Synacor, Inc. Form SC 13D/A March 05, 2019

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20549

SCHEDULE 13D (Rule 13d-101)

INFORMATION TO BE INCLUDED IN STATEMENTS FILED PURSUANT TO RULE 13d-1(a) AND AMENDMENTS THERETO FILED PURSUANT TO RULE 13d-2(a)

(Amendment No. 1)¹

Synacor, Inc. (Name of Issuer)

Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share (Title of Class of Securities)

871561106 (CUSIP Number)

DANIEL B. WOLFE 180 DEGREE CAPITAL CORP. 7 N. Willow Street, Suite 4B Montclair, NJ 07042 Telephone: 973-746-4500

(Name, Address and Telephone Number of Person Authorized to Receive Notices and Communications)

March 1, 2019

(Date of Event which Requires Filing of this Statement)

If the filing person has previously filed a statement on Schedule 13G to report the acquisition that is the subject of this Schedule 13D, and is filing this schedule because of Rule 13d-1(e), 13d-1(f) or 13d-1(g), check the following box.

Note. Schedules filed in paper format shall include a signed original and five copies of the schedule, including all exhibits. See Rule 13d-7 for other parties to whom copies are to be sent.

¹ The remainder of this cover page shall be filled out for a reporting person's initial filing on this form with respect to the subject class of securities, and for any subsequent amendment containing information which would alter disclosures provided in a prior cover page.

The information required on the remainder of this cover page shall not be deemed to be "filed" for the purpose of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that section of the Act but shall be subject to all other provisions of the Act (however, see the Notes).

CUSIP No. 871561106

NAME OF REPORTING PERSONS: 1 180 Degree Capital Corp. CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF A MEMBER OF A GROUP (a) " (b) " 3 SEC USE ONLY SOURCE OF FUNDS 4 WC, OO CHECK IF DISCLOSURE OF LEGAL PROCEEDINGS IS REQUIRED PURSUANT TO ITEM 2(d) OR 2(e) " CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF **ORGANIZATION** New York **SOLE VOTING POWER** SHARED VOTING POWER SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER ED DISPOSITIVE POWER ,839,206 AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY 11 EACH REPORTING PERSON 2,839,206 CHECK BOX IF THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT IN ROW 12(11) EXCLUDES CERTAIN SHARES " 13 PERCENT OF CLASS REPRESENTED BY AMOUNT IN

ROW (11)

7.3% TYPE OF REPORTING PERSON 14 IV

CUSIP No. 871561106

The following constitutes Amendment No. 1 to the Schedule 13D filed by the undersigned ("Amendment No. 1"). This Amendment No. 1 amends the Schedule 13D as specifically set forth herein.

Item 3. Source and Amount of Funds or Other Consideration.

Item 3 is hereby amended and restated to read as follows:

The aggregate purchase price of the 2,839,206 shares of Common Stock of the Issuer is \$6,553,509 including brokerage commissions. The source of funds for acquiring the foregoing shares of Common Stock was working capital of and funds managed by the Reporting Person.

Item 4. Purpose of the Transaction.

Item 4 is hereby amended to add the following:

On March 1, 2019, the Reporting Persons entered into an Agreement with the Issuer (the "Agreement") regarding the composition of the Issuer's Board of Directors (the "Board") and certain other matters. The following description of the Agreement is qualified in its entirety by reference to the Agreement, which is attached as Exhibit 99.1 hereto and is incorporated herein by reference. Pursuant to the terms of the Agreement, the Issuer agreed to immediately (i) increase the size of the Board from eight (8) to nine (9) members and (ii) appoint Kevin M. Rendino (the "180 Degree Designee") to the Board as a Class III director, with an initial term that comes up for re-election at the Issuer's 2020 annual meeting of stockholders (the "2020 Annual Meeting"). The Issuer also agreed, among other things, to recommend, support and solicit proxies for the election of the 180 Degree Designee in the same manner as it recommends, supports and solicits proxies for the election of the Issuer's other nominees at any annual or special meeting of stockholders at which such 180 Degree Designee (or any replacement thereof) comes up for re-election to the Board.

The Agreement also provides that if the 180 Degree Designee, including any replacement thereof, is unable or unwilling to serve as a director, resigns as a director or is removed as a director prior to the expiration of the Standstill Period (as defined below) and at such time the Reporting Persons' combined economic and beneficial ownership (as determined under Rule 13d-3 promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) of shares is at least the lesser of (i) five percent (5.0%) of the Issuer's then outstanding shares and (ii) 1,950,015 shares (subject to adjustment for stock splits, reclassifications, combinations and similar adjustments), then 180 Degree Capital Corp. ("180 Degree" or "180") has the ability to recommend a substitute person to serve on the Board in accordance with the terms of the Agreement.

Pursuant to the terms of the Agreement, the Reporting Persons agreed, among other things: (i) not to nominate or recommend for nomination any person for election at, or submit any proposal for consideration at, or bring any other business before, any annual or special meeting of stockholders of the Issuer held during the Standstill Period (an "Applicable Meeting"); (ii) not to initiate, encourage or participate in any "withhold" or similar campaign with respect to any Applicable Meeting; and (iii) to appear in person or by proxy at any Applicable Meeting and vote all shares beneficially owned by the Reporting Persons in favor of any proposal supported by a majority of the Board; provided, however, that 180 Degree shall have the right to vote in its sole discretion with respect to any Extraordinary Transaction (as defined below).

The Reporting Persons also agreed to certain customary standstill provisions, effective as of the date of the Agreement through the date that is ten (10) days prior to the deadline for the submission of stockholder nominations for the election of directors at the 2020 Annual Meeting pursuant to the Issuer's Bylaws; provided that if the Issuer offers to nominate the 180 Degree Designee (or any replacement thereof) for re-election at the 2020 Annual Meeting (which

offer shall be made by the Issuer not less than ten (10) days prior to the expiration of the Standstill Period), then the Standstill Period shall be automatically extended to the day following the 2020 Annual Meeting; provided, further, that if the Standstill Period is extended as such, and if any of the Reporting Persons, its Affiliates or Associates takes any action with any Third Party (as defined in the Agreement) in connection with, or advises any Third Party that it is considering, conducting a proxy contest at any annual or special meeting of stockholders of the Issuer held during the Standstill Period, or provides the Issuer with a notice of nomination of director(s) at any Applicable Meeting, concurrently with such event the 180 Degree Designee (or any replacement thereof) will resign from the Board.

Pursuant to the terms of the Agreement, during the Standstill Period, the Reporting Person agreed not to, among other things, (i) solicit proxies regarding any matter to come before any Applicable Meeting; (ii) enter into a voting agreement or any group with stockholders other than the Reporting Persons' affiliates and current group members; (iii) effect or seek to effect, offer or propose to effect, cause or participate in, or assist or facilitate any other person to effect or seek, offer or propose to effect or participate in, any tender or exchange offer, merger, acquisition, recapitalization, restructuring, disposition, distribution, spin-off, asset sale,

joint venture or other business combination involving the Issuer or any of its Affiliates (each, an "Extraordinary Transaction"); or (iv) acquire beneficial ownership of any Common Stock or other equity securities of the Issuer in excess of 10.0% of the Issuer's then outstanding shares of Common Stock.

On March 5, 2019, the Issuer and the Reporting Persons jointly issued a mutually agreeable press release to announce their entry into the Agreement.

Item 5. Interest in the Securities of the Issuer

(a)(b) The Reporting Person may be deemed to beneficially own, has the power to vote or direct the vote of, and the power to dispose or direct the disposition of in the aggregate 2,839,206 shares of Common Stock of the Issuer, which is the total number of shares of Common Stock outstanding as reported in the Issuer's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 9, 2018.

As of the close of business on March 4, 2019, 180.2 SPV Series - a Series of 180 Degree Capital Management, LLC ("180.2 SPV") beneficially owned 1,241,400 shares of Common Stock, constituting approximately 4.1% of the shares of Common Stock outstanding. As of the close of business on March 4, 2019, 180 beneficially owned 1,597,806 shares of Common Stock. 180, as the Investment Manager and Managing Member of 180.2 SPV, may also be deemed to beneficially own the 1,241,400 shares of Common Stock owned by 180.2 SPV, constituting approximately 3.2% of the shares of Common Stock outstanding.

(c) The following shares of Common Stock of the Issuer were acquired in open market purchases within 60 days of the filing date of this statement:

Class of Security Shares Purchased / (Sold) Price (\$) Date of Purchase / Sale

180 DEGREE CAPITAL CORP.

Purchase of Common Stock 40,702 1.4888 12/31/2018 Purchase of Common Stock 2,500 1.5850 1/4/2019

- (d) No person other than the Reporting Persons is known to have the right to receive, or the power to direct the receipt of dividends from, or proceeds from the sale of, the shares of Common Stock.
- (e) Not applicable.
- Item 6. Contracts, Arrangements, Understandings or Relationships with Respect to Securities of the Issuer.

Item 6 is hereby amended to add the following:

On March 1, 2019, the Reporting Persons and the Issuer entered into the Agreement defined and described in Item 4 above, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Other than as described herein, there are no contracts, arrangements, understandings or relationships among the Reporting Persons, or between the Reporting Persons and any other person, with respect to the securities of the Issuer.

Item 7. Material to be Filed as Exhibits

99.1 Agreement, by and among 180 Degree Capital Corp. and Synacor, Inc., dated March 1, 2019 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Issuer's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 5, 2019).

SIGNATURE

After reasonable inquiry and to the best of his knowledge and belief, the undersigned certifies that the information set forth in this statement is true, complete and correct.

Dated: March 5, 2019

180 DEGREE CAPITAL CORP.

By: /s/ Daniel B. Wolfe Name: Daniel B. Wolfe

Title: President

SCHEDULE A

Directors and Exe	cutive Officers of 180 Degree Capital Corp.			
Name	Position and Present Principal Occupation	Principal Business Address	Citizenship	
Kevin M.	Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief	7 N. Willow Street, Suite 4B,	USA	
Rendino	Executive Officer	Montclair, NJ 07042	USA	
Daniel B. Wolfe	Director, President, Chief Financial Officer and	7 N. Willow Street, Suite 4B,	USA	
Daniel B. Wolle	Chief Compliance Officer	Montclair, NJ 07042	USA	
Alicia M. Gift	Saniar Controllar and Sagratary	7 N. Willow Street, Suite 4B,	USA	
Alicia Wi. Gilt	Senior Controller and Secretary	Montclair, NJ 07042		
Robert E.	Vice President of Fund Davelemment	7 N. Willow Street, Suite 4B,	USA	
Bigelow, III	Vice President of Fund Development	Montclair, NJ 07042	USA	
		c/o 180 Degree Capital Corp.		
Stacy R. Brandom	Independent Director 7 N. Willow Street, Suite 4B,		USA	
		Montclair, NJ 07042		
Charles E		c/o 180 Degree Capital Corp.		
Charles E.	Independent Director	7 N. Willow Street, Suite 4B,	USA	
Ramsey		Montclair, NJ 07042		
Diahand D		c/o 180 Degree Capital Corp.		
Richard P.	Independent Director	7 N. Willow Street, Suite 4B,	USA	
Shanley		Montclair, NJ 07042		
		c/o 180 Degree Capital Corp.		
Parker A. Weil	Independent Director	7 N. Willow Street, Suite 4B,	USA	
	-	Montclair, NJ 07042		
Parker A. Weil	Independent Director	7 N. Willow Street, Suite 4B,	USA	

>Employees

As of December 31, 2006, we had a total of 128 employees: 64 engaged in engineering; 33 in sales and marketing; 17 in management and administration; seven in manufacturing and seven in customer support. We utilize temporary labor to assist during peak periods of manufacturing volume. We believe that our future success will depend in large part upon our continuing ability to attract and retain highly skilled managerial, sales, marketing, customer support, research and development personnel and consulting staff. Like other software companies, we face intense competition for such personnel, and we have at times experienced and continue to experience difficulty in recruiting qualified personnel. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in attracting, assimilating and retaining other qualified personnel in the future. We are not subject to any collective bargaining agreement and we believe that our relationships with our employees are good.

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

Our future operating results are highly uncertain. Before deciding to invest in our common stock or to maintain or increase your investment, you should carefully consider the risks described below, in addition to the other information contained in this report and in our other filings with the SEC, including our reports on Forms 10-K, 10-Q and 8-K. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial may also affect our business operations. If any of these risks actually occur, that could seriously harm our business, financial condition or results of operations. In that event, the market price for our common stock could decline and you may lose all or part of your investment.

We depend upon a single customer for a significant portion of our total net revenues.

Our largest customer, Verizon Wireless, accounted for 74.4% and 57.1% of our net revenues in the years ended December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. In the past, we have derived a substantial portion of our revenues from sales to a small number of customers, including Verizon Wireless, and expect to continue to do so in the future. This concentration may increase in future quarters, particularly if our relationship with one major customer makes it more difficult to sell to its competitors. In that event, our revenue growth opportunities may be limited. The agreements we have with these customers are no-exclusive and do not require them to purchase any minimum quantity of our products and may be terminated by the customer or us at any time for any reason upon minimal prior written notice. These customers may not continue to place large orders for our products in the future, or purchase our products at all. If these customers fail to purchase our products at current levels, terminate or decide not to renew their agreements with us, or if the terms of our future agreements with them are less favorable to us, our revenues could decline.

Our customers may acquire products from our competitors or develop their own products that compete directly with ours. In addition, our customers may adopt new and emerging technologies that we are unable to serve effectively or that are served by more established competitors. Any substantial decrease or delay in our sales to one or more of these customers, particularly Verizon Wireless, in any quarter would have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. In addition, certain of our customers have in the past and may in the future acquire competitors in their industry or be acquired by competitors in their industry, causing further industry consolidation. In the past, such acquisitions have caused the purchasing departments of the combined companies to reevaluate their purchasing decisions. If one of our major customers engages in an acquisition transaction in the future, the resulting entity could change its current purchasing habits. As a result, we could lose the customer, or experience a decrease in orders from or profit margins related to that customer or a delay in orders previously made by that customer. Further, although we maintain allowances for doubtful accounts, the insolvency of one or more of our major customers could result in a substantial decrease in our revenues.

Our quarterly revenues and operating results are difficult to predict and could fall below analyst or investor expectations, which could cause the price of our common stock to fall.

Our quarterly revenue and operating results have fluctuated significantly in the past and may continue to vary from quarter to quarter due to a number of factors, many of which are not within our control. If our operating results do not meet the expectations of securities analysts or investors, our stock price may decline. Fluctuations in our operating results may be due to a number of factors, including the following:

the gain or loss of a key customer;

the size and timing of orders from and shipments to our major customers;

the size and timing of any return product requests for our products;

11

our ability to maintain or increase gross margins;

variations in our sales channels or the mix of our product sales;

our ability to anticipate market needs and to identify, develop, complete, introduce, market and produce new products and technologies in a timely manner to address those needs;

the availability and pricing of competing products and technologies and the resulting effect on sales and pricing of our products;

acquisitions;

the effect of new and emerging technologies;

the timing of acceptance of new mobile services by users of our customers services;

deferrals of orders by our customers in anticipation of new products, applications, product enhancements or operating systems; and

general economic and market conditions.

We have difficulty predicting the volume and timing of orders. In any given quarter, our sales have involved, and we expect will continue to involve, large financial commitments from a relatively small number of customers. As a result, the cancellation or deferral of even a small number of orders would reduce our revenues, which would adversely affect our quarterly financial performance. Also, we have often booked a large amount of our sales in the last month of the quarter and often in the last week of that month. Accordingly, delays in the closing of sales near the end of a quarter could cause quarterly revenues to fall substantially short of anticipated levels. Significant sales may also occur earlier than expected, which could cause operating results for later quarters to compare unfavorably with operating results from earlier quarters.

A large portion of our operating expenses, including rent, depreciation and amortization is fixed and difficult to reduce or change. Accordingly, if our total revenue does not meet our expectations, we may not be able to adjust our expenses quickly enough to compensate for the shortfall in revenue. In that event, our business, financial condition and results of operations would be materially and adversely affected.

Due to all of the foregoing factors, and the other risks discussed in this report, you should not rely on quarter-to-quarter comparisons of our operating results as an indication of future performance.

Our total net revenues currently depend on a small number of products, so our operating results are vulnerable to unexpected shifts in demand.

Substantially all of our total net revenue in recent years was derived from sales of our wireless connectivity software products until the third quarter of 2005, when we began including sales of products acquired in the Allume Systems, Inc. acquisition. In addition, during 2006, a substantial portion of our total revenue has been derived from sales of our music management software, particularly sales of V CAST Music Essentials Kit for Verizon Wireless. Although we have introduced new products in recent quarters and our strategy is to continue to introduce new products, these efforts may not reduce the extent to which our total revenues are dependent on one or more of our products in future periods.

We also derive a significant portion of our revenues from a few vertical markets. In particular, our music management software products are primarily sold to wireless carriers. In order to sustain and grow our business, we must continue to sell our software products into these vertical markets. Shifts in the dynamics of these vertical markets, such as new product introductions by our competitors, could seriously harm our results of operations, financial condition and prospects.

12

To increase our sales outside our core vertical markets, for example to large enterprises, requires us to devote time and resources to hire and train sales employees familiar with those industries. Even if we are successful in hiring and training sales teams, customers in other vertical markets may not need or sufficiently value our current products or new product introductions.

Competition within our target markets is intense and includes numerous established competitors, which could negatively affect our revenues and results of operations.

We operate in markets that are extremely competitive and subject to rapid changes in technology. Specifically, Microsoft Corporation poses a significant competitive threat to us because Microsoft operating systems may include some capabilities now provided by certain of our OEM and retail software products. If users are satisfied relying on the capabilities of the Windows-based systems or other operating systems, or other vendors products, sales of our products are likely to decline. In addition, because there are low barriers to entry into the software markets in which we participate and may participate in the future, we expect significant competition from both established and emerging software companies in the future. In fact, our growth opportunities in new product markets could be limited to the extent established and emerging software companies enter or have entered those markets. Furthermore, our existing and potential OEM customers may acquire or develop products that compete directly with our products.

Microsoft and many of our other current and prospective competitors have significantly greater financial, marketing, service, support, technical and other resources than we do. As a result, they may be able to adapt more quickly than us to new or emerging technologies and changes in customer requirements or to devote greater resources to the promotion and sale of their products. Announcements of competing products by large competitors such as Microsoft or other vendors could result in the cancellation of orders by customers in anticipation of the introduction of such new products. In addition, some of our competitors currently make complementary products that are sold separately. Such competitors could decide to enhance their competitive position by bundling their products to attract customers seeking integrated, cost-effective software applications. Some competitors have a retail emphasis and offer OEM products with a reduced set of features. The opportunity for retail upgrade sales may induce these and other competitors to make OEM products available at their own cost or even at a loss. We also expect competition to increase as a result of software industry consolidations, which may lead to the creation of additional large and well-financed competitors. Increased competition is likely to result in price reductions, fewer customer orders, reduced margins and loss of market share.

Acquisitions of companies or technologies may disrupt our business and divert management attention and cause our current operations to suffer.

In the past eighteen months we acquired Allume Systems, Inc. and PhoTags, Inc., As part of any such acquisition, including those of Allume and PhoTags, we will be required to assimilate the operations, products and personnel of the acquired businesses and train, retain and motivate key personnel from the acquired businesses. We may not be able to maintain uniform standards, controls, procedures and policies if we fail in these efforts. Similarly, acquisitions may cause disruptions in our operations and divert management s attention from our company s day-to-day operations, which could impair our relationships with our current employees, customers and strategic partners. Acquisitions may also subject us to liabilities and risks that are not known or identifiable at the time of the acquisition.

We may also have to incur debt or issue equity securities in order to finance future acquisitions. Our financial condition could be harmed to the extent we incur substantial debt or use significant amounts of our cash resources in acquisitions. The issuance of equity securities for any acquisition could be substantially dilutive to our existing stockholders. In addition, we expect our profitability could be adversely affected because of acquisition-related accounting costs, write offs amortization expenses and charges related to acquired intangible assets. In consummating acquisitions, we are also subject to risks of entering geographic and business markets in which we have had limited or no prior experience. If we are unable to fully integrate acquired businesses, products or technologies within existing operations, we may not receive the intended benefits of acquisitions.

13

We have recently entered new, emerging markets in which we have limited experience; if these markets do not develop or we are unable to otherwise succeed in them, our revenues will suffer and the price of our common stock will likely decline.

Our recent product introductions, such as StuffIt Wireless, a technology for file compression, Active Images, an image-enhancement platform designed for portable devices, and the technology that underlies our QuickLink Music service, have allowed us to enter the digital image and music management markets. We have a short operating history in these emerging markets and limited experience with image and music management software and platforms. A viable market for these products may not develop or be sustainable, and we may face intense competition in these markets. In addition, our success in these markets depends on our carrier customers—ability to successfully introduce new mobile services enabled by our products and our ability to broaden our carrier customer base, which we believe will be difficult and time-consuming. If the expected benefits from entering new markets do not materialize, our revenues will suffer and the price of our common stock would likely decline. In addition, to the extent we enter new markets through acquisitions of companies or technologies, our financial condition could be harmed or our stockholders could suffer dilution without a corresponding benefit to our company if we do not realize expected benefits of entering such new markets.

If the adoption of and investments in new technologies and services grows more slowly than anticipated in our product planning and development, our operating results, financial condition and prospects may be negatively affected.

If the adoption of and investments in new technologies and services does not grow or grows more slowly than anticipated, we will not obtain the anticipated returns from our planning and development investments. For example, our new QuickLink Mobile and QuickLink Enterprise products provide notebook users with the ability to roam between wireless wide area networks and Wi-Fi hot spots. In addition, our new QuickLink Music product enables users to download music files from a carrier s music store, organize their music library and upload songs to mobile devices. Future sales and any future profits from these and related products are substantially dependent upon the acceptance and use of Wi-Fi, and on the continued adoption of music-capable mobile devices.

Many of our customers and other communications service providers have made and continue to make major investments in next generation networks that are intended to support more complex applications. If communications service providers delay their deployment of networks or fail to deploy such networks successfully, demand for our products could decline, which would adversely affect our revenues. Also, to the extent we devote substantial resources and incur significant expenses to enable our products to be interoperable with new networks that have failed or have been delayed or not deployed, our operating results, financial condition and prospects may be negatively affected. Our growth depends in part on our carrier customers ability and willingness to promote services and attract and retain new customers or achieve other goals outside of our control.

We sell our products for use on handheld devices primarily through our carrier customers. Losing the support of these customers may limit our ability to compete in existing and potential markets and could negatively affect our revenues. In addition, the success of these customers and their ability and willingness to market services supported by our products are critical to our future success. Our ability to generate revenues from sales of our software, including from Verizon Wireless s use of our software in its V CAST Music Essentials Kit for Verizon Wireless, is also constrained by our carrier customers ability to attract and retain customers. We have no input into or influence upon their marketing efforts and sales and customer retention activities. If our carrier customers, particularly our largest customer, Verizon Wireless, fail to maintain or grow demand for their services, revenues or revenue growth from of our products designed for use on mobile devices will decline and our results of operations will suffer.

14

Our gross margins may continue to decline due to shifts in our sales mix.

Gross margins associated with revenues from our data and music kits are significantly lower than gross margins associated with revenues from sales of our software. This is primarily due to the fact that such kits contain hardware that must be purchased from third parties. As we have expanded our sales of these kits, our overall gross margins have declined from a high of 83% in the third quarter of 2005 to 68% in the fourth quarter of 2006. Our future gross margin could fluctuate based on the mix of products sold in a quarter.

Our products may contain undetected software defects, which could negatively affect our revenues.

Our software products are complex and may contain undetected defects. In the past, we have discovered software defects in certain of our products and have experienced delayed or lost revenues during the period it took to correct these problems. Although we and our OEM customers test our products, it is possible that errors may be found or occur in our new or existing products after we have commenced commercial shipment of those products. Defects, whether actual or perceived, could result in adverse publicity, loss of revenues, product returns, delay in market acceptance of our products, loss of competitive position or claims against us by customers. Any such problems could be costly to remedy and could cause interruptions, delays, or cessation of our product sales, which could cause us to lose existing or prospective customers and could negatively affect our results of operations.

Technology and customer needs change rapidly in our market, which could render our products obsolete and negatively affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our success depends on our ability to anticipate and adapt to changes in technology and industry standards. We will also need to continue to develop and introduce new and enhanced products to meet our target markets—changing demands, keep up with evolving industry standards, including changes in the Microsoft operating systems with which our products are designed to be compatible, and to promote those products successfully. The communications and utilities software markets in which we operate are characterized by rapid technological change, changing customer needs, frequent new product introductions, evolving industry standards and short product life cycles. Any of these factors could render our existing products obsolete and unmarketable. In addition, new products and product enhancements can require long development and testing periods as a result of the complexities inherent in today s computing environments and the performance demanded by customers and called for by evolving wireless networking technologies. If our target markets do not develop as we anticipate, our products do not gain widespread acceptance in these markets, or we are unable to develop new versions of our software products that can operate on future wireless networks and PC and mobile device operating systems and interoperate with other popular applications, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

Delays or failure in deliveries from our third-party suppliers could cause our net revenue to decline and harm our results of operations.

We rely on third party suppliers to provide us with services and components for our product kits. These components include: compact discs; cables; printed manuals; and boxes. We do not have long-term supply arrangements with any vendor to obtain these necessary services and components for our products. If we are unable to purchase components from these suppliers or if the compact disc replication services that we use do not deliver our requirements on schedule, we may not be able to deliver products to our customers on a timely basis or enter into new orders because of a shortage in components. Any delays that we experience in delivering our products to customers could impair our customer relationships and adversely impact our reputation and our business. In addition, if our third party suppliers raise their prices for components or services, our gross margins would be reduced.

15

A shortage in the supply of wireless communication devices such as PC cards could adversely affect our revenues.

Our products are utilized with major wireless networks throughout the world that support data communications through the use of wireless communication devices such as PC cards. Because wireless network providers generally incorporate our products into the wireless communication devices that they sell directly to individual consumers, our future success depends upon the availability of such devices to consumers at reasonable prices. A shortage in the supply of wireless communication devices could put upward pressure on prices or limit the quantities available to individual consumers which could materially affect the revenues that we generate from our products.

If our products are not designed into customer products, our products may not be adopted by our target markets and customers, either of which could negatively impact our results of operations.

Some of our products must be incorporated into our customers products at the design stage. As a result, we rely on OEM customers to select our products to be designed into their products. We may devote significant resources and incur significant expense on the development of a new product without any assurance that an OEM customer will select our product for design into its own product. Once an OEM customer designs a competitor s product into its product offering, it becomes significantly more difficult for us to sell our products to that customer because changing vendors involves significant cost, time, effort and risk for the customer. In addition, such design decisions are typically only made when a new system configuration is introduced, which may occur infrequently. Our development expenses may never be recovered and our results of operations and financial condition will be seriously harmed if our products are not selected at the design stage. Even if our products are selected at the design stage, sales of such products are outside of our control and we may never earn material revenues from such product sales.

Regulations affecting our customers and us and future regulations to which they or we may become subject may harm our business.

Certain of our customers in the communications industry are subject to regulation by the Federal Communications Commission, which could have an indirect effect on our business. In addition, the United States telecommunications industry has been subject to continuing deregulation since 1984. We cannot predict when, or upon what terms and conditions, further regulation or deregulation might occur or the effect regulation or deregulation may have on demand for our products from customers in the communications industry. Demand for our products may be indirectly affected by regulations imposed upon potential users of those products, which may increase our costs and expenses.

We may be unable to adequately protect our intellectual property and other proprietary rights, which could negatively impact our revenues.

Our success is dependent upon our software code base, our programming methodologies and other intellectual properties and proprietary rights. In order to protect our proprietary technology, we rely on a combination of trade secret, nondisclosure and copyright and trademark law. We currently own United States trademark registrations for certain of our trademarks and United States patents for certain of our technologies. However, these measures afford us only limited protection. Furthermore, we rely primarily on shrink wrap licenses that are not signed by the end user and, therefore, may be unenforceable under the laws of certain jurisdictions. Accordingly, it is possible that third parties may copy or otherwise obtain our rights without our authorization. It is also possible that third parties may independently develop technologies similar to ours. It may be difficult for us to detect unauthorized use of our intellectual property and proprietary rights.

We may be subject to claims of intellectual property infringement as the number of trademarks, patents, copyrights and other intellectual property rights asserted by companies in our industry grows and the coverage of these patents and other rights and the functionality of software products increasingly overlap. From time to time, we have received communications from third parties asserting that our trade name or features, content, or trademarks of certain of our products infringe upon intellectual property rights held by such third parties. We have also received correspondence from third parties separately asserting that our fax products may infringe on certain patents held by

16

each of the parties. Although we are not aware that any of our products infringe on the proprietary rights of others, third parties may claim infringement by us with respect to our current or future products. Additionally, our customer agreements require that we indemnify our customers for infringement claims made by third parties involving our intellectual property embedded in their products. Infringement claims, whether with or without merit, could result in time-consuming and costly litigation, divert the attention of our management, cause product shipment delays or require us to enter into royalty or licensing agreements with third parties. If we are required to enter into royalty or licensing agreements, they may not be on terms that are acceptable to us. Unfavorable royalty or licensing agreements could seriously impair our ability to market our products.

Our ability to predict our revenues and operating results is extremely limited.

We have historically operated with little backlog because we have generally shipped our software products and recognized revenue shortly after we received orders because our production cycle has traditionally been very short. As a result, our sales in any quarter were generally dependent on orders that were booked and shipped in that quarter. As our wireless business has evolved, production cycle time for items such as data kits has increased to the point that orders received toward the end of a quarter may not ship until the subsequent quarter. From time to time, customers may issue purchase orders that have extended delivery dates that may cause the shipment to be deferred to a subsequent quarter. These situations make it difficult for us to predict what our revenues and operating results will be in any quarter. Therefore, our level of backlog is not necessarily indicative of trends in our business.

If we are unable to retain key personnel, the loss of their services could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our future performance depends in significant part upon the continued service of our senior management and other key technical and consulting personnel. We do not have employment agreements with our key employees that govern the length of their service. The loss of the services of our key employees would materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. Our future success also depends on our ability to continue to attract, retain and motivate qualified personnel, particularly highly skilled engineers involved in the ongoing research and development required to develop and enhance our products. Competition for these employees remains high and employee retention is a common problem in our industry. Our inability to attract and retain the highly trained technical personnel that are essential to our product development, marketing, service and support teams may limit the rate at which we can generate revenue, develop new products or product enhancements and generally would have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

If we fail to continue to establish and maintain strategic relationships with mobile device manufacturers, market acceptance of our products, and our profitability, may suffer.

Most of our strategic relationships with mobile device manufacturers are not subject to written contract, but rather are in the form of informal working relationships. We believe these relationships are valuable to our success. In particular, these relationships provide us with insights into product development and emerging technologies, which allows us to keep abreast of, or anticipate, market trends and helps us serve our current and prospective customers. Because these relationships are not typically governed by written agreements, there is no obligation for many of our partners to continue working with us. If we are unable to maintain our existing strategic relationships with mobile device manufacturers or if we fail to enter into additional strategic relationships or the parties with whom we have strategic relationships favor one of our competitors, our ability to provide products that meet our current and prospective customers needs could be compromised and our reputation and future revenue prospects could suffer. For example, if our software does not function well with a popular mobile device because we have not maintained a relationship with its manufacturer, carriers seeking to provide that device to their respective customers could choose a competitor software over ours or develop their own. Even if we succeed in establishing these relationships, they may not result in additional customers or revenues.

17

Certain individuals to whom we have granted stock options may have a right of rescission as to the options.

Approximately 4,539,500 stock options having a weighted average exercise price of \$3.74 that were granted under our 1995 Stock Option / Stock Issuance Plan and 2005 Stock Option / Stock Issuance Plan between August 5, 2002 and August 31, 2006 were not exempt from registration or qualification under the securities laws of certain states, nor were they registered or qualified in such states. As a result, holders of these stock options, subject to the securities laws of the state in which they live, have a right of rescission with respect to the options. This means that if a holder elects to exercise his or her right of rescission, he or she will have a right to require us to repurchase the option for cash. Rescission rights or similar remedies may be available to persons who were granted options and no longer hold them. No liability has been recorded for these rescission rights or remedies. In addition, we may be subject to fines, penalties or enforcement actions by applicable state regulatory agencies regarding these issuances. We may raise additional capital through the issuance of additional equity or convertible debt securities or by borrowing money, in order to meet our capital needs. Additional funds may not be available on terms acceptable to us to allow us to meet our capital needs.

We believe that the cash, cash equivalents and investments on hand and the cash we expect to generate from operations will be sufficient to meet our capital needs for at least the next twelve months. However, it is possible that we may need or choose to obtain additional financing to fund our activities in the future. We could raise these funds by selling more stock to the public or to selected investors, or by borrowing money. We may not be able to obtain additional funds on favorable terms, or at all. If adequate funds are not available, we may be required to curtail our operations or other business activities significantly or to obtain funds through arrangements with strategic partners or others that may require us to relinquish rights to certain technologies or potential markets. If we raise additional funds by issuing additional equity or convertible debt securities, the ownership percentages of existing stockholders would be reduced. In addition, the equity or debt securities that we issue may have rights, preferences or privileges senior to those of the holders of our common stock. We currently have no established line of credit or other business borrowing facility in place.

It is possible that our future capital requirements may vary materially from those now planned. The amount of capital that we will need in the future will depend on many factors, including:

the market acceptance of our products;

the levels of promotion and advertising that will be required to launch our products and achieve and maintain a competitive position in the marketplace;

our business, product, capital expenditure and research and development plans and product and technology roadmaps;

the levels of inventory and accounts receivable that we maintain;

capital improvements to new and existing facilities;

technological advances;

our competitors response to our products; and

our relationships with suppliers and customers.

In addition, we may raise additional capital to accommodate planned growth, hiring, infrastructure and facility needs or to consummate acquisitions of other businesses, products or technologies.

18

Our business, financial condition and operating results could be adversely affected as a result of legal, business and economic risks specific to international operations.

In recent years, our revenues derived from sales to customers outside the United States have not been material. Our revenues derived from such sales can vary from quarter to quarter and from year to year. We also frequently ship products to our domestic customers international manufacturing divisions and subcontractors. In the future, we may expand these international business activities. International operations are subject to many inherent risks, including: general political, social and economic instability;

trade restrictions;

the imposition of governmental controls;

exposure to different legal standards, particularly with respect to intellectual property;

burdens of complying with a variety of foreign laws;

import and export license requirements and restrictions of the United States and any other country in which we operate;

unexpected changes in regulatory requirements;

foreign technical standards;

changes in tariffs;

difficulties in staffing and managing international operations;

difficulties in securing and servicing international customers;

difficulties in collecting receivables from foreign entities;

fluctuations in currency exchange rates and any imposition of currency exchange controls; and

potentially adverse tax consequences.

These conditions may increase our cost of doing business. Moreover, as our customers are adversely affected by these conditions, our business with them may be disrupted and our results of operations could be adversely affected. We may be subject to regulatory scrutiny and may sustain a loss of public confidence if we are unable to satisfy regulatory requirements relating to internal controls over financial reporting.

Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 requires us to perform an evaluation of our internal controls over financial reporting and have our independent registered public accounting firm attest to such evaluation on an annual basis. Compliance with these requirements can be expensive and time-consuming. While we believe that we will be able to meet the required deadlines, no assurance can be given that we will meet the required deadlines in future years. If we fail to timely complete this evaluation, or if our auditors cannot timely attest to our evaluation, we may be subject to regulatory scrutiny and a loss of public confidence in our internal controls.

Existing efforts to improve our disclosure controls and internal control over financial reporting, combined with new challenges of integrating the internal control environment of newly acquired companies with ours, underscores the risk that our internal controls may not be adequate.

In the past several years, substantial downsizing and restructuring followed by rapid expansion have placed significant strains on our existing personnel, resources and infrastructure, which may be difficult to address. Our

organization has grown from 52 employees as of December 31, 2004 based in Southern California to 128 as of

19

December 31, 2006 based primarily in Southern and Northern California and in Israel. Until our recent acquisition of PhoTags, we had not had significant operations outside of the United States. Our acquisitions and our internal growth have placed substantial demands on our management, resources and systems. To manage these acquisitions and our internal growth, we will have to implement new operational and financial systems, procedures and controls. We have already identified areas requiring improvement and are in the process of designing enhanced processes and controls to address these issues. Our efforts may not be effective or sufficient for us, or our independent registered accounting firm, to issue unqualified reports in the future.

It may be difficult to design and implement effective financial controls for combined operations and differences in existing controls of any acquired businesses may result in weaknesses that require remediation when the financial controls and reporting are combined. Our ability to manage our operations and growth will require us to improve our operational, financial and management controls, as well as our internal reporting systems and controls. We may not be able to implement improvements to our internal reporting systems and controls in an efficient and timely manner and may discover deficiencies in existing systems and controls.

We may be subject to additional risks.

Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial may also adversely affect our business operations.

Item 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

Item 2. PROPERTIES

Our corporate headquarters, including our principal administrative, sales and marketing, customer support and research and development facility, is located in Aliso Viejo, California, where we currently lease and occupy approximately 26,000 square feet of space pursuant to leases that expires May 31, 2009. We operate both our products and services reporting segments within this facility.

We also lease approximately 13,600 square feet in Watsonville, California under leases that expires September 30, 2010. We occupy office space in Lee s Summit, Missouri on a month to month basis.

We believe that suitable additional or alternative space will be available in the future on commercially reasonable terms as needed.

Item 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company is not involved in any pending material legal proceedings at this time although we may become subject to legal proceedings or claims that arise in the ordinary course of business or otherwise.

Item 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

There were no matters submitted to a vote of stockholders during the quarter ended December 31, 2006.

20

PART II

Item 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT S COMMON EQUITY RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASERS OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Market Information

Our common stock is traded on the Nasdaq Global Market under the symbol SMSI. The high and low sale prices for our common stock as reported by Nasdaq are set forth below for the periods indicated.

	High	Low
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006:	_	
First Quarter	\$12.65	5.87
Second Quarter	16.50	10.85
Third Quarter	16.70	9.01
Fourth Quarter	19.01	13.35
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005:		
First Quarter	9.63	4.65
Second Quarter	5.00	3.44
Third Quarter	7.39	4.02
Fourth Quarter	8.00	5.41

On March 6, 2007, the closing sale price for our common stock as reported by Nasdaq was \$15.88.

Stock Performance Graph

The following graph and information compares the cumulative total stockholder return on our Common Stock against the cumulative total return of the S&P Midcap 400 Index and the S&P Midcap Applications Software Index (Peer Group) for the same period.

The graph covers the period from January 1, 2001, through December 31, 2006. The graph assumes that \$100 was invested in our Common Stock on January 1, 2001, and in each index, and that all dividends were reinvested. No cash dividends have been declared on our Common Stock. Stockholder returns over the indicated period should not be considered indicative of future stockholder returns.

21

COMPARISON OF 5 YEAR CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN*

Among Smith Micro Software, Inc., The S & P Midcap 400 Index And The S & P Midcap Software Application

* \$100 invested on 12/31/01 in stock or index-including reinvestment of dividends. Fiscal year ending

December 31.

Copyright © 2007, Standard & Poor s, a division of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. All rights reserved. www.researchdatagroup.com/S&P.htm

	12/01	12/02	12/03	12/04	12/05	12/06
Smith Micro Software, Inc.	100.00	43.81	189.52	852.38	557.14	1351.43
S & P Midcap 400	100.00	85.49	115.94	135.05	152.00	167.69
S & P Midcap Software						
Application	100.00	59.04	88.57	72.37	81.71	95.11
Holders						

As of March 6, 2007, there were approximately 123 holders of record of our common stock based on information provided by our transfer agent.

Dividends

We have never paid any cash dividends on our common stock and we have no current plans to do so.

22

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

None

Purchasers of Equity Securities by the Company

None.

23

Item 6. SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA

The following selected consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and our consolidated financial statements and the related notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report. The following selected consolidated statement of operations data for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, and the consolidated balance sheet data at December 31, 2006 and 2005, have been derived from audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report. The consolidated statement of operations data presented below for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, and the consolidated balance sheet data at December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 are derived from audited consolidated financial statements that are not included in this Annual Report.

	Year Ended December 31,							
	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002			
Consolidated Statement of Operations								
Data:								
Net Revenues:								
Products	\$ 53,773	\$ 19,637	\$ 12,394	\$ 6,291	\$ 6,029			
Services	696	621	922	925	1,102			
Total Net Revenues	54,469	20,258	13,316	7,216	7,131			
Cost of Revenues:								
Products	19,989	3,818	2,530	1,350	1,420			
Services	270	285	380	321	782			
Total Cost of Revenues	20,259	4,103	2,910	1,671	2,202			
Gross profit	34,210	16,155	10,406	5,545	4,929			
Operating expenses:								
Selling and marketing	9,057	3,410	1,519	1,666	2,175			
Research and development	7,899	3,963	2,556	2,506	2,162			
General and administrative	8,467	4,621	2,868	2,330	2,387			
Total operating expenses	25,423	11,994	6,943	6,502	6,724			
Operating income (loss)	8,787	4,161	3,463	(957)	(1,795)			
Interest Income	1,403	667	53	37	45			
Income (Loss) before income taxes	10,190	4,828	3,516	(920)	(1,750)			
Income tax expense (benefit)	1,234	104	71	3	(1,062)			
Net Income (Loss)	\$ 8,956	\$ 4,724	\$ 3,445	\$ (923)	\$ (688)			
Net income (loss) per share, basic	\$ 0.38	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.20	\$ (0.06)	\$ (0.04)			
Weighted average shares, basic	23,753	21,351	17,267	16,511	16,235			
Net income (loss) per share, diluted	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.19	\$ (0.06)	\$ (0.04)			
Weighted average shares, diluted	25,330	22,806	18,412	16,511	16,235			

Edgar Filing: Synacor, Inc. - Form SC 13D/A $\,$

	As of December 31,							
	2006 2005 2004		2004	2003	2002			
Consolidated Balance Sheet Data:								
Total assets	\$ 131,026	\$ 42,716	\$ 12,828	\$ 6,587	\$ 6,766			
Total liabilities	4,969	3,759	1,729	997	1,154			
Accumulated deficit	(2,989)	(11,945)	(16,669)	(20,114)	(19,191)			
Total stockholders equity	\$ 126,057	\$ 38,957	\$ 11,099	\$ 5,590	\$ 5,612			
	2	24						

Item 7. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the related notes and other financial information appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report. Readers are also urged to carefully review and consider the various disclosures made by us which attempt to advise interested parties of the factors which affect our business, including without limitation the disclosures made in Item 1A of Part I of this Annual Report under the caption Risk Factors.

Risk factors that could cause actual results to differ from those contained in the forward-looking statements including but are not limited to: our dependence upon a single customer for a significant portion of our revenues; potential fluctuations in quarterly results; deriving revenues from a small number of products; failure to successfully compete; failure to successfully integrate acquisitions; entry into new markets; failure of our customers to adopt new technologies; dependence upon relationships with carrier customers; declines in gross margins; undetected software defects; changes in technology; delays or failure in deliveries from component suppliers; failure of our products to achieve broad acceptance; failure to protect intellectual property; exposure to intellectual property claims; and loss of key personnel.

Introduction and Overview

Our business model is based primarily upon the design, production and sale of software that supports the wireless data industry. Our products are utilized in major wireless networks throughout the world that support data communications through the use of cell phones or other wireless communication devices such as PC cards. Wireless network providers generally incorporate our products into their accessory products sold directly to individual consumers.

Our business is primarily dependent upon the demand for wireless communications and the corresponding requirements for wireless connectivity software to support this demand. During the last three years, demand for these types of products has fluctuated dramatically, and there continues to be new services launched that utilize the improving wireless broadband networks.

We continue to invest in research and development of wireless software products, and we believe that we have one the industry s leading wireless product lines in terms of performance and features. We believe that our out-of-the-box design technology further differentiates our products.

We also sell eBusiness and utility software and professional consulting services related to eBusiness applications. During 2006, we have maintained a sharp focus on our operating cost structure while ensuring that we maintain our operating flexibility to support future growth in the industry. We measure success by monitoring our net sales and gross margins and operating cash flow. We believe that there continues to be excellent growth opportunities within the wireless communications software marketplace and we continue to focus on positioning Smith Micro to benefit from these opportunities.

25

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth certain consolidated statement of operating data as a percentage of total revenues for the periods indicated:

	Years Ended December 31,			
	2006	2005	2004	
Net Revenues:				
Products	98.7%	96.9%	93.1%	
Services	1.3%	3.1%	6.9%	
Total net revenues	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Cost of revenues:				
Products	36.7%	18.9%	19.0%	
Services	0.5%	1.4%	2.9%	
Total cost of revenues	37.2%	20.3%	21.9%	
Gross profit	62.8%	79.7%	78.1%	
Operating expenses:				
Selling and marketing	16.6%	16.8%	11.4%	
Research and development	14.5%	19.6%	19.2%	
General and administrative	15.6%	22.8%	21.5%	
Total operating expenses	46.7%	59.2%	52.1%	
Operating Income	16.1%	20.5%	26.0%	
Interest Income	2.6%	3.3%	0.4%	
Income before income taxes	18.7%	23.8%	26.4%	
Income tax expense	2.3%	0.5%	0.5%	
Net Income	16.4%	23.3%	25.9%	

Revenues

Total net revenues were \$54.5 million, \$20.3 million and \$13.3 million in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, with an increase of \$34.2 million, or 168.9% from 2005 to 2006 and an increase of \$6.9 million, or 52.1% from 2004 to 2005. The increase in our revenues from 2004 through 2006 is attributed to the growth in sales of our wireless products. We acquired Allume Systems in 2005, which also contributed to the year on year sales growth from 2004 to 2005. In late 2005 we entered the wireless music software space which was the largest selling product group for 2006 and largely responsible for the increase in revenues from 2005 to 2006. Sales to individual customers and their affiliates, which amounted to more than 10% of the Company s net revenues, included one OEM customer at 74.4% in 2006, 57.1% in 2005 and 68.4% of revenues in 2004.

We currently operate in two business segments: products and services. In addition, product revenues are broken down into three business units, Wireless and OEM, Consumer and Enterprise. Our Consumer sales represent the sales of Allume products to distributors, retail customers and individuals as well as legacy Smith Micro utility and fax software products. Allume was acquired on July 1, 2005 (See Note 3 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements). The Enterprise sales unit includes legacy Smith Micro consulting, fulfillment and hosting revenue.

The following table shows the net revenues and cost of revenues generated by each segment:

	Year Ended December 31,						
	2006		20	05	2004		
	Products	Services	Products	Services	Products	Services	
Wireless & OEM	\$43,419	\$	\$13,982	\$	\$ 11,424	\$	
Consumer	10,019		5,460		746		
Enterprise	335	696	195	621	224	922	
Total Revenues	53,773	696	19,637	621	12,394	922	
Cost of revenues	19,989	270	3,818	285	2,530	380	
Gross Profit	\$ 33,784	\$ 426	\$ 15,819	\$ 336	\$ 9,864	\$ 542	

Products. Net revenues from sales of products were \$53.8 million, \$19.6 million and \$12.4 million for 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, representing an increase of \$34.1 million, or 173.8% from 2005 to 2006 and an increase of \$7.2 million, or 58.4% from 2004 to 2005. Product revenues accounted for 98.7% of total revenues in 2006, 96.9% of total revenues in 2005 and 93.1% of total revenues in 2004. There are two key drivers to the increase in our product revenues from 2004 through 2006. Our core wireless business increased from \$11.4 million to \$43.4 million. The increase can be attributed to the continued success of the wireless broadband data rollouts by our carrier customers and the rollout of QuickLink Music in 2006. The second key contributor was the increase in the Consumer business unit in 2005 attributed to the Allume acquisition on July 1, 2005. Allume posted revenues of \$4.9 million for 2005 and \$9.7 million for 2006.

Services. Consulting services revenues were \$696,000, \$621,000 and \$922,000 in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, representing an increase of \$75,000, or 12.1% from 2005 to 2006 and a decrease of \$301,000, or 32.7% from 2004 to 2005. Services revenue accounted for 1.3% of total revenues in 2006, 3.1% of total revenues in 2005 and 6.9% of total revenues in 2004. We have reduced our focus on consulting services, which was announced in the first quarter of 2002, due to the decrease in demand for such services.

Cost of Revenues and Gross Margin

Cost of Product Revenues. Cost of product revenues was \$20.0 million, \$3.8 million and \$2.5 million in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, representing an increase of \$16.2 million, or 423.6% from 2005 to 2006 and an increase of \$1.3 million, or 50.9%, from 2004 to 2005. Product gross margin as a percentage of product net revenue was 62.8% for 2006 as compared to 80.6% for 2005 and 79.6% for 2004. Cost of Revenues for the 2006 includes \$1.1 million of amortization of intangibles associated with acquisitions as compared to \$534,000 in 2005 and \$0 in 2004. In addition, there is \$33,000 of stock compensation expense included in 2006 which is not present in the earlier years. Factoring out these costs product gross margin was 64.9% in 2006 and 83.3% in 2005. The 18.4% decrease in pro-forma product gross margin percentage from 2005 to 2006 is attributed to the increased sales of lower margin Music Kits. The 4.3% pro-forma increase from 2004 to 2005 is attributed to increased sales of higher margin PC card software product versus lower margin Mobile Office Kit products.

Direct costs of revenues consist primarily of CD replication costs, and the cost of music kit components which include ear phones and a cable that connects the handheld device to the PC. We use a variety of providers located in China, Korea and the United States. We consider CD replication, ear phones and cables to be commodities with little or no risk to supplier fluctuations. We also purchase proprietary cables from Motorola and generic OEM cables from a variety of suppliers.

Cost of Service Revenues. Cost of service revenues was \$270,000, \$285,000 and \$380,000 in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, representing a decrease of \$15,000, or 5.3% from 2005 to 2006 and a decrease of \$95,000, or 25.0% from 2004 to 2005. Cost of Service revenue as a percentage of Service revenues was 61.2% in 2006, 54.1% in 2005 and 41.2% in 2004. Cost of service revenues includes the cost of our consulting personnel and the cost of any outside consultants contracted to support our staff and vary by contract.

27

Operating Expenses

The following table presents a breakdown of our operating expenses by functional category and as a percentage of total net revenues:

	Years Ended December 31,						
	200	6	200)5	2004		
Operating expenses:							
Selling and marketing	\$ 9,057	16.6%	\$ 3,410	16.8%	\$1,519	11.4%	
Research and							
development	7,899	14.5%	3,963	19.6%	2,556	19.2%	
General and							
administrative	8,467	15.6%	4,621	22.8%	2,868	21.5%	
Total operating expenses	\$25,423	46.7%	\$11,994	59.2%	\$6,943	52.1%	

Selling and Marketing. Selling and marketing expenses were \$9.1 million, \$3.4 million and \$1.5 million in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, representing an increase of \$5.6 million, or 165.6%, from 2005 to 2006 and an increase of \$1.9 million, or 124.5%, from 2004 to 2005. Our selling and marketing expenses consist primarily of personnel costs, advertising costs, sales commissions and trade show expenses. These expenses vary significantly from quarter to quarter based on the timing of trade shows and product introductions. While most of the increases in selling and marketing expenses in both years were due to the Allume acquisition, we also had a slight increase in headcount and increases in costs related to product collateral concept and design. Advertising expenses were \$379,000, \$223,000 and \$47,000 for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Selling and marketing expenses were 16.6%, 16.8% and 11.4% of revenues in the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Increases in selling and marketing expenses as a percentage of revenues in 2005 and 2006 were caused by the increase in costs as described above. Selling and marketing expenses include \$483,000 of amortization expense related to acquisitions in 2006 and \$236,000 in 2005 which was not present in 2004. In addition, 2006 selling and marketing expenses include \$2.1 million of stock based compensation expense, not included in the prior years. Factoring out amortization and stock based compensation costs, selling and marketing expenses were 11.9% of revenues in 2006 and 15.7% in 2005 due to the increases in revenues over the periods.

Research and Development. Research and development expenses were \$7.9 million, \$4.0 million and \$2.6 million in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, representing increases of \$3.9 million, or 99.3% from 2005 to 2006 and \$1.4 million, or 55.0%, from 2004 to 2005. Our research and development expenses consist primarily of personnel and equipment costs required to conduct our software development efforts. We remain focused on the development and expansion of our technology, particularly our wireless, multi-media, and compression software technologies. The increase in our research and development expenses in each year was primarily due to the development of new wireless products that were released during the periods, with an accompanying increase in headcount and a refocus of engineering resources from consulting projects to development. Beginning in 2005, the key driver to increased R&D expense was the acquisition of Allume Systems on July 1, 2005 and PhoTags, Inc. on April 3, 2006 along with the associated engineering staffs. Research and development expenses were 14.5%, 19.6% and 19.2% of revenues in the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. In 2006, research and development expenses include \$1.1 million of stock based compensation expenses not present in previous years. Factoring out this expense, research and development expenses were 12.5% of net revenues in 2006. The decrease in research and development expenses as a percentage of revenues from 2005 to 2006 is due to the significant increase in revenues during the period.

General and Administrative. General and administrative expenses were \$8.5 million, \$4.6 million and \$2.9 million in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, representing increases of \$3.8 million, or 83.2% from 2005 to 2006 and \$1.8 million, or 61.1%, from 2004 to 2005. The increases in general and administrative expenses are primarily

due to the acquisition of Allume Systems on July 1, 2005 and the assumption of Allume G&A staff and certain integration costs. General and administrative expenses were 15.5%, 22.8% and 21.5% of net revenues in the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The decrease in general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues from 2005 to 2006 is due to the increase in revenues, partially offset by the absolute dollar increase in expenses. In 2006, general and administrative expenses include \$2.3 million of stock based compensation expense and \$335,000 write off of goodwill related to our Services segment which were not present in previous years. Factoring out these expenses, general and administrative expenses were 10.8% of net revenues in 2006. The decrease in general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues from 2005 to 2006 is due to the significant increase in revenues during the period.

Interest Income. Interest income was \$1.4 million, \$667,000 and \$53,000 in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, representing increases of \$736,000, or 110.3% from 2005 to 2006 and \$614,000, or 1,158.5% from 2004 to 2005. The differences in our interest income are directly related to the fluctuations in our cash balances during the periods and changing interest rates. We have not changed our investment strategy during the periods being reported, with our excess cash consistently being invested in short term marketable securities. (See Liquidity and Capital Resources for further discussion elsewhere in this report.)

Provision for Income Taxes. The provision for income taxes was \$1.2 million, \$104,000 and \$71,000 in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The provision in 2006 relates to the release of the valuation allowance previously recorded against our deferred tax assets. The provision in 2005 and 2004 relates to alternative minimum tax liability.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Since inception, we have financed our operations primarily through cash generated from operations and from net proceeds of \$18.1 million generated by our initial public offering in 1995. On February 18, 2005, we entered into a Common Stock Purchase Agreement for the private placement of 3,500,000 shares of our common stock, \$0.001 par value, at a price of \$6.40 per share, resulting in aggregate gross cash proceeds to the Company of \$22,400,000 before deducting commissions and other expenses. Offering costs related to the transaction totaled \$1,613,000, comprised of \$1,344,000 in commissions and \$269,000 cash payments for legal and investment services, resulting in net proceeds to the Company of \$20,786,000. The transaction closed simultaneously with the execution of the Purchase Agreement on February 18, 2005. C.E. Unterberg, Towbin LLC, the placement agent for the transaction, received a cash fee equal to 6% of the aggregate gross proceeds of the Private Placement.

On December 14, 2006, we completed a fully marketed secondary, issuing 4,000,000 shares of our common stock, \$0.001 par value, at a price of \$14.75 per share, resulting in aggregate gross cash proceeds to the Company of \$59,000,000 before deducting commissions and other expenses. Offering costs related to the transaction totaled \$4,002,000, comprised of \$3,304,000 in underwriting discounts and commissions and \$698,000 cash payments for legal and investment services, resulting in net proceeds to the Company of \$54,998,000.

Net cash provided by operations was \$14.8 million in 2006 and \$2.5 million in 2005. The primary source of operating cash in both periods was the net income and the increase in accounts payable and accrued liabilities, offset by the collection of accounts receivable.

Cash flows used in investing activities were \$2.6 million in 2006 and \$11.0 million in 2005. In 2006, \$2.2 million was used for the acquisition of PhoTags, Inc. and \$362,000 for capital expenditures. In 2005, \$10.9 million was used for the purchase of Allume in July, 2005 as well as \$142,000 for other capital expenditures. Our capital expenditures in both periods consisted of the purchase of computers and other office equipment.

We received \$4.2 million in cash from the exercise of employee stock options in 2006 compared to \$369,000 in 2005.

29

At December 31, 2006, we had \$92.6 million in cash and cash equivalents and \$98.8 million of working capital. We have no significant capital commitments, and currently anticipate that capital expenditures will not vary significantly from recent periods. We believe that our existing cash, cash equivalent investment balances and cash flow from operations will be sufficient to finance our working capital and capital expenditure requirements through at least the next twelve months. We may require additional funds to support our working capital requirements or for other purposes and may seek to raise additional funds through public or private equity or debt financing or from other sources. If additional financing is needed, we cannot assure that such financing will be available to us at commercially reasonable terms or at all.

Our corporate headquarters, which includes our principal administrative, sales and marketing, customer support and research and development facilities, is located in Aliso Viejo, California. We have leased this space through May 2009. We also lease approximately 7,700 square feet in Watsonville, California under a new lease that expires September 30, 2010. We are currently working on a new operating lease for our facility in Lee s Summit, Missouri to replace the lease that expired in June 2005.

As of December 31, 2006, we had no debt and no long term liabilities. The following table summarizes our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2006 (in thousands):

	Payments due by period							
	Less than							More than
					1-3	,	3-5	
Contractual obligations:	Total	1 year		years		years		5 years
Operating Lease Obligations	\$ 2,006	\$	679	\$	1,141	\$	186	\$
Purchase Obligations	332		332					
Total	\$ 2,338	\$	1,011	\$	1,141	\$	186	\$

During our normal course of business, we have made certain indemnities, commitments and guarantees under which we may be required to make payments in relation to certain transactions. These include: intellectual property indemnities to our customers and licensees in connection with the use, sale and/or license of our products; indemnities to various lessors in connection with facility leases for certain claims arising from such facility or lease; indemnities to vendors and service providers pertaining to claims based on the negligence or willful misconduct; indemnities involving the accuracy of representations and warranties in certain contracts; and indemnities to directors and officers of the Company to the maximum extent permitted under the laws of the State of Delaware. In addition, we have made contractual commitments to employees providing for severance payments upon the occurrence of certain prescribed events. We may also issue a guarantee in the form of a standby letter of credit as security for contingent liabilities under certain customer contracts. The duration of these indemnities, commitments and guarantees waries, and in certain cases, may be indefinite. The majority of these indemnities, commitments and guarantees may not provide for any limitation of the maximum potential for future payments we could be obligated to make. We have not recorded any liability for these indemnities, commitments and guarantees in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. **Critical Accounting Policies**

Our discussion and analysis of results of operations, financial condition and liquidity are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may materially differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. On an on-going basis, we review our estimates to ensure that the estimates appropriately reflect changes in our business or new information as it becomes available.

We believe the following critical accounting policies affect our more significant estimates and assumptions used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements:

Revenue Recognition - Software revenue is recognized in accordance with the Statement of Position (SOP) 97-2, Software Revenue Recognition, as amended, when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, the price is fixed and determinable, and collectibility is probable. We recognize revenues from sales of our software to OEM customers or end users as completed products are shipped and title passes; or from royalties generated as authorized customers duplicate our software, if the other requirements of SOP 97-2 are met. If the requirements of SOP 97-2 are not met at the date of shipment, revenue is not recognized until these elements are known or resolved. Returns from OEM customers are limited to defective goods or goods shipped in error. Historically, OEM customer returns have not exceeded the very nominal estimates and reserves. Management reviews available retail channel information and makes a determination of a return provision for sales made to distributors and retailers based on current channel inventory levels and historical return patterns. Certain sales to distributors or retailers are made on a consignment basis. Revenue for consignment sales are not recognized until sell through to the final customer is established. We have few multiple elements agreement for which we have contracted to provide a perpetual license for use of proprietary software, to provide non-recurring engineering, and in some cases to provide software maintenance (post contract support). For multiple element agreements, vendor specific objective evidence for all contract elements is reviewed and the timing of the individual element revenue streams is determined and recognized consistent with SOP 97-2.

Product sales directly to end-users are recognized upon delivery. End users have a thirty day right of return, but such returns are reasonably estimable and have historically been immaterial. We also provide technical support to our customers. Such costs have historically been insignificant.

Service revenues include sales of consulting services, website hosting and fulfillment. We recognize service revenues as services are provided or as milestones are delivered and accepted by our customers.

Accounts Receivable We sell our products worldwide. We perform ongoing credit evaluations of our customers and adjust credit limits based upon payment history, the customer's current credit worthiness and various other factors, as determined by our review of their current credit information. We continuously monitor collections and payments from our customers. We estimate credit losses and maintain a bad debt reserve based upon these estimates. While such credit losses have historically been within our estimated reserves, we cannot guarantee that we will continue to experience the same credit loss rates that we have in the past. If not, this could have an adverse effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Goodwill We have adopted SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, effective January 1, 2002 and no impairment was identified. As a result of the adoption, we are no longer required to amortize goodwill. Prior to the adoption of SFAS 142, goodwill was amortized over 7 years. In accordance with SFAS No. 142, we review the recoverability of the carrying value of goodwill at least annually or whenever events or circumstances indicate a potential impairment. Our annual impairment testing date is December 31. Recoverability of goodwill is determined by comparing the estimated fair value of our reporting units to the carrying value of the underlying net assets in the reporting units. If the estimated fair value of a reporting unit is determined to be less than the fair value of its net assets, goodwill is deemed impaired and an impairment loss is recognized to the extent that the carrying value of goodwill exceeds the difference between the estimated fair value of the reporting unit and the fair value of its other assets and liabilities. At December 31, 2006, we elected to write off all goodwill associated with our services sector, or \$335,000. The consulting portion of our services sector has been de-emphasized and is no longer considered a strategic element of our go forward plan. The amount of the write off is included in general and administrative expenses. We determined that we did not have any impairment of goodwill as related to the products sector at December 31, 2006. Estimates of reporting unit fair value are based upon market capitalization and therefore are volatile being sensitive to market fluctuations. To the extent that our market capitalization decreases significantly or the allocation of value to our reporting units change, we could be required to write off some or all of our goodwill.

Deferred Income Taxes - We account for income taxes under SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. This statement requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the future consequences of events that have been recognized in our financial statements or tax returns. The measurement of the deferred items is based on enacted tax laws. In the event the future consequences of differences between financial reporting bases and the tax bases of our assets and liabilities result in a deferred tax asset, SFAS No. 109 requires an evaluation of the probability of being able to realize the future benefits indicated by such asset. A valuation allowance related to a deferred tax asset is recorded when it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. At the end of 2005, we had a full valuation allowance on our deferred tax assets. Based on our assessment of all available evidence, we concluded that it is more likely than not that our deferred tax assets will be fully realized in the future. This conclusion is based primarily on our improving financial performance and projected income in the future years. As a result, we released all of our valuation allowance in 2006. The release of the valuation allowance decreased our tax expense in 2006.

Stock-Based Compensation We currently account for the issuance of stock options to employees using the fair market value method according to SFAS No. 123R, *Share-Based Payment*.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2006, the FASB issued Statement SFAS No. 155, *Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments*, which amends SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivatives Instruments and Hedging Activities* and SFAS No. 140, *Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishment of Liabilities*. SFAS No. 155 amends SFAS No. 133 to narrow the scope exception for interest-only and principal-only strips on debt instruments to include only such strips representing rights to receive a specified portion of the contractual interest or principle cash flows. SFAS No. 155 also amends SFAS No. 140 to allow qualifying special-purpose entities to hold a passive derivative financial instrument pertaining to beneficial interests that itself is a derivative instrument. We are currently evaluating the impact this new Standard but believe that it will not have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

In March 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 156, Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets (SFAS NO. 156), which provides an approach to simplify efforts to obtain hedge-like (offset) accounting. This Statement amends FASB Statement No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities, with respect to the accounting for separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities. The Statement (1) requires an entity to recognize a servicing asset or servicing liability each time it undertakes an obligation to service a financial asset by entering into a servicing contract in certain situations; (2) requires that a separately recognized servicing asset or servicing liability be initially measured at fair value, if practicable; (3) permits an entity to choose either the amortization method or the fair value method for subsequent measurement for each class of separately recognized servicing assets or servicing liabilities; (4) permits at initial adoption a one-time reclassification of available-for-sale securities to trading securities by an entity with recognized servicing rights, provided the securities reclassified offset the entity s exposure to changes in the fair value of the servicing assets or liabilities; and (5) requires separate presentation of servicing assets and servicing liabilities subsequently measured at fair value in the balance sheet and additional disclosures for all separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities. SFAS No. 156 is effective for all separately recognized servicing assets and liabilities as of the beginning of an entity s fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006, with earlier adoption permitted in certain circumstances. The Statement also describes the manner in which it should be initially applied. We do not believe that SFAS No. 156 will have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In July 2006, the FASB released FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes*, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48). FIN 48 clarifies the accounting and reporting for uncertainties in income tax law. This interpretation prescribes a comprehensive model for the financial statement recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure of uncertain tax positions taken or expected to be taken in

income tax returns. On January 17, 2007, the FASB affirmed its previous decision to make FIN 48 effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. Accordingly, we will adopt FIN 48 effective January 1, 2007. Based on a preliminary analysis, we believe that the adoption of FIN 48 will not have a material impact on our results of operation and financial position.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (SFAS 157), which defines the fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. This statement is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is encouraged, provided that we have not yet issued financial statements for that fiscal year, including any financial statements for an interim period within that fiscal year. We are currently in the process of evaluating the impact SFAS 157 may have on our results of operations and financial position.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, *Employer s Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Post Retirement Plans*. SFAS No. 158 requires employers to recognize in its statement of financial position an asset or liability based on the retirement plan s over or under funded status. SFAS No. 158 is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2006. We are currently in the process of evaluating the effect that the application of SFAS No. 158 will have on our results of operations and financial position.

In September 2006, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements (SAB 108). This SAB provides guidance on the consideration of the effects of prior year misstatements in quantifying current year misstatements for the purpose of a materiality assessment. SAB 108 establishes an approach that requires quantification of financial statement errors based on the effects of each of the company s balance sheets and statement of operations and the related financial statement disclosures. The SAB permits existing public companies to record the cumulative effect of initially applying this approach in the first year ending after November 15, 2006 by recording the necessary correcting adjustments to the carrying values of assets and liabilities as of the beginning of that year with the offsetting adjustment recorded to the opening balance of retained earnings. Additionally, the use of the cumulative effect transition method requires detailed disclosure of the nature and amount of each individual error being corrected through the cumulative adjustment and how and when it arose. We are currently in the process of evaluating the impact SAB 108 may have on our results of operations or financial position.

In October 2006, the Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) issued EITF 06-3, *How Taxes Collected from Customers and Remitted to Governmental Authorities Should Be Presented in the Income Statement (That is, Gross versus Net Presentation)* to clarify diversity in practice on the presentation of different types of taxes in the financial statements. The Task Force concluded that, for taxes within the scope of the issue, a company may adopt a policy of presenting taxes either gross within revenue or net. That is, it may include charges to customers for taxes within revenues and the charge for the taxes from the taxing authority within cost of sales, or, alternatively, it may net the charge to the customer and the charge from the taxing authority. If taxes subject to EITF 06-3 are significant, a company is required to disclose its accounting policy for presenting taxes and the amounts of such taxes that are recognized on a gross basis. The guidance in this consensus is effective for the first interim reporting period beginning after December 15, 2006 (the first quarter of our fiscal year 2007). We do not expect the adoption of EITF 06-3 will have a material impact on our results of operations, financial position or cash flow.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities*. SFAS No. 159 permits entities to choose to measure at fair value many financial instruments and certain other items that are not currently required to be measured at fair value. Subsequent changes in fair value for designated items will be required to be reported in earnings in the current period. SFAS No. 159 also establishes presentation and disclosure requirements for similar types of assets and liabilities measured at fair value. SFAS No. 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. We are currently assessing the effect of implementing this guidance, which directly depends on the nature and extent of eligible items elected to be measured at fair value, upon initial application of the standard on January 1, 2008.

Item 7A. QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our financial instruments include cash and cash equivalents. At December 31, 2006, the carrying values of our financial instruments approximated fair values based on current market prices and rates. Because of their short duration, changes in market interest rates would not have a material effect on fair value.

It is our policy not to enter into derivative financial instruments. We do not currently have any significant foreign currency exposure as we do not transact business in foreign currencies. As such, we do not have significant currency exposure at December 31, 2006.

Item 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Our consolidated financial statements and schedule appear in a separate section of this Annual Report on Form 10-K beginning on page F-1 and S-1, respectively.

34

SUPPLEMENTARY FINANCIAL DATA

SELECTED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS DATA (UNAUDITED)

	Three Months Ended: September December			
2006	March 31,	June 30,	30,	31,
	(in	thousands, excep	ot per share amoun	ts)
Net Revenues	\$ 9,885	\$12,555	\$ 14,801	\$17,228
Gross Profit	6,586	7,250	8,711	11,663
Operating Income	1,627	851	2,137	4,172
Net Income	\$ 1,812	\$ 1,083	\$ 2,450	\$ 3,611
Net Income Per Share, Basic	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.14
Weighted Average Shares Outstanding, Basic	22,303	23,635	24,123	24,930
Net Income Per Share, Diluted	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.14
Weighted Average Shares Outstanding, Diluted	24,284	25,598	25,794	26,687
	Three Months Ended:			
			September	December
2005	March 31,	June 30,	30,	31,
	(in	thousands, excep	ot per share amoun	ts)
Net Revenues	\$ 2,030	\$ 3,330	\$ 6,896	\$ 8,002
Gross Profit	1,685	2,785	5,731	5,954
Operating (Loss) Income	(228)	558	1,763	2,068
Net (Loss) Income	\$ (128)	\$ 764	\$ 1,877	\$ 2,211
Net (Loss) Income Per Share, Basic	\$ (0.01)	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.10
Weighted Average Shares Outstanding, Basic	19,665	21,584	22,016	22,106
Net (Loss) Income Per Share, Diluted	\$ (0.01)	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.09
Weighted Average Shares Outstanding, Diluted	19,665 35	22,713	23,222	23,900

Item 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

Not applicable.

Item 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, we evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered in this report. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective in timely alerting them to the material information related to us (or our consolidated subsidiaries) required to be included in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act.

Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. The Company s internal control framework and processes were designed to provide reasonable assurance to management and the Board of Directors regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of the Company s consolidated financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Management recognizes its responsibility for fostering a strong ethical climate so that the Company s affairs are conducted according to the highest standards of personal and corporate conduct. The Company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that:

pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company;

provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded properly to allow for the preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and Directors of the Company; and

provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the Company s assets that could have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, a system of internal control over financial reporting can provide only reasonable assurance and may not prevent or detect misstatements. Further, because of changing conditions, effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting may vary over time. The Company s processes contain self-monitoring mechanisms, and actions are taken to correct deficiencies as they are identified.

36

Table of Contents

To comply with the requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the Company designed and implemented a structured and comprehensive compliance process to evaluate its internal control over financial reporting across the enterprise.

Management s Process to Assess the Effectiveness of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management s conclusion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting is based on a thorough and comprehensive evaluation and analysis of the five elements of the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in *Internal Control Integrated Framework*. (shown in italics below), and is based on, but not limited to, the following:

Documentation of entity-wide controls establishing the culture and tone-at-the-top of the organization, in support of the Company s *Control Environment, Risk Assessment* Process, *Information and Communication* policies and the ongoing *Monitoring* of these control processes and systems.

An evaluation of *Control Activities* by work process. Key controls and compensating controls were documented and tested by each work process within the Company, including controls over all relevant financial statement assertions related to all significant accounts and disclosures. Internal control deficiencies were identified and prioritized, and appropriate remediation action plans were defined, implemented and retested.

A centralized review and analysis of all internal control deficiencies across the enterprise to determine whether such deficiencies, either separately or in the aggregate, represented a significant deficiency or material weakness.

An evaluation of any changes in work processes, systems, organization or policy that could materially impact internal control over financial reporting.

Management assessed the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting and concluded that, as of December 31, 2006, such internal control is effective. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by COSO. Management s assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting has been audited by Singer Lewak Greenbaum & Goldstein LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which is included herein.

(b) Changes in Internal Controls. During the most recent fiscal quarter covered by this report, there has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) or 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

37

PART III

Item 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The following table sets forth certain information regarding our executive officers as of March 1, 2007.

Name	Age	Position
William W. Smith, Jr.	59	Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer
Andrew C. Schmidt	45	Chief Financial Officer
David P. Sperling	38	Vice President and Chief Technical Officer
Jonathan Kahn	49	Senior Vice President
William R. Wyand	59	Vice President, Wireless and OEM Sales
Christopher G. Lippincott	36	Vice President, Operations

Mr. Smith co-founded Smith Micro and has served as our Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer since inception in 1982. Mr. Smith was employed by Rockwell International Corporation in a variety of technical and management positions from 1975 to 1984. Mr. Smith served with Xerox Data Systems from 1972 to 1975 and RCA Computer Systems Division from 1969 to 1972 in mainframe sales and pre-sale technical roles. Mr. Smith received a B.A. in Business Administration from Grove City College.

Mr. Schmidt joined the Company in June 2005 and serves as the Company's Chief Financial Officer. Prior to joining Smith Micro, Mr. Schmidt was the Chief Financial Officer of Genius Products, Inc., a publicly traded entertainment company from August 2004 to June 2005. From April 2003 to June 2004, he was Vice President (Finance) and acting Chief Accounting Officer of Peregrine Systems, Inc., a publicly held provider of enterprise level software then in Chapter 11 reorganization. From July 2000 to January 2003, he was Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Mad Catz Interactive, Inc., a publicly traded provider of console video game accessories. He holds a B.B.A. in Finance from the University of Texas and an M.S. in Accountancy from San Diego State University.

Mr. Sperling joined us in April 1989 and has been our Director of Software Engineering since April 1992. He assumed the Chief Technology Officer position in September 1999. Mr. Sperling began his professional career as a software engineer with us and he currently has three patents pending for various telephony and Internet technologies. Mr. Sperling holds a B.S. degree in Computer Science and an MBA from the University of California, Irvine.

Mr. Kahn joined the company with the acquisition of Allume Systems, Inc. in July 2005. Prior to the acquisition, Mr. Kahn was President of the company. Mr. Kahn was one of the co-founders of Aladdin Systems, Inc. which later became Allume Systems. Mr. Kahn was Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Monterey Bay Tech, Inc (OTC BB:MBYI), a public company from 1999 to May 2005 until its merger with SecureLogic Inc. Mr. Kahn is a member of the Digital River Advisory Board and is a graduate of the University of Rhode Island with a B.A. in Economics.

Mr. Wyand joined us in 1999 when Smith Micro acquired STF Technologies where Mr. Wyand was President and Chief Executive Officer. As General Manager he ran the Macintosh division sales, marketing,

38

engineering and customer support efforts. Later that year, Mr. Wyand moved into the newly created Wireless and Broadband division as General Manager and in 2004 became Vice President, Wireless and OEM Sales. From 1995 to 1999, Mr. Wyand was President and Chief Executive Officer of STF Technologies. From 1984 to 1995, Mr. Wyand held various interim management and consulting positions. From 1977 to 1984, he held various positions with United Telecom Computer Group. From 1973 to 1977, he was a Consultant with Arthur Young & Co. He graduated with a B.S. from Pennsylvania State University and an MBA from Rockhurst College.

Mr. Lippincott joined us in 1993 as a senior sales representative. In 1998 he was appointed Director of North American Sales and in 2000 named General Manager of the Internet Solutions Division, as Vice President, Internet and Direct Sales in 2004 and then Vice President, Operations in 2007. Prior to joining Smith Micro, Mr. Lippincott held several retail sales positions. He attended the University of California at Berkeley majoring in business administration.

Officers are elected by, and serve at the discretion of, the Board of Directors.

For information about our Directors, please see the section titled Directors and Executive Officers appearing in our Proxy Statement for our 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which is hereby incorporated by reference.

The section titled Corporate Governance appearing in our Proxy Statement for our 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders is hereby incorporated by reference.

Audit Committee; Audit Committee Financial Expert

Our Board of Directors has a standing Audit Committee. The members of the Audit Committee are Messrs. Campbell, Gulko and Szabo. Our Board has determined that Mr. Gulko, Chairman of the Audit Committee, is an audit committee financial expert as defined by Item 401(h) of Regulation S-K and that each member of the Audit Committee is independent within the meaning of Nasdaq Marketplace Rule 4200(a)(15).

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires certain of the company s executive officers, as well as its directors and persons who own more than then percent (10%) of a registered class of the Company s equity securities to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Based solely on its review of the copies of such forms received by the Company, or written representations from certain reporting person, the Company believes that during the last fiscal year all executive officers and directors complied with their filing requirements under Section 16(a) for all reportable transactions during the year.

Code of Ethics

We have adopted a Code of Ethics that applies to all of our employees, including our principal executive officer, our principal financial officer, and all members of our finance department performing similar functions. Our Code of Ethics was filed as Exhibit 14 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003 which was filed on March 25, 2004. In the event of an amendment to, or a waiver from, certain provisions of our Code of Ethics, we intend, to the extent possible, to satisfy Form 8-K disclosure requirements by disclosing this information on our website at www.smithmicro.com.

39

Item 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The section titled Executive Compensation and Related Information appearing in our Proxy Statement for our 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders is hereby incorporated by reference.

Item 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The section titled Ownership of Securities and Related Stockholder Matters appearing in our Proxy Statement for our 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders is hereby incorporated by reference.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under An Equity Compensation Plan

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2006 with respect to the shares of common stock that may be issued under our existing equity compensation plans.

		Weighted	
		Average	
	Number of		
	Shares to	Exercise Price	Number of
	be	of	Shares
	Issued		
	Upon		Remaining
	Exercise	Outstanding	Available
	of		
	Outstanding		for Future
(In thousands, except per share amounts)	Options	Options	Issuance
Equity Compensation Plan Approved by Shareholders (1)	2,518	\$ 4.80	2,151
Equity Compensation Plan Not Approved by Shareholders	0	0	0
Total	2,518	\$ 4.80	2,151

⁽¹⁾ The number of shares to be issued upson exercise includes options granted under both the 1995 Stock Option/Stock Issuance Plan and the 2005 Stock Option/Stock Issuance Plan. The number of shares remaining available for future issuance consists only of the 2005 Plan.

Item 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The section titled Related Party Transactions and Director Independence appearing in our Proxy Statement for our 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The section titled Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Principal Accountant Fees and Services appearing in our Proxy Statement for our 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders is incorporated herein by reference.

40

PART IV

Item 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a)(1) Financial Statements

Smith Micro s financial statements appear in a separate section of this Annual Report on Form 10-K beginning on the pages referenced below:

	Page
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-1
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2006 and 2005	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Operations for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity for each of the three years in the period ended	
<u>December 31, 2006</u>	F-6
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31,	
<u>2006</u>	F-7
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for each of the three years in the period ended December 31,	
2006	F-8

(2) Financial Statement Schedule

Smith Micro s financial statement schedule appears in a separate section of this Annual Report on Form 10-K on the pages referenced below. All other schedules have been omitted as they are not applicable, not required or the information is included in the consolidated financial statements or the notes thereto.

		Page
Schedule II	Valuation and Qualifying Accounts for each of the three years in the period ended	
December 31	, 2006.	S-1

(3) Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Title	Method of Filing
2.1	Stock Purchase Agreement, dated July 1, 2005, between Smith Micro Software, Inc. and International Microcomputer Software, Inc. (sole shareholder of Allume Systems, Inc.)	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 5, 2005.
2.2	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated April 3, 2006, by and among Smith Micro Software, Inc., Tag Acquisition Corporation, Tag Acquisition Corporation II, Photags, Inc., Harry Fox, and certain stockholders of Photags, Inc.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 7, 2006
2.3	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated January 31, 2007, by and among Smith Micro Software, Inc., TEL Acquisition Corp., Ecutel Systems, Inc., John J. McDonnell, Jr. and certain stockholders of Ecutel Systems, Inc.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 6, 2007.

Exhibit No.	Title	Method of Filing
2.4	Asset Purchase Agreement, dated February 11, 2007, by and among Smith Micro Software, Inc., IS Acquisition Sub, Inc., Insignia Solutions plc, Insignia Solutions Inc. and Insignia Solutions AB.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.2 to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 13, 2007.
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant s Registration Statement No. 33-95096.
3.1.1	Amendment to the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1.1 to the Registrant s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2000.
3.1.2	Certificate of Amendment to Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Registrant as filed August 18, 2005 with Delaware Secretary of State	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1.2 to the Registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2005.
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Registrant.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Registrant s Registration Statement No. 33-95096.
4.1	Specimen certificate representing shares of Common Stock of the Registrant.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant s Registration Statement No. 33-95096.
10.1	Form of Indemnification Agreement.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant s Registration Statement No. 33-95096.
10.2*	1995 Stock Option/Stock Issuance Plan as Amended and Restated through February 7, 2001.	Incorporated by reference to the Appendix attached to the Definitive Proxy Statement for the 2001 Annual Meeting of Stockholders filed on April 27, 2001.
10.3*	2005 Stock Option / Stock Issuance Plan	

		Incorporated by reference to Appendix A attached to the Definitive Proxy Statement for the 2005 Annual Meeting as filed June 10, 2005.
10.4	Master Software License and Distribution Agreement (Contract No. 220-00-0134) effective as of December 1, 2000, between Cellco Partnership (d/b/a Verizon Wireless) and the Registrant	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2003.
10.4.1	Amendment of Master Software License and Distribution Agreement (Contract No. 220-00-0134)	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1.1 to the Registrant s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2003.
10.4.2	Amendment No. 2 to the Master Software License and Distribution Agreement (Contract No. 220-00-0134)	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1.2 to the Registrant s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2003.
10.5*	Letter Agreement, dated June 13, 2005, by and between Smith Micro Software, Inc. and Andrew Schmidt	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 30, 2006.
	42	

Table of Contents

]	Exhibit No.	Title	Method of Filing
10.6	*	Employment Agreement dated April 9, 1999 by and between Smith Micro Software, Inc. and William Wyand.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 30, 2006.
14.1		Code of Ethics	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 14.1 to the Registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003.
14.1	.1	Attachment 1 to Code of Ethics	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 14.1 to the Registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003.
21.1		Subsidiaries	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 21.1 to the Registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000.
23.1		Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.	Filed herewith
31.1		Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Filed herewith
31.2		Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Filed herewith
32.1		Certifications of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Furnished herewith
	Indicates management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.		
	Confidential treatment has been granted		

with respect to

certain

confidential

portions of this

exhibit pursuant

to Rule 24b-2

under the

Securities

Exchange Act

of 1934, which

confidential

portions have

been omitted

from the exhibit

and filed

separately with

the Securities

and Exchange

Commission.

(b) Exhibits

The exhibits filed as part of this report are listed above in Item 15(a) (3) of this Form 10-K.

(c) Financial Statement Schedule

The Financial Statement Schedule required by Regulation S-X and Item 8 of this Form are listed above in Item 15(a)(2) of this Form 10-K.

43

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

SMITH MICRO SOFTWARE, INC.

Date: March 30, 2007 By: /s/ William W. Smith, Jr.

William W. Smith, Jr. Chairman of the Board,

President and Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: March 30, 2007 By: /s/ Andrew C. Schmidt

Andrew C. Schmidt, Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ William W. Smith, Jr.	Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive	March 30, 2007
William W. Smith, Jr.	Officer)	
/s/ Andrew C. Schmidt	Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	March 30, 2007
Andrew C. Schmidt	and recounting officer)	
/s/ Thomas G. Campbell	Director	March 30, 2007
Thomas G. Campbell		
/s/ Samuel Gulko	Director	March 30, 2007
Samuel Gulko		
/s/ Ted L. Hoffman	Director	March 30, 2007
Ted L. Hoffman		
/s/ William C. Keiper	Director	March 30, 2007
William C. Keiper		
/s/Gregory J. Szabo	Director	March 30, 2007

44

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Audit Committee of

Smith Micro Software, Inc.:

We have audited the consolidated balance sheet of Smith Micro Software, Inc. and subsidiaries (collectively, the Company) as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006. Our audit also included the financial statement schedule of the Company listed in Item 15(a). These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Smith Micro Software, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended, December 31, 2006, in conformity with U.S. Generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of Smith Micro Software, Inc. and subsidiaries internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) and our report dated March 16, 2007 expressed in unqualified opinion on management s assessment of the effectiveness of Smith Micro Software, Inc. s internal control over financial reporting and an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of Smith Micro Software, Inc. s internal control over financial reporting.

SINGER LEWAK GREENBAUM & GOLDSTEIN LLP Los Angeles, California March 16, 2007

F-1

Table of Contents

To the Audit Committee Smith Micro Software, Inc. Aliso Viejo, CA 92656

We have audited management s assessment, included in the accompanying Management s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting, that Smith Micro Software, Inc. (the Company) maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management s assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management s assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

F-2

Table of Contents

In our opinion, management s assessment that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of the Company as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006 of the Company and our report dated March 16, 2007 expressed an unqualified opinion. SINGER LEWAK GREENBAUM & GOLDSTEIN LLP Los Angeles, California

Los Angeles, California March 16, 2007

F-3

SMITH MICRO SOFTWARE INC., AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2006 AND 2005

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

	2006	2005
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS:	Φ 02.564	4.21.21 5
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 92,564	\$ 21,215
Accounts receivable, net of allowances for doubtful accounts and other adjustments of \$500 (2006) and \$439 (2005)	9,828	6,786
Income tax receivable	122	0,780
Deferred tax asset current	90	
Inventories, net	857	530
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	308	556
Total current assets	103,769	29,087
EQUIPMENT AND IMPROVEMENTS, net	417	241
INTANGIBLE ASSETS, net	3,788	4,093
DEFERRED TAX ASSET LONG TERM	7,786	
GOODWILL	15,266	9,288
OTHER ASSETS, net		7
	\$ 131,026	\$ 42,716
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Accounts payable	\$ 2,941	\$ 2,383
Accrued liabilities	2,028	1,376
Total current liabilities	4,969	3,759
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 6)		
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY:		
Preferred stock, par value \$0.001 per share; 5,000,000 shares authorized; none issued and outstanding		
Common stock, par value \$0.001 per share; 50,000,000 shares authorized;		
28,444,000 and 22,147,000 shares issued and outstanding	28	22
Additional paid-in capital	129,018	50,880
Accumulated deficit	(2,989)	(11,945)
Total stockholders equity	126,057	38,957
	\$ 131,026	\$ 42,716
	Ψ 151,020	Ψ 12,710

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

F-4

SMITH MICRO SOFTWARE INC., AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS FOR EACH OF THE THREE YEARS IN THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006 (In thousands, except per share data)

	Years ended December 31,		
	2006	2005	2004
NET REVENUES:			
Products	\$ 53,773	\$ 19,637	\$ 12,394
Services	696	621	922
Total Net Revenues	54,469	20,258	13,316
COST OF REVENUES:			
Products	19,989	3,818	2,530
Services	270	285	380
Total Cost of Revenues	20,259	4,103	2,910
GROSS PROFIT	34,210	16,155	10,406
OPERATING EXPENSES:			
Selling and marketing	9,057	3,410	1,519
Research and development	7,899	3,963	2,556
General and administrative	8,467	4,621	2,868
Total operating expenses	25,423	11,994	6,943
OPERATING INCOME	8,787	4,161	3,463
INTEREST INCOME	1,403	667	53
INTEREST INCOME	1,403	007	33
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	10,190	4,828	3,516
INCOME TAX EXPENSE	1,234	104	71
NET INCOME	\$ 8,956	\$ 4,724	\$ 3,445
NET INCOME PER SHARE, basic	\$ 0.38	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.20
WEIGHTED AVG SHARES OUTSTANDING, basic	23,753	21,351	17,267
NET INCOME PER SHARE, diluted	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.19
WEIGHTED AVG SHARES OUTSTANDING, diluted	25,330	22,806	18,412
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements			

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

F-5

SMITH MICRO SOFTWARE INC., AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY FOR EACH OF THE THREE YEARS IN THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006 (In thousands

	Common stock		Additional paid-in	Accumulated	
	Shares	Amount	capital	deficit	Total
BALANCE, December 31, 2003	17,011	\$ 17	\$ 25,687	\$ (20,114)	\$ 5,590
Exercise of common stock options Tax benefit related to the exercise of	1,000	1	1,995		1,996
stock options			68		68
Net income				3,445	3,445
BALANCE, December 31, 2004 Issuance of common stock in private	18,011	18	27,750	(16,669)	11,099
placement Issuance of common stock in Allume	3,500	4	20,782		20,786
acquisition	398		1,858		1,858
Exercise of common stock options	238		369		369
Tax benefit related to the exercise of					
stock options			20		20
Non cash compensation recognized on					
stock options			101		101
Net income				4,724	4,724
BALANCE, December 31, 2005 Issuance of common stock in secondary offering, net of offering	22,147	22	50,880	(11,945)	38,957
costs Issuance of common stock in PhoTags	4,000	4	54,994		54,998
acquisition	385		4,730		4,730
Exercise of common stock options	1,462	2	4,185		4,187
Restricted Stock Grants	450		2,020		2,020
Tax benefit related to the exercise of stock options and release of valuation			,		,
allowance Non cash compensation recognized on			9,567		9,567
stock options			2,642		2,642
Net income			_,	8,956	8,956
BALANCE, December 31, 2006	28,444	\$ 28	\$ 129,018	\$ (2,989)	\$ 126,057

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

F-6

SMITH MICRO SOFTWARE INC., AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR EACH OF THE THREE YEARS IN THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006 (In thousands)

	Years ended December 31,		
	2006	2005	2004
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:			
Net income	\$ 8,956	\$ 4,724	\$ 3,445
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	2,098	901	146
Provision for doubtful accounts and other adjustments to accounts			
receivable	468	573	112
Tax benefit related to the exercise of stock options	1,781	20	68
Non cash stock compensation expense	4,662	101	
Release of tax valuation allowance	7,786		
Change in operating accounts:			
Accounts receivable	(3,510)	(4,513)	(1,395)
Deferred Income Taxes	(8,376)		
Income tax receivable	(122)	35	(35)
Inventories	(327)	(246)	(25)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	174	(109)	(30)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	1,160	978	732
Net cash provided by operating activities	14,750	2,464	3,018
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Acquisition of PhoTags, Inc., net of cash acquired	(2,224)		
Acquisition of Allume Systems, Inc., net of cash acquired		(10,896)	
Capital expenditures	(362)	(142)	(102)
Net cash used in investing activities	(2,586)	(11,038)	(102)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net of offering costs	54,998	20,786	1,996
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	4,187	369	
Net cash provided by financing activities	59,185	21,155	1,996
NET CHANGE IN CASH & CASH EQUIVALENTS	71,349	12,581	4,912
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of year	21,215	8,634	3,722
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of year	\$ 92,564	\$ 21,215	\$ 8,634
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION -			
Cash paid during the year for income taxes	\$ 203	\$ 42	\$ 38

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

F-7

SMITH MICRO SOFTWARE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR EACH OF THE THREE YEARS IN THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Description of Business - Smith Micro Software, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) is a developer and marketer of communications and utilities software products and services. Our business model is based primarily upon developing and marketing innovative software solutions for the wireless industry. We sell our products and services to some of the world's leading wireless companies as well as to consumers. Our products are primarily directed to wireless data connectivity, including wireless wide area network (WWAN) for CDMA/GPRS networks, and wireless local area network (WLAN) for Wi-Fi. We also provide software to manage music and other multimedia content, including photo and full motion video, on mobile devices. We are also heavily involved in data compression, primarily focused on a unique way of compression to enable enhanced wireless communications. Our software products target the original equipment manufacturers (OEM) market, particularly wireless service providers and mobile device manufacturers, as well as direct to the consumer. Smith Micro's fundamental product design philosophy is to enhance, simplify, and streamline applications to ensure the best possible consumer experience. Since our inception in 1983, we have shipped over 60 million copies of our various software products.

On April 5, 2006, the Company acquired PhoTags, Inc. (PhoTags), a Delaware Corporation with offices in Jerusalem, Israel (see Note 2). PhoTags is a leading developer and marketer of revolutionary photo and music management technology. The company s pioneering product suite known as Active Images turns any JPEG file into a self-contained multimedia messaging system, allowing users to send text messages, music, sound files, documents and more all in a single JPEG file. Among PhoTags product suite was Music Express, now known as QuickLink Music, an innovative music management solution which assists users to enhance the media-rich capabilities of listening to music on their PCs. QuickLink Music allows users to simplify managing their music files, buy music from an online store and manage music files on a PC. PhoTags Inc. s patented Active Images technology is designed to easily add any type of metadata or media file to a JPEG Image. Watermarks, forms, text messages, music, links to Internet sites, voice recordings, portable digital wallet information, and even videos can all be stored in a JPEG image, and accessed on any device that has the Active Image thin client application installed. This technology plays directly into Smith Micro s strategy of broadening its wireless product offerings and provides for the first time that carriers and handset manufacturers can provide enhanced music and photo management technology that can reside directly in the handset and on a consumer s PC. In addition to broadening Smith Micro s family of mobility products, the PhoTags acquisition will help expand Smith Micro s ability to serve OEM customers in Europe and Asia through an international sales and support office based in Jerusalem, Israel that is now renamed Smith Micro Software, Israel.

F-8

SMITH MICRO SOFTWARE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR EACH OF THE THREE YEARS IN THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

On July 1, 2005, the Company acquired Allume Systems (Allume), which was a wholly owned subsidiary of International Microcomputer Software, Inc. (see Note 3). Allume develops and publishes award-winning software solutions that empower users in the area of information access, removal, recovery, security, productivity and online distribution. Allume s flagship product is StuffIt® Deluxe. StuffIt Wireless, a new and advanced technology that is patent pending by Allume Systems, will compress an already compressed JPEG file by up to 30% more without loosing any of the picture quality. This technology is particularly important to mobile phone manufactures who now can store up to 30% more images and carriers who are interested in the reduction of utilized bandwidth. Other award winning products include icSpyware Suite® and Internet Cleanup® which targets spyware and phishing intrusions, and Spring Cleaning® which cleans and optimizes computer operating systems.

Basis of Presentation - The accompanying consolidated financial statements reflect the operating results and financial position of Smith Micro Software, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiaries in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. All intercompany amounts have been eliminated in consolidation. Cash and Cash Equivalents - Cash and cash equivalents generally consist of cash, government securities and money market funds. These securities are primarily held in one financial institution and are uninsured except for minimum FDIC coverage. As of December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, balances totaling approximately \$93.1 million and \$21.4 million, respectively, were uninsured. All have original maturity dates of three months or less.

Accounts Receivable - The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers and generally does not require collateral. The Company maintains allowances for estimated credit losses, and those losses have been within management s estimates. Allowances for product returns are included in other adjustments to accounts receivable on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Product returns are estimated based on historical experience and have also been within management s estimates.

Inventories - Inventories consist principally of cables, CDs, boxes and manuals and are stated at the lower of cost (determined by the first-in, first-out method) or market. The Company regularly reviews its inventory quantities on hand and records a provision for excess and obsolete inventory based primarily on management s estimated forecast of product demand and production requirements. At December 31, 2006 our inventory balance consisted of approximately \$95,000 in assembled products and \$762,000 million of components.

F-9

SMITH MICRO SOFTWARE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR EACH OF THE THREE YEARS IN THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

Equipment and Improvements - Equipment and improvements are stated at cost. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method based on the estimated useful lives of the assets, generally ranging from three to seven years. Leasehold improvements are amortized using the straight-line method over the shorter of the estimated useful life of the asset or the lease term.

Long Lived Assets The Company accounts for the impairment and disposition of long-lived assets in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 144, Accounting for Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets. This statement addresses financial accounting and reporting for the impairment of long-lived assets and for the disposal of long-lived assets. In accordance with SFAS No. 144, long-lived assets to be held are reviewed for events or changes in circumstances which indicate that their carrying value may not be recoverable. The Company periodically reviews the carrying value of long-lived assets to determine whether or not an impairment to such value has occurred. The Company has determined that there was no impairment at December 31, 2006. Goodwill - The Company adopted SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, effective January 1, 2002 and no impairment was identified. As a result of the adoption, the Company is no longer required to amortize goodwill. In accordance with SFAS No. 142, the Company reviews the recoverability of the carrying value of goodwill at least annually or whenever events or circumstances indicate a potential impairment. The Company s annual impairment testing date is December 31. Recoverability of goodwill is determined by comparing the fair value of the Company s reporting units to the carrying value of the underlying net assets in the reporting units. If the fair value of a reporting unit is determined to be less than the carrying value of its net assets, goodwill is deemed impaired and an impairment loss is recognized to the extent that the carrying value of goodwill exceeds the difference between the fair value of the reporting unit and the fair value of its other assets and liabilities. At December 31, 2006, we elected to write off all goodwill allocated to the services sector, or \$335,000. The consulting portion of our services sector has been de-emphasized and is no longer considered a strategic element of our go forward plan. The amount of the write off is included in general and administrative expenses. We determined that we did not have any impairment of goodwill associated with the products sector at December 31, 2006.

Revenue Recognition - Software revenue is recognized in accordance with the Statement of Position (SOP) 97-2, Software Revenue Recognition, as amended, when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, the price is fixed and determinable, and collection is probable. The Company recognizes revenues from sales of its software to Retail and OEM customers or end users as completed products are shipped and title passes, or from royalties generated as authorized customers duplicate its software, if the other requirements of SOP 97-2 are met. If the requirements of SOP 97-2 are not met at the date of shipment, revenue is not recognized until these elements are known or resolved. Returns from Retail and OEM customers are limited to defective goods or goods shipped in error. Historically, OEM customer returns have not been significant. The Company reviews available retail channel information and makes a determination of a return provision for sales

F-10

SMITH MICRO SOFTWARE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR EACH OF THE THREE YEARS IN THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

made to distributors and retailers based on current channel inventory levels and historical return patterns. Certain sales to distributors are made on a consignment basis. Revenue for consignment sales is not recognized until sell through to the final customer has occurred. The Company has few multiple elements agreement for which the Company has contracted to provide a perpetual license for use of proprietary software, to provide non-recurring engineering, and in some cases to provide software maintenance (post contract support). For multiple element agreements, vendor specific objective evidence for all contract elements is reviewed and the timing of the individual element revenue streams is determined and recognized consistent with SOP 97-2.

Product sales directly to end users are recognized upon delivery. End users have a thirty day right of return, but such returns are reasonably estimable and have historically been immaterial. The Company also provides technical support to its customers. Such costs have historically been insignificant.

Service revenues include sales of consulting services, web site hosting and fulfillment. Service revenues are recognized as services are provided or as milestones are delivered and accepted by our customers.

Software Development Costs - Development costs incurred in the research and development of new software products and enhancements to existing software products are expensed as incurred until technological feasibility has been established. The Company considers technological feasibility to be established when all planning, designing, coding and testing has been completed according to design specifications. After technological feasibility is established, any additional costs are capitalized. Through December 31, 2006, software has been substantially completed concurrently with the establishment of technological feasibility; and, accordingly, no costs have been capitalized to date.

Sales Incentives - Pursuant to the consensus of EITF 01-09, Accounting for Consideration Given by a Vendor to a Customer (Including a Reseller of the Vendor s Product), effective January 1, 2002, the cost of sales incentives the Company offers without charge to customers that can be used in, or that are exercisable by a customer as a result of, a single exchange transaction is accounted for as a reduction of revenue. Total rebates were \$307,000, \$193,000 and \$0 for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Advertising Expense - Advertising costs are expensed as incurred. Advertising expenses were \$379,000, \$223,000 and \$47,000 for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

F-11

SMITH MICRO SOFTWARE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR EACH OF THE THREE YEARS IN THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

statement requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the future consequences of events that have been recognized in the Company s financial statements or tax returns. The measurement of the deferred items is based on enacted tax laws. In the event the future consequences of differences between financial reporting bases and the tax bases of the Company s assets and liabilities result in a deferred tax asset, SFAS No. 109 requires an evaluation of the probability of being able to realize the future benefits indicated by such asset. A valuation allowance related to a deferred tax asset is recorded when it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. The Company reversed all of its valuation allowance on its deferred tax assets during the year ended 2006 as a result of the Company s improving financial performance and projected income in future years.

Stock-Based Compensation - Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123(R)), which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all share-based payment awards made to employees and directors, including stock options based on their fair values. SFAS 123(R) supersedes Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees (APB 25), which the Company previously followed in accounting for stock-based awards. In March 2005, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107 (SAB 107) to provide guidance on SFAS 123(R). The Company has applied SAB 107 in its adoption of SFAS 123(R).

Income Taxes - The Company accounts for income taxes under SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. This

The Company adopted SFAS 123(R) using the modified prospective transition method as of and for the year ended December 31, 2006. In accordance with the modified prospective transition method, the Company s financial statements for prior periods have not been restated to reflect, and do not include, the impact of SFAS 123(R). Share-based compensation expense recognized is based on the value of the portion of share-based payment awards that is ultimately expected to vest. Share-based compensation expense recognized in the Company s Consolidated Statement of Operations during the year ended December 31, 2006 includes compensation expense for share-based payment awards granted prior to, but not yet vested as of, December 31, 2005 based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the pro forma provisions of SFAS 123.

In conjunction with the adoption of SFAS 123(R), the Company elected to attribute the value of share-based compensation to expense using the straight-line method, which was previously used for its pro forma information required under SFAS 123. Share-based

F-12

SMITH MICRO SOFTWARE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR EACH OF THE THREE YEARS IN THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

compensation expense related to stock options and restricted stock grants was \$5.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, and was recorded in the financial statements as follows (in thousands):

	2006
Cost of Goods Sold	\$ 33
Selling and Marketing	2,077
Research and Development	1,088
General and Administrative	2,269
Total Stock Compensation Expense	\$ 5,467

2000

The Company s calculations were made using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions: expected life, 12 to 48 months following the grant date; average stock volatility, 84.1% for grants issued in 2006; weighted average risk-free interest rate of 4.86% in the year ended December 31, 2006; and no dividends during the expected term.

In the year ended December 31, 2006 a total of 50,000 shares of Restricted Stock, with a total value of \$440,000, were granted to the Board of Directors. This cost will be amortized over 12 months. In addition, 400,000 shares of Restricted Stock, with a total value \$4.3 million, were granted to key officers and employees of the Company. This cost will be amortized over 24 months.

During the years ended December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, there was no share-based compensation expense related to stock options recognized under the intrinsic value method in accordance with APB 25. In addition, there was no compensation expense for restricted stock grants as the Company had not issued any restricted stock prior to 2006. Had compensation cost for the Company s stock options been recognized based upon the estimated fair value on the grant date under the fair value methodology prescribed by SFAS No. 123, as amended by SFAS No. 148, the Company s net income and income per share would have been as follows:

SMITH MICRO SOFTWARE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR EACH OF THE THREE YEARS IN THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

	Year Ended December 31,			
		2005		2004
(in thousands, except per share data)				
Net income, as reported	\$	4,724	\$	3,445
Less total stock based compensation		(1,582)		(308)
Pro forma net income	\$	3,142	\$	3,137
Income per Common Share				
As reported, Basic	\$	0.22	\$	0.20
As reported, Diluted	\$	0.21	\$	0.19

Pro forma, Basic		\$ 0.15	\$ 0.18
Pro forma, Diluted		\$ 0.14	\$ 0.17
	F-13		

SMITH MICRO SOFTWARE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR EACH OF THE THREE YEARS IN THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

The Company s calculations were made using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions: expected life, 12 to 48 months following grant date; stock volatility, 85% and 127% for grants issued in 2005 and 2004, respectively; risk-free interest rates of 3.98% and 3.47% for 2005 and 2004, respectively; and no dividends during the expected term. As stock-based compensation expense recognized in the consolidated statement of operations pursuant to SFAS No. 123(R) is based on awards ultimately expected to vest, expense for grants beginning upon adoption of SFAS No. 123(R) on January 1, 2006 will be reduced for estimated forfeitures. SFAS No. 123(R) requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. Forfeitures are estimated based on historical experience. *Net Income per Share* - Pursuant to SFAS No. 128, *Earnings per Share*, the Company is required to provide dual presentation of basic and diluted earnings per share (EPS). Basic EPS amounts are based upon the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted EPS amounts are based upon the weighted average number of common and potential common shares outstanding. Potential common shares include stock options using the treasury stock method.

Fulfillment Services - The Company currently holds consigned inventory from a customer, which is used to fulfill orders. As the Company does not hold title to the inventory, it is not recorded in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. In addition, the Company receives cash for fulfillment orders, which is paid out to the fulfillment customer on a monthly basis. Such cash and the related payable are recorded on a net basis as the amounts are held for the benefit of this fulfillment customer. Revenue is recognized for fulfillment services as services are performed. Use of Estimates - The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting years. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Comprehensive Income - Comprehensive income, as defined, includes all changes in equity (net assets) during a period from non-owner sources. For each of the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, there was no difference between net income and comprehensive income.

F-14

SMITH MICRO SOFTWARE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR EACH OF THE THREE YEARS IN THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

Fair Value of Financial Instruments The Company's financial instruments consist of cash, cash equivalents and trade receivables and payables. The carrying amounts of these instruments approximate fair value because of their short-term maturities.

New Accounting Pronouncements - In February 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Issues (SFAS) No. 155, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments, which amends SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivatives Instruments and Hedging Activities and SFAS No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishment of Liabilities. SFAS 155 amends SFAS No. 133 to narrow the scope exception for interest-only and principal-only strips on debt instruments to include only such strips representing rights to receive a specified portion of the contractual interest or principle cash flows. SFAS 155 also amends SFAS No. 140 to allow qualifying special-purpose entities to hold a passive derivative financial instrument pertaining to beneficial interests that itself is a derivative instrument. The Company is currently evaluating the impact this new Standard but believes that it will not have a material impact on the Company s financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

In March 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 156, Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets (SFAS 156), which provides an approach to simplify efforts to obtain hedge-like (offset) accounting. This Statement amends FASB Statement 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities, with respect to the accounting for separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities. The Statement (1) requires an entity to recognize a servicing asset or servicing liability each time it undertakes an obligation to service a financial asset by entering into a servicing contract in certain situations; (2) requires that a separately recognized servicing asset or servicing liability be initially measured at fair value, if practicable; (3) permits an entity to choose either the amortization method or the fair value method for subsequent measurement for each class of separately recognized servicing assets or servicing liabilities; (4) permits at initial adoption a one-time reclassification of available-for-sale securities to trading securities by an entity with recognized servicing rights, provided the securities reclassified offset the entity s exposure to changes in the fair value of the servicing assets or liabilities; and (5) requires separate presentation of servicing assets and servicing liabilities subsequently measured at fair value in the balance sheet and additional disclosures for all separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities. SFAS 156 is effective for all separately recognized servicing assets and liabilities as of the beginning of an entity s fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006, with earlier adoption permitted in certain circumstances. The Statement also describes the manner in which it should be initially applied. The Company does not believe that SFAS 156 will have a material impact on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

F-15

SMITH MICRO SOFTWARE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR EACH OF THE THREE YEARS IN THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

In July 2006, the FASB released FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48). FIN 48 clarifies the accounting and reporting for uncertainties in income tax law. This interpretation prescribes a comprehensive model for the financial statement recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure of uncertain tax positions taken or expected to be taken in income tax returns. On January 17, 2007, the FASB affirmed its previous decision to make FIN 48 effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. Accordingly, FIN 48 is effective for the Company on January 1, 2007. The Company is still assessing the impacts of the adoption of FIN 48. Based on a preliminary analysis, management believes that the adoption of FIN 48 will not have a material impact on its results of operations and financial position. In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (SFAS 157), which defines the fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. This statement is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is encouraged, provided that we have not yet issued financial statements for that fiscal year, including any financial statements for an interim period within that fiscal year. The Company is currently in the process of evaluating the impact SFAS 157 may have on its results of operations and financial position.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, *Employer s accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Post Retirement Plans*. SFAS No. 158 requires employers to recognize in its statement of financial position an asset or liability based on the retirement plan s over or under funded status. SFAS No. 158 is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2006. The Company is currently in the process of evaluating the effect that the application of SFAS No. 158 will have on its results of operations and financial position.

In September 2006, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements (SAB 108). This SAB provides guidance on the consideration of the effects of prior year misstatements in quantifying current year misstatements for the purpose of a materiality assessment. SAB 108 establishes an approach that requires quantification of financial statement errors based on the effects of each of the company s balance sheets and statement of operations and the related financial statement disclosures. The SAB permits existing public companies to record the cumulative effect of initially applying this approach in the first year ending after November 15, 2006 by recording the necessary correcting adjustments to the carrying values of assets and liabilities as of the beginning of that year with the offsetting adjustment recorded to the opening balance of retained earnings. Additionally, the use of the cumulative effect transition method requires detailed disclosure of the nature and amount of each individual error being corrected through the cumulative adjustment and how and when it arose. The Company is currently in the process of evaluating the impact SAB 108 may have on its results of operations or financial position.

F-16

SMITH MICRO SOFTWARE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR EACH OF THE THREE YEARS IN THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

In October 2006, the Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) issued EITF 06-3, *How Taxes Collected from Customers and Remitted to Governmental Authorities Should Be Presented in the Income Statement (That is, Gross versus Net Presentation)* to clarify diversity in practice on the presentation of different types of taxes in the financial statements. The Task Force concluded that, for taxes within the scope of the issue, a company may adopt a policy of presenting taxes either gross within revenue or net. That is, it may include charges to customers for taxes within revenues and the charge for the taxes from the taxing authority within cost of sales, or, alternatively, it may net the charge to the customer and the charge from the taxing authority. If taxes subject to EITF 06-3 are significant, a company is required to disclose its accounting policy for presenting taxes and the amounts of such taxes that are recognized on a gross basis. The guidance in this consensus is effective for the first interim reporting period beginning after December 15, 2006 (the first quarter of our fiscal year 2007). The Company does not expect the adoption of EITF 06-3 will have a material impact on its results of operations, financial position or cash flow.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities*. SFAS No. 159 permits entities to choose to measure at fair value many financial instruments and certain other items that are not currently required to be measured at fair value. Subsequent changes in fair value for designated items will be required to be reported in earnings in the current period. SFAS No. 159 also establishes presentation and disclosure requirements for similar types of assets and liabilities measured at fair value. SFAS No. 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company is currently assessing the effect of implementing this guidance, which directly depends on the nature and extent of eligible items elected to be measured at fair value, upon initial application of the standard on January 1, 2008.

F-17

SMITH MICRO SOFTWARE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR EACH OF THE THREE YEARS IN THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

2. ACQUISITION OF PHOTAGS INC.

On April 3, 2006, the Company entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the Merger Agreement) by and among the Company, Tag Acquisition Corporation, a Delaware corporation and a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company (Merger Sub), Tag Acquisition Corporation II, a Delaware corporation and wholly owned subsidiary of the Company (Merger Sub II), PhoTags, Inc., a Delaware corporation (PhoTags), Harry Fox, as Stockholders Agent, and certain stockholders of PhoTags, that provides for, among other things, the merger of Merger Sub with and into PhoTags and, immediately upon the completion thereof, the merger of PhoTags with and into Merger Sub II pursuant to which PhoTags became a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company (the Merger). The transaction closed on April 5, 2006. In connection with the Merger, the Company acquired the underlying technology of PhoTags, Inc. which includes patented JPEG enhancements. As a result, the Company paid approximately \$2,000,000 to PhoTags, Inc. who paid their existing liabilities at closing, and issued 384,897 shares of the Company s common stock with an aggregate fair market value of \$4,730,384 (based on a closing share price on the closing date, April 5, 2006, of \$12.29) as consideration for the purchase of all of the outstanding shares of PhoTags. In addition, the Company agreed to pay an earn-out payment of up to an additional \$3,500,000 in the Company s common stock, if the PhoTags business line achieves certain milestones at the end of the 15-month earn-out period beginning April 1, 2006. As of December 31, 2006, approximately \$1.7 million in Wireless & OEM revenues have been attributed to the acquisition toward the earn-out goal of \$4.2 million. The Company estimates that \$226,000 in direct cash costs (legal and professional services) and \$77,000 of non-cash direct costs were incurred to close the transaction.

As part of the transaction, CDI, a company organized under the laws of the State of Israel and a related party of PhoTags (CDI), agreed to grant the Company an option to acquire CDI for a period of ten (10) years following the effective time of the Merger at a purchase price of \$3,000.

The Merger is intended to constitute a reorganization under Section 368 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

As part of the transaction, the Company filed a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission covering the resale of the shares of the Company s common stock

F-18

Total purchase price

SMITH MICRO SOFTWARE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR EACH OF THE THREE YEARS

IN THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

issued to the stockholders of PhoTags at closing. The registration statement was filed on July 28, 2006 and became effective August 3, 2006.

A copy of the Merger Agreement has been filed under Form 8-K with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The results of operations of the business acquired have been included in the Company s consolidated financial statements from the date of acquisition. Amortization related to the acquisition was calculated based on an independent valuation for certain identifiable intangibles acquired.

\$7,532

The total purchase price is summarized as follows (in thousands):

Cash consideration Common stock Deferred taxes Acquisition related costs cash Acquisition related costs non cash	\$ 2,000 4,730 500 226 76
Total purchase price	\$ 7,532
The Company s allocation of the purchase price is summarized as follows (in thousands):	
Assets: Cash Accounts Receivable, net Intangible Assets Goodwill Total Assets	\$ 2 3 1,265 6,312 7,582
Liabilities: Accrued Liabilities	50
Total Liabilities	50

The pro-forma effect of the acquisition on historical results of operations is not material and therefore is not included. F-19

SMITH MICRO SOFTWARE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR EACH OF THE THREE YEARS IN THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

3. ACOUISITION OF ALLUME INC.

On July 1, 2005, the Company acquired 100% of the issued and outstanding capital stock of Allume, Inc. from International Microcomputer Software, Inc. (IMSI) for \$10.6 million in cash and 397,547 restricted shares of its common stock. Allume, Inc. is a leading developer of compression software solutions for JPEG, MPEG and MP3 platforms. A portion of the purchase price, including \$1,250,000 cash and shares of common stock having a market value of \$750,000 were deposited in an indemnity escrow to secure certain representations and warranties included in the Stock Purchase Agreement. The aggregate purchase price was approximately \$12.8 million, which includes \$10.6 million cash paid, the 397,547 shares issued, which have been valued using the average closing market price of the Company s common stock over the two-day period before and after the sale was announced, and \$316,000 of direct acquisition costs. The direct acquisition costs incurred to date include \$116,000 for legal and professional services, as well as a transaction fee of \$200,000.

The results of operations of the business acquired have been included in the Company s consolidated financial statements from the date of acquisition. Depreciation and amortization related to the acquisition were calculated based on the estimated fair market values and estimated lives for property and equipment and an independent valuation for certain identifiable intangibles acquired.

The total purchase price is summarized as follows (in thousands):

Cash consideration	\$ 10,626
Common stock	1,858
Acquisition related costs	316
Total purchase price	\$ 12,800

F-20

SMITH MICRO SOFTWARE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR EACH OF THE THREE YEARS

IN THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

The Company s allocation of the purchase price is summarized as follows (in thousands):

Assets:	
Cash	\$ 46
Accounts Receivable, net	822
Inventories, net	237
Property & Equipment	67
Other Assets	244
Intangible Assets	4,863
Goodwill	7,573
Total Assets	13,852
Liabilities:	
Accounts Payable	659
Accrued Liabilities	393
Total Liabilities	1,052
Total purchase price	\$ 12,800
	F-21

SMITH MICRO SOFTWARE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR EACH OF THE THREE YEARS IN THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

Unaudited pro forma consolidated results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 as if the acquisition had occurred as of January 1, 2004 are as follows (in thousands, except per share data):

		Ended er 31, 2005	Year Ended December 31, 2004			
Net Revenues	Historical \$ 20,258	Proforma \$ 24,647	Historical \$13,316	Proforma \$ 22,902		
Net Income	\$ 4,724	\$ 4,288	\$ 3,445	\$ 2,810		
Net Income per share, basic	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.16		
Net Income per share, diluted	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.15		
Weighted average shares outstanding, basic	21,351	21,549	17,267	17,665		
Weighted average shares outstanding, diluted	22,806	23,004	18,412	18,810		

Pro forma adjustments have been applied to reflect the addition of amortization related to the intangible assets and the fixed assets acquired and reduction in interest income as if the acquisition had occurred at the beginning of such period presented. The pro forma adjustment for amortization related to intangible assets acquired was \$1.5 million and \$608,000 for the proforma periods ending December 31, 2004 and 2005, respectively .

F-22

SMITH MICRO SOFTWARE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR EACH OF THE THREE YEARS IN THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

4. EQUIPMENT AND IMPROVEMENTS

Equipment and improvements consist of the following (in thousands):

		December 31,		
		2006	2005	
Machinery and equipment		\$ 1,187	\$ 906	
Leasehold improvements		443	362	
Office furniture and fixtures		72	72	
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization		1,702 (1,285)	1,340 (1,099)	
		\$ 417	\$ 241	
	F-23			

SMITH MICRO SOFTWARE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR EACH OF THE THREE YEARS IN THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

5. GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The following table sets forth the acquired intangible assets by major asset class:

	Useful	December 31, 2006				Decen	nber 31, 20	05		
	Life		Accı	ımulated	Net Book		Accı	ımulated		Net Book
(in thousands) Amortizing:	(Years)	Gross	Amo	ortization	Value	Gross	Amo	ortization		Value
Purchased and Licensed Technology	3	\$ 2,260	\$	(2,260)	\$	\$ 2,260	\$	(2,260)	\$	
Capitalized Software	4 - 5	3,849		(1,621)	2,228	2,649		(534)		2,115
Distribution Rights	5	482		(208)	274	482		(76)		406
Customer Lists	5	923		(276)	647	923		(92)		831
Trademarks	10	809		(196)	613	809		(68)		741
Customer Agreements	1.5	65		(39)	26					
Totals		\$ 8,388	\$	(4,600)	\$ 3,788	\$ 7,123	\$	(3,030)	\$	4,093

Aggregate amortization expense on intangible assets was approximately \$1,570,000, \$770,000 and \$40,000 for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Expected future amortization expense is as follows: \$1,696,000 for the year 2007, \$1,121,000 for the year 2008, \$522,000 for the year 2009 and \$449,000 thereafter. Amortization expense related to intangibles acquired in the Allume acquisition is calculated on a discounted cash flow basis over five years for Capitalized Software, Distribution Rights and Customer Lists and ten years for Trademarks. Amortization is calculated on a straight line basis over five years for Customer Lists. Amortization expense related to intangibles acquired in the PhoTags acquisition is calculated on a discounted cash flow basis over four years for Capitalized Software and 18 months for Customer Agreements.

At December 31, 2006, upon review of the goodwill the Company elected to write off all goodwill allocated to the consulting portion of its services sector, or \$335,000. The consulting portion of its services sector has been de-emphasized and is no longer considered a strategic element of our go forward plan. The amount of the write off is included in general and administrative expenses. The Company determined that it did not have any impairment of goodwill associated with the products sector at December 31, 2006.

F-24

SMITH MICRO SOFTWARE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR EACH OF THE THREE YEARS

IN THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

The carrying amount of the Company s goodwill was \$15,266,000 as of December 31, 2006 and \$9,288,000 as of December 31, 2005. The Company s reporting units are equivalent to its operating segments. At December 31, 2006 and 2005, the amount of goodwill allocated to the products segment is \$15,266,000 and \$8,953,000, respectively and the amount of goodwill allocated to the services segment is \$0 and \$335,000, respectively.

6. ACCRUED LIABILITIES

Accrued liabilities consist of the following (in thousands):

	Decen	ıber 31,
	2006	2005
Salaries and benefits	\$ 1,478	\$ 1,055
Royalties	270	180
Marketing expenses and rebates	189	103
Deferred revenue	78	
Other	13	38
	\$ 2,028	\$ 1,376
F-25		

SMITH MICRO SOFTWARE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR EACH OF THE THREE YEARS IN THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

7. INCOME TAXES

A summary of the income tax expense (benefit) is as follows (in thousands):

	Year ended December 3 2006 2005				r 31, 2004		
Current:	20	JUU	2	003	۷	UU4	
Federal	\$	23	\$	100	\$	68	
State				4		3	
		23		104		71	
Deferred:							
Federal		(58)		1,286	1	1,025	
State		(33)		(197)		427	
Tax benefit related to exercise of stock options and release of valuation							
allowance		0,567					
Purchase price adjustment PhoTags		(500)					
Other adjustments	(5	21	(1 000\	(-	1.450)	
Change in valuation allowance	(/	7,786)	(1,089)	(.	1,452)	
	1	,211		0		0	
	\$ 1	,234	\$	104	\$	71	
F-26							

SMITH MICRO SOFTWARE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR EACH OF THE THREE YEARS

IN THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

A reconciliation of the provision (benefit) for income taxes to the amount of income tax expense (benefit) that would result from applying the federal statutory rate (35%) to the income (loss) before income taxes is as follows:

		December 31,			
		2006	2005	2004	
Federal statutory rate		34%	35%	35%	
State tax, net of federal benefit		6	3		
Nondeductible expense related to acquired intangibles					
Other		3	(1)	(1)	
Change in valuation allowance		(31)	(35)	(32)	
		12%	2%	2%	
	F-27				

SMITH MICRO SOFTWARE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR EACH OF THE THREE YEARS IN THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

The major components of the Company s deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows (in thousands):

	December 31,		
	2006	2005	
Various reserves	\$ 91	\$ 107	
Nondeductible accruals	128	204	
State taxes	(592)	(587)	
Prepaid expenses	(156)	(99)	
Credit carryforwards	1,697	1,687	
Net operating loss carryforwards	6,091	6,118	
Fixed assets	57	48	
Amortization	831	265	
Identifiable intangibles acquired	(537)		
Equity based compensation	216		
Other	50	43	
Subtotal	7,876	7,786	
Valuation allowance	0	(7,786)	
	\$ 7,876	\$ 0	

The Company has federal and state net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$14,900,000 and \$11,900,000, respectively, at December 31, 2006. These federal and state net operating loss carryforwards will expire in 2007 through 2026.

In addition, the Company has federal and state tax credit carryforwards of approximately \$1,115,000 and \$581,000, respectively, at December 31, 2006. These tax credits will begin to expire in 2010.

As of December 31, 2005 the Company had recorded a valuation allowance to fully reserve its net deferred tax assets based on the Company's assessment that the realization of the deferred tax assets did not meet the More likely than not criterion under SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*. The Company reversed all of its valuation allowance on its deferred tax assets during the year ended December 31, 2006 as a result of the Company's improving financial performance and projected income in the future years.

F-28

SMITH MICRO SOFTWARE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR EACH OF THE THREE YEARS IN THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

8. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Leases - The Company has non-cancelable operating leases for its building facilities in Aliso Viejo, California and Watsonville, California, which expire in May 2009 and September 2010, respectively. The Company also leases space for its facility in Lee s Summit, Missouri on a month to month basis. Future minimum rental commitments consist of the following (in thousands):

Year ending December 31:

2007	\$ 6	579
2008	7	703
2009	4	138
2010	1	186
Total	\$ 2.0)06

Total rent expense was \$573,000, \$492,000 and \$480,000 for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Litigation From time to time the Company is subject to litigation in the normal course of business, none of which management believes will likely have a material adverse effect on the Company s consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

Other Contingent Contractual Obligations During its normal course of business, the Company has made certain indemnities, commitments and guarantees under which it may be required to make payments in relation to certain transactions. These include: intellectual property indemnities to the Company s customers and licensees in connection with the use, sale and/or license of Company products; indemnities to various lessors in connection with facility leases for certain claims arising from such facility or lease; indemnities to vendors and service providers pertaining to claims based on the negligence or willful misconduct of the Company; indemnities involving the accuracy of representations and warranties in certain contracts; and indemnities to directors and officers of the Company to the maximum extent permitted under the laws of the State of Delaware. In addition, the Company has made contractual commitments to employees providing for severance payments upon the occurrence of certain prescribed events. The Company may also issue a guarantee in the form of a standby letter of credit as security for contingent liabilities under certain customer contracts. The duration of these indemnities, commitments and guarantees varies, and in

F-29

SMITH MICRO SOFTWARE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR EACH OF THE THREE YEARS IN THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

certain cases, may be indefinite. The majority of these indemnities, commitments and guarantees may not provide for any limitation of the maximum potential for future payments the Company could be obligated to make. The Company has not recorded any liability for these indemnities, commitments and guarantees in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

9. SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company currently operates in two business segments: products and services. In addition, revenues are classified into three markets, Wireless and OEM sales, Consumer sales, and Enterprise sales. Our Enterprise market includes software product sales as well as consulting, fulfillment and hosting revenue.

The Company does not separately allocate operating expenses to these segments, nor does it allocate specific assets to these segments. Therefore, segment information reported includes only revenues and cost of revenues.

The following table shows the net revenues and cost of revenues generated by each segment:

	Year Ended December 31,								
	20	06	20	005	2004				
	Products	Services	Products	Services	Products	Services			
Wireless & OEM	\$ 43,419	\$	\$13,982	\$	\$ 11,424	\$			
Consumer	10,019		5,460		746				
Enterprise	335	696	195	621	224	922			
Total Revenues	53,773	696	19,637	621	12,394	922			
Cost of revenues	19,989	270	3,818	285	2,530	380			
Gross Profit	\$ 33,784	\$ 426	\$ 15,819	\$ 336	\$ 9,864	\$ 542			

Sales to individual customers and their affiliates, which amounted to more than 10% of the Company s net revenues, included one OEM customer at 74.4% in 2006, 57.1% in 2005, and 68.4% in 2004. Accounts receivable from this customer was \$6.6 million at December 31, 2006, \$4.6 million at December 31, 2005 and \$1.7 million at December 31, 2004. A decision by this customer to substantially decrease or delay purchases from the Company or the Company s inability to collect receivables from this customer could have a material adverse effect on the Company s consolidated financial condition and results of operations.

F-30

SMITH MICRO SOFTWARE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR EACH OF THE THREE YEARS IN THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

10. PROFIT SHARING

The Company offers its employees a 401(k) plan, in which the Company matches the employee contribution at a rate of 20%, subject to a vesting schedule. Total employer contributions amounted to \$125,000, \$65,000, and \$54,000 for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

11. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

On July 28, 2005, the Shareholders approved the 2005 Stock Option / Stock Issuance Plan. The Plan, which became effective the same date, replaced the 1995 Stock Option / Stock Issuance Plan which expired on May 24, 2005. All outstanding options under the 1995 Plan will remain outstanding, but no further grants will be made under that Plan. The 2005 Plan provides for the issuance of non-qualified or incentive stock options to employees, non-employee members of the board and consultants. The exercise price per share is not to be less than the fair market value per share of the Company s common stock on the date of grant. The Board of Directors has the discretion to determine the vesting schedule. Options may be either immediately exercisable or in installments, but generally vest over a four-year period from the date of grant. In the event the holder ceases to be employed by the Company, all unvested options terminate and all vested installment options may be exercised within an installment period following termination. In general, options expire ten years from the date of grant. The maximum number of shares of the Company s Common Stock available for issuance over the term of the 2005 Plan may not exceed 5,000,000 shares, plus that number of additional shares equal to 2.5% of the number of shares of Common Stock outstanding on the last trading day of the calendar year commencing with calendar year 2006 (but not in excess of 750,000 shares).

F-31

SMITH MICRO SOFTWARE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR EACH OF THE THREE YEARS IN THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

Stock option activity under the Plan is as follows:

	Number of options	av ex	eighted verage xercise price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
OUTSTANDING, December 31, 2003				
(1,140 options, exercisable at a weighted average exercise price of \$2.43)	1,911,000	\$	1.62	
Granted (weighted average fair value of \$1.70)	922,000	\$ \$	1.02	
Exercised	(1,000,000)	Ф \$	2.00	
Canceled	(34,000)	\$	1.74	
Canceled	(34,000)	Ф	1./4	
OUTSTANDING, December 31, 2004				
(479,000 options, exercisable at a weighted average exercise	4 = 00 000		4.70	
price of \$2.12)	1,799,000	\$	1.58	
Granted (weighted average fair value of \$2.75)	2,371,000	\$	4.94	
Exercised	(238,000)	\$	1.55	
Canceled	(76,000)	\$	5.22	
OUTSTANDING, December 31, 2005				
(898,000 options, exercisable at a weighted average exercise				
price of \$1.85)	3,856,000	\$	3.57	
Granted (weighted average fair value of \$2.75)	266,000	\$	11.69	
Exercised	(1,462,000)	\$	2.86	
Canceled	(142,000)	\$	4.39	
OUTSTANDING, December 31, 2006	2,518,000	\$	4.80	\$ 23,654,000
Exercisable at December 31, 2006	914,000	\$	3.91	\$ 9,389,000
F-32				

SMITH MICRO SOFTWARE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR EACH OF THE THREE YEARS IN THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

Additional information regarding options outstanding as of December 31, 2006 is as follows:

		Options outstanding		Options exercisable			
Range of		Weighted average remaining		eighted verage	-		eighted erage
exercise prices	Number outstanding	contractual life (years)	_	ercise price	Number exercisable	_	ercise orice
\$0.24 - \$0.50	124,000	5.8	\$	0.25	123,000	\$	0.25
\$0.51 - \$1.00	6,000	4.2	\$	0.88	6,000	\$	0.88
\$1.01 - \$2.00	518,000	7.4	\$	1.90	186,000	\$	1.89
\$2.01 - \$4.00	35,000	7.7	\$	3.49	33,000	\$	3.55
\$4.01 - \$5.00	1,517,000	8.6	\$	4.95	512,000	\$	4.95
\$5.01 - \$16.00	318,000	9.2	\$	10.79	54,000	\$	9.93
	2,518,000	8.3	\$	4.80	914,000	\$	3.91

During the year ended December 31, 2006 approximately 1,462,000 options have been exercised with an intrinsic value of \$16.6 million, resulting in cash proceeds to the Company of \$4.2 million. The weighted-average grant-date fair value of options granted during the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$7.13. At December 31, 2006 there was \$4.5 million of total unrecognized compensation costs related to non-vested stock options granted under the Plan, which will be recognized over a period not to exceed four years. At December 31, 2006, 2,151,000 shares were available for future grants under the Stock Option Plan.

12. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

In October 2004, the Company entered into a Master Software Services Agreement with Arrange Technology LLC, providing for the development of certain software applications and integration services. A member of the Company s Board of Directors was a principal beneficial owner of Arrange Technology LLC, however, that relationship terminated in 2005. \$118,000 and \$19,000 was expensed under the terms of the agreement in the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

In conjunction with the severance agreement, entered into in June 2005, with Robert Scheussler, the former CFO, the Company recognized approximately \$230,000 in

F-33

SMITH MICRO SOFTWARE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR EACH OF THE THREE YEARS IN THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

severance related costs which is included in general and administrative expenses in the consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2005. As per the agreement, Mr. Scheussler will continue to provide services to the Company as a consultant and, under the terms of the Company s Stock Option Plan, will continue to vest in his existing, unvested option grants (which were not modified) totaling 108,333 options. The unvested portion of these option grants were valued by the Company at approximately \$424,000 on July 1, 2005, utilizing the Black Scholes option pricing model. With respect to Mr. Scheussler s employee stock option agreements, the Company expensed approximately \$41,000 and \$101,000 in the years ended December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively.

In September 2004, the Company entered into a severance agreement with a principal stockholder and former officer and director of the Company. The Company has discharged its obligation under the agreement by purchasing a single premium annuity in the amount of \$192,000 which is included in general and administrative expenses in the consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2004.

13. EQUITY TRANSACTIONS

On February 18, 2005, the Company entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement with certain institutional investors related to the private placement of 3,500,000 shares of our common stock, par value \$0.001 per share. The transaction closed on February 18, 2005 and the Company realized gross proceeds of \$22.4 million from the financing before deducting commissions and other expenses. Offering costs related to the transaction totaled \$1,613,000, comprised of \$1,344,000 in commissions and \$269,000 cash payments for legal and investment services, resulting in net proceeds of \$20,786,000. The Company agreed to register for resale the shares of Common Stock issued in the private placement. Such registration statement became effective on June 17, 2005. The agreement provides for penalties of one percent (1%) of the purchase price per month should effectiveness of the registration not be maintained. C.E. Unterberg, Towbin LLC, the placement agent for the transaction, received a cash fee equal to 6% of the aggregate gross proceeds of the Private Placement.

On July 28, 2005, the Shareholders approved a proposal to amend the Amended and restated Certificate of Incorporation to increase the number of authorized Common Shares from 30,000,000 to 50,000,000. Increasing the number of authorized shares of Common Stock is expected to provide the Company with additional capital resources to finance the long-term growth of the Company and with sufficient shares of Common Stock for stock splits. The additional shares of Common Stock could be issued for acquisitions and in public or private offerings, the proceeds of which could be used to finance the Company s

F-34

SMITH MICRO SOFTWARE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR EACH OF THE THREE YEARS IN THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

growth through increased working capital, expansion of existing businesses and other corporate purposes. On December 14, 2006, the Company completed a fully marketed secondary, issuing 4,000,000 shares of our common stock, \$0.001 par value, at a price of \$14.75 per share, resulting in aggregate gross cash proceeds to the Company of \$59,000,000 before deducting commissions and other expenses. Offering costs related to the transaction totaled \$4,002,000, comprised of \$3,304,000 in underwriting discounts and commissions and \$698,000 cash payments for legal and investment services, resulting in net proceeds to the Company of \$54,998,000 as of December 31, 2006. On January 18, 2007 an additional 387,000 shares were sold under the same agreement, resulting in estimated net proceeds of \$5,388,000 to the Company.

14. SHARES SUBJECT TO RESCISSION (UNAUDITED)

Under our 1995 Stock Option / Stock Issuance Plan and 2005 Stock Option / Stock Issuance Plan (the Plans), the Company granted options to purchase shares of common stock to certain of our employees, directors and consultants. The issuances of common stock upon exercise of options that were granted under these Plans between March 2005 and August 2006 may not have been exempt from qualification under certain state securities laws and, as a result, the Company may have potential liability to the individuals who exercised these options.

The Company accounts for shares which have been issued that may be subject to rescission claims as a put liability based on the price to be paid for equity to be repurchased. Since equity instruments subject to rescission are redeemable at the holder s option or upon the occurrence of an uncertain event not solely within the Company s control, such equity instruments are outside the scope of SFAS No. 150, *Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity*, and its related interpretations. Under the SEC s interpretation of generally accepted accounting principles, reporting such claims outside of stockholders equity is required, regardless of how remote the redemption event may be. However, during the relevant period for which the Plans were not in compliance with certain state securities laws, all of the individuals who exercised options sold all of the shares underlying the options exercised. As such, the Company does not feel that there is a material exposure for rescission of issued shares to those who exercised stock options as they had subsequently sold their shares and at a value greater than the option strike price and no longer hold the shares.

In addition to shares of common stock which were issued upon option exercises, certain option grants made under the Plans between March 2005 and August 2006 which have not yet been exercised may not have been exempt from qualification under certain state securities laws. As a result, we may have potential liability to the individuals who received those option grants but who have not yet exercised those options. We may in the future choose to make a rescission offer to the holders of these outstanding options to give them the opportunity to rescind the grant of their options in exchange for a cash payment.

Prior to the implementation of SFAS 123(R) in January 2006, the Company accounted for share options under APB 25. Since all of the options under the Plans were granted at fair market value at the time of grant, no expense is recorded in our financial statements related to options that were vested prior to January 1, 2006. Under SFAS 123 (R), the first quarter results of 2006 included expense related to options that were granted prior to January 1, 2006 but had not vested at that date. Accordingly, no provision is made in our financial statements for options that were vested as of January 1, 2006, that were granted under the Plans which are not yet exercised, but may be subject to a rescission offer, if and when made. Should any optionees accept the rescission offer and put their options back to the Company, the Company will reflect such offer in our financial statements at that time.

As of March 16, 2007, assuming every eligible holder of unexercised options granted under the Plans during the period in question were to accept a rescission offer, we estimate the total cost to us to complete the rescission for the unexercised options would not be material to our financial condition. Management feels that acceptance of a rescission offer, if made, would be remote, since the weighted average exercise price of these options which were outstanding at March 16, 2007 was \$6.40 per share and the market price of the Company s stock was trading at a significantly higher price.

Edgar Filing: Synacor, Inc. - Form SC 13D/A

15. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Acquisition of Ecutel Systems, Inc.

On February 9, 2007, the Company, TEL Acquisition Corp., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, Ecutel Systems, Inc., John J. McDonnell, Jr. and the Principal Stockholders of Ecutel consummated the merger of Ecutel with and into Acquisition Co. pursuant to the terms of that certain Agreement and Plan of Merger dated as of January 31, 2007.

In connection with the Merger, all outstanding shares of capital stock of Ecutel were converted into the right to receive a portion of the merger consideration. The aggregate merger consideration paid by the Company in connection with the Merger was \$8,000,000 in cash, of which \$1,000,000 is being withheld as security for satisfaction of certain indemnification obligations pursuant to the terms of the Merger Agreement. The consideration for and the other terms and conditions of the Merger were determined by arms-length negotiations between the Company, Ecutel and the Principal Stockholders of Ectuel.

Intent to Acquire Insignia Solutions, plc.

On February 11, 2007 the Company announced that it had entered into a definitive agreement to acquire substantially all of the assets of Insignia Solutions, including its mobile device management business. The agreement is anticipated to close early in the second quarter.

Restricted Stock Grant.

On February 19, 2007 a total of 50,000 shares of Restricted Stock, with a total value of \$627,500, were granted to directors of the Company. This cost will be amortized over

F-35

SMITH MICRO SOFTWARE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR EACH OF THE THREE YEARS IN THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

12 months. In addition, 420,000 shares of Restricted Stock, with a total value of \$5.3 million, were granted to officers and key employees of the Company. This cost will be amortized over 24 months.

Stock Option Grants.

As of March 14, 2007, a total of 2,153,500 options have been granted with a weighted average exercise price of \$13.55. All options will vest over a four year period.

F-36

SMITH MICRO SOFTWARE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES SCHEDULE II VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS FOR EACH OF THE THREE YEARS IN THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006 (In thousands)

	Balance at beginning	Additions charged to		Balance at	
	of period	costs and expenses	Deductions	end of period	
Allowance for doubtful accounts and other	-	-		_	
adjustments (1):					
2006	\$ 439	\$468	\$(407)	\$ 500	
2005	137	573	(271)	439	
2004	33	112	(8)	137	
(1) Other adjustments relate principally to sales returns.					
	S-1				

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No.	Title	Method of Filing
2.1	Stock Purchase Agreement, dated July 1, 2005, between Smith Micro Software, Inc. and International Microcomputer Software, Inc. (sole shareholder of Allume Systems, Inc.)	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 5, 2005.
2.2	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated April 3, 2006, by and among Smith Micro Software, Inc., Tag Acquisition Corporation, Tag Acquisition Corporation II, Photags, Inc., Harry Fox, and certain stockholders of Photags, Inc.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 7, 2006
2.3	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated January 31, 2007, by and among Smith Micro Software, Inc., TEL Acquisition Corp., Ecutel Systems, Inc., John J. McDonnell, Jr. and certain stockholders of Ecutel Systems, Inc.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 6, 2007.
2.4	Asset Purchase Agreement, dated February 11, 2007, by and among Smith Micro Software, Inc., IS Acquisition Sub, Inc., Insignia Solutions plc, Insignia Solutions Inc. and Insignia Solutions AB.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.2 to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 13, 2007.
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant s Registration Statement No. 33-95096.
3.1.1	Amendment to the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1.1 to the Registrant s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2000.
3.1.2	Certificate of Amendment to Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Registrant as filed August 18, 2005 with Delaware Secretary of State	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1.2 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2005.
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Registrant.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Registrant s Registration Statement No. 33-95096.
4.1	Specimen certificate representing shares of Common Stock of the Registrant.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant s Registration Statement No. 33-95096.
10.1	Form of Indemnification Agreement.	

Edgar Filing: Synacor, Inc. - Form SC 13D/A $\,$

		Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant s Registration Statement No. 33-95096.
10.2*	1995 Stock Option/Stock Issuance Plan as Amended and Restated through February 7, 2001.	Incorporated by reference to the Appendix attached to the Definitive Proxy Statement for the 2001 Annual Meeting of Stockholders filed on April 27, 2001.
10.3*	2005 Stock Option / Stock Issuance Plan	Incorporated by reference to Appendix A attached to the Definitive Proxy Statement for the 2005 Annual Meeting as filed June 10, 2005.
10.4	Master Software License and Distribution Agreement (Contract No. 220-00-0134) effective as of December 1, 2000, between Cellco Partnership (d/b/a Verizon Wireless) and the Registrant	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2003.
10.4.1	Amendment of Master Software License and Distribution Agreement (Contract No. 220-00-0134)	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1.1 to the Registrant s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2003.
10.4.2	Amendment No. 2 to the Master Software License and Distribution Agreement (Contract No. 220-00-0134)	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1.2 to the Registrant s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2003.
10.5*	Letter Agreement, dated June 13, 2005, by and between Smith Micro Software, Inc. and Andrew Schmidt	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 30, 2006.

Exhibit No	Title	Method of Filing
10.6*	Employment Agreement dated April 9, 1999 by and between Smith Micro Software, Inc. and William Wyand.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 30, 2006.
14.1	Code of Ethics	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 14.1 to the Registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003.
14.1.1	Attachment 1 to Code of Ethics	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 14.1 to the Registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003.
21.1	Subsidiaries	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 21.1 to the Registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000.
23.1	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.	Filed herewith
31.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Filed herewith
31.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Filed herewith
32.1	Certifications of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Furnished herewith
* Indicat	tes	

management contract or compensatory

plan or

arrangement.

Confidential treatment has been granted with respect to certain confidential portions of this

Edgar Filing: Synacor, Inc. - Form SC 13D/A

exhibit pursuant to Rule 24b-2 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, which confidential portions have been omitted from the exhibit and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.