Primoris Services Corp Form 10-Q November 07, 2014 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark	One)
x	QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.
	For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2014
	OR
0	TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.
	For the transition period from to .

Commission file number 0001-34145

Primoris Services Corporation

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization) 20-4743916 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

2100 McKinney Avenue, Suite 1500
Dallas, Texas
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

75201 (Zip Code)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (214) 740-5600

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (Section 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, a cacelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer x

Accelerated filer o

Non-accelerated filer o

Do not check if a smaller reporting company.

Smaller reporting company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No x

At November 5, 2014, 51,561,396 shares of the registrant s common stock, par value \$0.0001 per share, were outstanding.

PRIMORIS SERVICES CORPORATION

INDEX

	Page No.
Part I. Financial Information	
Item 1. Financial Statements:	
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013	3
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013	4
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013	5
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	7
Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	22
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	36
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	36
Part II. Other Information	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	37
Item 1A. Risk Factors	37
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	37
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities	37
Item 4. (Removed and Reserved)	37
Item 5. Other Information	37
Item 6. Exhibits	38
<u>Signatures</u>	39
2	

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

PRIMORIS SERVICES CORPORATION

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In Thousands, Except Share Amounts)

(Unaudited)

	Se	ptember 30, 2014	Dec	cember 31, 2013
ASSETS				
Current assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	144,150	\$	196,077
Short-term investments		2,080		18,686
Customer retention deposits and restricted cash		411		5,304
Accounts receivable, net		388,381		304,955
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings		96,150		57,146
Inventory and uninstalled contract materials		58,271		51,829
Deferred tax assets		13,133		13,133
Prepaid expenses and other current assets		11,653		12,654
Total current assets		714,229		659,784
Property and equipment, net		262,835		226,512
Intangible assets, net		42,471		45,303
Goodwill		118,626		118,626
Other long-term assets		404		468
Total assets	\$	1,138,565	\$	1,050,693
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY				
Current liabilities:				
Accounts payable	\$	158,118	\$	127,302
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings		164,428		173,365
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities		100,052		91,079
Dividends payable		2,062		1,805
Current portion of capital leases		1,796		3,288
Current portion of long-term debt		35,024		28,475
Current portion of contingent earnout liabilities		6,136		5,000
Total current liabilities		467,616		430,314
Long-term capital leases, net of current portion		1,009		2,295
Long-term debt, net of current portion		197,857		191,051
Deferred tax liabilities		10,092		10,092
Long-term contingent earnout liabilities, net of current portion		810		4,233
Other long-term liabilities		14,543		14,260
Total liabilities		691,927		652,245
Commitments and contingencies				
Stockholders equity				

Common stock \$.0001 par value, 90,000,000 shares authorized, 51,561,396 and 51,571,396	4		
issued and outstanding at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013		5	5
Additional paid-in capital		159,920	159,196
Retained earnings		286,765	238,216
Noncontrolling interests		(52)	1,031
Total stockholders equity		446,638	398,448
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$	1,138,565 \$	1,050,693

See Accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

PRIMORIS SERVICES CORPORATION

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(In Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

(Unaudited)

		Three Mon Septem				Nine mont Septem		
D	¢	2014	¢	2013	φ	2014	¢	2013
Revenues Cost of revenues	\$	613,237 537,764	\$	551,333 475,868	\$	1,598,602	\$	1,406,341
Gross profit		75,473		75,465		1,412,178 186,424		1,225,243 181,098
		36,162		36,478		99.087		96.657
Selling, general and administrative expenses		39,311				/		,
Operating income		39,311		38,987		87,337		84,441
Other income (expense): Income from non-consolidated entities		5,250		113		5,264		169
		(101)		91		74		3
Foreign exchange gain (loss) Other expense		(201)		(376)		(642)		(809)
Interest income		(201)		32		(642)		95
		(1,778)				(4,642)		
Interest expense		(1,778)		(1,579)		(4,042)		(4,501)
Income before provision for income taxes		42,495		37,268		87,471		79,398
Provision for income taxes		(15,105)		(14,075)		(32,813)		(30,272)
Net income	\$	27,390	\$	23,193	\$	54,658	\$	49,126
Net income	Ψ	21,370	Ψ	23,173	Ψ	54,050	Ψ	47,120
Less net income attributable to noncontrolling								
interests				(1,348)		(432)		(1,947)
				(1,5.0)		(102)		(2,2)
Net income attributable to Primoris	\$	27,390	\$	21,845	\$	54,226	\$	47,179
	Ψ	27,850	Ψ	21,010	Ψ	0 1,220	Ψ	.,,,,,,
Earnings per share:								
Basic	\$	0.53	\$	0.42	\$	1.05	\$	0.92
Diluted	\$	0.53	\$	0.42	\$	1.05	\$	0.91
Weighted average common shares								
outstanding:								
Basic		51,606		51,568		51,622		51,529
Diluted		51,759		51,671		51,759		51,595

See Accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

PRIMORIS SERVICES CORPORATION

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In Thousands)

(Unaudited)

		nths Ended	ı
	2014		2013
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income	\$ 54,658	\$	49,126
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation	37,126		31,003
Amortization of intangible assets	5,632		5,576
Gain on sale of property and equipment	(956)		(1,176)
Income from non-consolidated entities	(5,264)		(169)
Impairment expense for non-consolidated entities			3,250
Non-consolidated entity distributions			3,186
Stock based compensation expense	671		229
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Customer retention deposits and restricted cash	4,893		20,000
Accounts receivable	(80,658)		(16,402)
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings	(39,004)		(38,733)
Other current assets	(5,787)		(8,665)
Accounts payable	30,247		(32,551)
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings	(8,937)		(11,428)
Contingent earnout liabilities	(4,358)		(9,287)
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	9,301		26,626
Other long-term liabilities	283		90
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(2,153)		20,675
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Purchase of property and equipment	(64,540)		(68,749)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	3,848		6,554
Purchase of short-term investments	(3,525)		(5,620)
Sale of short-term investments	20,131		5,882
Cash received for the sale of Alvah and WesPac	6,439		
Cash paid for acquisitions	(14,595)		(2,273)
Net cash used in investing activities	(52,242)		(64,206)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	39,700		97,035
Repayment of capital leases	(2,778)		(3,399)
Repayment of long-term debt	(26,345)		(29,225)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock purchased under a long-term incentive plan	1,671		1,455
Dividends paid	(5,421)		(3,352)
Cash distribution to non-controlling interest holder	(1,515)		(2,500)
Repurchase of common stock	(2,844)		
Net cash provided by financing activities	2,468		60,014
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(51,927)		16,483
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the period	196,077		157,551
Cash and cash equivalents at end of the period	\$ 144,150	\$	174,034

See Accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION

		Septen	ths Ended iber 30,	
	201	4		2013
		(Unau	ıdited)	
Cash paid during the period for:				
Interest	\$	5,215	\$	3,369
Income taxes, net of refunds received	\$	35,484	\$	32,379

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF NONCASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES

		Nine Mon Septem	
	20	14 (Unau	2013
Obligations incurred for the acquisition of property and equipment	\$		\$ 2,523
Dividends declared and not yet paid	\$	2,062	\$ 1,805

See Accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

PRIMORIS SERVICES CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars In Thousands, Except Share and Per Share Amounts)

(Unaudited)

Note 1 Nature of Business

Organization and operations Primoris Services Corporation is a holding company of various construction and product engineering subsidiaries. The Company s underground and directional drilling operations install, replace and repair natural gas, petroleum, telecommunications and water pipeline systems, including large diameter pipeline systems. The Company s industrial, civil and engineering operations build and provide maintenance services to industrial facilities including power plants, petrochemical facilities, and other processing plants; construct multi-level parking structures; and engage in the construction of highways, bridges and other environmental construction activities. The Company is incorporated in the State of Delaware, and its corporate headquarters are located at 2100 McKinney Avenue, Suite 1500, Dallas, Texas 75201.

Reportable Operating Segments For a number of years and through the end of the second quarter 2014, the Company segregated its business into three operating segments: the East Construction Services segment, the West Construction Services segment and the Engineering segment. In the third quarter 2014, the Company reorganized its business segments to match the change in the Company s internal organization and management structure. The operating segments include: The West Construction Services segment (West segment), which is unchanged from the previous segment, the East Construction Services segment (East segment), which is realigned from the previous East Construction Services segment and the Energy segment (Energy segment). All prior period amounts related to the segment change have been retrospectively reclassified throughout these quarterly financial statements to conform to the new presentation. See Note 20 Reportable Operating Segments.

The following table lists the Company s primary operating subsidiaries and their reportable operating segment:

Subsidiary	Operating Segment	Prior Operating Segment
ARB, Inc. (ARB)	West	West
ARB Structures, Inc.	West	West
Q3 Contracting, Inc. (Q3C)	West	West
Rockford Corporation (Rockford)	West	West
Stellaris, LLC.	West	West
Vadnais Trenchless Services, Inc. (Vadnais); acquired in 2014	West	West
Silva Group (Silva)	East	East
Cardinal Contractors, Inc.	East	East
BW Primoris, LLC (BWP)	East	East
James Construction Group, LLC (JCG):		
JCG Heavy Civil Division	East	East
JCG Infrastructure and Maintenance Division	East	East
JCG Industrial Division	Energy	East
Primoris Energy Services Corporation (PES)	Energy	East
OnQuest, Inc.	Energy	Engineering

OnQuest, Canada, ULC (Born Heaters Canada, ULC prior to 2013)

Energy

Engineering

7

Table of Contents

Sprint Pipeline Services, L.P. (Sprint) was purchased by PES in 2012. PES has operated using the Sprint name as a DBA during 2012 and 2013. PES acquired two subsidiaries, The Saxon Group (Saxon) in 2012 and Force Specialty Services, Inc. (FSSI) in 2013. On January 1, 2014, the two subsidiaries were merged into PES. Additionally, effective January 1, 2014 the Industrial division of JCG was merged into PES. In this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 (the Third Quarter 2014 Report), references to Sprint, FSSI, Saxon and James Industrial are to the divisions of PES, while the references are to the entities or divisions for periods prior to 2014.

The Company owns 50% of the Blythe Power Constructors joint venture (Blythe) for the installation of a parabolic trough solar field and steam generation system in California and its operations are included as part of the West Construction Services segment. The project has been completed and final wrap-up of the joint venture is expected in December 2014.

In January 2014, the Company created a wholly owned subsidiary, BW Primoris, LLC, a Texas limited liability company (BWP) which operations are a part of the East segment. BWP s goal is to develop water projects, primarily in Texas, that will need the Company s construction services. On January 22, 2014, BWP entered into an agreement to purchase the assets and business of Blaus Wasser, LLC, a Wyoming limited liability company for approximately \$5 million. During the 2014 first quarter, BWP entered into an intercompany construction contract with Cardinal Contractors, Inc. to build a small water treatment facility in West Texas; intercompany revenue and profit of the project is eliminated. At September 30, 2014, a total of \$3.9 million has been capitalized as property, plant and equipment.

In May 2014, the Company created a wholly owned subsidiary, Vadnais Trenchless Services, Inc., a California company (Vadnais), which is a part of the West Construction Services segment. On June 5, 2014, the Company purchased assets, consisting of equipment, building and land, from Vadnais Corporation for \$6.4 million, a general contractor specializing in micro-tunneling. In addition, the sellers were provided a contingent earnout of \$0.9 million upon meeting certain operating targets through December 31, 2014. The estimated fair value of the contingent earnout on the acquisition date was \$729.

During the third quarter 2014, the Company made three small purchases totaling \$8.2 million acquiring the net assets of Surber Roustabout, LLC, Ram-Fab, LLC and Williams Testing, LLC. Surber and Ram-Fab operate as divisions of PES in the Energy segment and Williams is a division of Cardinal Contractors, Inc. in the East segment. Surber provides general oil and gas related construction activities in Texas, Ram-Fab is a fabricator of custom piping systems located in Arkansas and Williams provides construction services related to sewer pipeline maintenance, rehabilitation and integrity testing in the Florida market. The Surber purchase provided for a contingent earnout amount of up to \$1.8 million over a 3-year period, based on meeting certain operating targets, which had an estimated fair value of \$1.1 million on the acquisition date. The Ram-Fab purchase included a \$0.2 million contingent earnout based on estimated earnings of a six-month operating project, with the estimated fair value of the contingency at \$0.2 million.

Unless specifically noted otherwise, as used throughout these consolidated financial statements, Primoris, the Company, we, our, us or its to the business, operations and financial results of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries.

Note 2 Basis of Presentation

Interim consolidated financial statements The interim condensed consolidated financial statements for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 have been prepared in accordance with Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as

amended (the Exchange Act). As such, certain disclosures, which would substantially duplicate the disclosures contained in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed on March 3, 2014, which contains the Company s audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013, have been omitted.

This Third Quarter 2014 Report should be read in concert with the Company s most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K. In the opinion of management, the interim information includes all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) necessary for the fair presentation of the interim financial information. The interim financial information is unaudited.

Revenue recognition

Fixed-price contracts Historically, a substantial portion of the Company s revenue has been generated under fixed-price contracts. Fixed price contracts generally provide that the Company will perform all of the work required by the contract for a stated price. For fixed-price contracts, the Company recognizes revenues using the percentage-of-completion method, which may result in uneven and irregular results. In the percentage-of-completion method, estimated revenues and resulting contract income are calculated based on the total costs incurred to date as a percentage of total estimated costs.

Unit-price contracts A unit-price contract provides performance of a specific project at a specific price for each unit of output. These contracts are commonly associated with road building.

Unforeseen events and circumstances can alter the estimate of the costs and potential profit associated with a particular contract. Total estimated costs, and thus contract revenues and income, can be impacted by changes in productivity, scheduling, the unit

Table of Contents

cost of labor, subcontracts, materials and equipment. Additionally, external factors such as weather, client needs, client delays in providing permits and approvals, labor availability, governmental regulation and politics may affect the progress of a project s completion and thus the timing of revenue recognition. To the extent that original cost estimates are modified, estimated costs to complete increase, delivery schedules are delayed, or progress under a contract is otherwise impeded, cash flow, revenue recognition and profitability from a particular contract may be adversely affected.

Other contract forms The Company also uses time and material and cost reimbursable plus fee contracts. For these jobs, revenue is recognized based on contractual terms. For example, time and material contract revenues are recognized based on purchasing and employee time records.

For all contracts, if an estimate of total contract cost indicates a loss on a contract, the projected loss is recognized in full at the time of the estimate. The loss amount is recognized as an accrued loss provision and is included in the accrued expenses and other liabilities amount on the consolidated balance sheet. Because the full loss has been recognized, any future revenues that are generated will result in the accrued loss provision being changed and the gross profit of the contract in future periods will be zero.

The Company considers unapproved change orders to be contract variations for which it has customer approval for a change in scope but for which it does not have an agreed upon price change. Costs associated with unapproved change orders are included in the estimated cost to complete the contracts and are treated as project costs as incurred. The Company recognizes revenue equal to costs incurred on unapproved change orders when realization of price approval is probable. Unapproved change orders involve the use of estimates, and it is reasonably possible that revisions to the estimated costs and recoverable amounts may be required in future reporting periods to reflect changes in estimates or final agreements with customers.

The Company considers claims to be amounts it seeks, or will seek, to collect from customers or others for customer-caused changes in contract specifications or design, or other customer-related causes of unanticipated additional contract costs on which there is no agreement with customers on both scope and price changes. Claims are included in the calculation of revenues when realization is probable and amounts can be reliably determined. Revenues in excess of contract costs incurred on claims are recognized when the amounts have been agreed upon with the customer. Revenue in excess of contract costs from claims is recognized when an agreement is reached with customers as to the value of the claims, which in some instances may not occur until after completion of work under the contract. Costs associated with claims are included in the estimated costs to complete the contracts and are treated as project costs when incurred.

The caption *Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings* in the consolidated balance sheet represents unbilled receivables which arise when revenues have been recorded but the amount will not be billed until a later date. Balances represent: (a) unbilled amounts arising from the use of the percentage-of-completion method of accounting which may not be billed under the terms of the contract until a later date, (b) incurred costs to be billed under cost reimbursement type contracts, (c) amounts arising from routine lags in billing, or (d) the revenue associated with unapproved change orders or claims when realization is probable and amounts can be reliably determined. For those contracts in which billings exceed contract revenues recognized to date, the excess amounts are included in the caption *Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings*.

In certain contracts, primarily for highway construction for governmental agencies, the Company is allowed to purchase and bill in advance for materials that will be used on the job. The unused amount of materials purchased and billed, but not yet installed at the balance sheet date, is included in the caption Inventory and uninstalled contract materials.

In accordance with applicable terms of certain construction contracts, retainage amounts may be withheld by customers until completion and acceptance of the project. Some payments of the retainage may not be received for a significant period after completion of our portion of a project. In some jurisdictions, retainage amounts are deposited into an escrow account.

Significant revision in contract estimate As previously discussed, revenue recognition is based on the percentage-of-completion method for firm fixed-price contracts. Under this method, the costs incurred to date as a percentage of total estimated costs are used to calculate revenue. Total estimated costs, and thus contract revenues and margin, are impacted by many factors which can cause significant changes in estimates during the life cycle of a project.

For projects that were in process in the prior year, but are either completed or continue to be in process during the current year, there can be a difference in revenues and profits recognized to the prior year, had current year estimates of costs to complete been known in the prior year.

Customer Concentration The Company operates in multiple industry segments encompassing the construction of industrial and public works infrastructure assets throughout primarily the United States. Typically, the top ten customers in any one calendar year generate revenues in excess of 50% of total revenues; however in most years a different group make up the top ten customers.

Table of Contents

During the three and nine months ending September 30, 2014, revenues generated by the top ten customers were \$309 million and \$884 million, respectively, which represented 50.3% and 55.3%, respectively, of total revenues during the periods. During these periods, TX DOT represented 7.8% and 8.6%, respectively, of total revenues and a petrochemical operating company represented 8.0% and 8.0%, respectively, of total revenues

During the three and nine months ending September 30, 2013, revenues generated by the top ten customers were \$274 million and \$717 million, respectively, which represented 49.7% and 51.0%, respectively, of total revenues during the periods. During these periods, a large gas and electric utility represented 8.8% and 8.3%, respectively, of total revenues and a large pipeline company represented 9.7% and 6.8%, respectively, of total revenues.

At September 30, 2014, approximately 12.4% of the Company s accounts receivable were due from one customer, and that customer provided 8.0% of the Company s revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2014. At September 30, 2013, approximately 13.1% of the Company s accounts receivable were due from one customer, and that customer provided 7.4% of the Company s revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Multiemployer Plans Various subsidiaries in the West Construction Services segment are signatories to collective bargaining agreements. These agreements require that the Company participate in and contribute to a number of multiemployer benefit plans for its union employees at rates determined by the agreements. The trustees for each multiemployer plan determine the eligibility and allocations of contributions and benefit amounts, determine the types of benefits and administer the plan. Federal law requires that if the Company were to withdraw from an agreement, it will incur a withdrawal obligation. The potential withdrawal obligation may be significant. Any withdrawal liability would be recorded when it is probable that a liability exists and can be reasonably estimated, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). In November 2011, the Company withdraw from the Central States Southeast and Southwest Areas Pension Fund multiemployer pension plan. The Company has no plans to withdraw from any other agreements. See Note 19 Commitments and Contingencies.

Inventory and uninstalled contract materials Inventory consists of expendable construction materials and small tools that will be used in construction projects and is valued at the lower of cost, using first-in, first-out method, or market. Uninstalled contract materials include certain job specific materials not yet installed which are valued using the specific identification method relating the inventory cost to a specific project. These materials have been purchased for specific jobs, normally highway construction jobs for state or local governmental agencies. The Company retains responsibility for safeguarding of the materials. At September 30, 2014, billed but not installed inventory was \$51,927 compared to \$44,966 at December 31, 2013.

Note 3 Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2013-04, Liabilities (Topic 405): Obligations Resulting from Joint and Several Liability Arrangements for Which the Total Amount of the Obligation Is Fixed at the Reporting Date (a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force) (ASU 2013-04). ASU 2013-04 provides guidance for the recognition, measurement, and disclosure of obligations resulting from joint and several liability arrangements for which the total amount of the obligation within the scope of this guidance is fixed at the reporting date. This ASU is an update to FASB ASC Topic 405, Liabilities. The Company adopted this guidance as of January 1, 2014 which did not have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)*. The new standard is effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016 and early adoption is not permitted. The comprehensive new standard will supersede existing revenue recognition guidance and require revenue to be recognized when promised goods or services are transferred to customers in amounts that reflect the consideration to which the company expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. Adoption of the new rules could affect the timing of revenue recognition for certain transactions. The guidance permits two implementation approaches, one requiring retrospective application of the new standard with restatement of prior years and one requiring prospective application of the new standard with disclosure of results under old standards. For the Company the new standard will be effective January 1, 2017 and the Company is currently evaluating the impacts of adoption and the implementation approach to be used.

Note 4 Fair Value Measurements

ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, defines fair value in GAAP, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and requires certain disclosures about fair value measurements. ASC Topic 820 requires that certain financial assets and financial liabilities be re-measured and reported at fair value each reporting period while other non-financial assets and liabilities may be re-measured and reported at fair value on a non-recurring basis.

10

Table of Contents

In general, fair values determined by Level 1 inputs use quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Fair values determined by Level 2 inputs use data points that are observable such as quoted prices, interest rates and yield curves. Fair values determined by Level 3 inputs are unobservable data points for the asset or liability and include situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability.

The following table presents, for each of the fair value hierarchy levels identified under ASC Topic 820, the Company s financial assets and liabilities that are required to be measured at fair value at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

		Amount Recorded on Balance	Fair Value Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets	e Measurements at Repor Significant Other Observable Inputs	8	e Significant Unobservable Inputs
		Sheet	(Level 1)	(Level 2)		(Level 3)
Assets as of September 30, 2014:						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	144,150	\$ 144,150			
Short-term investments	\$	2,080	\$ 2,080			
Liabilities as of September 30, 2014:						
Contingent consideration	\$	6,946			\$	6,946
Assets as of December 31, 2013:						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	196,077	\$ 196,077			
Short-term investments	\$	18,686	\$ 18,686			
Liabilities as of December 31, 2013:						
Contingent consideration	\$	9,233			\$	9,233

Short-term investments consist primarily of Certificates of Deposit (CDs) and U.S. Treasury bills with various financial institutions that are backed by the federal government.

Other financial instruments of the Company not listed in the table consist of accounts receivable, accounts payable and certain accrued liabilities. These financial instruments generally approximate fair value based on their short-term nature. The carrying value of the Company s long-term debt approximates fair value based on comparison with current prevailing market rates for loans of similar risks and maturities.

The following table provides changes to the Company s contingent consideration liability Level 3 fair value measurements during the nine months ended September 30, 2014:

Contingent Consideration	
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$ 9,233
Additions:	
Change in fair value of contingent consideration	642
Vadnais acquisition	729
Other acquisitions made in third quarter 2014	1,342
Reductions:	

Payment to Q3C sellers	(5,000)
Balance at September 30, 2014	\$ 6,946

On a quarterly basis, the Company assesses the estimated fair value of the contractual obligation to pay the contingent consideration and any changes in estimated fair value are recorded as other non-operating expense or income in the Company s consolidated statement of income. Fluctuations in the fair value of contingent consideration are impacted by two unobservable inputs, management s estimate of the probability (which has ranged from 33% to 100%) of the acquired company meeting the contractual operating performance target and the estimated discount rate (a rate that approximates the Company s cost of capital). Significant changes in either of those inputs in isolation would result in a significantly different fair value measurement. Generally, a change in the assumption of the probability of meeting the performance target is accompanied by a directionally similar change in the fair value of contingent consideration liability, whereas a change in assumption of the estimated discount rate is accompanied by a directionally opposite change in the fair value of contingent consideration liability.

Note 5 Accounts Receivable

The following is a summary of the Company s accounts receivable:

	\$ September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Contracts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$525 at September 30, 2014		
and \$692 at December 31, 2013	\$ 323,387	\$ 257,354
Retention receivable	64,221	47,054
	387,608	304,408
Other accounts receivable	773	547
	\$ 388,381	\$ 304,955

Note 6 Costs and Estimated Earnings on Uncompleted Contracts

Costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts consist of the following:

	S	eptember 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Costs incurred on uncompleted contracts	\$	4,743,681 \$	4,741,249
Gross profit recognized		559,359	582,430
		5,303,040	5,323,679
Less: billings to date		(5,371,318)	(5,439,898)
	\$	(68,278) \$	(116,219)

This amount is included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets under the following captions:

	S	eptember 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings	\$	96,150 \$	57,146
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings		(164,428)	(173,365)
	\$	(68,278) \$	(116,219)

Note 7 Equity Method Investments

WesPac Energy LLC and WesPac Midstream LLC

On July 1, 2010, the Company acquired a 50% membership interest in WesPac Energy LLC (WesPac), a Nevada limited liability company, from Kealine Holdings, LLC (Kealine), a Nevada limited liability company, with Kealine retaining a remaining 50% membership interest. WesPac developed pipeline and terminal projects, primarily for the oil and gas industry.

On September 30, 2013, WesPac, Kealine and the Company entered into an agreement (the Midstream Agreement) with Highstar Capital IV, LP (Highstar), to form a new entity, WesPac Midstream LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (Midstream), with WesPac contributing project assets to Midstream and Highstar investing \$6,082 in cash.

The Company has accounted for the investment using the equity method of accounting and recorded its proportionate share of operating expenses. During the fourth quarter 2013, the Company recorded non-cash impairment charges and wrote-off the total value of its equity investment.

During the third quarter 2014, the Company entered into negotiations with the members of Midstream. In August, the Company entered into a redemption agreement for the sale of all of the Company s ownership in both WesPac and Midstream for a total of \$5,250 in cash.

Alvah, Inc.

As part of its acquisition of Q3C, the Company acquired a 49% membership interest in Alvah, Inc., a California corporation (Alvah). Alvah is engaged in electrical contracting activities, primarily in Northern California and has worked as a subcontractor for ARB both prior to and subsequent to the Q3C acquisition.

Table of Contents

On February 5, 2014, the majority owner of Alvah, in accordance with the original investment agreement, elected to purchase the Company s minority interest effective January 1, 2014 for a cash payment of \$1,189. At the time of the transaction, the Company recorded income adjustments of \$14 related to the final sale in the first quarter 2014.

Note 8 Business Combinations

In May 2014, the Company created a wholly owned subsidiary, Vadnais Trenchless Services, Inc., a California company (Vadnais), which is a part of the West Construction Services segment. On June 5, 2014, the Company purchased certain assets from Vadnais Corporation, a general contractor specializing in micro-tunneling. The assets were purchased for their estimated fair value of \$6.4 million in cash and included equipment, building and land. In addition upon meeting certain operating targets through December 31, 2014, the sellers will receive a contingent earnout of \$0.9 million. The estimated fair value of the potential contingent consideration on the acquisition date was \$729. The purchase was accounted for using the acquisition method of accounting. During the third quarter of 2014, the Company finalized its estimate of fair value for the contingent consideration and intangible assets, which resulted in no change from the estimated values recorded at June 30, 2014.

During the third quarter 2014, the Company made three small acquisitions totaling \$8.2 million acquiring the net assets of Surber Roustabout, LLC, Ram-Fab, LLC and Williams Testing, LLC. Surber and Ram-Fab operate as divisions of PES in the Energy segment and Williams is a division of Cardinal Contractors, Inc. in the East segment. Surber provides general oil and gas related construction activities in Texas, Ram-Fab is a fabricator of custom piping systems located in Arkansas and Williams provides construction services related to sewer pipeline maintenance, rehabilitation and integrity testing in the Florida market. The Surber purchase provided for a contingent earnout amount of up to \$1.8 million over a 3-year period, based on meeting certain operating targets, which had an estimated fair value of \$1.1 million on the acquisition date. The Ram-Fab purchase included a \$0.2 million contingent earnout based on estimated earnings of a six-month operating project, with the estimated fair value of the contingency at \$0.2 million on the acquisition date. The purchases were accounted for using the acquisition method of accounting and, due to the short period of time between the acquisition dates and quarter end, the estimated values are preliminary and subject to change.

Supplemental Unaudited Pro Forma Information for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013

Pro forma information for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 presents the results of operations of the Company as if Vadnais and the three acquisitions made in the third quarter 2014, plus the March 11, 2013 FSSI acquisition had all occurred at the beginning of 2013. The Vadnais acquisition was completed on June 5, 2014, the Surber purchase on July 28, 2014, Ram-Fab on August 29, 2014 and the Williams purchase on September 19, 2014. The supplemental pro forma information has been adjusted to include:

- the pro forma impact of amortization of intangible assets and depreciation of property, plant and equipment, based on the purchase price allocations;
- the proforma impact of the expense associated with the amortization of the discount for the fair value of the contingent consideration for potential earnout liabilities that may be achieved in 2013 and 2014 for the Vadnais, Surber, Ram-Fab and FSSI acquisitions;
- the pro forma tax effect of both the income before income taxes and the pro forma adjustments, calculated using a tax rate of 39.0% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and the same period in 2013.

The pro forma results are presented for illustrative purposes only and are not necessarily indicative of, or intended to represent, the results that would have been achieved had the acquisitions been completed on January 1, 2013. For example, the pro forma results do not reflect any operating efficiencies and associated cost savings that the Company might have achieved with respect to the acquisitions.

		Three months ended September 30,			Nine n ended Sep	30,	
	2	2014		2013	2014		2013
Revenues		620,503		558,543	1,622,480		1,430,124
Income before provision for income taxes		43,407		35,358	87,091		75,034
Net income attributable to Primoris		27,946		20,680	53,994		44,517
Weighted average common shares outstanding:							
Basic		51,606		51,568	51,622		51,529
Diluted		51,759		51,671	51,759		51,595
Earnings per share:							
Basic	\$	0.54	\$	0.40	\$ 1.05	\$	0.86
Diluted	\$	0.54	\$	0.40	\$ 1.04	\$	0.86
		13					

Note 9 Intangible Assets

At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, intangible assets totaled \$42,471 and \$45,303, respectively, net of amortization. The table below summarizes the intangible asset categories, amounts and the average amortization periods, as follows:

	Amortization Septembe Period 2014				December 31, 2013
Tradename	3 to 10 years	\$	19,535	\$	21,023
Non-compete agreements	2 to 5 years	\$	2,120	\$	2,575
Customer relationships	3 to 15 years	\$	20,816	\$	21,705
Total		\$	42,471	\$	45,303

Amortization expense of intangible assets, on a straight-line basis, was \$1,945 and \$1,891 for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and amortization expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 was \$5,632 and \$5,576, respectively. Estimated future amortization expense for intangible assets is as follows:

For the Years Ending December 31,	Estimated Intangible mortization Expense
2014 (remaining three months)	\$ 2,004
2015	7,023
2016	6,274
2017	5,968
2018	5,535
Thereafter	15,667
	\$ 42,471

Note 10 Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities

At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, accounts payable included retention amounts of approximately \$9,001 and \$5,602, respectively. These amounts are due to subcontractors but have been retained pending contract completion and customer acceptance of jobs.

The following is a summary of accrued expenses and other current liabilities at:

	Sep	ptember 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Payroll and related employee benefits	\$	47,067	\$ 36,556
Insurance, including self-insurance reserves		35,433	33,880

Reserve for estimated losses on uncompleted contracts	3,0	05	1,392
Corporate income taxes and other taxes	8,7	86	13,305
Accrued overhead cost	1,3	14	1,165
Other	4,4	47	4,781
	\$ 100,0	52 \$	91,079

Note 11 Credit Arrangements

Revolving Credit Facility

As of September 30, 2014, the Company had a revolving credit facility (the Credit Agreement) with The PrivateBank and Trust Company, as administrative agent (the Administrative Agent) and co-lead arranger, The Bank of the West, as co-lead arranger, and IBERIABANK Corporation (the Lenders). The Credit Agreement is a \$75 million revolving credit facility whereby the Lenders agree to make loans on a revolving basis from time to time and to issue letters of credit for up to the \$75 million committed amount. The Credit Agreement also provides for an incremental facility of up to \$50 million. The termination date of the Credit Agreement is December 28, 2017.

Table of Contents

The principal amount of any loans under the Credit Agreement will bear interest at either: (i) LIBOR plus an applicable margin as specified in the Credit Agreement (based on the Company s senior debt to EBITDA ratio as that term is defined in the Credit Agreement), or (ii) the Base Rate (which is the greater of (a) the Federal Funds Rate plus 0.5% or (b) the prime rate as announced by the Administrative Agent). Quarterly non-use fees, letter of credit fees and administrative agent fees are payable at rates specified in the Credit Agreement.

The principal amount of any loan drawn under the Credit Agreement may be prepaid in whole or in part, with a minimum prepayment of \$5 million, at any time, potentially subject to make-whole provisions.

The Credit Agreement includes customary restrictive covenants for facilities of this type, as discussed below.

Commercial letters of credit outstanding were \$4,659 at September 30, 2014 and \$5,074 at December 31, 2013. Other than commercial letters of credit, there were no borrowings under this line of credit during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, and available borrowing capacity at September 30, 2014 was \$70,341.

Senior Secured Notes and Shelf Agreement

On December 28, 2012, the Company entered into a \$50 million Senior Secured Notes purchase (Senior Notes) and a \$25 million private shelf agreement (the Notes Agreement) by and among the Company, The Prudential Investment Management, Inc. and certain Prudential affiliates (the Noteholders).

The Senior Notes amount was funded on December 28, 2012. The Senior Notes are due December 28, 2022 and bear interest at an annual rate of 3.65%, paid quarterly in arrears. Annual principal payments of \$7.1 million are required from December 28, 2016 through December 28, 2021 with a final payment due on December 28, 2022. The principal amount may be prepaid, with a minimum prepayment of \$5 million, at any time, subject to make-whole provisions.

On July 25, 2013, the Company drew the full \$25 million available under the Notes Agreement. The notes are due July 25, 2023 and bear interest at an annual rate of 3.85% paid quarterly in arrears. Seven annual principal payments of \$3.6 million are required from July 25, 2017 with a final payment due on July 25, 2023.

Loans made under both the Credit Agreement and the Notes Agreement are secured by our assets, including, among others, our cash, inventory, goods, equipment (excluding equipment subject to permitted liens) and accounts receivable. All of our domestic subsidiaries have issued joint and several guaranties in favor of the Lenders and Noteholders for all amounts under the Credit Agreement and Notes Agreement.

Both the Credit Agreement and the Notes Agreement contain various restrictive and financial covenants including among others, minimum tangible net worth, senior debt/EBITDA ratio, debt service coverage requirements and a minimum balance for unencumbered net book value for fixed assets. In addition, the agreements include restrictions on investments, change of control provisions and provisions in the event the Company disposes more than 20% of its total assets.

The Company was in compliance with the covenants for the Credit Agreement and Notes Agreement at September 30, 2014.

Canadian Credit Facility

The Company has a credit facility for \$8,000 in Canadian dollars with a Canadian bank for purposes of issuing commercial letters of credit in Canada. The credit facility has an annual renewal and provides for the issuance of commercial letters of credit for a term of up to five years. The facility provides for an annual fee of 1% for any issued and outstanding commercial letters of credit. Letters of credit can be denominated in either Canadian or U.S. dollars. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, letters of credit outstanding totaled \$2,252 and \$2,512 in Canadian dollars, respectively. At September 30, 2014, the available borrowing capacity was \$5,488 in Canadian dollars. The credit facility contains a working capital restrictive covenant for our Canadian subsidiary, OnQuest Canada, ULC. At September 30, 2014, OnQuest Canada, ULC was in compliance with the covenant.

Note 12 Noncontrolling Interests

The Company applies the provisions of ASC Topic 810-10-45, which establishes accounting and reporting standards for ownership interests of parties other than the Company in subsidiaries, such as joint ventures and partnerships.

The Company determined that the Blythe joint venture was a variable interest entity (VIE) and that the Company was the primary beneficiary as a result of its significant influence over the joint venture operations.

Table of Contents

The Blythe joint venture operating activities are included in the Company s consolidated statements of income as follows for the three and nine months ended September 30:

	Three months ended September 30,		Nine mor ended Septen	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Revenues		15,468	940	47,371
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests		1,348	432	1,947

Blythe is a partnership and no tax effect was recognized for income at the partnership level. Blythe made distributions of \$1,515 to the non-controlling interests and \$1,515 to the Company during the nine months ended September 30, 2014. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, Blythe made a total of \$2,500 in distributions to the non-controlling interests and \$2,500 in distributions to the Company. There were no capital contributions made during the year ended December 31, 2013 or through the nine months ended September 30, 2014. The project has been completed and final wrap-up of the joint venture is expected in December 2014.

The carrying value of the assets and liabilities associated with the operations of the Blythe joint venture are included in the Company s consolidated balance sheets as follows:

	S	september 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Cash	\$	250	\$ 3,025
Accounts receivable			1,085
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings		348	2,041

The net assets of the joint venture are restricted for use by the project and are not available for general operations of the Company.

Note 13 Contingent Earnout Liabilities

In March 2014, the Company paid \$5,000 to the sellers of Q3C based on achievement of the 2013 operating performance targets. The sellers will be paid an additional \$3,750, contingent on meeting an EBITDA target for calendar year 2014 of at least \$19 million, as defined in the purchase agreement which as of September 30, 2014, has been achieved, and an additional \$1,250 will be paid if EBITDA exceeds \$22 million, for a total payment of \$5,000. The estimated fair value at September 30, 2014 of the remaining contingent earnout was \$4,788.

In June 2014, the Company acquired the assets of Vadnais Company for \$6,354 in cash plus an earnout of \$900 in 2015, contingent upon meeting a certain performance target for the remaining calendar year 2014. The estimated fair value of the contingent consideration on the acquisition date was \$729 and on September 30, 2014 was \$816.

During the third quarter 2014, the Company made three small purchases totaling \$8.2 million in cash for the net assets of Surber Roustabout, LLC, Ram-Fab, LLC and Williams Testing, LLC. The Surber purchase provided a contingent earnout amount of up to \$1.8 million over a 3-year period, based on meeting certain operating targets, which had an estimated fair value of \$1.1 million on the acquisition date. The Ram-Fab purchase included a \$0.2 million contingent earnout based on estimated earnings of a six-month operating project, with the estimated fair value of the contingency at \$0.2 million at the acquisition date.

Note 14 Related Party Transactions

Primoris has entered into leasing transactions with Stockdale Investment Group, Inc. (SIGI). Brian Pratt, our Chief Executive Officer, President and Chairman of the Board of Directors and our largest stockholder, holds a majority interest and is the chairman, president and chief executive officer and a director of SIGI. John M. Perisich, our Executive Vice President and General Counsel, is secretary of SIGI.

Primoris leases properties from SIGI at the following locations:

- 1. Bakersfield, California (lease expires October 2022)
- 2. Pittsburg, California (lease expires April 2023)
- 3. San Dimas, California (lease expires March 2019)
- 4. Pasadena, Texas (lease was mutually terminated as of August 31, 2014)

During the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company paid \$656 and \$688, respectively, in lease payments to SIGI for the use of these properties.

16

Table of Contents

Primoris leases a property from Roger Newnham, a former owner and manager of our subsidiary, OnQuest Canada, ULC. The property is located in Calgary, Canada. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, Primoris paid \$219 and \$223, respectively, in lease payments. The current term of the lease is through December 31, 2017.

Primoris leases a property from Lemmie Rockford, one of the Rockford sellers, which commenced November 1, 2011. The property is located in Toledo, Washington. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, Primoris paid \$68 and \$68, respectively, in lease payments. The lease expires in January 2015.

Primoris leases a property from Quality RE Partners, owned by three of the Q3C selling shareholders, of whom two are current employees, including Jay Osborn, President of Q3C. The property is located in Little Canada, Minnesota. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company paid \$198 and \$198, respectively, in lease payments to Quality RE Partners. The lease expires in October 2022.

As discussed in Note 7 Equity Method Investments , the Company owns several non-consolidated investments and has recognized revenues on work performed by the Company for those joint ventures.

Note 15 Stock-Based Compensation

On May 3, 2013, the Board of Directors granted 100,000 Restricted Stock Units (Units) to an executive under the 2013 Long-term Incentive Equity Plan (the Equity Plan). Commencing annually on May 10, 2014 and ending April 30, 2017, the Units will vest in four equal installments subject to continuing employment of the executive. On April 30, 2014, 25,000 of these Units vested. On March 24, 2014, the Board of Directors granted 48,512 Units to another executive under the Equity Plan. The Units will vest 50% on September 23, 2015 and the remaining 50% on March 23, 2017 subject to continuing employment of the executive. Vesting in both grants is also subject to earlier acceleration, termination, cancellation or forfeiture as provided in the underlying Primoris Restricted Stock Unit agreement (RSU Award Agreement). Each Unit represents the right to receive one share of the Company s common stock when vested.

Under guidance of ASC Topic 718 *Compensation Stock Compensation*, stock-based compensation cost is measured at the date of grant (utilizing the prior-day closing price), based on the calculated fair value of the stock-based award, and is recognized as expense over the employee s requisite service period (generally the vesting period of the award).

The fair value of the Units was based on the closing market price of our common stock on the day prior to the date of the grant. Stock compensation expense for the Units is being amortized using the straight-line method over the service period. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Company recognized \$262 and \$671, respectively, in compensation expense. At September 30, 2014, approximately \$2.66 million of unrecognized compensation expense remains for the Units which will be recognized over the next 2.5 years through April 30, 2017.

Vested Units accrue Dividend Equivalents (as defined in the Equity Plan) which will be accrued as additional Units. At September 30, 2014, there were 67 Dividend Equivalent Units that were accrued on 25,000 Units that vested on April 30, 2014.

Note 16 Income Taxes

The effective tax rate on income before taxes and noncontrolling interests for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 was 37.57%. The effective tax rate for income attributable to Primoris was 37.7%. The rate differs from the U.S. federal statutory rate of 35% due primarily to state income taxes, the Domestic Production Activity Deduction and nondeductible meals and incidental per diems common in the construction industry.

To determine its quarterly provision for income taxes, the Company uses an estimated annual effective tax rate, which is based on expected annual income, statutory tax rates and tax planning opportunities available in the various jurisdictions in which the Company operates. Certain significant or unusual items are separately recognized in the quarter in which they occur and can be a source of variability in the effective tax rate from quarter to quarter. The Company recognizes interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions, if any, as an income tax expense.

Income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences of temporary differences between the financial reporting basis and tax basis of the Company s assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period of enactment date.

Table of Contents

In the third quarter of 2013, the IRS initiated an examination of our federal income tax returns for 2011 and 2012. The examination was settled in the third quarter of 2014 without a material impact to the Company. The Company s federal returns are no longer subject to examination for tax years before 2013. The statute of limitations of state and foreign jurisdictions vary generally between 3 to 5 years. Accordingly, the tax years 2008 through 2013 generally remain open to examination by the other taxing jurisdictions in which the Company operates.

Note 17 Dividends and Earnings Per Share

The Company has paid or declared cash dividends during 2014 as follows:

- On October 30, 2013, the Company declared a cash dividend of \$0.035 per common share, payable to stockholders of record on December 31, 2013. The dividend, totaling \$1,805, was paid on January 15, 2014.
- On February 26, 2014, the Company declared a cash dividend of \$0.035 per common share, payable to stockholders of record on March 31, 2014. The dividend, totaling \$1,808, was paid on April 15, 2014.
- On May 2, 2014, the Company declared a cash dividend of \$0.035 per common share, payable to stockholders of record on June 30, 2014. The dividend, totaling \$1,808, was paid on July 15, 2014.
- On August 5, 2014, the Company declared a cash dividend of \$0.04 per common share, payable to stockholders of record on September 30, 2014. The dividend, totaling \$2,062, was paid on October 15, 2014.

The table below presents the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013:

	Three months ended September 30,			Nine n ended Sept	30,		
		2014		2013	2014		2013
Numerator:							
Net income attributable to Primoris	\$	27,390	\$	21,845	\$ 54,226	\$	47,179
Denominator (shares in thousands):							
Weighted average shares for computation of basic							
earnings per share		51,606		51,568	51,622		51,529
Dilutive effect of shares issued to independent							
directors		4		3	3		10
Dilutive effect of unvested restricted stock units (1)		149		100	134		55
Dilutive effect of shares to be issued Q3C sellers (2)							1
		51,759		51,671	51,759		51,595

Weighted average shares for computation of diluted earnings per share

Earnings per share:				
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.53	\$ 0.42 \$	1.05	\$ 0.92
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.53	\$ 0.42 \$	1.05	\$ 0.91

⁽¹⁾ Represents the dilutive effect of a grant for 100,000 shares of Units on May 3, 2013 and 48,512 shares of Units on March 24, 2014.

Note 18 Stockholders Equity

Common stock In March 2014, the Company received \$1,671 for 77,455 shares of common stock purchased and issued in accordance with the Company s Long-Term Retention Plan (LTR Plan). The Company s LTR Plan for managers and executives allows participants to use a portion of their annual bonus amount to purchase Company common stock at a discount from the market price. The shares purchased in March 2014 were for bonus amounts earned in 2013 and the number of shares was calculated at 75% of the average market closing price of December 2013. In March 2013, the Company received \$1,455 for 131,989 shares of common stock issued under the LTR Plan for bonus amounts earned in the prior year.

⁽²⁾ Represents the dilutive effect of 29,273 unregistered shares of common stock provided in January 2013 as part of the purchase consideration for the Q3C acquisition.

Table of Contents

In February and September 2014, the Company issued 6,375 shares and 6,172 shares, respectively, of common stock as part of the quarterly compensation of the non-employee members of the Board of Directors. Shares issued to the non-employee Directors in February and July 2013 were 12,480 shares and 9,110 shares, respectively and were issued in accordance with the LTR Plan.

As discussed in Note 15 Stock Based Compensation , the Board of Directors has granted a total of 148,512 shares of Units under the Equity Plan.

In February 2014, the Company s Board of Directors authorized a share repurchase program under which the Company, from time to time and depending on market conditions, share price and other factors, may acquire shares of its common stock on the open market or in privately negotiated transactions up to an aggregate purchase price of \$23 million. During the three and nine months ending September 30, 2014, the Company purchased and cancelled 100,000 shares of stock for \$2.8 million at an average cost of \$28.44 per share. The share repurchase program expires December 31, 2014.

Note 19 Commitments and Contingencies

Leases The Company leases certain property and equipment under non-cancellable operating leases which expire at various dates through 2022. The leases require the Company to pay all taxes, insurance, maintenance and utilities and are classified as operating leases in accordance with ASC Topic 840 Leases .

Total lease expense during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 was \$3,250 and \$10,416, respectively, compared to \$3,566 and \$11,111 for the same periods in 2013. The amounts for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 included lease payments made to related parties of \$377 and \$1,140, respectively, and \$379 and \$1,176 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively.

Letters of credit At September 30, 2014, the Company had letters of credit outstanding of \$6,911 and at December 31, 2013, the Company had letters of credit outstanding of \$7,696. The outstanding amounts include the U.S. dollar equivalents for letters of credit issued in Canadian dollars.

Litigation On February 7, 2012, the Company was sued in an action entitled North Texas Tollway Authority, Plaintiff v. James Construction Group, LLC, and KBR, Inc., Defendants, v. Reinforced Earth Company, Third-Party Defendant (the Lawsuit). In the Lawsuit, the North Texas Tollway Authority (NTTA) is alleging damages to a road and retaining wall that were constructed in 1999 on the George Bush Turnpike near Dallas, Texas, due to negligent construction by JCG. The Lawsuit claims that the cost to repair the retaining wall was approximately \$5,400. The NTTA also alleges that six other walls constructed on the project by JCG could have the same potential exposure to failure. The Company has denied any liability, has tendered the claim to its insurance carriers and has cross-complained against its engineering subcontractor for potential design liability. The extent of insurance coverage by the carriers of the Company and its subcontractor is undetermined at this time. The parties to the lawsuit are engaged in mediation and discussions which involve the owner, the entity performing construction management, the Company s subcontractor and the Company. At this time, there are no assurances that the mediation efforts will be successful and the lawsuit may proceed to trial. However, no trial date has been set. The Company will vigorously defend the claims. After discussion with our legal counsel, we have recorded loss contingencies which have not been material to the financial statements, to reflect the best estimate of the Company s portion of the NTTA claim. At this time, management does not believe it is possible to make a reasonably probable estimate of

additional loss or a range of loss.

The Company is subject to other claims and legal proceedings arising out of its business. The Company provides for costs related to contingencies when a loss from such claims is probable and the amount is reasonably determinable. In determining whether it is possible to provide an estimate of loss, or range of possible loss, the Company reviews and evaluates its litigation and regulatory matters on a quarterly basis in light of potentially relevant factual and legal developments. If we determine an unfavorable outcome is not probable or reasonably estimable, we do not accrue for a potential litigation loss. Management is unable to ascertain the ultimate outcome of other claims and legal proceedings; however, after review and consultation with counsel and taking into consideration relevant insurance coverage and related deductibles/self-insurance retention, management believes that it has meritorious defense to the claims and believes that the reasonably possible outcome of such claims will not, individually or in the aggregate, have a materially adverse effect on the consolidated results of operations, financial condition or cash flows of the Company.

Bonding At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company had bid and completion bonds issued and outstanding totaling approximately \$1,355,950 and \$1,458,744, respectively.

Withdrawal liability for multiemployer pension plan In November 2011, Rockford and ARB, along with other members of the Pipe Line Contractors Association (PLCA), withdrew from the Central States Southeast and Southwest Areas Pension Fund multiemployer pension plan (the Plan). The Company withdrew from the Plan in order to mitigate its liability in connection with the Plan, which is significantly underfunded. The Company recorded a liability of \$7,500 based on information provided by the Plan. However, the Plan has asserted that the PLCA members did not affect a proper withdrawal in 2011. The Company believes that a legally effective withdrawal occurred in November 2011 and has recorded the withdrawal liability on that basis. In May 2014, the Plan asserted that the liability was \$11.7 million. Without agreeing to the amount, the Company has made monthly payments, which are being expensed, including interest, totaling \$458 through September 30, 2014.

Table of Contents

Prior to the Company's acquisition, Q3C had also withdrawn from the Plan. In November 2012, Q3C estimated a withdrawal liability of \$85. In the first quarter of 2013, the Plan asserted that the liability was \$119. Without agreeing to the amount, Q3C has made monthly payments, including interest, totaling \$26 through September 30, 2014.

Contingent Consideration Earnouts related to acquisitions are discussed in Note 13 Contingent Earnout Liabilities.

Note 20 Reportable Operating Segments

For a number of years and through the end of the second quarter 2014, the Company segregated its business into three operating segments: the East Construction Services segment, the West Construction Services segment and the Engineering segment. In the third quarter 2014, the Company reorganized its business segments to match the change in the Company's internal organization and management structure. The segment changes during the quarter reflect the focus of our new chief operating officer on the services we provide to our energy related customers, primarily in the Gulf Coast area (the Energy segment) and a continuing geographic view for the West and East segments. The chief operating officer regularly reviews the operating and financial performance based on these revised segments. The operating segments include: The West Construction Services segment (West segment), which is unchanged from the previous segment, the East Construction Services segment (East segment), which is realigned from the previous East Construction Services segment and the Energy segment (which includes the previous Engineering segment). All prior period amounts related to the segment change have been retrospectively reclassified throughout these quarterly financial statements to conform to the new presentation. The following is a brief description of each of the Company's reportable segments and business activities.

The West segment includes the underground and industrial operations and construction services performed by ARB, ARB Structures, Inc., Rockford, Alaska Continental Pipeline, Inc., Q3C, Primoris Renewables, LLC, Juniper Rock Corporation, Stellaris, LLC and Vadnais, acquired in June 2014. Most of the entities perform work primarily in California; however, Rockford operates throughout the United States and Q3C operates in Colorado and the upper Midwest United States. The Blythe joint venture is also included as a part of the segment. The West segment consists of businesses headquartered primarily in the western United States.

The East segment includes the JCG Heavy Civil division, the JCG Infrastructure and Maintenance division, BW Primoris and Cardinal Contractors, Inc. construction business, located primarily in the southeastern United States and in the Gulf Coast region of the United States and includes the heavy civil construction and infrastructure and maintenance operations.

The Energy segment businesses are located primarily in the southeastern United States and in the Gulf Coast region of the United States. The segment includes the operations of the PES pipeline and gas facility construction and maintenance operations the JCG Industrial division and the newly acquired Surber and Ram-Fab operations. Additionally, the segment includes the OnQuest, Inc. and OnQuest Canada, ULC operations for the design and installation of high-performance furnaces and heaters for the oil refining, petrochemical and power generation industries.

All intersegment revenues and gross profit, which were immaterial, have been eliminated in the following tables.

Segment Revenues

Revenue by segment for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

		For the three months of	onths ended September 30,				
	2014			2013			
Segment	Revenue	% of Segment Revenue		Revenue	% of Segment Revenue		
West	\$ 289,405	47.2%	\$	362,362	65.7%		
East	135,450	22.1%		117,537	21.3%		
Energy	188,382	30.7%		71,434	13.0%		
Total	\$ 613 237	100.0%	\$	551 333	100.0%		

Revenue by segment for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 were as follows

	F	or the nine months e	nded S	September 30,	
	2014			2013	
		% of			% of
Segment	Revenue	Segment Revenue		Revenue	Segment Revenue
West	\$ 747,823	46.8%	\$	828,242	58.9%
East	360,975	22.6%		316,218	22.5%
Energy	489,804	30.6%		261,881	18.6%
Total	\$ 1,598,602	100.0%	\$	1,406,341	100.0%
	20				

Table of Contents

Segment Gross Profit

Gross profit by segment for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

]	For the three months e	nded S	eptember 30,		
		2014			2013	
			% of			% of
		Gross	Segment		Gross	Segment
Segment		Profit	Revenue		Profit	Revenue
West	\$	46,240	16.0%	\$	62,520	17.3%
East		9,110	6.7%		4,527	3.9%
Energy		20,123	10.7%		8,418	11.8%
Total	\$	75,473	12.3%	\$	75,465	13.7%

Gross profit by segment for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

	For the nine months ended September 30,					
	2014			2013		
		% of			% of	
	Gross	Segment		Gross	Segment	
Segment	Profit	Revenue		Profit	Revenue	
West	\$ 115,723	15.5%	\$	133,195	16.1%	
East	24,595	6.8%		19,575	6.2%	
Energy	46,106	9.4%		28,328	10.8%	
Total	\$ 186,424	11.7%	\$	181,098	12.9%	

Segment Goodwill

The following presents the amount of goodwill recorded by segment at September 30, 2014 and at December 31, 2013.

Segment	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013	
West	\$ 45,239	\$ 45,239	
East	43,267	43,267	
Energy	30,120	30,120	
Total	\$ 118,626	\$ 118,626	

Geographic Region Revenues and Total Assets

The majority of the Company $\,$ s revenues are derived from customers in the United States and less than 1% is generated from sources outside of the United States.

21

Table of Contents

PRIMORIS SERVICES CORPORATION

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2014 (Third Quarter 2014 Report) contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), which are subject to the safe harbor created by those sections. Forward-looking statements include information concerning our possible or assumed future results of operations, business strategies, financing plans, competitive position, industry environment, potential growth opportunities, the effects of regulation and the economy, generally. Forward-looking statements include all statements that are not historical facts and can be identified by terms such as anticipates , believes , could , estimates , expects , intends , may , plans , potential , predicts , projects , should , will , would or similar expressions.

Forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. We discuss many of these risks in detail in Part I, Item 1A Risk Factors of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 and our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Also, forward-looking statements represent our management s beliefs and assumptions only as of the date of this Third Quarter 2014 Report. You should read this Third Quarter 2014 Report, our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 and our other filings with the SEC completely and with the understanding that our actual future results may be materially different from what we expect.

Given these uncertainties, you should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. We assume no obligation to update these forward-looking statements publicly, or to update the reasons actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in any forward-looking statements, even if new information becomes available.

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the unaudited financial statements and the accompanying notes included in Part 1, Item 1 of this Third Quarter 2014 Report and our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Introduction

Primoris is a holding company of various subsidiaries, which form one of the larger publicly traded specialty contractors and infrastructure companies in the United States. Serving diverse end-markets, we provide a wide range of construction, fabrication, maintenance, replacement, water and wastewater, and engineering services to major public utilities, petrochemical companies, energy companies, municipalities, state

departments of transportation and other customers. We install, replace, repair and rehabilitate natural gas, refined product, water and wastewater pipeline systems; large diameter gas and liquid pipeline facilities; and heavy civil projects, earthwork and site development. We also construct mechanical facilities and other structures, including power plants, petrochemical facilities, refineries, water and wastewater treatment facilities and parking structures. Finally, we provide specialized process and product engineering services.

Historically, we have longstanding relationships with major utility, refining, petrochemical, power and engineering companies. We have completed major underground and industrial projects for a number of large natural gas transmission and petrochemical companies in the western United States, as well as significant projects for our engineering customers. We enter into a large number of contracts each year and the projects can vary in length—from several weeks, to as long as 48 months for completion on larger projects. Although we have not been dependent upon any one customer in any year, a small number of customers tend to constitute a substantial portion of our total revenues.

We recognize revenues and profitability on our contracts depending on the type of contract. For our fixed price, or lump sum, contracts, we record revenue as the work progresses on a percentage-of-completion basis which means that we recognize revenue based on the percentage of costs incurred to date in proportion to the total estimated costs expected to complete the contract. Fixed price contracts may include retainage provisions under which customers withhold a percentage of the contract price until the project is complete. For our unit price contracts, we recognize revenue as units are completed. For our time and material and cost reimbursable contracts, we recognize revenue as services are performed.

For a number of years and through the end of the second quarter 2014, the Company segregated its business into three operating segments: the East Construction Services segment, the West Construction Services segment and the Engineering segment. In the third quarter 2014, the Company reorganized its business segments to match the change in the Company s internal organization and management structure.

Table of Contents

The segment changes during the quarter reflect the focus of our new chief operating officer on the services we provide to our energy related customers, primarily in the Gulf Coast area, (the Energy segment) and a continuing geographic view for the West and new East segments. The chief operating officer regularly reviews the operating and financial performance based on these revised segments. The operating segments include: The West Construction Services segment (West segment), which is unchanged from the previous segment, the East Construction Services segment (East segment), which is realigned from the previous East Construction Services segment and the Energy segment (which includes the previous Engineering segment). All prior period amounts related to the segment change have been retrospectively reclassified throughout these quarterly financial statements to conform to the new presentation.

Each of our segments provide services to multiple end-markets. For some end markets, we perform the same services by the West, East and Energy segments, while for other end markets, such as poured-in-place parking structures or turn-around services, only one of our segments currently serves the market. The following table shows the approximate percentage of revenues derived from our major end-markets for the years listed:

	Twelve Months Ended September 2014	Twelve Months Ended December 2013	Twelve Months Ended September 2013
Underground capital projects	20%	23%	22%
Utility services	27%	29%	31%
Industrial	27%	22%	21%
Heavy Civil	20%	20%	16%
Engineering	3%	2%	2%
Other	3%	4%	8%
Total	100%	100%	100%

The following is a brief description of each of the Company s reportable segments and their operations.

The West segment includes the underground and industrial operations and construction services performed by ARB, ARB Structures, Inc., Rockford, Alaska Continental Pipeline, Inc., Q3C, Primoris Renewables, LLC, Juniper Rock Corporation, Stellaris, LLC and Vadnais, acquired in June 2014. Most of the entities perform work primarily in California; however, Rockford operates throughout the United States and Q3C operates in Colorado and the upper Midwest United States. The Blythe joint venture is also included as a part of the segment. The West segment consists of businesses headquartered primarily in the western United States.

The East segment includes the JCG Heavy Civil division, the JCG Infrastructure and Maintenance division, BW Primoris and Cardinal Contractors, Inc. construction business, located primarily in the southeastern United States and in the Gulf Coast region of the United States and includes the heavy civil construction and infrastructure and maintenance operations.

The Energy segment operations are located primarily in the southeastern United States and in the Gulf Coast region of the United States. The segment includes the operations of the PES pipeline and gas facility construction and maintenance operations, the JCG Industrial division and the newly acquired Surber and Ram-Fab operations. Additionally, the segment includes the OnQuest, Inc. and OnQuest Canada, ULC operations for the design and installation of high-performance furnaces and heaters for the oil refining, petrochemical and power generation industries.

The following table shows our major operating subsidiaries and their reporting segment:

Subsidiary	Operating Segment	Prior Operating Segment
ARB, Inc. (ARB)	West	West
ARB Structures, Inc.	West	West
Q3 Contracting, Inc. (Q3C)	West	West
Rockford Corporation (Rockford)	West	West
Stellaris, LLC.	West	West
Vadnais Trenchless Services, Inc. (Vadnais); acquired in 2014	West	West
Silva Group (Silva)	East	East
Cardinal Contractors, Inc.	East	East
BW Primoris, LLC (BWP)	East	East
James Construction Group, LLC (JCG):		
JCG Heavy Civil Division	East	East
JCG Infrastructure and Maintenance Division	East	East
JCG Industrial Division	Energy	East
Primoris Energy Services Corporation (PES)	Energy	East
OnQuest, Inc.	Energy	Engineering
OnQuest, Canada, ULC (Born Heaters Canada, ULC prior to 2013)	Energy	Engineering

Table of Contents

Sprint Pipeline Services, L.P. (Sprint) was purchased by PES in 2012. PES operated using the Sprint name as a DBA during 2012 and 2013. PES acquired two subsidiaries, The Saxon Group (Saxon) in 2012 and Force Specialty Services, Inc. (FSSI), in 2013. On January 1, 2014, the two subsidiaries were merged into PES. Additionally, effective January 1, 2014, the Industrial division of JCG was merged into PES. In this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 (the Third Quarter 2014 Report), references to Sprint, FSSI, Saxon and James Industrial are to the divisions of PES, while the references are to the entities or divisions for periods prior to 2014.

The Company owns 50% of the Blythe Power Constructors joint venture (Blythe) for the installation of a parabolic trough solar field and steam generation system in California. The project has been completed and final wrap-up of the joint venture is expected in December 2014.

In January 2014, the Company created a wholly owned subsidiary, BW Primoris, LLC, a Texas limited liability company (BWP). BWP s goal is to develop water projects, primarily in Texas, that will need the Company s construction services. On January 22, 2014, BWP entered into an agreement to purchase the assets and business of Blaus Wasser, LLC, a Wyoming limited liability company for approximately \$5 million. During the 2014 first quarter, BWP entered into an intercompany construction contract with Cardinal Contractors, Inc. to build a small water treatment facility in West Texas; intercompany revenue and profit of the project is eliminated.

In May 2014, the Company created a wholly owned subsidiary, Vadnais Trenchless Services, Inc., a California company (Vadnais). On June 5, 2014, the Company purchased assets, consisting of equipment, building and land, from Vadnais Corporation for \$6.4 million, a general contractor specializing in micro-tunneling. In addition, the sellers were provided a contingent earnout of \$0.9 million upon meeting certain operating targets through December 31, 2014.

During the third quarter 2014, the Company made three small purchases totaling \$8.2 million acquiring the net assets of Surber Roustabout, LLC, Ram-Fab, LLC and Williams Testing, LLC. Surber and Ram-Fab operate as divisions of PES and Williams is a division of Cardinal Contractors, Inc. Surber provides general oil and gas related construction activities in Texas, while Ram-Fab is a fabricator of custom piping systems located in Arkansas and Williams provides construction services related to sewer pipeline maintenance, rehabilitation and integrity testing in the Florida market. The Surber purchase provided for a contingent earnout amount of up to \$1.8 million over a 3-year period, based on meeting certain operating targets, which had an estimated fair value of \$1.1 million on the acquisition date. The Ram-Fab purchase included a \$0.2 million contingent earnout based on estimated earnings of a six-month operating project, with the estimated fair value of the contingency at \$0.2 million.

Material trends and uncertainties

We generate our revenue from both large and small construction and engineering projects. The award of these contracts is dependent on a number of factors, many of which are not within our control. Business in the construction industry is cyclical. We depend in part on spending by companies in the energy and oil and gas industries, the gas utility industry, as well as municipal water and wastewater customers. Over the past several years, each segment has benefited from demand for more efficient and more environmentally friendly energy and power facilities, local highway and bridge needs and from the strength of the oil and gas industry; however, each of these industries and the government agencies periodically are adversely affected by macroeconomic conditions. Economic factors outside of our control may affect the amount and size of contracts we are awarded in any particular period.

We closely monitor our customers to assess the effect that changes in economic, market and regulatory conditions may have on them. We have experienced reduced spending by some of our customers over the last several years, which we attribute to negative economic and market conditions, and we anticipate that these uncertain conditions may continue to affect demand for our services in the near-term. Fluctuations in market prices of oil, gas and other fuel sources can affect demand for our services. The continuing changes in the regulatory environment also can affect the demand for our services, either by increasing our work or delaying projects. We believe that most of our customers, some of whom are regulated utilities, remain financially stable in general and will be able to continue with their business plans over the long-term period.

Several owners have announced potential large petrochemical and natural gas projects in the Gulf Coast area. In addition, several mid-stream oil and gas companies have announced a potentially significant number of new underground pipeline projects. These business opportunities are positive for our industry and for us. However, permitting, regulatory and other obstacles may impact project timing. The potential projects have led to industry concerns about potential labor shortages, especially shortages of qualified craft persons. To date in 2014, we have not seen the awarding of a large number of contracts nor have we seen a shortage of qualified labor. However, we continue to discuss with our clients and potential clients possible ways to mitigate any shortages that may occur.

Table of Contents

Seasonality and cyclicality

Our results of operations are subject to quarterly variations. Some of the variation is the result of weather, particularly rain and snow, which can impact our ability to perform construction services. While the majority of the Company s work is in the southern half of the United States, these seasonal impacts affect revenues and profitability since gas and other utilities defer routine replacement and repair during their period of peak demand. Any quarter can be affected either negatively or positively by atypical weather patterns in any part of the country. In addition, demand for new projects tends to be lower during the early part of the year due to clients internal budget cycles. As a result, the Company usually experiences higher revenues and earnings in the third and fourth quarters of the year as compared to the first two quarters.

The Company is also dependent on large construction projects which tend not to be seasonal, but can fluctuate from year to year based on general economic conditions. Our business may be affected by declines or delays in new projects or by client project schedules. Because of the cyclical nature of our business, the financial results for any period may fluctuate from prior periods, and the Company s financial condition and operating results may vary from quarter-to-quarter. Results from one quarter may not be indicative of its financial condition or operating results for any other quarter or for an entire year.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements and also affect the amounts of revenues and expenses reported for each period. These estimates and assumptions must be made because certain information that is used in the preparation of our financial statements cannot be calculated with a high degree of precision from data available, is dependent on future events, or is not capable of being readily calculated based on generally accepted methodologies. Often, these estimates are particularly difficult to determine, and we must exercise significant judgment. We use estimates in our assessments of revenue recognition under percentage-of-completion accounting, the allowance for doubtful accounts, useful lives of property and equipment, fair value assumptions in analyzing goodwill and long-lived asset impairments, self-insured claims liabilities and deferred income taxes. Actual results could differ significantly from our estimates, and our estimates could change if they were made under different assumptions or conditions.

As described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, our critical accounting policies relate primarily to revenue recognition for fixed and unit price contracts, income taxes, goodwill, long-lived assets, reserves for uninsured risks and litigation and contingencies. There have been no material changes to our critical accounting policies since December 31, 2013.

Results of operations

Revenues, gross profit, operating income and net income for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

Edgar Filing: Primoris Services Corp - Form 10-Q

	2014		2013		
			% of		% of
		(Thousands)	Revenue	(Thousands)	Revenue
Revenues	\$	613,237	100.0%	\$ 551,333	100.0%
Gross profit		75,473	12.3%	75,465	13.7%
Selling, general and administrative expense		36,162	5.9%	36,478	6.6%
Operating income		39,311	6.4%	38,987	7.1%
Other income (expense)		3,184	0.5%	(1,719)	(0.3)%
Income before income taxes		42,495	6.9%	37,268	6.8%
Income tax provision		(15,105)	(2.4)%	(14,075)	(2.6)%
Net income	\$	27,390	4.5%	\$ 23,193	4.2%
Net income attributable to noncontrolling					
interests			(0.0)%	(1,348)	(0.2)%
Net income attributable to Primoris	\$	27,390	4.5%	\$ 21,845	4.0%

Revenues, gross profit, operating income and net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

			a	20
Nine	Vionths	Hinded	Sentembe	r 30.

	2014		•	2013	
Revenues	\$ 1,598,602	100.0%	\$	1,406,341	100.0%
Gross profit	186,424	11.7%		181,098	12.9%
Selling, general and administrative expense	99,087	6.2%		96,657	6.9%
Operating income	87,337	5.5%		84,441	6.0%
Other income (expense)	134	%		(5,043)	(0.4)%
Income before income taxes	87,471	5.5%		79,398	5.6%
Income tax provision	(32,813)	(2.1)%		(30,272)	(2.1)%
Net income	\$ 54,658	3.4%	\$	49,126	3.5%
Net income attributable to noncontrolling					
interests	(432)	%		(1,947)	(0.1)%
Net income attributable to Primoris	\$ 54,226	3.4%	\$	47,179	3.4%

25

Table of Contents

Revenues

Revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2014 increased by \$61.9 million, or 11.2%, compared to the same period in 2013. From an end-market perspective for the three months ended September 30, 2014, compared to the third quarter 2013, our industrial business increased by \$34.3 million, our heavy civil work increased \$23.4 million and our engineering business increased by \$6.7 million. For the other end-markets, revenues decreased by \$2.5 million.

Revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 increased by \$192.3 million, or 13.7%, compared to the same period in 2013. For the same periods, our industrial end-market revenues increased by \$154.1 million, our heavy civil end-market revenues increased by \$50.5 million, and our engineering business increased by \$9.0 million. Revenues for the other end-markets (primarily our parking structure and electrical contracting business) declined by \$18.5 million and our underground business declined by \$2.8 million.

Gross Profit

For the three months ended September 30, 2014, gross profit was the same as the same period in 2013. The gross profit as a percentage of revenues decreased from 13.7% for the third quarter of 2013 to 12.3% for the third quarter of 2014. The primary reason for this decrease was the positive impact of a large electrical power project in the West segment in the third quarter of 2013.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2014, gross profit increased by \$5.3 million, or 2.9%, compared to the first nine months of 2013. The primary reason for the increase was the increase in revenues. For the period, gross profit as a percent of revenues declined from 12.9% in 2013 to 11.7% in 2014. Similar to the quarter results, the primary reason for this decrease was the impact of a large power project in the West segment during the nine months of 2013.

We are involved in a process of resolving disputes with owners of two large construction projects, a cost reimbursable solar construction project in the West segment and an underground pipeline project in the Energy segment. Based on the uncertainty of the negotiations, we have recognized no gross profit for these two projects in 2014. For the three months ended September 30, 2014, revenues and costs for the two projects were \$39.3 million, and for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, the revenues and costs were approximately \$200 million. We expect that we will recognize gross profit on these two projects in future quarters based on the successful completion of our negotiations.

Selling, general and administrative expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses (SG&A) decreased \$0.3 million, or 0.9%, for the three months ended September 30, 2014, compared to the same period in 2013. The 2013 period included an impairment charge of \$3.3 million recorded for the WesPac non-consolidated entity investment. The decrease was offset by 2014 increases of compensation and compensation-related expenses of \$2.1 million and increased expenses of \$1.5 million for other SG&A expenses.

SG&A for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, increased \$2.4 million or 2.5% compared to the same period in 2013 primarily as a result of increases in compensation and compensation-related expenses of \$3.9 million and an increase in various SG&A expenses of \$1.8 million, offset by \$3.3 million incurred in the prior year for an impairment charge recorded on the WesPac non-consolidated entity investment.

SG&A as a percentage of revenue was 5.9% and 6.2% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively, compared to 6.6% and 6.9% for the corresponding periods in 2013 as a result of increased revenues in 2014.

Other income and expense

Non-operating income and expense items for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

	Three months end 2014	led Sept	ember 30, 2013	Nine months end 2014	led Septe	ember 30, 2013
	(Thous	sands)		(Thou	ısands)	
Income from non-consolidated entities	\$ 5,250	\$	113 \$	5,264	\$	169
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	(101)	\$	91 \$	74	\$	3
Other expense	(201)		(376)	(642)		(809)
Interest income	14		32	80		95
Interest expense	(1,778)	\$	(1,579) \$	(4,642)	\$	(4,501)
Total other income (expense)	\$ 3,184	\$	(1,719) \$	134	\$	(5,043)

Table of Contents

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, income from non-consolidated investments was due to minor adjustments of the final sale price of the Alvah investment effective December 31, 2013, and the sale of the remaining interest of the WesPac non-consolidated investment in the third quarter 2014. The income for 2013 was due to the contributions from the Alvah investment offset by expenses associated with the WesPac investment.

The foreign exchange gain or loss reflects a currency exchange fluctuations of the United States dollar compared to the Canadian dollar. Most of our contracts in Calgary, Canada are sold based on United States dollars, but a portion of the work is paid for with Canadian dollars creating a currency exchange difference.

Other expense represents the changes in the estimated fair value of the contingent earn-out liabilities.

The increase in interest expense between the three months and nine months of 2014 compared to 2013 was due primarily to an increase in long term debt.

Provision for income taxes

To determine our quarterly provision for income taxes, the Company uses an estimated annual effective tax rate, which is based on estimated annual pretax income, estimated annual tax expense, statutory tax rates and tax planning opportunities available in the various jurisdictions in which we operate. Additionally, the quarterly provision for income taxes may include certain significant or unusual items which are separately recognized in the quarter in which they occur. These unusual items can be a source of variability in the effective tax rates from quarter to quarter.

Our provision for income taxes increased \$1.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 to \$15.1 million compared to \$14.1 million in the same period in 2013. The \$1.0 million increase in the income tax provision was comprised of \$2.5 million increase due to higher income before taxes, offset by a \$1.5 million decrease due to a lower effective tax rate. The effective tax rate attributable to Primoris in the three months ended September 30, 2014 was 35.6% compared to 39.1% for the same period in 2013. The 3.5% effective tax rate decrease was primarily comprised of a 1.3% decrease from the IRS examination settlement in the third quarter of 2014 and a 1.4% decrease from current and expected future state taxes due to changing jurisdictional mix, acquisition integration and the accompanying changes in state tax policies and rates.

Our provision for income taxes increased \$2.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 to \$32.8 million compared to \$30.2 million in the same period in 2013 primarily as a result of higher income before taxes offset by a reduction in the effective tax rate. The tax rate applied to income attributable to Primoris in the nine months ended September 30, 2014 was 37.7%, compared to 39.1% for the same period in 2013. The 1.4% decrease in the effective tax rate was due primarily to a reduction in the state effective tax rate and the benefit recognized at the conclusion of the IRS examination.

Segment results

West Segment

Revenue and gross profit for the West segment for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

2014 2013 % of % of (Thousands) Revenue (Thousands) Revenue
Revenue \$ 289,405 \$ 362,362
Gross profit 46,240 16.0% 62,520 17.3%
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 2013
% of % of Revenue (Thousands) Revenue
Revenue \$ 747,823 \$ 828,242
Gross profit 115,723 15.5% 133,195 16.1%
27

Table of Contents

For the three months ended September 30, 2014, revenue for the West segment decreased by \$73.0 million, or 20.1%, compared to the same period in 2013. The decrease at Rockford was \$64.5 million as new pipeline project revenue was less than revenue from a large project in 2013 and revenue from gathering line projects also decreased. At the ARB Industrial division, revenue decreased by \$27.6 million primarily reflecting the impact of the large electrical power project in 2013. Revenue increased at Q3C by \$18.9 million and revenue decreased by \$2.5 million at the ARB Underground division.

Revenue for the West segment decreased by \$80.4 million, or 9.7%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to the same period in 2013. Revenue decreased by \$41.3 million at the ARB Underground division including decreases of \$8.9 million at its largest utility customer, \$13.7 million from a 2013 wastewater project and \$21.5 million from a decrease in other pipeline projects. At Rockford, revenue decreased by \$104.8 million primarily from the impact of a large pipeline project in 2013 and a decrease in gathering line projects. Revenue increased by \$22.7 million at the ARB Industrial division primarily from work on a large solar project in 2014. Revenue at Q3C increased by \$54.7 million primarily from a \$38.0 million revenue increase from MSA work for a utility customer.

Gross profit for the West segment decreased by \$16.3 million, or 26.0%, during the three months ended September 30, 2014, compared to the same period in 2013. The decrease in gross profit primarily reflected the impact of the large power project in 2013 at the ARB Industrial division where gross profit decreased by \$18.4 million. The decrease in Rockford revenue reduced gross profit by \$2.5 million. The revenue increase at Q3C resulted in a gross profit increase of \$0.9 million and the increase in utility MSA work improved the ARB Underground division gross profit by \$2.4 million.

Gross profit for the West segment decreased by \$17.5 million, or 13.1%, during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to the same period in 2013. A decrease in gross profit of \$26.3 million at the ARB Industrial division reflects primarily the impact of the large power plant in 2013. Gross profit at the ARB Underground division declined by \$6.6 million, primarily due to the reduction in revenue. Gross profit increased by \$13.3 million at Q3C primarily from the increased revenue, and gross profit at Rockford increased by \$0.9 million, despite a decrease in revenue, due to project close-outs.

Gross profit as a percentage of revenue decreased to 16.0% during the three months ended September 30, 2014 from 17.3% in the same period in 2013 reflecting the impact of the large power plant project in 2013.

Gross profit as a percentage of revenue decreased to 15.5% during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 from 16.1% in the same period in 2013. The decrease was due primarily to the impact of the large power plant project and revenue recognized with no margin for a project in the dispute resolution process.

East Segment

Revenue and gross profit for the East Construction Services segment for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

		Three Months Ended September 30,					
		2014			2013		
	(Th	nousands)	% of Revenue	(Thousands)	% of Revenue	
Revenue	\$	135,450		\$	117,537		
Gross profit		9,110	6.7%		4,527	3.9%	

		Nine Months Ended September 30,				
		2014				
			% of			% of
	(Th	ousands)	Revenue	(Thousands)	Revenue
Revenue	\$	360,975		\$	316,218	
Gross profit		24,595	6.8%		19,575	6.2%

East segment revenue increased by \$17.9 million, or 15.2% for the three months ended September 30, 2014 compared to the same period in 2013. Revenues at the JCG Heavy Civil division increased by \$24.8 million as increases of \$4.8 million from TX DOT projects and \$11.2 million from a Mississippi civil project were offset by a decrease of \$1.6 million from LA DOT projects. Revenue at the JCG Infrastructure & Maintenance division decreased by \$1.3 million, and at Cardinal Contractors revenue decreased by \$5.5 million from Texas projects.

Table of Contents

Revenue increased by \$44.8 million, or 14.2%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to the same period of the prior year. Revenue increased by \$52.9 million for JCG s Heavy Civil division primarily due to \$31.6 million from a Mississippi civil project and \$31.4 million in TXDOT projects, offset by reduced revenue of \$24.6 million for LADOT projects.

Gross profit increased by \$4.6 million or 101.2% for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and by \$5.0 million or 25.6% for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to the same periods in the prior year. The gross profit increase was primarily at the JCG Heavy Civil division primarily from better utilization of personnel and equipment at the LADOT and Mississippi projects.

Gross Profit as a percent of revenue increased to 6.7% and 6.8% during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively, from 3.9% and 6.2% in the prior year periods, respectively, reflecting the better utilization of equipment and personnel realized on LADOT and Mississippi projects at the JCG Heavy Civil division.

Energy Segment

Revenue and gross profit for the Energy segment for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

			Three Months End	ed Septe	ember 30,	
	(T	2014 (housands)	% of Revenue	(2013 Thousands)	% of Revenue
Revenue	\$	188,382		\$	71,434	
Gross profit		20,123	10.7%		8,418	11.8%
		2014	Nine Months Ende	d Septe	,	
		2014	% of		2013	% of
	(T	housands)	Revenue	(Thousands)	Revenue
Revenue	\$	489,804		\$	261,881	
Gross profit		46,106	9.4%		28,328	10.8%

Energy segment revenue increased by \$116.9 million, or 163.7% for the three months ended September 30, 2014 compared to the same period in 2013. Revenue at the PES James Industrial Contractors division increased \$57.7 million primarily from work at petrochemical projects in Louisiana. PES Sprint Pipeline division revenue increased by \$52.6 million from pipeline projects in south Texas. Revenue increased at OnQuest and OnQuest Canada by \$6.7 million primarily from two Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) micro plant projects.

Revenue increased by \$227.9 million, or 87.0%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to the same period of the prior year. Revenue increased by \$120.1 million primarily from Louisiana petrochemical projects at the PES James Industrial Contractors division. Revenue increased at OnQuest and OnQuest Canada by \$9.0 million from two LNG micro plant projects. The remaining revenue increase of

\$98.8 million was primarily from increases at pipeline projects of the PES Sprint pipeline division in Texas and from an increase of \$19.4 million at PES Saxon Construction petrochemical projects, primarily in Texas.

Gross profit increased by \$11.7 million or 139.0% for the three months ended September 30, 2014. The gross profit increase was \$5.1 million at the PES James Industrial Contractors division primarily from the revenue increase at the petrochemical projects in Louisiana. At the PES Sprint Pipeline division, gross profit increased \$5.0 million primarily due to revenue growth on pipeline projects in Texas. Gross profit for OnQuest and OnQuest Canada increased by \$0.4 million due to several project close outs.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2014, segment gross profit increased by \$17.8 million or 62.8% compared to the same period in the prior year. The gross profit increase was primarily at the PES James Industrial Contractors division which increased \$10.0 million due to increased volume from petrochemical projects in Louisiana. For the PES Sprint Pipeline division, gross profit increased by \$5.6 million, primarily from the increase in revenue. PES Saxon Construction division gross profit increased \$2.8 million primarily due to petrochemical projects in Texas. Gross profit for OnQuest and OnQuest Canada decreased by \$0.3 million as a result of several LNG projects that are in their lower margin beginning stages.

Gross Profit as a percent of revenue decreased to 10.7% and 9.4% during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively, from 11.8% and 10.8% in the prior year periods, respectively, reflecting decreased margin percentages realized from a PES Sprint Pipeline division project that is in a dispute resolution process and from OnQuest LNG projects that are in their lower margin beginning stages.

Table of Contents

Geographic area financial information

The majority of the Company s revenues are derived from customers in the United States and less than 1% is generated from sources outside of the United States.

Backlog

For companies in the construction industry, backlog can be an indicator of future revenue streams. Different companies define and calculate backlog in different manners. For the Company, backlog is defined as a combination of: (1) anticipated revenue from the uncompleted portions of existing contracts for which we have known revenue amounts for fixed price and fixed unit price contracts (Fixed Backlog), and (2) the estimated revenues on master service agreements (MSA) for the next four quarters (MSA Backlog). We do not include time-and-equipment, time-and-materials and cost reimbursable plus fee contracts in the calculation of backlog, since their ultimate revenue amount is difficult to estimate in advance.

The two components of backlog, Fixed Backlog and MSA Backlog, are detailed below.

Fixed Backlog

Fixed Backlog by operating segment for Fixed Backlog for the periods ending December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2014 and the changes in Fixed Backlog for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 (in thousands):

Segment	E	inning Fixed backlog at cember 31, 2013	Contract Additions to Fixed Backlog	Revenue Recognized from Fixed Backlog	a	Ending Fixed Backlog at September 30, 2014	Revenue cognized from -Fixed Backlog Projects	fo	otal Revenue r nine months ed September 30, 2014
West	\$	224,603	\$ 402,496	\$ 464,280	\$	162,819	\$ 283,543	\$	747,823
East		1,017,680	333,828	342,830		1,008,678	18,145		360,975
Energy		240,725	341,024	420,501		161,248	69,303		489,804
Total	\$	1,483,008	\$ 1,077,348	\$ 1,227,611	\$	1,332,745	\$ 370,991	\$	1,598,602

Revenues recognized from non-Fixed Backlog projects shown above are generated by MSA projects and projects completed under time-and-equipment, time-and-materials and cost-reimbursable-plus-fee contracts.

As of September 30, 2014, our total Fixed Backlog was \$1.33 billion representing a decrease of \$150.3 million, or 10.1%, from \$1.48 billion as of December 31, 2013. We expect that approximately 50% of the total Fixed Backlog at September 30, 2014, will be recognized as revenue over the next four quarters, with approximately \$134 million expected for the West Construction Services segment, \$426 million for the East

Construction Services segment and \$102 million for the Energy segment.

MSA and Total Backlog

The following table outlines historical MSA revenues for the past nine quarters (\$ in thousands):

	2014	2	2013
First Quarter	\$ 77,165	\$	98,113
Second Quarter	111,443		123,340
Third Quarter	182,383		130,744
Fourth Quarter	N/A		110,336

MSA Backlog includes anticipated MSA revenues for the next twelve months. We determined estimated MSA revenues based on historical trends, anticipated seasonal impacts and estimates of customer demand based on communications with our customers.

The following table shows the makeup of total backlog, both Fixed Backlog and MSA Backlog, by operating segment at September 30, 2014 (in thousands).

Segment:	Fixed Backlog at September 30, 2014	MSA Backlog at September 30, 2014	Total Backlog at September 30, 2014
West \$	162,819	\$ 394,438	\$ 557,257
East	1,008,678	14,000	1,022,678
Energy	161,248	54,000	215,248
Total \$	5 1,332,745	\$ 462,438	\$ 1,795,183

30

Table of Contents

We expect that during the next four quarters, we will recognize as revenue approximately 95% of the West segment total backlog at September 30, 2014; approximately 43% of the East segment backlog and approximately 72% of the Energy segment backlog.

Backlog should not be considered a comprehensive indicator of future revenues, as a percentage of our revenues are derived from projects that are not part of a backlog calculation. The backlog estimates include amounts from estimated MSA revenues, but our customers are not contractually obligated to purchase an amount of services from us under the MSAs. Any of our contracts, MSA, fixed price or fixed unit price, may be terminated by our customers on relatively short notice. In the event of a project cancellation, we may be reimbursed for certain costs, but typically we have no contractual right to the total revenues reflected in backlog. Projects may remain in backlog for extended periods of time as a result of customer delays, regulatory requirements or project specific issues. Future revenues from projects completed under time-and-equipment, time-and-materials and cost-reimbursable-plus-fee contracts are not included in our estimated backlog amount.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash Needs

Liquidity represents our ability to pay our liabilities when they become due, fund business operations and meet our contractual obligations and execute our business plan. Our primary sources of liquidity are our cash balances at the beginning of each period and our net cash flow. If needed, we have availability under our lines of credit to augment liquidity needs. In order to maintain sufficient liquidity, we evaluate our working capital requirements on a regular basis. We may elect to raise additional capital by issuing common stock, convertible notes, term debt or increasing our credit facility as necessary to fund our operations or to fund the acquisition of new businesses.

Our cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments totaled \$146.2 million at September 30, 2014 compared to \$214.8 million at December 31, 2013. We anticipate that our cash and investments on hand, existing borrowing capacity under our credit facility and our future cash flows from operations will provide sufficient funds to enable us to meet our operating needs, our planned capital expenditures and our ability to grow for at least the next twelve months.

Cash Flows

Cash flows during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 are summarized as follows:

	Nine months Ended September 30,				
	2014 (Thousands)		2013		
Change in cash:	(211046	urrus)			
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	\$ (2,153)	\$	20,675		
Net cash used in investing activities	(52,242)		(64,206)		

Net cash provided by financing activities	2,468	60,014
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	\$ (51,927)	\$ 16,483

Operating Activities

The source of our cash flow from operating activities and the use of that cash in our operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

Nine Months Ended September 30,					
	2014		2013		Change
	(Thou	sands)			
\$	87,337	\$	84,441	\$	2,896
	42,758		36,579		6,179
	671		229		442
	(956)		(1,176)		220
	(94,020)		(70,350)		(23,670)
	(37,943)		(29,048)		(8,895)
\$	(2,153)	\$	20,675	\$	(22,828)
		\$ 87,337 42,758 671 (956) (94,020) (37,943)	September 30, 2014 (Thousands) \$ 87,337 \$ 42,758 671 (956) (94,020) (37,943)	September 30, 2013 (Thousands) \$ 87,337 \$ 84,441 42,758 36,579 671 229 (956) (1,176) (94,020) (70,350) (37,943) (29,048)	September 30, 2013 (Thousands) \$ 87,337 \$ 84,441 \$ 42,758 36,579 671 229 (956) (1,176) (94,020) (70,350) (37,943) (29,048)

Table of Contents

Net cash used by operating activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 of \$2.2 million decreased by \$22.8 million compared to the same period in the prior year as increases in operating income, depreciation and amortization and changes in net other expenses and tax provision were partially offset by changes in assets and liabilities.

As shown in the table above, the net change in assets and liabilities of \$23.7 million between the two periods is outlined below:

- The increased use of cash relating to construction projects, which include the growth in accounts receivable of \$64.3 million, customer retention deposits of \$15.1 million, and associated costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings and billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings, is primarily due to the increased revenues of \$192.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to the same period in 2013;
- Uninstalled inventory, prepaids and other assets decreased by \$2.8 million in the first nine months of 2014 compared to the same period in 2013;
- The earn-out liability decreased by \$4.9 million in the nine months of 2014 compared to the same period in 2013 as the total earn-out liability has decreased; and
- Accounts payable increased by \$62.8 million during the nine months of 2014 compared to the same period in 2013 primarily as a result of timing and as a result of increased project revenues in 2014.

Net cash used by operating activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 was \$2.2 million. The single largest use of cash for the period was the result of the net changes in assets and liabilities of \$94.0 million. The major components of the \$94.0 million change are summarized as follows:

- a decrease of \$4.9 million in customer retention deposits representing normal retention payments;
- an increase of \$80.7 million in accounts receivable. At September 30, 2014 accounts receivable represented 34.1% of our total assets compared to 29.0% at the end of 2013. We continue to maintain an excellent collection history, and we have certain lien rights that can provide additional security for collections. The increase in receivables is due primarily to the increase in revenues and includes \$28.6 million related to the two contracts that are in the dispute resolution process;
- an increase of \$39.0 million in costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings. The larger increases associated with the time lag from when revenues were earned until the customer can be billed were approximately \$5.7 million for ARB, PES for \$9.7 million, \$9.9 for

Rockford, and \$10.6 million for Q3C.

• prepaid ex	an increase in inventory and other current assets of \$5.8 million primarily as a result of an increase in uninstalled inventory and penses;
• compared	accounts payable increased by \$30.2 million as a result of the increase in operating activity in the first nine months of 2014, to the same period in 2013;
• advance; a	a net decrease of \$8.9 million in billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings reflecting the completion of work paid for in nd
sellers.	a decrease of \$4.4 million in contingent earn-out liabilities, primarily as a result of a March 2014 payment of \$5.0 million to the Q3C
During the previous y	nine months ended September 30, 2014, we paid \$35.5 million for income taxes compared to \$32.4 million in the same period of the ear.

32

Table of Contents

Investing activities

	Nine Months Ended September 30,				
	2014		2013		
		(Thou	sands)		
Capital expenditures cash	\$	64,540	\$	68,749	
Capital expenditures financed				2,523	
Total capital expenditures \$ 64,540		\$	71,272		

During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, we purchased property and equipment for \$55.6 million in cash, spent \$5.0 million for the purchase of the BWP assets and invested \$3.9 million as a Company constructed plant, for a total of \$64.5 million, compared to \$71.3 million during the same period in the prior year. We believe that ownership of equipment is generally preferable to renting equipment on a project-by-project basis, as ownership helps to ensure the equipment is available for our workloads when needed. In addition, ownership has historically resulted in lower overall equipment costs.

Historically, we have invested an amount that approximated the sum of depreciation and amortization expenses plus proceeds from equipment sales. For the nine months ended September 30, 2014, outside capital expenditures exceeded that amount by approximately \$9 million primarily from our continued investment in equipment to support the growth of Q3C. In the first nine months of 2013, we paid \$68.7 million in cash and financed \$2.5 million through capital leases. The prior year purchases were higher than our historical pattern as we funded new equipment for Q3C. Net outside equipment purchases for 2014 are expected to be approximately \$65 million to \$70 million.

We periodically sell and acquire equipment, typically to update our fleet. We received proceeds from the sale of used equipment of \$3.8 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and \$6.6 million during the same period in 2013. For the past few years, we have been able to rent major equipment not used for our own projects to third parties, but for the first nine months of both 2014 and 2013, equipment rentals were minimal.

On February 5, 2014, the majority owner of Alvah elected to purchase the Company s minority interest, effective January 1, 2014, for a cash payment of \$1.19 million, as provided for in the initial investment agreement.

In August 2014, the Company sold its remaining ownership in both WesPac and Midstream for a total of \$5,250 in cash

As part of our cash management program, we invested \$3.5 million and \$5.6 million during the nine months ended September, 2014 and 2013, respectively, in short-term investments, and sold short-term investments of \$20.1 million and \$5.9 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Short-term investments consist primarily of CDs and U.S. Treasury bills with various financial institutions that are backed by the federal government.

We used \$6.4 million in cash for the purchase of assets of Vadnais in May 2014 and \$8.2 million for the assets of Surber, Ram-Fab and Williams during the third quarter 2014.

	•		• , •
Fin	ancing	activ	rities

Financing activities provided of	eash of \$2.5 million for the	nine months ended Se	eptember 30, 2014.	Significant transactions	mpacting cash flows
from financing activities include	ded:				

- \$39.7 million proceeds of long-term debt financing;
- \$26.3 million in repayment of long-term debt and the repayment of \$2.8 million in capital leases;
- \$1.5 million in payment of accumulated earnings to a non-controlling interest holder;
- Dividend payments of \$5.4 million to our stockholders during the nine months ended September 30, 2014;
- Repurchase of common stock of \$2.8 million; and
- \$1.7 million in proceeds from the issuance of 77,455 shares of common stock purchased by the participants in the Primoris Long-term Retention Plan.

Table of Contents
Debt Activities
For a description of our credit agreements, see Note 11
Related party transactions
Primoris has entered into leasing transactions with Stockdale Investment Group, Inc. (SIGI). Brian Pratt, our Chief Executive Officer, President and Chairman of the Board of Directors and our largest stockholder, holds a majority interest and is the chairman, president and chief executive officer and a director of SIGI. John M. Perisich, our Executive Vice President and General Counsel, is secretary of SIGI.
Primoris leases properties from SIGI at the following locations:
1. Bakersfield, California (lease expires October 2022)
2. Pittsburg, California (lease expires April 2023)
3. San Dimas, California (lease expires March 2019)
4. Pasadena, Texas (leased by the Company through August 2014)
During the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company paid \$656,000 and \$688,000, respectively, in lease payments to SIGI for the use of these properties.
Primoris leases a property from Roger Newnham, a former owner and manager of our subsidiary, OnQuest Canada, ULC. The property is located in Calgary, Canada. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, Primoris paid \$219,000 and \$223,000, respectively, in lease payments. The current term of the lease is through December 31, 2017.
Primoris leases a property from Lemmie Rockford, one of the Rockford sellers, which commenced November 1, 2011. The property is located in Toledo, Washington. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, Primoris paid \$68,000 and \$68,000, respectively, in lease payments. The lease expires in January 2015.

Primoris leases a property from Quality RE Partners, owned by three of the Q3C selling shareholders, of whom two are current employees, including Jay Osborn, President of Q3C. The property is located in Little Canada, Minnesota. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company paid \$198,000 and \$198,000, respectively, in lease payments to Quality RE Partners. The lease expires in

October 2022.

Common stock

For a discussion of items affecting our common stock, please see Note 18 Stockholders Equity in Item 1, Financial Statements of this Third Quarter 2014 Report.

Contractual Obligations

As of September 30, 2014, we had \$295.9 million of outstanding long-term debt and capital lease obligations and there were no short-term borrowings.

A summary of contractual obligations as of September 30, 2014 were as follows:

	Total	1 Year	(I	2 - 3 Years In Thousands)	4 - 5 Years	I	After 5 Years
Long-term debt and capital lease obligations	\$ 235,686	\$ 36,820	\$	76,216	\$ 65,242	\$	57,408
Interest on long-term debt (1)	24,665	6,077		9.507	5,681		3,400
Equipment operating leases	7,755	3,446		3,866	234		209
Contingent consideration obligations	6,946	6,136		810			
Real property leases	12,939	3,614		5,186	3,553		586
Real property leases related parties	7,708	1,412		2,508	1,783		2,005
	\$ 295,699	\$ 57,505	\$	98,093	\$ 76,493	\$	63,608
Letters of credit	\$ 6,911	\$ 2,669	\$	4,242	\$		

⁽¹⁾ The interest amount represents interest payments for our fixed rate debt assuming that principal payments are made as originally scheduled.

Table of Contents

The table does not include obligations under multi-employer pension plans in which some of our employees participate. Our multi-employer pension plan contribution rates are generally specified in our collective bargaining agreements, and contributions are made to the plans based on employee payrolls. Our obligations for future periods cannot be determined because we cannot predict the number of employees that we will employ at any given time nor the plans in which they may participate.

We may also be required to make additional contributions to multi-employer pension plans if they become underfunded, and these contributions will be determined based on our union payroll. The Pension Protection Act of 2006 added special funding and operational rules for multi-employer plans that are classified as endangered, seriously endangered or critical status. Plans in these classifications must adopt measures to improve their funded status through a funding improvement or rehabilitation plan, which may require additional contributions from employers. The amounts of additional funds that we may be obligated to contribute cannot be reasonably estimated and is not included in the table above.

We have also excluded from the table any interest and fees associated with letters of credit and commitment fees under our credit facility since these amounts are variable.

Off-balance sheet transactions

As is common in our industry, we enter into certain off-balance sheet arrangements in the ordinary course of business that result in risks not directly reflected on our balance sheet. We have no off-balance sheet financing arrangement with variable interest entities. The following represent transactions, obligations or relationships that could be considered material off-balance sheet arrangements.

• Letters of credit issued under our lines of credit. At September 30, 2014, we had letters of credit outstanding of \$6.9 million, primarily for international projects in our Energy segment and for providing security to our insurance carriers. These letters of credit are used by some of our vendors to ensure reimbursement for amounts that they are disbursing on our behalf, such as beneficiaries under our self-funded insurance program. In addition, from time to time, certain customers require us to post a letter of credit to ensure payments to our subcontractors or guarantee performance under our contracts. Letters of credit reduce our borrowing availability under our Credit Agreement and Canadian Credit Facility. If these letters of credit were drawn on by the beneficiary, we would be required to reimburse the issuer of the letter of credit, and we may be required to record a charge to earnings for the reimbursement. We do not believe that it is likely that any material claims will be made under a letter of credit;

- We enter into non-cancellable operating leases for some of our facilities, equipment and vehicles, including leases with related parties. At September 30, 2014, equipment operating leases had a remaining commitment of \$7.8 million and facility rental commitments were \$20.6 million;
- Employment agreements which provide for compensation and benefits under certain circumstances and which may contain a change of control clause. We may be obligated to make payments under the terms of these agreements;
- In the ordinary course of our business, we may be required by our customers to post surety bid or completion bonds in connection with services that we provide. At September 30, 2014, we had \$1.4 billion in outstanding bonds. We do not believe that it is likely that we would have to fund material claims under our surety arrangements;
- Certain of our subsidiaries are parties to collective bargaining agreements with unions. In most instances, these agreements require that we contribute to multi-employer pension and health and welfare plans. For many plans, the contributions are determined annually and required future contributions cannot be determined since contribution rates depend on the total number of union employees and actuarial calculations based on the demographics of all participants. The Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA), as amended by the Multi-Employer Pension Amendments Act of 1980, subject employers to potential liabilities in the event of an employer s complete or partial withdrawal of an underfunded multi-employer pension plan. The Pension Protection Act of 2006 added new funding rules for plan years after 2007 for multi-employer plans that are classified as endangered, seriously endangered, or critical status. As discussed in Note 19 *Commitmen and Contingencies* in Item 1, Financial Statements of this Third Quarter 2014 Report, we have recognized a withdrawal liability for one plan. We currently do not anticipate withdrawal from any other multi-employer pension plans. Withdrawal liabilities or requirements for increased future contributions could negatively impact our results of operations and liquidity; and
- Other guarantees that we make from time to time, such as guaranteeing the obligations of our subsidiaries.

Table of Contents

Effects of Inflation and Changing Prices

Our operations are affected by increases in prices, whether caused by inflation or other economic factors. We attempt to recover anticipated increases in the cost of labor, equipment, fuel and materials through price escalation provisions in certain major contracts or by considering the estimated effect of such increases when bidding or pricing new work or by entering into back-to-back contracts with suppliers and subcontractors.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

In the ordinary course of business, we are exposed to risks related to market conditions. These risks primarily include fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates, interest rates and commodity prices. We may seek to manage these risks through the use of financial derivative instruments. These instruments may include foreign currency exchange contracts and interest rate swaps.

We do not execute transactions or use financial derivative instruments for trading or speculative purposes. We enter into transactions with counter parties that are generally financial institutions in a matter to limit significant exposure with any one party.

The carrying amounts for cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, short term investments, short-term debt, accounts payable and accrued liabilities shown in the consolidated balance sheets approximate fair value at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, due to the generally short maturities of these items. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, we held short term investments which were primarily in four to six month certificates of deposits (CDs) and U.S. Treasury bills with various financial institutions that are backed by the federal government. We expect to hold our investments to maturity.

At September 30, 2014, all of our long-term debt was subject to fixed interest rates.

At September 30, 2014, we had no derivative financial instruments.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of September 30, 2014, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our chief executive officer (CEO) and chief financial officer (CFO), of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and

procedures, as such term is defined under Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e).

Based on this evaluation, our CEO and CFO concluded that, at September 30, 2014, the disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC, and accumulated and communicated to our management, including our CEO and CFO, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, our management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives and in reaching a reasonable level of assurance our management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. The Company s disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance of achieving their stated objectives.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

During the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2014, there were no changes to our internal control over financial reporting practices or processes that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

36

Tabl	e of	Con	tents
1 au	L OI	COII	wiits

Part II. Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

On February 7, 2012, the Company was sued in an action entitled North Texas Tollway Authority, Plaintiff v. James Construction Group, LLC, and KBR, Inc., Defendants, v. Reinforced Earth Company, Third-Party Defendant (the Lawsuit). In the Lawsuit, the North Texas Tollway Authority (NTTA) is alleging damages to a road and retaining wall that were constructed in 1999 on the George Bush Turnpike near Dallas, Texas, due to negligent construction by JCG. The Lawsuit claims that the cost to repair the retaining wall was approximately \$5,400. The NTTA also alleges that six other walls constructed on the project by JCG could have the same potential exposure to failure. The Company has denied any liability, has tendered the claim to its insurance carriers and has cross-complained against its engineering subcontractor for potential design liability. The extent of insurance coverage by the carriers of the Company and its subcontractor is undetermined at this time. The parties to the lawsuit are engaged in mediation and discussions which involve the owner, the entity performing construction management, the Company s subcontractor and the Company. At this time, there are no assurances that the mediation efforts will be successful, and the lawsuit may proceed to trial. However, no trial date has been set. The Company will vigorously defend the claims. After discussion with our legal counsel, we have recorded loss contingencies which have not been material to the financial statements, to reflect the best estimate of the Company s portion of the NTTA claim. At this time, management does not believe it is possible to make a reasonably probable estimate of additional loss or a range of loss.

The Company is subject to other claims and legal proceedings arising out of its business. The Company provides for costs related to contingencies when a loss from such claims is probable and the amount is reasonably determinable. In determining whether it is possible to provide an estimate of loss, or range of possible loss, the Company reviews and evaluates its litigation and regulatory matters on a quarterly basis in light of potentially relevant factual and legal developments. If we determine an unfavorable outcome is not probable or reasonably estimable, we do not accrue for a potential litigation loss. Management is unable to ascertain the ultimate outcome of other claims and legal proceedings; however, after review and consultation with counsel and taking into consideration relevant insurance coverage and related deductibles/self-insurance retention, management believes that it has meritorious defense to the claims and believes that the reasonably possible outcome of such claims will not, individually or in the aggregate, have a materially adverse effect on the consolidated results of operations, financial condition or cash flows of the Company.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

In addition to the information set forth in this Report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in the section entitled *Risk Factors* in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, which to our knowledge have not materially changed. Those risks, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results, are not the only risks we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and/or operating results.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

None

Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities.
None.	
Item 4.	(Removed and Reserved).
Item 5.	Other Information.
None.	
	37

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits.

The following exhibits are filed as part of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Exhibit			
Number	Description		
10.1 Master Security Agreement, dated September 18, 2014, by and among Primoris Services Corporation, R			
	Corporation and Key Equipment Finance, a division of KeyBank National Association.		
10.2	Promissory Note and Collateral Schedule No. 1, dated September 18, 2014, by and between Primoris Energy Services		
	Corporation, Rockford Corporation and Key Equipment Finance, a division of KeyBank National Association.		
10.3	Master Loan and Security Agreement, dated September 19, 2014, by and among Fifth Third Bank and Primoris Services		
	Corporation, James Construction Group, LLC and Q3 Contracting, Inc.		
10.4	Amendment No 1 to Master Loan and Security Agreement, dated as of September 23, 2014, by and among Fifth Third		
	Bank and Stellaris LLC.		
10.5	Promissory Note, dated September 19, 2014, by and among Primoris Services Corporation, James Construction Group,		
	LLC, Q3 Contracting, Inc. and Fifth Third Bank.		
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification by the Registrant s Chief Executive Officer (*)		
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification by the Registrant s Chief Financial Officer (*)		
32.1	Section 1350 Certification by the Registrant s Chief Executive Officer (*)		
32.2	Section 1350 Certification by the Registrant s Chief Financial Officer (*)		
101 INS	XBRL Instance Document (*)		
101 SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document (*)		
101 CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document (*)		
101 LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document (*)		
101 PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document (*)		
101 DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document (*)		

(*) Filed herewith

38

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

PRIMORIS SERVICES CORPORATION

Date: November 7, 2014

/s/ PETER J. MOERBEEK
Peter J. Moerbeek
Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

39

Table of Contents

EXHIBITS ATTACHED TO THIS QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q

Exhibit	
Number	Description
10.1	Master Security Agreement, dated September 18, 2014, by and among Primoris Services Corporation, Rockford
	Corporation and Key Equipment Finance, a division of KeyBank National Association.
10.2	Promissory Note and Collateral Schedule No. 1, dated September 18, 2014, by and between Primoris Energy Services
	Corporation, Rockford Corporation and Key Equipment Finance, a division of KeyBank National Association.
10.3	Master Loan and Security Agreement, dated September 19, 2014, by and among Fifth Third Bank and Primoris Services
	Corporation, James Construction Group, LLC and Q3 Contracting, Inc.
10.4	Amendment No 1 to Master Loan and Security Agreement, dated as of September 23, 2014, by and among Fifth Third
	Bank and Stellaris LLC.
10.5	Promissory Note, dated September 19, 2014, by and among Primoris Services Corporation, James Construction Group,
	LLC, Q3 Contracting, Inc. and Fifth Third Bank.
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification by the Registrant s Chief Executive Officer (*)
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification by the Registrant s Chief Financial Officer (*)
32.1	Section 1350 Certification by the Registrant s Chief Executive Officer (*)
32.2	Section 1350 Certification by the Registrant s Chief Financial Officer (*)
101 INS	XBRL Instance Document (*)
101 SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document (*)
101 CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document (*)
101 LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document (*)
101 PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document (*)
101 DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document (*)

(*) Filed herewith