

Pebblebrook Hotel Trust  
Form 10-K  
February 21, 2013

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012

OR  
TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF  
1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_  
Commission File Number 001-34571

PEBBLEBROOK HOTEL TRUST  
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Maryland  
(State of Incorporation  
or Organization) 27-1055421  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

2 Bethesda Metro Center, Suite 1530  
Bethesda, Maryland 20814  
(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)  
(240) 507-1300  
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:	
Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
Common Shares of Beneficial Interest, \$0.01 par value per share	New York Stock Exchange
7.875% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares of Beneficial Interest, \$0.01 par value per share	New York Stock Exchange
8.00% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares of Beneficial Interest, \$0.01 par value per share	New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:  
None

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. R Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.  Yes  No

Edgar Filing: Pebblebrook Hotel Trust - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. R Yes " No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). R Yes " No

---

Edgar Filing: Pebblebrook Hotel Trust - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.  Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer   
Non-accelerated filer  (do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).  Yes  No

The aggregate market value of the 57,335,629 common shares of beneficial interest held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$1,336,493,512 based on the closing sale price on the New York Stock Exchange for such common shares of beneficial interest as of June 30, 2012.

The number of common shares of beneficial interest outstanding as of February 18, 2013 was 61,419,586 .

---

**DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE**

Portions of the registrant's Definitive Proxy Statement for its 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on or before April 30, 2013) are incorporated by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K in response to Part III, Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14.

---

Pebblebrook Hotel Trust  
TABLE OF CONTENTS

Item No.	Page
Forward Looking Statements	<u>1</u>
PART I	
1. Business	<u>2</u>
1A. Risk Factors	<u>5</u>
1B. Unresolved Staff Comments	<u>23</u>
2. Properties	<u>23</u>
3. Legal Proceedings	<u>26</u>
4. Mine Safety Disclosures	<u>26</u>
PART II	
5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.	<u>26</u>
6. Selected Financial Data	<u>30</u>
7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>31</u>
7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>41</u>
8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	<u>41</u>
9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	<u>42</u>
9A. Controls and Procedures	<u>42</u>
9B. Other Information	<u>42</u>
PART III	
10. Trustees, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	<u>43</u>
11. Executive Compensation	<u>43</u>
12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Shareholder Matters	<u>43</u>
13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Trustee Independence	<u>43</u>
14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services	<u>43</u>
PART IV	
15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	<u>43</u>

---

Table of Contents

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report, together with other statements and information publicly disseminated by us, contains certain "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). We intend such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and include this statement for purposes of complying with these safe harbor provisions. Forward-looking statements, which are based on certain assumptions and describe our future plans, strategies and expectations, are generally identifiable by use of the words "may", "will", "should", "potential", "could", "seek", "assume", "forecast", "believe", "expect", "intend", "anticipate", "estimate", "project" or similar expressions. Forward-looking statements in this report include, among others, statements about our business strategy, including acquisition and development strategies, industry trends, estimated revenues and expenses, our ability to realize deferred tax assets and expected liquidity needs and sources (including capital expenditures and our ability to obtain financing or raise capital). You should not rely on forward-looking statements since they involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that are, in some cases, beyond our control and which could materially affect actual results, performance or achievements. Factors that may cause actual results to differ materially from current expectations include, but are not limited to:

- the timing and availability of potential hotel acquisitions and our ability to identify and complete hotel acquisitions in accordance with our business strategy;
- risks associated with the hotel industry, including competition, increases in employment costs, energy costs and other operating costs, or decreases in demand caused by actual or threatened terrorist attacks, any type of flu or disease-related pandemic, or downturns in general and local economic conditions;
- the availability and terms of financing and capital and the general volatility of securities markets;
- our dependence on third-party managers of our hotels, including our inability to implement strategic business decisions directly;
- risks associated with the real estate industry, including environmental contamination and costs of complying with the Americans with Disabilities Act and similar laws;
- interest rate increases;
- our possible failure to qualify as a real estate investment trust ("REIT") under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended ("the Code"), and the risk of changes in laws affecting REITs;
- the possibility of uninsured losses;
- risks associated with redevelopment and repositioning projects, including delays and cost overruns; and
- the other factors discussed under the heading "Risk Factors" in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Accordingly, there is no assurance that our expectations will be realized. Except as otherwise required by the federal securities laws, we disclaim any obligations or undertaking to publicly release any updates or revisions to any forward-looking statement contained herein (or elsewhere) to reflect any change in our expectations with regard thereto or any change in events, conditions or circumstances on which any such statement is based.

The "Company", "we" or "us" mean Pebblebrook Hotel Trust, a Maryland real estate investment trust, and one or more of its subsidiaries (including Pebblebrook Hotel, L.P., our operating partnership), or, as the context may require, Pebblebrook Hotel Trust only or Pebblebrook Hotel, L.P. only.

## Table of Contents

### PART I

#### Item 1. Business.

##### General

Pebblebrook Hotel Trust is an internally managed hotel investment company, organized in October 2009 to opportunistically acquire and invest in hotel properties located primarily in major United States cities, with an emphasis on major gateway coastal markets. As of December 31, 2012, the Company owned interests in 25 hotels, including 19 wholly owned hotels with a total of 4,615 guest rooms and a 49% interest in a joint venture that owns six hotels with 1,733 guest rooms (the “Manhattan Collection joint venture”).

Substantially all of our assets are held by, and all of our operations are conducted through, Pebblebrook Hotel, L.P. (our “Operating Partnership”). We are the sole general partner of our Operating Partnership. At December 31, 2012, we owned 99.4% of the common limited partnership units issued by our Operating Partnership (“common units”). The remaining 0.6% of the common units are owned by the other limited partners of our Operating Partnership. We operate as a real estate investment trust (“REIT”) under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”) for federal income tax purposes. For us to qualify as a REIT, we cannot operate the hotels we own. Therefore, our Operating Partnership and its subsidiaries lease the hotel properties to subsidiaries of Pebblebrook Hotel Lessee, Inc. (collectively with its subsidiaries, “PHL”), the Company’s taxable REIT subsidiary (“TRS”), which in turn engages third-party eligible independent contractors to manage the hotels. PHL is consolidated into the Company’s financial statements.

##### Business Objectives and Strategies

##### Acquisitions/Investments

We invest in hotel properties located primarily in major U.S. cities, including Atlanta, Boston, Chicago, Los Angeles, Miami, Minneapolis, New York, Philadelphia, Portland, Santa Monica, San Diego, San Francisco, Seattle, and Washington, D.C., with an emphasis on major gateway metropolitan markets. We believe these markets have significant barriers-to-entry and provide diverse sources of meeting and room night demand generators. In addition, we also target investments in resort properties located near our primary urban target markets, as well as in select destination resort markets such as Hawaii, south Florida and southern California. We focus on both branded and independent full-service hotels in the “upper upscale” segment of the lodging industry. The full-service hotels on which we focus our investment activity generally have one or more restaurants, lounges, meeting facilities and other amenities, as well as high levels of customer service. We believe that our target markets, including the major gateway markets, are characterized by significant barriers-to-entry and that room-night demand and average daily rate (“ADR”) growth of these types of hotels will likely continue to outperform the national average, as they have in past cyclical recoveries and growth periods.

We perform and utilize extensive research to evaluate any target market and property, including a detailed review of the long-term economic outlook, trends in local demand generators, competitive environment, property systems and physical condition and property financial performance. Specific acquisition criteria may include, but are not limited to, the following:

- premier locations, facilities and other competitive advantages not easily replicated;
- significant barriers-to-entry in the market, such as scarcity of development sites, regulatory hurdles, high per-room development costs and long lead times for new development;

- acquisition prices at a significant discount to replacement cost;
- properties not subject to long-term management contracts with hotel management companies;
- potential return on investment initiatives, including redevelopment, rebranding, redesign, expansion and change of management;
- opportunities to implement value-added operational improvements; and
- strong demand growth characteristics supported by favorable demographic indicators.

## Table of Contents

We believe that upper-upscale, full-service hotels and resorts and upscale, select-service hotels located in major U.S. urban, convention and drive-to and destination resort markets are likely to generate the most favorable returns on investment in the lodging industry. Hotel developers' inability to source construction financing over the past several years has created an environment in which little new lodging supply is expected to be added through at least 2014. We believe that as transient and group travel increases, existing supply will accommodate incremental room-night demand, allowing hotel owners to grow occupancy and increase rates, thereby improving profitability. We believe that portfolio diversification will allow us to capitalize from growth in various customer segments, including business transient, leisure transient and group and convention room-night demand.

We generally seek to enter into flexible management contracts, when possible, with third-party hotel management companies for the operation of our hotels that provide us with the ability to replace operators and/or reposition properties, to the extent that we determine to do so and align our operators with our objective of maximizing our return on investment. In addition, we believe that flexible management contracts facilitate the sale of hotels, and we may seek to sell hotels opportunistically if we believe sales proceeds may be invested in other hotel properties that offer more attractive risk-adjusted returns.

We may engage in full or partial redevelopment, renovation and repositioning of certain properties, as we seek to maximize the financial performance of our hotels. In addition, we may acquire properties that require significant capital improvement, renovation or refurbishment. Over the long-term, we may acquire hotel and resort properties that we believe would benefit from significant redevelopment or expansion, including, for example, adding rooms, meeting facilities or other amenities.

We may consider acquiring outstanding debt secured by a hotel or resort property from lenders and investors if we believe we can foreclose on or acquire ownership of the property in the near-term. In connection with our acquisitions, generally we do not intend to originate any debt financing or purchase any debt where we do not expect to gain ownership of the underlying property. Additionally, we have invested, and may in the future, co-invest in hotels with third parties through partnerships, joint ventures or other entities, acquiring non-controlling interests in or sharing responsibility for a property, partnership, joint venture or other entity.

## Asset Management

Although we do not manage the daily operations of our hotels, we are actively involved in the asset management of each of our hotels. We believe we can add significant value to our portfolio through our intensive asset management strategies. Our executives and asset management team have significant experience in hotel operations and creating and implementing innovative asset management initiatives. Our asset management strategies consist of capital investment coupled with revenue and expense management.

We have developed strategic short- and long-term capital investment plans to enhance our hotels' profitability through the strategic use of, among others, expansions, additions, renovations, technology upgrades and modifications, and energy efficiency improvements. We are also focused on revenue and expense management at our properties. We work closely with our hotel operators to evaluate optimal market mix and pricing strategies, ensure quality staffing and appropriate management focus, implement best practices to minimize expenses and aggressively monitor and evaluate our hotels' operations and performance.

## Financing Strategies

Over time, we intend to finance our long-term growth with issuances of common and preferred equity securities and debt financings having staggered maturities. Our debt includes a senior unsecured credit facility, term loan, mortgage debt secured by our hotel properties or leasehold interests under the ground leases on our hotel properties and may



include other unsecured debt in the future.

We anticipate using our senior unsecured revolving credit facility, common and preferred equity issuances, and mortgage debt financings to fund future acquisitions as well as for property redevelopments, return on investment initiatives and working capital requirements. Subject to market conditions, we intend to repay amounts outstanding under the senior unsecured revolving credit facility from time to time with proceeds from periodic common and preferred equity issuances, long-term debt financings and cash flows from operations.

When purchasing hotel properties, we may issue limited partnership interests in our Operating Partnership as full or partial consideration to sellers who may desire to take advantage of tax deferral on the sale of a hotel or participate in the potential

3

---

## Table of Contents

appreciation in value of our common shares. To date, we have not issued any limited partnership interests in our Operating Partnership to purchase hotel properties.

### Competition

We compete for hotel investment opportunities with institutional investors, private equity investors, other REITs and numerous local, regional and national owners, including franchisors, in each of our target markets. Some of these entities have substantially greater financial resources than we do and may be able and willing to accept more risk than we can prudently manage. Competition generally may increase the bargaining power of property owners seeking to sell and reduce the number of suitable investment opportunities offered to us or purchased by us.

The hotel industry is highly competitive. Our hotels compete with other hotels for guests in our markets. Competitive factors include, among others, location, convenience, brand affiliation, room rates, range of services, facilities and guest amenities or accommodations offered and quality of guest service. Competition in the markets in which our hotels operate includes competition from existing, newly renovated and newly developed hotels in the relevant segments. Competition can adversely affect the occupancy, ADR and room revenue per available room ("RevPAR") of our hotels, and thus our financial results, and may require us to provide additional amenities, incur additional costs or make capital improvements that we otherwise might not choose to make, which may adversely affect our profitability.

### Seasonality

Demand in the lodging industry is affected by recurring seasonal patterns which are greatly influenced by overall economic cycles, the geographic locations of the hotels and the customer mix at the hotels. Generally, our hotels will have lower revenue, operating income and cash flow in the first quarter and higher revenue, operating income and cash flow in the third quarter.

### Regulations

Our hotel properties are subject to various federal, state and local environmental laws. Under these laws, courts and government agencies have the authority to require us, as owner of a contaminated property, to clean up the property, even if we did not know of or were not responsible for the contamination. These laws also apply to persons who owned a property at the time it became contaminated, and therefore it is possible we could incur these costs even after we sell a property. In addition to the costs of cleanup, environmental contamination can affect the value of a property and, therefore, an owner's ability to borrow using the property as collateral or to sell the property. Under the environmental laws, courts and government agencies also have the authority to require that a person who sent waste to a waste disposal facility, such as a landfill or an incinerator, pay for the clean-up of that facility if it becomes contaminated and threatens human health or the environment.

Furthermore, various court decisions have established that third parties may recover damages for injury caused by property contamination. For instance, a person exposed to asbestos while staying in a hotel may seek to recover damages if he or she suffers injury from the asbestos. Lastly, some of these environmental laws restrict the use of a property or place conditions on various activities. An example would be laws that require a business using chemicals (such as swimming pool chemicals at a hotel property) to manage them carefully and to notify local officials that the chemicals are being used.

We could be responsible for any of the costs discussed above. The costs to clean up a contaminated property, to defend against a claim, or to comply with environmental laws could be material and could adversely affect the funds available for distribution to our shareholders. Prior to closing a property acquisition, we obtain Phase I environmental

site assessments, or ESAs, in order to attempt to identify potential environmental concerns at the properties. These assessments are carried out in accordance with an appropriate level of due diligence and generally include a physical site inspection, a review of relevant federal, state and local environmental and health agency database records, one or more interviews with appropriate site-related personnel, review of the property's chain of title and review of historic aerial photographs and other information on past uses of the property. We may also conduct limited subsurface investigations and test for substances of concern where the results of the Phase I ESAs or other information indicates possible contamination or where our consultants recommend such procedures. However, these Phase I ESAs or other investigations may not reveal all environmental costs that might have a material adverse effect on our business, assets, results of operations or liquidity and may not identify all potential environmental liabilities.

We believe that our hotels are in compliance, in all material respects, with all federal, state and local environmental ordinances and regulations regarding hazardous or toxic substances and other environmental matters, the violation of which could have a material adverse effect on us. We have not received written notice from any governmental authority of any material noncompliance, liability or claim relating to hazardous or toxic substances or other environmental matters in connection with any of our properties.

## Table of Contents

Our properties must comply with Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, or ADA, to the extent that such properties are “public accommodations” as defined by the ADA. The ADA may require removal of structural barriers to access by persons with disabilities in certain public areas of our properties where such removal is readily achievable. We believe that our properties are in substantial compliance with the ADA and that we will not be required to make substantial capital expenditures to address the requirements of the ADA. However, noncompliance with the ADA could result in imposition of fines or an award of damages to private litigants. The obligation to make readily achievable accommodations is an ongoing one, and we will continue to assess our properties and to make alterations as appropriate in this respect.

## Tax Status

We have elected to be taxed as a REIT under Sections 856 through 860 of the Code. As a result, we generally are not subject to corporate federal income tax on that portion of our REIT taxable income that we distribute to our shareholders. A REIT is subject to numerous organizational and operational requirements, including requirements concerning the nature of our gross income and assets and specifying generally that we must distribute at least 90 percent of REIT taxable income each year. We will be subject to federal income tax on our taxable income at regular corporate rates if we fail to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes in any taxable year, or to the extent we distribute less than 100 percent of REIT taxable income. We will also not be permitted to qualify for treatment as a REIT for federal income tax purposes for four years following the year during which qualification is lost. Even if we continue to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we will be subject to certain state and local income, franchise and property taxes.

For us to qualify as a REIT under the Code, we cannot operate the hotels we acquire. Therefore, our Operating Partnership and its subsidiaries lease our hotel properties to our TRS lessees who in turn engage third-party eligible independent contractors to manage our hotels. The properties owned by the Manhattan Collection joint venture are leased to a lessee joint venture in which a wholly owned subsidiary of PHL owns a 49% interest. PHL is treated as a TRS for federal income tax purposes. The earnings of PHL are subject to taxation like other regular C corporations.

## Employees

We currently employ 23 full-time employees. None of our employees is a member of a union; however, some employees of the hotel managers at several of our hotels are currently represented by labor unions and are subject to collective bargaining agreements.

## Available Information

Our Internet website is located at [www.pebblebrookhotels.com](http://www.pebblebrookhotels.com). Copies of the charters of the committees of our board of trustees, our code of business conduct and ethics and our corporate governance guidelines are available on our website. All reports that we have filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, including this Annual Report on Form 10-K and our current reports on Form 8-K, can be obtained free of charge from the SEC's website at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov) or through our website. In addition, all reports filed with the SEC may be read and copied at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549-1090. Further information regarding the operation of the public reference room may be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors.

The following discussion concerns some of the risks associated with our business and should be considered carefully. These risks are interrelated and you should treat them as a whole. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently

known to us may also materially and adversely affect our business operations, the value of our shares and our ability to pay dividends to our shareholders. In connection with the forward-looking statements that appear in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, in these risk factors and elsewhere, you should carefully review the section entitled “Forward-Looking Statements.”

**Risks Related to Our Business and Properties**

We depend on the efforts and expertise of our executive officers and would be adversely affected by the loss of their services.

Table of Contents

We depend on the efforts and expertise of our Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, as well as our other officers, to execute our business strategy. The loss of their services, and our inability to quickly identify and hire suitable replacements, could have an adverse effect on our business activities, including, without limitation, relationships with shareholders, lenders, management companies, joint venture partners and other industry personnel. Our returns could be negatively impacted if the third-party management companies that operate our hotels do not manage our hotel properties effectively.

Because federal income tax laws restrict REITs and their subsidiaries from operating or managing a hotel, we do not operate or manage any of our hotel properties. Instead, we lease all of our hotel properties to subsidiaries that qualify as TRSs, under applicable REIT laws, and our TRS lessees retain third-party managers to operate our hotels pursuant to management contracts. Our cash flow from the hotels may be adversely affected if our managers fail to provide quality services and amenities or if they or their affiliates fail to maintain a quality brand name. In addition, our managers or their affiliates may manage, and in some cases may own, invest in or provide credit support or operating guarantees, to hotels that compete with hotel properties that we own or acquire, which may result in conflicts of interest and decisions regarding the operation of our hotels that are not in our best interests.

We do not have the authority to require any hotel property to be operated in a particular manner or to govern any particular aspect of the daily operations of any hotel property (for example, setting room rates). Thus, even if we believe our hotels are being operated inefficiently or in a manner that does not result in satisfactory occupancy rates, RevPAR and ADR, we may not be able to force the management company to change its method of operating our hotels. We generally will attempt to resolve issues with our managers through discussions and negotiations. However, if we are unable to reach satisfactory results through discussions and negotiations, we may choose to litigate the dispute or submit the matter to third-party dispute resolution. We can only seek redress if a management company violates the terms of the applicable management contract with a TRS lessee, and then only to the extent of the remedies provided for under the terms of the management contract. Additionally, in the event that we need to replace any management company, we may be required by the terms of the management contract to pay substantial termination fees and may experience significant disruptions at the affected hotels.

Our TRS lessee structure subjects us to the risk of increased hotel operating expenses.

Our leases with our TRS lessees require our TRS lessees to pay rent based in part on revenues from our hotels. Our operating risks include decreases in hotel revenues and increases in hotel operating expenses, which would adversely affect our TRS lessees' ability to pay rent due under the leases, including but not limited to increases in: wage and benefit costs; repair and maintenance expenses; property taxes; insurance costs; and other operating expenses.

Increases in these operating expenses can have a significant adverse impact on our financial condition, results of operations, the market price of our common shares and our ability to make distributions to our shareholders.

Our ability to make distributions to our shareholders is subject to fluctuations in our financial performance, operating results and capital improvements requirements.

To qualify for taxation as a REIT, we are required to distribute at least 90 percent of our REIT taxable income (determined before the deduction for dividends paid and excluding any net capital gains) each year to our shareholders and we generally expect to make distributions in excess of such amount. In the event of downturns in our operating results, unanticipated capital improvements to our hotel properties or other factors, we may be unable to declare or pay distributions to our shareholders. The timing and amount of distributions are in the sole discretion of our board of trustees which will consider, among other factors, our financial performance, any debt service obligations, any debt covenants, and capital expenditure requirements. We cannot assure you that we will generate sufficient cash in order to fund distributions.

We invest in the upper-upscale segment of the lodging market, which is highly competitive and generally subject to greater volatility than most other market segments and could negatively affect our profitability.

The upper-upscale segment of the hotel business is highly competitive. Our hotel properties compete on the basis of location, room rates, quality, service levels, reputation and reservations systems, among many factors. There are many competitors in the upper-upscale segment, and many of these competitors may have substantially greater marketing and financial resources than we have. This competition could reduce occupancy levels and RevPAR at our hotels. In addition, in periods of weak demand, as may occur during a general economic recession, profitability is adversely

affected by the relatively high fixed costs of operating upper-upscale hotels.

Restrictive covenants in our management contracts could preclude us from taking actions with respect to the sale or refinancing of a hotel property that would otherwise be in our best interest.

Table of Contents

We may enter into management contracts that contain some restrictive covenants or acquire properties subject to existing management contracts that do not allow the flexibility we seek, including management contracts that restrict our ability to terminate the contract or require us to pay significant termination fees. For example, the terms of some management contracts may restrict our ability to sell a property unless the purchaser is not a competitor of the manager and assumes the related management contract and meets specified other conditions which may preclude us from taking actions that would otherwise be in our best interest or could cause us to incur substantial expense. Due to our concentration in hotel investments, a downturn in the lodging industry would adversely affect our operations and financial condition.

Our primary business is hotel-related. Therefore, a downturn in the lodging industry, in general, and the segments and markets in which we operate, in particular, would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, the market price of our common shares and our ability to make distributions to our shareholders.

Our joint venture investments and other joint venture investments that we may make could be adversely affected by our lack of sole decision-making authority, our reliance on our co-venturers' financial condition and disputes between us and our co-venturers.

In July 2011, we acquired an interest in a joint venture that owns six hotels in Manhattan. We share major decisions with our joint venture partner with respect to the joint venture and its hotels. The debt of the joint venture, while non-recourse to us, is secured by first mortgages on five of the hotels owned by the joint venture and any default on such debt could adversely affect our equity investment in the joint venture. In addition, in order to maintain our ownership interest, we may need to invest additional equity into the joint venture in connection with any such refinancing which would reduce the amount we have available to invest in additional acquisitions or capital improvements to our existing hotels.

We may co-invest in hotels in the future with third parties through partnerships, joint ventures or other entities, acquiring non-controlling interests in or sharing responsibility for a property, partnership, joint venture or other entity. In this event, we would not be in a position to exercise sole decision-making authority regarding the property, partnership, joint venture or other entity. Investments through partnerships, joint ventures, or other entities may, under certain circumstances, involve risks not present were a third party not involved, including the possibility that partners or co-venturers might become bankrupt, fail to fund their share of required capital contributions, make dubious business decisions or block or delay necessary decisions. Partners or co-venturers may have economic or other business interests or goals which are inconsistent with our business interests or goals, and may be in a position to take actions contrary to our policies or objectives. Such investments may also have the potential risk of impasses on decisions, such as a sale, because neither we nor the partner or co-venturer would have full control over the partnership or joint venture. Disputes between us and partners or co-venturers may result in litigation or arbitration that would increase our expenses and prevent our officers and/or trustees from focusing their time and effort on our business. Consequently, action by, or disputes with, partners or co-venturers might result in subjecting properties owned by the partnership or joint venture to additional risk. In addition, we may in certain circumstances be liable for the actions of our third-party partners or co-venturers.

Our hotels operated under franchise agreements are subject to risks arising from adverse developments with respect to the franchise brand and to costs associated with maintaining the franchise license.

Certain of our hotel properties operate under franchise agreements and we anticipate that some of the hotels we acquire in the future will operate under franchise agreements. We are therefore subject to the risks associated with concentrating hotel investments in several franchise brands, including reductions in business following negative publicity related to one of the brands or the general decline of a brand.

Maintenance of franchise licenses for branded hotel properties is subject to franchisors' operating standards and other terms and conditions including the requirement to make certain capital improvements. Franchisors periodically inspect hotel properties to ensure that we and our lessees and management companies follow their standards. Failure by us, one of our TRS lessees or one of our third-party management companies to maintain these standards or other terms and conditions could result in a franchise license being canceled. If a franchise license is canceled due to our failure to make required improvements or to otherwise comply with its terms, we also may be liable to the franchisor for a termination payment, which varies by franchisor and by hotel property.



The loss of a franchise license could materially and adversely affect the operations and the underlying value of the hotel property because of the loss of associated name recognition, marketing support and centralized reservation system provided by the franchisor and adversely affect our revenues, financial condition, results of operations, the market price of our common shares and our ability to make distributions to our shareholders.

7

---

Table of Contents

Debt service obligations could adversely affect our overall operating results, may require us to sell hotel properties, may jeopardize our qualification as a REIT and could adversely affect our ability to make distributions to our shareholders and the market price of our common shares.

Our business strategy contemplates the use of both secured and unsecured debt to finance long-term growth. Incurring debt could subject us to many risks, including the risks that our cash flow from operations will be insufficient to make required payments of principal and interest, our debt may increase our vulnerability to adverse economic and industry conditions, we may be required to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to payments on our debt, and the terms of any refinancing will not be as favorable as the terms of the debt being refinanced.

We have placed and will continue to place mortgages on certain of our hotel properties to secure debt. To the extent we cannot meet any of our debt service obligations, we may be required to sell or we will risk losing to foreclosure some or all of our pledged hotel properties. If we are required to sell one or more of our hotel properties to meet debt service obligations, we may have to accept unfavorable terms. Also, covenants applicable to debt could impair our planned investment strategy and, if violated, result in a default. If we violate covenants relating to indebtedness, we could be required to repay all or a portion of our indebtedness before maturity at a time when we might be unable to arrange financing for such repayment on attractive terms, if at all. In addition, future indebtedness agreements may require that we meet certain covenant tests in order to make distributions to our shareholders.

Higher interest rates could increase debt service requirements on any of our floating rate debt, including our senior unsecured revolving credit facility, and could reduce the amounts available for distribution to our shareholders, as well as reduce funds available for our operations, future business opportunities, or other purposes. We may obtain one or more forms of interest rate protection-in the form of swap agreements, interest rate cap contracts or similar agreements that are consistent with our intention to remain qualified as a REIT-to “hedge” against the possible negative effects of interest rate fluctuations. However, such hedging incurs costs and we cannot assure you that any hedging will adequately relieve the adverse effects of interest rate increases or that counterparties under these agreement will honor their obligations thereunder. Adverse economic conditions could also cause the terms on which we borrow to be unfavorable.

Our senior executive officers have broad discretion to make investments, and they may make investments where the returns are substantially below expectations or which result in net operating losses.

Our senior executive officers have broad discretion, within the general investment criteria established by our board of trustees, to invest our capital and to determine the timing of such investments. In addition, our investment policies may be revised from time to time at the discretion of our board of trustees, without a vote of our shareholders. Such discretion could result in investments that may not yield returns consistent with expectations.

The purchase of properties we put under contract may not be consummated.

From time to time, we enter into purchase and sale agreements for hotel properties. These transactions, whether or not consummated, require substantial time and attention from management. Furthermore, potential acquisitions require significant expense, including expenses for due diligence, legal fees and related overhead. To the extent we do not consummate one or more of the transactions and fail to acquire any or all of these hotels, these expenses will not be offset by revenues from these properties.

We may use a portion of the net proceeds from our public offerings to make distributions to our shareholders, which would, among other things, reduce our cash available to invest in hotel properties and may reduce the returns on your investment in our common shares.

Prior to the time we have fully invested the net proceeds of our public offerings, we may fund distributions to our shareholders out of the net proceeds of these offerings, which would reduce the amount of cash we have available to invest in hotel properties and may reduce the returns on your investment in our common shares. The use of these net proceeds for distributions to shareholders could adversely affect our financial results. In addition, funding distributions from the net proceeds of these offerings may constitute a return of capital to our shareholders, which would have the effect of reducing each shareholder's tax basis in our common shares.

If we cannot obtain financing, our growth will be limited.

To qualify for taxation as a REIT, we are required to distribute at least 90 percent of our REIT taxable income (determined before the deduction for dividends paid and excluding any net capital gains) each year to our shareholders

and we generally expect to make distributions in excess of such amount. As a result, our ability to retain earnings to fund acquisitions, redevelopment and development or other capital expenditures is and will continue to be limited. Although our business strategy contemplates future access to debt financing (in addition to our senior unsecured revolving credit facility) to fund acquisitions, redevelopment, development, return on investment initiatives and working capital requirements, there can be no assurance that

8

---

## Table of Contents

we will be able to obtain such financing on favorable terms or at all. Events in the financial markets have adversely impacted the credit markets, and they may do so in the future, and, as a result, credit can become significantly more expensive and difficult to obtain, if available at all. Tightening credit markets may have an adverse effect on our ability to obtain financing on favorable terms, if at all, thereby increasing financing costs and/or requiring us to accept financing with increased restrictions. If adverse conditions in the credit markets-in particular with respect to real estate or lodging industry finance-materially deteriorate, our business could be materially and adversely affected. Our long-term ability to grow through investments in hotel properties will be limited if we cannot obtain additional financing.

Our cash and cash equivalents are maintained in a limited number of financial institutions and the funds in those institutions may not be fully or federally insured.

We maintain cash balances in a limited number of financial institutions. Our cash balances are generally in excess of federally insured limits. The failure or collapse of one or more of these financial institutions may materially adversely affect our ability to recover our cash balances.

Our conflicts of interest policy may not adequately address all of the conflicts of interest that may arise with respect to our activities.

In order to avoid any actual or perceived conflicts of interest with our trustees, officers or employees, we have adopted a conflicts of interest policy to specifically address some of the conflicts relating to our activities. Although under this policy any transaction, agreement or relationship in which any of our trustees, officers or employees has an interest must have the approval of a majority of our disinterested trustees, there is no assurance that this policy will be adequate to address all of the conflicts that may arise or will address such conflicts in a manner that is favorable to us.

The nature of the operations of our hotels exposes us to the risk of claims and litigation that may arise in the normal course of business.

As owners of hotel properties, we face potential claims, litigation and threatened litigation from guests, visitors to our properties, contractors, sub-contractors and others. These claims and proceedings are inherently uncertain and their costs and outcomes cannot be predicted with certainty. Regardless of their outcomes, such claims and legal proceedings can have an adverse impact on us because of the legal and other costs, diversion of management time and resources and other factors. Although we and our hotel management companies maintain insurance covering some of these matters, it is possible that one or more claims, suits or proceedings may not be covered by insurance and could result in substantial costs, judgments, fines and penalties that could adversely affect our business, consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

### Risks Related to Debt and Financing

Our existing indebtedness contains financial covenants that could limit our operations and our ability to make distributions to our shareholders.

Our existing senior unsecured revolving credit facility contains financial and operating covenants, such as net worth requirements, fixed charge coverage, debt ratios and other limitations that restrict our ability to make distributions or other payments to our stockholders, sell all or substantially all of our assets and engage in mergers, consolidations and certain acquisitions without the consent of the lenders. In addition, our existing property-level debt contains restrictions (including cash management provisions) that may under circumstances specified in the loan agreements prohibit our subsidiaries that own our hotels from making distributions or paying dividends, repaying loans to us or other subsidiaries or transferring any of their assets to us or another subsidiary. Failure to meet our financial covenants could result from, among other things, changes in our results of operations, the incurrence of additional debt or changes in general economic conditions. This could cause one or more of our lenders to accelerate the timing of payments and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and our ability to make distributions to our shareholders. The terms of our debt may restrict our ability to engage in transactions that we believe would otherwise be in the best interests of our shareholders.

Many of our existing mortgage debt agreements contain “cash trap” provisions that could limit our ability to make distributions to our shareholders.

Many of our loan agreements contain cash trap provisions that may be triggered if the performance of the hotels securing the loans declines below a threshold. If these provisions are triggered, substantially all of the profit generated by the hotel will be deposited directly into a lockbox account and then swept into a cash management account for the benefit of the lender. In that event, cash would be distributed to us only after certain items are paid, including deposits into leasing and maintenance reserves and the payment of debt service, insurance, taxes, operating expenses and extraordinary capital expenditures and leasing expenses. This could adversely affect our liquidity and our ability to make distributions to our shareholders.

## Table of Contents

There is refinancing risk associated with our debt.

Our typical debt contains limited principal amortization; therefore the vast majority of the principal must be repaid at the maturity of the loan in a so-called “balloon payment.” At the maturity of these loans, assuming we do not have sufficient funds to repay the debt, we will need to refinance this debt. If the credit environment is constrained at the time of our debt maturities, we would have a very difficult time refinancing debt. If we are unable to refinance our debt on acceptable terms, we may be forced to choose from a number of unfavorable options. These options include agreeing to otherwise unfavorable financing terms on one or more of our unencumbered assets, selling one or more hotels at disadvantageous terms, including unattractive prices, or defaulting on the mortgage and permitting the lender to foreclose. Any one of these options could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and our ability to make distributions to our shareholders.

If we default on our secured debt in the future, the lenders may foreclose on our hotels.

All of our indebtedness for borrowed money, except our senior unsecured revolving credit facility and term loan, is secured by either single property first mortgage liens or leasehold interests under the ground leases on the applicable hotel. If we default on any of the secured loans, the applicable lender will be able to foreclose on the property pledged to secure the loan.

In addition to causing us to lose the property, a foreclosure may result in taxable income. Under the Code, a foreclosure would be treated as a sale of the property for a purchase price equal to the outstanding balance of the debt secured by the mortgage. If the outstanding balance of the debt secured by the mortgage exceeds our tax basis in the property, we would recognize taxable income on foreclosure even though we did not receive any cash proceeds. As a result, we may then be required to identify and utilize other sources of cash for distributions to our shareholders. If this occurs, our financial condition, cash flow and ability to satisfy our other debt obligations or ability to pay distributions may be adversely affected.

Acquiring outstanding debt secured by a hotel or resort property may expose us to risks of costs and delays in acquiring the underlying property.

We may acquire outstanding debt secured by a hotel or resort property from lenders and investors if we believe we can ultimately foreclose or otherwise acquire ownership of the underlying property in the near-term through foreclosure, deed-in-lieu of foreclosure or other means. However, if we do acquire such debt, borrowers may seek to assert various defenses to our foreclosure or other actions and we may not be successful in acquiring the underlying property on a timely basis, or at all, in which event we could incur significant costs and experience significant delays in acquiring such properties, all of which could adversely affect our financial performance and reduce our expected returns from such investments. In addition, we may not earn a current return on such investments particularly if the loan that we acquire is in default.

### Risks Related to the Lodging Industry

Current economic conditions may reduce demand for hotel properties and adversely affect hotel profitability.

The performance of the lodging industry has historically been closely linked to the performance of the general economy and, specifically, growth in U.S. GDP. It is also sensitive to business and personal discretionary spending levels. Declines in corporate travel budgets and consumer demand due to adverse general economic conditions, such as declines in U.S. GDP, risks affecting or reducing travel patterns, lower consumer confidence or adverse political conditions can lower the revenues and profitability of hotel properties and therefore the net operating profits of our TRS lessees to whom we lease our hotel properties. The recent global economic downturn led to a significant decline in demand for products and services provided by the lodging industry, lower occupancy levels and significantly reduced room rates.

We cannot predict the pace of the global economic recovery or the pace of the recovery in the lodging industry. A new period of economic weakness would likely have an adverse impact on our revenues and negatively affect our financial condition, results of operations, the market price of our common shares and our ability to make distributions to our shareholders.

Our operating results and ability to make distributions to our shareholders may be adversely affected by various operating risks common to the lodging industry.

Our hotel properties have different economic characteristics than many other real estate assets and a hotel REIT is structured differently than many other types of REITs. Our TRS lessees engage the hotel manager pursuant to a management contract and pay the manager a fee for managing the hotel. The TRS lessees receive all the operating profit or losses at the hotel. Moreover, virtually all hotel guests stay at a hotel for only a few nights at a time, so the rate and occupancy at each of our hotels changes every day. As a result, we may have highly volatile earnings.

## Table of Contents

In addition, our hotel properties are subject to various operating risks common to the lodging industry, many of which are beyond our control, including the following:

- competition from other hotel properties in our markets;
- over-building of hotels in our markets, which could adversely affect occupancy and revenues at our hotel properties;
- dependence on business and commercial travelers and tourism;
- increases in energy costs and other expenses affecting travel, which may affect travel patterns and reduce the number of business and commercial travelers and tourists;
- increases in operating costs due to inflation and other factors that may not be offset by increased room rates;
- changes in interest rates and in the availability, cost and terms of debt financing;
- changes in governmental laws and regulations, fiscal policies and zoning ordinances and the related costs of compliance with laws and regulations, fiscal policies and ordinances;
- adverse effects of international, national, regional and local economic and market conditions;
- unforeseen events beyond our control, such as terrorist attacks, travel related health concerns including pandemics and epidemics such as H1N1 influenza (swine flu), avian bird flu and SARS, political instability, regional hostilities, imposition of taxes or surcharges by regulatory authorities, travel related accidents and unusual weather patterns, including natural disasters such as hurricanes, tsunamis or earthquakes;
- adverse effects of a downturn in the lodging industry; and
- risks generally associated with the ownership of hotel properties and real estate, as we discuss in more detail below.

These factors could reduce the revenues and net operating profits of our TRS lessees, which in turn could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, the market price of our common shares, and our ability to make distributions to our shareholders.

Competition for acquisitions may reduce the number of properties we can acquire.

We compete for investment opportunities with entities that may have substantially greater financial and other resources than we have. These entities generally may be able to accept more risk than we can prudently manage. This competition may generally limit the number of suitable investment opportunities offered to us or the number of properties that we are able to acquire. This competition may also increase the bargaining power of property owners seeking to sell to us, making it more difficult for us to acquire new properties on attractive terms.

The seasonality of the lodging industry may cause fluctuations in our quarterly revenues that cause us to borrow money to fund distributions to our shareholders.

The lodging industry is seasonal in nature. This seasonality can be expected to cause quarterly fluctuations in our revenues. Our quarterly earnings may be adversely affected by factors outside our control, including weather conditions and poor economic factors. As a result, we may have to enter into short-term borrowings in certain quarters in order to offset these fluctuations in revenues and to make distributions to our shareholders.

The cyclical nature of the lodging industry may cause the returns from our investments to be less than we expect. The lodging industry is highly cyclical in nature. Fluctuations in lodging demand and, therefore, hotel operating performance, are caused largely by general economic and local market conditions, which subsequently affect levels of business and leisure travel. In addition to general economic conditions, new hotel room supply is an important factor that can affect lodging industry fundamentals, and over-building has the potential to exacerbate the negative impact of poor economic conditions. Room rates and occupancy, and thus RevPAR, tend to increase when demand growth exceeds supply growth. A decline in lodging demand, or a continued growth in lodging supply, could result in continued deterioration in lodging industry fundamentals and returns that are substantially below expectations, or result in losses, which could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, the market price of our common shares and our ability to make distributions to our shareholders.



Table of Contents

Capital expenditure requirements at our properties may be costly and require us to incur debt, postpone improvements, reduce distributions or otherwise adversely affect the results of our operations and the market price of our common shares.

Some of the hotel properties we acquire need renovations and capital improvements at the time of acquisition and all the hotel properties we have acquired and will acquire in the future will have an ongoing need for renovations and other capital improvements, including replacement, from time to time, of furniture, fixtures and equipment. The franchisors of these hotel properties also require periodic capital improvements as a condition to our maintaining the franchise licenses. In addition, our lenders often require that we set aside annual amounts for capital improvements to our hotel properties. These capital improvements may give rise to the following risks:

- possible environmental problems;
- construction cost overruns and delays;
- the possibility that revenues will be reduced while rooms or restaurants are out of service due to capital improvement projects;
- a possible shortage of available cash to fund capital improvements and the related possibility that financing for these capital improvements may not be available to us on attractive terms; and
- uncertainties as to market demand or a loss of market demand after capital improvements have begun.

The costs of renovations and capital improvements could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, the market price of our common shares and our ability to make distributions to our shareholders. Hotel and resort development and redevelopment is subject to timing, budgeting and other risks that may adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, the market price of our common shares and our ability to make distributions to our shareholders.

We may engage in hotel development and redevelopment if suitable opportunities arise. Hotel development and redevelopment involves a number of risks, including risks associated with:

- construction delays or cost overruns that may increase project costs;
- the receipt of zoning, occupancy and other required governmental permits and authorizations;
- development costs incurred for projects that are not pursued to completion;
- acts of God such as earthquakes, hurricanes, floods or fires that could adversely impact a project;
- the negative impact of construction on operating performance during and soon after the construction period;
- the ability to raise capital; and
- governmental restrictions on the nature or size of a project.

We cannot assure you that any development or redevelopment project will be completed on time or within budget.

Our inability to complete a project on time or within budget could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, the market price of our common shares and our ability to make distributions to our shareholders.

The increasing use of Internet travel intermediaries by consumers may reduce our revenues.

Some of our hotel rooms are booked through Internet travel intermediaries, such as Travelocity.com, Expedia.com and Priceline.com. As these Internet bookings increase, these intermediaries may be able to obtain higher commissions, reduced room rates or other significant contract concessions from the management companies that operate the hotels we own and acquire. Moreover, some of these Internet travel intermediaries are attempting to offer hotel rooms as a commodity, by increasing the importance of price and general indicators of quality (such as “three-star downtown hotel”), at the expense of brand identification or quality of product or service. These intermediaries hope that consumers will eventually develop brand loyalties to their reservations system rather than to lodging brands or properties. If the amount of bookings made through Internet travel intermediaries proves to be more significant than we expect, departmental profitability may be lower than expected, and our financial condition, results of operations, the market price of our common shares and our ability to make distributions to our shareholders may be adversely affected.

We may be adversely affected by increased use of business-related technology which may reduce the need for business-related travel.

The increased use of teleconference and video-conference technology by businesses could result in decreased business travel as companies increase the use of technologies that allow multiple parties from different locations to participate at

12

---

Table of Contents

meetings without traveling to a centralized meeting location. To the extent that such technologies play an increased role in day-to-day business and the necessity for business-related travel decreases, hotel room demand may decrease and our financial condition, results of operations, the market price of our common shares and our ability to make distributions to our shareholders may be adversely affected.

We and our hotel managers rely on information technology in our operations, and any material failure, inadequacy, interruption or security failure of that technology could harm our business.

We and our hotel managers rely on information technology networks and systems, including the Internet, to process, transmit and store electronic information, and to manage or support a variety of business processes, including financial transactions and records, personal identifying information, reservations, billing and operating data. We purchase some of our information technology from vendors, on whom our systems depend. We rely on commercially available systems, software, tools and monitoring to provide security for processing, transmission and storage of confidential customer information, such as individually identifiable information, including information relating to financial accounts. Although we have taken steps to protect the security of our information systems and the data maintained in those systems, it is possible that our safety and security measures will not be able to prevent the systems' improper functioning or damage, or the improper access or disclosure of personally identifiable information such as in the event of cyber attacks. Security breaches, including physical or electronic break-ins, computer viruses, attacks by hackers and similar breaches, can create system disruptions, shutdowns or unauthorized disclosure of confidential information. Any failure to maintain proper function, security and availability of our information systems could interrupt our operations, damage our reputation, subject us to liability claims or regulatory penalties and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Terrorist attacks or changes in terror alert levels could adversely affect travel and hotel demand.

Previous terrorist attacks and subsequent terrorist alerts have adversely affected the U.S. travel and hospitality industries over the past several years, often disproportionately to the effect on the overall economy. The impact that terrorist attacks in the U.S. or elsewhere could have on domestic and international travel and our business in particular cannot be definitively determined, but any such attacks or the threat of such attacks could have a material adverse effect on our business, our ability to finance our business, our ability to insure our properties and our results of operations and financial condition.

Uninsured and underinsured losses could result in a loss of capital.

We maintain comprehensive insurance on each of our hotel properties, including liability, fire and extended coverage, of the type and amount we believe are customarily obtained for or by hotel owners. There are no assurances that coverage will remain available at reasonable rates. Various types of catastrophic losses, like earthquakes and floods, and losses from terrorist activities, may not be insurable in whole or in part or may not be available on terms that we consider acceptable.

In the event of a substantial loss, our insurance coverage may not be sufficient to cover the full market value or replacement cost of our lost investment. Should an uninsured loss or a loss in excess of insured limits occur, we could lose all or a portion of the capital we have invested in a hotel property, as well as the anticipated future revenue from the property. In that event, we might nevertheless remain obligated for any mortgage debt or other financial obligations related to the property. Inflation, changes in building codes and ordinances, environmental considerations and other factors might also keep us from using insurance proceeds to replace or renovate a hotel after it has been damaged or destroyed. Under those circumstances, the insurance proceeds we receive might be inadequate to restore our economic position on the damaged or destroyed property.

Our hotels may be subject to unknown or contingent liabilities which could cause us to incur substantial costs.

The hotel properties that we own or may acquire are or may be subject to unknown or contingent liabilities for which we may have no recourse, or only limited recourse, against the sellers. In general, the representations and warranties provided under the transaction agreements related to the sales of the hotel properties may not survive the closing of the transactions. While we will seek to require the sellers to indemnify us with respect to breaches of representations and warranties that survive, such indemnification may be limited and subject to various materiality thresholds, a significant deductible or an aggregate cap on losses. As a result, there is no guarantee that we will recover any amounts with respect to losses due to breaches by the sellers of their representations and warranties. In addition, the

total amount of costs and expenses that may be incurred with respect to liabilities associated with these hotels may exceed our expectations, and we may experience other unanticipated adverse effects, all of which may adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, the market price of our common shares and our ability to make distributions to our shareholders.

Noncompliance with environmental laws and regulations could subject us to fines and liabilities which could adversely affect our operating results.

## Table of Contents

Our hotel properties are subject to various federal, state and local environmental laws. Under these laws, courts and government agencies have the authority to require us, as owner of a contaminated property, to clean up the property, even if we did not know of or were not responsible for the contamination. These laws also apply to persons who owned a property at the time it became contaminated, and therefore it is possible we could incur cleanup costs even after we sell some of the properties we acquire. In addition to the costs of cleanup, environmental contamination can affect the value of a property and, therefore, an owner's ability to borrow funds using the property as collateral or to sell the property. Under the environmental laws, courts and government agencies also have the authority to require that a person who sent waste to a waste disposal facility, such as a landfill or an incinerator, pay for the clean-up of that facility if it becomes contaminated and threatens human health or the environment. A person that arranges for the disposal or transports for disposal or treatment of a hazardous substance at a property owned by another may be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of hazardous substances released into the environment at that property. Furthermore, various court decisions have established that third parties may recover damages for injury caused by property contamination. For instance, a person exposed to asbestos while staying in a hotel may seek to recover damages if he or she suffers injury from the asbestos. Also, some of these environmental laws restrict the use of a property or place conditions on various activities. An example would be laws that require a business using chemicals (such as swimming pool chemicals at a hotel property) to manage them carefully and to notify local officials that the chemicals are being used.

We could be responsible for any of the costs discussed above. The costs to clean up a contaminated property, to defend against a claim, or to comply with environmental laws could be material and could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, the market price of our common shares and our ability to make distributions to our shareholders.

As a result, we may become subject to material environmental liabilities. We can make no assurances that future laws or regulations will not impose material environmental liabilities or that the current environmental condition of our hotel properties will not be affected by the condition of the properties in the vicinity of our hotel properties (such as the presence of leaking underground storage tanks) or by third parties unrelated to us.

Compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act could require us to incur substantial costs.

Under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, or the ADA, all public accommodations must meet various federal requirements related to access and use by disabled persons. While we believe that our hotels are substantially in compliance with these requirements, a determination to the contrary could require removal of access barriers and non-compliance could result in the U.S. government imposing fines or in private litigants winning damages.

If we are required to make substantial modifications to our hotel properties, whether to comply with the ADA or other changes in governmental rules and regulations, our financial condition, results of operations, the market price of our common shares and our ability to make distributions to our shareholders could be adversely affected.

### General Risks Related to the Real Estate Industry

Illiquidity of real estate investments could significantly impede our ability to sell hotels or otherwise respond to adverse changes in the performance of our hotel properties.

Because real estate investments are relatively illiquid, our ability to promptly sell one or more hotel properties for reasonable prices in response to changing economic, financial and investment conditions will be limited. The real estate market is affected by many factors beyond our control, including:

- adverse changes in international, national, regional and local economic and market conditions;
- changes in interest rates and in the availability, cost and terms of debt financing;
- changes in governmental laws and regulations, fiscal policies and zoning ordinances and the related costs of compliance with laws and regulations, fiscal policies and ordinances;
- the ongoing need for capital improvements, particularly in older structures;
- changes in operating expenses; and
- civil unrest, acts of God, including earthquakes, floods and other natural disasters, which may result in uninsured losses, and acts of war or terrorism.

We have acquired hotels, and may acquire additional hotels in the future, subject to ground leases. Sale of property subject to ground leases may require the consent of the lessor. This consent requirement may make it more difficult or expensive to sell or finance the hotels subject to ground leases.

Table of Contents

We may decide to sell hotel properties in the future. We cannot predict whether we will be able to sell any hotel property for the price or on the terms set by us, or whether any price or other terms offered by a prospective purchaser would be acceptable to us. We also cannot predict the length of time needed to find a willing purchaser and to close the sale of a hotel property.

We may be required to expend funds to correct defects or to make improvements before a hotel property can be sold. We cannot assure you that we will have funds available to correct those defects or to make those improvements. In acquiring a hotel property, we may agree to lock-out provisions that materially restrict us from selling that property for a period of time or impose other restrictions, such as a limitation on the amount of debt that can be placed or repaid on that property. These factors and any others that would impede our ability to respond to adverse changes in the performance of the hotel properties or a need for liquidity could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, the market price of our common shares and our ability to make distributions to our shareholders.

If states and localities in which we own material amounts of property or conduct material amounts of business raise their income and property tax rates or amend their tax regimes in a manner that increases our state and local tax liabilities, we would have less cash available for distribution to our shareholders and the market price of our shares could be adversely affected.

We and our subsidiaries are subject to income tax and other taxes by states and localities in which we conduct business. Additionally, we are and will continue to be subject to property taxes in states and localities in which we own property, and our TRS lessees are and will continue to be subject to state and local corporate income tax. Many states and localities are currently financially distressed as a result of the recent recession. As these states and localities seek additional sources of revenue to reduce budget deficits and otherwise improve their financial condition, they may, among other steps, raise income and property tax rates and/or amend their tax regimes to eliminate for state income tax purposes the favorable tax treatment REITs enjoy for federal income tax purposes. We cannot predict when or if any states or localities would make any such changes, or what form those changes would take. If states and localities in which we own material amounts of property or conduct material amounts of business make changes to their tax rates or tax regimes that increase our state and local tax liabilities, such increases would reduce the amount of cash available for distribution to our shareholders and could adversely affect the market price of our shares.

The costs of compliance with or liabilities under environmental laws could significantly reduce our profitability. Operating expenses at our hotels could be higher than anticipated due to the cost of complying with existing or future environmental laws and regulations. In addition, an owner of real property can face liability for environmental contamination created by the presence or discharge of hazardous substances on the property. We may face liability regardless of:

- our lack of knowledge of the contamination;
- the timing of the contamination;
- the cause of the contamination; or
- the party responsible for the contamination of the property.

Environmental laws also impose ongoing compliance requirements on owners and operators of real property. Environmental laws potentially affecting us address a wide variety of matters, including, but not limited to, asbestos-containing building materials, storage tanks, storm water and wastewater discharges, lead-based paint, mold/mildew and hazardous wastes. Failure to comply with these laws could result in fines and penalties and/or expose us to third-party liability. Some of our properties may have conditions that are subject to these requirements, and we could be liable for such fines or penalties and/or liable to third parties.

Certain hotel properties we own or may own in the future may contain, or may have contained, asbestos-containing building materials, or ACBMs. Environmental laws require that ACBMs be properly managed and maintained and may impose fines and penalties on building owners and operators for failure to comply with these requirements. Also, certain properties may be adjacent or near other properties that have contained or currently contain storage tanks for the storage of petroleum products or other hazardous or toxic substances. These operations create a potential for the release of petroleum products or other hazardous or toxic substances. Third parties may be permitted by law to seek recovery from owners or operators for property damage and/or personal injury associated with exposure to

contaminants, including, but not limited to, petroleum products, hazardous or toxic substances and asbestos fibers. We have obtained Phase I environmental site assessments, or ESAs, on our hotel properties and expect to do so for the hotel properties we acquire in the future. ESAs are intended to evaluate information regarding the environmental condition of the surveyed property and surrounding properties based generally on visual observations, interviews and certain publicly

15

---



## Table of Contents

available databases. These assessments do not typically take into account all environmental issues including, but not limited to, testing of soil or groundwater or the possible presence of asbestos, lead-based paint, radon, wetlands or mold. As a result, these assessments may fail to reveal all environmental conditions, liabilities or compliance concerns. Material environmental conditions, liabilities or compliance concerns may arise after the ESAs and future laws, ordinances or regulations may impose material additional environmental liability. We cannot assure you that costs of future environmental compliance will not affect our ability to make distributions to our shareholders or that such costs or other remedial measures will not be material to us.

The presence of hazardous substances on a property may limit our ability to sell the property on favorable terms or at all, and we may incur substantial remediation costs. The discovery of material environmental liabilities at our properties could subject us to unanticipated significant costs, which could significantly reduce our profitability and the cash available for distribution to our shareholders.

Our properties may contain or develop harmful mold, which could lead to liability for adverse health effects and costs of remediating the problem.

When excessive moisture accumulates in buildings or on building materials, mold growth may occur, particularly if the moisture problem remains undiscovered or is not addressed over a period of time. Some molds may produce airborne toxins or irritants. Concern about indoor exposure to mold has been increasing as exposure to mold may cause a variety of adverse health effects and symptoms, including allergic or other reactions. Some of our properties may contain microbial matter such as mold and mildew. The presence of significant mold at any of our properties could require us to undertake a costly remediation program to contain or remove the mold from the affected property. The presence of significant mold could expose us to liability from hotel guests, hotel employees and others if property damage or health concerns arise.

### Risks Related to Our Organization and Structure

Provisions of our declaration of trust may limit the ability of a third party to acquire control of us by authorizing our board of trustees to authorize issuances of additional securities.

Our declaration of trust authorizes our board of trustees to issue up to 500,000,000 common shares and up to 100,000,000 preferred shares. In addition, our board of trustees may, without shareholder approval, amend our declaration of trust to increase the aggregate number of our shares or the number of shares of any class or series that we have the authority to issue and to classify or reclassify any unissued common shares or preferred shares and to set the preferences, rights and other terms of the classified or reclassified shares. As a result, our board of trustees may authorize the issuance of additional shares or establish a series of common or preferred shares that may have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control of our company, including transactions at a premium over the market price of our shares, even if shareholders believe that a change of control is in their interest.

Provisions of Maryland law may limit the ability of a third party to acquire control of us by requiring our board of trustees or shareholders to approve proposals to acquire our company or effect a change of control.

Certain provisions of the Maryland General Corporation Law, or the MGCL, applicable to Maryland real estate investment trusts may have the effect of inhibiting a third party from making a proposal to acquire us or of impeding a change of control under circumstances that otherwise could provide our common shareholders with the opportunity to realize a premium over the then-prevailing market price of such shares, including:

“business combination” provisions that, subject to limitations, prohibit certain business combinations between us and an “interested shareholder” (defined generally as any person who beneficially owns 10 percent or more of the voting power of our shares) or an affiliate of any interested shareholder for five years after the most recent date on which the shareholder becomes an interested shareholder, and thereafter imposes special appraisal rights and special shareholder voting requirements on these combinations; and

“control share” provisions that provide that our “control shares” (defined as shares which, when aggregated with other shares controlled by the shareholder, entitle the shareholder to exercise one of three increasing ranges of voting power in electing trustees) acquired in a “control share acquisition” (defined as the direct or indirect acquisition of ownership or control of “control shares”) have no voting rights except to the extent approved by our shareholders by the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of all the votes entitled to be cast on the matter, excluding all interested shares.

By resolution of our board of trustees, we have opted out of the business combination provisions of the MGCL and provided that any business combination between us and any other person is exempt from the business combination provisions of the MGCL, provided that the business combination is first approved by our board of trustees (including a majority of trustees who are not affiliates or associates of such persons). Pursuant to a provision in our bylaws, we have opted out of the control

Table of Contents

share provisions of the MGCL. However, our board of trustees may by resolution elect to opt in to the business combination provisions of the MGCL and we may, by amendment to our bylaws, opt in to the control share provisions of the MGCL in the future.

Additionally, Title 8, Subtitle 3 of the MGCL permits our board of trustees, without shareholder approval and regardless of what is currently provided in our declaration of trust or bylaws, to implement certain takeover defenses, such as a classified board, some of which we do not yet have. These provisions may have the effect of inhibiting a third party from making an acquisition proposal for us or of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control of us under the circumstances that otherwise could provide our common shareholders with the opportunity to realize a premium over the then current market price.

The ownership limitations in our declaration of trust may restrict or prevent shareholders from engaging in certain transfers of our common shares.

In order for us to qualify and remain qualified as a REIT, no more than 50 percent in value of our outstanding shares may be owned, directly or indirectly, by five or fewer individuals (as defined in the federal income tax laws to include various kinds of entities) during the last half of any taxable year. To assist us in qualifying as a REIT, our declaration of trust contains a share ownership limit. Generally, any of our shares owned by affiliated owners will be added together for purposes of the share ownership limit.

If anyone transfers our shares in a way that would violate the share ownership limit or prevent us from qualifying as a REIT under the federal income tax laws, those shares instead will be transferred to a trust for the benefit of a charitable beneficiary and will be either redeemed by us or sold to a person whose ownership of the shares will not violate the share ownership limit or we will consider the transfer to be null and void from the outset, and the intended transferee of those shares will be deemed never to have owned the shares. Anyone who acquires our shares in violation of the share ownership limit or the other restrictions on transfer in our declaration of trust bears the risk of suffering a financial loss when the shares are redeemed or sold if the market price of our shares falls between the date of purchase and the date of redemption or sale.

In addition, these ownership limitations may prevent an acquisition of control of us by a third party without our board of trustees' approval, even if our shareholders believe the change of control is in their interest.

Our rights and the rights of our shareholders to take action against our trustees and officers are limited, which could limit shareholders' recourse in the event of actions not in their best interests.

Under Maryland law, generally, a trustee's actions will be upheld if he or she performs his or her duties in good faith, in a manner he or she reasonably believes to be in our best interests and with the care that an ordinarily prudent person in a like position would use under similar circumstances. In addition, our declaration of trust limits the liability of our trustees and officers to us and our shareholders for money damages, except for liability resulting from:

- actual receipt of an improper benefit or profit in money, property or services; or
- active and deliberate dishonesty by the trustee or officer that was established by a final judgment as being material to the cause of action adjudicated.

Our declaration of trust authorizes us to indemnify our trustees and officers for actions taken by them in those capacities to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law. Our bylaws require us to indemnify each trustee or officer, to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law, in the defense of any proceeding to which he or she is made, or threatened to be made, a party by reason of his or her service to us. In addition, we may be obligated to fund the defense costs incurred by our trustees and officers. As a result, we and our shareholders may have more limited rights against our trustees and officers than might otherwise exist absent the current provisions in our declaration of trust and bylaws or that might exist with other companies.

Our declaration of trust contains provisions that make removal of our trustees difficult, which could make it difficult for our shareholders to effect changes to our management.

Our declaration of trust provides that a trustee may be removed only for cause (as defined in our declaration of trust) and then only by the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast generally in the election of trustees. Our declaration of trust also provides that vacancies on our board of trustees may be filled only by a majority of the remaining trustees in office, even if less than a quorum. These requirements prevent shareholders from removing trustees except for cause and with a substantial affirmative vote and from replacing trustees with their own

nominees and may prevent a change in control of our company that is in the best interests of our shareholders. The ability of our board of trustees to change our major policies without the consent of shareholders may not be in shareholders' interest.

## Table of Contents

Our board of trustees determines our major policies, including policies and guidelines relating to our acquisitions, leverage, financing, growth, operations and distributions to shareholders. Our board may amend or revise these and other policies and guidelines from time to time without the vote or consent of our shareholders. Accordingly, our shareholders will have limited control over changes in our policies and those changes could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, the market price of our common shares and our ability to make distributions to our shareholders.

Further issuances of equity securities may be dilutive to current shareholders.

We expect to issue additional common shares or preferred shares to raise the capital necessary to finance hotel acquisitions or improvements, refinance debt or pay portions of future dividends. In addition, we may issue units in our operating partnership, which are redeemable on a one-for-one basis for our common shares, to acquire hotels. Such issuances could result in dilution of our shareholders' equity interests.

Future offerings of debt securities or preferred shares, which would be senior to our common shares upon liquidation and for the purpose of distributions, may cause the market price of our common shares to decline.

We have issued two series of preferred shares. In the future, we may increase our capital resources by making additional offerings of debt or equity securities, which may include senior or subordinated notes, classes of preferred shares and/or common shares. We will be able to issue additional common shares or preferred shares without shareholder approval, unless shareholder approval is required by applicable law or the rules of any stock exchange or automated quotation system on which our securities may be listed or traded. Upon liquidation, holders of our debt securities and preferred shares and lenders with respect to other borrowings will receive a distribution of our available assets prior to the holders of our common shares. Additional equity offerings could significantly dilute the holdings of our existing shareholders or reduce the market price of our common shares, or both. Holders of our common shares are not entitled to preemptive rights or other protections against dilution. Preferred shares and debt, if issued, have a preference on liquidating distributions or a preference on dividend or interest payments that could limit our ability to make a distribution to the holders of our common shares. Because our decision to issue securities will depend on market conditions and other factors beyond our control, we cannot predict or estimate the amount, timing or nature of our future offerings. Thus, our shareholders bear the risk of our future securities issuances reducing the market price of our common shares and diluting their interest.

Holders of our outstanding preferred shares have dividend, liquidation and other rights that are senior to the rights of the holders of our common shares.

Our board of trustees has the authority to designate and issue preferred shares with liquidation, dividend and other rights that are senior to those of our common shares. As of December 31, 2012, 5,600,000 shares of our 7.875% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares (the "Series A Preferred Shares") and 3,400,000 shares of our 8.00% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares (the "Series B Preferred Shares") were issued and outstanding. The aggregate liquidation preference with respect to the outstanding preferred shares is approximately \$225.0 million, and annual dividends on our outstanding preferred shares are approximately \$17.8 million. Holders of the Series A Preferred Shares and holders of the Series B Preferred Shares are entitled to cumulative dividends before any dividends may be declared or set aside on our common shares. Upon our voluntary or involuntary liquidation, dissolution or winding up, before any payment is made to holders of our common shares, holders of these preferred shares are entitled to receive a liquidation preference of \$25.00 per share plus any accrued and unpaid distributions. This will reduce the remaining amount of our assets, if any, available to distribute to holders of our common shares. In addition, holders of these preferred shares have the right to elect two additional trustees to our board of trustees whenever dividends on the preferred shares are in arrears in an aggregate amount equivalent to six or more quarterly dividends, whether or not consecutive.

The change of control conversion and redemption features of the Series A Preferred Shares and of the Series B Preferred Shares may make it more difficult for a party to take over our company or discourage a party from taking over our company.

Upon the occurrence of a change of control (as defined in our declaration of trust) the result of which our common shares and the common securities of the acquiring or surviving entity (or American Depositary Receipts representing such securities) are not listed on the New York Stock Exchange (the "NYSE"), the NYSE Amex or NASDAQ or listed

or quoted on an exchange or quotation system that is a successor to the NYSE, the NYSE Amex or NASDAQ, holders of the Series A Preferred Shares and holders of the Series B Preferred Shares will have the right (unless, as provided in our declaration of trust, we have provided or provide notice of our election to redeem the Series B Preferred Shares) to convert some or all of their Series B Preferred Shares into our common shares (or equivalent value of alternative consideration), and under these circumstances we will also have a special optional redemption right to redeem the Series B Preferred Shares. Upon such a conversion, the holders of Series A Preferred Shares will be limited to a maximum number of our common shares equal to the 2.3234 multiplied by the number of Series A Preferred Shares converted and holders of Series B Preferred Shares will be limited to a maximum number

Table of Contents

of our common shares equal to the 3.4483 multiplied by the number of Series B Preferred Shares converted. In addition, those features of the Series A Preferred Shares and of the Series B Preferred Shares may have the effect of inhibiting a third party from making an acquisition proposal for our company or of delaying, deferring or preventing a change of control of our company under circumstances that otherwise could provide the holders of our common shares, Series A Preferred Shares and Series B Preferred Shares with the opportunity to realize a premium over the then-current market price or that shareholders may otherwise believe is in their best interests.

We have entered into an agreement with each of our executive officers that requires us to make payments in the event the officer's employment is terminated by us without cause, by the officer for good reason or under certain circumstances following a change of control of our company.

The agreements that we have entered into with our executive officers provide benefits under certain circumstances that could make it more difficult for us to terminate these officers and may prevent or deter a change of control of our company that would otherwise be in the interest of our shareholders.

If we fail to maintain an effective system of internal controls, we may not be able to accurately determine our financial results or prevent fraud. As a result, our shareholders could lose confidence in our financial results, which could harm our business and the value of our common shares.

Effective internal controls are necessary for us to provide reliable financial reports and effectively prevent fraud. We may in the future discover areas of our internal controls that need improvement. Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 requires us to evaluate and report on our internal controls over financial reporting and have our independent auditors annually issue their own opinion on our internal controls over financial reporting. We cannot be certain that we will be successful in maintaining adequate internal controls over our financial reporting and financial processes. Furthermore, as we grow our business, our internal controls will become more complex, and we will require significantly more resources to ensure our internal controls remain effective. If we or our independent auditors discover a material weakness, the disclosure of that fact, even if quickly remedied, could reduce the market value of our common shares. Additionally, the existence of any material weakness or significant deficiency would require management to devote significant time and incur significant expense to remediate any such material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and management may not be able to remediate any such material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in a timely manner.

Federal Income Tax Risk Factors

Our failure to qualify, or to remain qualified, as a REIT would result in higher taxes and reduced cash available for distribution to our shareholders.

We have elected to be taxed as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. However, qualification as a REIT involves the application of highly technical and complex provisions of the Code, for which only a limited number of judicial and administrative interpretations exist. Even an inadvertent or technical mistake could jeopardize our REIT qualification. Our qualification as a REIT depends on our satisfaction of certain asset, income, organizational, distribution, shareholder ownership and other requirements on a continuing basis.

Moreover, new tax legislation, administrative guidance or court decisions, in each instance potentially applicable with retroactive effect, could make it more difficult or impossible for us to qualify as a REIT. If we were to fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we would be subject to federal income tax, including any applicable alternative minimum tax, on our taxable income at regular corporate rates, and distributions to shareholders would not be deductible by us in computing our taxable income. Any such corporate tax liability could be substantial and would reduce the amount of cash available for distribution to our shareholders, which in turn could have an adverse impact on the value of our shares. If, for any reason, we failed to qualify as a REIT and we were not entitled to relief under certain Code provisions, we would be unable to elect REIT status for the four taxable years following the year during which we ceased to so qualify which would negatively impact the value of our shares.

Failure to make required distributions would subject us to tax, which would reduce the cash available for distribution to our shareholders.

To qualify and maintain our qualification as a REIT, we must distribute to our shareholders each calendar year at least 90 percent of our REIT taxable income (including certain items of non-cash income), determined before the deduction for dividends paid and excluding any net capital gain. To the extent that we satisfy the 90 percent distribution

requirement, but distribute less than 100 percent of our REIT taxable income, we will be subject to federal corporate income tax on our undistributed income. In addition, we will incur a 4 percent nondeductible excise tax on the amount, if any, by which our distributions in any calendar year are less than the sum of:

19

---



Table of Contents

85 percent of our REIT ordinary income for that year;  
95 percent of our REIT capital gain net income for that year; and  
any undistributed REIT taxable income from prior years.

We have distributed, and we intend to continue to distribute, our REIT taxable income to our shareholders in a manner intended to satisfy the 90 percent distribution requirement and to avoid both corporate income tax and the 4 percent nondeductible excise tax. However, there is no requirement that TRSs distribute their after tax net income to their parent REIT or their shareholders.

Our REIT taxable income may substantially exceed our net income as determined based on U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, or U.S. GAAP, because, for example, realized capital losses will be deducted in determining our U.S. GAAP net income, but may not be deductible in computing our REIT taxable income. Differences in timing between the recognition of income and the related cash receipts or the effect of required debt amortization payments could require us to borrow money or sell properties at prices or at times that we regard as unfavorable in order to pay out enough of our REIT taxable income to satisfy the distribution requirement and to avoid corporate income tax and the 4 percent nondeductible excise tax in a particular year.

We may pay taxable dividends partly in shares and partly in cash, in which case shareholders may sell our shares to pay tax on such dividends, placing downward pressure on the market price of our shares.

The Internal Revenue Service, or IRS, has issued private letter rulings to other REITs treating certain distributions that are paid partly in cash and partly in shares as dividends that would satisfy the REIT annual distribution requirement and qualify for the dividends paid deduction for federal income tax purposes. Those rulings may be relied upon only by the taxpayers to whom they were issued, but we could request a similar ruling from the IRS. In addition, the IRS previously issued a revenue procedure authorizing publicly traded REITs to make elective cash/share dividends, but that revenue procedure does not apply to our 2013 and future taxable years. Accordingly, it is unclear whether and to what extent we will be able to make taxable dividends payable in cash and shares. Although we have no current intention of paying dividends in the form of our own shares, if in the future we choose to pay dividends in our own shares, our shareholders may be required to pay tax in excess of the cash that they receive. If a U.S. shareholder sells the shares that it receives as a dividend in order to pay this tax, the sales proceeds may be less than the amount included in income with respect to the dividend, depending on the market price of our shares at the time of the sale. Furthermore, with respect to certain non-U.S. shareholders, we may be required to withhold U.S. federal income tax with respect to such dividends, including in respect of all or a portion of such dividend that is payable in shares. If we pay dividends in our own shares and a significant number of our shareholders determine to sell our shares in order to pay taxes owed on dividends, it may put downward pressure on the trading price of our shares.

Our TRS lessees increase our overall tax liability.

Our TRS lessees are subject to federal and state income tax on their taxable income, which consists of the revenues from the hotel properties leased by our TRS lessees, net of the operating expenses (including management fees) for such hotel properties and rent payments to us. Accordingly, although our ownership of our TRS lessees allows us to participate in the operating income from our hotel properties in addition to receiving rent, that operating income is fully subject to income tax. The after-tax net income of our TRS lessees is available for distribution to us.

Our ownership of our TRSs is limited and our transactions with our TRSs will cause us to be subject to a 100 percent penalty tax on certain income or deductions if those transactions are not conducted on arm's-length terms.

A REIT may own up to 100 percent of the stock of one or more TRSs. A TRS may hold assets and earn income that would not be qualifying assets or income if held or earned directly by a REIT, including gross operating income from hotel operations pursuant to hotel management contracts. Both the subsidiary and the REIT must jointly elect to treat the subsidiary as a TRS. A corporation of which a TRS directly or indirectly owns more than 35 percent of the voting power or value of the stock will automatically be treated as a TRS. Overall, no more than 25 percent of the value of a REIT's assets may consist of stock or securities of one or more TRSs. In addition, the TRS rules limit the deductibility of interest paid or accrued by a TRS to its parent REIT to assure that the TRS is subject to an appropriate level of corporate taxation. The rules also impose a 100 percent excise tax on certain transactions between a TRS and its parent REIT that are not conducted on an arm's-length basis.

Our TRSs are subject to applicable federal, foreign, state and local income tax on their taxable income, and their after-tax net income will be available for distribution to us but is not required to be distributed to us. We believe that the aggregate value of the stock and securities of our TRSs is and will continue to be less than 25 percent of the value of our total assets (including our TRS stock and securities). Furthermore, we will monitor the value of our respective investments in our TRSs for the purpose of ensuring compliance with TRS ownership limitations. In addition, we will scrutinize all of our transactions with our

## Table of Contents

TRSs to ensure that they are entered into on arm's-length terms to avoid incurring the 100 percent excise tax described above. There can be no assurance, however, that we will be able to comply with the 25 percent limitation discussed above or to avoid application of the 100 percent excise tax discussed above.

If the leases of our hotel properties to our TRS lessees are not respected as true leases for federal income tax purposes, we would fail to qualify as a REIT and would be subject to higher taxes and have less cash available for distribution to our shareholders.

To qualify as a REIT, we must satisfy two gross income tests, under which specified percentages of our gross income must be derived from certain sources, such as "rents from real property." Rents paid to our operating partnership by our TRS lessees pursuant to the lease of our hotel properties constitute substantially all of our gross income. In order for such rent to qualify as "rents from real property" for purposes of the gross income tests, the leases must be respected as true leases for federal income tax purposes and not be treated as service contracts, joint ventures or some other type of arrangement. If our leases are not respected as true leases for federal income tax purposes, we would fail to qualify as a REIT.

If our Operating Partnership failed to qualify as a partnership for federal income tax purposes, we would cease to qualify as a REIT and would be subject to higher taxes and have less cash available for distribution to our shareholders and suffer other adverse consequences.

We believe that our Operating Partnership qualifies to be treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes. As a partnership, our operating partnership is not subject to federal income tax on its income. Instead, each of its partners, including us, is required to pay tax on its allocable share of the operating partnership's income. No assurance can be provided, however, that the IRS will not challenge its status as a partnership for federal income tax purposes, or that a court would not sustain such a challenge. If the IRS were successful in treating our operating partnership as a corporation for tax purposes, we would fail to meet the gross income tests and certain of the asset tests applicable to REITs and, accordingly, cease to qualify as a REIT. Also, the failure of our operating partnership to qualify as a partnership would cause it to become subject to federal and state corporate income tax, which would reduce significantly the amount of cash available for debt service and for distribution to its partners, including us.

If our hotel managers do not qualify as "eligible independent contractors," we would fail to qualify as a REIT and would be subject to higher taxes and have less cash available for distribution to our shareholders.

Rent paid by a lessee that is a "related party tenant" of ours will not be qualifying income for purposes of the two gross income tests applicable to REITs. We lease all of our hotels to our TRS lessees. So long as any TRS lessee qualifies as a TRS, it will not be treated as a "related party tenant" with respect to our properties that are managed by an independent hotel management company that qualifies as an "eligible independent contractor." We believe that our TRSs qualify to be treated as TRSs for federal income tax purposes, but there can be no assurance that the IRS will not challenge the status of a TRS for federal income tax purposes or that a court would not sustain such a challenge. If the IRS were successful in disqualifying any of our TRSs lessees from treatment as a TRS, it is possible that we would fail to meet the asset tests applicable to REITs and substantially all of our income would fail to qualify for the gross income tests. If we failed to meet either the asset or gross income tests, we would likely lose our REIT qualification for federal income tax purposes.

Additionally, if our hotel managers do not qualify as "eligible independent contractors," we will fail to qualify as a REIT. Each of the hotel management companies that enter into a management contract with our TRS lessees must qualify as an "eligible independent contractor" under the REIT rules in order for the rent paid to us by our TRS lessees to be qualifying income for purposes of the REIT gross income tests. Among other requirements, in order to qualify as an eligible independent contractor a manager must not own, directly or through its shareholders, more than 35 percent of our outstanding shares, taking into account certain ownership attribution rules. The ownership attribution rules that apply for purposes of these 35 percent thresholds are complex. Although we intend to monitor ownership of our shares by our hotel managers and their owners, there can be no assurance that these ownership levels will not be exceeded. Dividends payable by REITs do not qualify for the reduced tax rates available for some dividends.

The maximum tax rate applicable to "qualified dividend income" payable to U.S. shareholders that are taxed at individual rates is 20 percent. Dividends payable by REITs, however, generally are not eligible for the reduced rates. The more favorable rates applicable to regular corporate qualified dividends could cause investors who are taxed at

individual rates to perceive investments in REITs to be relatively less attractive than investments in the stocks of non-REIT corporations that pay dividends, which could adversely affect the value of the shares of REITs, including our shares.

Complying with REIT requirements may limit our ability to hedge our liabilities effectively and may cause us to incur tax liabilities.

21

---

Table of Contents

The REIT provisions of the Code substantially limit our ability to hedge our liabilities. Any income from a hedging transaction we enter into to manage risk of interest rate changes, price changes or currency fluctuations with respect to borrowings made or to be made to acquire or carry real estate assets does not constitute “gross income” for purposes of the 75 percent or 95 percent gross income tests. To the extent that we enter into other types of hedging transactions, the income from those transactions is likely to be treated as non-qualifying income for purposes of both of the gross income tests. As a result of these rules, we may need to limit our use of advantageous hedging techniques or implement those hedges through a TRS. This could increase the cost of our hedging activities because our TRS would be subject to tax on gains or expose us to greater risks associated with changes in interest rates than we would otherwise want to bear. In addition, losses in our TRSs will generally not provide any tax benefit, except for being carried forward against future taxable income in the TRSs.

Complying with REIT requirements may cause us to forego otherwise attractive business opportunities or liquidate otherwise attractive investments.

To qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we must continually satisfy tests concerning, among other things, the sources of our income, the nature and diversification of our assets, the amounts we distribute to our shareholders and the ownership of our shares. In order to meet these tests, we may be required to forego investments we might otherwise make. Thus, compliance with the REIT requirements may hinder our performance.

In particular, we must ensure that at the end of each calendar quarter, at least 75 percent of the value of our assets consists of cash, cash items, government securities and qualified real estate assets. The remainder of our investment in securities (other than government securities and qualified real estate assets) generally cannot include more than 10 percent of the outstanding voting securities of any one issuer or more than 10 percent of the total value of the outstanding securities of any one issuer. In addition, in general, no more than 5 percent of the value of our assets (other than government securities and qualified real estate assets) can consist of the securities of any one issuer, and no more than 25 percent of the value of our total assets can be represented by the securities of one or more TRSs. The Code provides that temporary investments of new capital in stock or debt instruments for the period of one year beginning on the date on which we receive the new capital will be considered qualified real estate assets for purposes of the above requirements. If we fail to comply with these requirements at the end of any calendar quarter, we must correct the failure within 30 days after the end of the calendar quarter or qualify for certain statutory relief provisions to avoid losing our REIT qualification and suffering adverse tax consequences. As a result, we may be required to liquidate otherwise attractive investments. These actions could have the effect of reducing our income and amounts available for distribution to our shareholders.

If our subsidiary REIT failed to qualify as a REIT, we could be subject to higher taxes and could fail to remain qualified as a REIT.

Our Operating Partnership owns 100% of the common shares of a subsidiary REIT that has elected to be taxed as a REIT under the federal income tax laws. Our subsidiary REIT is subject to the various REIT qualification requirements and other limitations described herein that are applicable to us. If our subsidiary REIT were to fail to qualify as a REIT, then (i) the subsidiary REIT would become subject to federal income tax and (ii) our ownership of shares in such subsidiary REIT would cease to be a qualifying asset for purposes of the asset tests applicable to REITs. If our subsidiary REIT were to fail to qualify as a REIT, it is possible that we would fail certain of the asset tests applicable to REITs, in which event we would fail to qualify as a REIT unless we could avail ourselves of certain relief provisions. We have made a “protective” TRS election with respect to our subsidiary REIT and may implement other protective arrangements intended to avoid such an outcome if our subsidiary REIT were not to qualify as a REIT, but there can be no assurance that such “protective” election and other arrangements will be effective to avoid the resulting adverse consequences to us. Moreover, even if the “protective” TRS election with respect to our subsidiary REIT were to be effective in the event of the failure of the subsidiary REIT to qualify as a REIT, the subsidiary REIT would be subject to federal income tax and we cannot assure you that we would not fail to satisfy the requirement that not more than 25% of the value of our total assets may be represented by the securities of one or more TRSs. In this event, we would fail to qualify as a REIT unless we or our subsidiary REIT could avail itself of certain relief provisions.

## Edgar Filing: Pebblebrook Hotel Trust - Form 10-K

The ability of our board of trustees to revoke our REIT qualification without shareholder approval may subject us to federal and state income tax and reduce distributions to our shareholders.

Our declaration of trust provides that our board of trustees may revoke or otherwise terminate our REIT election, without the approval of our shareholders, if it determines that it is no longer in our best interest to continue to qualify as a REIT. If we cease to be a REIT, we would become subject to federal income tax on our taxable income and would no longer be required to distribute most of our taxable income to our shareholders, which may have adverse consequences on our total return to our shareholders and on the market price of our common shares.

We may be subject to adverse legislative or regulatory tax changes that could increase our tax liability, reduce our operating flexibility and reduce the market price of our shares.

Table of Contents

At any time, the federal income tax laws governing REITs or the administrative and judicial interpretations of those laws may be amended. We cannot predict when or if any new federal income tax law, regulation or administrative and judicial interpretation, or any amendment to any existing federal income tax law, regulation or administrative or judicial interpretation, will be adopted, promulgated or become effective and any such law, regulation or interpretation may take effect retroactively. We and our shareholders could be adversely affected by any such change in, or any new, federal income tax law, regulation or administrative and judicial interpretation.

The share ownership restrictions of the Code for REITs and the 9.8 percent share ownership limit in our declaration of trust may inhibit market activity in our shares and restrict our business combination opportunities.

In order to qualify as a REIT for each taxable year, five or fewer individuals, as defined in the Code, may not own, actually or constructively, more than 50 percent in value of our issued and outstanding shares at any time during the last half of a taxable year. Attribution rules in the Code determine if any individual or entity actually or constructively owns our shares under this requirement. Additionally, at least 100 persons must beneficially own our shares during at least 335 days of each taxable year. To help insure that we meet these tests, our declaration of trust restricts the acquisition and ownership of our shares.

Our declaration of trust, with certain exceptions, authorizes our trustees to take such actions as are necessary and desirable to preserve our qualification as a REIT. Unless exempted by our board of trustees, our declaration of trust prohibits any person from beneficially or constructively owning more than 9.8 percent (measured by value or number of shares, whichever is more restrictive) of any class or series of our shares. Our board of trustees may not grant an exemption from these restrictions to any proposed transferee whose ownership in excess of 9.8 percent of the value of our outstanding shares would result in the termination of our qualification as a REIT. These restrictions on transferability and ownership will not apply, however, if our board of trustees determines that it is no longer in our best interest to continue to qualify as a REIT.

These ownership limits could delay or prevent a transaction or a change in control that might involve a premium price for our shares or otherwise be in the best interest of the shareholders.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

None.

Item 2. Properties.

We lease our headquarters located at 2 Bethesda Metro Center, Suite 1530, Bethesda, Maryland 20814.

At December 31, 2012, we had 19 wholly owned hotels with a total of 4,615 guest rooms and a 49% equity interest in six hotels with a total of 1,733 guest rooms.

Edgar Filing: Pebblebrook Hotel Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth certain information about the hotels we wholly owned as of December 31, 2012, all of which are consolidated in our financial statements.

Property	Date Acquired	Location	Number of Guest Rooms
1. DoubleTree by Hilton Bethesda-Washington DC <sup>(1)</sup>	June 4, 2010	Bethesda, MD	270
2. Sir Francis Drake	June 22, 2010	San Francisco, CA	416
3. InterContinental Buckhead <sup>(1)</sup>	July 1, 2010	Buckhead, GA	422
4. Hotel Monaco Washington DC <sup>(1)(2)</sup>	September 9, 2010	Washington, D.C.	183
5. The Grand Hotel Minneapolis	September 29, 2010	Minneapolis, MN	140
6. Skamania Lodge <sup>(1)</sup>	November 3, 2010	Stevenson, WA	254
7. Sheraton Delfina Santa Monica	November 19, 2010	Santa Monica, CA	310
8. Sofitel Philadelphia <sup>(1)</sup>	December 3, 2010	Philadelphia, PA	306
9. Argonaut Hotel <sup>(1)(2)</sup>	February 16, 2011	San Francisco, CA	252
10. Westin Gaslamp Quarter, San Diego <sup>(1)</sup>	April 6, 2011	San Diego, CA	450
11. Hotel Monaco Seattle	April 7, 2011	Seattle, WA	189
12. Mondrian Los Angeles	May 3, 2011	West Hollywood, CA	236
13. Viceroy Miami	May 26, 2011	Miami, FL	148
14. W Boston	June 8, 2011	Boston, MA	235
15. Hotel Zetta (formerly Hotel Milano)	April 4, 2012	San Francisco, CA	108
16. Hotel Vintage Park	July 9, 2012	Seattle, WA	125
17. Hotel Vintage Plaza	July 9, 2012	Portland, OR	117
18. W Los Angeles - Westwood	August 23, 2012	Los Angeles, CA	258
19. Hotel Palomar San Francisco <sup>(1)(3)</sup>	October 25, 2012	San Francisco, CA	196
Total number of guest rooms			4,615

<sup>(1)</sup> This property is subject to mortgage/debt at December 31, 2012.

<sup>(2)</sup> This property is subject to a long-term ground lease.

<sup>(3)</sup> This property is subject to a long-term hotel lease.

The following table sets forth certain information about the six hotels we owned through a 49% equity interest in the Manhattan Collection joint venture as of December 31, 2012. Operating results for these hotels are included in our consolidated financial statements using the equity method.

Property	Date Acquired	Location	Number of Guest Rooms
1. Affinia Manhattan <sup>(1)</sup>	July 29, 2011	New York, NY	618
2. Affinia Shelburne <sup>(1)</sup>	July 29, 2011	New York, NY	325
3. Affinia Dumont	July 29, 2011	New York, NY	242
4. Affinia 50 <sup>(1)</sup>	July 29, 2011	New York, NY	210
5. Affinia Gardens <sup>(1)</sup>	July 29, 2011	New York, NY	129
6. The Benjamin <sup>(1)</sup>	July 29, 2011	New York, NY	209
Total number of guest rooms			1,733

<sup>(1)</sup> This property is subject to mortgage/debt at December 31, 2012.

Hotel Managers and Hotel Management Agreements



Edgar Filing: Pebblebrook Hotel Trust - Form 10-K

We are a party to hotel management agreements with Davidson Hotels and Resorts, Denihan Hospitality Group, Destination Hotels and Resorts, Kimpton Hotels and Restaurants (“Kimpton”), InterContinental Hotels Group, Morgans Hotel Group, Sofitel (Accor SA), Starwood Hotels and Resorts and Viceroy Hotel Group.

24

---

## Table of Contents

Our management agreements have the terms described below:

**Base Management Fees.** Our management agreements generally provide for the payment of base management fees between 1% and 4% of the applicable hotel's revenues, as determined in the agreements.

**Incentive Management and Other Fees.** Some of our management agreements provide for the payment of incentive management fees. Generally, incentive management fees are 10% to 20% of net operating income above a specified return on project costs or as a percentage of net operating income above various net operating income thresholds. One of our management agreements provides for an incentive fee of the lesser of 1% of revenues or the amount by which net operating income exceeds a threshold. Two of our management agreements have a maximum incentive fee of 2.5% of revenue.

**Terms.** The terms of our management agreements range from 5 years to 20 years not including renewals, and 5 years to 40 years including renewals.

**Ability to Terminate.** Many of our management agreements are terminable at will by us upon payment of a termination fee and some are terminable upon sale of the property. Most of the agreements also provide us the ability to terminate based on failure to achieve defined operating performance thresholds. Termination fees range from zero to up to six times the annual base management and incentive management fees, depending on the agreement and the reason for termination.

**Operational Services.** Each manager has exclusive authority to supervise, direct and control the day-to-day hotel operation and management including establishing all room rates, processing reservations, procuring inventories, supplies and services, hiring and firing employees and independent contractors and preparing public relations, publicity and marketing plans for the hotel.

**Executive Supervision and Management Services.** Each manager supervises all managerial and other hotel employees, reviews hotel operation and maintenance, prepares reports, budgets and projections, and provides other administrative and accounting support services for the hotel. Under certain management agreements, we have approval rights over certain key management personnel at the hotel.

**Chain Services.** Our management agreements with major hotel franchisors require the managers to furnish chain services that are generally made available to other hotels managed by such operators. Such services may, for example, include: the development and operation of computer systems and reservation services; management and administrative services; marketing and sales services; human resources training services; and additional services as may from time to time be more efficiently performed on a national, regional or group level.

**Working Capital.** Our management agreements typically require us to maintain working capital for a hotel and to fund the cost of supplies such as linens and other similar items. We are also responsible for providing funds to meet the cash needs for the hotel operations if at any time the funds available from the hotel operations are insufficient to meet the financial requirements of the hotel.

**Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment Replacements.** We are required to invest in the hotels and to provide all the necessary furniture, fixtures and equipment for the operation of the hotels (including funding any required furniture, fixture and equipment replacements). Our management agreements generally provide that once a year the managers will prepare a list of furniture, fixtures and equipment to be acquired and certain routine capital repairs to be performed in the following year and an estimate of funds that are necessary for our review and approval. To fund the furniture, fixtures and equipment replacements, a specified percentage of the gross revenues of each hotel is either

deposited by the manager in an escrow account (typically 4%) or held by us, as owner.

**Building Alterations, Improvements and Renewals.** Our management agreements generally require the managers to prepare an annual estimate of the expenditures necessary for major capital repairs, alterations, improvements, renewals and replacements to the structural, mechanical, electrical, heating, ventilating, air conditioning, plumbing and vertical transportation elements of the hotels. In addition to the foregoing, the management agreements generally provide that the managers may propose such changes, alterations and improvements to the hotels as are required by reason of laws or regulations or, in the manager's reasonable judgment, to keep the hotels in a safe, competitive and efficient operating condition.

Table of Contents

• **Sale of a Hotel.** Certain of our management agreements limit our ability to sell, lease or otherwise transfer a hotel, unless the transferee assumes the related management agreement and meets other specified conditions.

**Franchise Agreements**

We have franchise agreements for two of our hotels, the DoubleTree by Hilton Bethesda-Washington DC and the Sheraton Delfina Santa Monica. Pursuant to these franchise agreements, we pay franchise fees based on a percentage of gross room revenues, as well as certain other fees for marketing and reservations services. Franchise fees for room revenues are approximately four to five percent of gross room revenues. The franchise agreements for the DoubleTree by Hilton Bethesda-Washington DC and the Sheraton Delfina Santa Monica hotels expire in June 2020 and November 2013, respectively.

**Ground and Hotel Lease Agreements**

The Hotel Monaco Washington DC and Argonaut Hotel are subject to long-term ground lease agreements that cover all of the land underlying these hotels. These ground leases require us to make rental payments, based on the greater of a base rent or a percentage of gross hotel revenues and gross food and beverage revenues in excess of certain thresholds, as defined in the agreements. The agreements also contain certain restrictions on modifications that can be made to the structures due to their status as national historic landmarks. Both of these lease agreements expire in 2059.

The Hotel Palomar San Francisco is subject to a long-term hotel lease agreement that covers the hotel premises. The hotel lease agreement requires us to make rental payments, based on the greater of a base rent or a percentage of gross hotel revenues and gross food and beverage revenues in excess of certain thresholds, as defined in the agreement. This hotel lease agreement expires in 2097.

**Item 3. Legal Proceedings.**

The nature of the operations of our hotels exposes the hotels and us to the risk of claims and litigation in the normal course of business. We are not presently subject to any material litigation nor, to our knowledge, is any litigation threatened against us, other than routine actions for negligence or other claims and administrative proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business, some of which are expected to be covered by liability insurance and all of which collectively are not expected to have a material adverse effect on our liquidity, results of operations or our financial condition.

**Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.**

Not applicable.

**PART II. OTHER INFORMATION**

**Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Shareholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.**

**Market Information**

Our common shares began trading on the NYSE on December 9, 2009 under the symbol "PEB." The following table sets forth, for the period indicated, the high and low closing prices per share and the cash dividends declared per share:

	2012			2011		
	High	Low	Dividend	High	Low	Dividend
First Quarter	\$23.47	\$19.30	\$0.12	\$22.22	\$20.04	\$0.12
Second Quarter	\$24.11	\$20.73	\$0.12	\$21.90	\$19.24	\$0.12
Third Quarter	\$25.29	\$21.54	\$0.12	\$20.61	\$14.12	\$0.12
Fourth Quarter	\$23.43	\$19.37	\$0.12	\$19.63	\$14.41	\$0.12

The closing price per share of our common shares on December 31, 2012, as reported by the NYSE, was \$23.10.

**Shareholder Information**



Table of Contents

On February 18, 2013, there were 24 holders of record of our common shares. However, because the vast majority of our common shares are held by brokers and other institutions on behalf of shareholders, we believe that there are considerably more beneficial holders of our common shares than record holders.

The following graph provides a comparison of the cumulative total return on our common shares from December 9, 2009, the date on which our shares began trading on the NYSE, to the NYSE closing price per share on December 31, 2012, with the cumulative total return on the Russell 2000 Index (the "Russell 2000 Index") and the FTSE National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts Equity REITs Index (the "FTSE NAREIT Equity Index") for the same period. Total return values were calculated assuming a \$100 investment on December 9, 2009 with reinvestment of all dividends in (i) our common shares, (ii) the Russell 2000 Index and (iii) the FTSE NAREIT Equity Index. The total return values do not include any dividends declared, but not paid, during the period.

The actual returns shown on the graph above are as follows:

Name	Initial Investment at December 9, 2009	Value of Initial Investment at December 31, 2009	Value of Investment at December 31, 2010	Value of Investment at December 31, 2011	Value of Investment at December 31, 2012
Pebblebrook Hotel Trust	\$ 100.00	\$ 107.63	\$ 99.94	\$ 96.80	\$ 119.04
Russell 2000 Index	\$ 100.00	\$ 104.72	\$ 132.85	\$ 127.30	\$ 148.11
FTSE NAREIT Equity Index	\$ 100.00	\$ 105.07	\$ 134.41	\$ 145.57	\$ 174.41

Distributions

Table of Contents

Distributions to the extent of our current and accumulated earnings and profits for federal income tax purposes generally will be taxable to a shareholder as ordinary income. Distributions in excess of current and accumulated earnings and profits generally will be treated as a nontaxable reduction of the shareholder's basis in such shareholder's shares, to the extent thereof, and thereafter as taxable capital gain. Distributions that are treated as a reduction of the shareholder's basis in its shares will have the effect of increasing the amount of gain, or reducing the amount of loss, recognized upon the sale of the shareholder's shares.

The declaration of distributions by our company is in the sole discretion of our board of trustees and depends on our actual cash flow, financial condition, capital expenditure requirements for our hotels, the annual distributions requirements under the REIT provisions of the Code and such other factors as our board of trustees deems relevant. For income tax purposes, distributions paid per share were characterized as follows:

	2012		2011		2010			
	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%		
<b>Common Shares:</b>								
Ordinary income	\$0.4391	91.49	% \$0.4109	100.00	% \$0.0691	100.00	%	
Capital gain	0.0000	0.00	% 0.0000	0.00	% 0.0000	0.00	%	
Return of capital	0.0409	8.51	% 0.0000	0.00	% 0.0000	0.00	%	
Total	\$0.4800	100.00	% \$0.4109	100.00	% \$0.0691	100.00	%	
<b>Series A Preferred Shares:</b>								
Ordinary income	\$1.7622	100.00	% \$1.3769	100.00	% \$0.0000	0.00	%	
Capital gain	0.0000	0.00	% 0.0000	0.00	% 0.0000	0.00	%	
Return of capital	0.0000	0.00	% 0.0000	0.00	% 0.0000	0.00	%	
Total	\$1.7622	100.00	% \$1.3769	100.00	% \$0.0000	0.00	%	
<b>Series B Preferred Shares:</b>								
Ordinary income	\$1.7902	100.00	% \$0.3431	100.00	% \$0.0000	0.00	%	
Capital gain	0.0000	0.00	% 0.0000	0.00	% 0.0000	0.00	%	
Return of capital	0.0000	0.00	% 0.0000	0.00	% 0.0000	0.00	%	
Total	\$1.7902	100.00	% \$0.3431	100.00	% \$0.0000	0.00	%	

The common and preferred share distribution declared on December 15, 2012 and paid on January 15, 2013 are treated as 2013 distributions for tax purposes.

#### Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plan

The following table sets forth information regarding securities authorized for issuance under our equity compensation plan, our 2009 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended and restated, as of December 31, 2012. See Note 8 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding our 2009 Equity Incentive Plan.

Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	—	—	1,124,972
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	—	—	—
Total	—	—	1,124,972

- (1) The amendment and restatement of our 2009 Equity Incentive Plan was approved by our shareholders at our 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

28

---



Table of Contents

During the year ended December 31, 2012, certain of our employees chose to have us withhold an aggregate of 15,706 common shares to pay taxes due upon vesting of restricted common shares. The average price paid by the Company for these shares was \$20.43 per share.

29

---

Table of Contents

## Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

The following table includes selected historical financial information which has been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements. The following information should be read in conjunction with “Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and “Item 8. Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Data” and all of the financial statements and notes included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

	Year Ended December 31, 2012	Year Ended December 31, 2011	Year Ended December 31, 2010	From October 2, 2009 (inception) to December 31, 2009
(In thousands, except share and per-share data)				
Revenues:				
Room	\$239,218	\$177,479	\$32,804	\$—
Food and beverage	117,752	92,898	21,984	—
Other operating	23,718	17,610	2,973	—
Total revenues	380,688	287,987	57,761	—
Expenses:				
Hotel operating expenses:				
Room	63,213	47,570	9,718	—
Food and beverage	86,369	65,783	15,113	—
Other direct	12,236	8,353	1,288	—
Other indirect	99,766	79,648	16,724	—
Total hotel operating expenses	261,584	201,354	42,843	—
Depreciation and amortization	42,794	30,945	5,776	—
Real estate taxes, personal property taxes and property insurance	17,576	12,895	2,220	—
Ground rent	2,611	1,814	124	—
General and administrative	16,777	11,460	8,319	262
Hotel acquisition costs	2,234	3,392	6,581	—
Total operating expenses	343,576	261,860	65,863	262
Operating income (loss)	37,112	26,127	(8,102)	(262)
Interest income	224	868	3,020	115
Interest expense	(14,932)	(13,653)	(1,640)	—
Other	—	85	—	—
Equity in earnings (loss) of joint venture	5,970	2,336	—	—
Income (loss) before income taxes	28,374	15,763	(6,722)	(147)
Income tax (expense) benefit	(1,866)	(564)	80	—
Net income (loss)	26,508	15,199	(6,642)	(147)
Net income (loss) attributable to non-controlling interests	429	343	—	—
Net income (loss) attributable to the Company	26,079	14,856	(6,642)	(147)
Distributions to preferred shareholders	(17,825)	(10,413)	—	—
Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders	\$8,254	\$4,443	\$(6,642)	\$(147)
Net income (loss) per share available to common shareholders, basic and diluted	\$0.14	\$0.08	\$(0.23)	\$(0.04)
Weighted-average number of common shares, basic	55,806,543	47,921,200	28,669,851	4,011,198
Weighted-average number of common shares, diluted	55,955,497	47,966,307	28,669,851	4,011,198



Table of Contents

	As of December 31,			
	2012	2011	2010	2009
	(In thousands)			
Balance Sheet Data:				
Investment in hotel properties, net	\$1,417,229	\$1,127,484	\$599,714	\$—
Investment in joint venture	283,011	171,765	—	—
Cash and cash equivalents	85,900	65,684	220,722	319,119
Investments	—	—	—	70,000
Total assets	1,846,162	1,416,632	855,515	389,403
Senior unsecured revolving credit facility	—	—	—	—
Term loan	100,000	—	—	—
Mortgage debt	368,508	251,539	143,570	—
Total shareholders' equity	1,312,951	1,113,251	686,912	379,426

## Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this report. Pebblebrook Hotel Trust is a Maryland real estate investment trust that conducts its operations so as to qualify as a REIT under the Code. Substantially all of the operations are conducted through Pebblebrook Hotel, L.P. (our "Operating Partnership"), a Delaware limited partnership of which Pebblebrook Hotel Trust is the sole general partner.

## Overview

2012 was a strong year for the U.S. hotel industry. Growing demand from business travel, a healthy recovery in leisure travel and increased international travel, combined with very limited new supply, resulted in 6.8% RevPAR growth. The strongest urban markets in the U.S. were San Francisco, California, Santa Monica, California and Portland, Oregon. Our RevPar growth for 2012 of 8.1% exceeded the industry's, as we benefited from our strategy of investing primarily in urban markets in major gateway cities and we continued to see positive results from our property renovations and the asset management and best practice initiatives we have implemented throughout our portfolio. Although a great deal of uncertainty remains regarding the debt ceiling and the political process in Washington, we believe that the industry and the Company will continue to benefit in 2013 from strong underlying fundamentals.

Significant highlights of activities for the year ended December 31, 2012 are as follows:

- Acquisitions - We acquired five properties for purchase prices aggregating \$275.8 million. The properties we acquired are: the Hotel Zetta (formerly Hotel Milano) (April 4, 2012), the Hotel Vintage Park and the Hotel Vintage Plaza (both July 9, 2012), the W Los Angeles - Westwood (August 23, 2012), and a leasehold interest in the Hotel Palomar San Francisco (October 25, 2012).
- Investment in joint venture - In conjunction with the refinancing of the Manhattan Collection joint venture debt in December 2012, we made an additional equity contribution of \$54.9 million in the joint venture and also loaned the venture \$50.0 million through a partner loan.
- Senior unsecured credit facility - We amended our senior unsecured credit facility to increase the borrowing capacity to \$300.0 million, of which \$200.0 million is a revolving credit facility and \$100.0 million is a term loan. We also extended the maturity date to July 2016 while also reducing overall borrowing costs.
- Mortgage loans - We refinanced the loans securing the Hotel Monaco Washington DC, the Argonaut Hotel and the Sofitel Philadelphia, receiving net proceeds of \$10.0 million. We also assumed a \$27.2 million mortgage loan in conjunction with the acquisition of the Hotel Palomar San Francisco and received proceeds of \$81.0 million from a new mortgage loan on the Westin Gaslamp Quarter, San Diego.
- Equity offerings - We issued 9.7 million common shares for proceeds of \$214.9 million, net of commissions and other offering costs.



Table of Contents

•Renovations - We invested approximately \$53.2 million to reposition our properties in 2012. Renovations began or were completed at the DoubleTree by Hilton Bethesda-Washington DC, The Grand Hotel Minneapolis, the Sir Francis Drake, InterContinental Buckhead, Westin Gaslamp Quarter, San Diego, Argonaut Hotel and Hotel Monaco Seattle. In November 2012, we closed the Hotel Milano for a complete renovation and repositioning of the hotel, including all guestrooms, public areas and the restaurant. The renovation is expected to be completed in the first quarter of 2013 and the hotel will be renamed Hotel Zetta upon reopening.

Recent Developments

In January 2013, we acquired the 337-suite Embassy Suites San Diego Bay - Downtown located in San Diego, California for \$112.5 million. The acquisition was funded with \$45.7 million of available cash and the assumption of a \$66.8 million first mortgage loan.

Results of Operations

Results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2012 include the operating activities of our investment in joint venture and our 19 wholly owned hotels, including five hotels acquired in 2012 since their respective acquisition dates. We owned 14 hotel properties at December 31, 2011, six of which were acquired during 2011. The operating results for the year ended December 31, 2011 include our investment in joint venture from the date of our investment, July 29, 2011, through December 31, 2011. Results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2011, include the operating activities of 14 hotels including the six hotels acquired in 2011 since their respective acquisition dates. Results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2010, include the operating activities of the 8 hotels acquired in 2010 since their respective acquisition dates.

Comparison of the year ended December 31, 2012 to the year ended December 31, 2011

Revenues — Total hotel revenues increased by \$92.7 million. The eight hotels we owned throughout both periods contributed \$13.9 million of the increase, which was a result of increases in occupancy and ADR from the same period in 2011. The Sir Francis Drake and InterContinental Buckhead hotels had significant increases in both occupancy and ADR and the Sofitel Philadelphia and Grand Minneapolis hotels had significant increase in occupancy. The remaining \$78.8 million of the increase in revenue was generated from the hotels which were not owned throughout both periods.

Hotel operating expenses — Total hotel operating expenses increased by \$60.2 million. The eight hotels we owned throughout both periods contributed \$4.4 million of the increase, which is a result of higher occupancy and inflation offset by cost reduction initiatives. The remaining \$55.8 million of the increase was generated from the hotels which were not owned throughout both periods.

Depreciation and amortization — Depreciation and amortization expense increased by approximately \$11.8 million, primarily due to the additional depreciation for the hotels acquired in 2012.

Real estate taxes, personal property taxes and property insurance — Real estate taxes, personal property taxes and insurance increased by approximately \$4.7 million, primarily due to the hotels acquired in 2012 and an increase in real estate taxes generally throughout the portfolio.

Ground rent — Ground rent expense increased by \$0.8 million due to the acquisition in 2012 of the Hotel Palomar San Francisco which is subject to a hotel lease, and increases in percentage rent in 2012 at both the Hotel Monaco Washington DC and Argonaut Hotel as a result of increases in revenues at these hotels.

Corporate general and administrative — Corporate general and administrative expenses increased by approximately \$5.3 million, primarily as a result of increased legal fees, state and local franchise taxes, staffing and other costs related to growth in our portfolio and the \$1.1 million management contract termination expense associated with the DoubleTree by Hilton Bethesda-Washington DC hotel during 2012. Share-based compensation expense also increased in 2012 as a result of the performance shares that were granted in 2012. Corporate general and administrative expenses consist of employee compensation costs, legal and professional fees, insurance, state franchise taxes and other expenses.

Hotel acquisition costs — Hotel acquisition costs decreased by approximately \$1.2 million, primarily due to the acquisition costs incurred with the investment in the Manhattan Collection joint venture in 2011 that were not incurred in 2012. Typically, hotel property acquisition costs consist of legal fees, other professional fees, transfer taxes and other direct costs associated with our pursuit of hotel investments. As a result, these costs vary with our level of

ongoing acquisition activity.

Interest expense — Interest expense increased by approximately \$1.3 million as a result of higher debt balances offset by a decrease in unused fees on our revolving credit facility.

Table of Contents

Equity in earnings (losses) of joint venture — Equity in earnings (losses) of joint venture increased by \$3.6 million as a result of us owning the Manhattan Collection joint venture for all of 2012 as compared to a partial year in 2011.

Income tax (expense) benefit — Income tax expense increased by approximately \$1.3 million as a result of an increase in the net income of our TRS.

Non-controlling interests — Non-controlling interests represent the allocation of income or loss of our Operating Partnership to the common units held by the LTIP unit holders. The increase to non-controlling interest from 2011 to 2012 were due to the increase in net income during these periods.

Distributions to preferred shareholders — Distributions to preferred shareholders increased \$7.4 million. The Series B Preferred Shares were issued on September 14, 2011 and dividends were paid for a partial year in 2011, whereas dividends were paid for the entire year during 2012.

Comparison of the year ended December 31, 2011 to the year ended December 31, 2010

Revenues — Total hotel revenues increased by \$230.2 million from 2010. The six hotels we acquired in 2011 contributed to approximately \$102.3 million of this increase, and the balance was generated from the eight properties acquired in 2010 due to a full year of activity in 2011.

Hotel operating expenses — Total hotel operating expenses increased by \$158.5 million from 2010. The six hotels we acquired in 2011 contributed to approximately \$69.1 million of this increase, and the balance was generated from the eight properties acquired in 2010 due to a full year of activity in 2011.

Depreciation and amortization — Depreciation and amortization expense increased by approximately \$25.2 million, primarily due to the additional depreciation for the six hotels acquired in 2011.

Real estate taxes, personal property taxes and property insurance — Real estate taxes, personal property taxes and insurance increased by approximately \$10.7 million, primarily due to the additional hotels acquired in 2011 and an increase in real estate taxes generally throughout the portfolio.

Ground rent — Ground rent expense increased by approximately \$1.7 million due to the acquisition of the Argonaut Hotel in February 2011. The Argonaut Hotel and Hotel Monaco Washington DC are subject to long-term ground leases.

Corporate general and administrative — Corporate general and administrative expenses increased by approximately \$3.1 million, primarily as a result of increased legal fees, state and local franchise taxes, staffing and other costs related to growth in our portfolio. Corporate general and administrative expenses consist of employee compensation costs, legal and professional fees, insurance, state franchise taxes and other expenses.

Hotel acquisition costs — Hotel acquisition costs decreased by approximately \$3.2 million, primarily because we acquired fewer wholly owned hotel properties in 2011 compared to 2010. Hotel acquisition costs consist of legal fees, other professional fees, transfer taxes and other direct costs associated with our acquisition of hotel investments. As a result, these costs vary with our level of ongoing acquisition activity.

Interest income — Interest income decreased by approximately \$2.2 million as a result of cash being used to acquire hotel properties resulting in lower average cash balance as well as a decrease in the interest rate on cash deposits.

Interest expense — Interest expense increased by \$12.0 million, as we had six mortgage loans outstanding for all or part of 2011 as compared with three mortgage loans in place for all or part of 2010.

Equity in earnings (losses) of joint venture — In July 2011, we purchased an equity interest in the Manhattan Collection joint venture and recognized \$2.3 million of income for our portion of the joint venture's income for the period from July 29, 2011 (the date of our investment) to December 31, 2011.

Income tax (expense) benefit — Income tax expense increased by approximately \$0.6 million as a result of an increase in the net income of our TRS.

Non-controlling interests — Non-controlling interests represent the allocation of income or loss of the Operating Partnership to the common units held by the LTIP unit holders. There was no allocation of income or loss to these unit holders in 2010 as these units did not reach parity with the common shares until April 2011.

Distributions to preferred shareholders — Distributions to preferred shareholders increased \$10.4 million. We had no preferred shares outstanding in 2010.





Table of Contents

## Hotel Operating Statistics

The following table represents the pro-forma key hotel operating statistics for our wholly owned hotels for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011. This is for informational purposes only.

	For the year ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
Total Portfolio		
Pro forma Occupancy	79.1%	76.1%
Pro forma ADR	\$200.73	\$192.21
Pro forma RevPAR	\$158.88	\$146.35

This schedule includes information from all of the hotels we owned as of December 31, 2012, except for the Hotel Vintage Park and the Hotel Vintage Plaza for the first and second quarters of both 2012 and 2011, the W Los Angeles - Westwood and Hotel Palomar San Francisco for the first, second, and third quarters of both 2012 and 2011 and Hotel Zetta (formerly Hotel Milano) and our 49% ownership interest in the Manhattan Collection joint venture for all of 2012 and 2011. These hotel results for the respective periods may include information reflecting operational performance prior to our ownership of the hotels. We expect to include historical hotel results for Hotel Zetta (formerly Hotel Milano) after we have owned the hotel for one year.

## Non-GAAP Financial Measures

Non-GAAP financial measures are measures of our historical or future financial performance that are different from measures calculated and presented in accordance with U.S. GAAP. We report FFO and EBITDA, which are non-GAAP financial measures that we believe are useful to investors as key measures of our operating performance. We calculate FFO in accordance with standards established by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT), which defines FFO as net income (calculated in accordance with GAAP), excluding real estate related depreciation and amortization, gains (losses) from sales of real estate, impairments of real estate assets, the cumulative effect of changes in accounting principles and adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. Historical cost accounting for real estate assets implicitly assumes that the value of real estate assets diminishes predictably over time. Since real estate values instead have historically risen or fallen with market conditions, most industry investors consider presentations of operating results for real estate companies that use historical cost accounting to be insufficient by themselves. By excluding the effect of real estate related depreciation and amortization, including our share of the joint venture depreciation and amortization and gains (losses) from sales of real estate, both of which are based on historical cost accounting and which may be of lesser significance in evaluating current performance, we believe that FFO provides investors a useful financial measure to evaluate our operating performance.

The following table reconciles net income (loss) to FFO and FFO available to common share and unit holders for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010 (in thousands):

	For the year ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Net income (loss)	\$26,508	\$15,199	\$(6,642)
Adjustments:			
Depreciation and amortization	42,638	30,807	5,698
Depreciation and amortization from joint venture	9,856	3,931	—
FFO	\$79,002	\$49,937	\$(944)
Distribution to preferred shareholders	(17,825)	(10,413)	—
FFO available to common share and unit holders	\$61,177	\$39,524	\$(944)

EBITDA is defined as earnings before interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization. We believe that EBITDA provides investors a useful financial measure to evaluate our operating performance, excluding the impact of our capital structure (primarily interest expense) and our asset base (primarily depreciation and amortization).



Table of Contents

The following table reconciles net income (loss) to EBITDA for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010 (in thousands):

	For the year ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Net income (loss)	\$26,508	\$15,199	\$(6,642)
Adjustments:			
Interest expense	14,932	13,653	1,640
Interest expense from joint venture	13,160	5,680	—
Income tax expense (benefit)	1,866	564	(80)
Depreciation and amortization	42,794	30,945	5,776
Depreciation and amortization from joint venture	9,856	3,931	—
EBITDA	\$109,116	\$69,972	\$694

Neither FFO nor EBITDA represent cash generated from operating activities as determined by U.S. GAAP and neither should be considered as an alternative to U.S. GAAP net income (loss), as an indication of our financial performance, or to U.S. GAAP cash flow from operating activities, as a measure of liquidity. In addition, FFO and EBITDA are not indicative of funds available to fund cash needs, including the ability to make cash distributions.

Critical Accounting Policies

We consider these policies critical because they require estimates about matters that are inherently uncertain, involve various assumptions and require significant management judgment, and because they are important for understanding and evaluating our reported financial results. These judgments affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and our disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the dates of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting periods. Applying different estimates or assumptions may result in materially different amounts reported in our financial statements.

Hotel PropertiesInvestment in Hotel Properties

Estimation and judgment is required to allocate the purchase price to elements of our acquired hotel properties. Upon acquisition, we allocate the purchase price based on the fair value of the acquired land, land improvements, building, furniture, fixtures and equipment, identifiable intangible assets or liabilities, other assets and assumed liabilities. Identifiable intangible assets or liabilities typically arise from contractual arrangement terms that are above or below market compared to an estimated market agreement at the acquisition date. We determine the acquisition-date fair values of all assets and assumed liabilities using methods similar to those used by independent appraisers (e.g., discounted cash flow analysis) and that utilize appropriate discount and/or capitalization rates and available market information. Estimates of future cash flows are based on a number of factors including historical operating results, known and anticipated trends, and market and economic conditions. Acquisition costs are expensed as incurred.

Hotel renovations and/or replacements of assets that improve or extend the life of the asset are capitalized and depreciated over their estimated useful lives. Furniture, fixtures and equipment under capital leases are carried at the present value of the minimum lease payments. Repair and maintenance costs are expensed as incurred.

Held for Sale

We will classify a hotel as held for sale when a binding agreement to purchase the property has been signed under which the buyer has committed a significant amount of nonrefundable cash, no significant financing contingencies exist, and the sale is expected to close within one year. If these criteria are met and if the fair value less costs to sell is

lower than the carrying amount of the hotel, we will record an impairment loss and will cease recording depreciation expense. We will classify the loss, together with the related operating results, as discontinued operations on the statements of operations and classify the assets and related liabilities as held for sale on the balance sheet.

## Table of Contents

### Depreciation and Amortization

Hotel properties are carried at cost and depreciated using the straight-line method over an estimated useful life of 10 to 40 years for buildings, land improvements and building improvements and one to 10 years for furniture, fixtures and equipment. Intangible assets arising from contractual arrangements are typically amortized over the life of the contract.

We are required to make subjective assessments as to the useful lives and classification of our properties for purposes of determining the amount of depreciation expense to reflect each year with respect to the assets. These assessments may impact our results of operations.

### Impairment

We review our investments in hotel properties for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the hotel properties may not be recoverable. Events or circumstances that may cause a review include, but are not limited to, when a hotel property experiences a current or projected loss from operations, when it becomes more likely than not that a hotel property will be sold before the end of its useful life, adverse changes in the demand for lodging at the properties due to declining national or local economic conditions and/or new hotel construction in markets where the hotels are located. When such conditions exist, we perform an analysis to determine if the estimated undiscounted future cash flows from operations and the proceeds from the ultimate disposition of a hotel exceed its carrying value. If the estimated undiscounted future cash flows are less than the carrying amount of the asset, an adjustment to reduce the carrying amount to the related hotel's estimated fair market value is recorded and an impairment loss recognized. In the evaluation of impairment of our hotel properties, we make many assumptions and estimates including projected cash flows both from operations and eventual disposition, expected useful life and holding period, future required capital expenditures, and fair values, including consideration of capitalization rates, discount rates, and comparable selling prices. We will adjust our assumptions with respect to the remaining useful life of the hotel property when circumstances change, such as an expiring ground lease or it is more likely than not that the hotel property will be sold prior to its previously expected useful life.

### Investment in Joint Venture

Judgment is required with respect to the consolidation of partnership and joint venture entities in terms of the evaluation of control, including assessment of the importance of rights and privileges of the partners based on voting rights, as well as financial variable interests that are not controllable through voting interests. Investments in joint ventures which we do not control but for which we have the ability to exercise significant influence over operating and financial policies are accounted for under the equity method of accounting. We employ the equity accounting method because we do not control the joint venture and are not the primary beneficiary of the joint venture pursuant to the applicable authoritative accounting guidance. We review the investment in our joint venture for impairment in each reporting period pursuant to the applicable authoritative accounting guidance. The investment is impaired when its estimated fair value is less than the carrying amount of our investment.

### Revenue Recognition

Revenue consists of amounts derived from hotel operations, including the sales of rooms, food and beverage and other ancillary amenities. Revenue is recognized when rooms are occupied and services have been rendered. These revenue sources are affected by conditions impacting the travel and hospitality industry as well as competition from other hotels and businesses in similar markets.

### Share-Based Compensation

We have adopted an equity incentive plan that provides for the grant of common share options, share awards, share appreciation rights, performance units and other equity-based awards. Equity-based compensation is measured at the fair value of the award on the date of grant and recognized as an expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period. Share based compensation awards that contain a performance condition are reviewed at least quarterly to assess the achievement of the performance condition. Compensation expense will be adjusted when a change in the assessment of achievement of the specific performance condition level is determined to be probable. The determination of fair value of these awards is subjective and involves significant estimates and assumptions including expected volatility of our stock, expected dividend yield, expected term, and assumptions of whether these awards will achieve parity with other operating partnership units or achieve performance thresholds. We believe that the assumptions and estimates utilized are appropriate based on the information available to management at the time of grant.

Table of Contents

Income Taxes

To qualify as a REIT, we must meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement that we currently distribute at least 90 percent of our adjusted taxable income to our shareholders. As a REIT, we generally will not be subject to federal corporate income tax on that portion of our taxable income that is currently distributed to shareholders. We may be subject to certain state and local taxes on our income and property, and to federal income and excise taxes on our undistributed taxable income. In addition, our wholly owned TRS, which leases our hotels from our Operating Partnership, is subject to federal and state income taxes. We account for income taxes using the asset and liability method under which deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Valuation allowances are provided if, based upon the weight of the available evidence, it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We expect to meet our short-term liquidity requirements generally through net cash provided by operations, existing cash balances and, if necessary, short-term borrowings under our senior unsecured revolving credit facility. We expect our existing cash balances and cash provided by operations will be adequate to fund operating requirements, service debt and fund dividends in accordance with the REIT requirements of the federal income tax laws.

We expect to meet our long-term liquidity requirements, such as hotel property acquisitions, property redevelopment, investments in existing or new joint ventures, and debt principal payments and debt maturities, through the net proceeds from additional issuances of common shares, additional issuances of preferred shares, issuances of units of limited partnership interest in our operating partnership, secured and unsecured borrowings, and cash provided by operations. The success of our business strategy may depend in part on our ability to access additional capital through issuances of debt and equity securities, which is dependent on favorable capital market conditions.

We strive to maintain prudent debt leverage and intend to opportunistically enhance our capital position and extend our debt maturities in the current low interest rate environment.

Senior Unsecured Credit Facility

We have a \$300.0 million senior unsecured credit facility to fund acquisitions, property redevelopments, return on investment initiatives and general business needs. The senior unsecured credit facility consists of a \$200.0 million revolver and a \$100.0 million term loan. As of December 31, 2012, we had no outstanding borrowings under the revolver and we had \$100.0 million outstanding under the term loan. We have the ability to increase the aggregate borrowing capacity under the credit agreement up to \$600.0 million, subject to lender approval. We intend to repay indebtedness incurred, if any, under our senior unsecured revolving credit facility from time to time out of cash flows from operations and from the net proceeds of issuances of additional equity and debt securities, as market conditions permit.

Interest is paid on the periodic advances, if any, under the senior unsecured revolving credit facility at varying rates, based upon either LIBOR or the alternate base rate, plus an additional margin amount. The interest rate depends upon our leverage ratio pursuant to the provisions of the credit facility agreement.



Table of Contents

## Debt Summary

Debt as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 consisted of the following (in thousands):

Debt	Interest Rate	Maturity Date	Balance outstanding as of	
			December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Senior unsecured revolving credit facility	Floating <sup>(1)</sup>	July 2016	\$—	\$—
Term loan	Floating <sup>(2)</sup>	July 2017	100,000	—
Mortgage loans				
InterContinental Buckhead	4.88	% January 2016	51,022	51,805
Skamania Lodge	5.44	% February 2016	30,252	30,664
DoubleTree by Hilton Bethesda-Washington DC	5.28	% February 2016	35,602	36,000
Hotel Monaco Washington DC	4.36	% February 2017	45,368	35,000
Argonaut Hotel	4.25	% March 2017	46,223	42,000
Sofitel Philadelphia	3.90	% June 2017	49,419	56,070
Hotel Palomar San Francisco	5.94	% September 2017	27,124	—
Westin Gaslamp Quarter, San Diego	3.69	% January 2020	81,000	—
Mortgage loans at stated value			366,010	251,539
Mortgage loan premium <sup>(3)</sup>			2,498	—
Total mortgage loans			368,508	251,539
Total debt			\$468,508	\$251,539

<sup>(1)</sup> Borrowings bear interest at floating rates equal to, at our option, either (i) LIBOR plus an applicable margin or (ii) an Adjusted Base Rate (as defined in the senior unsecured credit agreement) plus an applicable margin. We have a one-year extension option.

<sup>(2)</sup> Borrowings bear interest at floating rates equal to, at our option, either (i) LIBOR plus an applicable margin or (ii) an Adjusted Base Rate plus an applicable margin. We entered into interest rate swaps to effectively fix the interest rate for the full five-year term at 2.55%, based on our current leverage ratio.

<sup>(3)</sup> Includes a premium on the leasehold mortgage loan on the Hotel Palomar San Francisco which was a result of recording the mortgage at fair value in conjunction with our acquisition.

## Issuance of Common Shares

On September 28, 2012, we and the Operating Partnership entered into equity distribution agreements (collectively, the “Equity Distribution Agreements”) with each of Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated and Raymond James & Associates, Inc. (collectively, the “Sales Agents”), providing for our sale of our common shares having an aggregate offering price of up to \$170.0 million from time to time through any of the Sales Agents, acting as sales agent and/or principal (the “ATM Offering Program”).

Upon entering into the Equity Distribution Agreements, we simultaneously terminated the equity distribution agreements we entered into with the same parties in connection with a prior “at the market” offering program established in May 2011.

The \$170.0 million of common shares issuable pursuant to the ATM Offering Program are registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission on our Registration Statement on Form S-3 (No. 333-173468). We filed a prospectus supplement, dated September 28, 2012, to the prospectus, dated April 13, 2011, with the Securities and Exchange Commission in connection with the offer and sale of the shares pursuant to the ATM Offering Program.

Edgar Filing: Pebblebrook Hotel Trust - Form 10-K

Before terminating the prior ATM program established in May 2011, we sold 4,519,087 shares under that program at an average price of \$23.72 per share, raising \$105.6 million, net of commissions.

On June 19, 2012, we issued in an underwritten public offering 5,175,000 common shares at a price of \$22.10 per share, raising \$109.8 million, net of the underwriting discount.

38

---

## Table of Contents

We used the net proceeds of these issuances to repay debt outstanding on our senior unsecured revolving credit facility, to repay mortgage debt, to acquire hotel properties and for general corporate purposes.

### Sources and Uses of Cash

Our principal sources of cash are cash from operations, borrowings under mortgage financings, draws on our credit facility and the proceeds from offerings of our equity securities. Our principal uses of cash are asset acquisitions, debt service, capital investments, operating costs, corporate expenses and dividends.

**Cash provided by Operations.** Our cash provided by operating activities was \$77.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. Our cash from operations includes the operating activities of our 19 wholly owned hotels. Our cash provided by operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$48.1 million and relates principally to the 14 hotels we owned at December 31, 2011.

**Cash used in Investing Activities.** Our cash used in investing activities was \$407.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we purchased five hotels for an aggregate of \$248.0 million, invested \$53.2 million in improvements to our hotel properties, made net investments in the Manhattan Collection joint venture of \$105.3 million, placed a deposit of \$4.0 million on one property under contract for purchase and had a decrease in restricted cash of \$2.6 million. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we used \$681.8 million of cash, of which we used \$467.1 million to acquire six properties, invested \$40.5 million in improvements to hotel properties, invested \$169.4 million in the Manhattan Collection joint venture and had an increase in restricted cash of \$4.6 million.

**Cash provided by Financing Activities.** Our cash provided by financing activities was \$350.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. We received net proceeds of \$214.9 million from the issuance of 9.7 million common shares. We also received \$100.0 million in proceeds from the term loan, received \$224.0 million in proceeds from mortgage debt financings, repaid \$136.7 million of mortgage debt, borrowed and repaid \$120.0 million under our senior unsecured revolving credit facility, paid \$44.8 million in distributions and paid \$6.4 million in other transactions. For the year ended December 31, 2011, cash flows provided by financing activities were \$478.6 million, which consisted of \$443.9 million in net proceeds received from our issuance and sale of 10.9 million common shares and Series A and Series B Preferred Shares. We received \$67.0 million of proceeds received from the mortgage debt placed on the Skamania Lodge and DoubleTree by Hilton Bethesda-Washington DC hotels, repaid \$1.0 million in mortgage debt, borrowed and repaid \$42.0 million under our senior unsecured revolving credit facility, paid \$28.8 million in distributions and paid \$2.4 million in other transactions.

### Capital Investments

We maintain and intend to maintain all of our hotels, including each hotel that we acquire in the future, in good repair and condition and in conformity with applicable laws and regulations and when applicable, in accordance with the franchisor's standards and the agreed-upon requirements in our management agreements. Routine capital investments will be administered by the hotel management companies. However, we maintain approval rights over the capital investments as part of the annual budget process and as otherwise required from time to time.

From time to time, certain of our hotel properties may undergo renovations as a result of our decision to upgrade portions of the hotels, such as guestrooms, meeting space and restaurants, in order to better compete with other hotels in our markets. In addition, after we acquire a hotel property, we are often required by the franchisor or brand manager, if there is one, to complete a property improvement plan ("PIP") in order to bring the hotel property up to the franchisor's or brand's standards. Generally we expect to fund the renovations and improvements with available cash, borrowings under our credit facility, or proceeds from new mortgage debt or equity offerings.

In 2012, we invested \$53.2 million in capital investments to reposition and improve the properties we own. We expect to invest approximately \$45.0 million to \$55.0 million in capital investments in 2013. In November 2012, we closed the Hotel Milano for a complete renovation and repositioning of the hotel, including all guestrooms, public areas and the restaurant. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we invested approximately \$6.4 million in the Hotel Milano. We expect to invest approximately \$12.5 million for the entire renovation. We expect to complete the renovation of the Hotel Milano in the first quarter of 2013 and to rename it Hotel Zetta upon its reopening.



Table of Contents

## Contractual Obligations and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The table below summarizes our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2012 and the effect such obligations are expected to have on our liquidity and cash flow in future periods (in thousands):

	Payments due by period				
	Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	3 to 5 years	More than 5 years
Mortgage loans <sup>(1)</sup>	\$435,563	\$22,853	\$47,362	\$289,254	\$76,094
Term loan <sup>(2)</sup>	111,850	2,585	5,171	104,094	—
Ground and hotel leases <sup>(3)</sup>	435,507	3,071	6,245	6,386	419,805
Purchase commitments <sup>(4)</sup>	6,126	6,126	—	—	—
Corporate office lease	529	283	246	—	—
Total	\$989,575	\$34,918	\$59,024	\$399,734	\$495,899

<sup>(1)</sup> Amounts include principal and interest.

Amounts include principal and interest. Loan bears interest at a floating rate equal to LIBOR plus an applicable margin. We entered into separate interest rate swap agreements for the full five-year term, resulting in an effective fixed interest rate of 2.55% at our current leverage ratio (as defined in the credit agreement). It is assumed that the outstanding debt will be repaid upon maturity with fixed interest-only payments until then.

<sup>(2)</sup> The long-term ground leases on the Hotel Monaco Washington DC and the Argonaut Hotel provide for the greater of base or percentage rent, adjusted for CPI increases. The long-term hotel lease on the Hotel Palomar San Francisco provides for base rent plus percentage rent, adjusted for CPI increases with a minimum 2% per annum but no more than 4% per annum. The table reflects only minimum base rent for all periods presented and does not include assumptions for CPI adjustments or percentage rent.

<sup>(3)</sup> These represent purchase orders and contracts that have been executed for renovation projects at the properties. We are committed to these purchase orders and contracts and anticipate making similar arrangements in the future with the existing properties or any future properties that we may acquire.

## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements – Joint Venture Indebtedness

We have a 49% equity interest in the Manhattan Collection joint venture, which owns six properties in New York City that have mortgage debt secured by these properties. We exercise significant influence over, but do not control, the joint venture and therefore account for our investment in the joint venture using the equity method of accounting.

On December 27, 2012, the joint venture refinanced its existing loans totaling approximately \$553.2 million with a new single \$410.0 million loan, secured by five of the properties owned by the joint venture. The new loan bears interest at an annual fixed interest rate of 3.67% and requires interest only payments through maturity on January 5, 2018. In conjunction with the refinancing, we provided the joint venture a \$50.0 million unsecured special loan which matures at the earlier of July 4, 2018, the closing of any refinancing of the secured loan or the closing date of a portfolio sale (as defined in the loan agreement). The unsecured special loan bears a fixed interest rate of 9.75% and requires interest-only payments through maturity. The unsecured special loan is pre-payable by the joint venture at any time. The balance of the capital for the refinancing and closing costs was provided by us and our joint venture partner with our pro-rata equity contribution of \$54.9 million.

The joint venture was in compliance with all debt covenants as of December 31, 2012. We are not guarantors of the joint venture debt except for limited customary carve-outs related to fraud or misapplication of funds.

Inflation

We rely on the performance of the hotels to increase revenues to keep pace with inflation. Generally, our hotel operators possess the ability to adjust room rates daily, except for group or corporate rates contractually committed to in advance, although competitive pressures may limit the ability of our operators to raise rates faster than inflation or even at the same rate.

Seasonality

40

---

Table of Contents

Demand in the lodging industry is affected by recurring seasonal patterns which are greatly influenced by overall economic cycles, the geographic locations of the hotels and the customer mix at the hotels. Generally, our hotels will have lower revenue, operating income and cash flow in the first quarter and higher revenue, operating income and cash flow in the third quarter.

**Derivative Instruments**

In the normal course of business, we are exposed to the effects of interest rate changes. We may enter into derivative instruments including interest rate swaps, caps and collars to manage or hedge interest rate risk. Derivative instruments are subject to fair value reporting at each reporting date and the increase or decrease in fair value is recorded in net income (loss) or accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), based on the applicable hedge accounting guidance. Derivatives expose us to credit risk in the event of non-performance by the counterparties under the terms of the interest rate hedge agreements. We believe we reduce the credit risk by transacting with major creditworthy financial institutions.

Effective August 13, 2012, we entered into three interest rate swap agreements with an aggregate notional amount of \$100.0 million for the term loan's full five-year term, resulting in an effective fixed interest rate of 2.55% at our current leverage ratio (as defined in the credit agreement). We have designated these pay-fixed, receive-floating interest rate swap derivatives as cash flow hedges. As of December 31, 2012, our derivative instruments are in a liability position, with an aggregate fair value of \$0.3 million, which is included in accounts payable and accrued expenses in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. As of December 31, 2012, there was \$0.3 million in unrealized loss included in accumulated other comprehensive loss.

**Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.****Interest Rate Sensitivity**

We are exposed to market risk from changes in interest rates. We seek to limit the impact of interest rate changes on earnings and cash flows and to lower our overall borrowing costs by closely monitoring our variable rate debt and converting such debt to fixed rates when we deem such conversion advantageous. From time to time, we may enter into interest rate swap agreements or other interest rate hedging contracts. While these agreements are intended to lessen the impact of rising interest rates, they also expose us to the risks that the other parties to the agreements will not perform, we could incur significant costs associated with the settlement of the agreements, the agreements will be unenforceable and the underlying transactions will fail to qualify as highly effective cash flow hedges under guidance included in ASC 815 "Derivatives and Hedging."

The table below provides information about financial instruments that are sensitive to changes in interest rates, including mortgage obligations, bonds and lines of credit. For debt obligations, the table presents scheduled maturities, including annual amortization of principal, and related weighted-average interest rates for the debt maturing in each specified period (dollars in thousands).

	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Thereafter	Total
<b>Liabilities</b>							
Fixed rate debt	\$6,813	\$7,444	\$7,779	\$117,313	\$155,901	\$70,760	\$366,010
Average interest rate	3.69	% 3.70	% 3.70	% 5.05	% 3.34	% 3.69	% 3.98
Variable rate debt	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$100,000	\$—	\$100,000
Average interest rate <sup>(1)</sup>	—	% —	% —	% —	% 2.55	% —	% 2.55
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$6,813</b>	<b>\$7,444</b>	<b>\$7,779</b>	<b>\$117,313</b>	<b>\$255,901</b>	<b>\$70,760</b>	<b>\$466,010</b>

<sup>(1)</sup> We entered into interest rate swaps to effectively fix the interest rate for the full five-year term at 2.55%, based on our current leverage ratio.

This table reflects indebtedness outstanding as of December 31, 2012 and does not reflect indebtedness, if any, incurred after that date. Our ultimate exposure to interest rate fluctuations depends on the amount of indebtedness that bears interest at variable rates, the time at which the interest rate is adjusted, the amount of adjustment, the ability to prepay or refinance variable rate indebtedness and hedging strategies used to reduce the impact of any increases in rates. As of December 31, 2012, the estimated fair value of our fixed rate mortgage debt was \$372.3 million.

Edgar Filing: Pebblebrook Hotel Trust - Form 10-K

As of December 31, 2012, we have no debt outstanding that is subject to variable interest rates. The \$100.0 million term loan was swapped to a fixed interest rate, therefore a change in the LIBOR rate on the term loan would have a corresponding offsetting change on the interest rate swap.

Item 8. Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

41

---



Table of Contents

See Financial Statements and index beginning on page F-1.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting Financial Disclosure.

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we have evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15(b) under the Exchange Act as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, these disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by us in reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act. Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on our evaluation under the framework in Internal Control - Integrated Framework, our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2012.

We acquired the Hotel Zetta (formerly Hotel Milano), Hotel Vintage Park, Hotel Vintage Plaza, W Los Angeles - Westwood and Hotel Palomar San Francisco during 2012 and have excluded these properties from our assessment of effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012 the internal controls over financial reporting of these hotels, which had an aggregate of hotel level assets and liabilities of \$296.9 million and \$42.8 million, respectively, and hotel revenues and expenses of \$29.1 million and \$20.7 million, respectively, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2012.

KPMG LLP, a registered independent accounting firm, has audited our consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and, as part of its audit, has issued its report, included herein on page F-3, on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting.

There was no change to our internal control over financial reporting during the fourth quarter ended December 31, 2012 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information.

Additional Material Federal Income Tax Considerations

The following is a summary of additional material federal income tax considerations with respect to the ownership of our shares. This summary supplements and should be read together with the discussion under "Material Federal Income Tax Considerations" in the prospectus dated April 13, 2011 and filed as part of our Registration Statement on Form S-3 (No. 333-173468).

Recent Legislation

Pursuant to recently enacted legislation, as of January 1, 2013, (1) the maximum tax rate on “qualified dividend income” received by U.S. shareholders taxed at individual rates is 20%, (2) the maximum tax rate on long-term capital gain applicable to U.S. shareholders taxed at individual rates is 20%, and (3) the highest marginal individual income tax rate is 39.6%. Pursuant to such legislation, the backup withholding rate remains at 28%. We urge you to consult your tax advisors regarding the impact of this legislation on the purchase, ownership and sale of our shares.

Taxation of Taxable U.S. Shareholders

Table of Contents

For payments after December 31, 2013, a U.S. withholding tax at a 30% rate will be imposed on dividends paid on our shares received by U.S. shareholders who own their shares through foreign accounts or foreign intermediaries if certain disclosure requirements related to U.S. accounts or ownership are not satisfied. In addition, if those disclosure requirements are not satisfied, a U.S. withholding tax at a 30% rate will be imposed on proceeds from the sale of our shares received after December 31, 2016 by U.S. shareholders who own their shares through foreign accounts or foreign intermediaries. We will not pay any additional amounts in respect of any amounts withheld.

**Taxation of Non-U.S. Shareholders**

Whether or not non-U.S. shareholders are otherwise eligible for an exemption from, or reduction of, U.S. withholding taxes, for payments after December 31, 2013, a U.S. withholding tax at a 30% rate will be imposed on dividends paid on our shares received by certain non-U.S. shareholders if they held our shares through foreign entities that fail to meet certain disclosure requirements related to U.S. persons that either have accounts with such entities or own equity interests in such entities. In addition, if those disclosure requirements are not satisfied, a U.S. withholding tax at a 30% rate will be imposed on proceeds from the sale of our shares received after December 31, 2016 by certain non-U.S. shareholders. If payment of withholding taxes is required, non-U.S. shareholders that are otherwise eligible for an exemption from, or reduction of, U.S. withholding taxes with respect of such dividends and proceeds will be required to seek a refund from the Internal Revenue Service to obtain the benefit of such exemption or reduction. We will not pay any additional amounts in respect of any amounts withheld.

**PART III**

**Item 10. Trustees, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.**

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the Company's Proxy Statement for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

**Item 11. Executive Compensation.**

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the Company's Proxy Statement for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

**Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Shareholder Matters.**

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the Company's Proxy Statement for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

**Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Trustee Independence.**

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the Company's Proxy Statement for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

**Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.**

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the Company's Proxy Statement for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

**PART IV**

**Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.**

(a) The following documents are filed as part of this report:

**1. Financial Statements**

Included herein on pages F-1 through F-25.

2. Financial Statement Schedules

43

---

Table of Contents

The following financial statement schedule is included herein on pages F-26 through F-27.

Schedule III--Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation

All other schedules for which provision is made in Regulation S-X are either not required to be included herein under the related instructions or are inapplicable or the related information is included in the footnotes to the applicable financial statement and, therefore, have been omitted from this Item 15.

3. Exhibits

The following exhibits are filed or furnished, as the case may be, as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K:

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit
3.1	Declaration of Trust, as amended and supplemented, of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on February 21, 2012 (File No. 001-34571)).
3.2	Bylaws of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-11 filed on July 13, 2010 (File No. 333-168078)).
3.3	First Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Pebblebrook Hotel, L.P., as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on February 21, 2012 (File No. 001-34571)).
10.1*	Pebblebrook Hotel Trust 2009 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended and restated (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 2, 2012 (File No. 001-34571)).
10.2*	Change in Control Severance Agreement between Pebblebrook Hotel Trust and Jon E. Bortz (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 24, 2010 (File No. 001-34571)).
10.3*	Change in Control Severance Agreement between Pebblebrook Hotel Trust and Raymond D. Martz (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 24, 2010 (File No. 001-34571)).
10.4*	Change in Control Severance Agreement between Pebblebrook Hotel Trust and Thomas C. Fisher (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 24, 2010 (File No. 001-34571)).
10.5*	Form of Indemnification Agreement between Pebblebrook Hotel Trust and its officers and trustees (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of Amendment No. 1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A, filed by the Registrant on November 10, 2009 (File No. 333-162412)).
10.6*	Form of Share Award Agreement for officers and employees (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of Amendment No. 2 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A filed on November 25, 2009 (File No. 333-162412)).
10.10*	Form of Share Award Agreement for trustees (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of Amendment No. 2 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A filed on November 25, 2009 (File No. 333-162412)).
10.11*	Form of Share Award Agreement (Performance Vesting) for executive officers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on April 26, 2012 (File No. 001-34571)).
10.12*	

Edgar Filing: Pebblebrook Hotel Trust - Form 10-K

Form of LTIP Unit Vesting Agreement (supersedes Exhibits 10.11, 10.12, and 10.13 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 24, 2010 (File No. 001-34571)) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on April 26, 2012).

10.13\*

Form of Share Award Agreement for executive officers (supersedes Exhibit 10.5 of Amendment No. 2 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A filed on November 25, 2009 (File No. 333-162412) and Exhibits 10.1, 10.2, and 10.3 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 16, 2010 (File No. 001-34571)) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on April 26, 2012 (File No. 001-34571)).

10.14

Lease, dated December 1, 1999, by and between the United States of America, acting through the Administrator of General Services, and Tariff Building Associates, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 13, 2010 (File No. 001-34571)).

44

---

Table of Contents

10.15	Assignment and Assumption of GSA Lease, by and among the United States of America, acting by and through the Administrator of General Services and Authorized Representatives, Tariff Building Associates, L.P., and Jayhawk Owner LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 13, 2010 (File No. 001-34571)).
10.16	Historical Lease, dated October 16, 2000, by and between the United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service acting through the Regional Director, Pacific West Region, an agency of the United States of America, and Maritime Hotel Associates, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 22, 2011 (File No. 001-34571)).
10.17	Seventh Amendment to Historic Lease, dated February 6, 2001, by and between the United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service acting through the Regional Director, Pacific West Region, an agency of the United States of America, and Maritime Hotel Associates, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 22, 2011 (File No. 001-34571)).
10.18	Tenth Amendment to Historic Lease, dated December 9, 2008, by and between the United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service acting through the Regional Director, Pacific West Region, an agency of the United States of America, and Maritime Hotel Associates, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 22, 2011 (File No. 001-34571)).
10.19	Eleventh Amendment to Historic Lease, dated February 16, 2011, by and between the United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service acting through the Regional Director, Pacific West Region, an agency of the United States of America, and Wildcats Owner LLC. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 22, 2011 (File No. 001-34571)).
10.20	Assignment and Assumption of Historical Lease, by and among the United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service acting through the Regional Director, Pacific West Region, an Agency of the United States of America, Maritime Hotel Associates, L.P., and Wildcats Owner LLC. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 22, 2011 (File No. 001-34571)).
10.21	Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of June 3, 2011, among Pebblebrook Hotel, L.P., as borrower, Pebblebrook Hotel Trust, as the parent REIT and a guarantor, certain subsidiaries of the borrower, as guarantors, Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, and the other lenders party hereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 2, 2011 (File No. 001-34571)) (This agreement was amended and restated in July 2012; See Exhibit 10.22 below).
10.22	Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of July 13, 2012, among Pebblebrook Hotel, L.P., as borrower, Pebblebrook Hotel Trust, as the parent REIT and a guarantor, certain subsidiaries of the borrower, as guarantors, Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, and the other lenders party hereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 2, 2011 (File No. 001-34571)) (This agreement supersedes Exhibit 10.21 above).
10.23	Contribution Agreement by and among Denihan Ownership Company, LLC, Denihan Mezz Holding Company, LLC and Cardinals Owner LLC, dated as of June 20, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 24, 2011 (File No. 001-34571)).
10.24	Form of Operating Agreement of DP Holding Company, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 24, 2011 (File No. 001-34571)).
10.25	

Edgar Filing: Pebblebrook Hotel Trust - Form 10-K

Second Amended and Restated Operating Agreement of DP Fee Holding Co., LLC, dated July 29, 2011 (supersedes Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 4, 2011) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K/A filed on September 28, 2011 (File No. 001-34571)).

10.26†

Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Operating Agreement of DP Fee Holding Co., LLC, dated December 27, 2012.

10.27

Operating Agreement of DP Lease Holding, LLC, dated July 29, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 4, 2011 (File No. 001-34571)).

10.28†

Loan Agreement, dated as of December 27, 2012, between Goldman Sachs Mortgage Company and 371 Seventh Avenue Co. LLC, 125 East 50<sup>th</sup> Street Co. LLC, 215 East 64<sup>th</sup> Street Co. LLC, 155 East 50<sup>th</sup> Street Co. LLC and 303 Lexington Avenue Co. LLC.

12.1†

Statement of Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Share Dividends.

21.1†

List of Subsidiaries of the Registrant.

23.1†

Consent of KPMG LLP.

23.2†

Consent of PKF O'Connor Davies, A Division of O'Connor Davies, LLP.

45

---



Table of Contents

31.1†	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2†	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1**	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2**	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
99.1†	Audited combined financial statements of DP Fee Holding Co., LLC and DP Lease Holding, LLC.
101.INS XBRL	Instance Document <sup>(1)</sup>
101.SCH XBRL	Taxonomy Extension Schema Document <sup>(1)</sup>
101.CAL XBRL	Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document <sup>(1)</sup>
101.LAB XBRL	Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document <sup>(1)</sup>
101.DEF XBRL	Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document <sup>(1)</sup>
101.PRE XBRL	Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document <sup>(1)</sup>

\*Management agreement or compensatory plan or arrangement.

†Filed electronically herewith.

\*\*Furnished herewith.

Users of this data are advised pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T that this interactive data file is deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, is deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

(b) See Exhibit Index attached to this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

(c) The financial statements required by Rule 3-09 of Regulation S-X are filed as Exhibit 99.1 to this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

PEBBLEBROOK HOTEL TRUST

Date: February 21, 2013

/s/ JON E. BORTZ  
 Jon E. Bortz  
 Chairman, President and Chief Executive  
 Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this Report has been signed by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signatures	Title	Date
/s/ JON E. BORTZ Jon E. Bortz	Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer)	February 21, 2013
/s/ RAYMOND D. MARTZ Raymond D. Martz	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Secretary (principal financial officer and principal accounting officer)	February 21, 2013
/s/ CYDNEY C. DONNELL Cydne C. Donnell	Trustee	February 21, 2013
/s/ RON E. JACKSON Ron E. Jackson	Trustee	February 21, 2013
/s/ PHILLIP M. MILLER Phillip M. Miller	Trustee	February 21, 2013
/s/ MICHAEL J. SCHALL Michael J. Schall	Trustee	February 21, 2013
/s/ EARL E. WEBB Earl E. Webb	Trustee	February 21, 2013
/s/ LAURA H. WRIGHT Laura H. Wright	Trustee	February 21, 2013

Table of Contents

PEBBLEBROOK HOTEL TRUST  
INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page No.
Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	<u>F-2</u>
Consolidated Balance Sheets	<u>F-4</u>
Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income	<u>F-5</u>
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity	<u>F-7</u>
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	<u>F-8</u>
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>F-9</u>
Schedule III - Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation	<u>F-26</u>

F-1

---

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Shareholders and Board of Trustees  
Pebblebrook Hotel Trust:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Pebblebrook Hotel Trust and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012. In connection with our audits of the consolidated financial statements, we also have audited financial statement schedule III. These consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Pebblebrook Hotel Trust as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Pebblebrook Hotel Trust's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, and our report dated February 21, 2013, expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ KPMG LLP

McLean, Virginia  
February 21, 2013

F-2

---

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Shareholders and Board of Trustees  
Pebblebrook Hotel Trust:

We have audited Pebblebrook Hotel Trust's (Pebblebrook) internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Pebblebrook's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control of Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Pebblebrook Hotel Trust maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

During 2012, Pebblebrook acquired five hotel assets in separate transactions. Management has excluded from its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, the internal control over financial reporting for the operations of five of their hotels (acquisition dates April 4, 2012, July 9, 2012, August 23, 2012 and October 25, 2012) comprising hotel level assets and liabilities of \$296.9 million and \$42.8 million, respectively, and hotel revenues and expenses of \$29.1 million and \$20.7 million, respectively, included in the consolidated balance sheet and statement of operations as of and for the year ended December 31,

Edgar Filing: Pebblebrook Hotel Trust - Form 10-K

2012. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting of Pebblebrook also excluded an evaluation of the internal control over financial reporting for these hotel level assets, liabilities, revenues, and expenses.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Pebblebrook Hotel Trust and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012, and our report dated February 21, 2013, expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

/s/ KPMG LLP

McLean, Virginia  
February 21, 2013

F-3

---



Table of ContentsPebblebrook Hotel Trust  
Consolidated Balance Sheets

(In thousands, except share data)

	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Investment in hotel properties, net	\$1,417,229	\$1,127,484
Investment in joint venture	283,011	171,765
Ground lease asset, net	10,283	10,502
Cash and cash equivalents	85,900	65,684
Restricted cash	12,034	9,469
Hotel receivables (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$28 and \$71, respectively)	13,463	11,312
Deferred financing costs, net	5,753	3,487
Prepaid expenses and other assets	18,489	16,929
Total assets	\$1,846,162	\$1,416,632
<b>LIABILITIES AND EQUITY</b>		
Senior unsecured revolving credit facility	\$—	\$—
Term loan	100,000	—
Mortgage debt (including mortgage loan premium of \$2,498 and \$0, respectively)	368,508	251,539
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	47,364	33,333
Advance deposits	4,596	4,380
Accrued interest	1,328	1,000
Distribution payable	11,274	10,032
Total liabilities	533,070	300,284
Commitments and contingencies (Note 11)		
Shareholders' equity:		
Preferred shares of beneficial interest, \$.01 par value (liquidation preference of \$225,000 at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011), 100,000,000 shares authorized; 9,000,000 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2012 and at December 31, 2011	90	90
Common shares of beneficial interest, \$.01 par value, 500,000,000 shares authorized; 60,955,090 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2012 and 50,769,024 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2011	610	508
Additional paid-in capital	1,362,349	1,142,905
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(300)	)
Distributions in excess of retained earnings	(49,798)	) (30,252)
Total shareholders' equity	1,312,951	1,113,251
Non-controlling interests	141	3,097
Total equity	1,313,092	1,116,348
Total liabilities and equity	\$1,846,162	\$1,416,632

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

Pebblebrook Hotel Trust  
 Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income  
 (In thousands, except share and per-share data)

	For the year ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Revenues:			
Room	\$239,218	\$177,479	\$32,804
Food and beverage	117,752	92,898	21,984
Other operating	23,718	17,610	2,973
Total revenues	380,688	287,987	57,761
Expenses:			
Hotel operating expenses:			
Room	63,213	47,570	9,718
Food and beverage	86,369	65,783	15,113
Other direct	12,236	8,353	1,288
Other indirect	99,766	79,648	16,724
Total hotel operating expenses	261,584	201,354	42,843
Depreciation and amortization	42,794	30,945	5,776
Real estate taxes, personal property taxes and property insurance	17,576	12,895	2,220
Ground rent	2,611	1,814	124
General and administrative	16,777	11,460	8,319
Hotel acquisition costs	2,234	3,392	6,581
Total operating expenses	343,576	261,860	65,863
Operating income (loss)	37,112	26,127	(8,102)
Interest income	224	868	3,020
Interest expense	(14,932)	) (13,653	) (1,640)
Other	—	85	—
Equity in earnings (loss) of joint venture	5,970	2,336	—
Income (loss) before income taxes	28,374	15,763	(6,722)
Income tax (expense) benefit	(1,866)	) (564	) 80
Net income (loss)	26,508	15,199	(6,642)
Net income (loss) attributable to non-controlling interests	429	343	—
Net income (loss) attributable to the Company	26,079	14,856	(6,642)
Distributions to preferred shareholders	(17,825)	) (10,413	) —
Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders	\$8,254	\$4,443	\$(6,642)
Net income (loss) per share available to common shareholders, basic and diluted	\$0.14	\$0.08	\$(0.23)
Weighted-average number of common shares, basic	55,806,543	47,921,200	28,669,851
Weighted-average number of common shares, diluted	55,955,497	47,966,307	28,669,851

Table of Contents

## Pebblebrook Hotel Trust

## Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income - Continued

(In thousands, except share and per-share data)

	For the year ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Comprehensive Income:			
Net income (loss)	\$26,508	\$15,199	\$(6,642 )
Other comprehensive income (loss):			
Unrealized gain (loss) on derivative instruments	(300 )	—	—
Comprehensive income (loss)	26,208	15,199	(6,642 )
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to non-controlling interests	427	—	—
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to the Company	\$25,781	\$15,199	\$(6,642 )

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

F-6

Table of Contents

Pebblebrook Hotel Trust  
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity  
(In thousands, except share data)

	Preferred Shares	Common Shares Amount	Common Shares Amount	Additional Paid-In Capital	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Distributions in Excess of Comprehensive Retained Earnings	Total Shareholders' Equity	Non-Controlling Interests	Total Equity	
Balance at December 31, 2009	—	\$—	20,260,000	\$203	\$379,370	\$—	\$(147 )	\$379,426	\$74	\$379,500
Issuance of shares, net of offering costs	—	—	19,550,000	195	318,253	—	—	318,448	—	318,448
Issuance of common shares for Board of Trustee compensation	—	—	590	—	12	—	—	12	—	12
Share-based compensation	—	—	4,170	—	465	—	—	465	1,577	2,042
Distributions on common shares/units	—	—	—	—	—	—	(4,797 )	(4,797 )	(111 )	(4,908 )
Net income (loss)	—	—	—	—	—	—	(6,642 )	(6,642 )	—	(6,642 )
Balance at December 31, 2010	—	\$—	39,814,760	\$398	\$698,100	\$—	\$(11,586)	\$686,912	\$1,540	\$688,452
Issuance of shares, net of offering costs	9,000,000	90	10,925,000	110	443,688	—	—	443,888	—	443,888
Issuance of common shares for Board of Trustee compensation	—	—	8,886	—	182	—	—	182	—	182
Repurchase of common shares	—	—	(6,496 )	—	(140 )	—	—	(140 )	—	(140 )
Share-based compensation	—	—	26,874	—	1,075	—	—	1,075	1,579	2,654
Distributions on common shares/units	—	—	—	—	—	—	(23,109 )	(23,109 )	(446 )	(23,555 )
Distributions on preferred shares	—	—	—	—	—	—	(10,413 )	(10,413 )	(14 )	(10,427 )
Net contribution	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	95	95

Edgar Filing: Pebblebrook Hotel Trust - Form 10-K

from non-controlling interests											
Net income (loss)	—	—	—	—	—	—	14,856	14,856	343	15,199	
Balance at December 31, 2011	9,000,000	\$90	50,769,024	\$508	\$1,142,905	\$—	\$(30,252)	\$1,113,251	\$3,097	\$1,116,348	
Issuance of shares, net of offering costs	—	—	9,694,087	97	214,774	—	—	214,871	—	214,871	
Issuance of common shares for Board of Trustee compensation	—	—	10,361	—	199	—	—	199	—	199	
Repurchase of common shares	—	—	(15,706)	)	(321)	)	—	(321)	)	(321)	)
Share-based compensation	—	—	52,789	1	2,635	—	—	2,636	1,579	4,215	
Distributions on common shares/units	—	—	—	—	—	—	(27,800)	(27,800)	(446)	(28,246)	)
Distributions on preferred shares	—	—	—	—	—	—	(17,825)	(17,825)	(15)	(17,840)	)
Redemption of non-controlling interests	—	—	444,535	4	2,157	—	—	2,161	(4,503)	(2,342)	)
Other comprehensive income (loss):											
Unrealized gain (loss) on derivative instruments	—	—	—	—	—	(300)	)	(300)	)	(300)	)
Net income (loss)	—	—	—	—	—	—	26,079	26,079	429	26,508	
Balance at December 31, 2012	9,000,000	\$90	60,955,090	\$610	\$1,362,349	\$(300)	\$(49,798)	\$1,312,951	\$141	\$1,313,092	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

Pebblebrook Hotel Trust  
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows  
(In thousands)

	For the year ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Operating activities:			
Net income (loss)	\$26,508	\$15,199	\$(6,642)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	42,794	30,945	5,776
Share-based compensation	4,215	2,654	2,042
Amortization of deferred financing costs and mortgage loan premium	1,400	1,555	415
Amortization of ground lease	219	219	69
Equity in (earnings) loss from joint venture	(5,970)	) (2,336)	) —
Other	240	225	80
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Restricted cash, net	(1,547)	) (408)	) (1,886)
Hotel receivables	(1,321)	) (6,426)	) (2,712)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	2,679	(4,481)	) (4,192)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	7,740	10,715	10,491
Advance deposits	216	215	13
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	77,173	48,076	3,454
Investing activities:			
Acquisition of hotel properties	(247,971)	) (467,135)	) (518,730)
Improvements and additions to hotel properties	(53,156)	) (40,468)	) (3,307)
Investment in joint venture	(105,277)	) (169,430)	) —
Deposit on hotel properties	(4,000)	) —	(5,000)
Redemption of certificates of deposits	—	—	70,000
Purchase of corporate office equipment, software, and furniture	(47)	) (148)	) (497)
Restricted cash, net	2,582	(4,576)	) (2,600)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(407,869)	) (681,757)	) (460,134)
Financing activities:			
Gross proceeds from issuance of common shares	221,579	235,980	332,350
Gross proceeds from issuance of preferred shares	—	225,150	—
Payment of offering costs — common and preferred shares	(6,708)	) (17,243)	) (23,434)
Payment of deferred financing costs	(3,765)	) (2,324)	) (3,133)
Contributions from non-controlling interest	—	95	—
Borrowings under senior credit facility	120,000	42,000	—
Repayments under senior credit facility	(120,000)	) (42,000)	) —
Proceeds from term loan	100,000	—	—
Proceeds from mortgage debt	224,000	67,000	52,500
Repayments of mortgage debt	(136,704)	) (1,031)	) —
Repurchase of common shares	(321)	) (140)	) —
Redemption of non-controlling interests	(2,342)	) —	) —
Distributions — common shares/units	(27,002)	) (22,244)	) —
Distributions — preferred shares	(17,825)	) (6,600)	) —
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	350,912	478,643	358,283
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	20,216	(155,038)	) (98,397)

Edgar Filing: Pebblebrook Hotel Trust - Form 10-K

Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	65,684	220,722	319,119
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$85,900	\$65,684	\$220,722

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

F-8

---

Table of Contents

PEBBLEBROOK HOTEL TRUST  
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1. ORGANIZATION

Pebblebrook Hotel Trust (the "Company") was formed as a Maryland real estate investment trust in October 2009, to opportunistically acquire and invest in hotel properties located primarily in major United States cities, with an emphasis on major gateway coastal markets.

As of December 31, 2012, the Company owned interests in 25 hotels, including 19 wholly owned hotels with a total of 4,615 guest rooms and a 49% interest in a joint venture that owns six hotels with 1,733 guest rooms. The hotels are located in the following markets: Atlanta (Buckhead), Georgia; Bethesda, Maryland; Boston, Massachusetts; Los Angeles, California; Miami, Florida; Minneapolis, Minnesota; New York, New York; Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; Portland, Oregon; San Diego, California; San Francisco, California; Santa Monica, California; Seattle, Washington; Stevenson, Washington; Washington, D.C.; and West Hollywood, California.

Substantially all of the Company's assets are held by, and all of the operations are conducted through, Pebblebrook Hotel, L.P. (the "Operating Partnership"). The Company is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership. At December 31, 2012, the Company owned 99.4% of the common limited partnership units issued by the Operating Partnership ("common units"). The remaining 0.6% of the common units are owned by the other limited partners of the Operating Partnership. For the Company to qualify as a real estate investment trust ("REIT") under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), it cannot operate the hotels it owns. Therefore, the Operating Partnership and its subsidiaries lease the hotel properties to subsidiaries of Pebblebrook Hotel Lessee, Inc. (collectively with its subsidiaries, "PHL"), the Company's taxable REIT subsidiary ("TRS"), which in turn engages third-party eligible independent contractors to manage the hotels. PHL is consolidated into the Company's financial statements.

NOTE 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation

The Company and its subsidiaries are separate legal entities and maintain records and books of account separate and apart from each other. The consolidated financial statements include all of the accounts of the Company and its subsidiaries and are presented in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"). All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Investments in entities that the Company does not control, but has the ability to exercise significant influence over operating and financial policies, are accounted for under the equity method.

Certain reclassifications have been made to the prior period's financial statements to conform to the current year presentation. These reclassifications had no effect on previously reported results of operations or retained earnings.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities, and revenues and expenses. These estimates are prepared using management's best judgment, after considering past, current and expected events and economic conditions. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Risks and Uncertainties

The state of the overall economy can significantly impact hotel operational performance and thus, impact the Company's financial position. Should any of the hotels experience a significant decline in operational performance, it may affect the Company's ability to make distributions to our shareholders and service debt or meet other financial obligations.

Fair Value Measurements

A fair value measurement is based on the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability in an orderly transaction. The hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value are as follows:

1. Level 1 – Inputs are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.



Edgar Filing: Pebblebrook Hotel Trust - Form 10-K

2. Level 2 – Inputs include quoted prices in active markets for similar assets and liabilities, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, and model-derived valuations whose inputs are observable.
3. Level 3 – Model-derived valuations with unobservable inputs.

F-9

---

## Table of Contents

In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, for disclosure purposes, the level within which the fair value measurement is categorized is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

The Company's financial instruments include cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, accounts payable and accrued expenses. Due to their short maturities, the carrying amounts of these assets and liabilities approximate fair value. See Note 6 for disclosures on the fair value of debt and derivative instruments.

### Investment in Hotel Properties

Upon acquisition of hotel properties, the Company allocates the purchase price based on the fair value of the acquired land, land improvements, building, furniture, fixtures and equipment, identifiable intangible assets or liabilities, other assets, assumed liabilities and leasehold interests, as applicable. Identifiable intangible assets or liabilities typically arise from contractual arrangement terms that are above or below market compared to an estimated market agreement at the acquisition date. Acquisition-date fair values of assets and assumed liabilities are determined based on replacement costs, appraised values, and estimated fair values using methods similar to those used by independent appraisers and that use appropriate discount and/or capitalization rates and available market information.

Acquisition costs are expensed as incurred.

Hotel renovations and replacements of assets that improve or extend the life of the asset are recorded at cost and depreciated over their estimated useful lives. Furniture, fixtures and equipment under capital leases are recorded at the present value of the minimum lease payments. Repair and maintenance costs are expensed as incurred.

Hotel properties are recorded at cost and depreciated using the straight-line method over an estimated useful life of 10 to 40 years for buildings, land improvements, and building improvements and one to 10 years for furniture, fixtures and equipment. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the lease term or the useful lives of the related assets. Intangible assets arising from contractual arrangements are typically amortized over the life of the contract. The Company is required to make subjective assessments as to the useful lives and classification of properties for purposes of determining the amount of depreciation expense to reflect each year with respect to the assets. These assessments may impact the Company's results of operations.

The Company reviews its investments in hotel properties for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the hotel properties may not be recoverable. Events or circumstances that may cause a review include, but are not limited to, when a hotel property experiences a current or projected loss from operations, when it becomes more likely than not that a hotel property will be sold before the end of its useful life, adverse changes in the demand for lodging at the properties due to declining national or local economic conditions and/or new hotel construction in markets where the hotels are located. When such conditions exist, the Company performs an analysis to determine if the estimated undiscounted future cash flows from operations and the proceeds from the ultimate disposition of a hotel exceed its carrying value. If the estimated undiscounted future cash flows are less than the carrying value of the asset, an adjustment to reduce the carrying value to the related hotel's estimated fair market value is recorded and an impairment loss recognized. In the evaluation of impairment of its hotel properties, the Company makes many assumptions and estimates including projected cash flows both from operations and eventual disposition, expected useful life and holding period, future required capital expenditures, and fair values, including consideration of capitalization rates, discount rates, and comparable selling prices. The Company will adjust its assumptions with respect to the remaining useful life of the hotel property when circumstances change or it is more likely than not that the hotel property will be sold prior to its previously expected useful life.

The Company will classify a hotel as held for sale when a binding agreement to sell the property has been signed under which the buyer has committed a significant amount of nonrefundable cash, no significant financing contingencies exist, and the sale is expected to close within one year. If these criteria are met and if the fair value less costs to sell is lower than the carrying value of the hotel, the Company will record an impairment loss and will cease recording depreciation expense. The Company will classify the loss, together with the related operating results, as discontinued operations on the statements of operations and classify the assets and related liabilities as held for sale on the balance sheet.

### Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents consist of cash on hand, demand deposits with financial institutions and short-term liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less. The Company maintains cash and cash equivalents balances in excess of insured limits with various financial institutions. This may subject the Company to significant concentrations of credit risk. The Company performs periodic evaluations of the credit quality of these financial institutions.

Restricted Cash

F-10

---

## Table of Contents

Restricted cash primarily consists of reserves for replacement of furniture and fixtures and cash held in escrow pursuant to lender requirements to pay for real estate taxes or property insurance.

### Prepaid Expenses and Other Assets

The Company's prepaid expenses and other assets consist of prepaid real estate taxes, prepaid insurance, deposits on hotel acquisitions, inventories, over or under market leases, and corporate office equipment and furniture.

### Deferred Financing Costs

Financing costs are recorded at cost and consist of loan fees and other costs incurred in connection with obtaining debt. Amortization of deferred financing costs is computed using a method, which approximates the effective interest method over the remaining life of the debt, and is included in interest expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

### Derivative Instruments

In the normal course of business, the Company is exposed to the effects of interest rate changes. The Company may enter into derivative instruments including interest rate swaps, caps and collars to manage or hedge interest rate risk. Derivative instruments are recorded at fair value on the balance sheet date. Unrealized gains and losses on the effective portion of hedging instruments are reported in other comprehensive income (loss) and are subsequently reclassified into earnings in the period that the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. Ineffective portions of changes in the fair value of a cash flow hedge are recognized as interest expense.

### Revenue Recognition

Revenue consists of amounts derived from hotel operations, including the sales of rooms, food and beverage, and other ancillary amenities. Revenue is recognized when rooms are occupied and services have been rendered. The Company collects sales, use, occupancy and similar taxes at its hotels which are presented on a net basis on the statement of operations.

### Income Taxes

To qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, the Company must meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement that it currently distribute at least 90 percent of its adjusted taxable income to its shareholders. As a REIT, the Company generally is not subject to federal corporate income tax on that portion of its taxable income that is currently distributed to shareholders. The Company is subject to certain state and local taxes on its income and property, and to federal income and excise taxes on its undistributed taxable income. In addition, PHL, which leases the Company's hotels from the Operating Partnership, is subject to federal and state income taxes. The Company accounts for income taxes using the asset and liability method under which deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Valuation allowances are provided if, based upon the weight of the available evidence, it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company did not have any uncertain tax positions and had not incurred any interest or penalties on such positions during the periods presented. Interest and penalties related to uncertain tax benefits, if any, in the future will be recognized as operating expenses.

### Share-based Compensation

The Company has adopted an equity incentive plan that provides for the grant of common share options, share awards, share appreciation rights, performance units and other equity-based awards. Share-based compensation is measured at the fair value of the award on the date of grant and recognized as an expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period. Share based compensation awards that contain a performance condition are reviewed at least quarterly to assess the achievement of the performance condition. Compensation expense will be adjusted when a change in the assessment of achievement of the specific performance condition level is determined to be probable. The determination of fair value of these awards is subjective and involves significant estimates and assumptions including expected volatility of our stock, expected dividend yield, expected term, and assumptions of whether these awards will achieve parity with other operating partnership units or achieve performance thresholds.

### Non-controlling Interests of Common Units of Operating Partnership

Limited partner interests in the Operating Partnership other than those held by the Company, if any, are considered non-controlling interests. Generally, non-controlling interests are presented on the balance sheet as either shareholders equity or outside of shareholders equity depending upon specific provisions of the governing documents related to such an interest. The Operating Partnership may issue limited partnership interests as full or partial consideration to hotel sellers or to employees or other individuals

F-11

---

Table of Contents

for services performed. These limited partners will have redemption rights which will permit them to redeem their interests in exchange for cash or common shares, on a one-for-one basis, at the option of the Company. Because the Operating Partnership agreement permits the settlement of the redemption feature for unregistered common shares and because the Company will control the actions and events necessary to issue the number of shares that are required to be delivered at the redemption date, the non-controlling limited partner interests in the Operating Partnership are presented as a separate component of shareholder's equity on the balance sheet. The approximate redemption value of the non-controlling interests is equivalent to the units outstanding valued at the closing common share price at the end of the period, which we assume would be equal to the value provided to the limited partners upon liquidation of the Operating Partnership. The Company's revenues, expenses and net income or loss will include amounts attributable to both the controlling and non-controlling interests. Amounts attributable to non-controlling interests will be deducted from net income or loss to arrive at net income or loss attributable to common shareholders on the statement of operations.

**Earnings Per Share**

Basic earnings per share ("EPS") is computed by dividing the net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS is computed by dividing net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders as adjusted for dilutive securities, by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding plus dilutive securities. Any anti-dilutive securities are excluded from the diluted per-share calculation.

**Comprehensive Income**

The purpose of reporting comprehensive income is to report a measure of all changes in equity of an entity that result from recognized transactions and other economic events of the period other than transactions with owners in their capacity as owners. Comprehensive income consists of all components of income, including other comprehensive income, which is excluded from net income. For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, comprehensive income (loss) was \$26.2 million, \$15.2 million and \$(6.6) million, respectively. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company's accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) was \$(0.3) million and \$0, respectively.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-05, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220), Presentation of Comprehensive Income, to require an entity to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. The Company adopted ASU 2011-05 as of January 1, 2012 and disclosed comprehensive income in our consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income. ASU 2011-05 affects financial statement presentation and has no impact on the Company's results of consolidated financial statements.

**NOTE 3. ACQUISITION OF HOTEL PROPERTIES**

On April 4, 2012, the Company acquired the 108-room Hotel Milano located in San Francisco, California for \$29.8 million. The Company selected Viceroy Hotel Group as manager for the hotel. In November 2012, the Hotel Milano was closed for a complete renovation and repositioning of the hotel. The Company expects to complete the renovation of the Hotel Milano in the first quarter of 2013 and to rename it Hotel Zetta upon its reopening.

On July 9, 2012, the Company acquired, in a single transaction, the 125-room Hotel Vintage Park located in Seattle, Washington and the 117-room Hotel Vintage Plaza located in Portland, Oregon for \$63.0 million. Both of these hotels will continue to be managed by Kimpton Hotels and Restaurants.

On August 23, 2012, the Company acquired the 258-room W Los Angeles - Westwood hotel located in Los Angeles, California for \$125.0 million. At the time of the acquisition, the hotel had certain capital improvement plans which the seller agreed to fund, therefore, at closing the seller contributed \$3.6 million into an escrow account which will be used to fund these capital improvements as they are completed by the Company. The Company retained Starwood Hotels and Resorts to manage the hotel.

On October 25, 2012, the Company acquired a leasehold interest in the 196-room Hotel Palomar San Francisco located in San Francisco, California for \$58.0 million. The acquisition was funded with \$30.8 million of available cash and the assumption of a \$27.2 million first mortgage loan. The hotel is subject to a long-term hotel lease

agreement with an unaffiliated third party that expires in 2097. The hotel is required to pay the greater of an annual fixed rent (base plus basement rent) or a percentage of food and beverage revenues and gross hotel revenues excluding parking and food and beverage revenues in excess of certain thresholds, as defined in the agreement. The annual fixed rent is approximately \$1.6 million, as adjusted for consumer price index "CPI" increases but in no event by less than 2% or more than 4% per year. The percentage rent based on food and beverage revenues ranges from 0% to 12% of food and beverage revenues and the percentage rent based

F-12

---

Table of Contents

on gross revenues ranges from 12% to 18% of gross revenues. The hotel will continue to be managed by Kimpton Hotels and Restaurants.

The Hotel Palomar San Francisco is subject to a long term lease of certain floors of the building. The rent due under the lease was determined to be above market, and, at acquisition, the Company recorded an above market rent lease liability of approximately \$6.6 million that is amortized as a reduction to ground rent expense over the term of the lease. The Hotel Palomar San Francisco is also subject to a fixed rate mortgage that was determined to be above the current market interest rate. The Company recorded a \$2.6 million mortgage loan premium for the above market interest that is amortized as a reduction in interest expense through the maturity date of the loan.

The allocation of fair value to the acquired assets and liabilities assumed is as follows (in thousands):

	Hotel Zetta (formerly Hotel Milano)	Hotel Vintage Park	Hotel Vintage Plaza	W Los Angeles - Westwood	Hotel Palomar San Francisco	Total
Land	\$7,294	\$8,170	\$6,222	\$24,403	\$—	\$46,089
Buildings and improvements	22,166	23,557	23,012	93,203	63,430	225,368
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	290	706	1,093	3,600	3,780	9,469
Above market rate contracts	—	—	—	—	(9,170)	(9,170)
Capital improvement reserve	—	—	—	3,600	—	3,600
Mortgage debt	—	—	—	—	(27,175)	(27,175)
Net working capital	7	118	123	(193)	(265)	(210)
Net assets acquired	\$29,757	\$32,551	\$30,450	\$124,613	\$30,600	\$247,971

The results of operations of Hotel Zetta (formerly Hotel Milano), Hotel Vintage Park, Hotel Vintage Plaza, W Los Angeles - Westwood and Hotel Palomar San Francisco are included in the consolidated statements of operations beginning on their acquisition dates. The following unaudited pro forma financial information presents the results of operations of the Company for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 as if the hotels and the interest in the joint venture acquired in 2012 and 2011 were acquired on January 1, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The following hotels pro forma results are included in the pro forma table below: Argonaut Hotel, Westin Gaslamp Quarter, San Diego, Hotel Monaco Seattle, Mondrian Los Angeles, Viceroy Miami, W Boston, Hotel Zetta (formerly Hotel Milano), Hotel Vintage Park, Hotel Vintage Plaza, W Los Angeles - Westwood, and Hotel Palomar San Francisco. The pro forma results below exclude acquisition costs of \$2.2 million and \$3.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Purchase accounting for the Embassy Suites San Diego Bay-Downtown, which was acquired on January 29, 2013, is not complete and therefore the Company is currently unable to estimate the pro forma effects of this acquisition. The unaudited pro forma results have been prepared for comparative purposes only and do not purport to be indicative of either the results of operations that would have actually occurred had these transactions occurred on January 1, 2011 or 2010 or the future results of operations (in thousands, except per-share data).

	For the year ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
	(Unaudited)	
Total revenues	\$426,869	\$401,044
Operating income (loss)	43,686	36,334
Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders	12,954	9,486



Edgar Filing: Pebblebrook Hotel Trust - Form 10-K

Net income (loss) per share available to common shareholders — basic and diluted	\$0.23	\$0.16
--	--------	--------

F-13

---

Table of Contents

## NOTE 4. INVESTMENT IN HOTEL PROPERTIES

Investment in hotel properties as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 consisted of the following (in thousands):

	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Land	\$236,287	\$190,197
Buildings and improvements	1,141,347	887,217
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	107,938	86,138
Construction in progress	9,595	—
Investment in hotel properties	\$1,495,167	\$1,163,552
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(77,938	) (36,068
Investment in hotel properties, net	\$1,417,229	\$1,127,484

In November 2012, the Company closed the Hotel Milano for a complete renovation and repositioning of the hotel, including all guestrooms, public areas and the restaurant. The Company expects to complete the renovation of the hotel in the first quarter of 2013 and to rename it Hotel Zetta upon its reopening.

## NOTE 5. INVESTMENT IN JOINT VENTURE

On July 29, 2011, the Company acquired a 49% interest in a joint venture (the “Manhattan Collection joint venture”), which owns six properties in New York, New York. The transaction valued the six hotels at approximately \$908.0 million (subject to working capital and similar adjustments). The Company accounts for this investment using the equity method.

On December 27, 2012, the joint venture refinanced its existing loans totaling approximately \$553.2 million with a new single \$410.0 million loan, secured by five of the properties owned by the joint venture. The new loan bears interest at an annual fixed interest rate of 3.67% and requires interest-only payments through maturity on January 5, 2018. In conjunction with the refinancing, the Company provided the joint venture a \$50.0 million unsecured special loan which matures at the earlier of July 4, 2018, the closing of any refinancing of the secured loan or the closing date of a portfolio sale (as defined in the loan agreement). The unsecured special loan bears a fixed interest rate of 9.75% and requires interest-only payments through maturity. The unsecured special loan is pre-payable by the joint venture at any time. The balance of the capital for the refinancing and closing costs was provided by the Company and the joint venture partner with the Company's pro-rata equity contribution of \$54.9 million. The Company's investment in the unsecured special loan to the joint venture is included in the investment in joint venture on the consolidated balance sheets. Interest income is recorded on the accrual basis and the Company's 49% pro-rata portion of the loan and related interest income is eliminated in consolidation.

As of December 31, 2012, the joint venture reported approximately \$486.0 million in total assets, which represents the basis of the hotels prior to our investment. The joint venture's total liabilities and members' deficit include approximately \$410.0 million in existing first mortgage debt, a \$50 million unsecured special loan, and approximately \$18.8 million of preferred capital which may be distributed to the Company's joint venture partner after October 29, 2013. The Company is not a guarantor of any existing debt of the joint venture except for limited customary carve-outs related to fraud or misapplication of funds.

At the time of the Company's investment in 2011, the estimated fair value of the hotel properties owned by the Manhattan Collection joint venture exceeded the carrying value. This basis difference between the Company's investment in the joint venture and the Company's proportionate 49% interest in these depreciable assets held by the joint venture is amortized over the estimated life of the underlying assets and recognized as a component of equity in earnings (loss) of joint venture (referred to as the basis adjustment in the table below).

Table of Contents

The summarized results of operations of the Company's investment in the Manhattan Collection joint venture for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 are presented below (in thousands):

	For the year ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
Revenues	\$174,718	\$82,124
Total expenses	158,394	75,992
Net income (loss)	\$16,324	\$6,132
Company's 49% interest of net income (loss)	7,999	3,005
Basis adjustment	(2,062	) (669
Interest income elimination	33	—
Equity in earnings (loss) in joint venture	\$5,970	\$2,336

## NOTE 6. DEBT

## Senior Unsecured Credit Facility

On July 13, 2012, the Company amended and restated the credit agreement that governs the Company's senior unsecured credit facility and the Company's unsecured term loan to increase the aggregate borrowing capacity to \$300.0 million. The credit agreement provides for a \$200.0 million unsecured revolving credit facility and a \$100.0 million unsecured term loan. The revolving credit facility matures in July 2016, and the Company has a one-year extension option. The Company has the ability to increase the aggregate borrowing capacity under the credit agreement up to \$600.0 million, subject to lender approval. Borrowings on the revolving credit facility bear interest at LIBOR plus 1.75% to 2.50%, depending on the Company's leverage ratio. Additionally, the Company is required to pay an unused commitment fee at an annual rate of 0.25% or 0.35% of the unused portion of the revolving credit facility, depending on the amount of borrowings outstanding. The credit agreement contains certain financial covenants, including a maximum leverage ratio, a maximum debt service coverage ratio, a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio, and a minimum net worth. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company had no outstanding borrowings under the revolving credit facility. As of December 31, 2012, the Company was in compliance with the credit agreement debt covenants. For the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company incurred unused commitment fees of \$0.9 million and \$0.9 million, respectively.

## Term Loan

On August 13, 2012, the Company drew the entire \$100.0 million unsecured term loan provided for under its amended senior unsecured credit facility. The five-year term loan matures in July 2017 and bears interest at a variable rate, but was swapped to an effective fixed interest rate for the full five-year term (see "Derivative and Hedging Activities" below). As of December 31, 2012, the Company was in compliance with the credit agreement debt covenants.

## Derivative and Hedging Activities

The Company enters into interest rate swap agreements to hedge against interest rate fluctuations. Unrealized gains and losses on the effective portion of hedging instruments are reported in other comprehensive income (loss) and are subsequently reclassified into earnings in the period that the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. Ineffective portions of changes in the fair value of a cash flow hedge are recognized as interest expense. Effective August 13, 2012, the Company entered into three interest rate swap agreements with an aggregate notional amount of \$100.0 million for the term loan's full five-year term, resulting in an effective fixed interest rate of 2.55% at the Company's current leverage ratio (as defined in the agreement). The Company has designated its pay-fixed, receive-floating interest rate swap derivatives as cash flow hedges.

The Company records all derivative instruments at fair value in the consolidated balance sheets. Fair values of interest rate swaps are determined using the standard market methodology of netting the discounted future fixed cash receipts/payments and the discounted expected variable cash payments/receipts. Variable interest rates used in the calculation of projected receipts and payments on the swaps are based on an expectation of future interest rates derived from observable market interest rate curves (LIBOR forward curves) and volatilities (level 2 inputs). Derivatives expose the Company to credit risk in the event of non-performance by the counterparties under the terms of the interest rate hedge agreements. The Company believes it minimizes the credit risk by transacting with major

creditworthy financial institutions.

As of December 31, 2012, the Company's interest rate swap derivative instruments were in a liability position, with an aggregate fair value of \$0.3 million which is included in accounts payable and accrued expenses in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. As of December 31, 2012, there was \$0.3 million in unrealized loss included in accumulated

F-15

---

Table of Contents

other comprehensive loss. During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company reclassified \$0.2 million from accumulated other comprehensive income to interest expense. The Company had an interest rate cap associated with the mortgage debt on the Sofitel Philadelphia at December 31, 2011. The estimated fair value of the interest rate cap was immaterial. The Sofitel Philadelphia mortgage was repaid during 2012 and the interest rate cap was terminated.

**Mortgage Debt**

Each of the Company's mortgage loans is secured by a first mortgage lien or by leasehold interests under a ground or hotel lease on the underlying property. The mortgages are non-recourse to the Company except for customary carve-outs such as fraud or misapplication of funds.

On January 11, 2012, the Company obtained a \$46.0 million first mortgage loan secured by the Company's leasehold interest under the ground lease on the Monaco Washington DC. A portion of the proceeds from this loan was used to repay the existing \$35.0 million mortgage on this property. The loan has a term of five years, bears interest at 4.36% and requires monthly principal and interest payments of \$0.2 million.

On January 11, 2012, the Company repaid the \$42.0 million loan on the Argonaut Hotel with cash on hand and borrowings from the Company's senior unsecured revolving credit facility. On February 15, 2012, the Company obtained a \$47.0 million first-mortgage loan secured by the Company's leasehold interest under the ground lease on the Argonaut Hotel. The loan has a term of five years, bears interest at 4.25% and requires monthly principal and interest payments of \$0.3 million.

On February 1, 2012, the Company repaid the \$56.1 million first mortgage loan on the Sofitel Philadelphia. On May 18, 2012, the Company obtained a \$50.0 million first mortgage loan on the Sofitel Philadelphia. The loan has a term of five years, bears interest at 3.90% and requires monthly principal and interest payments of \$0.3 million.

In conjunction with the Company's acquisition of the Hotel Palomar San Francisco on October 25, 2012, the Company assumed a \$27.2 million first mortgage loan. The loan has a remaining term of five years, bears interest at 5.94% and requires monthly principal and interest payments of \$0.2 million. As the loan's interest rate is above market for loans with comparable terms, the Company recorded a loan premium of \$2.6 million, which is amortized to interest expense over the remaining term of the loan.

On December 27, 2012, the Company obtained a \$81.0 million first mortgage loan secured by the Westin Gaslamp Quarter, San Diego. The loan has a term of seven years, bears interest at 3.69% and requires monthly principal and interest payments of \$0.4 million.

Table of Contents

## Debt Summary

Debt as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 consisted of the following (dollars in thousands):

	Interest Rate	Maturity Date	Balance Outstanding as of	
			December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Senior unsecured revolving credit facility	Floating	July 2016	\$—	\$—
Term loan	Floating <sup>(1)</sup>	July 2017	100,000	—
Mortgage loans				
InterContinental Buckhead	4.88%	January 2016	51,022	51,805
Skamania Lodge	5.44%	February 2016	30,252	30,664
DoubleTree by Hilton Bethesda-Washington DC	5.28%	February 2016	35,602	36,000
Hotel Monaco Washington DC	4.36%	February 2017	45,368	35,000
Argonaut Hotel	4.25%	March 2017	46,223	42,000
Sofitel Philadelphia	3.90%	June 2017	49,419	56,070
Hotel Palomar San Francisco	5.94%	September 2017	27,124	—
Westin Gaslamp Quarter, San Diego	3.69%	January 1, 2020	81,000	—
Mortgage loans at stated value			366,010	251,539
Mortgage loan premium			2,498	—
Total mortgage loans			\$368,508	\$251,539
Total debt			\$468,508	\$251,539

<sup>(1)</sup> We entered into interest rate swaps to effectively fix the interest rate for the full five-year term.

The Company estimates the fair value of its fixed rate debt by discounting the future cash flows of each instrument at estimated market rates, taking into consideration general market conditions and maturity of the debt with similar credit terms and is classified within level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. The estimated fair value of the Company's mortgage loans as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 was \$372.3 million and \$251.2 million, respectively.

The Company was in compliance with all debt covenants as of December 31, 2012.

Future scheduled debt principal payments for the Company's mortgage debt and term loan as of December 31, 2012 are as follows (in thousands):

2013	\$6,813
2014	7,444
2015	7,779
2016	117,313
2017	255,901
Thereafter	70,760
Total debt principal payments	466,010
Premium on mortgage loan	2,498
Total debt	\$468,508

## NOTE 7. EQUITY

## Common Shares

The Company is authorized to issue up to 500,000,000 common shares of beneficial interest, \$.01 par value per share ("common shares"). Each outstanding common share entitles the holder to one vote on each matter submitted to a vote of



Table of Contents

shareholders. Holders of the Company's common shares are entitled to receive dividends when authorized by the Company's board of trustees.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company issued 4,519,087 common shares at an average price of \$23.72 per share under its \$125.0 million at-the-market ("ATM") offering program and raised \$105.6 million, net of commissions. On September 28, 2012, the Company filed a prospectus supplement with the SEC to sell up to \$170.0 million in common shares under a new \$170.0 million ATM program. At the same time, the Company terminated the \$125.0 million ATM program which had \$17.8 million in common shares remaining available for issuance. As of December 31, 2012, \$170.0 million of common shares remained available for issuance under the \$170.0 million ATM program.

On June 19, 2012, the Company issued 5,175,000 common shares at a price of \$22.10 per share in an underwritten public offering and raised \$109.8 million, net of the underwriting discount.

**Common Dividends**

The Company declared the following dividends on common shares/units for the year ended December 31, 2012:

Dividend per Share/Unit	For the Quarter Ended	Record Date	Payable Date
\$0.12	March 31, 2012	March 30, 2012	April 16, 2012
\$0.12	June 30, 2012	June 29, 2012	July 16, 2012
\$0.12	September 30, 2012	September 28, 2012	October 15, 2012
\$0.12	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2012	January 15, 2013

**Preferred Shares**

The Company is authorized to issue up to 100,000,000 preferred shares of beneficial interest, \$.01 par value per share ("preferred shares").

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company had 5,600,000 shares of its 7.875% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares ("Series A Preferred Shares") and 3,400,000 shares of its 8.00% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares ("Series B Preferred Shares") outstanding.

The Series A Preferred Shares and the Series B Preferred Shares (collectively, the "Preferred Shares") rank senior to the common shares of beneficial interest and on parity with each other with respect to payment of distributions. The Preferred Shares are cumulative redeemable preferred shares. The outstanding Preferred Shares do not have any maturity date and are not subject to mandatory redemption. The Company may not optionally redeem the Series A Preferred Shares or Series B Preferred Shares prior to March 11, 2016 and September 21, 2016, respectively, except in limited circumstances relating to the Company's continuing qualification as a REIT or as discussed below. After those dates, the Company may, at its option, redeem the Preferred Shares, in whole or from time to time in part, by payment of \$25.00 per share, plus any accumulated, accrued and unpaid distributions through the date of redemption. Upon the occurrence of a change of control, as defined in the Company's declaration of trust, the result of which the Company's common shares and the common securities of the acquiring or surviving entity are not listed on the New York Stock Exchange, the NYSE MKT or NASDAQ, or any successor exchanges, the Company may, at its option, redeem the Preferred Shares in whole or in part within 120 days following the change of control by paying \$25.00 per share, plus any accrued and unpaid distributions through the date of redemption. If the Company does not exercise its right to redeem the Preferred Shares upon a change of control, the holders of the Preferred Shares have the right to convert some or all of their shares into a number of the Company's common shares based on a defined formula subject to a share cap. The share cap on each Series A Preferred Share is 2.3234 common shares and the share cap on each Series B Preferred Share is 3.4483 common shares.



Table of Contents

## Preferred Dividends

The Company declared the following dividends on preferred shares for the year ended December 31, 2012:

Security Type	Dividend per Share/Unit	For the quarter Ended	Record Date	Payable Date
7.875% Series A	\$0.49	March 31, 2012	March 30, 2012	April 16, 2012
7.875% Series A	\$0.49	June 30, 2012	June 29, 2012	July 16, 2012
7.875% Series A	\$0.49	September 30, 2012	September 28, 2012	October 15, 2012
7.875% Series A	\$0.49	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2012	January 15, 2013
8.00% Series B	\$0.50	March 31, 2012	March 30, 2012	April 16, 2012
8.00% Series B	\$0.50	June 30, 2012	June 29, 2012	July 16, 2012
8.00% Series B	\$0.50	September 30, 2012	September 28, 2012	October 15, 2012
8.00% Series B	\$0.50	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2012	January 15, 2013

## Non-controlling Interest of Common Units in Operating Partnership

Holders of Operating Partnership units have certain redemption rights that enable the unit holders to cause the Operating Partnership to redeem their units in exchange for, at the Company's option, cash per unit equal to the market price of the Company's common shares at the time of redemption or for the Company's common shares on a one-for-one basis. The number of shares issuable upon exercise of the redemption rights will be adjusted upon the occurrence of share splits, mergers, consolidations or similar pro-rata share transactions, which otherwise would have the effect of diluting the ownership interests of our limited partners or our shareholders.

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Operating Partnership had 381,109 and 929,099 long-term incentive partnership units ("LTIP units") outstanding, respectively, all of which have reached parity with other common Operating Partnership units. As of December 31, 2012, 547,990 of these LTIP units have vested. Only vested LTIP units may be converted to common units of the Operating Partnership, which in turn can be tendered for redemption as described above. On December 31, 2012, 444,535 LTIP units were redeemed for the same number of common shares and 103,455 LTIP units were redeemed for \$2.3 million in cash.

## NOTE 8. SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION PLAN

The Company maintains the 2009 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended (the "Plan") to attract and retain independent trustees, executive officers and other key employees and service providers. The Plan provides for the grant of options to purchase common shares, share awards, share appreciation rights, performance units and other equity-based awards. Share awards under the Plan generally vest over three to five years. The Company pays dividends on unvested shares. All share awards are subject to full or partial accelerated vesting upon a change in control and upon death or disability or certain other employment termination events as set forth in the award agreements. On July 10, 2012, the Company's shareholders approved an amendment and restatement of the Plan to increase the number of shares available to be issued under the Plan from 1,322,625 shares to 2,422,625 shares and extend the term of the Plan from December 6, 2019 to February 8, 2022. As of December 31, 2012, there were 1,124,972 common shares available for issuance under the Plan.

Table of Contents

## Service Condition Share Awards

The following table provides a summary of service condition restricted share activity as of December 31, 2012:

	Shares	Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value
Unvested at January 1, 2010	15,000	\$20.00
Granted	70,110	\$20.98
Vested	(4,170)	) \$20.00
Forfeited	(2,500)	) \$20.00
Unvested at December 31, 2010	78,440	\$20.88
Granted	79,330	\$22.03
Vested	(26,874)	) \$20.83
Forfeited	(2,232)	) \$21.58
Unvested at December 31, 2011	128,664	\$21.59
Granted	52,545	\$23.15
Vested	(52,587)	) \$21.43
Forfeited	—	\$—
Unvested at December 31, 2012	128,622	\$22.19

The fair value of each service condition restricted share award is determined based on the closing price of the Company's common shares on the grant date. For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 the Company recognized approximately \$1.5 million, \$1.1 million and \$0.5 million, respectively, of share-based compensation expense related to these restricted shares in the consolidated statements of operations. As of December 31, 2012, there was \$1.6 million of total unrecognized share-based compensation expense related to unvested restricted shares. The unrecognized share-based compensation expense is expected to be recognized over the weighted-average remaining vesting period of 1.6 years.

## Performance-Based Equity Awards

Performance-based equity awarded to officers and employees cliff vest after three years if certain performance measurements are met. These awards also require continued employment and are subject to full or partial accelerated vesting upon a change in control and upon death or disability or certain other employment termination events as set forth in the award agreements. The actual number of common shares that ultimately vest will be determined in 2015 based on certain share price and operating performance metrics for the period from January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2014. Performance-based equity awards granted to certain officers are subject to a maximum award cap; however, there is no maximum or cap of the number of shares which may vest on the employee awards.

The performance measurements include share price and operating metrics which consist of: (1) the Company's total shareholder return relative to the total shareholder return of seven companies in a designated peer group ("Relative TSR"); (2) the Company's total shareholder return to established total shareholder return thresholds ("Absolute TSR"); and (3) the change in the gap between the Company's hotel-level earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ("Hotel EBITDA") margin compared to that of a peer company. Dividends accumulate over the vesting period and are paid to the grantee once the number of vested shares is determined.

The Relative TSR and Absolute TSR measurements each represent 30 percent of the award and the Hotel EBITDA margin measurement represents 40 percent of the award. The Relative TSR and Absolute TSR measurements are market conditions and the Hotel EBITDA measurement is a performance condition as market and performance conditions are defined in ASC Topic 718.

On February 8, 2012, the Board of Trustees approved a grant of up to a maximum of 120,016 performance-based equity awards to certain officers of the Company ("officer awards") and approved a target award of 12,102 performance-based equity awards to employees of the Company ("employee awards"). The fair values of the market conditions were determined using a Monte Carlo simulation method performed by a third-party valuation firm. The assumptions for determining the fair value of the Relative TSR and Absolute TSR components included: risk-free

interest rate of 0.34%, dividend yield of 2.2%, and expected volatility of 33%. The simulations also considered the actual TSR performance of the Company's shares and the share performance of the peer group. The total grant date fair value per share of the market conditions for the officer awards and employee awards was \$8.62 and \$17.23, respectively. The grant date fair value of the performance condition was determined based on the closing share price on the date of grant times the target number of shares for this component of the award. The

F-20

---

Table of Contents

grant date fair value per share of the performance condition for both the officer awards and employee awards was \$23.15. Compensation expense on the Hotel EBITDA component will be reassessed at each reporting date to determine whether and at what level the achievement of the target performance condition is probable, and the accrual of compensation expense will be adjusted as appropriate.

At December 31, 2012, the Company assessed the probable achievement of the performance condition and adjusted compensation expense to reflect the expected level of achievement. As of December 31, 2012, there was approximately \$2.4 million of unrecognized compensation expense related to these awards, which is expected to be recognized over 2 years. For the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company recognized \$1.1 million in expense related to these awards.

**Long-Term Incentive Partnership Units**

LTIP units, which are also referred to as profits interest units, may be issued to eligible participants for the performance of services to or for the benefit of the Operating Partnership. LTIP units are a class of partnership unit in the Company's Operating Partnership and will receive, whether vested or not, the same per-unit profit distributions as the other outstanding units in the Operating Partnership, which equal per-share distributions on common shares. Prior to reaching parity with common units, LTIP units have a capital account balance of zero, do not receive an allocation of net income (loss) and do not have full parity with the common Operating Partnership units with respect to liquidating distributions. If such parity is reached, vested LTIP units may be converted by the holder, at any time, into an equal number of common Operating Partnership units and thereafter will possess all of the rights and interests of a common Operating Partnership unit, including the right to redeem the common Operating Partnership unit for a common share in the Company or cash, at the option of the Operating Partnership.

On December 14, 2009, concurrent with the Company's initial public offering, the Company granted 929,099 LTIP units to executives and employees of the Company. These LTIP units vest ratably on each of the first five anniversaries of their dates of grant. All LTIP units will vest upon a change in control. The LTIP units were valued using a Monte Carlo simulation method model. The LTIP unit grants were valued at \$8.50 per LTIP unit. Upon completion of the Company's equity offering of common shares on April 6, 2011, the Company determined that a revaluation event occurred, as defined in the Internal Revenue Code, and all the LTIP units achieved full parity with the common Operating Partnership units with respect to liquidating distributions and all other purposes. These LTIP units are allocated their pro-rata share of the Company's net income (loss).

As of December 31, 2012, the Company had 381,109 LTIP units outstanding, all of which have reached parity with common units but none have vested. All of the LTIP units were held by officers of the Company as of December 31, 2012. On December 31, 2012, the Company was notified that the LTIP unit holders had elected to redeem 547,990 vested LTIP units effective December 31, 2012. At the Company's election, on December 31, 2012, 444,535 vested LTIP units were redeemed for common shares and 103,455 vested LTIP units were redeemed for cash.

The Company recognized \$1.6 million in share-based compensation expense related to the LTIP units for each of the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011 there was \$3.1 million and \$4.7 million, respectively, of total unrecognized share-based compensation expense related to LTIP units. The unrecognized share-based compensation expense at December 31, 2012 is expected to be recognized over the weighted-average remaining vesting period of 2 years. The aggregate expense related to the LTIP unit grants is presented as non-controlling interest in the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

**NOTE 9. INCOME TAXES**

The Company has elected to be taxed as a REIT under Sections 856 through 860 of the Code. To qualify as a REIT, the Company must meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement that it currently distribute at least 90% of its adjusted taxable income to its shareholders. It is the Company's current intention to adhere to these requirements and maintain the Company's qualification for taxation as a REIT. As a REIT, the Company generally is not subject to federal corporate income tax on that portion of its taxable income that is currently distributed to shareholders. However, as a REIT, the Company is still subject to certain state and local taxes on its income and property, and to federal income and excise taxes on its undistributed taxable income. In addition, taxable income from non-REIT activities managed through taxable-REIT subsidiaries is subject to federal, state and local income taxes. PHL is a TRS of the Company and as such is required to pay federal and state income taxes as a

regular C Corporation.

For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, the Company's Operating Partnership income tax expense was \$0.4 million, \$0 and \$0, respectively.

F-21

---

Table of Contents

The Company's provision (benefit) for income taxes for PHL consists of the following (in thousands):

	For the year ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Federal			
Current	\$1,048	\$374	\$—
Deferred	—	70	(70)
State and local			
Current	412	110	—
Deferred	—	10	(10)
Income tax expense (benefit)	\$1,460	\$564	\$(80)

A reconciliation of the statutory federal tax expense (benefit) to the Company's income tax expense (benefit) for our TRS is as follows (in thousands):

	For the year ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Statutory federal tax expense (benefit)	\$1,048	\$444	\$(70)
State income tax expense (benefit)	412	120	(10)
Income tax expense (benefit)	\$1,460	\$564	\$(80)

For the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company had a deferred tax asset of \$0.1 million associated with its net operating loss. This net operating loss was used to offset 2011 taxable income.

**NOTE 10. EARNINGS PER SHARE**

Earnings per share is computed by applying the provisions of the two-class method as the unvested restricted shares and LTIP units (unvested share-based compensation) are considered participating securities. The holders of these awards receive non-forfeitable dividends on their unvested shares and units. Basic earnings (loss) per share is calculated by dividing net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders adjusted for dividends on unvested share-based compensation by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted earnings (loss) per share is calculated by dividing net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders adjusted for dividends on unvested share-based compensation by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding and potential common shares that are dilutive. The LTIP units held by the non-controlling interest holders have been excluded from the denominator of the diluted earnings per share calculation as there would be no effect on the amounts since the limited partners' share of income (loss) would also be added or subtracted to derive at net income (loss) available to common shareholders.

Table of Contents

The following is a reconciliation of basic and diluted earnings per common share (in thousands, except share and per-share data):

	For the year ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Numerator:			
Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders	\$8,254	\$4,443	\$(6,642 )
Less: dividends paid on unvested share-based compensation	(310 )	(398 )	(9 )
Undistributed earnings attributable to share-based compensation	—	—	—
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders	\$7,944	\$4,045	\$(6,651 )
Denominator:			
Weighted-average number of common shares — basic	55,806,543	47,921,200	28,669,851
Effect of dilutive share-based compensation	148,954	45,107	—
Weighted-average number of common shares — diluted	55,955,497	47,966,307	28,669,851
Net income (loss) per share available to common shareholders basic	$\overline{\$0.14}$	\$0.08	\$(0.23 )
Net income (loss) per share available to common shareholders diluted	$\overline{\$0.14}$	\$0.08	\$(0.23 )

For the year ended December 31, 2010, 78,440 unvested restricted shares were excluded from diluted weighted-average common shares, as their effect would have been anti-dilutive.

**NOTE 11. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES****Management Agreements**

The Company's hotel properties are operated pursuant to management agreements with various management companies. The terms of these management agreements range from five years to 20 years, not including renewals, and five years to 40 years, including renewals. Many of the Company's management agreements are terminable at will by the Company upon paying a termination fee and some are terminable by the Company upon sale of the property, with, in some cases, the payment of termination fees. Most of the agreements also provide the Company the ability to terminate based on failure to achieve defined operating performance thresholds. Termination fees range from zero to up to six times the annual base management and incentive management fees, depending on the agreement and the reason for termination. Certain of the Company's management agreements are non-terminable except upon the manager's breach of a material representation or the manager's failure to meet performance thresholds as defined in the management agreement.

The management agreements require the payment of a base management fee generally between 1% and 4% of hotel revenues. Under certain management agreements, the management companies are also eligible to receive an incentive management fee if hotel operating income, cash flows or other performance measures, as defined in the agreements, exceed certain performance thresholds. The incentive management fee is generally calculated as a percentage of hotel operating income after the Company has received a priority return on its investment in the hotel. For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, base and incentive management fees were \$11.5 million, \$8.1 million and \$1.7 million, respectively.

**Reserve Funds**

Certain of the Company's agreements with its hotel managers, franchisors and lenders have provisions for the Company to provide funds, typically 4.0% of hotel revenues, sufficient to cover the cost of (a) certain non-routine repairs and maintenance to the hotels and (b) replacements and renewals to the hotels' furniture, fixtures and equipment.

**Restricted Cash**

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company had \$12.0 million and \$9.5 million, respectively, in restricted cash, which consisted of reserves for replacement of furniture and fixtures or reserves to pay for real estate taxes or property insurance under certain hotel management agreements or lender requirements.

Ground and Hotel Leases

F-23

---



Table of Contents

The Hotel Monaco Washington DC is subject to a long-term ground lease agreement on the land underlying the hotel. The ground lease expires in 2059. The hotel is required to pay the greater of an annual base rent of \$0.2 million or a percentage of gross hotel revenues and gross food and beverage revenues in excess of certain thresholds, as defined in the agreement. The lease contains certain restrictions on modifications that can be made to the structure due to its status as a national historic landmark.

The Argonaut Hotel is subject to a long-term ground lease agreement on the land underlying the hotel. The ground lease expires in 2059. The hotel is required to pay the greater of an annual base rent of \$1.2 million or a percentage of rooms revenues, food and beverage revenues and other department revenues in excess of certain thresholds, as defined in the agreement. The lease contains certain restrictions on modifications that can be made to the structure due to its status as a national historic landmark.

The Hotel Palomar San Francisco is subject to a long-term hotel lease for the right to use certain floors of the building. The hotel lease expires in 2097. The hotel is required to pay an annual fixed rent and percentage rent based on gross hotel and gross food and beverage revenues in excess of certain thresholds, as defined in the agreement.

Future minimum annual rental payments under operating leases, which assumes base or fixed rent for all periods and no assumptions for CPI adjustments, as of December 31, 2012 is as follows (in thousands):

2013	\$3,071
2014	3,105
2015	3,140
2016	3,175
2017	3,211
Thereafter	419,805
Total	\$435,507

## Litigation

The nature of the operations of hotels exposes the Company's hotels, the Company and the Operating Partnership to the risk of claims and litigation in the normal course of their business. The Company may obtain insurance to cover certain potential material losses. The Company is not presently subject to any material litigation nor, to the Company's knowledge, is any material litigation threatened against the Company.

## NOTE 12. SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION TO STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	For the year ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(in thousands)		
Interest paid, net of capitalized interest	\$13,440	\$11,366	\$956
Interest capitalized	\$236	\$—	\$—
Income taxes paid	\$1,877	\$586	\$—
Non-Cash Investing and Financing Activities:			
Distributions payable on common shares/units	\$7,461	\$6,219	\$4,908
Distributions payable on preferred shares	\$3,813	\$3,813	\$—
Issuance of common shares for board of trustees compensation	\$199	\$182	\$12
Mortgage loan assumed in connection with acquisition	\$27,175	\$42,000	\$91,070
Above market rate contracts	\$9,170	\$—	\$—
Deposit applied to purchase price of acquisition	\$—	\$5,000	\$—
Accrued additions and improvements to hotel properties	\$1,203	\$2,171	\$—

## NOTE 13. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Table of Contents

On January 29, 2013, the Company acquired the 337-suite Embassy Suites San Diego Bay-Downtown located in San Diego, California for \$112.5 million. The acquisition was funded with \$45.7 million of available cash and the assumption of a \$66.8 million first mortgage loan.

On January 30, 2013, the Board of Trustees granted an aggregate of 59,245 service condition restricted share awards to executive officers and employees of the Company. These service condition restricted shares will vest over approximately 3 to 5 years based on the terms of the award.

On January 30, 2013, the Board of Trustees granted 72,118 performance-based share awards to executive officers and employees of the Company. The actual number of common shares issuable under the performance-based share awards will be based on certain performance goals stipulated in the agreements over the period from January 1, 2013 through December 31, 2015 and will be determined in early 2016.

**NOTE 14. QUARTERLY OPERATING RESULTS (UNAUDITED)**

The Company's unaudited consolidated quarterly operating data for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands, except per-share data) is below. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) necessary for a fair presentation of quarterly results have been reflected in the data. It is also management's opinion, however, that quarterly operating data for hotel properties are not indicative of results to be achieved in succeeding quarters or years.

	Year Ended December 31, 2012			
	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
Total revenues	\$77,474	\$94,167	\$104,305	\$104,742
Net income (loss)	(2,837 )	10,027	12,105	7,213
Net income (loss) attributable to the Company	(2,791 )	9,864	11,918	7,088
Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders	(7,247 )	5,407	7,462	2,632
Net income (loss) per share available to common shareholders, basic and diluted	\$(0.14 )	\$0.10	\$0.13	\$0.04

	Year Ended December 31, 2011			
	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
Total revenues	\$42,665	\$73,110	\$87,803	\$84,409
Net income (loss)	(3,044 )	4,299	5,838	8,106
Net income (loss) attributable to the Company	(3,044 )	4,214	5,724	7,962
Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders	(3,591 )	1,753	2,825	3,456
Net income (loss) per share available to common shareholders, basic and diluted	\$(0.09 )	\$0.03	\$0.05	\$0.07

Edgar Filing: Pebblebrook Hotel Trust - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Pebblebrook Hotel Trust  
 Schedule III--Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation  
 As of December 31, 2012  
 (In thousands)

Description	Initial Costs				Gross Amount at End of Year					Accumulated Depreciation
	Encumbrances	Land	Building and Improvements	Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment	Costs Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition	Hand	Building and Improvements	Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment	Total	
DoubleTree by Hilton Bethesda-Washington DC	\$35,602	\$10,065	\$53,000	\$4,035	\$6,624	\$10,065	\$57,225	\$6,434	\$73,724	\$5,800
Sir Francis Drake InterContinental Buckhead	—	22,500	60,547	6,953	13,798	22,500	68,802	12,496	103,798	8,080
Hotel Monaco Washington DC	51,022	25,000	68,844	11,000	8,212	25,000	72,110	15,946	113,056	9,410
The Grand Hotel Minneapolis	45,368	—	60,630	2,441	3,625	—	63,118	3,578	66,696	4,510
Skamania Lodge Sheraton Delfina Santa Monica	—	4,950	26,616	300	7,673	4,950	30,629	3,960	39,539	3,200
Sofitel Philadelphia Argonaut Hotel	30,252	7,129	44,987	3,523	3,173	7,130	46,868	4,814	58,812	3,900
Westin Gaslamp Quarter, San Diego	—	18,784	81,580	2,295	8,139	18,784	85,239	6,775	110,798	5,770
Hotel Monaco Seattle	49,419	18,000	64,256	4,639	2,944	18,000	65,532	6,307	89,839	4,870
Mondrian Los Angeles	46,223	—	79,492	4,247	4,178	—	81,550	6,367	87,917	5,260
Viceroy Miami	81,000	25,537	86,089	6,850	16,929	25,537	100,471	9,397	135,405	7,770
W Boston	—	10,105	38,888	2,073	5,526	10,105	41,484	5,003	56,592	2,760
Hotel Zetta (formerly Hotel Milano)	—	20,306	110,283	6,091	6,219	20,306	113,632	8,961	142,899	6,210
Hotel Vintage Park	—	8,368	24,246	3,723	1,376	8,368	24,702	4,643	37,713	2,470
Hotel Vintage Plaza	—	19,453	63,893	5,887	1,526	19,453	64,566	6,740	90,759	4,590
W Los Angeles - Westwood	—	7,294	22,166	290	6,448	7,294	25,728	3,176	36,198	718
Hotel Palomar San Francisco <sup>(1)</sup>	—	8,170	23,557	706	74	8,170	23,561	776	32,507	511
	—	6,222	23,012	1,093	—	6,222	23,012	1,093	30,327	451
	—	24,403	93,203	3,600	172	24,403	93,203	3,772	121,378	1,100
	27,124	—	63,430	3,780	—	—	63,430	3,780	67,210	442
	\$366,010	\$236,286	\$1,088,719	\$73,526	\$96,636	\$236,287	\$1,144,862	\$114,018	\$1,495,167	\$77,000

<sup>(1)</sup> Encumbrance on the Hotel Palomar San Francisco is presented at face value, which excludes loan premium of \$2,498 at December 31, 2012.



Table of Contents

Pebblebrook Hotel Trust  
 Schedule III--Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation--Continued  
 As of December 31, 2012  
 (In thousands)

## Reconciliation of Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation:

## Reconciliation of Real Estate:

Balance at December 31, 2009	\$—
Acquisitions	602,075
Capital Expenditures	3,307
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$605,382
Acquisitions	515,531
Capital Expenditures	42,639
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$1,163,552
Acquisitions	280,927
Capital Expenditures	50,688
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$1,495,167
Reconciliation of Accumulated Depreciation:	
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$—
Depreciation	5,668
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$5,668
Depreciation	30,400
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$36,068
Depreciation	41,870
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$77,938

F-27

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit
3.1	Declaration of Trust, as amended and supplemented, of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on February 21, 2012 (File No. 001-34571)).
3.2	Bylaws of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-11 filed on July 13, 2010 (File No. 333-168078)).
3.3	First Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Pebblebrook Hotel, L.P., as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on February 21, 2012 (File No. 001-34571)).
10.1*	Pebblebrook Hotel Trust 2009 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended and restated (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 2, 2012 (File No. 001-34571)).
10.2*	Change in Control Severance Agreement between Pebblebrook Hotel Trust and Jon E. Bortz (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 24, 2010 (File No. 001-34571)).
10.3*	Change in Control Severance Agreement between Pebblebrook Hotel Trust and Raymond D. Martz (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 24, 2010 (File No. 001-34571)).
10.4*	Change in Control Severance Agreement between Pebblebrook Hotel Trust and Thomas C. Fisher (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 24, 2010 (File No. 001-34571)).
10.5*	Form of Indemnification Agreement between Pebblebrook Hotel Trust and its officers and trustees (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of Amendment No. 1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A, filed by the Registrant on November 10, 2009 (File No. 333-162412)).
10.6*	Form of Share Award Agreement for officers and employees (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of Amendment No. 2 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A filed on November 25, 2009 (File No. 333-162412)).
10.10*	Form of Share Award Agreement for trustees (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of Amendment No. 2 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A filed on November 25, 2009 (File No. 333-162412)).
10.11*	Form of Share Award Agreement (Performance Vesting) for executive officers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on April 26, 2012 (File No. 001-34571)).
10.12*	Form of LTIP Unit Vesting Agreement (supersedes Exhibits 10.11, 10.12, and 10.13 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 24, 2010 (File No. 001-34571)) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on April 26, 2012).
10.13*	Form of Share Award Agreement for executive officers (supersedes Exhibit 10.5 of Amendment No. 2 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A filed on November 25, 2009 (File No. 333-162412) and Exhibits 10.1, 10.2, and 10.3 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 16, 2010 (File No. 001-34571)) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on April 26, 2012 (File No. 001-34571)).
10.14	Lease, dated December 1, 1999, by and between the United States of America, acting through the Administrator of General Services, and Tariff Building Associates, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 13, 2010 (File

Edgar Filing: Pebblebrook Hotel Trust - Form 10-K

No. 001-34571)).

10.15 Assignment and Assumption of GSA Lease, by and among the United States of America, acting by and through the Administrator of General Services and Authorized Representatives, Tariff Building Associates, L.P., and Jayhawk Owner LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 13, 2010 (File No. 001-34571)).

10.16 Historical Lease, dated October 16, 2000, by and between the United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service acting through the Regional Director, Pacific West Region, an agency of the United States of America, and Maritime Hotel Associates, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 22, 2011 (File No. 001-34571)).

10.17 Seventh Amendment to Historic Lease, dated February 6, 2001, by and between the United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service acting through the Regional Director, Pacific West Region, an agency of the United States of America, and Maritime Hotel Associates, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 22, 2011 (File No. 001-34571)).

---

Table of Contents

10.18	Tenth Amendment to Historic Lease, dated December 9, 2008, by and between the United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service acting through the Regional Director, Pacific West Region, an agency of the United States of America, and Maritime Hotel Associates, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 22, 2011 (File No. 001-34571)).
10.19	Eleventh Amendment to Historic Lease, dated February 16, 2011, by and between the United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service acting through the Regional Director, Pacific West Region, an agency of the United States of America, and Wildcats Owner LLC. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 22, 2011 (File No. 001-34571)).
10.20	Assignment and Assumption of Historical Lease, by and among the United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service acting through the Regional Director, Pacific West Region, an Agency of the United States of America, Maritime Hotel Associates, L.P., and Wildcats Owner LLC. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 22, 2011 (File No. 001-34571)).
10.21	Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of June 3, 2011, among Pebblebrook Hotel, L.P., as borrower, Pebblebrook Hotel Trust, as the parent REIT and a guarantor, certain subsidiaries of the borrower, as guarantors, Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, and the other lenders party hereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 2, 2011 (File No. 001-34571)) (This agreement was amended and restated in July 2012; See Exhibit 10.22 below).
10.22	Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of July 13, 2012, among Pebblebrook Hotel, L.P., as borrower, Pebblebrook Hotel Trust, as the parent REIT and a guarantor, certain subsidiaries of the borrower, as guarantors, Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, and the other lenders party hereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 2, 2011 (File No. 001-34571)) (This agreement supersedes Exhibit 10.21 above).
10.23	Contribution Agreement by and among Denihan Ownership Company, LLC, Denihan Mezz Holding Company, LLC and Cardinals Owner LLC, dated as of June 20, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 24, 2011 (File No. 001-34571)).
10.24	Form of Operating Agreement of DP Holding Company, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 24, 2011 (File No. 001-34571)).
10.25	Second Amended and Restated Operating Agreement of DP Fee Holding Co., LLC, dated July 29, 2011 (supersedes Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 4, 2011) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K/A filed on September 28, 2011 (File No. 001-34571)).
10.26†	Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Operating Agreement of DP Fee Holding Co., LLC, dated December 27, 2012.
10.27	Operating Agreement of DP Lease Holding, LLC, dated July 29, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 4, 2011 (File No. 001-34571)).
10.28†	Loan Agreement, dated as of December 27, 2012, between Goldman Sachs Mortgage Company and 371 Seventh Avenue Co. LLC, 125 East 50 <sup>th</sup> Street Co. LLC, 215 East 64 <sup>th</sup> Street Co. LLC, 155 East 50 <sup>th</sup> Street Co. LLC and 303 Lexington Avenue Co. LLC.
12.1†	Statement of Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Share Dividends.
21.1†	List of Subsidiaries of the Registrant.
23.1†	Consent of KPMG LLP.



Edgar Filing: Pebblebrook Hotel Trust - Form 10-K

23.2†	Consent of PKF O'Connor Davies, A Division of O'Connor Davies, LLP.
31.1†	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2†	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1**	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2**	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
99.1†	Audited combined financial statements of DP Fee Holding Co., LLC and DP Lease Holding, LLC.
101.INS XBRL	Instance Document <sup>(1)</sup>
101.SCH XBRL	Taxonomy Extension Schema Document <sup>(1)</sup>
101.CAL XBRL	Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document <sup>(1)</sup>
101.LAB XBRL	Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document <sup>(1)</sup>
101.DEF XBRL	Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document <sup>(1)</sup>

---

Table of Contents

101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document <sup>(1)</sup>

---

\*Management agreement or compensatory plan or arrangement.

Filed electronically herewith.

\*\*Furnished herewith.

Users of this data are advised pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T that this interactive data file is deemed not  
<sup>(1)</sup> filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of  
1933, as amended, is deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as  
amended, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.