

ICEWEB INC
Form 10-K/A
January 28, 2011

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Amendment No. 1
to the

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number **000-27865**

IceWEB, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: ICEWEB INC - Form 10-K/A

Delaware
State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization

13-2640971
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

22900 Shaw Road, Suite 111, Sterling, VA
(Address of principal executive offices)

20166
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (571) 287-2388

Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act:

| Title of each class | Name of each exchange on which registered |
|---------------------|---|
| None | None |

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act:

Common Stock, \$0.001 Par Value

(Title of class)

Indicate by checkmark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes No

Indicate by checkmark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Act

Yes No

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§229.405 of

this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by checkmark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained in this form, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a small reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

State the aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was last sold, or the average of the bid and asked price of such common equity, as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter. The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was sold on March 31, 2010, the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter was \$ 20,398,134.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common equity, as of the latest practicable date. The number of common shares issued and outstanding as of December 17, 2010 was 137,975,867 shares.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

List hereunder the following documents if incorporated by reference and the part of the Form 10-K (e.g. Part I, Part II, etc.) into which the document is incorporated: (1) Any annual report to security holders; (2) Any proxy or information statement; and (3) Any prospectus filed pursuant to Rule 424(b) or (c) of the Securities Act of 1933. The listed documents should be clearly described for identification purposes (e.g., annual report to security holders for fiscal

year ended December 24, 1980). None

Explanatory Paragraph

We are filing this Amendment No. 1 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010 as originally filed on December 29, 2010 (the Original Filing) to revise certain disclosure in response to comments from the Securities and Exchange Commission. These revisions did not result in a restatement of our financial statements for any period presented herein. These revisions include:

we have revised Part III, Item 11. Executive Compensation to delete two employees from the executive compensation table. Neither of these employees are considered named executive officers and information regarding them should not have been included in the Original Filing,

the report of Sherb & Co., LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, on our financial statements for fiscal 2010 has been revised to comply with AU Section 508,

certain expense items appearing in our Consolidated Statement of Operations for fiscal 2009 have been reclassified and Note 13 has been revised accordingly, and

Note 14 to our Consolidated Financial Statements has been revised.

This Form 10-K/A also includes new certifications pursuant to Sections 302 and 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 as Exhibits 31.1, 31.2, 32.1 and 32.2.

Except as described above, no other information in the Original Filing has been updated and this Amendment continues to speak as of the date of the Original Filing. Other events occurring after the filing of the Original Filing or other disclosures necessary to reflect subsequent events have been or will be addressed in other reports filed with or furnished to the SEC subsequent to the date of the Original Filing.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|--|--|----|
| <u>PART I</u> | | 3 |
| ITEM 1. BUSINESS | | 3 |
| ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS | | 8 |
| ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS | | 14 |
| ITEM 2: PROPERTIES | | 14 |
| ITEM 3: LEGAL PROCEEDINGS | | 14 |
| ITEM 4: (REMOVED AND RESERVED) | | 15 |
| <u>PART II</u> | | 16 |
| ITEM 5: MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES | | 16 |
| ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA. | | 16 |
| ITEM 7: MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS | | 17 |
| ITEM 7A: QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK | | 24 |
| ITEM 8: FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA | | 24 |
| ITEM 9: CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE | | 52 |
| ITEM 9A: CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES | | 52 |
| ITEM 9B: OTHER INFORMATION | | 52 |
| <u>PART III</u> | | 53 |
| ITEM 10: DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE | | 53 |
| ITEM 11: EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION | | 57 |
| ITEM 12: SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS | | 61 |
| ITEM 13: CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE | | 63 |
| ITEM 14: PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES | | 64 |
| <u>PART IV</u> | | 65 |
| ITEM 15: EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES | | 65 |

Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward Looking Statements

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains statements that are considered forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements give our current expectations and forecasts of future events. All statements other than statements of current or historical fact contained in this annual report, including statements regarding our future financial position, business strategy, budgets, projected costs and plans and objectives of management for future operations, are forward-looking statements. The words anticipate, believe, continue, estimate, expect, intend, plan, and similar expressions, as they relate to the Company, are intended to identify forward-looking statements. These statements are based on our current plans, and our actual future activities and results of operations may be

materially different from those set forth in the forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from the statements made. Any or all of the forward-looking statements in this annual report may turn out to be inaccurate. We have based these forward-looking statements largely on its current expectations and projections about future events and financial trends that it believes may affect its financial condition, results of operations, business strategy and financial needs. The forward-looking statements can be affected by inaccurate assumptions or by known or unknown risks, uncertainties and assumptions. We undertake no obligation to publicly revise these forward-looking statements to reflect events occurring after the date hereof. All subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements contained in this annual report.

OTHER PERTINENT INFORMATION

When used in this report, the terms the Company, IceWEB, "we", "our", and "us" refers to IceWEB, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and our subsidiaries. When used in this report, fiscal year 2010 means the year ended September 30, 2010 and "fiscal year 2009" means the year ended September 30, 2009. The information which appears on our Web sites is not part of this report.

PART I

ITEM 1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

Headquartered just outside of Washington, D.C., we manufacture and market purpose built appliances, network and cloud attached storage solutions and deliver on-line cloud computing application services. Our customer base includes U.S. government agencies, enterprise companies, and small to medium sized businesses (SMB). We have three key product offerings:

Iceweb 3000/5000 Unified Network Storage Solutions
Purpose Built Network/Data Appliances
Cloud Computing Products/Services

Iceweb, the Iceweb logo and other trademarks or service marks of Iceweb are the property of Iceweb.

IceWEB 3000/5000 Unified Data Storage Solutions

IceWEB is a provider of high performance Unified Data Storage solutions. Our storage systems make it possible to run and manage files and applications from a single device and consolidates file-based and block-based access in a single storage platform which supports Fibre Channel SAN, IP-based SAN (iSCSI), and NAS (network attached storage).

A unified storage system simultaneously enables storage of file data and handles the block-based I/O (input/output) of enterprise applications. One advantage of unified storage is reduced hardware requirements. Instead of separate storage platforms, like NAS for file-based storage and a RAID disk array for block-based storage, unified storage combines both modes in a single device. Alternatively, a single device could be deployed for either file or block storage as required.

In addition to lower capital expenditures for the enterprise, unified storage systems can also be simpler to manage than separate products. The IceWEB Storage System offers one platform for file and block data of all kinds. Whether it's Microsoft Exchange, SQL Server or Oracle databases, virtualized environments, scanned images, files, video, pictures, graphics, or voice data, IceWEB maximizes the efficiency of storage by centralizing all data on one platform secured with strong data protection capabilities.

The IceWEB Storage System is an all-inclusive storage management system which includes de-duplication; unlimited snapshots; thin provisioning; local or remote, real-time or scheduled replication; capacity and utilization reporting, and integration with virtual server environments. Unified storage systems enjoy the same level of reliability as dedicated file or block storage systems.

We believe that our product offerings have broad appeal in the enterprise and federal marketplaces, and are used as core building blocks (enabling technologies) of business critical storage infrastructure for a diverse group of data intensive key vertical market segments such as geospatial information systems, entertainment, security and defense, higher education, Internet Service Providers, Managed Service Providers, Oil and Gas, and Health Care. Our innovative storage systems deliver levels of performance, scalability, versatility and simplicity that exceed existing network storage alternatives. Our Unified Network Storage offering is deployed as storage operating system software on our network attached storage (NAS), and storage area network (SAN) hardware products. This Unified Network Storage environment empowers companies to:

- Quickly and easily deploy large complex data storage infrastructure environments
- Reduce administrative costs for managing their storage by making complex technical tasks far more simple to accomplish
- Reduce hardware and capital expenditure costs by more effectively using the storage within the system and repurposing older legacy hardware
- Protect their business critical data by leveraging Iceweb's built-in data replication features
- Integrate with emerging server virtualization software (VMWare, Citrix Xen and Microsoft's Hyper V) to better manage those solutions

IceWEB's file management system replaces complex and performance-limited products with high performance, scalable and easy to use systems capable of handling the most data intensive applications and environments. We believe that our solution delivers three key benefits:

Performance - which equals or exceeds all competitive products

Management which requires less expertise and time from overburdened technical staffers

Cost our solutions typically can be deployed costing two to three times less than those of our competitors, and are far more feature rich

The Competitive Landscape

IceWEB competes with other storage vendors such as Compellent Technologies, Inc., Isilon Systems, Inc., and HP LeftHand Networks. In addition, we find ourselves becoming an alternative in our customers' eyes to purchasing additional equipment from large and expensive legacy storage providers such as EMC Corporation, IBM, Network Appliance and Hitachi Data Systems. With data growing exponentially within all organizations, budgetary and common sense decision making is creating a second tier storage marketplace where our IceWEB 3000/5000 products are perceived as compelling data storage solutions. Customers are recoiling from the high costs and fork-lift upgrades often required by the larger Tier 1 storage providers that are necessary to accommodate their rapid data growth. Rather than purchasing additional expensive solutions from their existing vendors they opt to deploy our products with their versatile and feature rich capabilities in an overflow or project by project type environment. Because our storage capacity can be purchased two to three times more cheaply than the legacy alternatives, these customers are actually able to purchase ahead of their perceived data growth rate.

Purpose Built Network and Data Appliances

IceWEB has been building Purpose Built Network and Data Appliances for several years. Purpose Built Network and Data Appliances are devices which provide computing resources (processors and memory), data storage, and specific software for a specific application. The primary appliance products that IceWEB has built have historically been centered on a single large business partner, ESRI Corporation. IceWEB and ESRI have collaborated to create ultra-high performance IceWEB/ESRI GIS systems that allow customers to access massive amounts of data with unprecedented speed. ESRI Corporation takes full responsibility for marketing to their customers and business partners, via their worldwide sales and consultancy organization.

IceWEB, in an effort to capitalize on what has been a successful model built within the Geographical Information System space, with ESRI has expanded our marketing of our appliance design, manufacturing and support capabilities to additional prospective partners. In October 2010 IceWEB, Spot Image (a large satellite GIS data provider based in France), and Google Corporation agreed that IceWEB would build an appliance to deliver GIS imagery from Spot Image satellite data, powered by Google Earth Enterprise. This Google Earth Engine appliance will be marketed worldwide through existing Spot Image and Google business partners. IceWEB has also recently introduced a Cloud Storage Appliance, a device which allows organizations and/or service providers to rapidly and easily deploy cloud based storage services to their constituents and customers. We are aggressively pursuing other Purpose Built Appliance opportunities and hope that this strategy will begin to contribute significantly to our business ramping over the next six months. Our goal is that the Appliance business segment be grown to contribute approximately 35% of overall business revenue by the end of Fiscal Year 2010. We expect to achieve this through our ongoing sales, marketing and research and development efforts, funded by operations.

Cloud Computing Products and Services

Cloud Computing Services

In December 2005, IceWEB launched IceMAIL™ a packaged software service that provides network hosted groupware, email, calendaring and collaboration functionality. Customers are typically organizations wishing to use Microsoft Exchange and Outlook without having to procure, maintain and manage their own equipment and software. Online services were subsequently expanded to include IcePORTAL™ which provides customers with a complete Intranet portal and IceSECURE™ a hosted email encryption service. Originally such hosted services were referred to with the acronym SaaS, which stands for Software-as-a-Service. Such services, hosted across the internet are today commonly referred to as Cloud Computing. The benefits of cloud computing are many. First, adoption of an application, infrastructure, or storage environment which is available on-demand, with no capital expenditures for the user company represents an attractive proposition from the financial perspective. Secondly, such models greatly reduce the need for highly paid internal technical staff, freeing critical resources to work on more core business related functions. Thirdly, the application software, hardware, and infrastructure needs of organizations are constantly growing and evolving. Cloud Computing allows ad-hoc allocation of resources, cost free software upgrades, and freedom from hardware/infrastructure obsolescence.

Cloud Storage Appliances (CSA)

IceWEB has focused our engineering and research and development efforts on crafting our products to perform as scalable building blocks for those companies or service providers wishing to rapidly deploy high performance infrastructure to enable delivery of Cloud based services. In September 2009 IceWEB introduced a line of devices called Cloud Storage Appliances (CSA). A cloud storage appliance is a purpose built storage device configured for either branch office or central site deployment which allows the housing and delivery of customer data across not only their internal networking infrastructure, but also to make that data available to employees or business partners securely via the internet (often called the cloud). The CSA line has been built to address concerns

within the enterprise marketplace which revolve around hesitation to entrust corporate data to third party providers such as Amazon S3, Mozy, Nirvanix, and others, and to address additional concerns about data access latency and performance. Companies, by implementing our CSA devices, can gain all of the benefits of cloud computing, while mitigating vendor lock-in issues, reducing the potential for security breaches, and maintaining high performance data transfer by back-hauling the data (and replicating it) from remote branch offices across existing wide area network links to the corporate IT infrastructure. An additional obvious benefit derived from the deployment of private or hybrid storage clouds on the CSA products is that companies do not have to pay per-megabyte or per-gigabyte transfer and storage fees to third party service companies.

Sale of IceWEB Virginia, Inc. (doing business as IceWeb Solutions Group)

As described elsewhere herein, in March 2009 we sold our interest in IceWEB Virginia, Inc. (dba IceWEB Solutions Group) subsidiary to an unrelated third party in exchange for the assumption of approximately \$3.2 million in liabilities and 1,000,000 shares of our common stock valued at \$80,000. IceWEB Virginia, Inc. was a provider of computer network security products and services such as access control, wide area network optimization, content filtering, email security, intrusion detection, to the Federal, State, and Local government entities. This subsidiary accounted for 43% and 91% of our revenues for fiscal years 2009 and 2008, respectively. We sold this business in order for us to be able to focus on our high margin storage business.

As a result of this transaction, absent a significant increase in our sales from other areas of our business our sales in fiscal year 2010 will be substantially lower than the prior two years.

Our Customers

Our products have been sold to customers in the U.S., Canada and Europe across a broad range of industries, including GIS; oil and gas; state, local and federal government; and healthcare. We believe that our customers have a high level of satisfaction with our products and services. During the year ended September 30, 2010 one customer accounted for 10% or more of our consolidated revenue.

Sales and Marketing Plans

We intend to sell all of our products via full channel-based model. In a Channel Based sales model, companies with products or services build partnerships with Systems Integrators, other manufacturers, vertical companies (such as ESRI and Spot Image), and distributors and leverage the sales resources of those groups to drive sales of products/services. The value of a Channel Based sales model is twofold. First it allows IceWEB to grow total sales

volume significantly while keeping sales staff (and hence SG&A) low. Rather than building a significant worldwide sales force of our own, this model allows us to build a small Channel Organization responsible for identification, training and support of partner organizations to ensure their success and productivity. The second value of the Channel Based model is that partners bring their own knowledge of key accounts and have relationships already in place this compresses the sales cycle, increases the close ratio on new business, and funnels more sales into IceWEB products and services.

As of September 30, 2010 we have 45 value added resellers, including ESRI, Google, Utilipath, LLC, Spot Image, James River Technical, Inc., and a distribution agreement with Promark Technology, Inc.

We continue to aggressively pursue partner agreements to increase our sales and market exposure and footprint. Such partner agreements typically take between three and six months to develop prior to materially increasing sales revenues.

Manufacturing

Manufacturing is conducted at company headquarters in Sterling, VA. Utilizing chassis from premium manufacturers such as AIC Corporation, Intel, SuperMicro, and others, all systems are built, burned, and tested at this facility by our in-house engineering and production staff. We manufacture data appliances, Modular Lightweight Portable enterprise servers (MLP), workgroup servers, data storage management platforms, as well as an array of database and customized appliances. We use best-of-breed readily available, commercial off-the-shelf products sourced from various resellers and suppliers in our manufacturing process.

Competition

The market for IceWEB storage is highly competitive and likely to become even more competitive in the future. Established companies have historically dominated the storage market, including EMC, Network Appliance, Dell, Hewlett-Packard, Sun Microsystems, Hitachi Data Systems and IBM.

In addition there is additional competition from smaller companies such as Compellent Technologies and Isilon. In the future, new competitors will emerge as well as increased competition, both domestically and internationally, from other established storage companies. The principal competitive market factors are:

- Industry credibility.
- Product scalability, performance and reliability
- Ease of installation and management;
- Software functionality;
- Total cost of ownership;
- Customer support
- Market presence

IceWEB competes effectively across all of these factors. In particular, our product architecture provides significant competitive advantages in terms of performance, scalability, ease of management and low total cost of ownership. OEM partners provide us with a significant number of reference accounts which address credibility and helps marketing to new customers.

Many of the competitors have longer operating histories, better name recognition, larger customer bases and significantly greater financial, technical, sales and marketing resources than we have. Competitors may also be able to devote greater resources to the development, promotion, sale and support of their products. Competitors may also have more extensive customer bases and broader customer relationships than we do, including relationships with potential IceWEB customers.

Research and development

Research and development expenses consist primarily of personnel-related expenses, costs of prototype equipment, costs of using contractors, allocated facility and IT overhead expenses and depreciation of equipment used in research and development activities. We expense research and development costs as incurred. We intend to continue to invest significantly in our research and development efforts, which we believe are essential to maintaining our competitive position. As a result, we expect research and development expenses to increase in absolute dollars, although we expect these expenses to decrease as a percentage of revenue.

Intellectual Property

Success in our technological markets depends, in part, upon our ability to obtain and maintain proprietary protection for its products, technology and know-how. This must be accomplished without infringing the proprietary rights of

others and while simultaneously preventing others from infringing upon our proprietary rights.

IceWEB seeks to protect its proprietary positions by, among other methods, filing patent applications. Patent efforts are focused in the United States and, when justified by cost and strategic importance, we plan to file related foreign patent applications in jurisdictions such as the European Union and Japan. The company has retained an Intellectual Property Law firm and is in the process of preparing filings for two or more provisional patents (Cloud Storage Appliance and WISCSI technologies respectively).

Pending patent applications relate to the rapid ingestion of massive amounts of video and other data and other network storage concepts. It is unknown if any of the patent applications will issue as patents. The patent applications may be opposed, contested, circumvented, designed around by a third-party, or found to be invalid or unenforceable.

Copy right law, trademarks and trade secret agreements are also used to protect and maintain proprietary positions. Our proprietary information is protected by internal and external controls, including contractual agreements with employees, end-users and channel partners. There is no assurance that these parties will abide by the terms of their agreements.

Trademarks are used on some of the IceWEB products and these distinctive marks may be an important factor in marketing the products. Inline® and Inline logo trademarks have been registered in the United States.

Purchase of Interest in VOIS Inc.

As also described later in this section, in November 2009 we purchased 800,000 common shares of VOIS Inc. (OTCBB: VOISD), a development stage company that operates a social commerce website where people can find and do business with buyers and sellers of on-demand work or manufacturing around the world for \$48,000. The shares represent approximately a 6% interest in VOIS as of September 30, 2010.

Our History

We were originally formed under the laws of the State of Delaware in February 1969. For many years, we were a wholesaler of custom one, two, three and four-color processed commercial printing, as well as disposable and durable office equipment including stock paper, fax paper, fax and copy machines, computers, file cabinets and safes. We conducted our business throughout the United States of America and Puerto Rico from our headquarters in New York.

In March 1999, we changed the focus of our business and closed a transaction by which we acquired 100% of the outstanding capital stock of North Orlando Sports Promotions, Inc., a privately held Florida corporation. From 1999 until July 2001, we operated a variety of Internet-related services, however, we were unable to generate positive cash flow from these Internet-related businesses.

In May 2001, we executed an Agreement and Plan of Reorganization and Stock Purchase Agreement with Disease S.I., Inc. Under the terms of the agreement, we acquired 100% of the issued and outstanding stock of Disease S.I., Inc. in exchange for 750,000 shares of our common stock. The transaction was accounted for as a reverse acquisition under the purchase method for business combinations. Accordingly, the combination of the two companies was recorded as a recapitalization of Disease S.I., Inc., pursuant to which Disease S.I., Inc. was treated as the continuing entity. Disease S.I., Inc. was a developmental stage biopharmaceutical clinical diagnostics company planning to employ a broad array of technologies to detect, identify and quantify substances in blood or other bodily fluids and tissues. It intended to derive revenues from patent sub-licensing fees, royalties from pharmaceutical sales, appropriate milestone payments and research and development contracts.

Following completion of the acquisition of Disease S.I., Inc., it became apparent to us that it would be in our best long-term interest that the Internet operations be conducted apart from the biopharmaceutical clinical diagnostics operations. On July 24, 2001, we sold a former officer and director 100% of our subsidiary North Orlando Sports Promotions, Inc., in exchange for the assumption of all liabilities related to North Orlando Sports Promotions, Inc. and its operations estimated at approximately \$112,000, and which included the forgiveness of \$91,500 in accrued compensation. Included in the sale along with the capital stock of North Orlando Sports Promotions, Inc. were fixed assets, rights to several domain names and various contractual rights and obligations.

On November 27, 2001, we acquired 9,050,833 shares of the common stock of Healthspan Sciences, Inc., a privately held California corporation in exchange for 5,000 shares of our common stock in a private transaction exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on Section 4(2) of that act. This agreement was rescinded on March 21, 2002. Pursuant to the rescission, Healthspan Sciences, Inc. returned all 5,000 shares of our common stock issued in the exchange and we returned all 9,050,833 shares of Healthspan Sciences, Inc. which we had received.

On March 21, 2002, we executed an Agreement and Plan of Merger with IceWEB Communications, Inc., a Delaware corporation and its stockholders. Founded in 2000, IceWEB Communications, Inc. enabled interactive communications and education on the web. In June 2001, it had acquired the assets in bankruptcy of Learning Stream, Inc., a provider of streaming services. Pursuant to the agreement, each of the 22,720,500 shares of common stock of IceWEB Communications, Inc. issued and outstanding immediately prior to the merger were converted into the right to receive 0.13375 shares of our common stock, for an aggregate of 303,888 shares of common stock. Each of the warrants to purchase an aggregate of 680,125 shares of IceWEB Communications, Inc. common stock issued and outstanding immediately prior to the merger were converted into the right to receive one warrant to purchase 0.13375 shares of our common stock upon exercise of said warrant.

In June 2003, we acquired 100% of the capital stock of Interlan Communications, Inc., a privately held corporation, in exchange for 25,000 shares of our common stock. In June 2003, we also acquired 100% of the capital stock of Seven Corporation in exchange for 37,500 shares of our common stock and cash consideration of \$123,000. As described later in this section, we sold Seven Corporation company in February 2007.

In October 2003, we acquired 19% of the capital stock of Iplicity, Inc. of Virginia, together with substantially all of its assets including software licenses, source code, potential patents and trademarks for a combined stock and cash value of approximately \$632,000 which included the issuance of 191,381 shares of our common stock and cash consideration of \$65,500.

In May 2004, we acquired substantially all of the assets of DevElements, Inc. of Virginia, including software licenses, source code, potential patents and trademarks, cash, hardware, and equipment. As consideration for the purchase of the assets, we paid DevElements \$100,000 and agreed to the assumption of liabilities up to an aggregate of \$150,000. In exchange for the 19% interest in DevElements, we issued to the stockholders of DevElements 187,500 shares of our common stock and options to purchase 187,500 shares of common stock exercisable at a price of \$27.20 per share and expiring May 13, 2010. We issued to the stockholders options to purchase 6,250 shares, which were contingently exercisable upon the satisfaction of certain performance criteria. The performance criteria, which required contracts, task orders and other work assignments involving billing of at least \$840,000 during the six-month period ending November 13, 2004, was not met and the options were cancelled.

On October 18, 2004, we entered into a non-binding letter of intent to acquire 100% of the issued and outstanding stock of Plan Graphics, Inc. The transaction was subject to approval by the Plan Graphics, Inc. stockholders, and certain terms and conditions, including terms and conditions which are customary to this type of transaction. On April 29, 2005 the letter of intent expired without a definitive agreement having been executed or all conditions precedent to the closing having been completed.

In March 2006 we acquired PatriotNet, Inc., an Internet service provider, for total consideration of \$290,000 of which \$190,000 was paid in cash and \$100,000 was paid through the issuance of 100,000 shares of our common stock. We granted Patriot Computer Group, Inc., the seller in the transaction, certain piggyback registration rights for the 100,000 shares of our common stock issued as partial consideration in the transaction. At the time of the acquisition, the purchase price exceeded the fair value of the assets acquired by \$390,600 which we treated as goodwill for accounting purposes. From the date of acquisition through September 30, 2007 sales from PatriotNet were approximately \$316,000 and represented approximately 6% of our consolidated sales. On December 1, 2006 we sold PatriotNet to Leros Online, Inc., a third party, for \$150,000 in cash and the assumption of \$60,000 in liabilities. At September 30, 2007 we recorded goodwill impairment of \$180,000 related to this transaction.

On December 1, 2006 we sold 100% of the capital stock of our wholly-owned subsidiary, Integrated Power Solutions, Inc. to Mr. John Younts, our Vice President of Integrated Power Solutions and a key employee, for the assumption of approximately \$180,000 in liabilities and the payment of \$12,000 we owed him. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006, sales for Integrated Power Solutions were approximately \$457,000, or approximately, 9.5%, of our total sales.

On November 15, 2006, we acquired certain of the assets of True North Solutions related to its governmental customer business for \$350,000 of which \$250,000 was paid in cash and the balance was paid through the delivery of a \$100,000 principal amount promissory note secured by collateral pledge of the assets, payable immediately upon accomplishment of the novation of the GSA Schedule. Under the terms of the agreement, we acquired the customers, forecast, contract renewals, and GSA schedule of True North Solutions. We permitted True North Solutions to use the purchased assets until December 31, 2006 pursuant to which we acted as the seller's subcontractor until the novation of the GSA Schedule was complete. The novation of the GSA schedule was completed in March, 2009. The assets acquired in this transaction became the basis for our IceWEB Virginia, Inc. subsidiary.

On February 16, 2007 we sold 100% of the outstanding stock of our subsidiary, The Seven Corporation of Virginia, Inc., to PC NET in exchange for the waiver of approximately \$11,000 we owed PC NET. Under the terms of the agreement we may not engage in any staffing services businesses as The Seven Corporation had conducted for a period of at least two years. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006 sales from The Seven Corporation were \$360,000, or approximately 7.5%, of our total sales.

On December 22, 2007, we acquired 100% of the outstanding stock of Inline Corporation, (now known as IceWEB Storage Corporation) for \$1,925,128 in cash, plus 503,356 shares of our common stock valued at \$276,846, the fair

market value on the date of acquisition. The purchase of Inline Corporation included the acquisition of assets of \$2,688,795, and liabilities of \$614,668.

In March, 2009, we sold 100% of the capital stock of our wholly-owned subsidiary, IceWEB Virginia, Inc. to an unrelated party. We exchanged our GSA schedule and 1,000,000 shares of our common stock valued at \$80,000 for the assumption of approximately \$3.2 million in liabilities. In fiscal 2008, sales for IceWEB Virginia, Inc. accounted for approximately \$14,887,587 or 91% of our total sales

On November 3, 2009 we purchased 800,000 shares of common stock from VOIS Inc. for \$48,000 in a private transaction. Immediately prior to the transaction, on October 30, 2010 Mr. Mark B. Lucky, our Chief Financial Officer, joined the Board of VOIS, and on November 2, 2009 Mr. John R. Signorello, our Chief Executive Officer, purchased 1,125,000 shares of VOIS common stock, which then represented approximately 27% of its outstanding common stock, from a former executive officer and director of our company for nominal consideration. Mr. Lucky resigned his positions with VOIS on October 25, 2010.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

An investment in our common stock involves a significant degree of risk. You should not invest in our common stock unless you can afford to lose your entire investment. You should consider carefully the following risk factors and other information in this annual report before deciding to invest in our common stock. If any of the following risks and uncertainties develop into actual events, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially adversely affected and you could lose your entire investment in our company.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR COMPANY

WE HAVE AN ACCUMULATED DEFICIT AND WE ANTICIPATE CONTINUING LOSSES THAT WILL RESULT IN SIGNIFICANT LIQUIDITY AND CASH FLOW PROBLEMS ABSENT A MATERIAL INCREASE IN OUR REVENUES.

We have an accumulated deficit of approximately \$29.6 million at September 30, 2010. For the Years ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, we had a net loss of approximately \$6.96 million and approximately \$2.53 million, respectively. In fiscal year 2010, cash used in operations was approximately \$4.13 million and we had approximately \$540,000 of cash on hand at September 30, 2010. The report of our independent registered public accounting firm on our consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010 contains a qualification expressing substantial doubt as to our ability to continue as a going concern as a result of our net losses and cash used in operations. We reported an increase in our sales from continuing operations for fiscal 2010 as compared to fiscal 2009 of approximately 49.7% which is primarily related to the Company's focus on its storage business beginning in late fiscal 2009. We cannot assure you that our sales will increase in future periods, nor can we assure you that they will not further decrease. As long as our cash flow from operations remains insufficient to fund our operations, we will continue depleting our cash and other financial resources, as well as issue additional equity to raise capital. Our failure to achieve profitable operations in future periods will adversely affect our ability to continue as a going concern. In this event, you could lose all of your investment in our company.

WE WILL NEED ADDITIONAL FINANCING WHICH WE MAY NOT BE ABLE TO OBTAIN ON ACCEPTABLE TERMS. IF WE CANNOT RAISE ADDITIONAL CAPITAL AS NEEDED, OUR ABILITY TO EXECUTE OUR GROWTH STRATEGY AND FUND OUR ONGOING OPERATIONS WILL BE IN JEOPARDY.

Historically, our operations have been financed primarily through the issuance of equity and short-term loans. Capital is typically needed not only to fund our ongoing operations and to pay our existing obligations, but is also necessary if we wish to acquire additional assets or companies and for the effective integration, operation and expansion of these businesses. Our future capital requirements, however, depend on a number of factors, including our ability to internally grow our sales, manage our business and control our expenses. At September 30, 2010, we had a working capital deficit of approximately \$1,250,000.

OUR TARGET MARKETS ARE HIGHLY COMPETITIVE AND DOMINATED BY LARGER COMPANIES AND WE MAY NOT BE ABLE TO COMPETE EFFECTIVELY.

The market for our products is highly competitive and we expect competition to intensify in the future. This competition could result in increased pricing pressure, reduced gross margins, increased sales and marketing expenses or our failure to increase, or our loss of, market share, any of which could seriously harm our business, operating results and financial condition.

Currently, we face competition from a number of established companies, including EMC Corporation, or EMC, Hewlett-Packard Company, or HP, Hitachi Limited, International Business Machines Corporation, or IBM, and Network Appliance, Inc., or NetApp. We also face competition from a large number of private companies and recent public company market entrants, such as Isilon Systems, Inc. Many of our current competitors have, and some of our potential competitors could have, longer operating histories, greater name recognition, larger customer bases and

significantly greater financial, technical, sales, marketing and other resources than we have. Potential customers may prefer to purchase from their existing suppliers rather than a new supplier regardless of product performance or features.

NetApp is our primary competition in the high performance unified network storage system market. They have a significantly greater share of this market than we do. In addition, they are a substantially larger company with more resources than we have.

Our ability to compete effectively in our target markets depends on a number of factors, including:

- our products' scalability, performance, ease of use and cost effectiveness relative to that of our competitors' products;
- aggressive business tactics by our competitors, including selling at a discount or asserting intellectual property rights irrespective of the validity of the claims;
- our success in utilizing new and proprietary technologies to offer products and features previously not available in the marketplace;
- our success in identifying new markets, applications and technologies;
- our ability to attract and retain value-added resellers and OEMs;
- our name recognition and reputation;
- our ability to recruit development engineers and sales and marketing personnel; and
- our ability to protect our intellectual property.

We expect increased competition from other established and emerging companies, including companies such as networking infrastructure and storage management companies that provide complementary technology and functionality. Some of our competitors, including EMC, HP and NetApp, have made acquisitions of businesses that allow them to offer more directly competitive and comprehensive solutions than they had previously offered. Our current and potential competitors may also establish cooperative relationships among themselves or with third parties. If so, new competitors or alliances that include our competitors may emerge that could acquire significant market share.

WE ARE SUBSTANTIALLY DEPENDENT ON CUSTOMERS IN A LIMITED NUMBER OF INDUSTRIES. DOWNTURNS IMPACTING CERTAIN INDUSTRIES MAY RESULT IN REDUCED SALES FOR US.

In fiscal year 2010, a substantial amount of our net revenue was generated from Geographic Information Systems (GIS), state, local, and federal government, oil and gas companies, and our primary distributor, Promark Technology, Inc. . If, however, economic conditions change for these industries, or if we are unable to continue to attract significant numbers of customers in other industries, our prospects for growth could be reduced.

IF WE ARE UNABLE TO CONTINUE TO DEVELOP AND INTRODUCE NEW PRODUCTS AND RESPOND TO TECHNOLOGICAL CHANGES, OUR REVENUE COULD BE REDUCED.

Our future growth depends on the successful development and introduction of new systems and software products. Due to the complexity of network storage systems, these products are subject to significant technical risks that may impact our ability to introduce these products successfully. Our new products also may not achieve market acceptance. In addition, our new products must respond to technological changes and evolving industry standards. If we are unable for technological or other reasons to develop and introduce new products in a timely manner in response to changing market conditions or customer requirements, or if these products do not achieve market acceptance, our revenue could be reduced.

IMPROVEMENTS IN ALTERNATIVE MEANS TO ACCELERATE STORAGE PERFORMANCE OR REDUCE STORAGE COSTS COULD HARM OUR BUSINESS AS THE DEMAND FOR OUR SYSTEMS MAY BE REDUCED.

Our products are designed to improve the performance of many applications, including applications that are based on Microsoft Corporation s, or Microsoft, protocols. Accordingly, improvements to Microsoft application protocols to accelerate storage performance or reduce storage costs may reduce the need for our products, adversely affecting our business, operating results and financial condition. Improvement in other application protocols or in the Transmission Control Protocol could have a similar effect.

IF WE ARE UNABLE TO CONTINUE TO CREATE VALUABLE INNOVATIONS IN SOFTWARE AND HARDWARE, WE MAY NOT BE ABLE TO GENERATE ADDITIONAL HIGH-MARGIN REVENUE THAT WILL ENABLE US TO MAINTAIN OR INCREASE OUR GROSS MARGINS, WHICH COULD REDUCE OUR REVENUE.

Our industry has a history of declining network storage hardware prices as measured on a dollar per gigabyte of storage capacity basis. To maintain or increase our gross margins, we will need to continue to create valuable software that is included with our network storage systems and/or sold separately as a licensed software application. Any new feature or application that we develop or acquire may not be introduced in a timely or cost-effective manner and may not achieve the broad market acceptance necessary to help increase our overall gross margin. If we are unable to successfully develop or acquire and then market and sell additional software and hardware functionality, our revenue could be reduced.

OUR ABILITY TO SELL OUR PRODUCTS IS HIGHLY DEPENDENT ON THE QUALITY OF OUR SUPPORT SERVICES, AND ANY FAILURE TO OFFER HIGH-QUALITY SUPPORT SERVICES COULD REDUCE OUR PRODUCT SALES AND REVENUE.

After our products are deployed within our customers' networks, our customers depend on our support services organization to resolve issues relating to our products and how they perform within our customer's environment. High-quality support services are therefore critical for the successful marketing and sale of our products. If we do not succeed in helping our customers to quickly resolve post-deployment issues and provide ongoing support if our partners do not effectively assist our customers in deploying our products, it would adversely affect our ability to sell our products to existing customers and could harm our prospects with potential customers. In addition, as we expand our operations internationally, our support services organization will face additional challenges, which we expect to include those issues that are associated with delivering support, training and documentation in languages other than English. As a result, our failure to maintain high-quality support services could reduce our product sales and revenue.

OUR PRODUCTS ARE HIGHLY TECHNICAL AND MAY CONTAIN UNDETECTED SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE DEFECTS, WHICH COULD CAUSE DATA UNAVAILABILITY, LOSS OR CORRUPTION THAT MIGHT, IN TURN, RESULT IN LIABILITY TO OUR CUSTOMERS, HARM TO OUR REPUTATION AND A REDUCTION OF PRODUCT SALES AND REVENUE.

Our network storage products are highly technical and complex and are often used to store information critical to our customers' business operations. Our products have contained and may contain undetected errors, defects or security vulnerabilities that could result in data unavailability, loss or corruption or other harm to our customers. Some errors in our products may only be discovered after they have been installed and used by customers. Any errors, defects or security vulnerabilities discovered in our products after commercial release, as well as any computer virus or human error on the part of our customer support or other personnel resulting in a customer's data unavailability, loss or corruption could result in a loss of customers or increased support and warranty costs, any of which may adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition. In addition, we could face claims for product liability, tort or breach of warranty, including claims relating to changes to our products made by our partners. Our contracts with customers contain provisions relating to warranty disclaimers and liability limitations, which may be difficult to enforce. Defending a lawsuit, regardless of its merit, could be costly and might divert management's attention and adversely affect the market's perception of us and our products. In addition, if our business liability insurance coverage proves inadequate for a claim, or future coverage is unavailable on acceptable terms or at all, our product sales and revenue could be reduced.

OUR FACTORING AGREEMENT WITH SAND HILL FINANCE, LLC CONTAINS CERTAIN TERMS WHICH MAY ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR ABILITY TO RAISE CAPITAL IN FUTURE PERIODS.

In December 2005 and as amended during fiscal 2006 and fiscal 2009, we entered into a Finance Agreement with Sand Hill Finance, LLC for a \$2.75 million accounts receivable factoring line. Under the terms of this agreement we agreed not to take certain actions including undertaking a transaction which would result in a change of control of our company or the transfer of more than 20% of our securities and incurring any indebtedness other than trade credit in the ordinary course of business. These restrictions may limit our ability to raise working capital as needed.

WE DO NOT HAVE A DISASTER RECOVERY PLAN AND WE DO NOT CARRY BUSINESS INTERRUPTION INSURANCE.

Our systems and operations are vulnerable to damage or interruption from fire, flood, power loss, telecommunications failure, break-ins and similar events. Our headquarters are physically located in Fairfax County, Virginia, a Washington, DC suburb, in close proximity to the US Capitol, White House, Pentagon, CIA, and numerous other agencies within the intelligence community. All these government installations are considered potential targets of any future terrorist attacks. We do not currently have a disaster recovery plan, nor do we carry business interruption insurance to compensate our company for losses that may occur. We are also vulnerable to computer viruses and/or physical disruptions, which could lead to interruptions, delays, loss of data or the inability to accept orders. The

occurrence of any of the foregoing events could have a material adverse effect on our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations.

OUR MANAGEMENT MAY BE UNABLE TO EFFECTIVELY INTEGRATE OUR ACQUISITIONS AND TO MANAGE OUR GROWTH AND WE MAY BE UNABLE TO FULLY REALIZE ANY ANTICIPATED BENEFITS OF THESE ACQUISITIONS.

Our business strategy includes growth through acquisition and internal development. We are subject to various risks associated with our growth strategy, including the risk that we will be unable to identify and recruit suitable acquisition candidates in the future or to integrate and manage the acquired companies. Acquired companies' histories, geographical locations, business models and business cultures can be different from ours in many respects. Our directors and senior management face a significant challenge in their efforts to integrate our businesses and the business of the acquired companies or assets, and to effectively manage our continued growth. There can be no assurance that our efforts to integrate the operations of any acquired assets or companies acquired in the future will be successful, that we can manage our growth or that the anticipated benefits of these proposed acquisitions will be fully realized. The dedication of management resources to these efforts may detract attention from our day-to-day business. There can be no assurance that there will not be substantial costs associated with these activities or of the success of our integration efforts, either of which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results.

WE HAVE NOT VOLUNTARILY IMPLEMENTED VARIOUS CORPORATE GOVERNANCE MEASURES, IN THE ABSENCE OF WHICH, STOCKHOLDERS MAY HAVE MORE LIMITED PROTECTIONS AGAINST INTERESTED DIRECTOR TRANSACTIONS, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST AND SIMILAR MATTERS.

Recent Federal legislation, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, has resulted in the adoption of various corporate governance measures designed to promote the integrity of the corporate management and the securities markets. Some of these measures have been adopted in response to legal requirements. Others have been adopted by companies in response to the requirements of national securities exchanges, such as the NYSE or The Nasdaq Stock Market, on which their securities are listed. Among the corporate governance measures that are required under the rules of national securities exchanges are those that address board of directors independence, audit committee oversight, and the adoption of a code of ethics. Because our stock is not listed on an exchange we are not required to adopt these corporate governance standards. While our board of directors has adopted a Code of Ethics and Business Conduct and our Board has established Audit and Compensation Committees, we have not adopted all of the corporate governance measures which we might otherwise have been required to adopt if our securities were listed on a national securities exchange. It is possible that if we were to adopt all of these corporate governance measures, stockholders would benefit from somewhat greater assurances that internal corporate decisions were being made by disinterested directors and that policies had been implemented to define responsible conduct. Prospective investors should bear in mind our current lack of corporate governance measures in formulating their investment decisions.

THE EXERCISE OF WARRANTS AND OPTIONS AND THE CONVERSION OF SHARES OF OUR SERIES B CONVERTIBLE PREFERRED STOCK WILL BE DILUTIVE TO OUR EXISTING STOCKHOLDERS.

At September 30, 2010 we had outstanding:

134,443,725 shares of our common stock,
626,667 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock owned by our Chief Executive Officer which is convertible into 626,667 shares of our common stock,
common stock purchase warrants to purchase a total of 8,287,100 shares of our common stock with exercise prices ranging from \$0.20 to \$2.00 per share, and
Stock options granted under our 2000 Management and Director Equity Incentive and Compensation Plan which are exercisable into 11,604,404 shares of our common stock with a weighted average exercise price of \$0.296 per share.

CERTAIN OF OUR OUTSTANDING WARRANTS CONTAIN CASHLESS EXERCISE PROVISIONS WHICH MEANS WE WILL NOT RECEIVE ANY CASH PROCEEDS UPON THEIR EXERCISE.

In December 2005, we issued a seven year common stock purchase warrant to purchase 25,000 shares of our common stock with an exercise price of \$1.00 per share in connection with our accounts receivable financing agreement with Sand Hill Finance, LLC.

These warrants were exercisable on a cashless basis which means that the holders, rather than paying the exercise price in cash, may surrender a number of warrants equal to the exercise price of the warrants being exercised. The utilization of this cashless exercise feature deprived us of additional capital which might otherwise be obtained if the warrants did not contain a cashless feature.

PROVISIONS OF OUR CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION AND BYLAWS MAY DELAY OR PREVENT A TAKE-OVER WHICH MAY NOT BE IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF OUR STOCKHOLDERS.

Provisions of our certificate of incorporation and bylaws may be deemed to have anti-takeover effects, which include when and by whom special meetings of our stockholders may be called, and may delay, defer or prevent a takeover attempt. In addition, certain provisions of the Delaware General Corporations Law also may be deemed to have certain anti-takeover effects which include that control of shares acquired in excess of certain specified thresholds will not possess any voting rights unless these voting rights are approved by a majority of a corporation's disinterested stockholders.

In addition, our certificate of incorporation authorizes the issuance of up to 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock with such rights and preferences as may be determined from time to time by our Board of Directors. We presently have outstanding 626,667 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock. Our Board of Directors may, without stockholder approval, issue additional series of preferred stock with dividends, liquidation, conversion, voting or other rights that could adversely affect the voting power or other rights of the holders of our common stock.

OUR COMMON STOCK COULD BE REMOVED FROM QUOTATION ON THE OTCBB IF WE FAIL TO TIMELY FILE OUR ANNUAL OR QUARTERLY REPORTS. IF OUR COMMON STOCK WAS NO LONGER ELIGIBLE FOR QUOTATION ON THE OTCBB, THE LIQUIDITY OF OUR STOCK MAY BE FURTHER ADVERSELY IMPACTED.

Under the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission we are required to file our quarterly reports within 45 days from the end of the fiscal quarter and our annual report within 90 days from the end of our fiscal year. Under rules adopted by the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA) in 2005 which is informally known as the

Three Strikes Rule , a FINRA member is prohibited from quoting securities of an OTCBB issuer such as our company if the issuer either fails to timely file these reports or is otherwise delinquent in the filing requirements three times in the prior two year period or if the issuer's common stock has been removed from quotation on the OTCBB twice in that two year period. If we were to fail to file three reports on a timely basis our stock would be removed from quotation on the OTCBB and would in all likelihood then be quoted on the Pink Sheets Electronic Quotation Service. Pink Sheets offers a quotation service to companies that are unable to list their securities on the OTCBB or an exchange. The requirements for listing on the Pink Sheets are considerably lower and less regulated than those of the OTCBB an exchange. If our common stock were to be quoted on the Pink Sheets, it is possible that even fewer brokers or dealers would be interested in making a market in our common stock which would further adversely impact its liquidity.

THE APPLICATION OF THE PENNY STOCK RULES TO OUR COMMON STOCK COULD LIMIT THE TRADING AND LIQUIDITY OF OUR COMMON STOCK, ADVERSELY AFFECT THE MARKET PRICE OF OUR COMMON STOCK AND INCREASE STOCKHOLDER TRANSACTION COSTS TO SELL THOSE SHARES.

As long as the trading price of our common stock is below \$5.00 per share, the open-market trading of our common stock will be subject to the penny stock rules, unless we otherwise qualify for an exemption from the penny stock definition. The penny stock rules impose additional sales practice requirements on certain broker-dealers who sell securities to persons other than established customers and accredited investors (generally those with assets in excess of \$1,000,000 or annual income exceeding \$200,000 or \$300,000 together with their spouse). These regulations, if they apply, require the delivery, prior to any transaction involving a penny stock, of a disclosure schedule explaining the penny stock market and the associated risks. Under these regulations, certain brokers who recommend such securities to persons other than established customers or certain accredited investors must make a special written suitability determination regarding such a purchaser and receive such purchaser's written agreement to a transaction prior to sale. These regulations may have the effect of limiting the trading activity of our common stock, reducing the liquidity of an investment in our common stock and increasing the transaction costs for sales and purchases of our common stock as compared to other securities.

THE MARKET PRICE FOR OUR COMMON STOCK MAY BE PARTICULARLY VOLATILE GIVEN OUR STATUS AS A RELATIVELY UNKNOWN COMPANY WITH A LACK OF PROFITS, WHICH COULD LEAD TO WIDE FLUCTUATIONS IN OUR SHARE PRICE. THE PRICE AT WHICH STOCKHOLDERS PURCHASE SHARES OF OUR COMMON STOCK MAY NOT BE INDICATIVE OF THE PRICE OF OUR COMMON STOCK THAT WILL PREVAIL IN THE TRADING MARKET.

The market for our common stock has been characterized by significant price volatility when compared to seasoned issuers, and we expect that our stock price could continue to be more volatile than a seasoned issuer for the indefinite future. The potential volatility in our share price is attributable to a number of factors. First, there has been limited

trading in our common stock. As a consequence of this lack of liquidity, any future trading of shares by our stockholders may disproportionately influence the price of those shares in either direction. Second, we are a speculative or risky investment due to our limited operating history and lack of profits to date, and uncertainty of future market acceptance for our potential products. As a consequence of this enhanced risk, more risk averse investors may, under the fear of losing all or most of their investment in the event of negative news or lack of progress, be more inclined to sell their shares on the market more quickly and at greater discounts than would be the case with the stock of a seasoned issuer. Many of these factors will be beyond our control and may decrease the market price of our common stock, regardless of our operating performance. We cannot make any predictions or projections as to what the prevailing market price for our common stock will be at any time or as to what effect that the sale of shares or the availability of shares for sale at any time will have on the prevailing market price.

In addition, the market price of our common stock could be subject to wide fluctuations in response to:

- quarterly variations in our sales and operating expenses;
- announcements of new products or services by us;
- fluctuations in interest rates;
- significant sales of our common stock;
- the operating and stock price performance of other companies that investors may deem comparable to us; and
- news reports relating to trends in our markets or general economic conditions.

SHARES ELIGIBLE FOR FUTURE SALE MAY ADVERSELY AFFECT THE MARKET.

From time to time, certain of our stockholders may be eligible to sell all or some of their shares of common stock by means of ordinary brokerage transactions in the open market pursuant to Rule 144 promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, subject to certain limitations. In general, pursuant to Rule 144, a stockholder (or stockholders whose shares are aggregated) who is not an affiliate of our company and who has satisfied a six month holding period may, as long as we are current in our required filings with the SEC, sell securities without further limitation. Rule 144 also permits, under certain circumstances, the sale of securities, without any limitations, by a non-affiliate of our company who has satisfied a one-year holding period. Affiliates of our company who have satisfied a six month holding period may sell securities subject to volume limitations. Any substantial sale of our common stock pursuant to Rule 144 or pursuant to any resale prospectus may have an adverse effect on the market price of our securities. Currently, almost all of our securities are either free trading or subject to the release of trading restrictions under the six month or one year holding periods of Rule 144.

COMPLIANCE WITH THE RULES ESTABLISHED BY THE SEC PURSUANT TO SECTION 404 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002 WILL BE COMPLEX. FAILURE TO COMPLY IN A TIMELY MANNER COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT INVESTOR CONFIDENCE AND OUR STOCK PRICE.

Rules adopted by the SEC pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 require us to perform an annual assessment of our internal controls over financial reporting and certify the effectiveness of those controls. The standards that must be met for management to assess the internal controls over financial reporting as now in effect are complex, and require significant documentation, testing and possible remediation to meet the detailed standards. We may encounter problems or delays in completing activities necessary to make an assessment of our internal controls over financial reporting.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS.

Not applicable to a smaller reporting company.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES.

In February 2009, we entered into a two year lease for approximately 6,978 square feet of office space in which our principal executive offices are located for annual base rental of approximately \$74,400. We are also responsible for our pro rata share of certain pass through costs. We have the option to renew this lease for one additional five year term.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

At September 30, 2010 we are the subject of, or party to, eight known, pending or threatened, legal actions. As of the date of this report on Form 10-K, one of the legal proceedings have been resolved. Following is a discussion of each:

1. We were named as the defendant in a legal proceeding brought by Immixtechnology, Inc. (the plaintiff) in the Fairfax County Circuit Court, Fairfax, Virginia. The plaintiff asserts that Iceweb failed to pay for certain computer components purchased from plaintiff.

2. We were named as the defendant in a legal proceeding brought by International Business Machines Corporation-IBM Internet Security Systems Division (the plaintiff) in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of Westchester. The plaintiff asserts that the Company failed to pay certain invoices for goods or services sold to IceWeb Virginia, Inc. by plaintiff for resale to its customers.

3. We were named as the defendant in a legal proceeding brought by Charles Rothermel (the plaintiff) in the Equal Opportunity Commission. The plaintiff asserts that Iceweb breached its employment agreement with him.

4. We were named as the defendant in a legal proceeding brought by Charles Rothermel (the plaintiff) in the Equal Opportunity Commission. The plaintiff asserts that Iceweb discriminated against him on the basis of age.

5. We were named as the defendant in a legal proceeding brought by FedEx Customer Information Services, Inc. (the plaintiff) in the Circuit Court of Fairfax County, Virginia. The plaintiff asserts that Iceweb failed to pay for delivery of services provided by plaintiff.

6. We were named as the defendant in a legal proceeding brought by FedEx Customer Information Services, Inc. (the plaintiff) in the Circuit Court of Fairfax County, Virginia. The plaintiff asserts that Iceweb failed to pay for delivery of services provided by plaintiff.

7. We were named as the defendant in a legal proceeding brought by Computerlinks of North America, Inc. (the plaintiff) in the Circuit Court of Travis County, Texas. The plaintiff asserts that Iceweb failed to pay for delivery of services provided by plaintiff. The plaintiff received a Summary Judgment for \$141,144.22 as of November 5, 2010.

8. We were named as the defendant in a legal proceeding brought by FCN, Inc. (the plaintiff) in the Maryland Court of Special Appeals. The plaintiff asserts that Iceweb failed to pay for delivery of services provided by plaintiff. This suit was settled on October 22, 2010 in the amount of \$65,000.

From time to time, we may become involved in various lawsuits and legal proceedings, which arise in the ordinary course of business. However, litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties, and an adverse result in these or other matters may arise from time to time that may harm our business.

ITEM 4. (REMOVED AND RESERVED)

PART II**ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS.**

Our common stock is quoted on the OTCBB under the symbol IWEB. The reported high and low bid prices for the common stock as reported on the OTCBB are shown below for the periods indicated. The quotations reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, markdown or commission, and may not represent actual transactions.

| | | High | | Low |
|---|----|-------------|----|------------|
| Fiscal 2009 | | | | |
| First quarter ended December 31, 2008 | \$ | 0.18 | \$ | 0.041 |
| Second quarter ended March 31, 2009 | \$ | 0.15 | \$ | 0.052 |
| Third quarter ended June 30, 2009 | \$ | 0.11 | \$ | 0.05 |
| Fourth quarter ended September 30, 2009 | \$ | 0.14 | \$ | 0.05 |
| Fiscal 2010 | | | | |
| First quarter ended December 31, 2009 | \$ | 0.235 | \$ | 0.07 |
| Second quarter ended March 31, 2010 | \$ | 0.23 | \$ | 0.075 |
| Third quarter ended June 30, 2010 | \$ | 0.47 | \$ | 0.135 |
| Fourth quarter ended September 30, 2010 | \$ | 0.30 | \$ | 0.105 |

As of December 20, 2010 the last sale price of our common shares as reported on the OTC Bulletin Board was \$0.17 per share. As of December 20, 2010, there were approximately 3,875 record owners of our common stock.

Dividend Policy

We have never paid cash dividends on our common stock. Under Delaware law, we may declare and pay dividends on our capital stock either out of our surplus, as defined in the relevant Delaware statutes, or if there is no such surplus, out of our net profits for the fiscal year in which the dividend is declared and/or the preceding fiscal year. If, however, the capital of our company, computed in accordance with the relevant Delaware statutes, has been diminished by depreciation in the value of our property, or by losses, or otherwise, to an amount less than the aggregate amount of the capital represented by the issued and outstanding stock of all classes having a preference upon the distribution of assets, we are prohibited from declaring and paying out of such net profits any dividends upon any shares of our capital stock until the deficiency in the amount of capital represented by the issued and outstanding stock of all classes having a preference upon the distribution of assets shall have been repaired.

We do not anticipate that any cash dividends will be declared or paid on our common stock in the foreseeable future.

Recent Sales Of Unregistered Securities

In July 2010, we issued 2,678,571 shares of common stock valued at \$401,786 to Optimus Capital Partners, LLC as consideration in the settlement of certain litigation. The recipient was an accredited investor and the issuance was exempt from registration under the Section Act of 1933 in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

In September 2010, we issued 3,000,000 shares of our common stock in full satisfaction of \$1,090,136 of principal and interest due under a convertible debenture. The recipient was an accredited investor and the issuance was exempt from registration under the Section Act of 1933 in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

None.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

Not applicable for a smaller reporting company.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the accompanying consolidated financial statements and notes thereto. In addition to historical financial information, the following discussion contains forward-looking statements that reflect our plans, estimates and beliefs and are subject to a number of known and unknown risks and external factors that in addition to general, economic, competitive and other business conditions, could cause actual results, performance and achievements to differ materially from those described or implied in the forward-looking statements, as more fully discussed below and elsewhere in this filing.

OVERVIEW

IceWEB is a provider of high performance Unified Data Storage solutions. Our storage systems make it possible to run and manage files and applications from a single device and consolidate file-based and block-based access in a single storage platform which supports Fibre Channel SAN, IP-based SAN (iSCSI), and NAS (network attached storage).

A unified storage system simultaneously enables storage of file data and handles the block-based I/O (input/output) of enterprise applications. One advantage of unified storage is reduced hardware requirements. Instead of separate storage platforms, like NAS for file-based storage and a RAID disk array for block-based storage, unified storage combines both modes in a single device. Alternatively, a single device could be deployed for either file or block storage as required.

In addition to lower capital expenditures for the enterprise, unified storage systems can also be simpler to manage than separate products. The IceWEB Storage System offers one platform for file and block data of all kinds. Whether it's Microsoft Exchange, SQL Server or Oracle databases, virtualized environments, scanned images, files, video, pictures, graphics, or voice data, IceWEB maximizes the efficiency of storage by centralizing all data on one platform secured with strong data protection capabilities.

The IceWEB Storage System is an all-inclusive storage management system which includes de-duplication; unlimited snapshots; thin provisioning; local or remote, real-time or scheduled replication; capacity and utilization reporting, and integration with virtual server environments. Unified storage systems enjoy the same level of reliability as dedicated file or block storage systems.

We believe our business model is highly differentiated and provides us with several competitive advantages. We sell our products through a two-tier channel sales model designed to enable us to quickly scale and cost effectively increase sales. We have built a strong internal sales team, which is spread geographically by region throughout the United States to assist our channel partners with sales calls, service and support offerings, product matter expertise, configuration and pricing, and product demonstration.

On December 22, 2007, we acquired 100% of the outstanding stock of Inline Corporation, (now known as IceWEB Storage Corporation) for \$1,925,128 in cash, plus 503,356 shares of our common stock valued at \$276,846, the fair market value on the date of acquisition. The purchase of Inline Corporation included the acquisition of assets of \$2,688,795, and liabilities of \$614,668.

In March, 2009, we sold 100% of the capital stock of our wholly-owned subsidiary, IceWEB Virginia, Inc. to an unrelated party. We exchanged our GSA schedule and 1,000,000 shares of our common stock valued at \$80,000 for the assumption of approximately \$3.2 million in liabilities. In fiscal 2008, sales for IceWEB Virginia, Inc. accounted for approximately \$14,887,587 or 91% of our total sales

On November 3, 2009 we purchased 800,000 shares of common stock from VOIS Inc. for \$48,000 in a private transaction. Immediately prior to the transaction, on October 30, 2010 Mr. Mark B. Lucky, our Chief Financial Officer, joined the Board of VOIS, and on November 2, 2009 Mr. John R. Signorello, our Chief Executive Officer, purchased 1,125,000 shares of VOIS common stock, which then represented approximately 27% of its outstanding common stock, from a former executive officer and director of our company for nominal consideration. Mr. Lucky resigned his positions with VOIS on October 25, 2010.

CHANGE IN PRESENTATION

We have changed the presentation of our results for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009 to reflect as discontinued operations the results of operations of our IceWEB Virginia, Inc. subsidiary in response to comments from the staff of the SEC. This change did not result in a restatement of our 2009 financial statements. As noted above, we sold 100% of the capital stock of our wholly-owned subsidiary, IceWEB Virginia, Inc. to an unrelated party in March, 2009. Discontinued operations reflect the results from IceWEB Virginia, Inc. through the date of sale.

Results of Operations

FISCAL YEAR 2010 AS COMPARED TO FISCAL YEAR 2009

The following table provides an overview of certain key factors of our results of operations for fiscal year 2010 as compared to fiscal year 2009:

| | Fiscal Year Ended September 30, | | \$ | % |
|---|--|----------------|---------------|---------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | Change | Change |
| Sales | \$ 3,353,286 | \$ 2,240,363 | \$ 1,112,923 | 49.7 % |
| Cost of sales | 1,742,110 | 1,326,385 | 415,725 | 31.3 % |
| Operating Expenses: | | | | |
| Sales and marketing | 1,690,684 | 1,004,970 | 685,714 | 68.2 % |
| Depreciation and amortization | 662,003 | 696,723 | (34,720) | (5.0)% |
| Research and development | 533,713 | 336,616 | 197,097 | 58.6 % |
| General and administrative | 5,325,898 | 3,538,086 | 1,787,812 | 50.5 % |
| Total operating expenses | 8,212,298 | 5,576,395 | 2,635,903 | 47.3 % |
| Loss from continuing operations | (6,601,122) | (4,662,417) | (1,938,705) | 41.6 % |
| Income from discontinued operations | | 136,408 | (136,408) | (100.0)% |
| Interest expense related to discontinued operations | | (205,940) | 205,940 | 100.0 % |
| Gain from sale of subsidiary | | 2,666,236 | (2,666,236) | (100.0)% |
| Other expense | (363,111) | (460,889) | 97,778 | 21.2 % |
| Net loss | \$ (6,964,233) | \$ (2,526,602) | (4,437,631) | 175.6 % |

Other Key Indicators:

| | Fiscal 2010 | Fiscal 2009 | Change |
|--|------------------------|------------------------|---------------|
| Cost of sales as a percentage of sales | 51.95% | 59.20% | (7.3)% |
| Gross profit margin | 48.05% | 40.80% | 7.3 % |
| General and administrative expenses as a percentage of sales | 158.83% | 157.92% | 0.9 % |
| Total operating expenses as a percentage of sales | 244.90% | 248.91% | (4.0)% |

Sales

Our sales increased approximately 49.7% in fiscal year 2010 from fiscal year 2009. Of our total net sales for fiscal 2010, approximately \$3,152,346 is attributable to our sale of storage products, and approximately \$200,940 is attributable to sales from our online products and services. Of our total net sales for fiscal 2009, approximately \$1,957,856 is attributable to our sale of storage products, and approximately \$282,507 is attributable to sales from our online products and services.

The increase in fiscal 2010 net sales from fiscal 2009 is primarily due to the increase in our IceWEB storage products, as we have refocused our efforts on our leading edge storage products. We anticipate revenues for fiscal 2011 will increase due to sales of our Unified Network Storage Solutions and other data storage products.

Cost of Sales and Gross Profit

Our cost of sales consists primarily of products purchased to manufacture our storage products. For fiscal 2010, cost of sales was approximately 52.0% of sales, as compared to approximately 59.0% of sales, for fiscal 2009. The decrease in costs of sales as a percentage of revenue and the corresponding increase in our gross profit margin for fiscal 2010 as compared to fiscal 2009 was the result of an increase in higher margin storage sales in fiscal 2010. We anticipate that our cost of sales as a percentage of revenue will remain in the 50% to 55% range in fiscal 2011, as 95% of our fiscal 2010 revenues are expected to come primarily from our higher margin storage business.

Total Operating Expenses

Our total operating expenses increased approximately 47% for fiscal 2010 as compared to fiscal 2009. The increase is primarily due to increased headcount in sales and marketing, increased investment in research and development, and our investment in launching our channel sales distribution model. This increase includes:

Sales and Marketing. Sales and marketing expense includes salaries, commission, occupancy, telephone, travel, and entertainment expenses for direct sales personnel. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010, sales and marketing costs were \$1,690,684 as compared to \$1,004,970 for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009, an increase of \$685,714 or approximately 68.2%. The increase was due primarily to hiring additional sales and marketing personnel to support our channel distribution sales and marketing approach during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010

Depreciation and amortization expense. For fiscal 2010, depreciation and amortization expense decreased approximately 5% from fiscal 2009.

Amortization expense is related to the customer relationships and manufacturing GSA schedule which are intangible assets that we generated through our acquisition of Inline Corporation. The GSA schedule is being amortized on a straight-line basis over three years. Amortization expense was \$243,090 for both fiscal 2010 and fiscal 2009.

Research and development expense. For fiscal 2010, research and development expenses increased approximately 59% from fiscal 2009. This increase is related to increased research and development efforts related to our storage products. We anticipate the spending on research and development in fiscal 2011 will be approximately \$175,000 per quarter related to developing and enhancing our storage solutions and pursuing intellectual property patents when we believe it is warranted.

General and administrative expense. For fiscal 2010, general and administrative expenses increased approximately 51% from fiscal 2009. This increase is primarily attributable to higher stock-based compensation, higher investor relations expense, higher bad debt expense, and higher legal and professional fees. For fiscal 2010 and 2009, general and administrative expenses consisted of the following:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|----------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Salaries/benefits | 3,483,798 | 2,897,647 |
| Occupancy | 24,139 | 50,258 |
| Professional fees | 659,547 | 82,929 |
| Other | 518,303 | 125,922 |
| Consulting | 193,783 | 85,738 |
| Investor Relations | 358,780 | 173,686 |
| Travel/Entertainment | 32,361 | 26,867 |
| Internet/Phone | 8,883 | 35,967 |
| Insurance | 46,304 | 59,072 |
| | 5,325,898 | 3,538,086 |

The principal changes in fiscal 2010 as compared to fiscal 2009 include:

For fiscal 2010, salaries and related taxes and benefits increased approximately 20.2% from fiscal 2009. The increase was primarily attributable to the increase in headcount, the increase in stock based compensation, and expense recorded in accordance with ASC Topic 718, Compensation - Stock Compensation (Formerly SFAS No. 123 (R), Share-Based Payments), for fiscal 2010 of \$329,604, an increase of 15%.

For fiscal 2010, occupancy expense decreased approximately 52% from fiscal 2009. The decrease was due to consolidation and relocation of office locations.

For fiscal 2010, professional fees increased \$576,619, or approximately 695% from fiscal 2009. The increase was primarily attributable to an increase in legal fees incurred and the settlement of lawsuits against us in fiscal 2010.

For fiscal 2010, other expense increased approximately 312% from fiscal 2009. The increase is primarily due to an increase in bad debt expense of \$300,000, and hosting fees of \$48,735.

For fiscal 2010, consulting expense increased by approximately 126% from fiscal 2009. The increase was primarily due to non-recurring consulting fees related to our capital raising activities, and human resources recruiting fees.

For fiscal 2010, investor relations expense increased approximately 107% from fiscal 2009. The increase was attributable to an increase in general investor relations activity versus fiscal 2009. We expect that in fiscal 2011 our investor relations activity and related expense will be substantially flat.

For fiscal 2010, internet and telephone expense decreased approximately 75%. The decrease was attributable to cost cutting measures adopted by us.

For fiscal 2010, travel and entertainment expense increased approximately 20%. The increase was attributable to an increase in general business, and travel-related investor relations activity.

For fiscal 2010, insurance expense decreased approximately 22% from fiscal 2009. The decrease was attributable to lower premiums paid for general business and directors and officer s insurance.

LOSS FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS

Our loss from operations increased approximately 42% in fiscal year 2010 as compared to fiscal year 2009. This increase is primarily the result of increased headcount, increased research and development efforts, and our investment in our channel marketing sales programs.

GAIN ON DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

Results from discontinued operations were as follows:

| | Fiscal Year Ended September 30, | |
|---|--|--------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Sales | \$ | \$ 1,694,322 |
| Cost of sales | | 1,348,307 |
| Operating Expenses: | | |
| Sales and marketing | | 163,694 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 45,913 |
| Subtotal | | 209,607 |
| Income from discontinued operations | | 136,408 |
| Interest expense related to discontinued operations | | (205,940) |
| Gain from sale of discontinued operations | | 2,666,236 |
| Total Gain from discontinued operations | \$ | \$ 2,596,704 |

During the fiscal second quarter of 2009 we sold our wholly owned subsidiary, Iceweb Virginia, Inc. to an unrelated third party.

For 2009 we earned revenues in discontinued operations of \$1,694,322. We had no comparable discontinued operations in fiscal 2010.

Total operating expenses of discontinued operations in fiscal 2009 amounted to \$209,607, and consisted of primarily sales and marketing expenses associated with IceWEB Virginia, Inc. and the amortization of the GSA schedule through the date of sale of the subsidiary.

Gain (loss) from sale of assets. During the fiscal year 2009 we recorded a gain of \$2,666,236 on the sale of our IceWEB Virginia, Inc. subsidiary. We did not have a comparable transaction in fiscal 2010.

TOTAL OTHER INCOME (EXPENSES)

Interest Expense. For fiscal 2010, interest expense decreased approximately 17%. The decrease in interest expense is primarily attributable to lower average outstanding note balances during fiscal 2010, and lower deferred loan fee amortization in fiscal 2010 of \$27,015 as compared to deferred loan fee amortization of \$127,015 in fiscal 2009.

NET LOSS

Our net loss was \$6,964,233 for fiscal 2010 compared to \$2,526,602 for fiscal 2009, an increase of \$4,437,631 or approximately 176%.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Liquidity is the ability of a company to generate adequate amounts of cash to meet its needs for cash.

| | September 30, | September 30, | \$ | % |
|--|----------------|----------------|--------------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | Change | Change |
| Working Capital | \$ (1,250,033) | \$ (3,158,232) | \$ 1,908,199 | (60.4)% |
| Cash | 540,156 | 63,310 | 476,846 | 753.2% |
| Accounts receivable, net | 1,529,852 | 424,919 | 1,104,933 | 260.0% |
| Inventory | 62,197 | 151,361 | (89,164) | (58.9)% |
| Total current assets | 2,170,310 | 671,160 | 1,499,150 | 223.4% |
| Property and equipment, net | 418,873 | 752,162 | (333,289) | (44.3)% |
| Intangibles, net | 546,952 | 790,042 | (243,090) | (30.8)% |
| Marketable securities | 524,800 | | 524,800 | N/A |
| Total assets | \$ 3,674,255 | \$ 2,226,684 | \$ 1,447,571 | 70.9% |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | 1,711,619 | 1,971,376 | (259,757) | (13.2)% |
| Notes payable-current | 1,649,140 | 1,847,755 | (198,615) | (10.7)% |
| Deferred revenue | 59,582 | 10,261 | 49,321 | 480.7% |
| Total current liabilities | 3,420,342 | 3,829,392 | (409,050) | (10.7)% |
| Notes payable-long term | 0 | 934,756 | (934,756) | (100.0)% |
| Total liabilities | 3,420,343 | 4,764,148 | (1,343,805) | (28.2)% |
| Accumulated deficit | (29,622,792) | (22,658,560) | (6,964,232) | 30.7% |
| Stockholders' equity (deficit) | 253,912 | (2,537,464) | 2,791,376 | (115.2)% |

At September 30, 2010, we had a working capital deficit of \$1,250,033 compared to a working capital deficit of \$3,158,232 at September 30, 2009, a decrease of \$1,908,199. The decrease in the deficit is primarily attributable to the cash raised in our private placement in June, 2010, in the amount of approximately \$2.3 million. In addition, the changes are primarily attributable to the decreases in accounts payable and accrued expenses of \$259,757, and the decrease in our current notes payable of \$198,615, offset by the increase in accounts receivable of \$1,104,934, and an increase in marketable securities of \$524,800. Also contributing was the decrease in inventory of \$89,164 and the increase in deferred revenue of \$49,321.

Net cash used in operating activities was \$4,128,415 for fiscal 2010 as compared to net cash used in operating activities of \$2,145,514 for fiscal 2009, an increase of \$1,982,901. For fiscal 2010, our cash used in operations of \$4,128,415 consisted of a net loss of \$6,964,233, offset by non-cash items totaling \$2,835,818 including items such as depreciation and amortization of \$662,003, stock based compensation of \$867,365, the amortization of deferred compensation of \$1,627,919, and other non-cash items of \$938,282. Additionally, during fiscal 2010 we had a

decrease in operating liabilities and an increase in operating assets which incremented our net loss. This change in operating assets and liabilities primarily consisted of an increase in accounts receivable of \$1,104,934 attributable to an increase in annual sales, and an increase in prepaid expenses of \$33,545, offset by a decrease in net inventory of \$89,164, a decrease in accounts payable and accrued liabilities of \$259,757 and an increase in deferred revenue of \$49,321.

For fiscal 2009, our cash used in operations of \$2,145,514 consisted of a net loss of \$2,526,602, offset by non-cash items totaling \$381,088 including items such as depreciation and amortization of \$742,636, stock based compensation of \$1,167,721, the amortization of deferred compensation of \$1,016,134, and other non-cash items of \$162,748. Additionally, during fiscal 2010 we had a decrease in operating liabilities and a decrease in operating assets which offset our net loss. This change in operating assets and liabilities primarily consisted of a decrease in accounts receivable of \$2,669,191 attributable to a decrease in annual sales, and a decrease in prepaid expenses of \$29,975, a decrease in deposits of \$33,035, and a decrease in net inventory of \$248,951, offset by a decrease in accounts payable and accrued liabilities of \$3,020,165 and an increase in deferred revenue of \$2,902.

Net cash used in investing activities for fiscal 2010 was \$133,624 as compared to net cash used in investing activities of \$99,762 for fiscal 2009. During fiscal 2010 we used cash of \$85,624 for property and equipment purchases, and \$48,000 to invest in marketable securities. During fiscal 2009, net cash used in investing activities was \$99,762 which was cash used for property and equipment purchases.

Net cash provided by financing activities for fiscal 2010 was \$4,738,885 as compared to \$2,303,806 for fiscal 2009, an increase of \$2,435,079. The primary reason for the increase was due to the proceeds from the sale of common stock of \$2,380,630, and the exercise of common stock options of \$2,591,626. In addition we made payments on notes payable of \$1,835,395, and borrowed \$1,602,024 under our financing line.

At September 30, 2010 we had an accumulated deficit of \$29,622,792 and the report from our independent registered public accounting firm on our audited financial statements at September 30, 2010 contained an explanatory paragraph regarding doubt as to our ability to continue as a going concern as a result of our net losses in operations. In spite of our sales, there is no assurance that we will be able to maintain or increase our sales in fiscal 2010 or that we will report net income in any future periods.

We do not have any working capital commitments nor do we not presently have any external sources of working capital. Historically, our sales have not been sufficient to fund our operations and we have relied on capital provided through the sale of equity securities, and various financing arrangements and loans from related parties. At September 30, 2010 we had cash on hand of \$540,156. In addition to the cash necessary to fund our operating losses, research and development, marketing and general growth, we will need cash to satisfy certain obligations. In fiscal 2006, we entered into a receivable factoring agreement with Sand Hill Finance, LLC under which we can sell certain accounts receivable to the lender on a full recourse basis at 80% of the face amount of the receivable up to an aggregate of \$1.8 million. This financing agreement was amended in fiscal 2009 to increase the line amount to \$2,750,000, and to add an 18 month term loan of \$1,000,000 with an interest rate of 24% per annum. As of September 30, 2010, we had \$1,100,860 available under the line of credit facility.

Our working capital needs in future periods depend primarily on the rate at which we can increase our sales while controlling our expenses and decreasing the use of cash to fund operations. Additional capital may be needed to fund acquisitions of additional companies or assets, although we are not a party to any pending agreements at this time and, accordingly, cannot estimate the amount of capital which may be necessary, if any, for acquisitions.

As long as our cash flow from operations remains insufficient to completely fund operations, we will continue depleting our financial resources and seeking additional capital through equity and/or debt financing. Under the terms of the financing agreement with Sand Hill Finance, LLC we agreed not to incur any additional indebtedness other than trade credit in the ordinary course of business. These covenants may also limit our ability to raise capital in future periods. There can be no assurance that acceptable financing can be obtained on suitable terms, if at all. Our ability to continue our existing operations and to fund our working capital needs will suffer if we are unable to raise the additional funds on acceptable terms which will have the effect of adversely affecting our ongoing operations and limiting our ability to increase our sales and maintain profitable operations in the future. If we are unable to secure the necessary additional working capital as needed, we may be forced to curtail some or all of our operations.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements.

None.

Accounting Pronouncements Recently Adopted

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-06, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (ASU 2010-06) which provides amendments to Subtopic 820-10 that require new disclosures regarding (1) transfers in and out of Levels 1 and 2 fair value measurements and (2) activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. Additionally, ASU 2010-06 clarifies existing fair value disclosures about the level of disaggregation and about inputs and valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The guidance in ASU 2010-06 became effective for the Company's second quarter of fiscal year 2010 and the disclosures required by this adoption are included in Note 2 Fair Value Measurements, except for disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the roll forward activity in Level 3 fair value measurements which are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim periods within those fiscal years. The adoption of this guidance did not have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In December 2008, the FASB issued new accounting guidance that requires enhanced annual disclosures about the plan assets of a Company's defined benefit pension and other postretirement plans intended to provide users of financial statements with a greater understanding of: (1) how investment allocation decisions are made, including the factors that are pertinent to an understanding of investment policies and strategies; (2) the major categories of plan assets; (3) the inputs and valuation techniques used to measure the fair value of plan assets; (4) the effect of fair value measurements, using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) on changes in plan assets for the period; and (5) significant concentrations of risk within plan assets. The new guidance resulted in enhanced disclosures beginning with the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2010 included herein.

In December 2007, the FASB issued new accounting and disclosure guidance related to non-controlling interests in subsidiaries (previously referred to as minority interests), which was effective for the Company on October 1, 2009. Among other things, the new guidance requires that a non-controlling interest in a subsidiary be presented as a component of equity separate from the parent's equity. It also requires that consolidated net income be reported at amounts that include the amounts attributable to both the parent and the non-controlling interests. The new guidance has been applied prospectively, except for the presentation and disclosure requirements, which have been applied retrospectively to all periods presented herein.

In June 2008, ASC Topic 260, *Earnings Per Share*, was amended to require that unvested share-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) be treated as participating securities and shall be included in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. This amendment became effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those years, and requires that all prior period earnings per share data presented (including interim financial statements, summaries of earnings and selected financial data) be adjusted retrospectively to conform to its provisions. This Topic became effective October 1, 2009, and did not have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In April 2008, ASC Topic 350, *Intangibles - Goodwill and Other*, was amended to include a list of factors an entity should consider in developing renewal or extension assumptions used in determining the useful life of recognized intangible assets. The new guidance applies to (1) intangible assets that are acquired individually or with a group of other assets and (2) intangible assets acquired in both business combinations and asset acquisitions. Under this amendment, entities estimating the useful life of a recognized intangible asset must consider their historical experience in renewing or extending similar arrangements or, in the absence of historical experience, must consider assumptions that market participants would use about renewal or extension. This amendment required certain additional disclosures beginning October 1, 2009, and prospective application to useful life estimates prospectively for intangible assets acquired after September 30, 2009. This Topic became effective October 1, 2009, and did not have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB amended its guidance on accounting for business combinations. The new accounting guidance was effective for the company on October 1, 2009, and is being applied prospectively to all business combinations subsequent to the effective date. Among other things, the new guidance amends the principles and requirements for how an acquirer recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed and any non-controlling interest in the acquiree and the goodwill acquired. It also establishes new disclosure requirements to enable the evaluation of the nature and financial effects of the business combination. The adoption of this accounting guidance did not have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements, and the impact it will have on the Company's consolidated financial statements in future periods will depend on the nature and size of business combinations completed subsequent to the date of adoption.

In February 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-09, *Subsequent Events (Topic 855)* (ASU 2010-09) which provides an update to Topic 855, *Subsequent Events*. This update clarifies that an SEC filer is required to evaluate subsequent events through the date that the financial statements are issued and removes the requirement for SEC filers

to disclose the date through which subsequent events have been evaluated. This guidance became effective upon issuance and has been adopted by the Company.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements Not Yet Adopted as of September 30, 2010

In April 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-17, Revenue Recognition - Milestone Method (Topic 605) (ASU 2010-17) which provides guidance on defining a milestone and determining when it may be appropriate to apply the milestone method of revenue recognition for certain revenue transactions. This guidance is effective on a prospective basis for milestones achieved in fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning on or after June 15, 2010 (fiscal year 2011 for the Company). This accounting guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, ASC Topic 810 was amended to improve financial reporting by enterprises involved with variable interest entities. This Topic addresses (1) the effects on certain provisions regarding the consolidation of variable interest entities, as a result of the elimination of the qualifying special-purpose entity concept in ASC Topic 860 regarding the accounting for transfers of financial assets, and (2) concern about the application of certain key provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 46(R), including those in which the accounting and disclosures under the Interpretation do not always provide timely and useful information about an enterprise's involvement in a variable interest entity. This guidance is effective as of the beginning of each reporting entity's first annual reporting period that begins after November 15, 2009 (fiscal year 2011 for the Company), for interim periods within that first annual reporting period, and for interim and annual reporting periods thereafter. Earlier application is prohibited. The adoption of this statement is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In October 2009, the FASB issued new accounting guidance for revenue recognition with multiple deliverables. This guidance impacts the determination of when the individual deliverables included in a multiple-element arrangement may be treated as separate units of accounting. Additionally, this new accounting guidance modifies the manner in which the transaction consideration is allocated across the separately identified deliverables by no longer permitting the residual method of allocating arrangement consideration. The new guidance is effective for the Company prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2011. Early adoption is permitted. This accounting guidance is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In July 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-20, Receivables (Topic 310) - Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses (ASU 2010-20) which requires additional disclosures about an entity's allowance for credit losses and the credit quality of its financing receivables. These amendments affect all entities with financing receivables, excluding short-term accounts receivable or receivables measured at fair value or lower of cost or fair value. The guidance on disclosures as of the end of a reporting period will be effective for the Company on December 31, 2010. The disclosures about activity that occurs during a reporting period are effective for the Company's second quarter of fiscal year 2011. The Company does not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a material impact on its financial statements.

ITEM 7A. QUANTATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

Not applicable to a smaller reporting company.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CONTENTS

| | |
|---|----|
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | 25 |
| Consolidated Financial Statements: | |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets | 26 |
| | 48 |

| | |
|--|---------|
| Consolidated Statements of Operations | 27 |
| Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Deficit | 28 |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows | 29 |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | 30 - 51 |

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors

IceWEB, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of September 30, 2010 and 2009 and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders' equity (deficit) and cash flows for the years then ended. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purposes of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall consolidated financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries, as of September 30, 2010 and September 30, 2009 and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company had net losses of \$6,964,233 and \$2,526,602 respectively, for the years ended September 30, 2010 and 2009. These matters raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regards to these matters are also described in Note 2. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ Sherb & Co., LLP

Certified Public Accountants

Boca Raton, Florida

December 20, 2010

IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries**Consolidated Balance Sheets**

| <u>ASSETS</u> | September 30, 2010 | September 30, 2009 |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| CURRENT ASSETS: | | |
| Cash | \$ 540,156 | \$ 6,310 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$309,000 and \$9,000 respectively | 1,529,852 | 424,919 |
| Inventory, net | 62,197 | 151,361 |
| Other current assets | 6,875 | 6,390 |
| Prepaid expenses | 31,230 | 25,180 |
| | 2,170,310 | 671,160 |
| OTHER ASSETS: | | |
| Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$2,180,643 and \$1,761,730 respectively | 418,873 | 752,162 |
| Deposits | 13,320 | 13,320 |
| Marketable securities, net | 524,800 | |
| Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$668,498 and \$425,408, respectively | 546,952 | 790,042 |
| Total Assets | \$ 3,674,255 | \$ 2,226,684 |
| <u>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT)</u> | | |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES: | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | \$ 1,711,621 | \$ 1,971,376 |
| Notes payable | 1,649,140 | 1,847,755 |
| Deferred revenue | 59,582 | 10,261 |
| | 3,420,343 | 3,829,392 |
| Long-Term Liabilities | | |
| Notes payable | | 934,756 |
| Total Liabilities | 3,420,343 | 4,764,148 |
| Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) | | |
| Preferred stock (\$.001 par value; 10,000,000 shares authorized) Series A convertible preferred stock (\$.001 par value; 0 shares issued and outstanding) | | |
| Series B convertible preferred stock (\$.001 par value; 626,667 shares issued and outstanding) | 626 | 626 |
| Common stock (\$.001 par value; 1,000,000,000 shares authorized; 134,443,725 and 68,469,617 shares issued and outstanding, respectively) | 134,445 | 68,471 |
| Additional paid in capital | 29,360,833 | 20,064,998 |

Edgar Filing: ICEWEB INC - Form 10-K/A

| | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| Accumulated deficit | (29,622,792) | (22,658,560) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 476,800 | |
| Subscription receivable | (83,000) | |
| Treasury stock, at cost, (162,500 shares) | (13,000) | (13,000) |
| Total stockholders' equity (deficit) | 253,912 | (2,537,464) |
| Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) | \$ 3,674,255 | \$ 2,226,684 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Operations

| | For the Year Ended | | | |
|---|--------------------|-------------|------|-------------|
| | September 30, | | | |
| | 2010 | | 2009 | |
| Sales | \$ | 3,353,286 | \$ | 2,240,363 |
| Cost of sales | | 1,742,110 | | 1,326,385 |
| Gross profit | | 1,611,176 | | 913,978 |
| Operating expenses: | | | | |
| Sales and marketing expense | | 1,690,684 | | 1,004,970 |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | | 662,003 | | 696,723 |
| Research and development | | 533,713 | | 336,616 |
| General and administrative | | 5,325,898 | | 3,538,086 |
| Total operating expenses | | 8,212,298 | | 5,576,395 |
| Loss From continuing Operations | | (6,601,122) | | (4,662,417) |
| Income from discontinued operations | | | | 136,408 |
| Interest expense related to discontinued operations | | | | (205,940) |
| Gain from sale of subsidiary | | | | 2,666,236 |
| Total gain from discontinued operations | | | | 2,596,704 |
| Other income (expenses): | | | | |
| Gain on conversion of debt | | 190,136 | | |
| Interest income | | | | 1,142 |
| Interest expense | | (553,247) | | (462,031) |
| Total other income (expenses): | | (363,111) | | (460,889) |
| Net loss | \$ | (6,964,233) | \$ | (2,526,602) |
| Net loss per common share - basic and diluted: | | | | |
| Loss from operations | \$ | (0.07) | \$ | (0.11) |
| Gain (loss) from discontinued operations | \$ | | \$ | 0.06 |
| | \$ | (0.07) | \$ | (0.06) |
| | | 101,379,729 | | 40,911,411 |

Weighted average common shares outstanding basic and diluted

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)

For the years ended September 30, 2010 and 2009

| | Series B Preferred Stock | | Common Stock | | Additional Paid-In Capital | Accumulated Deficit | Compre- hensive Income | Subscription Receivable |
|---|-----------------------------|----------|--------------|-----------|----------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | Shares | Amount | Shares | Amount | | | | |
| Balance at September 30, 2008 | 1,253,334 | \$ 1,253 | 24,688,088 | \$ 24,690 | \$ 15,953,221 | \$ (20,131,957) | \$ | \$ |
| Amortization of deferred compensation | | | | | 1,016,137 | | | |
| Issuance of common stock for cash | | | 3,900,000 | 3,900 | 203,100 | | | |
| Cancellation of common stock | | | (100,000) | (100) | 100 | | | |
| Common stock issued for exercise of options | | | 18,715,000 | 18,715 | 960,585 | | | |
| Common stock issued in connection with notes payable | | | 1,959,601 | 1,960 | 150,313 | | | |
| Conversion of series B preferred to common stock | (626,667) | (627) | 626,667 | 627 | | | | |
| Common stock issued for services | | | 1,725,000 | 1,725 | 130,775 | | | |
| Common stock issued to employees | | | 13,155,261 | 13,154 | 1,154,567 | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Common stock issued in connection with disposition of subsidiary | | | 1,000,000 | 1,000 | 79,000 | |
| Common stock issued in connection with conversion of convertible debenture | | | 2,800,000 | 2,800 | 417,200 | |
| Net loss for the year | | | | | | (2,526,602) |
| Balance at September 30, 2009 | 626,667 | 626 | 68,469,617 | 68,471 | 20,064,998 | (22,658,560) |
| Amortization of deferred compensation | | | | | 1,627,919 | |
| Issuance of common stock for cash | | | 15,580,000 | 15,580 | 2,365,050 | |
| Issuance of common stock to settle litigation | | | 2,678,571 | 2,679 | 399,104 | |
| Common stock issued for exercise of options | | | 30,570,600 | 30,571 | 2,561,055 | |
| Common stock issued in connection with subscription receivable | | | 2,000,000 | 2,000 | 81,000 | (83,000) |
| Common stock issued for services | | | 2,800,000 | 2,800 | 506,684 | |
| Common stock issued to | | | 9,344,937 | 9,345 | 858,020 | |

employees

Common stock
issued in
connection with
conversion of
convertible
debenture

3,000,000 3,000 897,000

Other
Comprehensive
income

476,800

Net loss for the
year

(6,964,233)

Net
Comprehensive
loss

(6,964,233) 476,800

**Balance at
September 30,
2010**

626,667 \$ 626 134,443,725 \$ 134,445 \$ 29,360,833 \$ (29,622,792) \$ 476,800 \$ (83,000)

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

| | For the Year Ended | |
|---|---------------------------|--------------------|
| | September 30, | |
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Net loss | \$ (6,964,233) | \$ (2,526,602) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 662,003 | 742,636 |
| Share-based compensation | 867,365 | 1,167,721 |
| Amortization of deferred compensation | 1,627,919 | 1,016,134 |
| Gain on sale of discontinued operations | | (2,666,236) |
| Common stock issued for services rendered | 509,484 | 132,500 |
| Common stock issued for settlement | 401,783 | |
| Amortization of deferred finance costs | 27,015 | 30,248 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| (Increase) decrease in: | | |
| Accounts receivable | (1,104,934) | 2,669,191 |
| Prepaid expense | (33,545) | 29,975 |
| Inventory | 89,164 | 248,951 |
| Deposits | | 33,035 |
| Increase (decrease) in: | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | (259,757) | (3,020,165) |
| Deferred revenue | 49,321 | (2,902) |
| NET CASH USED IN OPERATING ACTIVITIES | (4,128,415) | (2,145,514) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Purchase of property and equipment | (85,624) | (99,762) |
| Investment in marketable securities | (48,000) | |
| NET CASH USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES | (133,624) | (99,762) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Proceeds from notes payable | 1,602,024 | 7,594,455 |
| Payments on notes payable | (1,835,395) | (6,476,949) |
| Proceeds from sale of common stock | 2,380,630 | 207,000 |
| Proceeds from exercise of common stock options | 2,591,626 | 979,300 |
| NET CASH PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES | 4,738,885 | 2,303,806 |
| NET INCREASE IN CASH | 476,846 | 58,530 |

Edgar Filing: ICEWEB INC - Form 10-K/A

| | | | | |
|--|----|-----------|----|---------|
| CASH - beginning of period | | 63,310 | | 4,780 |
| CASH - end of period | \$ | 540,156 | \$ | 63,310 |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: | | | | |
| Cash paid for: | | | | |
| Interest | \$ | 526,232 | \$ | 552,886 |
| Income taxes | \$ | | \$ | |
| NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | | | |
| Common stock issued for debt and interest | \$ | | \$ | 152,273 |
| Common stock issued in connection with convertible debenture | \$ | 1,090,136 | \$ | 420,000 |
| Common stock issued in connection with acquisition/disposition | \$ | | \$ | 80,000 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Years ended September 30, 2010 and 2009

NOTE 1 - ORGANIZATION

IceWEB, Inc. (the Company) began trading publicly in April 2002. Utilizing resources gained through acquisitions, we have developed two lines of business, IceWEB Storage products, and IceMAIL which is a hosted Microsoft Exchange application service. We currently have two wholly owned operating subsidiaries: IceWEB Storage Corporation (formerly known as Inline Corporation), and IceWEB Online, Inc.

BUSINESS OF ICEWEB

Since 2005, the Company has been focused on serving the commercial and federal markets with network security products and proprietary on-line software solutions. In 2008, the Company narrowed its focus and expanded its capabilities by acquiring INLINE Corporation, a data storage manufacturing company.

In March, 2009, the Company sold its wholly owned subsidiary, IceWEB Virginia, Inc. to an unrelated third party, and in the process exited its low-margin IT re-seller business products business to further focus on the higher margin data storage manufacturing business.

At the close of fiscal year 2010, the Company has three key product offerings:

Unified Network Storage Solutions

Purpose Built Network/Data Appliances

Cloud Computing Products/Services

NOTE 2 - BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles and include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to previously reported amounts to conform to 2010 amounts. The reclassifications had no impact on previously reported results of operations or shareholders' deficit.

Going Concern

Our auditors stated in their report on the consolidated financial statements of the Company for the Years ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 that we have had losses since inception that raise doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. In addition and as discussed further in Note 6, we are not in compliance with debt covenants under our Financing Agreements with Sand Hill Finance LLC. For the year ended September 30, 2010 we incurred a net loss of \$6,964,233. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments related to the recovery and classification of recorded assets, or the amounts and classification of liabilities that might be necessary in the event we cannot continue in existence.

Management has established plans intended to increase the sales of our products and services. Management intends to seek new capital from new equity securities offerings to provide funds needed to increase liquidity, fund growth, and implement its business plan. However, no assurances can be given that we will be able to raise any additional funds.

Fair value of financial instruments

The carrying amounts of financial instruments, including cash, accounts receivable, prepaid expenses, and other current assets, accounts payable and accrued liabilities, and deposits approximated fair value as of September 30, 2010 and 2009, because of the relatively short-term maturity of these instruments and their market interest rates.

IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Years ended September 30, 2010 and 2009

NOTE 2 - BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES
(continued)

Marketable Securities

IceWEB accounts for the purchase of marketable equity securities in accordance with ASC 320, Investment Debt and Equity Securities with any unrealized gains and losses included as a net amount as a separate component of stockholders' equity. However, those securities may not have the trading volume to support the stock price if the Company were to sell all their shares in the open market at once, so the Company may have a loss on the sale of marketable securities even though they record marketable equity securities at the current market value.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the balance sheets and the reported amounts of sales and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Significant estimates in 2010 and 2009 include the allowance for doubtful accounts, the valuation of stock-based compensation, the allowance for inventory obsolescence and the useful life of property and equipment and intangible assets, and litigation reserves.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

We consider all highly liquid debt instruments with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable consists of normal trade receivables. We recorded a bad debt allowance of \$309,000 as of September 30, 2010. Management performs ongoing evaluations of its accounts receivable. Management believes that all remaining receivables are fully collectable. Bad debt expense amounted to \$336,568 and \$29,324 for the Years ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Inventory

Inventory is valued at the lower of cost or market, on an average cost basis.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment is stated at cost, net of accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is provided by using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the related assets.

Intangible Assets

Intangible assets, net consists of the cost of acquired customer relationships. We capitalize and amortize the cost of acquired intangible assets over their estimated useful lives on a straight-line basis. The estimated useful life of our acquired customer relationships is five years.

Long-lived Assets

In accordance with ASC Topic 360, *Property, Plant, and Equipment* (formerly SFAS 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*), we review the carrying value of intangibles and other long-lived assets for impairment at least annually or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of long-lived assets is measured by comparison of its carrying amount to the undiscounted cash flows that the asset or asset group is expected to generate. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the property, if any, exceeds its fair market value.

IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Years ended September 30, 2010 and 2009

NOTE 2 - BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES
(continued)

Advertising

Advertising costs are expensed as incurred and amounted to \$162,862 in fiscal 2010 and \$77,549 million in fiscal 2009.

Revenue Recognition

We follow the guidance of Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 605, Revenue Recognition (formerly Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 104, Revenue Recognition) for revenue recognition. In general, we record revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, services have been rendered or product delivery has occurred, the sales price to the customer is fixed or determinable, and collectability is reasonably assured. The following policies reflect specific criteria for our various revenues streams:

Revenues from sales of products are generally recognized when products are shipped unless the Company has obligations remaining under sales or licensing agreements, in which case revenue is either deferred until all obligations are satisfied or recognized ratably over the term of the contract.

Revenue from services is recorded as it is earned. Commissions earned on third party sales are recorded in the month in which contracts are awarded. Customers are generally billed every two weeks based on the units of production for the project. Each project has an estimated total which is based on the estimated units of production and agreed upon billing rates. Amounts billed in advance of services being provided are recorded as deferred revenues and recognized in the consolidated statement of operations as services are provided.

Earnings per Share

We compute earnings per share in accordance with ASC Topic 260, Earnings Per Share (formerly SFAS No. 128, Earnings per Share) Under the provisions of ASC Topic 260, basic earnings per share is computed by dividing the net income (loss) for the period by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share is computed by dividing the net income (loss) for the period by the weighted average number of common and potentially dilutive common shares outstanding during the period. Potentially dilutive common shares consist of the common shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options and warrants (using the treasury stock method) and upon the conversion of convertible preferred stock (using the if-converted method). Potentially dilutive common shares are excluded from the calculation if their effect is antidilutive. At September 30, 2010, there were options and warrants to purchase 19,891,504 shares of common stock, 626,667 shares issuable upon conversion of Series B preferred stock, and no shares of Series C preferred stock outstanding which could potentially dilute future earnings per share.

Stock-Based Compensation

As more fully described in Note 12, we have a stock option plan that provides for non-qualified and incentive stock options to be issued to directors, officers, employees and consultants (the 2000 Management and Director Equity Incentive and Compensation Plan (the Plan).

Prior to October 1, 2005, we accounted for stock options issued under the Plan under the recognition and measurement provisions of APB Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* , and related interpretations, as permitted by ASC Topic 718, Compensation Stock Compensation (Formerly SFAS No. 123 (R), Share-Based Payments. No stock-based compensation cost related to employee stock options was recognized in the Consolidated Statement of Operations for the year ended September 30, 2005 as all options granted under the Plan had an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant.

Effective October 1, 2005, we adopted the fair value recognition provisions of ASC Topic 718, Compensation Stock Compensation (Formerly SFAS No. 123 (R), Share-Based Payments using the modified-prospective-transition method. Under that transition method, compensation cost recognized in the year ended September 30, 2006 includes: (a) compensation cost for all share-based payments granted prior to, but not yet vested as of September 30, 2005, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of Statement 123, and (b) compensation cost for all share-based payments granted subsequent to October 1, 2005, based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of Statement 123(R). Financial results for the year ended September 30, 2005 have not been restated.

IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Years ended September 30, 2010 and 2009

Accounting Pronouncements Recently Adopted

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-06, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures* (ASU 2010-06) which provides amendments to Subtopic 820-10 that require new disclosures regarding (1) transfers in and out of Levels 1 and 2 fair value measurements and (2) activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. Additionally, ASU 2010-06 clarifies existing fair value disclosures about the level of disaggregation and about inputs and valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The guidance in ASU 2010-06 became effective for the Company's second quarter of fiscal year 2010 and the disclosures required by this adoption are included in Note 2 *Fair Value Measurements*, except for disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the roll forward activity in Level 3 fair value measurements which are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim periods within those fiscal years. The adoption of this guidance did not have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In December 2008, the FASB issued new accounting guidance that requires enhanced annual disclosures about the plan assets of a Company's defined benefit pension and other postretirement plans intended to provide users of financial statements with a greater understanding of: (1) how investment allocation decisions are made, including the factors that are pertinent to an understanding of investment policies and strategies; (2) the major categories of plan assets; (3) the inputs and valuation techniques used to measure the fair value of plan assets; (4) the effect of fair value measurements, using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) on changes in plan assets for the period; and (5) significant concentrations of risk within plan assets. The new guidance resulted in enhanced disclosures beginning with the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2010 included herein.

In December 2007, the FASB issued new accounting and disclosure guidance related to non-controlling interests in subsidiaries (previously referred to as minority interests), which was effective for the Company on October 1, 2009. Among other things, the new guidance requires that a non-controlling interest in a subsidiary be presented as a component of equity separate from the parent's equity. It also requires that consolidated net income be reported at amounts that include the amounts attributable to both the parent and the non-controlling interests. The new guidance has been applied prospectively, except for the presentation and disclosure requirements, which have been applied retrospectively to all periods presented herein.

In June 2008, ASC Topic 260, *Earnings Per Share*, was amended to require that unvested share-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) be treated as participating securities and shall be included in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class

method. This amendment became effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those years, and requires that all prior period earnings per share data presented (including interim financial statements, summaries of earnings and selected financial data) be adjusted retrospectively to conform to its provisions. This Topic became effective October 1, 2009, and did not have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In April 2008, ASC Topic 350, Intangibles—Goodwill and Other, was amended to include a list of factors an entity should consider in developing renewal or extension assumptions used in determining the useful life of recognized intangible assets. The new guidance applies to (1) intangible assets that are acquired individually or with a group of other assets and (2) intangible assets acquired in both business combinations and asset acquisitions. Under this amendment, entities estimating the useful life of a recognized intangible asset must consider their historical experience in renewing or extending similar arrangements or, in the absence of historical experience, must consider assumptions that market participants would use about renewal or extension. This amendment required certain additional disclosures beginning October 1, 2009, and prospective application to useful life estimates prospectively for intangible assets acquired after September 30, 2009. This Topic became effective October 1, 2009, and did not have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB amended its guidance on accounting for business combinations. The new accounting guidance was effective for the company on October 1, 2009, and is being applied prospectively to all business combinations subsequent to the effective date. Among other things, the new guidance amends the principles and requirements for how an acquirer recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed and any non-controlling interest in the acquiree and the goodwill acquired. It also establishes new disclosure requirements to enable the evaluation of the nature and financial effects of the business combination. The adoption of this accounting guidance did not have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements, and the impact it will have on the Company's consolidated financial statements in future periods will depend on the nature and size of business combinations completed subsequent to the date of adoption.

IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Years ended September 30, 2010 and 2009

Accounting Pronouncements Recently Adopted (continued)

In February 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-09, Subsequent Events (Topic 855) (ASU 2010-09) which provides an update to Topic 855, Subsequent Events . This update clarifies that an SEC filer is required to evaluate subsequent events through the date that the financial statements are issued and removes the requirement for SEC filers to disclose the date through which subsequent events have been evaluated. This guidance became effective upon issuance and has been adopted by the Company.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements Not Yet Adopted as of September 30, 2010

In April 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-17, Revenue Recognition - Milestone Method (Topic 605) (ASU 2010-17) which provides guidance on defining a milestone and determining when it may be appropriate to apply the milestone method of revenue recognition for certain revenue transactions. This guidance is effective on a prospective basis for milestones achieved in fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning on or after June 15, 2010 (fiscal year 2011 for the Company). This accounting guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, ASC Topic 810 was amended to improve financial reporting by enterprises involved with variable interest entities. This Topic addresses (1) the effects on certain provisions regarding the consolidation of variable interest entities, as a result of the elimination of the qualifying special-purpose entity concept in ASC Topic 860 regarding the accounting for transfers of financial assets, and (2) concern about the application of certain key provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 46(R), including those in which the accounting and disclosures under the Interpretation do not always provide timely and useful information about an enterprise s involvement in a variable interest entity. This guidance is effective as of the beginning of each reporting entity s first annual reporting period that begins after November 15, 2009 (fiscal year 2011 for the Company), for interim periods within that first annual reporting period, and for interim and annual reporting periods thereafter. Earlier application is prohibited. The adoption of this statement is not expected to have a material effect on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

In October 2009, the FASB issued new accounting guidance for revenue recognition with multiple deliverables. This guidance impacts the determination of when the individual deliverables included in a multiple-element arrangement

may be treated as separate units of accounting. Additionally, this new accounting guidance modifies the manner in which the transaction consideration is allocated across the separately identified deliverables by no longer permitting the residual method of allocating arrangement consideration. The new guidance is effective for the Company prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2011. Early adoption is permitted. This accounting guidance is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In July 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-20, Receivables (Topic 310) - Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses (ASU 2010-20) which requires additional disclosures about an entity's allowance for credit losses and the credit quality of its financing receivables. These amendments affect all entities with financing receivables, excluding short-term accounts receivable or receivables measured at fair value or lower of cost or fair value. The guidance on disclosures as of the end of a reporting period will be effective for the Company on December 31, 2010. The disclosures about activity that occurs during a reporting period are effective for the Company's second quarter of fiscal year 2011. The Company does not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a material impact on its financial statements.

IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****Years ended September 30, 2010 and 2009****NOTE 3 - PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT**

At September 30, property and equipment consisted of the following:

| | Estimated | | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------|----|-------------|-------------|
| | Life | | 2010 | 2009 |
| Office equipment | 5 years | \$ | 699,282 | \$ 637,920 |
| Computer software | 3 years | | 612,379 | 607,278 |
| Furniture and fixtures | 5 years | | 261,385 | 261,385 |
| Leasehold improvements | 5 years | | 1,026,470 | 1,007,250 |
| | | | 2,599,516 | 2,513,833 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation | | | (2,180,643) | (1,761,671) |
| | | \$ | 418,873 | \$ 752,162 |

Depreciation expense for the years ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$418,913 and \$453,633 respectively.

NOTE 4 - INTANGIBLE ASSETS

At September 30, 2010, intangible assets consist of the following:

| | | 2010 | | 2009 |
|-----------------------------------|----|-------------|----|-------------|
| Manufacturing GSA Schedule | \$ | 750,000 | \$ | 750,000 |
| Customer relationships intangible | | 465,451 | | 465,451 |
| | | 1,215,451 | | 1,215,451 |
| Less: accumulated amortization | | (668,499) | | (425,409) |
| | \$ | 546,952 | \$ | 790,042 |

Amortization expense amounted to \$243,090 for both of the Years ended September 30, 2010 and 2009.

Amortization expense subsequent to the year ended September 30, 2010 is as follows:

| | | |
|----------------------------|----|---------|
| Years ending September 30: | | |
| 2011 | \$ | 243,090 |
| 2012 | | 243,090 |
| 2013 | | 60,772 |
| | \$ | 546,952 |

NOTE 5 - RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

From time to time we have borrowed operating funds from Mr. John Signorello, our Chief Executive Officer, for working capital. The advances were payable upon demand and were interest free. During fiscal 2009 Mr. Signorello advanced \$66,300 to us, and we repaid \$66,300. The highest amount that we owed Mr. Signorello during fiscal 2009 was \$25,000. At each of the last three fiscal year ends, 2008, 2009, and 2010, the amount owed to Mr. Signorello was \$0. As of December 13, 2010, the amount owed to Mr. Signorello was \$0.

On June 8, 2009 we sold 1,000,000 shares of common stock at a per share price of \$0.04, valued at \$40,000 to Jack Bush, a member of our Board of Directors. The fair market value of our common stock on the date of the transaction was \$0.07 per share. The shares were issued at a discount to the fair market value of our common stock of approximately 43% due to their restricted status, because we needed the cash, our access to capital was limited, and it was the price negotiated and agreed to by the buyer.

IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Years ended September 30, 2010 and 2009

NOTE 5 - RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS (continued)

On August 10, 2009 we sold 1,000,000 shares of common stock at a per share price of \$0.04, valued at \$40,000 to Joseph Druzak, a member of our Board of Directors. The fair market value of our common stock on the date of the transaction was \$0.10 per share. The shares were issued at a discount to the fair market value of our common stock of approximately 60% due to their restricted status, because we needed the cash, our access to capital was limited, and it was the price negotiated and agreed to by the buyer.

During October, 2009, we sold 2,000,000 shares of common stock at a per share price of \$0.042, valued at \$83,000 to Florence Signorello, an accredited investor who is the mother of John Signorello, our chief executive officer. The fair market value of our common stock on the date of the transaction was \$0.145 per share. As of June 30, 2010 we had not received the proceeds from the investor and as a result we recorded the subscription receivable as a contra equity account on our balance sheet.

During November, 2009, we sold 1,000,000 shares of common stock, valued at \$130,000 to Hal Compton, a member of our Board of Director for \$40,000, and recognized stock based compensation expense of \$90,000. The shares were issued at a discount to the fair market value of our common stock of approximately 69% due to their restricted status, because we needed the cash, our access to capital was limited, and it was the price negotiated and agreed to by the buyer.

We and certain of our affiliates have entered into a series of transactions involving VOIS Inc. (OTCBB: VOIS), a public company which had developed and launched a social commerce website. On November 3, 2009 we purchased 160,000,000 shares of the common stock of VOIS Inc., which represented approximately 16% of that company, for \$48,000 in a private transaction exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act resulting in gross proceeds to us of \$48,000. At the time of our investment, Mr. Mark Lucky, our Chief Financial Officer, was a member of VOIS board of directors, having been elected in October 2009. In exchange for this strategic interest, VOIS received non-exclusive access to distribute IceMAIL, IcePORTAL and IceSECURE to their existing and prospective new user base, and our cloud storage network. Mr. Lucky resigned his positions with VOIS in September 2010. As of the date hereof, VOIS has not integrated this access within its business and we have had no subsequent business relationship with it, other than as set forth herein.

Prior to our investment in VOIS, both Messrs. John R. Signorello and Robert Druzak had personal relationships with the founders of VOIS. In an unrelated transaction in November 2009 Mr. Signorello, a member of our board of directors and our CEO, purchased 225,000,000 shares of VOIS common stock from a former officer and director of VOIS for nominal consideration in a private transaction. The shares of common stock purchased by Mr. Signorello represented approximately 27% of VOIS outstanding common stock purchase of the shares by us. Thereafter, in an unrelated transaction in January 2010 Mr. Druzak purchased 225,000,000 shares of VOIS common stock from a former officer and director of VOIS for nominal consideration in a private transaction. The shares of common stock purchased by Mr. Druzak represented approximately 22% of VOIS outstanding common stock. Immediately following the closing of this transaction Mr. Druzak was appointed to VOIS board of directors and named President and Chief Executive Officer of VOIS. Mr. Druzak, the brother of Joseph Druzak, a member of our board, was formerly a vice president of our company from March 2005 to January, 2010, but was not considered an executive officer of our company. Mr. Robert Druzak resigned his positions with VOIS in March 2010.

While five out of the 6 board members qualify as unrelated and independent, as they are independent from management and free from any interest, function, business or other relationship that could, or could reasonably be perceived to, materially interfere with the Director's ability to act in the our best interest, we do not have any policies or procedures for the review, approval or ratification of any related party transactions and no review or ratification of any of the foregoing related party truncations by our board has occurred.

NOTE 6 - NOTES PAYABLE

Sand Hill Finance, LLC

On December 19, 2005, we entered into a Financing Agreement with Sand Hill Finance, LLC pursuant to which, together with related amendments, we may borrow up to 80% on our accounts receivable balances up to a maximum of \$1,800,000. In conjunction with the acquisition of Inline Corporation in December, 2007, the lending limit on the credit facility was increased to \$2,750,000.

IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Years ended September 30, 2010 and 2009

NOTE 6 - NOTES PAYABLE (continued)

In addition, in November, 2008 we and Sand Hill Finance, LLC entered into a 36 month term convertible debenture agreement in the amount of \$1,000,000. On September 7, 2010, Sand Hill Finance, LLC converted the remaining balance of \$1,090,136 of this convertible debenture in exchange for three million shares of our common stock. The debenture was converted at a price of \$0.36338 per share. The conversion price was subject to a floor of \$0.30 per share resulting in a gain on conversion of \$190,136.

Amounts borrowed under the Financing Agreement are secured by a first security interest in substantially all of our assets. At September 30, 2010, the principal amount due under the Financing Agreement amounted to \$1,649,140. This amount is included in the note payable balance of \$1,649,140 on the balance sheet at September 30, 2010.

Interest is payable under the Financing Agreement at a rate of 1.75% per month on the average loan balance outstanding during the year, equal to an annual interest of approximately 21% per year. We also agreed to pay an upfront commitment fee of 1% of the credit line upon signing the Financing Agreement, half of which was due and paid upon signing (amounting to \$9,000) and half of which is due on the first anniversary of the Financing Agreement. In addition, we are obligated to pay a commitment fee of 1% of the credit limit annually, such amounts are payable on the anniversary of the agreement.

In connection with the Financing Agreement, we issued Sand Hill Finance, LLC, a seven-year common stock purchase warrant to purchase 25,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$1.00 per share. The warrant contains a cashless exercise provision which means that at the option of the holder, the warrant is convertible into a number of shares of our common stock as determined by dividing the aggregate fair market value of our common stock minus the aggregate exercise price of the warrant by the fair market value of one share of common stock. The number of shares issuable upon the exercise of the warrant and the exercise price are subject to adjustment in the event of stock dividends, stock splits and reclassifications. The fair value of the warrant of \$16,250 has been recorded as an addition to paid-in capital and interest expense during the year ended September 30, 2007.

In connection with the term loan, we issued Sand Hill Finance, LLC a seven-year common stock purchase warrant to purchase 120,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise prices \$1.00 per share. The warrant contains a cashless

exercise provision which means that at the option of the holder, the warrant is convertible into a number of shares of our common stock as determined by dividing the aggregate fair market value of our common stock minus the aggregate exercise price of the warrant by the fair market value of one share of common stock. The number of shares issuable upon the exercise of the warrant and the exercise price are subject to adjustment in the event of stock dividends, stock splits and reclassifications. The fair value of the warrant of \$13,587 has been recorded as an addition to paid-in capital and deferred finance costs during the year ended September 30, 2009.

The Financing Agreement has a term of one year, subject to mutual extension by both parties. As a result, the balance due to Sand Hill Finance, LLC is classified as a current liability on the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

The terms of the Financing Agreement also restricts us from undertaking certain transactions without the written consent of the creditor including (i) permit or suffer a change in control involving 20% of its securities, (ii) acquire assets, except in the ordinary course of business, involving payment of \$100,000 or more, (iii) sell, lease, or transfer any of its property except for sales of inventory and equipment in the ordinary course of business, (iv) transfer, sell or license any intellectual property, (v) declare or pay a dividend on stock, except payable in the form of stock dividends (vi) incur any indebtedness other than trade credit in the ordinary course of business and (vii) permit any lien or security interest to attach to any collateral. Sand Hill Finance provided a waiver with respect to our disposition of IceWEB, Virginia, Inc. in March, 2010, as discussed herein.

IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****Years ended September 30, 2010 and 2009**

NOTE 7 - INVENTORY

Inventory consisted of the following:

| | September 30, | | September 30, |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|----|----------------------|
| | 2010 | | 2009 |
| Raw materials | \$ 49,757 | \$ | 78,966 |
| Work in progress | 9,330 | | 14,862 |
| Finished goods | 3,110 | | 57,533 |
| | 62,197 | | 151,361 |
| Less: reserve for obsolescence | | | |
| | \$ 62,197 | \$ | 151,361 |

NOTE 8 - COMMITMENTS

We lease office space in Sterling, Virginia under a two-year operating lease that expires on March 31, 2011. The office lease agreement has certain escalation clauses and renewal options. Additionally, we have lease agreements for computer equipment and an office copier/fax machine. Future minimum rental payments required under these operating leases are as follows:

| | |
|----------------------------|-----------|
| Years ending September 30: | |
| 2011 | \$ 37,611 |
| 2012 | |
| 2013 | |
| 2014 | |
| 2015 and thereafter | \$ 37,611 |

Rent expense was \$78,076 and \$71,399 for the years ended September 30, 2010 and 2009.

NOTE 9 - INCOME TAXES

We account for income taxes under the provisions of ASC 740-10-25. ASC 740-10-25 prescribes a recognition threshold and a measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. Benefits from tax positions should be recognized in the financial statements only when it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained upon examination by the appropriate taxing authority that would have full knowledge of all the relevant information. A tax position that meets the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold is measured at the largest amount of benefit that is greater than fifty percent likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. Tax positions that previously failed to meet the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold should be recognized in the first subsequent financial reporting period in which that threshold is met. Previously recognized tax positions that no longer meet the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold should be derecognized in the first subsequent financial reporting period in which that threshold is no longer met. ASC 740-10-25 also provides guidance on the accounting for and disclosure of unrecognized tax benefits, interest, and penalties. ASC 740-10-25 requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for both the expected impact of differences between the financial statements and the tax basis of assets and liabilities, and for the expected future tax benefit to be derived from tax losses and tax credit carryforwards. ASC 740-10-25 additionally requires the establishment of a valuation allowance to reflect the likelihood of realization of deferred tax assets. At September 30, 2010 and 2009 the Company has no unrecognized tax benefits, interest, or penalties.

IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****Years ended September 30, 2010 and 2009**

NOTE 9 - INCOME TAXES (continued)

A summary of our deferred tax is as follows:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|------------------|------------------|
| Deferred Tax Assets: | | |
| Tax benefit of net operating loss carry forward | \$ 5,360,000 | \$ 4,146,000 |
| Grant of stock options/restricted stock to employees | | 1,768,000 |
| Unpaid accrued salaries | 17,000 | 31,000 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | 113,000 | |
| Reserve for legal settlement | 353,000 | 451,000 |
| Amortization of leasehold improvements | 182,000 | 115,000 |
| Amortization of intangibles | 302,000 | 175,000 |
| | 6,327,000 | 6,686,000 |
| Less: valuation allowance | (6,327,000) | (6,686,000) |
| Net deferred tax assets | \$ | \$ |

As of September 30, 2010 we had unused net operating loss carry forwards of approximately \$14,200,000 available to reduce our future federal taxable income. Net operating loss carryforwards expire through fiscal years ending 2030. Internal Revenue Code Section 382 places a limitation on the amount of taxable income that can be offset by carryforwards after a change in control (generally a greater than 50% change in ownership).

The valuation allowance at September 30, 2010 was \$6,327,000. The decrease during fiscal 2010 was approximately \$359,000.

Net operating loss carryforwards and the associated deferred tax asset were reduced during fiscal September 30, 2009 to reflect the impact of the disposition of IceWEB Virginia, Inc. in a stock sale transaction in the second quarter.

The table below summarizes the differences between our effective tax rate and the statutory federal rate as follows for fiscal 2010 and 2009. The effective tax rate is 34% Federal and 3.6% State after Federal tax benefit:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Computed expected tax benefit | (34.0)% | (34.0)% |
| State income taxes | (3.6)% | (3.6)% |
| Other permanent differences | 1.0 % | 42.0 % |
| Change in valuation allowance | 36.6 % | (4.4)% |
| Effective tax rate | 0.0 % | 0.0 % |

NOTE 10 - CONCENTRATION OF CREDIT RISK

Bank Balances

We maintain our cash bank deposits at various financial institutions which, at times, may exceed federally insured limits. Accounts are guaranteed by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). During October 2009, the FDIC increased the insured amounts at participating financial institutions from \$100,000 to \$250,000 and provided unlimited coverage for non-interest bearing transaction accounts. At September 30, 2010 we had no amounts in excess of FDIC insured limits. We have not experienced any losses in such accounts.

Major Customers

Sales to eight customers represented approximately 89% of total sales for the year ended September 30, 2010. As of September 30, 2010 approximately 83% of our accounts receivable was due from one customer. Sales to ten customers represented approximately 63% in 2009.

IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Years ended September 30, 2010 and 2009

NOTE 11 - STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT)

Preferred Stock

Our authorized capital includes 10,000,000 shares of blank check preferred stock, par value \$0.001 per share, of which 1,666,667 shares have previously been designated as Series A Convertible Preferred Stock. Our Board of Directors, without further stockholder approval, may issue our preferred stock in one or more series from time to time and fix or alter the designations, relative rights, priorities, preferences, qualifications, limitations and restrictions of the shares of each series. In September 2005, Our Board of Directors authorized a series of 833,334 shares of blank check preferred stock be designated as Series B Convertible Preferred Stock and on September 28, 2005, we filed a Certificate of Designations of Preferences, Rights and Limitations of Series B Preferred with the Secretary of State of Delaware. On December 29, 2005, we filed an Amended and Restated Certificate of Designations of Preferences, Rights and Limitations of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock increasing the number of shares authorized under this series to 1,833,334 shares.

A) Series A Convertible Preferred Stock

On March 30, 2005, we entered into a Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement and related agreements with Barron Partners LP. Under the terms of this agreement, we sold Barron Partners LP, an accredited investor, 1,666,667 shares of our Series A Convertible Preferred Stock and issued the purchaser the Common Stock Purchase Warrants A, B and C to purchase an aggregate of 4,500,000 shares of our common stock at exercise prices ranging from \$2.00 to \$9.60 per share for an aggregate purchase price of \$1,000,000. We received net proceeds of \$900,000 after payment of expenses of \$35,000 and a finder's fee to Liberty Company LLC of \$65,000. We also issued Liberty Company LLC, a broker-dealer, a Common Stock Purchase Warrant A exercisable into 175,000 shares of our common stock with an exercise price of \$0.70 per share as additional compensation for its services. We used these proceeds for general working capital and acquisitions. The transaction was exempt from registration under the Securities Act in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

All shares of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock were converted into shares of our common stock in fiscal 2008. As of September 30, 2010 there are no Series A Convertible Preferred shares outstanding. The warrants issued in conjunction with the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock transaction were fully converted into shares of our common

stock in fiscal 2008. There are no outstanding warrants related to the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock transaction at September 30, 2010.

B) Series B Convertible Preferred Stock

The designations, rights and preferences of the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock provide:

no dividends are payable on the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock. So long as these shares are outstanding, we cannot pay dividends on our common stock nor can it redeem any shares of its common stock, the shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock do not have any voting rights, except as may be provided under Delaware law,

so long as the shares are outstanding, we cannot change the designations of the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock, create a class of securities that in the instance of payment of dividends or distribution of assets upon our liquidation ranks senior to or pari passu with the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock or increase the number of authorized shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock, the shares carry a liquidation preference of \$0.2727 per share,

each share of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock is convertible at the option of the holder into one share of our common stock based upon an initial conversion value of \$0.2727 per share. The conversion ratio is subject to adjustment in the event of stock dividends, stock splits or reclassification of our common stock. The conversion ratio is also subject to adjustment in the event we should sell any shares of its common stock or securities convertible into common stock at an effective price less than the conversion ratio then in effect, in which case the conversion ratio would be reduced to the lesser price. No conversion of the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock may occur if a conversion would result in the holder, and any of its affiliates beneficially owning more than 4.9% of our outstanding common shares following such conversion. This provision may be waived or amended only with the consent of the holders of all of the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock and the consent of the holders of a majority of our outstanding shares of common stock who are not affiliates,

IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Years ended September 30, 2010 and 2009

NOTE 11 - STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT) (continued)

so long as the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock is outstanding, we have agreed not to issue any rights, options or warrants to holders of its common stock entitling the holders to purchase shares of its common stock at less than the conversion ratio without the consent of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock. If we should elect to undertake such an issuance and the Series B holders consent, the conversion ratio would be reduced. Further, if we should make a distribution of any evidence of indebtedness or assets or rights or warrants to subscribe for any security to our common stockholders, the conversion value would be readjusted,

the shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock automatically convert into shares of our common stock in the event of change of control of the Company, and

so long as the shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock are outstanding, we cannot sell or issue any common stock, rights to subscribe for shares of common stock or securities which are convertible or exercisable into shares of common stock at an effective purchase price of less than the then conversion value of the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock.

During fiscal 2008, Series B Preferred stockholders converted 580,000 shares of Series B Preferred Stock into 580,000 shares of common stock.

During fiscal 2009, Series B Preferred stockholders converted 626,667 shares of Series B Preferred Stock into 580,000 shares of common stock.

During fiscal 2009, the remaining 626,667 shares of Series B Preferred Stock were acquired from the original holders by John Signorello, the Company's CEO, for total consideration of \$75,000.

On December 28, 2005, the Company consummated a Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement and related agreements with Barron Partners LP. Under the terms of these agreements, the Company issued Barron Partners LP, an accredited investor, 1,833,334 shares of its Series B Convertible Preferred Stock and Common Stock Purchase Warrants D, E and F to purchase an aggregate of 2,250,000 shares of its common stock at exercise prices ranging from \$2.00 to \$9.60 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of \$500,000. The Company received net proceeds of \$475,000 after

payment of commissions of \$25,000 (before placement expenses). The Company used these proceeds for general working capital. The transaction was exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

On the date of issuance of the Series B Preferred Stock, the effective conversion price was at a discount to the price of the common stock into which it was convertible. In fiscal 2006, the Company recorded a \$500,000 preferred stock dividend related to the beneficial conversion feature and the fair value of the warrants granted in connection with the preferred stock.

Under the terms of the Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement, the Company agreed:

to maintain a majority of independent directors on its Board of Directors, and that these independent directors will make up a majority of the audit and compensation committees of its Board. If at any time the Company should fail to maintain these independent majority requirements, the Company is required to pay Barron Partners LP liquidated damages of 24% of the purchase price of the securities (\$120,000) per annum, payable monthly in kind,

that if within 24 months from the closing date the Company consummates the sale of debt or equity securities with a conversion price less than the then effective conversion price of the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock, the Company will make a post-closing adjustment in the conversion price of the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock to such lower conversion price,

that for a period of three years all employment and consulting agreements must have the unanimous consent of the compensation committee of its Board, and any awards other than salary are usual and appropriate for other officers, directors, employees or consultants holding similar positions in similar publicly held-companies,

that for a period of two years from the closing the Company will not enter into any new borrowings of more than twice as much as the sum of EBITDA from recurring operations over the past four quarters, subject to certain exceptions,

IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Years ended September 30, 2010 and 2009

NOTE 11 - STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT) (continued)

that for long as Barron Partners LP holds any of the securities, the Company will not enter into any subsequent financing in which we issue or sell any debt or equity securities with a floating conversion price or containing a reset feature, and

that the Company will submit a proposal at its next annual meeting of stockholders to amend our Certificate of Incorporation to require the consent of the holders of a designated percentage of a designated class of its securities to waive or amend the terms of any rights, options and warrants approved by its Board.

Mr. John R. Signorello, the Company's CEO, agreed not to sell any shares of the Company's common stock that he may own in excess of 1% per quarter or at a price of less than \$1.50 per share for a period ending August 30, 2007, and that the earliest any other insiders could sell their shares would be beginning two years from the closing date.

The Company granted Barron Partners LP a right of first refusal to participate in any subsequent funding the Company may undertake on a pro rata basis at 94% of the offering price.

Warrants Issued In the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock Transaction

In connection with the sale of shares of our Series B Convertible Preferred Stock, we issued the purchaser the following common stock purchase warrants:

Common Stock Purchase Warrants D to purchase an aggregate of 1,000,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$2.00 per share,

Common Stock Purchase Warrants E to purchase an aggregate of 625,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$4.80 per share, and

Common Stock Purchase Warrants F to purchase an aggregate of 625,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$9.60 per share.

We also issued Liberty Company LLC, a broker dealer which served as finder for us in the transaction, a Common Stock Purchase Warrant G to purchase 25,000 shares of its common stock at an exercise price of \$1.00 per share. Other than the exercise price, all other terms of the warrant issued to Liberty Company LLC are identical to the Common Stock Purchase Warrants E and F issued to the purchaser.

The expiration date of the warrants is five years, or 18 months after effectiveness of a registration statement subsequent to the issuance hereof with such 18 months to be extended by one month for each month or portion of a month during which such registration statement's effectiveness has lapsed or been suspended, whichever is longer. The warrants contain a cashless exercise provision which permits the holder, rather than paying the exercise price in cash, to surrender a number of warrants equal to the exercise price of the warrants being exercised. The holder cannot utilize the cashless exercise feature during the first six months of the term or so long as there is an effective registration statement covering the shares of common stock underlying the warrants. The exercise price of the warrants and the number of shares issuable upon the exercise of the warrants is subject to adjustment in the event of stock splits, stock dividends and reorganizations, as well as if we issue common stock or securities convertible into common stock at an effective price less than the then current exercise price of the warrant.

The warrants issued in conjunction with the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock transaction were fully converted into shares of our common stock in fiscal 2009. There are no outstanding warrants related to the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock transaction.

Common Stock

Fiscal 2009 Transactions

On October 28, 2008 we issued 3,431,680 shares of restricted common stock at a per share price of \$0.07, valued at \$240,218, in lieu of pay to our employees. The issuance was exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Years ended September 30, 2010 and 2009

NOTE 11 - STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT) (continued)

On February 18, 2009 we issued 480,000 shares of restricted common stock at a per share price of \$0.14, valued at \$67,200, in lieu of pay to our employees. The issuance was exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

On March 26, 2009 we issued 6,243,581 shares of restricted common stock at a per share price of \$0.09, valued at \$560,305, in lieu of pay to our employees. The issuance was exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

On August 19, 2009 we issued 3,000,000 shares of restricted common stock at a per share price of \$0.10 valued at \$300,000, in lieu of pay to our employees. The issuance was exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

On June 3, 2009 we sold 1,400,000 shares of common stock at a per share price of \$0.03, valued at \$42,000 to an accredited investor and the issuance was exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

On June 8, 2009 we sold 1,000,000 shares of common stock at a per share price of \$0.04, valued at \$40,000 to an accredited investor and the issuance was exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

On June 11, 2009 we sold 500,000 shares of common stock at a per share price of \$0.03, valued at \$15,000 to an accredited investor and the issuance was exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

Edgar Filing: ICEWEB INC - Form 10-K/A

On August 10, 2009 we sold 1,000,000 shares of common stock at a per share price of \$0.08, valued at \$80,000 to an accredited investor and the issuance was exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

On March 10, 2009, we issued 25,000 shares of our common stock valued at \$2,500 in satisfaction of debt in the amount of \$2,500, which related to services rendered to the Company. The recipient was an accredited investor and the issuance was exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

On March 11, 2009, we issued 100,000 shares of our common stock valued at \$4,000 in satisfaction of debt in the amount of \$4,000, which related to services rendered to the Company. The recipient was an accredited investor and the issuance was exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

On June 25, 2009, we issued 100,000 shares of our common stock valued at \$6,000 in satisfaction of debt in the amount of \$6,000, which related to services rendered to the Company. The recipient was an accredited investor and the issuance was exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

On September 2, 2009, we issued 1,500,000 shares of our common stock valued at \$120,000 in satisfaction of debt in the amount of \$120,000, which related to services rendered to the Company. The recipient was an accredited investor and the issuance was exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

In March, 2009, in conjunction with the sale of its subsidiary IceWEB Virginia, Inc., the Company issued 1,000,000 shares of our common stock to the purchaser, valued at \$80,000. The recipient was an accredited investor and the issuance was exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

During fiscal 2009, we issued 18,715,000 of our common stock in connection with the exercise of options under our stock option plan.

In the fiscal first quarter of 2009, we issued 1,959,601 shares of common stock in connection with payments on a short term note payable, valued at \$152,273.

IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Years ended September 30, 2010 and 2009

NOTE 11 - STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT) (continued)

Fiscal 2010 Transactions

During November, 2009, we sold 1,000,000 shares of common stock, valued at \$130,000 to a Director for \$40,000, and recognized stock based compensation expense of \$90,000. The purchaser was an accredited investor and the issuance was exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

During November, 2009, we sold 1,500,000 shares of common stock at a per share price of \$0.10, valued at \$150,000 to an accredited investor, and the issuance was exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

During March, 2010, we sold 3,000,000 shares of common stock at a per share price of \$0.10, valued at \$300,000 to four accredited investors. The issuances were exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on exemptions provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

During March, 2010, we issued 1,000,000 shares of common stock at a per share price of \$0.17, valued at \$170,000 to an accredited investor for services rendered. The issuance was exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

During February, 2010 we issued 8,800,000 shares of restricted common stock at a per share price of \$0.086, valued at \$756,800, in lieu of pay to five of our employees, including two executive officers. The recipients were accredited investors and the issuances were exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on exemptions provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

During April and May, 2010 we sold 10,080,000 units of our securities to 35 accredited investors in a private placement exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act and Regulation D, with each unit consisting of one share of our common stock and one 12 month common stock purchase warrants. We received gross proceeds of \$2,316,000 in this offering. Jesup & Lamont Securities Incorporated, a broker-dealer and member of FINRA, acted as finder for us in the offering and we paid Jesup & Lamont Securities Incorporated a fee of \$162,120 and issued them one-year common stock purchase warrants to purchase an aggregate of 877,100 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.40 per share. In addition, we paid Jesup & Lamont Securities Incorporated legal expenses totaling \$25,000 incurred in the preparation of the various transactional documents. We are using the net proceeds of this offering for general working capital.

In July 2010, we issued 2,678,571 shares of common stock valued at \$401,786 to Optimus Capital Partners, LLC as consideration in the settlement of certain litigation. The recipient was an accredited investor and the issuance was exempt from registration under the Section Act of 1933 in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

In September 2010, we issued 3,000,000 shares of our common stock in full satisfaction of \$1,090,136 of principal and interest due under a convertible debenture. The recipient was an accredited investor and the issuance was exempt from registration under the Section Act of 1933 in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

During fiscal 2010, in conjunction with certain employment agreements, we issued 494,937 shares of restricted common stock valued at \$97,065, in lieu of pay to non-executive employees. The recipients were accredited investors and the issuances were exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on exemptions provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

During May, 2010, we issued 200,000 shares of common stock at a per share price of \$0.30, valued at \$60,000 to an accredited investor for services rendered. The issuance was exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

During fiscal 2010, in conjunction with a consulting agreement, we issued 250,000 shares of restricted common stock valued at \$56,234, in lieu of pay to non-executive employees. The recipients were accredited investors and the issuances were exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on exemptions provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****Years ended September 30, 2010 and 2009**

NOTE 11 - STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT) (continued)

In June 2010, we issued 1,300,000 shares of common stock valued at \$210,000 as partial consideration in the settlement of certain litigation. The recipients were an accredited investor and the issuance was exempt from registration under the Section Act of 1933 in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

Common Stock Warrants

A summary of the status of our outstanding common stock warrants as of September 30, 2010 and 2009 and changes during the period ending on that date is as follows:

| | Year Ended September 30, | | Year Ended September 30, | |
|--|--------------------------|----------|--------------------------|----------|
| | 2010 | | 2009 | |
| | | Weighted | | Weighted |
| | | Average | | Average |
| | Number of | Exercise | Number of | Exercise |
| | Warrants | Price | Warrants | Price |
| <u>Common Stock Warrants</u> | | | | |
| Balance at beginning of year | 225,000 | \$ 1.78 | 300,000 | \$ 1.25 |
| Granted | 8,137,100 | 0.40 | | |
| Exercised | | | | |
| Forfeited | (75,000) | 6.00 | (75,000) | 0.65 |
| Balance at end of year | 8,287,100 | \$ 0.40 | 225,000 | \$ 1.78 |
| Warrants exercisable at end of year | 8,287,100 | \$ 0.40 | | |
| Weighted average fair value of warrants granted or re-priced during the year | | \$ | | |

The following table summarizes information about common stock warrants outstanding at September 30, 2010:

| Range of Exercise Price | Warrants Outstanding | | | Warrants Exercisable | | |
|-------------------------|--|---|---------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Number Outstanding at September 30, 2010 | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Number Exercisable at September 30, 2010 | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
| \$ 0.20 | 200,000 | 0.57 Years | \$ 0.20 | 200,000 | \$ 0.20 | \$ 0.20 |
| \$ 0.40 | 7,792,100 | 0.65 Years | \$ 0.40 | 7,792,100 | \$ 0.40 | \$ 0.40 |
| \$ 0.50 | 290,000 | 3.03 Years | \$ 0.50 | 290,000 | \$ 0.50 | \$ 0.50 |
| \$ 2.00 | 5,000 | 0.81 Years | \$ 2.00 | 5,000 | \$ 2.00 | \$ 2.00 |
| | 8,287,100 | | \$ 0.40 | 8,287,100 | \$ 0.40 | \$ 0.40 |

NOTE 12 - STOCK OPTION PLAN

In August 2000, the Board of Directors adopted the 2000 Management and Director Equity Incentive and Compensation Plan (the Plan) for directors, officers and employees that provides for non-qualified and incentive stock options to be issued enabling holders thereof to purchase common shares of our stock at exercise prices determined by our Board of Directors. The Plan was approved by our stockholders in August 2001.

IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Years ended September 30, 2010 and 2009

NOTE 12 - STOCK OPTION PLAN (continued)

The purpose of the Plan is to advance our interests and those of its stockholders by providing a means of attracting and retaining key employees, directors and consultants. In order to serve this purpose, we believe the Plan encourages and enables key employees, directors and consultants to participate in its future prosperity and growth by providing them with incentives and compensation based on its performance, development and financial success. Participants in the Plan may include our officers, directors, other key employees and consultants who have responsibilities affecting our management, development or financial success.

Awards may be made under the Plan in the form of Plan options, shares of our common stock subject to a vesting schedule based upon certain performance objectives (Performance Shares) and shares subject to a vesting schedule based on the recipient's continued employment (restricted shares). Plan options may either be options qualifying as incentive stock options under Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended or options that do not so qualify. Any incentive stock option granted under the Plan must provide for an exercise price of not less than 100% of the fair market value of the underlying shares on the date of such grant, but the exercise price of any incentive option granted to an eligible employee owning more than 10% of our common stock must be at least 110% of such fair market value as determined on the date of the grant. Only persons who are officers or other key employees are eligible to receive incentive stock options and performance share grants. Any non-qualified stock option granted under the Plan must provide for an exercise price of not less than 50% of the fair market value of the underlying shares on the date of such grant.

As amended in fiscal 2010, the Plan permits the grant of options and shares for up to 60,000,000 shares of our common stock. The Plan terminates 10 years from the date of the Plan's adoption by our stockholders.

The term of each Plan option and the manner in which it may be exercised is determined by the Board of Directors, provided that no Plan option may be exercisable more than three years after the date of its grant and, in the case of an incentive option granted to an eligible employee owning more than 10% of our common stock, no more than five years after the date of the grant. The exercise price of the stock options may be paid in either cash, or delivery of unrestricted shares of common stock having a fair market value on the date of delivery equal to the exercise price, or surrender of shares of common stock subject to the stock option which has a fair market value equal to the total exercise price at the time of exercise, or a combination of the foregoing methods.

The fair value of stock options granted was estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes options pricing model. We used the following assumptions for determining the fair value of options granted under the Black-Scholes option pricing model:

| | Year Ended September 30, | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Expected volatility | 129% - 325% | 149% - 183% |
| Expected term | 1 - 5 Years | 1 - 5 Years |
| Risk-free interest rate | 0.03% - 0.48% | 2.53% - 4.76% |
| Forfeiture Rate | 0% - 45% | 0% - 45% |
| Expected dividend yield | 0% | 0% |

The expected volatility was determined with reference to the historical volatility of our stock. We use historical data to estimate option exercise, employee termination, and forfeiture rate within the valuation model. The expected term of options granted represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. The risk-free interest rate for periods within the contractual life of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury rate in effect at the time of grant.

For the year ended September 30, 2010, total stock-based compensation charged to operations for option-based arrangements amounted to \$1,627,920. At September 30, 2010, there was approximately \$447,076 of total unrecognized compensation expense related to non-vested option-based compensation arrangements under the Plan.

IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Years ended September 30, 2010 and 2009

NOTE 12 - STOCK OPTION PLAN (continued)

A summary of the status of our outstanding stock options as of September 30, 2010 and changes during the period ending on that date is as follows:

| | Year Ended September 30, | | | Year Ended September 30, | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| | Number of Options | 2010 Weighted Average Exercise Price | Aggregate Intrinsic Value | Number of Options | 2009 Weighted Average Exercise Price | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
| <u>Stock options</u> | | | | | | |
| Balance at beginning of year | 10,944,483 | \$ 0.27 | | 6,583,827 | \$ 0.61 | \$ |
| Granted | 32,410,000 | 0.09 | | 24,395,000 | 0.06 | |
| Exercised | (30,570,600) | 0.09 | | (18,715,000) | 0.05 | |
| Forfeited | (1,179,479) | 0.16 | | (1,319,344) | 0.28 | |
| Balance at end of year | 11,604,404 | \$ 0.27 | \$ 1,351,502 | 10,944,483 | \$ 0.27 | |