GOODRICH PETROLEUM CORP Form DEF 14A April 17, 2014 Table of Contents

# UNITED STATES

# **Securities and Exchange Commission**

Washington, D.C. 20549

# **SCHEDULE 14A**

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities

Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant [X]
Filed by a Party other than the Registrant [ ]
Check the appropriate box:
[ ] Preliminary Proxy Statement
[ ] Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
[X] Definitive Proxy Statement
[ ] Definitive Additional Materials
[ ] Soliciting Material Pursuant to § 240.14a-12
GOODRICH PETROLEUM CORPORATION
(Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)
(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)
Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):
[X] No fee required.
[ ] Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.
1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

2)	Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
	Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
4)	Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
5)	Total fee paid:
[]	Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
	Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing. Amount Previously Paid:
2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
3)	Filing Party:
4)	Date Filed:

# Goodrich Petroleum Corporation

801 Louisiana Street

Suite 700

Houston, Texas 77002

April 18, 2014

To Our Stockholders:

It is my pleasure to invite you to the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Goodrich Petroleum Corporation, to be held at The Coronado Club, located at 919 Milam, Suite 500, Houston, Texas, 77010, on Tuesday, May 20, 2014, at 11:00 a.m. local time (the Annual Meeting).

Details of the business to be conducted at the Annual Meeting are provided in the attached Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement. Additionally, enclosed with the proxy materials is our Annual Report to Stockholders for the year ended December 31, 2013.

You received these materials with a proxy card that indicates the number of votes that you will be entitled to cast at the Annual Meeting according to our records or the records of your broker or other nominee. Our board of directors has determined that owners of record of our common stock at the close of business on April 1, 2014 are entitled to notice of, and have the right to vote at, the Annual Meeting and any reconvened meeting following any adjournment or postponement of the meeting.

On behalf of the Board of Directors and our employees, I would like to express my appreciation for your continued interest in our affairs.

By Order of the Board of Directors

Walter G. Gil Goodrich

Vice Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

# Goodrich Petroleum Corporation

801 Louisiana Street

Suite 700

Houston, Texas 77002

#### NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

### **TO BE HELD MAY 20, 2014**

To Our Stockholders:

Notice is hereby given that the 2014 Annual Meeting of the Stockholders of Goodrich Petroleum Corporation, a Delaware corporation, will be held at The Coronado Club, located at 919 Milam, Suite 500, Houston, Texas, 77010, on Tuesday, May 20, 2014, at 11:00 a.m. local time (the Annual Meeting).

At the Annual Meeting, stockholders will be asked to:

- 1. Elect three Class I directors to our Board of Directors;
- Ratify the selection of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014;
- 3. Approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our Named Executive Officers as described in Compensation Discussion and Analysis;
- 4. Approve the Second Amendment to the Company's amended 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the 2006 Plan);
- 5. Reapprove the material terms of the 2006 Plan for purposes of complying with Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code; and
- 6. Transact such other business as may properly come before such meeting.

Only stockholders of record at the close of business on April 1, 2014 are entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting. For specific voting information, see General Information about the Annual Meeting beginning on page 1 of the enclosed proxy statement. A list of stockholders will be available commencing May 9, 2014 and may be inspected at our offices during normal business hours prior to the Annual Meeting. The list of stockholders will also be available for review at the Annual Meeting. In the event there are not sufficient votes for a quorum or to approve the items of business at the time of the Annual Meeting, the Annual Meeting may be adjourned in order to permit further solicitation of proxies.

Whether or not you attend the Annual Meeting, it is important that your shares be represented and voted at the meeting. Therefore, I urge you to promptly vote and submit your proxy. You may vote by telephone, Internet or mail. To vote by telephone, call 1-800-PROXIES (1-800-776-9437) using a touch-tone phone to transmit your voting instructions up until 11:59 p.m. (EDT) the day before the Annual Meeting date. Have your proxy card in hand when you call and then follow the instructions. To vote electronically, access <a href="https://www.voteproxy.com">www.voteproxy.com</a> over the Internet to transmit your voting instructions and for electronic delivery of information up until 11:59 p.m. (EDT) the day before the Annual Meeting date. Have your proxy card in hand when you access the website and follow the instructions to obtain your records and to create an electronic voting instruction form. You may vote by mail by signing, dating and returning the enclosed proxy card in the enclosed envelope. If you decide to attend the Annual Meeting, you will be able to vote in person, even if you have previously submitted your proxy.

By Order of the Board of Directors

Michael J. Killelea

Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary

April 18, 2014

Houston, Texas

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials

For the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be Held on May 20, 2014

The Company s Notice of Annual Meeting, Proxy Statement and 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K are available at http://www.RRDEZProxy.com/2014/GoodrichPetroleum

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

GENERAL INFORMATION	1
Q. What am I voting on?	1
O. Who can vote?	1
O. How do I vote my shares?	1
O. What are the recommendations of the Board?	1
Q. How many shares can I vote?	2
Q. What happens if I withhold my vote for an individual director?	2
O. How many votes must be present to hold the Annual Meeting?	2
Q. What is a broker non-vote?	2
O. How many votes are needed to approve each of the proposals?	2
Q. Can I vote on other matters?	3
Q. Who is soliciting my proxy?	3
PROPOSAL NO. 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS	4
General	4
Director Nominees	4
PROPOSAL NO. 2 RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED ACCOUNTING FIRM	7
PROPOSAL NO. 3 ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	8
2013 Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation	8
Summary of Changes in 2013 to Executive Compensation	8
PROPOSAL NO. 4 APPROVAL OF THE SECOND AMENDMENT TO THE GOODRICH PETROLEUM CORPORATION 2006	Ü
LONG-TERM INCENTIVE PLAN	10
PROPOSAL NO. 5 REAPPROVAL OF THE MATERIAL TERMS OF THE 2006 PLAN FOR PURPOSES OF COMPLYING WITH	10
SECTION 162(M) OF THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE	16
STOCK OWNERSHIP MATTERS	17
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	17
Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management	17
INFORMATION ABOUT OUR EXECUTIVE OFFICERS	20
COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	21
Selected 2013 Business Highlights	21
2013 Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation	21
Summary of Changes in 2013 to Executive Compensation	21
Stockholder Outreach Program	22
Overview of Our Executive Compensation Program	22
Other Matters	29
COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	30 31
Summary Compensation	31
Grants of Plan-Based Awards	32
Narrative Disclosure to Summary Compensation Table and Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table Outstanding Equity Awards Value at Fiscal Year-End Table	32
Outstanding Equity Awards Value at Fiscal Teal-End Table  Option Exercises and Stock Vested	33 33
Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control	
	34
Equity-Based Compensation Plans	35
Risk Assessment Related to our Compensation Structure	38
Audit Committee MATTERS  Audit Committee Property	39
Autic IN Autic E	39
Audit and Non-Audit Fees  Audit and Non-Audit Fees	40
Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policy  GODDON ATTE GOVERNANCE	40
CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	41
Governance Practices	41
Our Board	41
Director Nomination Process	44
Standing Committees of our Board	46

# **Table of Contents**

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION	48
TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PERSONS	49
Transactions Involving Directors and Executive Officers	49
Policies and Procedures	49
<u>DIRECTOR COMPENSATION</u>	51
General Control of the Control of th	51
Retainer / Fees	51
Non-Employee Director Stock Ownership Guidelines	52
Other Arrangements	52
STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS AND DIRECTOR NOMINATIONS FOR THE 2014 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS	53
OTHER MATTERS	53
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ABOUT US	54

### **Goodrich Petroleum Corporation**

801 Louisiana Street

Suite 700

Houston, Texas 77002

### PROXY STATEMENT

These proxy materials are being furnished to you in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors (the Board ) of Goodrich Petroleum Corporation, a Delaware corporation ( we or the Company or Goodrich ), for use at the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and any adjournments or postponements of the meeting (the Annual Meeting ). The Annual Meeting will be held at The Coronado Club, located at 919 Milam, Suite 500, Houston, Texas, 77010, on Tuesday, May 20, 2014, at 11:00 a.m. local time. The Notice of Annual Meeting, this proxy statement, the enclosed proxy card and our Annual Report to Stockholders for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 (the Annual Report ) are being mailed to stockholders beginning on or about April 19, 2014.

#### GENERAL INFORMATION

### Q. What am I voting on?

- A. 1. The election of three Class I directors to our Board of Directors;
  - 2. The ratification of the selection of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014;
  - 3. Approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our Named Executive Officers as described in Compensation Discussion and Analysis;
  - 4. Approve the Second Amendment to the Company s amended 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the 2006 Plan );
  - 5. Reapprove the material terms of the 2006 Plan for purposes of complying with Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code; and
  - 6. The transaction of such other business as may properly come before such meeting.

### Q. Who can vote?

A. Stockholders as of the close of business on April 1, 2014, the record date, are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting

# Q. How do I vote my shares?

A. You may vote your shares either in person or by proxy. To vote by proxy, you may vote via telephone by using the toll-free number listed on the proxy card, via Internet at the website for Internet voting listed on the proxy card, or you may mark, date, sign, and mail the enclosed proxy card in the prepaid envelope. Giving a proxy will not affect the right to vote the shares if you attend the Annual Meeting and want to vote in person by voting person you automatically revoke the proxy. If you vote the shares in person, you must present proof that you own the shares as of the record date through brokers statements or similar proof and identification. You also may revoke the proxy at any time before the meeting by giving the Corporate Secretary written notice of the revocation or by submitting a later-dated proxy. If you return the signed proxy card but do not mark your voting preference, the individuals named as proxies will vote the shares in accordance with the recommendations of the Board of Directors as set forth below.

### Q. What are the recommendations of the Board?

- A. 1. The Board unanimously recommends that you vote *FOR* the election of the nominated slate of Class I directors.
  - 2. The Board unanimously recommends that you vote *FOR* ratification of the selection of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014.

1

### **Table of Contents**

- 3. The Board unanimously recommends that you vote *FOR* the approval, on an advisory basis, of the compensation of our Named Executive Officers as described in Compensation Discussion and Analysis.
- 4. The Board unanimously recommends that you vote *FOR* the approval of the Second Amendment to the 2006 Plan.
- 5. The Board unanimously recommends that you vote *FOR* the reapproval of the material terms of the 2006 Plan for purposes of complying with Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code.

### Q. How many shares can I vote?

A. As of the record date, April 1, 2014, Goodrich had outstanding 44,272,848 shares of common stock. Each share of common stock is entitled to one (1) vote.

### Q. What happens if I withhold my vote for an individual director?

A. Because the individual directors are elected by plurality of the votes cast at the meeting, a withheld vote will not have an effect on the outcome of the election of an individual director.

### Q. How many votes must be present to hold the Annual Meeting?

There must be a quorum for the Annual Meeting to be held. A quorum is the presence at the Annual Meeting, in person or by proxy, of the holders of a majority of the shares of common stock issued and outstanding and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting on the record date. The presence of the holders of at least 22,136,425 shares of common stock is required to establish a quorum for the Annual Meeting. Proxies that are voted FOR, AGAINST or WITHHELD a matter are treated as being present at the Annual Meeting for purposes of establishing a quorum and also treated as shares represented and voting at the Annual Meeting with respect to such matter.

Abstentions and broker non-votes are counted for purposes of determining the presence or absence of a quorum for the transaction of business.

### Q. What is a broker non-vote?

A. The New York Stock Exchange s (the NYSE) Rule 452 restricts when brokers who are record holders of shares may exercise discretionary authority to vote those shares. Brokers are permitted to vote on discretionary items if they have not received instructions from the beneficial owners, but they are not permitted to vote (a broker non-vote) on non-discretionary items absent instructions from the beneficial owner. With respect to the Annual Meeting, Rule 452 prohibits such brokers from exercising discretionary authority in the election of the Company s Class I directors, the non-binding advisory vote to approve the compensation of the Company s Named Executive Officers, the approval of the Second Amendment to the 2006 Plan and the approval of the material terms of the 2006 Plan for purposes of complying with Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, but such brokers may exercise discretionary authority with respect to the ratification of the appointment of the Company s independent registered public accounting firm.

Abstentions and broker non-votes will be included for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present at the Annual Meeting.

### Q. How many votes are needed to approve each of the proposals?

A. Under our Bylaws, the nominees for election as directors at the Annual Meeting who received the highest number of *FOR* votes will be elected as directors. This is called plurality voting. Broker non-votes and votes marked *WITHHOLD AUTHORITY* or *FOR ALL EXCEPT* (with respect to the nominees for which authority is withheld) will have no legal effect on the election of directors under Delaware law.

The ratification of the appointment of the independent registered public account firm requires the affirmative vote of a majority of shares represented in person or by proxy and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting. Abstentions will have the same effect as a vote against the proposal.

2

### **Table of Contents**

The approval, on an advisory basis, of the compensation of our Named Executive Officers, requires the affirmative vote of a majority of shares represented in person or by proxy and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting. Abstentions will have the same effect as a vote against the proposal.

The approval of the Second Amendment to the 2006 Plan requires the affirmative vote of a majority of shares represented in person or by proxy and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting. Abstentions will have the same effect as a vote against the proposal.

The approval of the material terms of the 2006 Plan for purposes of complying with Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code requires the affirmative vote of a majority of shares represented in person or by proxy and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting, Abstentions will have the same effect as a vote against the proposal.

### Q. Can I vote on other matters?

A. We do not expect any other matter to come before the meeting. We did not receive any stockholder proposals by the date required for such proposals to be considered. If any other matter is presented at the Annual Meeting, the signed proxy gives the individuals named as proxies authority to vote the shares on such matters at their discretion.

### Q. Who is soliciting my proxy?

A. The Board of Directors of Goodrich Petroleum Corporation is sending you this Proxy Statement in connection with the solicitation of proxies for use at Goodrich s 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Certain directors, officers and employees of Goodrich may also solicit proxies on our behalf by mail, phone, fax or in person. We have retained Georgeson, Inc. ( Georgeson ) to assist in the solicitation of proxies for a fee of approximately \$8,000 plus reimbursement for out-of-pocket expenses.

3

### PROPOSAL NO. 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

#### General

Our Board consisted of ten members until the passing of Mr. Henry Goodrich, Chairman-Emeritus in March 2014. The Board is evaluating whether it will seek to fill the Class II director vacancy created by Mr. Henry Goodrich s passing or resolve to reduce the size of our Board to nine members. Pursuant to our Bylaws, our Board is divided into three classes (Classes I, II and III) serving staggered terms. The term of office for each of our Class I directors, Josiah T. Austin, Peter D. Goodson and Gene Washington expires at the Annual Meeting. The term of office for each of our Class II directors, Patrick E. Malloy, III, and Michael J. Perdue, expires at our 2015 Annual Meeting. The term of office for each of our Class III directors, Walter G. Goodrich, Robert C. Turnham, Jr., Arthur Seeligson and Stephen M. Straty, expires at our 2016 Annual Meeting. Following election to the Board, each director serves for a term of three years or until a successor is elected and qualified.

Based on the recommendations from the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, our Board has nominated its current Class I directors, Messrs. Austin, Goodson, and Washington, for election to our Board as Class I directors with a term of office expiring at our 2017 Annual Meeting. Our Board has affirmatively determined that Messrs. Austin, Goodson and Washington are independent. Please see Corporate Governance Our Board Board Size; Director Independence. We have no reason to believe that any of Messrs. Austin, Goodson and Washington will be unavailable for election. However, if any nominee becomes unavailable for election, our Board can name a substitute nominee and proxies will be voted for the substitute nominee pursuant to discretionary authority, unless withheld.

### **Recommendation of the Board**

# OUR BOARD RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE ELECTION OF EACH OF THE NOMINATED DIRECTORS

#### **Director Nominees**

The principal occupations and other information about the Board nominees for director and our incumbent Board members are set forth below:

### Class I Directors Terms Expiring at the 2017 Annual Meeting (if re-elected)

Name	Age	Position
Josiah T. Austin	66	Director
Peter D. Goodson	71	Director
Gene Washington	67	Director

Josiah T. Austin is the managing member of El Coronado Holdings, L.L.C., a privately owned investment holding company. He and his family own and operate agricultural properties in the state of Arizona and northern Sonora, Mexico through El Coronado Ranch & Cattle Company, L.L.C. and other entities. Mr. Austin previously served on the Board of Directors of Monterey Bay Bancorp of Watsonville, California, and is a prior board member of New York Bancorp, Inc., which merged with North Fork Bancorporation in 1998. He is an active investor in publicly traded financial institutions and is currently on The Board of Directors of Novogen, LTD and Protea Biosciences Group Inc. Mr. Austin brings his many years of experience as a successful rancher and independent businessman to the Board as well as his experience serving on numerous corporate and civic boards, including other publicly traded companies. He became one of the Company s directors in 2002.

*Peter D. Goodson* has been a lead member of the Mekong Capital Advisory Board, a Vietnamese private equity firm since 2010, an operating partner of Dubilier & Company since 1998 and a visiting lecturer at Haas Business School of the University of California, Berkeley, and the Berkeley-Columbia program where he has lectured

4

since January 2004. Mr. Goodson is a former director of dELiA\*s, Inc., Montgomery Ward & Co., Kidder, Peabody & Co., Broadgate Consultants, Silicon Valley Bancshares, the former New York Bancorp, Inc., and Dial Industries. Mr. Goodson brings his years of experience in advising corporate leaders in a variety of industries on a range of complex strategic, financial and business performance issues to the Board. He was elected to the Company s Board of Directors in 2011.

Gene Washington is the former Director of Football Operations with the National Football League (NFL) in New York. He previously served as a professional sportscaster and as Assistant Athletic Director for Stanford University prior to assuming his position with the NFL in 1994. Mr. Washington serves and has served on numerous corporate and civic boards, including serving as a director of the former New York Bancorp, a NYSE listed company. Mr. Washington contributes to the Board leadership skills that he honed throughout his career in the NFL. He was elected to the Company s Board of Directors in 2003.

### Class II Directors Terms Expiring at the 2015 Annual Meeting

Name	Age	Position	
Patrick E. Malloy, III	71	Chairman	
Michael I Perdue	59	Director	

Patrick E. Malloy, III became Chairman of the Board of Directors in 2003. He has been President and Chief Executive Officer of Malloy Enterprises, Inc., a real estate and investment holding company, and Malloy Real Estate, Inc. since 1973. In addition, Mr. Malloy served as a director of North Fork Bancorp (NYSE) from 1998 to 2002 and was Chairman of the Board of New York Bancorp (NYSE) from 1991 to 1998. Mr. Malloy s previous experience as Chairman of the Board of New York Bancorp, Inc. makes him uniquely qualified to serve as Chairman of our Board. He is also very familiar with the oil and gas industry and areas in which we operate and contributes this knowledge to the Board. He joined the Company s Board in 2000.

Michael J. Perdue has served as one of our directors since 2001. He is the President of PacWest Bancorp., a publicly traded holding company and of its subsidiary, Pacific Western Bank, both based in San Diego, California. Before assuming his present position in 2006, Mr. Perdue served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Community Bancorp Inc., from 2003. Over the course of his career, Mr. Perdue has held executive positions with several banking and real estate development organizations. His finance and business leadership skills from his career in the banking industry make him uniquely qualified to be a member of our Board as well as his qualifications as an audit committee financial expert under the SEC guidelines.

### Class III Directors Terms Expiring at the 2016 Annual Meeting

Name	Age	Position
Walter G. Goodrich	55	Vice Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and Director
Robert C. Turnham, Jr.	56	President, Chief Operating Officer and Director
Arthur A. Seeligson	55	Director
Stephen M. Straty	58	Director

Walter G. Gil Goodrich became Vice Chairman of our Board in 2003. He has served as our Chief Executive Officer since 1995. Mr. Goodrich was Goodrich Oil Company s Vice President of Exploration from 1985 to 1989 and its President from 1989 to 1995. He joined Goodrich Oil Company, which held interests in and served as operator of various properties owned by a predecessor of the Company, as an exploration geologist in 1980. Gil Goodrich is the son of Henry Goodrich, our late Chairman Emeritus, Director. He has served as a director since 1995. Mr. Goodrich s invaluable perspective as our top executive officer on the Board and his experience as a geologist and a businessman led to his nomination to serve as a director.

Robert C. Turnham, Jr. has served as our Chief Operating Officer since 1995. He became President and Chief Operating Officer in 2003. He has held various positions in the oil and natural gas business since 1981. From

### **Table of Contents**

1981 to 1984, Mr. Turnham served as a financial analyst for Pennzoil. In 1984, he formed Turnham Interests, Inc. to pursue oil and natural gas investment opportunities. From 1993 to 1995, he was a partner in and served as President of Liberty Production Company, an oil and natural gas exploration and production company. He has served as a director since 2006. Mr. Turnham brings invaluable oil and gas operating experience to the Board. Additionally, he has held various executive management positions in the oil and natural gas business since 1981 and is able to assist the Board in creating and evaluating the Company s strategic plan. For these reasons, Mr. Turnham has been nominated to serve as a director.

*Arthur A. Seeligson* has served as one of our directors since 1995 and brings his knowledge of the oil and gas industry to the Board. He has been the Managing Partner of Seeligson Oil Co. Ltd. since 1996 and also manages a family investment office in Houston. Previously, Mr. Seeligson was an investment banker focused on the oil and gas industry. For these reasons, Mr. Seeligson has been nominated to serve as a director.

Stephen M. Straty has served as one of our directors since 2009. He is the Americas Co-Head of Energy Investment Banking at Jefferies & Company, Inc. Mr. Straty joined the firm in 2008 and has 30 years of experience in finance, previously serving as Senior Managing Director and Head of the Natural Resources Group at Bear, Stearns & Co., Inc. where he worked for 17 years. Mr. Straty has extensive experience in serving a broad array of energy clients, having completed over \$40.0 billion in merger and acquisition and financing assignments during the past ten years. Mr. Straty brings significant experience in both the finance and energy industries to the board. For these reasons, Mr. Straty has been nominated to serve as a director.

6

### PROPOSAL NO. 2 RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF

### INDEPENDENT REGISTERED ACCOUNTING FIRM

Although stockholder approval is not required for the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP, the Board and the Audit Committee have determined that it is desirable as a good corporate governance practice. Ratification requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares entitled to vote and represented in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting. If our stockholders do not ratify the appointment, the Audit Committee may reconsider the appointment. However, even if the appointment is ratified, the Audit Committee, in its discretion, may select different independent auditors if it subsequently determines that such a change would be in the best interest of us and our stockholders.

A representative of Ernst & Young LLP is expected to be present at the Annual Meeting and will have an opportunity to make a statement if such representative desires to do so and will be available to respond to appropriate questions from stockholders at the Annual Meeting.

### Recommendation of the Board

#### OUR BOARD RECOMMENDS VOTING FOR

THE RATIFICATION OF THE SELECTION OF ERNST & YOUNG LLP FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2014

7

#### PROPOSAL NO. 3 ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The Board recognizes that executive compensation is an important matter for our stockholders. As described in detail in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis (CD&A) section of this proxy statement, the Compensation Committee is tasked with the implementation of our executive compensation philosophy, and the core of that philosophy has been and continues to be to pay our executive officers based on our performance. In particular, the Compensation Committee strives to attract, retain and motivate exceptional executives, to reward past performance measured against established goals and provide incentives for future performance, and to align executives long-term interests with the interests of our stockholders. To do so, the Compensation Committee uses a combination of short- and long-term incentive compensation to reward near-term excellent performance and to encourage executives commitment to our long-range, strategic business goals.

### 2013 Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation

The Company provides its stockholders with the opportunity to cast an annual advisory vote on executive compensation (the Say-On-Pay Proposal ). We obtained majority support for our Say-On-Pay Proposal at the Company s annual meeting of stockholders held in May 2013, as approximately 65% of stockholders voting on the proposal voted for it. In recognition of these voting results, the Compensation Committee initiated a review of the executive compensation decisions and policies for 2013 and took the following actions: (i) made significant changes in our executive compensation programs and policies, including changes designed to better align our pay with our performance, and (ii) directed management to initiate a stockholder outreach program. Both of these actions are described in greater detail below.

## **Summary of Changes in 2013 to Executive Compensation**

The principal changes to our executive compensation programs made by the Compensation Committee and the Company following our 2013 Annual Meeting of stockholders are summarized below. These changes were made based upon information gathered from stockholders, executive officers, our independent compensation consultant, Longnecker & Associates (L&A), and Institutional Shareholder Services, a shareholder advisory firm. The Board and the Compensation Committee will continue to explore ways in which the Company s executive compensation programs can be improved.

Enhanced Pay-for-Performance Component: Historically, the Company has linked executive pay with performance in the short-term through incentive bonuses and in the long-term through grants of phantom stock. In response to the feedback from our 2013 Say-On-Pay Proposal, the Compensation Committee decided to enhance the pay-for-performance component of our compensation program by implementing a new program whereby approximately 50% of executives long-term equity based incentives were grants of phantom stock that are tied to the performance of the Company s stock compared to that of its peers. For a more detailed discussion of these new performance awards, please see Compensation Discussion and Analysis Elements of Executive Compensation Long-Term Equity Based Incentives Performance Awards.

Introduced Total Stockholder Return ( TSR ) as a Compensation Factor: In response to our 2013 Say-On-Pay Proposal, the Compensation Committee decided to introduce the Company s TSR as a factor for our 2013 compensation program for all executives. The Compensation Committee believes that TSR is an important metric to acknowledge when evaluating executive pay and it is the sole metric that payouts of our new performance awards of phantom stock are measured by. We believe that the successes described in Compensation Discussion and Analysis Selected 2013 Business Highlights contributed to an increase of 114% in the Company s TSR during the period observed for 2013 executive compensation. For a more detailed discussion, please see Compensation Discussion and Analysis Elements of Executive Compensation Long-Term Equity Based Incentives Performance Awards Payout Matrix.

**Stock Ownership Guidelines**: The Company has traditionally had a strong culture of executive stock ownership and the Board formalized those practices in 2013 through the implementation of stock

8

### **Table of Contents**

ownership guidelines. Pursuant to these guidelines, our named executive officers are expected to maintain significant stock ownership levels dependent on their role, including an amount equal to six times base salary for our CEO. Please see Compensation Discussion and Analysis Other Matters Stock Ownership Guidelines for more information.

**Peer Group Review**: In 2013, the Compensation Committee, assisted by L&A, conducted an extensive review of the Company s peer group compensation-comparison practices, including analysis of the peer group composition, taking into consideration the relevant revenue and market capitalization size, industry, location, and competition for executive talent, and the targeted pay percentile goals relative to base salary, target annual bonus and long-term incentives.

### **Stockholder Outreach Program**

We have instituted a stockholder outreach program to initiate discussions with and gain insight and perspective from our large stockholders regarding our executive compensation programs. Our outreach program began prior to our 2014 Annual Meeting with several productive discussions regarding the recent changes the Company was implementing in recognition of its 2013 Say-On-Pay voting results. We invited our top 25 institutional stockholders who collectively represented approximately 50% of our outstanding shares, to a dialogue regarding their views, opinions, and proxy voting guidelines with respect to companies executive compensation programs and disclosures. The discussions included topics such as CEO compensation, compensation disclosure, equity award composition and other non-compensation corporate governance issues. The Company intends to continue this outreach program going forward to facilitate continued stockholder input into the Company s compensation philosophy and governance practices as needed in future years.

As described in the CD&A, we believe our compensation program is effective, appropriate and strongly aligned with the long-term interests of our stockholders and that the total compensation packages provided to the named executive officers are reasonable in the aggregate. As you consider this Proposal No. 3, we urge you to read the CD&A section of this proxy statement for additional details on executive compensation, including the more detailed information about our compensation philosophy and objectives and the past compensation of the named executive officers, and to review the tabular disclosures regarding named executive officer compensation together with the accompanying narrative disclosures in the Executive Compensation section of this proxy statement.

As an advisory vote, Proposal No. 3 is not binding on the Board or the Compensation Committee, will not overrule any decisions made by the Board or the Compensation Committee, and will not require the Board or the Compensation Committee to take any action. Although the vote is non-binding, the Board and the Compensation Committee value the opinions of our stockholders, and will carefully consider the outcome of the vote when making future compensation decisions for executive officers.

We are asking stockholders to vote **FOR** the following resolution:

**RESOLVED**, that the stockholders approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation philosophy, policies and procedures and the compensation of the named executive officers as disclosed in this Proxy Statement for Goodrich Petroleum Corporation s 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the Summary Compensation Table for 2013 and the other related tables and disclosure required by Item 402 of Regulation S-K.

## Recommendation of the Board

OUR BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT STOCKHOLDERS VOTE FOR THE APPROVAL OF THE COMPENSATION OF THE NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, AS DISCLOSED IN THIS PROXY STATEMENT.

9

#### PROPOSAL NO. 4 APPROVAL OF THE SECOND AMENDMENT

### TO THE GOODRICH PETROLEUM CORPORATION

#### 2006 LONG-TERM INCENTIVE PLAN

#### Introduction

The Goodrich Petroleum Corporation 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the 2006 Plan ) was approved by our stockholders on May 18, 2006. The 2006 Plan is a broad-based incentive stock plan that provides for grants of stock options, stock appreciation rights (SARs), restricted stock, phantom shares, performance awards, and stock payments to our employees, consultants and non-employee directors and to employees and consultants of our subsidiaries. The 2006 Plan, as initially approved by our stockholders, authorized the delivery of 2,000,000 shares of our common stock (Shares) pursuant to awards.

At our 2011 Annual Meeting, the stockholders approved the First Amendment to the 2006 Plan, which (i) increased the number of Shares available for delivery pursuant to awards under the 2006 Plan to a total of 4,000,000 Shares and (ii) extended the term of the 2006 Plan to May 19, 2021.

The Second Amendment would (i) increase the number of Shares available for delivery pursuant to awards under the 2006 Plan by an additional 2,250,000 Shares, (ii) extend the term of the 2006 Plan to May 20, 2024 and (iii) increase the individual award limits so that the maximum amount of cash-denominated Performance Awards that may be granted to any participant during any calendar year may not exceed \$5,000,000. Our Board of Directors (the Board) has unanimously approved this Second Amendment to the 2006 Plan, subject to its approval by the stockholders of the Company.

### Reasons for the Proposal

We generally grant awards each year under the 2006 Plan with respect to approximately 475,000 Shares. As of March 30, 2014, we had 1,600,000 shares of granted but unvested restricted stock and 180,000 Shares available for new grants.

Assuming the presence of a quorum, the affirmative vote of a majority of the Shares present, in person or by proxy, to vote at the Annual Meeting is necessary for approval of the Second Amendment.

### Consequences of Failing to Approve the Proposal

Failure of the Company s stockholders to approve Proposal No. 4 will not affect the rights of holders of existing awards under the 2006 Plan. The 2006 Plan shall continue regardless of the outcome of the stockholder vote. However, if this Proposal is not approved, new grants under the 2006 Plan will be limited. In addition, the Company may need to find other ways to attract and retain key employees.

### Summary of the 2006 Plan

Below is a summary of the more significant features of the 2006 Plan, as it would be amended by the Second Amendment. This summary is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the 2006 Plan and the proposed Second Amendment, which are included in this proxy statement as Annex A.

### Number of Shares Subject to the 2006 Plan

The maximum number of Shares that may be delivered with respect to awards under the 2006 Plan, including awards already settled in Shares, is presently 4,000,000, subject to adjustments for certain stock splits, stock dividends, reorganizations and other corporate events, as provided by the 2006 Plan. The Second Amendment would authorize an additional 2,250,000 Shares for delivery with respect to future awards. The additional 2,250,000 Shares would be subject to the same potential adjustments as currently provided for Shares under the 2006 Plan.

Table of Contents 21

10

#### **Table of Contents**

Shares to be delivered under the 2006 Plan may be newly issued Shares or Shares acquired in the open-market or from any other person. To the extent that an award terminates, expires, lapses, is cancelled or is settled in cash rather than in Shares, the Shares subject to that award may be used again with respect to new grants made under the 2006 Plan. However, Shares tendered, withheld or netted from an award to satisfy either the award s exercise price or the Company s tax withholding obligations with respect to the award may not be used again for new grants under the 2006 Plan. There is no limit on the number of awards that may be settled in cash under the 2006 Plan.

#### Administration

In general, the 2006 Plan is administered by the Compensation Committee of the Board ( Committee ), which is intended to be comprised solely of two or more non-employee directors who qualify as outside directors (within the meaning of such term under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code )). The Committee has the full authority, subject to the terms of the 2006 Plan, to establish rules and regulations for the administration of the 2006 Plan, to select the employees, consultants and non-employee directors to whom awards are granted, and to determine the amount and type of awards made to each and the terms of the various awards made.

#### Eligibility to Participate

All employees, consultants and non-employee directors of the Company and all employees and consultants of subsidiaries of the Company are eligible to be granted awards under the 2006 Plan. The selection of which eligible individuals will receive awards is within the sole discretion of the Committee. As of March 31, 2014, we had 107 employees and nine directors that would be eligible to receive awards under the 2006 Plan.

#### Term of Plan

The 2006 Plan will expire on the earliest of (1) May 20, 2024, if the Second Amendment is approved by our stockholders (if not approved, the 2006 Plan will expire on May 19, 2021), (2) whenever the number of Shares that has been approved by our stockholders for delivery pursuant to awards under the 2006 Plan has been reached, or (3) when the 2006 Plan is terminated by the Committee or our Board.

# Types of Awards under the 2006 Plan

# Stock Options and SARs

The Committee may grant stock options and SARs. The terms of the options and SARs will be as specified by the Committee at the date of grant, but may not have a term of more than ten years. The exercise price for each option and SAR may not be less than the fair market value of a Share on the date that the option or SAR is granted. The Committee will also determine the method by which the option exercise price may be paid upon exercise and, with respect to an SAR, whether the SAR is to be settled in cash and/or in Shares on exercise.

The Committee shall also determine the length of service, performance objectives or other conditions, if any, that must be satisfied before all or part of an option or SAR may vest and be exercised. In addition, the period during the award s term during which a vested option or SAR may be exercised shall be set forth in the award agreement.

The status of an option granted to an employee may be an incentive stock option ( ISO ) under Section 422 of the Code or a non-statutory stock option, as designated by the Committee at the time of grant. The Committee may determine the method by which the option price may be paid upon exercise, including in cash, check, Shares or by any combination thereof.

Of the Shares that have been granted pursuant to the 2006 Plan as of March 30, 2014, there are a total of 854,634 stock options outstanding, with an average exercise price of \$21.64 and a remaining average term of 1.84 years. Exercise prices for our outstanding stock option awards range from \$16.46 to \$19.78.

11

#### Restricted Stock

Pursuant to a Restricted Stock award, Shares are issued in the name of the employee, consultant or director at the time the award is made, but remain subject to certain vesting and transfer restrictions as provided in the award s grant agreement, which may be linked to continued service for a period, the achievement of specified performance criteria, and/or other specified criteria, as determined in the discretion of the Committee.

#### Phantom Shares

Phantom Shares are awards of rights with respect to a specified number of phantom or notional Shares. Such awards are subject to such vesting criteria as the Committee may specify, including continued service, the achievement of specified performance criteria, and/or other specified criteria, as determined in the discretion of the Committee. Payment of vested Phantom Shares may be made in cash, Shares, or in any combination thereof, as determined by the Committee in its discretion. Any payment to be made in cash will be based on the fair market value of a Share on the vesting date.

### Stock Payments

Stock Payment awards are Shares that are vested when granted and may be paid as a bonus or as part of, or in lieu of all or any portion of, any cash bonus, deferred compensation or other compensation due an eligible individual.

### Performance Awards

The Committee may grant Performance Awards, which are dollar-denominated awards that may be paid in cash, Shares or any combination thereof, as determined by the Committee in its discretion. At the time of the grant, the Committee will establish the dollar amount of each Performance Award, the specified criteria that must be achieved, including the passage of time or achievement of designated Performance Criteria, and the period over which the performance or vesting goals will be measured. Following the end of the performance period, the Committee will determine the amount payable to the holder of the Performance Award based on the achievement of the vesting and/or performance goals for such performance period. Payment of vested awards will be made in cash and/or in Shares, as determined by the Committee, following the close of the performance period.

### Performance Criteria

With respect to certain awards that are intended to qualify as performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) of the Code, the Committee may establish performance goals based upon the attainment of such target levels of one or more of the Performance Criteria (as described below) over one or more periods of time, which may be of varying and overlapping durations, as the Committee may select. A performance goal need not be based upon an increase or positive result under a Performance Criteria and could, for example, be based upon limiting economic losses or maintaining the status quo. Which Performance Criteria to be used with respect to any grant, and the weight to be accorded thereto if more than one criteria is used, will be determined by the Committee at the time of grant. Following the completion of each specified performance period, the Committee will certify in writing whether the applicable performance goals have been achieved for such performance period. In determining the amount earned by a participant, the Committee will have the right to reduce or eliminate (but not to increase) the amount payable at a given level of performance to take into account additional factors that the Committee may deem relevant to the assessment of individual or corporate performance for the performance period.

For purposes of the 2006 Plan, the term Performance Criteria means the following business criteria with respect to the Company, any subsidiary or any division or operating unit: oil and/or gas reserves, production volumes, finding and development costs, net income (either before or after interest, taxes, depreciation and/or amortization), revenue, operating earnings, cash flow, cash flow return on capital, return on net assets, return on stockholders equity, return on assets, return on capital, stockholder returns, gross or net profit margin, productivity, expense, margins, cost reductions, controls or savings, operating efficiency, working capital, strategic initiatives, economic value added, earnings per share, earnings per share from operations, price per

12

### **Table of Contents**

share or stock, and market share, any of which may be measured either in absolute terms or as compared to any incremental increase or as compared to results of a peer group. The performance measures shall be subject to adjustment for changes in accounting standards required by the Financial Accounting Standards Board after the goal is established, and, to the extent provided for in the Award Agreement and permitted by Section 162(m), shall be subject to adjustment for specified significant extraordinary items or events. In this regard, performance goals based on stock price shall be proportionately adjusted for any changes in the price due to a stock split. Performance measures may be absolute, relative to one or more other companies, or relative to one or more indexes, and may be contingent upon future performance of the Company or any subsidiary, division, or department thereof.

#### **Individual Award Limits**

No participant may receive in any calendar year Share-denominated awards with respect to more than 500,000 Shares. The maximum amount of cash-denominated Performance Awards that may be granted to any participant during any calendar year may not exceed \$5,000,000.

#### Miscellaneous

Our Board or the Committee may amend the 2006 Plan at any time; *provided, however*, stockholder approval is required for any material amendment to the 2006 Plan to the extent necessary or desirable to comply with any applicable law, regulation or stock exchange rules concerning stockholder approvals.

Upon a change of control (as defined in the 2006 Plan), outstanding awards automatically become fully vested and payable under the 2006 Plan.

### U.S. Federal Income Tax Aspects of the 2006 Plan

The following is a brief summary of certain of the U.S. federal income tax consequences under the 2006 Plan and this summary is not intended to be exhaustive. Participants are advised to consult with a tax advisor concerning the specific tax consequences of participating in the 2006 Plan.

As a general rule, no federal income tax is imposed on a participant upon the grant of an award under the 2006 Plan, other than Stock Payments, and the Company is not entitled to a tax deduction by reason of such grant, other than Stock Payments. When an award is paid on exercise or becomes vested and paid, the holder will realize compensation taxable as ordinary income in an amount equal to the cash and/or the fair market value of the Shares received at that time, and, subject to Section 162(m) of the Code, the Company will be entitled to a corresponding tax deduction. Upon the exercise of a non-statutory stock option or SAR, the participant will be treated as receiving compensation taxable as ordinary income in the year of exercise in an amount equal to the excess of the fair market value of the Shares at the time of exercise over the exercise price paid for such Shares, and the Company may claim a tax deduction for compensation paid at the same time and in the same amount as compensation is recognized by the holder, provided applicable federal income tax reporting requirements are satisfied.

Stock options that are ISOs and subject to special federal income tax treatment. In general, no federal income tax is imposed on exercise of an ISO although the exercise may trigger alternative minimum tax liability to the optionee, and the Company is not entitled to any deduction for federal income tax purposes in connection with the grant or exercise of an ISO. However, if the optionee disposes of the shares acquired upon exercise of an ISO before satisfying certain holding period requirements, the optionee will be treated, in general, as having received, at the time of disposition, compensation taxable as ordinary income and in such event, the Company may claim a deduction for compensation paid at the same time and in the same amount as the compensation treated as being received by the optionee.

### Section 162(m)

In general, Section 162(m) of the Code precludes a public corporation from taking a deduction for annual compensation in excess of \$1 million paid to its chief executive officer or any of its three other highest-paid

### **Table of Contents**

officers (other than its chief financial officer) unless the compensation qualifies under Section 162(m) of the Code as performance-based. The 2006 Plan has been designed to provide flexibility with respect to whether awards granted by the Committee will qualify as performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) of the Code, and, therefore, be exempt from the deduction limit.

### Parachute Payments

Upon a change of control of the Company, as defined in Section 280G of the Code, awards granted to certain individuals may be excess parachute payments and, in such event, the individual would be subject to an additional 20% excise tax with respect to the excess parachute value of the awards and the Company would not be entitled to a tax deduction for such parachute payment.

## Application of Section 16 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Special rules may apply in the case of individuals subject to Section 16 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. In particular, Shares received pursuant to certain awards may be treated as restricted as to transferability and subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture for a period of up to six months after the date of the exercise or vesting of the award. In such event, the amount of any ordinary income recognized is determined as of the end of such period.

#### Section 409A

Awards granted under the 2006 Plan are generally intended to be either exempt from Section 409A of the Code, concerning deferred compensation, or to comply with Section 409A. Failure to comply with Section 409A, if applicable, could subject a participant to an additional 20% tax.

### Inapplicability of ERISA

Based upon current law and published interpretations, the Company does not believe that the 2006 Plan is subject to the provisions of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended.

### **New Plan Benefits**

The awards, if any, that will be made to eligible persons under the 2006 Plan are subject to the discretion of the 2006 Plan administrator and, therefore, we cannot currently determine the benefits or number of Shares subject to awards that may be granted in the future to eligible employees, consultants and directors under the 2006 Plan, nor can we estimate the amount or the number of Shares that could have been granted to the eligible individuals had the 2006 Plan, as amended, been in place in the last fiscal year. Therefore a New Plan Benefits Table is not provided.

14

# **Equity Compensation Plan Information**

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to our equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2013.

Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options	avera p out	eighted ge exercise rice of standing ptions	Number of securities available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in first column)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders:				
Goodrich Petroleum Corporation 1995 Stock Option Plan, as				
amended	574,134	\$	21.49	
Goodrich Petroleum Corporation 1997 Nonemployee Director				
Compensation Plan	150,000	\$	19.78	
Goodrich Petroleum Corporation 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended 130,500 Board Recommendation	130,500	\$	24.45	180,641

The Board believes strongly that the approval of this Proposal No. 4 is essential to the Company s success. For the reasons stated above, stockholders are being asked to approve this proposal.

OUR BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT STOCKHOLDERS VOTE FOR THE SECOND AMENDMENT TO THE 2006 PLAN.

15

#### PROPOSAL NO. 5 REAPPROVAL OF THE MATERIAL TERMS OF THE

### 2006 PLAN FOR PURPOSES OF COMPLYING WITH

#### SECTION 162(M) OF THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE

### Reasons for the Proposal

The Company intends that awards under the 2006 Plan be able to qualify for exemption from the deduction limitations of Section 162(m) of the Code. Under Section 162(m), the federal income tax deductibility of compensation paid to the Company s chief executive officer and to its three other most highly compensated officers (other than the Company s chief executive officer or chief financial officer) determined pursuant to the executive compensation disclosure rules under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ( Covered Employees ) may be limited to the extent such Covered Employee s compensation exceeds \$1,000,000 in any taxable year. However, the Company may deduct compensation paid to a Covered Employee in excess of that amount if it qualifies as performance-based compensation as defined in Section 162(m). For awards under the 2006 Plan to constitute performance-based compensation, the material terms of the 2006 Plan must be disclosed to and approved by the Company s stockholders no later than the first stockholder meeting that occurs in the fifth year following the year in which stockholders last approved the 2006 Plan, or at a time when a material amendment is made to the 2006 Plan. Under the Section 162(m) regulations, the material terms of the 2006 Plan are (i) the maximum amount of compensation that may be paid to a participant under the 2006 Plan in any year, (ii) the employees eligible to receive compensation under the 2006 Plan, and (iii) the business criteria on which the performance goals may be based.

The 2006 Plan was last approved by stockholders for Section 162(m) purposes at our 2011 Annual Meeting. Accordingly, the Company is now asking stockholders to reapprove the material terms of the 2006 Plan for Section 162(m) purposes so that new awards under the 2006 Plan that are intended to qualify as performance-based compensation within the meaning of Section 162(m) will be fully deductible by the Company. In this regard, the Second Amendment does not change the maximum amount that may be paid to a participant under the 2006 Plan, the employees eligible to participate in the 2006 Plan, or the business criteria on which performance goals may be based. The material terms of the 2006 Plan for Section 162(m) purposes that the stockholders are being asked to reapprove are described in Proposal No. 4 above as follows: (i) the maximum individual compensation limits are discussed in the section entitled Individual Award Limits, (ii) the eligible employees are described in the section entitled Eligibility to Participate, and (iii) the performance business criteria are described in the section entitled Performance Criteria. For a summary of the other material terms of the 2006 Plan, please generally see Proposal No. 4 above.

Assuming the presence of a quorum, the affirmative vote of a majority of the Shares present, in person or by proxy, to vote at the 2014 Annual Meeting is necessary for the reapproval of the material terms of the 2006 Plan for Section 162(m) purposes.

### Consequences of Failing to Approve the Proposal

If Proposal No. 5 is not approved, the Company will be required to reevaluate its compensation policies since compensation paid to a Covered Employee in future years may not be deductible by the Company to the extent it exceeds \$1,000,000.

#### **Board Recommendation**

The Board believes strongly that the approval of this Proposal No. 5 is essential to the Company s success. For the reasons stated above, stockholders are being asked to approve this proposal.

OUR BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT STOCKHOLDERS VOTE FOR THE REAPPROVAL OF THE

MATERIAL TERMS OF THE 2006 PLAN FOR PURPOSES OF COMPLYING WITH

SECTION 162(m) OF THE CODE.

16

#### STOCK OWNERSHIP MATTERS

### Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act ) requires our directors and officers, and persons who own more than 10% of a registered class of our equity securities, to file reports of ownership on Form 3 and changes in ownership on Forms 4 and 5 with the SEC. Such officers, directors and 10% stockholders are also required to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) reports that they file.

To our knowledge, based solely on review of copies of such reports furnished to us and written representations that no other reports were required, all of our officers, directors and 10% stockholders complied with applicable reporting requirements of Section 16(a) with the exception of: one transaction for each of Walter G. Goodrich, Robert C. Turnham, Jr., Mark E. Ferchau, Jan L. Schott and Michael J. Killelea Form 4 due on December 12, 2013, which was filed January 10, 2014.

### Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

The following table sets forth as of April 1, 2014 (except as otherwise noted) certain information with respect to the amount of our common stock beneficially owned (as defined by the SEC s rules and regulations) by:

each person known to beneficially own 5% or more of the outstanding shares of our common stock;

each of our named executive officers;

each of our directors; and

all current executive officers and directors as a group.

Title of Class	Name and Address of Beneficial Owner <sup>(1)</sup>	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Class <sup>(2)</sup>
Common Stock	Patrick E. Malloy, III <sup>(3)</sup>	5,411,926	13.0
Common Stock	Josiah T. Austin <sup>(4)</sup>	1,983,390	4.5
Common Stock	Walter G. Goodrich <sup>(5)</sup>	1,219,176	2.7
Common Stock	Robert C. Turnham, Jr. (6)	610,297	1.4
Common Stock	Mark E. Ferchau <sup>(7)</sup>	194,569	*
Common Stock	Henry Goodrich <sup>(8)</sup>	77,620	*
Common Stock	Arthur A. Seeligson	64,857	*
Common Stock	Michael J. Killelea	82,085	*
Common Stock	Gene Washington	35,144	*
Common Stock	Michael J. Perdue <sup>(9)</sup>	29,675	*
Common Stock	Jan L Schott <sup>(10)</sup>	60,288	*
Common Stock	Stephen M. Straty	20,667	*
Common Stock	Peter D. Goodson	44,000	*
Common Stock	Directors and Executive Officers as a Group		
	(13 persons) <sup>(11)</sup>	10,184,410	22.6
Common Stock	Invesco Advisors, Inc. (12)	4,656,096	10.5
	1555 Peachtree Street NE		
	Atlanta, GA 30309		

	Edgar Filing: GOODRICH PETROLEUM CORP - Form DEF 14A			
Common Stock	Vollero Beach Capital Partners LLC <sup>(13)</sup>	3,349,649	7.6	
	777 Third Avenue, 14th Floor			
	New York, NY 10017			
Common Stock	Caisse de depot et Placement du Quebec <sup>(14)</sup>	2,400,000	5.4	
	1000, Place Jean-Paul Riopelle			
	Montréal Québec H2Z 2R3 Canada			

Table of Contents			
Title of Class	Name and Address of Beneficial Owner <sup>(1)</sup>	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Class <sup>(2)</sup>
Common Stock	Citadel Advisors LLC. (15)	2,382,422	5.4
Common block	131 S. Dearborn Street, 32nd Floor	2,302,122	5.1
	Chicago, Illinois 60603		
Common Stock	Susquehanna Capital Group <sup>(16)</sup>	2,364,415	5.3
	401 City Avenue, Suite 220		
	Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004		
Common Stock	Odey Asset Management Group LTD <sup>(17)</sup>	2,222,485	5.0
	12 Upper Grosvenor Street		
	London, United Kingdom W1K 2 <sup>ND</sup>		
Series B Convertible Preferred Stock	Patrick E. Malloy, III	70,100	2.6
Series B Convertible Preferred Stock	Robert C. Turnham, Jr.	3,000	*
Series B Convertible Preferred Stock	Gene Washington	900	*
Series B Convertible Preferred Stock	Directors and Executive Officers as a Group	74,000	2.8
Series C Preferred Stock	Josiah T. Austin	60,000	1.0
Series C Preferred Stock	Patrick E. Malloy, III	40,000	1.5
Series C Preferred Stock	Walter G. Goodrich	4,000	*
Series C Preferred Stock	Robert C. Turnham, Jr.	4,000	*
Series C Preferred Stock	Gene Washington	1,450	*
Series C Preferred Stock	Directors and Executive Officers as a Group	109,450	2.4
Series D Preferred Stock	Josiah T. Austin	70,000	1.3
Series D Preferred Stock	Pat E. Malloy, III	40,000	1.0
Series D Preferred Stock	Walter G. Goodrich	4,000	*
Series D Preferred Stock	Robert C. Turnham, Jr.	4,000	*
Series D Preferred Stock	Directors and Executive Officers as a Group	118,000	2.3

<sup>\*</sup>Less than 1%

- (1) Unless otherwise indicated, the address of each beneficial owner is c/o Goodrich Petroleum Corporation, 801 Louisiana, Suite 700, Houston, Texas 77002 and each beneficial owner has sole voting and dispositive power over such shares.
- (2) Based on the following respective total shares outstanding for each class of our equity securities as of April 1, 2014: (i) 44,272,848 shares of our common stock; (ii) 2,250,000 shares of our 5.375% Series B Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock; 4,400,000 depositary shares, each representing a 1/1000th ownership interest in a share of our 10.00% Series C Cumulative Preferred Stock; and (iv) 5,200,000 depositary shares, each representing a 1/1000th ownership interest in a share of our 9.75% Series D Cumulative Preferred Stock. For purposes of the calculation, the percentage for each director and officer includes that person s vested options in both the numerator and the denominator.
- (3) Includes the following securities: (a) 5,161,926 shares of common stock held by Mr. Malloy on his own behalf and (b) exercisable options to purchase 250,000 shares of common stock. Mr. Malloy disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares of common stock owned by his two daughters.

18

### **Table of Contents**

- (4) Includes the following securities: (a) 1,900,790 shares of common stock held by El Coronado Holdings, LLC ( ECH ) over which Mr. Austin serves as the sole Managing Member, and (b) 82,600 shares of common stock held by Mr. Austin on his own behalf. As the sole Managing Member of ECH, Mr. Austin shares with ECH the power to vote or to direct the vote or the disposition of the 1,900,790 shares of common stock held by ECH. Mr. Austin has the sole power to vote or to direct the vote or to direct the disposition of 82,600 shares of common stock.
- (5) Includes the following securities: (a) 532,767 shares of common stock held by Walter G. Goodrich on his own behalf, (b) 100,000 shares of common stock held by Mr. Goodrich s wife, (c) 381,409 shares of common stock owned by Goodrich Energy, Inc., a corporation with respect to which Walter G. Goodrich is the majority stockholder, and (d) exercisable options to purchase 205,000 shares of common stock. Walter G. Goodrich exercises sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares held by Goodrich Energy, Inc.
- (6) Includes the following securities: (a) 408,263 shares of common stock held by Mr. Turnham on his own behalf, (b) 29,950 shares of common stock held by Mr. Turnham s wife, and (c) exercisable options to purchase 167,300 shares of common stock.
- (7) Includes the following securities (a) 126,069 shares of common stock held by Mr. Ferchau on his own behalf and (b) exercisable options to purchase 68,500 shares of common stock.
- (8) Includes the following securities: (a) 20,495 shares of common stock held by Henry Goodrich on his own behalf, and (b) 57,125 shares of common stock held by HGF Partnership. As the sole Managing Partner of HGF Partnership, Henry Goodrich has control of the day-to-day operations of the partnership and exclusive control of the maintenance of the partnership s assets, including the right to acquire and convey property on behalf of the partnership. Mr. Henry Goodrich passed away in March 2014. Mr. Walter G. Goodrich will succeed Mr. Henry Goodrich as Managing Partner of HGF Partnership and in ownership and control of the 57,125 shares of common stock held by HGF Partnership.
- (9) Includes the following securities: (a) 21,750 shares of common stock held by a family trust (which is held in a margin account) of which Mr. Perdue is the trustee and (b) 7,925 shares held in a personal IRA.
- (10) Includes the following securities: (a) 50,288 shares of common stock held by Ms. Schott on her own behalf and (b) exercisable options to purchase 10,000 shares of common stock.
- (11) Includes currently exercisable options to purchase 700,800 shares of common stock.
- (12) Based on Schedule 13G/A filed jointly by these security holders with the SEC on March 10, 2014. Invesco Ltd. reported a total aggregate beneficial ownership of 4,656,096 shares. Invesco Advisers, Inc. and Invesco Powershares Capital Management are subsidiaries of Invesco Ltd. that hold shares reported and are investment advisers registered under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940.
- (13) Based on Schedule 13G filed jointly by these security holders with the SEC on February 10, 2014. Vollero Beach Capital Partners LLC is an investment adviser registered under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940. Mr. Robert A. Vollero and Mr. Gentry T. Beach serve as co-managing members of Vollero Beach Capital Partners LLC. The aggregate reported beneficial ownership amount includes 2,500,000 shares underlying call options.
- (14) Based on Schedule 13G filed by this security holders with the SEC on February 28, 2014.
- (15) Based on Schedule 13G/A filed jointly by these security holders with the SEC on February 14, 2014. Citadel GP LLC and Mr. Kenneth Griffin may be deemed to beneficially own 2,382,422 shares of common stock. Citadel Advisors Holdings II LP may be deemed to beneficially own 2,188,277 shares of common stock. Citadel Advisors LLC may be deemed to beneficially own 2,043,479 shares of common stock.

- (16) Based on Schedule 13G filed jointly by these security holders with the SEC on February 13, 2014. Susquehanna Capital Group, Susquehanna Investment Group and Susquehanna Securities are affiliated independent broker-dealers which, together with Susquehanna Fundamental Investments, LLC, may be deemed a group. Each reporting person has sole voting and dispositive power with respect to the shares beneficially owned by it and that the reporting persons have shared voting and dispositive power with respect to all shares beneficially owned by all of the reporting persons. Susquehanna Capital Group has sole voting and dispositive power with respect to 60,292 shares of common stock. Susquehanna Fundamental Investments, LLC has sole voting and dispositive power with respect to 3,800 shares of common stock. Susquehanna Investment Group has sole voting and dispositive power with respect to 65,800 shares of common stock. Susquehanna Securities has sole voting and dispositive power with respect to 2,231,823 shares of common stock. The amount beneficially owned by Susquehanna Capital Group includes options to buy 45,400 shares of common stock. The amount beneficially owned by Susquehanna Securities includes options to buy 1,101,100 shares of common stock.
- (17) Based on Schedule 13G filed by these security holders with the SEC on January 22, 2014. Odey Asset Management Group Ltd is the managing member of Odey Asset Management LLP, Odey Holdings AG is the sole stockholder of Odey Asset Management Group Ltd, and Robin Crispin William Odey is the sole stockholder of Odey Holdings AG. Odey Asset Management LLP is an investment adviser registered under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940.

19

#### INFORMATION ABOUT OUR EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The following table sets forth the names, ages and titles of our current executive officers.

Name	Age	Position
Walter G. Goodrich	55	Vice Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Director
Robert C. Turnham, Jr.	56	President and Chief Operating Officer, Director
Mark E. Ferchau	60	Executive Vice President
Jan L. Schott	45	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Michael J. Killelea	51	Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary
Walter G. Goodrich	s biographical information may be f	Found under Proposal No. 1 Election of Directors .

Robert C. Turnham, Jr. s biographical information may be found under Proposal No. 1 Election of Directors.

*Mark E. Ferchau* became Executive Vice President of the Company in 2004. He had previously served as the Company s Senior Vice President, Engineering and Operations, after initially joining the Company as a Vice President in 2001. Mr. Ferchau previously served as Production Manager for Forcenergy Inc. from 1997 to 2001 and as Vice President, Engineering of Convest Energy Corporation from 1993 to 1997. Prior thereto, Mr. Ferchau held various positions with Wagner & Brown, Ltd. and other independent oil and gas companies.

Jan L. Schott has served as our Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since 2010 and currently serves as our Principal Financial Officer. She joined the Company in 2007 as Vice President and Controller. Ms. Schott has over 20 years of experience with the energy industry. Prior to joining the Company, Ms. Schott served in various accounting management positions with Apache Corporation from 1997 to 2007. Ms. Schott was in public accounting with KPMG LLP from 1991 to 1997. Ms. Schott is a certified public accountant.

Michael J. Killelea joined the Company as Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary in 2009. Mr. Killelea has over 25 years of experience in the energy industry. In 2008, he served as interim-Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary for Maxus Energy Corporation. Prior to that time, Mr. Killelea was Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary of Pogo Producing Company from 2000 through 2007. Mr. Killelea held various positions within the law department at CMS Energy Corporation from 1988 to 2000, including Chief Counsel at CMS Oil & Gas Company from 1995 to 2000.

20

#### COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The following Compensation Discussion and Analysis contains statements regarding future company performance targets and goals. These targets and goals are disclosed in the limited context of our executive compensation program and should not be understood to be statements of management s expectations or estimates of results or other guidance. We specifically caution investors not to apply these statements to other contexts.

### **Selected 2013 Business Highlights**

We increased our annual oil production from 21% in 2012 to 29% of our equivalent production in 2013 and achieved average daily oil production volume growth of 22% for the year, with production volumes growing from an average of 2,992 barrels of oil per day in 2012 to 3,665 barrels of oil per day in 2013.

We ended the year with estimated proved reserves of approximately 452 Bcfe (approximately 330 Bcf of natural gas, 6 MMBbls of NGL and 14 MMBbls of oil and condensate), with a PV-10 of \$472 million; approximately 39% of which is proved developed.

We conducted drilling operations on 25 gross (16 net) wells in 2013, including 16 gross (11 net) Eagle Ford Shale Trend wells in South Texas and 9 gross (5 net) wells in the TMS. We added 43 gross (24 net) wells to production in 2013, of which 23 gross (15 net) were in the Eagle Ford Shale Trend, 7 gross (3 net) in the TMS and 13 gross (6 net) in the Haynesville Shale Trend.

In August 2013, we closed on an acquisition of a 66.7% working interest in producing assets and mineral lease acreage in the TMS from Devon Energy Production Company, L.P. ( Devon ), more than doubling our net average position in the Tuscaloosa Marine Shale Trend.

We completed underwritten public offerings of 10% Series C Cumulative Preferred Stock (Series C Preferred Stock) and 9.75% Series D Cumulative Preferred Stock (Series D Preferred Stock) realizing combined net proceeds of approximately \$230.6 million. We used the proceeds to fund the acquisition of the TMS properties from Devon and to repay amounts outstanding under our Senior Credit Facility.

In October 2013, we completed an underwritten public offering of 6,900,000 shares of common stock at \$25.25 per share. We intend to use the net proceeds from the offering of approximately \$166.1 million to the fund the acceleration of our drilling program in the TMS.

### 2013 Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation

The Company provides its stockholders with the opportunity to cast an annual advisory vote on executive compensation (the Say-On-Pay Proposal ). We obtained majority support for our Say-On-Pay Proposal at the Company s annual meeting of stockholders held in May 2013, as approximately 65% of stockholders voting on the proposal voted for it. In recognition of these voting results, the Compensation Committee initiated a review of the executive compensation decisions and policies for 2013 and took the following actions: (i) made significant changes in our executive compensation programs and policies, including changes designed to better align our pay with our performance, and (ii) directed management to initiate a stockholder outreach program. Both of these actions are described in greater detail below.

### **Summary of Changes in 2013 to Executive Compensation**

The principal changes to our executive compensation programs made by the Compensation Committee and the Company following our 2013 Annual Meeting of stockholders are summarized below. These changes were made based upon information gathered from stockholders, executive officers, our independent compensation

consultant, Longnecker & Associates (L&A), and Institutional Shareholder Services, a shareholder advisory firm. The Board and the Compensation Committee will continue to explore ways in which the Company s executive compensation programs can be improved.

Enhanced Pay-for-Performance Component: Historically, the Company has linked executive pay with performance in the short-term through incentive bonuses and in the long-term through grants of phantom stock. In response to the feedback from our 2013 Say-On-Pay Proposal, the Compensation Committee decided to enhance the pay-for-performance component of our compensation program by implementing a new program whereby approximately 50% of executives long-term equity based incentives were grants of phantom stock that are tied to the performance of the Company's stock compared to that of its peers (the TSR metric described below). For a more detailed discussion of these new performance awards, please see Elements of Executive Compensation Long-Term Equity Based Incentives Performance Awards.

Introduced Total Stockholder Return ( TSR ) as a Compensation Factor: In response to our 2013 Say-On-Pay Proposal, the Compensation Committee decided to introduce the Company s TSR as a factor for our 2013 compensation program for all executives. The Compensation Committee believes that TSR is an important metric to acknowledge when evaluating executive pay and it is the sole metric that payouts of our new performance awards of phantom stock are measured by. We believe that the successes described in Selected 2013 Business Highlights above contributed to an increase of 114% in the Company s TSR during the period observed for 2013 executive compensation. For a more detailed discussion, please see Elements of Executive Compensation Long-Term Equity Based Incentives Performance Awards Payout Matrix.

**Stock Ownership Guidelines**: The Company has traditionally had a strong culture of executive stock ownership and the Board formalized those practices in 2013 through the implementation of stock ownership guidelines. Pursuant to these guidelines, our named executive officers are expected to maintain significant stock ownership levels dependent on their role, including an amount equal to six times base salary for our CEO. Please see Other Matters Stock Ownership Guidelines for more information.

**Peer Group Review**: In 2013, the Compensation Committee, assisted by L&A, conducted an extensive review of the Company s peer group compensation-comparison practices, including analysis of the peer group composition, taking into consideration the relevant revenue and market capitalization size, industry, location, and competition for executive talent, and the targeted pay percentile goals relative to base salary, target annual bonus and long-term incentives.

# **Stockholder Outreach Program**

We have instituted a stockholder outreach program to initiate discussions with and gain insight and perspective from our large stockholders regarding our executive compensation programs. Our outreach program began prior to our 2014 Annual Meeting with several productive discussions regarding the recent changes the Company was implementing in light of its 2013 Say-On-Pay Proposal. We invited our top 25 institutional stockholders who collectively represented approximately 50% of our outstanding shares, to a dialogue regarding their views, opinions, and proxy voting guidelines with respect to companies executive compensation programs and disclosures. The discussions included topics such as CEO compensation, compensation disclosure, equity award composition and other non-compensation corporate governance issues. The Company intends to continue this outreach program going forward to facilitate continued stockholder input into the Company s compensation philosophy and governance practices as needed in future years.

# **Overview of Our Executive Compensation Program**

Our continued success is contingent on our ability to recruit, develop, motivate and retain top executive talent with the requisite skills and experience to develop, expand and execute our business strategy. As a

#### **Table of Contents**

result, we seek to deliver fair and competitive compensation for our executive officers by structuring our executive compensation program principally around three goals:

- 1) We maintain compensation at competitive market levels, targeting the median of comparative pay of our Peer Group (defined below) for similar positions;
- 2) We believe our executive officers should be rewarded for executing performance goals designed to generate returns for our stockholders. As a result, we tie selected elements of our executive compensation program to company performance goals; and
- 3) We seek to retain and motivate our executives through a combination of grants of pure time-vested phantom stock and performance awards of phantom stock, each of which vest over three years, commencing on the first anniversary after the grant date. Our CEO and our President are each significant stockholders, and the award of phantom stock grants directly align their interests with those of our stockholders.

Throughout this proxy statement, the individuals who served as our CEO and our Chief Financial Officer during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, as well as the other individuals included in the Summary Compensation Table are referred to as Named Executive Officers or NEOs.

#### Compensation Philosophy and Determination of Targeted Overall Compensation

To assist the Company in developing its compensation philosophy and in establishing targeted overall compensation (i.e., the aggregate level of compensation that we will pay to executives if performance goals are deemed to have been fully met), in 2012, the Compensation Committee engaged L&A to conduct an independent, third-party study of the compensation of executive management at the Company and at comparable companies and provide analyses, conclusion and recommendations. The Compensation Committee engaged L&A to assist them in the same capacity in 2013. L&A identified a group of peer companies to assess the competitiveness of our executive officers—compensation as compared to executives with similar titles and responsibilities at companies with which the Company competes for talent and suggested revisions to the group to be used in connection with compensation decisions for 2013. This group of companies is referred to in this proxy statement as the Direct Peer Group.

For 2013, L&A considered a combination of the following criteria to identify the companies to be included in the Direct Peer Group: (i) the Company s 2012 Direct Peer Group; (ii) companies of similar size in the exploration and production industry; and (iii) exploration and production companies in similar regions of operations. L&A further refined its method for determining the Direct Peer Group by focusing on those companies with comparable attributes to the Company, in the following areas: (a) annual revenue performance; (b) assets; (c) net income; (d) market capitalization and (e) enterprise value. The Compensation Committee believed that use of this methodology produced the appropriate Direct Peer Group for comparison. L&A also reviewed the 2012 Direct Peer Group to determine whether any modifications were warranted for 2013 and determined that in light of the factors discussed above that two companies should be added to the Direct Peer Group for 2013 and one company that is no longer in existence was removed.

With this focus and for these reasons, on the advice of L&A the Compensation Committee adopted the following 2013 Direct Peer Group, which consists of 13 U.S. domestic onshore exploration and production companies:

Approach Resources, Inc. Bill Barrett Corp. Carrizo Oil & Gas, Inc. EV Energy Partners LP EXCO Resources, Inc. Forest Oil Corp. Swift Energy Co. Laredo Petroleum Holdings, Inc. Oasis Petroleum, Inc. PDC Energy, Inc. PetroQuest Energy, Inc. Penn Virginia Corporation Rosetta Resources, Inc.

23

#### **Table of Contents**

Compensation studies that are limited to a review of peer group proxy statements will cover in detail only those individuals for whom compensation information is disclosed publicly, which generally include only the five most highly compensated officers at each company. As a result, L&A used additional data from other broad compensation surveys to more fully develop targeted overall compensation levels for all of the executive officers. The Compensation Committee does not review the identities of the individual companies or the individuals that are included in these general compensation surveys, however, the companies included in these additional surveys are generally similar to the Company in market capitalization and industry. When making recommendations to the Compensation Committee on targeted overall compensation and its components, L&A employs a blended analysis of compensation information that is comprised 50% of the Direct Peer Group and 50% of the broader energy specific compensation surveys. The use of the term Peer Group in this proxy statement refers to this blended analysis of compensation information for 2013. The Committee uses the data from the Peer Group primarily to ensure that our executive compensation program as a whole is competitive, rather than to benchmark any element of our compensation package to a certain level or percentile among our peers.

The Compensation Committee will regularly review and refine the Peer Group as appropriate with input from its executive compensation consultant, if any.

L&A was engaged independently by the Compensation Committee. Whether L&A continues to provide consulting services in the area of executive compensation will be a decision reached independently by the Compensation Committee. L&A performs no consulting services for the Company except in the area of executive compensation. Further, the Compensation Committee is not under any obligation to follow the advice or recommendation of any consultant it chooses to engage. The Compensation Committee evaluated any possible conflict with L&A and determined that there were no conflicts of interest.

### Elements of Executive Compensation

After receiving the results of the L&A study and reviewing the Company's compensation philosophy against the actual practices of the Peer Group, and taking into consideration the feedback received after the 2013 Say-On-Pay Proposal, the Compensation Committee determined that the elements of targeted overall compensation for executive management should include (1) base salary levels that are generally near the median of the Peer Group, (2) an annual bonus plan with payouts (if any) based on achievement of objectives approved by the Board and (3) a combination of long-term equity based incentives in the form of pure time-vested phantom stock grants and performance awards of phantom stock. The Compensation Committee also determined that targeted overall compensation should reflect the approximate median of our Peer Group for similar positions. L&A determined that for 2012, the total compensation awarded our NEOs, as more fully described below, was approximately 87% of the median of our Peer Group for similar positions.

24

**Base Salaries.** The Company seeks to provide its executive officers with assured cash compensation in the form of a base salary that is generally near the median for similar positions as determined through comparison to peer group proxies and independent compensation surveys as described above. L&A determined that for 2012 our executive base salaries were at approximately 93% of median for similar positions. The base salaries paid to top executive officers during 2013 are shown in the Summary Compensation Table under the Salary column. As reflected in the table below, there was no increase in base salary for any of our NEOs from 2012 to 2013. Effective January 1, 2014, the Compensation Committee increased base salaries over the 2013 levels by approximately 7.5%. The Compensation Committee expects to continue to review executive base salaries annually and to approve changes as appropriate.

		Salaries as of January 1,			
Named Executive		2012	2013	2014	
Officers	Position	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	
Walter G. Goodrich	Vice Chairman and CEO	478,400	478,400	515,000	
Robert C. Turnham, Jr.	President and COO	452,400	452,400	486,000	
Mark E. Ferchau	Executive Vice President	353,600	353,600	380,000	
Jan L. Schott	Sr. Vice President and CFO	291,200	291,200	325,000	
Michael J. Killelea	Sr. Vice President, General Counsel & Corporate Secretary	286,000	286,000	307,000	

**Incentive Bonus.** Incentive bonuses, considered for payment annually as cash compensation, ensure that the executive officers focus on the achievement of near-term goals that are approved by the Board. Bonuses may be earned if the Company achieves its objectives in key performance metrics and executes on strategic achievements as discussed below.

In accordance with the Compensation Committee s goal of establishing targeted overall compensation at the approximate median of the Peer Group, bonus targets as a percentage of base salary were set near the median for similar positions within the Peer Group. Those targets for 2013 were 150% for the CEO and President, 100% for the Executive Vice President, and 80% for the Senior Vice Presidents. The total amounts received under our incentive bonus plan are composed of both quantitative performance metrics (with potential bonus payout ranging from zero to 120%, with a target bonus payout of 60%) and qualitative subjective evaluation (with potential bonus payout ranging from zero to 80%, with a target bonus payout of 40%), as explained in more detail below.

Quantitative Performance Metrics. The Compensation Committee selected the following performance metrics as the relevant financial targets, which the Compensation Committee viewed as consistent with the Company s 2013 business plan: (1) oil production growth with a target of 40%, (2) oil finding and development costs with a target of \$45 per BOE, (3) lease operating expenses (excluding workovers) with a target of \$0.80 per mcfe, (4) general and administrative expenses (cash) with a target of \$0.85 per mcfe, and (5) EBITDAX with a target of \$155 million. The relative weighting target percentages of the five performance metrics were: oil production growth 20%; oil finding & development costs 10%; lease operating expenses -5%; general & administrative expenses 5%; and EBITDAX 20% for a total of 60% of the total target bonus amount.

Actual Company performance for 2013 was as follows: (1) oil production growth of 22%, (2) oil finding and development costs of \$35.30 per barrel, (3) lease operating expenses (excluding workovers) of \$0.76 per mcfe, (4) general and administrative expenses (cash) of \$0.95 per mcfe, and (5) EBITDAX of \$126 million. In general, the Company s performance was at or above the targeted goal for oil finding and development costs and lease operating expenses, above the threshold goal for general and administrative expenses, and below the threshold goal for oil production growth and EBITDAX. Accordingly, the Compensation Committee approved bonus payouts for the executives at 30% of the total target bonus amount for the components tied to quantitative performance metrics.

25

Qualitative Subjective Evaluation. In 2013, the Compensation Committee established a payout target of 40% of the total bonus amounts to be awarded based on the Compensation Committee s discretionary and subjective assessment of the Company s 2013 performance. For purposes of determining the percentage of the incentive bonus that would be allocated pursuant to the discretionary portion of the bonus plan, the Compensation Committee focused on the business achievements discussed above in Selected 2013 Business Highlights. These achievements are not objective in nature—they are subjective and performance in regard to these priorities is ultimately evaluated by the Compensation Committee in its sole discretion. As such, success does not depend on achieving a particular target; rather, success is evaluated based on past norms, expectations and unanticipated obstacles or opportunities that arise. This subjectivity allows the Compensation Committee to account for the full industry and economic context of our actual performance and that of our personnel. The Compensation Committee considers all strategic achievements and reviews performance against the achievements and context but does not apply a formula or assign specific weightings to the strategic achievements in advance. The extensive business and board of director experience of the members of the Compensation Committee and of our Board provides the perspective to make this subjective assessment in a qualitative manner and to evaluate management performance overall and the performance of individual executive officers. Based on their review of the achievements discussed above in Selected 2013 Business Highlights, the Compensation Committee determined to exceed the 40% target bonus and award a bonus of 70% under the discretionary component of our incentive bonus plan.

The following reflects the calculation of the actual incentive bonus payout amount:

	Financial Objective Target	2013 Company Performance	Target Percentage of Base Salary	Actual Percentage of Base Salary Payout
Oil Production Growth	40%	22%	20%	0%
Oil Finding & Development Costs	\$ 45/BOE	\$ 35.3/BOE	10%	20%
Lease Operating Expenses	\$ 0.80/mcfe	\$ 0.76/mcfe	5%	7%
General & Administrative Expenses	\$ 0.85/mcfe	\$ 0.95/mcfe	5%	3%
EBITDAX	\$ 155 million	\$ 126 million	20%	0%
Discretionary			40%	70%

The bonuses awarded for the Company s 2013 performance are reflected in the Summary Compensation Table under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation.

# Long-Term Equity-Based Incentives

The specific objectives of our long-term equity-based compensation plan are to attract, motivate, and retain the services of key employees and enhance a sense of ownership, as well as to encourage those persons to assist in our development, growth and financial success. To align the compensation of our executive officers with the attainment of our business goals and an increase in stockholder value, we currently award grants of phantom stock, which are subject to time-based vesting requirements, as part of our total compensation package. These grants are made pursuant to our 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended. Existing ownership levels are not a factor in award determination, as we do not want to discourage executives from holding significant amounts of our common stock.

We believe that providing grants of phantom stock focuses the named executives on delivering long-term value to our stockholders, while providing value to the executives in the form of equity awards. A grant of phantom stock offers executives the opportunity to receive shares of our common stock on the date the forfeiture restriction lapses. In this regard, phantom stock serves both to reward and retain executives, as the value of the phantom stock is linked to the price of our common stock on the date the forfeiture restrictions lapses. Our phantom stock awards vest in three equal annual installments beginning one year after the grant date. We believe that these vesting schedules aid us in retaining executives and motivating longer-term performance.

We consider the proportion of equity-based incentives to salary and cash incentives when determining the size of grants of phantom stock. In 2013, this proportion was approximately 64% for all of our NEOs. We believe this proportion reflects a correlation between executive compensation and Company performance in both the short and long-term. The Company seeks to grant equity incentive compensation such that total compensation would be competitive with executive officers in similar positions. Historically, the size of these grants was based on a percentage of the NEO s base salary, however, in 2013 the Compensation Committee was primarily guided by its objective to set targeted overall compensation at the approximate median of our Peer Group when allocating these grants. For 2012, L&A determined that equity incentive compensation granted to our NEOs was at approximately 58% of median for similar positions.

#### Performance Awards

In response to the feedback from our 2013 Say-On-Pay Proposal, the Compensation Committee decided to enhance the pay-for-performance component of our compensation program by implementing a new program whereby approximately 50% of executives long-term equity based incentives were grants of phantom stock that are tied to the performance of the Company's stock. The Compensation Committee decided to retain some pure time-vested grants of phantom stock because of the value of such grants in retaining executives, but aimed to reduce the proportion of the total equity based incentive awards derived from such grants by half in 2013. The other half of the executives equity based incentive award in 2013 was a performance award of phantom stock, where the payout is a function of the Company's TSR as compared to the TSR of our LTIP Peer Group (defined below). Like pure time-vested phantom stock grants, we believe that performance awards effectively align our executives with the interests of our stockholders on a long-term basis and have retentive attributes. Performance awards also have an additional performance based component that compares our stock price performance with that of our peer companies. Our time-based grants of phantom stock vest over three years in equal installments. Performance based phantom stock is subject to performance criteria in the year of grant which determines the number of shares eligible to vest. Those shares then vest in equal installments over the three-year period following the initial one year performance period, contingent on continued employment by the employee.

LTIP Peer Group. For the 2013 performance awards, the Compensation Committee selected the Thomson Reuters/Jefferies CRB Wildcatters Energy E&P Equity Index (the WCATI) as the LTIP Peer Group. The WCATI is an equity index designed to serve as a benchmark for small-cap and mid-cap American and Canadian companies that are principally engaged in the exploration and production of natural gas and oil. The WCATI is composed of 70 companies, including the Company and nine of the 13 companies in our Direct Peer Group. The LTIP Peer Group is not limited to the same companies as the Direct Peer Group employed for developing market reference points for executive pay because the companies in that group are those with which we compete for executive talent. Companies in the LTIP Peer Group are principally those companies with which the Company competes to varying extents in the upstream sector.

Payout Matrix. Once our Compensation Committee sets an amount for a NEO s total phantom stock grant as part of their annual compensation, approximately half of that amount will be granted as pure time-vested phantom stock and the remaining half will be subject to the performance award payout matrix. This allocation is based on the dollar value of the awards on the date of grant. The performance award payout matrix compares the difference, or performance delta, between the Company s TSR and the TSR of our LTIP Peer Group over the same period of time. We subtract the LTIP Peer Group s TSR from the Company s TSR to obtain the performance delta. The payouts of our performance awards run along a spectrum of performance deltas. For example, the threshold performance delta is -10 and produces a payout of 60% of the performance award. The target is a performance delta of zero, meaning that the Company s TSR performed the same as the LTIP Peer Group s TSR for the period, and produces a payout of 100%. The maximum payout of 200% is reached when the Company s TSR outperforms the LTIP Peer Group s TSR such that the performance delta is 40 or higher. For the 2013 performance awards, the Compensation Committee considered the twelve month period ending December 9, 2013, for which the performance delta was 103 and resulted in the maximum grant of 200% of the performance awards.

27

The table below reflects the target and actual payout amounts for our NEO s 2013 performance awards:

#### **Target**

	Performance	Percent of	Actual Performance
Named Executive Officers	Shares	Target	Share Award
Walter G. Goodrich	35,836	200%	71,672
Robert C. Turnham, Jr.	32,423	200%	64,846
Mark E. Ferchau	24,744	200%	49,488
Jan L. Schott	14,078	200%	28,157
Michael J. Killelea	11,007	200%	22,014

Although the Compensation Committee approached the performance award structure with the objective of awarding approximately half of the total long-term equity-based incentive compensation in performance awards for each of the NEOs, they retained the authority and discretion to diverge from that target. The table below reflects the actual distribution between pure time-vested grants of phantom stock and performance awards for our NEO s in 2013:

Named Executive Officers	Pure Time-Vested Shares	Performance Award Shares	% Allocated to Performance Awards
Walter G. Goodrich	47,782	71,672	60%
Robert C. Turnham, Jr.	43,231	64,846	60%
Mark E. Ferchau	32,992	49,488	60%
Jan L. Schott	26,564	28,157	51%
Michael J. Killelea	20,819	22,014	51%

## Severance Benefits

We have severance agreements with Messrs. Goodrich, Turnham, and Ferchau. Ms. Schott and Mr. Killelea are covered by the Goodrich Petroleum Officer Severance Plan. We believe that the severance payments and other benefits provided under these agreements and the severance plan are appropriate, accounting for the time that is expected to take a separated officer to find another job following a termination that may have been outside of his or her control. Under the agreements and this plan, each officer is eligible for severance payments and other benefits if the officer s employment is terminated without cause or due to a change of control (each a Triggering Event ) as described below and under Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control of this proxy statement.

Without Cause. Payments and other benefits are provided under the separation agreements and the plan if the officer is terminated without cause. The payments and other benefits provided upon this Triggering Event are intended to ease the consequences to the separated officer of an unexpected termination that under different circumstances would not have occurred and which is beyond the control of the officer.

Change of Control. Recognizing the importance of avoiding the distraction and loss of key management personnel that may occur in connection with rumored or actual fundamental corporate changes, we provide payments and other benefits under the separation agreements if an officer is terminated due to a change in control. We believe that use of this Triggering Event protects stockholder interests by enhancing employee focus during rumored or actual change in control activity through (1) providing incentives to our officers to remain employed by us despite uncertainties while a transaction is under consideration or pending and (2) assuring severance and benefits for terminated officers.

#### Other Benefits

In addition to base pay, annual cash incentive, long-term equity-based incentives and severance benefits, we provide the following forms of compensation:

401(k) Savings Plan. We have a defined contribution profit sharing 401(k) plan designed to assist our eligible officers and employees in providing for their retirement. We match the contributions of our employees to the plan in cash, up to a maximum of 6% of eligible deferrals. Employees are immediately 100% vested in company contributions.

Health and Other Welfare Benefits. Our executive officers are eligible to participate in medical, dental, vision, disability insurance and life insurance to meet their health and welfare needs. These benefits are provided so as to assure that we are able to maintain a competitive position in terms of attracting and retaining officers and other employees. This is a fixed component of compensation and the benefits are provided on a non-discriminatory basis to all employees.

*Perquisites.* We do not provide significant perquisites or personal benefits to our executive officers. To the extent perquisites or other personal benefits are provided, they are determined on an individual basis as appropriate in light of competitive standards and the performance of our executive officers.

#### Other Matters

## Stock Ownership Guidelines

The Board has adopted stock ownership guidelines for non-employee directors and named executive officers of Goodrich. The guidelines, as described below, are intended to further align the financial interests of our non-employee directors and named executive officers with those of our shareholders.

Officer Stock Ownership Guidelines. The officer stock ownership guidelines provides that our CEO, President and other named executive officers, as set forth in the guidelines or as may otherwise be determined by the Compensation Committee, are expected to hold an amount of stock equal in value to a multiple of three to six times their base salary, depending on their position and responsibilities. Of our named executive officers, our CEO is expected to hold stock equal in value to six times his base salary, our President is expected to hold stock equal in value to five times his base salary, and the other named executive officers are expected to hold an amount of stock equal in value to three times their respective base salaries. Officers to whom the guidelines become applicable in the future are expected to achieve the prescribed holdings within three years of the date on which the guidelines become applicable to them.

All of our current named executive officers have met our officer stock ownership guidelines. See Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management.

#### Clawback Provision

Under the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act ( Dodd-Frank ), companies will be required to adopt a policy to recover certain compensation in the event of a material accounting restatement. The Company will adopt a policy as required by Dodd-Frank when final regulations have been provided by the SEC.

## Tax Treatment of Executive Compensation

Compensation payable to our CEO and our three other most highly compensated employees other than our Chief financial officer is subject to the limitations of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, which limits our ability to deduct compensation in excess of \$1,000,000. While the deductibility of compensation is important to us and actions will, when deemed appropriate, be taken to ensure the deductibility of compensation, the Committee has also determined that flexibility in determining the appropriate amount of compensation is required, notwithstanding the statutory and regulatory provisions, in negotiating and implementing incentive compensation programs. Accordingly, the Compensation Committee retains the discretion to award compensation that exceeds Section 162(m) s deductibility limit.

## COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

We have reviewed and discussed with management the disclosures set forth in this proxy statement under the heading Compensation Discussion and Analysis. Based on the reviews and discussions referred to above, we recommended to the Board of Directors that the disclosures set forth in this proxy statement under the heading Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement and incorporated by reference into Goodrich Petroleum Corporation s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013.

Respectfully submitted by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors,

Gene Washington, Chairman

Josiah T. Austin

Arthur A. Seeligson

30

#### **EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

## **Summary Compensation**

The following table summarizes, with respect to our NEOs, information relating to the compensation earned for services rendered in all capacities. Our NEOs consist of our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and three of our other most highly compensated executive officers.

# Summary Compensation for Year Ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (1)(2) (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	All Other Compensation (3) (\$)	Total (\$)
Walter G. Goodrich	2013 2012	478,400		2,100,000	724,776	15,300	3,318,476
Vice Chairman and	2012	478,400		956,801	861,120	15,000	2,311,321
Chief Executive Officer	2011	460,000		920,003	621,000	14,700	2,015,703
Robert C. Turnham, Jr.  President and	2013 2012	452,400 452,400		1,900,000 904,796	685,386 814,320	15,300 15,000	3,053,086 2,186,516
Chief Operating Officer	2011	435,000		870,000	587,250	14,700	1,906,950
Mark E. Ferchau	2013 2012	353,600 353,600		1,450,000 707,201	357,136 424,320	15,300 15,000	2,176,036 1,500,121
Executive Vice President	2011	340,000		680,006	306,000	14.700	1,340,706
Jan L. Schott	2013 2012	291,200 291,200	20,000	962,000 436,798	235,290 279,552	15,300 14,700	1,503,790 1,022,550
Senior Vice President, and Chief Financial							
Officer	2011	280,000		420,002	201,600	13,163	936,302
Michael J. Killelea	2013 2012	286,000 286,000		753,000 428,999	231,088 274,560	15,300 14,700	1,285,388 1,004,559
Senior Vice President,	2011	275,000		412,496	198,000	14,700	900,196
General Counsel and							
Corporate Secretary							

<sup>(1)</sup> For 2013, the amounts included in the Stock Awards column reflect the aggregate amount of the pure time-vested phantom stock grants and the grants of performance phantom stock resulting from the 2013 performance.

- (2) The amounts included in the Stock Awards column reflect the grant date fair value of the awards under Financial Accounting Standards Board's Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718, assuming the completion of the service-based vesting conditions to which such awards are subject. Pursuant to SEC rules, the amounts shown exclude the impact of estimated forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions. These amounts reflect the Company's accounting expense for these awards, and do not correspond to the actual value that will be recognized by our NEOs. Assumptions used in the calculation of these amounts are included in Note 2 to our audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013 included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.
- (3) The amounts included in the All Other Compensation column represent Company matching contributions to the Named Executive Officer s 401(k) savings plan account. No Named Executive Officer received any perquisites for which the aggregate amount exceeded \$10,000.

31

# **Table of Contents**

#### **Grants of Plan-Based Awards**

The following table provides information concerning each grant of an award made to our NEOs under any plan during 2013, including awards, if any, that have been transferred.

# **Grants of Plan-Based Awards for Year Ended December 31, 2013**

**Estimated Possible Payouts Under** 

Non-Equity

Incentive Plan Awards((1))

						Grant
					All Other Stock Awards Number	Date Fair Value of
Name	Grant Date	Threshold	Target	Maximum	of Shares of Stock or Units((2))	Stock and Options Awards
Walter G. Goodrich	12/10/2013	( <b>\$</b> ) 358,800	( <b>\$</b> ) 717,600	(\$)	(#)	(\$)