

PFSWEB INC
Form 10-K
March 31, 2011

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
Form 10-K**

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**
For the fiscal year ended **December 31, 2010**

or

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**
For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission file number 000-28275
PFSWEB, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
*(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)*

75-2837058
*(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)*

500 North Central Expressway, Plano, Texas
(Address of principal executive offices)

75074
(Zip code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code:
972-881-2900

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: None
Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:
Common Stock, par value \$.001 per share

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller Reporting
Company

(Do not check if a
Smaller reporting
company)

Indicated by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 30, 2010 (based on the closing price as reported by the National Association of Securities Dealers Automated Quotation System) was \$34,480,114.

At March 28, 2011, there were 12,295,597 shares of the registrant's Common Stock issued, \$.001 par value.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

The information required by Part III of this Annual Report, to the extent not set forth herein, is incorporated herein by reference from the registrant's definitive proxy statement relating to the annual meeting of shareholders, which definitive proxy statement shall be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year to which this Annual Report relates.

INDEX

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| <u>PART 1</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Business</u> | 1 |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u> | 14 |
| <u>Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 23 |
| <u>Item 2. Properties</u> | 23 |
| <u>Item 3. Legal Proceedings</u> | 23 |
| <u>Item 4. Reserved</u> | 23 |
| <u>PART II</u> | |
| <u>Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Shareholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 24 |
| <u>Item 6. Selected Consolidated Financial Data</u> | 24 |
| <u>Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 24 |
| <u>Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 37 |
| <u>Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 62 |
| <u>Item 9a. Controls and Procedures</u> | 62 |
| <u>Item 9b. Other Information</u> | 63 |
| <u>PART III</u> | |
| <u>Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant</u> | 63 |
| <u>Item 11. Executive Compensation</u> | 63 |
| <u>Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Shareholder Matters</u> | 63 |
| <u>Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions</u> | 63 |
| <u>Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u> | 63 |
| <u>PART IV</u> | |
| <u>Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | 64 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | 74 |
| <u>EX-10.96</u> | |
| <u>EX-21</u> | |
| <u>EX-23.1</u> | |
| <u>EX-31.1</u> | |
| <u>EX-31.2</u> | |
| <u>EX-32.1</u> | |

Unless otherwise indicated, all references to PFSweb, the Company, we, us and our refer to PFSweb, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and its subsidiaries; references to PFS refer to our wholly-owned subsidiaries, Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc. PFS Canada and PFS Europe; and references to Supplies Distributors refer to our wholly-owned subsidiary Supplies Distributors, Inc. and its subsidiaries.

Table of Contents

PART I

**Item 1. Business
General**

PFSweb is an international business process outsourcing provider of end-to-end eCommerce solutions. PFSweb provides these solutions to major brand name companies seeking to optimize their supply chain and to enhance their traditional and online business channels and initiatives. We derive our revenues from a broad range of services as we process individual business transactions on our clients' behalf. Marketed as *PFSweb's End2End eCommerce*® solution, the services we offer are organized into the following categories:

Digital Marketing

eCommerce Technologies

Order Management

Customer Care

Logistics and Fulfillment

Financial Management

Professional Consulting

Our solutions support both direct-to-consumer (DTC) and business-to-business (B2B) sales channels. The majority of our clients are the merchants of record for the orders we process through our infrastructure on their behalf. For these clients, we do not own the inventory or the resulting accounts receivable, but provide management services for these client-owned assets.

For some of our clients, we are the merchant of record for the orders we process through our infrastructure on their behalf. Under these arrangements, we either record product revenue or a net sale, own the accounts receivable and inventory and we may be paid for all or a portion of our services through the resulting profit margin. In some cases, we purchase the inventory as the product is delivered to our facility. In other situations, the client retains ownership of inventory in our facility and we purchase the inventory immediately prior to each individual customer sales transaction. In all cases, we seek inventory financing from our clients in the form of extended terms, working capital programs or marketing funds to help offset the working capital requirements that follow accounts receivable and inventory ownership.

We are headquartered in Plano, Texas where our executive and administrative offices and our primary technology operations and hosting facilities are located. We operate state-of-the-art call centers from our U.S. facilities located in Plano, Texas, and Memphis, Tennessee, and from our international facilities located in Markham, Ontario, Canada, Liège, Belgium and Manila, Philippines. We lease or manage warehouse facilities of approximately 1.3 million square feet, many containing highly automated and state of the art material handling and communications equipment, in Memphis, Tennessee, Southaven, Mississippi, Grapevine, Texas, Markham, Ontario, Canada and Liège, Belgium, allowing us to provide global distribution solutions.

Recent Events

In February 2011 we sold substantially all of the inventory and certain intangible assets of our eCOST.com® business unit, a multi-category online discount retailer of new, close-out and recertified brand-name merchandise, for a cash purchase price of \$2.3 million (before expenses of approximately \$0.2 million) and the assumption by the purchaser of certain limited liabilities of eCOST. The purchase price represents approximately \$1 million for inventory and the balance for the intangible assets. In connection with the closing of this business unit, we currently expect to incur exit costs of approximately \$0.2 million to \$0.4 million related to employee termination costs and excess property and equipment and may incur additional costs, including excess facility costs. In the fourth quarter of 2010, we have recorded a non-cash goodwill impairment charge of approximately \$2.8 million. For all periods

presented, we have classified the operating results of our former online discount retailer segment as discontinued operations. Unless otherwise indicated, the following description of our business refers only to our continuing operations. See Note 12, Discontinued Operations, of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information. In conjunction with this sale, we renamed our eCOST.com subsidiary in February 2011. The newly named subsidiary, PFSweb Retail Connect, Inc., will service certain of our client relationships on an ongoing basis.

Table of Contents**PFSWEB S END2END ECOMMERCE® SERVICES**

PFSweb serves as the brand behind the brand® for companies seeking to increase efficiencies, enter new markets or launch optimized sales channels. As an eCommerce and business process outsourcer, we offer scalable and cost-effective solutions for brand manufacturers, online retailers, and distributors across a wide range of industry segments. We provide our clients with seamless and transparent solutions to support their business strategies, allowing them to focus on their core competencies. Leveraging PFSweb's technology, expertise and proven methodologies, we enable client organizations to develop and deploy new products and implement new business strategies or address new distribution channels rapidly and efficiently through our optimized solutions. Our clients engage us both as a consulting partner to assist them in the design of a business solution as well as a virtual and physical infrastructure partner providing the mission critical operations required to build and manage their business solution. Together, we not only help our clients define new ways of doing business, but also provide them the technology, physical infrastructure and professional resources necessary to quickly implement this business model. We allow our clients to quickly and dramatically change how they go-to-market.

Each client has a unique business model and unique strategic objectives that often requires highly customized solutions. PFSweb supports clients in a wide array of industries including fashion apparel and accessories, fragrance and beauty products, consumer packaged goods, home furnishings and housewares, consumer electronics, office technology and network connectivity products and aviation spare parts. These clients turn to PFSweb for help in addressing a variety of business issues that include eCommerce, customer satisfaction and retention, time-definite logistics, vendor managed inventory and integration, supply chain compression, cost model realignments, transportation management and international expansion, among others. We also act as a constructive agent of change, providing clients the ability to alter their current distribution model, establish direct relationships with end-customers, and reduce the overall time and costs associated with existing distribution channel strategies. Our clients are seeking solutions that will provide them with dynamic supply chain and multi-channel marketing efficiencies, while ultimately delivering a world-class customer service experience.

Our value proposition is to become a seamless, well integrated extension of our clients' enterprises by delivering superior solutions that drive optimal customer experiences. On behalf of the brands we serve, we wish to increase and enhance sales and market growth, bolster customer satisfaction and customer retention, and drive costs out of the business through operations and technology related efficiencies. As both a virtual and a physical infrastructure for our clients' businesses, we embrace their brand values and strategic objectives. By utilizing our services, our clients are able to:

Quickly Capitalize on Market Opportunities. Our solutions empower clients to rapidly implement their supply chain and eCommerce strategies and to take advantage of opportunities without lengthy integration and implementation efforts. We have readily available advanced technology and physical infrastructure that is flexible in its design, which facilitates quick integration and implementation. The PFSweb solution is designed to allow our clients to deliver consistent quality service as transaction volumes grow and also to handle daily and seasonal peak periods. Through our international locations, our clients can sell their products throughout the world.

Improve the Customer Experience. We enable our clients to provide their customers with a high-touch, positive buying experience thereby maintaining and promoting brand loyalty. Through our use of advanced technology, we can respond directly to customer inquiries by e-mail, voice or data communication and assist them with online ordering and product information. We believe we offer our clients a world-class level of service, including 24-hour, seven-days-a-week, Web-enabled customer care service centers, detailed Customer Relationship Management (CRM) reporting and exceptional order accuracy. We have significant experience in the development of eCommerce storefronts that allows us to recommend features and functions that are easily navigated and understood by our clients' customers. Our technology platform is designed to ensure high levels of reliability and fast response times for our clients' customers. Because of our technology, our clients benefit from being able to offer the latest in customer communication and response conveniences to their customers.

Minimize Investment and Improve Operating Efficiencies. One of the most significant benefits outsourcing provides is the ability to transform fixed costs into variable costs. By eliminating the need to invest in a fixed capital infrastructure, our clients' costs typically become directly correlated with volume increases or declines. Further, as

Table of Contents

volume increases drive the demand for greater infrastructure or capacity, we are able to quickly deploy additional resources. We provide services to multiple clients, which enables us to offer our clients economies of scale, and resulting cost efficiency, that they may not have been able to obtain on their own. Additionally, because of the large number of daily transactions we process, we have been able to justify investments in levels of automation, security surveillance, quality control processes and transportation carrier interfaces that are typically outside the scale of investment that our clients might be able to cost justify on their own. These additional capabilities can provide our clients the benefits of enhanced operating performance and efficiency, reduced inventory shrinkage, and expanded customer service options.

Access a Sophisticated Technology Infrastructure. We provide our clients with ready access to a sophisticated technology infrastructure that is designed to interface seamlessly with their systems. We provide our clients with vital product and customer information that can be immediately available to them on their own systems or through web based graphic user interfaces for use in data mining, analyzing sales and marketing trends, monitoring inventory levels and performing other management functions.

We believe our highest value proposition is achieved when our clients engage our full suite of services from all of the categories included in *PFSweb's End2End eCommerc[®]* solution. However, we provide our clients with the opportunity to customize their solution by selecting only certain services from our offering in à la carte fashion. We believe this flexibility and willingness to create a customized solution for each client differentiates us from our competition.

Digital Marketing Services

Our team has extensive experience partnering with our clients to help grow their business. We have expertise in developing strategies to attract new customers, converting website visitors into actual buyers, and nurturing the relationships with current customers to increase their lifetime value. We achieve this through the following services:

Search Engine Optimization (SEO). We combine knowledge of SEO best practices with a detailed knowledge of the technology platform to maximize the programs performance. Our subject matter experts achieve measurable results by using best-of-breed on-site page optimization tactics, internal-linking strategies, back-linking strategies, and back-link building. We provide both the strategies and the implementation to achieve top rankings in the search engines, increase visibility of the brand and drive sales results.

Pay-Per-Click. We go beyond buying keywords. In addition to bid management we provide effective copywriting as well as landing page recommendations, optimization, and creation to increase conversion. Our strategic search engine marketing approach is proven to drive incremental traffic, increase conversion, and lower ad spend.

Affiliate Marketing. We find the best affiliate partners that fit the brands, implement the program, and manage the ongoing relationships. We develop strategies for the programs and nurture the affiliate partnerships to ensure relevant traffic is driven to the website to convert into sales.

Comparison Shopping Engines. We have experience creating and managing comparison shopping feeds that will increase brand visibility in a competitive landscape while driving sales. We use the comparison shopping engine channel to enhance the search engine marketing initiatives and power mobile applications.

Merchandising. We combine industry expertise with best-in-breed technology to increase conversion and increase average order size. Our team of experts offers services in on-site merchandising, recommendations, personalization, on-site search, and promotion management and support.

Web Analytics. All of our interactive marketing services are data driven; we look at how the various channels are performing and determine where investments need to be made. We turn data into knowledge and offer insight into the customers behavior and create strategies to provide actionable results.

Customer Experience. We determine how to optimize the website to engage the customers with the brand, drive sales conversion, and offer an ideal customer shopping experience. Our team makes it their focus to understand the customer's needs and offer site usability assessments that will improve the customer experience, enhance the brand

Table of Contents

and support revenue goals.

Email Marketing. We provide a world class email platform to create and send dynamic 1-to-1 emails to achieve exceptional business results. We provide email delivery services to ensure email is reaching the inbox and have proven methodologies to test content, design, and subject lines, as well as drive email strategy to engage customers and increase revenue.

Social Media. Our customer-centric approach focuses on customer service first. We have the tools to disposition customer comments, posts, replies, hash tags, etc. We also have the staff to support the strategy and ongoing management of our client's social initiatives. We provide strategies to drive traffic and sales to client websites or on Facebook.

eCommerce Technology Services

Direct-to-Consumer eCommerce. PFSweb's End2End eCommerce® solution for the DTC online channel features Demandware eCommerce, a leading Software-as-a-Service (SaaS) eCommerce platform. We have fully integrated Demandware with the rest of our world-class technology platform including other best-of-breed technology partners to create a PFSweb reference application that provides our clients with a very high-function DTC online store out-of-the-box. We are able to use the PFSweb reference application as a starting point to very quickly create a completely customized online store for our DTC clients. Designed specifically for DTC brands, our comprehensive offering redefines end-to-end eCommerce by enabling retailers and branded consumer goods manufacturers with the ability to employ a total outsourcing solution customized to their particular eCommerce strategy, without the loss of site or brand control associated with earlier end-to-end outsourcing solutions.

Business-to-Business eCommerce. PFSweb's End2End eCommerce® solution for the B2B online channel features our GlobalMerchant Commerceware® service that provides a complete eCommerce website solution for our B2B clients. We engage collaboratively with our clients to design, build, host, and manage fully branded, fully customized and fully integrated eCommerce web applications for B2B channels. We offer a broad range of hosting and support plans that can be tailored to fit the needs of each client. Utilizing Microsoft's .NET Technologies and our proprietary GlobalMerchant Commerceware platform, we maintain a robust hosting environment for our hosted client B2B web sites.

Order Management Services

Order Management Interfaces. Our order management technology solutions provide us and our clients with interfaces that allow for real-time information retrieval, including information on inventory, sales orders, shipments, delivery, purchase orders, warehouse receipts, customer history, accounts receivable and credit lines. These solutions are seamlessly integrated with our web-enabled customer contact centers, allowing for the processing of orders through shopping cart, phone, fax, mail, email, web chat, and other order receipt methods. As the information backbone for our total supply chain solution, order management services can be used on a stand-alone basis or in conjunction with our other business infrastructure offerings, including customer contact, financial or distribution services. In addition, for the B2B market, our technology platform provides a variety of order receipt methods that facilitate commerce within various stages of the supply chain. Our systems provide the ability for both our clients and their customers to track the status of orders at any time. Our services are transparent to our clients' customers and are seamlessly integrated with our clients' internal systems platforms and web sites. By synchronizing these activities, we can capture and provide critical customer information, including:

Statistical measurements critical to creating a quality customer experience, containing real-time order status, order exceptions, back order tracking, allocation of product based on timing of online purchase and business rules, the ratio of customer inquiries to purchases, average order sizes and order response time;

B2B supply chain management information critical to evaluating inventory positioning, for the purpose of reducing inventory turns, and assessing product flow through and end-consumer demand;

Reverse logistics information including customer response and reason for the return or rotation of product and desired customer action;

Table of Contents

Detailed marketing information about what was sold and to whom it was sold, by location and preference; and

Web traffic reporting showing the number of visits (hits) received, areas visited, and products and information requested.

Technology Collaboration. We have created a suite of technology services that enable buyers and suppliers to fully automate their business transactions within their supply chain using the order management interfaces. Our collaboration technologies operate in an open systems environment and feature the use of industry-standard XML and SOA web services, enabling customized eCommerce solutions with minimal changes to a client's systems or our Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems. The result is a faster implementation process. We also support information exchange methods such as FTP, EDI, MQ Series, ALE, HTTP, and HTTPS.

Information Management. We have the ability to communicate with and transfer information to and from our clients through a wide variety of technology services, including real-time web service enabled data interfaces, file transfer methods and electronic data interchange. Our systems are designed to capture, store and electronically forward to our clients critical information regarding customer inquiries and orders, product shipments, inventory status (for example, levels of inventory on hand, on backorder, on purchase order and inventory due dates to our warehouse), product returns and other information. Our systems are capable of providing our clients with customer inventory and order information for use in analyzing sales and marketing trends and introducing new products. We also offer customized reports and data analyses based upon specific client needs to assist them in their budgeting and business decision process.

Customer Care Services

Customer Relationship Management. We offer a completely customized CRM solution for clients. Our CRM solution encompasses a full-scale customer contact management service offering, as well as a fully integrated customer analysis program. All customer contacts are captured and customer purchases are documented. Full-scale reporting on all customer transactions is available for evaluation purposes. Through each of our customer touch-points, information can be analyzed and processed for current or future use in business evaluation, product effectiveness and positioning, and supply chain planning.

Customer Order Assistance. An important feature of evolving commerce is the ability for the customer to speak with a live customer service representative. Our experience has been that a majority of consumers tell us they visited the web location for information, but not all of those consumers chose to place their order online. Our customer care services utilize features that integrate voice, e-mail, standard mail, data and Internet chat communications to respond to and handle customer inquiries. Our customer care representatives answer various questions, acting as virtual representatives of our client's organization, regarding order status, shipping, billing, returns and product information and availability as well as a variety of other questions. For certain clients, we handle Level I and Level II technical support. Level I technical support involves assisting clients' customers with basic technical issues, i.e. computer application issues. Level II support may involve a more in-depth question and answer session with the customer. Our web-enabled customer care technology identifies each customer contact automatically and routes it to the available customer care representative who is individually trained in the client's business and products.

Our web-enabled customer care centers are designed so that our customer care representatives can handle several different clients and products in a shared environment, thereby creating economy of scale benefits for our clients as well as highly customized dedicated support models that provide the ultimate customer experience and brand reinforcement. Our advanced technology also enables our representatives to up-sell, cross-sell and inform customers of other products and sales opportunities. The web-enabled customer care center is fully integrated into the data management and order processing system, allowing full visibility into customer history and customer trends. Through this fully integrated system, we are able to provide a complete customer care solution.

Customer Self-Help. With the need for efficiency and cost optimization for many of our clients, we have integrated interactive voice response (IVR) as another option for customer contacts. IVR creates an electronic workforce with virtual agents that can assist customers with vital information at any time of the day or night. IVR allows for our clients' customers to deal interactively with our system to handle basic customer inquiries, such as

Table of Contents

account balance, order status, shipment status, catalog requests, product and price inquiries, and routine order entry for established customers. The inclusion of IVR in our service offering allows us to offer a cost effective way to handle high volume, low complexity calls.

Logistics and Fulfillment Services

Advanced Distribution Facilities and Infrastructure. An integral part of our solution is the warehousing and distribution of inventory either owned by our clients or owned by us. We currently have approximately 1.3 million square feet of leased or managed warehouse space domestically and internationally to store and process our and our clients' inventory. We receive inventory in our distribution centers, verify shipment accuracy, unpack and audit packages (a process that includes spot-checking a percentage of the inventory to validate piece counts and check for damages that may have occurred during shipping, loading and unloading). Upon request, we inspect for other damages or defects, which may include checking fabric, stitching and zippers for soft goods, or testing power-up capabilities for electronic items as well as product specifications. We generally stock for sale within one business day of unloading. On behalf of our clients, we pick, pack and ship their customer orders and can provide customized packaging, capabilities of high volume shrink packaging, inserts and promotional literature for distribution with customer orders. For many clients, we provide gift-wrapping services including customized gift-wrapping paper, ribbon, gift-box and gift-messaging.

Our distribution facilities contain computerized sortation equipment, highly mobile pick-to-light carts, powered material handling equipment, scanning and bar-coding systems and automated conveyors and in-line scales. Our distribution complexes include several advanced technology enhancements, such as radio frequency technology in product receiving processing to ensure accuracy, as well as an automated package routing and a pick-to-light paperless order fulfillment system. Our advanced distribution systems provide us with the capability to warehouse an extensive number of stock keeping units (SKUs), ranging from large high-end laser printers to small cosmetic compacts. Our facilities are flexibly configured to process B2B and single pick DTC orders from the same central location.

In addition to our advanced distribution systems, our pick-to-light carts, stationary pick-to-light areas and conveyor system controls provide real time productivity reporting, thereby providing our management team with the tools to implement productivity standards. This combination of computer-controlled equipment provides the seamless integration of our pick-to-light systems and mass sortation capabilities. This unique combination of technologies ensures high order accuracy for each and every customer order.

We are able to take advantage of a variety of shipping and delivery options, which range from next day service to zone skipping to optimize transportation costs. Our facilities and systems are equipped with multi-carrier functionality, allowing us to integrate with all leading package carriers and provide a comprehensive freight and transportation management offering. In addition, an increasingly important service we provide is reverse logistics management. We offer a wide array of product return services, including issuing return authorizations, receipt of product, crediting customer accounts and disposition of returned product.

Our domestic clients enjoy the benefits of having their inventory assets secured by trained law enforcement professionals from our security headquarters in Memphis, Tennessee and Southaven, Mississippi. Continual validation ensures that we employ the latest in security processes and procedures to further enhance our surveillance and detection capabilities. Our security program continues to gain trust and confidence from our clients as we protect their products and assets.

Facility Operations and Management. Our facilities management service offering includes distribution facility design and optimization, business process reengineering and ongoing staffing and management. Along with our operations in Mississippi and Tennessee, we also manage an aircraft parts distribution center in Grapevine, TX on behalf of one of our clients. Our expertise in supply chain management, logistics and customer-centric fulfillment operations extends through our management of client-owned facilities, resulting in cost reductions, process improvements and technology-driven efficiencies.

Kitting and Assembly Services. Our expanded kitting and assembly services enable our clients to reduce the time and costs associated with managing multiple suppliers, warehousing hubs, and light manufacturing partners. As a

Table of Contents

single source provider, we provide the advantage of convenience, accountability and speed. Our comprehensive kitting and assembly services provide a quality one-stop resource for any international channel. Our kitting and assembly services include light assembly, specialized kitting and supplier-consigned inventory hub either in our distribution facilities or co-located elsewhere. We also offer customized light manufacturing and supplier relationship management (SRM).

We will work with clients to re-sequence certain supply chain activities to aid in an inventory postponement strategy. We can provide kitting and assembly services and build-to-stock thousands of units daily to stock in a Just-in-Time (JIT) environment. This service, for example, can entail the procurement of packaging materials including retail boxes, foam inserts and anti-static bags. These raw material components would be shipped to us from domestic or overseas manufacturers, and we will build the finished SKUs to stock for the client. Also included is the custom configuration of high-end printers and servers. This strategy allows manufacturers to make a smaller investment in base unit inventory while meeting changing customer demand for highly customizable products.

Combining our assembly services with our supplier-owned inventory hub services allows our clients to reduce cycle times, to compress their supply chains and to consolidate their operations and supplier management functions. We have supplier inventory management, assembly and fulfillment services all in one place, providing greater flexibility in product line utilization, as well as rapid response to change orders or packaging development. Our standard capabilities include: build-to-order, build-to-stock, expedited orders, passive and active electrostatic discharge (ESD) controls, product labeling, serial number generation, marking and/or capture, lot number generation, asset tagging, bill of materials (BOM) or computer automated design (CAD) engineering change processing, SKU-level pricing and billing, manufacturing and metrics reporting, first article approval processes, and comprehensive quality controls.

Our kitting and assembly services also include procurement. We work directly with client suppliers to make JIT inventory orders for each component in client packages, thereby ensuring we receive the appropriate inventory quantities at just the right time and we then turn them around JIT to customers.

Kitting and inventory hub services enable clients to collapse supply chains into the minimal steps necessary to prepare product for distribution to any channel, including wholesale, mass merchant retail, or direct to consumer. Clients no longer have to employ multiple providers or require suppliers to consign multiple inventory caches for each channel. We offer our clients the opportunity to consolidate operations from a channel standpoint, as well as from a geographic perspective. Our integrated, global information systems and international locations support business needs worldwide.

Product Management and Inspection Services. We also operate a coupon management system and product management program. Coupons are managed and activated by a unique serial number thus significantly reducing fraudulent activity. Our capabilities also extend into salvage operations, allowing our clients to reclaim valuable raw materials and components from discontinued or obsolete inventory.

We operate a test and repair center where we visually inspect items for cosmetic defects. These items are put through rigorous testing that includes: functionality, durability, accessory inspection and packaging. Items that pass the testing are repackaged and resold with a noted exception of open-box merchandise. Items that fail the inspection are disassembled and working spare parts are saved for future use in repairs.

Financial Management Services

Our financial services are divided into two major areas: 1) billing, credit, collection and cash application services for B2B clients and 2) fraud review, chargeback management and processing and settlement credit card services for DTC clients.

Business-to-Business Financial Management. For B2B clients, we offer full-service accounts receivable management and collection capabilities, including the ability to generate customized computer-generated invoices in our clients' names. We assist clients in reducing accounts receivable and days sales outstanding, while minimizing costs associated with maintaining an in-house collections staff. We offer electronic credit services in the format of EDI and XML communications direct from our clients to their vendors, suppliers and retailers.

Table of Contents

Direct-to-Consumer Financial Management. For DTC clients, we offer secure credit card processing related services for orders made via a client web site or through our customer contact center. We offer manual credit card order review as an additional level of fraud protection. We also calculate sales taxes, goods and services taxes or value added taxes, if applicable, for numerous taxing authorities and on a variety of products. Using third-party leading-edge fraud protection services and risk management systems, we can offer high levels of security and reduce the level of risk for client transactions.

Professional Consulting Services

As part of the tailored solution for our clients, we offer a full team of experts specifically designated to focus on our clients' businesses. Team members play a consultative role, providing constructive evaluation, analysis and recommendations for the client's business. This team creates customized solutions and devises plans that will increase efficiencies and produce benefits for the client when implemented.

Comprised of industry experts from top-tier consulting firms and industry market leaders, our team of professional consultants provides client service focus and eCommerce, customer care, logistics and distribution expertise. They have built solutions for Fortune 1000 and Global 2000 market leaders in a wide range of industries, including multi-channel retailers, apparel, technology, telecommunications, cosmetics, aviation, housewares, high-value collectibles, sporting goods, pharmaceuticals and several more. Focusing on the evolving infrastructure needs of major corporations and their business initiatives, our team has a solid track record providing consulting services in the areas of interactive marketing eCommerce, supply chain management, distribution and fulfillment, technology interfacing, logistics and customer support.

SELLER SERVICES FINANCIAL MODELS**Enablement Financial Model**

We refer to the standard PFS seller services financial model as the Enablement model. In this model, our clients own the inventory and are the merchants of record and engage us to provide various business outsourcing services in support of their business operations. We provide eCommerce website services, inventory and order management, customer service, payment processing, and operations reports such as product sales, sales tax, and inventory management reports. In this model, we provide infrastructure and services and the clients are responsible for all financial operations and reporting related to the sales transactions.

The Enablement model should generate net margins for our clients consistent with other retailers in our clients' product category and the bottom line financial results for our clients should be similar to other retailers in their space. Service fee revenues in this model will be reported in our traditional PFSweb service fee segment.

Agent (Flash) Financial Model

As an additional service, we offer an Agent model, or Flash model, in which our clients maintain ownership of the product inventory stored at PFSweb as in the Enablement model. When a customer orders the product from our client, a flash sale transaction passes product ownership of each order to a PFSweb subsidiary that, in turn, immediately re-sells the product to the customer. The flash change in ownership establishes the PFSweb subsidiary as the merchant of record. This enables us to use our existing merchant infrastructure to process sales to end customers, removing the need for clients to establish these business processes internally, but permitting clients to control the sales process to end customers. We accumulate the inventory ownership transactions and settle them with clients on a mutually agreed upon schedule.

The costs of many of PFSweb services normally billed on a transaction basis under the Enablement model as well as certain administrative fees and credit risks are covered by the selling margin under the Agent model. The bottom line financial results for our clients should be similar to the Enablement model. In this model, the selling margin for product sales will typically be reported in our traditional PFSweb service fee segment although in certain situations the product revenues will be reported in our PFSweb Retail and Business Connect segment.

Table of Contents

Retail Financial Model

In addition to the Enablement and the Agent models, we also offer a Retail model. Under the Retail model, a PFSweb subsidiary purchases inventory from the client just as any other client reseller partner. In the Retail model, we place the initial and replenishment purchase orders with the client and take ownership of the product upon delivery to our facility.

Because we are the product owner as well as the merchant of record, we work closely with the client to plan sales and promotional activities. Under the Retail model, depending upon the product category and sales characteristics, we may require the client to provide product price protection as well as product purchase payment terms, right of return, and obsolescence protection appropriate to the product sales profile. Since we purchase and own the inventory and accounts receivable, this business model requires significant working capital requirements for which we have senior credit facilities.

The costs of all standard PFSweb services normally billed on a transaction basis under the Enablement model as well as certain credit risks may be covered by the selling margin under the Retail model arrangement. The bottom line financial results for our client should be similar to the financial benefits from the retail channel although unlike the traditional retail channel, our client controls the presentation and branding of the web site and owns all the customer data from the eCommerce activities.

We currently provide the Retail model for a portion of our Procter & Gamble engagement for DTC sales through the P&G eStore. In addition, we use our Retail model to enable our Supplies Distributors subsidiary to serve as a global master distributor for Ricoh Infoprint Solutions (IPS) printer supplies. In this model, the product revenues will be reported in our PFSweb Retail and Business Connect segment.

INDUSTRY INFORMATION AND COMPETITIVE LANDSCAPE

Industry Overview

Business activities in the public and private sectors continue to operate in an environment of rapid technological advancement, increasing competition and continuous pressure to improve operating and supply chain efficiency while decreasing costs. We currently see the following trends within the industry:

Manufacturers strive to restructure their supply chains to maximize efficiency and reduce costs in both B2B and DTC markets and to create a variable-cost supply chain able to support the multiple, unique needs of each of their initiatives, including traditional and electronic commerce.

Companies in a variety of industries seek outsourcing as a method to address one or more business functions that are not within their core business competencies, to reduce operating costs or to improve the speed or cost of implementation.

Supply Chain Management Trend

As companies maintain focus on improving their businesses and balance sheet financial ratios, significant efforts and investments continue to be made identifying ways to maximize supply chain efficiency and extend supply chain processes. Working capital financing, vendor managed inventory, supply chain visibility software solutions, distribution channel skipping, direct to consumer eCommerce sales initiatives, and complex upstream supply chain collaborative technology are products that manufacturers seek to help them achieve greater supply chain efficiency.

A key business challenge facing many manufacturers and retailers as they evaluate their supply chain efficiency is in determining how the trend toward increased direct-to-customer business activity will impact their traditional B2B and DTC commerce business models. Order management and small package fulfillment and distribution capabilities are becoming increasingly important processes as this trend evolves. We believe manufacturers will look to outsource their non-core competency functions to support this modified business model. We believe that companies will continue to strategically plan for the impact that eCommerce and other new technology advancements will have on their traditional commerce business models and their existing technology and infrastructure capabilities.

Table of Contents

Manufacturers, as buyers of materials, are also imposing new business practices and policies on their supplier partners to shift the normal supply chain costs and risks associated with inventory ownership away from their own balance sheets. Through techniques like Vendor Managed Inventory or Consigned Inventory Programs (CIP), manufacturers are asking their suppliers, as a part of the supplier selection process, to provide capabilities where the manufacturer need not own, or even possess, inventory prior to the exact moment that unit of inventory is required as a raw material component or for shipping to a customer. To be successful for all parties, business models such as these often require a sophisticated collection of technological capabilities that allow for complete integration and collaboration of the information technology environments of both the buyer and supplier. For example, for an inventory unit to arrive at the precise required moment in the manufacturing facility, it is necessary for the Manufacturing Resource Planning systems of the manufacturer to integrate with the CRM systems of the supplier. When hundreds of supplier partners are involved, this process can become quite complex and technologically challenging. Buyers and suppliers are seeking solutions that utilize XML based protocols and traditional EDI standards to ensure an open systems platform that promote easier technology integration in these collaborative solutions.

Outsourcing Trend

In response to growing competitive pressures and technological innovations, we believe many companies, both large and small, are focusing their critical resources on the core competencies of their business and utilizing eCommerce and business process outsourcing to accelerate their business plans in a cost-effective manner and perform non-core business functions. Outsourcing can provide many key benefits, including the ability to:

- Enter new business markets or geographic areas rapidly;

- Increase flexibility to meet changing business conditions and demand for products and services;

- Enhance customer satisfaction and gain competitive advantage;

- Reduce capital and personnel investments and convert fixed investments to variable costs;

- Improve operating performance and efficiency; and

- Capitalize on skills, expertise and technology infrastructure that would otherwise be unavailable or expensive given the scale of the business.

Typically, many outsourcing service providers are focused on a single function, such as information technology, call center management, credit card processing, warehousing or package delivery. This focus creates several challenges for companies looking to outsource more than one of these functions, including the need to manage multiple outsourcing service providers, to share information with service providers and to integrate that information into their internal systems. Additionally, the delivery of these multiple services must be transparent to the customer and enable the client to maintain brand recognition and customer loyalty. Furthermore, traditional commerce outsourcers are frequently providers of domestic-only services versus international solutions. As a result, companies requiring global solutions must establish additional relationships with other outsourcing parties.

Another vital point for major brand name companies seeking to outsource is the protection of their brand. When looking for an outsourcing partner to provide infrastructure solutions, brand name companies must find a company that can ensure the same quality performance and superior experience that their customers expect from their brands. Working with an outsourcing partner requires finding a partner that can maintain the consistency of their brand image, which is one of the most valuable intangible assets that recognized brand name companies possess.

Competition

We face competition from many different sources depending upon the type and range of services requested by a potential client. Many other companies offer one or more of the same services we provide on an individual basis. Our competitors include vertical outsourcers, which are companies that offer a single function solution, such as call centers, public warehouses or credit card processors. We occasionally compete with transportation logistics providers,

known in the industry as 3PL s and 4PL s (third or fourth party logistics providers), who offer product management functions as an ancillary service to their primary transportation services. We also compete against other eCommerce and business process outsourcing providers, who perform various services similar to our solution offerings.

Table of Contents

In many instances, we compete with the in-house operations of our potential clients themselves. Occasionally, the operations departments of potential clients believe they can perform the same services we do, at similar quality levels and costs, while others are reluctant to outsource business functions that involve direct customer contact. We cannot be certain we will be able to compete successfully against these or other competitors in the future.

Although many of our competitors offer one or more of our services, we believe our primary competitive advantage is our ability to offer a wide array of customized services marketed as *PFSweb's End2End eCommerce®* services, thereby eliminating any need for our clients to coordinate these services from many different providers. We believe we can differentiate ourselves by offering our clients a very broad range of eCommerce and business process services that address, in many cases, the entire value chain, from demand to delivery.

We also compete on the basis of many other important additional factors, including:

operating performance and reliability;

ease of implementation and integration;

experience of the people required to successfully and efficiently design and implement solutions;

experience operating similar solutions dynamically;

leading edge technology capabilities;

global reach; and

price.

We believe we can compete favorably with respect to many of these factors. However, the market for our services is competitive and continually evolving, and we may not be able to compete successfully against current and future competitors.

COMPANY INFORMATION

Clients and Marketing

Our target clients include online retailers as well as leading technology and consumer goods brands looking to quickly and efficiently implement or enhance business initiatives, adapt their go-to-market strategies, or introduce new products or programs, without the burden of modifying or expanding their technology, customer care, supply chain and logistics infrastructure. Our solutions are applicable to a multitude of industries and company types and we have provided solutions for such companies as:

IPS (printer supplies in several geographic areas), Xerox (printers and printer supplies), Roots Canada LTD. (apparel), Hawker Beechcraft Corp. (facilities management and time-definite logistics supporting parts distribution), Riverbed Technologies (technology products), LEGO Brand Retail (toys), Liz Claiborne brands (fashion apparel and accessories), P&G (consumer products), AAFES (military exchange service) among many others.

We target potential clients through an extensive integrated marketing program that is comprised of a variety of direct marketing techniques, email marketing initiatives, trade event participation, search engine marketing, public relations and a sophisticated outbound tele-sales lead generation model. We have also developed an intricate messaging matrix that defines our various eCommerce and business process outsourcing solutions and products, the vehicles we utilize to deliver marketing communication on these solutions/products and the target audience segments that display a demand for these solutions/products. This messaging matrix allows us to deploy highly targeted solution messages to selected key vertical industry segments where we feel that we are able to provide significant service differentiation and value. We also pursue strategic marketing alliances with consulting firms, software manufacturers and other logistics providers to increase market awareness and generate referrals and customer leads.

Because of the highly complex nature of the solutions we provide, our clients demand significant competence and experience from a variety of different business disciplines during the sales cycle. As such, we utilize a selected member of our senior executive team to lead the design and proposal development of each potential new client we

choose to pursue. The senior executive is supported by a select group of highly experienced individuals from our professional services group with specific industry knowledge or experience to the solutions development process. We employ a team of highly trained implementation managers whose responsibilities include the oversight and

Table of Contents

supervision of client projects and maintaining high levels of client satisfaction during the transition process between the various stages of the sales cycle and steady state operations.

Technology

We maintain advanced management information systems and have automated key business functions using online, real-time or batch systems. These systems enable us to provide information concerning sales, inventory status, customer payments and other operations that are essential for us and our clients to efficiently manage electronic commerce and supply chain business programs. Our systems are designed to scale rapidly to handle the transaction processing demands of our clients and our growth.

We employ technology from a select group of vendors. For example, we deploy IBM e-servers and network printers in appropriate models to run web site functions as well as order management and distribution functions. We utilize Avaya Communication for telephone switch and call center management functions and to interact with customers via voice, e-mail or chat. Avaya Communication technology also allows us to share web pages between customers and our service representatives. We have the ability to transmit and receive voice, data and video simultaneously on a single network connection to a customer to more effectively serve that customer for our client. Clients' interest in using this technology stems from its ability to allow shoppers to consult with known experts in a way that the customer chooses prior to purchasing. Our sophisticated computer-telephony integration has been accomplished by combining systems software from IBM and Avaya Communication together with our own application development. We use AT&T for our private enterprise network and long distance carrier. We use Oracle's J.D. Edwards as the software provider for the primary ERP applications that we use in our operational areas and financial areas. We use Dematic/Rapistan Materials Handling Automation for our automated order selection, automated conveyor and pick-to-light (inventory retrieval) systems, and Symbol Technologies/Telxon for our warehouse radio frequency applications. Our Warehouse Management System (WMS) and Distribution Requirements Planning (DRP) system have been developed in-house to meet the varied unique requirements of our vertical markets. Both the WMS and DRP are tightly integrated to both the North American and European deployments of our J.D. Edwards' system.

Many internal infrastructures are not sufficient to support the explosive growth in e-business, e-marketplaces, supply chain compression, distribution channel realignment and the corresponding demand for real-time information necessary for strategic decision-making and product fulfillment. To address this need, we have created *PFSweb's End2End eCommerce*[®] platform to enable companies with little or no eCommerce infrastructure to speed their time to market and minimize resource investment and risk, and allows all companies involved to improve the efficiency of their supply chain.

Using the various components of our collaboration technology suite, we can assist our clients in easily integrating their web sites or ERP systems to our systems for real-time web service enabled transaction processing without regard for their hardware platform or operating system. This high-level of systems integration allows our clients to automatically process orders, customer data and other eCommerce information. We also can track information sent to us by the client as it moves through our systems in the same manner a carrier would track a package throughout the delivery process. Our systems enable us to track, at a detailed level, information received, transmission timing, any errors or special processing required and information sent back to the client.

We provide technology interfaces to our back-office applications including our customized Oracle J.D. Edwards order management and fulfillment application. We utilize Gentran Integration Suite (GIS) as our technology platform for Enterprise Application Integration with our clients and clients' trading partners. With GIS, we have greatly increased our ability to quickly design and deploy customized B2B and DTC eCommerce solutions for our clients by utilizing a robust business process modeling tool and a highly scalable operating infrastructure. This platform facilitates the efficient and secure exchange of electronic business transactions/documents in a wide variety of formats (i.e. XML, X.12 EDI, delimited text, IDOCS) and communication protocols (i.e. FTP/SFTP, AS2/HTTP/HTTPS, AS1 SMTP, MQ Series and SOA Web Services).

We have invested in advanced telecommunications, computer telephony, electronic mail and messaging, automated fax technology, IVR technology, barcode scanning, wireless technology, fiber optic network communications and automated inventory management systems. We have also developed and utilize

Table of Contents

telecommunications technology that provides for automatic customer call recognition and customer profile recall for inbound customer service representatives.

The primary responsibility of our systems development team of IT professionals is directed at implementing custom solutions for new clients and maintaining existing client relationships. Our development team can also produce proprietary systems infrastructure to expand our capabilities in circumstances where we cannot purchase standard solutions from commercial providers. We also utilize temporary and/or contract resources when needed for additional capacity.

Our information technology operations and infrastructure are built on the premise of reliability and scalability. We maintain diesel generators and un-interruptible power supply equipment to provide constant availability to computer rooms, call centers and warehouses. Multiple Internet service providers and redundant web servers provide for a high degree of availability to web sites that interface with our systems. Capacity planning and upgrading is performed regularly to allow for quick implementation of new clients and avoid time-consuming infrastructure upgrades that could slow growth rates. In the event of a disastrous situation, we also have a Disaster Recovery Plan that provides geographically separated and comparably equipped data centers that are able to recover stored data in a reasonable and effective manner.

Strategy

We continue to maintain our simple but effective strategy statement to drive our actions, QGP. This acronym stands for Quality, Growth and Profit. We believe that if we can achieve outstanding performance on these three basic elements, they will provide for a stable foundation for our future. As the evolution of our business model continues, we will remain focused on these three fundamentals:

Quality: To exceed our client's service level requirements and enhance the value of their brand while providing their customers a positive, memorable and efficient experience.

Growth: To increase our revenue and gross profit from its current levels. To aggressively market simplified product messages to drive new clients and revenue and profit growth. To become a larger company and create career and additional employment opportunities. Embrace strategic partnering to accentuate strengths and minimize weaknesses.

Profit: To generate positive cash flow and continue to strive for consistent profitable results. To increase the value of our company for all of its stakeholders while rewarding our team members with challenging, fun and memorable life experiences.

The successful balance of the execution of these fundamental strategies is targeted to result in the formation of a solid strategic and financial foundation and provide us a sustainable and profitable business model for the future.

See Risk Factors for a complete discussion of risk factors related to our ability to achieve our objectives and fulfill our business strategies.

Employees

As of December 31, 2010, we had approximately 1,100 employees, of which approximately 900 were located in the United States. We have never suffered an interruption of business as a result of a labor dispute. We consider our relationship with our employees to be good. In the U.S., Canada and Philippines, we are not a party to any collective bargaining agreements and while our European subsidiaries are not a party to a collective-bargaining agreement, they are required to comply with certain rules mentioned in collective bargaining agreements agreed upon by representatives of their industry (logistics) and unions.

Our success in recruiting, hiring and training large numbers of skilled employees and obtaining large numbers of hourly employees during peak periods for distribution and call center operations is critical to our ability to provide high quality distribution and support services. Call center representatives and distribution personnel receive feedback on their performance on a regular basis and, as appropriate, are recognized for superior performance or

Table of Contents

given additional training. Generally, our clients provide specific product training for our customer service representatives and, in certain instances, on-site client personnel to provide specific technical support. To maintain good employee relations and to minimize employee turnover, we strive to offer competitive pay, hire primarily full-time employees who are eligible to receive a full range of employee benefits, and provide employees with clear, visible career paths.

Internet Access to Reports

We maintain an Internet website, www.pfsweb.com. Our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, and current reports on Form 8-K (and amendments, if any, to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) are made available, free of charge, through the investor relations section of this website as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material, or furnish it to the Securities and Exchange Commission. The information on this website is not incorporated in this report.

Government Regulation

We are subject to federal, state, local and foreign consumer protection laws, including laws protecting the privacy of our customers' personally identifiable information and other non-public information and regulations prohibiting unfair and deceptive trade practices. Furthermore, the growth and demand for online commerce has and may continue to result in more stringent consumer protection laws that impose additional compliance burdens and greater penalties on online companies. Moreover, there is a trend toward regulations requiring companies to provide consumers with greater information regarding, and greater control over, how their personal data is used, and requiring notification where unauthorized access to such data occurs. For example, many states currently require us to notify each of our customers who are affected by any data security breach in which an unauthorized person, such as a computer hacker, obtains such customer's name and one or more of the customer's social security number, driver's license number, credit or debit card number or other similar personal information. In addition, several jurisdictions, including foreign countries, have adopted privacy-related laws that restrict or prohibit unsolicited email promotions, commonly known as spam, and that impose significant monetary and other penalties for violations. One such law, the CAN-SPAM Act of 2003, became effective in the United States on January 1, 2004 and imposes complex, burdensome and often ambiguous requirements in connection with our sending commercial email to our customers and potential customers. Moreover, in an effort to comply with these laws, Internet service providers may increasingly block legitimate marketing emails. These consumer protection laws may become more stringent in the future and could result in substantial compliance costs and could interfere with the conduct of our business.

We collect sales or other similar taxes for shipments of goods in certain states. One or more local, state or foreign jurisdictions may seek to impose sales tax collection obligations on us and other out-of-state companies that engage in online commerce. If sales tax obligations are successfully imposed upon us by a state or other jurisdiction, we could be exposed to substantial tax liabilities for past sales and fines and penalties for failure to collect sales taxes and we could suffer decreased sales in that state or jurisdiction as the effective cost of purchasing goods from us increases for those residing in that state or jurisdiction. In addition, new legislation or regulation, the application of laws and regulations from jurisdictions whose laws do not currently apply to our business or the application of existing laws and regulations to the Internet and commercial online services could result in significant additional taxes or regulatory restrictions on our business. These taxes could have an adverse effect on our cash flows and results of operations. Furthermore, there is a possibility that we may be subject to significant fines or other payments for any past failures to comply with these requirements.

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

Our business, financial condition and operating results could be adversely affected by any or all of the following factors, in which event the trading price of our common stock could decline, and you could lose part or all of your investment.

Table of Contents**General Risks Related to Our Business**

Our business and future growth depend on our continued access to bank and commercial financing. An uncertain or recessed economy may negatively impact our business, results of operations, financial condition or liquidity.

During the past several years, the credit markets and the financial services industry have been experiencing a period of unprecedented turmoil and upheaval characterized by the bankruptcy, failure, collapse or sale of various financial institutions and an unprecedented level of intervention from the United States and foreign governments. An uncertain or recessed economy could also adversely impact our customers' operations or ability to maintain liquidity, which may negatively impact our business and results of operations.

Our business and future growth currently depend on our ability to access bank and commercial lines of credit. We currently depend on an aggregate of approximately \$108 million in line of credit facilities provided by various banks and commercial lenders. These lines of credit currently mature at various dates through March 2014 and are secured by substantially all our assets. Our ability to renew our line of credit facilities depends upon various factors, including the availability of bank loans and commercial credit in general, as well as our financial condition and prospects. Therefore, we cannot guarantee that these credit facilities will continue to be available beyond their current maturities on reasonable terms or at all. Our inability to renew or replace our credit facilities or find alternative financing would materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, operating results and cash flow.

Our clients and customers may be unable to pay us for our products and services

Our clients and customers include some companies that may from time to time encounter financial difficulties, especially in light of the current economic environment and the turmoil in the credit markets. If a client's or customer's financial difficulties become severe, they may be unwilling or unable to pay our invoices in the ordinary course of business, which could adversely affect collections of both our accounts receivable and unbilled services. The bankruptcy of a client or customer with a substantial account receivable could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. In addition, if a client or customer declares bankruptcy after paying us certain invoices, a court may determine that we are not properly entitled to that payment and may require repayment of some or all of the amounts we received, which could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

We anticipate incurring significant expenses in the foreseeable future, which may reduce our ability to achieve or maintain profitability.

To reach our business growth objectives, we may increase our operating and marketing expenses, as well as capital expenditures. To offset these expenses, we will need to generate additional profitable business. If our revenue grows slower than either we anticipate or our clients' projections indicate, or if our operating and marketing expenses exceed our expectations, we may not generate sufficient revenue to be profitable or be able to sustain or increase profitability on a quarterly or an annual basis in the future. Additionally, if our revenue grows slower than either we anticipate or our clients' projections indicate, we may incur unnecessary or redundant costs and our operating results could be adversely affected.

Changes to financial accounting standards may affect our reported results of operations.

We prepare our financial statements to conform to United States generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP. GAAP is subject to interpretation by the Financial Accounting Standards Board, the SEC and various bodies formed to interpret and create appropriate accounting policies. A change in those policies can have a significant effect on our reported results and may even affect our reporting of transactions that were completed before a change is announced. Accounting rules affecting many aspects of our business, including rules relating to accounting for revenue recognition, arrangements involving multiple deliverables and operating leases, have recently been revised or are currently under review. Changes to those rules or current interpretation of those rules may have a material adverse effect on our reported financial results or on the way we conduct our business.

We operate with significant levels of indebtedness and are required to comply with certain financial and non-financial covenants; we are required to maintain a minimum level of subordinated loans to our subsidiary

Table of Contents***Supplies Distributors; and we have guaranteed certain indebtedness and obligations of our subsidiaries Supplies Distributors and eCOST.***

As of December 31, 2010, our total credit facilities outstanding, including debt, capital lease obligations and our vendor accounts payable related to financing of IPS product inventory, was approximately \$48.2 million. Certain of the credit facilities have maturity dates in calendar year 2012, but are classified as current liabilities in our consolidated financial statements given the underlying nature of the credit facility. We cannot provide assurance that our credit facilities will be renewed by the lending parties. Additionally, these credit facilities include both financial and non-financial covenants, many of which also include cross default provisions applicable to other agreements. These covenants also restrict our ability to transfer funds among our various subsidiaries, which may adversely affect the ability of our subsidiaries to operate their businesses or comply with their respective loan covenants. We cannot provide assurance that we will be able to maintain compliance with these covenants. Any non-renewal or any default under any of our credit facilities would have a material adverse impact upon our business and financial condition. In addition we have provided \$4.3 million of subordinated indebtedness to Supplies Distributors as of December 31, 2010. The maximum level of this subordinated indebtedness to Supplies Distributors that may be provided without approval from our lenders is \$5.0 million. The restrictions on increasing this amount without lender approval may limit our ability to comply with certain loan covenants or further grow and develop Supplies Distributors' business. We have guaranteed most of the indebtedness of Supplies Distributors. Furthermore, we are obligated to repay any over-advance made to Supplies Distributors by its lenders to the extent Supplies Distributors is unable to do so. We have also guaranteed our subsidiary eCOST's \$7.5 million credit line, as well as certain of its vendor trade payables. ***We are dependent on our key personnel, and we need to hire and retain skilled personnel to sustain our business.***

Our performance is highly dependent on the continued services of our executive officers and other key personnel, the loss of any of whom could materially adversely affect our business. In addition, we need to attract and retain other highly-skilled, technical and managerial personnel for whom there is intense competition. We cannot assure you we will be able to attract and retain the personnel necessary for the continuing growth of our business. Our inability to attract and retain qualified technical and managerial personnel could materially adversely affect our ability to maintain and grow our business significantly.

We are subject to risks associated with our international operations.

We currently operate a distribution center in Liège, Belgium with approximately 150,000 square feet and a distribution center in Markham, Ontario, Canada with approximately 23,000 square feet. We also operate a facility in the Philippines with approximately 7,000 square feet to provide call center and customer service functions and technical support. We cannot assure you that we will be successful in expanding in these or any additional international markets. In addition to the uncertainty regarding our ability to generate revenue from foreign operations and expand our international presence, there are risks inherent in doing business internationally, including:

changing regulatory requirements;

legal uncertainty regarding foreign laws, tariffs and other trade barriers;

political instability;

potentially adverse tax consequences;

foreign currency fluctuations; and

cultural differences.

Any one or more of these factors could materially adversely affect our business in a number of ways, such as increased costs, operational difficulties and reductions in revenue.

We are uncertain about our need for and the availability of additional funds.

Our future capital needs are difficult to predict. We may require additional capital to take advantage of unanticipated opportunities, including strategic alliances and acquisitions and to fund capital expenditures, or to

respond to changing business conditions and unanticipated competitive pressures. We may also require additional

Table of Contents

funds to finance operating losses. Should these circumstances arise, our existing cash balance and credit facilities may be insufficient and we may need to raise additional funds either by borrowing money or issuing additional equity. We cannot assure you that such resources will be adequate or available for all of our future financing needs. Our inability to finance our growth, either internally or externally, may limit our growth potential and our ability to execute our business strategy. If we are successful in completing an additional equity financing, this could result in further dilution to our shareholders or reduce the market value of our common stock.

We may engage in future strategic alliances or acquisitions that could dilute our existing shareholders, cause us to incur significant expenses or harm our business.

We may review strategic alliance or acquisition opportunities that would complement our current business or enhance our technological capabilities. Integrating any newly acquired businesses, technologies or services may be expensive and time-consuming. To finance any acquisitions, it may be necessary for us to raise additional funds through borrowing money or completing public or private financings. Additional funds may not be available on terms that are favorable to us and, in the case of equity financings, may result in dilution to our shareholders. We may not be able to operate any acquired businesses profitably or otherwise implement our growth strategy successfully. If we are unable to integrate any newly acquired entities or technologies effectively, our operating results could suffer. Future acquisitions could also result in incremental expenses and the incurrence of debt and contingent liabilities, any of which could harm our operating results.

If we fail to maintain an effective system of internal controls, we may not be able to accurately report our financial results or prevent fraud. As a result, current and potential shareholders could lose confidence in our financial reporting, which could harm our business and the trading price of our common stock.

Based on the current requirements, and our public float, we are not required to comply with the requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act to obtain a report by our independent auditors opining on the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting. If we fail to correct any issues in the design or operating effectiveness of internal controls over financial reporting or fail to prevent fraud, current and potential shareholders could lose confidence in our financial reporting, which could harm our business and the trading price of our common stock.

Delivery of our and our clients' products could be delayed or disrupted by factors beyond our control, and we could lose customers and clients as a result.

We rely upon third party carriers for timely delivery of our and our clients' product shipments. As a result, we are subject to carrier disruptions and increased costs due to factors that are beyond our control, including employee strikes, inclement weather and increased fuel costs. Any failure to deliver products to our and our clients' customers in a timely and accurate manner may damage our reputation and brand and could cause us to lose customers and clients. We cannot be sure that our relationships with third party carriers will continue on terms favorable to us, if at all. If our relationship with any of these third party carriers is terminated or impaired or if any of these third parties is unable to deliver products, we would be required to use alternative carriers for the shipment of our and our clients' products to customers. We may be unable to engage alternative carriers on a timely basis or on favorable terms, if at all. Potential adverse consequences include:

reduced visibility of order status and package tracking;

delays in order processing and product delivery;

increased cost of delivery, resulting in reduced margins; and

reduced shipment quality, which may result in damaged products and customer dissatisfaction.

Our profitability could be adversely affected if the operation of our distribution or call center facilities were interrupted or shut down as the result of a natural disaster.

We operate a majority of our distribution facilities in and around the Memphis, Tennessee area and our call center operations are centered in Plano, Texas. Any natural disaster or other serious disruption to these facilities due to fire, tornado, flood or any other cause would substantially disrupt our operations and would impair our ability to adequately service our customers. In addition, we could incur significantly higher costs during the time it takes for

Table of Contents

us to reopen or replace any one or more of these facilities, which may or may not be reimbursed by insurance. As a result, disruption at one or more of these facilities could adversely affect our profitability.

We may be a party to litigation involving our eCommerce intellectual property rights. If third parties claim we are infringing their intellectual property rights, we could incur significant litigation costs, be required to pay damages, or change our business or incur licensing expenses.

In recent years, there has been significant litigation in the United States involving patent and other intellectual property rights. We may be a party to intellectual property litigation in the future to protect our trade secrets or know-how. United States patent applications are confidential until a patent is issued and most technologies are developed in secret. Accordingly, we are not, and cannot be, aware of all patents or other intellectual property rights of which our services may pose a risk of infringement. Others asserting rights against us could force us to defend ourselves or our customers against alleged infringement of intellectual property rights. We could incur substantial costs to prosecute or defend any such litigation.

Third parties have asserted, and may in the future assert, that our business or the technologies we use infringe on their intellectual property rights. As a result, we may be subject to intellectual property legal proceedings and claims in the ordinary course of business. We cannot predict whether third parties will assert claims of infringement in the future or whether any future claims will prevent us from offering popular products or services. If we are found to infringe, we may be required to pay monetary damages, which could include treble damages and attorneys' fees for any infringement that is found to be willful, and either be enjoined or required to pay ongoing royalties with respect to any technologies found to infringe. Further, as a result of infringement claims either against us or against those who license technology to us, we may be required, or deem it advisable, to develop non-infringing technology, which could be costly and time consuming, or enter into costly royalty or licensing agreements. Such royalty or licensing agreements, if required, may be unavailable on terms that are acceptable, or at all. If a third party successfully asserts an infringement claim against us and we are enjoined or required to pay monetary damages or royalties or we are unable to develop suitable non-infringing alternatives or license the infringed or similar technology on reasonable terms on a timely basis, our business, results of operations and financial condition could be materially harmed.

A breach of our eCommerce security measures could reduce demand for our services. Credit card fraud and other fraud could adversely affect our business.

A requirement of the continued growth of eCommerce is the secure transmission of confidential information over public networks. A party who is able to circumvent our security measures could misappropriate proprietary information or interrupt our operations. Any compromise or elimination of our security could reduce demand for our services.

We may be required to expend significant capital and other resources to protect against security breaches or to address any problem they may cause. Because our activities involve the storage and transmission of proprietary information, such as credit card numbers, security breaches could damage our reputation, cause us to lose clients, impact our ability to attract new clients and we could be exposed to litigation and possible liability. Our security measures may not prevent security breaches, and failure to prevent security breaches may disrupt our operations. In certain circumstances, we do not carry insurance against the risk of credit card fraud and other fraud, so the failure to adequately control fraudulent transactions on either our behalf or our clients' behalf could increase our expenses.

We may be liable for misappropriation of our customers' and our clients' customers' personal information.

Data security laws are becoming more stringent in the United States and abroad. Third parties are engaging in increased cyber attacks against companies doing business on the Internet and individuals are increasingly subjected to identity and credit card theft on the Internet. If third parties or unauthorized employees are able to penetrate our network security or otherwise misappropriate our or our clients' customers' personal information or credit card information, or if we give third parties or our employees improper access to customers' personal information or credit card information, we could be subject to liability. This liability could include claims for unauthorized purchases with credit card information, impersonation or other similar fraud claims. This liability could also include

Table of Contents

claims for other misuses of personal information, including unauthorized marketing purposes. Liability for misappropriation of this information could decrease our profitability. In such circumstances, we also could be liable for failing to provide timely notice of a data security breach affecting certain types of personal information. In addition, the Federal Trade Commission and state agencies have brought numerous enforcement actions against Internet companies for alleged deficiencies in those companies' privacy and data security practices, and they may continue to bring such actions. We could incur additional expenses if new regulations regarding the collection, use or storage of personal information are introduced or if government agencies investigate our privacy or security practices.

We rely on encryption and authentication technology licensed from third parties to provide the security and authentication necessary to effect secure transmission of sensitive customer information such as customer credit card numbers. Advances in computer capabilities, new discoveries in the field of cryptography or other events or developments may result in a compromise or breach of the algorithms that we use to protect customer transaction data. If any such compromise of security were to occur, it could subject us to liability, damage our reputation and diminish the value of our brand-name. A party who is able to circumvent the security measures could misappropriate proprietary information or cause interruptions in operations. We may be required to expend significant capital and other resources to protect against such security breaches or to alleviate problems caused by such breaches. Our security measures are designed to prevent security breaches, but our failure to prevent such security breaches could subject us to liability, damage our reputation and diminish the value of our brand-name.

We also may provide non-secured channels for customers to communicate. Despite the increased security risks, customers may use such channels to send personal information and other sensitive data. In addition, phishing incidents are on the rise. Phishing involves an online company's customers being tricked into providing their credit card numbers or account information to someone pretending to be the online company's representative. Such incidents have recently given rise to litigation against online companies for failing to take sufficient steps to police against such activities by third parties, and may discourage customers from using online services.

Specific Risks Related to Our Business Process Outsourcing Business

Our service fee revenue and gross margin is dependent upon our clients' business and transaction volumes and our costs; many of our client service agreements are terminable by the client at will; we may incur financial penalties if we fail to meet contractual service levels under certain client service agreements.

Our service fee revenue is primarily transaction based and fluctuates with the volume of transactions or level of sales of the products by our clients for whom we provide transaction management services. If we are unable to retain existing clients or attract new clients or if we dedicate significant resources to clients whose business does not generate sufficient revenue or whose products do not generate substantial customer sales, our business may be materially adversely affected. Moreover, our ability to estimate service fee revenue for future periods is substantially dependent upon our clients' and our own projections, the accuracy of which has been, and will continue to be, unpredictable. Therefore, our planning for client activity and targeted goals for service fee revenue and gross margin may be materially adversely affected by incomplete, delayed or inaccurate projections. In addition, many of our service agreements with our clients are terminable by the client at will. Therefore, we cannot assure you that any of our clients will continue to use our services for any period of time. The loss of a significant amount of service fee revenue due to client terminations could have a material adverse effect on our ability to cover our costs and thus on our profitability. Certain of our client service agreements contain minimum service level requirements and impose financial penalties if we fail to meet such requirements. The imposition of a substantial amount of such penalties could have a material adverse effect on our business and operations.

We subcontract a portion of our client services to third parties, and we are subject to various risks and liabilities if such subcontractors do not provide the subcontracted services or provide them in a manner that does not meet required service levels.

We currently, and may in the future, subcontract to one or more third parties a portion of our end-to-end solution service offering. Although our end-to-end solution service clients generally approve in advance the designation of the subcontractor and its provision of the subcontracted services, under the terms of our contracts with our end-to-end solution service clients, we remain liable to provide such subcontracted services and may be liable for the

Table of Contents

actions and omissions of such subcontractors. In certain instances, our end-to-end solution service clients prepay in advance a portion of the service fees payable in respect of the subcontracted services, and, under certain circumstances, including our breach or the breach by our subcontractor of our or their respective obligations, we are liable to refund all or a portion of such prepaid fees. Consequently, in the event our subcontractor fails to provide the subcontracted services in compliance with required services levels, or otherwise breaches its obligations, or discontinues its business, whether as the result of bankruptcy, insolvency or otherwise, we may be required to provide such services at a higher cost to us and may otherwise be liable for various costs and expenses related to such event. In addition, any such failure may damage our reputation and otherwise result in a material adverse affect upon our business and financial condition.

Our business is subject to the risk of customer and supplier concentration.

For fiscal year 2010, four clients represented approximately 33% of our service fee revenue (excluding pass-through revenue). The loss of any one or more of these clients may have a material adverse effect upon our business and financial condition.

The majority of our Supplies Distributors product revenue is generated by sales of product purchased under master distributor agreements with InfoPrint Solutions Company (IPS). These agreements are terminable at will and no assurance can be given that IPS will continue the master distributor agreements with Supplies Distributors. Supplies Distributors does not have its own sales force and relies upon IPS 's sales force and product demand generation activities for its sale of IPS product. Discontinuance of such activities would have a material adverse effect on Supplies Distributors ' business and our overall financial condition.

Sales by Supplies Distributors to three customers in the aggregate accounted for approximately 37% and 40% of Supplies Distributors ' total product revenue for each of the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively (24% and 27% of our consolidated net revenues for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively). The loss of any one or more of such customers, or non-payment of any material amount by these or any other customer, would have a material adverse effect upon Supplies Distributors ' business.

Our operating results are materially impacted by our client mix and the seasonality of their business.

Our business is materially impacted by our client mix and the seasonality of their business. Based upon our current client mix and their current projected business volumes, we anticipate our revenue will be at its lowest in the first quarter of our fiscal year and at its highest in the fourth quarter of our fiscal year. We are unable to predict how the seasonality of future clients ' business may affect our quarterly revenue and whether the seasonality may change due to modifications to a client 's business. As such, we believe that results of operations for a quarterly period may not be indicative of the results for any other quarter or for the full year.

Our systems may not accommodate significant growth in our number of clients.

Our success depends on our ability to handle a large number of transactions for many different clients in various product categories. We expect that the volume of transactions will increase significantly as we expand our operations. If this occurs, additional stress will be placed upon the network hardware and software that manages our operations. We cannot assure you of our ability to efficiently manage a large number of transactions. If we are not able to maintain an appropriate level of operating performance, we may develop a negative reputation, and impair existing and prospective client relationships and our business would be materially adversely affected.

We may not be able to recover all or a portion of our start-up costs associated with one or more of our clients.

We generally incur start-up costs in connection with the planning and implementation of business process solutions for our clients. Although we generally attempt to recover these costs from the client in the early stages of the client relationship, or upon contract termination if the client terminates without cause prior to full amortization of these costs, there is a risk that the client contract may not fully cover the start-up costs. To the extent start-up costs exceed the start-up fees received, certain excess costs will be expensed as incurred. Additionally, in connection with new client contracts we generally incur capital expenditures associated with assets whose primary use is related to the client solution. There is a risk that the contract may end before expected and we may not recover the full amount of our capital costs.

Table of Contents***Our revenue and margins may be materially impacted by client transaction volumes that differ from client projections and business assumptions.***

Our pricing for client transaction services, such as call center and fulfillment, is often based upon volume projections and business assumptions provided by the client and our anticipated costs to perform such work. In the event the actual level of activity or cost is substantially different from the projections or assumptions, we may have insufficient or excess staffing, incremental costs or other assets dedicated for such client that may negatively impact our margins and business relationship with such client. In the event we are unable to meet the service levels expected by the client, our relationship with the client will suffer and may result in financial penalties and/or the termination of the client contract.

We face competition from many sources that could adversely affect our business.

Many companies offer, on an individual basis, one or more of the same services we do, and we face competition from many different sources depending upon the type and range of services requested by a potential client. Our competitors include vertical outsourcers, which are companies that offer a single function, such as call centers, public warehouses or credit card processors. We compete against transportation logistics providers who offer product management functions as an ancillary service to their primary transportation services. We also compete against other business process outsourcing providers, who perform many similar services as us. Many of these companies have greater capabilities than we do for the single or multiple functions they provide. In many instances, our competition is the in-house operations of its potential clients themselves. The in-house operations of potential clients often believe that they can perform the same services we do, while others are reluctant to outsource business functions that involve direct customer contact. We cannot be certain that we will be able to compete successfully against these or other competitors in the future.

Our sales and implementation cycles are highly variable and our ability to finalize pending contracts may cause our operating results to vary widely.

The sales cycle for our services is variable, typically ranging between several months to up to a year or longer from initial contact with the potential client to the signing of a contract. Occasionally the sales cycle requires substantially more time. Delays in signing and executing client contracts may affect our revenue and cause our operating results to vary widely. A potential client's decision to purchase our services is discretionary, involves a significant commitment of the client's resources and is influenced by intense internal and external pricing and operating comparisons. To successfully sell our services, we generally must educate our potential clients regarding the use and benefit of our services, which can require significant time and resources. Consequently, the period between initial contact and the purchase of our services is often long and subject to delays associated with the lengthy approval and competitive evaluation processes that typically accompany significant operational decisions. Additionally, the time required to finalize pending contracts and to implement our systems and integrate a new client can range from several weeks to many months. Delays in signing and integrating new clients may affect our revenue and cause our operating results to vary widely.

Our business could be adversely affected by a systems or equipment failure, whether that of us or our clients.

Our operations are dependent upon our ability to protect our distribution facilities, customer service centers, computer and telecommunications equipment and software systems against damage and failures. Damage or failures could result from fire, power loss, equipment malfunctions, system failures, natural disasters and other causes. If our business is interrupted either from accidents or the intentional acts of others, our business could be materially adversely affected. In addition, in the event of widespread damage or failures at our facilities, our short-term disaster recovery and contingency plans and insurance coverage may not be sufficient.

Our clients' businesses may also be harmed from any system or equipment failures we experience. In that event, our relationship with these clients may be adversely affected, we may lose these clients, our ability to attract new clients may be adversely affected and we could be exposed to liability.

Table of Contents

Interruptions could also result from the intentional acts of others, like hackers. If our systems are penetrated by computer hackers, or if computer viruses infect our systems, our computers could fail or proprietary information could be misappropriated.

If our clients suffer similar interruptions in their operations, for any of the reasons discussed above or for others, our business could also be adversely affected. Many of our clients' computer systems interface with our systems. If our clients suffer interruptions in their systems, the link to our systems could be severed and sales of the client's products could be slowed or stopped.

Risks Related to the Business Process Outsourcing Industry

If the trend toward outsourcing does not continue, our business could be adversely affected.

Our business could be materially adversely affected if the trend toward outsourcing declines or reverses, or if corporations bring previously outsourced functions back in-house. Particularly during general economic downturns, businesses may bring in-house previously outsourced functions to avoid or delay layoffs.

Our market is subject to rapid technological change and to compete we must continually enhance our systems to comply with evolving standards.

To remain competitive, we must continue to enhance and improve the responsiveness, functionality and features of our services and the underlying network infrastructure. If we are unable to adapt to changing market conditions, client requirements or emerging industry standards, our business could be adversely affected. The internet and eCommerce environments are characterized by rapid technological change, changes in user requirements and preferences, frequent new product and service introductions embodying new technologies and the emergence of new industry standards and practices that could render our technology and systems obsolete. Our success will depend, in part, on our ability to both internally develop and license leading technologies to enhance our existing services and develop new services. We must continue to address the increasingly sophisticated and varied needs of our clients and respond to technological advances and emerging industry standards and practices on a cost-effective and timely basis. The development of proprietary technology involves significant technical and business risks. We may fail to develop new technologies effectively or to adapt our proprietary technology and systems to client requirements or emerging industry standards.

Risks Related to Our Stock

The market price of our common stock may be volatile. You may not be able to sell your shares at or above the price at which you purchased such shares.

The trading price of our common stock may be subject to wide fluctuations in response to quarter-to-quarter fluctuations in operating results, announcements of material adverse events, general conditions in our industry or the public marketplace and other events or factors. In addition, stock markets have experienced extreme price and trading volume volatility in recent years. This volatility has had a substantial effect on the market prices of securities of many technology related companies for reasons frequently unrelated to the operating performance of the specific companies. These broad market fluctuations may adversely affect the market price of our common stock. In addition, if our operating results differ from our announced guidance or the expectations of equity research analysts or investors, the price of our common stock could decrease significantly.

Our stock price could decline if a significant number of shares become available for sale.

As of December 31, 2010, we have an aggregate of 2.3 million stock options outstanding to employees, directors and others with a weighted average exercise price of \$4.28 per share. The shares of common stock that may be issued upon exercise of these options may be resold into the public market. Sales of substantial amounts of common stock in the public market as a result of the exercise of these options, or the perception that future sales of these shares could occur, could reduce the market price of our common stock and make it more difficult to sell equity securities in the future.

Our certificate of incorporation, our bylaws, our shareholder rights plan and Delaware law make it difficult for a third party to acquire us, despite the possible benefit to our shareholders.

Table of Contents

Provisions of our certificate of incorporation, our bylaws, our shareholder rights plan and Delaware law could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us, even if doing so would be beneficial to our shareholders. For example, our certificate of incorporation provides for a classified board of directors, meaning that only approximately one-third of our directors may be subject to re-election at each annual shareholder meeting. Our certificate of incorporation also permits our Board of Directors to issue one or more series of preferred stock, which may have rights and preferences superior to those of the common stock. The ability to issue preferred stock could have the effect of delaying or preventing a third party from acquiring us. We have also adopted a shareholder rights plan. These provisions could discourage takeover attempts and could materially adversely affect the price of our stock. In addition, because we are incorporated in Delaware, we are governed by the provisions of Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, which may prohibit large shareholders from consummating a merger with, or acquisition of us. These provisions may prevent a merger or acquisition that would be attractive to shareholders and could limit the price that investors would be willing to pay in the future for our common stock.

There are limitations on the liabilities of our directors and executive officers.

Pursuant to our bylaws and under Delaware law, our directors are not liable to us or our shareholders for monetary damages for breach of fiduciary duty, except for liability for breach of a director's duty of loyalty, acts or omissions by a director not in good faith or which involve intentional misconduct or a knowing violation of law, or any transaction in which a director has derived an improper personal benefit.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

Our headquarters are located in Plano, Texas, a Dallas suburb.

In the U.S., we operate a distribution facility in Memphis, Tennessee, with aggregate space of more than 440,000 square feet. We also operate approximately 435,000 square feet of distribution facility in Southaven, Mississippi. Both of these facilities are located approximately five miles from the Memphis International Airport. We also manage a distribution facility in Grapevine, Texas with approximately 200,000 square feet.

We operate a distribution center in Liège, Belgium with approximately 150,000 square feet, which contains advanced distribution systems and equipment. We operate a distribution center in Markham, Ontario, Canada with approximately 23,000 square feet. We also operate a facility in the Philippines with approximately 7,000 square feet to provide call center and customer service functions and technical support. We operate customer service centers in our facilities in Tennessee, Texas, Belgium, Markham, Canada and the Philippines. Our call center technology permits the automatic routing of calls to available customer service representatives in several of our call centers.

Except for the Grapevine, Texas facility, which we manage on our client's behalf, all of our facilities are leased and the material lease agreements contain one or more renewal options.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

We are not party to any legal proceedings other than routine claims and lawsuits arising in the ordinary course of our business. We do not believe such claims and lawsuits, individually or in the aggregate, will have a material adverse effect on our business.

Item 4. Reserved

Table of Contents**PART II****Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Shareholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

Our common stock is listed and currently trades on the NASDAQ Capital Market under the symbol PFSW. The following table sets forth for the period indicated the high and low sale price for the common stock as reported by NASDAQ:

| | Price | |
|--|---------|---------|
| | High | Low |
| Year Ended December 31, 2009 First Quarter | \$ 1.90 | \$ 0.70 |
| Second Quarter | \$ 1.74 | \$ 0.90 |
| Third Quarter | \$ 1.90 | \$ 1.11 |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 1.83 | \$ 1.22 |
| Year Ended December 31, 2010 First Quarter | \$ 4.70 | \$ 1.48 |
| Second Quarter | \$ 5.23 | \$ 2.73 |
| Third Quarter | \$ 3.57 | \$ 2.21 |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 4.19 | \$ 3.05 |

As of March 7, 2011, there were approximately 4,400 shareholders of which approximately 125 were record holders of the common stock.

We have never declared or paid cash dividends on our common stock and do not anticipate the payment of cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. We are also restricted from paying dividends under our debt agreements, without the prior approval of our lenders. We currently intend to retain all earnings to finance the further development of our business. The payment of any future cash dividends will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend upon, among other things, future earnings, operations, capital requirements, the general financial condition of the Company and general business conditions and the approval of our lenders. See

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources.

The following table summarizes information with respect to equity compensation plans under which equity securities of the Company are authorized for issuance as of December 31, 2010:

| Plan category (1) | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options and warrants | Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options and warrants | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance |
|--|---|---|--|
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders | 2,193,673 | \$ 4.28 | 845,443 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | 88,719 | \$ 4.28 | |
| Total | 2,282,392 | | 845,443 |

(1) See Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for more detailed information regarding the Company's equity compensation plans.

Item 6. Selected Consolidated Financial Data

None

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

We believe the following discussion and analysis provides information that is relevant to an assessment and understanding of our consolidated results of operations and financial condition. The discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this Form 10-K. This Management's Discussion and Analysis will help you understand:

24

Table of Contents

The impact of forward looking statements;

Our financial structure, including our historical financial presentation;

Our results of operations for the previous two years;

Certain of our relationships with our subsidiaries;

Our liquidity and capital resources;

The impact of seasonality, inflation and recently issued accounting standards on our financial statements; and

Our critical accounting policies and estimates.

Forward-Looking Information

We have made forward-looking statements in this Report on Form 10-K. These statements are subject to risks and uncertainties, and there can be no guarantee that these statements will prove to be correct. Forward-looking statements include assumptions as to how we may perform in the future. When we use words like seek, strive, believe, expect, anticipate, predict, potential, continue, will, may, could, intend, plan, target and estimate or similar words, we are making forward-looking statements. You should understand that the following important factors, in addition to the Risk Factors set forth above or elsewhere in this Report on Form 10-K, could cause our results to differ materially from those expressed in our forward-looking statements. These factors include:

our ability to retain and expand relationships with existing clients and attract and implement new clients;

our reliance on the fees generated by the transaction volume or product sales of our clients;

our reliance on our clients' projections or transaction volume or product sales;

our dependence upon our agreements with International Business Machines Corporation (IBM) and InfoPrint Solutions Company (IPS);

our dependence upon our agreements with our major clients;

our client mix, their business volumes and the seasonality of their business;

our ability to finalize pending contracts;

the impact of strategic alliances and acquisitions;

trends in eCommerce, outsourcing, government regulation both foreign and domestic and the market for our services;

whether we can continue and manage growth;

increased competition;

our ability to generate more revenue and achieve sustainable profitability;

effects of changes in profit margins;

the customer and supplier concentration of our business;

the reliance on third-party subcontracted services;

the unknown effects of possible system failures and rapid changes in technology;

foreign currency risks and other risks of operating in foreign countries;

potential litigation;

our dependency on key personnel;

the impact of new accounting standards, and changes in existing accounting rules or the interpretations of those rules;

our ability to raise additional capital or obtain additional financing;

our ability and the ability of our subsidiaries to borrow under current financing arrangements and maintain compliance with debt covenants;

relationship with and our guarantees of certain of the liabilities and indebtedness of our subsidiaries; and

taxation on the sale of our products.

We have based these statements on our current expectations about future events. Although we believe the expectations reflected in our forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee these expectations will actually be achieved. In addition, some forward-looking statements are based upon assumptions as to future events that may not prove to be accurate. Therefore, actual outcomes and results may differ materially from what is expected or forecasted in such forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statement for any reason, even if new information becomes available or other events occur in the future. There may be additional risks we do not currently view as material or that are not presently known. In

Table of Contents

evaluating these statements, you should consider various factors, including the risks set forth in the section entitled Risk Factors.

Overview

We are an international business process outsourcing provider of end-to-end eCommerce solutions. We provide these solutions to major brand name companies seeking to optimize their supply chain and to enhance their traditional and online business channels and initiatives. We derive our revenues from providing a broad range of services as we process individual business transactions on our clients' behalf using three different seller services financial models: 1) the Enablement model, 2) the Agent (or Flash) model and 3) the Retail model.

We refer to the standard PFSweb seller services financial model as the Enablement model. In this model, our clients own the inventory and are the merchants of record and engage us to provide various business outsourcing services in support of their business operations. We derive our service fee revenues from a broad range of service offerings that include digital marketing, eCommerce technologies, order management, customer care, logistics and fulfillment, financial management and professional consulting. We offer our services as an integrated solution, which enables our clients to outsource their complete infrastructure needs to a single source and to focus on their core competencies. Our distribution services are conducted at warehouses we lease or manage. We currently provide infrastructure and distribution solutions to clients that operate in a range of vertical markets, including technology manufacturing, computer products, cosmetics, fragile goods, contemporary home furnishings, apparel, aviation, telecommunications, consumer electronics and consumer packaged goods, among others.

In this model, we typically charge for our services on a cost-plus basis, a percent of shipped revenue basis or a per-transaction basis, such as a per-minute basis for web-enabled customer contact center services and a per-item basis for fulfillment services. Additional fees are billed for other services. We price our services based on a variety of factors, including the depth and complexity of the services provided, the amount of capital expenditures or systems customization required, the length of contract and other factors.

Many of our service fee contracts involve third-party vendors who provide additional services such as package delivery. The costs we are charged by these third-party vendors for these services are often passed on to our clients. Our billings for reimbursements of these costs and other out-of-pocket expenses include travel, shipping and handling costs and telecommunication charges and are included in pass-through revenue.

As an additional service, we offer our second model, the Agent, or Flash, financial model, in which our clients maintain ownership of the product inventory stored at our locations as in the Enablement model. When a customer orders the product from our clients, a flash sale transaction passes product ownership to us for each order and we, in turn, immediately re-sell the product to the customer. The flash ownership exchange establishes us as the merchant of record, which enables us to use our existing merchant infrastructure to process sales to end customers, removing the need for the clients to establish these business processes internally, but permitting them to control the sales process to end customers. In this model, we record product revenue either on a gross or net basis depending on the underlying contract terms.

Finally, our Retail model allows us to purchase inventory from the client just as any other client reseller partner. In this model, we place the initial and replenishment purchase orders with the client and take ownership of the product upon delivery to our facility. Consequently, in this model, we generate product revenue as we own the inventory and the accounts receivable arising from our product sales. Under the Retail model, depending upon the product category and sales characteristics, we may require the client to provide product price protection as well as product purchase payment terms, right of return, and obsolescence protection appropriate to the product sales profile. In this model we recognize product revenue for customer sales. Freight costs billed to customers are reflected as components of product revenue. This business model requires significant working capital requirements, for which we have credit available either through credit terms provided by our client or under senior credit facilities.

In general, we provide the Enablement model through our PFS and Supplies Distributors subsidiaries, the Agent or Flash model through our PFS and Supplies Distributors subsidiaries and the Retail model through our Supplies Distributors subsidiaries and our PFSweb Retail Connect subsidiary (formerly eCOST.com).

Table of Contents

Growth is a key element to achieving our future goals, including achieving and maintaining sustainable profitability. Growth in our Enablement and Agent models is driven by two main elements: new client relationships and organic growth from existing clients. We focus our sales efforts on larger contracts with brand-name companies within two primary target markets, online brands and retailers and technology manufacturers, which, by nature, require a longer duration to close but also have the potential to be higher quality and longer duration engagements.

Growth within our Retail model currently is primarily driven by our ability to attract new master distributor arrangements with IPS or other manufacturers and the sales and marketing efforts of the manufacturers and third party sales partners.

We continue to monitor and control our costs to focus on profitability. While we are targeting our new service fee contracts to yield increased gross profit, we also expect to incur incremental investments to implement new contracts, investments in infrastructure and sales and marketing to support our targeted growth and increased public company professional fees.

Our expenses comprise primarily four categories: 1) cost of product revenue, 2) cost of service fee revenue, 3) cost of pass-through revenue and 4) selling, general and administrative expenses.

Cost of product revenue consists of the purchase price of product sold and freight costs, which are reduced by certain reimbursable expenses. These reimbursable expenses include pass-through customer marketing programs, direct costs incurred in passing on any price decreases offered by vendors to cover price protection and certain special bids, the cost of products provided to replace defective product returned by customers and certain other expenses as defined under the master distributor agreements.

Cost of service fee revenue consists primarily of compensation and related expenses for our web-enabled customer contact center services, international fulfillment and distribution services and professional consulting services, and other fixed and variable expenses directly related to providing services under the terms of fee based contracts, including certain occupancy and information technology costs and depreciation and amortization expenses.

Cost of pass-through revenue the related reimbursable costs for pass-through expenditures are reflected as cost of pass-through revenue.

Selling, General and Administrative expenses consist of expenses such as compensation and related expenses for sales and marketing staff, distribution costs (excluding freight) applicable to the Supplies Distributors business and the Retail model, executive, management and administrative personnel and other overhead costs, including certain occupancy and information technology costs and depreciation and amortization expenses.

Monitoring and controlling our available cash balances and our expenses continues to be a primary focus. Our cash and liquidity positions are important components of our financing of both current operations and our targeted growth. To aid this, in May 2010, we completed a public offering of 2.3 million shares of our common stock that provided net proceeds of \$7.3 million.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations*****Year Ended December 31, 2010 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2009***

The results of operations related to the eCOST.com business unit that was sold in February 2011 have been reported as discontinued operations for both periods presented below. The following table discloses certain financial information for the periods presented, expressed in terms of dollars, dollar change, percentage change and as a percentage of total revenue (in millions).

| | 2010 | 2009 | Change | | % of Total Revenue | |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------|
| | | | \$ | % | 2010 | 2009 |
| Revenues: | | | | | | |
| Product revenue, net | \$ 174.6 | \$ 183.0 | \$ (8.4) | (4.6)% | 63.6% | 68.3% |
| Service fee revenue | 70.6 | 58.6 | 12.0 | 20.5% | 25.7% | 21.9% |
| Pass-through revenue | 29.3 | 26.3 | 3.0 | 11.4% | 10.7% | 9.8% |
| Total net revenues | 274.5 | 267.9 | 6.6 | 2.5% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Cost of Revenues | | | | | | |
| Cost of product revenue | 162.5 | 168.8 | (6.3) | (3.8)% | 93.1%(1) | 92.3% |
| Cost of service fee revenue | 51.1 | 41.9 | 9.2 | 22.1% | 72.4%(2) | 71.5% |
| Pass-through cost of revenue | 29.3 | 26.3 | 3.0 | 11.4% | 100.0%(3) | 100.0% |
| Total cost of revenues | 242.9 | 237.0 | 5.9 | 2.5% | 88.5% | 88.5% |
| Product revenue gross profit | | | | | | |
| Product revenue gross profit | 12.1 | 14.2 | (2.1) | (14.3)% | 6.9%(1) | 7.7% |
| Service fee gross profit | 19.5 | 16.7 | 2.8 | 16.6% | 27.6%(2) | 28.5% |
| Pass-through gross profit | | | | | | |
| Total gross profit | 31.6 | 30.9 | 0.7 | 2.4% | 11.5% | 11.5% |
| Selling, General and Administrative Expenses | | | | | | |
| Selling, General and Administrative Expenses | 33.6 | 34.3 | (0.7) | (1.9)% | 12.2% | 12.8% |
| Loss from operations | (2.0) | (3.4) | 1.4 | 41.5% | (0.7)% | (1.3)% |
| Interest expense, net | 0.9 | 1.2 | (0.3) | (20.6)% | 0.3% | 0.4% |
| Loss from continuing operations before income taxes | | | | | | |
| Loss from continuing operations before income taxes | (2.9) | (4.6) | 1.7 | 36.1% | (1.0)% | (1.7)% |
| Income tax expense, net | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 44.2% | 0.2% | 0.1% |
| Loss from continuing operations | | | | | | |
| Loss from continuing operations | (3.4) | (4.9) | 1.5 | 30.9% | (1.2)% | (1.8)% |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | | | | | | |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | (4.0)(4) | 0.3 | (4.3) | (1262.3)% | (1.4)% | (0.1)% |
| Net loss | \$ (7.4) | \$ (4.6) | \$ (2.8) | (61.3)% | (2.6)% | (1.7)% |

- (1) Represents the percent of Product revenue, net.
- (2) Represents the percent of Service fee revenue.
- (3) Represents the percent of Pass-through revenue.
- (4) Includes a \$2.8 million goodwill impairment charge.

Product Revenue, net. Product revenue of \$174.6 million decreased \$8.4 million, or 4.6% in 2010 as compared to the prior year. This decrease was primarily due to decreased sales volumes as a result of reduced IBM and IPS printer installations in certain categories as well as temporary inventory supply shortages resulting from our largest supplier in this segment transitioning to a new systems platform in 2010 and the negative impact of foreign exchange rates compared to the same period in the prior year. We currently expect our total 2011 annual product revenue to remain relatively stable as compared to 2010 levels.

Service Fee Revenue. The increase in service fee revenue for the year ended December 31, 2010 was primarily due to the growth in existing clients as well as new client relationships that were added during 2009 and 2010,

Table of Contents

including incremental project work, partially offset by the non-renewal of certain service contract relationships, including the non-renewal of a U.S. government agency client relationship, which ended in early 2009. The change in service fee revenue, excluding pass-through revenue, is shown below (\$ millions):

| | |
|--|-------------|
| Year ended December 31, 2009 | \$ 58.6 |
| New service contract relationships | 10.3 |
| Change in existing client service fees including clients implemented during 2009 | 10.1 |
| Terminated clients not included in 2010 revenue | (8.4) |
| Year ended December 31, 2010 | \$ 70.6 |

The service fee revenue for 2010 includes approximately \$6.1 million of revenue generated from clients who terminated their contractual relationships with the Company during 2010. However, based on historical activity and current projection of existing clients, including new clients signed in 2010, net of the impact from the non-renewal of certain clients, we currently anticipate that 2011 service fee revenue will increase from 2010 service fee revenue levels.

Cost of Product Revenue. Cost of product revenue decreased by \$6.3 million, or 3.8%, to \$162.5 million in 2010, primarily as a result of decreased product sales. The resulting gross profit margin was \$12.1 million or 6.9% of product revenue for the year ended December 31, 2010 and \$14.2 million or 7.7% of product revenue for 2009. The gross profit margin for 2010 and 2009 includes the impact of certain incremental gross margins earned on product sales; however, the impact of these amounts was higher in the 2009 period and is not expected to continue at that same rate.

Cost of Service Fee Revenue. Gross profit as a percentage of service fees was 27.6% in 2010 and 28.5% in 2009. The 2010 period includes the margin impact of new client relationships that began in late 2009 and throughout 2010. The 2009 margins include the benefit of higher margin incremental project work associated with a U.S. government contract relationship that was not renewed and was completed in the second quarter of 2009.

We target to earn an overall gross profit of 25-30% on existing and new service fee contracts, but we have and may continue to accept lower gross margin percentages on certain contracts depending on contract scope and other factors.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$33.6 million, or 12.2% of total net revenues in the 2010 period and \$34.3 million, or 12.8% of total net revenues in the prior year. The 2010 period includes the impact of certain executive disability benefit costs as well as distribution facility relocation expenses that did not occur in 2009. These incremental expenses were more than offset by decreases in depreciation and amortization, information technology services and personnel related expenses.

Income Taxes. We recorded a tax provision associated primarily with state income taxes and our subsidiary Supplies Distributors Canadian and European operations as well as our Philippines operation. A valuation allowance has been provided for the majority of our net deferred tax assets, which are primarily related to our net operating loss carryforwards and certain foreign deferred tax assets. We expect that we will continue to record an income tax provision associated with state income taxes and Supplies Distributors Canadian and European results of operations.

Income (Loss) from Discontinued Operations, Net of Tax. Discontinued operations generated a loss of approximately \$4.0 million in 2010 and income of approximately \$0.3 million in 2009. In February 2011, we sold substantially all of the inventory and certain intangible assets applicable to our eCOST.com business unit for a total aggregate cash purchase price of approximately \$2.3 million. For both 2010 and 2009, we have classified the operating results of this business unit, excluding costs expected to continue to occur in the future, as discontinued operations. A goodwill impairment charge of approximately \$2.8 million is included in the 2010 loss from discontinued operations.

Table of Contents**Supplies Distributors and its Subsidiaries**

We conduct a portion of our Retail model business services through Supplies Distributors and its subsidiaries, which act as master distributors of various IPS and other products. We conduct a portion of our Retail model business services through transaction management services agreement under which PFS provides transaction management and fulfillment services to Supplies Distributors and its subsidiaries. In addition to our equity investment in Supplies Distributors, we have also provided Supplies Distributors with a subordinated loan that, as of December 31, 2010, had an outstanding balance of \$4.3 million.

Supplies Distributors paid us dividends of \$2.9 million and \$2.1 million in 2010 and 2009, respectively. Supplies Distributors has received lender approval to pay dividends of approximately \$1.9 million in 2011, and to reduce its subordinated debt to us by \$0.8 million, but pursuant to the terms of its amended credit agreements, is restricted from paying further cash dividends without the prior approval of its lenders. In addition, no distribution may be made if, after giving effect thereto, Supplies Distributors or its subsidiaries be in noncompliance with its financial covenants under its current facilities.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Net cash provided by operating activities from continuing operations was \$2.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 and primarily resulted from cash income from continuing operations before working capital changes of \$3.8 million and an increase in accounts payable, deferred revenue, accrued expenses and other liabilities of \$6.1 million, partially offset by an increase in accounts receivable of \$3.0 million, an increase in inventories of \$2.7 million and an increase in prepaid expenses, other receivables and other assets of \$1.5 million. Net cash provided by operating activities from discontinued operations was \$0.7 million in 2010.

Net cash provided by operating activities from continuing operations was \$6.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 and primarily resulted from a decrease in inventories of \$8.2 million, a decrease in accounts receivable of \$5.0 million and cash income from continuing operations before working capital changes of \$2.3 million partially offset by a decrease in accounts payable, deferred revenue, accrued expenses and other liabilities of \$9.5 million. Net cash provided by operating activities from discontinued operations was \$2.1 million in 2009.

Net cash used in investing activities for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 totaled \$3.8 million and \$4.4 million, respectively, resulting from capital expenditures.

Capital expenditures have historically consisted primarily of additions to upgrade our management information systems, development of customized technology solutions to support and integrate with our service fee clients and general expansion and upgrades to our facilities, both domestic and foreign. We expect to incur capital expenditures to support new contracts and anticipated future growth opportunities. Based on our current client business activity and our targeted growth plans, we anticipate our total investment in upgrades and additions to facilities and information technology services for the upcoming twelve months, including costs to implement new clients, will be approximately \$6 million to \$9 million, although additional capital expenditures may be necessary to support the infrastructure requirements of new clients. To maintain our current operating cash position, a portion of these expenditures may be financed through client reimbursements, debt, operating or capital leases or additional equity. We may elect to modify or defer a portion of such anticipated investments in the event that we do not obtain the financing or achieve the financial results necessary to support such investments.

Net cash provided by financing activities was approximately \$4.3 million for the year ended December 2010, primarily representing net proceeds of \$7.3 million from the issuance of common stock pursuant to a public offering offset by payments on debt and capital leases.

Net cash used in financing activities was approximately \$5.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, primarily representing payments on debt and capital leases.

During the year ended December 31, 2010, our working capital increased to \$22.6 million from \$19.3 million at December 31, 2009, primarily due to net proceeds from an equity offering in May 2010 partially offset by the pay-

Table of Contents

down of debt facilities along with the impact of certain technology and development costs incurred during 2010 that were prepaid by certain clients in 2009. To obtain additional financing in the future, in addition to our current cash position, we plan to evaluate various financing alternatives including the sale of equity, utilizing capital or operating leases, borrowing under our credit facilities, expanding our current credit facilities, entering into new debt agreements or transferring to third-parties a portion of our subordinated loan balance due from Supplies Distributors. In conjunction with certain of these alternatives, we may be required to provide certain letters of credit to secure these arrangements. No assurances can be given we will be successful in obtaining any additional financing or the terms thereof. We currently believe our cash position, financing available under our credit facilities and funds generated from operations will satisfy our presently known operating cash needs, our working capital and capital expenditure requirements, our current debt and lease obligations, and additional loans to our subsidiaries, if necessary, for at least the next twelve months.

During the past few years, the credit markets and the financial services industry have been experiencing a period of unprecedented turmoil and upheaval characterized by the bankruptcy, failure, collapse or sale of various financial institutions and an unprecedented level of intervention from the United States and foreign governments. While the ultimate outcome of these events cannot be predicted, they may have a material adverse effect on our liquidity, financial condition, results of operations and our ability to renew our credit facilities.

In support of certain debt instruments and leases, as of December 31, 2010, we had \$1.9 million of cash restricted for repayment to lenders. In addition, as described above, we have provided collateralized guarantees to secure the repayment of certain of our subsidiaries' credit facilities. Many of these facilities include both financial and non-financial covenants, and also include cross default provisions applicable to other credit facilities and agreements. These covenants include minimum levels of net worth for the individual borrower subsidiaries and the restrictions on the ability of the borrower subsidiaries to advance funds to other borrower subsidiaries. As a result, it is possible for one or more of these borrower subsidiaries to fail to meet their respective covenants even if another borrower subsidiary otherwise has available excess funds which, if not restricted, could be used to cure the default. To the extent we fail to comply with our debt covenants, including the monthly financial covenant requirements and our required level of shareholders' equity, and the lenders accelerate the repayment of the credit facility obligations, we would be required to repay all amounts outstanding thereunder. In particular, if PFS service fee revenue declines from expected levels and it is unable to reduce costs to correspond to such reduced revenue levels or if Supplies Distributors revenue or gross profit declines from expected levels, such events may result in a breach of one or more of the financial covenants required under their working capital lines of credit. In such event, absent a waiver, the working capital lender would be entitled to accelerate all amounts outstanding thereunder and exercise all other rights and remedies, including sale of collateral and payment under our parent guaranty. A requirement to accelerate the repayment of the credit facility obligations would have a material adverse impact on our financial condition and results of operations. We can provide no assurance we will have the financial ability to repay all of such obligations. As of December 31, 2010, we were in compliance with all debt covenants. Further, any non-renewal of any of our credit facilities would have a material adverse impact on our business and financial condition. We do not have any other material financial commitments, although future client contracts may require capital expenditures and lease commitments to support the services provided to such clients.

In the future, we may attempt to acquire other businesses or seek an equity or strategic partner to generate capital or expand our services or capabilities in connection with our efforts to grow our business. Acquisitions involve certain risks and uncertainties and may require additional financing. Therefore, we can give no assurance with respect to whether we will be successful in identifying businesses to acquire or an equity or strategic partner, whether we or they will be able to obtain financing to complete a transaction, or whether we or they will be successful in operating the acquired business.

We receive municipal tax abatements in certain locations. During 2004 we received notice from a municipality that we did not satisfy certain criteria necessary to maintain the abatements. In December 2006 we received notice that the municipal authority planned to make an adjustment to our tax abatement. We disputed the adjustment and such dispute has been settled with the municipality. However, the amount of additional property taxes to be assessed against us and the timing of the related payments has not been finalized. As of December 31, 2010, we believe we

have adequately accrued for the expected assessment.

Table of Contents

In April 2010, a sales employee of eCOST was charged with violating various federal criminal statutes in connection with the sales of eCOST products to certain customers, and approximately \$620,000 held in an eCOST deposit account was seized and turned over to the Office of the U.S. Attorney in connection with such activity. We received subpoenas from the Office of the U.S. Attorney requesting information regarding the employee and other matters, and have responded to such subpoenas and are fully cooperating with the Office of the U.S. Attorney. We have commenced our own investigation into the actions of the employee. Neither the Company nor eCOST have been charged with any criminal activity, and we intend to seek the recovery or reimbursement of the funds, which are currently classified as other receivables in the December 31, 2010 financial statements. Based on the information available to date, we are unable to determine the amount of the loss, if any, relating to the seizure of such funds. No assurance can be given, however, that the seizure of such funds, or our inability to recover such funds or any significant portion thereof, or any costs and expenses we may incur in connection with such matter will not have a material adverse effect upon our financial condition or results of operations.

Supplies Distributors Financing

To finance their distribution of IPS products, Supplies Distributors and its subsidiaries have short-term credit facilities with IBM Credit LLC (IBM Credit) and IBM Belgium Financial Services S.A. (IBM Belgium). We have provided a collateralized guaranty to secure the repayment of these credit facilities. These asset-based credit facilities provided financing for up to \$25.0 million and up to 16 million Euros (approximately \$21.4 million at December 31, 2010) with IBM Credit and IBM Belgium, respectively. These agreements expire in March 2012.

Supplies Distributors also has a loan and security agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (Wells Fargo) to provide financing for up to \$25 million of eligible accounts receivables in the United States and Canada. The Wells Fargo facility expires on the earlier of March 2014 or the date on which the parties to the IPS master distributor agreement no longer operate under the terms of such agreement and/or IPS no longer supplies products pursuant to such agreement.

Supplies Distributors European subsidiary has a factoring agreement with Fortis Commercial Finance N.V. (Fortis) to provide factoring for up to 7.5 million Euros (approximately \$10.0 million at December 31, 2010) of eligible accounts receivables through March 2011. This subsidiary has entered into a new factoring agreement with BNP Paribas Fortis effective April 1, 2011 to replace the previous factoring agreement under similar terms through April 1, 2014.

These credit facilities contain cross default provisions, various restrictions upon the ability of Supplies Distributors and its subsidiaries to, among other things, merge, consolidate, sell assets, incur indebtedness, make loans, investments and payments to related parties (including entities directly or indirectly owned by PFSweb), provide guarantees, make investments and loans, pledge assets, make changes to capital stock ownership structure and pay dividends, as well as financial covenants, such as cash flow from operations, annualized revenue to working capital, net profit after tax to revenue, minimum net worth and total liabilities to tangible net worth, as defined, and are secured by all of the assets of Supplies Distributors, as well as a collateralized guaranty of PFSweb. Additionally, we are required to maintain a subordinated loan to Supplies Distributors of no less than \$3.5 million, not maintain restricted cash of more than \$5.0 million, are restricted with regard to transactions with related parties, indebtedness and changes to capital stock ownership structure and a minimum shareholders equity of at least \$18.0 million. Furthermore, we are obligated to repay any over-advance made to Supplies Distributors or its subsidiaries under these facilities if they are unable to do so. We have also provided a guarantee of the obligations of Supplies Distributors and its subsidiaries to IBM and IPS, excluding the trade payables that are financed by IBM credit.

PFS Financing

Our PFS subsidiary has a Loan and Security Agreement (Agreement) with Comerica Bank (Comerica), which provides for up to \$10.0 million of eligible accounts receivable financing through September 30, 2012. The Agreement allows for up to \$12.5 million of eligible accounts receivable financing during certain seasonal peak months. We entered this Agreement to supplement our existing cash position, and provide funding for our current and future operations, including our targeted growth. The Agreement contains cross default provisions, various restrictions upon our ability to, among other things, merge, consolidate, sell assets, incur indebtedness, make loans and payments to subsidiaries, affiliates and related parties (including entities directly or indirectly owned by PFSweb), make capital

expenditures, make investments and loans, pledge assets, make changes to capital stock

Table of Contents

ownership structure, as well as financial covenants of a minimum tangible net worth of \$20.0 million, as defined, a minimum earnings before interest and taxes, plus depreciation, amortization and non-cash compensation accruals, if any, as defined, and a minimum liquidity ratio, as defined. The Agreement also limits PFS' ability to increase the subordinated loan to Supplies Distributors to more than \$5.0 million and permits PFS to advance incremental amounts subject to certain cash inflows to PFS, as defined, to certain of its subsidiaries and/or affiliates. The Agreement is secured by all of the assets of PFS, as well as a guarantee of PFSweb.

PFS financed certain capital expenditures through a Loan Agreement with the Mississippi Business Finance Corporation (the MBFC) pursuant to which the MBFC issued MBFC Taxable Variable Rate Demand Limited Obligation Revenue Bonds, Series 2004 (Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc. Project) (the Bonds). The MBFC loaned PFS the proceeds of the Bonds for the purpose of financing the acquisition and installation of equipment, machinery and related assets to support incremental business from a Southaven, Mississippi distribution facility. The primary source of repayment of the Bonds is a letter of credit (the Letter of Credit) issued by Comerica pursuant to a Reimbursement Agreement between PFS and Comerica under which PFS is obligated to pay to Comerica all amounts drawn under the Letter of Credit. The Letter of Credit has a maturity date of April 2012 at which time, if not renewed or replaced, will result in a draw on the undrawn face amount thereof. The amount outstanding on the Loan Agreement as of December 31, 2010 was \$1.6 million. PFS' obligations under the Reimbursement Agreement are secured by substantially all of its assets, including restricted cash of \$0.8 million as of December 31, 2010 and a PFSweb parent company guarantee.

eCOST Financing

eCOST (renamed PFSweb Retail Connect in February 2011) has an asset-based line of credit facility of up to \$7.5 million with Wachovia, which is collateralized by substantially all of eCOST's assets and expires in May 2011. Borrowings under the facility and letter of credit availability are limited to a percentage of accounts receivable and inventory, up to specified maximums. As of December 31, 2010, eCOST had \$0.9 million of letters of credit outstanding and \$0.6 million of available credit under this facility. As of March 31, 2011, amounts available under the outstanding letters of credit are currently secured by restricted cash in equivalent amounts. The credit facility restricts eCOST's ability to, among other things, merge, consolidate, sell assets, incur indebtedness, make loans, investments and payments to subsidiaries, affiliates and related parties, make investments and loans, pledge assets, make changes to capital stock ownership structure, as well as a minimum tangible net worth of \$0, as defined. PFSweb has guaranteed all current and future obligations of eCOST under this line of credit.

In February 2011 we sold substantially all of the inventory and certain intangible assets of eCOST for a cash purchase price of \$2.3 million (before expenses of approximately \$0.2 million) and the assumption by the purchaser of certain limited liabilities of eCOST. In connection with the closing of this business unit, we currently expect to incur exit costs of approximately \$0.2 million to \$0.4 million related to employee termination costs and excess property and equipment and may incur additional costs, including excess facility costs. During 2010 we recorded a non-cash goodwill impairment charge of approximately \$2.8 million.

Public Offering

In May 2010, we completed a public offering of our common stock pursuant to which we issued and sold an aggregate of 2.3 million shares of our common stock, par value \$.001 per share, at \$3.50 per share, resulting in net proceeds after deducting offering expenses of approximately \$7.3 million.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

There are no off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources that is material to investors.

Inventory Management

In our Retail model, we manage our inventories held for sale by maintaining sufficient quantities of product to achieve high order fill rates while at the same time maximizing inventory turnover rates. Inventory balances will

Table of Contents

fluctuate as we add new product lines. To reduce the risk of loss due to supplier price reductions, Supplies Distributors master distributor agreement provides for price protection under which it receives credits if the supplier lowers prices on previously purchased inventory.

Seasonality

The seasonality of our service fee business is dependent upon the seasonality of our clients' business and sales of their products. Accordingly, we must rely upon the projections of our clients in assessing quarterly variability. We believe that with our current client mix and their current business volumes, our run rate service fee business activity will be at its lowest in the quarter ended March 31 and highest in the quarter ended December 31. We anticipate our product revenue will be highest during the quarter ended December 31. We believe our historical revenue pattern makes it difficult to predict the effect of seasonality on our future revenues and results of operations.

We believe that results of operations for a quarterly period may not be indicative of the results for any other quarter or for the full year.

Inflation

Management believes inflation has not had a material effect on our operations.

Impact of Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In October 2009, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2009-13, *Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements – a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force* to amend certain guidance in *FASB Accounting Standards Codification*TM (ASC) 605, *Revenue Recognition*, 25, *Multiple-Element Arrangements*. The amended guidance in ASC 605-25 (1) modifies the separation criteria by eliminating the criterion that requires objective and reliable evidence of fair value for the undelivered item(s), and (2) eliminates the use of the residual method of allocation and instead requires that arrangement consideration be allocated, at the inception of the arrangement, to all deliverables based on their relative selling price.

The FASB also issued ASU 2009-14, *Certain Revenue Arrangements That Include Software Elements – a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force*, to amend the scope of arrangements under ASC 985, *Software*, 605, *Revenue Recognition* to exclude tangible products containing software components and non-software components that function together to deliver a product's essential functionality.

The amended guidance in ASC 605-25 and ASC 985-605 is effective prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010, with early application and retrospective application permitted. The amendments to ASC 605-25 and ASC 985-605 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. These accounting principles require us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of our financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. While we do not believe the reported amounts would be materially different, application of these policies involves the exercise of judgment and the use of assumptions as to future uncertainties and, as a result, actual results could differ from these estimates. If there is a significant unfavorable change to current conditions, it would likely result in a material adverse impact to our business, operating results and financial condition. We evaluate our estimates and assumptions on an ongoing basis. We base our estimates on experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. All of our significant accounting policies are disclosed in the notes to our consolidated financial statements.

We have defined a critical accounting estimate as one that is both important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations and requires us to make difficult, subjective or complex judgments or estimates

Table of Contents

about matters that are uncertain. During the past three fiscal years, we have not made any material changes in accounting methodology used to establish the critical accounting estimates discussed below. The following represent certain critical accounting policies that require us to exercise our business judgment or make significant estimates. In addition, there are other items within our consolidated financial statements that require estimation but are not deemed critical as defined above.

Product Revenue Recognition

Sales are recognized when the title and risk of loss are passed to the customer, there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement for the sale, delivery has occurred and/or services have been rendered, the sales price is fixed or determinable and collectability is reasonably assured.

Sales are reported net of estimated returns and allowances which are estimated based upon historical return information. Management also considers any other current information and trends in making estimates. If actual sales returns, allowances and discounts are greater than estimated by management, additional expense may be incurred.

Cost of Service Fee Revenue

Our service fee revenue primarily relates to our distribution services and order management/customer care services. Distribution services relate primarily to inventory management, product receiving, warehousing and fulfillment (i.e., picking, packing and shipping product on our clients' behalf). Order management/customer care services relate primarily to taking customer orders for our clients' products via various channels such as telephone call-center, electronic or facsimile. These services also entail addressing customer questions related to orders, as well as cross-selling/up-selling activities.

Our cost of service fee revenue represents the cost to provide the services described above, primarily compensation and related expenses and other fixed and variable expenses directly related to providing the services. These include certain occupancy and information technology costs and depreciation and amortization expenses. Certain of these costs are allocated from general and administrative expenses. For these allocations, we estimate the amount of direct expenses based on client-specific information, such as the number of transactions processed. We believe our allocation methodology is reasonable, however a change in assumptions would result in a different gross profit in our statement of operations, yet no change to the resulting net income or loss.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The determination of the collectability of amounts due from our customers requires us to use estimates and make judgments regarding future events and trends, including monitoring our customers' payment history and current credit worthiness to determine that collectability is reasonably assured, as well as consideration of the overall business climate in which our clients and customers operate. Inherently, these uncertainties require us to make frequent judgments and estimates regarding our clients and customers' ability to pay amounts due us to determine the appropriate amount of valuation allowances required for doubtful accounts. Provisions for doubtful accounts are recorded when it becomes evident that the client or customer will not make the required payments at either contractual due dates or in the future.

In our Retail model, we also maintain an allowance for uncollectible vendor receivables, which arise from inventory returns to vendors, vendor rebates, price protections and other promotions. We determine the sufficiency of the vendor receivable allowance based upon various factors, including payment history. Amounts received from vendors may vary from amounts recorded because of potential non-compliance with certain elements of vendor programs. If our estimated allowances for uncollectible accounts or vendor receivables subsequently prove insufficient, additional allowance may be required.

Allowances for doubtful accounts totaled \$0.8 million and \$1.0 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. We believe our allowances for doubtful accounts are adequate to cover anticipated losses under current conditions; however, uncertainties regarding changes in the financial condition of our clients and customers, either adverse or positive, could impact the amount and timing of any additional provisions for doubtful accounts that may be required.

Table of Contents***Inventory Reserves***

Inventories (merchandise, held for resale, all of which are finished goods) are stated at the lower of weighted average cost or market. Supplies Distributors and its subsidiaries assume responsibility for slow-moving inventory under certain master distributor agreements, subject to certain termination rights, but have the right to return product rendered obsolete by engineering changes, as defined. We review inventories for impairment on a periodic basis, but at a minimum, annually. Recoverability of the inventory on hand is measured by comparisons of the carrying value to the fair value of the inventory. This requires us to record provisions and maintain reserves for excess or obsolete inventory. If write-downs of inventories are necessary, the cost basis of that inventory is adjusted. To determine these reserve amounts, we regularly review inventory quantities on hand and compare them to estimates of future product demand and market conditions. These estimates and forecasts inherently include uncertainties and require us to make judgments regarding potential outcomes. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, our allowance for slow moving inventory was \$1.6 million and \$1.8 million, respectively. We believe our reserves are adequate to cover anticipated losses under current conditions. Significant or unanticipated changes to our estimates and forecasts, either adverse or positive, could impact the amount and timing of any additional provisions for excess or obsolete inventory that may be required.

Income Taxes

The liability method is used for determining our income taxes, under which current and deferred tax liabilities and assets are recorded in accordance with enacted tax laws and rates. Under this method, the amounts of deferred tax liabilities and assets at the end of each period are determined using the tax rate expected to be in effect when taxes are actually paid or recovered. Valuation allowances are established to reduce deferred tax assets when it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. In determining the need for valuation allowances, we have considered and made judgments and estimates regarding estimated future taxable income. These estimates and judgments include some degree of uncertainty and changes in these estimates and assumptions could require us to adjust the valuation allowances for our deferred tax assets. The ultimate realization of our deferred tax assets depends on the generation of sufficient taxable income in the applicable taxing jurisdictions. Although we believe our estimates and judgments are reasonable, actual results may differ, which could be material.

As we operate in multiple countries, we are subject to the jurisdiction of multiple domestic and foreign tax authorities. Determination of taxable income in any jurisdiction requires the interpretation of the related tax laws and regulations and the use of estimates and assumptions regarding significant future events such as the amount, timing and character of deductions, permissible revenue recognition methods under the tax law and the sources and character of income and tax credits. Changes in tax laws, regulations, foreign currency exchange restrictions or our level of operations or profitability in each taxing jurisdiction could have an impact on the amount of income taxes that we provide during any given year.

Table of Contents

Item 8. *Financial Statements and Supplementary Data*

**INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND
FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES**

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| PFSweb, Inc. and Subsidiaries | |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | 38 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u> | 39 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations</u> | 40 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity and Comprehensive Loss</u> | 41 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u> | 42 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 43 |
| Supplementary Data | |
| <u>Schedule I - Condensed Financial Information of Registrant</u> | 70 |
| <u>Schedule II - Valuation and Qualifying Accounts</u> | 73 |

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders

PFSweb, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of PFSweb, Inc. (a Delaware corporation) and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders equity and comprehensive loss, and cash flows for the years then ended. Our audits of the basic financial statements included the financial statement schedules listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a) (1). These financial statements and the financial statement schedules are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedules based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of PFSweb, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, the related financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

/s/ GRANT THORNTON LLP

Dallas, Texas

March 31, 2011

Table of Contents

**PFSWEB, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(In thousands, except share data)**

| | December 31, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|--|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| CURRENT ASSETS: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 18,430 | \$ 14,812 |
| Restricted cash | 1,853 | 2,096 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$754 and \$973 at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively | 41,438 | 39,861 |
| Inventories, net of reserves of \$1,561 and \$1,760 at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively | 35,161 | 33,577 |
| Assets of discontinued operations | 2,776 | 4,372 |
| Other receivables | 14,539 | 11,605 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 3,580 | 4,170 |
| Total current assets | 117,777 | 110,493 |
| PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, net | 9,124 | 10,314 |
| ASSETS OF DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS | 1,126 | 4,024 |
| OTHER ASSETS | 2,203 | 2,938 |
| Total assets | \$ 130,230 | \$ 127,769 |

LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

| | | |
|---|----------------|---------------|
| CURRENT LIABILITIES: | | |
| Current portion of long-term debt and capital lease obligations | \$ 18,320 | \$ 19,179 |
| Trade accounts payable | 55,692 | 53,642 |
| Deferred revenue | 5,254 | 5,164 |
| Accrued expenses | 15,870 | 13,180 |
| Total current liabilities | 95,136 | 91,165 |
| LONG-TERM DEBT AND CAPITAL LEASE OBLIGATIONS, less current portion | 2,136 | 3,348 |
| OTHER LIABILITIES | 3,608 | 3,903 |
| Total liabilities | 100,880 | 98,416 |

COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY:

Edgar Filing: PFSWEB INC - Form 10-K

| | | |
|---|------------|------------|
| Preferred stock, \$1.00 par value; 1,000,000 shares authorized; none issued and outstanding | | |
| Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 35,000,000 shares authorized; 12,255,064 and 9,952,164 shares issued at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively; and 12,236,703 and 9,933,803 outstanding at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively | 12 | 10 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 101,229 | 93,152 |
| Accumulated deficit | (73,332) | (65,963) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 1,526 | 2,239 |
| Treasury stock at cost, 18,361 shares | (85) | (85) |
| Total shareholders' equity | 29,350 | 29,353 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 130,230 | \$ 127,769 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

PFSWEB, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31
(In thousands, except per share data)

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| REVENUES: | | |
| Product revenue, net | \$ 174,613 | \$ 183,008 |
| Service fee revenue | 70,636 | 58,619 |
| Pass-through revenue | 29,267 | 26,265 |
| Total revenues | 274,516 | 267,892 |
| COSTS OF REVENUES: | | |
| Cost of product revenue | 162,485 | 168,864 |
| Cost of service fee revenue | 51,144 | 41,898 |
| Cost of pass-through revenue | 29,267 | 26,265 |
| Total costs of revenues | 242,896 | 237,027 |
| Gross profit | 31,620 | 30,865 |
| SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES, including stock based compensation expense of \$809 and \$407 in the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively | 33,611 | 34,270 |
| Loss from operations | (1,991) | (3,405) |
| INTEREST EXPENSE, net | 940 | 1,186 |
| Loss from continuing operations before income taxes | (2,931) | (4,591) |
| INCOME TAX EXPENSE | 463 | 321 |
| LOSS FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS | (3,394) | (4,912) |
| INCOME (LOSS) FROM DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS, NET OF TAX | (3,975) | 342 |
| NET LOSS | \$ (7,369) | \$ (4,570) |
| LOSS PER SHARE FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS: | | |
| Basic and Diluted | \$ (0.30) | \$ (0.49) |
| LOSS PER SHARE INCLUDING DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS: | | |
| Basic and Diluted | \$ (0.65) | \$ (0.46) |
| WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF SHARES OUTSTANDING: | | |
| Basic and Diluted | 11,310 | 9,929 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

PFSWEB, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY AND
COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

(In thousands, except share data)

| | Common Stock | | Additional | Accumulated | Other | Treasury | Total Comprehensive | |
|--|--------------|--------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|---------------------|----------------------------|
| | Shares | Amount | Paid-In Capital | Accumulated Deficit | Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Stock Shares | Amount | Shareholders Equity (Loss) |
| Balance, January 1, 2009 | 9,935,095 | \$ 10 | \$ 92,728 | \$ (61,393) | \$ 1,825 | 18,361 | \$ (85) | \$ 33,085 |
| Net loss | | | | (4,570) | | | | (4,570) \$ (4,570) |
| Stock-based compensation expense | | | 407 | | | | | 407 |
| Employee stock purchase plan | 17,069 | | 17 | | | | | 17 |
| Other comprehensive income foreign currency translation adjustment | | | | | 414 | | | 414 414 |
| Comprehensive loss | | | | | | | | \$ (4,156) |
| Balance, December 31, 2009 | 9,952,164 | \$ 10 | \$ 93,152 | \$ (65,963) | \$ 2,239 | 18,361 | \$ (85) | \$ 29,353 |
| Net loss | | | | (7,369) | | | | (7,369) \$ (7,369) |
| Stock-based compensation expense | | | 809 | | | | | 809 |
| Employee stock purchase plan | 2,900 | | 4 | | | | | 4 |
| Issuance of common stock | 2,300,000 | 2 | 7,264 | | | | | 7,266 |
| Other comprehensive loss foreign currency translation adjustment | | | | | (713) | | | (713) (713) |
| Comprehensive loss | | | | | | | | \$ (8,082) |
| Balance, December 31, 2010 | 12,255,064 | \$ 12 | \$ 101,229 | \$ (73,332) | \$ 1,526 | 18,361 | \$ (85) | \$ 29,350 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

PFSWEB, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31
(In thousands)

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Net loss | \$ (7,369) | \$ (4,570) |
| Income (Loss) from discontinued operations | (3,975) | 342 |
| Loss from continuing operations | (3,394) | (4,912) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 6,060 | 6,544 |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | 156 | 147 |
| Provision for excess and obsolete inventory | 233 | 174 |
| Deferred income taxes | (33) | (65) |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 809 | 407 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Restricted cash | 35 | (11) |
| Accounts receivable | (2,984) | 4,982 |
| Inventories, net | (2,709) | 8,189 |
| Prepaid expenses, other receivables and other assets | (1,545) | 75 |
| Accounts payable, deferred revenue, accrued expenses and other liabilities | 6,128 | (9,485) |
| Net cash provided by continuing operating activities | 2,756 | 6,045 |
| Net cash provided by discontinued operating activities | 715 | 2,081 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 3,471 | 8,126 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Purchases of property and equipment | (3,774) | (4,440) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (3,774) | (4,440) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Net proceeds from issuance of common stock | 7,270 | 17 |
| Decrease (increase) in restricted cash | 208 | (77) |
| Payments on capital lease obligations | (1,215) | (1,680) |
| Payments on debt, net | (1,987) | (3,642) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 4,276 | (5,382) |
| EFFECT OF EXCHANGE RATES ON CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | (355) | 458 |
| NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | 3,618 | (1,238) |

| | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of year | 14,812 | 16,050 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of year | \$ 18,430 | \$ 14,812 |
| SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION | | |
| Non-cash investing and financing activities: | | |
| Property and equipment acquired under debt and capital leases | \$ 1,258 | \$ 412 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

42

Table of Contents

PFSWEB, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Overview

PFSweb, Inc. and its subsidiaries, including Supplies Distributors, Inc., and eCOST.com, Inc., are collectively referred to as the Company; Supplies Distributors refers to Supplies Distributors, Inc. and its subsidiaries; eCOST refers to eCOST.com, Inc.; and PFSweb refers to PFSweb, Inc. and its subsidiaries excluding Supplies Distributors and eCOST.

PFSweb Overview

PFSweb is an international business process outsourcing provider of end-to-end eCommerce solutions to major brand name companies seeking to optimize their supply chain and to enhance their traditional and online business channels and initiatives in the United States, Canada, and Europe. PFSweb offers a broad range of service offerings that include digital marketing, eCommerce technologies, order management, customer care, logistics and fulfillment, financial management and professional consulting

Supplies Distributors Overview

Supplies Distributors, PFSweb and InfoPrint Solutions Company (IPS) have entered into master distributor agreements under which Supplies Distributors acts as a master distributor of various products, primarily IPS product.

Supplies Distributors has obtained certain financing (see Notes 3 and 4) that allows it to fund the working capital requirements for the sale of primarily IPS products. Pursuant to the transaction management services agreements between PFSweb and Supplies Distributors, PFSweb provides to Supplies Distributors transaction management and fulfillment services, such as managed web hosting and maintenance, procurement support, web-enabled customer contact center services, customer relationship management, financial services including billing and collection services, information management, and international distribution services. Supplies Distributors does not have its own sales force and relies upon IPS 's sales force and product demand generation activities for its sale of IPS products. Supplies Distributors sells its products in the United States, Canada and Europe.

All of the agreements between PFSweb and Supplies Distributors were made in the context of a related party relationship and were negotiated in the overall context of PFSweb 's and Supplies Distributors ' arrangement with IPS. Although management believes that the terms of these agreements are generally consistent with fair market values, there can be no assurance that the prices charged to or by each company under these arrangements are not higher or lower than the prices that may be charged by, or to, unaffiliated third parties for similar services.

eCOST Overview

Until February 2011 the Company operated eCOST as a multi-category online discount retailer of new, close-out and recertified brand-name merchandise, which sold products primarily to customers in the United States. In February 2011 the Company sold substantially all of the inventory and certain intangible assets of the eCOST business unit for a cash purchase price of \$2.3 million (before expenses of approximately \$0.2 million) and the assumption by the purchaser of certain limited liabilities of eCOST. The purchase price represented approximately \$1 million for inventory and the balance for the intangible assets. In connection with the closing of this business unit, the Company currently expects to incur exit costs of approximately \$0.2 million to \$0.4 million related to employee termination costs and excess property and equipment and may incur additional costs, including excess facility costs. In December 2010, the Company recorded a non-cash goodwill impairment charge of approximately \$2.8 million as a result of this sale. For all periods presented, the Company has reported the operating results of the eCOST segment, excluding costs expected to continue to occur in the future, as discontinued operations (see Note 12). In conjunction with this sale, the Company renamed its eCOST.com subsidiary in February 2011. The newly named subsidiary, PFSweb Retail Connect, Inc., will service certain of the Company 's client relationships on an ongoing basis.

Table of Contents**PFSWEB, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)****2. Significant Accounting Policies*****Principles of Consolidation***

All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Investment in Affiliates

Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc. (PFS), a wholly-owned subsidiary of PFSweb, has made advances to Supplies Distributors that are evidenced by a Subordinated Demand Note (the Subordinated Note). Under the terms of certain of the Company s debt facilities, the outstanding balance of the Subordinated Note cannot be increased to more than \$5.0 million or decreased to less than \$3.5 million without prior approval of the Company s lenders (see Notes 3 and 4). As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the outstanding balance of the Subordinated Note was \$4.3 million and \$5.0 million, respectively. The Subordinate Note is eliminated in the Company s consolidated financial statements.

PFS has also made advances to eCOST, which aggregated \$11.1 million and \$10.9 million as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Certain terms of the Company s debt facilities provide that the total advances to eCOST may not be less than \$2.0 million without prior approval of eCOST s lender, if needed. PFS has received the approval of its lender to advance incremental amounts to certain of its subsidiaries and/or affiliates, including eCOST, if needed, subject to certain cash inflows to PFS, as defined. PFSweb, Inc. has also advanced to eCOST an additional \$7.4 million and \$5.0 million as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. As of December 31, 2010, PFSweb, Inc. has approximately \$5.0 million available to be advanced to eCOST and/or other affiliates.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements and related disclosures in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make judgments, estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. The recognition and allocation of certain revenues and selling, general and administrative expenses in these consolidated financial statements also require management estimates and assumptions. The Company s estimates and assumptions are continually evaluated based on available information and experience. Because the use of estimates is inherent in the financial reporting process, actual results could differ from estimates.

Revenue and Cost Recognition

Depending on the terms of the customer arrangement, Supplies Distributors recognizes product revenue and product cost either upon the shipment of product to customers or when the customer receives the product. Supplies Distributors permits its customers to return product for credit against other purchases, which include returns for defective products (that Supplies Distributors then returns to the manufacturer) and incorrect shipments. Supplies Distributors provides a reserve for estimated returns and allowances and offers terms to its customers that it believes are standard for its industry.

Freight costs billed to customers are reflected as components of product revenue. Freight costs incurred are recorded as a component of cost of goods sold.

Under its master distributor agreements (see Note 6), Supplies Distributors bills IPS for reimbursements of certain expenses, including: pass through customer marketing programs, including rebates and coop funds; certain freight costs; direct costs incurred in passing on any price decreases offered by IPS to Supplies Distributors or its customers to cover price protection and certain special bids; the cost of products provided to replace defective product returned by customers; and certain other expenses as defined. Supplies Distributors includes these reimbursable amounts as they are incurred with a corresponding reduction in either inventory or cost of product revenue. Supplies Distributors also reflects pass through customer marketing programs as a reduction of both product revenue and cost of product revenue.

Table of Contents**PFSWEB, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)**

The Company's service fee revenue primarily relates to its (1) distribution services, (2) order management/customer care services and (3) the reimbursement of out-of-pocket and third-party expenses. The Company typically charges its service fee revenue on a cost-plus basis, a percent of shipped revenue basis or a per transaction basis, such as a per item basis for fulfillment services or a per minute basis for web-enabled customer contact center services. Additional fees are billed for other services.

Distribution services relate primarily to inventory management, product receiving, warehousing and fulfillment (i.e., picking, packing and shipping) and facilities and operations management. Service fee revenue for these activities is recognized as earned, which is either (i) on a per transaction basis or (ii) at the time of product fulfillment, which occurs at the completion of the distribution services.

Order management/customer care services relate primarily to taking customer orders for the Company's clients products via various channels such as telephone call-center, electronic or facsimile. These services also entail addressing customer questions related to orders, as well as cross-selling/up-selling activities. Service fee revenue for this activity is recognized as the services are rendered. Fees charged to the client are on a per transaction basis based on either (i) a pre-determined fee per order or fee per telephone minutes incurred, (ii) a per dedicated agent fee, or (iii) are included in the product fulfillment service fees that are recognized on product shipment.

The Company's billings for reimbursement of out-of-pocket expenses, including travel and certain third-party vendor expenses such as shipping and handling costs and telecommunication charges are included in pass-through revenue. The related reimbursable costs are reflected as cost of pass-through revenue.

The Company's cost of service fee revenue, representing the cost to provide the services described above, is recognized as incurred. Cost of service fee revenue also includes certain costs associated with technology collaboration and ongoing technology support that include maintenance, web hosting and other ongoing programming activities. These activities are primarily performed to support the distribution and order management/customer care services and are recognized as incurred.

The Company recognizes revenue and records trade accounts receivables, pursuant to the methods described above, when collectability is reasonably assured. Collectability is evaluated in the aggregate and on an individual customer basis taking into consideration payment due date, historical payment trends, current financial position, results of independent credit evaluations and payment terms. Related reserves are determined by either using percentages applied to certain aged receivable categories based on historical results and are reevaluated and adjusted as additional information is received or a specific identification method. After all attempts to collect a receivable have failed, the receivable is written off against the allowance for doubtful accounts.

The Company primarily performs its services under one to three-year contracts that can generally be terminated by either party. In conjunction with these long-term contracts, the Company sometimes receives start-up fees to cover its implementation costs, including certain technology infrastructure and development costs. The Company defers the fees received, and the related costs, and amortizes them over the life of the contract. The amortization of deferred revenue is included as a component of service fee revenue. The amortization of deferred implementation costs is included as a cost of service fee revenue. To the extent implementation costs for non-technology infrastructure and development exceed the fees received, the excess costs are expensed as incurred. The following summarizes the deferred implementation revenues and costs, excluding technology and development costs that are included in property and equipment (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Deferred implementation revenues | | |
| Current | \$ 4,487 | \$ 3,948 |
| Non-current | 3,258 | 3,680 |

Edgar Filing: PFSWEB INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------|----|-------|----|-------|
| | \$ | 7,745 | \$ | 7,628 |
| Deferred implementation costs | | | | |
| Current | \$ | 1,515 | \$ | 1,621 |
| Non-current | | 1,076 | | 1,394 |
| | \$ | 2,591 | \$ | 3,015 |

Table of Contents**PFSWEB, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)**

Current and non-current deferred implementation costs, excluding technology and development costs, are a component of prepaid expenses and other assets, respectively. Current and non-current deferred implementation revenues, which may precede the timing of when the related implementation costs are incurred and thus deferred, are a component of deferred revenue and other liabilities, respectively.

Concentration of Business and Credit Risk

The Company's service fee revenue is generated under contractual service fee relationships with multiple client relationships. One product revenue customer represented 11% of the Company's consolidated total net revenue during each of the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009. A summary of the customer and client concentrations is as follows:

| | December 31, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Product Revenue (as a percentage of Product Revenue): | | |
| Customer 1 | 10% | 14% |
| Customer 2 | 17% | 17% |
| Service Fee Revenue (as a percentage of Service Fee Revenue): | | |
| Client 1 | 7% | 15% |
| Client 2 | 7% | 10% |
| Accounts Receivable: | | |
| Customer/Client 1 | 7% | 11% |

PFS previously operated three distinct geographical contract arrangements with Client 1, which are aggregated in the service fee revenue percentages reflected above. As of December 31, 2010, substantially all of Client 1's contracts with PFS had expired in accordance with their terms and were not renewed.

PFSweb has provided certain collateralized guarantees of its subsidiaries' financings and credit arrangements. These subsidiaries' ability to obtain financing on similar terms would be significantly impacted without these guarantees.

The Company has multiple arrangements with International Business Machines Corporation (IBM) and IPS and is dependent upon the continuation of such arrangements. These arrangements, which are critical to the Company's ongoing operations, include Supplies Distributors' master distributor agreements and certain of Supplies Distributors' working capital financing agreements. Substantially all of the Supplies Distributors' revenue is generated by its sale of product purchased from IPS. Supplies Distributors also relies upon IPS's sales force and product demand generation activities and the discontinuance of such services would have a material impact upon Supplies Distributors' business. In addition, Supplies Distributors has product sales to IBM and IPS business units and the Company has an IBM term master lease agreement applicable to its financing of certain property and equipment.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash equivalents are defined as short-term highly liquid investments with original maturities, when acquired, of three months or less.

Table of Contents

PFSWEB, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Restricted Cash

Restricted cash includes the following items (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Customer remittances | \$ 1,076 | \$ 1,364 |
| Bond financing (see Note 4) | 777 | 732 |
| Total restricted cash | \$ 1,853 | \$ 2,096 |

In conjunction with certain of its financing agreements, Supplies Distributors has granted to its lenders a security interest in certain customer remittances received in specified bank accounts (see Note 4). At December 31, 2010 and 2009, these bank accounts held \$0.9 million and \$1.2 million, respectively, which was restricted and can only be used to reduce the outstanding debt.

Other Receivables

Other receivables include \$11.3 million and \$8.0 million as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, primarily for amounts due from IPS and IBM for costs incurred by the Company under the master distributor agreements (see Note 6). In addition, other receivables include \$2.5 million and \$3.6 million as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, applicable to value added tax receivables.

Inventories

Inventories (all of which are finished goods) are stated at the lower of weighted average cost or market. The Company establishes inventory reserves based upon estimates of declines in values due to inventories that are slow moving or obsolete, excess levels of inventory or values assessed at lower than cost.

Supplies Distributors assumes responsibility for slow-moving inventory under its IPS master distributor agreements, subject to certain termination rights, but has the right to return product rendered obsolete by engineering changes, as defined (see Note 6). In the event PFSweb, Supplies Distributors and IPS terminate the master distributor agreements, the agreements provide for the parties to mutually agree on a plan of disposition of Supplies Distributors then existing inventory.

Supplies Distributors' inventories include merchandise in-transit that has not been received by the Company but that has been shipped and invoiced by Supplies Distributors' vendors. The corresponding payable for inventories in-transit is included in accounts payable in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

The Company reviews inventory for impairment on a periodic basis, but at a minimum, annually. Recoverability of the inventory on hand is measured by comparison of the carrying value of the inventory to the fair value of the inventory. The allowance for slow moving inventory was \$1.6 million and \$1.8 million as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Property and Equipment

The components of property and equipment as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 are as follows (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2010 | December 31, 2009 | Depreciable Life |
|--|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Furniture and fixtures | \$ 19,036 | \$ 19,552 | 2-10 years |
| Purchased and capitalized software costs | 22,478 | 19,311 | 3-5 years |
| Computer equipment | 9,894 | 11,887 | 3-5 years |
| Leasehold improvements | 7,217 | 7,838 | 3-5 years |

Edgar Filing: PFSWEB INC - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|----------|-----------|-----------|
| Other | 901 | 1,003 | 3-5 years |
| | 59,526 | 59,591 | |
| Less-accumulated depreciation and amortization | (50,402) | (49,277) | |
| Property and equipment, net | \$ 9,124 | \$ 10,314 | |

47

Table of Contents**PFSWEB, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)**

The Company makes judgments and estimates in conjunction with the carrying value of these assets, including amounts to be capitalized, depreciation and amortization methods and useful lives. Additionally, the Company reviews long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. The Company records impairment losses, if any, in the period in which the Company determines that the carrying amount is not recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to future net cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. This may require the Company to make judgments regarding long-term forecasts of future revenues and costs related to the assets subject to review. During 2010 and 2009, no impairment of property and equipment was identified or recorded.

Property and equipment are stated at cost and are depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the respective assets. Capitalized implementation costs are depreciated over the respective client contract periods. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the useful life of the related asset or the remaining lease term. Depreciation and amortization expense related to property and equipment, excluding capital leases and amounts included in discontinued operations, during 2010 and 2009 was \$4.6 million and \$5.0 million, respectively.

The Company's property held under capital leases amount to approximately \$1.5 million and \$2.1 million, net of accumulated amortization, of approximately \$2.8 million and \$3.7 million, at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Depreciation and amortization expense related to capital leases during 2010 and 2009 was \$1.5 million and \$1.7 million, respectively.

Foreign Currency Translation and Transactions

For the Company's Canadian and European operations, the local currency is the functional currency. All assets and liabilities are translated at exchange rates in effect at the end of the period, and income and expense items are translated at the average exchange rates for the period.

The Company includes currency gains and losses on short-term intercompany advances in the determination of net income and loss. Currency gains and losses, including transaction gains and losses and those on short-term intercompany advances, included in net loss were a currency net loss of approximately \$0.1 million for in the year ended December 31, 2010 and a currency net gain of \$0.1 million in the year ended December 31, 2009. The Company reports gains and losses on intercompany foreign currency transactions that are of a long-term investment nature as a separate component of shareholders' equity.

Impact of Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In October 2009, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2009-13, *Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements – a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force* to amend certain guidance in *FASB Accounting Standards Codification*TM (ASC) 605, *Revenue Recognition*, 25, *Multiple-Element Arrangements*. The amended guidance in ASC 605-25 (1) modifies the separation criteria by eliminating the criterion that requires objective and reliable evidence of fair value for the undelivered item(s), and (2) eliminates the use of the residual method of allocation and instead requires that arrangement consideration be allocated, at the inception of the arrangement, to all deliverables based on their relative selling price.

The FASB also issued ASU 2009-14, *Certain Revenue Arrangements That Include Software Elements – a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force*, to amend the scope of arrangements under ASC 985, *Software*, 605,

Revenue Recognition to exclude tangible products containing software components and non-software components that function together to deliver a product's essential functionality.

The amended guidance in ASC 605-25 and ASC 985-605 is effective prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010, with early application and retrospective application permitted. The adoption of ASC 605-25 and ASC 985-605, and the related amendments, did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

PFSWEB, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Income Taxes

For federal income tax purposes, tax years that remain subject to examination include years 2006 through 2010. However, the utilization of net operating loss (NOL) carryforwards that arose prior to 2006 remain subject to examination through the years such carryforwards are utilized. For Europe, tax years that remain subject to examination include years 2007 to 2010. However, the utilization of NOL carryforwards that arose prior to 2007 remain subject to examination through the years such carryforwards are utilized. For Canada, tax years that remain subject to examination include years 2003 to 2010, depending on the subsidiary. For state income tax purposes, the tax years that remain subject to examination include years 2005 to 2010, depending upon the jurisdiction in which the Company files tax returns. The Company and its subsidiaries have various income tax returns in the process of examination or administrative appeals. The Company does not expect that these examinations will result in unrecognized tax benefits.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount more likely than not to be realized.

The Company recognizes interest and penalties related to certain tax positions in income tax expense.

Self Insurance

The Company is self-insured for medical insurance benefits up to certain stop-loss limits. Such costs are accrued based on known claims and an estimate of incurred, but not reported (IBNR) claims. IBNR claims are estimated using historical lag information and other data provided by claims administrators.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying value of the Company's financial instruments, which include cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and debt and capital lease obligations, approximate their fair values based on short terms to maturity or current market prices and interest rates.

Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Comprehensive income (loss) is defined as the change in equity (net assets) of a business enterprise during a period from transactions and other events and circumstances from non-owner sources. Comprehensive income (loss) consists of net income (loss) and foreign currency translation adjustments.

Net Loss Per Common Share

Basic and diluted net loss per share are computed by dividing net loss by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the reporting period. Stock options not included in the calculation of diluted net loss per share for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, were 2.3 million and 1.8 million, respectively, as the effect would be anti-dilutive.

Cash Paid For Interest and Taxes During Year

The Company made payments for interest of approximately \$1.0 million and \$1.3 million and income taxes of approximately \$0.4 million and \$0.7 million during the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively (see Notes 3, 4 and 8).

Table of Contents

PFSWEB, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Reclassifications

Prior year data related to discontinued operations have been reclassified to conform to current period presentation. These reclassifications had no effect on previously reported net income (loss) or shareholders' equity.

3. Vendor Financing

Outstanding obligations under vendor financing arrangements consist of the following (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Inventory and working capital financing agreements: | | |
| United States | \$ 16,472 | \$ 16,073 |
| Europe | 11,318 | 15,649 |
| Total | \$ 27,790 | \$ 31,722 |

Inventory and Working Capital Financing Agreement, United States

Supplies Distributors has a short-term credit facility with IBM Credit LLC to finance its distribution of IPS products in the United States, providing financing for eligible IPS inventory and certain receivables up to \$30.5 million through its expiration in March 2011. As of December 31, 2010, Supplies Distributors had \$2.9 million of available credit under this facility. The credit facility contains cross default provisions, various restrictions upon the ability of Supplies Distributors to, among others, merge, consolidate, sell assets, incur indebtedness, make loans and payments to related parties (including entities directly or indirectly owned by PFSweb, Inc.), provide guarantees, make investments and loans, pledge assets, make changes to capital stock ownership structure and pay dividends, as well as financial covenants, such as annualized revenue to working capital, net profit after tax to revenue, and total liabilities to tangible net worth, as defined, and are secured by certain of the assets of Supplies Distributors, as well as a collateralized guaranty of PFSweb. Additionally, PFS is required to maintain a minimum Subordinated Note receivable balance from Supplies Distributors of \$3.5 million and a minimum shareholders' equity of \$18.0 million. Borrowings under the credit facility accrue interest, after a defined free financing period, at prime rate plus 0.5% (3.75% as of both December 31, 2010 and 2009). The facility also includes a monthly service fee.

On March 30, 2011, Supplies Distributors entered into an amended credit facility with IBM Credit LLC, which reduces the financing maximum to \$25.0 million and extends the termination date through March 2012. Given the structure of this facility and as outstanding balances, which represent inventory purchases, are repaid within twelve months, the Company has classified the outstanding amounts under this facility as accounts payable in the consolidated balance sheets.

Inventory and Working Capital Financing Agreement, Europe

Supplies Distributors' European subsidiary has a short-term credit facility with IBM Belgium Financial Services S.A. (IBM Belgium) to finance its distribution of IPS products in Europe. The asset based credit facility with IBM Belgium provides up to 16.0 million Euros (approximately \$21.4 million as of December 31, 2010) in inventory financing and cash advances based on eligible inventory and accounts receivable through its expiration in March 2011. As of December 31, 2010, Supplies Distributors' European subsidiaries had 7.4 million Euros (approximately \$9.9 million at December 31, 2010) of available credit. The credit facility contains cross default provisions, various restrictions upon the ability of Supplies Distributors and its European subsidiary to, among others, merge, consolidate, sell assets, incur indebtedness, make loans and payments to related parties (including entities directly or indirectly owned by PFSweb, Inc.), provide guarantees, make investments and loans, pledge assets, make changes to capital stock ownership structure and pay dividends, as well as financial covenants, such as annualized revenue to working capital, net profit after tax to revenue, and total liabilities to tangible net worth, as defined, and are secured by certain of the assets of Supplies Distributors' European subsidiary, as well as collateralized guaranties of

Supplies Distributors and PFSweb. Additionally, PFS is required to maintain a minimum Subordinated Note receivable balance from Supplies Distributors of \$3.5 million and the Company is required to maintain a minimum shareholders' equity of \$18.0 million. Borrowings under the credit facility accrue interest at

Table of Contents**PFSWEB, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)**

Euribor plus 1.82% for cash advances, and, after a defined free financing period, at Euribor plus 4.13% for inventory financings. As of December 31, 2010 there were \$0.1 million of outstanding cash advances and the interest rate was 4.9% on the \$11.3 million of outstanding inventory financings. As of December 31, 2009 the interest rate was 4.7%.
Supplies Distributors European subsidiary pays a monthly service fee on the commitment.

On March 31, 2011, Supplies Distributors European subsidiaries entered into an amended credit facility with IBM Belgium, which extends the termination date through March 2012 and modifies certain financial covenants. Given the structure of this facility and as outstanding inventory financing balances are repaid within twelve months, the Company has classified the outstanding inventory financing amounts under this facility as accounts payable in the consolidated balance sheets.

4. Debt and Capital Lease Obligations:

Outstanding debt and capital lease obligations consist of the following (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|--|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Loan and security agreements, United States: | | |
| Supplies Distributors | \$ 7,220 | \$ 8,921 |
| PFS | 6,000 | 6,000 |
| Credit facility eCOST | | |
| Factoring agreement, Europe | 2,302 | 1,074 |
| Taxable revenue bonds | 1,600 | 2,400 |
| Master lease agreements | 2,660 | 3,467 |
| Other | 674 | 665 |
| Total | 20,456 | 22,527 |
| Less current portion of long-term debt | 18,320 | 19,179 |
| Long-term debt, less current portion | \$ 2,136 | \$ 3,348 |

Loan and Security Agreement Supplies Distributors

Supplies Distributors has a loan and security agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (Wells Fargo) to provide financing for up to \$25 million of eligible accounts receivable in the United States and Canada. As of December 31, 2010, Supplies Distributors had \$1.4 million of available credit under this agreement. The Wells Fargo facility expires on the earlier of March 2011 or the date on which the parties to the IPS master distributor agreement (see Note 6) no longer operate under the terms of such agreement and/or IPS no longer supplies products pursuant to such agreement. Borrowings under the Wells Fargo facility accrue interest at prime rate plus 0.25% to 0.75% (3.75% as of December 31, 2010) or Eurodollar rate plus 2.5% to 3.0%, dependent on excess availability and subject to a minimum of 3.0%, as defined. The interest rate as of December 31, 2010 was 3.75% for \$7.2 million of outstanding borrowings. As of December 31, 2009, the interest rate was 3.75% for \$5.9 million of outstanding borrowings and 2.2% for \$3.0 million of outstanding borrowings. This agreement contains cross default provisions, various restrictions upon the ability of Supplies Distributors to, among other things, merge, consolidate, sell assets, incur indebtedness, make loans and payments to related parties (including entities directly or indirectly owned by PFSweb, Inc.), provide guarantees, make investments and loans, pledge assets, make changes to capital stock ownership structure and pay dividends, as well as financial covenants, such as minimum net worth, as defined, and is secured by all of the assets of Supplies Distributors, as well as a collateralized guaranty of PFSweb. Additionally, PFS is required to maintain a Subordinated Note receivable balance from Supplies Distributors of no less than \$4.3 million and may not maintain restricted cash of more than \$5.0 million, and is restricted with regard to transactions with

related parties, indebtedness and changes to capital stock ownership structure. Supplies Distributors has entered into blocked account agreements with its banks and Wells Fargo pursuant to which a security interest was granted to Wells Fargo for all U.S. and Canadian customer remittances received in specified bank accounts. At December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, these bank accounts held \$0.8 million and \$1.0 million, respectively, which was restricted for payment to Wells Fargo.

On March 29, 2011, Supplies Distributors entered into an amended loan and security agreement with Wells Fargo, which extends the termination date through March 2014 and reduces the minimum Subordinate Note balance to \$3.5 million.

Table of Contents**PFSWEB, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)*****Loan and Security Agreement PFSweb***

PFS has a Loan and Security Agreement (Comerica Agreement) with Comerica Bank (Comerica). The Comerica Agreement provides for up to \$10.0 million of eligible accounts receivable financing through March 2011. As of December 31, 2010, PFS had \$3.9 million of available credit under this facility. Borrowings under the Comerica Agreement accrue interest at a defined rate, which will generally be prime rate plus 2%, with a minimum of 4.5% (5.25% at both December, 31, 2010 and 2009). The Comerica Agreement contains cross default provisions, various restrictions upon PFS ability to, among other things, merge, consolidate, sell assets, incur indebtedness, make loans and payments to related parties (including entities directly or indirectly owned by PFSweb, Inc.), make capital expenditures, make investments and loans, pledge assets, make changes to capital stock ownership structure, as well as financial covenants of a minimum tangible net worth of \$20 million, as defined, a minimum earnings before interest and taxes, plus depreciation, amortization and non-cash compensation accruals, if any, as defined, and a minimum liquidity ratio, as defined. The Comerica Agreement restricts the amount of the Subordinated Note receivable from Supplies Distributors to a maximum of \$5.0 million. Comerica has provided approval for PFS to advance incremental amounts subject to certain cash inflows to PFS, as defined, to certain of its subsidiaries and/or affiliates, including eCOST, if needed. The Comerica Agreement is secured by all of the assets of PFS, as well as a guarantee of PFSweb, Inc.

On March 31, 2011, PFS entered into an amended agreement with Comerica, which extends the termination date through September 2012, allows for up to \$12.5 million of eligible accounts receivable financing during certain seasonal peak months and provides the approval for PFS to advance incremental amounts subject to certain cash inflows to PFS, as defined, to its subsidiaries and/or affiliates, if needed.

Credit Facility eCOST

eCOST has an asset-based line of credit facility of up to \$7.5 million from Wachovia, through May 2011, which is collateralized by substantially all of eCOST's assets. Borrowings under the facility are limited to a percentage of eligible accounts receivable and inventory. Outstanding borrowings under the facility bear interest at rates ranging from prime rate plus 0.75% to 1.25% or Eurodollar rate plus 3.0% to 4.0%, depending on eCOST's financial results. There were no outstanding borrowings as of December 31, 2010 or 2009. As of December 31, 2010, eCOST had \$0.9 million of letters of credit outstanding and \$0.6 million of available credit under this facility. Subsequent to the sale of certain assets in February 2011 (see Note 12), amounts available under the outstanding letters of credit are secured by restricted cash in equivalent amounts until expiration. In connection with the line of credit, eCOST entered into a cash management arrangement whereby eCOST's operating amounts are considered restricted and swept and used to repay outstanding amounts under the line of credit. As of December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the restricted cash amount was \$0.2 million for both periods. The credit facility restricts eCOST's ability to, among other things, merge, consolidate, sell assets, incur indebtedness, make loans, investments and payments to subsidiaries, affiliates and related parties (including entities directly or indirectly owned by PFSweb, Inc.), make investments and loans, pledge assets, make changes to capital stock ownership structure, and requires a minimum tangible net worth of \$0, as defined. PFSweb has guaranteed all current and future obligations of eCOST under this line of credit.

Factoring Agreement

Supplies Distributors European subsidiary has a factoring agreement with Fortis Commercial Finance N.V. (Fortis) to provide factoring for up to 7.5 million Euros (approximately \$10.0 million at December 31, 2010) of eligible accounts receivables through March 2011. This factoring agreement is accounted for as a secured borrowing. As of December 31, 2010, Supplies Distributors European subsidiary had approximately 0.7 million Euros (\$0.9 million) of available credit under this agreement. Borrowings accrue interest at Euribor plus 1.2% (2.0% and 1.6% at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively). This agreement contains various restrictions upon the ability of Supplies Distributors European subsidiary to, among other things, merge, consolidate and incur indebtedness, as well as financial covenants, such as minimum net worth. This agreement is secured by a guarantee of Supplies Distributors, up to a maximum of 200,000 Euros.

Table of Contents**PFSWEB, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)**

Supplies Distributors European subsidiary has entered into a new factoring agreement with BNP Paribas Fortis effective April 1, 2011 that provides factoring under similar terms as the Fortis agreement through April 1, 2014 for up to 7.5 million Euros (approximately \$10.0 million at December 31, 2010). Borrowings under the new agreement will accrue interest at Euribor plus 0.7%.

Taxable Revenue Bonds

PFS has a Loan Agreement with the Mississippi Business Finance Corporation (the MBFC) in connection with the issuance by the MBFC of MBFC Taxable Variable Rate Demand Limited Obligation Revenue Bonds, Series 2004 (Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc. Project) (the Bonds). The MBFC loaned the proceeds of the Bonds to PFS for the purpose of financing the acquisition and installation of equipment, machinery and related assets located in the Company's Southaven, Mississippi distribution facility. The Bonds bear interest at a variable rate (0.4% as of December 31, 2010), as determined by Comerica Securities, as Remarketing Agent. PFS, at its option, may convert the Bonds to a fixed rate, to be determined by the Remarketing Agent at the time of conversion.

The primary source of repayment of the Bonds is a letter of credit (the Letter of Credit) issued by Comerica pursuant to a Reimbursement Agreement between PFS and Comerica under which PFS is obligated to pay to Comerica all amounts drawn under the Letter of Credit. The Letter of Credit has a maturity date of April 2012 at which time, if not renewed or replaced, will result in a draw on the undrawn face amount thereof. The Bonds require future annual principal repayments of \$800,000 in January of 2011 and 2012. PFS obligations under the Reimbursement Agreement are secured by substantially all of the assets of PFS, including restricted cash of \$0.8 million and a Company parent guarantee.

Debt Covenants

To the extent the Company or any of its subsidiaries fail to comply with its covenants applicable to its debt or vendor financing obligations, including the monthly financial covenant requirements and required level of shareholders' equity or net worth, and one or all of the lenders accelerate the repayment of the credit facility obligations, the Company would be required to repay all amounts outstanding thereunder. In particular, if PFS service fee revenue declines from expected levels and it is unable to reduce costs to correspond to such reduced revenue levels or if Supplies Distributors revenue or gross profit declines from expected levels, such events may result in a breach of one or more of the financial covenants required under its working capital line of credit. In such event, absent a waiver, the working capital lender would be entitled to accelerate all amounts outstanding thereunder and exercise all other rights and remedies, including sale of collateral and demand for payment under the Company parent guaranty. Any acceleration of the repayment of the credit facilities would have a material adverse impact on the Company's financial condition and results of operations and no assurance can be given that the Company would have the financial ability to repay all of such obligations. As of December 31, 2010, the Company was in compliance with all debt covenants.

Master Lease Agreements

The Company has a Term Lease Master Agreement with IBM Credit Corporation (Master Lease Agreement) that provides for leasing or financing transactions of equipment and other assets, which generally have terms of three years. The amounts outstanding under this Master Lease Agreement were \$1.0 million and \$1.6 million as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, which are secured by the related equipment (see Note 2).

The Company has other leasing and financing agreements and will continue to enter into those arrangements as needed to finance the purchasing or leasing of certain equipment or other assets. Borrowings under these agreements are generally secured by the related equipment.

Table of Contents**PFSWEB, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)*****Debt and Capital Lease Maturities***

The Company's aggregate maturities of debt subsequent to December 31, 2010 are as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|--------------------------------|-----------|
| Fiscal year ended December 31, | |
| 2011 | \$ 17,515 |
| 2012 | 1,229 |
| 2013 | 242 |
| 2014 | 35 |
| Thereafter | |
| Total | \$ 19,021 |

The following is a schedule of the Company's future minimum lease payments under the capital leases together with the present value of the net minimum lease payments as of December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

| | |
|---|----------|
| Fiscal year ended December 31, | |
| 2011 | \$ 866 |
| 2012 | 439 |
| 2013 | 217 |
| Thereafter | |
| Total minimum lease payments | \$ 1,522 |
| Less amount representing interest at rates ranging from 4.7% to 12.0% | (87) |
| Present value of net minimum lease payments | 1,435 |
| Less: Current portion | (805) |
| Long-term capital lease obligations | \$ 630 |

5. Stock and Stock Options***Preferred Stock Purchase Rights***

On June 8, 2000, and as amended, the Company's Board of Directors declared a dividend distribution of one preferred stock purchase right (a "Right") for each share of the Company's common stock outstanding on July 6, 2000 and each share of common stock issued thereafter. Each Right entitles the registered shareholders to purchase from the Company one one-thousandth of a share of preferred stock at an exercise price of \$314.90, subject to adjustment. The Rights are not currently exercisable, but would become exercisable if certain events occurred relating to a person or group acquiring or attempting to acquire 23 percent or more of the Company's outstanding shares of common stock. The Rights expire on July 6, 2015, unless redeemed, exchanged or extended by the Company.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

The Company offered the PFSweb Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the "Stock Purchase Plan") that was qualified under Section 423 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, to provide employees of the Company an opportunity to acquire a proprietary interest in the Company. The Stock Purchase Plan permitted each U.S. employee who completed 90 days of service to elect to participate in the plan. Eligible employees could elect to contribute with after-tax dollars up to a maximum annual contribution of \$25,000. The Stock Purchase Plan provided for acquisition of the Company's common stock at a 5% discount to the market value on the date of purchase. During the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company issued 2,793 and 17,070 shares under the Stock Purchase Plan, respectively. The 2,793 shares issued in 2010 related to 2009 contributions. The Company terminated the Stock Purchase Plan effective

January 1, 2010.

Stock Options and Stock Option Plans

The Company recognizes compensation cost for all share-based payments based on the grant date fair value. Compensation cost is recognized on a straight-line basis, net of estimated forfeitures, over the requisite service period of each award.

Table of Contents**PFSWEB, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)**

Stock-based compensation charged against income was \$0.8 million and \$0.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. As of December 31, 2010, there was \$1.5 million of total unrecognized compensation costs related to unvested stock options, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately 1.7 years.

As of December 31, 2010, the Company had the following share-based compensation plans:

PFSweb Plan Options

The Company has an Employee Stock and Incentive Plan and an Outside Director Stock Option and Retainer Plan under which an aggregate of 3,192,341 shares of common stock have been authorized for issuance (the Stock Options Plans) and an outstanding stock option agreement under which 7,446 shares were originally authorized for issuance. The Stock Option Plans provide for the granting of incentive awards in the form of stock options to directors, executive management, key employees, and outside consultants of the Company. The rights to purchase shares under the employee stock option agreements typically vest over a three-year period, one-twelfth each quarter. Stock options must be exercised within 10 years from the date of grant. Stock options are generally issued such that the exercise price is equal to the market value of the Company's common stock at the date of grant.

As of December 31, 2010, there were 845,443 shares available for future grants under the Stock Option Plans.

The following table summarizes stock option activity under the Stock Option Plans:

| | | | | | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (in years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in millions) |
|--|---------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| | Shares | Price Per Share | Exercise Price | Weighted Average Price | | |
| Outstanding, December 31, 2009 | 1,666,258 | \$ 1.01 | \$13.91 | \$ 4.77 | | |
| Granted | 653,500 | \$ 2.39 | \$4.00 | \$ 3.95 | | |
| Exercised | (107) | \$ 1.01 | | \$ 1.01 | | |
| Canceled | (125,978) | \$ 1.01 | \$12.64 | \$ 8.98 | | |
| Outstanding, December 31, 2010 | 2,193,673 | \$ 1.01 | \$13.91 | \$ 4.28 | | |
| Exercisable, December 31, 2010 | 1,453,788 | \$ 1.01 | \$13.91 | \$ 4.73 | 4.4 | \$ 0.7 |
| Exercisable and expected to vest, December 31, 2010 | 2,059,155 | \$ 1.01 | \$13.91 | \$ 4.34 | 3.9 | \$ 1.1 |

The weighted average fair value per share of options granted during the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 was \$2.82 and \$1.04, respectively.

PFSweb Non-plan Options

Prior to the Company's initial public offering, certain of the Company's employees were holders of stock options of the Company's former parent company, Daisytek International Corporation (Daisytek), issued under Daisytek's stock option plans.

In connection with the completion of the Company's spin-off from Daisytek on July 6, 2000 (the Spin-off), all outstanding Daisytek stock options were replaced with substitute stock options. Daisytek options held by PFSweb employees were replaced (at the option holder's election made prior to the Spin-off) with either options to acquire shares of PFSweb common stock or options to acquire shares of both Daisytek common stock and PFSweb common

stock (that may be exercised separately) (the Unstapled Options). Options held by Daisytek employees were replaced (at the option holder s election made prior to the Spin-off) with either options to acquire shares of Daisytek common stock or Unstapled Options.

As a result of the stock option replacement process described above, in conjunction with the Spin-off, PFSweb stock options (the Non-plan Options) were issued to PFSweb and Daisytek officers, directors and employees. These options were issued as one-time grants and were not issued under the Stock Option Plans. The terms and provisions of the Non-plan Options are substantially the same as options issued under the Stock Option Plans.

Table of Contents

PFSWEB, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

The following table summarizes stock option activity under the Non-plan Options:

| | Shares | Price Per Share | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (in years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in millions) |
|--------------------------------|---------|--------------------|--|---|---|
| Outstanding, December 31, 2009 | 91,022 | \$ 4.28 | \$ 4.28 | | |
| Granted | | \$ | \$ | | |
| Exercised | | \$ | \$ | | |
| Canceled | (2,303) | \$ 4.28 | \$ 4.28 | | |
| Outstanding, December 31, 2010 | 88,719 | \$ 4.28 | \$ 4.28 | 0.9 | \$ |
| Exercisable, December 31, 2010 | 88,719 | \$ 4.28 | \$ 4.28 | 0.9 | \$ |

Fair Value

The fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions used for grants of options under the Stock Option Plans:

| | Year Ended December 31, 2010 | Year Ended December 31, 2009 |
|---|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Expected dividend yield | | |
| Expected stock price volatility | 82% - 84% | 77% - 82% |
| Weighted average stock price volatility | 83% | 81% |
| Risk-free interest rate | 1.7% - 2.9% | 2.0% - 3.2% |
| Expected life of options (years) | 6 | 6 |

The Black-Scholes option valuation model requires the input of highly subjective assumptions, including the expected life of the stock-based award and stock-price volatility. The assumptions listed above represent management's best estimates, but these estimates involve inherent uncertainties and the application of management judgment. As a result, if other assumptions had been used, the Company's recorded and pro forma stock-based compensation expense could have been different. In addition, the Company is required to estimate the expected forfeiture rate and only recognize expense for those shares expected to vest. If the Company's actual forfeiture rate is materially different from its estimate, the share-based compensation expense could be materially different. The expected life of options has been computed using the simplified method.

6. Master Distributor Agreements

Supplies Distributors, PFSweb and IPS have entered into master distributor agreements under which Supplies Distributors acts as a master distributor of various products, primarily IPS product, and PFSweb provides transaction management and fulfillment services to Supplies Distributors. The master distributor agreements are subject to periodic renewals, the next of which is in December 2011. Under the master distributor agreements, IPS sells product to Supplies Distributors and reimburses Supplies Distributors for certain freight costs, direct costs incurred in passing on any price decreases offered by IPS to Supplies Distributors or its customers to cover price protection and certain special bids, the cost of products provided to replace defective product returned by customers and other certain expenses as defined. Supplies Distributors can return to IPS product rendered obsolete by IPS engineering changes

after customer demand ends. IPS determines when a product is obsolete. IPS and Supplies Distributors also have agreements under which IPS reimburses or collects from Supplies Distributors amounts calculated in certain inventory cost adjustments.

Supplies Distributors passes through to customers marketing programs specified by IPS and administers, along with a party performing product demand generation for the IPS products, such programs according to IPS guidelines.

Table of Contents

PFSWEB, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

7. Supplies Distributors

Pursuant to a credit agreement, Supplies Distributors is restricted from making any distributions to PFSweb if, after giving affect thereto, Supplies Distributors would be in noncompliance with its financial covenants. Under the terms of its amended credit agreements, Supplies Distributors is restricted from paying annual cash dividends without the prior approval of its lenders (see Notes 3 and 4). Supplies Distributors has received lender approval to pay approximately \$1.9 million of dividends in 2011. Supplies Distributors paid dividends to PFSweb of \$2.9 million and \$2.1 million in 2010 and 2009, respectively.

8. Income Taxes

The consolidated income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes, by domestic and foreign entities, is as follows (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, 2010 | Year Ended December 31, 2009 |
|----------|---|---|
| Domestic | \$ (4,402) | \$ (7,073) |
| Foreign | 1,471 | 2,482 |
| Total | \$ (2,931) | \$ (4,591) |

A reconciliation of the difference between the expected income tax expense from continuing operations at the U.S. federal statutory corporate tax rate of 34%, and the Company's effective tax rate is as follows (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, 2010 | Year Ended December 31, 2009 |
|---|---|---|
| Income tax benefit computed at statutory rate | \$ (997) | \$ (1,561) |
| Impact of foreign taxation | 4 | 2 |
| Foreign dividends received | 893 | 1,187 |
| Items not deductible for tax purposes | 462 | 232 |
| Change in valuation reserve | (123) | 1,285 |
| Other | 224 | (824) |
| Provision for income taxes | \$ 463 | \$ 321 |

Current and deferred income tax expense (benefit) is summarized as follows (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, 2010 | Year Ended December 31, 2009 |
|----------|---|---|
| Current | | |
| Domestic | \$ | \$ 13 |
| State | 45 | 195 |
| Foreign | 451 | 178 |

| | | | | |
|----------------|--|------|-----|------|
| Total current | | 496 | | 386 |
| Deferred | | | | |
| Domestic | | | | |
| State | | 15 | | (41) |
| Foreign | | (48) | | (24) |
| Total deferred | | (33) | | (65) |
| Total | | \$ | 463 | \$ |
| | | | | 321 |

Table of Contents

PFSWEB, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

The components of the deferred tax asset (liability) are as follows (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Deferred tax assets: | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | \$ 757 | \$ 797 |
| Inventory reserve | 630 | 713 |
| Property and equipment | 1,336 | 1,071 |
| Net operating loss carryforwards | 19,569 | 20,066 |
| Other | 1,476 | 1,326 |
| | 23,768 | 23,973 |
| Less Valuation allowance | 23,203 | 23,326 |
| Total deferred tax asset | 565 | 647 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | | |
| Intangible assets | (108) | (143) |
| Other | (48) | (107) |
| Total deferred tax liabilities | (156) | (250) |
| Deferred tax assets, net | \$ 409 | \$ 397 |

Management believes that PFSweb has not established a sufficient history of earnings, on a stand-alone basis, to support the more likely than not realization of certain deferred tax assets in excess of existing taxable temporary differences. A valuation allowance has been provided for the majority of these net deferred income tax assets as of December 31, 2010 and 2009. At December 31, 2010, net operating loss carryforwards relate to taxable losses of PFSweb's European subsidiary totaling approximately \$5.3 million, PFSweb's Canadian subsidiary totaling approximately \$5.0 million and PFSweb's U.S. subsidiaries totaling approximately \$47.4 million that expire at various dates from 2011 through 2030. The U.S. NOL carryforward includes \$4.6 million relating to tax benefits of stock option exercises and, if utilized, will be recorded against additional paid-in-capital upon utilization rather than as an adjustment to income tax expense from continuing operations. The U.S. NOL also includes approximately \$21.0 million of NOL acquired in 2006, which is subject to annual limits of \$1.2 million under IRS Section 382.

The Company evaluates its tax positions for potential liabilities associated with unrecognized tax benefits. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, no unrecognized tax benefits, penalties or interest were identified or recorded. The Company does not expect to record unrecognized tax benefits in the next twelve months.

9. Commitments and Contingencies

The Company leases facilities, warehouse, office, transportation and other equipment under operating leases expiring in various years through December 31, 2015. In most cases, management expects that, in the normal course of business, leases will be renewed or replaced by other leases. The Company's facility leases generally contain one or more renewal options.

Minimum future annual rental payments under non-cancelable operating leases having original terms in excess of one year are as follows (in thousands):

Operating

| | Lease Payments |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Fiscal year ended December 31, | |
| 2011 | \$ 5,725 |
| 2012 | 4,170 |
| 2013 | 3,319 |
| 2014 | 1,978 |
| 2015 | 433 |
| Thereafter | |
| Total | \$ 15,625 |

Minimum rental payments under operating leases are recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease including any periods of free rent. Total rental expense under operating leases approximated \$7.9 million and \$10.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Certain landlord required deposits are secured by letters of credit.

Table of Contents**PFSWEB, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)**

The Company receives municipal tax abatements in certain locations. During 2004 the Company received notice from a municipality that it did not satisfy certain criteria necessary to maintain the abatements. In December 2006 the Company received notice that the municipal authority planned to make an adjustment to the Company's tax abatement. The Company disputed the adjustment and such dispute has been settled with the municipality. However, the amount of additional property taxes to be assessed against the Company and the timing of the related payments has not been finalized. As of December 31, 2010, the Company believes it has adequately accrued for the expected assessment.

In April 2010, a sales employee of eCOST was charged with violating various federal criminal statutes in connection with the sales of eCOST products to certain customers, and approximately \$620,000 held in an eCOST bank account was seized and turned over to the Office of the U.S. Attorney in connection with such activity. The Company received subpoenas from the Office of the U.S. Attorney requesting information regarding the employee and other matters, and the Company has responded to the subpoenas and is fully cooperating with the Office of the U.S. Attorney. The Company has commenced its own investigation into the actions of the employee. Neither the Company nor eCOST have been charged with any criminal activity, and the Company intends to seek the recovery or reimbursement of the funds which are currently classified as other receivables on the December 31, 2010 financial statements. Based on the information available to date, the Company is unable to determine the amount of the loss, if any, relating to the seizure of such funds. No assurance can be given, however, that the seizure of such funds, or the inability of the Company to recover such funds or any significant portion thereof, or any costs and expenses incurred by the Company in connection with this matter will not have a material adverse effect upon the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

The Company is subject to claims in the ordinary course of business, including claims of alleged infringement by the Company or its subsidiaries of the patents, trademarks and other intellectual property rights of third parties. PFS is generally required to indemnify its service fee clients against any third party claims alleging infringement by PFS of the patents, trademarks and other intellectual property rights of third parties.

10. Segment and Geographic Information

The Company is currently organized into two primary operating segments, which generally align with the corporate organization structure. In the first segment, PFSweb is an international provider of various business process outsourcing solutions and operates as a service fee business. In the second operating segment, subsidiaries of the Company purchase inventory from clients and resell the inventory to client customers. In this segment, the Company recognizes product revenue. Currently, Supplies Distributors is the primary subsidiary in this segment.

| | Year Ended December 31, 2010 | Year Ended December 31, 2009 |
|---|---|---|
| Revenues (in thousands): | | |
| PFSweb | \$ 106,552 | \$ 92,047 |
| Supplies Distributors | 174,613 | 183,008 |
| Eliminations | (6,649) | (7,163) |
| | \$ 274,516 | \$ 267,892 |
| Income (loss) from operations (in thousands): | | |
| PFSweb | \$ (5,442) | \$ (8,770) |
| Supplies Distributors | 3,451 | 5,365 |
| Eliminations | | |

| | | | | |
|---|----|---|----|---|
| | \$ | (1,991) | \$ | (3,405) |
| | | Year Ended December 31, 2010 | | Year Ended December 31, 2009 |
| Depreciation and amortization (in thousands): | | | | |
| PFSweb | \$ | 6,032 | \$ | 6,509 |
| Supplies Distributors | | 28 | | 35 |
| Eliminations | | | | |
| | \$ | 6,060 | \$ | 6,544 |

Table of Contents

PFSWEB, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

| | December 31, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Capital expenditures (in thousands): | | |
| PFSweb | \$ 3,774 | \$ 4,438 |
| Supplies Distributors | | 2 |
| Eliminations | | |
| | \$ 3,774 | \$ 4,440 |
| Assets (in thousands): | | |
| PFSweb | \$ 62,617 | \$ 65,716 |
| Supplies Distributors | 73,992 | 69,291 |
| eCOST | 8,183 | 13,579 |
| Eliminations | (14,562) | (20,817) |
| | \$ 130,230 | \$ 127,769 |

Geographic areas in which the Company operates include the United States, Europe (primarily Belgium), and Canada. The following is geographic information by area. Revenues are attributed based on the Company's domicile.

| | Year Ended December 31, 2010 | Year Ended December 31, 2009 |
|---|---|---|
| Revenues (in thousands): | | |
| United States | \$ 194,791 | \$ 183,937 |
| Europe | 77,758 | 81,460 |
| Canada | 5,439 | 5,389 |
| Inter-segment eliminations | (3,472) | (2,894) |
| | \$ 274,516 | \$ 267,892 |
| Other long-lived assets (in thousands): | | |
| United States | \$ 11,525 | \$ 16,181 |
| Europe | 765 | 900 |
| Canada | 163 | 195 |
| | \$ 12,453 | \$ 17,276 |

11. Employee Savings Plan

The Company has a defined contribution employee savings plan under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code. Substantially all full-time and part-time U.S. employees are eligible to participate in the plan. The Company, at its discretion, may match employee contributions to the plan and also make an additional matching contribution in the

form of profit sharing in recognition of the Company's performance.

12. Discontinued Operations

In February 2011, the Company sold certain assets of eCOST to a third party for a total aggregate cash purchase price of approximately \$2.3 million (before expenses of approximately \$0.2 million). Accordingly, the accompanying consolidated financial statements reflect the related operating results of the eCOST segment as discontinued operations for all periods presented.

Summarized financial information in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations for the discontinued eCOST operations is as follows (in thousands):

| | Twelve Months Ended December 31, | |
|---|---|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Product revenue, net | \$ 69,172 | \$ 84,607 |
| Expenses | 70,327 | 84,257 |
| Goodwill and intangible asset impairment | 2,792 | |
| Income (loss) before provision for income taxes | (3,947) | 350 |
| Provision for income taxes | 28 | 8 |
| Discontinued operations, net of income taxes | \$ (3,975) | \$ 342 |

Table of Contents

PFSWEB, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Summarized financial information in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets for the discontinued eCOST operations is as follows (in thousands):

| | As of | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| | December 31, | |
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Inventories, net | \$ 2,776 | \$ 4,372 |
| Identifiable intangibles | 316 | 422 |
| Goodwill | 810 | 3,602 |
| Assets of discontinued operations | \$ 3,902 | \$ 8,396 |

At December 31, 2010 and 2009, the amount of allowance for slow moving inventory included in discontinued operations is \$0.2 million and \$0.3 million, respectively.

The original eCOST acquisition resulted in a purchase price in excess of the fair value of net identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed. This excess purchase price, \$18.9 million, was allocated to goodwill. Goodwill, which is not deductible for tax purposes, is not amortized yet is subject to an annual impairment test, using a fair-value-based approach. In 2008 and prior, following the Company's analysis of the carrying value of goodwill, the Company determined the carrying value of goodwill exceeded its fair value, which resulted in cumulative non-cash goodwill write-offs of \$15.3 million. During the Company's 2010 analysis of the carrying value of goodwill, using the February 2011 sale as a basis to determine the fair value, the Company recorded a non-cash goodwill write-off of \$2.8 million, which is included in loss from discontinued operations. The remaining balance of goodwill, \$0.8 million as of December 31, 2010, is included in assets of discontinued operations.

Table of Contents**Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure**

None.

Item 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.**Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

Our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, after evaluating the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act) Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by this report (the Evaluation Date), have concluded that as of the Evaluation Date, our disclosure controls and procedures are effective, at a reasonable assurance level, to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that we file and submit under the Exchange Act (i) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported as and when required and (ii) is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended December 31, 2010 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act. Our internal control over financial reporting is designed, under the supervision of our principle executive and principle financial officers, and effected by our board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). Our internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that: (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and Board of Directors; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

We conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010. This evaluation was based on the framework in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with GAAP. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Based on our evaluation under the framework in *Internal Control Integrated Framework*, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2010.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of our independent registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by our independent registered public accounting firm pursuant to temporary rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit us to provide only management's report in this annual report.

Table of Contents**Item 9B. Other Information**

None.

PART III**Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant**

Reference is made to the information to be set forth in the section entitled *Board of Directors and Committees of the Board* in the definitive proxy statement in connection with our Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the *Proxy Statement*), which section is incorporated herein by reference. Our Proxy Statement will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission not later than 120 days after the last day of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Information required by Part III, Item 11, will be included in the section entitled *Executive Compensation* of our Proxy Statement relating to our annual meeting of shareholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Shareholder Matters

Information required by Part III, Item 12, will be included in the Sections entitled *Election of Directors and Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management* of our Proxy Statement relating to our annual meeting of shareholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

The following table summarizes information with respect to equity compensation plans under which equity securities of the Company are authorized for issuance as of December 31, 2010:

| Plan category (1) | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options and warrants | Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options and warrants | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance |
|--|---|---|--|
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders | 2,193,673 | \$ 4.28 | 845,443 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | 88,719 | \$ 4.28 | |
| Total | 2,282,392 | | 845,443 |

(2) See Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for more detailed information regarding the Company's equity compensation plans.

Item 13. Certain Relationship and Related Transactions

Information regarding certain of our relationships and related transactions will be included in the section entitled *Certain Relationship and Related Transactions* of our Proxy Statement relating to our annual meeting of shareholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

Information required by Part III, Item 14, will be included in the section entitled *Ratification of Appointment of Independent Auditors* of our Proxy Statement relating to our annual meeting of shareholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a) *The following documents are filed as part of this report:*

1. *Financial Statements*

PFSweb, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Consolidated Balance Sheets

Consolidated Statements of Operations

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity and Comprehensive Loss

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Financial Statement Schedules

Schedule I Condensed Financial Information of Registrant

Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

All other schedules are omitted because the required information is not present in amounts sufficient to require submission of the schedule or because the information required is included in the financial statements or notes thereto.

2. *Exhibits*

Exhibit

Number

Description of Exhibits

| | |
|------------|--|
| 3.1 (1) | Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of PFSweb, Inc. |
| 3.1.1 (20) | Certificate of Amendment to Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of PFSweb, Inc. |
| 3.1.2 (32) | Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation of PFSweb, Inc. |
| 3.1.3 (36) | Certificate of Amendment to Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of PFSweb, Inc. |
| 3.2 (1) | Amended and Restated Bylaws |
| 3.2.1 (26) | Amendment to the Amended and Restated By-Laws of PFSweb, Inc. |
| 2.2.2 (39) | Amendment to the Amended and Restated By-Laws of PFSweb, Inc. |
| 4.1 (30) | Rights Agreement, dated as of June 8, 2000, between the Company and ChaseMellon Shareholder Services, LLC |
| 4.1 (31) | Amendment No. 1 to Rights Agreement, dated as of May 30, 2008 between the Company and Mellon Investor Services LLC, as successor to ChaseMellon Shareholder Services, L.L.C., as rights agent. |
| 4.1 (38) | Amendment No. 2 to Rights Agreement, dated as of May 24, 2010 between the Company and Mellon Investor Services LLC, as successor to ChaseMellon Shareholder Services, L.L.C., as rights agent. |
| 4.1 (39) | |

Edgar Filing: PFSWEB INC - Form 10-K

Amendment No. 3 to Rights Agreement, dated as of July 2, 2010 between the Company and Mellon Investor Services LLC, as successor to ChaseMellon Shareholder Services, L.L.C., as rights agent.

- 10.1 (17) PFSweb, Inc. 2005 Employee Stock Purchase Plan.
- 10.2 (18) Amendment 3 to Loan and Security Agreement.
- 10.3 (18) Amendment 6 to Agreement for Inventory Financing.
- 10.4 (18) Amendment 1 to First Amended and Restated Loan and Security Agreement.
- 10.5 (16) Amendment 5 to Amended and Restated Platinum Plan Agreement.
- 10.6 (16) Agreement for IBM Global Financing Platinum Plan Invoice Discounting Schedule.
- 10.7 (16) Amendment No. 5 to Agreement for Inventory Financing.
- 10.8 (1) Industrial Lease Agreement between Shelby Drive Corporation and Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc.
- 10.9 (1) Lease Contract between Transports Weerts and Priority Fulfillment Services Europe B.V.

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Description of Exhibits |
|---------------------------|---|
| 10.10 (2) | Form of Change of Control Agreement between the Company and each of its executive officers |
| 10.11 (4) | Ninth Amendment to Lease Agreement by and between AGBRI ATRIUM. L.P., and Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc. |
| 10.12 (5) | Agreement for Inventory Financing by and among Business Supplies Distributors Holdings, LLC, Supplies Distributors, Inc., Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc., PFSweb, Inc., Inventory Financing Partners, LLC and IBM Credit Corporation |
| 10.13 (5) | Amended and Restated Collateralized Guaranty by and between Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc. and IBM Credit Corporation |
| 10.14 (5) | Amended and Restated Guaranty to IBM Credit Corporation by PFSweb, Inc. |
| 10.15 (5) | Amended and Restated Notes Payable Subordination Agreement by and between Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc., Supplies Distributors, Inc. and IBM Credit Corporation |
| 10.16 (5) | Amended and Restated Platinum Plan Agreement (with Invoice Discounting) by and among Supplies Distributors, S.A., Business Supplies Distributors Europe B.V., PFSweb B.V., and IBM Belgium Financial Services S.A. |
| 10.17 (5) | Amended and Restated Collateralized Guaranty between Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc. and IBM Belgium Financial Services S.A. |
| 10.18 (5) | Amended and Restated Guaranty to IBM Belgium Financial Services S.A. by PFSweb, Inc. |
| 10.19 (5) | Subordinated Demand Note by and between Supplies Distributors, Inc. and Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc. |
| 10.20 (5) | Notes Payable Subordination Agreement between Congress Financial Corporation (Southwest) and Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc. |
| 10.21 (5) | Guarantee in favor of Congress Financial Corporation (Southwest) by Business Supplies Distributors Holdings, LLC, Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc. and PFSweb, Inc. |
| 10.22 (5) | General Security Agreement by Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc. in favor of Congress Financial Corporation (Southwest). |
| 10.23 (5) | Inducement Letter by Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc. and PFSweb, Inc. in favor of Congress Financial Corporation (Southwest). |
| 10.24 (6) | Form of Executive Severance Agreement between the Company and each of its executive officers. |
| 10.24.1 (33) | Form of Amendment to Executive Severance Agreement. |

- 10.24.2 Form of Amendment to Change in Control Severance Agreement.
(33)
- 10.24.3 Severance, Nondisclosure, Nonsolicitation and Noncompete Agreement dated July 2, 2010 between the
(39) Company and Cynthia Almond.
- 10.25 (7) Amendment to Agreement for Inventory Financing by and among Business Supplies Distributors
Holdings, LLC, Supplies Distributors, Inc., Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc., PFSweb, Inc., Inventory
Financing Partners, LLC and IBM Credit Corporation
- 10.26 (7) Amendment to Amended and Restated Platinum Plan Agreement (with Invoice Discounting) by and
among Supplies Distributors, S.A., Business Supplies Distributors Europe B.V., PFSweb B.V., and IBM
Belgium Financial Services S.A.
- 10.27 (7) Amended and Restated Notes Payable Subordination Agreement by and between Priority Fulfillment
Services, Inc., Supplies Distributors, Inc. and IBM Credit Corporation
- 10.28 (7) Amendment to Factoring agreement dated March 29, 2002 between Supplies Distributors S.A. and Fortis
Commercial Finance N.V.
- 10.29 (8) Unconditional Guaranty of PFSweb, Inc. to Comerica Bank California
- 10.30 (8) Security Agreement of PFSweb, Inc. to Comerica Bank California

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Description of Exhibits |
|---------------------------|--|
| 10.31 (8) | Intellectual Property Security Agreement between Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc. and Comerica Bank California |
| 10.32 (8) | Amendment 2 to Amended and Restated Platinum Plan Agreement (with Invoice Discounting) by and among Supplies Distributors, S.A., Business Supplies Distributors B.V., PFSweb B.V., and IBM Belgium Financial Services S.A. |
| 10.33 (8) | Amendment to Agreement for Inventory Financing by and among Business Supplies Distributors Holdings, LLC, Supplies Distributors, Inc., Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc., PFSweb, Inc., and IBM Credit LLC |
| 10.34 (9) | Amendment to factoring agreement dated April 30, 2003 between Supplies Distributors S.A. and Fortis Commercial Finance N.V. |
| 10.35 (9) | Loan and Security Agreement by and between Congress Financial Corporation (Southwest), as Lender and Supplies Distributors, Inc., as Borrower dated March 29, 2002. |
| 10.36 (9) | General Security Agreement Business Supplies Distributors Holdings, LLC in favor of Congress Financial Corporation (Southwest) |
| 10.37 (9) | Stock Pledge Agreement between Supplies Distributors, Inc. and Congress Financial Corporation (Southwest) |
| 10.38 (9) | First Amendment to General Security Agreement by Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc. in favor of Congress Financial Corporation (Southwest) |
| 10.39 (12) | Industrial Lease Agreement between New York Life Insurance Company and Daisytek, Inc. |
| 10.40 (12) | First Amendment to Industrial Lease Agreement between New York Life Insurance Company, Daisytek, Inc. and Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc. |
| 10.41 (12) | Second Amendment to Industrial Lease Agreement between ProLogis North Carolina Limited Partnership and Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc. |
| 10.42 (12) | Modification, Ratification and Extension of Lease between Shelby Drive Corporation and Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc. |
| 10.43 (13) | Amendment to Agreement for Inventory Financing by and among Business Supplies Distributors Holdings, LLC, Supplies Distributors, Inc., Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc., PFSweb, Inc., and IBM Credit LLC |
| 10.44 (13) | Amendment 4 to Amended and Restated Platinum Plan Agreement (with Invoice Discounting) by and among Supplies Distributors, S.A., Business Supplies Distributors B.V., PFSweb B.V., and IBM Belgium Financial Services S.A. |
| 10.45 (13) | |

Edgar Filing: PFSWEB INC - Form 10-K

Third Amended and Restated Notes Payable Subordination Agreement by and between Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc., Supplies Distributors, Inc. and IBM Credit Corporation.

- 10.46 (13) First Amendment to Loan and Security Agreement by and between Congress Financial Corporation (Southwest), as Lender and Supplies Distributors, Inc., as Borrower.
- 10.47 (13) Form of Modification to Executive Severance Agreement.
- 10.48 (14) Industrial Lease Agreement by and between Industrial Developments International, Inc. and Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc.
- 10.49 (14) Guaranty by PFSweb, Inc. in favor of Industrial Developments International, Inc.
- 10.50 (14) Lease between Fleet National Bank and Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc.
- 10.51 (14) Guaranty by PFSweb, Inc. in favor of Fleet National Bank
- 10.52 (14) Amendment No. 3 to Lease dated as of March 3, 1999 between Fleet National Bank and Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc.
- 10.53 (15) Loan Agreement between Mississippi Business Finance Corporation and Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc. dated as of November 1, 2004
- 10.54 (15) Reimbursement Agreement between Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc. and Comerica Bank
- 10.55 (15) First Amended and Restated Loan and Security Agreement by and between Comerica Bank and Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc.

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Description of Exhibits |
|---------------------------|---|
| 10.56 (15) | Remarketing Agreement between Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc. and Comerica Securities |
| 10.57 (20) | Amendment to factoring agreement dated December 12, 2005 between Supplies Distributors S.A. and Fortis Commercial Finance N.V. |
| 10.58 (21) | Fourth Amended and Restated Notes Payable Subordination Agreement by and between Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc., Supplies Distributors, Inc. and IBM Credit Corporation. |
| 10.59 (21) | Amendment 7 to Agreement for Inventory Financing. |
| 10.60 (21) | Amendment 6 to Amended and Restated Platinum Plan Agreement. |
| 10.61 (21) | Agreement for IBM Global Financing Platinum Plan Invoice Discounting Schedule. |
| 10.62 (21) | Second Amendment to Loan and Security Agreement by and between eCOST.com, Inc. and Wachovia Capital Finance Corporation (Western). |
| 10.63 (21) | Amendment 4 to Loan and Security Agreement. |
| 10.64 (21) | Guaranty by PFSweb, Inc., in favor of Wachovia Capital Finance Corporation (Western). |
| 10.65 (21) | Second Amendment to First Amended and Restated Loan and Security Agreement by and between Comerica Bank and Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc. |
| 10.66 (23) | Tenth Amendment to Lease Agreement by and between Plano Atrium, LLC and Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc. |
| 10.67 (24) | Fifth Amended and Restated Notes Payable Subordination Agreement by and between Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc., Supplies Distributors, Inc. and IBM Credit Corporation. |
| 10.68 (24) | Amendment 8 to Agreement for Inventory Financing. |
| 10.69 (24) | Fourth Amendment to the Loan and Security Agreement by and between eCOST.com, Inc. and Wachovia Capital Finance Corporation (Western). |
| 10.70 (24) | Amendment 5 to Loan and Security Agreement. |
| 10.71 (24) | Amendment 7 to Amended and Restated Platinum Plan Agreement. |
| 10.72 (24) | Agreement for IBM Global Financing Platinum Plan Invoice Discounting Schedule. |
| 10.73 (25) | Fifth Amendment to First Amended and Restated Loan and Security Agreement by and between Comerica Bank and Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc. |
| 10.74 (27) | Second Amendment to Industrial Lease Agreement by and between Industrial Property Fund VI, LLC and Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc. |

- 10.75 (29) Sixth Amended and Restated Notes Payable Subordination Agreement by and between Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc., Supplies Distributors, Inc. and IBM Credit Corporation.
- 10.76 (29) Amendment 9 to Agreement for Inventory Financing.
- 10.77 (29) Amendment 8 to Amended and Restated Platinum Plan Agreement.
- 10.78 (29) Agreement for IBM Global Financing Platinum Plan Invoice Discounting Schedule.
- 10.79 (29) Sixth Amendment to First Amended and Restated Loan and Security Agreement by and between Comerica Bank and Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc.
- 10.80 (34) Sixth Amendment to Loan and Security Agreement dated January 6, 2009 between Wachovia Bank and Supplies Distributors, Inc.
- 10.81 (34) Fifth Amendment to Loan and Security Agreement dated January 6, 2009 between Wachovia Bank and eCOST.com Inc.
- 10.82 (35) Seventh Amended and Restated Notes Payable Subordination Agreement by and between Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc., Supplies Distributors, Inc. and IBM Credit Corporation.
- 10.83 (35) Amendment 10 to Agreement for Inventory Financing.
- 10.84 (35) Amendment 9 to Amended and Restated Platinum Plan Agreement.
- 10.85 (35) Agreement for IBM Global Financing Platinum Plan Invoice Discounting Schedule.

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Description of Exhibits |
|---------------------------|---|
| 10.86 (35) | Seventh Amendment to First Amended and Restated Loan and Security Agreement by and between Comerica Bank and Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc. |
| 10.87 (36) | Amended and Restated 2005 Employee Stock and Incentive Plan of PFSweb, Inc. |
| 10.88 (36) | Amended and Restated Non-Employee Director Stock Option and Retainer Plan of PFSweb, Inc. |
| 10.89 (37) | Eighth Amended and Restated Notes Payable Subordination Agreement by and between Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc., Supplies Distributors, Inc. and IBM Credit Corporation. |
| 10.90 (37) | Amendment 11 to Agreement for Inventory Financing. |
| 10.91 (37) | Amendment 10 to Amended and Restated Platinum Plan Agreement. |
| 10.92 (37) | Agreement for IBM Global Financing Platinum Plan Invoice Discounting Schedule. |
| 10.93 (37) | Eighth Amendment to First Amended and Restated Loan and Security Agreement by and between Comerica Bank and Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc. |
| 10.94 (37) | 2010 Management Bonus Plan |
| 10.95 (40) | Asset Purchase Agreement by and between eCOST.com., PC Mall, Inc. and Mall Acquisition 3, Inc. dated as of February 17, 2011. |
| 10.96 (41) | Consent and Lien release dated as of February 17, 2011, by and between Wells Fargo Bank, National Association and eCOST.com, Inc. |
| 21 (41) | Subsidiary Listing |
| 23.1 (41) | Consent of GRANT THORNTON, LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm |
| 31.1 (41) | Certifications of Principal Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 |
| 31.2 (41) | Certifications of Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 |
| 32.1 (41) | Certifications Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| (1) | Incorporated by reference from PFSweb, Inc. Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Commission File No. 333-87657). |
| (2) | Incorporated by reference from PFSweb, Inc. Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2001 |
| (3) | Incorporated by reference from PFSweb, Inc. Form 10-Q/A for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2001 |
| (4) | Incorporated by reference from PFSweb, Inc. Form 10-K for the transition period ended December 31, 2001 |

Edgar Filing: PFSWEB INC - Form 10-K

- (5) Incorporated by reference from PFSweb, Inc. Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2002
- (6) Incorporated by reference from PFSweb, Inc. Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2002
- (7) Incorporated by reference from PFSweb, Inc. Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002
- (8) Incorporated by reference from PFSweb, Inc. Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2003
- (9) Incorporated by reference from PFSweb, Inc. Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2003
- (10) Incorporated by reference from PFSweb, Inc. Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2003
- (11) Incorporated by reference from PFSweb, Inc. Report on Form 8-K filed on November 10, 2003
- (12) Incorporated by reference from PFSweb, Inc. Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003
- (13) Incorporated by reference from PFSweb, Inc. Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2004
- (14) Incorporated by reference from PFSweb, Inc. Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2004
- (15) Incorporated by reference from PFSweb, Inc. Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.
- (16) Incorporated by reference from PFSweb, Inc. Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2005.
- (17) Incorporated by reference from PFSweb, Inc. Report on Form 8-K filed on June 14, 2005.

Table of Contents

- (18) Incorporated by reference from PFSweb, Inc. Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2005.
- (19) Incorporated by reference from PFSweb, Inc. Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 30, 2005.
- (20) Incorporated by reference from PFSweb, Inc. Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.
- (21) Incorporated by reference from PFSweb, Inc. Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2006.
- (22) Incorporated by reference from PFSweb, Inc. Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 2, 2006.
- (23) Incorporated by reference from PFSweb, Inc. Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2006.
- (24) Incorporated by reference from PFSweb, Inc. Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.
- (25) Incorporated by reference from PFSweb, Inc. Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2007.
- (26) Incorporated by reference from PFSweb, Inc. Report on Form 8-K filed on November 13, 2007.
- (27) Incorporated by reference from PFSweb, Inc. Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.
- (28) Incorporated by reference from PFSweb, Inc. Report on Form 8-K filed on May 2, 2008.
- (29) Incorporated by reference from PFSweb, Inc. Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2008.
- (30) Incorporated by reference from PFSweb, Inc. Registration Statement on Form 8-A filed on June 14, 2000.
- (31) Incorporated by reference from PFSweb, Inc. Report on Form 8K filed on May 30, 2008.
- (32) Incorporated by reference from PFSweb, Inc. Report on Form 8-K filed on June 2, 2008.
- (33) Incorporated by reference from PFSweb, Inc. Report on Form 8-K filed on January 6, 2009.
- (34) Incorporated by reference from PFSweb, Inc. Report on Form 8-K filed on January 9, 2009.
- (35) Incorporated by reference from PFSweb, Inc. Form 10-Q filed on May 15, 2009.
- (36) Incorporated by reference from PFSweb, Inc. Form 10-Q filed on August 14, 2009.
- (37) Incorporated by reference from PFSweb, Inc. Form 10-Q filed on May 17, 2010.
- (38) Incorporated by reference from PFSweb, Inc. Report on Form 8-K filed on May 25, 2010.
- (39) Incorporated by reference from PFSweb, Inc. Report on Form 8-K filed on July 2, 2010.
- (40) Incorporated by reference from PFSweb, Inc. Report on Form 8-K filed on February 23, 2011.
- (41) Filed herewith.

Table of Contents**SCHEDULE I**

PFSWEB, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT
BALANCE SHEETS PARENT COMPANY ONLY
(In thousands)

| | December 31, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| ASSETS: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 5,006 | \$ 182 |
| Receivable from Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc. | 5,394 | 5,390 |
| Receivable from eCOST.com, Inc. | 7,400 | 4,950 |
| Investment in subsidiaries | 11,550 | 18,831 |
| | | |
| Total assets | \$ 29,350 | \$ 29,353 |
| | | |
| LIABILITIES: | | |
| Total liabilities | \$ | \$ |
| | | |
| SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY: | | |
| Preferred stock | | |
| Common stock | 12 | 10 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 101,229 | 93,152 |
| Accumulated deficit | (73,332) | (65,963) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 1,526 | 2,239 |
| Treasury stock | (85) | (85) |
| | | |
| Total shareholders equity | 29,350 | 29,353 |
| | | |
| Total liabilities and shareholders equity | \$ 29,350 | \$ 29,353 |

The condensed financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes.

Table of Contents**SCHEDULE I**

PFSWEB, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT
STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS PARENT COMPANY ONLY
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31
(In thousands)

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| EQUITY IN NET LOSS OF CONSOLIDATED SUBSIDIARIES | \$(7,369) | \$(4,570) |
| NET LOSS | \$(7,369) | \$(4,570) |

The condensed financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes.

Table of Contents**SCHEDULE I**

PFSWEB, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT
STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS PARENT COMPANY ONLY
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31
(In thousands)

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Net loss | \$ (7,369) | \$ (4,570) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Equity in net loss of consolidated subsidiaries | 7,369 | 4,570 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | | |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock | 7,270 | 17 |
| Increase in receivable from eCOST.com, Inc. | (2,450) | (250) |
| Increase (decrease) in payable due to PFSweb BV SPRL | | (737) |
| Increase (decrease) in receivable from Priority Fulfillment Services, Inc., net | (6) | 234 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 4,814 | (736) |
| EFFECT OF EXCHANGE RATES ON CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | 10 | (8) |
| NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH | 4,824 | (744) |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of period | 182 | 926 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of period | \$ 5,006 | \$ 182 |

The condensed financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes.

Table of Contents

SCHEDULE II

PFSWEB, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31
(Amounts in thousands)

| | Balance at Beginning of Period | Additions Charges to Cost and Expenses | Charges to Other Accounts | Deductions | Balance at End of Period |
|--|--|---|---------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------|
| Year Ended December 31, 2009: Allowance for doubtful accounts | \$ 980 | 147 | | (154) | \$ 973 |
| Year Ended December 31, 2010: Allowance for doubtful accounts | \$ 973 | 156 73 | | (375) | \$ 754 |

Table of Contents**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

By: /s/ Thomas J. Madden
 Thomas J. Madden,
*Executive Vice President and
 Chief Financial and Accounting Officer*

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| Signature | Title | Date |
|-----------------------|---|----------------|
| /s/ Mark C. Layton | Chairman of the Board, President and | March 31, 2011 |
| Mark C. Layton | Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer) | |
| /s/ Thomas J. Madden | Executive Vice President and Chief | March 31, 2011 |
| Thomas J. Madden | Financial and Accounting Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer) | |
| /s/ Neil Jacobs | Director | March 31, 2011 |
| Dr. Neil Jacobs | | |
| /s/ Timothy M. Murray | Director | March 31, 2011 |
| Timothy M. Murray | | |
| /s/ James F. Reilly | Director | March 31, 2011 |
| James F. Reilly | | |
| /s/ David I. Beatson | Director | March 31, 2011 |
| David I. Beatson | | |