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## PART I

## Item 1. Business.

Office Depot, Inc. is a global supplier of office products and services. The company was incorporated in Delaware in 1986 with the opening of our first retail store in Fort Lauderdale, Florida. In fiscal year 2010, we sold $\$ 11.6$ billion of products and services to consumers and businesses of all sizes through our three business segments: North American Retail Division, North American Business Solutions Division and International Division. Sales are processed through multiple channels, consisting of office supply stores, a contract sales force, an outbound telephone account management sales force, internet sites, direct marketing catalogs and call centers, all supported by our network of supply chain facilities and delivery operations.

Additional information regarding our business segments is presented below and in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations ( MD\&A ) and, along with financial information about geographic areas, in Note O Segment Information of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements located elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

## North American Retail Division

Our North American Retail Division sells a broad assortment of merchandise through our chain of office supply stores in the U.S. and Canada. We currently offer general office supplies, computer supplies, business machines and related supplies, and office furniture from national brands as well as our own private brands. See the Merchandising section below for additional product information. Most stores also contain a Copy \& Print Depot ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ offering printing, reproduction, mailing, shipping, and other services. Also, we maintain nationwide availability of a PC support and network installation service that provides our customers with in-home, in-office and in-store support for their technology needs.

Our retail stores are designed to provide a positive shopping experience for the customer. We strive to optimize visual presentation, product placement, shelf capacity and in-stock positions. Our goal is to maintain sufficient inventory in the stores to satisfy current and near-term customer needs, while controlling the overall working capital invested in inventory.

We generally lease our retail facilities and target locations of approximately 20,000 to 15,000 square feet, depending on the needs in the local market. In recent years we have remodeled many of the stores in our portfolio and lowered the square footage to be within this target range.

At the end of 2010, our North American Retail Division operated 1,147 office supply stores throughout the U.S. and Canada. The largest concentration of our retail stores is in California, Texas and Florida, but we have broad representation across North America. The count of open stores may include locations temporarily closed for remodels or other factors. Store opening and closing activity for the last three years has been as follows:

|  | Open at |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | Open at |  |  |  |
|  | Beginning |  |  | End |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | of Period | Opened | Closed | of Period | Relocated |  |
| 2008 | 1,222 | 59 | 14 | 1,267 | 7 |  |
| 2009 | 1,267 | 6 | 121 | 1,152 | 6 |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |  |

The number of store closings in 2009 followed a strategic review of Division operations as discussed in MD\&A.
We currently plan to add approximately 10 new retail stores in North America in 2011. Also, we will continue to evaluate locations as leases become due and will close or relocate stores when appropriate.
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In recent years we have consolidated our supply chain network to utilize existing distribution centers ( DCs ) to meet the needs of both our retail stores and our North American Business Solutions customers. See the North American supply chain discussion below for additional information.

## North American Business Solutions Division

Our North American Business Solutions Division sells nationally branded and private brand office supplies, technology products, furniture and services by means of a dedicated sales force, through catalogs and electronically through our internet sites. We strive to ensure that our customers needs are satisfied through various channel offerings. Our direct business is tailored to serve small- to medium-sized customers. Our direct customers can order products from our catalogs, by phone or through our public web sites (www.officedepot.com), including our public web site devoted to technology products (www.techdepot.com).

Our contract business employs a dedicated sales force that services the office supply needs of predominantly medium-sized to large customers. We believe sales representatives contribute to customer loyalty by building relationships with customers and providing information, business tools and problem-solving solutions to them. We offer contract customers the convenience of shopping on dedicated web sites and in our retail locations, while honoring their contract pricing in lieu of retail pricing. We also use telephone account management for outbound sales contacts with our customers. Sales made at retail locations to our contract customers are included in the results of our North American Retail Division.

Part of our contract business is with various local, state and national governmental agencies. We also enter into agreements with consortiums of governmental and non-profit entities for non-exclusive buying arrangements. One such agreement that had been in place since 2006 expired on January 1, 2011. We have worked to retain many of the customers that previously purchased under that arrangement, and we have other non-exclusive purchasing cooperative arrangements in place that serve many of those customers and markets.

## North American Supply Chain

The company operates a network of DCs and crossdock facilities across the United States. In prior years, retail stores were largely replenished through our crossdock flow-through facilities where bulk merchandise was sorted for distribution and shipped to the requesting stores about three times per week. Based on our supply chain consolidation, we closed six crossdock facilities in 2009 and another three during 2010. The crossdock function has been transitioned to the existing DCs within the same market. These combination facilities, which share real estate, technology, labor costs and inventory, satisfy the needs of both retail stores and delivery customers. Costs are allocated to the North American Retail Division and North American Business Solutions Division based on the relative services provided. Benefits of this consolidation include improved inventory management as well as improved service.

Crossdock facility opening and closing activity for the last three years has been as follows:

|  | Open at |  |  | Open at <br> End |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Beginning |  |  |  |
|  | of Period | Opened | Closed | of Period |
| 2008 | 12 |  |  | 12 |
| 2009 | 12 |  | 6 | 6 |
| 2010 | 6 |  | 3 | 3 |

## Table of Contents

DC opening and closing activity for the last three years has been as follows:

|  | Open at |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Open at |
|  | Beginning | Opened/ | Closed/ | End |
|  | of Period | Acquired | Sold | of Period |
| 2008 | 21 |  | 1 | 20 |
| 2009 | 20 |  | 5 | $15^{(1)}$ |
| 2010 | 15 | 1 | 3 | $13{ }^{(1)}$ |

${ }^{(1)} 8$ of the 13 DCs as of December 25,2010, and 5 of the 15 DCs as of December 26, 2009, were operated as combination facilities. Inventory is held in our DCs at levels we believe sufficient to meet current and anticipated customer needs. We utilize processes to evaluate the appropriate timing and quantity of reordering with the objective of controlling our investment in inventory, while at the same time ensuring customer satisfaction. Certain purchases are sent directly from the manufacturer to our customers. Some supply chain facilities and some retail locations also house sales offices and administrative offices supporting our contract business. We have outsourced our inbound call center activities; however, in-house staff manages what we consider to be the most critical points of customer interaction.

The majority of our out-bound delivery is currently provided by third-party carriers.

Because sales and marketing efforts and catalog production have similarities between the North American Business Solutions Division and the International Division, those topics are addressed separately after the three segment discussions, though they are integral to understanding the processes and management of these Divisions. Also, the Merchandising section below provides additional product information.

## International Division

As of December 25, 2010, Office Depot sold to customers in 53 countries throughout North America, Europe, Asia and Latin America. We operate wholly-owned entities, majority-owned entities or participate in other ventures covering 41 countries and have alliances in an additional 12 countries. The Merchandising section below provides information on product offerings throughout the company. International operations are managed on a geographic basis, and the International Division operates separate regional headquarters for Europe/Middle East (The Netherlands), Asia (Hong Kong) and Latin America (South Florida).

Our International Division sells office products and services through direct mail catalogs, contract sales forces, internet sites and retail stores, using a mix of company-owned operations, joint ventures, licensing and franchise agreements, alliances and other arrangements. We maintain DCs and call centers throughout Europe and Asia to support these operations. Currently, we have catalog offerings in 13 countries outside of North America and operate more than 40 separate web sites in the International Division. At the end of 2010, the International Division operated, through wholly-owned or majority-owned entities, 97 retail stores in France, Hungary, South Korea and Sweden. In addition, we participate under licensing and merchandise arrangements in South Korea, Thailand, India, Israel, Japan and the Middle East. Following a strategic review of the business in late 2008, we closed our retail operations in Japan during 2009. During 2010, we sold the remaining operating entity in Japan as well as the operating entity in Israel and entered into Office Depot licensing agreements with the respective buyers for continued presence in those markets.

Since 1994, we have participated in a joint venture selling office products and services in Mexico and Central and South America. In recent years, this venture, Office Depot de Mexico, has grown in size and scope and now includes 215 retail locations in Mexico, Colombia, Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, and Panama, as well as call centers and DCs to support the delivery business in certain areas. We provide services to the venture through management consultation, product selection, product sourcing and information technology
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services. Because we participate equally in this business with a partner, we account for the activity under the equity method and venture sales of approximately $\$ 962$ million in 2010 are neither reflected in our revenues nor in our consolidated retail comparable store statistics. Our portion of venture results is included in Miscellaneous income, net in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

During 2010, we entered into an amended shareholders agreement related to our venture in India such that control and ownership is now equally shared. Accordingly, we deconsolidated the assets and liabilities of this entity from the year end balance sheet and will account for this investment under the equity method in future periods.

Including company-owned operations, joint ventures, licensing and franchise agreements we sell office products through 455 retail stores outside the U.S. and Canada.

International Division store and DC operations are summarized below (includes only wholly-owned and majority-owned entities):

|  | Office Supply Stores |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Open } \\ & \text { at } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Open at |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Beginning |  |  |  |
|  |  | Opened/ | Closed/ | End |
|  | of |  |  |  |
|  | Period | Acquired | Deconsolidated | of Period |
| 2008 | 148 | $15^{(1)}$ | 1 | 162 |
| 2009 | 162 | 4 | 29 | 137 |
| 2010 | 137 | 7 | $47^{(2)}$ | 97 |

(1) Includes 13 retail stores obtained in the acquisition of the business in Sweden.
(2) 45 of these stores relate to the deconsolidation of Office Depot Israel.

|  | Distribution Centers |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Open } \\ & \text { at } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Open at |
|  | Beginning |  |  |  |
|  |  | Opened/ | Closed/ | End |
|  | of |  |  |  |
|  | Period | Acquired | Deconsolidated | of Period |
| 2008 | 33 | $19^{(3)}$ | 9 | 43 |
| 2009 | 43 | 1 | 5 | 39 |
| 2010 | 39 | 1 | $14^{(4)}$ | 26 |

(3) Includes 12 DCs obtained in the acquisition of the business in India and four DCs obtained in the acquisition of the business in Sweden. The majority of the DCs obtained in the 2008 acquisitions are smaller in size than those in other geographies.
(4) 10 of these locations relate to the deconsolidation of Office Depot India.

## Merchandising

Our merchandising strategy is to meet our customers needs by offering a broad selection of nationally branded office products, as well as private brand products and services. Our selection of private brand products has increased in breadth and level of sophistication over time. We currently offer general office supplies, computer supplies, business machines and related supplies, and office furniture under various labels, including Office Depot ${ }^{\circledR}$, Viking Office Products ${ }^{\circledR}$, Foray ${ }^{\circledR}$, Ativa ${ }^{\circledR}$, Break Escapes , Niceday and Worklife .
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Total company sales by product group were as follows:

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 2009 | $2008^{*}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Supplies | $\mathbf{6 5 . 8 \%}$ | $66.2 \%$ | $63.2 \%$ |
| Technology | $\mathbf{2 2 . 6 \%}$ | $21.9 \%$ | $23.9 \%$ |
| Furniture and other | $\mathbf{1 1 . 6 \%}$ | $11.9 \%$ | $12.9 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

* Conformed to current year product classification.

We classify our products into three categories: (1) supplies, (2) technology, and (3) furniture and other. The supplies category includes products such as paper, binders, writing instruments, school supplies, and ink and toner. The technology category includes products such as desktop and laptop computers, monitors, printers, cables, software, digital cameras, telephones, and wireless communications products. The furniture and other category includes products such as desks, chairs, luggage, sales in our copy and print centers, and other miscellaneous items.

We buy substantially all of our merchandise directly from manufacturers and other primary suppliers, including direct sourcing of private brand products from domestic and offshore sources. We also enter into arrangements with vendors that can lower our unit product costs if certain volume thresholds or other criteria are met. For additional discussion regarding these arrangements, see the Critical Accounting Policies section of MD\&A.

We operate separate merchandising functions in North America, Europe and Asia as well as in our joint ventures. Each group is responsible for selecting, purchasing and pricing merchandise as well as managing the product life cycle of our inventory. In recent years, we have increasingly used global offerings across all regions to further reduce our product cost while maintaining product quality.

We operate global sourcing offices in Shenzhen and Hangzhou, China, which allows us to take more direct control of our product sourcing, logistics and quality assurance. These offices consolidate our purchasing power with Asian factories and, in turn, helps us to increase the scope of our private brand offerings.

## Sales and Marketing

Our marketing programs are designed to attract new customers and to drive frequency of customer visits to our stores and web sites. We regularly advertise in major newspapers in most of our North American markets. We also advertise through local and national radio, network and cable television advertising campaigns, and direct marketing efforts, such as the internet and social networking.

We offer customer loyalty programs that provide customers with rewards that can be applied against future Office Depot purchases or other incentives. These programs have provided us with valuable information enabling us to market more effectively to our customers. These programs may change in popularity in the future, and we may make alterations to them from time to time.

We perform periodic competitive pricing analyses to monitor each market, and prices are adjusted as necessary to adhere to our pricing philosophy and further our competitive positioning. We generally expect our everyday prices to be highly competitive with other resellers of office products.

We acquire new customers by selectively mailing specially designed catalogs and by making on-premises sales calls to prospective customers. We also make outbound sales calls using dedicated agents through our telephone account management program. We obtain the names of prospective customers in new and existing markets through the purchase of selected lists from outside marketing information services and other sources as well as through the use of a proprietary mailing list system. We also acquire customers through e-mail marketing
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campaigns and online affiliates. We are a primary sponsor of NASCAR ${ }^{\circledR}$ and are currently designated NASCAR ${ }^{\circledR}$ s official office products partner. No single customer in any of our segments accounts for more than $10 \%$ of our total sales.

We consider our business to be only somewhat seasonal, with sales generally trending lower in the second quarter, following the back-to-business sales cycle in the first quarter and preceding the back-to-school sales cycle in the third quarter and the holiday sales cycle in the fourth quarter. Certain working capital components may build and recede during the year reflecting established selling cycles. Business cycles can and have impacted our operations and financial position when compared to other periods.

## Catalogs

We use catalogs to market directly to both existing and prospective customers throughout our operations globally. Our catalog offerings typically include all of our products at their regular prices. Prospecting catalogs with special offers designed to attract new customers are mailed at certain intervals. In addition, specialty and promotional catalogs may be delivered more frequently to selected customers. We also produce a Green Book ${ }^{\circledR}$ catalog, which features products that are recyclable, energy efficient, or otherwise have a reduced impact on the environment. We continually evaluate our catalog offerings for efficiency and effectiveness at generating incremental revenues.

## Copy and Print

Most of our North American retail stores contain a Copy \& Print Depot ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ offering printing, reproduction, mailing, shipping, and other services. This includes Xerox Certified Print Specialist associates to assist with digital imaging and printing and shipping services through UPS and the U.S. Postal Service. In addition to the in-store locations, we operate ten regional print facilities, which support copy and print orders taken in our North American Retail and North American Business Solutions Divisions. We also offer copy and print services to our customers in Europe through our e-commerce business.

## Intellectual Property

We hold trademark registrations domestically and worldwide and have numerous other applications pending worldwide for the names Office Depot , Viking , Ativa , Foray , Realspace , and others. We consider the trademark for the Office Depot name the most significant trademark b by us because of its impact on market awareness across all of our businesses and on customers identification with us. As with all domestic trademarks, our trademark registrations in the United States are for a ten year period and are renewable every ten years, prior to their respective expirations, as long as the trademarks are used in the regular course of trade.

## Industry and Competition

We operate in a highly competitive environment in all three of our segments. We believe that we compete favorably on the basis of price, service, relationships and selection. We compete with office supply stores, wholesale clubs, discount stores, mass merchandisers, food and drug stores, computer and electronics superstores, internet-based companies and direct marketing companies. These companies, in varying degrees, compete with us in substantially all of our current markets.

Other office supply retail companies market similarly to us in terms of store format, pricing strategy, product selection and product availability in the markets where we operate, primarily those in the U.S. and Canada. We anticipate that in the future we will face increased competition from these chains.

Internationally, we compete on a similar basis to North America. Outside of the U.S. and Canada, we sell through contract and catalog channels in 17 countries and operate retail stores in four countries through wholly-owned or majority-owned entities. Additionally, our International Division provides office products and services in 34 countries through joint ventures, licensing and franchise agreements, cross-border transactions, alliances and other arrangements.
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## Employees

As of January 22, 2011, we had approximately 40,000 employees worldwide. Our workforce is largely non-union and our labor relations are generally good. In certain international locations, changes in staffing or work arrangements may need approval of local works councils or other bodies.

## Environmental Activities

As both a significant user and seller of paper products, we have developed environmental practices that are values-based and market-driven. Our environmental initiatives center on three guiding principles: (1) recycling and pollution reduction; (2) sustainable forest management; and (3) issue awareness and market development for environmentally preferable products. We offer thousands of different products containing recycled content, including from $35 \%$ to $100 \%$ post-consumer waste content paper and technology recycling services in our retail stores.

Office Depot continues to implement environmental programs in line with our stated environmental vision to increasingly buy green, be green and sell green including environmental sensitivity in our packaging, operations and sales offerings. In January 2009, our Green retail store prototype received a Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) Gold Certification from the U.S. Green Building Council. In 2010, it awarded our global headquarters in Boca Raton, Florida a LEED Gold Certification under the Operations and Maintenance rating system and we were the first office supplies retailer with a headquarters building certified under any of the LEED rating systems. Additional information on our green product offerings can be found at www.officedepot.com/buygreen.

## Available Information

We maintain a web site at www.officedepot.com. We make available, free of charge, on the Investor Relations section of our web site, our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or $15(\mathrm{~d})$ of the Exchange Act, as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file or furnish such materials to the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC ). In addition, the public may read and copy any of the materials we file with the SEC at the SEC s Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington DC 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains an internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding issuers, such as the company, that file electronically with the SEC. The address of that website is www.sec.gov.

Additionally, our corporate governance materials, including governance guidelines; the charters of the Audit, Compensation, Finance, and Corporate Governance and Nominating Committees; and the code of ethical behavior may also be found under the Investor Relations section of our web site at www.officedepot.com.

## Executive Officers of the Registrant

## Neil R. Austrian 71

Mr. Austrian has been Interim Chair and Chief Executive Officer from November 1, 2010. Mr. Austrian has served as a Director since 1998. He also served as our Interim Chair and Chief Executive Officer from October 4, 2004 until March 11, 2005. Mr. Austrian served as President and Chief Operating Officer of the National Football League from April 1991 until December 1999. He was a Managing Director of Dillon, Read \& Co. Inc. from October 1987 until March 1991. Mr. Austrian served as a director of Viking Office Products from January 1988 until August 1998 when Office Depot merged with Viking Office Products. He also serves as a director of The DirecTV Group (formerly Hughes Electronics Company).
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## Charles Brown Age: 57

Mr. Brown has been President, International since 2005. In 2007, oversight of business development was added to his role. He was the company s Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer from 2001 to 2005. Prior to that, Mr. Brown was Senior Vice President, Finance and Controller since he joined our company in 1998. Before joining Office Depot, he was Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Denny s, Inc. from 1996 until 1998; from 1994 until 1995, he was Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of ARAMARK International; and from 1989 until 1994, he was Vice President and Controller of Pizza Hut International, a Division of PepsiCo, Inc. Mr. Brown assumed the role of acting Chief Financial Officer of the company effective March 1, 2008 and served in that role until August 2008, when Michael Newman assumed the role of the company s Chief Financial Officer.

## Elisa Garcia Age: 53

Ms. Garcia was appointed Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary in July 2007 with overall responsibility for global legal and compliance matters and governmental relations. Prior to joining Office Depot, Ms. Garcia served as Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary of Domino s Pizza, Inc. from April 2000. Prior to joining Domino s Pizza, Ms. Garcia served as Latin American Regional Counsel for Philip Morris International, and Corporate Counsel for GAF Corporation.

## Monica Luechtefeld Age: 62

Ms. Luechtefeld was appointed Executive Vice President, E-Commerce and Direct Marketing in March 2009. Prior to this role, Ms. Luechtefeld held the position of Executive Vice President, Information Technology since early 2005. She was also responsible for business development from early 2005 to 2007. She assumed responsibility for supply chain from 2007 through 2008. Previously, she was Executive Vice President of E-Commerce from 2000. Prior to this role, she held several officer positions including Vice President, Marketing and Sales Administration and Vice President of Contract Marketing \& Business Development. Ms. Luechtefeld joined Office Depot in 1993, serving as General Manager of the Southern California Region of Office Depot until 1996.

## Michael Newman Age: 54

Mr. Newman was appointed Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer in August 2008. Prior to joining Office Depot, Mr. Newman served as Chief Financial Officer of Platinum Research Organization, Inc. from April 2007 through February 2008. Prior to joining Platinum Research Organization, Mr. Newman was employed as an independent consultant since 2005. Mr. Newman also served as Chief Financial Officer of Blackstone Crystal Holdings Capital Partners from 2004 to 2005 and Chief Financial Officer of Radio Shack Corp. from 2001 to 2004. Mr. Newman also held Chief Financial Officer roles at Intimate Brands and Hussmann International (which was acquired by Ingersoll-Rand in 2000). He also spent 17 years at General Electric in a variety of management roles both in the United States and Europe.

## Kevin Peters Age: 53

Mr. Peters was appointed President of North American Retail Division effective April 2010. Mr. Peters previously served as Executive Vice President, Supply Chain and Information Technology since March 2009 and joined the company in 2007 as Executive Vice President, Supply Chain. Prior to joining the company, Mr. Peters spent five years in management roles at W.W. Grainger, including Senior Vice President, Supply Chain and Merchandising. Prior to W.W. Grainger, Mr. Peters spent 11 years at The Home Depot, serving as Vice President and General Manager, Home Depot Commercial Direct and Vice President Supply Chain and Merchandising.
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## Steven Schmidt Age: 56

Mr. Schmidt was appointed President, North American Business Solutions in July 2007. Prior to joining Office Depot, Mr. Schmidt spent 11 years with the ACNielsen Corporation, most recently serving as President and Chief Executive Officer. Prior to joining ACNielsen, Mr. Schmidt spent eight years at the Pillsbury Food Company, serving as President of its Canadian and Southeast Asian operations. He has also held management positions at PepsiCo and Procter \& Gamble.

## Daisy Vanderlinde Age: 59

Ms. Vanderlinde was appointed Executive Vice President, Human Resources in late 2005. Prior to joining Office Depot, Ms. Vanderlinde was Senior Vice President, Human Resources and Loss Prevention, for AutoZone Inc. from 2001 to 2005, and was a member of the Executive Committee. Ms. Vanderlinde has also served as a senior HR officer for other retailers, including Tractor Supply Company, Marshalls, Inc., and The Broadway Stores.

## Mark Hutchens Age: 45

Mr. Hutchens was appointed Senior Vice President and Controller in September 2008. Prior to assuming that position, Mr. Hutchens held the position of Senior Vice President of Finance, International Division since late 2006. Prior to joining the company, Mr. Hutchens served as Assistant Treasurer at Yum! Brands, Inc., from February 2005 to November 2006 and as General Auditor from November 2003 to February 2005. In addition, Mr. Hutchens served in a variety of senior management positions at Yum! from May 1996 to November 2003. Prior to joining Yum! Mr. Hutchens served in various management positions at Ford Motor Company, where he was employed until May 1996.

Information with respect to our directors is incorporated herein by reference to information included in the Proxy Statement for our 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors.

In addition to risks and uncertainties in the ordinary course of business that are common to all businesses, important factors that are specific to our industry and our company could materially impact our future performance and results. We have provided below a list of risk factors that should be reviewed when considering investing in our securities. These are not all the risks we face, and other factors currently considered immaterial or unknown to us may impact our future operations.

Economic conditions may cause a decline in business and consumer spending which could adversely affect our business and financial performance Our operating results and performance depend significantly on worldwide economic conditions and their impact on business and consumer spending. The decline in business and consumer spending resulting from the global recession and the deterioration of global credit markets has caused our comparable store sales to decline from prior periods and we have experienced similar declines in most of our other domestic and international businesses. Our business and financial performance may continue to be adversely affected by current and future economic conditions and the level of consumer debt and interest rates, which may cause a continued or further decline in business and consumer spending.

Our business is highly competitive and failure to adequately differentiate ourselves could adversely impact our financial performance The office products market is highly competitive and we compete locally, domestically and internationally with a variety of retailers, distributors and internet operators such as office supply stores, mass merchant retailers, warehouse clubs, computer and electronics stores, local merchants and contract stationers. Many competitors have also increased their presence by broadening their assortments or broadening from retail into the delivery and e-commerce channels, while others have substantially greater financial resources to devote to sourcing, marketing and selling their products. Product pricing is also becoming ever more competitive, particularly among competitors on the internet. In order to achieve and maintain expected
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profitability levels, we must continue to grow by adding new customers and taking market share from competitors. If we are unable to effectively compete, our sales and financial performance will be negatively impacted.

We do a significant amount of business with government entities and loss of this business could negatively impact our results One of our largest U.S. customer groups consists of various state and local governments, government agencies and non-profit organizations. Contracting with state and local governments is highly competitive, subject to federal and state procurement laws, requires more restrictive contract terms and can be expensive and time-consuming. Bidding such contracts often requires that we incur significant upfront time and expense without any assurance that we will win a contract. Our ability to compete successfully for and retain business with the federal and various state and local governments is highly dependent on cost-effective performance and is also sensitive to changes in national and international priorities and U.S., state and local government budgets, which in the current economy continue to decrease. We service a substantial amount of government agency business through agreements with consortiums of governmental and non-profit entities. One such contract expired on January 1, 2011. If we are unsuccessful in retaining these customers, or if there is a significant reduction in sales under our large government contracts or if we lose these contracts, it could adversely impact our financial results.

If a significant number of our vendors demand accelerated payments or require cash on delivery such demands could have an adverse impact on our operating cash flow and result in severe stress on our liquidity We purchase products for resale under credit arrangements with our vendors and have been able to negotiate payment terms that are approximately equal in length to the time it takes to sell the vendor s products. In weak global markets, vendors may seek credit insurance to protect against non-payment of amounts due to them. If we continue to experience declining operating performance, and if we experience severe liquidity challenges, vendors may demand that we accelerate our payment for their products. Borrowings under our existing credit facility could reach maximum levels under such circumstances and we would seek alternative liquidity measures but may not be able to meet our obligations as they become due.

The tightening of the credit markets or a downgrade in our credit ratings could make it more difficult for us to access funds, refinance indebtedness, obtain new funding or issue securities Historically, we have generated positive cash flow from operating activities and have had access to broad financial markets that provide the liquidity we need to operate our business. Together, these sources have been used to fund operating and working capital needs, as well as invest in business expansion through new store openings, capital improvements and acquisitions. However, due to the downturn in the global economy our operating results have declined, while volatility in the capital markets has decreased credit availability. Further deterioration in our financial results could negatively impact our credit ratings or access to liquidity. If such conditions were to persist, we would seek alternative sources of liquidity but may not be able to meet our obligations as they become due.

A default under our credit facility could significantly restrict our access to funding and adversely impact our operations Our asset based credit facility contains a fixed charge coverage ratio covenant that is operative only when borrowing availability is below $\$ 187.5$ million or prior to a restricted transaction, such as incurring additional indebtedness, acquisitions, dispositions, dividends, or share repurchases. The agreement also contains representations, warranties, affirmative and negative covenants, and default provisions. A breach of any of these covenants could result in a default under our credit agreement. Upon the occurrence of an event of default under our credit agreement, the lenders could elect to declare all amounts outstanding to be immediately due and payable and terminate all commitments to extend further credit. If the lenders were to accelerate the repayment of borrowings, we may not have sufficient assets to repay our asset based credit facility and our other indebtedness. Also, should there be an event of default, or a need to obtain waivers following an event of default, we may be subject to higher borrowing costs and/or more restrictive covenants in future periods. Acceleration of any obligation under any of our material debt agreements or instruments would permit the holders of our other material debt to accelerate their obligations.
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Loss of key personnel or failure to effectively manage and motivate our workforce could negatively impact our sales and profitability We depend on senior management and other key personnel, and the loss of certain personnel could result in the loss of management continuity and institutional knowledge. We are currently undergoing a search for a new CEO and attracting and selecting the right individual to lead our company will be critical for our future success. We depend heavily upon our labor force to identify new customers and provide desired products and personalized customer service to existing customers. Changes in factors, such as overall unemployment levels, local competition for qualified personnel, prevailing wage rates, changes in employment law, as well as rising employee benefits costs, including insurance in the areas in which we operate, could interfere with our ability to adequately provide support to customers and increase our labor costs. We also engage third parties in some of our processes such as delivery and transaction processing who may face similar issues. In addition, we operate in various jurisdictions, each with distinct labor laws and regulations, which increase exposure, complexity and cost of compliance.

Disruption of global sourcing activities or private brand quality concerns could negatively impact brand reputation and earnings In recent years, we have substantially increased the number and types of products that we sell under our private brands including Office Depot ${ }^{\circledR}$ and other proprietary brands. Sources of supply may prove to be unreliable, or the quality of the globally sourced products may vary from our expectations. Economic and civil unrest in areas of the world where we source such products, as well as shipping and dockage issues, could adversely impact the availability or cost of such products, or both. Moreover, as we seek indemnities from the manufacturers of these products, the uncertainty of realization of any such indemnity and the lack of understanding of U.S. product liability laws in certain parts of Asia make it more likely that we may have to respond to claims or complaints from our customers. Most of our goods imported to the U.S. arrive from Asia through ports located on the U.S. west coast and we are therefore subject to potential disruption due to labor unrest, security issues or natural disasters affecting any or all of these ports.

Changes in tax laws in any of the multiple jurisdictions in which we operate can cause fluctuations in our overall tax rate impacting our reported earnings Our global tax rate is derived from a combination of applicable tax rates in the various domestic and international jurisdictions in which we operate. Depending upon the sources of our income, any agreements we may have with taxing authorities in various jurisdictions, and the tax filing positions we take in these jurisdictions, our overall tax rate may fluctuate significantly from other companies or even our own past tax rates. At any given point in time, we base our estimate of an annual effective tax rate upon a calculated mix of the tax rates applicable to our company and to estimates of the amount of income likely to be generated in any given geography. The loss of one or more agreements with taxing jurisdictions, a change in the mix of our business from year to year and from country to country, changes in rules related to accounting for income taxes, changes in tax laws in any of the multiple jurisdictions in which we operate or adverse outcomes from the tax audits that regularly are in process in any of the jurisdictions in which we operate could result in an unfavorable change in our overall tax rate.

We are subject to legal proceedings and legal compliance risks We are involved in various legal proceedings, which from time to time may involve class action lawsuits, state and federal governmental inquiries, audits and investigations, employment, tort, consumer litigation and intellectual property litigation. At times, such matters may involve directors and/or executive officers. Certain of these legal proceedings, including government investigations, may be a significant distraction to management and could expose our company to significant liability, including damages, fines, penalties, attorneys fees and costs, and non-monetary sanctions, including suspensions and debarments from doing business with certain government agencies, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

Failure to successfully manage domestic and international expansion could have an adverse effect on our operations and financial results Circumstances outside of our control could negatively impact anticipated store openings, joint ventures and franchise arrangements. We cannot provide assurance that our new store openings, including some newly sized or formatted stores or retail concepts, will be successful. There may be unintended consequences of adding joint venture and franchising partners to the Office Depot model, such as the
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potential for compromised operational control in certain countries and inconsistent international brand image. These arrangements may also add complexity to our processes and may require as yet unforeseen operational adjustments in the future that could adversely impact our operations and financial results.

We face such risks as foreign currency fluctuations, potential unfavorable foreign trade policies or unstable political and economic conditions As of December 25, 2010, we sold to customers in over 50 countries throughout North America, Europe, Asia and Latin America. We operate wholly-owned entities, majority-owned entities and participate in joint ventures and alliances globally. Sales from our operations outside the U.S. are denominated in local currency, which must be translated into U.S. dollars for reporting purposes and therefore our consolidated earnings can be significantly impacted by fluctuations in world currency markets. We are required to comply with multiple foreign laws and regulations that may differ substantially from country to country, requiring significant management attention and cost. In addition, the business cultures in certain areas of the world are different than those that prevail in the U.S., and we may be at a competitive disadvantage against other companies that do not have to comply with standards of financial controls or business integrity that we are committed to maintaining as a U.S. publicly traded company.

Changes in the regulatory environment may increase our expenses and may negatively impact our business We are subject to regulatory matters relating to our corporate conduct and the conduct of our business, including securities laws, consumer protection laws, advertising regulations, and wage and hour regulations. Certain jurisdictions have taken a particularly aggressive stance with respect to such matters and have implemented new initiatives and reforms, including more stringent disclosure and compliance requirements. To the extent that we are subject to more challenging regulatory environments and enhanced legal and regulatory requirements, such exposure could have a material adverse effect on our business, including the added cost of increased compliance measures that we may determine to be necessary.

Increases in fuel prices could have an adverse impact on our earnings We operate a large network of stores and delivery centers around the globe. As such, we purchase significant amounts of fuel needed to transport products to our stores and customers as well as shipping costs to import products from overseas. While we may hedge our anticipated fuel purchases, the underlying commodity costs associated with this transport activity have been volatile in recent years and disruptions in availability of fuel could cause our operating costs to rise significantly to the extent not covered by our hedges. Additionally, we rely on predictable energy costs to operate our stores and DCs. Fluctuations in the availability or cost of our energy could have a material adverse effect on our profitability.

Disruptions of our computer systems could adversely affect our operations We rely heavily on computer systems to process transactions, manage our inventory and supply-chain and to summarize and analyze our global business. If our systems are damaged or fail to function properly, we may incur substantial costs to repair or replace them and may experience an interruption of our normal business activities or loss of critical data. We are undertaking certain system enhancements and conversions to increase productivity and efficiency, that, if not done properly, could divert the attention of our workforce during development and implementation and constrain for some time our ability to provide the level of service our customers demand. Also, once implemented, the new systems and technology may not provide the intended efficiencies or anticipated benefits and could add costs and complications to our ongoing operations.

Compromises of our information security could adversely affect our reputation, disrupt our operations and expose us to litigation Through our sales and marketing activities, we collect and store certain personal information that our customers provide to purchase products or services, enroll in promotional programs, register on our web site, or otherwise communicate and interact with us. We also gather and retain information about our employees in the normal course of business. We may share information about such persons with vendors that assist with certain aspects of our business. In addition, our online operations at www.officedepot.com depend upon the secure transmission of confidential information over public networks, such as information permitting cashless payments. Despite instituted safeguards for the protection of such information, we cannot be certain that all of our systems are entirely free from vulnerability to attack.
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Our business could be disrupted due to weather related factors Because of our heavy concentration in the southern U.S. (including Florida and the Gulf Coast), we may be more susceptible than some of our competitors to the effects of tropical weather disturbances, such as hurricanes. In addition, winter storm conditions in areas that have a large concentration of our business activities could also result in lost retail sales, supply chain constraints or other business disruptions. We believe that we have taken reasonable precautions to prepare for weather-related events, but our precautions may not be adequate to deal with such events in the future.

The unionization of a significant portion of our workforce could increase our overall costs and adversely affect our operations We have a large employee base and while our management believes that our employee relations are good, we cannot be assured that we will not experience pressure from labor unions or become the target of campaigns similar to those faced by our competitors. The potential for unionization could increase if federal legislation is passed that would facilitate labor organization. Significant union representation would require us to negotiate wages, salaries, benefits and other terms with many of our employees collectively and could adversely affect our results of operations by significantly increasing our labor costs or otherwise restricting our ability to maximize the efficiency of our operations.

BC Partners significant ownership interest dilutes the interests of our common shareholders, may discourage, delay or prevent a change in control of our company and grants important rights to BC Partners, Inc. The Series A and Series B Preferred Stock that we sold in June 2009 to funds advised by BC Partners, Inc. (the Investors ) were immediately convertible into shares of our common stock at an initial conversion price of $\$ 5.00$ per share (subject to a conversion cap). The investment equates to an initial ownership interest of approximately $20 \%$, assuming the full conversion of each series of preferred stock into the company s common stock. Any sales in the public market of the shares of common stock issuable upon such conversion could adversely affect prevailing market prices of our common stock.

The initial dividend rate remains $10 \%$ on both the Series A and Series B Preferred Stock, and dividends are paid quarterly in cash or are added to the liquidation preference at our option and are subject to certain restrictions. To the extent that dividends are added to the liquidation preference, this further increases the ownership interest of the Investors and dilutes the interests of the common shareholders.

The holders of the Series A and Series B Preferred Stock are entitled to vote with the holders of our common stock on an as-converted basis, subject to limitations imposed by New York Stock Exchange ( NYSE) shareholder approval requirements. The Investors have agreed to cause all of their common stock and preferred stock entitled to vote at any meeting of our shareholders to be present at such meeting and to vote all such shares in favor of any nominee or director nominated by the company s Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, against the removal of any director nominated by such committee and, with respect to any other business or proposal, in accordance with the recommendation of the board of directors (other than with respect to the approval of any proposed business combination agreement between the company and another entity). This may discourage, delay or prevent a change in control of our company, which could deprive our shareholders of an opportunity to receive a premium for their common stock as part of a sale of our company.

We also entered into a related Investor Rights Agreement pursuant to which we granted certain rights to the Investors that may restrain our ability to take certain actions in the future. Subject to certain exceptions, for so long as the Investors ownership percentage is equal to or greater than $10 \%$, the approval of at least one of the directors designated to our board of directors by the Investors is required for the company to incur any indebtedness for borrowed money in excess of $\$ 200$ million in the aggregate during any fiscal year if the ratio of the consolidated debt of the company and its subsidiaries to the trailing four quarter adjusted EBITDA of the company and its subsidiaries, on a consolidated basis, is more than 4 x . In addition, for so long as the Investors ownership percentage is (i) equal to or greater than $15 \%$, the Investors are entitled to nominate three directors, (ii) less than $15 \%$ but more than $10 \%$, two directors and (iii) less than $10 \%$ but more than $5 \%$, one director. There can be no assurance that the interests of the Investors are aligned with those of our other shareholders. Investor interests can differ from each other and from other corporate interests and it is possible that this significant
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shareholder may have interests that differ from management and those of other shareholders. If the Investors were to sell, or otherwise transfer, all or a large percentage of their holdings, our stock price could decline and we could find it difficult to raise capital, if needed, through the sale of additional equity securities.

## Disclaimer of Obligation to Update

We assume no obligation (and specifically disclaim any such obligation) to update these Risk Factors or any other forward-looking statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K to reflect actual results, changes in assumptions or other factors affecting such forward-looking statements.

## Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

None.
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## Item 2. Properties.

As of January 22, 2011, our North American Retail Division operated 1,131 office supply stores in 46 U.S. states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico and 12 office supply stores in four Canadian provinces. As of January 22, 2011, our International Division operated 97 office supply stores (excluding our participation in arrangements through non-consolidated entities) in four countries outside of the United States and Canada. The following table sets forth the locations of these facilities.

## STORES

| State/Country | \# | State/Country | \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNITED STATES: |  |  |  |
| Alabama | 19 | North Dakota | 2 |
| Alaska | 2 | Ohio | 13 |
| Arizona | 5 | Oklahoma | 17 |
| Arkansas | 12 | Oregon | 19 |
| California | 145 | Pennsylvania | 13 |
| Colorado | 41 | Puerto Rico | 6 |
| Connecticut | 4 | South Carolina | 21 |
| Delaware | 2 | South Dakota | 1 |
| District of Columbia | 1 | Tennessee | 27 |
| Florida | 145 | Texas | 155 |
| Georgia | 49 | Utah | 9 |
| Hawaii | 4 | Virginia | 24 |
| Idaho | 6 | Washington | 37 |
| Illinois | 46 | West Virginia | 2 |
| Indiana | 22 | Wisconsin | 14 |
| Iowa | 3 | Wyoming | 3 |
| Kansas | 8 | TOTAL UNITED STATES | 1,131 |
| Kentucky | 21 |  |  |
| Louisiana | 38 | CANADA: |  |
| Maryland | 26 | Alberta | 3 |
| Massachusetts | 1 | British Columbia | 4 |
| Michigan | 21 | Manitoba | 2 |
| Minnesota | 10 | Ontario | 3 |
| Mississippi | 17 | TOTAL CANADA | 12 |
| Missouri | 25 |  |  |
| Montana | 4 | FRANCE | 52 |
| Nebraska | 6 | HUNGARY | 16 |
| Nevada | 19 | SOUTH KOREA | 16 |
| New Jersey | 10 | SWEDEN | 13 |
| New Mexico | 7 | TOTAL OUTSIDE NORTH AMERICA | 97 |
| New York | 10 |  |  |
| North Carolina | 39 |  |  |
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As of January 22, 2011, we had 16 North American supply chain facilities in 12 U.S. states. These facilities, which support our North American Retail and North American Business Solutions Divisions, included thirteen DCs and three crossdock facilities. Eight of the thirteen DCs we operated as of January 22, 2011, were combination facilities, which share real estate, technology, labor costs and inventory and satisfy the needs of both retail stores and delivery customers. As of January 22, 2011, we also had 26 DCs in 13 countries outside of the United States and Canada, which support our International Division. The following tables set forth the locations of our supply chain facilities as of January 22, 2011.

## DCs (North America)

| State | $\#$ | State | \# |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| UNITED STATES: |  | Pennsylvania | 1 |
| Arizona | 1 | Minnesota | 1 |
| Florida | 2 | Ohio | 1 |
| California | 1 | Texas | 2 |
| Colorado | 1 | Washington | 1 |
| Georgia |  |  | 1 |
|  | 1 | TOTAL UNITED STATES | 13 |
| Illinois | Crossdock Facilities (North America) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| State | $\#$ | State | \# |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNITED STATES: | 1 | Mississippi | 1 |
| Florida |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | TOTAL UNITED STATES | 3 |
| Illinois | International DCs |  |  |


| Country | $\#$ | Country | $\#$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Belgium | 1 | South Korea | 1 |
| China | 6 | Spain | 1 |
| Czech Republic | 1 | Sweden | 2 |
| France | 5 | Switzerland | 1 |
| Germany | 2 | The Netherlands | 1 |
| Ireland | 1 | United Kingdom | 3 |
|  |  |  | 26 |
| Italy | 1 | TOTAL INTERNATIONAL | 26 |

Our corporate offices in Boca Raton, Florida consist of approximately 625,000 square feet of office space in three interconnected buildings. This facility is being leased over 15 years with certain renewal options. The lease is accounted for as a capital lease. We also lease a corporate office in Venlo, the Netherlands which is approximately 226,000 square feet, and lease a systems data center in Charlotte, North Carolina which is approximately 53,000 square feet and we lease other administrative offices such as those in Hong Kong and China. Each of our facilities is considered to be in good condition, adequate for its purpose and suitably utilized according to the individual nature and requirements of the relevant operations.

Although we own a small number of our retail store locations and European DCs, most of our facilities are leased or subleased, with initial lease terms expiring in various years through 2032.
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## Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

We are involved in litigation arising in the normal course of our business. While, from time to time, claims are asserted that make demands for a large sum of money (including, from time to time, actions which are asserted to be maintainable as class action suits), we do not believe that contingent liabilities related to these matters, either individually or in the aggregate, will materially affect our financial position, results of our operations or cash flows.

As previously disclosed, on October 21,2010 , the company reached a final settlement of an investigation that has been conducted by the SEC. In connection with the settlement, the company, without admitting or denying the SEC s allegations, agreed to pay a civil penalty in the amount of $\$ 1$ million and consented to a cease and desist order from committing or causing violations of Section 13(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (and related rules), which requires the maintenance of accurate books and records and internal controls, and Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Regulation FD. Regulation FD is a rule regarding communication with analysts and investors. In addition, the Company s former Chief Executive Officer also reached a civil settlement with the SEC related to Regulation FD. Under the settlement, without admitting or denying the SEC s allegations, he agreed to an SEC order requiring future compliance with Regulation FD and agreed to pay a civil penalty. The settlement concludes for the company and its former Chief Executive Officer all matters arising from the SEC investigation.

On December 13, 2010, the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit affirmed the dismissal with prejudice of the Consolidated Lawsuit (defined below). As background, in early November 2007, two putative class action lawsuits were filed against the Company and certain of its executive officers alleging violations of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The allegations made in these lawsuits primarily related to the accounting for vendor program funds. Each of the foregoing lawsuits was filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida and captioned as follows: (1) Nichols v. Office Depot, Inc., Steve Odland and Patricia McKay filed on November 6, 2007 and (2) Sheet Metal Worker Local 28 Pension Fund v. Office Depot, Inc., Steve Odland and Patricia McKay filed on November 5, 2007. On March 21, 2008, the district court entered an Order consolidating the class action lawsuits (the Consolidated Lawsuit ). Lead plaintiff in the Consolidated Lawsuit, the New Mexico Educational Retirement Board, filed its Consolidated Amended Complaint on July 2, 2008, and its Second Consolidated Amended Complaint on April 20, 2009. On January 14, 2010, the district court dismissed the Second Consolidated Amended Complaint with prejudice, which led to the aforementioned appeal.

In addition, in the ordinary course of business, our sales to and transactions with government customers may be subject to investigations, audits and review by governmental authorities and regulatory agencies, with which we cooperate. Many of these investigations, audits and reviews are resolved without incident. While claims in these matters may at times assert large demands, we do not believe that contingent liabilities related to these matters, either individually or in the aggregate, will materially affect our financial position, results of our operations or cash flows. Among such matters, during the first quarter of 2011, we were notified that the United States Department of Justice has commenced an investigation into certain pricing practices related to an expired agreement that was in place between January 2, 2006 and January 1, 2011, pursuant to which state, local and non-profit agencies could purchase office supplies.

## Item 4. Removed and reserved.
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## PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.
Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange ( NYSE ) under the symbol ODP. As of the close of business on January 22, 2011, there were 7,281 holders of record of our common stock. The last reported sale price of the common stock on the NYSE on January 22, 2011 was $\$ 5.60$

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low sale prices of our common stock, as quoted on the NYSE Composite Tape. These prices do not include retail mark-ups, markdowns or commission.

|  | High | Low |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 8 . 3 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 5 . 1 9 0}$ |
| First Quarter | $\mathbf{9 . 1 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 4 9 0}$ |
| Second Quarter | $\mathbf{5 . 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 3 6 0}$ |
| Third Quarter | $\mathbf{5 . 5 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 2 5 0}$ |
| Fourth Quarter |  |  |
| 2009 | $\$ 4.170$ | $\$ 0.590$ |
| First Quarter | 5.370 | 1.250 |
| Second Quarter | 6.940 | 3.480 |
| Third Quarter | 7.840 | 5.570 |
| Fourth Quarter |  |  |

We have never declared or paid cash dividends on our common stock and do not anticipate declaring or paying any cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. Our asset based credit facility includes limitations in certain circumstances on restricted payments including share repurchases and the payment of cash dividends. These restrictions are based on the then-current and pro-forma fixed charge coverage ratio and borrowing availability at the point of consideration. Further, so long as investors in our redeemable preferred stock own at least $10 \%$ of the common stock voting rights, on an as-converted basis, the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of preferred stock then outstanding and entitled to vote is required for the declaration or payment of a dividend on common stock if dividends on the preferred stock have not been paid in full in cash.
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The foregoing graph shall not be deemed to be filed as part of this Form 10-K and does not constitute soliciting material and should not be deemed filed or incorporated by reference into any other filing of the company under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, except to the extent the company specifically incorporates the graph by reference.

## Table of Contents

## Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

The following table sets forth selected consolidated financial data at and for each of the five fiscal years in the period ended December 25, 2010. It should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto, included in Item 8 of this report, and Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, included in Item 7 of this report.

| (In thousands, except per share amounts and statistical data) | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  | 2007 |  | 2006 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statements of Operations Data: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales | \$ 11,633,094 |  | \$ 12,144,467 |  | \$ 14,495,544 |  | \$ 15,527,537 |  | \$ 15,010,781 |  |
| Net earnings (loss) attributable to Office Depot, Inc ${ }^{(1)(2)(3)}$ | \$ | 34,892 | \$ | $(596,465)$ |  | $(1,478,938)$ | \$ | 395,615 | \$ | 503,471 |
| Net earnings (loss) |  | 33,310 |  | $(598,724)$ |  | $(1,481,003)$ |  | 394,704 |  | 503,471 |
| Net earnings (loss) available to common shareholders ${ }^{(1)(2)(3)}$ | \$ | $(2,221)$ | \$ | $(626,971)$ |  | $(1,478,938)$ | \$ | 395,615 | \$ | 503,471 |
| Net earnings (loss) per share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | (0.01) | \$ | (2.30) | \$ | (5.42) | \$ | 1.45 | \$ | 1.79 |
| Diluted |  | (0.01) |  | (2.30) |  | (5.42) |  | 1.43 |  | 1.75 |
| Statistical Data: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Facilities open at end of period: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| United States and Canada: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office supply stores |  | 1,147 |  | 1,152 |  | 1,267 |  | 1,222 |  | 1,158 |
| Distribution centers |  | 13 |  | 15 |  | 20 |  | 21 |  | 20 |
| Crossdock facilities |  | 3 |  | 6 |  | 12 |  | 12 |  | 10 |
| International ${ }^{(4)}$ : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office supply stores |  | 97 |  | 137 |  | 162 |  | 148 |  | 125 |
| Distribution centers |  | 26 |  | 39 |  | 43 |  | 33 |  | 32 |
| Call centers |  | 25 |  | 29 |  | 27 |  | 31 |  | 30 |
| Total square footage North American Retail Division |  | 27,559,184 |  | 28,109,844 |  | 30,672,862 |  | 29,790,082 |  | 28,520,269 |
| Percentage of sales by segment: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North American Retail Division |  | 42.7\% |  | 42.1\% |  | 42.2\% |  | 43.9\% |  | 45.2\% |
| North American Business Solutions Division |  | 28.3\% |  | 28.7\% |  | 28.6\% |  | 29.1\% |  | 30.5\% |
| International Division |  | 29.0\% |  | 29.2\% |  | 29.2\% |  | 27.0\% |  | 24.3\% |
| Balance Sheet Data: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total assets | \$ | 4,652,366 | \$ | 4,890,346 | \$ | 5,268,226 | \$ | 7,256,540 | \$ | 6,557,438 |
| Long-term debt, excluding current maturities |  | 659,820 |  | 662,740 |  | 688,788 |  | 607,462 |  | 570,752 |
| Redeemable preferred stock, net |  | 355,979 |  | 355,308 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{(1)}$ Fiscal year 2010 Net loss attributable to Office Depot, Inc. and Net loss available to common shareholders include charges of approximately $\$ 51$ million for the write-off of Construction in Progress related to developed software. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for additional information.
(2) Fiscal year 2009 Net loss attributable to Office Depot, Inc. and Net loss available to common shareholders include charges of approximately $\$ 322$ million to establish valuation allowances on certain deferred tax assets. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for additional information.
${ }^{(3)}$ Fiscal year 2008 Net loss attributable to Office Depot, Inc. and Net loss available to common shareholders include impairment charges for goodwill and trade names of $\$ 1.27$ billion and other asset impairment charges of $\$ 222$ million. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for additional information.
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(4) Facilities of wholly-owned or majority-owned entities operated by our International Division.
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Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

## OVERVIEW

Our business is comprised of three segments. The North American Retail Division includes our retail office supply stores in the U.S. and Canada, which offer office supplies and services, computers and business machines and related supplies, and office furniture. Most stores also offer a copy and print center offering printing, reproduction, mailing and shipping. The North American Business Solutions Division sells office supply products and services in the U.S. and Canada directly to businesses through catalogs, internet web sites and a dedicated sales force. Our International Division sells office products and services through catalogs, internet web sites, a dedicated sales force and retail stores.

Our fiscal year results are based on a 52- or 53-week retail calendar ending on the last Saturday in December. Each of the three years addressed in this Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD\&A ) is based on 52 weeks. Our next 53 week fiscal year will occur in 2011. Our comparable store sales relate to stores that have been open for at least one year. A summary of factors important to understanding our results for 2010 is provided below and further discussed in the narrative that follows this overview.

Total company sales were $\$ 11.6$ billion in 2010, down $4 \%$ compared to 2009. Sales in North America decreased $4 \%$ for the year; comparable store sales in the North American Retail Division decreased 1\%. International Division sales decreased 5\% in U.S. dollars and 2\% in local currencies.

Gross margin for 2010 improved 90 basis points from 2009, following a 30 basis point increase from 2008. The increase in 2010 primarily reflects lower occupancy costs, better management of promotional activity and benefits from product line reviews and increased direct imports.

During 2010, we recognized $\$ 51$ million for impairment of certain software applications, $\$ 23$ million for net losses on business dispositions, facility closure and severance costs and $\$ 13$ million associated with the departure of our former CEO and other executive compensation costs. The per share impact of these items totaled $\$ 0.30$.

As part of our previously announced strategic reviews, we recorded charges of $\$ 253$ million and $\$ 199$ million in 2009 and 2008, respectively. These expenses (the Charges ) include primarily charges for lease accruals, severance expenses and asset impairments. Charges under these programs ended in 2009.

During 2010, we recognized significant tax and interest benefits from settlements with tax authorities, resulting in a full year benefit of approximately $\$ 0.18$ per share. During 2009, we recorded a non-cash tax expense to establish valuation allowances on deferred tax assets of $\$ 321.6$ million ( $\$ 1.18$ per share) because of the uncertainty of future realizability of these assets.

At the end of 2010, we had $\$ 627$ million in cash and approximately $\$ 674$ million available on our asset based credit facility. Cash flow from operating activities was $\$ 200$ million for 2010.
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A summary of items above affecting diluted (loss) per share by year is as follows:

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diluted (loss) per share | $\mathbf{\$ ( 0 . 0 1 )}$ | $\$(2.30)$ | $\$(5.42)$ |
| Less charges (credits): |  |  |  |
| 2010 Impairment and other charges | $\mathbf{0 . 3 0}$ |  |  |
| 2010 Tax settlements and related interest | $\mathbf{( 0 . 1 8 )}$ |  |  |
| Prior year Charges |  | 0.86 | 5.01 |
| 2009 Valuation Allowance |  | 1.18 |  |

In addition to the tax settlement and related interest income and expense reversal, which are presented in the table above for the 2010 period, the company recognized significant tax benefits from deductions that will be carried back to an earlier tax period. Because the company has valuation allowances in that jurisdiction, these carryback items impact the 2010 effective tax rate, and are unusual, but will be received as a tax refund. Accordingly, such benefits have not been included in this presentation. Carryback opportunities will not exist in this jurisdiction for future periods and the company will not be able to recognize originating deferred tax assets until the related valuation allowances are removed. This accounting will likely adversely impact future effective tax rates.

## OPERATING RESULTS

Discussion of other income and expense items, including material Charges and changes in interest and taxes follows our review of segment results.

## NORTH AMERICAN RETAIL DIVISION

| (Dollars in millions) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 2009 |  | 2008 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sales | $\mathbf{4 , 9 6 2 . 8}$ | $\$$ | $5,113.6$ | $\$ 6,112.3$ |
| $\%$ change | $\mathbf{( 3 ) \%}$ |  | $(16) \%$ | $(10) \%$ |
| Division operating profit (loss) | $\$$ | $\mathbf{1 2 7 . 5}$ | $\$$ | 105.5 |
| $\%$ of sales | $\mathbf{2 . 6 \%}$ | $2.1 \%$ | $(29.2)$ |  |

Sales in our North American Retail Division decreased 3\% in 2010, $16 \%$ in 2009 and $10 \%$ in 2008. During 2009, we closed 120 underperforming stores as part of the strategic review initiated during the fourth quarter of 2008. Comparable store sales in 2010 from the 1,124 stores that were open for more than one year decreased $1 \%$. Comparable store sales in 2009 from the 1,139 stores that were open for more than one year decreased $14 \%$. Transaction counts were lower for the full year, but positive for the third and fourth quarters of 2010. Average order values declined, reflecting lower sales in our peripherals product category, in part from our reduction in television offerings. Sales in furniture, technology, technology services and Copy and Print Depot increased while sales of supplies declined. The overall sales declines in both 2009 and 2008 were driven by macroeconomic factors as consumers and small business customers curtailed their spending in response to the global financial crisis. While transaction counts were down in 2009 compared to 2008, a drop in average order value was the greater contributor to our sales decline. Additionally, our commitment to proactively reduce promotions in select categories resulted in lower sales compared to 2008. Within each of our three major product categories of supplies, technology and furniture, we experienced sales declines compared to 2008. The negative comparable store sales were driven by fewer sales of higher priced, discretionary categories in furniture and technology.

The North American Retail Division reported operating profit of approximately $\$ 128$ million in 2010, $\$ 106$ million in 2009 and an operating loss of $\$ 29$ million in 2008. Gross margins increased in 2010 from lower occupancy costs, lower product cost driven by line reviews and direct import, and better management of
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promotional activity. Increased advertising expense during 2010 was partially offset by lower variable pay. The increase in Division operating profit for 2009 was driven by lower asset impairments, an improvement in product margins and lower operating expenses. The improvement in product margins was driven primarily by a more favorable product mix, lower levels of inventory shrinkage and valuation charges as well as lower product costs. These product margin improvements were partially offset by the deleveraging of fixed property costs on lower sales levels. During 2008, we recorded fixed asset impairment charges of approximately $\$ 98$ million. Store impairment charges in 2010 and 2009 totaled $\$ 2$ million and $\$ 3$ million, respectively. Additionally, as a result of the 2008 fixed asset impairments, we experienced lower levels of depreciation expense in 2010 and 2009. Division operating profit in all periods was negatively affected by the unfavorable impact our sales volume decline had on gross margin and operating expenses (the flow through impact), with the greatest impact experienced during 2009.

At the end of 2010, we operated 1,147 retail stores in the U.S. and Canada. We opened 17 new stores during 2010 and six stores during 2009. We closed 22 stores in North America during 2010 and 121 during 2009, of which 120 were part of the strategic review initiated during the fourth quarter of 2008. We anticipate opening approximately 10 stores in 2011. Also, we will continue to evaluate locations as leases become due and will close or relocate stores when appropriate.

## NORTH AMERICAN BUSINESS SOLUTIONS DIVISION

| (Dollars in millions) | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sales | \$ | 3,290.4 | \$ | 3,483.7 | \$ | 4,142.1 |
| \% change |  | (6)\% |  | (16)\% |  | (8)\% |
| Division operating profit | \$ | 96.5 | \$ | 98.2 | \$ | 119.8 |
| \% of sales |  | 2.9\% |  | 2.8\% |  | 2.9\% |

Sales in our North American Business Solutions Division decreased 6\% in 2010, 16\% in 2009 and $8 \%$ in 2008. The restructuring of certain non-core businesses in late 2009 contributed approximately $1 \%$ to the 2010 sales decline. While the economic climate remained challenging in 2010, the rate of our sales decline improved sequentially throughout the year. Sales in the direct channel were negative for the full year, but relatively flat in the third quarter and positive in the fourth quarter. Sales in the contract channel were also lower for the year. For the Division, both the number of customer transactions and the average order value per transaction were lower in 2010 compared to 2009. On January 1, 2011, our primary purchasing cooperative agreement for public sector customers expired. We have several other non-exclusive arrangements with other cooperative purchasing consortiums and anticipate retaining a substantial amount of our public sector business. The 2009 sales decline was principally driven by a decrease in the number of customer transactions, which resulted in part from aggressive pricing from some of our competitors in our large, national accounts and contraction in our public sector business. On a product category basis, the Division experienced weakness in durables such as furniture, technology and peripherals, as customers delayed their purchases of these products in favor of consumables like paper, ink and toner.

Division operating profit totaled $\$ 97$ million in 2010, compared to $\$ 98$ million in 2009. The flow through impacts of lower sales adversely affected all periods, with the greatest impact in 2009. The 2010 decrease in operating profit was partially offset by higher gross margins from a shift in the mix of customers, some pricing improvements and product mix. Increased advertising expenses during 2010 were offset by initiatives to reduce the Division s cost structure and lower variable pay for the period. In addition to the negative flow through impact in 2009 we experienced lower product margins, reflecting a less profitable product mix and cost increases that could not be passed on to our customers. Division operating profit for 2009 also reflects increased promotions in our direct business during the first half of the year. These negative impacts were partially offset by reductions in operating expenses, including a decrease in distribution costs as well as lower payroll and advertising expenses.
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## INTERNATIONAL DIVISION

| (Dollars in millions) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 2009 | 2008 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Sales | $\mathbf{3 , 3 7 9 . 8}$ | $\$ 3,547.2$ | $\$ 4,241.1$ |  |
| \% change | $\mathbf{( 5 ) \%}$ | $(16) \%$ | $1 \%$ |  |
| \% change in local currency sales | $\mathbf{( 2 ) \%}$ | $(9) \%$ | $(2) \%$ |  |
| Division operating profit | $\mathbf{1 1 0 . 8}$ | $\$$ | 119.6 | $\$$ |
| \% of sales | $\mathbf{3 . 3 \%}$ | $3.4 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ |  |

Sales in our International Division in U.S. dollars decreased 5\% in 2010, 16\% in 2009 and increased $1 \%$ in 2008. Local currency sales decreased $2 \%$ in $2010,9 \%$ in 2009 and $2 \%$ in 2008 . The sales in each of the three years presented were adversely impacted by the global economic climate. Sales in the contract business in local currencies increased $1 \%$ in 2010 and declined $8 \%$ in 2009. Growth in the small- to medium-sized business customers and large national account customers offset softness in the public sector business that resulted from various governmental austerity programs enacted throughout the year. The 2009 decrease reflects impacts from larger businesses decreasing their workforces and their discretionary spending on office supplies. Local currency sales in the direct business declined $5 \%$ and $11 \%$ in 2010 and 2009, respectively. The trend reflects some improvements in sales of higher priced items such as furniture and technology. We continue to focus on increasing the number of customer transactions. Sales in the retail channel increased after considering the impact of store closings in Japan, begun in 2009 and completed in early 2010, as well as the fourth quarter 2010 transaction related to our stores in Israel discussed below.

Division operating profit totaled approximately $\$ 111$ million in 2010, $\$ 120$ million in 2009 and $\$ 157$ million in 2008. Included in Division operating profit for 2010 were charges of approximately $\$ 23$ million from the sale of operating subsidiaries in Israel and Japan, as well as facility closure and severance costs associated with consolidation arrangements in Europe. The subsidiary sales reflect the company s decision to change its investment model in those countries from direct operating to license arrangements. The respective buyers of the entities will operate under the Office Depot name and the company will continue to generate cash flows from these entities from licensing fees and product sales. The company may continue efforts to improve financial results through efficiencies and operational consolidation during 2011. Should such activity be undertaken, additional charges could result.

The decreases in Division operating profit in 2010, 2009 and 2008 were also impacted by the flow through effects of lower sales levels. The operating profit comparison of 2010 to 2009 also reflects higher vendor rebates in 2009 and higher product costs in 2010 that were not passed on to customers, partially offset by lower variable pay. For 2009, the negative flow through impact was partially offset by lower operating expenses, including a decrease in distribution costs as well as lower payroll and advertising expenses. Other factors, including a shift to lower margin customers and cost increases that could not be fully passed on to our customers, negatively impacted Division operating profit in both 2009 and 2008. During 2008, we also recorded a non-cash gain of approximately $\$ 13$ million related to the curtailment of a defined benefit pension plan in the UK and non-cash impairment charges of approximately $\$ 11$ million related to our customer list intangible assets.

For U.S. reporting, the International Division s sales are translated into U.S. dollars at average exchange rates experienced during the year. The Division s reported sales were negatively impacted by approximately $\$ 80$ million in 2010, $\$ 305$ million in 2009 and positively impacted by $\$ 127$ million in 2008 from changes in foreign currency exchange rates. Division operating profit was negatively impacted by $\$ 3$ million in 2010, $\$ 6$ million in 2009 and positively impacted by $\$ 2$ million in 2008 from changes in foreign exchange rates. Internally, we analyze our international operations in terms of local currency performance to allow focus on operating trends and results.
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## CORPORATE AND OTHER

## Asset Impairments, Exit Costs and Other Charges

Each of our three operating segments has been adversely affected by the downturn in the global economy in recent years. The company has taken actions to adapt to the changing and increasingly competitive conditions including closing stores and distribution centers ( DCs ), consolidating functional activities and disposing of businesses and assets. During 2010, 2009 and 2008, we have recognized significant charges from reorganization efforts and asset impairments. The Charges recognized in 2009 and 2008 that related to a strategic review were managed at the corporate level and were excluded from measurement of Division operating profit.

In the fourth quarter of 2010, the company initiated additional activities to improve future operating performance, change the ownership structure of certain international investments and eliminate non-productive corporate assets. Specifically, the company wrote off approximately $\$ 51$ million of Construction In Progress related to impairment of certain software applications. In the fourth quarter of 2010 it was determined that the company was no longer going to fund the continued development of this software and the software projects were abandoned. The charge is presented in Other asset impairments on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Other charges recognized during 2010 have been included in Division operating profit or corporate general and administrative expenses, as appropriate.

The company expects to take additional actions during the coming years in an effort to increase efficiency and operating results. These actions could include combining, outsourcing or eliminating functions which could lead to additional charges when the relevant accounting criteria are met. These actions are still being considered.

A summary of the Charges recognized during 2009 and 2008 and the line item presentation of these amounts in our accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations is as follows.

| (Dollars in millions, except per share amounts) | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost of goods sold and occupancy costs | \$ | 13 | \$ | 16 |
| Store and warehouse operating and selling expenses |  | 188 |  | 52 |
| Goodwill and trade name impairments |  |  |  | 1,270 |
| Other asset impairments |  | 26 |  | 114 |
| General and administrative expenses |  | 26 |  | 17 |
| Total pre-tax Charges |  | 253 |  | 1,469 |
| Income tax effect |  | (19) |  | (103) |
| After-tax impact | \$ | 234 | \$ | 1,366 |
| Per share impact | \$ | 0.86 |  | 5.01 |

Of the $\$ 253$ million of 2009 Charges, approximately $\$ 194$ million either have or are expected to require cash settlement, including longer-term lease obligations that will require cash over multi-year lease terms.

The primary components of Charges include:

Goodwill and Trade Name Impairments During 2008, we recorded non-cash Charges of $\$ 1.2$ billion to write down goodwill and $\$ 57$ million related to the impairment of trade names. Our recoverability assessment of these non-amortizing intangible assets considered company-specific projections, assumptions about market participant views and the company s overall market capitalization around the testing period.

Retail Store Initiatives As part of the strategic review, we closed 126 stores in North America (six of which were closed in the fourth quarter of 2008 and the remainder in 2009) and 27 stores in Japan. The stores closed were underperforming or stores that were no longer a strategic fit for the company. The Charges totaled $\$ 122$ million and $\$ 104$ million in 2009 and 2008, respectively. The 2009 Charges were primarily related to lease
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accruals, inventory write downs, severance expenses and other facility closure costs. The 2008 Charges related primarily to asset impairments, inventory write downs and lease accruals.

Supply Chain Initiatives During 2009, we closed five DCs and six crossdock facilities in North America and consolidated our DCs in Europe. Charges related to these actions totaled approximately $\$ 57$ million in 2009 and related primarily to lease accruals, inventory write downs, severance expenses and other facility closure costs. The 2008 Charges totaled approximately $\$ 22$ million and consisted primarily of accelerated depreciation, severance related costs and lease accruals.

Asset Sales and Sale-Leaseback Transactions As a result of the strategic review and to enhance liquidity, we entered into multiple sale and sale-leaseback transactions. Total proceeds from these transactions were approximately $\$ 150$ million and are included in the investing section on our Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows. Losses on these transactions are included in the Charges and totaled approximately $\$ 22$ million in 2009. Gains have been deferred and will reduce rent expense over the related leaseback periods.

Headcount Reductions and Other Restructuring Activities Severance and termination benefit costs associated with actions to centralize activities and eliminate geographic redundancies totaled approximately $\$ 22$ million and $\$ 13$ million during 2009 and 2008, respectively. During 2009, we also recorded Charges for contract terminations on certain leased assets totaling approximately $\$ 17$ million and for other restructuring activities totaling approximately $\$ 7$ million. Additionally, we recognized a non-cash loss of approximately $\$ 6$ million in conjunction with the disposition of other assets during 2009. Charges for other restructuring activities in 2008 totaled approximately $\$ 60$ million and related primarily to asset write downs and costs associated with the restructuring of our back office operations and call centers in Europe.
Although we do not expect to recognize new Charges under the 2009 or earlier programs, positive and negative adjustments to previously accrued amounts as well as accretion on discounted long-term accruals such as lease obligations will continue to impact our results in future periods. We currently estimate accretion of approximately $\$ 10$ million for 2011 and declining amounts in subsequent periods. All such amortizations and settlements or adjustments to related accruals will be included in store and warehouse operating and selling expenses and recognized at the corporate level, outside of Division operating profit.

In addition to the Charges that relate to the strategic reviews, during 2008, we recognized other material charges because of the downturn in our business. Those charges include material asset impairments relating to stores we continue to operate, charges to impair amortizing customer relationship intangible assets, as well as an increase in our allowance for bad debts related to our private label credit card portfolio and certain other accounts receivable balances to reflect the economic downturn. As these charges are considered reflective of operating an ongoing business in difficult times, they were included in the 2008 Division operating results.

## General and Administrative Expenses

Total general and administrative expenses ( G\&A ) decreased to $\$ 659$ million in 2010 from $\$ 723$ million in 2009. The portion of G\&A expenses considered directly or closely related to division activity is included in the measurement of Division operating profit. The company continues to evaluate G\&A and other expense allocations across the Divisions and may refine methodologies in future periods. Other companies may charge more or less G\&A expenses and other costs to their segments, and our results therefore may not be comparable to similarly titled measures used by other companies. The remainder of the total G\&A expenses are considered corporate expenses. A breakdown of G\&A is provided in the following table:

| (Dollars in millions) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 2009 | 2008 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Division G\&A | $\mathbf{3 4 2 . 2}$ | $\$ 361.7$ | $\$ 394.6$ |
| Corporate G\&A | $\mathbf{3 1 6 . 6}$ | 361.4 | 348.6 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total G\&A | $\mathbf{6 5 8 . 8}$ | $\$ 723.1$ | $\$ 743.2$ |
| $\%$ of sales | $\mathbf{5 . 7 \%}$ | $6.0 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ |
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Corporate G\&A includes Charges of approximately $\$ 26$ million and $\$ 17$ million in 2009 and 2008, respectively. After considering these amounts, corporate G\&A expenses decreased $\$ 19$ million in 2010 and increased $\$ 4$ million in 2009. The decrease in 2010 was driven by lower variable pay, legal fees and a litigation settlement, partially offset by approximately $\$ 13$ million of compensation-related costs following the departure of our former CEO and higher software amortization. The change in 2009 primarily reflects increased depreciation expense and higher levels of performance-based variable pay. The increase in depreciation expense resulted primarily from the capital lease associated with our new corporate campus as well as the company s implementation of a new enterprise software system which was placed in service at the beginning of the third quarter of 2009. The increases were offset partially by reduction in legal and professional fees as well as lower payroll-related costs. Corporate G\&A for 2009 also includes approximately $\$ 9$ million from the effect of accelerated vesting of certain employee stock grants following approval of the redeemable preferred stock issuance.

## Other Income and Expense

| (Dollars in millions) | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest income | \$ | 4.7 | \$ | 2.4 | \$ | 10.0 |
| Interest expense |  | (58.5) |  | (65.6) |  | (68.3) |
| Miscellaneous income, net |  | 34.5 |  | 17.1 |  | 23.7 |

The increase in interest income during 2010 reflects approximately $\$ 2$ million of interest received on a tax settlement during the year. The decrease in interest income from 2008 to 2009 resulted primarily from lower investment rates. The 2010 tax settlement also resulted in reversal of approximately $\$ 11$ million of previously accrued interest expense, partially offset by lower capitalized interest in 2010 compared to 2009.

Our net miscellaneous income consists of our earnings of joint venture investments, gains and losses related to foreign exchange transactions, investment results from our deferred compensation plan and realized gains and impairments of other investments. The majority of miscellaneous income is attributable to equity in earnings from our joint venture in Mexico, Office Depot de Mexico. The increase in 2010 reflects a level of foreign currency and deferred compensation plan losses in 2009 that did not recur in 2010 and 2010 receipts on investments previously impaired. The decrease in 2009 primarily reflects lower joint venture earnings resulting from changes in foreign currency exchange rates, as well as foreign currency losses.

## Income Taxes

| (Dollars in millions) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 2009 | 2008 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | $\mathbf{\$ 9 0 . 0 )}$ | $\$ 287.6$ | $\$$ | $(98.6)$ |
| Effective income tax rate* | $\mathbf{1 5 9 \%}$ | $(92) \%$ | $6 \%$ |  |

* Income taxes as a percentage of earnings (loss) before income taxes.

The company experienced significant volatility in its effective tax rate throughout 2010 and 2009, in large part because of valuation allowances recorded during 2009 that limit the impact of deferred tax accounting. The $159 \%$ effective tax rate for 2010 includes approximately $\$ 30$ million from favorable tax settlements, $\$ 10$ million from the release of a European valuation allowance, $\$ 9$ million tax benefits on the disposition of operating entities in Israel and Japan, as well as a tax accounting method change for repairs and maintenance expenses and the impact of bonus depreciation rules enacted during the fourth quarter of 2010. In addition to the tax settlement and other discrete items, the company recognized significant tax benefits from deductions that will be carried back to an earlier tax period. Because the company has valuation allowances in that jurisdiction, these carryback items impact the 2010 effective tax rate, and are unusual, but will be received as a tax refund. Carryback opportunities will not exist in this jurisdiction for future periods and the company will not be able to recognize originating deferred tax assets until the related valuation allowances are removed. This accounting will likely adversely impact future effective tax rates.
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Valuation allowances totaling $\$ 321.6$ million were recognized during 2009, with $\$ 279.1$ million related to domestic deferred tax assets and $\$ 42.5$ million related to foreign deferred tax assets. The establishment of valuation allowances and development of projected annual effective tax rates requires significant judgment and is impacted by various estimates. Both positive and negative evidence, as well as the objectivity and verifiability of that evidence, is considered in determining the appropriateness of recording a valuation allowance on deferred tax assets. An accumulation of recent pre-tax losses is considered strong negative evidence in that evaluation. Because of the downturn in our performance during this recessionary period, as well as the significant restructuring activities and charges we have taken in response, during the third quarter of 2009 the cumulative pre-tax results for the past 36 months of certain taxing jurisdictions reached or nearly reached loss positions. In our view, the recoverability of those deferred tax assets could no longer meet the more likely than not standard that applies to such assets.

Significant judgment is also required in determining when it is appropriate to release a previously established valuation allowance. During 2010, one jurisdiction in Europe reached sufficient positive performance and we had reasonable projections that positive performance would continue such that the valuation allowance established in 2008 could be reversed. While it is possible that other European entities could accumulate positive evidence that would support reversing some valuation allowances during 2011, it is not expected that the domestic valuation allowances will be adjusted during 2011. The short-term consequence of being unable to record deferred tax benefits will continue to cause our effective tax rate to be volatile, possibly changing significantly from period to period.

The 2008 effective tax rate reflects the largely non-deductible nature of the goodwill impairment charge and non-deductible foreign interest, as well as a $\$ 47$ million increase in deferred tax asset valuation allowances in certain jurisdictions.

As discussed in Note G to the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, the company maintains accruals for uncertain tax positions and is subject to examinations by various taxing authorities that are expected to be completed over several years. It is reasonably possible that certain of these audits will close within the next 12 months, which could result in a decrease of as much as $\$ 87.4$ million or an increase of as much as $\$ 14.3$ million to our accrued uncertain tax positions. Additionally, we anticipate that it is reasonably possible that new issues will be raised or resolved by tax authorities that may require changes to the balance of unrecognized tax benefits, however, an estimate of such changes cannot reasonably be made.

In general, the effective tax rate in future periods can be affected by variability in our mix of domestic and foreign income, the variance of actual results to projected results, utilization of deferred tax assets, the statutory tax rates in various jurisdictions, changes in the rules related to accounting for income taxes, outcomes from tax audits that regularly are in process and our assessment of the need for accruals for uncertain tax positions.
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## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

## Liquidity

At December 25, 2010, we had approximately $\$ 627$ million in cash and equivalents and another $\$ 674$ million available under our asset based credit facility based on the December borrowing base certificate, for a total liquidity of approximately $\$ 1.3$ billion. We consider our resources adequate to satisfy our cash needs at least over the next twelve months. We anticipate that market conditions will continue to be challenging through 2011 and in response, we are focused on maximizing cash flow.

On September 26, 2008, the company entered into a Credit Agreement (the Agreement ) with a group of lenders, which provides for an asset based, multi-currency revolving credit facility (the Facility ) of up to $\$ 1.25$ billion. The amount that can be drawn on the Facility at any given time is determined based on percentages of certain accounts receivable, inventory and credit card receivables (the Borrowing Base ). At December 25, 2010, the company was eligible to borrow approximately $\$ 845$ million of the Facility. The Facility includes a sub-facility of up to $\$ 250$ million which is available to certain of the company s European subsidiaries (the European Borrowers ). Certain of the company s domestic subsidiaries (the Domestic Guarantors ) guaranty the obligations under the Facility. The Agreement also provides for a letter of credit sub-facility of up to $\$ 400$ million. All loans borrowed under the Agreement may be borrowed, repaid and reborrowed from time to time until September 26, 2013 (or, in the event that the company s existing $6.25 \%$ Senior Notes are not repaid, then February 15, 2013), on which date the Facility matures.

All amounts borrowed under the Facility, as well as the obligations of the Domestic Guarantors, are secured by a lien on the company s and such Domestic Guarantors accounts receivables, inventory, cash and deposit accounts. All amounts borrowed by the European Borrowers under the Facility are secured by a lien on such European Borrowers accounts receivable, inventory, cash and deposit accounts, as well as certain other assets. At the company s option, borrowings made pursuant to the Agreement bear interest at either, (i) the alternate base rate (defined as the higher of the Prime Rate (as announced by JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A. (the Agent )) and the Federal Funds Rate plus $1 / 2$ of $1 \%$ ) or (ii) the Adjusted LIBOR Rate (defined as the LIBOR Rate as adjusted for statutory revenues) plus, in either case, a certain margin based on the aggregate average availability under the Facility. The Agreement also contains representations, warranties, affirmative and negative covenants, and default provisions which are conditions precedent to borrowing. The most significant of these covenants and default provisions include a capital expenditure limitation of $\$ 500$ million in any fiscal year and limitations in certain circumstances on acquisitions, dispositions, share repurchases and payment of cash dividends. In the first quarter of 2010, the company executed a second amendment to the Facility allowing, among other things, the company to pay cash dividends on preferred stock and make share repurchases, in an aggregate amount of $\$ 50$ million per fiscal year subject to the satisfaction of certain liquidity requirements. The company has never declared or paid cash dividends on its common stock. The Agreement contains cash dividend restrictions based on the then-current and pro forma fixed charge coverage ratio and borrowing availability at the point of consideration. The company was in compliance with all financial covenants at December 25, 2010. The Facility also includes provisions whereby if the global availability is less than $\$ 218.8$ million, or the European availability is below $\$ 37.5$ million, the company s cash collections go first to the Agent to satisfy outstanding borrowings. Further, if total availability falls below $\$ 187.5$ million, a fixed charge coverage ratio test is required which could effectively eliminate additional borrowing under the Facility. Any event of default that is not cured within the permitted period, including non-payment of amounts when due, any debt in excess of $\$ 25$ million becoming due before the scheduled maturity date, or the acquisition of more than $40 \%$ of the ownership of the company by any person or group, could result in a termination of the Facility and all amounts outstanding becoming immediately due and payable.

At December 25, 2010, we had approximately $\$ 674$ million of available credit under our asset based credit facility (the Facility ). At December 25, 2010, the company s borrowings under the Facility totaled $\$ 52.5$ million at an effective interest rate of approximately $3.49 \%$. The maximum month end amount outstanding
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during 2010 occurred in November at approximately $\$ 73.4$ million. There were also letters of credit outstanding under the Facility at year end totaling approximately $\$ 119.0$ million. An additional $\$ 0.2$ million of letters of credit were outstanding under separate agreements. Average borrowings under the Facility in the fourth quarter of 2010 were approximately $\$ 33.9$ million at an average interest rate of $3.56 \%$. The maximum monthly average in 2010 occurred in December at approximately $\$ 67.3$ million. We did not borrow under the Facility during the first three quarters of 2010.

In addition to our borrowings under the Facility, we had short-term borrowings of $\$ 1.2$ million at 2010 year end. These borrowings primarily represent outstanding balances under various local currency credit facilities for our international subsidiaries that had an effective interest rate at the end of the year of approximately $6 \%$. The maximum month end and monthly average amounts were $\$ 53.5$ million and $\$ 52.1$ respectively, both occurring in the month of August. The maximum month end and monthly average amounts includes debt related to two operating subsidiaries in the International Division that were sold during the fourth quarter of 2010. The majority of these short-term borrowings represent outstanding balances on uncommitted lines of credit, which do not contain financial covenants.

The company s arrangement with a third party financial services company for our private label credit cards is scheduled for renewal during 2011. We anticipate successfully renewing or replacing this arrangement. See our discussion under Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements for additional information.

## Redeemable Preferred Stock

On June 23, 2009, we issued 274,596 shares of $10.00 \%$ Series A Redeemable Convertible Participating Perpetual Preferred Stock ( Series A Preferred Stock ), and 75,404 shares of $10.00 \%$ Series B Redeemable Conditional Convertible Participating Perpetual Preferred Stock ( Series B Preferred Stock and, together with Series A Preferred Stock the Preferred Stock ) for net proceeds of approximately $\$ 325$ million. Each share of Preferred Stock has an initial liquidation preference of $\$ 1,000$ and the conversion rate of $\$ 5.00$ per common share allow the two series of preferred stock to be initially convertible into 70 million shares of common stock. The conversion rate is subject to anti-dilution adjustments. The conversion and voting rights features of these shares were approved by the shareholders in 2009.

Dividends on the Preferred Stock are payable quarterly and will be paid in-kind or, in cash, only to the extent that the company has funds legally available for such payment and a cash dividend is declared by the company s board of directors and allowed by credit facilities. If not paid in cash, an amount equal to the cash dividend due will be added to the liquidation preference and measured for accounting purposes at fair value. The dividend rate will be reduced to (a) $7.87 \%$ if, at any time after June 23, 2012, the closing price of the company s common stock is greater than or equal to $\$ 6.62$ per share for a period of 20 consecutive trading days, or (b) $5.75 \%$ if, at any time after June 23, 2012, the closing price of the company s common stock is greater than or equal to $\$ 8.50$ per share for a period of 20 consecutive trading days.

The quarterly dividends of $\$ 9.2$ million were paid in cash on April 1, 2010, July 1, 2010 and October 1, 2010. The dividend of $\$ 9.2$ million for the fourth quarter of 2010 was paid in cash on January 3, 2011. Dividends accrued during 2009 were paid in-kind, with the stated-rate dividend added to the liquidation preference of the respective Series A and Series B Preferred Stock. For accounting purposes, the company measured the in-kind dividend at fair value using a binomial simulation model. The increase in fair value over the stated rate was charged against additional paid-in capital and added to the Preferred Stock carrying value of the Preferred Stock but provides no additional benefit to the Preferred Stock holders.

After the third anniversary of issuance the Preferred Stock is redeemable, in whole or in part, at the option of the company, subject to the right of the holder to first convert the Preferred Stock the company proposes to redeem. The redemption price is initially $107 \%$ of the liquidation preference amount and decreases by $1 \%$ each year until reaching $100 \%$ after June 23, 2019. At any time after June 23, 2011, if the closing price of the common stock is
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greater than or equal to $\$ 9.75$ per share for a period of 20 consecutive trading days, the Preferred Stock is redeemable at $100 \%$ of the liquidation preference amount, in whole or in part, at the option of the company, subject to the right of the holder to first convert the Preferred Stock the company proposes to redeem. The Preferred Stock is redeemable at the option of the holder at $101 \%$ of the liquidation preference in the event of certain fundamental change provisions (as defined in the Certificate of Designations for each series), including sale, bankruptcy, or delisting of our common stock.

## Cash Flows

Cash provided by (used in) our operating, investing and financing activities is summarized as follows:

| (Dollars in millions) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 2009 | 2008 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Operating activities | $\mathbf{\$ 1 9 9 . 7}$ | $\$ 296.4$ | $\$ 468.3$ |
| Investing activities | $\mathbf{( 2 1 3 . 3})$ | 25.3 | $(338.7)$ |
| Financing activities | $\mathbf{( 5 . 7 )}$ | 173.3 | $(186.3)$ |

## Operating Activities

The decrease in net cash provided by operating activities in 2010 primarily reflects a reduction in business performance related to weak economic conditions. Depreciation and amortization decreased by approximately $\$ 16$ million year over year primarily reflecting the accelerated depreciation of fixed assets related to Charges recorded during 2009. The decrease in charges for losses on inventories and receivables resulted from lower levels of bad debt expense and charges for shrinkage in 2010, compared to 2009. As previously discussed, the company wrote off approximately $\$ 51$ million of Construction In Progress related to impairment of certain software applications during the fourth quarter of 2010. This represents a non-cash expense, and therefore, it has been added back to our net earnings in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows to arrive at cash provided by operating activities. The company s year-to-date 2010 earnings included recognition of non-cash settlements of certain tax positions relating to open tax years and the release of certain valuation allowances in foreign jurisdictions. During the third quarter of 2009, non-cash valuation allowance charges of approximately $\$ 322$ million were recognized related to deferred tax assets in the U . S. and foreign tax jurisdictions. The 2009 period net loss included significant non-cash Charges that increased working capital accruals for severance and lease obligations. Working capital was a use of cash of approximately $\$ 177$ million in 2010 and source of cash of approximately $\$ 207$ million in 2009. The working capital use of cash in 2010 reflects cash payments of approximately $\$ 76$ million related to these prior restructuring efforts, as well as payments on other accruals, and an increase in inventories. The source of cash in 2009 was driven by our continued focus on collecting accounts receivable balances and controlling our inventory levels. Working capital is influenced by a number of factors, including the aging of inventory and timing of vendor payments. The timing of payments is subject to variability during the year depending on a variety of factors, including the flow of goods, credit terms, timing of promotions, vendor production planning, new product introductions and working capital management. For our accounting policy on cash management, see Note A of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Investing Activities

We invested $\$ 169$ million, $\$ 131$ million and $\$ 330$ million in capital expenditures during 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The 2010 activity primarily includes investments in information technology, distribution network infrastructure costs and the remodeling of retail stores. In 2009, capital expenditures related to the investment in information technology and distribution network infrastructure costs. Capital expenditures increased during 2010 due to the fact that we significantly reduced our capital expenditures in 2009 in response to the global economic crisis. We currently estimate that total capital expenditures will be approximately $\$ 180$ million in 2011 for activities such as new retail stores and ongoing maintenance across all Divisions.

Proceeds from the disposition of assets in 2010 include $\$ 25$ million related to the sale of a data center, sale of a retail store and assets held for sale. In 2009 and 2008 proceeds from sale-leaseback transactions of $\$ 116$ million and $\$ 67$ million, respectively were included within proceeds from the disposition of assets. Additionally, we
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received proceeds of $\$ 8$ million in connection with the sale of two operating subsidiaries in the International Division in the fourth quarter of 2010, and we used $\$ 33$ million to complete two prior acquisitions. In 2009, we also completed the sale of an asset previously classified as a capital lease, resulting in proceeds of approximately $\$ 29$ million. We placed restricted cash on deposit in the amount of $\$ 47$ million and $\$ 6$ million, respectively, for transactions that were pending at the end of 2010 and 2008.

## Financing Activities

Net cash used in financing activities totaled $\$ 6$ million compared to a source of cash of $\$ 173$ million in 2009. The use in 2010 resulted from the cash dividends paid on our convertible preferred stock of approximately $\$ 28$ million. Net cash used in financing activities primarily resulted from short-term borrowings under the Facility offset by payments of approximately $\$ 30$ million. At the end of 2010, borrowings under the Facility totaled approximately $\$ 53$ million. The source in 2009 resulted from our issuance of redeemable preferred stock during the second quarter. Uses of cash during 2009 period resulted from repayments of borrowings on our asset based credit facility, which totaled $\$ 139$ million, and $\$ 37$ million in capital lease payments. Also, during 2009, we incurred approximately $\$ 19$ million in debt as a result of a land sale-leaseback that was treated as a financing transaction as well as approximately $\$ 5$ million of other short-term borrowings.

## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We maintain a merchant services agreement with a third-party financial services company related to our private label credit card program. Under the terms of this agreement, we do not own, but have recourse for most private label credit card receivables. This arrangement is a component of our overall liquidity resources, which we consider adequate to satisfy our cash needs at least over the next twelve months. We record an estimate for losses on these receivables as a liability in our Consolidated Balance Sheets. This liability totaled approximately $\$ 14$ million and $\$ 16$ million at December 25, 2010 and December 26, 2009, respectively. The total outstanding balance of credit card receivables was approximately $\$ 124$ million and $\$ 148$ million at December 25, 2010 and December 26, 2009, respectively. Backing the liability is a letter of credit in the amount of $\$ 17.5$ million. The company s arrangement with this third party financial services company is scheduled for renewal during 2011. We anticipate successfully renewing or replacing this arrangement.

During the second quarter of 2010, the company cancelled the accounts receivable factoring arrangement established during 2009 in Europe without having sold any receivables.

As of December 25, 2010, we had no off-balance sheet arrangements, other than the agreements described in the preceding paragraph and operating leases, which are included in the table below.

## Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes our contractual cash obligations at December 25, 2010, and the effect such obligations are expected to have on liquidity and cash flow in future periods:

|  | Payments Due by Period Less than |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in millions) | Total |  | 1 year |  | $\begin{gathered} 1-3 \\ \text { vears } \end{gathered}$ |  | 5 years | After 5 years |
| Contractual Obligations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Long-term debt obligations ${ }^{(1)}$ | \$ 508.6 | \$ | 28.8 | \$ | 456.8 | \$ | 4.2 | \$ 18.8 |
| Short-term borrowings and other ${ }^{(2)}$ | 53.7 |  | 53.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital lease obligations ${ }^{(3)}$ | 393.1 |  | 33.8 |  | 61.8 |  | 61.3 | 236.2 |
| Operating lease obligations ${ }^{(4)}$ | 2,431.0 |  | 473.1 |  | 769.8 |  | 518.4 | 669.7 |
| Purchase obligations ${ }^{(5)}$ | 168.2 |  | 95.6 |  | 72.0 |  | 0.6 |  |
| Other liabilities ${ }^{(6)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total contractual cash obligations | \$ 3,554.6 | \$ | 685.0 |  | ,360.4 | \$ | 584.5 | \$ 924.7 |

## Edgar Filing: OFFICE DEPOT INC - Form 10-K

${ }^{(1)}$ Long-term debt obligations consist primarily of our $\$ 400$ million senior notes and the associated contractual interest payments. Also included in this amount are the expected payments (principal and interest) on certain long-term debt obligations related to our international subsidiaries.
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${ }^{(2)}$ Short-term borrowings consist of amounts outstanding under the Facility and subsidiary lines of credit.
${ }^{(3)}$ The present value of these obligations are included on our Consolidated Balance Sheets. See Note F of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information about our capital lease obligations.
${ }^{(4)}$ The operating lease obligations presented reflect future minimum lease payments due under the non-cancelable portions of our leases as of December 25, 2010. Our operating lease obligations are described in Note H of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. In the table above, sublease income is distributed by period.
(5) Purchase obligations include all commitments to purchase goods or services of either a fixed or minimum quantity that are enforceable and legally binding on us that meet any of the following criteria: (1) they are non-cancelable, (2) we would incur a penalty if the agreement was cancelled, or (3) we must make specified minimum payments even if we do not take delivery of the contracted products or services. If the obligation is non-cancelable, the entire value of the contract is included in the table. If the obligation is cancelable, but we would incur a penalty if cancelled, the dollar amount of the penalty is included as a purchase obligation. If we can unilaterally terminate the agreement simply by providing a certain number of days notice or by paying a termination fee, we have included the amount of the termination fee or the amount that would be paid over the notice period. As of December 25, 2010, purchase obligations include television, radio and newspaper advertising, sports sponsorship commitments, telephone services, certain fixed assets and software licenses and service and maintenance contracts for information technology. Contracts that can be unilaterally terminated without a penalty have not been included.
(6) Our Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 25, 2010 includes $\$ 514$ million classified as Deferred income taxes and other long-term liabilities. This caption primarily consists of our net long-term deferred income taxes, the unfunded portion of our pension plan, deferred lease credits, liabilities under our deferred compensation plans, and accruals for uncertain tax positions. These liabilities have been excluded from the above table as the timing and/or the amount of any cash payment is uncertain. As of December 25, 2010, accruals for uncertain tax positions, net of items offset by net operating losses, totaled approximately $\$ 72$ million. See Note $G$ of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding our deferred tax positions and accruals for uncertain tax positions and Note I for a discussion of our employee benefit plans, including the pension plan and the deferred compensation plan. The table above includes scheduled, acquisition-related payments.
In addition to the above, we have outstanding letters of credit totaling $\$ 119$ million at December 25, 2010.

## CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Our consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Preparation of these statements requires management to make judgments and estimates. Some accounting policies have a significant impact on amounts reported in these financial statements. A summary of significant accounting policies can be found in Note A of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. We have also identified certain accounting policies that we consider critical to understanding our business and our results of operations and we have provided below additional information on those policies.

Vendor arrangements Our inventory purchases from vendors are generally under arrangements that automatically renew until cancelled with periodic updates or annual negotiated agreements. Many of these arrangements require the vendors to make payments to us or provide credits to be used against purchases if and when certain conditions are met. We generally refer to these arrangements as vendor programs, and they typically fall into two broad categories, with some underlying sub-categories. The first category is volume-based rebates. Generally, our product costs per unit decline as higher volumes of purchases are reached. Many of our vendor agreements provide that we pay higher per unit costs prior to reaching a predetermined tier, at which time the vendor rebates the per unit differential on past purchases, and also applies the lower cost to future purchases
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until the next milestone is reached. Current accounting rules provide that companies with a sound basis for estimating their full year purchases, and therefore the ultimate rebate level, can use that estimate to value inventory and cost of goods sold throughout the year. We believe our history of purchases with many vendors provides us with a sound basis for our estimates of purchase volume. If the anticipated volume of purchases is not reached, however, or if we form the belief at any given point in the year that it is not likely to be reached, cost of goods sold and the remaining inventory balances are adjusted to reflect that change in our outlook. We review sales projections and related purchases against vendor program estimates at least quarterly and adjust these balances accordingly. During 2010, the company expanded the number of arrangements that eliminated this tiered purchase rebate mechanism in exchange for a lower price throughout the year. An increase in these arrangements could further reduce the potential variability in gross margin from changes in volume-based estimates.

The second broad category of arrangements with our vendors is event-based programs. These arrangements can take many forms, including advertising support, special pricing offered by certain of our vendors for a limited time, payments for special placement or promotion of a product, reimbursement of costs incurred to launch a vendor s product, and various other special programs. These payments are classified as a reduction of costs of goods sold or inventory, as appropriate for the program. Some arrangements may meet the specific, incremental, identifiable cost criteria that allow for direct operating expense offset, but such arrangements are not significant. Additionally, we receive payments from vendors for certain of our activities that lower the vendors cost to ship their product to our facilities.

Vendor rebates are recognized throughout the year based on judgment and estimates and amounts due from vendors are generally settled throughout the year based on purchase volumes. The final amounts due from vendors are generally known soon after year-end. Substantially all vendor program receivables outstanding at the end of the year are settled within the three months immediately following year-end. We believe that our historic collection rates of these receivables provide a sound basis for our estimates of anticipated vendor payments throughout the year.

Inventory valuation Inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market value. We monitor active inventory for excessive quantities and slow-moving items and record adjustments as necessary to lower the value if the anticipated realizable amount is below cost. We also identify merchandise that we plan to discontinue or have begun to phase out and assess the estimated recoverability of the carrying value. This includes consideration of the quantity of the merchandise, the rate of sale, and our assessment of current and projected market conditions. If necessary, we record a charge to reduce the carrying value of this merchandise to our estimate of the lower of cost or realizable amount. Additional promotional activities may be initiated and markdowns may be taken as considered appropriate until the product is sold or otherwise disposed. Estimates and judgments are required in determining what items to stock and at what level, and what items to discontinue and how to value them prior to sale.

We also recognize an expense in cost of sales for our estimate of physical inventory loss from theft, short shipment and other factors referred to as inventory shrink. During the year, we adjust the estimate of our shrink rate accrual following on-hand adjustments and our physical inventory results. These changes in estimates may result in volatility within the year or impact comparisons to other periods.

During 2008, we lowered our inventory levels to lessen working capital requirements and reduce obsolescence risk. Also, following a strategic review of our business in December 2008, we decided to close certain underperforming stores in North America. To facilitate these closures, we contracted with a liquidation firm that guaranteed the amount to be realized for the inventory in those stores. During the fourth quarter of 2008, we recognized a $\$ 15$ million Charge to adjust that inventory to the net contracted value. That adjustment had no impact on the remaining inventory in other stores or in our supply chain. During 2009, we completed the closure of stores in North America and Japan and certain DCs. In conjunction with these closures, we recognized an
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additional $\$ 13$ million Charge to facilitate inventory liquidation. The company has no current plans for significant additional closures that could result in similar charges, though some level of closures should be anticipated each year.

Closed store accruals and asset impairments We regularly assess the performance of each retail store against historic patterns and projections of future profitability. These assessments are based on management s estimates for sales levels, gross margin attainments, and cash flow generation. If, as a result of these evaluations, management determines that a store will not achieve certain operating performance targets, we may decide to close the store. When a store is no longer used for operating purposes, we recognize a liability for the remaining costs related to the property, reduced by an estimate of any sublease income. The calculation of this liability requires us to make assumptions and to apply judgment regarding the remaining term of the lease (including vacancy period), anticipated sublease income, and costs associated with vacating the premises. With assistance from independent third parties to assess market conditions, we periodically review these judgments and estimates and adjust the liability accordingly. In conjunction with the strategic review initiated in the fourth quarter of 2008, we closed 126 stores in North America and 27 stores in Japan. The lease-related costs associated with these closures, which totaled approximately $\$ 89$ million and $\$ 6$ million in 2009 and 2008, respectively, were recorded as the facilities closed. These costs were calculated based on our assumption of an extended vacancy period, three years in most cases, reflecting the slow economic conditions and the estimated sublease rates available in the future. These commitments with no economic benefit to the company were discounted at the credit-adjusted discount rate at the time of each location closure. Future fluctuations in the economy and the market demand for commercial properties could result in material changes in this liability. Costs associated with facility closures are included in store and warehouse operating and selling expenses in our Consolidated Statements of Operations. Because the 2008 and 2009 facility closures are considered part of a company-wide business review, the accretion of the discounted lease liability and potential future positive and negative adjustments to the recorded amounts from settlements or changes in market conditions will be recognized at the corporate level, outside of the determination of Division operating profit. Residual costs and benefits of closures from other periods are included in Division operating profit.

In addition to the decision about whether or not to close a store, store assets are regularly reviewed for recoverability of their carrying amounts. The recoverability assessment requires judgment and estimates of a store s future cash flows. Our impairment analysis builds a cash flow model at the individual store level, beginning with recent store performance and trending the anticipated future results based on chain-wide and individual store initiatives. If the anticipated cash flows of a store cannot support the carrying amount of the store $s$ assets and the fair value of the store $s$ assets is less than the carrying value, an impairment charge is recorded to operations as a component of store and warehouse operating and selling expenses. To the extent that management s estimates of future performance are not realized, future assessments could result in material impairment charges. During 2008, we recognized impairment charges of approximately $\$ 98$ million, which were primarily driven by the significant economic downturn.

Income taxes Income tax accounting requires management to make estimates and apply judgments to events that will be recognized in one period under rules that apply to financial reporting and in a different period in our tax returns. In particular, judgment is required when estimating the value of future tax deductions, tax credits, and the realizability of net operating loss carryforwards (NOLs), as represented by deferred tax assets. When we believe the realization of all or a portion of a deferred tax asset is not likely, we establish a valuation allowance. Changes in judgments that increase or decrease these valuation allowances impact current earnings.

Because of the downturn in our performance during this recessionary period, as well as the significant restructuring activities and charges we have taken in response, we established valuation allowances totaling approximately $\$ 322$ million during 2009. The charge to establish the valuation allowance followed the third quarter 2009 condition of reaching or nearly reaching a 36 month cumulative loss position in two taxing jurisdictions. Judgment is required in projecting when operations will be sufficiently positive to allow a conclusion that utilization of the deferred tax assets will once again be more likely than not. Positive performance
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in subsequent periods and projections of future positive performance will need to be evaluated against this existing negative evidence. A valuation allowance in one foreign jurisdiction was removed during 2010, resulting in recognition of a $\$ 10$ million tax benefit. Our effective tax rate in future periods may be positively or negatively impacted by changes in related judgments or pre-tax operations. Deferred tax assets without valuation allowances remain in certain foreign tax jurisdictions.

In addition to judgments associated with valuation accounts, our current tax provision can be affected by our mix of income and identification or resolution of uncertain tax positions. Because income from domestic and international sources may be taxed at different rates, the shift in mix during a year or over years can cause the effective tax rate to change. We base our rate during the year on our best estimate of an annual effective rate, and update those estimates quarterly, with the cumulative effect of a change in the anticipated annual rate reflected in the tax provision of that period. Such changes can result in significant interim reporting volatility. For example, during 2010, our effective tax rate, separate from discrete items, changed each quarter. We began the year at an estimated annual effective tax rate of approximately $35 \%$ and ended at approximately $80 \%$ for the fourth quarter and full year of 2010 . This volatility reflected changes in our projected earnings levels, the mix of income, carryback opportunities and the impact of valuation allowances in certain jurisdictions.

We file our tax returns based on our best understanding of the appropriate tax rules and regulations. However, complexities in the rules and our operations, as well as positions taken publicly by the taxing authorities, may lead us to conclude that accruals for uncertain tax positions are required. We generally maintain accruals for uncertain tax positions until examination of the tax position is completed by the taxing authority, available review periods expire, or additional facts and circumstances cause us to change our assessment of the appropriate accrual amount.

Preferred stock paid-in-kind dividends In June 2009, we issued $\$ 350$ million of redeemable preferred stock. The preferred stock carries a stated dividend of $10 \%$, subject to future decreases in certain circumstances, and allows for payment in cash or an increase in the preferred stock s liquidation preference. The valuation for accounting purposes of the dividend paid in-kind requires significant judgment to determine the estimated fair value. The company has used a binomial simulation model to measure values of multiple possible outcomes of the various provisions in the agreements that could impact whether the dividend rate would change based on future stock price performance, whether the company would issue a notice to call the preferred shares and whether the holders would convert their preferred stock into common stock. While the fair value of preferred stock dividends paid in-kind has no standing in the contractual rights to liquidation preference of the preferred shareholders nor any cash impact on the company, it impacts the measurement of net income available to common shareholders and reduces earnings per share. Changes in the valuation assumptions such as the risk adjusted rate, stock price volatility and time to call or convert can impact the estimated fair value and therefore the amount reported as net income available to common shareholders and earnings per share. However, the valuation is most sensitive to changes in the underlying common stock price. The company believes the model used to estimate fair value is reasonable and appropriate, but the reported dividend amount could change significantly in future periods based on changes in the underlying common stock price and the model inputs. The company paid all Preferred Stock dividends accrued for 2010 in cash.

## SIGNIFICANT TRENDS, DEVELOPMENTS AND UNCERTAINTIES

Competitive Factors Over the years, we have seen continued development and growth of competitors in all segments of our business. In particular, mass merchandisers and warehouse clubs, as well as grocery and drugstore chains, have increased their assortment of home office merchandise, attracting additional back-to-school customers and year-round casual shoppers. Warehouse clubs have expanded beyond their in-store assortment by adding catalogs and web sites from which a much broader assortment of products may be ordered. We also face competition from other office supply stores that compete directly with us in numerous markets. This competition is likely to result in increased competitive pressures on pricing, product selection and services provided. Many of these retail competitors, including discounters, warehouse clubs, and drug stores and grocery

## Table of Contents

chains, carry basic office supply products. Some of them also feature technology products. Many of them may price certain of these offerings lower than we do, but they have not shown an indication of greatly expanding their somewhat limited product offerings at this time. This trend towards a proliferation of retailers offering a limited assortment of office products is a potentially serious trend in our industry that could impact our results.

We have also seen growth in competitors that offer office products over the internet, featuring special purchase incentives and one-time deals (such as close-outs). Through our own successful internet and business-to-business web sites, we believe that we have positioned ourselves competitively in the e-commerce arena.

Another trend in our industry has been consolidation, as competitors in office supply stores and the copy/print channel have been acquired and consolidated into larger, well-capitalized corporations. This trend towards consolidation, coupled with acquisitions by financially strong organizations, is potentially a significant trend in our industry that could impact our results.

We regularly consider these and other competitive factors when we establish both offensive and defensive aspects of our overall business strategy and operating plans.

Economic Factors Our customers in the North American Retail Division and the International Division and many of our customers in the North American Business Solutions Division are predominantly small and home office businesses. Accordingly, these customers may continue to curtail their spending in reaction to macroeconomic conditions, such as changes in the housing market and commodity costs, higher credit costs, credit availability and other factors. The downturn in the global economy experienced over the past two years negatively impacted our sales and profits.

Liquidity Factors Historically, we have generated positive cash flow from operating activities and have had access to broad financial markets that provide the liquidity we need to operate our business. Together, these sources have been used to fund operating and working capital needs, as well as invest in business expansion through new store openings, capital improvements and acquisitions. However, due to the downturn in the global economy our operating results have diminished. In June 2009, we issued $\$ 350$ million of redeemable preferred stock and in September 2008, we entered into a $\$ 1.25$ billion asset based credit facility to provide liquidity. There can be no assurance that our liquidity will not be adversely impacted by changes in the financial markets and the global economy. In addition, deterioration in our financial results could negatively impact our credit ratings. The tightening of the credit markets or a downgrade in our credit ratings could make it more difficult for us to access funds, to refinance our existing indebtedness, to enter into agreements for new indebtedness or to obtain funding through the issuance of securities.

## MARKET SENSITIVE RISKS AND POSITIONS

The company has adopted an enterprise risk management process patterned after the principles set out by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations (COSO) in 2004. Management utilizes a common view of exposure identification and risk management. A process is in place for periodic risk reviews and identification of appropriate mitigation strategies.

We have market risk exposure related to interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, and commodities. Market risk is measured as the potential negative impact on earnings, cash flows or fair values resulting from a hypothetical change in interest rates or foreign currency exchange rates over the next year. Interest rate changes on obligations may result from external market factors, as well as changes in our credit rating. We manage our exposure to market risks at the corporate level. The portfolio of interest-sensitive assets and liabilities is monitored and adjusted to provide liquidity necessary to satisfy anticipated short-term needs. Our risk management policies allow the use of specified financial instruments for hedging purposes only; speculation on interest rates, foreign currency rates, or commodities is not permitted.
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## Interest Rate Risk

We are exposed to the impact of interest rate changes on cash, cash equivalents and debt obligations. The impact on cash and short-term investments held at the end of 2010 from a hypothetical $10 \%$ decrease in interest rates would be a decrease in interest income of less than $\$ 1$ million.

Market risk associated with our debt portfolio is summarized below:

|  | 2010 |  |  |  |  |  | 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Fair |  | isk |  |  |  | Fair |  | Risk |
|  | Carrying Value |  | Value |  | Sensitivity |  | Carrying Value |  | Value |  | Sensitivity |  |
| \$400 million senior notes | \$ | 400,067 | \$ | 398,000 | \$ | 4,800 | \$ | 400,172 | \$ | 345,966 | \$ | 5,420 |
| Asset based credit facility | \$ | 52,488 | \$ | 52,488 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |

The risk sensitivity of fixed rate debt reflects the estimated increase in fair value from a 50 basis point decrease in interest rates, calculated on a discounted cash flow basis. The sensitivity of variable rate debt reflects the possible increase in interest expense during the next period from a 50 basis point change in interest rates prevailing at year-end.

## Foreign Exchange Rate Risk

We conduct business in various countries outside the United States where the functional currency of the country is not the U.S. dollar. While our company sells directly or indirectly to customers in 53 countries, the principal operations of our International Division are in countries with Euro, British Pound and Mexican Peso functional currencies. We continue to assess our exposure to foreign currency fluctuation against the U.S. dollar. As of December 25, 2010, a 10\% change in the applicable foreign exchange rates would result in an increase or decrease in our pretax earnings of approximately $\$ 18$ million.

Although operations generally are conducted in the relevant local currency, we also are subject to foreign exchange transaction exposure when our subsidiaries transact business in a currency other than their own functional currency. This exposure arises primarily from inventory purchases in a foreign currency. At December 25, 2010, the notional amount of foreign exchange forward contracts to hedge certain inventory exposures was $\$ 52$ million. This amount was $\$ 57$ million at its highest point during 2010. Also, from time-to-time, we enter into foreign exchange forward transactions to protect against possible changes in exchange rates related to scheduled or anticipated cash movements among our operating entities.

Generally, we evaluate the performance of our international businesses by focusing on the local currency results of the business, and not with regard to the translation into U.S. dollars, as the latter is impacted by external factors.

## Commodities Risk

We operate a large network of stores and delivery centers around the globe. As such, we purchase significant amounts of fuel needed to transport products to our stores and customers as well as pay shipping costs to import products from overseas. We are exposed to potential changes in the underlying commodity costs associated with this transport activity. As of December 25,2010 , a $10 \%$ change in domestic commodity costs would result in an increase or decrease in our operating profit of approximately $\$ 4$ million.

## INFLATION AND SEASONALITY

Although we cannot determine the precise effects of inflation on our business, we do not believe inflation has had a material impact on our sales or the results of our operations. We consider our business to be only somewhat
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seasonal, with sales generally trending lower in the second quarter, following the back-to-business sales cycle in the first quarter and preceding the back-to-school sales cycle in the third quarter and the holiday sales cycle in the fourth quarter. Certain working capital components may build and recede during the year reflecting established selling cycles. Business cycles can and have impacted our operations and financial position when compared to other periods.

## NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

There are no recently issued accounting standards that are expected to have a material effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. However, the Financial Accounting Standards Board has issued proposed accounting rules relating to leasing transactions that, if passed in their current form, would have significant impacts to our financial statements. Among other things, the current proposal would create a right of use asset and corresponding liability on the balance sheet measured at the present value of minimum lease payments, record amortization of the right of use asset and implied interest of the liability on the statement of operations and characterize a portion of the lease payments as financing activities rather than operating activities on the statement of cash flow. These proposed changes in accounting rules would have no direct economic impact to the company.

## FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the Reform Act ) provides protection from liability in private lawsuits for forward-looking statements made by public companies under certain circumstances, provided that the public company discloses with specificity the risk factors that may impact its future results. We want to take advantage of the safe harbor provisions of the Reform Act. This Annual Report on Form $10-\mathrm{K}$ contains both historical information and other information that you can use to infer future performance. Examples of historical information include our annual financial statements and the commentary on past performance contained in our MD\&A. While we have specifically identified certain information as being forward-looking in the context of its presentation, we caution you that, with the exception of information that is historical, all the information contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K should be considered to be forward-looking statements as referred to in the Reform Act. Without limiting the generality of the preceding sentence, any time we use the words estimate, project, intend, expect believe, anticipate, continue and similar expressions, we intend to clearly express that the information deals with possible future events and is forward-looking in nature. Certain information in our MD\&A is clearly forward-looking in nature, and without limiting the generality of the preceding cautionary statements, we specifically advise you to consider all of our MD\&A in the light of the cautionary statements set forth herein.

Forward-looking information involves future risks and uncertainties. Much of the information in this report that looks towards future performance of our company is based on various factors and important assumptions about future events that may or may not actually come true. As a result, our operations and financial results in the future could differ materially and substantially from those we have discussed in the forward-looking statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Significant factors that could impact our future results are provided in Item 1A. Risk Factors included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Other risk factors are incorporated into the text of our MD\&A, which should itself be considered a statement of future risks and uncertainties, as well as management s view of our businesses.

## Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

See the information in the Market Sensitive Risks and Positions subsection of Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation set forth in Item 7 hereof.
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## Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

See Item 15(a) in Part IV of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.
Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.
None.

## Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

## Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Based on management sevaluation which included the participation of the company s Interim Chief Executive Officer ( CEO ), and Chief Financial Officer ( CFO ), as of December 25, 2010, the company s CEO and CFO concluded that the company s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended ( the Act ), were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by the company in reports that the company files or submits under the Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the company s management, including the CEO and CFO , to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

## Changes in Internal Controls

There have been no changes in the company s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the company s most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the company s internal control over financial reporting.
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## Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of Office Depot is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Act. Our Internal Control structure is designed to provide reasonable assurance to our management and the board of directors regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation and fair presentation of our financial statements.

Because of inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risks that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In evaluating our Internal Control, we used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control Integrated Framework. Based on our assessment, management has concluded that the company s internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 25, 2010.

Our internal control over financial reporting as of December 25, 2010, has been audited by Deloitte \& Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which is provided below.
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## REPORT OF THE INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Office Depot, Inc.:
We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Office Depot, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company ) as of December 25, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company s principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company s board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 25, 2010, based on the criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements as of and for the fiscal year ended December 25, 2010 of the Company and our report dated February 22, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.
/s/ DELOITTE \& TOUCHE LLP

Certified Public Accountants
Boca Raton, Florida

February 22, 2011
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## Item 9B. Other Information.

None

## PART III

## Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.

Information concerning our executive officers is set forth in Item 1 of this Form 10-K under the caption Executive Officers of the Registrant.

Information required by this item with respect to our directors and the nomination process is contained in the proxy statement for our 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be filed with the SEC (the Proxy Statement ) under the heading Election of Directors and is incorporated by reference in this Annual Report on Form 10-K (this Annual Report ).

Information required by this item with respect to our audit committee and our audit committee financial experts is contained in the Proxy Statement under the heading Committees of Our Board of Directors Audit Committee and is incorporated by reference in this Annual Report.

Information required by this item with respect to compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act is contained in the Proxy Statement under the heading Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance and is incorporated by reference in this Annual Report.

Our Code of Ethical Behavior is in compliance with applicable rules of the SEC that applies to our principal executive officer, our principal financial officer, and our principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions. A copy of the Code of Ethical Behavior is available free of charge on the Investor Relations section of our web site at www.officedepot.com. We intend to satisfy any disclosure requirement under Item 5.05 of Form 8-K regarding an amendment to, or waiver from, a provision of this Code of Ethical Behavior by posting such information on our web site at the address and location specified above.

## Item 11. Executive Compensation.

Information required by this item with respect to executive compensation and director compensation is contained in the Proxy Statement under the headings Compensation Discussion \& Analysis and Director Compensation, respectively, and is incorporated by reference in this Annual Report.

The information required by this item with respect to compensation committee interlocks and insider participation is contained in the Proxy Statement under the heading Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation and is incorporated by reference in this Annual Report.

The compensation committee report required by this item is contained in the Proxy Statement under the heading Compensation Committee Report and is incorporated by reference in this Annual Report.

The information required by this item with respect to compensation policies and practices as they relate to the company s risk management is contained in the Proxy Statement under the heading Board of Directors Role in Risk Oversight and is incorporated by reference in this Annual Report.

## Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

Information required by this item with respect to security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management is contained in the Proxy Statement under the heading Stock Ownership Information and is incorporated by reference in this Annual Report.
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## Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.

Information required by this item with respect to such contractual relationships and director independence is contained in the Proxy Statement under the headings Related Person Transactions Policy and Director Independence, respectively, and is incorporated by reference in this Annual Report.

## Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.

Information with respect to principal accounting fees and services and pre-approval policies are contained in the Proxy Statement under the headings Audit and Other Fees and Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures respectively, and is incorporated by reference in this Annual Report.

## PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.
(a) The following documents are filed as a part of this report:

1. The financial statements listed in Index to Financial Statements.
2. The financial statement schedules listed in Index to Financial Statement Schedules.
3. The exhibits listed in the Index to Exhibits.
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## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized on this $22^{\text {nd }}$ day of February 2011.

OFFICE DEPOT, INC.
By /s/ NEIL R. AUSTRIAN
Neil R. Austrian

Interim Chief Executive Officer
Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant in the capacities indicated on February 22, 2011.

## Signature

/s/ NEIL R. AUSTRIAN

Neil R. Austrian
/s/ MICHAEL D. NEWMAN

Michael D. Newman
/s/ MARK E. HUTCHENS

Mark E. Hutchens
/s/ LEE A. AULT, III

Lee A. Ault, III
/s/ JUSTIN BATEMAN

Justin Bateman
/s/ DAVID W. BERNAUER Director

David W. Bernauer
/s/ THOMAS J. COLLIGAN
Thomas J. Colligan
/s/ MARSHA JOHNSON EVANS

Marsha Johnson Evans
/s/ DAVID I. FUENTE

David I. Fuente
/s/ BRENDA J. GAINES

Brenda J. Gaines
/s/ MYRA M. HARTDirector
Myra M. Hart
/s/ W. SCOTT HEDRICK Director
W. Scott Hedrick
/s/ KATHLEEN MASON
Director
Kathleen Mason
/s/ JAMES RUBIN
Director
James Rubin
/s/ RAYMOND SVIDER
Director
Raymond Svider45
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## REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Office Depot, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Office Depot, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company ) as of December 25, 2010 and December 26, 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), stockholders equity, and cash flows for each of the three fiscal years in the period ended December 25, 2010. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Office Depot, Inc. and subsidiaries at December 25, 2010 and December 26, 2009, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three fiscal years in the period ended December 25, 2010, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of December 25, 2010, based on the criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 22, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

## /s/ DELOITTE \& TOUCHE LLP

Certified Public Accountants

Boca Raton, Florida

February 22, 2011
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## OFFICE DEPOT, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)


## LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

| Current liabilities: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trade accounts payable | \$ 1,080,276 | \$ 1,081,381 |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | 1,188,233 | 1,280,296 |
| Income taxes payable | 2,568 | 6,683 |
| Short-term borrowings and current maturities of long-term debt | 72,368 | 59,845 |
| Total current liabilities | 2,343,445 | 2,428,205 |
| Deferred income taxes and other long-term liabilities | 514,218 | 654,851 |
| Long-term debt, net of current maturities | 659,820 | 662,740 |
| Total liabilities | 3,517,483 | 3,745,796 |
| Commitments and contingencies |  |  |
| Redeemable preferred stock, net (liquidation preference $\$ 368,516$ in 2010 and $\$ 368,116$ in 2009) | 355,979 | 355,308 |
| Stockholders equity: |  |  |
| Office Depot, Inc. stockholders equity: |  |  |
| Common stock authorized 800,000,000 shares of $\$ .01$ par value; issued and outstanding shares 283,059,236 in 2010 and 280,652,278 in 2009 | 2,831 | 2,807 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 1,164,823 | 1,193,157 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 223,807 | 238,379 |
| Accumulated deficit | $(555,303)$ | $(590,195)$ |
| Treasury stock, at cost 5,915,268 shares in 2010 and 2009 | $(57,733)$ | $(57,733)$ |
| Total Office Depot, Inc. stockholders equity | 778,425 | 786,415 |
| Noncontrolling interest | 479 | 2,827 |
| Total stockholders equity | 778,904 | 789,242 |

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.
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## OFFICE DEPOT, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

|  | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sales | \$ 11,633,094 | \$ 12,144,467 | \$ 14,495,544 |
| Cost of goods sold and occupancy costs | 8,275,957 | 8,752,283 | 10,489,785 |
| Gross profit | 3,357,137 | 3,392,184 | 4,005,759 |
| Store and warehouse operating and selling expenses | 2,684,301 | 2,907,900 | 3,322,662 |
| Goodwill and trade name impairments |  |  | 1,269,893 |
| Other asset impairments | 51,295 | 26,175 | 222,379 |
| General and administrative expenses | 658,832 | 723,114 | 743,174 |
| Amortization of deferred gain on sale of building |  |  | $(7,308)$ |
| Operating loss | $(37,291)$ | $(265,005)$ | $(1,545,041)$ |
| Other income (expense): |  |  |  |
| Interest income | 4,663 | 2,396 | 10,013 |
| Interest expense | $(58,498)$ | $(65,628)$ | $(68,286)$ |
| Miscellaneous income, net | 34,451 | 17,085 | 23,666 |
| Loss before income taxes | $(56,675)$ | $(311,152)$ | $(1,579,648)$ |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | $(89,985)$ | 287,572 | $(98,645)$ |
| Net earnings (loss) | 33,310 | $(598,724)$ | $(1,481,003)$ |
| Less: Net loss attributable to the noncontrolling interest | $(1,582)$ | $(2,259)$ | $(2,065)$ |
| Net earnings (loss) attributable to Office Depot, Inc. | 34,892 | $(596,465)$ | $(1,478,938)$ |
| Preferred stock dividends | 37,113 | 30,506 |  |
| Net loss attributable to common shareholders | $(2,221)$ | \$ (626,971) | \$ (1,478,938) |
| Net earnings (loss) per share: |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ (0.01) | \$ (2.30) | \$ (5.42) |
| Diluted | (0.01) | (2.30) | (5.42) |

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.
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## OFFICE DEPOT, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

(In thousands, except per share amounts)


The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.
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## OFFICE DEPOT, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

(In thousands, except share amounts)

|  | Common <br> Stock <br> Shares | Common <br> Stock <br> Amount | Additional <br> Paid-in <br> Capital | Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Acc <br> Com | cumulated <br> Other <br> prehensive <br> Income <br> (Loss) |  | Retained <br> Earnings ccumulated Deficit) | Treasury Stock |  | controlling <br> nterest |  | Total ockholders Equity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at December 29, 2007 | 428,777,625 | \$ 4,288 | \$ 1,784,184 |  | \$ | 495,916 | \$ | 3,783,805 | \$ (2,984,349) | \$ | 15,564 | \$ | 3,099,408 |
| Acquisition of majority-owned subsidiaries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5,995 |  | 5,995 |
| Purchase of subsidiary shares from noncontrolling interest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(14,295)$ |  | $(14,295)$ |
| Comprehensive loss, net of tax: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net loss |  |  |  | $(1,481,003)$ |  |  |  | $(1,478,938)$ |  |  | $(2,065)$ |  | $(1,481,003)$ |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments |  |  |  | $(248,591)$ |  | $(248,275)$ |  |  |  |  | (316) |  | $(248,591)$ |
| Change in deferred pension |  |  |  | $(24,128)$ |  | $(24,128)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(24,128)$ |
| Amortization of gain on cash flow hedge |  |  |  | $(1,659)$ |  | $(1,659)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(1,659)$ |
| Change in deferred cash flow hedge |  |  |  | $(4,657)$ |  | $(4,657)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(4,657)$ |
| Comprehensive loss, net of tax |  |  |  | \$ (1,760,038) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(1,760,038)$ |


| Acquisition of treasury stock |  |  |  |  |  | (944) |  | (944) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retirement of treasury stock | (149,940,718) | $(1,499)$ | $(626,889)$ |  | $(2,298,597)$ | 2,926,985 |  |  |
| Grant of long-term incentive stock | 2,307,993 | 23 | (23) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Forfeiture of restricted stock | $(465,175)$ | (5) | 1 |  |  |  |  | (4) |
| Exercise of stock options (including income tax benefits and withholding) | 109,744 | 1 | $(1,222)$ |  |  |  |  | $(1,221)$ |
| Issuance of stock under employee stock purchase plans | 10,666 |  | (785) |  |  |  |  | (785) |
| Direct stock purchase plans |  |  | (228) |  |  | 361 |  | 133 |
| Amortization of long-term incentive stock grant |  |  | 39,584 |  |  |  |  | 39,584 |
| Balance at December 27, 2008 | 280,800,135 | 2,808 | 1,194,622 | 217,197 | 6,270 | $(57,947)$ | 4,883 | 1,367,833 |
| Purchase of subsidiary shares from noncontrolling interest |  |  |  |  |  |  | (105) | (105) |
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stock grant

Balance at
December 25, $2010 \quad 283,059,236 \quad \$ 2,831 \quad \$ 1,164,823 \quad \$ 223,807 \quad \$ \quad(555,303) \quad \$ \quad(57,733) \quad \$ \quad 479 \quad \$ \quad 778,904$

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.
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## OFFICE DEPOT, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

## (In thousands)

|  | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash flows from operating activities: |  |  |  |
| Net earnings (loss) | \$ 33,310 | \$ $(598,724)$ | \$ $(1,481,003)$ |
| Adjustments to reconcile net earnings (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |  |
| Depreciation and amortization | 208,319 | 224,115 | 254,099 |
| Charges for losses on inventories and receivables | 57,824 | 80,178 | 140,058 |
| Net earnings from equity method investments | $(30,635)$ | $(30,579)$ | $(37,113)$ |
| Dividends received |  | 13,931 |  |
| Goodwill and trade name impairments |  |  | 1,269,893 |
| Other asset impairments | 51,295 | 26,175 | 222,379 |
| Compensation expense for share-based payments | 20,840 | 33,316 | 39,561 |
| Deferred income taxes and valuation allowances on deferred tax assets | 15,551 | 325,886 | $(108,099)$ |
| Loss (gain) on disposition of assets | 8,709 | 7,655 | $(13,443)$ |
| Other operating activities | 11,501 | 7,199 | $(5,547)$ |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: |  |  |  |
| Decrease (increase) in receivables | $(2,440)$ | 126,131 | 133,162 |
| Decrease (increase) in inventories | $(87,724)$ | 37,583 | 249,849 |
| Net decrease (increase) in prepaid expenses and other assets | $(17,694)$ | 28,165 | $(16,986)$ |
| Net increase (decrease) in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other long-term liabilities | $(69,144)$ | 15,408 | $(178,554)$ |
| Total adjustments | 166,402 | 895,163 | 1,949,259 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 199,712 | 296,439 | 468,256 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: |  |  |  |
| Capital expenditures | $(169,452)$ | $(130,847)$ | $(330,075)$ |
| Acquisitions, net of cash acquired, and related payments | $(32,738)$ |  | $(102,752)$ |
| Purchase of assets held for sale and sold |  |  | $(38,537)$ |
| Proceeds from disposition of assets and other | 35,393 | 150,131 | 120,632 |
| Restricted cash for pending transaction | $(46,509)$ |  | $(6,037)$ |
| Release of restricted cash |  | 6,037 | 18,100 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | (213,306) | 25,321 | $(338,669)$ |
| Cash flows from financing activities: |  |  |  |
| Net proceeds from exercise of stock options and sale of stock under employee stock purchase plans | 1,011 | 35 | 503 |
| Tax benefit from employee share-based exercises | 3,414 |  | 89 |
| Treasury stock additions from employee related plans |  |  | (944) |
| Debt issuance costs | $(4,688)$ |  | $(40,793)$ |
| Proceeds from issuance of redeemable preferred stock, net |  | 324,801 |  |
| Dividends on redeemable preferred stock | $(27,639)$ |  |  |
| Proceeds from issuance of borrowings | 52,488 | 24,321 | 139,098 |
| Payments on long- and short-term borrowings | $(30,284)$ | $(175,863)$ | $(284,204)$ |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | $(5,698)$ | 173,294 | $(186,251)$ |
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| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | $\mathbf{( 1 3 , 1 2 8 )}$ | 9,099 | $(10,545)$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | $\mathbf{( 3 2 , 4 2 0 )}$ | 504,153 | $(67,209)$ |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | $\mathbf{6 5 9 , 8 9 8}$ | 155,745 | 222,954 |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | $\mathbf{\$ 6 2 7 , 4 7 8}$ | $\$ 659,898$ | $\$$ | 155,745 |

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.
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## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## NOTE A SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Nature of Business: Office Depot, Inc. ( Office Depot ) is a global supplier of office products and services under the Office Depobrand and other proprietary brand names. As of December 25, 2010, we sold to customers in 53 countries throughout North America, Europe, Asia and Latin America. We operate wholly-owned entities, majority-owned entities or participate in other ventures covering 41 countries and have alliances in an additional 12 countries.

Basis of Presentation: The consolidated financial statements of Office Depot and its subsidiaries have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. All intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. In addition to wholly owned subsidiaries, we consolidate entities where we control financial and operating policies but do not have total ownership. Noncontrolling interests are presented in the Consolidated Balance Sheets and Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity as a component of total stockholders equity and in the Consolidated Statements of Operations as a specific allocation of net earnings (loss). The equity method of accounting is used for our investments in which we do not control but we either share control equally or have significant influence. During 2010, we amended the shareholders agreement related to our venture in India such that control is now shared equally. The venture has been deconsolidated and is now accounted for under the equity method. We also participate in a joint venture selling office products and services in Mexico and Central and South America that is accounted for using the equity method with its results presented in miscellaneous income, net in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. See Note Q for information on our investment in Mexico. The December 26, 2009 balance sheet has been modified to combine short term deferred income taxes with prepaid expenses and other current assets, to conform to the December 25, 2010 presentation.

Fiscal Year: Fiscal years are based on a 52- or 53-week period ending on the last Saturday in December. All years presented are based on 52 weeks. Fiscal year 2011 will include 53 weeks.

Estimates and Assumptions: Preparation of these financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect amounts reported in the financial statements and related notes. For example, estimates are required for, but not limited to, facility closure costs, asset impairments, amounts earned under vendor programs, contingencies and valuation allowances on deferred tax assets. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

Foreign Currency: Assets and liabilities of international operations are translated into U.S. dollars using the exchange rate at the balance sheet date. Revenues, expenses and cash flows are translated at average monthly exchange rates. Translation adjustments resulting from this process are recorded in stockholders equity as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income ( OCI ).

Monetary assets and liabilities denominated in a currency other than a consolidated entity s functional currency result in transaction gains or losses from the remeasurement at spot rates at the end of the period. Foreign currency gains and losses are recorded in miscellaneous income, net in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Cash Equivalents: All short-term highly liquid securities with maturities of three months or less from the date of acquisition are classified as cash equivalents. Approximately $\$ 53$ million and $\$ 7$ million of restricted cash held on deposit was included in other current assets at December 25, 2010 and December 26, 2009, respectively. Approximately $\$ 47$ million of restricted cash at the end of the year 2010 relates to an agreement to purchase an entity that is pending regulatory approval.

Cash Management: Our cash management process generally utilizes zero balance accounts which provide for the settlement of the related disbursement accounts and cash concentration on a daily basis. Accounts payable
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and accrued expenses as of December 25, 2010 and December 26, 2009 included $\$ 64$ million and $\$ 77$ million, respectively, of amounts not yet presented for payment drawn in excess of disbursement account book balances, after considering existing offset provisions. We may borrow on a cost effective basis during the period, which may result in higher levels of borrowings and invested cash within the period. At the end of the period, cash may be used to minimize borrowings outstanding at the balance sheet date.

Receivables: Trade receivables, net, totaled $\$ 687.4$ million and $\$ 770.1$ million at December 25, 2010 and December 26, 2009, respectively. An allowance for doubtful accounts has been recorded to reduce receivables to an amount expected to be collectible from customers. The allowance recorded at December 25, 2010 and December 26, 2009 was $\$ 28.0$ million and $\$ 32.8$ million, respectively. We do not own, but have recourse for private label credit card receivables generated under our private label credit card program. The estimated fair value liability associated with risk of loss is included in accrued expenses.

Our exposure to credit risk associated with trade receivables is limited by having a large customer base that extends across many different industries and geographic regions. However, receivables may be adversely affected by an economic slowdown in the U.S. or internationally. No single customer accounted for more than $10 \%$ of our total sales in 2010, 2009 or 2008.

Other receivables are $\$ 339.1$ million and $\$ 351.1$ million as of December 25, 2010 and December 26, 2009, respectively, of which $\$ 198.3$ million and $\$ 225.4$ million are amounts due from vendors under purchase rebate, cooperative advertising and various other marketing programs.

Inventories: Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market value and are reduced for inventory losses based on physical counts. In-bound freight is included as a cost of inventories. Also, certain vendor allowances that are related to inventory purchases are considered to reduce the product cost. The weighted average method is used to determine the cost of our inventory in North America and the first-in-first-out method is used for inventory held within our international operations.

Income Taxes: Income tax expense is recognized at applicable U.S. or international tax rates. Certain revenue and expense items may be recognized in one period for financial statement purposes and in a different period s income tax return. The tax effects of such differences are reported as deferred income taxes. Valuation allowances are recorded for periods in which realization of deferred tax assets does not meet a more likely than not standard. See Note G for additional information on deferred income taxes.

Property and Equipment: Property and equipment additions are recorded at cost. Depreciation and amortization is recognized over their estimated useful lives using the straight-line method. The useful lives of depreciable assets are estimated to be 15-30 years for buildings and 3-10 years for furniture, fixtures and equipment. Computer software is amortized over three years for common office applications, five years for larger business applications and seven years for certain enterprise-wide systems. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the estimated economic lives of the improvements or the terms of the underlying leases, including renewal options considered reasonably assured at inception of the leases.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets: Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price and related costs over the value assigned to net tangible and identifiable intangible assets of businesses acquired. Accounting rules require that we test at least annually for possible goodwill impairment. Unless conditions warrant earlier action, we perform our test in the fourth quarter of each year using a discounted cash flow analysis that requires that certain assumptions and estimates be made regarding industry economic factors and future profitability. During 2008, we recognized an impairment charge of $\$ 1,213.3$ million related to goodwill, which is reflected in goodwill and trade name impairments in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Unless conditions warrant earlier action, intangible assets with indefinite lives are tested annually for impairment during the fourth quarter and written down to fair value as required. During 2008, a charge of approximately
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$\$ 56.6$ million was recorded to impair non-amortizing trade name intangibles. This impairment charge is included in goodwill and trade name impairments in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

We amortize the cost of other intangible assets over their estimated useful lives. Amortizable intangible assets are reviewed at least annually to determine whether events and circumstances warrant a revision to the remaining period of amortization. During 2008, we concluded that the value of certain amortizing intangible assets was impaired, and we recognized a charge of $\$ 10.9$ million to fully impair the customer list intangible assets in our International Division. This impairment charge is included in other asset impairments in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

See Note C for information related to goodwill and other intangible asset impairment charges recognized in 2008.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets: Long-lived assets with identifiable cash flows are reviewed for possible impairment annually or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such assets may not be recoverable. Impairment is assessed at the lowest level of identifiable cash flows, considering the estimated undiscounted cash flows over the asset s remaining life. If estimated cash flows are insufficient to recover the investment, an impairment loss is recognized equal to the estimated fair value of the asset less its carrying value and any costs of disposition. Impairment losses of $\$ 2.3$ million, $\$ 3.5$ million and $\$ 97.7$ million were recognized in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, relating to certain under-performing retail stores. For additional discussion of material asset impairment charges recognized in 2008, see Note C.

Facility Closure Costs: We regularly review store performance against expectations and close stores not meeting our performance requirements. Costs associated with store or other facility closures, principally lease cancellation costs, are recognized when the facility is no longer used in an operating capacity or when a liability has been incurred. Store assets are also reviewed for possible impairment, or reduction of estimated useful lives.

Accruals for facility closure costs are based on the future commitments under contracts, adjusted for anticipated sublease benefits and discounted at the company s risk-adjusted rate at the time of closing. We recorded charges relating to facilities closed totaling $\$ 5$ million, $\$ 126$ million and $\$ 10$ million in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Additionally, we recognized charges to terminate existing commitments and to adjust remaining commitments to current market values. These charges totaled $\$ 5$ million and $\$ 9$ million in 2009 and 2008, respectively. The accrued balance relating to our future commitments under operating leases for our closed facilities was $\$ 133$ million and $\$ 181$ million at December 25, 2010 and December 26, 2009, respectively. The short-term and long-term components of this liability are included in accrued expenses and other long-term liabilities, respectively, on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments: The estimated fair values of financial instruments recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheets or disclosed within these Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements have been determined using available market information, information from unrelated third-party financial institutions and appropriate valuation methodologies, primarily discounted projected cash flows. However, considerable judgment is required when interpreting market information and other data to develop estimates of fair value. See Note J for additional information on fair value.

Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation: We account for stock-based compensation using the fair value method of expense recognition. We use the Black-Scholes valuation model and recognize compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the grant. We consider alternative models if grants have characteristics that cannot be reasonably estimated using this model.

Accrued Expenses: Included in accrued expenses and other current liabilities in our Consolidated Balance Sheets are accrued payroll-related amounts of approximately $\$ 273$ million and $\$ 294$ million at December 25, 2010 and December 26, 2009, respectively.
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Revenue Recognition: Revenue is recognized at the point of sale for retail transactions and at the time of successful delivery for contract, catalog and internet sales. Sales taxes collected are not included in reported sales. We use judgment in estimating sales returns, considering numerous factors including historical sales return rates. Although we consider our sales return accruals to be adequate and proper, changes from historical customer patterns could require adjustments to the provision for returns. We also record reductions to our revenues for customer programs and incentive offerings including special pricing agreements, certain promotions and other volume-based incentives. Revenue from sales of extended warranty service plans is either recognized at the point of sale or over the warranty period, depending on the determination of legal obligor status. All performance obligations and risk of loss associated with such contracts are transferred to an unrelated third-party administrator at the time the contracts are sold. Costs associated with these contracts are recognized in the same period as the related revenue.

We recognize a liability for future performance when gift cards are sold and recognize the related revenue when gift cards are redeemed as payment for our products. We recognize as revenue the unused portion of the gift card liability when historical data indicates that additional redemption is unlikely.

Franchise fees, royalty income and the sales of products to our franchisees and licensees are included in sales, while product costs are included in cost of goods sold and occupancy costs in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Shipping and Handling Fees and Costs: Income generated from shipping and handling fees is classified as revenues for all periods presented. Freight costs incurred to bring merchandise to stores and warehouses are included as a component of inventory and costs of goods sold. Freight costs incurred to ship merchandise to customers are recorded as a component of store and warehouse operating and selling expenses. Shipping costs, combined with warehouse handling costs, totaled $\$ 747.1$ million in $2010, \$ 767.6$ million in 2009 and $\$ 911.2$ million in 2008.

Advertising: Advertising costs are charged either to expense when incurred or, in the case of direct marketing advertising, capitalized and amortized in proportion to the related revenues over the estimated life of the material, which range from several months to up to one year.

Advertising expense recognized was $\$ 469.5$ million in 2010, $\$ 453.3$ million in 2009 and $\$ 525.7$ million in 2008. Prepaid advertising costs were $\$ 40.9$ million as of December 25, 2010 and $\$ 37.3$ million as of December 26, 2009.

Pre-opening Expenses: Pre-opening expenses related to opening new stores and warehouses or relocating existing stores and warehouses are expensed as incurred and included in store and warehouse operating and selling expenses.

Self-Insurance: Office Depot is primarily self-insured for workers compensation, auto and general liability and employee medical insurance programs. Self-insurance liabilities are based on claims filed and estimates of claims incurred but not reported. These liabilities are not discounted.

Comprehensive Income (Loss): Comprehensive income (loss) represents the change in stockholders equity from transactions and other events and circumstances arising from non-stockholder sources. Comprehensive income consists of net earnings (loss), foreign currency translation adjustments, realized or unrealized gains (losses) on investment securities that are available-for-sale, deferred pension gains (losses), and elements of qualifying cash flow hedges, net of applicable income taxes. As of December 25, 2010, and December 26, 2009, our Consolidated Balance Sheet reflected OCI in the amount of $\$ 224$ million and $\$ 238$ million, which consisted of $\$ 214$ million and $\$ 247$ million in foreign currency translation adjustments, $\$ 4$ million and $\$ 6$ million in unamortized gain on hedge and $\$ 6$ million in deferred pension gain and $\$ 15$ million in deferred pension loss, respectively.
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Vendor Arrangements: We enter into arrangements with substantially all of our significant vendors that provide for some form of consideration to be received from the vendors. Arrangements vary, but generally specify volume rebate thresholds, advertising support levels, as well as terms for payment and other administrative matters. The volume-based rebates, supported by a vendor agreement, are estimated throughout the year and reduce the cost of inventory and cost of goods sold during the year. This estimate is regularly monitored and adjusted for current or anticipated changes in purchase levels and for sales activity. Other promotional consideration received is event-based or represents general support and is recognized as a reduction of cost of goods sold or inventory, as appropriate based on the type of promotion and the agreement with the vendor. Some arrangements may meet the specific, incremental, identifiable criteria that allow for direct operating expense offset, but such arrangements are not significant.

New Accounting Standards: There are no recently issued accounting standards that are expected to have a material effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

## NOTE B REDEEMABLE PREFERRED STOCK

On June 23, 2009, Office Depot, Inc. issued 274,596 shares of $10.00 \%$ Series A Redeemable Convertible Participating Perpetual Preferred Stock, par value $\$ 0.01$ per share ( Series A Preferred Stock ), and 75,404 shares of $10.00 \%$ Series B Redeemable Conditional Convertible Participating Perpetual Preferred Stock, par value $\$ 0.01$ per share ( Series B Preferred Stock ), to funds advised by BC Partners, Inc. (the Investors ), for $\$ 350$ million (collectively, the Preferred Stock ). The issued shares are out of 280,000 authorized shares of Series A Preferred Stock and 80,000 authorized shares of Series B Preferred Stock. Approval of conversion and voting rights for these shares was received at a special shareholders meeting on October 14, 2009.

The initial liquidation value of $\$ 1,000$ per preferred share and the conversion rate of $\$ 5.00$ per common share allow the two series of preferred stock to be initially convertible into 70 million shares of common stock. The conversion rate is subject to anti-dilution adjustments. Until converted or otherwise redeemed, the Preferred Stock is recorded outside of permanent equity on the Consolidated Balance Sheets because certain redemption conditions are not solely within the control of Office Depot. The balance is presented inclusive of accrued dividends and net of approximately $\$ 25$ million of fees, including issuance costs paid for investment banking, legal and accounting fees, and $\$ 3.5$ million paid to BC Partners, approximately $\$ 2.8$ million of which was returned to the investing funds as a portion of a transaction funding fee.

Dividends are payable quarterly and will be paid in-kind or, in cash, only to the extent that the company has funds legally available for such payment and a cash dividend is declared by the company s board of directors and allowed by credit facilities. If not paid in cash, an amount equal to the cash dividend due will be added to the liquidation preference and measured for accounting purposes at fair value. After the third anniversary of issuance, the dividend rate will be reduced to:
(i) $7.87 \%$ if at any time after June 23,2010 , the closing price of the company s common stock is greater than or equal to $\$ 6.62$ per share for a period of 20 consecutive trading days, or
(ii) $5.75 \%$ if at any time after June 23, 2010, the closing price of the company s common stock is greater than or equal to $\$ 8.50$ per share for a period of 20 consecutive trading days.
The Preferred Stock also may participate in dividends on common stock, if declared. However, if the closing price of the common stock on the record date for a dividend payment is less than $\$ 45.00$ per share, the company may not declare or pay a cash dividend on the common stock per share for any fiscal quarter in excess of the Preferred Stock dividend amounts.

The board of directors approved cash dividends on the Preferred Stock for each of the quarterly periods of 2010. Dividends were accrued and paid in-kind for the quarterly periods of 2009. The stated-rate of those in-kind dividends were added to the liquidation preference of the respective Series A and Series B Preferred Stock. For accounting purposes, the dividends were measured at fair value using a binomial simulation model This
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technique resulted in a fair value estimate of approximately $\$ 30.5$ million, or approximately $\$ 12.4$ million above the increase in the liquidation preference amounts of the Preferred Stock. The liquidation preference and carrying value of the Preferred Stock was $\$ 368.5$ million and $\$ 356.0$ million at December 25, 2010 and $\$ 368.1$ million and $\$ 355.3$ million at December 26, 2009, respectively.

The Preferred Stock is redeemable, in whole or in part, at the option of the company at any time after June 23, 2012, subject to the right of the holder to first convert the preferred stock the company proposes to redeem. The redemption price is initially $107 \%$ of the liquidation preference amount plus any accrued but unpaid dividends and decreases by $1 \%$ each year until reaching $100 \%$ after June 23, 2019. At any time after June 23,2011 , if the closing price of the common stock is greater than or equal to $\$ 9.75$ per share for a period of 20 consecutive trading days, the Preferred Stock is redeemable at $100 \%$ of the liquidation preference amount plus any accrued but unpaid dividends, in whole or in part, at the option of the company, subject to the right of the holder to first convert the Preferred Stock the company proposes to redeem. The Preferred Stock is redeemable at the option of the holder at $101 \%$ of the liquidation preference in the event of certain fundamental change provisions (as defined in the Certificate of Designations for each series), including sale, bankruptcy or delisting of our common stock.

In connection with the transaction, the company entered into an Investor Rights Agreement. Subject to certain exceptions, for so long as the Investors ownership percentage is equal to or greater than $10 \%$, the approval of at least one of the directors designated to the company s board of directors by the Investors is required for the company to incur any indebtedness for borrowed money in excess of $\$ 200$ million in the aggregate during any fiscal year. In addition, at the current ownership percentage level, the Investors are entitled to nominate up to three members of the board of directors. Declining ownership percentages reduce the Investors board representation rights. Three directors designated by the Investors are current members of the company $s$ board of directors.

## NOTE C ASSET IMPAIRMENTS, EXIT COSTS AND OTHER CHARGES

Each of our three operating segments has been adversely affected by the downturn in the global economy in recent years. The company has taken actions to adapt to the changing and increasingly competitive conditions including closing stores and distribution centers ( DCs ), consolidating functional activities and disposing of businesses and assets. During 2010, 2009 and 2008, we have recognized significant charges from reorganization efforts and asset impairments. The charges recognized in 2009 and 2008 that related to a strategic review (the Charges ) were managed at the corporate level and were excluded from measurement of Division operating profit.

In the fourth quarter of 2010, the company initiated additional activities to increase future operating performance, change the ownership structure of certain international investments and eliminate non-productive corporate assets. The 2010 charges included termination benefits and lease obligations accrued in Europe of approximately $\$ 6$ million and $\$ 5$ million respectively, a pre-tax loss on the sale of two operating subsidiaries in the International Division of approximately $\$ 11$ million, a $\$ 51$ million charge for the abandonment of software under development that will not be implemented and approximately $\$ 13$ million associated with severance, accelerated vesting of share-based awards and compensation-related costs following the departure of the former CEO. The operations of the two subsidiaries sold were not material to the operations of the company. The loss on subsidiary sales and the severance and lease obligation accruals recognized in 2010 are included in Store and warehouse operating and selling expenses on the Consolidated Statements of Operations and are included in the measurement of segment operating profit for the International Division. The software impairment charge is presented on a separate line and the executive compensation costs are included in unallocated general and administrative expenses.
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A summary of the Charges recognized during 2009 and 2008 and the line item presentation of these amounts in our accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations is as follows.

| (Dollars in millions, except per share amounts) | 2009 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Cost of goods sold and occupancy costs | 2008 |
| Store and warehouse operating and selling expenses | 13 |
| Goodwill and trade name impairments | 188 |
| Other asset impairments | 26 |
| General and administrative expenses | 26 |
| Total pre-tax Charges | $\$ 253$ |

The primary components of Charges include:

Retail Store Initiatives We closed 126 stores in North America (six of which were closed in the fourth quarter of 2008, the remainder in 2009) and 27 stores in Japan. The stores closed were underperforming or stores that were no longer a strategic fit for the company. The Charges totaled $\$ 122$ million and $\$ 104$ million in 2009 and 2008, respectively. The 2009 Charges were primarily related to lease accruals, inventory write downs, severance expenses and other facility closure costs. The 2008 Charges related primarily to asset impairments, inventory write downs and lease accruals.

Supply Chain Initiatives During 2009, we closed five DCs and six crossdock facilities in North America and consolidated our DCs in Europe. Charges related to these actions totaled approximately $\$ 57$ million in 2009 and related primarily to lease accruals, inventory write downs, severance expenses and other facility closure costs. The 2008 Charges totaled approximately $\$ 22$ million and consisted primarily of accelerated depreciation, severance related costs and lease accruals.

Asset Sales and Sale-Leaseback Transactions As a result of the strategic review and to enhance liquidity, we entered into multiple sale and sale-leaseback transactions. Total proceeds from these transactions were approximately $\$ 150$ million and are included in the investing section on our Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows. Losses on these transactions are included in the Charges and totaled approximately $\$ 22$ million in 2009. Gains have been deferred and will reduce rent expense over the related leaseback periods.

Headcount Reductions and Other Restructuring Activities Severance and termination benefit costs associated with actions to centralize activities and eliminate geographic redundancies totaled approximately $\$ 22$ million and $\$ 13$ million during 2009 and 2008, respectively. During 2009, we also recorded Charges for contract terminations on certain leased assets totaling approximately $\$ 17$ million and for other restructuring activities totaling approximately $\$ 7$ million. Additionally, we recognized a non-cash loss of approximately $\$ 6$ million in conjunction with the disposition of other assets during 2009. Charges for other restructuring activities in 2008 totaled approximately $\$ 60$ million and related primarily to asset write downs and costs associated with the restructuring of our back office operations and call centers in Europe.
Although we do not expect to recognize new Charges under the 2009 and earlier programs, positive and negative adjustments to previously accrued amounts as well as accretion on discounted long-term accruals such as lease obligations will continue to impact our results in future periods. We currently estimate accretion of approximately $\$ 10$ million for 2011 and declining amounts in subsequent periods. All such amortizations and settlements or adjustments to related accruals will be included in store and warehouse operating and selling expenses and recognized at the corporate level, outside of Division operating profit.
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Exit cost accruals related to the activities described above are as follows:

|  | Beginning |  | Charges |  | Cash |  | Non-cash |  | Currency and Other |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in millions) | Balance |  | Incurred |  | Payments |  | settlements |  | Adjustments |  | Ending <br> Balance |  |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Termination benefits | \$ | 13 | \$ | 6 | \$ | (12) | \$ |  | \$ | (3) | \$ | 4 |
| Asset impairments and accelerated depreciation |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | (1) |  |  |  |  |
| Lease and contract obligations |  | 162 |  | 5 |  | (64) |  | 14 |  | (4) |  | 113 |
| Total | \$ | 175 | \$ |  | \$ | (76) | \$ | 13 | \$ | (7) | \$ | 117 |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost of goods sold | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | (13) | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Termination benefits |  | 14 |  | 34 |  | (33) |  |  |  | (2) |  | 13 |
| Asset impairments and accelerated depreciation |  |  |  | 39 |  |  |  | (39) |  |  |  |  |
| Lease and contract obligations |  | 33 |  | 149 |  | (57) |  | 36 |  | 1 |  | 162 |
| Other associated costs |  |  |  | 18 |  | (12) |  | (7) |  | 1 |  |  |
| Total | \$ | 47 | \$ | 253 | \$ | (102) | \$ | (23) | \$ |  | \$ |  |

Lease accruals on closed facilities reflect the company s best estimate of its obligations under these long-term arrangements, net of sublease assumptions, discounted at the company s estimated unsecured borrowing rate at the time of each location closure. This accrued liability may be adjusted in future periods as actual sublease activity is better or worse than estimated. It is currently expected that any such adjustments, as well as accretion of this liability will be reflected as a component of store and warehouse operating and selling expenses and recognized at the corporate level, outside of Division operating profit, in future periods.

## Other asset impairments

In addition to the exit costs discussed above, during 2008, we recognized other material charges because of the downturn in our business. Those charges include goodwill and trade name impairment charges, as well as material asset impairments relating to stores and charges to impair amortizing customer relationship intangible assets.

We perform our annual review of goodwill and other non-amortizing intangible assets during the fourth quarter. As a result of this review for 2008, we recorded non-cash charges of $\$ 1,213$ million to write down goodwill and $\$ 57$ million related to the impairment of trade names. Our recoverability assessment of these non-amortizing intangible assets considered company-specific projections, assumptions about market participant views and the company s overall market capitalization around the testing period.

At least annually, we review our stores for possible impairment. Our impairment analysis is based on a cash flow model at the individual store level, beginning with recent store performance and forecasting the anticipated future results based on chain-wide and individual store initiatives. If the anticipated undiscounted cash flows of a store cannot support the carrying amount of the store $s$ assets, an impairment charge to bring the assets to estimated fair value is recorded to operations as a component of store and warehouse operating and selling expenses. Because of the downturn in our business in late 2008, we recorded store asset impairment charges totaling approximately $\$ 98$ million in 2008. Store impairment charges totaled approximately $\$ 2$ million and $\$ 3$ million in 2010 and 2009, respectively.

We review our amortizing intangible assets at least annually to determine whether events and circumstances warrant a revision to the remaining period of amortization. In developing forecasts for our assessment of goodwill in 2008, we concluded that the value of certain amortizing intangible assets was impaired. Accordingly,
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during 2008, we incurred a charge of approximately $\$ 11$ million to fully impair the customer list intangible assets in our International Division.

## NOTE D PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Property and equipment consisted of:

|  | December 25, | December 26, |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (Dollars in thousands) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 2009 |  |
| Land | $\mathbf{3 6 , 4 4 7}$ | $\$$ | 38,456 |
| Buildings | $\mathbf{3 4 0 , 7 4 8}$ | 354,630 |  |
| Leasehold improvements | $\mathbf{9 9 4 , 3 2 0}$ | 997,919 |  |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment | $\mathbf{1 , 6 4 5 , 7 5 0}$ | $1,703,691$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Less accumulated depreciation | $\mathbf{3 , 0 1 7 , 2 6 5}$ | $3,094,696$ |  |
|  | $\mathbf{( 1 , 8 6 0 , 2 5 2 )}$ | $(1,817,041)$ |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{\$ ~ 1 , 1 5 7 , 0 1 3}$ | $\$ 1,277,655$ |  |

The above table of property and equipment includes assets held under capital leases as follows:

| (Dollars in thousands) | $\begin{gathered} \text { December } 25, \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December } 26, \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buildings | \$ | 267,471 | \$ | 269,232 |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment |  | 49,969 |  | 43,443 |
|  |  | 317,440 |  | 312,675 |
| Less accumulated depreciation |  | $(92,695)$ |  | $(78,143)$ |
| Total | \$ | 224,745 | \$ | 234,532 |
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## NOTE E GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

## Goodwill

During the fourth quarter of 2008, we performed our annual goodwill impairment testing, which indicated that the goodwill in four of our five reporting units was fully impaired. This resulted in impairment charges totaling $\$ 1,213.3$ million, most of which was related to acquisitions made in our International and North American Business Solutions Divisions. Approximately $\$ 19.4$ million of goodwill was not impaired during the fourth quarter of 2008. This remaining goodwill is associated with our North American Business Solutions Division, and the balance has remained constant since December 27, 2008. The components of goodwill by segment are provided in the following table:

|  | North <br> American | North |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Balance as of December 25, 2010 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goodwill | 1,842 | 367,790 | 863,134 | $\$ 1,232,766$ |
| Accumulated impairment losses | $(1,842)$ | $(348,359)$ | $(863,134)$ | $(1,213,335)$ |
|  | $\$$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 9 , 4 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 , 4 3 1}$ |

## Other Intangible Assets

Indefinite-lived intangible assets related to acquired trade names were $\$ 5.6$ million and $\$ 6.2$ million, at December 25, 2010 and December 26, 2009, respectively, and are included in other intangible assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Indefinite-lived intangibles are not subject to amortization. Instead, they are tested for impairment at least annually. The change in the balance during 2010 resulted from changes in foreign currency rates.

Amortizing intangible assets, which are included in other intangible assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, include the following:

|  | December 25, 2010 |  |  |  | December 26, 2009 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Gross |  | cumulated |  | Gross |  | mulated |
| (Dollars in thousands) | Carrying Value |  | Amortization |  | Carrying Value |  | Amortization |  |
| Customer lists | \$ | 28,000 | \$ | $(11,773)$ | \$ | 28,000 | \$ | $(9,228)$ |
| Other |  | 2,600 |  | $(2,600)$ |  | 2,600 |  | $(2,302)$ |

Total $\quad \$ \quad \mathbf{3 0 , 6 0 0} \quad \$ \quad(\mathbf{1 4 , 3 7 3}) \quad \$ \quad 30,600 \quad \$(11,530)$
We review our amortizing intangible assets at least annually to determine whether events and circumstances warrant a revision to the remaining period of amortization.
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Amortization of intangible assets was $\$ 2.9$ million in 2010, $\$ 3.1$ million in 2009, and $\$ 9.0$ million in 2008 (at average foreign currency exchange rates).

The weighted average amortization period for the remaining finite-lived intangible assets is 6.4 years. Estimated future amortization expense for the next five years at December 25, 2010 is as follows:

|  | (Dollars in thousands) | 2,545 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2011 | 2,545 |  |
| 2012 | 2,545 |  |
| 2013 | 2,545 |  |
| 2014 | 2,545 |  |

## NOTE F DEBT

Debt consists of the following:
$\left.\begin{array}{l|r|r|r|}\hline \text { (Dollars in thousands) } & \begin{array}{c}\text { December 25, } \\ \mathbf{2 0 1 0}\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { December 26, } \\ \hline\end{array} & \mathbf{2 0 0 9}\end{array}\right]$

On September 26, 2008, the company entered into a Credit Agreement (the Agreement ) with a group of lenders, which provides for an asset based, multi-currency revolving credit facility (the Facility ) of up to $\$ 1.25$ billion. The amount that can be drawn on the Facility at any given time is determined based on percentages of certain accounts receivable, inventory and credit card receivables (the Borrowing Base ). At December 25, 2010, the company was eligible to borrow approximately $\$ 845$ million of the Facility based on the December borrowing base certificate. The Facility includes a sub-facility of up to $\$ 250$ million which is available to certain of the company s European subsidiaries (the European Borrowers ). Certain of the company s domestic subsidiaries (the Domestic Guarantors ) guaranty the obligations under the Facility. The Agreement also provides for a letter of credit sub-facility of up to $\$ 400$ million. All loans borrowed under the Agreement may be borrowed, repaid and reborrowed from time to time until September 26, 2013 (or, in the event that the company s existing $6.25 \%$ Senior Notes are not repaid, then February 15, 2013), on which date the Facility matures.

All amounts borrowed under the Facility, as well as the obligations of the Domestic Guarantors, are secured by a lien on the company s and such Domestic Guarantors accounts receivables, inventory, cash and deposit accounts. All amounts borrowed by the European Borrowers under the Facility are secured by a lien on such European Borrowers accounts receivable, inventory, cash and deposit accounts, as well as certain other assets. At the company s option, borrowings made pursuant to the Agreement bear interest at either, (i) the alternate base rate (defined as the higher of the Prime Rate (as announced by the Agent) and the Federal Funds Rate plus $1 / 2$ of $1 \%$ ) or (ii) the Adjusted LIBOR Rate (defined as the LIBOR Rate as adjusted for statutory revenues) plus, in either case, a certain margin based on the aggregate average availability under the Facility. The Agreement
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also contains representations, warranties, affirmative and negative covenants, and default provisions which are conditions precedent to borrowing. The most significant of these covenants and default provisions include a capital expenditure limitation of $\$ 500$ million in any fiscal year and limitations in certain circumstances on acquisitions, dispositions, share repurchases and the payment of cash dividends.

On March 26, 2010, the company executed a second amendment to its asset based credit facility. This second amendment amends the facility by, among other things, allowing the company to make certain restricted payments, including the payment of cash dividends on preferred stock and make share repurchases, in an aggregate amount of $\$ 50$ million per fiscal year, subject to the maintenance of certain minimum liquidity conditions, removing the ability of the company to elect one- or two-month interest periods with respect to its LIBOR borrowings, making certain technical amendments to permit the company to issue unsecured or subordinated convertible debt securities, allowing the company and its subsidiaries to enter into certain internal tax restructuring transactions subject in certain circumstances to various conditions, and waiving certain technical events of default, including written notice of certain events to JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A. (the Agent ) under the asset based credit facility and certain related security agreements. The company was in compliance with all applicable financial covenants at December 25 , 2010.

The company has never declared or paid cash dividends on its common stock. All 2010 dividends on its preferred stock were paid in cash as permitted under this agreement.

The Facility also includes provisions whereby if the global availability is less than $\$ 218.8$ million, or the European availability is below $\$ 37.5$ million, the company s cash collections go first to the Agent to satisfy outstanding borrowings. Further, if total availability falls below $\$ 187.5$ million, a fixed charge coverage ratio test is required which, based on current forecasts, could effectively eliminate additional borrowing under the Facility. Any event of default that is not cured within the permitted period, including non-payment of amounts when due, any debt in excess of $\$ 25$ million becoming due before the scheduled maturity date, or the acquisition of more than $40 \%$ of the ownership of the company by any person or group, could result in a termination of the Facility and all amounts outstanding becoming immediately due and payable.

At December 25, 2010, the company had approximately $\$ 674$ million of available credit under the Facility. At December 25, 2010, $\$ 52.5$ million was outstanding under the Facility and there were letters of credit outstanding under the Facility totaling approximately $\$ 119$ million. An additional $\$ 0.2$ million of letters of credit were outstanding under separate agreements. Average borrowings under the Facility in the fourth quarter of 2010 were approximately $\$ 33.9$ million at an average interest rate of $3.56 \%$. We did not borrow under the Facility during the first three quarters of 2010.

At December 25, 2010, we had short-term borrowings of $\$ 1.2$ million. These borrowings primarily represent outstanding balances under various local currency credit facilities for our international subsidiaries that had an effective interest rate at the end of the year of approximately $6 \%$. The majority of these short-term borrowings represent outstanding balances on uncommitted lines of credit, which do not contain financial covenants.

In August 2003, we issued $\$ 400$ million senior notes due August 2013. These notes are not callable and bear interest at the rate of $6.25 \%$ per year, to be paid on February 15 and August 15 of each year. The notes contain provisions that, in certain circumstances, place financial restrictions or limitations on us. Simultaneous with completing the offering, we liquidated a treasury rate lock. The proceeds are being amortized over the term of the issue, reducing the effective interest rate to $5.86 \%$. During 2004, we entered into a series of fixed-to-variable interest rate swap agreements as fair value hedges on the $\$ 400$ million of notes. The swap agreements were terminated during 2005.

Capital lease obligations primarily relate to buildings and equipment as indicated in Note D.
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Aggregate annual maturities of long-term debt and capital lease obligations are as follows:

| (Dollars in thousands) | $\$ 89,808$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 2011 | 33,546 |
| 2012 | 432,383 |
| 2013 | 31,518 |
| 2014 | 31,915 |
| 2015 | 250,312 |
| Thereafter | 869,482 |
| Total | 137,294 |
| Less amount representing interest on capital leases | 732,188 |
| Total | 72,368 |
| Less current portion | $\$ 859,820$ |

## NOTE G INCOME TAXES

The income tax expense (benefit) related to earnings (loss) from operations consisted of the following:

| (Dollars in thousands) | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current: |  |  |  |
| Federal | \$ $(107,793)$ | \$ $(41,997)$ | \$ (16,430) |
| State | 1,408 | 2,228 | 6,622 |
| Foreign | 849 | 1,455 | 19,262 |
| Deferred : |  |  |  |
| Federal |  | 233,398 | $(125,945)$ |
| State | (64) | 46,845 | 18,606 |
| Foreign | 15,615 | 45,643 | (760) |
| Total income tax expense (benefit) | \$ $(89,985)$ | \$ 287,572 | \$ $(98,645)$ |

The components of earnings (loss) before income taxes consisted of the following:

| (Dollars in thousands) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 2009 | 2008 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| North America | $\mathbf{( 1 1 4 , 2 3 1 )}$ | $\$(271,520)$ | $\$$ |
| International | $\mathbf{5 7 , 5 5 6}$ | $(39,632)$ | $(846,342)$ |
|  | $\mathbf{~ \$ ~ ( 5 6 , 6 7 5 )}$ | $\$(311,152)$ | $\$(1,579,648)$ |
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The components of deferred income tax assets and liabilities consisted of the following:
$\left.\begin{array}{l|r|r} & \text { December 25, } & \text { December 26, } \\ \text { (Dollars in thousands) } & \mathbf{2 0 1 0} & 2009\end{array}\right)$

As of December 25, 2010, we had approximately $\$ 826$ million of foreign and $\$ 1$ billion of state net operating loss carryforwards. Of the foreign carryforwards, $\$ 640$ million can be carried forward indefinitely, $\$ 15$ million will expire in 2011 , and the balance will expire between 2012 and 2030. Of the state carryforwards, $\$ 26$ million will expire in 2011, and the balance will expire between 2012 and 2030. Additionally, the company has $\$ 1.73$ billion of foreign capital loss carryforwards ( $\$ 468$ million tax-effected) that resulted from a 2010 internal restructuring transaction that can be carried forward indefinitely. Under the tax laws of the jurisdiction, the capital loss carryforward may only offset a future capital gain resulting from an intercompany transaction between the specific subsidiaries of the company involved in the 2010 transaction. Because the company believes that it is remote that the capital loss carryforward will be realized in the foreseeable future, a full valuation allowance has been established against the asset and the company has chosen to exclude the attribute from the above tabular rendition of deferred tax assets and liabilities.
U.S. income taxes have not been provided on the undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries, which were approximately $\$ 715$ million as of December 25, 2010. We have reinvested such earnings overseas in foreign operations indefinitely and expect that future earnings will also be reinvested overseas indefinitely.

Valuation allowances have been established to reduce our deferred asset to an amount that is more likely than not to be realized and is based upon the uncertainty of the realization of certain deferred tax assets related to net operating loss carryforwards and other tax attributes. Because of the downturn in our performance during this recessionary period, as well as the significant restructuring activities and charges we have taken in response, during the third quarter of 2009, the company established valuation allowances totaling $\$ 321.6$ million, with $\$ 279.1$ million related to domestic deferred tax assets and $\$ 42.5$ million related to foreign deferred tax assets. The establishment of valuation allowances and development of projected annual effective tax rates requires significant judgment and is impacted by various estimates. Both positive and negative evidence, as well as the objectivity and verifiability of that evidence, is considered in determining the appropriateness of recording a valuation allowance on deferred tax assets. An accumulation of recent pre-tax losses is considered strong negative evidence in that evaluation. The charge to establish the valuation allowance followed the third quarter
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2009 condition of reaching or nearly reaching a 36 month cumulative loss position in certain taxing jurisdictions. While the company believes positive evidence exists with regard to the realizability of these deferred tax assets, it is not considered sufficient to outweigh the objectively verifiable negative evidence, including the cumulative 36 month pre-tax loss history. Deferred tax assets without valuation allowances remain in certain foreign tax jurisdictions where supported by the evidence.

| (Dollars in millions) | Beginning <br> Balance | Additions | Deductions | Ending <br> Balance |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valuation allowances at: | $\mathbf{6 5 7 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |  |
| December 25, 2010 | 242.5 | 414.5 | $\mathbf{( 7 0 . 8})$ | $\mathbf{\$ 5 8 6 . 2}$ |
| December 26, 2009 |  |  |  | 657.0 |

The following is a reconciliation of income taxes at the Federal statutory rate to the provision (benefit) for income taxes:

| (Dollars in thousands) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 2009 | 2008 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Federal tax computed at the statutory rate | $\mathbf{( 1 9 , 8 3 6 )}$ | $\$(108,903)$ | $\$(552,877)$ |
| State taxes, net of Federal benefit | $\mathbf{1 , 4 3 4}$ | 1,951 | $(3,838)$ |
| Foreign income taxed at rates other than Federal | $\mathbf{( 1 5 , 9 2 6 )}$ | $(21,882)$ | $(29,684)$ |
| Non-deductible goodwill and other impairments | $\mathbf{( 2 9 , 5 2 2 )}$ | 387,735 | 356,138 |
| Increase (reduction) in valuation allowance | $\mathbf{5 , 0 9 4}$ | 13,198 | 47,874 |
| Non-deductible foreign interest | $\mathbf{( 3 2 , 2 8 3 )}$ | 5,526 | 3,661 |
| Change in uncertain tax positions | $\mathbf{( 8 , 5 6 2 )}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 6 1 6}$ | 9,947 |
| Disposition of Foreign Affiliates |  |  | 39,915 |
| Other items, net | $\mathbf{\$ ( 8 9 , 9 8 5 )}$ | $\$ 287,572$ | $\$(98,645)$ |

The significant tax jurisdictions related to the line item foreign income taxed at rates other than Federal include the UK, the Netherlands and France.

The following table summarizes the activity related to our unrecognized tax benefits during 2010:

| (Dollars in thousands) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 2009 | 2008 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Beginning Balance | $\mathbf{\$ 1 4 1 , 1 2 5}$ | $\$ 119,626$ | $\$ 110,407$ |
| Additions based on tax positions related to the current year | $\mathbf{3 , 4 3 6}$ | 5,505 | 10,767 |
| Additions for tax positions of prior years | $\mathbf{2 4 , 9 3 6}$ | 19,149 | 17,720 |
| Reductions for tax positions of prior years | $\mathbf{( 3 2 , 5 7 2 )}$ | $(2,820)$ | $(19,035)$ |
| Statute expirations | $\mathbf{( 1 7 )}$ | $(335)$ | $(233)$ |
| Settlements | $\mathbf{( 2 6 , 3 6 8 )}$ |  |  |
| Ending Balance | $\mathbf{\$ 1 1 0 , 5 4 0}$ | $\$ 141,125$ | $\$ 119,626$ |

Included in the balance of $\$ 110.5$ million at December 25, 2010, are $\$ 78.3$ million of net unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate. The difference of $\$ 32.2$ million primarily results from positions which if sustained would be fully offset by a valuation allowance.

We file a U.S. federal income tax return and other income tax returns in various states and foreign jurisdictions. With few exceptions, we are no longer subject to U.S. federal, state and local, or non-U.S. income tax examinations for years before 2007. Our U.S. federal filings for the years 2007 and 2009 are under routine examination, and it is reasonably possible that audits for some of these periods will be closed prior to the end of 2011. Additionally, the U.S. federal tax return for 2010 is under concurrent year review. Significant international tax jurisdictions include the UK, the Netherlands, France and Germany. Generally, we are subject to routine examination for years 2006 and forward in these jurisdictions. It is reasonably possible that certain of these audits will close within the next 12 months, which could result in a decrease of as much as $\$ 87.4$
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of as much as $\$ 14.3$ million to our accrued uncertain tax positions. Additionally, we anticipate that it is reasonably possible that new issues will be raised or resolved by tax authorities that may require changes to the balance of unrecognized tax benefits, however, an estimate of such changes cannot reasonably be made.

We recognize interest related to unrecognized tax benefits in interest expense and penalties in the provision for income taxes. Because of the settlement reached with certain taxing authorities, we recognized a net interest credit of $\$ 6.7$ million during 2010. We recognized expense from interest and penalties of approximately $\$ 4.4$ million in 2009 . We had approximately $\$ 47.4$ million accrued for the payment of interest and penalties as of December 25, 2010.

In connection with the expensing of the fair value of employee stock options, we have elected to calculate our pool of excess tax benefits under the alternative or short-cut method. At adoption, this pool of benefits was approximately $\$ 55.3$ million and was approximately $\$ 104.5$ million as of December 25, 2010. This pool may increase in future periods if tax benefits realized are in excess of those based on grant date fair values or may decrease if used to absorb future tax deficiencies determined for financial reporting purposes.

## NOTE H COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Operating Leases: We lease retail stores and other facilities and equipment under operating lease agreements with initial lease terms expiring in various years through 2032. In addition to minimum rentals, there are certain executory costs such as real estate taxes, insurance and common area maintenance on most of our facility leases. Many lease agreements contain tenant improvement allowances, rent holidays, and/or rent escalation clauses. For purposes of recognizing incentives and minimum rental expenses on a straight-line basis over the terms of the leases, we use the date of initial possession to begin amortization.

We recognize a deferred rent liability for tenant improvement allowances and rent holidays and amortize these amounts over the terms of the related leases as a reduction of rent expense. Rent related accruals totaled approximately $\$ 267$ million and $\$ 270$ million at December 25, 2010 and December 26, 2009, respectively. The short-term and long-term components of these liabilities are included in accrued expenses and other long-term liabilities, respectively, on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. For scheduled rent escalation clauses during the lease terms or for rental payments commencing at a date other than the date of initial occupancy, we record minimum rental expenses on a straight-line basis over the terms of the leases.

Certain leases contain provisions for additional rent to be paid if sales exceed a specified amount, though such payments have been immaterial during the years presented.

The table below shows future minimum lease payments due under the non-cancelable portions of our leases as of December 25, 2010. These minimum lease payments include facility leases that were accrued as store closure costs. Additional information including optional lease renewals follows this table.

| (Dollars in thousands) | $\$ 883,233$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 2011 | 422,257 |
| 2012 | 364,767 |
| 2013 | 296,775 |
| 2014 | 233,266 |
| 2015 | 682,826 |
| Thereafter | $2,483,124$ |
|  | 52,111 |
| Less sublease income | $\$ 2,431,013$ |
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We determine the lease term at inception to be the non-cancelable rental period plus any renewal options that are considered reasonably assured. Leasehold improvements are depreciated over the shorter of their estimated useable lives or the identified lease term. Lease payments for the next five years and thereafter that include both the non-cancelable amounts from above, plus the renewal options included in our projected lease term are, $\$ 488$ million for 2011; $\$ 441$ million for 2012; $\$ 401$ million for 2013; $\$ 360$ million for 2014; $\$ 328$ million for 2015 and $\$ 1,592$ million thereafter, for a total of $\$ 3,610$ million, $\$ 3,558$ million net of sublease income.

Rent expense, including equipment rental, was $\$ 469.4$ million, $\$ 498.6$ million and $\$ 525.8$ million in 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively. Rent expense was reduced by sublease income of $\$ 2.8$ million in 2010, $\$ 2.9$ million in 2009 and $\$ 3.1$ million in 2008.

Indemnification of Private Label Credit Card Receivables: Office Depot has a private label credit card program that is managed by a third-party financial services company. We transfer the credit card receivable balance each business day, with the difference between the transferred amount and the amount received recognized in store and warehouse operating and selling expense. At December 25, 2010, the outstanding balance of credit card receivables transferred was approximately $\$ 124$ million. The company s estimated liability associated with risk of loss totaled approximately $\$ 14$ million and $\$ 16$ million at December 25, 2010 and December 26, 2009, respectively. This accrual is included in accrued expenses on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Backing the liability is a letter of credit in the amount of $\$ 17.5$ million. The company s arrangement with this third party financial services company is scheduled for renewal during 2011. We anticipate successfully renewing or replacing this arrangement.

Legal Matters: We are involved in litigation arising in the normal course of our business. While, from time to time, claims are asserted that make demands for a large sum of money (including, from time to time, actions which are asserted to be maintainable as class action suits), we do not believe that contingent liabilities related to these matters, either individually or in the aggregate, will materially affect our financial position, results of our operations or cash flows.

As previously disclosed, on October 21, 2010, the company reached a final settlement of an investigation that has been conducted by the SEC. In connection with the settlement, the company, without admitting or denying the SEC $s$ allegations, agreed to pay a civil penalty in the amount of $\$ 1$ million and consented to a cease and desist order from committing or causing violations of Section 13(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (and related rules), which requires the maintenance of accurate books and records and internal controls, and Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Regulation FD. Regulation FD is a rule regarding communication with analysts and investors. In addition, the Company s former Chief Executive Officer also reached a civil settlement with the SEC related to Regulation FD. Under the settlement, without admitting or denying the SEC s allegations, he agreed to an SEC order requiring future compliance with Regulation FD and agreed to pay a civil penalty. The settlement concludes for the company and its former Chief Executive Officer all matters arising from the SEC investigation.

On December 13, 2010, the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit affirmed the dismissal with prejudice of the Consolidated Lawsuit (defined below). As background, in early November 2007, two putative class action lawsuits were filed against the Company and certain of its executive officers alleging violations of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The allegations made in these lawsuits primarily related to the accounting for vendor program funds. Each of the foregoing lawsuits was filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida and captioned as follows: (1) Nichols v. Office Depot, Inc., Steve Odland and Patricia McKay filed on November 6, 2007 and (2) Sheet Metal Worker Local 28 Pension Fund v. Office Depot, Inc., Steve Odland and Patricia McKay filed on November 5, 2007. On March 21, 2008, the district court entered an Order consolidating the class action lawsuits (the Consolidated Lawsuit ). Lead plaintiff in the Consolidated Lawsuit, the New Mexico Educational Retirement Board, filed its Consolidated Amended Complaint on July 2, 2008, and its Second Consolidated Amended Complaint on April 20, 2009. On January 14, 2010, the district court dismissed the Second Consolidated Amended Complaint with prejudice, which led to the aforementioned appeal.
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In addition, in the ordinary course of business, our sales to and transactions with government customers may be subject to investigations, audits and review by governmental authorities and regulatory agencies, with which we cooperate. Many of these investigations, audits and reviews are resolved without incident. While claims in these matters may at times assert large demands, we do not believe that contingent liabilities related to these matters, either individually or in the aggregate, will materially affect our financial position, results of our operations or cash flows. Among such matters, during the first quarter of 2011, we were notified that the United States Department of Justice has commenced an investigation into certain pricing practices related to an expired agreement that was in place between January 2, 2006 and January 1, 2011, pursuant to which state, local and non-profit agencies could purchase office supplies.

## NOTE I EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

## Long-Term Incentive Plan

During 2007, the company s board of directors adopted, and the shareholders approved, the Office Depot, Inc. 2007 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the Plan ). The Plan permits the issuance of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance-based, and other equity-based incentive awards. The option exercise price for each grant of a stock option shall not be less than $100 \%$ of the fair market value of a share of common stock on the date the option is granted. Options granted under the Plan become exercisable from one to five years after the date of grant, provided that the individual is continuously employed with the company. All options granted expire no more than ten years following the date of grant. Our employee share-based awards are generally issued in the first quarter of the year.

## Long-Term Incentive Stock Plan

During 2010, the company implemented a one-time voluntary stock option exchange program that had been approved by the board of directors and the company s shareholders. The fair value exchange program resulted in the tender of 3.8 million shares of eligible options in exchange for approximately 1.4 million of newly-issued options. No additional compensation expense resulted from this value-for-value exchange. The new options have an exercise price of $\$ 5.13$, which was the closing price of Office Depot, Inc. common stock on the date of the exchange, and the majority of the options will vest over three years. The fair value of the exchanged shares was $\$ 2.97$ per share. The new options are listed separately in the tables below.

A summary of the activity in our stock option plans for the last three years is presented below.

|  | 2010 |  |  | 2009 |  |  | 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Shares |  | eighted verage xercise Price | Shares |  | Weighted <br> Average <br> Exercise <br> Price | Shares | Weighted <br> Average <br> Exercise <br> Price |
| Outstanding at beginning of year | 24,202,715 | \$ | 11.81 | 14,479,141 |  | \$ 22.78 | 13,594,302 | \$ 23.86 |
| Granted | 5,140,900 |  | 8.11 | 11,901,752 |  | 0.93 | 3,185,511 | 10.56 |
| Granted option exchange | 1,350,709 |  | 5.13 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canceled | $(4,510,682)$ |  | 21.57 | $(2,178,178)$ |  | 15.99 | $(2,190,928)$ | 21.48 |
| Cancelled option exchange | $(3,739,557)$ |  | 22.85 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exercised | $(2,423,041)$ |  | 0.95 |  |  |  | $(109,744)$ | 10.36 |
| Outstanding at end of year | 20,021,044 | \$ | 7.49 | 24,202,715 |  | \$ 11.81 | 14,479,141 | \$ 22.78 |

The weighted-average grant date fair values of options granted during 2010, 2009, and 2008 were $\$ 3.89, \$ 0.69$, and $\$ 4.64$, respectively, using the following weighted average assumptions for grants:

Risk-free interest rates of $2.3 \%$ for $2010,2.1 \%$ for 2009 , and $2.7 \%$ for 2008

## Table of Contents

Expected lives of 4.5 years for 2010, 4.5 years for 2009, and 4.4 years for 2008

A dividend yield of zero for all three years

Expected volatility ranging from $64 \%$ to $73 \%$ for $2010,70 \%$ to $118 \%$ for $2009,43 \%$ to $65 \%$ for 2008

Forfeitures are anticipated at 5\% and are adjusted for actual experience over the vesting period The following table summarizes information about options outstanding at December 25, 2010.

|  | Options Outstanding Weighted Average |  | Weighted |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Weighted |  |
|  | Remaining |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Remaining |  |
|  |  |  |  | verage |  |  |  | verage |
|  |  | Contractual Life |  | xercise | Number | Contractual Life |  | xercise |
| Range of Exercise Prices | Number Outstanding |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exercise Prices | Outstanding | (in years) |  | Price | Exercisable | (in years) |  | Price |
| \$0.85 \$5.12 | 8,807,048 | 5.17 | \$ | 1.08 | 2,353,445 | 4.91 | \$ | 1.11 |
| 5.13 (option exchange) | 1,315,278 | 6.45 |  | 5.13 | 250 | 0.24 |  | 5.13 |
| 5.1410 .00 | 5,089,187 | 5.06 |  | 8.28 | 1,411,177 | 2.24 |  | 8.31 |
| 10.0115 .00 | 1,290,388 | 3.74 |  | 11.33 | 982,456 | 3.60 |  | 11.36 |
| 15.0125 .00 | 2,965,129 | 1.52 |  | 19.94 | 2,965,129 | 1.52 |  | 19.94 |
| 25.0133 .61 | 554,014 | 2.17 |  | 32.18 | 552,987 | 2.17 |  | 32.17 |
| \$0.85 \$33.61 | 20,021,044 | 4.51 | \$ | 7.49 | 8,265,444 | 2.90 | \$ | 12.39 |

The intrinsic value of options exercised in 2010 and 2008, was $\$ 11.9$ million, and $\$ 0.3$ million, respectively. There were no option exercises in 2009.

As of December 25, 2010, there was approximately $\$ 16$ million of total stock-based compensation expense that has not yet been recognized relating to non-vested awards granted under our option plans. This expense, net of forfeitures, is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 2.0 years. Of the 11.8 million unvested shares, the company estimates that 11.2 million, or $95 \%$, will vest. The number of exercisable shares was 8.3 million shares of common stock at December 25, 2010, 11.9 million shares of common stock at December 26, 2009, and 8.9 million shares of common stock at December 27, 2008.

## Restricted Stock

Restricted stock grants typically vest annually over a three-year service period, however, grants made to the company s board of directors vest immediately and are free of restrictions. In 2010, we granted 173,387 shares of restricted stock with a weighted average fair value of $\$ 8.01$ based on the grant date market price. As of December 25, 2010, all of the shares granted in 2010 had vested. In 2009, we granted approximately 22,000 shares of restricted stock with a weighted average fair value of $\$ 0.85$ based on the grant date market price. In 2008, we granted to employees approximately 2.7 million shares of time-based restricted stock with annual vesting over a three-year service period valued at the grant date market price of $\$ 11.24$ per share. A summary of the status of the company s nonvested shares as of December 25,2010 , and changes during the year ended December 25, 2010 is presented below.
Shares 2010 Shares 2009 Shares 2008

|  |  | Weighted <br> Average <br> Grant-Date <br> Price |  |  | Weighted <br> Average <br> Grant-Date <br> Price |  | Weighted <br> Average <br> Grant-Date |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Price |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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As of December 25, 2010, there was approximately $\$ 1$ million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested restricted stock. The remaining 0.5 million nonnvested awards represent the last vesting tranche of our 2008 annual restricted stock grant. The $\$ 1$ million unrecognized compensation cost, net of forfeitures, is expected to be recognized over a period of 2 months in 2011. The company expects the forfeiture rate of these remaining awards to be minimal. The total grant date fair value of shares vested during 2010 was approximately $\$ 11$ million.

## Retirement Savings Plans

Eligible company employees may participate in the Office Depot, Inc. Retirement Savings Plan (401(k) Plan), which was approved by the board of directors. This plan allows those employees to contribute a percentage of their salary, commissions and bonuses in accordance with plan limitations and provisions of Section $401(\mathrm{k})$ of the Internal Revenue Code. Prior to the end of 2008, employer matching contributions were equivalent to $50 \%$ of the first $6 \%$ of an employee s contributions, subject to the limits of the plan. Company matching contributions were suspended by the compensation and benefits committee of the board of directors during 2009 and 2010. The committee reinstated the company matching provisions at $50 \%$ of the first $4 \%$ of an employee s contributions, subject to the limits of the plan, effective with the first pay period beginning in 2011. Matching contributions are invested in the same manner as the participants pre-tax contributions. The plan also allows for a discretionary matching contribution in addition to the normal match contributions if approved by the board of directors.

Office Depot also sponsors the Office Depot, Inc. Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan that, until December 2009, permitted eligible highly compensated employees, who were limited in the amount they could contribute to the $401(\mathrm{k})$ Plan, to alternatively defer a portion of their salary, commissions and bonuses up to maximums and under restrictive conditions specified in this plan and to participate in company matching provisions. The matching contributions to the deferred compensation plan were allocated to hypothetical investment alternatives selected by the participants. The compensation and benefits committee of the board of directors amended the plan to eliminate the predetermined matching contributions effective with the first payroll period beginning in 2009. Prior to the end of 2008, all deferred compensation plan participants were given the opportunity to take advantage of the transition election rules provided under the final 409A regulations of the Internal Revenue Code to modify distribution elections previously elected for plans years 2005 through 2008. In October 2009, the compensation and benefits committee amended the plan to no longer accept new deferrals.

During 2010, 2009, and 2008, $\$ 80.2$ thousand, $\$ 1.1$ million, $\$ 12.6$ million, respectively, was recorded as compensation expense for company contributions to these programs and certain international retirement savings plans.
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## Pension Plan

The company has a defined benefit pension plan covering a limited number of employees in Europe. During 2008, curtailment of that plan was approved by the trustees and future service benefits ceased for the remaining employees, resulting in a curtailment gain of $\$ 11.4$ million. The following table provides a reconciliation of changes in the projected benefit obligation, the fair value of plan assets and the funded status of the plan to amounts recognized on our balance sheets:

| (Dollars in thousands) | December 25, 2010 |  | December 26, 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Changes in projected benefit obligation: |  |  |  |  |
| Obligation at beginning of period | \$ | 192,131 | \$ | 154,840 |
| Service cost |  |  |  |  |
| Interest cost |  | 10,466 |  | 9,006 |
| Member contributions |  |  |  |  |
| Benefits paid |  | $(4,426)$ |  | $(5,041)$ |
| Actuarial loss (gain) |  | $(14,651)$ |  | 18,107 |
| Curtailment (gain) |  |  |  |  |
| Currency translation |  | $(6,325)$ |  | 15,219 |
| Obligation at valuation date |  | 177,195 |  | 192,131 |
| Changes in plan assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Fair value at beginning of period |  | 120,383 |  | 84,454 |
| Actual return on plan assets |  | 14,959 |  | 22,898 |
| Company contributions |  | 5,105 |  | 5,166 |
| Member contributions |  |  |  |  |
| Benefits paid |  | $(4,426)$ |  | $(5,041)$ |
| Currency translation |  | $(3,999)$ |  | 12,906 |
| Plan assets at valuation date |  | 132,022 |  | 120,383 |
| Benefit obligation in excess of plan assets |  | $(45,173)$ |  | $(71,748)$ |
| Net liability recognized at end of period | \$ | $(45,173)$ | \$ | $(71,748)$ |

The net unfunded amount is classified as a non-current liability in the caption deferred taxes and other long-term liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Included in OCI was a deferred gain of $\$ 5.5$ million and a deferred loss of $\$ 14.5$ million at December 25,2010 and December 26, 2009, respectively. A valuation allowance has been recognized in the relevant jurisdiction, resulting in no tax benefit. The deferred gain is not expected to be amortized into income during 2011. The plan s accumulated benefit obligations were approximately $\$ 177.2$ million and $\$ 192.1$ million at the 2010 and 2009 valuation dates, respectively.

The components of net periodic expense are presented below:

| (Dollars in thousands) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 2009 | 2008 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service cost | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\$$ | $\$ 1,708$ |
| Interest cost | $\mathbf{1 0 , 4 6 6}$ | 9,006 | 13,434 |
| Expected return on plan assets | $\mathbf{( 8 , 0 3 9 )}$ | $(6,291)$ | $(11,629)$ |
| Curtailment and settlement |  |  | $(11,437)$ |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 , 4 2 7}$ | $\$ 2,715$ | $\$(7,924)$ |

## Table of Contents

Assumptions used in calculating the funded status included:

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 2009 | 2008 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Long-term rate of return on plan assets | $\mathbf{6 . 7 7 \%}$ | $6.89 \%$ | $6.62 \%$ |
| Discount rate | $\mathbf{5 . 4 0 \%}$ | $5.70 \%$ | $5.50 \%$ |
| Salary increases | $\mathbf{3 . 4 0 \%}$ | $3.80 \%$ | $3.10 \%$ |
| Inflation | $\mathbf{l}$ |  |  |

The plan s investment policies and strategies are to ensure assets are available to meet the obligations to the beneficiaries and to adjust plan contributions accordingly. The plan trustees are also committed to reducing the level of risk in the plan over the long term, while retaining a return above that of the growth of liabilities.

The long-term rate of return on assets assumption has been derived based on long-term UK government fixed income yields, having regard to the proportion of assets in each asset class. The funds invested in equities have been assumed to return $4.0 \%$ above the return on UK government securities of appropriate duration. Funds invested in corporate bonds are assumed to return equal to a 15 year AA bond index. Allowance is made for expenses of $0.5 \%$ of assets. At December 25, 2010, the long-term UK government securities yield was $4.24 \%$.

The allocation of assets is as follows:
$\left.\begin{array}{lcccc} & & \text { Percentage of Plan } & \\ & & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Target } \\ \text { Allocation }\end{array} \\ & & \mathbf{2 0 1 0} & 2009 & 2008\end{array}\right)$

The fair value of plan assets by asset category is as follows:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Fair Value Measurements at December 25, 2010 <br> Quoted Prices |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | in Active |  |  |
|  |  | Markets for | Significant | Significant |
|  |  | Identical | Observable | Unobservable |
|  |  | Assets | Inputs | Inputs |
| Asset Category | Total | (Level 1) | (Level 2) | (Level 3) |
| Cash | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Equity securities | 95,699 | 95,699 |  |  |
| Debt securities | 36,323 | 36,323 |  |  |

[^0]| (Dollars in thousands) | 3,583 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 2011 | 3,793 |
| 2012 | 4,284 |
| 2013 | 4,548 |
| 2014 | 4,896 |
| 2015 | 31,415 |
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The pension plan was part of an entity acquired in 2003. The purchase and sale agreement included a provision whereby the seller is required to pay to the company an amount of unfunded benefit obligation as measured based on certain 2008 data. The company is in the process of resolving this uncertainty with the seller. We currently cannot predict the outcome of this matter. The after-tax effect of the payment from the seller, if any, will be recognized as a credit to income when all associated uncertainties are resolved.

The company has been in discussions with the plan trustees on reducing the unfunded balance. It is expected that the company will contribute amounts received from the seller to the plan and that the contribution will effectively lower or eliminate other company contribution requirements over the next three years. The amount to be contributed during 2011 currently cannot be determined but is likely to be no less than the amount contributed during 2010.

## NOTE J FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The company measures fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. In developing its fair value estimates, the company uses the following hierarchy:

Level 1: Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
Level 2: Observable market based inputs or unobservable inputs that are corroborated by market data.
Level 3: Significant unobservable inputs that are not corroborated by market data. Generally, these fair value measures are model-based valuation techniques such as discounted cash flows or option pricing models using our own estimates and assumptions or those expected to be used by market participants.
The fair values of cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments, receivables, accounts payable and accrued expenses and other current liabilities approximate their carrying values because of their short-term nature.

The fair values of our interest rate swaps, foreign currency contracts and fuel contracts are the amounts receivable or payable to terminate the agreements at the reporting date, taking into account current interest rates, exchange rates and commodity prices. The values are based on market-based inputs or unobservable inputs that are corroborated by market data. There were no interest rate swap agreements in place at the end of 2010 and the amounts receivable or payable under foreign currency and fuel contracts were not significant at the end of 2010. See Note K for additional information on our derivative instruments and hedging activities.

Fair value estimates may be required to measure certain assets and liabilities on a nonrecurring basis for asset impairments and exit cost valuations.

The following table summarizes the company s financial liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

|  | December 25, 2010 <br> Fair Value Measurement Category |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (In thousands) | Total | Level 1 |  | vel 2 | Level 3 |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commodity contracts fuel | \$ 253 | \$ | \$ | 253 | \$ |
| Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Foreign exchange contracts | \$ 434 | \$ | \$ |  | \$ |
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The company records its senior notes payable at par value, adjusted for amortization of a fair value hedge which was cancelled in 2005. The fair value of the senior notes indicated in the following table was determined based on quoted market prices.

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Carrying | Fair | Carrying | Fair |
| (In thousands) | Value | Value | Value | Value |
| \$400 million senior notes | \$ 400,067 | \$ 398,000 | \$ 400,172 | \$ 345,966 |

There were no significant differences between the carrying values and fair values of our financial instruments as of December 25, 2010 and December 26, 2009, except as disclosed above.

## NOTE K DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING

As a global supplier of office products and services we are exposed to risks associated with changes in foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices and interest rates. Our foreign operations are typically, but not exclusively, conducted in the currency of the local environment. We are exposed to the risk of foreign currency exchange rate changes when we make purchases, sell products, or arrange financings that are denominated in a currency different from the entity s functional currency. Depending on the settlement timeframe and other factors, we may enter into foreign currency derivative transactions to mitigate those risks. We may designate and account for such qualifying arrangements as hedges. Gains and losses on these cash flow hedging transactions are deferred in OCI and recognized in earnings in the same period as the hedged item. Transactions that are not designated as cash flow hedges are marked to market at each period with changes in value included in earnings. Historically, we have not entered into transactions to hedge our net investment in foreign operations but may in future periods.

We also are exposed to the risk of changing fuel prices from inbound and outbound transportation arrangements. The structure of many of these transportation arrangements, however, precludes applying hedge accounting. In those circumstances, we may enter into derivative transactions to offset the risk of commodity price changes, and the value of the derivative contract is marked to market at each reporting period with changes recognized in earnings. To the extent fuel arrangements qualify for hedge accounting, gains and losses are deferred in OCI until such time as the hedged item impacts earnings. At the end of the 2010, the company had entered into contracts for approximately 9.6 million gallons of fuel that will be settled monthly through December 2011.

Interest rate changes on our obligations may result from external market factors, as well as changes in our credit rating. We manage our exposure to interest rate risks at the corporate level. Interest rate sensitive assets and liabilities are monitored and assessed for market risk. Currently, no interest rate related derivative arrangements are in place. At December 25, 2010 and December 26, 2009, OCI included the deferred gain from a hedge contract terminated in a prior period. This deferral is being amortized to interest expense through 2013.

In certain markets, we may contract with third parties for our future energy needs. Such arrangements are not considered derivatives because they are within the ordinary course of business and are for physical delivery. Accordingly, these arrangements are not included in the tables below.

Financial instruments authorized under the company s established risk management policy include spot trades, swaps, options, caps, collars, forwards and futures. Use of derivative financial instruments for speculative purposes is expressly prohibited.
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The following tables provide information on our hedging and derivative positions and activity.

| Fair value of derivative instruments |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in thousands) | Balance sheet location | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| Derivatives designated as hedging instruments: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Foreign exchange contracts | Other current liabilities | \$ | 317 | \$ | 266 |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Foreign exchange contracts | Other current liabilities |  | 117 |  |  |
| Total liabilities |  | \$ | 434 | \$ | 266 |
| Commodity contracts fuel | Other current assets | \$ | 253 |  |  |
| Total assets |  | \$ | 253 | \$ |  |


| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments (Dollars in thousands) | Location of gain/(loss) recognized in earnings | Amount of gain/ (loss) recognized in earnings |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2010 | 2009 |
| Commodity contracts fuel | Cost of goods sold and occupancy costs \& Store and warehouse operating and selling expenses* | \$ 253 | \$ 111 |
| Foreign exchange contracts | Cost of goods sold and occupancy costs | (117) | $(7,707)$ |
| Total |  | \$ 136 | \$ $(7,596)$ |


|  | Amount of |  |  | Amount of gain/ (loss) reclassified |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | gain/(loss) |  |  |  |  |
| Derivatives designated as | recognized |  | Location of gain/(loss) reclassified | from OCI |  |
| cash flow hedges: | in OCI |  | from OCI into earnings | into earnings |  |
| (Dollars in thousands) | 2010 | 2009 |  | 2010 | 2009 |
| Commodity contracts fuel | \$ | \$ 2,919 | Cost of goods sold and occupancy costs \& Store and warehouse operating and selling expenses* | \$ | \$ $(6,800)$ |
| Foreign exchange contracts | $(1,982)$ | (266) | Cost of goods sold and occupancy costs | $(2,229)$ |  |
| Total | \$ (1,982) | \$ 2,653 |  | \$ $\mathbf{( 2 , 2 2 9 )}$ | \$ $(6,800)$ |

* Approximately $60 \%$ of the amounts for 2010 and 2009 are reflected in cost of goods sold and occupancy costs. The remaining $40 \%$ of the amounts are reflected in store and warehouse operating and selling expenses.
As of December 25, 2010, there were no hedging arrangements requiring collateral. However, we may be required to provide collateral on certain arrangements in the future. The fair values of our foreign currency contracts and fuel contracts are the amounts receivable or payable to terminate the agreements at the reporting date, taking into account current exchange rates. The values are based on market-based inputs or unobservable inputs that are corroborated by market data.


## NOTE L CAPITAL STOCK

## Preferred Stock

As of December 25,2010, there were $1,000,000$ shares of $\$ 0.01$ par value preferred stock authorized of which none were issued or outstanding.
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## Treasury Stock

During 2008, the company retired approximately 150 million shares of treasury stock. The par value of the retired shares was charged against common stock, and the excess of purchase price over par value was allocated between additional paid-in capital and retained earnings using a pro rata method. The impact of this transaction on the Consolidated Balance Sheet was to reduce common stock, additional paid-in capital, retained earnings and treasury stock by approximately $\$ 1.5$ million, $\$ 626.9$ million, $\$ 2,298.6$ million and $\$ 2,927.0$ million, respectively. Approximately 6 million shares of treasury stock remain after this cancellation.

Common stock repurchases are currently prohibited under our asset based credit facility and, in certain circumstances, require prior approval under our Preferred Stock agreements.

## NOTE M EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table presents the calculation of net earnings (loss) per common share basic and diluted:

| (In thousands, except per share amounts) |  | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Basic Earnings Per Share |  |  |  |  |
| Numerator: |  |  |  |  |
| Net loss attributable to common shareholders | \$ | $(2,221)$ | \$ $(626,971)$ | \$ $(1,478,938)$ |
| Denominator: |  |  |  |  |
| Weighted-average shares outstanding |  | 275,557 | 272,828 | 272,776 |
| Basic earnings (loss) per share | \$ | (0.01) | \$ (2.30) | (5.42) |
| Diluted Earnings Per Share |  |  |  |  |
| Numerator: |  |  |  |  |
| Net earnings (loss) attributable to Office Depot, Inc. | \$ | 34,892 | \$ $(596,465)$ | \$ (1,478,938) |
| Denominator: |  |  |  |  |
| Weighted-average shares outstanding |  | 275,557 | 272,828 | 272,776 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: |  |  |  |  |
| Stock options and restricted stock |  | 5,300 | 3,836 | 289 |
| Redeemable preferred stock |  | 73,676 | 36,418 |  |
| Diluted weighted-average shares outstanding |  | 354,533 | 313,082 | 273,065 |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per share |  | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Awards of options and nonvested shares representing an additional 13.0 million, 14.7 million and 15.4 million shares of common stock were outstanding for the years ended December 25, 2010, December 26, 2009 and December 27, 2008, respectively, but were not included in the computation of diluted weighted-average shares outstanding because their effect would have been antidilutive. The diluted share amounts for 2010, 2009 and 2008 are provided for informational purposes, as the net loss for the periods causes basic earnings per share to be the most dilutive.

Following the company s issuance of the redeemable preferred stock in 2009, basic earnings per share is computed after consideration of preferred stock dividends. The preferred stock has certain participation rights with common stock resulting in application of the two-class method for computing earnings per share. In periods of sufficient earnings, this method assumes an allocation of undistributed earnings to both participating stock classes. For the third quarter of 2010, but not for the full year of 2010, the two-class method impacted the EPS calculation. For that period, the earnings per share attributable to the preferred share holders totaling $\$ 0.18$ per share, comprised of $\$ 0.13$ per share distributed and $\$ 0.05$ per share undistributed. The portion attributable to common shares was an undistributed amount of $\$ 0.18$ per share. The calculation was not applicable for any other period.

## Table of Contents

The 2010 preferred stock dividends were paid in cash. Prior dividends were paid in-kind and a separate determination of fair value above the stated dividend rate was required for those periods. The valuation reduced basic earnings per share in the respective periods, but because the company reported losses, it was antidilutive in the diluted earnings per share calculation and therefore not applicable. Should the company pay dividends on preferred shares in-kind during future periods, the reported earnings per share attributable to preferred and common shareholders may be different.

The weighted average share calculation used to measure diluted earnings per share includes an assumed tax benefit for certain unexercised stock awards. Because the company has valuation allowances and limited carryback capabilities in certain tax jurisdictions, some of the assumed tax benefit in this calculation may not be available after 2010 until the valuation allowances reverse. If all assumed tax benefits were eliminated at December 2010, the effect of dilutive securities would be an increase of approximately 2.0 million shares.

## NOTE N SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION ON OPERATING, INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES

Additional supplemental information related to the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows is as follows:

| (Dollars in thousands) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 2009 | 2008 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash interest paid (net of amounts capitalized) | $\mathbf{\$ ~ 6 2 , 3 5 2}$ | $\$ 52,631$ | $\$ 55,208$ |
| Cash taxes paid (refunded) | $\mathbf{( 5 4 , 4 5 9 )}$ | $(28,320)$ | 18,848 |
| Non-cash asset additions under capital leases | $\mathbf{1 3 , 2 5 1}$ | 1,813 | 197,912 |
| Non-cash paid-in-kind dividends (see Note B) |  | 30,506 |  |
| NOTE O | SEGMENT INFORMATION |  |  |

Office Depot operates in three segments: North American Retail Division, North American Business Solutions Division, and International Division. Each of these segments is managed separately primarily because it serves a different customer group. The accounting policies for each segment are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies (see Note A). Our measure of Division operating profit is based on the measure of performance reported internally to manage the business and for resource allocation. This measure allocates to the respective Divisions those general and administrative expenses considered directly or closely related to their operations. Remaining G\&A expenses and Charges that are managed at the corporate level are not allocated to the Divisions for measurement of Division operating profit. See Note C for discussion of Charges. Other companies may charge more or less of these items to their segments and our results may not be comparable to similarly titled measures used by other entities.
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The following is a summary of our significant accounts and balances by segment, reconciled to our consolidated totals.


* Amounts included in Eliminations and Other consist of assets (including all cash and equivalents) and depreciation related to corporate activities.
A reconciliation of the measure of Division operating profit to consolidated earnings from continuing operations before income taxes follows.

| (Dollars in thousands) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 2009 | 2008 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division operating profit | $\mathbf{\$ 3 3 4 , 7 5 9}$ | $\$ 323,309$ | $\$$ |
| (Add)/subtract: |  |  |  |
| Charges (see Note C) |  | 253,777 |  |
| Unallocated general, administrative and corporate expenses (excluding | $\mathbf{3 7 2 , 0 5 0}$ | 334,931 | $1,468,684$ |
| Charges) | $\mathbf{5 8 , 4 9 8}$ | 65,628 | 324,134 |
| Interest expense | $\mathbf{( 4 , 6 6 3 )}$ | $(2,396)$ | $(10,286$ |
| Interest income | $\mathbf{( 3 4 , 4 5 1 )}$ | $(17,085)$ | $(23,666)$ |
| Miscellaneous income, net | $\mathbf{\$ ( 5 6 , 6 7 5 )}$ | $\$(311,152)$ | $\$(1,579,648)$ |
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As of December 25, 2010, we sold to customers in 53 countries throughout North America, Europe, Asia and Latin America. We operate wholly-owned entities, majority-owned entities or participate in other ventures covering 41 countries and have alliances in an additional 12 countries. There is no single country outside of the United States in which we generate $10 \%$ or more of our total revenues. Geographic financial information relating to our business is as follows (in thousands).

|  | Sales |  |  |  |  |  | Property and Equipment |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |
| United States | \$ | 8,189,642 | \$ | 8,476,404 |  | 10,083,984 | \$ | 980,426 |  | 1,059,236 |
| International |  | 3,443,452 |  | 3,668,063 |  | 4,411,560 |  | 176,587 |  | 218,419 |
| Total | \$ | 11,633,094 | \$ | 12,144,467 |  | 14,495,544 | \$ | 1,157,013 |  | 1,277,655 |

## NOTE P DISPOSITIONS AND ACQUISITIONS

In December 2010, the company sold the stock of its operating entities in Israel and Japan and entered into licensing agreements with the respective buyers of those companies. A loss on disposition of approximately $\$ 11$ million has been reflected in the operating income of the International Division and included in store and warehouse operating and selling expenses in the Consolidated Statement of Operations. Additionally in December 2010, the company entered into an amended shareholders agreement related to its venture in India such that financial and operating policies are now shared and equity capital balances are equal. The revenues and expenses of these entities have been included through the date of sale or deconsolidation in the Consolidated Statement of Operations and the assets and liabilities of each of these entities have been removed from the year end Consolidated Balance Sheet. The investment in India will now be accounted for under the equity method, with our share of results being presented in Miscellaneous income, net in future periods.

During 2010, the company acquired the remaining noncontrolling interests of an entity operating in Sweden. During 2008, the company acquired a majority interest in that entity, as well as remaining noncontrolling interest shares of our joint ventures in Israel and China.

## NOTE Q INVESTMENT IN UNCONSOLIDATED JOINT VENTURE

Since 1994, we have participated in a joint venture in Latin America, Office Depot de Mexico. Because we participate equally in this business with a partner, we account for this investment using the equity method. Our proportionate share of Office Depot de Mexico s net income or loss is presented in miscellaneous income, net in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. During the first quarter of 2009, we received a $\$ 13.9$ million dividend from this venture. Our investment balance at year end 2010 and 2009 of $\$ 205.8$ million and $\$ 168.6$ million, respectively, is included in other assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The following tables provide summarized unaudited information from the balance sheet and statement of earnings for Office Depot de Mexico:

| (Dollars in thousands) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 2009 | 2008 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Statement of earnings: | $\mathbf{\$ 9 6 1 , 6 1 6}$ | $\$ 825,603$ | $\$ 952,566$ |
| Sales | $\mathbf{2 8 3 , 1 8 9}$ | 243,957 | 278,764 |
| Gross profit | $\mathbf{6 1 , 2 6 9}$ | 61,159 | 74,226 |


| (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Balance Sheet: | $\$$ | $\mathbf{3 2 8 , 8 5 4}$ | $\$$ |
| Current assets |  | $\mathbf{2 8 9 , 2 0 0}$ | 219,030 |
| Non-current assets | $\mathbf{2 0 2 , 8 3 4}$ | 260,074 |  |
| Current liabilities | $\mathbf{3 , 6 6 7}$ | 141,944 |  |
| Non-current liabilities |  |  |  |
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## NOTE R QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)

$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrr}\text { (In thousands, except per share amounts) } & \text { First Quarter } & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Second } \\ \text { Quarter }\end{array} \\ (1)\end{array}\right)$

* Due to rounding, the sum of the quarterly earnings per share amounts may not equal the reported earnings per share for the year.
(1) Net loss for the quarter includes approximately $\$ 13$ million discrete tax benefits from the release of a valuation allowance in Europe and a favorable settlement with certain taxing authorities.
(2) Net earnings for the quarter includes approximately $\$ 32$ million of discrete tax benefits from the settlement of certain tax positions relating to open years as well as approximately $\$ 13$ million of related interest benefits.
${ }^{(3)}$ Net loss for the quarter includes approximately $\$ 12$ million related to pre-tax charges for severance and facility closures, $\$ 11$ million loss on the sale of operating entities, $\$ 51$ million from software write off, and $\$ 13$ million severance and related costs for departing executive officer.

|  | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter ${ }^{(1)}$ | Fourth Quarter ${ }^{(2)}$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Fiscal Year Ended December 26, 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net sales | $\$ 3,225,264$ | $\$$ | $2,824,141$ | $\$$ | $3,029,207$ | $\$$ |
| Gross profit | 910,262 |  | 764,048 | $3,065,855$ |  |  |
| Net loss attributable to Office Depot, Inc. | $(54,739)$ |  | $(82,072)$ | 860,123 | $(398,034)$ | 857,751 |
| Net loss available to common shareholders | $(54,739)$ |  | $(82,558)$ | $(412,965)$ | $(61,620)$ |  |
| Net loss per share*: |  |  |  |  | $(76,709)$ |  |
| Basic | $\$$ | $(0.20)$ | $\$$ | $(0.31)$ | $\$$ | $(1.51)$ |
| Diluted | $(0.20)$ |  | $(0.31)$ | $\$$ | $(0.28)$ |  |

* Due to rounding, the sum of the quarterly earnings per share amounts may not equal the reported earnings per share for the year.
${ }^{(1)}$ Net loss for the quarter includes a charge of approximately $\$ 322$ million to establish valuation allowances on certain deferred tax assets. For additional information see Note G.
(2) Net loss for the quarter includes pretax Charges of approximately $\$ 58$ million (aggregate of $\$ 253$ million through the four quarters of 2009). For additional information on the Charges, see Note C.
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## REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Office Depot, Inc.:

We have audited the consolidated financial statements of Office Depot, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company ) as of December 25, 2010 and December 26, 2009, and for each of the three fiscal years in the period ended December 25, 2010, and the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of December 25, 2010, and have issued our reports thereon dated February 22, 2011; such consolidated financial statements and reports are included elsewhere in this Form 10-K. Our audits also included the consolidated financial statement schedule of the Company listed in the accompanying index at Item $15(\mathrm{a}) 2$. This consolidated financial statement schedule is the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion based on our audits. In our opinion, such consolidated financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.
/s/ DELOITTE \& TOUCHE LLP

Certified Public Accountants

Boca Raton, Florida

February 22, 2011
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INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES
Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts and Reserves
All other schedules have been omitted because they are not applicable, not required or the information is included elsewhere herein.
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## OFFICE DEPOT, INC.

## VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

## (In thousands)

| Column A | Column B | Column <br> C | Column D <br> Deductions <br> Write-offs, <br> Payments <br> and |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
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## INDEX TO EXHIBITS FOR OFFICE DEPOT 10-K

## Exhibit

Number
3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws
3.3 Certificate of Elimination of the Junior Participating Preferred Stock, Series A
3.4 Certificate of Designations of the $10.00 \%$ Series A Redeemable Convertible Participating Perpetual Preferred Stock
3.5 Certificate of Designations of the $10.00 \%$ Series B Redeemable Conditional Convertible Participating Perpetual Preferred Stock
4.1 Form of Certificate representing shares of Common Stock
4.2 Indenture, dated as of August 11, 2003, for the $\$ 400$ million $6.250 \%$ Senior Notes due August 15, 2013, by and between Office Depot, Inc. and SunTrust Bank
4.3 Supplemental Indenture No. 1, dated as of August 11, 2003, for the $\$ 400$ million $6.250 \%$ Senior Notes due August 15, 2013, by and between Office Depot, Inc. and SunTrust Bank
4.4 Supplemental Indenture No. 2, dated as of October 9, 2003, for the $\$ 400$ million $6.250 \%$ Senior Notes due August 15, 2013, by and between Office Depot, Inc. and SunTrust Bank
4.5 Investor Rights Agreement, dated as of June 23, 2009, by and among Office Depot, Inc., BC Partners, Inc. and the investors named in the Investor Rights Agreement
Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of June 23, 2009, by and among Office Depot, Inc., BC Partners, Inc. and the investors named in the Registration Rights Agreement
10.01 Lease Agreement dated November 10, 2006, by and between Office Depot, Inc. and Boca 54 North LLC

First Amendment to Lease dated July 3, 2007, by and between Office Depot, Inc. and Boca 54 North LLC
Amended Offer Letter dated December 31, 2008, for the Employment of Michael Newman as the Chief Financial Officer of Office Depot, Inc.*
10.04 Offer Letter dated August 22, 2008, for the Employment of Michael Newman as the Chief Financial Officer of Office Depot, Inc.*
Office Depot, Inc. 2007 Long-Term Incentive Plan*
Form of Indemnification Agreement, dated as of September 4, 1996, by and between Office Depot, Inc. and each of David I. Fuente, Mark D. Begelman, Cynthia R. Cohen, Herve Defforey, W. Scott Hedrick, James L. Heskett, John B. Mumford, Michael J. Myers, Peter J. Solomon, Alan L. Wurtzel, Barry J. Goldstein, F. Terry Bean, Richard M. Bennington, Harry S. Brown, William P. Seltzer and R. John Schmidt, Jr.
10.07 Severance Agreement, including Release and Non-Competition Agreement, dated September 19, 2000, by and between Office Depot, Inc. and David I. Fuente (schedules and exhibits omitted)*
10.08 Lifetime Consulting and Non-Competition Agreement dated as of March 1, 2002, by and between Office Depot, Inc. and Irwin Helford*
10.09

Equity Award Agreement dated as of March 2, 2007, by and between Office Depot, Inc. and Steve Odland*
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Exhibit

| 10.10 | Employment Agreement dated as of March 11, 2005, by and between Office Depot, Inc. and Steve Odland* <br> 10.11 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Amendment to Executive Employment Agreement dated as of February 19, 2010, by and between Office Depot, Inc. and <br> Steve Odland* |  |
| 10.12 | Amendment to Executive Employment Agreement dated as of July 26, 2005, by and between Office Depot, Inc. and <br> Charles E. Brown* |
| Executive Employment Agreement dated as of October 8, 2001, by and between Office Depot, Inc. and Charles E. Brown* |  |
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Exhibit
10.29 Amended and Restated Merchant Services Agreement, dated as of February 1, 2004, by and between Office Depot, Inc. and Citibank USA, N.A.
10.30 Sixth Amendment to Amended and Restated Merchant Services, dated February 6, 2009, by and between Office Depot, Inc. and Citibank (South Dakota), N.A.

Seventh Amendment to Amended and Restated Merchant Services, dated October 13, 2009, by and between Office Depot, Inc. and Citibank (South Dakota), N.A.
10.32 First Standstill Letter, dated December 28, 2008, by and between Office Depot, Inc. and Citibank (South Dakota), N.A.
10.33 Second Standstill Letter, dated December 30, 2008, by and between Office Depot, Inc. and Citibank (South Dakota), N.A.
10.34 Standby Letter of Credit, dated December 30, 2008, from JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. in favor of Citibank (South Dakota), N.A.

2008 Office Depot, Inc. Bonus Plan for Executive Management Employees*
10.36 Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of June 23, 2009, by and among Office Depot, Inc. and the investors named in the Securities Purchase Agreement
10.37 Change of Control Agreement, dated as of December 14, 2007, by and between Office Depot, Inc. and Steven M. Schmidt*
10.38 Amendment to Employment Offer Letter Agreement, dated December 31, 2008, by and between Office Depot, Inc. and Steven Schmidt*
10.39 Employment Offer Letter Agreement, dated July 10, 2007, by and between Office Depot, Inc. and Steven Schmidt*

Agreement for the Financing of Commercial Receivables, dated September 30, 2009, by and between Office Depot BS and Fortis Commercial Finance
10.41 Specifications Relating to the Back-Up Line Attached to the Agreement for the Financing of Commercial Receivables, dated September 30, 2009, by and between Office Depot BS and Fortis Commercial Finance

Office Depot, Inc. Amended Long-Term Incentive Plan*
Form of Second Amendment to the Credit Agreement, dated as of March 26, 2010, by and among Office Depot, Inc., certain of its European subsidiaries as borrowers, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent and U.S. collateral agent, JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A., London Branch, as European administrative and European collateral agent and the lenders referred to therein**
10.44 Office Depot, Inc. Amended Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan, as revised and amended effective April 21, 2010*
10.45 Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated as of April 26, 2010, by and between Office Depot, Inc. and Charles E. Brown*
10.46 Amendment to Change in Control Agreement, dated as of April 26, 2010, by and between Office Depot, Inc. and Charles E. Brown*
10.47

Letter Agreement with Michael D. Newman, dated as of April 23, 2010*
10.48 Letter Agreement between Office Depot, Inc. and Neil R. Austrian dated November 2, 2010*
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10.49 Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Award Agreement between Office Depot, Inc. and Neil R. Austrian dated November 2, 2010*
10.50 Retention Agreement between Office Depot, Inc. and Michael D. Newman, dated November 4, 2010*
10.51 Form of Associate Non-Competition, Confidentiality and Non-Solicitation Agreement between Office Depot, Inc. and certain executives*
10.52 Form of Change in Control Agreement between Office Depot, Inc. and certain executives*
10.53 Separation Agreement between Office Depot, Inc. and Steven Odland, dated as of October 29, 2010*

21 List of Office Depot, Inc. s Significant Subsidiaries
23 Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
31.1 Certification of CEO required by Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a)
31.2 Certification of CFO required by Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a)

32 Certification of CEO and CFO Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
(101.INS) XBRL Instance Document
(101.SCH) XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
(101.CAL) XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
(101.DEF) XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
(101.LAB) XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
(101.PRE) XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

* Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.
** Denotes that confidential portions of this exhibit have been omitted in reliance on Rule 24b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The confidential portions have been submitted separately to the Securities and Exchange Commission.
(1) Incorporated by reference from the respective annex to the Proxy Statement for Office Depot, Inc. s 1995 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, filed with the SEC on April 20, 1995.
(2) Incorporated by reference from the respective exhibit to Office Depot, Inc. s Registration Statement No. 33-39473 on Form S-4, filed with the SEC on March 15, 1991.
(3) Incorporated by reference from the respective exhibit to Office Depot, Inc. s Registration Statement No. 333-108602 on Form S-4, filed with the SEC on September 8, 2003.
(4) Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the SEC on October 27, 2003.
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(5) Incorporated by reference from the respective appendix to the Proxy Statement for Office Depot, Inc. s 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, filed with the SEC on April 2, 2007.
(6) Incorporated by reference from the respective exhibit to Office Depot, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K405 for the year ended December 28, 1996, filed with the SEC on March 28, 1997.
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(7) Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the SEC on October 31, 2000.
(8) Incorporated by reference from the respective exhibit to Office Depot, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 29, 2001, filed with the SEC on March 19, 2002.
(9) Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the SEC on April 29, 2008.
(10) Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on August 1, 2005.
(11) Incorporated by reference from the respective exhibit to Office Depot, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 25, 2004, filed with the SEC on March 10, 2005.
(12) Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on January 24, 2006.
(13) Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on March 16, 2005.
(14) Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on February 22, 2010.
(15) Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on March 5, 2007.
(16) Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on December 31, 2008.
(17) Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on December 10, 2008.
(18) Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the SEC on October 29, 2008.
(19) Incorporated by reference from the respective appendix to the Proxy Statement for Office Depot, Inc. s 2008 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, filed with the SEC on March 13, 2008.
(20) Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on February 11, 2009.
(21) Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 30, 2000, filed with the SEC on March 27, 2001.
(22) Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 27, 2008, filed with the SEC on February 24, 2009.
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(23) Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on June 23, 2009.
(24) Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the SEC on July 28, 2009.
(25) Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the SEC on October 29, 2009.
(26) Incorporated by reference from the Proxy Statement for Office Depot, Inc. s 2004 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, filed with the SEC on April 1, 2004.
(27) Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on March 30, 2010.
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(28) Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on April 26, 2010.
(29) Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on November 2, 2010.
(30) Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on November 8, 2010.
(31) Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on December 21, 2010.
(32) Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 26, 2009, filed with the SEC on February 23, 2010.
Upon request, we will furnish a copy of any exhibit to this report upon the payment of reasonable copying and mailing expenses.


[^0]:    Anticipated benefit payments, at December 25, 2010 exchange rates, are as follows:

