & Clark Room, Saint Louis Club, 16th Floor, Pierre Laclede Center, 7701 Forsyth Boulevard, St. Louis, Missouri 63105
AGENDA	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. To elect the nine directors nominated by the Company's Board of Directors, each for a term of one year2. To ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for 20123. To hold an advisory vote on executive compensation4. To transact any other business as may properly come before the meeting (including adjournments and postponements)
WHO CAN VOTE	You are entitled to vote if you were a stockholder at the close of business on Monday, April 2, 2012 (our record date).
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	The Company's 2011 Annual Report to Stockholders which includes the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K is available on the same website as this Proxy Statement. If you were mailed this Proxy Statement, the Annual Report was included in the package. The Form 10-K includes the Company's audited financial statements and notes for the year ended December 31, 2011, and the related Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.
VOTING	Please vote as soon as possible to record your vote promptly, even if you plan to attend the annual meeting. You have three options for submitting your vote before the annual meeting:

Edgar Filing: BELDEN INC. - Form DEF 14A

Internet

Phone (if you request a full delivery of the proxy materials)

Mail (if you request a full delivery of the proxy materials)

By Authorization of the Board of Directors,

Kevin Bloomfield

Senior Vice President, Secretary and General Counsel

St. Louis, Missouri

April 10, 2012

Table of Contents

**PROXY STATEMENT FOR THE
2012 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS OF
BELDEN INC.**

To be held on Wednesday, May 30, 2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>GENERAL INFORMATION</u>	1
<u>INTERNET AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS</u>	1
<u>QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE PROXY MATERIALS AND THE ANNUAL MEETING</u>	2
<i><u>Why am I receiving these materials?</u></i>	2
<i><u>Why am I being asked to review materials online?</u></i>	2
<i><u>Who is qualified to vote?</u></i>	2
<i><u>What information is available for review?</u></i>	2
<i><u>What matters will be voted on at the meeting?</u></i>	2
<i><u>What are Belden's voting recommendations?</u></i>	2
<i><u>What shares owned by me can be voted?</u></i>	2
<i><u>What is the difference between holding shares as a stockholder of record and as a beneficial owner?</u></i>	2
<i><u>How can I vote my shares in person at the meeting?</u></i>	3
<i><u>How can I vote my shares without attending the meeting?</u></i>	3
<i><u>Can I change my vote?</u></i>	3
<i><u>What class of shares is entitled to be voted?</u></i>	3
<i><u>What is the quorum requirement for the meeting?</u></i>	3
<i><u>What are the voting requirements to approve the proposals and how are votes withheld, abstentions and broker non-votes treated?</u></i>	4
<i><u>Where can I find the voting results of the meeting?</u></i>	4
<i><u>What happens if additional proposals are presented at the meeting?</u></i>	4
<i><u>Who will count the votes?</u></i>	4
<i><u>Is my vote confidential?</u></i>	4
<i><u>Who will bear the cost of soliciting votes for the meeting?</u></i>	4
<u>CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u>	5
<i><u>Biographies of Directors Seeking Reappointment</u></i>	5
<i><u>Audit Committee</u></i>	8
<i><u>Compensation Committee</u></i>	9
<i><u>Finance Committee</u></i>	9
<i><u>Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee</u></i>	9
<i><u>Corporate Governance Documents</u></i>	9
<i><u>Related Party Transactions and Compensation Committee Interlocks</u></i>	9
<i><u>Communications with Directors</u></i>	10
<i><u>Board Leadership Structure and Role in Risk Oversight</u></i>	10
<i><u>Director Stock Ownership Policy</u></i>	11
<u>DIRECTOR COMPENSATION</u>	11
<u>ITEM I ELECTION OF NINE DIRECTORS</u>	12
<u>PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM INFORMATION</u>	12
<u>ITEM II RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF ERNST & YOUNG AS THE COMPANY'S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR 2012</u>	12
<i><u>Fees to Independent Registered Public Accountants for 2011 and 2010</u></i>	13
<i><u>Audit Committee's Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures</u></i>	13
<i><u>Report of the Audit Committee</u></i>	13

Table of Contents

<u>OWNERSHIP INFORMATION</u>	15
<u>EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION ON DECEMBER 31, 2011</u>	15
<u>SECTION 16(A) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE</u>	15
<u>STOCK OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT</u>	16
<u>Beneficial Ownership Table of Directors, Nominees and Named Executive Officers</u>	16
<u>Beneficial Ownership Table of Stockholders Owning More Than Five Percent</u>	18
<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	19
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis (CD&A)</u>	19
<u>A Note from the Belden Compensation Committee</u>	19
<u>I. Introduction</u>	19
<u>II. Executive Summary</u>	20
<u>III. 2011 Say-on-Pay Review</u>	21
<u>A. April 2011: Issue Discovery</u>	21
<u>B. May 2011: Pre-Meeting Actions</u>	21
<u>C. Annual Meeting: Final Results</u>	21
<u>D. Summer 2011: Post-Meeting Outreach</u>	21
<u>E. Macro View: An Imperfect System</u>	22
<u>F. Conclusion: Lack of Clarity and Certainty</u>	22
<u>G. 2012 Proxy Season: A New Era of Stockholder Engagement</u>	22
<u>IV. Compensation Objectives and Elements</u>	22
<u>A. Objectives</u>	22
<u>B. Elements</u>	23
<u>C. Pay for Performance Philosophy</u>	23
<u>D. Compensation Design</u>	25
<u>V. 2011 Compensation Analysis</u>	26
<u>A. Base Salary Adjustments</u>	26
<u>B. Annual Cash Incentive Plan Awards</u>	27
<u>C. Performance-Based Equity Awards</u>	31
<u>VI. Compensation Policies and Other Considerations</u>	32
<u>Report of the Compensation Committee</u>	34
<u>Compensation and Risk</u>	35
<u>Compensation Tables</u>	35
<u>Summary Compensation Table</u>	36
<u>Grants of Plan-Based Awards</u>	38
<u>Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End</u>	39
<u>Option Exercises and Stock Vested</u>	41
<u>Pension Benefits</u>	42
<u>Nonqualified Deferred Compensation</u>	43
<u>Employment, Severance and Change-In-Control Arrangements</u>	44
<u>Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change-In-Control</u>	45
<u>ITEM III ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	48
<u>OTHER MATTERS</u>	48
<u>STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR THE 2013 ANNUAL MEETING</u>	49
<u>APPENDIX I</u>	I-1

Table of Contents

GENERAL INFORMATION

Internet Availability of Proxy Materials

Under rules of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), we are furnishing proxy materials to our stockholders primarily via the Internet, instead of mailing printed copies of those materials to each stockholder. On April 10, 2012, we began mailing to our stockholders (other than those who previously requested electronic or paper delivery) a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials containing instructions on how to access our proxy materials, including our proxy statement and our annual report. The Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials also instructs you on how to access your proxy card to vote through the Internet or by telephone.

This process is designed to expedite stockholders' receipt of proxy materials, lower the cost of the annual meeting, and help conserve natural resources. However, if you would prefer to receive printed proxy materials, please follow the instructions included in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials. If you have previously elected to receive our proxy materials electronically, you will continue to receive these materials via e-mail unless you elect otherwise.

QUESTIONS

For questions

Regarding:

Contact:

Annual meeting or
Executive Compensation
Questions

Belden Investor Relations, 314-854-8054

Stock ownership
(Stockholders of Record)

American Stock Transfer & Trust Company
<http://www.amstock.com>
800-937-5449 (within the U.S. and Canada)
718-921-8124 (outside the U.S. and Canada)

Stock ownership
(Beneficial Owners)

Contact your broker, bank or other nominee

Voting

Belden Corporate Secretary, 314-854-8035

Table of Contents

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE PROXY MATERIALS AND THE ANNUAL MEETING

Q: Why am I receiving these materials?

A: The Board of Directors (the **Board**) of Belden Inc. (sometimes referred to as the **Company** or **Belden**) is providing these proxy materials to you in connection with the solicitation of proxies by Belden on behalf of the Board for the 2012 annual meeting of stockholders which will take place on May 30, 2012. This proxy statement includes information about the issues to be voted on at the meeting. You are invited to attend the meeting and we request that you vote on the proposals described in this proxy statement.

Q: Why am I being asked to review materials online?

A: Under rules adopted by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (**SEC**), we are furnishing proxy materials to our stockholders on the Internet, rather than mailing printed copies of those materials to each stockholder. If you received a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials by mail, you will not receive a printed copy of the proxy materials unless you request one. Instead, the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials will instruct you as to how you may access and review the proxy materials on the Internet. If you received a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials by mail and would like to receive a printed copy of our proxy materials, please follow the instructions included in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials. We began mailing the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials to stockholders on April 10, 2012.

Q: Who is qualified to vote?

A: You are qualified to receive notice of and to vote at the annual meeting if you owned shares of common stock of the Company at the close of business on our record date of April 2, 2012. On the record date, there were 45,805,217 shares of Belden common stock outstanding. Each share is entitled to one vote on each matter properly brought before the annual meeting.

Q: What information is available for review?

A: The information included in this proxy statement relates to the proposals to be voted on at the meeting, the voting process, the compensation of directors and our most highly paid officers, and certain other required information. Our 2011 Annual Report to Stockholders, which includes our Annual Report on Form 10-K, is also available on-line. The Form 10-K includes our 2011 audited financial statements with notes and the related Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Q: What matters will be voted on at the meeting?

A: Three matters will be voted on at the meeting:

- (1) the election of the nine directors nominated by the Board, each for a term of one year;
- (2) the ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young as the Company's independent registered public accountant for 2012;
- (3) an advisory vote on executive compensation;

Q: What are Belden's voting recommendations?

A: Our Board of Directors recommends that you vote your shares:

(1) FOR the Company's slate of directors;

(2) FOR the ratification of Ernst & Young;

(3) FOR the approval of the Company's executive compensation;

Q: What shares owned by me can be voted?

A: All shares owned by you as of April 2, 2012, the record date, may be voted by you. These shares include those (1) held directly in your name as the stockholder of record, and (2) held for you as the beneficial owner through a stockbroker, bank or other nominee.

Q: What is the difference between holding shares as a stockholder of record and as a beneficial owner?

A: Some Belden stockholders hold their shares through a stockbroker, bank, or other nominee rather than directly in their own name. As summarized below, there are some distinctions between shares held of record and those owned beneficially.

Table of Contents

Stockholder of Record

If your shares are registered directly in your name with Belden's transfer agent, American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, you are considered (with respect to those shares) the *stockholder of record* and the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials is being sent directly to you by Belden. As the *stockholder of record*, you have the right to grant your voting proxy directly to Belden or to vote in person at the meeting.

Beneficial Owner

If your shares are held in a stock brokerage account or by a bank or other nominee, you are considered the *beneficial owner* of shares held in street name (that is, the name of your stock broker, bank, or other nominee) and the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials is being forwarded to you by your broker or nominee who is considered, with respect to those shares, the *stockholder of record*. As the beneficial owner, you have the right to direct your broker or nominee how to vote and are also invited to attend the meeting. However, since you are not the *stockholder of record*, you may not vote these shares in person at the meeting.

Q: How can I vote my shares in person at the meeting?

A: Shares held directly in your name as the stockholder of record may be voted in person at the annual meeting. If you choose to do so, please bring proof of identification.

Even if you plan to attend the annual meeting, we recommend that you also submit your proxy as described below so that your vote will be counted if you decide later not to attend the meeting.

Q: How can I vote my shares without attending the meeting?

A: Whether you hold shares directly as the stockholder of record or beneficially in street name, you may direct your vote without attending the meeting. You may vote by granting a proxy or, for shares held in street name, by submitting voting instructions to your broker or nominee. You will be able to do this over the Internet by following the instructions on your Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials. If you request a full delivery of the proxy materials, a proxy card will be included that will contain instructions on how to vote by telephone or mail in addition to the Internet.

Q: Can I change my vote?

A: You may change your proxy or voting instructions at any time prior to the vote at the annual meeting. For shares held directly in your name, you may accomplish this by granting a new proxy or by attending the annual meeting and voting in person. Attendance at the meeting will not cause your previously granted proxy to be revoked unless you specifically so request. For shares held beneficially by you, you may accomplish this by submitting new voting instructions to your broker or nominee.

Q: What class of shares is entitled to be voted?

A: Each share of our common stock outstanding as of the close of business on April 2, 2012, the record date, is entitled to one vote at the annual meeting.

Q: What is the quorum requirement for the meeting?

- A:** The quorum requirement for holding the meeting and transacting business is a majority of the outstanding shares entitled to vote. The shares may be present in person or represented by proxy at the meeting. Both abstentions and withheld votes are counted as present for the purpose of determining the presence of a quorum for the meeting.

Table of Contents

Q: What are the voting requirements to approve the proposals and how are votes withheld, abstentions and broker non-votes treated?

A: The following table describes the voting requirements and treatment of votes withheld, abstentions, and broker non-votes for each proposal:

Proposal	Voting Requirement	Tabulation Treatment	
		Votes Withheld/Abstentions	Broker Non-Votes
Election of Directors	Plurality of votes cast to elect each director	Present for quorum purposes; treated as a vote against	Not present for quorum purposes; brokers do
		the director(s) for	not have discretion to
		purposes of calculating	vote non-votes in
		approval percentage	favor of directors
Ratification of Ernst & Young	No requirement; not binding on company	The Board of Directors	Count as present for
		will consider the number	quorum purposes; brokers
		of abstentions in its	have discretion to
		analysis of the results of	vote non-votes in
Advisory vote on executive compensation	No requirement; not binding on company	the advisory vote	favor of ratification
		The Board of Directors	Brokers do not have
		will consider the number	discretion to vote
		of abstentions in its	non-votes in favor of
		analysis of the results of	compensation matters
		the advisory vote	

Q: Where can I find the voting results of the meeting?

A: We will announce preliminary voting results at the meeting and publish final results in a report on Form 8-K within four business days of the date on which our meeting ends.

Q: What happens if additional proposals are presented at the meeting?

A: Other than the proposals described in this proxy statement, we do not expect any matters to be presented for a vote at the annual meeting. If you grant a proxy, the persons named as proxy holders, Kevin L. Bloomfield, the Company's Secretary, and Christopher E. Allen, the Company's Assistant Secretary, will have the discretion to vote your shares on any additional matters properly presented for a vote at the meeting. If for any unforeseen reason any of our nominees are not available as a candidate for director, the persons named as proxy holders will vote your proxy for such other candidate or candidates as may be nominated by the Board.

Q: Who will count the votes?

Edgar Filing: BELDEN INC. - Form DEF 14A

A: A representative of Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc. will tabulate the votes and will act as the inspector of election.

Q: *Is my vote confidential?*

A: Proxy instructions, ballots, and voting tabulations that identify individual stockholders are handled in a manner that protects your voting privacy. Your vote will not be disclosed either within Belden or to third parties except (1) as necessary to meet applicable legal requirements, (2) to allow for the tabulation of votes and certification of the vote, or (3) to facilitate a successful proxy solicitation by our Board. Occasionally, stockholders provide written comments on their proxy cards, which are then forwarded to Belden management.

Q: *Who will bear the cost of soliciting votes for the meeting?*

A: Belden has retained Phoenix Advisory Partners to act as proxy solicitor for the annual meeting and to provide other advisory services throughout the year. Belden will bear the cost of this arrangement, which amounts to \$8,000 annually. Upon request, the Company will reimburse brokers, banks and trustees, or their nominees, for reasonable expenses incurred by them in forwarding proxy materials to beneficial owners of shares of the Company's common stock.

Table of Contents**CORPORATE GOVERNANCE**

The Belden Board has eleven members and four standing committees: Audit, Compensation, Finance and Nominating and Corporate Governance. The Board had 11 meetings during 2011; seven of which were telephonic. All directors attended 75% or more of the Board meetings and the Board committee meetings on which they served. The maximum number of directors authorized under the Company's bylaws is eleven.

Name of Director	Audit	Compensation	Finance	Nominating and Corporate Governance
David Aldrich		p		
Lance C. Balk			p*	p
Judy L. Brown	p		p	
Bryan C. Cressey			p	p
Glenn Kalnasy		p*		
Mary S. McLeod		p		
George Minnich	p*			
John M. Monter		p		p*
Bernard G. Rethore	p			
John Stroup				
Dean Yoost	p			
Meetings held in 2011	12	4	7	4

p Committee member

* Chair (Mr. Rethore was Chair of the Audit Committee for all of 2011. Mr. Minnich became the Chair on January 1, 2012.

At its regular meeting in February 2012, the Board determined that each of the non-employee directors seeking reappointment meets the independence requirements of the NYSE listing standards. As part of this process, the Board determined that each such member had no material relationship with the Company.

Biographies of Directors Seeking Reappointment

David Aldrich, 55, was appointed to the Company's Board and Compensation Committee in February 2007.

The Board recruited Mr. Aldrich based on his experience in high technology signal transmission applications and for his experience as a current Chief Executive Officer of a public company. Since April 2000, he has served as President, Chief Executive Officer, and Director of Skyworks Solutions, Inc. (Skyworks). Skyworks is an innovator of high performance analog and mixed signal semiconductors enabling mobile connectivity.

Mr. Aldrich received a B.A. degree in political science from Providence College and an M.B.A. degree from the University of Rhode Island.

Table of Contents

Lance C. Balk, 54, has been a director of the Company since March 2000, is a member of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and chairs the Finance Committee. In September 2010, Mr. Balk was appointed as General Counsel of Six Flags Entertainment Corporation.

Mr. Balk served as Senior Vice President and General Counsel of Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics from November 2007 to January 2010. From May 2006 to November 2007, he served in those positions with Dade Behring, a leading supplier of products, systems and services for clinical diagnostics, which was acquired by Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics in November 2007. Previously, he had been a partner of Kirkland & Ellis LLP since 1989, specializing in securities law and mergers and acquisitions. The Board originally recruited Mr. Balk based on his expertise in advising multinational public and private companies on complex mergers and acquisitions and corporate finance transactions. He provides insight to the Board regarding business strategy, business acquisitions, and capital structure.

Mr. Balk received a B.A. degree from Northwestern University and a J.D. degree and an M.B.A. degree from the University of Chicago.

Judy L. Brown, 43, was appointed to the Company's Board and Audit Committee in February 2008. She also serves on the Finance Committee.

In recruiting Ms. Brown, the Board sought a member with international experience in finance and accounting to help the Company pursue its strategic global focus. As an employee of Ernst & Young for more than nine years in the U.S. and Germany, she provided audit and advisory services to U.S. and European multinational public and private companies. She served in various financial and accounting roles for six years in the U.S. and Italy with Whirlpool Corporation, a leading manufacturer and marketer of appliances. In 2004, she was appointed Vice President and Controller of Perrigo Company, a leading global healthcare supplier and the world's largest manufacturer and marketer of over-the-counter pharmaceutical products sold under store brand labels. Since 2006, she has served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Perrigo.

She received a B.S. degree in Accounting from the University of Illinois; an M.B.A. from the University of Chicago; and attended the Aresty Institute of Executive Education of the Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania. Ms. Brown also is a Certified Public Accountant.

Bryan C. Cressey, 62, has been Chairman of the Board of the Company since 1988 and a director of the Company since 1985. He also serves on the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Finance Committee.

For the past twenty-nine years, Mr. Cressey has been a General Partner and Principal of Golder, Thoma and Cressey, Thoma Cressey Bravo, and Cressey & Company, all private equity firms, the last of which he founded in 2007. The firms have specialized in healthcare, software and business services. He is also a director of Jazz Pharmaceuticals, a specialty pharmaceutical company, Select Medical Holdings Corporation, a healthcare services company, and several privately held companies. Mr. Cressey's years of senior-level experience with public and private companies in diverse industries, his legal and business education and experience, and his regular interaction with the equity markets make him highly qualified to serve on the Company's Board.

Mr. Cressey received a B.A. degree from the University of Washington and a J.D. degree and an M.B.A. degree from Harvard University.

Table of Contents

Glenn Kalnasy, 68, has been a director of the Company since 1985 and is Chair of the Compensation Committee.

From February 2002 through October 2003, Mr. Kalnasy served as the Chief Executive Officer and President of Elan Nutrition Inc., a private-label manufacturer of nutrition food bars. From 1982 to 2003, he was a Managing Director of The Northern Group, Inc., a private equity firm that acquired and managed businesses. Mr. Kalnasy's extensive general management and business experience at the policy-making level, which includes being one of the founders of Cable Design Technologies (the company now called Belden Inc. that merged with Belden 1993 Inc. in 2004), and his long history with the Company qualify him to serve on the Board.

Mr. Kalnasy received a B.S. degree from Southern Methodist University.

George Minnich, 62, was appointed to the Company's Board and Audit Committee in May 2010.

Mr. Minnich served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of ITT Corporation from 2005 to 2007. Prior to that, he served for twelve years in several senior finance positions at United Technologies Corporation, including Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Otis Elevator and of Carrier Corporation. He also held various positions within Price Waterhouse from 1971 to 1993, serving as an Audit Partner from 1984 to 1993. Mr. Minnich also serves on the Board of Trustees of Albright College and is the Audit Committee Chairman and Board member of Kaman Corporation, an aerospace and industrial distribution company, and AGCO Corporation, a maker of a broad range of tractors, combines, sprayers, forage and tillage equipment, implements and hay tools. His extensive financial and accounting experience gained over 35 years plus his experience on other public company boards was important to the Board in connection with his initial election. His senior level operational background provides the Board with additional insights into multinational industrial companies.

Mr. Minnich received a B.S. degree in Accounting from Albright College.

John M. Monter, 64, had been a director of Belden 1993 Inc. since 2000 and was appointed to the Company's Board at the time of the merger of Belden 1993 Inc. and Cable Design Technologies Corporation in 2004. He serves on the Compensation Committee and chairs the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

During his career, Mr. Monter has served in the general management position for three companies, two manufacturers and a construction services company. Previous to his general management experience, Mr. Monter worked in several marketing and sales positions, including holding worldwide responsibilities in both marketing and sales for a multinational manufacturing company. His broad general management and sales and marketing experience at the policy-making level particularly qualifies him to serve on the Company's Board.

Edgar Filing: BELDEN INC. - Form DEF 14A

From 1993 to 1996, he was President of the Bussmann Division of Cooper Industries, Inc. Bussmann is a multi-national manufacturer of electrical and electronic fuses, with ten manufacturing facilities in four countries and sales offices in most major industrial markets around the world. From 1996 through 2004, he was President and Chief Executive Officer of Brand Services, Inc. (Brand) and also a member of the board of directors of the parent companies, Brand DLJ Holdings (1996-2002) and Brand Holdings, LLC (2002-2006). He was named Chairman of Brand DLJ Holdings in 2001 and Chairman of Brand Holdings, LLC in 2002. From January 1, 2005 through April 30, 2006, he served as Vice Chairman of Brand Holdings, LLC. Brand is a supplier of scaffolding and specialty industrial services. In 2008, he was elected a director on the board of Environmental Logistics Services, a privately held company that is owned by Centre Partners. Environmental Logistics Services is a hauler and disposer of solid wastes.

Mr. Monter received a B.S. degree in journalism from Kent State University and an M.B.A. degree from the University of Chicago.

Table of Contents

John S. Stroup, 45, was appointed President, Chief Executive Officer, and member of the Board effective October 31, 2005. His experience in strategic planning and general management of business units of other public companies, coupled with his in-depth knowledge of the Company, makes him an integral member of the Board and a highly qualified intermediary between management and the Company's non-employee directors.

From 2000 to the date of his appointment with the Company, he was employed by Danaher Corporation, a manufacturer of professional instrumentation, industrial technologies, and tools and components. At Danaher, he initially served as Vice President, Business Development. He was promoted to President of a division of Danaher's Motion Group and later to Group Executive of the Motion Group. Earlier, he was Vice President of Marketing and General Manager with Scientific Technologies Inc.

Mr. Stroup received a B.S. degree in mechanical engineering from Northwestern University and an M.B.A. degree from the University of California at Berkeley. Mr. Stroup is a director of RBS Global, Inc. RBS Global manufactures power transmission components, drives, conveying equipment and other related products under the Rexnord name.

Dean Yoost, 62, was appointed to the Company's Board and Audit Committee in March 2011.

Mr. Yoost was employed by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP from 1974 to 2007 serving most recently as the Managing Partner of the Orange County, California office and for Advisory Services for the Western Region. Prior to that, he served as Chief Executive Officer of PwC's Financial Advisory Practice in Tokyo, as Deputy Chairman and Managing Partner for Tax Services in Beijing, and as Managing Partner of the Taiwan Consulting Practice, in addition to various domestic U.S. roles. Mr. Yoost also serves on the Board of Directors and Audit Committee of Emulex Corporation and on the board of two private companies, UnionBanCal Corporation and Pacific Life Insurance Co.

His vast international tax consulting, financial advisory and accounting experience in addition to his experience on other public and private company boards made him an ideal candidate for Belden's Board and Audit Committee.

Mr. Yoost received a B.S. degree from Winona State University, an M.B.A. from Mankato State University and a Master's degree in Taxation from the University of Minnesota. He is also a Certified Public Accountant.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee operates under a Board-approved written charter and each member meets the independence requirements of the NYSE's listing standards. The Committee assists the Board in overseeing the Company's accounting and reporting practices by:

meeting with its financial management and independent registered public accounting firm (Ernst & Young) to review the financial statements, quarterly earnings releases, and financial data of the Company;

Edgar Filing: BELDEN INC. - Form DEF 14A

reviewing and selecting the independent registered public accounting firm who will audit the Company's financial statements;

reviewing the selection of the internal auditors (Deloitte LLP) who provide internal audit services;

Table of Contents

reviewing the scope, procedures, and results of the Company's financial audits, internal audit procedures, and internal controls assessments and procedures under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 ("SOX");

providing oversight responsibility for the process the Company uses in performing its periodic enterprise risk analysis; and

evaluating the Company's key financial and accounting personnel.

At its February 28, 2012 meeting, the Board determined that each of Ms. Brown and Messrs. Minnich, Rethore and Yoost was an Audit Committee Financial Expert as defined in the rules pursuant to SOX and each is independent.

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee of Belden determines, approves, and reports to the Board on compensation for the Company's elected officers. The Committee reviews the design, funding, and competitiveness of the Company's retirement programs. The Committee also assists the Company in developing compensation and benefit strategies to attract, develop, and retain qualified employees. The Committee operates under a written charter approved by the Board.

Finance Committee

The Finance Committee provides oversight in the area of corporate finance and makes recommendations to the Board about the financial aspects of the Company. Examples of topics upon which the Finance Committee may provide guidance include capital structure, capital adequacy, credit ratings, capital expenditure planning, and dividend policy and share repurchase programs. The Committee is governed by a written charter approved by the Board.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee identifies, evaluates, and recommends nominees for the Board for each annual meeting (and to fill vacancies during interim periods); evaluates the composition, organization, and governance of the Board and its committees; oversees senior management succession planning; and develops and recommends corporate governance principles and policies applicable to the Company. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider nominees recommended by stockholders if such nominations are submitted to the Company prior to the deadline for proposals as noted above under the caption *Nomination of Director Candidates*.

The Committee's responsibilities with respect to its governance function include considering matters of corporate governance and reviewing (and recommending to the Board revisions to) the Company's corporate governance guidelines and its code of ethics, which applies to all Company employees, officers and directors. The Committee is governed by a written charter approved by the Board.

Corporate Governance Documents

Current copies of the Audit, Compensation, Finance, and Nominating and Corporate Governance charters, as well as the Company's governance principles and code of ethics, are available on the Company's website at <http://investor.belden.com/documents.cfm>. Printed copies of these materials are also available to stockholders upon request, addressed to the Corporate Secretary, Belden Inc., 7733 Forsyth Boulevard, Suite 800, St. Louis, Missouri 63105.

Related Party Transactions and Compensation Committee Interlocks

It is our policy to review all relationships and transactions in which the company and our directors and executive officers or their immediate family members are participants to determine whether such persons have a direct or indirect material interest. Annually, we obtain information from all directors and executive officers with respect to related person transactions to determine, based on the facts and circumstances, whether the Company or a related person has a direct or indirect material interest in any such transaction. As required under SEC rules,

Table of Contents

transactions that are determined to be directly or indirectly material to the Company or a related person are disclosed in our proxy statement. We have determined that there were no material related party transactions during 2011.

None of our executive officers served during 2011 as a member of the board of directors or as a member of a compensation committee of any other company that has an executive officer serving as member of our Board of Directors or Compensation Committee.

Communications with Directors

The Company's Board has established a process to receive communications from stockholders and other interested parties. Stockholders and other interested parties may contact any member (or all members) of the Board (including Bryan Cressey, Chairman of the Board and presiding director for non-management director meetings), any Board committee, or any chair of any such committee by U.S. mail, through calling the Company's hotline or via e-mail.

To communicate with the Board, any individual director or any group or committee of directors, correspondence should be addressed to the Company's Board or any such individual directors or group or committee of directors by either name or title. All such correspondence should be sent c/o Corporate Secretary, Belden Inc. at 7733 Forsyth Boulevard, Suite 800, St. Louis, MO 63105. To communicate with any of our directors electronically or through the Company's hotline, stockholders should go to our corporate website at <http://investor.belden.com/documents.cfm>. On this page, you will find a section titled "Contact the Belden Board", on which are listed the Company's hotline number (with access codes for dialing from outside the U.S.) and an e-mail address that may be used for writing an electronic message to the Board, any individual directors, or any group or committee of directors. Please follow the instructions on our website to send your message.

All communications received as set forth in the preceding paragraph will be opened by (or in the case of the hotline, initially reviewed by) our corporate ombudsman for the sole purpose of determining whether the contents represent a message to our directors. The Belden Ombudsman will not forward certain items which are unrelated to the duties and responsibilities of the Board, including: junk mail, mass mailings, product inquiries, product complaints, resumes and other forms of job inquiries, opinion surveys and polls, business solicitations, promotions of products or services, patently offensive materials, advertisements, and complaints that contain only unspecified or broad allegations of wrongdoing without appropriate information support.

In the case of communications to the Board or any group or committee of directors, the corporate ombudsman's office will send copies of the contents to each director who is a member of the group or committee to which the envelope or e-mail is addressed.

In addition, it is the Company's policy that each director attends the annual meeting absent exceptional circumstances. Each director other than Mr. Aldrich attended the Company's 2011 annual meeting.

Board Leadership Structure and Role in Risk Oversight

For some time, the Company has separated the Chief Executive Officer and Board Chairman positions. We believe this separation of roles is most appropriate for the Company and stockholders. Mr. Cressey, who is independent of management and the Company, provides strong leadership experience, strategic vision, and an understanding of the risks associated with our business. Mr. Stroup, as CEO, provides strategic planning, general management experience, and in-depth knowledge of the Company, and, as a member of the Board, acts as an important liaison between management and the Company's non-employee directors.

Our Board assesses on an ongoing basis the risks faced by the Company in executing its strategic plan. These risks include strategic, technological, competitive, and operational risks. The Audit Committee oversees the process we use in performing our annual enterprise risk management (ERM) analysis (while the Board oversees the content of the analysis, management is responsible for the execution of the process and the development of the content).

Table of Contents**Director Stock Ownership Policy**

The Board's policy requires that each non-employee director hold Company stock equal in value to five times his or her annual cash retainer (currently 5 times \$60,000). Upon appointment, a member has five years to meet this requirement, but must meet interim goals during the five-year period of: 20% after one year; 40% after two years; 60% after three years; and 80% after four years. The in-the-money value of vested stock options and the value of unvested RSUs are included in making this determination at the higher of their grant date value or current market value. Each non-employee director meets either the full-period or interim-period holding requirement: Messrs. Aldrich, Balk, Cressey, Kalnasy, Monter and Rethore each meet 100% of the stock holding requirement. Ms. Brown and Ms. McLeod, who were appointed to the Board in February 2008, each meet the four-year interim requirement. Mr. Minnich, who was appointed in May 2010, and Mr. Yoost, who was appointed in March 2011, each meet the one-year interim requirement.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

The current compensation plan for non-employee directors was largely put into effect in May 2007. Each non-employee director receives a \$60,000 annual cash retainer; a time-vested (twelve month) annual restricted share unit (RSU) award of \$115,000 divided by the then-current share price; an additional \$10,000 per year for the chair of the Audit Committee; an additional \$5,000 per year to the chairs of the Compensation, Finance and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees; an additional \$5,000 per year to members of the Audit Committee and members of other committees who serve on more than one committee; and upon appointment, a non-employee director receives a time-vested RSU award of 2,500 shares, which vests equally over three years. The following table provides information on non-employee director compensation for 2011.

Director	Fees Earned or		Option	All Other	Total
	Paid in Cash ⁽¹⁾	Stock Awards ⁽²⁾	Awards ⁽³⁾	Compensation ⁽⁴⁾	
	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
David Aldrich	60,000	114,988	-	930	175,918
Lorne D. Bain	27,083	114,985	-	930	142,998
Lance C. Balk	70,000	114,988	-	11,012	196,000
Judy L. Brown	67,500	114,988	-	930	183,418
Bryan C. Cressey	65,000	114,988	-	930	180,918
Glenn Kalnasy	65,000	114,988	-	930	180,918
Mary S. McLeod	60,000	114,988	-	1,429	176,417
George Minnich	65,000	114,988	-	1,096	181,084
John M. Monter	70,000	114,988	-	3,305	188,293
Bernard G. Rethore	75,000	114,988	-	3,630	193,618
Dean Yoost	54,167	204,463	-	-	258,630

(1) Amount of cash retainer and committee fees.

(2) As required by the instructions for completing this column Stock Awards, amounts shown are the grant date fair value of stock awards granted during 2011. The assumptions used in calculating these amounts are described in Note 16: Share-Based Compensation, to the Company's audited financial statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. Each director other than Mr. Bain received 3,112 RSUs on May 19, 2011 that vest in one year and Mr. Yoost received an additional RSU award of 2,500 on March 2, 2011 upon his appointment to the Board; these will vest equally over the first three anniversaries of his appointment. In connection with his retirement from the board, Mr. Bain received a grant of 3,091 shares of Belden stock on May 18, 2011.

Table of Contents

(3) The aggregate number of option awards outstanding at the end of 2011. The unnamed directors hold no options.

Options Outstanding	
	(#)
Balk	8,000
Cressey	10,000
Kalnasy	8,000

(4) Amount of interest earned on deferred director fees and dividends paid on vested stock awards.

ITEM I ELECTION OF NINE DIRECTORS

The Company has eleven directors Ms. Brown, Ms. McLeod, and Messrs. Aldrich, Balk, Cressey, Kalnasy, Minnich, Monter, Rethore, Stroup and Yoost. The term of each director will expire at this annual meeting and the Board proposes that each of them (other than Mr. Rethore and Ms. McLeod who plan to retire at this meeting) be reelected for a new term of one year and until their successors are duly elected and qualified. Each nominee has consented to serve if elected. If any of them becomes unavailable to serve as a director, the Board may designate a substitute nominee. In that case, the persons named as proxies will vote for the substitute nominee designated by the Board.

Mr. Rethore, who has been a director of the Company (and Belden 1993 Inc. prior to 2004) since 1997, and Ms. McLeod, who has been a director of the Company since 2008, each expressed their intent not to seek reelection and will retire from the Board when their term expires at this year's annual meeting. The Board and management wish to thank Mr. Rethore and Ms. McLeod for their strong leadership and significant contributions to the Board and the Company.

THE BELDEN BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE***APPROVAL OF THE NOMINATED SLATE OF DIRECTORS.*****PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM INFORMATION****ITEM II RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF ERNST & YOUNG AS THE COMPANY'S****INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR 2012**

The Audit Committee has selected Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2012, and the Board of Directors has directed that management submit the appointment for ratification by the stockholders at the annual meeting. Ernst & Young has served as our registered public accounting firm since the 2004 merger of Belden Inc. and Cable Design Technologies Corporation, and prior to that served as Belden 1993 Inc.'s registered public accounting firm since it became a public company in 1993. A representative of the firm will be present at the annual meeting, will have an opportunity to make a statement, if they desire, and will be available to respond to appropriate questions.

We are not required to obtain stockholder ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young as our independent registered public accounting firm. However, we are submitting the appointment to stockholders for ratification as a matter of good corporate practice. If the stockholders fail to ratify the appointment, the Audit Committee will reconsider whether or not to retain Ernst & Young. Even if the appointment is ratified, the Audit Committee in its discretion may direct the appointment of a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time if they determine that such a change would be in our best interests and the best interests of our stockholders.

Table of Contents**Fees to Independent Registered Public Accountants for 2011 and 2010**

The following table presents fees for professional services rendered by EY for the audit of the Company's annual financial statements and internal control over financial reporting for 2011 and 2010 as well as other permissible audit-related and tax services.

	2011	2010
Audit Fees	\$ 2,285,895	\$ 2,310,972
Audit-Related Fees	367,566	231,563
Tax Fees	194,448	535,131
All Other Fees	0	0
Total EY fees	\$ 2,847,909	\$ 3,077,666

Audit fees primarily represent amounts paid or expected to be paid for audits of the Company's financial statements and internal control over financial reporting under SOX 404, review of SEC comment letters, reviews of SEC Forms 10-Q, Form S-8, Form 10-K and the proxy statement, and statutory audit requirements at certain non-U.S. locations.

Audit-related fees are primarily related to due diligence services on completed and potential acquisitions.

Tax fees for 2011 and 2010 are for domestic and international compliance totaling \$58,112 and \$436,795, respectively, and tax planning totaling \$136,336 and \$98,336, respectively.

In approving such services, the Audit Committee did not rely on the pre-approval waiver provisions of the applicable rules of the SEC.

Audit Committee's Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures

Audit Fees: For 2011, the Committee reviewed and pre-approved the audit services and estimated fees for the year. Throughout the year, the Committee received project updates and, if appropriate, approved or ratified any amounts exceeding the original estimates.

Audit-Related and Non-Audit Services and Fees: Annually, and otherwise as necessary, the Committee reviews and pre-approves all audit-related and non-audit services and the estimated fees for such services. For recurring services, such as tax compliance, expatriate tax returns, and statutory filings, the Committee reviews and pre-approves the services and estimated total fees for such matters by category and location of service. The projected fees are updated quarterly and the Committee considers and, if appropriate, approves any amounts exceeding the original estimates.

For non-recurring services, such as special tax projects, due diligence, or other tax services, the Committee reviews and pre-approves the services and estimated fees by individual project. The projections are updated quarterly and the Committee reviews, and, if appropriate, approves any amounts exceeding the original estimates.

Should an engagement need pre-approval before the next Committee meeting, the Committee has delegated to the Committee Chair (or if he were unavailable, another Committee member) authority to grant such approval. Thereafter, the entire Committee will review such approval at its next quarterly meeting.

Report of the Audit Committee

The Audit Committee assists the Board in overseeing various matters, including: (i) the integrity of the Company's financial statements; (ii) all material aspects of the Company's financial reporting, internal accounting control, and audit functions; (iii) the qualifications and independence of the independent auditors; and (iv) the performance of the Company's internal audit function and independent auditors.

The Audit Committee's oversight includes reviewing with management the Company's major financial risk exposures and the steps management has taken to monitor, mitigate, and control such exposures. Management has the responsibility for the implementation of these activities and is responsible for the Company's internal controls, financial reporting process, compliance with laws and regulations, and the preparation and presentation of the Company's financial statements.

Table of Contents

Ernst & Young LLP (EY), the Company's registered public accounting firm for 2011, is responsible for performing an independent audit of the consolidated financial statements and an audit of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (U.S.) (PCAOB) and issuing reports with respect to these matters, including expressing an opinion on the conformity of the Company's audited financial statements with generally accepted accounting principles.

In connection with the Company's December 31, 2011 financial statements, the Committee: (i) has reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements with management (including management's assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting and EY's audit of the Company's internal control over financial reporting for 2011); (ii) has discussed with EY the matters required to be discussed under current auditing standards; and (iii) has received and discussed with EY the written disclosures and letter from EY required by the PCAOB Ethics and Independence Rule 3526, *Communication with Audit Committees Concerning Independence*, and has discussed with EY their independence from the Company.

As part of such discussions, the Committee has considered whether the provision of services provided by EY, not related to the audit of the consolidated financial statements and internal control over financial reporting referred to above or to the reviews of the interim consolidated financial statements included in the Company's quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, is compatible with maintaining EY's independence. (Above is a report on audit fees, audit-related fees, tax fees, and other fees the Company paid EY for services performed in 2011 and 2010.) The Committee has concluded that EY's provision of non-audit services to the Company and its subsidiaries is compatible with their independence.

Based on these reviews and discussions, the Committee recommended to the Board that the audited financial statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for 2011.

Audit Committee

George Minnich (Chair)

Judy L. Brown

Bernard G. Rethore

Dean Yoost

***THE BELDEN BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE
RATIFICATION OF ERNST & YOUNG AS THE COMPANY'S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED
ACCOUNTING FIRM.***

Table of Contents**OWNERSHIP INFORMATION****EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION ON DECEMBER 31, 2011**

Plan Category	A Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options	B Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options	C Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column A)
Equity Compensation Plans			
Approved by Stockholders ⁽¹⁾	2,818,578 ⁽²⁾	28.1800	3,991,061 ⁽³⁾
Equity Compensation Plans Not			
Approved by Stockholders ⁽⁴⁾	305,080 ⁽⁵⁾	19.8466	0
Total	3,123,658		3,991,061

(1) Consists of the Belden Inc. Long-Term Incentive Plan (the 1993 Plan); the Belden Inc. 2003 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the 2003 Plan); the Cable Design Technologies Corporation 2001 Long-Term Performance Incentive Plan (the 2001 Plan); and the Belden Inc. 2011 Long Term Incentive Plan (the 2011 Plan). The 1993 Plan and the 2001 Plan have expired, but stock option and restricted stock awards remain outstanding under these plans. No further awards can be issued under the 2003 Plan.

(2) Consists of 23,500 shares under the 1993 Plan; 65,298 shares under the 2003 Plan; 2,726,680 shares under the 2001 Plan; and 3,100 shares under the 2011 Plan. All of these shares pertain to outstanding stock options or stock appreciation rights (SARs).

(3) Consists of 3,991,061 shares under the 2011 Plan. Pursuant to the flexible share authorization nature of the 2011 Plan, full-value awards (e.g., restricted stock units, performance share units, other stock-based awards) count against the share authorization at a rate of 1.90 to 1. Stock options, SARs and other non-full-value awards count against the share authorization at a rate of 1 to 1.

(4) Consists of the Cable Design Technologies Corporation 1999 Long-Term Performance Incentive Plan (the 1999 Plan) and the Executive Employment Agreement between the Company and John Stroup dated September 26, 2005 (the Employment Agreement). The Company has terminated the 1999 Plan but stock option awards remain outstanding under it. Mr. Stroup's Employment Agreement, effective October 31, 2005, provided for, among other things, the award to Mr. Stroup of 451,580 stock options to compensate him for the in the money value of his unvested options that he forfeited upon leaving his prior employer and as a further inducement to leave his prior employment. 100,000 of Mr. Stroup's stock options were granted under the 2001 Plan; the remaining stock options were granted outside of any long-term incentive plan. Starting in 2006, Mr. Stroup began participating in the Company's long-term incentive plans.

(5) Consists of 3,500 shares under the 1999 Plan and 301,580 shares under Mr. Stroup's Employment Agreement.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Based upon a review of filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission and other reports submitted by our directors and officers, we believe that all of our directors and executive officers complied during 2011 with the reporting requirements of Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, except that a limit order of Lance Balk to purchase 5,000 shares of Belden stock was filled on August 9, 2011. Mr. Balk did not become aware of the trade until August 15, 2011. A Form 4 was immediately filed on August 15, 2011, two business days after the deadline.

Table of Contents**STOCK OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT**

The following table shows the amount of Belden common stock beneficially owned (unless otherwise indicated) by our directors, the executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table below and the directors and named executive officers as a group. Except as otherwise noted, all information is as of April 2, 2012.

**BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP TABLE OF DIRECTORS, NOMINEES AND
NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS**

Name	Number of Shares		Percent of Class Outstanding ⁽⁴⁾
	Beneficially Owned ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	Acquirable Within 60 Days ⁽³⁾	
David Aldrich	21,528	-	*
Lance Balk	65,132	5,000	*
Gray Benoist ⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾	24,667	137,711	*
Judy L. Brown	19,495	-	*
Bryan C. Cressey	132,181	8,750	*
Christoph Gusenleitner	11,595	23,402	*
Glenn Kalnasy	32,132	6,000	*
Naresh Kumra ⁽⁷⁾	44,073	91,820	*
Mary S. McLeod	19,495	-	*
George Minnich	10,260	-	*
John M. Monter ⁽⁸⁾	89,623	-	*
Bernard G. Rethore ⁽⁹⁾	41,628	-	*
John Stroup ⁽¹⁰⁾	176,639	925,872	*
Denis Suggs	38,883	93,634	*
Dean Yoost	6,400	-	*
All directors and named officers as a group (15 persons)	733,371	1,292,189	1.20%

* Less than one percent

- (1) The number of shares includes shares that are individually or jointly owned, as well as shares over which the individual has either sole or shared investment or voting authority. Mr. Cressey's number does not include shares held by the Bryan and Christina Cressey Foundation. Mr. Cressey is the President of the foundation but disclaims any beneficial ownership of shares owned by the foundation.
- (2) The number of shares shown for Messrs. Minnich and Yoost include 1,666 unvested RSUs from their respective dates of appointment to the Board in May 2010 and March 2011. For each of Ms. Brown, Ms. McLeod and Messrs. Aldrich, Balk, Cressey, Kalnasy, Monter, Rethore and Yoost, the number of shares includes unvested RSUs of 3,112 awarded to them in May 2011. For each of Messrs. Aldrich, Balk, Kalnasy, Rethore and Yoost, the number of shares includes awards, the receipt of which has been deferred pursuant to the 2004 Belden Inc. Non-Employee Director Deferred Compensation Plan as follows: Mr. Aldrich 1,489; Mr. Balk 20,916; Mr. Kalnasy 16,268; Mr. Minnich 3,112; and Mr. Rethore 2,500. For executive officers, the number of shares includes unvested RSUs granted under the Company's long-term incentive plans, as follows: Mr. Stroup 71,264; Mr. Gusenleitner 11,595; Mr. Suggs 27,523; and all named executive officers as a group 110,382.
- (3) Reflects the number of shares that could be purchased by exercise of stock options and the number of SARs that are exercisable at April 2, 2012, or within 60 days thereafter, under the Company's long-term incentive plans. Upon exercise of a SAR, the holder would receive the difference between the market price of Belden shares on the date of exercise and the exercise price paid in the form of

Belden shares.

Page 16

Belden Inc. 2012 Proxy Statement

Table of Contents

- (4) Represents the total of the Number of Shares Beneficially Owned column (excluding RSUs, which do not have voting rights before vesting) divided by the number of shares outstanding at April 2, 2012 45,805,217.
- (5) Includes 3,000 shares held by spouse, 3,000 shares held by child and 3,000 shares held by another child.
- (6) On March 15, 2012, Mr. Benoist retired from Belden. Pursuant to his equity award agreements, the vesting of 17,103 RSUs and 27,949 SARs was accelerated. See *Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End* for further grant specific details.
- (7) Includes 979 shares held by spouse. On March 31, 2012, Mr. Kumra's employment with Belden was terminated. Pursuant to his equity award agreements, all unvested awards were cancelled. Mr. Kumra will have 90 days from his termination date to exercise any vested awards. See *Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End* for further grant specific details.
- (8) Includes 45,808 shares held in spouse's trust, 5,044 shares held in child's trust, 5,039 shares held in another child's trust and 22,320 shares held in charitable remainder unitrust.
- (9) Includes 36,016 shares held in trust.
- (10) Includes 4,063 shares held in trust.

Table of Contents**BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP TABLE OF STOCKHOLDERS OWNING MORE THAN FIVE PERCENT**

The following table shows information regarding those stockholders known to the Company to beneficially own more than 5% of the outstanding Belden shares as of December 31, 2011.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Outstanding Common Stock ⁽¹⁾
Allianz Global Investors Capital LLC 600 West Broadway, Suite 2900 San Diego, California 92101 and NFJ Investment Group LLC 2100 Ross Avenue, Suite 700 Dallas, Texas 75201 (collectively, the Allianz Group) BlackRock, Inc.	2,438,249 ⁽²⁾	5.32%
40 East 52nd Street New York, New York 10022 Frontier Capital Management Co., LLC 99 Summer Street Boston, Massachusetts 02110 Invesco Ltd.	3,473,788 ⁽³⁾	7.58%
Invesco Advisers, Inc. Invesco PowerShares Capital Management Invesco National Trust Company (collectively, the Invesco Group) 1555 Peachtree Street NE Atlanta, Georgia 30309 The Vanguard Group, Inc.	2,920,038 ⁽⁴⁾	6.37%
Vanguard Fiduciary Trust Company	2,715,278 ⁽⁵⁾	5.93%
The Vanguard Group, Inc.	2,670,440 ⁽⁶⁾	5.83%

(collectively, the Vanguard Group)

100 Vanguard Boulevard

Malvern, Pennsylvania 19355

Wellington Management Company, LLP

280 Congress Street

6,127,959⁽⁷⁾

13.37%

Boston, Massachusetts 02109

(1) Based on 45,824,804 shares outstanding on December 31, 2011.

(2) Information based on Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC by the Allianz Group on February 13, 2012, reporting sole voting power over 2,415,349 shares and sole dispositive power over 2,438,249 shares, the aggregate number owned by the Allianz Group.

(3) Information based on Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC by BlackRock, Inc. on February 13, 2012, reporting sole voting power over 3,473,788 shares and sole dispositive power over 3,473,788 shares.

(4) Information based on Schedule 13G filed with the SEC by Frontier Capital Management Co., LLC on February 14, 2012, reporting sole voting power over 1,928,847 shares and sole dispositive power over 2,920,038 shares.

(5) Information based on Schedule 13G filed with the SEC by the Invesco Group on February 6, 2012, reporting sole voting power over 2,612,272 shares and sole dispositive power over 2,697,475 shares.

(6) Information based on Schedule 13G filed with the SEC by the Vanguard Group on February 9, 2012, reporting sole voting power over 66,514 shares, sole dispositive power over 2,603,926 and shared dispositive power over 66,514 shares.

(7) Information based on Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC by Wellington Management Company, LLP on February 14, 2012, reporting shared voting power over 5,074,426 shares and shared dispositive power over 6,127,959 shares.

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION****Compensation Discussion and Analysis (CD&A)****A NOTE FROM THE BELDEN COMPENSATION COMMITTEE***Valued Belden Stockholders:*

We wanted to take this opportunity to provide some context to what you are about to read. The subject of executive compensation has been brought to the forefront by the Say-on-Pay provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act. We believe that this is indeed an important issue and we take very seriously our role in making sure that Belden's compensation programs reflect best practices. Through constant communication with management, continuing education on industry trends, shareholder engagement, and advice from our compensation consultant, we continually strive to strike the appropriate balance between having our employees feeling satisfied and rewarded for past successes and having them appropriately motivated to achieve future successes.

Our primary duty is to the Belden stockholders and we work hard to honor that duty. We are proud of the transformation that has taken place within this Company since our Board appointed John Stroup in 2005. Mr. Stroup, in turn, has built a dynamic leadership team that continues to execute Belden's strategic plan. We are active in ensuring that the Company's compensation programs are consistent with an environment of continuous improvement by: setting stretch performance goals, working hard to achieve them, and then doing it again.

When Belden falls short of its goals, we believe the executives' compensation is appropriately reduced. Pay for performance is a meaningless phrase unless a failure to perform is treated appropriately. We hope that after reviewing the materials that follow, you will agree that we are doing our job in aligning pay and performance. We are very pleased with the performance return we are receiving on our compensation investment. If that feeling changes in the future, you can be assured that we will react appropriately.

Therefore, we request your support on our Say-on-Pay proposal this year. If you have concerns about the compensation program, we would appreciate a discussion of those concerns with Belden management prior to you voting. Thank you for your consideration.

The Belden Inc. Compensation Committee

GLENN KALNASY, CHAIR

DAVID ALDRICH

MARY McLEOD

JOHN MONTER

I. Introduction

In this section, we discuss our compensation program as it pertains to our chief executive officer, our chief financial officer, and our three other most highly compensated executive officers who were serving at the end of 2011. We refer to these five persons throughout as the named executive officers or our NEOs.

For 2011, our named executive officers were:

John Stroup	Chief Executive Officer and President
Gray Benoist	Senior Vice President, Finance, and Chief Financial Officer
Christoph Gusenleitner	Executive Vice President, EMEA Operations and Global Connectivity Products
Naresh Kumra	Executive Vice President, Asia-Pacific Operations
Denis Suggs	Executive Vice President, Americas Operations and Global Cable Products

Note: Mr. Benoist resigned from his position as Chief Financial Officer effective December 31, 2011 and retired from the Company in March 2012. Mr. Kumra left the Company at the end of March 2012.

Table of Contents

II. Executive Summary

2011 marked major milestones in Belden's continued transformation from a predominantly North American cable company to a global signal transmission solutions provider. The successful integration of our late-2010 acquisitions of GarrettCom, Inc. and the Communication Products division from Thomas & Betts Corporation, as well as the 2011 acquisitions of ICM Corp. and Byres Security Inc., significantly expanded our non-cable portfolio. The acquisition of Sao Paulo-based Poliron Cable established a much desired manufacturing presence in the emerging market of Brazil in advance of the 2014 World Cup and 2016 Summer Olympics and the market opportunities that these events provide. Additionally, our efforts to extend the lessons of Lean manufacturing to the back office allowed us to continue to eliminate wasted cost and effort, contributing to encouraging margin expansion. Finally, a further implementation of the Belden Market Delivery System assisted us in capturing market share in an uncertain economic environment. While all of these initiatives should be viewed through a long-term lens, they also contributed to a successful 2011. Despite the headwinds created by the European debt crisis and volatile commodity costs, Belden delivered the following strong financial results:

A 23% increase in top-line revenue compared to 2010.

A 66% improvement in income from continuing operations per diluted share compared to 2010.

Execution on the first \$50 million of a newly-authorized \$150 million share repurchase program at an average repurchase price 20.2% below our record date closing price of \$38.34 per share.

The Company's 2011 overall financial results and the individual performance of our NEOs are discussed under *Annual Cash Incentive Plan Awards* beginning on page 27.

Some of the compensation-related highlights since our last proxy statement include:

For the first time since 2008, we utilized a full-year period for the establishment of performance targets under our annual cash incentive program (ACIP) versus the six-month periods utilized in 2009 and 2010. The perception of a possible economic recovery at the end of 2010 led to the belief that sufficient visibility existed to set aggressive full-year targets.

As discussed in last year's proxy statement, in 2010, in order to encourage retention, we awarded each eligible participant in the long term incentive program (LTIP) a three-year grant of restricted stock units (RSUs), 50% of which vest in three years, 25% in four years and 25% in five years. Therefore, these participants did not receive annual RSU grants in 2011 and 2012 unless they were promoted within the organization. None of the NEOs received a 2011 RSU grant. This allowed our 2011 equity award burn rate to decrease to 1.45%, lowering our three-year average burn rate to 2.59%, well within the guidelines for our industry group established by Institutional Shareholder Services Inc. (ISS).

In our continual efforts to employ best practices, the Compensation Committee implemented the following changes to the compensation program:

- o The annual cap on individual ACIP payouts was revised to the lesser of (i) three times the target award or (ii) \$5 million;
- o The Chief Executive Officer's ownership requirement was increased to six times annual base salary;

Edgar Filing: BELDEN INC. - Form DEF 14A

- o For 2011 and going forward, the Chief Executive Officer is not contractually guaranteed to receive any particular level of LTIP award; and
- o We adopted a new equity plan that (i) requires measurement periods for performance-based grants to be at least twelve months, (ii) requires award vesting to be no more rapid than pro-rata over three years, (iii) expressly prohibits the purchase of underwater options from participants, and (iv) is a fungible share authorization plan requiring the reduction of 1.90 units from the authorized share reserve for each full-value unit awarded, such as an award of a restricted stock unit.

Table of Contents

These new features enhanced a compensation program, which already had the following stockholder-friendly components:

No tax gross-ups on perquisites and no change-in-control-related excise tax gross-ups in employment agreements entered into in or after 2010.

Double trigger change-in-control provisions in employment agreements.

No history of option repricing or cash buyouts of underwater options.

Equity plans do not have evergreen share authorizations and do not allow for aggressive share recycling.

III. 2011 Say-on-Pay Review

At our 2011 annual meeting, Belden stockholders considered for the first time a proposal to provide feedback on our executive compensation through an advisory vote. Belden's outstanding shares are highly concentrated among a relatively small number of institutional holders. At any given time, the top 20 holders own 65% to 70% of our shares. This creates a significant advantage in the area of stockholder engagement. We are able to speak with our key investors often and make our senior management team available to discuss the Company and our strategy. With this background in mind, following is a review of our initial Say-on-Pay experience.

A. April 2011: Issue Discovery

Because we are in contact with our key stockholders so often and receive feedback that they are supportive of the Company and our senior leadership team, we were quite surprised to see a relatively large number of negative vote totals in advance of our meeting. We were quickly able to discover that these votes were following a pattern directly correlated with the report of one of the major institutional stockholder advisory firms. This firm's view of our executive compensation led it to recommend votes against our Say-on-Pay proposal as well as against the reelection of our Compensation Committee Chair.

B. May 2011: Pre-Meeting Actions

In response to the unfavorable report, we considered a number of responses. We noted that some companies were distributing supplemental proxy materials to further explain their compensation and to point out perceived discrepancies in proxy advisory reports. We also considered utilizing a proxy solicitor. We engaged in discussions with key stockholders and continued to receive positive feedback. Additionally, in the meantime, another major institutional stockholder advisory firm issued its report containing recommendations in favor of our Say-on-Pay proposal and all of our directors. As votes continued to be tabulated, the trend indicated that we would receive a super majority in favor of our Say-on-Pay. Based on this, we decided not to take any additional pre-meeting action.

C. Annual Meeting: Final Results

As previously disclosed, with 90.21% of our outstanding shares voting on the issue of Say-on-Pay, we received 68.48% in favor, 31.05% opposed and 0.46% abstaining. The votes opposed to the proposal represented 28.01% of our total outstanding shares.

D. Summer 2011: Post-Meeting Outreach

Following the annual meeting, the Compensation Committee directed management to engage with major stockholders directly on the topic of executive compensation to gain a better understanding of the underlying concerns with the compensation program. We sent a letter to our top 20 stockholders describing our views and making our senior management available to discuss any compensation related concerns. We followed up on the letter with phone calls to major holders. Almost unanimously, these stockholders continued to emphasize their positive feelings about Belden and expressed little interest in discussing our compensation program.

Table of Contents

E. Macro View: An Imperfect System

As suggested by the industry literature, we monitored the SEC Form N-PX filings of our major fund holders in order to identify those holders who voted at least some of their shares against our proposals. In following up with these particular stockholders, a common theme developed. The portfolio managers who buy our shares are not necessarily aware of how those shares are voted on proxy matters. Many were surprised to hear that we had information showing that their fund had voted against our proposals. We agreed that we can and will do a better job of communication in 2012 than we did in 2011.

F. Conclusion: Lack of Clarity and Certainty

In our business, when we identify a problem, we like to trace it to its cause, identify a solution and implement it. The Say-on-Pay world provides us with no such clarity or certainty. The only fact we know for certain is that 28% of our total shares were voted against the Say-on-Pay proposal in 2011. Despite our investigative efforts, we do not know for sure who held these shares, exactly which elements of our compensation program led them to vote no, or exactly what we can do to prevent a similar outcome this year.

In April 2011, our response to the idea of 68.5% support of our Say-on-Pay proposal likely would have been that it is not the level we would like, but it is still a super majority on a non-binding advisory vote. Much has changed in twelve months. Now we know that a super majority is not sufficient. ISS classifies companies below 70% differently. Others draw the line at an even higher percentage. While it is indeed an advisory vote, it carries much more weight than many anticipated a year ago. Companies failing to receive a majority favorable vote can expect to receive all sorts of unfavorable attention, including potentially class action lawsuits.

Our mission is clear in 2012; gain universal support of our executive compensation. To succeed, we need your help.

G. 2012 Proxy Season: A New Era of Stockholder Engagement

Given the serious consequences that resulted from negative Say-on-Pay votes in 2011, it is our hope that stockholders will take a measured approach in 2012, reserving no votes for the most egregious of outliers and those companies who have ignored legitimate feedback on the issue of executive compensation. We do not view ourselves in either one of these categories, and will spend the next 12 pages explaining that view. As expressed by our Compensation Committee above, we would appreciate having the opportunity to discuss any concerns our stockholders may have with our compensation program prior to any no votes being issued. If you are a major Belden stockholder, you will hear us speaking about this issue leading up to our Annual Meeting. We will continue to engage with you on both the portfolio and governance sides of your organizations. Please feel free to reach out to us with any questions or concerns, as described on page 1.

Our goal is the same as your goal: maximize long-term stockholder value. With your support and input, we can continue to successfully execute on our strategic plan to accomplish this overarching objective.

IV. Compensation Objectives and Elements

A. Objectives

Belden's executive compensation program is designed to support the interests of stockholders by rewarding executives for achievement of the Company's specific business objectives, which in 2011 were net income from continuing operations, operating income, operating working capital turns and organic growth. The overarching principles of the program are:

Maximizing stockholder value by allocating a significant percentage of compensation to performance-based pay that is dependent upon achievement of the Company's performance goals, without encouraging excessive or unnecessary risk taking.

Aligning executives' interests with stockholder interests by providing significant stock-based compensation and expecting executives to hold the stock they earn in compliance with our ownership guidelines.

Table of Contents

Attracting and retaining talented executives by providing competitive compensation opportunities.

Rewarding overall corporate results while recognizing individual contributions.

B. Elements

Below is an illustration of Belden's compensation program. Individual compensation packages and the mix of base salary, annual cash incentive opportunity and long-term equity incentive compensation for each NEO varies depending upon the executive's level of responsibilities, potential, performance and tenure with the Company. Each of the elements shown below is designed for a specific purpose, with the overall goal of achieving a high and sustainable level of Company and individual performance. The percentage of total compensation that is performance-based and therefore at risk generally increases as an officer's level of responsibilities increases. Approximately 75% of Mr. Stroup's 2011 compensation was performance-based compensation. The chart below is not to scale for any particular named executive officer.

Additionally, the Company provides competitive retirement and benefit programs and limited perquisites as described under *Compensation Policies and Other Considerations*.

C. Pay for Performance Philosophy

One of Belden's main strategic priorities is our talent management program. We seek to hire and retain high performing and high potential managers to both drive performance today and build a dependable bench of successors for the future. Part of this philosophy includes compensating these managers well when we achieve our performance goals.

Using our CEO John Stroup as an example, his compensation as reported in the Summary Compensation Table ranged from \$4.7 million to \$6.5 million from 2006 to 2011. Analyzed under the lens of actual realizable compensation, a different story is told and our commitment to Pay for Performance become more apparent.

Table of Contents

Below are comparisons of reported compensation vs. realizable compensation for a good year, 2009, and a year when Belden underperformed, 2008. In 2008, we reported \$1,273,856 of performance stock units for Mr. Stroup. At the end of the year, based on 2008 performance these awards were canceled. We also reported \$4,179,571 of stock options and stock appreciation rights in connection with Mr. Stroup's annual grant and a retention award. Based on the closing price of the stock at the end of 2011, none of these awards were in-the-money. On the other hand, in 2009 (and in 2010 and 2011 for that matter), Belden performed much better and the realizable value of his 2009 compensation is reflective of this good performance. For equity awards, realizable value is based on the closing price of Belden stock on the last trading day of 2011 (\$33.28).

Year	Type	Salary	ACIP	Equity	Pension Value	Other	Total
2008	Reported	686,026	136,500	5,453,427	117,053	113,615	6,506,621
	Realizable	686,026	136,500	-	117,053	113,615	1,053,194
2009	Reported	700,000	990,990	3,062,134	142,796	64,729	4,960,649
	Realizable	700,000	990,990	5,785,680	142,796	64,729	7,684,195

Table of Contents

In addition, although none of our Annual Cash Incentive Plan (ACIP) factors relate to stock price, history has shown a strong alignment between Belden s stock performance and Mr. Stroup s ACIP compensation (using 2006 as a base year). The left vertical axis shows the value of an investment of \$100 in Belden stock on December 31, 2006. The right vertical axis shows Mr. Stroup s ACIP awards each year.

We view these charts as validating examples of our Pay for Performance philosophy as they track actual pay with actual results (as opposed to grant date values of equity incentives that only create realizable value if we perform in the future). We believe the incentives we provide for achievement without rewarding under-performance aligns the interests our managers closely with those of our investors, which is the objective.

D. Compensation Design

Role of Compensation Consultant

The Compensation Committee has retained Deloitte Consulting LLP (Deloitte) as its independent compensation consultant. Deloitte reports directly to the Committee. The Committee generally relies on Deloitte to provide it with comparison group benchmarking data and information as to market practices and trends, and to provide advice on key Committee decisions.

In 2011, Deloitte provided advice to the Compensation Committee and management in connection with a proposed new long-term incentive compensation program, the composition of peer companies we use for benchmarking purposes, the design of our annual cash incentive and long-term incentive programs, and our executive employment agreements. For their compensation consulting in 2011, we paid Deloitte approximately \$132,000.

In 2011, our financial management engaged Deloitte to perform other services involving internal controls auditing, tax consulting and acquisition due diligence. For these non-compensation related services, we paid Deloitte approximately \$1,550,000. The Compensation Committee did not approve these charges prior to their incurrence, but considered them in connection with Deloitte s retention for 2012. Given the nature and scope of these other services, the Compensation Committee does not believe this work had any impact on the independence of our independent consultant.

Table of Contents

Benchmarking and Survey Data

In determining total compensation levels for our NEOs, the Compensation Committee reviews market trends in executive compensation and a competitive analysis prepared by Deloitte, which compares our executive compensation to both the companies in the comparator group described below and to broader market survey data. The Committee also considers other available market survey data on executive compensation philosophy, strategy and design. The Company's compensation philosophy is to target base salaries at the 50th percentile of the competitive market. As discussed above, at-risk incentive compensation components have the potential to reward our executives at levels above industry medians, but only when the Company is outperforming the industry.

The Committee chose our comparator group from companies in the primary industry segments in which the Company operates that had similar annual revenues and market capitalizations.

The comparator group companies are as follows:

Acuity Brands, Inc.	Hexcel Corporation	Pentair, Inc.
Anixter International Inc.	Hubbell Incorporated	Regal Beloit Corporation
A.O. Smith Corporation	IDEX Corporation	Roper Industries, Inc.
Carlisle Companies Incorporated	JDS Uniphase Corporation	Thomas & Betts Corporation
General Cable Corporation	Molex Incorporated	

Again, the Committee considers the comparator group competitive pay analysis and survey data as a frame of reference in making its pay decisions. The approach to pay decisions is not formulaic and the Committee, based on advice from Deloitte, exercises judgment in making them.

Each year, the Committee reviews the performance evaluations and pay recommendations for the named executive officers and the other senior executives. The Compensation Committee, with input from the Board, meets in executive session without the CEO present to review the CEO's performance and set his compensation.

In its most recent review in February 2012, the Committee concluded that the total direct compensation of executive officers, with respect to compensation levels, as well as structure, remained consistent with our compensation design and objectives.

V. 2011 Compensation Analysis

A. Base Salary Adjustments

Salaries of executive officers are reviewed annually and at the time of a promotion or other change in responsibilities. Increases in salary are based on a review of the individual's performance, the competitive market, the individual's experience and internal equity. For executives who earn a composite individual performance score of 3 or more, base salaries may be adjusted using a merit salary increase matrix, discussed below. An executive who scores less than 3 and fails to improve his or her performance may be subject to disciplinary action, including dismissal.

The executive is scored on our merit salary increase matrix that is annually reviewed and, if appropriate, revised to reflect the competitive market based on the salary survey data noted above. The Committee reviews the merit budget and salary increase matrix. The executive's salary is classified based on three categories: below market, market and above market. Company-wide, the ranking system is designed to take the form of a normal distribution, as follows:

- 1 Least Effective At least 5% of workforce
- 2 Needs Improvement At least 10% of workforce
- 3 Effective-Consistently Meets Expectations 50% to 70% of workforce
- 4 Highly Valued Combined with 5, no more than 15% of workforce
- 5 Exceptional No more than 5% of workforce

Table of Contents**2011 Merit Increase Guidelines for U.S. Employees (including all of the Named Executive Officers)**

	Current	1	2	3	4	5
	Salary	Least	Needs	Effective	Highly	Exceptional
Current Salary	as a % of Midpoint	Effective	Improvement	Effective	Valued	Exceptional
Above Market	106-120%	0%	0%	0-2%	2-4%	3-5%
Market	95-105%	0%	0%	0-3%	4-6%	6-8%
Below Market	80-94%	0%	0%	3-5%	6-8%	8-10%

The timing and amount of any salary adjustment will be based on the executive's annual overall performance ranking and whether the executive falls below, at or above market as compared to the applicable survey data noted above.

For example, an executive with an overall ranking of 5 who is above market will receive a lower salary increase than an executive with a ranking of 5 who is below market.

In March 2011, the Compensation Committee made an exception to the guidelines and granted Mr. Stroup a larger base salary increase to account for the fact that Mr. Stroup had not received an increase since 2008 and in order to keep him near the industry median. The named executive officers' salaries are provided in the following table (salaries for Messrs. Gusenleitner and Kumra were converted to U.S. dollars based on the Oanda one-year average exchange rates ending on December 31, 2011):

Name	Annual Base Salary at December 31, 2011
Mr. Stroup	\$800,000
Mr. Benoist	\$430,000
Mr. Gusenleitner	\$383,160
Mr. Kumra	\$381,827
Mr. Suggs	\$472,500

B. Annual Cash Incentive Plan Awards

Executive officers participate in our annual cash incentive plan. Overall, we had 1,405 employees participate in the plan's 2011 performance offering. Under the plan, participants earn cash awards based on the achievement of Company and individual performance goals. For 2011, the amount paid under the plan to all participants was \$14,129,660 or approximately 0.71% of 2011 revenue.

A participant's award is computed using the following formula:

$$\text{ACIP Award} = \text{Base Salary} \times \text{Target Percentage} \times \text{Financial Factor} \times \text{Personal Performance Factor}$$

Target Percentages

Each NEO's Target Percentage is delineated in his respective employment agreement. For 2011, the NEO Target Percentages were as follows: Mr. Stroup 130%, Mr. Benoist 85% and Messrs. Gusenleitner, Kumra and Suggs 70%.

Table of Contents

Financial Factors

As stated above, performance targets for calculating the Financial Factors were based on net income from continuing operations, operating income, operating working capital turns and organic growth. In order to ensure that we are rewarding performance that drives stockholder value, these factors flow from and support the strategic financial goals we communicate to our investors.

Performance Factor Determination and Adjustments

The performance factors we use that make up the Financial Factor support our short- and long-range business objectives and strategy. We have selected multiple factors because we believe no one metric is sufficient to capture the performance we are seeking to achieve and any one metric in isolation may not promote appropriate management performance. Management and the Board continue to believe that net income from continuing operations is the financial metric most clearly aligned with the enhancement of stockholder value. Therefore, it is weighed the most heavily as a consolidated performance target. However, as shown above, operating income is a metric important to how our investors view us. It was therefore added as a component of the consolidated Financial Factor for 2011. Organic growth and working capital turns remain important measures of our ability to execute on Lean manufacturing techniques and the Belden Market Delivery System.

Each NEO's list of applicable factors and weighting among factors differs based on geographic or operational responsibilities (see table below). Based on their responsibilities for global operations as the CEO and CFO, Messrs. Stroup and Benoist's respective performance was measured on consolidated performance factors. As the EVP of the Europe, Middle East and Africa (EMEA) operations, Mr. Gusenleitner's performance was measured based on a 50/50 split between consolidated performance factors and local EMEA performance factors. As the EVP of the Asia Pacific (APAC) operations, Mr. Kumra's performance was measured based on a 50/50 split between consolidated performance factors and local APAC performance factors. As the EVP of the Americas operations, Mr. Suggs' performance was measured based on a 50/50 split between consolidated

Table of Contents

performance factors and local Americas performance factors. The applicable factors and weighting percentages are set prior to the performance period.

In setting performance goals, we consider our annual and long-range business plans and factors such as our past variance to targeted performance, economic and industry conditions, and our industry performance. We set challenging, realistic goals that will motivate performance within the top quartile of our comparator group. We recognize that the metrics may need to change over time to reflect new priorities and, accordingly, review these performance metrics at the beginning of each performance period.

The 2011 thresholds and targets of the performance factors that make up the Financial Factor reflected optimism in the macroeconomic environment as we exited 2010. The 2011 target for the consolidated net income from continuing operations component of the Financial Factor was over 33% higher than the actual performance in 2010. Likewise, the target for consolidated operating income was over 36% in excess of actual 2010 performance. Working capital turns thresholds and targets were decreased slightly but also weighted less, reflective of the maturity of our Lean manufacturing journey. Organic growth targets continued to be derived from the rates of growth necessary to place us in the top quartile of our comparator group. Similarly, the divisional level thresholds and targets were set at levels that, if achieved, would reflect noticeably improved performance.

Any Financial Factor exceeding 2.0 requires Compensation Committee approval and individual awards may not exceed the lesser of three times the individual's target ACIP amount or \$5 million per year. As in 2010, if the consolidated net income factor or a divisional operating income factor did not achieve at least threshold performance, this would result in a total Financial Factor of 0. Additionally, organic growth scores were capped at 2.0.

Consistent with the terms of the annual cash incentive plan, the performance factors were adjusted to reflect certain unusual events that occurred during the year. These adjustments can result in either increases or decreases in performance factors and in 2011 primarily concerned restructuring of the Company's operations, backing out a favorable litigation settlement, as well as some income tax adjustments. The Compensation Committee and the Audit Committee meet jointly to analyze and approve the adjustments recommended by management. The Committees believed it was appropriate to adjust the financial results for these matters to eliminate the potential for managers delaying strategic decisions beneficial to the Company in the long term (e.g., restructuring) because of the impact of those decisions on short-term financial metrics or to benefit from favorable one-time adjustments.

Table of Contents

For each individual performance factor, threshold and target amounts are set by the Compensation Committee. Actual performance at the threshold level is reflected with a Financial Factor score of 0.5 and actual performance at the target level is reflected with a Financial Factor score of 1.0, with performance between the two levels and above target scored on a linear basis. Actual performance below the threshold results in a Financial Factor score of 0.

The performance factor definitions, thresholds, targets and actual results, as well as the applicable weighting and calculations for each NEO are contained in Appendix I, which is incorporated herein by this reference. The applicable 2011 Financial Factor for the NEOs is as follows:

Named Executive Officer	Financial Factor
Mr. Stroup	0.98
Mr. Benoist	0.98
Mr. Gusenleitner	0.98
Mr. Kumra	0.00 ⁽¹⁾
Mr. Suggs	1.01

- (1) Despite being eligible for a 0.49 Financial Factor based on the 50% allocation of the consolidated Company performance, Mr. Kumra voluntarily elected to receive no ACIP award in solidarity with those associates in his division who received no award.

Personal Performance Factor

Each named executive officer establishes annual personal performance objectives. In the case of Mr. Stroup, the objectives are agreed upon between him and the independent directors; in the case of the remaining NEOs, the objectives are agreed upon between the NEO and Mr. Stroup. At the end of the year, the parties measure progress relative to the objectives. The Compensation Committee, with respect to Mr. Stroup, and Mr. Stroup, with respect to the other NEOs, scores each NEO on a scale of 0.5 to 1.5 (0.8 to 1.2 in the case of Mr. Stroup), which we refer to as the NEO's Personal Performance Factor (PPF).

The personal performance goals reflected in the Personal Performance Factor measure the attainment of short- and long-term goals that often are in furtherance of achieving objectives set out in our three-year strategic plan. Personal performance goals can be qualitative in nature and the determination of the NEO's degree of attainment of them generally requires the judgment of the evaluation supervisor (i.e., the independent directors with respect to Mr. Stroup and Mr. Stroup with respect to the other NEOs).

As a general rule, the higher in the organizational structure that one sits, the more global in scope are his or her personal objectives. Mr. Stroup, as CEO, focused his objectives on key improvement priorities for the Company in the areas of organic growth, talent management, expansion of the connectivity and networking platforms and emerging markets. Mr. Benoist, as the CFO, also had objectives in the areas of talent management and investor relations, but also focused other objectives on areas specific to the finance function, e.g., liquidity, accounting and information technology. As the EVPs of Belden's three geographical segments, the objectives of Messrs. Gusenleitner, Kumra and Suggs were supportive of the Company's global goals, but focused within their respective business units. The objectives of all three EVPs related to the areas of talent management, Lean manufacturing and the institution of the Belden Market Delivery System in their respective business units.

The 2011 Personal Performance Factors for the NEOs ranged from 0.80 to 1.20.

Table of Contents**Annual Cash Incentive Plan Payouts**

Based on the preceding discussion, each NEO's annual cash incentive plan awards were as follows:

NEO	ACIP Award	Percentage of Target
John Stroup	\$ 1,050,000	101.0%
Gray Benoist	\$ 376,100	102.9%
Christoph Gusenleitner ⁽¹⁾	\$ 289,100	107.8%
Naresh Kumra ⁽²⁾	-	0.0%
Denis Suggs	\$ 400,900	121.2%

(1) Mr. Gusenleitner's ACIP payout is made in Euros. The information was converted to U.S. dollars based on the Oanda one-year average exchange rate ending on December 31, 2011.

(2) As noted above, Mr. Kumra declined an ACIP payout.

C. Performance-Based Equity Awards

Our long-term equity incentive plan is designed to align the financial interests of our executives and our stockholders by providing executives with a continuing stake in the long-term success of the company. In addition, with grants of SARs that have value only if Belden's stock price increases, the plan emphasizes Pay-for-Performance. For 2011, executive officers received 75% of their LTI Value (discussed below) under the plan in the form of SARs. The remaining 25% was deemed to have been received through the award of a three-year grant of performance-based RSUs in 2010.

Individual performance, the competitive market, executive experience and internal equity were factors used to determine the total dollar value of SARs and RSUs granted to each executive officer in 2011, which we refer to as the Long-Term Incentive Value, or LTI Value.

LTI Value

We use the following matrix to determine the LTI as a percentage of base salary for each officer. An officer did not receive an equity award in 2011 if his or her 2010 Personal Performance Factor was less than 0.85. Mr. Stroup does not have a target LTI percentage. At its March 2011 meeting, the Compensation Committee awarded Mr. Stroup SARs valued at \$2.5 million, or approximately 300% of base salary. Mr. Benoist has a Target LTI percentage of 200% and Messrs. Gusenleitner, Kumra and Suggs each have a Target LTI percentage of 120%.

PPF	0.85	1.15	1.16	1.50
Percentage of Target LTI	70%	120%	100%	190%

To illustrate the LTI value matrix, assume a base salary of \$200,000 and a Target LTI percentage of 50%. The Target LTI is \$100,000. Assuming the officer's PPF is 1.0, he or she would receive equity valued between \$70,000 and \$120,000. If the same officer's PPF is 1.20, he or she would receive equity valued between \$100,000 and \$190,000. The exact amount granted within the range for each individual is at the discretion of the individual's immediate supervisor.

We used the Black-Scholes-Merton (Black-Scholes) option pricing formula to calculate SAR values. The intended grant date value is then allocated as follows:

SARs = (LTI Value - (25% of Target LTI Value)) divided by the Black-Scholes value of a Belden SAR.

Table of Contents

The SARs provide a material incentive for executives to increase the Company's share price increases during their ten-year term, and they serve as a retention tool because they take three years to fully vest.

Beginning in 2010, participants were provided the option of electing to receive up to 50% of the SAR component, or up to 37.5% of their LTI Value in a long-term cash award. The percentage they select multiplied by their LTI Value becomes their Cash Target amount. The Maximum Payout is then determined pursuant to the following formula:

$$\text{Maximum Payout} = \text{Cash Target} \times (\text{AFF} + (0.5 \times (0.20 - \text{SD})))$$

It is referred to as a Maximum Payout because the Compensation Committee can exercise negative discretion prior to approving the final payout. AFF is the average annual Financial Factor for the three-year period starting with the year in which the grant date occurs. SD is the standard deviation of the three Financial Factors. The award encourages retention through its three-year term and is designed to reward good Company performance, but also consistent Company performance.

Mr. Benoist elected to take the maximum 37.5% of his 2011 LTI Value in a cash award. Therefore, he has a Cash Target amount of \$297,000 that will be eligible for payout based on the above formula in February 2014.

At its March 2011 meeting, the Compensation Committee approved equity award grants in the form of 541,670 SARs and 50,020 RSUs to over 260 employees. The table below shows the total 2011 grants of SARs and Cash Awards to the named executive officers.

2011 Equity Awards to NEOs

NEO	SARs ⁽¹⁾	Cash LTI
Mr. Stroup	140,370	-
Mr. Benoist	16,700	\$ 297,000
Mr. Guesenleitner	19,200	-
Mr. Kumra	15,960	-
Mr. Suggs	31,760	-

- (1) The Committee granted the listed SARs to Messrs. Benoist, Guesenleitner, Kumra and Suggs at the closing price of Belden stock on March 1, 2011 (\$35.83), the grant date of the awards. The Committee granted the listed SARs to Mr. Stroup at the closing price of Belden stock on March 2, 2011 (\$35.79), the grant date of the award.

VI. Compensation Policies and Other Considerations*Stock Ownership Guidelines*

To align their interests with those of the Company's stockholders, Company officers who are required to report their holdings of Belden stock to the Securities and Exchange Commission must hold stock whose value is at least three times their annual base salary (six times in the case of Mr. Stroup). Officers have five years from May 2005 (the date the guidelines were implemented or, if later, five years from becoming an officer) to acquire the appropriate shareholdings. In addition, officers must make interim progress toward the ownership requirement during the five year period: 20% after one year, 40% after two years, 60% after three years and 80% after four years. For purposes of determining ownership, unvested RSUs and the value of vested but unexercised, in-the-money options and SARs are included. For calculation purposes, the Company will use the higher of the current trading price or the acquisition price. As of April 2, 2012 (our record date for the annual meeting), each of the named executive officers either met his interim or five-year stock ownership guideline. In accordance with Company policy, an officer is prohibited from selling Belden stock received from the Company as an equity award until the officer meets the interim guideline.

Table of Contents

Tax and Accounting Considerations

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, imposes a \$1 million limit on the amount that a public company may deduct for compensation paid to the Company's CEO or any of the Company's other NEOs, other than the Chief Financial Officer, who are employed as of the end of the fiscal year. This limitation does not apply to compensation that meets the requirements under Section 162(m) for qualifying performance based compensation (i.e., compensation paid only if performance meets pre-established objective goals based on performance criteria approved by stockholders). The Company's incentive compensation plans are designed to qualify under Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m) to ensure tax deductibility. However, the Committee retains the flexibility to design and administer compensation programs that are in the best interests of Belden and its stockholders.

Annual bonuses for our Named Executive Officers are discretionary, subject to maximum bonus amounts based on the achievement of the Section 162(m) performance objectives established by the Committee annually. These objectives are selected by the Committee from among the performance objectives in the annual incentive plan but are not communicated to participants as individual performance targets. The Committee may exercise negative discretion to reduce the award based on an assessment of Company and individual performance. For 2011 the Committee awarded less than the maximum amount. We have also adopted amendments to our compensation plans to comply with the requirements of Internal Revenue Code Section 409A, which requires that nonqualified deferred compensation arrangements must meet specific requirements.

In accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, for financial statement purposes, we expense all equity-based awards over the period earned based upon their estimated fair value at grant date. FASB ASC Topic 718 has not resulted in any significant changes in our compensation program design.

Executive Compensation Recovery

In accordance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, Mr. Stroup, as CEO, and Mr. Benoist, as CFO, must forfeit certain bonuses and profits if the Company is required to restate its financial statements as a result of misconduct. In addition, if the Board of Directors determines that any other executive officer has engaged in fraudulent or intentional misconduct that results in the Company restating its financial statements because of a material inaccuracy, the Company, as permitted by law, will seek to recover any cash incentive compensation or other equity-based compensation (including proceeds from the exercise of a stock option or SAR) received by the officer from the Company during the 12-month period following the first public issuance or filing with the SEC of the financial statement required to be restated. The Company will reconsider its clawback policies once the SEC issues final rules implementing the Dodd-Frank Act.

Hedging of Company Stock

Pursuant to the Company's insider trading policy, executive officers and directors are prohibited from utilizing margin accounts to engage in transactions in Belden stock. The Company will reconsider its trading policies once the SEC issues final rules implementing the Dodd-Frank Act.

Equity Compensation Grant Practices

The Committee approves all grants of equity compensation, including stock appreciation rights and restricted stock units, to executive officers of the Company, as defined in Section 16 of the Exchange Act. All elements of executive officer compensation are reviewed by the Committee annually at its February meeting. Generally, the Company's awards of stock appreciation rights and restricted stock units are made at that meeting, but may be made at other meetings of the Committee. The Committee meeting date, or the next business day if the meeting falls on a non-business day, is the grant date for stock appreciation rights and restricted stock unit awards. The Company may also make awards in connection with acquisitions or promotions, or for retention purposes. Under the Company's equity plan, the Committee may delegate to the Company's CEO the authority to grant stock options to any employees of the Company other than executive officers of the Company as that term is defined in Section 16 of the Exchange Act. The Committee has exercised this authority and delegated to the CEO the ability to make equity grants in connection with retention and acquisitions, which he uses on an infrequent basis.

Table of Contents

Employment Agreements: Severance, Termination and Retirement

The Company has an employment agreement with each of the named executive officers. We believe that our agreements are essential in attracting and retaining the desired executive talent in a competitive market. In addition, the agreements benefit the Company by providing for the upfront agreement of each executive on certain important provisions, including post-termination covenants and an agreement to provide a full release of claims against the Company. These agreements address key provisions of the employment relationship, including payment of severance benefits upon a termination of employment before and after a change of control of the Company. Beginning in 2010, new executive employment agreements no longer contain a gross-up to compensate the executives for an Internal Revenue Code Section 280G excise tax. Instead the executives will be given the option of either (a) collecting their full severance and paying the excise tax themselves with no assistance from the Company or (b) reducing the severance payments to an amount that prevents the excise tax from being imposed. Information regarding benefits under these agreements is provided following this Compensation Discussion and Analysis under the heading *Potential Payments upon Termination or Change of Control*.

Aircraft

The Company owns and from time to time leases corporate aircraft to provide flexibility to executive officers and other associates for business use and to allow more efficient use of executive time for Company matters. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee reviews management's use of corporate aircraft throughout the year to confirm that it is consistent with this philosophy.

Benefits and Perquisites

The named executive officers receive retirement and health care benefits on a consistent basis with other Belden employees. As described in *Pension Benefits* and *Nonqualified Deferred Compensation*, excess defined benefit and defined contribution plans are offered to eligible U.S. employees. We provide a non-U.S. cash balance retirement plan for Mr. Kumra and contribute to a private German pension account for Mr. Gusenleitner. In order to attract and maintain talented officers, we have provided certain other compensation to our NEOs. This includes commuting costs for Mr. Benoist, use of automobiles for Mr. Gusenleitner and Mr. Kumra. Certain other minimal perquisites are provided to the NEOs as described in footnote 7 to the *Summary Compensation Table* below. Beginning in 2010, tax gross-ups were no longer provided in connection with certain nominal reimbursement perquisites, e.g., tax preparation costs, club dues and commuting costs.

Report of the Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed with management the foregoing Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this proxy statement. Based on such review and discussion, the Committee recommended to the Board of Belden that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the proxy statement.

Compensation Committee

Glenn Kalnasy (Chair)

David Aldrich

Mary McLeod

John Monter

Table of Contents

Compensation and Risk

We consider the variable, pay-for-performance components of our compensation programs to assess the level of risk-taking these elements may create. The variable components of our compensation programs offered to management (including our executives) are our annual cash incentive plan and performance-based equity awards program. We believe the way we select and set performance goals and targets with multiple levels of performance; using gradually-sloped payout curves that do not provide large payouts for small incremental improvements; and confirming the achievement of performance before issuing the awards, all reduce the potential for management's excessive risk-taking or poor judgment. Consistent with sound risk management, we limit the annual cash incentive award by capping the financial factor component at two times the target (unless approved by our Compensation Committee) as well as capping the awards themselves at the lesser of three times target or \$5 million. The long-term incentive is limited through the use of a fixed percentage of the participant's base salary. In addition, we require that executive officers adhere to stock ownership guidelines to promote a long-term focus.

We also consider our variable compensation programs offered to other associates. These are primarily incentive programs offered to sales and marketing associates. We believe the way we administer these programs reduces the potential of their causing a material adverse impact on the Company through excessive risk-taking. We have customer contract practices with respect to operating margins, customer creditworthiness, and channel management that are designed to reduce poor judgment in connection with entering into sales contracts having unreasonable terms. Sales targets are not designed to provide large payouts that are either based on small incremental improvement or overly aggressive goals that could induce excessive risk-taking by the salesperson. These programs are monitored throughout the performance period to ensure they are being properly administered.

Compensation Tables

Starting on the next page are the following compensation tables:

Summary Compensation Table;

Grants of Plan-Based Awards;

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End;

Option Exercises and Stock Vested;

Pension Benefits;

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation; and

Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change-in-Control.

Table of Contents**SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE**

Name and Principal		Salary ⁽¹⁾	Bonus ⁽²⁾	Stock Awards ⁽³⁾	Option Awards ⁽⁴⁾	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation ⁽⁵⁾	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings ⁽⁶⁾	All Other Compensation ⁽⁷⁾	Total
Position	Year	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)
John Stroup	2011	775,000			2,476,127	1,050,000	317,882	111,168	4,730,177
<i>President and</i>	2010	700,000		1,546,429	1,623,826	1,326,780	175,574	83,367	5,455,976
<i>Chief Executive Officer</i>	2009	700,000		1,509,984	1,552,150	990,990	142,796	64,729	4,960,649
Gray Benoist	2011	425,500			294,922	376,100	98,031	83,138	1,277,691
<i>Former Senior Vice</i>	2010	409,000		494,847	519,625	446,770	67,294	63,412	2,000,948
<i>President, Finance, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer</i>	2009	400,000		462,240	481,000	387,090	46,719	52,278	1,829,327
Christoph Gusenleitner	2011	383,164	139,130		339,072	289,112		64,534	1,215,012
<i>Executive Vice President, EMEA Operations and Global Connectivity Products</i>	2010	268,898		322,109	344,035	216,080		41,999	1,193,121
Naresh Kumra	2011	371,135			281,854			492,841	1,145,830
<i>Former Executive Vice</i>	2010	362,988		263,503	427,388	254,190	69,024	360,902	1,737,995

Edgar Filing: BELDEN INC. - Form DEF 14A

<i>President, Asia</i>	2009	355,000	292,600	183,540	411,516	18,431	186,377	1,447,464
------------------------	------	---------	---------	---------	---------	--------	---------	-----------

Pacific Operations

Denis Suggs	2011	466,875		560,882	400,900	98,571	44,474	1,571,702
--------------------	------	---------	--	---------	---------	--------	--------	-----------

<i>Executive Vice</i>	2010	411,635	471,225	641,836	427,140	57,331	35,874	2,045,041
-----------------------	------	---------	---------	---------	---------	--------	--------	-----------

<i>President, American</i>	2009	355,000	226,765	137,655	301,306	31,868	19,885	1,072,479
----------------------------	------	---------	---------	---------	---------	--------	--------	-----------

Operations and

Global Cable

Products

(1) Salaries are amounts actually received. Mr. Gusenleitner received compensation in Euros. Mr. Kumra received compensation in U.S. Dollars, Hong Kong Dollars and Indian Rupee. For this table, the compensation of Messrs. Gusenleitner and Kumra was converted into U.S. Dollars based on the Oanda one-year average exchange rates ending on December 31, 2011.

(2) Pursuant to his employment agreement, Mr. Gusenleitner received a bonus of 100,000 Euros on his first anniversary of employment.

(3) Reflects the aggregate grant date fair value with respect to awards of stock for each named officer computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. See *Grants of Plan-Based Awards* Table for 2011 stock awards to the named officers. The assumptions used in calculating these amounts are described in Note 16: Share-Based Compensation, to the Company's audited financial statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Each amount listed in column (e) represents the grant date fair value of performance share units (PSUs) based on the assumption that the Company would meet its performance goals at the target level, resulting in one restricted stock unit (RSU) being issued to the officer for each PSU. In 2009, performance at 120% of target levels or greater would have resulted in the issuance of 1.5 RSUs for each PSU. During 2009, the Company periodically analyzed performance and made appropriate adjustments to the amount of stock-based compensation expense it recorded. Based on this structure, the maximum grant date fair value of each award (in dollars) was as follows:

	Mr. Stroup	Mr. Benoist	Mr. Gusenleitner	Mr. Kumra	Mr. Suggs
2009	2,264,976	693,360	<i>Not Listed</i>	438,900	340,148

Table of Contents

- (4) Reflects the aggregate grant date fair value with respect to awards of options or SARs for each named officer computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The assumptions used in calculating these amounts are described in Note 16: Share-Based Compensation, to the Company's audited financial statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.
- (5) Represents amounts earned under the Company's annual cash incentive plan as determined by the Compensation Committee at its February 2012 meeting.
- (6) The amounts in this column reflect the increase in the actuarial present value of the accumulated benefits under the Company's defined benefit plans in which the named executives participate. None of the named executives received above-market or preferential earnings on deferred compensation.

	Year	Total	Company's									
			Matching	Life	Contributions	Club Dues	Insurance	Commuting	Tax	Restricted	German	
			In Its Defined	(including	and	Costs	(including	Expatriate	Preparation	Stock	Standard	
			Contribution	tax gross up	Long Term	for	tax gross up	Benefits and	Costs	Dividends	Fringe	
			Plan ^(a)	for	Disability	for	Moving	for	(including	Equalization	Car	Benefits
				2009)	Benefits	2009)	Expenses ^(b)	2009)	2009)			
John Stroup	2011	111,168	94,580	3,775	3,726			2,472	6,615			
	2010	83,367	76,095	3,725	3,547							
	2009	64,729	37,643	5,862	3,383			2,841	15,000			
Gray Benoist	2011	83,138	39,252	4,120	7,808	15,343		5,500	11,115			
	2010	63,412	35,824	3,725	7,260	14,353			2,250			
	2009	52,278	19,755	5,862	6,717	14,274			5,670			
Christoph Gusenleitner ^(c)	2011	64,534	24,626								23,708	16,200
	2010	41,999	17,628								13,643	10,728
Naresh Kumra ^(d)	2011	492,841	23,477		2,673		449,970		1,500		15,221	
	2010	360,902	130,207		2,565		227,410		720			
	2009	186,377			2,807		146,359		1,860	35,351		
Denis Suggs	2011	44,474	40,231		3,080				1,163			
	2010	35,874	32,082		2,802				990			
	2009	19,885	16,790		2,600				495			

- (a) For Mr. Gusenleitner, this represents a quarterly contribution by the Company to a German private pension fund.
- (b) Per Mr. Kumra's Executive Employment Agreement, the Company pays certain expatriate benefits to compensate Mr. Kumra for relocating his family from the United States to Hong Kong. This amount consists of the following items: a housing allowance (net of his hypothetical U.S. housing expense), residential utilities, residential security, automobile expense, school fees for Mr. Kumra's children and travel to the U.S. for Mr. Kumra and his family once per year.
- (c) Amounts for Mr. Gusenleitner are valued in Euros and were converted into U.S. Dollars based on the Oanda one-year average exchange rate ending on December 31, 2011.
- (d) Some amounts for Mr. Kumra are valued in Hong Kong Dollars or Indian Rupees and were converted into U.S. Dollars based on the Oanda one-year average exchange rate ending on December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents**GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS**

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#)	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options ⁽²⁾ (#)	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards ⁽³⁾ (\$/Sh)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (l)
		Awards ⁽¹⁾									
		Target									
		Threshold (\$) (c)	(\$) (d)	Maximum (\$) (e)	Threshold (#) (f)	Target (#) (g)	Maximum (#) (h)				
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(l)
John Stroup	3/2/2011	520,000	1,040,000	3,120,000					140,370	35.79	2,476,127
Gray Benoist	3/1/2011	182,750	365,500	1,096,500					16,700	35.83	294,922
Christoph Gusenleitner	3/1/2011	134,106	268,212	804,636					19,200	35.83	339,072
Naresh Kumra	3/1/2011	133,639	267,279	801,837					15,960	35.83	281,854
Denis Suggs	3/1/2011	165,375	330,750	992,250					31,760	35.83	560,882

(1) The amounts in column (c) represent the cash payment under the Company's annual cash incentive plan (Plan) that would have been made if the threshold performance for 2011 was met; the amounts in column (d) represent the cash payment under the plan that would have been made if the target performance for 2011 was met; and the amounts in column (e) represent the maximum cash payment under the plan, the lesser of three times target or \$5 million. For Mr. Gusenleitner, who is paid in Euros, and Mr. Kumra, who is paid in Indian Rupee, these U.S. Dollar amounts are based on the Oanda one year average exchange rates ending on December 31, 2011 of 1.3913 U.S. Dollars per Euro and 0.0213 U.S. Dollars per Indian Rupee.

(2) The amounts in column (j) are the number of SARs granted to each of the named executive officers in 2011. These awards vest in equal amounts over three years on the first, second and third anniversaries of the grant date.

(3) The exercise price for awarded SARs was the closing price of the Belden shares on the grant date.

Table of Contents

OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END

Name	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options ⁽¹⁾ (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options ⁽²⁾ (#) Unexercisable	Option Awards			Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested ⁽⁵⁾ (#)	Stock Awards		
			Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unearned Options	Option Exercise Price ⁽⁴⁾ (\$)				Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested ⁽⁶⁾ (\$)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	
John Stroup	301,580		-	19.930	10/31/2015	33,075	1,100,736		-	
	113,600			25.805	2/22/2016	71,264	2,371,666		-	
	107,400			47.705	2/21/2017		-		-	
	83,600			40.960	2/20/2018		-		-	
		195,037		37.260	4/1/2018		-		-	
	111,867	55,933		11.920	2/24/2019		-		-	
	52,551	105,102		21.700	2/22/2020					
		140,370		35.790	3/2/2021					
Gray Benoist	29,446		-	33.000	8/24/2016	10,125	336,960		-	
	15,500			47.705	2/21/2017	22,804	758,917		-	
	25,100			40.960	2/20/2018				-	
	34,667	17,333		11.920	2/24/2019		-		-	
	16,817	33,632		21.700	2/22/2020					
		16,700		35.830	3/1/2021					
Christoph Gusenleitner	8,501	17,002		27.780	4/1/2020	11,595	385,882		-	
		19,200		35.830	3/1/2021					
Naresh Kumra	9,400		-	26.380	3/1/2016	7,500	249,600		-	
	4,800			47.705	2/21/2017	12,143	404,119		-	
	50,000			47.705	2/21/2017		-		-	
	16,700			40.960	2/20/2018		-		-	
	25,334	12,666		11.920	2/24/2019		-		-	
	13,832	27,662		21.700	2/22/2020					
		15,960		35.830	3/1/2021					
Denis Suggs	6,800		-	53.900	6/11/2017	7,250	241,280		-	
	14,200			40.960	2/20/2018	5,812	193,423		-	
	19,000	9,500		11.920	2/24/2019	12,143	404,119		-	
	13,832	27,662		21.700	2/22/2020	8,130	270,566		-	
	5,884	11,766		25.550	6/11/2020		-		-	
		31,760		35.830	3/1/2021					

(1) Shows vested options and SARs.

(2) Shows unvested options and SARs.

Table of Contents

- (3) For Mr. Stroup, his 195,037 unexercisable options expiring on April 1, 2018 all vest on February 21, 2013. His 55,933 unexercisable SARs expiring on February 24, 2019 vested on February 24, 2012. His 105,102 unexercisable SARs expiring February 22, 2020 vest as follows: 52,551 on February 22, 2012 and 52,551 on February 22, 2013. His 140,370 unexercisable SARs expiring on March 2, 2021 vest as follows: 46,790 on March 2, 2012, 46,790 on March 2, 2013 and 46,790 on March 2, 2014. For Mr. Benoist, his 17,333 unexercisable SARs expiring on February 24, 2019 vested on February 24, 2012. 16,816 of his unexercisable SARs expiring on February 22, 2020 vested on February 22, 2012. 5,567 of his unexercisable SARs expiring on March 1, 2021 vested on March 1, 2012. On March 15, 2012, Mr. Benoist retired from Belden. On that date, his remaining 16,816 unexercisable SARs expiring on February 22, 2020 and his remaining 11,133 unexercisable SARs expiring March 1, 2021 immediately vested. Pursuant to the award agreements, the new expiration date for all outstanding SARs is March 15, 2015, three years following the date of retirement. For Mr. Gusenleitner, his 17,002 unexercisable SARs that expire on April 1, 2020 vest as follows: 8,501 on April 1, 2012 and 8,501 on April 1, 2013. His 19,200 unexercisable SARs expiring on March 1, 2021 vest as follows: 6,400 on March 1, 2012, 6,400 on March 1, 2013 and 6,400 on March 1, 2014. For Mr. Kumra his 12,666 unexercisable SARs that expire on February 24, 2019 vested on February 24, 2012. 13,831 of his unexercisable SARs that expire on February 22, 2020 vested on February 22, 2012. 5,320 of his unexercisable SARs expiring on March 1, 2021 vested on March 1, 2012. On March 31, 2012, Mr. Kumra's employment with Belden terminated. On that date his remaining 13,831 unexercisable SARs expiring on February 22, 2020 and his remaining 10,640 unexercisable SARs expiring on March 1, 2021 were cancelled. Pursuant to the award agreements, the new expiration date for all outstanding SARs is June 29, 2012. For Mr. Suggs his 9,500 unexercisable SARs that expire on February 24, 2019 vested on February 24, 2012. His 27,662 unexercised SARs that expire on February 22, 2020 vest as follows: 13,831 on February 22, 2012 and 13,831 on February 22, 2013. His 11,766 unexercised SARs that expire on June 11, 2020 vest as follows: 5,883 on June 11, 2012 and 5,883 on June 11, 2013. His 31,760 unexercisable SARs expiring on March 1, 2021 vest as follows: 10,587 on March 1, 2012, 10,587 on March 1, 2013 and 10,586 on March 1, 2014.
- (4) The exercise price of option and SAR awards granted since 2008 was the closing price of Belden shares on the grant date. The exercise price of option and SAR awards granted prior to 2008 was the average of the high and low prices of Belden shares on the grant date.
- (5) Mr. Stroup's 33,075 restricted stock units (RSUs) vested on February 22, 2012. His 71,264 RSUs vest as follows: 35,632 on February 22, 2013, 17,816 on February 22, 2014 and 17,816 on February 22, 2015. Mr. Benoist's 10,125 RSUs vested on February 22, 2012. Of his 22,804 RSUs, the 11,402 scheduled to vest on February 22, 2013 and the 5,701 scheduled to vest on February 22, 2014 vested immediately upon his retirement on March 15, 2012. The 5,701 RSUs scheduled to vest on February 22, 2015 were forfeited, as the grant agreement required Mr. Benoist to be employed by the Company for at least three years following the grant date prior to retirement in order to earn accelerated vesting. Mr. Gusenleitner's 11,595 RSUs vest as follows: 5,798 on April 1, 2013, 2,899 on April 1, 2014 and 2,898 on April 1, 2015. Mr. Kumra's 7,500 RSUs vested on February 22, 2012. His 12,143 RSUs were cancelled upon his termination of employment on March 31, 2012. Mr. Suggs' 7,250 RSUs vest on June 11, 2012. His 5,812 RSUs vested on February 22, 2012. His 12,143 RSUs vest as follows: 6,072 on February 22, 2013, 3,036 on February 22, 2014 and 3,035 on February 22, 2015. His 8,130 RSUs vest on June 11, 2013.
- (6) The market value represents the product of the number of shares and the closing market price of Belden shares on December 31, 2011 (\$33.28).

Table of Contents**OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED**

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting ⁽¹⁾ (\$)
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
John Stroup	150,000	2,877,878 ⁽²⁾	33,075	1,262,969
Gray Benoist	-	-	19,215	637,734
Christoph Gusenleitner	-	-	-	-
Naresh Kumra	-	-	7,500	286,388
Denis Suggs	-	-	5,813	221,969

- (1) The dates on which the executive officers had stock awards vest and the applicable fair market values on those days are as follows:
February 22, 2011 \$38.185 and August 24, 2011 \$27.625. When the vesting date falls on a trading day, the fair market value is the average of the high and low trading prices of Belden shares on that day. When the vesting date falls on a non-trading day, the fair market value is the average of (a) the average of the high and low trading prices of Belden shares on the trading day immediately preceding the vesting date and (b) the average of the high and low trading prices of Belden shares on the trading day immediately following the vesting date. Mr. Stroup acquired 33,075 shares on February 22, 2011. Mr. Benoist acquired 10,125 shares on February 22, 2011 and 9,090 shares on August 24, 2011. Mr. Kumra acquired 7,500 shares on February 22, 2011. Mr. Suggs acquired 5,813 shares on February 22, 2011.
- (2) On February 7 through February 9, 2011, Mr. Stroup engaged in broker-assisted cashless exercises of 150,000 non-qualified stock options with an exercise price of \$19.93 per share. The 150,000 shares were sold on the open market at an average price of \$39.1159 per share.

Table of Contents**PENSION BENEFITS**

Name	Plan Name ⁽¹⁾	Number of Years Credited Service	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit ⁽²⁾	Payments During Last Fiscal Year
		(#)	(\$)	(\$)
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
John Stroup	Pension Plan	6.2	208,525	-
	Excess Plan		665,516	-
Gray Benoist	Pension Plan	5.4	299,014	-
	Excess Plan		2,883	-
Christoph Gusenleitner	Pension Plan	0	-	-
	Excess Plan		-	-
Naresh Kumra⁽³⁾	Pension Plan	5.8	7,284	-
	Excess Plan		-	-
Denis Suggs	Pension Plan	4.6	169,068	-
	Excess Plan		49,461	-

- (1) Each of the named executive officers participates in the Belden Wire & Cable Company Pension Plan (Pension Plan) and the Belden Wire & Cable Company Supplemental Excess Defined Benefit Plan (Excess Plan) with the exception of Messrs. Gusenleitner and Kumra, who do not participate in the U.S. plan because they reside outside of the U.S. The Pension Plan is a cash balance plan. The account of each participant increases on an annual basis by 4% of the participant's eligible compensation up to the Social Security wage limit (\$106,800 for 2011) and by 8% of the participant's eligible compensation in excess of the Social Security wage limit up to the limit on compensation that may be taken into account by a plan qualified under the Internal Revenue Code (\$245,000 for 2011). The Excess Plan provides the benefit to the participant that would have been available under the Pension Plan if there were not a limit on compensation that may be taken into account by a plan qualified under the Internal Revenue Code. In general, eligible compensation for a participant includes base salary plus any amount earned under the annual cash incentive plan. Upon retirement, participants in the Pension Plan may elect a lump sum distribution or a variety of annuity options. Upon retirement, participants in the Excess Plan will receive a lump sum distribution.
- (2) The computation of the value of accumulated benefit for each individual incorporates a 4.25% discount rate, an interest credit rate of 4.5%, and an expected retirement age of 65.
- (3) Mr. Kumra previously participated in the Pension Plan, but is no longer participating since he is no longer living in the U.S. and is not subject to U.S. taxes and is thus, no longer eligible for the U.S. Pension Plan. Mr. Kumra does participate in a non-U.S. cash balance retirement plan.

Table of Contents**NONQUALIFIED DEFERRED COMPENSATION⁽¹⁾**

Name	Executive Contributions in Last FY	Registrant Contributions in Last FY	Aggregate Earnings in Last FY	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions	Aggregate Balance at Last FYE
	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
John Stroup	109,607	83,555	28,906	-	1,183,308
Gray Benoist	35,836	28,227	8,690	-	363,890
Christoph Gusenleitner	-	-	-	-	-
Naresh Kumra	-	-	151	-	5,859
Denis Suggs	46,081	29,206	4,363	-	201,494

- (1) Each of Messrs. Stroup, Benoist and Suggs participates in the Belden Supplemental Excess Defined Contribution Plan. Amounts reflected in column (c), but not those in column (d), have been reflected in column (i) of the Summary Compensation Table. A portion of amounts included in column (f), attributable to years prior to 2006, were not reported as compensation in such years.

Table of Contents**EMPLOYMENT, SEVERANCE AND CHANGE-IN-CONTROL ARRANGEMENTS**

The Company has written agreements with each of the named executive officers. The Compensation Committee (with the assistance of Deloitte and management) reviewed the key provisions of the executive employment agreements to ensure they were competitive, based on peer group and market survey data.

John Stroup. Mr. Stroup entered into an employment agreement with the Company, effective October 31, 2005, and it was amended and restated in 2008. The amended agreement was for a term through October 31, 2011 and automatically renews for additional one-year terms. It is subject to earlier termination based on disability, death, termination by the Company, with or without cause, and before or after a change in control of the Company. Mr. Stroup's current base salary of \$800,000 per year is subject to annual review. He is entitled to participate in the Company's long-term incentive plan, annual cash incentive plan, and all other employment benefit plans available to senior executives. His target annual cash incentive award is 130% of his base salary. In 2008, Mr. Stroup received a retention option award having a grant date value of \$3 million. The options vest in five years and were granted at the closing price of Belden shares on the grant date. Upon his appointment, Mr. Stroup received an inducement equity award of 451,580 stock options with an exercise price equal to the fair market value of Belden stock (\$19.93). The options vested in equal installments over three years and expire in ten years. Also as a part of his inducement award upon his appointment, Mr. Stroup received an award of 150,526 RSUs that vested during 2010 on the fifth anniversary of his hire. Amounts payable in the event of Mr. Stroup's separation of employment are noted below under *Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control*.

Gray Benoist. Mr. Benoist entered into an employment agreement with the Company, effective August 24, 2006, and it was amended and restated in 2008. The agreement was terminated upon Mr. Benoist's retirement in March 2012. Hypothetical amounts payable in the event of separation of Mr. Benoist's employment are noted below under *Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control*.

Christoph Gusenleitner. Mr. Gusenleitner entered into an employment agreement with the Company, effective April 1, 2010. The agreement can be terminated by the Company on six months prior notice, with an effective termination date no earlier than May 31, 2013. The agreement also is subject to earlier termination based on disability, death and retirement. Mr. Gusenleitner's base salary of \$270,000 per year (approximately \$358,530) is subject to annual review. He was entitled to a one-time sign-on bonus if still employed by the Company on April 1, 2011. Mr. Gusenleitner is entitled to participate in the Company's long-term incentive plan, annual cash incentive plan, and all other employment benefit plans available to senior executives based in Europe, including quarterly contributions to a German private pension. His target annual cash incentive award is 70% of his base salary. For 2010, the agreement guaranteed him a minimum payout of \$97,500. Upon his appointment, Mr. Gusenleitner received equity awards comparable to those he would have received had he been employed at the time of the annual award cycle in February 2010. Amounts payable in the event of Mr. Gusenleitner's separation of employment are noted below under *Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control*.

Naresh Kumra. Mr. Kumra entered into an employment agreement with the Company, effective April 1, 2010. The agreement was terminated upon Mr. Kumra leaving the company at the end of March 2012. Hypothetical amounts payable in the event of his separation of employment are noted below under *Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control*.

Denis Suggs. Mr. Suggs entered into an employment agreement with the Company, effective June 11, 2007, and it was amended and restated in 2008. The agreement's initial term is for three years and is subject to earlier termination based on disability, death, termination by the Company, with or without cause, and before or after a change in control of the Company. In connection with its renewal in 2010, his annual base salary was increased to \$450,000 and is subject to annual review. Mr. Suggs is entitled to participate in the Company's long-term incentive plan, annual cash incentive plan and all other employment benefit plans available to senior executives. His target annual cash incentive award is 70% of his base salary. Amounts payable in the event of his separation of employment are noted below under *Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control*.

Table of Contents

POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OR CHANGE-IN-CONTROL

The following discussion does not pertain to Mr. Gusenleitner, who, except in the case of a termination for cause, is entitled to remuneration through the effective termination date of his employment agreement, which can be no earlier than May 31, 2013. This discussion is hypothetical for Messrs. Benoist and Kumra, who are no longer with the Company. The remaining NEO's employment agreements with the Company provide for the potential payment of severance and other benefits upon certain terminations of employment. In addition, pursuant to the terms of the Company's equity incentive plans, upon certain termination events, each executive will be entitled to acceleration of his outstanding and unvested equity awards.

Termination not for cause prior to a change in control

Pursuant to the employment agreements, in the event a named executive officer is terminated without cause, as defined below, the executive will be entitled to receive:

- n severance payments equal to the sum of the officer's current base salary plus his annual target bonus (multiplied by 1.5 in the case of Mr. Stroup), payable in equal semi-monthly installments over a twelve-month period (eighteen months in the case of Mr. Stroup);
 - n any unpaid bonus earned with respect to any fiscal year ending on or prior to the date of termination;
 - n in the case of Messrs. Benoist and Suggs, the unvested portion of certain equity awards granted on the original date of employment, will vest on the date of termination; and
 - n continued participation in the Company's medical and dental plans for twelve months (eighteen months for Mr. Stroup).
- Pursuant to the employment agreements, cause is defined to include the officer's:

- n willful and continued failure to perform his duties following appropriate opportunities to cure the deficiencies;
- n conviction of a felony or any crime involving moral turpitude;
- n lack of authority to enter the employment agreement without violating another agreement to which officer was a party; and
- n gross misconduct in the performance of his employment duties.

Termination not for cause by the Company or for good reason by the officer after a change in control

Each employment agreement provides that if, within two years following a change in control, as defined below, the officer is terminated without cause or resigns for good reason, the officer will be entitled to receive:

- n severance payments equal to the sum of the officer's current base salary plus his annual target bonus multiplied by two, payable in equal semi-monthly installments over a 24-month period;
- n any unpaid bonus earned with respect to any fiscal year ending on or prior to the date of termination;
- n unvested equity awards vest upon the change in control;
- n continued participation in the Company's medical and dental plans for 24 months; and
- n if necessary, a gross-up payment to cover the officer's excise tax liability under IRC Section 280G where the present value of his payments is more than 110% of the threshold at which such amounts become an excess parachute payment under IRC Section 280G. Starting in 2010, this gross-up feature was not offered to new executive officers.

A change in control of the Company generally will occur when a person acquires more than 50% of the outstanding shares of the Company's stock or a majority of the Board consists of individuals who were not approved by the Board. Upon a change in control in the Company, the named executive officers will have the right for a period of two years to leave the Company for good reason and receive the amounts set out above should the scope of their employment with the Company negatively and materially change.

Table of Contents

Death/Disability

The Company provides long-term disability coverage and life insurance coverage for the executive officers on terms consistent with and generally available to all salaried employees. Upon the officer's death or disability, the officer, or the officer's heirs will be entitled to receive:

- n any unpaid bonus earned with respect to any fiscal year ending on or prior to the date of termination; and

- n unvested equity awards vest immediately.

Retirement

Under the Company's equity plans, an employee who has reached the age of 55 can voluntarily retire from the Company with the result that all unvested equity awards that were granted at least one year prior to the retirement date (longer for portions of certain multi-year grants) shall immediately vest in full and any options or stock appreciation rights are eligible for exercise for the shorter of three years or the original term of the award. Messrs. Stroup, Gusenleitner, Kumra and Suggs are not currently eligible for retirement.

Estimate of Payments

The estimated payments owed to each officer upon the various termination events are based on the following assumptions and/or exclusions:

- n it is assumed that each triggering event occurred on December 31, 2011 and that the value of our common stock was the closing market price of our stock on that date, or \$33.28 (in the case of Termination not for cause by the Company or for good reason by the officer after a change in control, it is assumed that the change in control and the termination both occurred on December 31, 2011);
- n the payments do not include any amounts earned and owed to the officer as of the termination date, such as salary earned to date, unreimbursed expenses or benefits generally available to all employees of the Company on a non-discriminatory basis (the 2011 Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation is included based on the technical requirement that an employee must be employed on January 1, 2012 to earn the 2011 bonus. The officers' employment agreements would entitle them to receive the 2011 bonus even if termination occurred on December 31, 2011);
- n the payments include only additional benefits that result from termination and do not include any amounts or benefits earned, vested, accrued or owing under any plan. See *Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End*, *Pension Benefits* and *Nonqualified Deferred Compensation*; and
- n in performing calculations for determining whether a Section 280G gross-up payment was applicable, no reductions were made to the hypothetical severance amounts to allocate amounts as reasonable compensation or to a non-competition agreement. The values placed on the acceleration of previously unvested equity awards were consistent with the regulations set out under Section 280G and the methodology was consistent with our standard practices for determining fair value of equity awards for our financial statements. Section 280G is not applicable to Messrs. Gusenleitner and Kumra as they are not U.S. citizens and do not reside in the U.S.

Table of Contents

		Accelerated Vesting of					
		2011 Non-	Equity Value				
	Aggregate	Equity Incentive Plan	Restricted Stock	Options/	Welfare	Excise Tax	
Name	Severance	Compensation	Units	SARs	Benefits	Gross-up	Total
John Stroup							
Termination not for cause prior to a change in control	\$ 2,760,000	\$ 1,050,000	-	-	\$ 18,153	-	\$ 3,828,153
Termination not for cause by the Company or for good reason by the officer after a change in control	\$ 3,680,000	\$ 1,050,000	\$ 3,514,138	\$ 2,411,810	\$ 24,204	-	\$ 10,680,152
Death/Disability	-	\$ 1,050,000	\$ 3,514,138	\$ 2,411,810	-	-	\$ 6,975,948
Retirement	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gray Benoist							
Termination not for cause prior to a change in control	\$ 795,500	\$ 376,100	-	-	-	-	\$ 1,171,600
Termination not for cause by the Company or for good reason by the officer after a change in control	\$ 1,591,000	\$ 376,100	\$ 1,109,049	\$ 759,691	-	-	\$ 3,835,840
Death/Disability	-	\$ 376,100	\$ 1,109,049	\$ 759,691	-	-	\$ 2,244,840
Retirement	-	-	\$ 725,029	\$ 759,691	-	-	\$ 1,484,721
Christoph Gusenleitner							
Termination not for cause prior to a change in control	\$ 732,795	\$ 289,112	-	-	-	-	\$ 1,021,907
Termination not for cause by the Company or for good reason by the officer after a change in control	\$ 732,795	\$ 289,112	\$ 389,940	\$ 93,511	-	-	\$ 1,505,358
Death/Disability	-	\$ 289,112	\$ 389,940	\$ 93,511	-	-	\$ 772,563
Retirement	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Naresh Kumra							
Termination not for cause prior to a change in control	\$ 649,106	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 649,106
Termination not for cause by the Company or for good reason by the officer after a change in control	\$ 1,298,212	-	\$ 661,576	\$ 590,872	-	-	\$ 2,550,660
Death/Disability	-	-	\$ 661,576	\$ 590,872	-	-	\$ 1,252,448
Retirement	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Denis Suggs							
Termination not for cause prior to a change in control	\$ 803,250	\$ 400,900	\$ 247,805	-	\$ 12,548	-	\$ 1,464,503
Termination not for cause by the Company or for good reason by the officer after a change in control	\$ 1,606,500	\$ 400,900	\$ 714,933	\$ 614,197	\$ 25,096	\$ 681,363	\$ 4,042,989
Death/Disability	-	\$ 400,900	\$ 714,933	\$ 614,197	-	-	\$ 1,730,030
Retirement	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table of Contents

ITEM III ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The Dodd-Frank Act requires that we include in this proxy statement a non-binding stockholder vote on our executive compensation as described in this proxy statement (commonly referred to as Say-on-Pay).

We encourage stockholders to review the Compensation Discussion and Analysis on pages 19 to 34 and the tabular disclosure that follows it. We believe that our compensation policies and procedures are competitive, are focused on pay for performance principles and are strongly aligned with the long-term interests of our stockholders. Our executive compensation philosophy is based on the belief that the compensation of our employees should be set at levels that allow us to attract and retain employees who are committed to achieving high performance and who demonstrate the ability to do so. We seek to provide an executive compensation package that is driven by our overall financial performance, our increased stockholder value, the success of areas of our business directly impacted by the executive s performance, and the performance of the individual executive. We view our compensation program as a strategic tool that supports the successful execution of our business strategy and reinforces a performance-based culture. The Company employs an executive compensation program for our senior executives that emphasizes long-term compensation over short-term, with a significant portion weighted toward equity awards. This approach strongly aligns our senior executive compensation with that of our stockholders. We believe that there is a direct correlation between the performance of Belden and the compensation our senior executives receive. We also believe that our annual compensation disclosure is reflective of this correlation and is transparent and helpful to stockholders.

The Say-on-Pay resolution discussed below gives stockholders the opportunity to endorse or not endorse the compensation that we pay to our named executive officers by voting to approve or not approve such compensation as described in this proxy statement.

The Board strongly endorses the Company s executive compensation program and recommends that the stockholders vote in favor of the following resolution:

RESOLVED, that the compensation paid to the Company s named executive officers, as disclosed pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and narrative discussion, is hereby APPROVED.

Because the vote is advisory, it will not be binding upon the Board or the Compensation Committee and neither the Board nor the Compensation Committee will be required to take any action as a result of the outcome of the vote on this proposal. The Compensation Committee will carefully consider the outcome of the vote when considering future executive compensation arrangements.

THE BELDEN BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE APPROVAL OF THE COMPANY S EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.

OTHER MATTERS

The Company knows of no other matters that will be brought before the annual meeting. If other matters are introduced, the persons named in the proxy as the proxy holders will vote on such matters in their discretion.

Table of Contents

STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR THE 2013 ANNUAL MEETING

You may submit proposals for consideration at future stockholder meetings, including director nominations.

Stockholder Proposals: To be included in the Company's proxy statement and form of proxy for the 2013 annual meeting, a stockholder proposal must, in addition to satisfying the other requirements of the Company's bylaws and the SEC's rules and regulations, be received at the Company's principal executive offices by December 11, 2012. If you want the Company to consider a proposal at the 2013 annual meeting that will not be included in the Company's proxy statement, among other things, the Company's bylaws require that you notify our Board of your proposal no earlier than January 30, 2013 and no later than March 1, 2013.

Nomination of Director Candidates: The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider nominees recommended by stockholders if such nominations are submitted to the Company prior to the deadline for proposals to be included in future proxy statements as noted in the above paragraph. To have a candidate considered by the Committee, a stockholder must submit the recommendation in writing and must include the following information:

The name of the stockholder and evidence of the person's ownership of Company stock, including the number of shares owned (whether direct ownership or derivative ownership) and the length of time of ownership; and

The name of the candidate, the candidate's resume or a listing of his or her qualifications to be a director of Belden, the candidate's ownership interest in the Company (if any), a description of any arrangements between the candidate and the nominating stockholder, and the person's consent to be named as a director if selected by the Committee and nominated by the Board.

In considering candidates submitted by stockholders, the Committee will take into consideration the needs of the Board and the qualifications of the candidate. The Committee may also take into consideration the number of shares held by the recommending stockholder and the length of time that such shares have been held. The Committee believes that the minimum qualifications for serving as a director of the Company are that a nominee demonstrate, by significant accomplishment in his or her field, an ability to make a meaningful contribution to the Board's oversight of the business and affairs of the Company and have an impeccable record and reputation for honest and ethical conduct in both his or her professional and personal activities. In addition, the Committee examines a candidate's specific experiences and skills, time availability in light of other commitments, potential conflicts of interest, and independence from management and Belden. The Committee also seeks to have the Board represent a diversity of backgrounds and experience.

The Committee will identify potential nominees by asking current directors and executive officers to notify the Committee if they become aware of persons, meeting the criteria described above, who have had a change in circumstances that might make them available to serve on the Board. The Committee also, from time to time, may engage firms that specialize in identifying director candidates. As described above, the Committee will also consider candidates recommended by stockholders.

Once a person has been identified by the Committee as a potential candidate, the Committee may collect and review publicly available information regarding the person to assess whether the person should be considered further. If the Committee determines that the candidate warrants further consideration, the Chairman or another member of the Committee may contact the person. Generally, if the person expresses a willingness to be considered and to serve on the Board, the Committee will request information from the candidate, review the person's accomplishments and qualifications, and conduct one or more interviews with the candidate. In certain instances, Committee members may contact one or more references provided by the candidate or may contact other members of the business community or other persons that may have greater first-hand knowledge of the candidate's accomplishments. The Committee's evaluation process will not vary based on whether or not a candidate is recommended by a stockholder, although, as stated above, the Board may take into consideration the number of shares held by the recommending stockholder and the length of time that such shares have been held.

Table of Contents**APPENDIX I**

The performance factors applicable to the NEOs, along with the respective threshold, target and actual performance levels and the respective financial factor scores, are illustrated below (income numbers are shown in thousands):

Category	2011 ACIP			Score
	Threshold	Target	Actual	
Consolidated Net Income from Continuing Operations (\$)	90,240	112,800	115,253	1.05
Consolidated Operating Income (\$)	163,680	204,600	187,006	0.79
Consolidated Organic Growth	3.65%	7.30%	8.50%	1.16
Consolidated Operating Working Capital Turns	7.6	8.1	7.7	0.60
EMEA Operating Income ()	61,900	77,400	75,271	0.93
EMEA Organic Growth	3.00%	6.00%	8.90%	1.48
EMEA Operating Working Capital Turns	5.5	6.0	5.6	0.60
Asia Pacific Operating Income (\$)	35,000	43,800	33,533	0.00
Asia Pacific Organic Growth	5.65%	11.30%	0.20%	0.00
Americas Operating Income (\$)	152,560	190,700	182,736	0.90
Americas Organic Growth	4.50%	9.00%	11.40%	1.27
Americas Operating Working Capital Turns	6.5	7.0	7.3	1.30
<i>Performance Factor Definitions</i>				

Net Income from Continuing Operations is consolidated revenues, less cost of sales, less selling, general and administrative expenses (SG&A), less interest expense, plus interest income, plus other income, less other expense, less tax expense, and less any loss from discontinued operations.

Operating Income is revenues, less cost of sales, less SG&A expenses, whether on a consolidated basis or of the applicable business unit (i.e., EMEA for Mr. Gusenleitner, Asia Pacific with respect to Mr. Kumra and Americas with respect to Mr. Suggs).

Organic Growth is the change in consolidated revenues from the prior year excluding the impact of acquisitions, divestitures, foreign currency exchange and certain commodity price movements.

Operating Working Capital Turns are based on a monthly average of working capital turns during the applicable performance period and for each individual month were computed based on a ratio calculated at the end of the month of (i) annualized actual cost of goods sold for the prior two months and the current month to (ii) operating working capital at the end of the month.

Inventory Turns are based on a monthly average of inventory turns during the applicable performance period and for each individual month were computed based on a ratio calculated at the end of the month of (i) annualized actual cost of goods sold for the prior two months and the current month to (ii) inventory at the end of the month.

Table of Contents

Below is a summary of the applicable performance factors and weighting percentages for each NEO and a calculation of each NEO's applicable Financial Factor (rounded to two decimal places):

Messrs. Stroup and Benoist				
Category	Score	Weighting	Contribution to Financial Factor	
Consolidated Net Income from Continuing Operations	1.05	50%	0.53	
Consolidated Operating Income	0.79	20%	0.16	
Consolidated Organic Growth	1.16	20%	0.23	
Consolidated Operating Working Capital Turns	0.60	10%	0.06	
Consolidated Financial Factor			0.98	

Mr. Gusenleitner				
Category	Score	Weighting	Contribution to Financial Factor	
EMEA Operating Income	0.93	35%	0.33	
EMEA Organic Growth	1.48	7.5%	0.11	
EMEA Operating Working Capital Turns	0.60	7.5%	0.05	
Consolidated Financial Factor	0.98	50%	0.49	
EMEA Financial Factor			0.98	

Mr. Kumra				
Category	Score	Weighting	Contribution to Financial Factor	
Asia Pacific Operating Income	0.00	40%	0.00	
Asia Pacific Organic Growth	0.00	10%	0.00	
Consolidated Financial Factor	0.98	50%	0.49	
Asia Pacific Financial Factor			0.49	

Mr. Suggs				
Category	Score	Weighting	Contribution to Financial Factor	
Americas Operating Income	0.90	35%	0.32	
Americas Organic Growth	1.27	7.5%	0.10	
Americas Operating Working Capital Turns	1.30	7.5%	0.10	
Consolidated Financial Factor	0.98	50%	0.49	
Americas Financial Factor			1.01	

Table of Contents

***** Exercise Your *Right* to Vote *****

**Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the
Shareholder Meeting to Be Held on May 30, 2012.**

BELDEN INC.

Meeting Information

Meeting Type: Annual Meeting
For holders as of: April 2, 2012

Date: May 30, 2012 **Time:** 11:00 AM
CDT

Location: Saint Louis Club, Pierre Laclède Center
The Lewis & Clark Room
16th Floor 7701 Forsyth Blvd.

St. Louis, MO 63105

BELDEN INC.

7733 FORSYTH BLVD., SUITE 800

ST. LOUIS, MO 63105

You are receiving this communication because you hold shares in the above named company.

This is not a ballot. You cannot use this notice to vote these shares. This communication presents only an overview of the more complete proxy materials that are available to you on the Internet. You may view the proxy materials online at www.proxyvote.com or easily request a paper copy (see reverse side).

We encourage you to access and review all of the important information contained in the proxy materials before voting.

See the reverse side of this notice to obtain proxy materials and voting instructions.

Table of Contents

Before You Vote

How to Access the Proxy Materials

Proxy Materials Available to VIEW or RECEIVE:

NOTICE AND PROXY STATEMENT ANNUAL REPORT

How to View Online:

Have the information that is printed in the box marked by the arrow (located on the following page) and visit: www.proxyvote.com.

How to Request and Receive a PAPER or E-MAIL Copy:

If you want to receive a paper or e-mail copy of these documents, you must request one. There is NO charge for requesting a copy. Please choose one of the following methods to make your request:

- 1) *BY INTERNET:* www.proxyvote.com
- 2) *BY TELEPHONE:* 1-800-579-1639
- 3) *BY E-MAIL*:* sendmaterial@proxyvote.com

* If requesting materials by e-mail, please send a blank e-mail with the information that is printed in the box marked by the arrow (located on the following page) in the subject line.

Requests, instructions and other inquiries sent to this e-mail address will NOT be forwarded to your investment advisor. Please make the request as instructed above on or before May 16, 2012 to facilitate timely delivery.

How To Vote

Please Choose One of the Following Voting Methods

Vote In Person: Many shareholder meetings have attendance requirements including, but not limited to, the possession of an attendance ticket issued by the entity holding the meeting. Please check the meeting materials for any special requirements for meeting attendance. At the meeting, you will need to request a ballot to vote these shares.

Vote By Internet: To vote now by Internet, go to www.proxyvote.com. Have the information that is printed in the box marked by the arrow available and follow the instructions.

Vote By Mail: You can vote by mail by requesting a paper copy of the materials, which will include a proxy card.

Table of Contents

Voting Items

The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR the following:

- | | |
|--|--------------------|
| 1. To elect nine directors, each for a term of one year. | |
| 01) David Aldrich | 06) George Minnich |
| 02) Lance C. Balk | 07) John M. Monter |
| 03) Judy L. Brown | 08) John S. Stroup |
| 04) Bryan C. Cressey | 09) Dean Yoost |
| 05) Glenn Kalnasy | |

The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR the following proposals:

2. To ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for 2012.
3. Advisory vote to approve named executive officer compensation.

To act upon such other business as may properly come before the meeting.

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

BELDEN INC.

7733 FORSYTH BLVD., SUITE 800

ST. LOUIS, MO 63105

INSTRUCTIONS FOR VOTING YOUR PROXY

Belden Inc. encourages you to take advantage of a cost-effective, convenient way to vote the shares. You may vote your proxy 24 hours a day, 7 days a week using either a touch-tone telephone or the Internet. Your telephone or Internet vote must be received no later than 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on May 29, 2012, and authorizes the proxies named on the proxy card on the reverse side to vote these shares in the same manner as if you marked, signed and returned your proxy card. If you vote by telephone or Internet, do not return your proxy card by mail.

VOTE BY PHONE - 1-800-690-6903

Use any touch-tone telephone to transmit your voting instructions up until 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time the day before the cut-off date or meeting date. Have your proxy card in hand when you call and then follow the instructions.

VOTE BY INTERNET - www.proxyvote.com

Use the Internet to transmit your voting instructions and for electronic delivery of information up until 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time the day before the cut-off date or meeting date. Have your proxy card in hand when you access the web site and follow the instructions to obtain your records and to create an electronic voting instruction form.

VOTE BY MAIL

Mark, sign and date your proxy card and return it in the postage-paid envelope we have provided or return it to Belden Inc., c/o Broadridge, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, NY11717.

TO VOTE, MARK BLOCKS BELOW IN BLUE OR BLACK INK AS FOLLOWS:

M45136-P20440 KEEP THIS PORTION FOR YOUR RECORDS

Edgar Filing: BELDEN INC. - Form DEF 14A

DETACH AND RETURN THIS PORTION ONLY

THIS PROXY CARD IS VALID ONLY WHEN SIGNED AND DATED.

BELDEN INC.

The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR the following:

For All **Withhold All** **For All Except**

To withhold authority to vote for any individual nominee(s), mark **For All Except** and write the number(s) of the nominee(s) on the line below.

1. To elect nine directors, each for a term of one year.

- | | |
|----------------------|--------------------|
| 01) David Aldrich | 06) George Minnich |
| 02) Lance C. Balk | 07) John M. Monter |
| 03) Judy L. Brown | 08) John S. Stroup |
| 04) Bryan C. Cressey | 09) Dean Yoost |
| 05) Glenn Kalnasy | |

The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR the following proposals:

For **Against** **Abstain**

2. To ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for 2012.

..

3. Advisory vote to approve named executive officer compensation.

..

To act upon such other business as may properly come before the meeting.

(Please sign exactly as name appears on your proxy card. Joint owners should each sign. When signing as attorney, executor, administrator, trustee or guardian, please give full title as such.)

PLEASE MARK, SIGN, DATE AND RETURN THIS PROXY CARD PROMPTLY USING THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE.

Yes **No**

Please indicate if you plan to attend this meeting.

.. ..

.

Signature [PLEASE SIGN WITHIN BOX]

Date

Signature (Joint Owners)

Date

Table of Contents

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting:

The Notice and Proxy Statement and Annual Report are available at www.proxyvote.com.

M45137-P20440

PROXY

BELDEN INC.

PROXY FOR ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

MAY 30, 2012

SOLICITED ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The undersigned stockholder of Belden Inc. appoints Kevin L. Bloomfield and Christopher E. Allen, as proxies, acting jointly or severally and with full power of substitution, for and in the name of the undersigned to vote at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 30, 2012, beginning at 11:00 a.m., local time, at the Lewis & Clark Room, 16th Floor, the Saint Louis Club, Pierre Laclède Center, 7701 Forsyth Blvd., St. Louis, Missouri 63105 and at any adjournments or postponements thereof, as directed, on the matters set forth in the accompanying Proxy Statement and on all other matters that may properly come before the Annual Meeting, including on a motion to adjourn or postpone the Annual Meeting to another time or place (or both) for the purpose of soliciting additional proxies.

Signing and dating this proxy card will have the effect of revoking any proxy card that you signed on an earlier date, and will constitute a revocation of all previously granted authority to vote for every proposal included on any proxy card.

THIS PROXY CARD, WHEN PROPERLY EXECUTED, WILL BE VOTED IN THE MANNER DIRECTED HEREIN. IF NO CHOICE IS SPECIFIED AND THE PROXY IS SIGNED AND RETURNED, THEN THE PROXY WILL BE VOTED ON THE PROPOSALS CONSISTENT WITH THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND IN THE DISCRETION OF THE PROXIES ON ANY OTHER MATTERS AS MAY PROPERLY COME BEFORE THE ANNUAL MEETING.

Receipt is hereby acknowledged of the Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Proxy Statement, each dated April 10, 2012, and the Annual Report to Stockholders for the year ended December 31, 2011.

SEE REVERSE SIDE