

BLACKROCK INVESTMENT QUALITY MUNICIPAL TRUST INC.

Form N-CSRS

January 02, 2014

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number: 811-07354

Name of Fund: BlackRock Investment Quality Municipal Trust, Inc. (BKN)

Fund Address: 100 Bellevue Parkway, Wilmington, DE 19809

Name and address of agent for service: John M. Perlowski, Chief Executive Officer, BlackRock Investment Quality Municipal Trust, Inc., 55 East 52nd Street, New York, NY 10055

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (800) 882-0052, Option 4

Date of fiscal year end: 04/30/2014

Date of reporting period: 10/31/2013

Item 1 – Report to Stockholders

OCTOBER 31, 2013

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT (UNAUDITED)

BlackRock Investment Quality Municipal Trust Inc. (BKN)

BlackRock Long-Term Municipal Advantage Trust (BTA)

BlackRock Municipal 2020 Term Trust (BKK)

BlackRock Municipal Income Trust (BFK)

BlackRock Pennsylvania Strategic Municipal Trust (BPS)

BlackRock Strategic Municipal Trust (BSD)

Not FDIC Insured • May Lose Value • No Bank Guarantee

Table of Contents

	Page
Dear Shareholder	3
Semi-Annual Report:	
Municipal Market Overview	4
The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging	5
Derivative Financial Instruments	5
Trust Summaries	6
Financial Statements	
Schedules of Investments	18
Statements of Assets and Liabilities	50
Statements of Operations	51
Statements of Changes in Net Assets	52
Statements of Cash Flows	54
Financial Highlights	55
Notes to Financial Statements	61
Disclosure of Investment Advisory Agreements and Sub-Advisory Agreements	71
Officers and Trustees	75
Additional Information	76
2 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT OCTOBER 31, 2013	

Dear Shareholder

Financial markets were volatile as 2012 drew to a close, with investors growing increasingly concerned over the possible implementation of pre-mandated tax increases and spending cuts known as the “fiscal cliff.” However, a last-minute tax deal averted the potential crisis and allowed markets to get off to a strong start in 2013. Money that had been pulled to the sidelines amid year-end tax-rate uncertainty poured back into the markets in January. Key indicators signaling modest but broad-based improvements in the world’s major economies, coupled with the absence of negative headlines from Europe, fostered an aura of comfort for investors. Global equities surged, while rising US Treasury yields pressured high quality fixed income assets. (Bond prices fall when yields rise.)

Global economic momentum slowed in February, however, and the pace of the rally moderated. In the months that followed, US stocks outperformed international stocks, as America showed greater stability compared to most other regions. Slow, but positive, growth was sufficient to support corporate earnings, while uncomfortably high unemployment reinforced expectations that the Federal Reserve would keep its asset purchase program intact and interest rates low. International markets experienced higher levels of volatility given a resurgence of political instability in Italy, a severe banking crisis in Cyprus and a generally poor outlook for European economies, many of which were mired in recession. Emerging markets significantly lagged the rest of the world as growth in these economies, particularly in China and Brazil, fell short of expectations.

In May, the Fed Chairman commented on the possibility of beginning to gradually reduce — or “taper” — the central bank’s asset purchase program before the end of 2013. Investors around the world retreated from higher risk assets in response. Markets rebounded in late June when the tone of the US central bank turned more dovish, and improving economic indicators and better corporate earnings helped extend gains through July.

Markets slumped again in August as investors became wary of looming macro risks. Mixed economic data stirred worries about global growth and uncertainty about when and how much the Fed would scale back on stimulus. Also weighing on investors’ minds was the escalation of the revolution in Egypt and the civil war in Syria, both of which fueled higher oil prices, an additional headwind for global economic growth.

September was surprisingly positive for investors, thanks to the easing of several key risks. Most important, the Fed defied market expectations with its decision to delay tapering. Additionally, the more hawkish candidate to become the next Fed Chairman, Larry Summers, withdrew from the race. On the geopolitical front, turmoil in Egypt and Syria subsided. In Europe, the re-election of Angela Merkel as Chancellor of Germany was welcomed as a continuation of the status quo. High levels of volatility returned in late September when the Treasury Department warned that the US national debt would breach its statutory maximum soon after Oct. 17. Political brinkmanship led to a partial government shutdown, roiling global financial markets through the first half of October, but the rally quickly resumed with a last-minute compromise to reopen the government and extend the debt ceiling until early 2014.

Though periods of heightened uncertainty drove high levels of market volatility over the past year, riskier asset classes generally outperformed lower-risk investments. Developed market equities generated the highest returns for the 6- and 12-month periods ended Oct. 31, with particular strength coming from US small-cap stocks. Emerging markets posted smaller, albeit positive returns after struggling with slowing growth and weakening currencies in the first half of 2013. Rising interest rates resulted in poor performance for US Treasury bonds and other higher-quality sectors such as tax-exempt municipals and investment grade corporate bonds. High yield bonds, on the other hand, moved higher as income-oriented investors sought meaningful returns in the low-rate environment. Short-term interest rates remained near zero, keeping yields on money market securities near historical lows.

At BlackRock, we believe investors need to think globally and extend their scope across a broader array of asset classes and be prepared to move freely as market conditions change over time. We encourage you to talk with your financial advisor and visit www.blackrock.com for further insight about investing in today’s world.

Sincerely,

Rob Kapito

President, BlackRock Advisors, LLC

“Though periods of heightened uncertainty drove high levels of market volatility over the past year, riskier asset classes generally outperformed lower-risk investments.”

Rob Kapito

President, BlackRock Advisors, LLC

Total Returns as of October 31, 2013

	6-month		12-month	
US large cap equities (S&P 500® Index)	11.14	%	27.18	%
US small cap equities (Russell 2000® Index)	16.90		36.28	
International equities (MSCI Europe, Australasia, Far East Index)	8.53		26.88	
Emerging market equities (MSCI Emerging Markets Index)	1.18		6.53	
3-month Treasury bill (BofA Merrill Lynch 3-Month US Treasury Bill Index)	0.03		0.09	
US Treasury securities (BofA Merrill Lynch 10-Year US Treasury Index)	(6.07)	(4.64)
US investment grade bonds (Barclays US Aggregate Bond Index)	(1.97)	(1.08)
Tax-exempt municipal bonds (S&P Municipal Bond Index)	(3.63)	(1.69)
US high yield bonds (Barclays US Corporate High Yield 2% Issuer Capped Index)	1.50		8.86	

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Index performance is shown for illustrative purposes only. You cannot invest directly in an index.

Municipal Market Overview
For the Reporting Period Ended October 31, 2013

Municipal Market Conditions

Toward the end of 2012, municipal bond supply was met with robust demand as investors were starved for yield in the low-rate, low-return environment and uncertainty around the Presidential election and fiscal policy decisions highlighted the appeal of the relatively stable asset class. Investors poured into municipal bond mutual funds, favoring long-duration and high-yield funds as they tend to provide higher levels of income.

S&P Municipal Bond Index
Total Returns as of October 31, 2013
6 months: (3.63)%
12 months: (1.69)%

However, market conditions turned less favorable in May when the US Federal Reserve alluded to the possible scaling back of its bond-buying stimulus program. Municipal bond funds saw strong outflows in the last six months of the period, resulting in net outflows of approximately \$38 billion for the 12-month period as a whole (based on data from the Investment Company Institute). Further signals from the Fed suggesting a retrenchment of asset purchases led to rising interest rates and waning demand in June. (Bond prices fall as rates rise.) High levels of interest rate volatility resulted in a sharp curtailment of tax-exempt issuance in May through period end. However, from a historical perspective, total new issuance for the 12 months ended October 31, 2013 remained relatively strong at \$345 billion (down modestly from the \$378 billion issued in the prior 12-month period). A significant portion of new supply during this period (roughly 50%) was attributable to refinancing activity as issuers took advantage of lower interest rates to reduce their borrowing costs. Total new supply was also supported by recent activity in the taxable market, where taxable-municipal issuance was up 19% year-over-year.

A Closer Look at Yields

From October 31, 2012 to October 31, 2013, muni yields increased by 122 basis points ("bps") from 2.82% to 4.04% on AAA-rated 30-year municipal bonds, while increasing 72 bps from 1.72% to 2.44% on 10-year bonds and rising another 39 bps from 0.67% to 1.06% on 5-year issues (as measured by Thomson Municipal Market Data). Overall, the municipal yield curve remained relatively steep over the 12-month period as the spread between 2- and 30-year maturities widened by 118 bps and the spread between 2- and 10-year maturities widened by 68 bps.

During the same time period, US Treasury rates rose by 78 bps on 30-year and 87 bps on 10-year bonds, while moving up 61 bps in 5-years. Accordingly, tax-exempt municipal bonds underperformed Treasuries on the long end of the yield curve as investors sought to reduce risk later in the period. On the short end of the curve, moderate outperformance versus Treasuries was driven largely by a supply/demand imbalance within the municipal market and a rotation from long-duration assets into short- and intermediate-duration investments. As higher US tax rates began to appear imminent late in 2012, municipal bonds benefited from the increased appeal of tax-exempt investing. The municipal asset class is known for its lower relative volatility and preservation of principal with an emphasis on income as tax rates rise. The municipal market continues to be an attractive avenue for investors seeking yield in today's environment, particularly as the recent correction has restored value in the market and placed yields at levels not obtainable since early 2011. However, opportunities are not as broad-based as in 2011 and 2012, warranting a more tactical approach going forward.

Financial Conditions of Municipal Issuers Continue to Improve

Following an extended period of nation-wide austerity and de-leveraging as states sought to balance their budgets, 14 consecutive quarters of positive revenue growth coupled with the elimination of more than 750,000 jobs in recent years have put state and local governments in a better financial position. Many local municipalities, however, continue to face increased health care and pension costs passed down from the state level. BlackRock maintains the view that municipal bond defaults will be minimal and remain in the periphery, and that the overall market is fundamentally sound. We continue to recognize that careful credit research, appropriate structure and security selection remain imperative amid uncertainty in this fragile economic environment.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Index performance is shown for illustrative purposes only. You cannot invest directly in an index.

4SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT OCTOBER 31, 2013

The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging

The Trusts may utilize leverage to seek to enhance the yield and net asset value (“NAV”) of their common shares (“Common Shares”). However, these objectives cannot be achieved in all interest rate environments.

To obtain leverage, the Trusts, except for BTA, issue Auction Market Preferred Shares (“AMPS”), Variable Rate Demand Preferred Shares (“VRDP Shares”) or Variable Rate Muni Term Preferred Shares (“VMTP Shares”) (collectively, “Preferred Shares”). Preferred Shares pay dividends at prevailing short-term interest rates, and the Trusts invest the proceeds in long-term municipal bonds. In general, the concept of leveraging is based on the premise that the financing cost of assets to be obtained from leverage, which will be based on short-term interest rates, will normally be lower than the income earned by each Trust on its longer-term portfolio investments. To the extent that the total assets of each Trust (including the assets obtained from leverage) are invested in higher-yielding portfolio investments, each Trust’s shareholders will benefit from the incremental net income.

The interest earned on securities purchased with the proceeds from leverage is paid to shareholders in the form of dividends, and the value of these portfolio holdings is reflected in the per share NAV. However, in order to benefit shareholders, the yield curve must be positively sloped; that is, short-term interest rates must be lower than long-term interest rates. If the yield curve becomes negatively sloped, meaning short-term interest rates exceed long-term interest rates, income to shareholders will be lower than if the Trusts had not used leverage.

To illustrate these concepts, assume a Trust’s Common Shares capitalization is \$100 million and it issues Preferred Shares for an additional \$50 million, creating a total value of \$150 million available for investment in long-term municipal bonds. If prevailing short-term interest rates are 3% and long-term interest rates are 6%, the yield curve has a strongly positive slope. In this case, the Trust pays dividends on the \$50 million of Preferred Shares based on the lower short-term interest rates. At the same time, the securities purchased by the Trust with assets received from Preferred Shares issuance earn income based on long-term interest rates. In this case, the dividends paid to holders of Preferred Shares (“Preferred Shareholders”) are significantly lower than the income earned on the Trust’s long-term investments, and therefore the holders of Common Shares (“Common Shareholders”) are the beneficiaries of the incremental net income.

If short-term interest rates rise, narrowing the differential between short-term and long-term interest rates, the incremental net income pickup will be reduced or eliminated completely. Furthermore, if prevailing short-term interest rates rise above long-term interest rates, the yield curve has a negative slope. In this case, the Trust pays higher short-term interest rates whereas the Trust’s total portfolio earns income based on lower long-term interest rates.

Furthermore, the value of the Trusts’ portfolio investments generally varies inversely with the direction of long-term interest rates, although other factors can influence the value of portfolio investments. In contrast, the redemption value of the Trusts’ Preferred Shares and/or debt securities does not fluctuate in relation to interest rates. As a result, changes in interest rates can influence the Trusts’ NAVs positively or negatively in addition to the impact on Trust performance from leverage from Preferred Shares discussed above.

The Trusts may also leverage their assets through the use of tender option bond trusts (“TOBs”), as described in Note 3 of the Notes to Financial Statements. TOB investments generally will provide the Trusts with economic benefits in periods of declining short-term interest rates, but expose the Trusts to risks during periods of rising short-term interest rates similar to those associated with Preferred Shares issued by the Trusts, as described above. Additionally, fluctuations in the market value of municipal bonds deposited into the TOB trust may adversely affect each Trust’s NAV per share.

The use of leverage may enhance opportunities for increased income to the Trusts and Common Shareholders, but as described above, it also creates risks as short- or long-term interest rates fluctuate. Leverage also will generally cause greater changes in the Trusts’ NAVs, market prices and dividend rates than comparable portfolios without leverage. If the income derived from securities purchased with assets received from leverage exceeds the cost of leverage, the Trusts’ net income will be greater than if leverage had not been used. Conversely, if the income from the securities purchased is not sufficient to cover the cost of leverage, each Trust’s net income will be less than if leverage had not been used, and therefore the amount available for distribution to Common Shareholders will be reduced. Each Trust may be required to sell portfolio securities at inopportune times or at distressed values in order to comply with regulatory requirements applicable to the use of leverage or as required by the terms of leverage instruments, which may cause a Trust to incur losses. The use of leverage may limit each Trust’s ability to invest in certain types of securities or use certain types of hedging strategies, such as in the case of certain restrictions imposed by rating agencies that rate the Preferred Shares issued by the Trusts. Each Trust will incur expenses in connection with the use of leverage, all of which are borne by Common Shareholders and may reduce income to the Common Shares.

Under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the “1940 Act”), the Trusts are permitted to issue senior securities in the form of equity securities (e.g., Preferred Shares) up to 50% of their total managed assets (each Trust’s total assets less its total

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK INVESTMENT QUALITY MUNICIPAL TRUST INC. - Form N-CSRS

accrued liabilities). In addition, each Trust voluntarily limits its economic leverage to 50% of its total managed assets, while each Trust with VRDP Shares or VMTP Shares outstanding limits its economic leverage to 45% of its total managed assets. As of October 31, 2013, the Trusts had economic leverage from Preferred Shares and/or TOBs as a percentage of their total managed assets as follows:

	Percent of Economic Leverage
BKN	38%
BTA	35%
BKK	26%
BFK	40%
BPS	38%
BSD	40%
Derivative Financial Instruments	

The Trusts may invest in various derivative financial instruments, including financial futures contracts, as specified in Note 4 of the Notes to Financial Statements, which may constitute forms of economic leverage. Such derivative financial instruments are used to obtain exposure to a market without owning or taking physical custody of securities or to hedge market, interest rate and/or other risks. Derivative financial instruments involve risks, including the imperfect correlation between the value of a derivative financial instrument and the underlying asset, possible default of the counterparty to the transaction or illiquidity of the derivative financial instrument. The Trusts' ability to use a derivative financial instrument successfully depends on the investment advisor's ability to predict pertinent market movements accurately, which cannot be assured. The use of derivative financial instruments may result in losses greater than if they had not been used, may require a Trust to sell or purchase portfolio investments at inopportune times or for distressed values, may limit the amount of appreciation a Trust can realize on an investment, may result in lower dividends paid to shareholders or may cause a Trust to hold an investment that it might otherwise sell. The Trusts' investments in these instruments are discussed in detail in the Notes to Financial Statements.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT OCTOBER 31, 2013 5

Trust Summary as of October 31, 2013
Trust Overview

BlackRock Investment Quality Municipal Trust Inc.

BlackRock Investment Quality Municipal Trust Inc.'s (BKN) (the "Trust") investment objective is to provide high current income exempt from regular federal income tax consistent with the preservation of capital. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax). Under normal market conditions, the Trust invests at least 80% of its assets in securities rated investment grade at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust's investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

- For the six-month period ended October 31, 2013, the Trust returned (8.15)% based on market price and (9.63)% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of (13.60)% based on market price and (9.18)% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust moved from a discount to NAV to a premium by period end, which accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV.
- As tax-exempt municipal rates increased over the six-month period, the Trust's duration exposure (sensitivity to interest rate movements) had a negative impact on performance. (Bond prices fall when rates rise.) Concentrated exposure on the long-end of the yield curve was detrimental as rates increased more in the long-end than in the short-end of the curve. Leverage on the Trust's assets amplified the negative effect of rising rates on the Trust's performance. The Trust's modest exposure to Puerto Rico Sales Tax Revenue Bonds also detracted from results as credit spreads on most of Puerto Rico's debt widened materially during the period due to investors' lack of confidence and a weak local economy. The Trust's holdings of zero-coupon bonds, which have longer durations for their respective maturities, hurt returns for the period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

Symbol on New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE")	BKN
Initial Offering Date	February 19, 1993
Yield on Closing Market Price as of October 31, 2013 (\$14.30) ¹	6.71%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	11.86%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.08
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.96
Economic Leverage as of October 31, 2013 ⁴	38%

¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

² Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal tax rate of 43.4%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.

³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.

⁴ Represents VMTP Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to VMTP Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

6SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT OCTOBER 31, 2013

BlackRock Investment Quality Municipal Trust Inc.

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	10/31/13	4/30/13	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$14.30	\$16.11	(11.24)%	\$16.24	\$12.99
Net Asset Value	\$14.28	\$16.35	(12.66)%	\$16.41	\$13.41

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

Overview of the Trust's Long-Term Investments

Sector Allocation	10/31/13	4/30/13
Health	27%	27%
County/City/Special District/School District	18	20
Transportation	13	12
Utilities	12	11
Education	10	11
State	10	11
Corporate	6	4
Tobacco	2	2
Housing	2	2

Credit Quality Allocation ¹	10/31/13	4/30/13
AAA/Aaa	3%	3%
AA/Aa	35	35
A	41	36
BBB/Baa	12	17
BB/Ba	2	2
Not Rated ²	7	7

¹ Using the higher of Standard & Poor's ("S&P's") or Moody's Investors Service ("Moody's") ratings.

² The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be of investment grade quality. As of October 31, 2013 and April 30, 2013, the market value of these securities was \$12,935,137, representing 3%, and \$18,641,489, representing 4%, respectively, of the Trust's long-term investments.

Call/Maturity Schedule³

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2013	4%
2014	4
2015	5
2016	5
2017	3

³ Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT OCTOBER 31, 2013 7

Trust Summary as of October 31, 2013
Trust Overview

BlackRock Long-Term Municipal Advantage Trust

BlackRock Long-Term Municipal Advantage Trust's (BTA) (the "Trust") investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing, under normal market conditions, at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations and derivative instruments with exposure to such municipal obligations, in each case that are exempt from federal income tax (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax). The Trust invests, under normal market conditions, primarily in long-term municipal bonds with a maturity of more than ten years at the time of investment and, under normal market conditions, the Trust's municipal bond portfolio will have a dollar-weighted average maturity of greater than 10 years. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust's investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

- For the six-month period ended October 31, 2013, the Trust returned (14.01)% based on market price and (8.78)% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of (13.60)% based on market price and (9.18)% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust's discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV.
- As tax-exempt municipal rates increased over the six-month period, the Trust's duration exposure (sensitivity to interest rate movements) had a negative impact on performance. (Bond prices fall when rates rise.) Exposure to bonds with long maturities was detrimental as rates increased more in the long-end than in the short-end of the curve. Leverage on the Trust's assets amplified the negative effect of rising rates on the Trust's performance. Holdings of bonds rated low-quality investment grade and non-investment grade posted significant losses. The Trust's modest exposure to Puerto Rico Sales Tax Revenue Bonds also detracted from results as credit spreads on most of Puerto Rico's debt widened materially during the period due to investors' lack of confidence and a weak local economy. Modest exposure to tobacco bonds was another notable source of negative performance.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

Symbol on NYSE	BTA
Initial Offering Date	February 28, 2006
Yield on Closing Market Price as of October 31, 2013 (\$10.38) ¹	7.17%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	12.67%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.062
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.744
Economic Leverage as of October 31, 2013 ⁴	35%

¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

² Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal tax rate of 43.4%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.

³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.

⁴ Represents TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

BlackRock Long-Term Municipal Advantage Trust

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	10/31/13	4/30/13	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$10.38	\$12.50	(16.96)%	\$12.55	\$ 9.86
Net Asset Value	\$11.32	\$12.85	(11.91)%	\$12.88	\$10.77

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

Overview of the Trust's Long-Term Investments

Sector Allocation	10/31/13	4/30/13
Health	27%	17%
Transportation	17	16
Education	16	19
Utilities	15	17
County/City/Special District/School District	8	14
State	6	7
Corporate	6	4
Housing	3	3
Tobacco	2	3

Credit Quality Allocation ¹	10/31/13	4/30/13
AAA/Aaa	19%	21%
AA/Aa	44	48
A	20	17
BBB/Baa	7	7
BB/Ba	3	1
B	1	1
Not Rated ²	6	5

¹ Using the higher of S&P's or Moody's ratings.

² The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be of investment grade quality. As of October 31, 2013 and April 30, 2013, the market value of these securities was \$1,092,462 and \$1,277,033, each representing less than 1%, respectively, of the Trust's long-term investments.

Call/Maturity Schedule³

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2013	2%
2014	2
2015	8
2016	17
2017	3

³ Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

Trust Summary as of October 31, 2013
Trust Overview

BlackRock Municipal 2020 Term Trust

BlackRock Municipal 2020 Term Trust's (BKK) (the "Trust") investment objectives are to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax and to return \$15 per Common Share (the initial offering price per Common Share) to holders of Common Shares on or about December 31, 2020. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objectives by investing, under normal market conditions, at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax). The Trust invests, under normal market conditions, at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust's investment objectives will be achieved.

Performance

- For the six-month period ended October 31, 2013, the Trust returned (0.73)% based on market price and (2.52)% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper Intermediate Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of (8.41)% based on market price and (5.21)% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust moved from a discount to NAV to a premium by period end, which accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV.
- As tax-exempt municipal rates increased over the six-month period, the Trust's duration exposure (sensitivity to interest rate movements) had a negative impact on performance. (Bond prices fall when rates rise.) Leverage on the Trust's assets amplified the negative effect of rising rates on the Trust's performance. The Trust's modest exposure to Puerto Rico Sales Tax Revenue Bonds also detracted from results as credit spreads on most of Puerto Rico's debt widened materially during the period due to investors' lack of confidence and a weak local economy.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

Symbol on NYSE	BKK
Initial Offering Date	September 30, 2003
Termination Date (on or about)	December 31, 2020
Yield on Closing Market Price as of October 31, 2013 (\$16.14) ¹	4.63%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	8.18%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.06225
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.74700
Economic Leverage as of October 31, 2013 ⁴	26%

¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

² Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal tax rate of 43.4%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.

³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.

⁴ Represents AMPS and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to AMPS and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

BlackRock Municipal 2020 Term Trust

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	10/31/13	4/30/13	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$16.14	\$16.64	(3.00)%	\$17.08	\$15.75
Net Asset Value	\$16.05	\$16.85	(4.75)%	\$16.87	\$15.65

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

Overview of the Trust's Long-Term Investments

Sector Allocation	10/31/13	4/30/13
Transportation	19%	16%
State	17	14
Utilities	14	15
Corporate	14	12
Health	10	14
County/City/Special District/School District	10	13
Education	7	5
Housing	5	5
Tobacco	4	6

Credit Quality Allocation ¹	10/31/13	4/30/13
AAA/Aaa	11%	11%
AA/Aa	20	23
A	43	37
BBB/Baa	18	18
BB/Ba	1	1
Not Rated ²	7	10

¹ Using the higher of S&P's or Moody's ratings.

² The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be of investment grade quality. As of October 31, 2013 and April 30, 2013, the market value of these securities was \$5,031,665, representing 1%, and \$19,936,315, representing 4%, respectively, of the Trust's long-term investments.

Call/Maturity Schedule³

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2013	10%
2014	5
2015	4
2016	10
2017	4

³ Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT OCTOBER 31, 2013 11

Trust Summary as of October 31, 2013
Trust Overview

BlackRock Municipal Income Trust

BlackRock Municipal Income Trust's (BFK) (the "Trust") investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax). The Trust invests, under normal market conditions, at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust's investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

- For the six-month period ended October 31, 2013, the Trust returned (10.87)% based on market price and (10.73)% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of (13.60)% based on market price and (9.18)% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust began the period trading at neither a premium to NAV nor a discount and moved to discount by period end, which accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV.
- As tax-exempt municipal rates increased over the six-month period, the Trust's duration exposure (sensitivity to interest rate movements) had a negative impact on performance. (Bond prices fall when rates rise.) Exposure to bonds with long maturities was detrimental as rates increased more in the long-end than in the short-end of the curve. Leverage on the Trust's assets amplified the negative effect of rising rates on the Trust's performance. Holdings of bonds rated low-quality investment grade and non-investment grade posted significant losses. The Trust's modest exposure to Puerto Rico Sales Tax Revenue Bonds also detracted from results as credit spreads on most of Puerto Rico's debt widened materially during the period due to investors' lack of confidence and a weak local economy. Modest exposure to tobacco bonds was another notable source of negative performance.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

Symbol on NYSE	BFK
Initial Offering Date	July 27, 2001
Yield on Closing Market Price as of October 31, 2013 (\$13.26) ¹	6.80%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	12.01%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0751
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.9012
Economic Leverage as of October 31, 2013 ⁴	40%

¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

² Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal tax rate of 43.4%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.

³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.

⁴ Represents VMTP Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to VMTP Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

12SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT OCTOBER 31, 2013

BlackRock Municipal Income Trust

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	10/31/13	4/30/13	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$13.26	\$15.40	(13.90)%	\$15.58	\$12.13
Net Asset Value	\$13.28	\$15.40	(13.77)%	\$15.44	\$12.58

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

Overview of the Trust's Long-Term Investments

Sector Allocation	10/31/13	4/30/13
Transportation	22%	22%
Health	17	19
Utilities	17	15
County/City/Special District/School District	11	11
Corporate	10	9
State	9	12
Education	8	7
Tobacco	4	3
Housing	2	2

Credit Quality Allocation ¹	10/31/13	4/30/13
AAA/Aaa	10%	10%
AA/Aa	35	35
A	29	27
BBB/Baa	14	17
BB/Ba	3	1
B	2	2
Not Rated ²	7	8

¹ Using the higher of S&P's or Moody's ratings.

² The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be of investment grade quality. As of October 31, 2013 and April 30, 2013, the market value of these securities was \$12,804,330, representing 1%, and \$31,712,268, representing 3%, respectively, of the Trust's long-term investments.

Call/Maturity Schedule³

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2013	7%
2014	2
2015	2
2016	7
2017	4

³ Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

Trust Summary as of October 31, 2013
Trust Overview

BlackRock Pennsylvania Strategic Municipal Trust

BlackRock Pennsylvania Strategic Municipal Trust's (BPS) (the "Trust") investment objectives are to provide current income that is exempt from regular federal and Pennsylvania income taxes and to invest in municipal bonds that over time will perform better than the broader Pennsylvania municipal bond market. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objectives by investing, under normal market conditions, primarily in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and Pennsylvania income taxes. The Trust invests, under normal market conditions, at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust's investment objectives will be achieved.

Performance

- For the six-month period ended October 31, 2013, the Trust returned (18.59)% based on market price and (10.65)% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper Pennsylvania Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of (13.66)% based on market price and (8.29)% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust's discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV.
- As tax-exempt municipal rates increased over the six-month period, the Trust's duration exposure (sensitivity to interest rate movements) had a negative impact on performance. (Bond prices fall when rates rise.) Exposure to the long-end of the yield curve was detrimental as rates increased more in the long-end than in the short-end of the curve. Leverage on the Trust's assets amplified the negative effect of rising rates on the Trust's performance. The Trust's modest exposure to Puerto Rico Sales Tax Revenue Bonds also detracted from results as credit spreads on most of Puerto Rico's debt widened materially during the period due to investors' lack of confidence and a weak local economy.
- Short positions in US Treasury futures contracts, as a hedge against rising interest rates, had a positive impact on the Trust's performance for the period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

Symbol on NYSE MKT	BPS
Initial Offering Date	August 25, 1999
Yield on Closing Market Price as of October 31, 2013 (\$11.86) ¹	6.58%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	11.99%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.065
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.780
Economic Leverage as of October 31, 2013 ⁴	38%

¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

² Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal and state tax rate of 45.14%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.

³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.

⁴ Represents VRDP Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to VRDP Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

BlackRock Pennsylvania Strategic Municipal Trust

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	10/31/13	4/30/13	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$11.86	\$15.04	(21.14)%	\$15.69	\$11.13
Net Asset Value	\$13.37	\$15.45	(13.46)%	\$15.51	\$12.64

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

Overview of the Trust's Long-Term Investments

Sector Allocation	10/31/13	4/30/13
Health	27%	29%
Education	18	16
State	16	17
Transportation	13	13
Housing	10	10
County/City/Special District/School District	10	7
Corporate	4	3
Utilities	2	5

Credit Quality Allocation ¹	10/31/13	4/30/13
AAA/Aaa	2%	1%
AA/Aa	64	62
A	25	27
BBB/Baa	8	8
Not Rated ²	1	2

¹ Using the higher of S&P's or Moody's ratings.

² The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be of investment grade quality. As of October 31, 2013 and April 30, 2013, the market value of these securities was \$527,561 and \$561,020, each representing 1%, respectively, of the Trust's long-term investments.

Call/Maturity Schedule³

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2013	—
2014	2%
2015	3
2016	5
2017	3

³ Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT OCTOBER 31, 2013 15

Trust Summary as of October 31, 2013
Trust Overview

BlackRock Strategic Municipal Trust

BlackRock Strategic Municipal Trust's (BSD) (the "Trust") investment objectives are to provide current income that is exempt from regular federal income tax and to invest in municipal bonds that over time will perform better than the broader municipal bond market. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing, under normal market conditions, at least 80% of its assets in investments exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax). The Trust invests at least 80% of its assets in investment grade quality securities at the time of investment and, under normal market conditions, primarily invests in municipal bonds with long-term maturities in order to maintain a weighted average maturity of 15 years or more. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust's investment objectives will be achieved.

Performance

- For the six-month period ended October 31, 2013, the Trust returned (13.59)% based on market price and (10.73)% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of (13.60)% based on market price and (9.18)% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust's discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV.
- As tax-exempt municipal rates increased over the six-month period, the Trust's duration exposure (sensitivity to interest rate movements) had a negative impact on performance. (Bond prices fall when rates rise.) Exposure to bonds with long maturities was detrimental as rates increased more in the long-end than in the short-end of the curve. Leverage on the Trust's assets amplified the negative effect of rising rates on the Trust's performance. Holdings of bonds rated low-quality investment grade and non-investment grade posted significant losses. The Trust's modest exposure to Puerto Rico Sales Tax Revenue Bonds also detracted from results as credit spreads on most of Puerto Rico's debt widened materially during the period due to investors' lack of confidence and a weak local economy. Modest exposure to tobacco bonds was another notable source of negative performance.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

Symbol on NYSE	BSD
Initial Offering Date	August 25, 1999
Yield on Closing Market Price as of October 31, 2013 (\$12.49) ¹	7.11%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	12.56%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.074
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.888
Economic Leverage as of October 31, 2013 ⁴	40%

¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

² Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal tax rate of 43.4%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.

³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.

⁴ Represents VMTP Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to VMTP Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

BlackRock Strategic Municipal Trust

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	10/31/13	4/30/13	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$12.49	\$14.97	(16.57)%	\$15.08	\$11.66
Net Asset Value	\$13.17	\$15.28	(13.81)%	\$15.33	\$12.38

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

Overview of the Trust's Long-Term Investments

Sector Allocation	10/31/13	4/30/13
Transportation	23%	23%
Health	21	22
Utilities	14	12
County/City/Special District/School District	11	11
State	10	13
Education	10	9
Corporate	8	8
Tobacco	3	2

Credit Quality Allocation ¹	10/31/13	4/30/13
AAA/Aaa	13%	13%
AA/Aa	34	37
A	29	24
BBB/Baa	11	16
BB/Ba	4	2
B	2	1
Not Rated ²	7	7

¹ Using the higher of S&P's or Moody's ratings.

² The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be of investment grade quality. As of October 31, 2013 and April 30, 2013, the market value of these securities was \$4,360,833 and \$5,654,223, each representing 3%, respectively, of the Trust's long-term investments.

Call/Maturity Schedule³

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2013	3%
2014	2
2015	3
2016	5
2017	1

³ Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT OCTOBER 31, 2013 17

Schedule of Investments October 31, 2013
(Unaudited)

BlackRock Investment Quality Municipal Trust, Inc. (BKN)
(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

	Par (000)	Value
Municipal Bonds		
Alabama — 2.2%		
City of Birmingham Alabama Special Care Facilities Financing Authority, RB, Children’s Hospital (AGC):		
6.00%, 6/01/34	\$ 1,745	\$ 1,958,746
6.00%, 6/01/39	500	561,245
City of Hoover Alabama Board of Education, Refunding, Special Tax, Capital Outlay Warrants, 4.25%, 2/15/40		