

MURPHY OIL CORP /DE  
Form DEFA14A  
April 28, 2014

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of  
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to 14a-111 or

Rule 14a-12

Confidential, for Use of the Commission

Only (as permitted by Rule 14-a6(e)(2))

MURPHY OIL CORPORATION  
(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

- (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
- (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
- (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
- (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of the transaction:
- (5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Edgar Filing: MURPHY OIL CORP /DE - Form DEFA14A

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

---

- (1) Amount Previously Paid:
  - (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
  - (3) Filing Party:
  - (4) Date Filed:
-

## MURPHY OIL CORPORATION

The following information supplements information contained in Murphy Oil Corporation's Definitive Proxy Statement dated March 21, 2014. In connection with Murphy Oil's Annual Meeting of Shareholders on May 14, 2014, Murphy Oil Corporation is communicating the information below to its shareholders commencing on April 28, 2014.

April 28, 2014

Dear Shareholders:

By now, you should have received our Notice of 2014 Annual Meeting and related Proxy Statement (the "proxy statement"). You can also view our proxy statement at: <https://www.proxyvote.com> or <http://ir.murphyoilcorp.com/phoenix.zhtml?c=61237&p=irol-reportsAnnual>.

We are writing to supplement certain information in the proxy statement as follows:

Page 19 of the proxy statement:

The following information includes the actual results and payouts achieved with respect to the annual incentive performance goals for the NEOs.

For 2013, the performance criteria include a mixture of ROCE, a safety metric, and operating metrics generally reflecting the individual's responsibility. With respect to the NEO's, the following tables summarize the performance metrics, actual results, payout achieved, respective weighting of performance metrics and weighted performance scores based on actual performance, used in determining their respective annual incentive awards utilizing the payout range for NEOs.

For Mr. Cossé, Mr. Fitzgerald, Mr. McKinlay, Mr. Stobaugh and Mr. Eckart:

| Metric   | Target   | Results  | Payout Achieved | Weighting | Payout   |
|--|----------|----------|-----------------|-----------|----------|
| ROCE   | 8.00 %   | 9.13 %   | 169.14 %        | 50.00 %   | 84.57 %  |
| Total Recordable Incident Rate                   | 0.90     | 0.75     | 188.00 %        | 10.00 %   | 18.75 %  |
| Production (BOEPD)                               | 193,768  | 196,592  | 134.10 %        | 20.00 %   | 26.82 %  |
| Reserves Replacement                             | 150.00 % | 240.00 % | 250.00 %        | 10.00 %   | 25.00 %  |
| US Downstream Return on Average Capital Employed | 9.00 %   | 13.80 %  | 250.00 %        | 10.00 %   | 25.00 %  |
| Total  |          |          |                 |           | 180.14 % |

For Mr. Jenkins:

| Metric                                  | Target   | Results  | Payout Achieved | Weighting | Payout   |
|---|----------|----------|-----------------|-----------|----------|
| ROCE                                    | 8.00 %   | 9.13 %   | 169.14 %        | 30.00 %   | 50.74 %  |
| Upstream Total Recordable Incident Rate | 0.78     | 0.50     | 175.00 %        | 10.00 %   | 17.50 %  |
| Production (BOEPD)                      | 193,768  | 196,592  | 134.10 %        | 40.00 %   | 53.64 %  |
| Reserves Replacement                    | 150.00 % | 240.00 % | 250.00 %        | 20.00 %   | 50.00 %  |
| Total                                   |          |          |                 |           | 171.88 % |

Actual amounts awarded are disclosed on page 19 of the proxy statement.

Pages 27-28 of the proxy statement:

The following should be read in conjunction with the information provided in respect of the “2013 PENSION BENEFITS TABLE” and to supplement the information provided in notes (1) and (2) to such table:

---

At the time of Mr. Cossé's original retirement in 2011, he was granted two years of additional service credit. As a prior employee of ODECO (a former Company subsidiary which sponsored a qualified retirement plan that was merged with the Company's Retirement Plan on April 1, 1992), Mr. Cosse was subject to a two-year waiting period under the ODECO plan which was not recognized at the time of the merger. The additional service was recognized solely under the Company's non-qualified SERP as it could not be recognized under the qualified Retirement Plan. This additional service was previously disclosed in the Company's 2013 proxy statement. Mr. Cosse was not granted any extra years of service upon his subsequent retirement on December 31, 2013.

When Mr. Cossé became re-employed in 2012, payments to him under the Company's Retirement Plan were suspended, as required by the Retirement Plan. The Company also suspended SERP benefits to him at that time. The Company subsequently determined in late 2013 that the suspension of SERP benefits was an administrative error, was not required by the SERP and violated Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code which resulted in the imposition of penalty tax on Mr. Cossé. Because the tax penalty was the result of a Company administrative error, the Company reimbursed Mr. Cossé for this penalty. In order to prevent similar tax law violations in the future, the Company amended its SERP to preclude suspension of SERP benefits during a period of a participant's subsequent re-employment.

---