

LHC Group, Inc
Form 10-K
March 06, 2014
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

x **ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013

or

.. **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to

Commission file number: 001-33989

LHC GROUP, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: LHC Group, Inc - Form 10-K

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

71-0918189
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

420 West Pinhook Road, Suite A

Lafayette, Louisiana 70503

(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

(337) 233-1307

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act:

Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share
(Title of each class)

NASDAQ Global Select Market
(Name of each exchange on which registered)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (17 CFR 229.405) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Edgar Filing: LHC Group, Inc - Form 10-K

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

As of June 30, 2013, the aggregate market value of the registrant's common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$297.3 million based on the closing sale price as reported on the NASDAQ Global Select Market. For purposes of this determination shares beneficially owned by officers, directors and ten percent stockholders have been excluded, which does not constitute a determination that such persons are affiliates.

There were 17,614,509 shares of common stock, \$0.01 par value, issued and outstanding as of February 28, 2014.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Registrant's Annual Report to stockholders for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 are incorporated by reference in Part II of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Portions of the Registrant's Proxy Statement for its 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are incorporated by reference in Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

LHC GROUP, INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| <u>PART I.</u> | |
| <u>Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements</u> | 2 |
| Item 1. <u>Business</u> | 3 |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u> | 32 |
| Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 44 |
| Item 2. <u>Properties</u> | 44 |
| Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 45 |
| Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | 46 |
| <u>PART II.</u> | |
| Item 5. <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 47 |
| Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | 48 |
| Item 7. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 49 |
| Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 72 |
| Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 72 |
| Item 9. <u>Changes In and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 72 |
| Item 9A. <u>Disclosure Controls and Procedures</u> | 72 |
| <u>PART III.</u> | |
| Item 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | 75 |
| Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u> | 75 |
| Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | 75 |
| Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> | 76 |
| Item 14. <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u> | 76 |
| <u>PART IV.</u> | |
| Item 15. <u>Exhibits, and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | 77 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | |
| <u>Exhibit Index</u> | |

Table of Contents

PART I

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K and the information incorporated by reference herein contain certain statements and information that may constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act). Forward-looking statements relate to future plans and strategies, anticipated events or trends, future financial performance and expectations and beliefs concerning matters that are not historical facts or that necessarily depend upon future events. The words may, should, could, would, expect, plan, anticipate, believe, foresee, estimate, predict, potential, intend and intended to identify forward-looking statements. Specifically, this Annual Report on Form 10-K contains, among others, forward-looking statements about:

our expectations regarding financial condition or results of operations for periods after December 31, 2013;

our critical accounting policies;

our business strategies and our ability to grow our business;

our participation in the Medicare and Medicaid programs;

the reimbursement levels of Medicare and other third-party payors;

the prompt receipt of payments from Medicare and other third-party payors;

our future sources of and needs for liquidity and capital resources;

the effect of any changes in market rates on our operating and cash flows;

our ability to obtain financing;

our ability to make payments as they become due;

the outcomes of various routine and non-routine governmental reviews, audits and investigations;

our expansion strategy, the successful integration of recent acquisitions and, if necessary, the ability to relocate or restructure our current facilities;

the value of our proprietary technology;

the impact of legal proceedings;

our insurance coverage;

the costs of medical supplies;

our competitors and our competitive advantages;

our ability to attract and retain valuable employees;

the price of our stock;

our compliance with environmental, health and safety laws and regulations;

our compliance with health care laws and regulations;

our compliance with Securities and Exchange Commission laws and regulations and Sarbanes-Oxley requirements;

the impact of federal and state government regulation on our business; and

the impact of changes in or future interpretations of fraud, anti-kickback or other laws.

Table of Contents

The forward-looking statements included in this report reflect our current views and assumptions only as of the date this report is filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Except as required by law, we assume no responsibility and do not intend to release updates or revisions to forward-looking statements after the date they are made, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. The occurrence of any of the events described in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors in this Annual Report on Form 10-K or incorporated by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and other events that we have not predicted or assessed could have a material adverse effect on our earnings, financial condition and business, and any such forward-looking statements should not be relied on as a prediction of future events.

We qualify all of our forward-looking statements by this cautionary statement. In addition, with respect to all of our forward-looking statements, we claim the protection of the safe harbor for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

You should read this Annual Report on Form 10-K, the information incorporated by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K and the documents filed as exhibits to this Annual Report on Form 10-K completely and with the understanding that our actual future results or achievements may differ materially from what we expect or anticipate.

Unless otherwise indicated, LHC Group, we, us, our and the Company refer to LHC Group, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries.

Item 1. Business.

Overview

We provide post-acute health care services to patients through our home nursing agencies, hospices and long-term acute care hospitals (LTACHs). As of December 31, 2013, through our wholly- and majority-owned subsidiaries, equity joint ventures and controlled affiliates, we operated in Alabama, Arkansas, California, Florida, Georgia, Idaho, Illinois, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nevada, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia and Wisconsin. We operate in two segments: home-based services and facility-based services. As of December 31, 2013, we owned and/or operated 300 home-based service locations, with 255 home nursing agency locations, 34 hospices, three specialty agencies and five private duty agencies, and we managed the operations of three home nursing agencies in which we do not have an ownership interest. As of December 31, 2013, our facility-based services included six LTACHs with nine locations, a pharmacy, and a family health center.

We provide home-based post-acute health care services through our home nursing agencies and hospices. Our home nursing locations offer a wide range of services, including skilled nursing, medically-oriented social services and physical, occupational and speech therapy. The nurses, home health aides and therapists in our home nursing agencies work closely with patients and their families to design and implement individualized treatments in accordance with a physician-prescribed plan of care. Our hospices provide end-of-life care to patients with terminal illnesses through interdisciplinary teams of physicians, nurses, home health aides, counselors and volunteers. Of our 300 home-based services locations, 162 are wholly-owned by us, 128 are majority-owned or controlled by us through joint ventures, seven are operated through license lease arrangements, and we manage the operations of three home nursing agencies in which we have no ownership interest.

Our LTACH locations provide services primarily to patients with complex medical conditions who have transitioned out of a hospital intensive care unit but whose conditions remain too severe for treatment in a non-acute setting. As of December 31, 2013, our LTACHs had 223 licensed beds. We own and operate six LTACHs with nine locations, of which all but one are located within host hospitals. We also own and operate a pharmacy and a family health center. Of these 11 facility-based services locations, six are wholly-owned by us and five are majority-owned or controlled by us through joint ventures.

Table of Contents

Our net service revenue by segment for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 was as follows (amounts in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Home-Based Services | \$ 582,891 | \$ 563,741 | \$ 557,901 |
| Facility-Based Services | 75,392 | 73,828 | 75,971 |
| Consolidated Net Service Revenue | \$ 658,283 | \$ 637,569 | \$ 633,872 |

Our founders began operations in September 1994 as St. Landry Home Health, Inc. in Palmetto, Louisiana. After several years of expansion, our founders reorganized their business and began operating as Louisiana Healthcare Group, Inc. in June 2000. In March 2001, Louisiana Healthcare Group, Inc. reorganized and became a wholly owned subsidiary of The Healthcare Group, Inc., a Louisiana business corporation. In December 2002, The Healthcare Group, Inc. merged into LHC Group, LLC, a Louisiana limited liability company, with LHC Group, LLC being the surviving entity. In January 2005, LHC Group, LLC established a wholly owned Delaware subsidiary, LHC Group, Inc. and on February 9, 2005, LHC Group, LLC merged into LHC Group, Inc., a Delaware corporation with LHC Group, Inc. being the surviving entity. Our principal executive offices are located at 420 West Pinhook Road, Suite A, Lafayette, Louisiana, 70503. Our telephone number is (337) 233-1307. Our website is www.lhcgroup.com. Information contained on our website is not part of or incorporated by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The information contained in this Form 10-K does not reflect the impact of us acquiring the home health, hospice, and community-based service subsidiaries doing business as Deaconess HomeCare and Elk Valley Health Services. Refer to Note 16 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of the proposed acquisitions.

Business Strategy

Our objective is to become the leading provider of post-acute services to Medicare beneficiaries in the United States. To achieve this objective, we intend to:

Drive internal growth in existing markets. We intend to drive internal growth in our current markets by increasing the number of health care providers from whom we receive referrals and by expanding the breadth of our services in each market. We intend to achieve this growth by: (1) continuing to educate health care providers about the benefits of our services; (2) reinforcing the position of our agencies and facilities as community assets; (3) maintaining our emphasis on high-quality medical care for our patients; (4) identifying related products and services needed by our patients and their communities; and (5) providing a superior work environment for our employees.

Achieve margin improvement through the active management of costs. The majority of our net service revenue is generated under Medicare prospective payment systems (PPS) through which we are paid pre-determined rates based upon the clinical condition and severity of the patients in our care. Because our profitability in a fixed payment system depends upon our ability to manage the costs of providing care, we continue to pursue initiatives to improve our margins and net income.

Expand into new markets. We intend to continue expanding into new markets by utilizing our point of care technology, developing de novo locations and by acquiring existing Medicare and/or Medicaid-certified home-based agencies in attractive markets throughout the United States. We will continue our unique strategy of partnering with non-profit hospitals in home health services, as these ventures provide significant return on investment. We also plan to acquire larger freestanding agencies that can serve as growth platforms in markets we do not currently serve in order to support our growth into new markets.

Pursue strategic acquisitions and develop joint ventures. We will continue to identify and evaluate opportunities for strategic acquisitions in new and existing markets that will enhance our market position, increase our referral base and expand the breadth of services we offer. We endeavor to joint venture with hospitals to provide post-acute services, such as home health, and hospice and LTACHs.

Table of Contents

Services

We provide post-acute care services in the United States by providing quality cost-effective health care services to patients within the comfort and privacy of their home, place of residence or long-term acute care hospital facility. Our services can be broadly classified into two principal categories: (1) home-based services offered through our home nursing agencies and hospices; and (2) facility-based services offered through our LTACHs.

Home-Based Services

Home Nursing. Our registered and licensed practical nurses provide a variety of medically necessary services to homebound patients who are suffering from acute or chronic illness, recovering from injury or surgery, or who otherwise require care, teaching or monitoring. These services include:

wound care and dressing changes;

cardiac rehabilitation;

infusion therapy;

pain management;

pharmaceutical administration;

skilled observation and assessment; and

patient education.

We have also designed guidelines to treat chronic diseases and conditions, including diabetes, hypertension, arthritis, Alzheimer's disease, low vision, spinal stenosis, Parkinson's disease, osteoporosis, complex wound care and chronic pain. Our home health aides provide assistance with daily living activities such as light housekeeping, simple meal preparation, medication management, bathing and walking. Through our medical social workers, we counsel patients and their families with regard to financial, personal and social concerns that arise from a patient's health-related problems. We provide skilled nursing, ventilator and tracheotomy services, extended care specialties, medication administration and management, and patient and family assistance and education. We also provide management services to third-party home nursing agencies, often as an interim solution until proper state and regulatory approvals for an acquisition can be obtained.

Our physical, occupational and speech therapists provide therapy services to patients in their home. Our therapists coordinate multi-disciplinary treatment plans with physicians, nurses and social workers to restore basic mobility skills such as getting out of bed and walking safely with crutches or a walker. As part of the treatment and rehabilitation process, a therapist will stretch and strengthen muscles, test balance and coordination abilities and teach home exercise programs. Our therapists assist patients and their families with improving and maintaining a patient's ability to perform functional activities of daily living, such as the ability to dress, cook, clean and manage other activities safely in the home environment. Our speech and language therapists provide corrective and rehabilitative treatment to patients who suffer from physical or cognitive deficits or disorders that create difficulty with verbal communication or swallowing.

All of our home nursing agencies offer 24-hour personal emergency response and support services through Philips Lifeline (Lifeline) for qualified patients who require close medical monitoring but who want to maintain an independent lifestyle. These services consist principally of a communicator that connects to the telephone line in the subscriber's home and a personal help button that is worn or carried by the individual subscriber which, when activated, initiates a telephone call from the subscriber's communicator to Lifeline's central monitoring facilities.

Edgar Filing: LHC Group, Inc - Form 10-K

Lifeline's trained personnel identify the nature and extent of the subscriber's particular need and notify the subscriber's family members, neighbors and/or emergency personnel, as needed.

Table of Contents

We believe our use of the Lifeline system increases patient satisfaction and loyalty by providing our patients a point of contact between scheduled nursing visits. As a result, we provide a more complete regimen of care management than our competitors in the markets in which we operate by offering this service to qualified patients as part of their home health plan of care.

Hospice. Our Medicare-certified hospice operations provide a full range of hospice services designed to meet the individual physical, spiritual and psychosocial needs of terminally ill patients and their families. Our hospice services are primarily provided in a patient's home but can also be provided in a nursing home, assisted living facility or hospital. Key services provided include pain and symptom management accompanied by palliative medication, emotional and spiritual support, inpatient and respite care, homemaker services, dietary counseling, and family bereavement counseling and social worker visits for up to 13 months after a patient's death.

Facility-Based Services

Long-term Acute Care Hospitals. Our LTACHs treat patients with severe medical conditions who require a high-level of care and frequent monitoring by physicians and other clinical personnel. Patients who receive our services in an LTACH are too medically unstable to be treated in a non-acute setting. Examples of these medical conditions include respiratory failure, neuromuscular disorders, cardiac disorders, non-healing wounds, renal disorders, cancer, head and neck injuries and mental disorders. We also treat patients diagnosed with musculoskeletal impairments that restrict their ability to perform normal activities of daily living.

Other. As part of our facility-based services, we operate an institutional pharmacy, which focuses on providing a full array of services to our LTACHs, and we operate a family health center.

Operations

Financial information relating to the home- and facility-based operating segments of our business including their contributions to our net service revenue, operating income and total assets for each of the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, is found in Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Home-Based Services

Our home nursing agencies are operated in one segment that is separated into five geographical regions and further separated into individual operating areas. Each agency is staffed with experienced clinical home health and administrative professionals who provide a wide range of patient care services. Each of our home nursing agencies is licensed and certified by the state and federal governments. As of December 31, 2013, 264 of our 300 home-based service locations were accredited by the Joint Commission, a nationwide commission that establishes standards relating to the facilities, administration, quality of patient care and operation of medical staffs of hospitals. Those not yet accredited are working towards achieving this accreditation, a process which can take up to six months. As we acquire companies, we apply for accreditation 12 to 18 months after completing the acquisition.

Our home-based service locations use our Service Value Point system, a proprietary clinical resource allocation model and cost management system. The system is a quantitative tool that assigns a target level of resource units to a group of patients based upon their initial assessment and estimated skilled nursing and therapy needs. The Service Value Point system allows the Director of Nursing or Branch Manager to allocate adequate resources throughout the group of patients assigned to his or her care, rather than focusing on the profitability of an individual patient.

Table of Contents

Patient care is handled on-site at the agency level of each home-based service location. Centralized functions that are provided at our principal executive offices include payroll, accounting, financial reporting, billing, collections, regulatory and legal compliance, risk management, pharmacy, information technology and general clinical oversight accomplished by periodic on-site surveys.

Facility-Based Services

Our facility-based service locations are operated in one segment within one geographic region. Our facility-based services follow a clinical approach under which each patient is discussed in weekly, multidisciplinary team meetings. In these meetings, patient progress is assessed and compared to goals and future goals are set. We believe that this model results in higher quality care, predictable discharge patterns and the avoidance of unnecessary delays.

All coding, medical records, case management, utilization review and medical staff credentialing are provided on-site at the hospital level of each facility-based service location. Centralized functions that are provided at our principal executive offices include payroll, accounting, financial reporting, billing, collections, regulatory and legal compliance, risk management, pharmacy, information technology and general clinical oversight accomplished by periodic on-site surveys.

Joint Ventures

As of December 31, 2013, we had 65 equity joint ventures including 57 with hospitals, five with physicians, and three with other parties. We also operated three agency license leasing agreements.

Equity Joint Ventures

Our equity joint ventures are generally structured as limited liability companies in which we own a majority equity interest and our partner(s) own(s) a minority equity interest. At the time of formation, each party contributes capital to the equity joint venture in the form of cash or property. We believe that the amount contributed by each party to the equity joint venture represents their pro-rata portion of the fair market value of the equity joint venture. None of our equity joint venture partners are required to make or influence referrals to our equity joint ventures. In fact, each of our hospital joint venture partners (which make up 88% of our equity joint venture partners) must follow the same Medicare discharge planning regulations, which, among other things, requires them to offer each Medicare patient a list of available Medicare-certified home nursing agency options and to allow the patient to choose his or her own provider.

From a governance perspective, our equity joint ventures are either manager-managed or board-managed. We control our manager-managed joint ventures, since we are designated as the manager and oversee their day-to-day operations. We control our board-managed/committee-managed joint ventures, since we hold a majority of the votes required to take board/committee action and/or we control the senior officer positions, although a majority of our joint ventures require super majority approvals of certain actions. The members of our equity joint ventures participate in profits and losses in proportion to their equity interests. Distributions from our equity joint ventures are made pro-rata based on percentage ownership interests and are not based on referrals made to the equity joint venture by any of the equity joint venture partners.

The 65 equity joint ventures individually contribute between 0.02% and 3.88% of our consolidated net service revenue with only two of the equity joint ventures accounting for greater than 3% of our consolidated net service revenue for the year ended December 31, 2013. LHCG-XII, LLC d/b/a Louisiana Extended Care Hospital of Lafayette, in which we have a 87.233% ownership interest, contributed 3.88% and Mississippi HomeCare of

Table of Contents

Jackson II, LLC d/b/a Mississippi HomeCare/Jackson, in which we have a 66.67% ownership interest, contributed 3.71%, respectively, to our consolidated net service revenue for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Most of our equity joint ventures include a buy/sell option that grants to us and our equity joint venture partners the right to require the other party to either purchase all of the exercising member's membership interests or sell to the exercising member all of the non-exercising member's membership interests, at the non-exercising member's option, within 30 days of the receipt of notice of the exercise of the buy/sell option. In some instances, the purchase price under these buy/sell provisions is based on a multiple of the historical or future earnings before income taxes, depreciation and amortization of the equity joint venture at the time the buy/sell option is exercised. In other instances, the buy/sell purchase price will be negotiated by the parties but will be subject to a fair market valuation process.

License Leasing Agreements

As of December 31, 2013, we had three agreements to lease, through our wholly-owned subsidiaries, the right to use the home health licenses necessary to operate our home nursing agencies and hospice agencies. These license leasing agreements are entered into when state law would otherwise prohibit the sale and transfer of home nursing agencies. The table below details the monthly fees and termination dates of the license leasing agreements.

Number of license

| leasing agreements | 2013 Current Monthly Fee | Increase in Monthly Fee | Initial Termination Dates |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1 | \$18,375 | 5% increase every three years | 2017 with a 2 year automatic renewal |
| 2 | Based on net quarterly | None | 2010 with a 5 year automatic renewal |

projections with a cap of \$180,000.

In all three license leasing agreements, we have a right of first refusal in the event that the lessor intends to sell the leased home nursing agency to a third party.

Management Services Agreements

As of December 31, 2013, we had three management services agreements under which we manage the operations of home nursing agencies. We do not have ownership interest in these home nursing agencies subject to these management services agreements. We provide billing, management and other consulting services suited to and designed for the efficient operation of the applicable home nursing agency. We are responsible for the costs associated with the locations and personnel required for the provision of services. We are compensated based on a percentage of cash collections for one management services agreement and reimbursed for operating expenses plus a percentage of operating net income for the remaining management services agreement. The term of these management services agreements is typically five years, with an option to renew for an additional five-year term. All management services agreements will automatically renew annually unless either party gives written notice of termination.

We record management services revenue as services are provided in accordance with the various management services agreements.

Competition

The home health care market is highly fragmented. According to the Medicare Payment Advisory Commission, an independent agency that advises Congress on various Medicare issues (MedPac), there were approximately 12,200 Medicare-certified home nursing agencies in the United States in 2011. In 2009 MedPac

Table of Contents

estimated that approximately 32% of Medicare-certified home health agencies were hospital-based or not-for-profit, freestanding agencies and 19% of home nursing agencies were located in rural markets. We believe we are well positioned to build and maintain long-term relationships with local hospitals, physicians and other health care providers and to become the highest quality post-acute provider in our markets. In our experience, because most rural areas do not have the population size to support more than one or two general acute care hospitals, the local community hospital often plays a significant role in rural market health care delivery systems. Rural patients who require home nursing frequently receive care from a small home care agency or an agency that, while owned and run by the local community hospital, is not an area of focus for that hospital. Similarly, patients in these markets who require services typically offered by LTACHs are more likely to remain in the community hospital because it is often the only local facility equipped to deal with severe and complex medical conditions. We choose to enter these rural markets through affiliations with local hospitals, since we typically experience significantly less competition for the services we provide.

As we expand into new markets, we may encounter competitors that have greater resources or greater access to capital. Generally, competition in our home-based service markets comes from small local and regional providers. These providers include facility- and hospital-based providers, visiting nurse associations and nurse registries. We are unaware of any competitor offering our breadth of services and focusing on the needs of rural markets.

We have also entered into various joint ventures with non-profit hospitals for the ownership and management of home nursing agencies and LTACHs. We are unaware of any competitor with this type of partnering strategy.

Although several publicly-held and privately-owned national and regional companies own or manage LTACHs, they generally do not operate in the rural markets that we serve. Generally, competition in our facility-based service markets comes from local health care providers. We believe our principal competitive advantages over these local providers are our diverse service offerings, our collaborative approach to working with health care providers, our business experience gained from focusing on rural markets and our patient-oriented operating model.

Quality Control

The LHC Group Quality Department, led by our Chief Clinical Officer, is responsible for formulating quality of care indicators, identifying performance improvement priorities, and facilitating best-practices for quality care. As a Company, we adopted the Plan, Do, Check, Act methodology for our quality/performance improvement activities and initiatives. We also set forth a quality platform for home care that reviews the following:

performance improvement audits;

Joint Commission accreditation;

state and regulatory surveys;

Home Health Compare scores; and

patient perception of care.

The Quality Department also has the responsibility to ensure that the infrastructure of the quality initiatives throughout the Company is appropriate, to oversee and evaluate the effectiveness of the quality plans and initiatives and to recommend appropriate quality and performance improvement initiatives.

The Clinical Quality Committee of the Board of Directors is responsible for advising our clinical leadership, monitoring the performance of our locations based on internal and external benchmarks, overseeing and

Table of Contents

evaluating the effectiveness of the performance improvement and quality plans, facilitating best practices based on internal and external comparisons and fostering enhanced awareness of clinical performance by the Board of Directors.

As part of our ongoing quality control, internal auditing and monitoring programs, we conduct internal regulatory audits and mock surveys at each of our agencies and facilities at least once a year. If an agency or facility does not achieve a satisfactory rating, we require that it prepare and implement a plan of correction. We then follow-up to verify that all deficiencies identified in the initial audit and survey have been corrected.

As required under the Medicare conditions of participation, we maintain a continuous quality improvement program, which involves:

ongoing education of staff and quarterly continuous quality improvement meetings at each of our agencies, facilities and principal executive offices;

monthly comprehensive audits of patient charts performed at each of our agencies and facilities;

at least annually, a comprehensive survey readiness assessment is performed on each of our agencies and facilities;

review of Home Health Compare scores;

assessment of patient s and/or family member s perception of care using Press Ganey, Deyton or Thomson Reuters; and

assessment of infection control practices and risk events.

We constantly expand and refine our continuous quality improvement programs. Specific written policies, procedures, training and educational materials and programs, as well as auditing and monitoring activities, have been prepared and implemented to address the functional and operational aspects of our business. Our programs also address specific problem areas identified through regulatory interpretation and enforcement activities. We believe our consistent focus on continuous quality improvement programs provide us with a competitive advantage in the markets we serve.

Compliance

We have established and continually maintain a comprehensive compliance and ethics program that is designed to assist all of our employees to meet or exceed applicable standards established by federal and state laws and regulations and industry practice. Our goal is to foster and maintain the highest standards of compliance, ethics, integrity and professionalism in every aspect of our business dealings, and we utilize our compliance and ethics program to assist our employees to that goal.

The purpose of our compliance and ethics program is to promote and foster compliance with applicable legal and regulatory requirements; the requirements of the Medicare and Medicaid programs and other government healthcare programs; industry standards; our Code of Conduct and Ethics; and our other policies and procedures that support and enhance overall compliance within our Company. Our compliance and ethics program focuses on regulations related to the federal False Claims Act, the Stark Law, the federal Anti-Kickback Law, billing and overall adherence to health care regulations.

To ensure the independence of our compliance department staff, the following measures have been implemented:

our Chief Compliance Officer reports to and has direct oversight by the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors;

our compliance department has its own operating budget; and

Table of Contents

our compliance department has the authority to independently investigate any compliance or ethical concerns, including, when deemed necessary, the authority to interview any company personnel, access any company property (including electronic communications) and engage counsel to assist in any investigation.

Among other activities, our compliance department staff is responsible for the following activities:

drafting and revising the Company's policies and procedures related to compliance and ethics issues;

reviewing, making recommended revisions, disseminating and tracking attestations to our Code of Conduct and Ethics;

measuring compliance with our policies and procedures, Code of Conduct and Ethics and legal and regulatory requirements related to the Medicare and Medicaid programs and other government healthcare programs, laws and regulations;

developing and providing compliance-related training and education to all of our employees and, as appropriate, directors, contractors and other representatives and agents, including new-hire compliance training for all new employees, annual compliance training for all employees, sales compliance training to all members of our sales team, billing compliance training to all members of our billing and revenue cycle team and other job-specific and role-based compliance training of certain employees;

performing an annual company-wide risk assessment;

implementing an annual compliance auditing and monitoring work plan and performing and following up on various risk-based auditing and monitoring activities, including both clinical and non-clinical auditing and monitoring activities at the corporate level and at the local agency/facility level;

developing, implementing and overseeing our Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA) privacy and security compliance program;

monitoring, responding to and overseeing the resolution of issues and concerns raised through our anonymous compliance hotline;

monitoring, responding to and resolving all compliance and ethics-related issues and concerns raised through any other form of communication;

ensuring that we take appropriate corrective and disciplinary action when noncompliant or improper conduct is identified; and

monitoring, measuring and reporting on the Company's compliance with its corporate integrity agreement with the Office of Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services (OIG), including, without limitation, reviewing, revising and distributing the Code of Conduct and Ethics and compliance-related policies and procedures, reviewing revising and distributing all required training, assisting the independent review organization with its review procedures, overseeing the timely repayment of any identified overpayments, overseeing the timely reporting of any reportable events and ensuring the timely submission of the Company's annual reports to the OIG.

All employees are required to report incidents, issues or other concerns that they believe in good faith may be in violation of our Code of Conduct and Ethics, our policies and procedures, applicable legal and regulatory requirements or the requirements of the Medicare and Medicaid

Edgar Filing: LHC Group, Inc - Form 10-K

programs and other government healthcare programs. All employees are encouraged to either contact our Chief Compliance Officer directly or to contact our 24-hour toll-free compliance hotline when they have questions or concerns about any compliance or ethics issues. All reports to our compliance hotline are kept confidential to the extent allowed by law, and employees have the option to remain anonymous. When cases reported to our compliance hotline involve a compliance or ethics issue or any possible violation of law or regulation, the matter is referred to the compliance department for investigation. Retaliation against employees in connection with reporting compliance or ethical concerns is considered a serious violation of our Code of Conduct and Ethics, and, if it occurs, will result in discipline, up to and including termination of employment.

Table of Contents

We continually expand and refine our compliance and ethics programs. We promote a culture of compliance, ethics, integrity and professionalism within our company through persistent messages from our senior leadership concerning the necessity of strict compliance with legal requirements and company policies and procedures. We believe our consistent focus on our compliance and ethics programs provides us with a competitive advantage in the markets we serve.

Technology and Intellectual Property

Our Service Value Point system is a proprietary information system that assists us in, among other things, monitoring clinical utilization and other cost factors, supporting our health care management techniques, internal benchmarking, clinical analysis, outcomes monitoring and claims generation, revenue cycle management and revenue reporting at our home nursing agencies.

Our patent for our Service Value Point system was issued during 2009 by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. This proprietary home nursing clinical resource and cost management system is a quantitative tool that assigns a target level of resource units to each patient based upon his or her initial assessment and estimated skilled nursing and therapy needs. We designed this system to empower our direct care employees to make appropriate day-to-day clinical care decisions while also allowing us to manage the quality and delivery of care across our system and to monitor the cost of providing that care both on a patient-specific and agency-specific basis.

In addition to our Service Value Point system, our business is substantially dependent on non-proprietary software. For example, we utilize a third-party software information system for billing and maintaining patient claim receivables for our LTACHs. Also, as of December 31, 2013, our home nursing agencies primarily utilized two billing and patient claim systems.

We continue to implement, evaluate and refine the roll out of our point of care (POC) strategy. Our POC system allows a visiting clinician to access records and other information from the patient s home or at the POC, and to complete required documentation at the POC and submit it electronically into our patient record. As of December 31, 2013, we had 208 locations (home nursing agencies and hospices) on POC. We plan to continue converting our same store home-based service locations to POC and intend to be completed by year-end 2014.

Technology plays a key role in our ability to expand operations and maintain effective managerial control. The software we use is based on client-server technology and is highly scalable. We believe our software and systems are flexible, easy-to-use and allow us to accommodate growth without difficulty. We believe that our ability to build and enhance our information and software systems provides us with a competitive advantage that allows us to grow our business in a more cost-efficient manner and provide better patient care.

Reimbursement

Medicare

The federal government s Medicare program, governed by the Social Security Act of 1965 (the Social Security Act), reimburses health care providers for services furnished to Medicare beneficiaries. These beneficiaries generally include persons age 65 and older and those who are chronically disabled. The program is primarily administered by the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). Medicare payments accounted for 79.8%, 77.9% and 79.7% of our net service revenue for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Medicare reimburses us based upon the setting in which we provide our services or the Medicare category in which those services fall.

In 2011, sequestration was used in the Budget Control Act of 2011(BCA, P.L. 112-25) as a tool in federal budget control. Sequestration is a procedure in United States law that limits the size of the federal budget. Sequestration involves setting a hard cap on the amount of government spending within broadly-defined categories; if Congress enacts annual appropriations legislation that exceeds these caps, an across-the-board spending cut is automatically imposed on these categories, affecting all departments and programs by an equal percentage. This 2011 act authorized an increase in the debt ceiling in exchange for \$2.4 trillion in deficit reduction over the following ten years. This total included \$1.2 trillion in spending cuts identified specifically in the legislation, with an additional \$1.2 trillion in cuts that were to be determined by a bipartisan group of Senators and Representatives known as the Super Committee or officially as the United States Congress Joint

Table of Contents

Select Committee on Deficit Reduction. The Super Committee failed to reach an agreement. In that event, a trigger mechanism in the bill was activated to implement across-the-board reductions in the rate of increase in spending known as sequestration. Sequestration was triggered on March 1, 2013 for non-exempted spending other than Medicare. Due to the Congressional Budget Act, sequestration's impact on Medicare spending is triggered on the first day of the first month after the order is put into effect. The sequestration cut to Medicare began on April 1, 2013 and reduced Medicare payments for patients whose service dates end on or after April 1, 2013 by 2%.

Home Nursing.

The Medicare home nursing benefit is available to patients who need care following discharge from a hospital, as well as patients who suffer from chronic conditions that require ongoing but intermittent care. The services received need not be rehabilitative or of a finite duration; however, patients who require full-time skilled nursing for an extended period of time generally do not qualify for Medicare home nursing benefits. As a condition of coverage under Medicare, beneficiaries must: (1) be homebound in that they are unable to leave their home without considerable effort; (2) require intermittent skilled nursing, physical therapy, or speech therapy services that are covered by Medicare; and (3) receive treatment under a plan of care that is established and periodically reviewed by a physician. Qualifying patients also may receive reimbursement for occupational therapy, medical social services and home health aide services if these additional services are part of a plan of care prescribed by a physician.

We receive a standard prospective Medicare payment for delivering care over a base 60-day period, referred to as an episode of care. There is no limit to the number of episodes a beneficiary may receive as long as he or she remains eligible. Most patients complete treatment within two episodes of care. The base episode payment, established through federal legislation, is a flat rate that is adjusted upward or downward based upon differences in the expected resource needs of individual patients as indicated by clinical severity, functional severity and service utilization. The magnitude of the adjustment is determined by each patient's categorization into one of 153 payment groups, known as Home Health Resource Groups and the costliness of care for patients in each group relative to the average patient. Payment is further adjusted for differences in local labor costs using the hospital wage index. We bill and are reimbursed for services in two stages: an initial request for advance payment when the episode commences and a final claim when the episode is completed. We submit all Medicare claims through the Medicare Administrative Contractors for the federal government. We receive 60% of the estimated payment for a patient's initial episode up-front (after the initial assessment is completed and upon initial billing) and the remaining 40% upon completion of the episode and after all final treatment orders are signed by the physician. In the event of subsequent episodes, reimbursement timing is 50% up-front and 50% upon completion of the episode. Final payments may reflect one of four retroactive adjustments to ensure the adequacy and effectiveness of the total reimbursement: (1) an outlier payment if the patient's care was unusually costly; (2) a low utilization adjustment if the number of visits was fewer than five; (3) a partial payment if the patient transferred to another provider before completing the episode; or (4) a payment adjustment based upon the level of therapy services required in the population base. Because such adjustments are determined upon the completion date of the episode, retroactive adjustments could impact our financial results.

In 2011, CMS finalized two provisions of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (the PPACA) that substantially impact our business. First, as a condition for Medicare payment, the PPACA mandates that prior to certifying a patient's eligibility for home health services, the certifying physician or qualifying nurse practitioner must document that he or she had a face-to-face encounter with the patient that relates to the condition for which the patient receives home health services. The encounter must occur within 90 days prior to the start of care or 30 days after the start of care. Documentation regarding these encounters must be present on certifications.

In addition to the face-to-face encounter requirements, CMS also made important changes to therapy assessment requirements. A professional qualified therapist assessment must take place at least once every 30

Table of Contents

days during a therapy patient's course of treatment. For those eligible patients needing 13 or 19 therapy visits, a qualified therapist must perform the therapy service required, assess the patient, and measure and document effectiveness of the 13th visit and the 19th visit for all therapy disciplines caring for the patient.

We verify a patient's eligibility for home health benefits at the time of admission. Through the verification process we are able to determine the payor source and eligibility for reimbursement of each patient. Accordingly, we do not have any material reimbursement amounts that are pending approval based on the eligibility of a patient to receive reimbursement from the applicable payor program. Further, we provide only limited services to patients who are ineligible for reimbursement from a third party payor. Therefore, we do not have any material reimbursement from patients who are self-pay.

The base payment rate for Medicare home nursing was \$2,137.73, \$2,138.52 and \$2,192.07 per 60-day episode for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. In 2014, the base payment rate for Medicare home nursing per 60-day episode is \$2,869.27; however, the average home health case mix weight is 1.000 in 2014 as compared to 1.3464 from 2012 average case mix. The base payment rate does not include the 2% reduction to Medicare payment through sequestration as mandated by the Congressional Budget Act.

The standard federal rate is increased or decreased based on each Medicare patient's case mix index, which measures the severity of the patient's condition. Since the inception of the PPS in October 2000, the base episode payment rate has varied due to both the impact of annual market-basket based increases and Medicare-related legislation. Home health payment rates are updated annually by either the full home health market basket percentage, or by the home health market basket percentage as adjusted by Congress. CMS establishes the home health market basket index, which measures inflation in the prices of an appropriate mix of goods and services included in home health services.

Hospice.

In order for a Medicare beneficiary to qualify for the Medicare hospice benefit, two physicians must certify that, in their best judgment, the beneficiary has less than six months to live, assuming the beneficiary's disease runs its normal course. In addition, the Medicare beneficiary must affirmatively elect hospice care and waive any rights to other Medicare benefits related to his or her terminal illness. For each benefit period, a physician must recertify that the Medicare beneficiary's life expectancy is six months or less in order for the beneficiary to continue to qualify for and to receive the Medicare hospice benefit. The first two benefit periods are measured at 90-day intervals and subsequent benefit periods are measured at 60-day intervals. A Medicare beneficiary may revoke his or her election at any time and resume receiving traditional Medicare benefits. There is no limit on how long a Medicare beneficiary can receive hospice benefits and services, provided that the beneficiary continues to meet Medicare hospice eligibility criteria.

Medicare reimburses for hospice care using a PPS. Under that system, we receive one of four predetermined daily or hourly rates based upon the level of care we furnish to a beneficiary. These rates are subject to annual adjustments based on inflation and geographic wage considerations. Our base Medicare rates for services that we provide to a beneficiary depend upon which of the following four levels of care we provide to that beneficiary:

Routine Care. Care that is not classified under any of the other levels of care, such as the work of social workers or home health aides.

General Inpatient Care. Pain control or acute or chronic symptom management that cannot be managed in a setting other than an inpatient Medicare certified facility, such as a hospital, skilled nursing facility or hospice inpatient facility.

Table of Contents

Continuous Home Care. Care for patients experiencing a medical crisis that requires nursing services to achieve palliation and symptom control, if the agency provides a minimum of eight hours of care within a 24-hour period.

Respite Care. Short-term, inpatient care to give temporary relief to the caregiver who regularly provides care to the patient. Medicare limits the reimbursement we may receive for inpatient care services (both respite and general care) for hospice patients. Under the 80-20 rule, if the number of inpatient care days of hospice care furnished by us under a unique provider number to all Medicare hospice beneficiaries exceeds 20% of the total days of hospice care furnished by us to all Medicare hospice beneficiaries for both inpatient and in-home care, Medicare payments to us for inpatient care days exceeding the inpatient cap will be reduced to the routine home care rate, with excess amounts due back to Medicare. This determination is made annually based on the twelve-month period beginning on November 1 each year. This limit is computed on a program-by-program basis. Our hospices did not exceed the cap on inpatient care services during 2012 or 2011. We have not received notification that any of our hospices have exceeded the cap on inpatient care services during 2013.

Our Medicare hospice reimbursement is also subject to a cap amount calculated by the Medicare fiscal intermediary at the end of the hospice cap period, based on the twelve-month period beginning on November 1 each year, which determines the maximum allowable payments per provider number. We received notification in January 2014 that some, but not all, of our hospices did exceed the cap on per beneficiary limits during 2012, for which the amount of the cap exception was financially immaterial. We have not received notification that any of our hospices have exceeded the cap on per beneficiary limits during 2013.

On a monthly and quarterly basis, we estimate our potential cap exposure using information available for both inpatient day limits as well as per beneficiary cap amounts. The total cap amount for each provider was calculated by multiplying (a) the number of beneficiaries electing hospice care from September 28, 2012 to September 27, 2013 by (b) a statutory amount that is indexed for inflation. The per beneficiary cap amount was \$26,158 for the twelve-month period ended October 31, 2013 compared to \$25,377 for the twelve month period ended October 31, 2012. There will be a cap liability if actual payments per the Provider Statistical and Reimbursement report for the period of November 1, 2012 to October 31, 2013 exceeds \$26,158 per beneficiary.

In 2011, CMS finalized a face-to-face encounter requirement applicable to hospice. This requirement mandated that a physician or qualifying nurse practitioner must certify that he or she had a face-to-face encounter with the patient no later than the 30-day period prior to the 180th-day recertification (third benefit period) and each subsequent recertification in order to gather clinical findings that support continued hospice care, and that the certifying hospice physician must attest that such a visit took place.

Long-Term Acute Care Hospitals.

All Medicare payments to our LTACHs are made in accordance with a PPS specifically applicable to LTACHs, referred to as LTACH-PPS. There have been significant regulatory changes over the past few years, including reimbursement changes, which have affected our net operating revenues and, in some cases, caused us to change our operating model and business strategies.

The LTACH-PPS was established by CMS final regulations published on August 30, 2002, and applies to LTACHs for cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 2002. Under the LTACH-PPS, each patient discharged from an LTACH was assigned a distinct long-term care diagnosis-related group (LTC-DRG), and an LTACH was generally paid a pre-determined fixed amount applicable to the assigned LTC-DRG (adjusted for area wage differences), subject to exceptions for short stay and high cost outlier patients (described below). Beginning with discharges on or after October 1, 2007, CMS implemented a new patient classification system with categories referred to as MS-LTC-DRGs. The new classification categories take into account the severity of the patient's condition. The payment amount for each MS-LTC-DRG is intended to reflect the average cost of treating a Medicare patient assigned to that MS-LTC-DRG in an LTACH.

Table of Contents

Standard Federal Rate

Payment under the LTACH-PPS is dependent on patient classification based upon the assignment of the case to a particular MS-LTC-DRG, the weight of the MS-LTC-DRG and the standard federal payment rate. There is a single standard federal rate that encompasses both the inpatient operating costs, which includes a labor and non-labor component, and capital-related costs that CMS updates on an annual basis. The LTACH-PPS also includes special payment policies that adjust the payments for some patients based on the patient's length-of-stay, the facility's costs, whether the patient was discharged and readmitted and other factors.

Short Stay Outlier Policy

CMS has established a modified payment methodology for Medicare patients with a length-of-stay less than or equal to five-sixths of the geometric average length-of-stay for that particular MS-LTC-DRG, referred to as a short stay outlier, or SSO. When LTACH-PPS was established, SSO cases were paid based on the lesser of (1) 120% of the average cost of the case; (2) 120% of the LTC-DRG specific per diem amount multiplied by the patient's length-of-stay; or (3) the full LTC-DRG payment. CMS modified the payment methodology for discharges occurring on or after July 1, 2006, which changed the limitation in clause (1) above to reduce payment for SSO cases to 100% (rather than 120%) of the average cost of the case, and also added a fourth limitation, potentially further limiting payment for SSO cases at a per diem rate derived from blending 120% of the MS-LTC-DRG specific per diem amount with a per diem rate based on the general acute care hospital inpatient prospective payment system, or IPPS. Under this methodology, as a patient's length-of-stay increases, the percentage of the per diem amount based upon the IPPS component will decrease and the percentage based on the MS-LTC-DRG component will increase.

Modification of Short Stay Outlier Policy

Effective December 29, 2012, the SSO rule was further revised adding a category referred to as a very short stay outlier for discharges. For cases with a length-of-stay that is less than the average length-of-stay plus one standard deviation for the same MS-DRG under IPPS, referred to as the so-called IPPS comparable threshold, the rule lowers the LTACH payment to a rate based on the general acute care hospital IPPS per diem. SSO cases with covered lengths of stay that exceed the IPPS comparable threshold would continue to be paid under the SSO payment policy.

High Cost Outliers

Some cases are extraordinarily costly, producing losses that may be too large for healthcare providers to offset. Cases with unusually high costs, referred to as high cost outliers, receive a payment adjustment to reflect the additional resources utilized. CMS provides an additional payment if the estimated costs for the patient exceed the adjusted MS-LTC-DRG payment plus a fixed-loss amount that is established in the annual payment rate update.

Interrupted Stays

An interrupted stay occurs when an LTACH patient is admitted upon discharge to a general acute care hospital, inpatient rehab facility (IRF), skilled nursing facility or a swing-bed hospital and returns to the same LTACH within a specified period of time. If the length-of-stay at the receiving provider is equal to or less than the applicable fixed period of time, it is considered to be an interrupted stay case and is treated as a single discharge for the purposes of payment to the LTACH.

Freestanding, HwH and Satellite LTACHs

LTACHs may be organized and operated as freestanding facilities or as a hospital within a hospital, or HwH. An HwH is an LTACH that is located on the campus of another hospital. In this case, campus means

Table of Contents

the physical area immediately adjacent to a hospital's main buildings, other areas and structures that are not strictly contiguous to a hospital's main buildings but are located within 250 yards of its main buildings, and any other areas determined, on an individual case basis by the applicable CMS regional office, to be part of a hospital's campus. An LTACH, whether freestanding or an HwH, that uses the same Medicare provider number of an affiliated primary site LTACH is known as a satellite. Under Medicare policy, a satellite LTACH must be located within 35 miles of its primary site LTACH and be administered by such primary site LTACH. A primary site LTACH may have more than one satellite LTACH. CMS sometimes refers to a satellite LTACH that is freestanding as a remote location. As of December 31, 2013, we had a total of nine LTACH facilities, with 223 licensed beds. Eight of our LTACH facilities were classified as HwHs and one is classified as freestanding. Of the eight HwH facilities, three were located in Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) or urban areas and five were located in non-MSA or rural areas. One of our HwH facilities was a satellite location of a parent hospital located in an MSA and one was a satellite location of a parent hospital located in a non-MSA. Our single freestanding location was a freestanding remote site of a parent located in an MSA.

LTACH Certification Criteria

The LTACH-PPS regulations define the criteria that must be met in order for a hospital to be certified as an LTACH. To be eligible for payment under the LTACH-PPS, a hospital must be primarily engaged in providing inpatient services to Medicare beneficiaries with medically complex conditions that require a long hospital stay. In addition, LTACHs must meet certain facility criteria, including (1) instituting a review process that screens patients for appropriateness of an admission and validates the patient criteria within 48 hours of each patient's admission, evaluates regularly their patients for continuation of care and assesses the available discharge options; (2) having active physician involvement with patient care that includes a physician available on-site daily and additional consulting physicians on call; and (3) having an interdisciplinary team of healthcare professionals to prepare and carry out an individualized treatment plan for each patient.

An LTACH must have an average inpatient length-of-stay for Medicare patients (including both Medicare covered and non-covered days) of greater than 25 days which CMS, through its contractors, determines during each annual cost reporting period. LTACHs that fail to exceed an average length-of-stay of 25 days during any cost reporting period may be paid under the general acute care hospital IPPS if not corrected within established timeframes. In the preamble to the final rule for fiscal year 2012, CMS clarified its policy on the calculation of the average length-of-stay by specifying that all data on all Medicare inpatient days, including Medicare Advantage days, must be included in the average length-of-stay calculation effective for cost reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2012.

25 Percent Rule

To the extent that any LTACH's or LTACH satellite facility's Medicare discharges that are admitted from an individual hospital (regardless of whether the referring hospital is co-located with the LTACH or LTACH satellite or non-co-located) exceed the applicable percentage threshold of discharged Medicare patients during a particular cost reporting period, the payment rate for those discharges would be subject to a downward payment adjustment, referred to as the 25 Percent Rule. Cases admitted in excess of the applicable threshold are reimbursed at a rate comparable to that under general acute care IPPS, which is generally lower than LTACH-PPS rates. Cases that reach outlier status in the referring hospital do not count toward the limit and are paid under LTACH-PPS.

For HwHs that meet specified criteria and were in existence as of October 1, 2004, the Medicare percentage thresholds were phased in over a four year period starting with hospital cost reporting periods that began on or after October 1, 2004. For HwHs opened after October 1, 2004, the Medicare percentage threshold has been established at 25% except for HwHs located in rural areas or co-located with an MSA dominant hospital or single urban hospital (as defined by current regulations) where the percentage is no more than 50%, nor less than 25%. All of our LTACHs are in rural areas or are co-located with an MSA dominant hospital.

Table of Contents

The SCHIP Extension Act (as amended by the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, or the ARRA, see below) and the PPACA has limited the application of the Medicare percentage threshold to HwHs in existence on October 1, 2004 and subject to the four year phase-in described above. For these HwHs, the percentage threshold is no lower than 50% for a five year period to commence on an LTACH's first cost reporting period to begin on or after October 1, 2007, except for HwHs located in rural areas and those which receive referrals from MSA dominant hospitals or single urban hospitals, in which cases the percentage threshold is no more than 75% during the same five cost reporting years.

For cost reporting periods beginning on or after July 1, 2007, CMS expanded the 25 Percent Rule to apply a payment adjustment to Medicare patients admitted from any individual hospital in excess of the specified percentage threshold. Previously, the percentage threshold payment adjustment was applicable only to Medicare admissions from hospitals co-located with an LTACH or satellite of an LTACH. The expanded 25 Percent Rule subjects free-standing LTACHs, grandfathered HwHs and grandfathered satellites to the Medicare percentage threshold payment adjustment, as well as HwHs that admit Medicare patients from non-co-located hospitals. Two of our LTACHs are grandfathered HwHs.

The SCHIP Extension Act, as amended by the ARRA, postponed the application of the percentage threshold to all free-standing and grandfathered HwHs for a three year period commencing on an LTACH's first cost reporting period on or after July 1, 2007. However, the SCHIP Extension Act did not postpone the application of the percentage threshold, or the transition period, to those Medicare patients discharged from an LTACH HwH or satellite that were admitted from a non-co-located hospital. The ARRA limits application of the percentage threshold to no more than 50% of Medicare admissions to grandfathered satellites from a co-located hospital for a three year period commencing on the first cost reporting period beginning on or after July 1, 2007. The PPACA included a two-year extension of the limits placed on the 25 Percent Rule by the SCHIP Extension Act, as amended by the ARRA.

The 25 Percent Rule is highly complex and CMS has issued only limited guidance. Based on our discussions with CMS, we believe each of our satellite and remote locations will be viewed as being located in a non-MSA regardless of the location of its parent hospital and will be treated independently from its parent for purposes of calculating its compliance with the admissions limitations. If the 25 Percent Rule is extended, as planned, to freestanding LTACHs after the three-year delay (established in the MMSEA), our current freestanding facility would not likely be affected because we currently do not receive more than 25% of our Medicare admissions from any single referring hospital.

For the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, on an individual basis, our LTACH admissions were under the proper threshold as of the current cost report year date of August 31, 2013. We acquired two LTACHs in 2010 and 2009, both of which were grandfathered LTACHs and, therefore, have no limitations under MMSEA with respect to the number of patients that can be admitted from the host hospital. One LTACH is not subject to these limits on host hospital referrals because it is not an HwH. All of our LTACHs maintain compliance with non-co-located hospital referral thresholds.

After the expiration of the regulatory relief provided by the SCHIP Extension Act, the ARRA and the PPACA, as described above, our LTACHs (whether freestanding, HwH or satellite) will be subject to a downward payment adjustment for any Medicare patients who were admitted from a co-located or a non-co-located hospital and that exceed the applicable percentage threshold of all Medicare patients discharged from the LTACH during the cost reporting period. If the 25 Percent Rule, as originally adopted by CMS, becomes effective after the expiration of the applicable provisions of the SCHIP Extension Act, the ARRA and the PPACA, these regulatory changes will collectively cause an adverse effect on our operating revenues and profitability in 2014 and beyond. However, this adverse effect could be partially mitigated if we are able to implement certain operational changes.

Table of Contents

Extension of Changes Made by the Medicare, Medicaid, and SCHIP Extension Act of 2007

The PPACA, signed into law on March 23, 2010, also adopts significant changes to the Medicare program that are particularly relevant to our LTACHs. The PPACA includes a two-year extension to sections of the SCHIP Extension Act, as amended by the ARRA. The two-year extension applies the relief granted to the 25 Percent Rule payment adjustment, the one-time budget neutrality adjustment and the very short stay outlier payment adjustment. The two-year extension also applies to the moratorium on new LTACHs and new LTACH beds adopted in the SCHIP Extension Act.

Medicare Market Basket Adjustments

The PPACA also instituted a market basket payment adjustment to LTACHs. In fiscal year 2010, LTACHs were subject to a market basket reduction of 0.25% for discharges occurring after April 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010. In fiscal year 2011, LTACHs were subject to a market basket reduction of 0.5%. In fiscal years 2012 and 2013, LTACHs were subject to a market basket reduction of 0.1%. In fiscal year 2014, the market basket update will be reduced by 0.3%. In fiscal years 2015 and 2016, the market basket update will be reduced by 0.2%. Finally, in fiscal years 2017 through 2019, the market basket update will be reduced by 0.75%. The PPACA specifically allows these market basket reductions to result in less than a 0% payment update and payment rates that are less than the prior year.

Hospital Wage Index

The PPACA calls for CMS to abandon the current system of calculating the hospital wage index based on data submitted in hospital cost reports, which currently has a four year lag in data. In its place, CMS is required to develop and present to Congress a comprehensive reform plan using Bureau of Labor Statistics data, or other data or methodologies, to calculate relative wages for each geographic area involved. Although the PPACA addresses the hospital wage index generally, this change presumably applies to LTACHs given that the LTACH-PPS wage index is computed using wage data from general acute care hospitals.

Recent Changes to the LTACH Prospective Pay System

Historically, proposed rules specifically related to LTACHs were generally published in January, finalized in May and effective on July 1st of each year. Additionally, LTACHs are subject to annual updates to the rules related to the IPPS, which are typically proposed in May, finalized in August and effective on October 1st of each year. In the annual payment rate update for the 2010 fiscal year, CMS consolidated the two historical annual updates into one annual update. The final rule adopted a 15-month rate update for fiscal year 2009, and moved the LTACH-PPS from a July-June update cycle to an October-September update cycle. As of federal fiscal year 2010, the LTACH rate year begins October 1 of each year, coinciding with the start of the federal fiscal year.

The following is a summary of significant changes to the Medicare LTACH-PPS that has occurred during the past several years. Several of the following policies were substantially revised effective in future years by the *Bipartisan Budget Act of 2013*, and those provisions are summarized at the end of this section.

The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 On February 17, 2009, President Obama signed into law the ARRA. The ARRA makes several technical corrections to the SCHIP Extension Act, including a clarification that during the moratorium period established by the SCHIP Extension Act, the percentage threshold for grandfathered satellites is set at 50% and not phased in to the 25% level for admissions from a co-located hospital. In addition, the ARRA clarifies that the application of the percentage threshold is postponed for a LTACH HwH or satellite that was co-located with a provider-based, off-campus location of an IPPS hospital that did not deliver services payable under IPPS. The ARRA also provides that the postponement of the percentage threshold established in the SCHIP Extension Act will be effective for cost reporting periods beginning on or after July 1, 2007 for freestanding LTACHs and grandfathered HwHs and satellites and on or after October 1, 2007 for other LTACH HwHs and satellites.

Table of Contents

June 3, 2009 Interim Final Rule On June 3, 2009, CMS published an interim final rule in which CMS adopted a new table of MS-LTC-DRG relative weights that applied to the remainder of fiscal year 2009 (through September 30, 2009). This interim final rule revised the MS-LTC-DRG relative weights for payment under the LTACH-PPS for fiscal year 2009 due to CMS's misapplication of its established methodology in the calculation of the budget neutrality factor. This error resulted in relative weights that were higher, by approximately 3.9% for all of fiscal year 2009 (October 1, 2008 through September 30, 2009), which has the effect of reducing reimbursement by approximately 3.9%. However, CMS only applied the corrected weights to the period from June 3, 2009 through September 30, 2009.

July 31, 2009 Final Rule On July 31, 2009, CMS released its annual payment rate update for the LTACH-PPS for fiscal year 2010 (affecting discharges and cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 2009 and before September 30, 2010). For fiscal year 2010 CMS adopted a 2.5% increase in payments under the LTACH-PPS. As a result, the standard federal rate for fiscal year 2010 was set at \$39,897, an increase from \$39,114 in fiscal year 2009. The increase in the standard federal rate used a 2.0% update factor based on the market basket update of 2.5% less an adjustment of 0.5% to account for changes in documentation and coding practices. The fixed loss amount for high cost outlier cases was set at \$18,425. This was a decrease from the fixed loss amount in the 2009 rate year of \$22,960.

The July 31, 2009 annual payment rate update also included an interim final rule with comment period implementing provisions of the ARRA discussed above, including amendments to provisions of the SCHIP Extension Act relating to payments to LTACHs and LTACH satellite facilities and increases in beds in existing LTACHs and LTACH satellite facilities under the LTACH-PPS.

In the same rule reporting cycle, CMS also finalized three interim final rules. First, CMS finalized the June 3, 2009 interim final rule that adopted a new table of MS-LTC-DRG relative weights for the period between June 3, 2009 and September 30, 2009. Second, CMS finalized the May 6, 2008 interim final rule that implemented changes to LTACH-PPS mandated by the SCHIP Extension Act addressing: (1) payment adjustments for certain short-stay outliers, (2) the federal standard rate for the last three months of rate year 2008, and (3) adjustment of the high cost outlier fixed-loss amount. Finally, CMS finalized the May 22, 2008 interim final rule that implemented changes to LTACH-PPS mandated by the SCHIP Extension Act modifying the percentage threshold policy for certain LTACHs and addressing the three-year moratorium on the establishment of new LTACHs and bed increases at existing LTACHs and LTACH satellites.

On June 2, 2010, CMS published a notice of changes to the payment rates for LTACH-PPS during the portion of fiscal year 2010 occurring on or after April 1, 2010. The standard federal rate for discharges occurring on or after April 1, 2010 was revised downward to \$39,795 from \$39,897 established in the original final rule for fiscal year 2010. This change to the LTACH-PPS standard federal rate for the remainder of fiscal year 2010 was based on an additional market basket reduction of 0.25% as mandated by the PPACA. The notice revised the fixed-loss amount for high cost outlier cases for fiscal year 2010 discharges occurring on or after April 1, 2010 to \$18,615, which was higher than the fixed-loss amount of \$18,425 in effect from October 1, 2009 to March 31, 2010.

Fiscal Year 2011 Rates-

On August 16, 2010, CMS published the policies and payment rates for LTACH-PPS for fiscal year 2011 (affecting discharges and cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2011). The standard federal rate for fiscal year 2011 was \$39,600, a decrease from the fiscal year 2010 standard federal rate of \$39,897 in effect from October 1, 2009 to March 31, 2010, and the fiscal year 2010 standard federal rate of \$39,795 that went into effect on April 1, 2010. This update to the LTACH-PPS standard federal rate for fiscal year 2011 was based on a market basket increase of 2.5% less a reduction of 2.5% to account for what CMS attributed to an increase in case-mix in prior periods that resulted from changes in documentation and coding practices, less an additional reduction of 0.5% mandated by the PPACA. The final rule established a

Table of Contents

fixed-loss amount for high cost outlier cases for fiscal year 2011 of \$18,785, which was higher than the fiscal year 2010 fixed-loss amount of \$18,425 in effect from October 1, 2009 to March 31, 2010, and the \$18,615 that went into effect on April 1, 2010. The final rule also included revisions to the relative weights for each of the MS-LTC-DRGs for fiscal year 2011.

Fiscal Year 2012 Rates-

On August 18, 2011, CMS published the policies and payment rates for LTACH-PPS for fiscal year 2012 (affecting discharges and cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012). The standard federal rate for fiscal year 2012 was \$40,222, an increase from the fiscal year 2011 standard federal rate of \$39,600. The final rule established a fixed-loss amount for high cost outlier cases for fiscal year 2012 of \$17,931, a decrease from the fixed loss amount in the 2011 fiscal year of \$18,785.

Fiscal Year 2013 Rates-

On August 1, 2012, CMS released its final rule for LTACH Medicare reimbursement for fiscal year 2013 (affecting discharges and cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 2012 through September 30, 2013). In aggregate, payments for fiscal year 2013 increased by 1.8% over fiscal year 2012 rates. The 1.8% increase consisted of a 2.6% inflationary market basket update, offset by a 0.7% reduction for the productivity adjustment and a 0.1% reduction to the market basket as defined by the PPACA. LTACH payment rates were also reduced by approximately 0.5%, to 1.3%, for the one-time budget neutrality adjustment for discharges on or after December 29, 2012. The 0.5% does not include the 2% reduction to Medicare payments caused by sequestration as mandated by the Congressional Budget Act for patients with service dates ending on or after April 1, 2013.

The fiscal year 2013 rule also included:

- * A one-year extension of the existing moratorium on the 25 Percent Rule policy, pending results of an on-going research initiative to redefine the role of LTACHs in the Medicare program.
- * A reduction to Medicare payments for very short stay cases in LTACHs to the IPPS comparable per diem amount payment option for discharges occurring on or after December 29, 2012 and an increase to the high cost outlier payment.

Fiscal Year 2014 Rates-

On August 2, 2013, CMS released its final rule for LTACH Medicare reimbursement for fiscal year 2014, (affecting discharges and cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 2013 through September 30, 2014). In aggregate, payments for fiscal year 2014 will increase by 1.3% over fiscal year 2013 rates. The 1.3% increase consists of a 2.5% inflationary market basket update offset by a 0.5% reduction for the productivity adjustment and a 0.3% reduction to the market basket as defined by PPACA. LTACH payment rates will also be reduced by approximately 1.3% for the one-time budget neutrality adjustment and projected increases in estimated high cost outlier payments as compared to fiscal year 2013. This does not include the 2% reduction to Medicare payments caused by sequestration as mandated by the Congressional Budget Act for patients with service dates ending on or after April 1, 2013.

The fiscal year 2014 rule also addresses the 25 Percent Rule. A statutory moratorium on application of the 25 Percent Rule was in place from December 2007 through December 2012. CMS extended the moratorium for fiscal year 2013 but will allow the policy to go into effect in fiscal year 2014. The imposition of the 25 Percent Rule will apply to all LTACHs beginning with their first cost reporting period beginning on or after October 1, 2013.

The labor-related share of the LTACH-PPS standard federal rate is adjusted annually to account for geographic differences in area wage levels by applying the applicable LTACH-PPS wage index. CMS adopted a decrease in the labor-related share from 75.271% to 70.199% under the LTACH-PPS for fiscal year 2012.

Table of Contents

In addition, CMS applied an area wage level budget neutrality factor to the standard federal rate to make annual changes to the area wage level adjustment budget neutral. Previously, there was no statutory or regulatory requirement that these adjustments to the area wage level be made in a budget neutral manner. The final rule created a regulatory requirement that any adjustments or updates to the area wage level adjustment be made in a budget neutral manner such that estimated aggregate LTACH-PPS payments are not affected.

An LTACH must have an average inpatient length-of-stay for Medicare patients (including both Medicare covered and non-covered days) of greater than 25 days. In the preamble to the final rule for fiscal year 2012, CMS clarified its policy on the calculation of the average length-of-stay by specifying that all data on all Medicare inpatient days, including Medicare Advantage days, must be included in the average length-of-stay calculation effective for cost reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2012.

On December 26, 2013, the *Bipartisan Budget Act of 2013* BBA 2013 was enacted as Public Law 113-67, which included the following changes in LTACH policies noted above:

LTACH Patient Criteria: Effective for cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 2015, Medicare payment for LTACH services will change based on certain new patient criteria. To be paid at the full Medicare LTACH-PPS rate, a patient discharged from an LTACH must either (1) have a short-term acute care hospital stay including a three day length-of-stay in an intensive care unit during that hospitalization preceding the LTACH stay, or (2) receive ventilator services for more than 96 hours while hospitalized in the LTACH. In addition such patients cannot be hospitalized in an LTACH for a psychiatric or rehabilitation diagnosis.

Site Neutral Payment: Also effective for cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 2015, all other Medicare discharges from LTACHs will be paid at a new site neutral rate, which is the lesser of: (1) the IPPS comparable per diem amount determined using the formula in the LTACH short-stay outlier regulation, plus applicable outlier payments, or (2) 100% of the cost of the services provided. The site neutral payment provision will be phased in over two years, so discharges receiving a site neutral rate get paid 50% based on current LTACH rate and 50% based on the site neutral rate. Our LTACHs have cost-reporting periods that begin in July or September of each year so we will not have any impact until the third quarter of 2016.

Twenty-five Day Average Length-of-stay: Patient stays paid the site neutral rate will not count toward calculation of the 25 day average length-of-stay requirement for LTACHs. Additionally, the law clarifies that patient stays paid by Medicare Advantage plans will also not count toward the 25 day average length-of-stay requirement for LTACHs. The BBA 2013 also included a provision that these exceptions to the 25 day average length-of-stay will not be used in calculating the length-of-stay for short-term acute care hospitals that seek to qualify as LTACHs as of December 10, 2013.

25 Percent Rule Relief: Prior relief from compliance with the 25 Percent Rule for freestanding LTACHs, HWHs and satellite facilities will be extended without interruption for cost reporting periods beginning through December 28, 2016. Grandfathered HWHs are permanently exempt from the 25 Percent Rule. CMS must report to Congress by December 18, 2015 on whether the 25 Percent Rule should continue to be applied through June 30, 2019.

Compliance With LTACH Patient Criteria: Effective for cost reporting periods beginning in federal fiscal year 2020, LTACHs with less than half of their discharges paid at the full LTACH-PPS rates will lose certification as LTACHs and will transition to payment under the IPPS for all discharges in subsequent cost reporting periods. However, CMS is required to establish a process for LTACHs to seek reinstatement of LTACH-PPS payments for applicable discharges.

Moratorium on LTACHs: The BBA 2013 enacted a moratorium on new LTACH beds and hospitals effective January 1, 2015 through September 30, 2017. The law clarifies that there will be no exceptions to the moratorium.

Table of Contents

Medicaid

Medicaid is a joint federal and state funded health insurance program for certain low-income individuals. Medicaid reimburses health care providers using a number of different systems, including cost-based, prospective payment and negotiated rate systems. Rates are also subject to adjustment based on statutory and regulatory changes, administrative rulings, government funding limitations and interpretations of policy by individual state agencies.

Non-Governmental Payors

Payments from non-governmental payor sources are based on episodic-based rates or per visit basis depending upon the terms and conditions of the payor. This reimbursement category includes payors such as insurance companies, workers compensation programs, health maintenance organizations, preferred provider organizations, other managed care companies and employers, as well as payments received directly from patients.

Patients are generally not responsible for any difference between customary charges for our services and amounts paid by Medicare and Medicaid programs and the non-governmental payors, but are responsible for services not covered by these programs or plans, as well as co-payments for deductibles and co-insurance obligations of their coverage. Patient out-of-pocket costs for the payment of deductibles and co-insurance have increased in recent years. Collection of amounts due from individuals is typically more difficult than collection of amounts due from government or business payors. Because the majority of our billed services are paid in full by Medicare, Medicaid or private insurance, co-payments from patients do not represent a material portion of our billed revenue and corresponding accounts receivable. To further reduce their health care costs, most commercial payors such as insurance companies, health maintenance organizations, preferred provider organizations and other managed care companies have negotiated discounted fee structures or fixed amounts for services performed, rather than paying health care providers the amounts billed.

In response to the challenges associated with collecting from commercial payors, we began negotiating higher reimbursement rates with a majority of our commercial payors. As of December 31, 2013, our managed care contracts included 133 different payors between all of our divisions, seven of which were national contracts, 21 were regional contracts and 105 were state and local contracts/standing letters of agreement. If we are unable to continue negotiating higher reimbursement rates with commercial payors or if commercial payors continue to reduce health care costs through reduction in home health reimbursement, it could have a material adverse impact on our financial results.

Government Regulations

General

The health care industry is highly regulated and we are required to comply with federal, state and local laws which significantly affect our business. These laws and regulations are extremely complex and, in many instances, the industry does not have the benefit of significant regulatory or judicial interpretation. Regulations and policies frequently change, and we monitor these changes through trade and governmental publications and associations. The significant areas of federal and state regulation that could affect our ability to conduct our business include the following:

Medicare and Medicaid participation and reimbursement regulations;

the federal Anti-Kickback Statute and similar state laws;

the federal Stark Law and similar state laws;

false and other improper claims laws and regulations;

HIPAA;

Table of Contents

laws and regulations imposing civil monetary penalties;

environmental health and safety laws;

licensing laws and regulations; and

laws and regulations governing certificates of need and permits of approval.

If we fail to comply with these applicable laws and regulations, we could suffer civil or criminal penalties, including the loss of our licenses to operate and our ability to participate in federal and state health care programs, which would materially adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations. Although we believe we are in material compliance with all applicable laws and regulations, these are complex matters and a review of our practices by a court or law enforcement or regulatory authority could result in an adverse determination that could harm our business. Furthermore, the laws applicable to us are subject to change, interpretation and amendment, which could adversely affect our ability to conduct our business.

Office of Inspector General

The OIG has a responsibility to report any program or management problems related to programs such as Medicare to the Secretary of HHS and Congress. The OIG's duties are carried out through a nationwide network of audits, investigations and inspections. Each year, the OIG outlines areas it intends to review relating to a wide range of providers. As part of its annual process, the OIG describes topics relating to, among others, home health, hospice and long-term care hospitals. No estimate can be made at this time regarding the impact, if any, of the OIG's findings.

Medicare Participation

During the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, we received 79.8%, 77.9% and 79.7%, respectively, of our consolidated net service revenue from Medicare reimbursements. We expect to continue to receive the majority of our consolidated net service revenue from serving Medicare beneficiaries. Medicare is a federally funded and administered health insurance program, primarily for individuals who are 65 or older or who are disabled. To participate in the Medicare program and receive Medicare payments, our agencies and facilities must comply with regulations promulgated by CMS. Among other things, these requirements, known as conditions of participation, relate to the type of facility, its personnel and its standards of medical care. While we intend to continue to participate in the Medicare reimbursement programs, we cannot guarantee that our agencies, facilities and programs will continue to qualify for Medicare participation.

Under Medicare rules, the designation provider-based refers to circumstances in which a subordinate facility (e.g., a separately-certified Medicare provider, a department of a provider or a satellite facility) is treated as part of another provider, called the main provider, for Medicare payment purposes. In these cases, the services of the subordinate facility are included in the main provider's cost report and overhead costs of the main provider can be allocated to the subordinate facility, to the extent that such costs are shared. We operate LTACHs that are treated as provider-based satellites of certain of our other facilities. We also provide contract rehabilitation and management services to hospital rehabilitation departments that may be treated as provider-based. These facilities are required to satisfy certain operational standards in order to retain their provider-based status. While we intend to continue to operate these facilities as provider-based, we cannot guarantee that they will continue to qualify as provider-based entities.

Federal Anti-Kickback Statute

Provisions of the Social Security Act of 1965, commonly referred to as the Anti-Kickback Statute, prohibit the payment or receipt of anything of value in return for the referral of patients or arranging for the referral of patients, or in return for the recommendation, arrangement, purchase, lease or order of items or services that are

Table of Contents

covered by a federal health care program such as Medicare and Medicaid. Violation of the Anti-Kickback Statute is a felony and sanctions include imprisonment of up to five years, criminal fines of up to \$25,000, civil monetary penalties of up to \$50,000 per act plus three times the amount claimed or three times the remuneration offered and exclusion from federal health care programs (including the Medicare and Medicaid programs). Many states have adopted similar prohibitions against payments intended to induce referrals of Medicaid and other third-party payor patients.

The OIG has published numerous safe harbors that exempt some practices from enforcement action under the Anti-Kickback Statute. These safe harbors exempt specified activities, including bona-fide employment relationships, contracts for the rental of space or equipment, personal service arrangements and management contracts, so long as all of the requirements of the safe harbor are met. The OIG has recognized that the failure of an arrangement to satisfy all of the requirements of a particular safe harbor does not necessarily mean that the arrangement violates the Anti-Kickback Statute. Instead, each arrangement is analyzed on a case-by-case basis, which is very fact specific. While we operate our business to comply with the prohibitions of the Anti-Kickback Statute, we cannot guarantee that all our arrangements will satisfy a safe harbor or will ultimately be viewed as being compliant with the Anti-Kickback Statute.

We are required under the Medicare conditions of participation and some state licensing laws to contract with numerous health care providers and practitioners, including physicians, hospitals and nursing homes and to arrange for these individuals or entities to provide services to our patients. In addition, we have contracts with other suppliers, including pharmacies, ambulance services and medical equipment companies. We have also entered into various joint ventures with hospitals and physicians for the ownership and/or management of home nursing agencies and LTACHs. Some of these individuals or entities may refer, or be in a position to refer, patients to us and we may refer, or be in a position to refer, patients to these individuals or entities. We attempt to structure these arrangements in a manner that meets the requirements of a safe harbor. However, some of these arrangements may not meet all of the requirements of a safe harbor. While we believe that our contracts and arrangements with providers, practitioners and suppliers do not violate the Anti-Kickback Statute or similar state laws, we cannot guarantee that governmental agencies and bodies will interpret these laws in the same manner as we do.

From time to time, various federal and state agencies, such as CMS and DHHS, issue pronouncements, including fraud alerts, that identify practices that may be subject to heightened scrutiny. For example, the OIG's fiscal year 2013 Work Plan describes, among other things, the government's intention to examine the compliance with Face to Face requirements, monitor the employment of home health aides with criminal convictions, Medicare oversight for timeliness of recertification and complaint surveys conducted by the state, review the OASIS data to ensure accurate submission and that billing codes on the claim are consistent with the OASIS data, review compliance with documentation required in support of the claims paid by Medicare, and review cost report data to analyze home health agency revenue and expense trends against PPS to determine whether the payment methodology should be adjusted.

In June 1995, the OIG issued a special fraud alert that focused on the home nursing industry and identified some of the illegal practices the OIG has uncovered. In March 1998, the OIG issued a special fraud alert titled, *Fraud and Abuse in Nursing Home Arrangements with Hospices*. This special fraud alert focused on payments received by nursing homes from hospices. We believe, but cannot assure, that our operations comply with the principles expressed by the OIG in these special fraud alerts.

We endeavor to conduct our operations in compliance with federal and state health care fraud and abuse laws, including the Anti-Kickback Statute and similar state laws. However, our practices may be challenged in the future and the fraud and abuse laws may be interpreted in a way that finds us in violation of these laws. If we are found to be in violation of the Anti-Kickback Statute, we could be subject to civil and criminal penalties and we could be excluded from participating in federal health care programs such as Medicare and Medicaid. The occurrence of any of these events could significantly harm our business and financial condition.

Table of Contents

Stark Law

Congress has passed significant prohibitions against physician referrals of patients for certain health care services, commonly known as the Stark Law, which prohibits a physician from making referrals for particular health care services (called designated health services) to entities with which the physician, or an immediate family member of the physician, has a financial relationship.

The term financial relationship is defined very broadly to include most types of ownership or compensation relationships. The Stark Law also prohibits the entity receiving the referral from seeking payment under the Medicare or Medicaid programs for services rendered pursuant to a prohibited referral. If an entity is paid for services rendered pursuant to a prohibited referral, it may incur civil penalties and could be excluded from participating in the Medicare or Medicaid programs. If an arrangement is covered by the Stark Law, the requirements of a Stark Law exception must be met for the physician to be able to make referrals to the entity for designated health services and for the entity to be able to bill for these services.

Designated health services under the Stark Law is defined to include home health services, inpatient and outpatient hospital services, clinical laboratory services, physical therapy services, occupational therapy services, radiology services (including magnetic resonance imaging, computerized axial tomography scans and ultrasound services), radiation therapy services and supplies, and the provision of durable medical equipment and supplies, parenteral and enteral nutrients, equipment and supplies, prosthetics, orthotics and prosthetic devices and supplies, and outpatient prescription drugs. The Stark Law defines a financial relationship to include: (1) a physician's ownership or investment interest in an entity and (2) a compensation relationship between a physician and an entity. Under the Stark Law, financial relationships include both direct and indirect relationships.

Physicians refer patients to us for several Stark Law designated health services, including home health services, inpatient and outpatient hospital services and physical therapy services. We have compensation arrangements with some of these physicians or their professional practices in the form of medical director and consulting agreements. We also have operations owned by joint ventures in which physicians have an investment interest. In addition, other physicians who refer patients to our agencies and facilities may own shares of our stock. As a result of these relationships, we could be deemed to have a financial relationship with physicians who refer patients to our facilities and agencies for designated health services. If so, the Stark Law would prohibit the physicians from making those referrals and would prohibit us from billing for the services unless a Stark Law exception applies.

The Stark Law contains exceptions for certain physician ownership or investment interests and physician compensation arrangements. If an investment relationship or compensation agreement between a physician, or a physician's immediate family member, and the subject entity satisfies all requirements for a Stark Law exception, the Stark Law will not prohibit the physician from referring patients to the entity for designated health services. The exceptions for a physician investment relationship include ownership in an entire hospital and ownership in rural providers. The exceptions for compensation arrangements cover employment relationships, personal services contracts and space and equipment leases, among others. We believe our physician investment relationships and compensation arrangements with referring physicians meet the requirements as exceptions under the Stark Law and that our operations comply with the Stark Law.

The Stark Law also includes an exception for a physician's ownership or investment interest in certain entities through the ownership of stock that is listed on the New York Stock Exchange or NASDAQ. If the ownership meets certain other requirements, the Stark Law will not apply to prohibit the physician from referring to the entity for designated health services. For example, this Stark Law exception requires that the entity issuing the stock have at least \$75.0 million in stockholders' equity at the end of its most recent fiscal year or on average during the previous three fiscal years. As of December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, we have in excess of \$75.0 million in stockholders' equity.

Table of Contents

If an entity violates the Stark Law, it could be subject to civil penalties of up to \$15,000 per prohibited claim and up to \$100,000 for knowingly entering into certain prohibited referral schemes. The entity also may be excluded from participating in federal health care programs (including Medicare and Medicaid). There are no criminal penalties for violations of Stark Law. If the Stark Law was found to apply to our relationships with referring physicians and those relationships did not meet the requirement of an exception under the Stark Law, we would be required to restructure these relationships or refuse to accept referrals for designated health services from these physicians. If we were found to have submitted claims to Medicare or Medicaid for services provided pursuant to a referral prohibited by the Stark Law, we would be required to repay any amounts we received from Medicare for those services and could be subject to civil monetary penalties. Further, we could be excluded from participating in Medicare and Medicaid. If we were required to repay any amounts to Medicare, subjected to fines, or excluded from the Medicare and Medicaid Programs, our business and financial condition would be harmed significantly.

Many states have physician relationship and referral statutes that are similar to the Stark Law. Some of these laws generally apply without regard to whether the payor is a governmental body (such as Medicare) or a commercial party (such as an insurance company). While we believe that our operations are structured to comply with applicable state laws with respect to physician relationships and referrals, any finding that we are not in compliance with these state laws could require us to change our operations or could subject us to penalties. This, in turn, could have a significantly negative impact on our operations.

False and Improper Claims

The submission of claims to a federal or state health care program for items and services that are not provided as claimed may lead to the imposition of civil monetary penalties, criminal fines and imprisonment and/or exclusion from participation in state and federally funded health care programs, including the Medicare and Medicaid programs under false claims statutes such as the federal False Claims Act. Under the federal False Claims Act, actions against a provider can be initiated by the federal government or by a private party on behalf of the federal government. These private parties are often referred to as qui tam relators, and relators are entitled to share in any amounts recovered by the government. Both direct enforcement activity by the government and qui tam actions have increased significantly in recent years, increasing the risk that a health care company like us will have to defend a false claims action, pay fines or be excluded from the Medicare and Medicaid programs as a result of an investigation. Many states have enacted similar laws providing for the imposition of civil and criminal penalties for the filing of fraudulent claims. While we operate our business to avoid exposure under the federal False Claims Act and similar state laws, because of the complexity of the government regulations applicable to our industry, we cannot guarantee that we will not be the subject of an action under the federal False Claims Act or similar state law.

Anti-fraud Provisions of the HIPAA

In an effort to combat health care fraud, Congress included several anti-fraud measures in HIPAA. Among other things, HIPAA broadened the scope of certain fraud and abuse laws, extended criminal penalties for Medicare and Medicaid fraud to other federal health care programs and expanded the authority of the OIG to exclude persons and entities from participating in the Medicare and Medicaid programs. HIPAA also extended the Medicare and Medicaid civil monetary penalty provisions to other federal health care programs, increased the amounts of civil monetary penalties and established a criminal health care fraud statute.

Federal health care offenses under HIPAA include health care fraud and making false statements relating to health care matters. Under HIPAA, among other things, any person or entity that knowingly and willfully defrauds or attempts to defraud a health care benefit program is subject to a fine, imprisonment or both. Also under HIPAA, any person or entity that knowingly and willfully falsifies or conceals or covers up a material fact or makes any materially false or fraudulent statements in connection with the delivery of or payment of health care services by a health care benefit plan is subject to a fine, imprisonment or both. HIPAA applies not only to governmental plans but also to private payors.

Table of Contents

Administrative Simplification Provisions of HIPAA

HHS's final regulations governing electronic transactions involving health information are part of the administrative simplification provisions of HIPAA, commonly referred to as the Transaction Standards rule. The rule establishes standards for eight of the most common health care transactions by reference to technical standards promulgated by recognized standards publishing organizations. Under the rule, any party transmitting or receiving health transactions electronically must send and receive data in a single format, rather than the large number of different data formats currently used. This rule applies to us in connection with submitting and processing health claims, and also applies to many of our payors and to our relationships with those payors. We believe that our operations materially comply with the Transaction Standards rule.

HHS also has final regulations implementing HIPAA that set forth standards for the privacy of individually-identifiable health information, referred to as protected health information. These regulations require health care providers, health care clearinghouses and health plans to use and disclose protected health information only as allowed by the privacy regulations. Specifically, the privacy regulations require companies, including us, to do the following, among other things:

obtain patient authorization prior to certain uses or disclosures of protected health information;

provide notice of privacy practices to patients and obtain an acknowledgement that the patient has received the notice;

respond to requests from patients for access to or to obtain a copy of their protected health information;

respond to patient requests for amendments of their protected health information;

provide an accounting to patients of certain disclosure of their protected health information;

enter into agreements with the companies' business associates through which the business associates agree to use and disclose protected health information only as permitted by the agreement and the requirements of the privacy regulations;

train the companies' workforce in privacy compliance;

designate a privacy officer;

use and disclose only the minimum necessary information to accomplish a particular purpose; and

establish policies and procedures with respect to uses and disclosures of protected health information.

These regulatory requirements impose significant administrative and financial obligations on companies that use or disclose individually identifiable health information relating to the health of a patient. We have implemented policies and procedures to maintain patient privacy and comply with HIPAA's privacy regulations. However, the privacy regulations are extensive, and official interpretations change over time, and, therefore, we may need to adjust our practices from time to time to ensure continued compliance.

In February 2003, HHS published the final regulations implementing HIPAA that govern the security of electronic protected health information, with a compliance date of April 21, 2005. The security regulations require the implementation of policies and procedures that establish

Edgar Filing: LHC Group, Inc - Form 10-K

administrative, physical and technical safeguards to ensure the confidentiality, integrity and availability of electronic protected health information. Specifically, among other things, in order to comply with the security regulations applicable to us, we must:

conduct a thorough assessment of the potential risks and vulnerabilities to confidentiality, integrity and availability of electronic protected health information and to reduce the risks and vulnerabilities to a reasonable and appropriate level as required by the security regulations;

designate a security officer;

establish policies relating to access by the companies' workforce to electronic protected health information;

Table of Contents

enter into agreements with the companies' business associates whereby business associates agree to establish administrative, physical and technical safeguards for electronic protected health information received from or on behalf of the companies;

create a disaster and contingency plan to ensure the availability of electronic protected health information;

train the companies' workforce in security compliance;

establish physical controls for electronic devices and media containing or transmitting electronic protected health information;

establish policies and procedures regarding the use of workstations with access to electronic protected health information; and

establish technical controls for the information systems maintaining or transmitting electronic protected health information.

In addition, in 2009, the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health (HITECH) Act (enacted as part of the ARRA) expanded some of our obligations under the existing HIPAA privacy and security provisions, including:

requirements to notify individuals and governmental agencies when security breaches occur with respect to unsecured information;

limitations on our ability to use or disclose protected health information for marketing or soliciting charitable contributions;

expansion of certain privacy and security requirements to our vendors and business associates; and

requirements for providing an accounting of disclosures of electronic health records.

In January 2013, HHS published a Final Rule implementing provisions of the HITECH Act addressing the privacy and security requirements for health information established under HIPAA.

The significant changes in the Final Rule included:

expansion of the HIPAA privacy and security regulations to business associates (contractors and subcontractors) of providers that receive protected health information, and provides a timeline for amendment of existing contracts to ensure compliance;

increased penalties for noncompliance based on four levels of negligence with a maximum penalty of \$1.5 million per violation;

clarification of the circumstances under which breaches of unsecured health information must be reported to HHS;

expansion of individual rights to request copies of electronic records in electronic form;

Edgar Filing: LHC Group, Inc - Form 10-K

modification on authorization requirements for specific records relating to research, immunizations and decedents;

limitation on use and disclosure of information for marketing and fundraising purposes;

prohibition on the sale of an individuals health information without their permission; and

extension of privacy rule protections to genetic information, and prohibits most health plans from using or disclosing genetic information for underwriting purposes.

These regulatory requirements impose significant administrative and financial obligations on companies like us that use or disclose electronic health information. We have modified our existing HIPAA privacy and security policies and procedures to comply with the new regulations.

Table of Contents

Civil Monetary Penalties

The Secretary of HHS may impose civil monetary penalties on any person or entity that presents, or causes to be presented, certain ineligible claims for medical items or services. The severity of penalties varies depending on the offense, from \$2,000 to \$50,000 per violation, plus treble damages for the amount at issue and may include exclusion from federal health care programs such as Medicare and Medicaid.

HHS can also impose penalties on a person or entity who offers inducements to beneficiaries for program services, who violates rules regarding the assignment of payments, or who knowingly gives false or misleading information that could reasonably influence the discharge of patients from a hospital. Persons who have been excluded from a federal health care program and who retain ownership in a participating entity and persons who contract with excluded persons may be penalized.

HHS can also impose penalties for false or fraudulent claims and those that include services not provided as claimed. In addition, HHS may impose penalties on claims:

for physician services that the person or entity knew or should have known were rendered by a person who was unlicensed, or by a person who misrepresented either their qualifications in obtaining their license, or their certification in a medical specialty;

for services furnished by a person who was, at the time the claim was made, excluded from the program to which the claim was made; or

that show a pattern of medically unnecessary items or services.

Penalties also are applicable in certain other cases, including violations of the federal Anti-Kickback Statute, payments to limit certain patient services and improper execution of statements of medical necessity.

Environmental, Health and Safety Laws

We are subject to federal, state and local regulations governing the storage, use and disposal of materials and waste products. Although we believe that our safety procedures for storing, handling and disposing of these hazardous materials comply with the standards prescribed by law and regulation, we cannot completely eliminate the risk of accidental contamination or injury from those hazardous materials. In the event of an accident, we could be held liable for any damages that result and any liability could exceed the limits or fall outside the coverage of our insurance. We may not be able to maintain insurance on acceptable terms, or at all. We could incur significant costs and the diversion of our management's attention to comply with current or future environmental laws and regulations. We are not aware of any violations related to compliance with environmental, health and safety laws through 2013.

Licensing

Our agencies and facilities are subject to state and local licensing regulations ranging from the adequacy of medical care to compliance with building codes and environmental protection laws. To assure continued compliance with these various regulations, governmental and other authorities periodically inspect our agencies and facilities. Additionally, health care professionals at our agencies and facilities are required to be individually licensed or certified under applicable state law. We operate our business to ensure that our employees and agents possess all necessary licenses and certifications.

The institutional pharmacy operations within our facility-based services segment are also subject to regulation by the various states in which we conduct the pharmacy business, as well as by the federal government. The pharmacies are regulated under the Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act and the Prescription Drug Marketing Act, which are administered by the United States Food and Drug Administration. Under the Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act of 1970, administered by the United States Drug

Table of Contents

Enforcement Administration, as a dispenser of controlled substances, our pharmacy operations must register with the Drug Enforcement Administration, file reports of inventories and transactions and provide adequate security measures. Failure to comply with such requirements could result in civil or criminal penalties. We are not aware of any violations of applicable laws relating to our institutional pharmacy operations through December 31, 2013.

Certificate of Need and Permit of Approval Laws

In addition to state licensing laws, some states require a provider to obtain a certificate of need or permit of approval prior to establishing, constructing, acquiring or expanding certain health services, operations or facilities. In these states, approvals are required for capital expenditures exceeding certain amounts that involve certain facilities or services, including home nursing agencies. The certificate of need or permit of approval issued by the state determines the service areas for the applicable agency or program. The following U.S. jurisdictions require certificates of need or permits of approval for home nursing agencies: Alabama, Alaska, Arkansas, Georgia, Hawaii, Kentucky, Maryland, Mississippi, Montana, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Vermont, Washington, West Virginia and the District of Columbia. In addition, the state of Louisiana continues to have a moratorium on the issuance of new licenses for home nursing agencies that we expect to remain in effect for 2014.

State certificate of need and permit of approval laws generally provide that, prior to the addition of new capacity, the construction of new facilities or the introduction of new services, a designated state health planning agency must determine that a need exists for those beds, facilities or services. The process is intended to promote comprehensive health care planning, assist in providing high quality health care at the lowest possible cost and avoid unnecessary duplication by ensuring that only needed health care facilities and operations will be built and opened.

Accreditations

The Joint Commission is a nationwide commission that establishes standards relating to the physical plant, administration, quality of patient care and operation of medical staffs of health care organizations. Currently, Joint Commission accreditation of home nursing and hospice agencies is voluntary. However, some managed care organizations use Joint Commission accreditation as a credentialing standard for regional and state contracts. As of December 31, 2013, the Joint Commission had accredited 264 of our 300 home-based agencies. Those not yet accredited are working towards achieving this accreditation. As we acquire companies, we apply for accreditation 12 to 18 months after completing the acquisition.

Employees

As of December 31, 2013, we had 8,186 employees, of which 5,268 were full-time. None of our employees are subject to a collective bargaining agreement. We consider our relationships with our employees and independent contractors to be good.

Insurance

We are subject to claims and legal actions in the ordinary course of our business. To cover claims that may arise, we maintain professional malpractice liability insurance, general liability insurance, automobile liability insurance and workers compensation/employer's liability insurance in amounts that we believe are appropriate and sufficient for our operations. We maintain professional malpractice and general liability insurance that provide primary coverage on a claims-made basis of \$1.0 million per incident and \$3.0 million in annual aggregate amounts. We maintain workers compensation insurance that meets state statutory requirements with a primary employer liability limit of \$1.0 million to cover claims that may arise in the states of Alabama, Arkansas, California, Florida, Georgia, Idaho, Illinois, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Minnesota, Mississippi,

Table of Contents

Missouri, Nevada, North Carolina, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia, and Wisconsin. There are no limits to employer liability in Ohio and Washington, so we do not hold third party workers' compensation insurance to cover claims in those states. All claims within Ohio and Washington are managed through the individual states and not through third party insurance payors. Under our workers' compensation insurance policies, the Company is self-insured for the first \$350,000 in workers' compensation liability. We maintain automobile liability insurance for all owned, hired and non-owned autos with a primary limit of \$1.0 million. In addition, we currently maintain multiple layers of umbrella coverage in the aggregate amount of \$40.0 million that provides excess coverage for professional malpractice, general liability, automobile liability and employer's liability. We maintain directors and officers liability insurance in the aggregate amount of \$45.0 million, with an additional \$10.0 million of Side A coverage to protect our directors and officers in situations when they are not indemnified by the Company. The cost and availability of insurance coverage has varied widely in recent years. While we believe that our insurance policies and coverage are adequate for a business enterprise of our type, we cannot guarantee that our insurance coverage is sufficient to cover all future claims or that it will continue to be available in adequate amounts or at a reasonable cost.

Available Information

Our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, proxy statements and amendments to those reports are available free of charge on our internet website at www.lhcgroup.com as soon as reasonably practicable after such reports are electronically filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). The SEC also maintains an internet site at www.sec.gov that contains such reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC. These reports may also be obtained at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street NE, Washington, D.C. 20549. Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room is available by calling the SEC at (800) SEC-0330. Information contained on our website is not part of or incorporated by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

The risks and uncertainties described below and elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K could cause our actual results to differ materially from past or expected results and are not the only ones we face. Other risks and uncertainties that we have not predicted or assessed may also adversely affect us.

If any of the negative effects associated with the following risks occur, our earnings, financial condition or business could be materially harmed and the trading price of our common stock could decline, resulting in the loss of all or part of stockholders' investments.

Risk Factors Related to Reimbursement and Government Regulation

We cannot predict the effect that health care reform and other changes in government programs may have on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

The PPACA and the Health Care Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 (collectively, the Acts) were signed into law by President Obama on March 23, 2010, and March 30, 2010, respectively. The Acts dramatically alter the United States' health care system and are intended to decrease the number of uninsured Americans and reduce overall health care costs. The Acts attempt to achieve these goals by, among other things, requiring most Americans to obtain health insurance, expanding Medicare and Medicaid eligibility, reducing Medicare and Medicaid payments, and tying reimbursement to the satisfaction of certain quality criteria. The Acts also contain a number of measures that are intended to reduce fraud and abuse in the Medicare and Medicaid programs. Because a majority of the measures contained in the Acts have either just recently or not yet taken effect, it is difficult to predict the impact the Acts will have on our operations. However, depending on how they are ultimately interpreted and implemented, the Acts could have an adverse effect on our business and its financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

We derive a majority of our consolidated net service revenue from Medicare. If there are changes in Medicare rates or methods governing Medicare payments for our services, or if we are unable to control our costs, our results of operations and cash flows could decline materially.

For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, we received 79.8%, 77.9% and 79.7%, respectively, of our net service revenue from Medicare. Reductions in Medicare rates or changes in the way Medicare pays for services could cause our net service revenue and net income to decline, perhaps materially. Reductions in Medicare reimbursement could be caused by many factors, including:

administrative or legislative changes to the base rates under the applicable prospective payment systems;

the reduction or elimination of annual rate increases;

the imposition or increase by Medicare of mechanisms, such as co-payments, shifting more responsibility for a portion of payment to beneficiaries;

adjustments to the relative components of the wage index used in determining reimbursement rates;

changes to case mix or therapy thresholds;

the reclassification of home health resource groups or long-term care diagnosis-related groups; or

further limitations on referrals to long-term acute care hospitals from host hospitals.

We receive fixed payments from Medicare for our services based on the level of care provided to our patients. Consequently, our profitability largely depends upon our ability to manage the cost of providing these services. Medicare currently provides for an annual adjustment of the various payment rates, such as the base episode rate for our home nursing services, based upon the increase or decrease of the medical care expenditure category of the Consumer Price Index, which may be less than actual inflation. This adjustment could be eliminated or reduced in any given year. In 2013, we experienced an approximate 1.08% cut in home health reimbursement for our Medicare patients and we expect an additional 1.05% cut in 2014. Also beginning on April 1, 2013 Medicare reimbursement was cut an additional 2% through sequestration as mandated by the Congressional Budget Act for patients with service dates ending on or after April 1, 2013. Further, Medicare routinely reclassifies home health resource groups and long-term care diagnosis-related groups. As a result of those reclassifications, we could receive lower reimbursement rates depending on the case mix of the patients we service. If our cost of providing services increases by more than the annual Medicare price adjustment, or if these reclassifications result in lower reimbursement rates, our results of operations, net income and cash flows could be adversely impacted.

We are subject to extensive government regulation. Any changes in the laws and regulations governing our business, or the interpretation and enforcement of those laws or regulations, could require us to modify our operations and could negatively impact our operating results and cash flows.

As a provider of health care services, we are subject to extensive regulation on the federal, state and local levels, including with regard to:

licensure and certificates of need and permits of approval;

coding and billing for services;

Edgar Filing: LHC Group, Inc - Form 10-K

conduct of operations, including financial relationships among health care providers, Medicare fraud and abuse and physician self-referral;

maintenance and protection of records, including HIPAA;

environmental protection, health and safety;

certification of additional agencies or facilities by the Medicare program; and

payment for services.

Table of Contents

The laws and regulations governing our operations, along with the terms of participation in various government programs, regulate how we do business, the services we offer and our interactions with patients and other providers. These laws and regulations, and their interpretations, are subject to frequent change. Changes in existing laws, regulations, their interpretations or the enactment of new laws or regulations could increase our costs of doing business and cause our net income to decline. If we fail to comply with these applicable laws and regulations, we could suffer civil or criminal penalties, including the loss of our licenses to operate and our ability to participate in federal and state reimbursement programs.

We are also subject to various routine and non-routine governmental reviews, audits and investigations. These audits include those conducted through the recovery audit contractor program and the zone program integrity contractor program, in which third party firms engaged by CMS conduct extensive reviews of claims data and non-medical and other records to identify potential improper payments under the Medicare Program. In recent years, federal and state civil and criminal enforcement agencies have heightened and coordinated their oversight efforts related to the health care industry, including with respect to referral practices, cost reporting, billing practices, joint ventures and other financial relationships among health care providers. Although we have invested substantial time and effort in implementing policies and procedures to comply with laws and regulations, we could be subject to liabilities arising from violations. A violation of the laws governing our operations, or changes in the interpretation of those laws, could result in the imposition of fines, civil or criminal penalties, the termination of our rights to participate in federal and state-sponsored programs or the suspension or revocation of our licenses to operate. If we become subject to material fines or if other sanctions or other corrective actions are imposed upon us, we may suffer a substantial reduction in net income.

Current economic conditions and continued decline in spending by the Federal and State governments could adversely affect our results of operations and cash flows.

Worldwide economic conditions have significantly declined and will likely remain depressed for the foreseeable future. While our services are not typically sensitive to general declines in the federal and state economies, the erosion in the tax base caused by the general economic downturn has caused, and will likely continue to cause, restrictions on the federal and state governments' ability to obtain financing and a decline in spending. As a result, we may face reimbursement rate cuts or reimbursement delays from Medicare and Medicaid and other governmental payors, which could adversely impact our results of operations and cash flows.

If any of our agencies or facilities fail to comply with the conditions of participation in the Medicare program, that agency or facility could be terminated from Medicare, which could adversely affect our net service revenue and net income.

Our agencies and facilities must comply with the extensive conditions of participation in the Medicare program. These conditions of participation vary depending on the type of agency or facility, but, in general, require our agencies and facilities to meet specified standards relating to personnel, patient rights, patient care, patient records, administrative reporting and legal compliance. If an agency or facility fails to meet any of the Medicare conditions of participation, that agency or facility may receive a notice of deficiency from the applicable state surveyor. If that agency or facility then fails to institute and comply with a plan of correction to correct the deficiency within the time period provided by the state surveyor, that agency or facility could be terminated from the Medicare program. We respond in the ordinary course to deficiency notices issued by state surveyors and none of our facilities or agencies have ever been terminated from the Medicare program for failure to comply with the conditions of participation. Any termination of one or more of our agencies or facilities from the Medicare program for failure to satisfy the Medicare conditions of participation could adversely affect our net service revenue and net income.

Table of Contents

The inability of our long-term acute care hospitals to maintain their certification as long-term acute care hospitals could have an adverse affect on our results of operations and cash flows.

If our LTACHs fail to meet or maintain the standards for Medicare certification as LTACHs, such as for average minimum patient length-of-stay and restrictions on sources of referral (e.g. 25 Percent rule), they will receive reimbursement under the prospective payment system applicable to general acute care hospitals rather than the system applicable to long-term acute care hospitals. Payments at rates applicable to general acute care hospitals would likely result in our LTACHs receiving less Medicare reimbursement than they currently receive for their patient services. Moreover, all but one of our LTACHs are subject to additional Medicare criteria because they operate as separate hospitals located in space leased from and located in a general acute care hospital, known as a host hospital. This is known as a hospital within a hospital model. These additional criteria include requirements concerning financial and operational separateness from the host hospital. If any of our LTACHs were subject to payment as general acute care hospitals or failed to comply with the separateness requirements, our net service revenue and net income would decline.

We are reimbursed by Medicare for services we provide in our LTACHs based on the LTC-DRG assigned to each patient. CMS establishes these LTC-DRG by grouping diseases by diagnosis to reflect the amount of resources needed to treat a given disease. The 2007 CMS final rule kept in place the financial penalties associated with the failure to limit the total number of Medicare patients discharged from a host hospital and subsequently readmitted to a long-term acute care hospital located within the host hospital to no greater than 5%. If we fail to comply with these readmission rates or if our reimbursement rates decline due to the reclassification of certain long-term care diagnosis-related groups, our net service revenue and net income could decline.

If the structures or operations of our joint ventures are found to violate the law, it could have a material adverse impact on our financial condition and consolidated results of operations.

Several of our joint ventures are with hospitals and physicians, which are governed by the federal Anti-Kickback Statute and similar state laws. These anti-kickback statutes prohibit the payment or receipt of anything of value in return for referrals of patients or services covered by governmental health care programs, such as Medicare. The OIG has published numerous safe harbors that exempt qualifying arrangements from enforcement under the federal Anti-Kickback Statute. We have sought to satisfy as many safe harbor requirements as possible in structuring our joint ventures. For example, each of our equity joint ventures with hospitals and physicians is structured in accordance with the following principles:

the investment interest offered is not based upon actual or expected referrals by the hospital or physician;

our joint venture partners are not required to make or influence referrals to the joint venture;

at the time the joint venture is formed, each hospital or physician joint venture partner is required to make an actual capital contribution to the joint venture equal to the fair market value of his or her investment interest and is at risk to lose his or her investment;

neither we nor the joint venture entity lends funds to or guarantees a loan to acquire interests in the joint venture for a hospital or physician; and

distributions to our joint venture partners are based solely on their equity interests and are not affected by referrals from the hospital or physician.

Despite our efforts to meet the safe harbor requirements where possible, our joint ventures may not satisfy all elements of the safe harbor requirements.

If any of our joint ventures were found to be in violation of federal or state anti-kickback or physician referral laws, we could be required to restructure them or refuse to accept referrals from the physicians or hospitals with which we have entered into a joint venture. We also could be required to repay to Medicare amounts we have received pursuant to any prohibited referrals, and we could suffer civil or criminal penalties,

Table of Contents

including the loss of our licenses to operate and our ability to participate in federal and state health care programs. If any of our joint ventures were subject to any of these penalties, our business could be materially adversely affected. If the structure of any of our joint ventures were found to violate federal or state anti-kickback statutes or physician referral laws, we may be unable to implement our growth strategy, which could have an adverse impact on our future net income and consolidated results of operations.

The application of state certificate of need and permit of approval regulations and compliance with federal and state licensing requirements could substantially limit our ability to operate and grow our business.

Our ability to expand operations in a state will depend on our ability to obtain a state license to operate. States may have a limit on the number of licenses they issue. For example, Louisiana currently has a moratorium on the issuance of new home nursing agency licenses. We cannot predict whether this moratorium will be extended beyond this date or whether any other states in which we operate, or may wish to operate in the future, may adopt a similar moratorium.

As of December 31, 2013, we operated in 11 states that require health care providers to obtain prior approval, known as a certificate of need or a permit of approval, for the purchase, construction or expansion of health care facilities, to make certain capital expenditures or to make changes in services or bed capacity. The U.S. jurisdictions that currently require certificates of need or permits of approval for home health nursing agencies are: Alabama, Alaska, Arkansas, Georgia, Hawaii, Kentucky, Maryland, Mississippi, Montana, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Vermont, Washington, West Virginia and the District of Columbia. In granting approval, these states consider the need in the service area for additional or expanded health care facilities or services. The failure to obtain any requested certificate of need, permit of approval or other license could impair our ability to operate or expand our business.

Risk Factors Related to Capital and Liquidity

The condition of the financial markets, including volatility and weakness in the equity, capital and credit markets could limit the availability and terms of debt and equity financing sources to fund the capital and liquidity requirements of our business.

Financial markets experienced significant disruptions over the past few years. These disruptions have impacted liquidity in the debt markets, making financing terms for borrowers less attractive and, in certain cases, significantly reducing the availability of certain types of debt financing. Despite the instability over the past few years within the financial markets nationally and globally, we have not experienced any individual lender limitations to extend credit under our revolving credit facility. However, the obligations of each of the lending institutions in our revolving credit facility are separate and the availability of future borrowings under our revolving credit facility could be impacted by further volatility and disruptions in the financial credit markets or other events. Our inability to access our revolving credit facility or refinance the revolving credit facility would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial positions, results of operations and liquidity.

Based on our current plan of operations, including acquisitions, we believe our existing cash balance, when combined with expected cash flows from operations and amounts available under our revolving credit facility, will be sufficient to fund our growth strategy and to meet our anticipated operating expenses, capital expenditures and debt service obligations for at least the next 12 months. If our future net service revenue or cash flow from operations is less than we currently anticipate, we may not have sufficient funds to implement our growth strategy. Further, we cannot readily predict the timing, size and success of our acquisition and internal development efforts and the associated capital commitments. If we do not have sufficient cash resources, our growth could be limited unless we are able to obtain additional equity or debt financing.

Table of Contents

The agreement governing our revolving credit facility contains, and future debt agreements may contain, various covenants that limit our discretion in the operation of our business.

The agreement and instruments governing our revolving credit facility, and the agreements and instruments governing future debt agreements may contain various restrictive covenants that, among other things, require us to comply with or maintain certain financial tests and ratios that may restrict our ability to:

incur more debt;

redeem or repurchase stock, pay dividends or make other distributions;

make certain investments;

create liens;

enter into transactions with affiliates;

make unapproved acquisitions;

merge or consolidate;

transfer or sell assets; and/or

make fundamental changes in our corporate existence and principal business.

In addition, events beyond our control could affect our ability to comply with and maintain such financial tests and ratios. Any failure by us to comply with or maintain all applicable financial tests and ratios and to comply with all applicable covenants could result in an event of default with respect to our revolving credit facility or any other future debt agreements. An event of default could lead to the acceleration of the maturity of any outstanding loans and the termination of the commitments to make further extensions of credit. Even if we are able to comply with all applicable covenants, the restrictions on our ability to operate our business at our sole discretion could harm our business by, among other things, limiting our ability to take advantage of financing, mergers, acquisitions and other corporate opportunities.

Our net service revenue is concentrated in a small number of states, which makes us sensitive to regulatory and economic changes in those states.

For the year ended December 31, 2013, our facilities in Louisiana, Alabama, Mississippi, Tennessee, and Arkansas accounted for approximately 61.2% of our net service revenue. Accordingly, any changes in the current demographic, economic, competitive, or regulatory conditions in these states could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. Medicaid changes in these states could also have a material adverse effect on our results of results of operations and cash flows.

Hurricanes or other adverse weather events could negatively affect the local economies in which we operate or disrupt our operations, which could have an adverse effect on our business or results of operations.

Edgar Filing: LHC Group, Inc - Form 10-K

Our operations along coastal areas in the southern United States are particularly susceptible to hurricanes. Such weather events can disrupt our operations, result in damage to our properties and negatively affect the local economies in which we operate. Future hurricanes could affect our operations or the economies in those market areas and result in damage to certain of our facilities, the equipment located at such facilities or equipment rented to patients in those areas. Our business or results of operations may be adversely affected by these and other negative effects of future hurricanes. Although we maintain insurance coverage, we cannot guarantee that our insurance coverage will be adequate to cover any losses or that we will be able to maintain insurance at a reasonable cost in the future. If our losses from business interruption or property damage exceed the amount for which we are insured, our results of operations and financial condition would be adversely affected.

Delays in reimbursement may cause liquidity problems.

Our business is characterized by delays in reimbursement from the time we request payment for our services to the time we receive reimbursement or payment. A portion of our estimated reimbursement (60% for an initial

Table of Contents

episode of care and 50% for subsequent episodes of care) for each Medicare episode is billed at the commencement of the episode and we typically receive payment within approximately seven days. The remaining reimbursement is billed upon completion of the episode and is typically paid within 14 to 17 days from the billing date. If we have information system problems or issues arise with Medicare or other payors, we may encounter further delays in our payment cycle. For example, in the past we have experienced delays resulting from problems arising out of the implementation by Medicare of new or modified reimbursement methodologies or as a result of natural disasters, such as hurricanes. We have also experienced delays in reimbursement resulting from our implementation of new information systems related to our accounts receivable and billing functions. Any future timing delay may cause working capital shortages. As a result, working capital management, including prompt and diligent billing and collection, is an important factor in our consolidated results of operations and liquidity. Our working capital management procedures may not successfully negate this risk. Significant delays in payment or reimbursement could have an adverse impact on our liquidity and financial condition.

Risk Factors Related to Operations and our Growth Strategy

We could be required to record a material non-cash charge to income if our recorded goodwill or intangible assets are impaired.

Goodwill and other intangible assets represent a significant portion of the assets on our balance sheet and are assessed for impairment annually. The goodwill assessment includes comparing the fair value of each reporting unit to the carrying value of the assets assigned to the reporting unit. If the carrying value of the reporting unit were to exceed our estimate of fair value of the reporting unit, we would be required to estimate the fair value of the individual assets and liabilities within the reporting unit to ascertain the fair value of goodwill. If we determine that the fair value is less than our book value, we could be required to record a non-cash impairment charge to our consolidated statements of operations, which could have a material adverse effect on our earnings, debt covenants and ability to access capital.

We assess other intangible assets, such as trade names and licenses, individually, based on expected revenue and cash flows to be generated by those assets. Specific economic factors and conditions attributed to local agencies could cause these expected revenue and cash flows to decrease. If we determine that the fair value is less than the carrying value, we could be required to record material non-cash impairment charges, which could have a material adverse effect on our earnings, debt covenants and ability to access capital.

Our allowance for contractual adjustments and doubtful accounts may not be sufficient to cover uncollectible amounts.

On an ongoing basis, we estimate the amount of Medicare, Medicaid and private insurance receivables that we will not be able to collect. This allows us to calculate the expected loss on our receivables for the period we are reporting. Our allowance for contractual adjustments and doubtful accounts may underestimate actual uncollectible receivables for various reasons, including:

adverse changes in our estimates as a result of changes in payor mix and related collection rates;

inability to collect funds due to missed filing deadlines or inability to prove that timely filings were made;

adverse changes in the economy generally exceeding our expectations; or

unanticipated changes in reimbursement from Medicare, Medicaid and private insurance companies.

If our allowance for contractual adjustments and doubtful accounts is insufficient to cover losses on our receivables, our business, financial position and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

Table of Contents

Changes in the case mix of patients, as well as payor mix and payment methodologies, may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and cash flows.

The sources and amounts of our patient revenue are determined by a number of factors, including the mix of patients and the rates of reimbursement among payors. Generally, we receive higher reimbursement for services rendered under Medicare. Changes in the case mix of the patients, payment methodologies or payor mix among private pay, Medicare and Medicaid may significantly affect our results of operations and cash flows.

Shortages in qualified nurses and other health care professionals could increase our operating costs significantly or constrain our ability to grow.

We rely on our ability to attract and retain qualified nurses and other health care professionals. The availability of qualified nurses nationwide has declined in recent years and competition for these and other health care professionals has increased and, therefore, salary and benefit costs have risen accordingly. Our ability to attract and retain nurses and other health care professionals depends on several factors, including our ability to provide desirable assignments and competitive benefits and salaries. We may not be able to attract and retain qualified nurses or other health care professionals in the future. In addition, the cost of attracting and retaining these professionals and providing them with attractive benefit packages may be higher than anticipated which could cause our net income to decline. Moreover, if we are unable to attract and retain qualified professionals, the quality of services offered to our patients may decline or our ability to grow may be constrained.

If we are required to either repurchase or sell a substantial portion of the equity interests in our joint ventures, our capital resources and financial condition could be materially adversely impacted.

Upon the occurrence of fundamental changes to the laws and regulations applicable to our joint ventures, or if a substantial number of our joint venture partners were to exercise the buy/sell provisions contained in many of our joint venture agreements, we may be obligated to purchase or sell the equity interests held by us or our joint venture partners. In some instances, the purchase price under these buy/sell provisions is based on a multiple of the historical or future earnings before income taxes, depreciation and amortization of the equity joint venture at the time the buy/sell option is exercised. In other instances, the buy/sell purchase price will be negotiated by the partners but will be subject to a fair market valuation process. In the event the buy/sell provisions are exercised and we lack sufficient capital to purchase the interest of our joint venture partners, we may be obligated to sell our equity interest in these joint ventures. If we are forced to sell our equity interest, we will lose the benefit of those particular joint venture operations. If these buy/sell provisions are exercised and we choose to purchase the interest of our joint venture partners, we may be obligated to expend significant capital in order to complete such acquisitions. If either of these events occurs, our net service revenue and net income could decline or we may not have sufficient capital necessary to implement our growth strategy.

If we are unable to maintain relationships with existing referral sources or establish new referral sources, our growth and net income could be adversely affected.

Our success depends significantly on referrals from physicians, hospitals and other health care providers in the communities in which we deliver our services. Our referral sources are not obligated to refer business to us and may refer business to other health care providers. We believe many of our referral sources refer business to us as a result of the quality of patient care provided by our local employees in the communities in which our agencies and facilities are located. If we are unable to retain these employees, our referral sources may refer business to other health care providers. Our loss of, or failure to maintain, existing relationships or our failure to develop new relationships could adversely affect our ability to expand our operations and operate profitably.

We face competition, including from competitors with greater resources, which may make it difficult for us to compete effectively as a provider of post-acute health care services.

We compete with local and regional home nursing and hospice companies, hospitals and other businesses that provide post-acute health care services, some of which are large, established companies that have

Table of Contents

significantly greater resources than we do. Our primary competition comes from local operators in each of our markets. We expect our competitors to develop joint ventures with providers, referral sources and payors, which could result in increased competition. The introduction by our competitors of new and enhanced service offerings, in combination with industry consolidation and the development of competitive joint ventures, could cause a decline in net service revenue and loss of market acceptance of our services. Future increases in competition from existing competitors or new entrants may limit our ability to maintain or increase our market share. We may not be able to compete successfully against current or future competitors and competitive pressures may have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Future acquisitions may be unsuccessful and could expose us to unforeseen liabilities. Further, our acquisition and internal development activity may impose strains on our existing resources.

Our growth strategy involves the acquisition of home nursing agencies and facilities throughout the United States. These acquisitions involve significant risks and uncertainties, including difficulties integrating acquired personnel and other corporate cultures into our business, the potential loss of key employees or patients of acquired agencies and the assumption of liabilities and exposure to unforeseen liabilities of acquired agencies. We may not be able to fully integrate the operations of the acquired businesses with our current business structure in an efficient and cost-effective manner. The failure to effectively integrate any of these businesses could have a material adverse effect on our operations.

We generally structure our acquisitions as asset purchase transactions in which we expressly state that we are not assuming any pre-existing liabilities of the seller and obtain indemnification rights from the previous owners for acts or omissions arising prior to the date of such acquisitions. However, the allocation of liability arising from such acts or omissions between the parties could involve the expenditure of a significant amount of time, manpower and capital. Further, the former owners of the agencies and facilities we acquire may not have the financial resources necessary to satisfy our indemnification claims relating to pre-existing liabilities. If we were unsuccessful in a claim for indemnification from a seller, the liability imposed could materially adversely affect our operations.

In addition, as we continue to expand our markets, our growth could strain our resources, including management, information and accounting systems, regulatory compliance, logistics and other internal controls. Our resources may not keep pace with our anticipated growth. If we do not manage our expected growth effectively, our future prospects could be affected adversely.

We may face increased competition for attractive acquisition and joint venture candidates.

We intend to continue growing through the acquisition of additional home-based agencies and the formation of joint ventures with hospitals for the operation of home-based agencies. We face competition for acquisition and joint venture candidates, which may limit the number of acquisition and joint venture opportunities available to us or lead to the payment of higher prices for our acquisitions and joint ventures. We cannot guarantee that we will be able to identify suitable acquisition or joint venture opportunities in the future or that any such opportunities, if identified, will be consummated on favorable terms, if at all. Without successful acquisitions or joint ventures, our future growth rate could decline. In addition, we cannot guarantee that any future acquisitions or joint ventures, if consummated, will result in further growth.

Federal regulation may impair our ability to consummate acquisitions or open new agencies.

Changes in federal laws or regulations may materially adversely impact our ability to acquire home nursing agencies or open new start-up home nursing agencies. For example, CMS recently adopted a regulation known as the 36 Month Rule that is applicable to home health agency acquisitions. Subject to certain exceptions, the 36 Month Rule prohibits buyers of certain home health agencies those that either enrolled in Medicare or underwent a change in ownership fewer than 36 months prior to the acquisitions from assuming the Medicare

Table of Contents

billing privileges of the acquired agency. Instead, the acquired home health agencies must enroll as new providers with Medicare. As a result, the 36 Month Rule may further increase competition for acquisition targets that are not subject to the rule, and may cause significant Medicare billing delays for the purchases of home health agencies that are subject to the rule.

We are the subject of an inquiry by the federal government, which could have an adverse impact on our financial condition and operations.

We operate in a highly regulated industry and are the subject of an inquiry by the federal government. We are cooperating with the government agency with respect to the inquiry and producing the requested records. Any negative findings could have a material adverse impact on our operations and financial condition. Although we cannot predict when this matter may be resolved, it is not unusual for an investigation such as this one to continue for a considerable period of time. Responding to this inquiry will continue to require management's attention and significant legal expense. See Part I, Item 3. Legal Proceedings in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional information regarding this inquiry.

We are subject to a corporate integrity agreement and could be subject to substantial monetary penalties or suspension of participation in federal health care programs for noncompliance.

On September 29, 2011, we entered into a corporate integrity agreement (CIA) with the Office of Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services. The CIA imposes certain auditing, self-reporting and training requirements that we must comply with. Failure to comply with certain obligations may lead to the imposition of monetary penalties and/or exclusion from participation in the federal health care programs. The imposition of monetary penalties would adversely affect our profitability. An exclusion from participation in the federal health care programs would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition as substantially all of our net service revenue is attributable to payments received under the Medicare and Medicaid programs.

If we are subject to substantial malpractice or other similar claims, it could materially adversely impact our results of operations and financial condition.

The services we offer have an inherent risk of professional liability and substantial damage awards. We, and the nurses and other health care professionals who provide services on our behalf, may be the subject of medical malpractice claims. These nurses and other health care professionals could be considered our agents and, as a result, we could be held liable for their medical negligence. We cannot predict the effect that any claims of this nature, regardless of their ultimate outcome, could have on our business or reputation or on our ability to attract and retain patients and employees. We maintain malpractice liability insurance that provides primary coverage on a claims-made basis of \$1.0 million per incident and \$3.0 million in annual aggregate amounts. In addition, we maintain multiple layers of umbrella coverage in the aggregate amount of \$40.0 million that provide excess coverage for professional malpractice and other liabilities. We are responsible for deductibles and amounts in excess of the limits of our coverage. Claims that could be made in the future in excess of the limits of such insurance, if successful, could materially adversely affect our financial condition. In addition, our insurance coverage may not continue to be available to us at commercially reasonable rates, in adequate amounts or on satisfactory terms.

Failure of, or problems with, our critical software or information systems could harm our business and operating results.

In addition to our Service Value Point system, our business is also substantially dependent on non-proprietary software. We utilize a third-party software information system for billing and maintaining patient claim receivables for our LTACHs. Our various home nursing agency databases are fully consolidated into an enterprise-wide system. Problems with, or the failure of, these systems could negatively impact our clinical performance and our management and reporting capabilities. Any such problems or failure could materially and

Table of Contents

adversely affect our operations and reputation, result in significant costs to us, cause delays in our ability to bill Medicare or other payors for our services, or impair our ability to provide our services in the future. The costs incurred in correcting any errors or problems with regard to our proprietary and non-proprietary software may be substantial and could adversely affect our net income.

Our information systems are networked via public network infrastructure and standards based encryption tools that meet regulatory requirements for transmission of protected health information over such networks. However, threats from computer viruses, instability of the public network on which our data transit relies, or other instances that might render those networks unstable or disabled would create operational difficulties for us, including difficulties effectively transmitting claims and maintaining efficient clinical oversight of our patients, as well as disrupting revenue reporting and billing and collections management, which could adversely affect our business or operations. If personal or protected information of our patients, employees or others with whom we do business is tampered with, stolen or otherwise improperly accessed, we may incur additional fines and penalties associated with the breach of security or take other action with respect to judicial or regulatory actions arising out of the incident, including under HIPAA or other judicial acts, as applicable.

Risk Factors Related to our Ownership and Management

Start-up home nursing agencies can be delayed from opening in a timely manner due to processing or regulatory approvals.

There can be delays associated with opening a de novo home nursing agency. These delays are the result of processing delays with the state regulatory bodies as well as processing delays by the associated fiscal intermediaries that serve as billing liaisons between the home nursing agency and CMS. To initiate operations at a de novo home nursing agency, we must submit the necessary applications along with the required documentation to the appropriate state and federal regulatory bodies. However, CMS has issued a memorandum which prioritizes the initial surveys for new Medicare providers as lowest priority for the state regulatory bodies. Moreover, depending on state requirements, the fiscal intermediary may need to receive the state license before the approval process can move forward. Once the necessary application and documentation has been submitted to the state and federal regulatory bodies, there is a testing period of transmitting data from the applicant to CMS. Once complete, the home nursing agency receives a provider agreement and corresponding number and can begin billing. If we are unable to obtain regulatory approval for our de novo home nursing agencies in a timely manner, such delays could have a material adverse effect on our business and our consolidated financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

As a holding company, we have no material assets or operations of our own.

We are a holding company with no material assets or operations of our own. Accordingly, our ability to service our debt and pay dividends, if any, is dependent upon the earnings from the business conducted by our subsidiaries. The distributions of those earnings or advances or other distributions of funds by these subsidiaries to us are contingent upon the subsidiaries' earnings and are subject to various business considerations. In addition, distributions by subsidiaries could be subject to statutory restrictions, including state laws requiring that the subsidiary be solvent, or contractual restrictions. If our subsidiaries are unable to make sufficient distributions or advances to us, we may not have the cash resources necessary to service our debt or pay dividends.

The loss of certain executive management or key employees could have a material adverse effect on our operations and financial performance.

Our success depends upon the continued employment of our executive management team and key employees and our ability to retain and motivate these individuals. If we lose the services of one or more of our executive officers or key employees, we may not be able to successfully manage our business, achieve our business goals or replace them with equally qualified personnel. The loss of any of our executive officers or key employees could have a material adverse effect on our operations and financial performance.

Table of Contents

Our executive officers and directors and their affiliates hold a substantial portion of our outstanding share of common stock and could exercise significant influence over matters requiring stockholder approval, regardless of the wishes of other stockholders.

Our executive officers and directors and individuals or entities affiliated with them, beneficially own an aggregate of approximately 31.7% of our outstanding shares of common stock as of December 31, 2013. The interests of these stockholders may differ from other stockholders interests. If they were to act together, these affiliated stockholders would be able to significantly influence all matters that our stockholders vote upon, including the election of directors, business combinations, the amendment of our certificate of incorporation and other significant corporate actions.

Certain provisions of our charter, bylaws, and Delaware law may delay or prevent a change in control of the Company.

Delaware law and our governing documents contain provisions that may enable our Board of Directors to resist a change in control of the Company. These provisions include:

a staggered Board of Directors;

limitations on persons authorized to call a special meeting of stockholders;

the authorization of undesignated preferred stock, the terms of which may be established and shares of which may be issued without stockholder approval; and

advance notice procedures required for stockholders to nominate candidates for election as directors or to bring matters before an annual meeting of stockholders.

These anti-takeover defenses could discourage, delay or prevent a transaction involving a change in control of the Company. These provisions could also discourage proxy contests and make it more difficult for stockholders to elect directors or cause us to take other corporate actions.

We have implemented other anti-takeover provisions or provisions that could have an anti-takeover effect. These provisions and others that our Board of Directors may adopt hereafter, may discourage offers to acquire us and may permit our Board of Directors to choose not to entertain offers to purchase us, even if such offers include a substantial premium to the market price of our common stock. Therefore, our stockholders may be deprived of opportunities to profit from a sale of control.

Our common stock is traded infrequently, which may cause volatility in our stock price, including a decline in value.

We have a relatively low volume of daily trades in our common stock on the NASDAQ Global Select Market (NASDAQ). For example, the average daily trading volume of our common stock on NASDAQ over the three-month trading period ending February 28, 2014 was approximately 61,141 shares per day. Because our common stock is traded infrequently, the price per share of our common stock can fluctuate more significantly from day-to-day than a widely held stock that is actively traded on a daily basis. For example, trading of a large volume of our common stock may have a significant impact on the trading price of our common stock. In addition, future issuances of our common stock, including the exercise of any options or the vesting of any restricted stock that we may grant to directors, executive officers and other employees in the future and the issuance of our common stock in connection with acquisitions, could have an adverse effect on the market price of our common stock.

If we identify deficiencies in our internal control over financial reporting, our business and our stock price could be adversely affected.

We are required to report on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as required by Section 404 of Sarbanes-Oxley. Under Section 404, we are required to assess the effectiveness of our internal

Table of Contents

control over financial reporting and report our conclusion in our annual report. Our independent registered public accounting firm is also required to report its conclusion regarding the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. The existence of one or more material weaknesses would require us and our auditor to conclude that our internal control over financial reporting is not effective. If material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting are identified, we could be subject to regulatory scrutiny and a loss of public confidence in our financial reporting, which could have an adverse effect on our business and price of our common stock.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

We have no unresolved written comments from the staff of the SEC regarding our periodic or current reports filed under the Exchange Act.

Item 2. Properties.

Our principal executive offices are located in two properties in Lafayette, Louisiana. One property is 22,571 square feet of leased general commercial office space under a lease that commenced on March 1, 2004 and expires on December 31, 2021. The second property is 28,768 square feet of leased general commercial office space under a lease that commenced on December 27, 2008 and expires on December 31, 2021.

Table of Contents

Of our 300 owned and/or operated home-based service locations, five are owned by us and the remaining locations are in leased facilities. Most of our home-based service locations are located in general commercial office space. Generally, the leases for our home-based service locations have initial terms of one year, but range from one to five years. Most of the leases either contain multiple options to extend the lease period in one-year increments or convert to a month-to-month lease upon the expiration of the initial term. Eight of our LTACHs are HWHs, meaning we have a lease or sublease for space with the host hospital. Generally, our leases or subleases for LTACHs have initial terms of five years, but range from three to ten years. Most of our leases and subleases for our LTACHs contain multiple options to extend the term in one-year increments. The following table shows our locations of our home-based and facility-based services facilities:

| | Home-Based Services | Facility-Based Services |
|----------------|------------------------|----------------------------|
| Louisiana | 48 | 11 |
| Alabama | 33 | |
| Tennessee | 28 | |
| Mississippi | 27 | |
| Kentucky | 26 | |
| Arkansas | 24 | |
| West Virginia | 17 | |
| Texas | 12 | |
| Washington | 12 | |
| Idaho | 9 | |
| Maryland | 9 | |
| Missouri | 9 | |
| Georgia | 8 | |
| Illinois | 8 | |
| California | 6 | |
| Oregon | 6 | |
| Virginia | 4 | |
| Florida | 3 | |
| North Carolina | 2 | |
| Ohio | 2 | |
| South Carolina | 2 | |
| Minnesota | 1 | |
| Nevada | 1 | |
| Oklahoma | 1 | |
| Pennsylvania | 1 | |
| Wisconsin | 1 | |
| | 300 | 11 |

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

We are involved in various legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. Although the results of legal proceedings cannot be predicted with certainty, management believes the outcome of pending proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on our condensed consolidated financial statements, after considering the effect of our insurance coverage.

On October 17, 2011, we received a subpoena from the Department of Health and Human Services Office of Inspector General (the "OIG"). The subpoena requested documents related to our agencies in Oregon, Washington and Idaho. We have continued to produce the requested documents and are cooperating with the OIG's review in this matter. We cannot predict the outcome or effect of this review, if any, on our business or financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

On June 13, 2012, a putative shareholder securities class action was filed against us and our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer in the United States District Court for the Western District of Louisiana, styled City of Omaha Police & Fire Retirement System v. LHC Group, Inc., et al., Case No. 6:12-cv-01609-JTT-CMH. The action was filed on behalf of LHC shareholders who purchased shares of our common stock between July 30, 2008 and October 26, 2011. Plaintiff generally alleges that the defendants caused false and misleading statements to be issued in violation of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder and that our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer is a control person under Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act. On November 2, 2012, Lead Plaintiff City of Omaha Police & Fire Retirement System filed an Amended Complaint for Violations of the federal Securities Laws (the Amended Complaint) on behalf of the same putative class of LHC shareholders as the original Complaint. In addition to claims under Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act, the Amended Complaint added a claim against our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer for violation of Section 20A of the Exchange Act. We believe these claims are without merit and intend on defending this lawsuit vigorously. On December 17, 2012, we filed, together with our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, a motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint, which was denied by Order dated March 15, 2013. The parties are presently conducting fact discovery. We cannot predict the outcome or effect of this lawsuit, if any, on our financial condition and results of operations.

On October 18, 2013, a derivative complaint was filed by a purported LHC shareholder against certain of our current and former executive officers, employees and members of our Board of Directors in the United States District Court for the Western District of Louisiana, styled Plummer v. Myers, et al., Case No. 6:13-cv-02899-JTT-CMH. The action was brought derivatively on our behalf and we are also named as a nominal defendant. Plaintiff generally alleges that the individual defendants breached various fiduciary duties that they owed to us. The complaint also alleges claims for insider selling and unjust enrichment against our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and our former President and Chief Operating Officer. We believe that these claims are without merit and we intend on defending this lawsuit vigorously. By Order dated December 6, 2013, this action is currently stayed pending the conclusion of expert discovery in the related City of Omaha shareholder securities class action described above. We cannot predict the outcome or effect of this lawsuit, if any, on our financial condition and results of operations.

On December 30, 2013, a derivative complaint was filed by a purported Company shareholder against certain of our current and former executive officers, employees and members of our Board of Directors in the United States District Court of the Western District of Louisiana, styled McCormack v. Myers, et al., Case No. 6:13-cv-03301-JTT-CMH. The action was brought derivatively on our behalf and we are also named as a nominal defendant. Plaintiff generally alleges that the individual defendants breached their fiduciary duties owed to us and wasted corporate assets. Plaintiff also alleges that our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer caused false and misleading statements to be issued in violation of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder and that our Directors are control persons under Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act. The complaint also alleges claims for insider selling, misappropriation of information and unjust enrichment against our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and our former President and Chief Operating Officer. We anticipate that this derivative action will be consolidated with the related Plummer derivative action described above. We believe these claims are without merit and intend to defend this lawsuit vigorously. We cannot predict the outcome or effect of this lawsuit, if any, on our financial condition and results of operations.

Except as discussed above, we are not aware of any pending or threatened investigations involving allegations of potential wrongdoing.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not applicable.

Table of Contents**PART II****Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.**
Sales of Unregistered Common Stock

None

Market Information and Holders

Our common stock trades on the NASDAQ Global Select Market (NASDAQ) under the symbol LHCG. As of February 28, 2014, there were approximately 299 registered holders of record of our common stock.

Dividend Policy

We have not paid any dividends on our common stock since our initial public offering in 2005 and do not anticipate paying dividends in the foreseeable future. We currently intend to retain future earnings, if any, to support the development and growth of our business. Payment of future dividends, if any, will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors and subject to any requirements under our credit facility or any future debt instruments.

Price Range of Common Stock

The following table provides the high and low prices of our common stock during 2013 and 2012 as quoted by NASDAQ:

| | High | Low |
|----------------|-------------|------------|
| 2013 | | |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 24.59 | \$ 19.79 |
| Third Quarter | 26.49 | 19.30 |
| Second Quarter | 23.50 | 19.58 |
| First Quarter | 22.67 | 20.08 |
| | High | Low |
| 2012 | | |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 22.12 | \$ 16.33 |
| Third Quarter | 18.70 | 16.86 |
| Second Quarter | 18.87 | 16.45 |
| First Quarter | 19.71 | 12.57 |

The closing price of our common stock as reported by NASDAQ on February 28, 2014 was \$23.56.

Performance Graph

This item is incorporated by reference from our annual report to stockholders for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

In October 2010, our Board of Directors authorized a program to repurchase shares of our common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, from time to time, in an amount not to exceed \$50.0 million (Stock Repurchase Program). We anticipate that we will finance the Stock Repurchase Program with cash from general corporate funds or draws under our credit facility, the terms of which allow us to purchase up to \$50.0 million of our common stock without obtaining approval from the bank group that holds our debt. We may repurchase shares of our common stock in open market purchases or in privately negotiated transactions in accordance with applicable securities laws, rules and regulations. The timing and extent to which we repurchase our shares will depend upon market conditions and other corporate considerations.

Table of Contents

We account for the repurchase of shares of our common stock under the cost method. We use the average cost method upon the subsequent reissuance of treasury shares. During the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, no shares were repurchased. During the twelve months ended December 31, 2012, we repurchased 1,540,813 shares of common stock at an aggregate cost of \$27.0 million, including commissions, or an average cost per share of \$17.52. The remaining dollar value of shares authorized to be purchased under the share repurchase program was \$22.5 million at December 31, 2013.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

The selected consolidated financial data presented below is derived from our audited consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K as of and for each of the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011. The selected consolidated financial data presented below as of and for each of the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 is derived from our audited consolidated financial statements not included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The financial data for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 should be read together with our consolidated financial statements and related Notes included in Part II, Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data included herein (amounts in thousands, except share and per share data).

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations Data: | | | | | |
| Net service revenue | \$ 658,283 | \$ 637,569 | \$ 633,872 | \$ 631,567 | \$ 529,246 |
| Gross margin | 274,819 | 271,817 | 281,526 | 305,046 | 261,465 |
| Operating income (loss) | 46,757 | 54,305 | (6,382) | 95,602 | 85,046 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | 29,146 | 35,428 | (3,651) | 64,546 | 57,900 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to LHC Group, Inc. | 22,342 | 27,440 | (13,244) | 48,759 | 43,841 |
| Change in the redemption value of redeemable noncontrolling interests | | | | 41 | 45 |
| Net income (loss) available to LHC Group, Inc.'s common stockholders | 22,342 | 27,440 | (13,244) | \$ 48,800 | \$ 43,886 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to LHC Group Inc.'s common stockholders per basic share: | \$ 1.31 | \$ 1.54 | \$ (0.73) | \$ 2.69 | \$ 2.44 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to LHC Group Inc.'s common stockholders per diluted share: | \$ 1.30 | \$ 1.53 | \$ (0.73) | \$ 2.68 | \$ 2.43 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding: | | | | | |
| Basic | 17,049,794 | 17,853,321 | 18,265,118 | 18,119,183 | 17,960,376 |
| Diluted | 17,132,751 | 17,899,195 | 18,265,118 | 18,226,091 | 18,069,897 |
| | As of December 31, | | | | |
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Consolidated Balance Sheet Data: | | | | | |
| Cash | \$ 14,014 | \$ 9,720 | \$ 256 | \$ 288 | \$ 394 |
| Total assets | 422,226 | 386,894 | 396,376 | 357,305 | 307,615 |
| Total debt | 23,212 | 19,500 | 34,820 | | 11,802 |
| Total LHC Group, Inc. stockholders' equity | \$ 293,009 | \$ 268,181 | \$ 263,683 | \$ 273,741 | \$ 221,172 |

Table of Contents**Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.**

The following discussion and analysis contains forward-looking statements about our future revenues, operating results, plans and expectations. Forward-looking statements are based on a number of assumptions and estimates that are inherently subject to significant risks and uncertainties and our results could differ materially from the results anticipated by our forward-looking statements as a result of many known or unknown factors, including, but not limited to, those factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors. Also, please read the Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements set forth at the beginning of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Please read the following discussion in conjunction with Part I of this Annual Report on Form 10-K as well as our Consolidated Financial Statements and the related Notes contained elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Overview

We provide post-acute health care services primarily to Medicare beneficiaries throughout the United States, through our home nursing agencies, hospices and LTACHs. Our net service revenue increased \$20.7 million to \$658.3 million for the year ending December 31, 2013, from \$637.6 million for the year ending December 31, 2012. During 2013, we acquired 25 home nursing agencies, such that, as of December 31, 2013, we operated 311 locations in the following 26 states: Alabama, Arkansas, California, Florida, Georgia, Idaho, Illinois, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nevada, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia and Wisconsin.

Segments

We operate in two segments for financial reporting purposes: home-based services and facility-based services. We derived 88.5%, 88.4% and 88.0% of our net service revenue during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, from our home-based services segment and derived the balance from our facility-based services segment.

Through our home-based services segment we offer a wide range of services, including skilled nursing, community-based services, physical, occupational and speech therapy, medically-oriented social services and hospice care. As of December 31, 2013, our home-based services segment was comprised of the following service locations:

| Type of Service | |
|----------------------|-----|
| Home Health | 255 |
| Hospice | 34 |
| Community-Based | 5 |
| Specialty Services | 3 |
| Management Companies | 3 |
| | 300 |

Of our 300 home-based services locations, as of December 31, 2013, 162 are wholly-owned by us, 128 are majority-owned or controlled by us through joint ventures, seven are controlled by us through license lease arrangements and the remaining three are management companies in which we have no ownership interest. We intend to increase the number of home nursing agencies that we operate through continued acquisitions and organic development. As we acquire and develop home nursing agencies, we anticipate the percentage of our net service revenue and operating income derived from our home-based services segment will continue to increase.

Table of Contents

We provide facility-based services principally through our LTACHs. As of December 31, 2013, we owned and operated six LTACHs with nine locations, of which all but one are located within host hospitals. We also owned and operated a pharmacy and a family health center. Of these 11 facility-based services locations as of December 31, 2013, six are wholly-owned by us and five are majority-owned or controlled by us through joint ventures.

Development Activities

The following table provides a summary of our acquisitions, divestitures and internal development activities from January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2013. This table does not include the three management services agreements under which we manage the operations of three home nursing agencies, through our home-based services segment.

| Year | Home-Based Services | | | Facility-Based Services | |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| | Home Nursing Agencies | Hospice Agencies | Specialty and Private Duty | Long-Term Acute Care Hospitals | Specialty |
| Total at January 1, 2011 | 257 | 26 | 10 | 9 | 3 |
| Developed | 6 | | | | |
| Acquired | 5 | 8 | | | |
| Divested/Merged | (21) | (2) | (3) | | |
| Total at January 1, 2012 | 247 | 32 | 7 | 9 | 3 |
| Developed | | | | | |
| Acquired | 3 | | | | |
| Divested/Merged | (18) | | | | (1) |
| Total at January 1, 2013 | 232 | 32 | 7 | 9 | 2 |
| Developed | | | 1 | | |
| Acquired | 23 | 2 | | | |
| Divested/Merged | | | | | |
| Total at December 31, 2013 | 255 | 34 | 8 | 9 | 2 |

Recent Developments*Home-Based Services**Home Nursing.*

When the PPACA was enacted in 2010, it changed a number of Medicare payment rates, including the reinstatement of the 3% home health rural add-on, which began on April 1, 2010 (expiring January 1, 2016). Other changes from PPACA that took effect on or after January 1, 2011 are:

reducing the market basket adjustment to be determined by CMS for each of 2011, 2012 and 2013 by 1%;

instituting a full productivity adjustment beginning in 2015; and

rebasings of the base payment rate for Medicare beginning in 2014 and phasing in over a four year period.

Edgar Filing: LHC Group, Inc - Form 10-K

On November 2, 2012, CMS issued the final rule (effective January 1, 2013) regarding payment rates for home health services provided during 2013, which included the following elements:

decreased the base payment rate to \$2,137.73 in 2013 as compared to \$2,138.52 in 2012. The decrease is made up of a market basket increase of 2.3% less a reduction of 1% to the market basket as defined by PPACA and less a 1.32% case mix adjustment carried over from 2012.

Table of Contents

adjusted the wage index and increased the labor related portion of the base payment rate from 77.082% to 78.535% which decreases payments to the home health industry an aggregate of 0.37%.

allows non-physician practitioners in an acute or post-acute setting to perform the required face-to-face encounter and inform the certifying physician.

revised the therapy regulations concerning cases where multiple therapy disciplines are involved, and the required reassessment visit was missed for any one of the therapy disciplines for which therapy services were being provided, to mandate that therapy coverage would cease only for that particular therapy discipline. Therefore, as long as the required therapy reassessments were completed timely for the other remaining therapy disciplines, therapy services would continue to be covered for those other remaining therapy disciplines. CMS further revised the therapy regulations to clarify that in cases where the patient is receiving more than one type of therapy, qualified therapists could complete their reassessment visits during the 11th, 12th, or 13th visit for the required 13th visit reassessment and the 17th, 18th, or 19th visit for the required 19th visit reassessment.

provided additional sanctions for enforcement of survey deficiencies that included the following, which are not mutually exclusive (meaning that CMS could impose any or all of them), each of which required 15 days notice prior to effect:

- (a) Civil money penalties;
- (b) Suspension of payment for all new admissions and new payment episodes;
- (c) Temporary management of the home health agency;
- (d) Directed plan of correction; and
- (e) Directed in-service training.

On November 22, 2013, CMS issued a final rule (effective January 1, 2014) regarding payment rates for home health services in CY 2014. Under the CY 2014 rule, CMS is:

decrease base payment rate by 1.05%, which is made up of a market basket increase of 2.3%, rebasing decrease of 2.75% and HH PPS Grouper refinements decrease of 0.6%.

reduce the average case-mix weight for 2014 from 1.3464 to 1.0000. To offset the effect of resetting the case mix average to 1.000, CMS will upwardly-adjust the national, standardized 60-day episode payment rate by the same factor that it used to decrease the weights from \$2,137.73 in 2013 to \$2,869.27 in 2014.

remove 170 diagnosis codes from assignment to diagnosis groups within HHPPS Grouper.

begin using ICD-10-CM codes within HH PPS Grouper, effective October 1, 2014.

Edgar Filing: LHC Group, Inc - Form 10-K

reduce rebasing amounts for 2014 through 2017 by an aggregate of \$80.95, which is 3.5% of 2010 rates or 2.75% of 2013 rates.

Hospice.

On July 24, 2012, CMS issued its final rule for hospice for fiscal year (FY) 2013, which increases Medicare reimbursement payments by 0.9% over FY 2012 rates. The 0.9% increase consists of a 2.6% inflationary market basket update offset by a 0.6% reduction for the fourth year of CMS seven-year phase-out of its wage index budget neutrality adjustment factor (BNAF), a 0.7% reduction for the productivity adjustment, a 0.3% reduction to the market basket as defined by PPACA, and a 0.1% reduction related to the wage index changes. The 0.9% does not include the deficit reduction sequester approved earlier by Congress. The final rule also provides clarification regarding diagnosis reporting on hospice claims. CMS is concerned that hospices reporting a single diagnosis on claims were not providing an accurate description of the patients conditions, and that providers should instead code and report coexisting or additional diagnoses (if applicable) on claims in order to more fully describe the Medicare patients they are treating. CMS indicates that it is also moving forward with

Table of Contents

hospice payment reform efforts and will continue to investigate Medicare Payment Advisory Commission, Office of the Inspector General, and Government Accountability Office recommendations, as well as other payment options, as part of this comprehensive effort. CMS does not, however, provide an anticipated timeline for public release of information about proposals to alter the current hospice payment system.

The following table shows the hospice Medicare payment rates for FY 2013, which began on October 1, 2012 and ended September 30, 2013 (the payment rates do not reflect the 2% sequestration cut):

| Description | Rate per patient day |
|------------------------------|----------------------|
| Routine Home Care | \$ 153.45 |
| Continuous Home Care | \$ 895.56 |
| Full Rate = 24 hours of care | |
| \$37.32 = hourly rate | |
| Inpatient Respite Care | \$ 158.72 |
| General Inpatient Care | \$ 682.59 |

On August 2, 2013, CMS released its final rule for hospice for FY 2014, which increases Medicare reimbursement payments by 1.0% over FY 2013. The 1.0% increase consists of a 2.5% inflationary market basket update offset by a 0.7% reduction related to the wage index changes and the fifth year of CMS's seven-year phase-out of its wage index BNAF, a 0.5% reduction for the productivity adjustment, and a 0.3% reduction to the market basket as defined by PPACA. The following table shows the hospice Medicare payment rates for FY 2014, which began on October 1, 2013 and will end September 30, 2014 (the payment rates do not reflect the 2% deficit reduction sequestration cut):

| Description | Rate per patient day |
|------------------------------|----------------------|
| Routine Home Care | \$ 156.06 |
| Continuous Home Care | \$ 910.78 |
| Full Rate = 24 hours of care | |
| \$37.95 = hourly rate | |
| Inpatient Respite Care | \$ 161.42 |
| General Inpatient Care | \$ 694.19 |

Facility-Based Services

LTACHs. On August 1, 2012 CMS released its final rule for LTACH Medicare reimbursement for FY 2013 which began on October 1, 2012 and ended on September 30, 2013. In the aggregate, payments for FY 2013 increased by 1.8% over FY 2012 rates. The 1.8% increase consists of a 2.6% inflationary market basket update offset by a 0.7% reduction for the productivity adjustment, a 0.1% reduction to the market basket as defined by PPACA. LTACH payment rates were reduced by approximately 1.3%, to 0.5%, for the one-time BNAF for discharges on or after December 29, 2012. The 0.5% does not include the 2% reduction to Medicare payments caused by sequestration as mandated by the Congressional Budget Act for patients with service dates ending on or after April 1, 2013.

The fiscal year 2013 rule also includes:

A one-year extension of the existing moratorium on the 25 Percent threshold policy, pending results of an on-going research initiative to re-define the role of LTACHs in the Medicare program.

A reduction to Medicare payments for very short stay cases in LTACHs to the Inpatient Prospective Payment System (IPPS) comparable per diem amount payment option for discharges occurring on or after December 29, 2012 and an increase to the high cost outlier payment.

On August 2, 2013, CMS released its final rule for LTACH Medicare reimbursement for fiscal year 2014, which began on October 1, 2013 and ends on September 30, 2014. In the aggregate, payments for fiscal year

Table of Contents

2014 will increase by 1.3% over fiscal year 2013 rates. The 1.3% increase consists of a 2.5% inflationary market basket update, offset by a 0.5% reduction for the productivity adjustment, and a 0.3% reduction to the market basket as defined by PPACA. LTACH payment rates will also be reduced by approximately 1.3% for the one-time BNAF and projected increases in estimated high cost outlier payments as compared to fiscal year 2013.

The LTACH fiscal year 2014 final rule also addresses the 25 Percent rule. Under the 25 Percent patient threshold policy, if an LTACH admits more than 25% of its patients from a single acute care hospital, Medicare will pay the LTACH at a lower rate comparable to IPPS hospitals for those patients above the 25 Percent threshold. A statutory moratorium on application of the 25 Percent rule was in place from December 2007 through December 2012. CMS stated its intention to extend the moratorium for fiscal year 2013, but allow the policy to go into effect in fiscal year 2014. The imposition of the 25 Percent rule will apply to all LTACHs beginning with their first cost reporting period beginning on or after October 1, 2013. As described below, recent legislation has suspended the 25 Percent rule for most LTACHs for two years.

The estimated changes to Medicare payments for home health, hospice and LTACHs for 2013 and 2014 do not include the deficit reduction sequester cuts to Medicare that were to begin on April 1, 2013, which reduced Medicare payments by 2% for patients whose service dates ended on or after April 1, 2013.

On December 26, 2013, President Obama signed into law the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2013 (Public Law 113-67) . This new law prevents a scheduled payment reduction for physicians and other practitioners who treat Medicare patients from taking effect on January 1, 2014. Included in the legislation are the following changes to LTACH reimbursement:

Medicare discharges from LTACHs will continue to be paid at full LTACH PPS rates if:

the patient spent at least 3 days in a short-term care hospital (STCH) intensive care unit (ICU) during a STCH stay that immediately preceded the LTACH stay, or

the patient was on a ventilator for more than 96 hours in the LTACH (based on the MS-LTACH DRG assigned) and had a STCH stay immediately preceding the LTACH stay.

Also, the LTACH discharge cannot have a principal diagnosis that is psychiatric or rehabilitation.

All other Medicare discharges from LTACHs will be paid at a new site neutral rate, which is the lesser of:

the IPPS comparable per diem amount determined using the formula in the short-stay outlier regulation at 42 C.F.R. § 412.529(d)(4) plus applicable outlier payments, or

100% of the estimated cost of the services involved.

The above new payment policy will not be effective until LTACH cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 2015, and the site neutral payment rate will be phased-in over three years.

For cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 2015, discharges paid at the site neutral payment rate or by a Medicare Advantage plan (Part C) will be excluded from the LTACH average length-of-stay (ALOS) calculation.

Edgar Filing: LHC Group, Inc - Form 10-K

For cost reporting periods beginning in fiscal year 2016 and later, CMS will notify LTACHs of their LTACH discharge payment percentage (i.e., the number of discharges not paid at the site neutral payment rate divided by the total number of discharges).

For cost reporting periods beginning in fiscal year 2020 and later, LTACHs with less than 50% of their discharges paid at the full LTACH PPS rates will be switched to payment under the IPPS for all discharges in subsequent cost reporting periods. However, CMS will set up a process for LTACHs to seek reinstatement of LTACH PPS rates for applicable discharges.

MedPAC will study the impact of the above changes on quality of care, use of hospice and other post-acute care settings, different types of LTACHs and growth in Medicare spending on LTACHs.

Table of Contents

MedPAC is to submit a report to Congress with any recommendations by June 30, 2019. The report is to also include MedPAC's assessment of whether the 25 Percent rule should continue to be applied.

25 Percent rule relief for freestanding LTACHs, HWHs and satellite facilities will be extended without interruption for cost reporting periods beginning on or after December 29, 2007 through December 28, 2016. Grandfathered HWHs will be permanently exempt from the 25 Percent rule. CMS must report to Congress by December 18, 2015 on whether the 25 Percent rule should continue to be applied.

The moratorium on new LTACH facilities and increases in LTACH beds will be renewed for the period from January 1, 2015 to September 30, 2017. Although the introductory language only refers to a moratorium extension for LTACH bed increases, the amendment to the MMSEA would extend both moratoriums. No exceptions will apply during this extension of the moratoriums.

Not later than October 1, 2015, CMS will establish a new functional status quality measure for change in mobility of ventilator patients.

As part of the fiscal year 2015 or 2016 rulemaking, CMS is to study payment rates and regulations that apply to the special category of neoplastic disease LTACHs and may adjust such payment rates.

2013 and 2012 Operational Data

The following table sets forth, for the period indicated, data regarding aggregate admissions and Medicare admissions to our home-based agencies and patient days for our LTACHs. Certain historical data has been included in order to present a more comparative analysis of the statistical data.

| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 | Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 | Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 | Three Months Ended December 31, 2013 |
|-----------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| Home-Based Agencies: | | | | |
| Average census | 36,323 | 35,841 | 34,545 | 34,189 |
| Average Medicare census | 27,566 | 27,274 | 26,455 | 26,356 |
| Admissions | 32,473 | 32,077 | 31,374 | 30,737 |
| Medicare admissions | 22,529 | 21,797 | 22,023 | 21,436 |
| LTACHs: | | | | |
| Patient days | 16,118 | 15,283 | 15,321 | 15,116 |
| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 | Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 | Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 | Three Months Ended December 31, 2012 |
| Home-Based Agencies: | | | | |
| Average census | 33,621 | 33,949 | 33,547 | 33,934 |
| Average Medicare census | 25,586 | 25,702 | 25,161 | 25,596 |
| Admissions | 28,875 | 27,630 | 28,329 | 28,616 |
| Medicare admissions | 20,064 | 18,832 | 19,395 | 19,704 |
| LTACHs: | | | | |
| Patient days | 16,191 | 15,822 | 15,335 | 15,552 |

Table of Contents**Consolidated Results of Operations**

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, our consolidated results (amounts in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Consolidated Services Data: | | | |
| Net service revenue | \$ 658,283 | \$ 637,569 | \$ 633,872 |
| Cost of service revenue | 383,464 | 365,752 | 352,346 |
| Gross margin | 274,819 | 271,817 | 281,526 |
| Provision for bad debts | 13,929 | 11,875 | 12,320 |
| General and administrative expenses | 214,133 | 205,637 | 210,588 |
| Settlement with government agencies | | | 65,000 |
| Operating income (loss) | \$ 46,757 | \$ 54,305 | \$ (6,382) |
| Interest expense | (1,995) | (1,550) | (1,018) |
| Non-operating income, including gain (loss) on sale of assets and entities | 243 | 184 | 1,781 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | 15,859 | 17,511 | (1,968) |
| Income attributable to noncontrolling interests | 6,804 | 7,988 | 9,593 |
| Net income (loss) available to LHC Group, Inc. s common stockholders. | \$ 22,342 | \$ 27,440 | \$ (13,244) |

The following table sets forth our consolidated results as a percentage of net service revenue, except income tax expense (benefit), which is presented as a percentage of income (loss) attributable to LHC Group, Inc. s common stockholders:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------|---------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Consolidated Services Data: | | | |
| Cost of service revenue | 58.3% | 57.4% | 55.6% |
| Gross margin | 41.7% | 42.6% | 44.4% |
| Provision for bad debts | 2.1% | 1.9% | 1.9% |
| General and administrative expenses | 32.5% | 32.3% | 33.2% |
| Settlement with government agencies | | | 10.3% |
| Operating income (loss) | 7.1% | 8.5% | (1.0)% |
| Interest expense | 0.3% | 0.2% | 0.2% |
| Non-operating income, including gain (loss) on sale of assets and entities | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.3% |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | 41.5% | 39.0% | (12.9)% |
| Income attributable to noncontrolling interests | 1.0% | 1.3% | 1.5% |
| Net income (loss) attributable to LHC Group, Inc. s common stockholders | 3.4% | 4.3% | (2.1)% |

Table of Contents***Year Ended December 31, 2013 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2012****Net Service Revenue*

Consolidated net service revenue for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$658.3 million compared to \$637.6 million for the same period in 2012, an increase of approximately \$20.7 million, or 3.2%. Consolidated net service revenue growth in 2013 was primarily due to an increase in census and admits as well as revenue growth from our acquisition of 25 agencies during 2013. Consolidated net service revenue was comprised of the following for the periods ending December 31:

| | 2013 | 2012 |
|-------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Home-based services | 88.5% | 88.4% |
| Facility-based services | 11.5 | 11.6 |
| | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Revenue derived from Medicare represented 79.8% and 77.9% of our consolidated net service revenue for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Cost of Service Revenue

Consolidated cost of service revenue for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$383.5 million compared to \$365.8 million for the same period in 2012, an increase of approximately \$17.7 million, or 4.8%. The increase in cost of service revenue was directly associated with the increase in the number of locations we operated, offset by productivity improvements and efficiencies gained through our Point of Care (POC) initiatives that we have implemented throughout the past year.

Provision for Bad Debts

Consolidated provision for bad debts for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$13.9 million compared to \$11.9 million for the same period in 2012, an increase of approximately \$2.0 million, or 16.8%. The increase was associated with growth in net service revenue combined with an increase in collection risks identified on a group of claims from certain commercial insurance payor contracts and self payor claims.

General and Administrative Expenses

Consolidated general and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2013 were \$214.1 million compared to \$205.6 million for the same period in 2012, an increase of approximately \$8.5 million, or 4.1%. The increase was associated with an increase in the number of locations we operated, an increase in POC device costs due to an increase in the number of locations we now have on POC platform, and an increase in certain acquisition costs such as legal and broker fees. The increase was partially offset by reductions of staff resulting from the benefits derived from POC initiatives implemented during the past year.

Consolidated general and administrative expenses consist primarily of principal executive office and field administrative salary and related salary costs, supplies, depreciation, advertising, employee recruitment, rent expense and property taxes.

Interest Expense

Consolidated interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$2.0 million compared to \$1.6 million for the same period in 2012, an increase of approximately \$0.4 million, or 25%. This increase relates directly to balances outstanding on our revolving credit facility in each year.

Table of Contents*Income Tax Expense*

Consolidated income tax expense for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$15.9 million compared to \$17.5 million for the same period in 2012, a decrease of approximately \$1.6 million, or 9.1%. The decrease resulted from a decrease in pretax income.

Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interest

Consolidated net income attributable to noncontrolling interest represents the minority owners' allocable share of income in the joint ventures that we do not control, which for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$6.8 million compared to \$8.0 million for the same period in 2012, a decrease of approximately \$1.2 million, or 15.0%. The overall decrease was due to the reduction in our non-controlling interests through our purchase of outstanding membership interests of six joint venture partners, and an overall decrease in the operating results of the other joint ventures in which we continued to hold a majority position.

Home-Based Services Segment Results of Operations

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, our home-based services segment results (amounts in thousands, except for statistical data):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Home-Based Services Data: | | | |
| Net service revenue | \$ 582,891 | \$ 563,741 | \$ 557,901 |
| Cost of service revenue | 339,199 | 322,189 | 307,744 |
| Gross margin | 243,692 | 241,552 | 250,157 |
| Provision for bad debts | 12,843 | 10,593 | 11,680 |
| General and administrative expenses | 192,784 | 183,725 | 190,264 |
| Settlement with government agencies | | | 65,000 |
| Operating income (loss) | \$ 38,065 | \$ 47,234 | \$ (16,787) |
| Average census | 35,199 | 33,750 | 33,062 |
| Average Medicare census | 26,903 | 25,523 | 25,713 |
| Total admissions | 126,661 | 113,450 | 106,323 |
| Total Medicare admissions | 87,785 | 77,996 | 75,944 |

Net Service Revenue

Net service revenue from home-based services for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$582.9 million compared to \$563.7 million for the same period in 2012, an increase of 3.4%. Total admissions increased 11.6% to 126,661 during the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to 113,450 for the same period ended December 31, 2012. Average home-based patient census for the year ended December 31, 2013 increased 4.1% to 35,199 patients as compared with 33,750 patients for the year ended December 31, 2012.

We use the term *organic growth* to describe growth in *same-store* locations (those locations in service for greater than 12 months) and growth from *de novo* locations (those internally-developed locations in service for less than 12 months), but excluding growth from acquired locations that have been in service for less than 12 months. Revenue from acquired agencies contributes to organic growth beginning with the thirteenth month after acquisition.

We calculate the percentage of organic growth, as shown in the charts below, by dividing the revenue generated by organic growth (same-store locations and internally-developed locations) during 2013 by total revenue generated during 2012.

Table of Contents

We calculate the percentage of total growth, as shown in the charts below, by dividing the revenue generated by organic growth (same-store locations and internally-developed locations) plus the revenue generated by acquired locations by total revenue generated during 2012.

The following tables detail the home-based services organic and total growth, census, admissions and episodes (amounts in thousands, except statistical data):

Year Ending December 31, 2013

| | Same Store(1) | De Novo(2) | Organic(3) | Organic Growth% | Acquired(4) | Total | Total Growth % |
|-------------------------|---------------|------------|------------|-----------------|-------------|------------|----------------|
| Revenue | \$ 554,590 | \$ | \$ 554,590 | (1.6)% | \$ 28,301 | \$ 582,891 | 3.4% |
| Revenue Medicare | \$ 441,747 | \$ | \$ 441,747 | 0.6% | \$ 24,435 | \$ 466,182 | 6.2% |
| Average Census | 33,501 | | 33,501 | (0.7)% | 1,698 | 35,199 | 4.3% |
| Average Medicare Census | 25,519 | | 25,519 | | 1,384 | 26,903 | 5.4% |
| New Admissions | 117,856 | | 117,856 | 3.9% | 8,805 | 126,661 | 11.6% |
| Medicare Admissions | 80,939 | | 80,939 | 3.8% | 6,846 | 87,785 | 12.6% |
| Home Health Episodes | 174,966 | | 174,966 | 4.8% | 2,030 | 176,996 | 6.0% |

- (1) Same-store location that has been in service with the Company for greater than 12 months.
- (2) De Novo internally developed location that has been in service with the Company for 12 months or less.
- (3) Organic combination of same-store and de novo.
- (4) Acquired purchased location that has been in service with the Company for 12 months or less.

Year Ending December 31, 2012

| | Same Store(1) | De Novo(2) | Organic(3) | Organic Growth% | Acquired(4) | Total | Total Growth % |
|-------------------------|---------------|------------|------------|-----------------|-------------|------------|----------------|
| Revenue | \$ 560,165 | \$ | \$ 560,165 | 0.4% | \$ 3,576 | \$ 563,741 | 1.0% |
| Revenue Medicare | \$ 437,117 | \$ | \$ 437,117 | (2.4)% | \$ 2,012 | \$ 439,129 | (2.0)% |
| Average Census | 33,587 | | 33,587 | 1.7% | 163 | 33,750 | 2.2% |
| Average Medicare Census | 25,447 | | 25,447 | (1.1)% | 76 | 25,523 | (0.8)% |
| New Admissions | 112,068 | | 112,068 | 5.4% | 1,382 | 113,450 | 6.7% |
| Medicare Admissions | 77,408 | | 77,408 | 1.9% | 588 | 77,996 | 2.7% |
| Home Health Episodes | 166,457 | | 166,457 | (1.6)% | 572 | 167,029 | (1.3)% |

- (1) Same-store location that has been in service with the Company for greater than 12 months.
- (2) De Novo internally developed location that has been in service with the Company for 12 months or less.
- (3) Organic combination of same-store and de novo.
- (4) Acquired purchased location that has been in service with the Company for 12 months or less.

Organic growth for total new admissions was 3.9% in 2013 compared to 5.4% in 2012. Organic growth is primarily generated by population growth in areas covered by mature agencies, those five years old or older, and by increased market share in acquired and developing agencies. Historically, acquired agencies have the highest growth in admissions and average census in the first 24 months after acquisition, and have the highest contribution to organic growth, measured as a percentage, in the second full year of operation after acquisition.

The primary strategies to increase organic growth include differentiating ourselves from our competitors through our care services and quality outcomes, focusing our sales efforts on our agencies, in particular agencies acquired in the last three years, which have not fully developed their coverage in secondary markets and developing Greenfield opportunities. Greenfield opportunities exist in secondary markets by executing on three service delivery alternatives: (1) utilizing POC technology, (2) developing drop sites or virtual offices, and (3) opening traditional branches or denovo locations.

Table of Contents*Cost of Service Revenue*

Cost of service revenue from home-based services for the year ended December 31, 2013 increased to \$339.2 million compared to \$322.2 million for the same period in 2012, an increase of 5.3%. The increase in cost of service revenue was directly associated with the increase in the number of locations we operated, partially offset by productivity improvements and efficiencies gained through our POC initiatives implemented during the past year.

The following table summarizes cost of service revenue (amounts in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | |
|---|-------------------------|----------|------------|----------|
| | 2013 | | 2012 | |
| Salaries, wages and benefits | \$ 294,867 | 50.6%(1) | \$ 278,559 | 49.4%(1) |
| Transportation, primarily mileage reimbursement | 24,227 | 4.2 | 24,815 | 4.4 |
| Supplies and services | 20,105 | 3.4 | 18,815 | 3.3 |
| Total | \$ 339,199 | 58.2% | \$ 322,189 | 57.1% |

(1) Percentage of home-based net service revenue

Facility-Based Services Segment Results of Operations

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, our facility-based services segment results (amounts in thousands, except for statistical data):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Facility-Based Services Data: | | | |
| Net service revenue | \$ 75,392 | \$ 73,828 | \$ 75,971 |
| Cost of service revenue | 44,265 | 43,563 | 44,602 |
| Gross margin | 31,127 | 30,265 | 31,369 |
| Provision for bad debts | 1,086 | 1,282 | 640 |
| General and administrative expenses | 21,349 | 21,912 | 20,324 |
| Operating income | \$ 8,692 | \$ 7,071 | \$ 10,405 |
| Patient days | 61,838 | 62,900 | 61,939 |
| <i>Net Service Revenue</i> | | | |

Net service revenue from facility-based services for the year ended December 31, 2013 increased to \$75.4 million compared with \$73.8 million for the same period in 2012, an increase of 2.2%, primarily due to an adjustment of \$614,000 from facility cost reports recorded during the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, which represented a \$1.0 million reduction to net service revenue occurring during the twelve months ended December 31, 2012.

Cost of Service Revenue

Cost of service revenue from facility-based services for the year ended December 31, 2013 increased to \$44.3 million compared to \$43.6 million for the same period in 2012, an increase of 1.6%, primarily due to increased usage of skilled therapy services.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes cost of service revenue (amounts in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|
| | 2013 | | 2012 | |
| Salaries, wages and benefits | \$ 28,772 | 38.2%(1) | \$ 27,732 | 38.1%(1) |
| Transportation | 301 | 0.4 | 257 | 0.3 |
| Supplies and services | 15,192 | 20.1 | 15,574 | 21.4 |
| Total | \$ 44,265 | 58.7% | \$ 43,563 | 59.8% |

(1) Percentage of facility-based net service revenue
Year Ended December 31, 2012 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2011

Net Service Revenue

Consolidated net service revenue for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$637.6 million compared to \$633.9 million for the same period in 2011. Consolidated net service revenue growth in 2012 was primarily due to an increase in census and increase in admits. Consolidated net service revenue was comprised of the following for the periods ending December 31:

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|-------------------------|--------|--------|
| Home-based services | 88.4% | 88.0% |
| Facility-based services | 11.6 | 12.0 |
| | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Revenue derived from Medicare represented 77.9% and 79.7% of consolidated net service revenue for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Cost of Service Revenue

Consolidated cost of service revenue for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$365.8 million compared to \$352.3 million for the same period in 2011. The increase in consolidated cost of service revenue was related to the following factors:

an increase of costs related to 2012 acquisitions;

cost of living increases;

an increase in insurance; and

additional field staff included in our existing home based agencies.

Provision for Bad Debts

Edgar Filing: LHC Group, Inc - Form 10-K

Consolidated provision for bad debts for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$11.9 million compared to \$12.3 million for the same period in 2011. Beginning January 1, 2011, the period allowed to file Medicare claims was reduced to twelve months from the end of episode date. This change resulted in a greater number of Medicare claims being denied for timely filing requirements in 2011.

General and Administrative Expenses

Consolidated general and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$205.6 million compared to \$210.6 million for the same period in 2011. The decrease was primarily due to our incurring higher legal fees in 2011 associated with the settlement with the United States of America. In addition, we

Table of Contents

eliminated salaries and benefits, consulting, travel and hotel costs incurred in 2011 related to the conversion of four legacy billing systems to our point of care platform. We accelerated this transition in order to replace the legacy billing systems which remained from previous acquisitions. The accelerated transition was completed in the first quarter of 2011. We also entered into fewer acquisitions and incurred lower acquisition related costs during the twelve months ended December 30, 2012. Finally, cost reduction initiatives begun last year reduced personnel costs and other corporate costs in the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 as compared to the twelve months ended December 31, 2011.

Non-Operating Income

Consolidated non-operating income for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$184,000 compared to \$1.8 million for the same period in 2011. In 2011, consolidated non-operating income was primarily due to the Medicare Home Health Pay for Performance program. We received \$1.2 million in 2011. The program was not available during 2012.

Interest Expense

Consolidated interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$1.6 million compared to \$1.0 million for the same period in 2011 and related to balances outstanding on our revolving credit facility in each year.

Income Tax Expense

Consolidated income tax expense (benefit) for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$17.5 million compared to \$(1.9) million for the same period in 2011. In 2011, we recognized a tax benefit on our settlement with the United States of America, reduced by \$3.4 million to recognize the uncertainty of deducting the full settlement.

Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interest

Consolidated net income attributable to noncontrolling interest for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$8.0 million compared to \$9.6 million for the same period in 2011. The overall decrease was due to our purchasing the outstanding membership interest of three joint venture partners, and an overall decrease of operating results of the joint ventures themselves.

Home-Based Services Segment Results of Operations

Net Service Revenue

Net service revenue from home-based services for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$563.7 million compared to \$557.9 million for the same period in 2011. Total admissions increased 6.7% to 113,450 during the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to 106,323 for the same period ended December 31, 2011. Average home-based patient census for the year ended December 31, 2012 increased 2.1% to 33,750 patients as compared with 33,062 patients for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Organic growth includes growth in same store locations, or those locations owned for greater than 12 months, and growth from de novo locations. We calculate organic growth by dividing organic growth generated in a period by total revenue generated in the same period of the prior year. Revenue from acquired agencies contributes to organic growth beginning with the thirteenth month after acquisition.

Table of Contents

The following tables detail the home-based services revenue growth, census, admissions and episodes (amounts in thousands, except statistical data):

| | Year Ending December 31, 2012 | | | | | | Total Growth % |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|-------------------|
| | Same Store(1) | De Novo(2) | Organic(3) | Organic Growth% | Acquired(4) | Total | |
| Revenue | \$ 560,165 | \$ | \$ 560,165 | 0.4% | \$ 3,576 | \$ 563,741 | 1.0% |
| Revenue Medicare | \$ 437,117 | \$ | \$ 437,117 | (2.4)% | \$ 2,012 | \$ 439,129 | (2.0)% |
| Average Census | 33,587 | | 33,587 | 1.7% | 163 | 33,750 | 2.2% |
| Average Medicare Census | 25,447 | | 25,447 | (1.1)% | 76 | 25,523 | (0.8)% |
| Admissions | 112,068 | | 112,068 | 5.4% | 1,382 | 113,450 | 6.7% |
| Medicare Admissions | 77,408 | | 77,408 | 1.9% | 588 | 77,996 | 2.7% |
| Home Health Episodes | 166,457 | | 166,457 | (1.6)% | 572 | 167,029 | (1.3)% |

- (1) Same store location that has been in service with the Company for greater than 12 months.
- (2) De Novo internally developed location that has been in service with the Company for 12 months or less.
- (3) Organic combination of same store and de novo.
- (4) Acquired purchased location that has been in service with the Company for 12 months or less.

| | Year Ending December 31, 2011 | | | | | | Total Growth % |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|-------------------|
| | Same Store(1) | De Novo(2) | Organic(3) | Organic Growth% | Acquired(4) | Total | |
| Revenue | \$ 538,135 | \$ 3,191 | \$ 541,326 | (2.5)% | \$ 16,575 | \$ 557,901 | 0.5% |
| Revenue Medicare | \$ 431,101 | \$ 2,793 | \$ 433,894 | (4.3)% | \$ 14,120 | \$ 448,014 | (1.2)% |
| Average Census | 32,362 | 129 | 32,491 | (1.5)% | 522 | 33,013 | 0% |
| Average Medicare Census | 25,209 | 106 | 25,315 | (3.0)% | 410 | 25,725 | (1.5)% |
| Admissions | 103,472 | 310 | 103,782 | 8.5% | 2,582 | 106,364 | 11.2% |
| Medicare Admissions | 73,904 | 238 | 74,142 | 6.7% | 1,827 | 75,969 | 9.3% |
| Home Health Episodes | 166,100 | 518 | 166,618 | (0.1)% | 2,571 | 169,189 | 1.4% |

- (1) Same store location that has been in service with the Company for greater than 12 months.
- (2) De Novo internally developed location that has been in service with the Company for 12 months or less.
- (3) Organic combination of same store and de novo.
- (4) Acquired purchased location that has been in service with the Company for 12 months or less.

Organic growth for total new admissions was 5.4% in 2012 compared to 8.5% in 2011. Organic growth is primarily generated by population growth in areas covered by mature agencies, agencies five years old or older, and by increased market share in acquired and developing agencies. Historically, acquired agencies have the highest growth in admissions and average census in the first 24 months after acquisition, and have the highest contribution to organic growth, measured as a percentage, in the second full year of operation after acquisition.

The primary strategies to increase organic growth include differentiating ourselves from our competitors through our care services and quality outcomes, focusing our sales efforts on our agencies, in particular agencies acquired in the last three years, which have not fully developed their coverage in secondary markets and developing Greenfield opportunities. Greenfield opportunities exist in secondary markets with three service delivery alternatives:

1. Utilizing point of care technology;
2. Drop site or virtual office; or

3. Traditional branch or denovo locations.

Table of Contents*Cost of Service Revenue*

Cost of service revenue from home-based services for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$322.2 million compared to \$307.7 million for the same period in 2011. The factors associated with the change in cost of service revenue were primarily driven by:

increase in salaries, wages and benefits associated with an increase in admissions and visits, and an increase in field clinicians training costs associated with continued roll out of our Point of Care system; and

decrease in supplies and services due to a contract renegotiation associated with the Lifeline product.

The following table summarizes cost of service revenue (amounts in thousands).

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | |
|---|-------------------------|----------|------------|----------|
| | 2012 | | 2011 | |
| Salaries, wages and benefits | \$ 278,559 | 49.4%(1) | \$ 265,372 | 47.6%(1) |
| Transportation, primarily mileage reimbursement | 24,815 | 4.4 | 24,221 | 4.3 |
| Supplies and services | 18,815 | 3.3 | 18,151 | 3.3 |
| Total | \$ 322,189 | 57.1% | \$ 307,744 | 55.2% |

(1) Percentage of home-based net service revenue

Facility-Based Services Segment Results of Operations*Net Service Revenue*

Net service revenue from facility-based services for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$73.8 million compared with \$76.0 million for the same period in 2011. The decrease was primarily due to a reduction in pharmacy revenue related to the loss of a third party contract as well as a decrease in revenue per patient day caused by a decrease in case mix and a higher number of patient days provided in excess of a patient's maximum benefit. Also, \$1.0 million of adjustments from facility cost reports were recorded during the twelve months ended December 31, 2012.

Cost of Service Revenue

Cost of service revenue from facility-based services for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$43.6 million compared to \$44.6 million for the same period in 2011.

The following table summarizes cost of service revenue (amounts in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|
| | 2012 | | 2011 | |
| Salaries, wages and benefits | \$ 27,732 | 38.1%(1) | \$ 26,926 | 35.4%(1) |
| Transportation | 257 | 0.3 | 189 | 0.3 |
| Supplies and services | 15,574 | 21.4 | 17,487 | 23.0 |
| Total | \$ 43,563 | 59.8% | \$ 44,602 | 58.7% |

(1) Percentage of facility-based net service revenue

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash at December 31, 2013 was \$14.0 million, compared to \$9.7 million at December 31, 2012. Based on our current plan of operations, including acquisitions, we believe this amount, when combined with expected

Table of Contents

cash flows from operations and amounts available under our revolving credit facility will be sufficient to fund our growth strategy and to meet our anticipated operating expenses, capital expenditures and debt service obligations for at least the next 12 months.

Liquidity

Our principal source of liquidity needed to fund our operating activities is the collection of patient accounts receivable, most of which are collected from governmental and third-party commercial payors. We also have the ability to obtain additional liquidity, if necessary, through our revolving credit facility, which provides for aggregate borrowings, including outstanding letters of credit, up to \$100 million.

Our reported cash flows from operating activities are affected by various external and internal factors, including the following:

Operating Results Our net income has a significant impact on our operating cash flows. Any significant increase or decrease in our net income could have a material impact on our operating cash flows.

Timing of Acquisitions We use a portion of our operating cash flows for acquisitions. When the acquisitions occur at or near the end of a period, our cash outflows significantly increase.

Timing of Payroll Our employees are paid bi-weekly on Fridays. Operating cash flows decline in reporting periods that end on a Friday.

Medical Insurance Plan Funding We are self-funded for medical insurance purposes. Any significant changes in the amount of insurance claims submitted could have a direct effect on our operating cash flows.

Medical Supplies A significant expense associated with our business is the cost of medical supplies. Any increase in the cost of medical supplies, or in the use of medical supplies by our patients, could have a material impact on our operating cash flows.

Cash used in investing activities primarily relates to acquisitions of home nursing and hospice agencies, while cash used by financing activities primarily relates to payments on outstanding debt agreements and payments to our noncontrolling interest partners.

The following table summarizes changes in cash flows (amounts in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Net cash provided by (used in): | | |
| Operating activities | \$ 45,915 | \$ 74,772 |
| Investing activities | (35,263) | (15,140) |
| Financing activities | (6,358) | (50,168) |
| | | |
| Change in cash | 4,294 | 9,464 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 9,720 | 256 |
| | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 14,014 | \$ 9,720 |

Operating activities during the year ended December 31, 2013 provided \$46.0 million in cash compared to \$74.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, a decrease of 38.4%. The decrease was caused by lower net income in the period combined with other changes in working capital. Additionally, for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012, cash provided by operations included the benefit from the utilization of previously established prepaid taxes associated with tax loss carrybacks generated from our 2011 settlement with the US Government.

Table of Contents

Investing activities used \$35.3 million and \$15.1 million in cash for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The increase was caused by increases in acquisition activity during 2013, which included the acquisition of the home-based services line of Addus HomeCare Corp and the acquisition of an additional five home-based agencies.

Financing activities used \$6.4 million in cash in the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to \$50.2 million in the year ended December 31, 2012. The decrease was caused by a reduction in the amount of repayments under our credit facility during 2013 as compared to 2012.

Days sales outstanding (DSO) for the year ended December 31, 2013 was 49 days compared to 48 days for the same period in 2012.

Credit Facility

Our revolving credit facility with Capital One, National Association is unsecured and provides for a maximum aggregate principal borrowing of \$100 million (with a letter of credit sub-limit equal to \$15 million), and is scheduled to expire on August 31, 2015. A credit fee of 0.5% is charged for any unused amounts on our credit facility. We paid \$979,000 of credit fees on our credit facility during 2013.

A letter of credit fee equal to the applicable London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) margin times the face amount of the letter of credit is charged upon issuance and on each anniversary date while the letter of credit is outstanding. The agent s standard up-front fee and other customary administrative charges are also due upon issuance of the letter of credit, along with a renewal fee on each anniversary date while the letter of credit is outstanding.

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, outstanding letters of credit were \$6.7 million and \$6.0 million, respectively, which are issued as collateral on our workers compensation insurance.

The interest rate for borrowings under the credit facility is a function of the prime rate (Base Rate) or the LIBOR (Eurodollar), as we elected, plus the applicable margin based on our Leverage Ratio (as defined in our credit agreement with Capital One, National Association) as set forth below:

| Leverage Ratio | Eurodollar Margin | Base Rate Margin |
|------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| < 1.00:1.00 | 2.25% | 1.00% |
| ≥1.00:1.00 < 1.50:1.00 | 2.50% | 1.25% |
| ≥1.50:1.00 & 2.00:1.00 | 2.75% | 1.50% |

Our credit facility contains customary affirmative, negative and financial covenants. For example, without prior approval of our bank group, we are restricted in incurring additional debt, disposing of assets, making investments, allowing fundamental changes to our business or organization and making certain payments in respect of stock or other ownership interests, such as dividends and stock repurchases. Under our credit facility, we are also required to meet certain financial covenants with respect to minimum fixed charge coverage, consolidated net worth, leverage and minimum asset coverage ratios.

Our credit facility also contains customary events of default, including bankruptcy and other insolvency events, cross-defaults to other debt agreements, a change in control involving us or any subsidiary guarantor and upon our failure to comply with covenants.

Table of Contents**Contractual Obligations**

The following table discloses aggregate information about our contractual obligations and the periods in which payments are due as of December 31, 2013 (amounts in thousands):

| Contractual Cash Obligation | Total | Payment Due by Period | | | |
|---|------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | | Less than 1 Year | 1-3 Years | 3-5 years | More than 5 Years |
| Long-term debt | \$ 23,212 | \$ 202 | \$ 22,471 | \$ 516 | \$ 23 |
| Operating leases | 37,235 | 13,725 | 15,078 | 5,358 | 3,074 |
| Total contractual cash obligations | \$ 60,447 | \$ 13,927 | \$ 37,549 | \$ 5,874 | \$ 3,097 |

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We currently do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements with unconsolidated entities, financial partnerships or entities often referred to as structured finance or special purpose entities, which would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes. In addition, we do not engage in trading activities involving non-exchange traded contracts. As such, we are not materially exposed to any financing, liquidity, market or credit risk that could arise if we had engaged in these relationships.

Critical Accounting Policies

The following discussions describe our critical accounting policies, which we believe require the most significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Changes in the accounting estimates are reasonably likely to occur from period to period. Accordingly, actual results could differ materially from our estimates. To the extent that there are material differences between these estimates and actual results, our financial condition or results of operations will be affected. We base our estimates on past experience and other assumptions that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances and we evaluate these estimates on an ongoing basis.

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include all subsidiaries and entities controlled by us. We define control as ownership of a majority of the voting interest of an entity. The consolidated financial statements include entities in which we have the obligation to absorb losses of the entities or the right to receive benefits from the entities and have voting control over the entities or both, as a result of ownership, contractual or other financial interests in the entities.

The following table summarizes the percentage of net service revenue earned by type of ownership or relationship we had with the operating entity:

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Wholly owned subsidiaries | 48.8% | 48.1% | 49.5% |
| Equity joint ventures | 48.5 | 49.1 | 47.1 |
| License leasing arrangements | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.4 |
| Management services | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Table of Contents

All significant inter-company accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Business combinations accounted for as purchases have been included in the consolidated financial statements from the respective dates of acquisition.

The following describes our consolidation policy with respect to its various ventures excluding wholly owned subsidiaries:

Equity Joint Ventures

Our equity joint ventures are structured as limited liability companies in which we typically own a majority equity interest ranging from 51% to 91%. Each member of all but one of our equity joint ventures participates in profits and losses in proportion to their equity interests. We have one equity joint venture partner whose participation in losses is limited. We consolidate these entities as we have the obligation to absorb losses of the entities and the right to receive benefits from the entities and have voting control over the entities.

License Leasing Arrangements

Through our wholly owned subsidiaries, we lease home health licenses necessary to operate certain of our home nursing agencies. We own 100% of the equity of these entities and consolidate them based on such ownership, as well as our obligation to absorb losses of the entities and the right to receive benefits from the entities.

Management Services

We have various management services agreements under which we manage certain operations of agencies and facilities. We do not consolidate these agencies or facilities, as we do not have an ownership interest and do not have an obligation to absorb losses of the entities or the right to receive the benefits from the entities.

Revenue Recognition

We report net service revenue at the estimated net realizable amount due from Medicare, Medicaid, commercial insurance, managed care payors, patients and others for services rendered. All payors contribute to both the home-based services and facility-based services.

The following table sets forth the percentage of net service revenue earned by category of payor for the respective years ending December 31:

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Payor: | | | |
| Medicare | 79.8% | 77.9% | 79.7% |
| Medicaid | 1.4 | 1.8 | 2.3 |
| Other | 18.8 | 20.3 | 18.0 |
| | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

The percentage of net service revenue contributed from each reporting segment was as follows for the respective years ending December 31:

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Home-based services | 88.5% | 88.4% | 88.0% |
| Facility-based services | 11.5 | 11.6 | 12.0 |
| | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Table of Contents

Medicare

Home-Based Services

Home Nursing Services. Our home nursing Medicare patients are classified into one of 153 home health resource groups prior to receiving services. Based on this home health resource group, we are entitled to receive a standard prospective Medicare payment for delivering care over a 60-day period referred to as an episode. We recognize revenue based on the number of days elapsed during an episode of care within the reporting period.

Final payments from Medicare may reflect one of four retroactive adjustments to ensure the adequacy and effectiveness of the total reimbursement: (a) an outlier payment if the patient's care was unusually costly; (b) a low utilization adjustment if the number of visits was fewer than five; (c) a partial payment if the patient transferred to another provider before completing the episode; or (d) a payment adjustment based upon the level of therapy services required in the population base. Management estimates the impact of these payment adjustments based on historical experience and records this estimate during the period the services are rendered. Our payment is also adjusted for geographic wage differences. In calculating our reported net service revenue from home nursing services, we adjust the prospective Medicare payments by an estimate of the adjustments.

Hospice Services. We are paid by Medicare under a per diem payment system. We receive one of four predetermined daily or hourly rates based upon the level of care we furnish to the patient. We record net service revenue from hospice services based on the daily or hourly rate and recognize revenue as hospice services are provided.

Hospice payments are also subject to an inpatient cap and an overall Medicare payment cap. Inpatient cap relates to individual programs receiving more than 20% of its total Medicare reimbursement from inpatient care services, and the overall Medicare payment cap relates to individual programs receiving reimbursements in excess of a cap amount calculated by multiplying the number of beneficiaries during the period by a statutory amount that is indexed for inflation. The determination for each cap is made annually based on the twelve-month period ending on October 31 of each year. We monitor our limits on a provider-by-provider basis and record an estimate of its liability for reimbursements received in excess of the cap amount. Annually, we receive notification of whether any of our hospice providers have exceeded either cap. Adjustments resulting from these notifications have not been material.

Facility-Based Services

Long-Term Acute Care Services. We are reimbursed by Medicare for services provided under the LTACH PPS, which was implemented on October 1, 2002. Each patient is assigned a long-term care diagnosis-related group. We are paid a predetermined fixed amount intended to reflect the average cost of treating a Medicare patient classified in that particular long-term care diagnosis-related group. For selected patients, the amount may be further adjusted based on length-of-stay and facility-specific costs, as well as in instances where a patient is discharged and subsequently re-admitted, among other factors. We calculate the adjustment based on a historical average of these types of adjustments for claims paid. Similar to other Medicare prospective payment systems, the rate is also adjusted for geographic wage differences. Revenue is recognized for our LTACHs as services are provided.

Medicaid, managed care and other payors

Our Medicaid reimbursement is based on a predetermined fee schedule applied to each service provided. Therefore, revenue is recognized for Medicaid services as services are provided based on this fee schedule. Our managed care payors and other payors reimburse us, and we recognize revenue, in a manner similar to our Medicare and Medicaid reimbursements.

Management Services

We record management services revenue as services are provided in accordance with the various management services agreements to which we are a party. As described in the agreements, we provide billing,

Table of Contents

management and other consulting services suited to and designed for the efficient operation of the applicable home nursing agency or inpatient rehabilitation facility. We are responsible for the costs associated with the locations and personnel required for the provision of services. We are compensated based on a percentage of cash collections for one management services agreement and reimbursed for operating expenses plus a percentage of operating net income for the remaining management services agreements.

Income Tax

We operate in numerous tax jurisdictions and recognize income tax expense based on the revenue and expenses earned in those jurisdictions, which requires us to apportion and allocate revenue and expenses in all taxable jurisdictions. During 2011, we entered into a settlement with the United States of America which we believe is fully deductible for income tax purposes. In compliance with the provisions of Accounting Standards Codification 740 and based on our assessment of probable outcomes, we recorded an unrecognized tax position which increased income tax expense for 2011 by \$3.2 million.

Accounts Receivable and Allowances for Uncollectible Accounts

We report accounts receivable net of estimated allowances for uncollectible accounts and adjustments. Accounts receivable are uncollateralized and primarily consist of amounts due from Medicare, other third-party payors and patients. To provide for accounts receivable that could become uncollectible in the future, we establish an allowance for uncollectible accounts to reduce the carrying amount of such receivables to their estimated net realizable value. The amount of the provision for uncollectible accounts is based upon our assessment of historical and expected net collections, business and economic conditions and trends in government reimbursement. Uncollectible accounts are written off after exhausting collection efforts and we have concluded that the account will not be collected. Because Medicare is our primary payor, the credit risk associated with receivables from other payors is limited. We believe the credit risk associated with our Medicare accounts, which represent 68.6% and 63.6% of our patient accounts receivable at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, is limited due to (a) our historical collections experience with Medicare and (b) the fact that Medicare is a U.S. government payor. We do not believe that there are any other significant concentrations of receivables from any particular payor that would subject it to any significant credit risk in the collection of accounts receivable.

A portion of the estimated Medicare prospective payment system reimbursement from each submitted home nursing episode is received in the form of a request for anticipated payment (RAP). We submit a RAP for 60% of the estimated reimbursement for the initial episode at the start of care. The full amount of the episode is billed after the episode has been completed. The RAP received for that particular episode is deducted from the final payment. If a final bill is not submitted within the greater of 120 days from the start of the episode, or 60 days from the date the RAP was paid, any RAPs received for that episode will be recouped by Medicare from any other Medicare claims in process for that particular provider. The RAP and final claim must then be resubmitted. For subsequent episodes of care contiguous with the first episode for a particular patient, we submit a RAP for 50% instead of 60% of the estimated reimbursement.

Our Medicare population is paid at a prospectively set amount that can be determined at the time services are rendered. Our Medicaid reimbursement is based on a predetermined fee schedule applied to each individual service we provide. Our managed care contracts are structured similar to the Medicare and Medicaid payment methodologies. Because of our payor mix, we are able to more accurately calculate our actual amount due at the patient level and adjust the gross charges to the actual amount at the time of billing. This negates the need to record an estimated allowance for uncollectible accounts when reporting the majority of our net service revenue for each reporting period.

At December 31, 2013, our allowance for uncollectible accounts, as a percentage of patient accounts receivable, was approximately 13.9%, or \$14.3 million, compared to 12.4%, or \$11.9 million, at December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth, as of December 31, 2013, the aging of accounts receivable (based on the end of episode date) (amounts in thousands):

| Payor | 0-90 | 91-180 | 181-365 | Over 365 | Total |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|------------|
| Medicare | \$ 51,030 | \$ 9,858 | \$ 9,278 | \$ 653 | \$ 70,819 |
| Medicaid | 2,055 | 581 | 407 | 118 | 3,161 |
| Other | 15,542 | 5,246 | 5,751 | 2,779 | 29,318 |
| Total | \$ 68,627 | \$ 15,685 | \$ 15,436 | \$ 3,550 | \$ 103,298 |

For home-based services, we calculate the allowance for uncollectible accounts as a percentage of total patient receivables. The percentage changes depending on the payor and increases as the patient receivables age. For facility-based services, we calculate the allowance for uncollectible accounts based on a claim by claim review.

The following table sets forth, as of December 31, 2012, the aging of accounts receivable (based on the end of episode date) (amounts in thousands):

| Payor | 0-90 | 91-180 | 181-365 | Over 365 | Total |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| Medicare | \$ 48,219 | \$ 7,955 | \$ 4,114 | \$ 672 | \$ 60,960 |
| Medicaid | 2,067 | 531 | 696 | 300 | 3,594 |
| Other | 18,688 | 4,695 | 5,536 | 2,341 | 31,260 |
| Total | \$ 68,974 | \$ 13,181 | \$ 10,346 | \$ 3,313 | \$ 95,814 |

The following table summarizes the activity and ending balances in the allowance for uncollectible accounts (amounts in thousands):

| Year ended December 31: | Beginning of Year Balance | Additions | Deductions | End of Year Balance |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|------------|---------------------|
| 2013 | \$ 11,863 | \$ 13,929 | \$ 11,458 | \$ 14,334 |
| 2012 | \$ 10,692 | \$ 11,875 | \$ 10,704 | \$ 11,863 |
| 2011 | \$ 9,769 | \$ 12,320 | \$ 11,397 | \$ 10,692 |

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

We have a significant amount of goodwill on our balance sheet that resulted from the numerous business acquisitions we have made in prior years. We review goodwill and other intangible assets with indefinite lives annually for impairment or more frequently if circumstances indicate impairment may have occurred. We perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether the fair value of goodwill is more likely than not less than its carrying value. This assessment is performed by first comparing the current fair value of each of our reporting units to their carrying value, including goodwill. If the carrying value of a reporting unit were to exceed the fair value of the reporting unit, we would be required to perform the second step of the impairment test. Components of our home-based services operating segment are generally represented by individual subsidiaries or joint ventures with individual licenses to conduct homecare or hospice operations within geographic markets as limited by the terms of each license. Our segment managers review discrete financial information for our homecare and hospice businesses and we believe that they represent two reporting units for the purposes of evaluating goodwill. Components of our facility-based services operating segment are represented by individual operating entities. For the purposes of evaluating goodwill, we believe it is appropriate to aggregate these operating components.

Effective November 30, 2013, we changed the date of our annual impairment test for goodwill and other intangible assets from September 30 to November 30 to better coincide with the timing of when we prepare our

Table of Contents

annual budget and financial plans. These financial plans are a key component in estimating the fair value of our reporting units, which is the basis for performing our annual impairment test. We believe that the change in our annual impairment test date is preferable as it allows us to utilize our most current projections in the annual impairment test.

We have not recognized any goodwill impairment charges in 2013, 2012 or 2011.

Included in intangible assets are definite-lived assets subject to amortization such as software licenses, non-compete agreements and defensive assets, which are defined as trade names that are not actively used. Amortization of the definite-lived intangible assets is calculated on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the related assets. Software licenses are amortized over a three year period and non-compete agreements are amortized over the life of the agreement, usually ranging from three to five years.

We also have indefinite-lived assets that are not subject to amortization expense such as actively used trade names, certificates of need and licenses to conduct specific operations within geographic markets. Such trade names, certificates of need and licenses have indefinite lives because there are no legal, regulatory, contractual, economic or other factors that would limit the useful life of these intangible assets and we intend to renew and operate the certificates of need and licenses and use these trade names indefinitely. These indefinite-lived intangibles are reviewed annually for impairment or more frequently if circumstances indicate impairment may have occurred. To determine whether an indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired, we perform a qualitative assessment to support the conclusion that the indefinite-lived intangible asset is not impaired. Based on the results of that qualitative assessment, we may perform a quantitative test. The quantitative impairment test on trade names uses the relief-from-royalty method. Under this method, the fair value of the trade name is determined by calculating the present value of the after-tax cost savings associated with owning the trade names and, therefore, not having to pay royalties for use over its estimated useful life. The quantitative impairment test for certificates of need and licenses applies the cost approach. Under this method, assumptions are made about the cost to replace the certificates of need and licenses. Lower revenue expectations caused primarily by projected Medicare reimbursement cuts may reduce the fair values of certain intangible assets below their carrying values. Based on our analysis of projected Medicare reimbursements, we recorded an intangible asset impairment charge of \$500,000 and \$650,000 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

As a result of these respective impairment charges, the carrying values of the related intangible assets were adjusted to their estimated fair values as of December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2012, respectively. Any further decline in the estimated fair values of these intangibles could result in additional impairment charges being recorded. We determined that, except for the impairment charges described above, there were no indicators that any other intangible assets were impaired as a result of our impairment analysis conducted as of November 30, 2013.

Table of Contents

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

As of December 31, 2013, we had \$14.0 million in cash. Cash in excess of requirements are deposited in highly liquid money market instruments with maturities less than 90 days. Because of the short maturities of these instruments, we would not expect our operating results or cash flows to be materially affected by the effect of a sudden change in market interest rates on our portfolio. In 2013, the Federal Insurance Deposit Corporation (FDIC) will insure each depositor up to \$250,000 in coverage at each separately chartered insured depository institution. At times, the Company's cash in banks exceeds the FDIC insurance limit. The Company has not experienced any loss as a result of those deposits and does not expect any in the future.

Our exposure to market risk relates to changes in interest rates for borrowings under the credit facility. A hypothetical 100 basis point increase in interest rates on the average daily amounts outstanding under the credit facility would have increased interest expense by \$15,000 for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

The consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedules in Part IV, Item 15 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K are incorporated by reference into this Item 8.

Item 9. Changes In and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

None.

Item 9A. Disclosure Controls and Procedures.

Evaluation of Disclosure Control and Procedures

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, management evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2013. Based on that evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and its Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined under Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated of the Exchange Act) were effective as of December 31, 2013.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The Company's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as that term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Exchange Act. Under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the Company conducted an evaluation of its internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework (1992)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Table of Contents

Based on management's testing and evaluation under the framework in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework (1992)*, management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2013.

The attestation report of KPMG LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm that audited the financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, is included herein.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have not been any changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Exchange Act, during the Company's fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2013 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

LHC Group, Inc.

We have audited LHC Group, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). LHC Group, Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, LHC Group, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of LHC Group, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2013, and our report dated March 6, 2014 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Baton Rouge, Louisiana

March 6, 2014

Table of Contents

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.

The information required by this Item regarding our directors and executive officers is incorporated by reference from the information contained under the heading "Information About Directors, Nominees and Management" in the definitive Proxy Statement relating to the Company's 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

The information required by this Item regarding compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act is incorporated by reference from the information contained under the heading "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" in the definitive Proxy Statement relating to the Company's 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

The information required by this Item regarding our corporate governance Nominating Committee and Audit Committee is incorporated by reference from the information contained under the heading "The Board of Directors and Corporate Governance" in the definitive Proxy Statement relating to the Company's 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Code of Conduct and Ethics

We have adopted a code of ethics that applies to all of our directors, officers and employees. This code is publicly available in the investor relations area of our website at www.lhcgroup.com. Any substantive amendments to this code, or any waivers granted for any directors or executive officers, including our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, will be disclosed on our website and remain available there for at least 12 months. This code of ethics is not incorporated in this report by reference. Copies of our code of ethics will also be provided, without charge, upon written request to Investor Relations at LHC Group, Inc., 420 West Pinhook Road, Suite A, Lafayette, Louisiana, 70503.

Item 11. Executive Compensation.

The information required by this Item regarding our executive compensation and Compensation Committee is incorporated by reference from the information contained under the heading "Executive Officer Compensation" in the definitive Proxy Statement relating to the Company's 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

The information required by this Item regarding our securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans and security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management is incorporated by reference from the information contained under the headings "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management" in the definitive Proxy Statement relating to the Company's 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Table of Contents**Equity Compensation Plan Information**

| Plan Category | (a) Number of Shares to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants, and Rights | (b) Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Price of Outstanding Rights | (c) Number of Shares Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column a) |
|---|---|---|--|
| Equity compensation plans approved by Stockholders: | 15,000 | \$ 16.88 | 1,154,310 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by Stockholders: | | | |
| Total | 15,000 | \$ 16.88 | 1,154,310 |

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.

The information required by this Item regarding transactions with related persons is incorporated by reference from the information contained under the heading "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" in the definitive Proxy Statement relating to the Company's 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services.

The information required by this Item regarding accounting and audit fees is incorporated by reference from the information contained under the heading "Principal Accounting Fees and Services" in the definitive Proxy Statement relating to the Company's 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Table of Contents

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules.

(a) Documents to be filed with Form 10-K:

(1) Financial Statements

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

F-1

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012

F-2

For each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2013

Consolidated Statements of Operations

F-3

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity

F-4

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

F-5

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

F-6

(2) Financial Statement Schedules

There are no financial statement schedules included in this report.

(3) Exhibits

The Exhibits are listed in the Index of Exhibits required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K included herewith, which is incorporated by reference.

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

LHC Group, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of LHC Group, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in equity, and cash flows for each of the years in three-year period ended December 31, 2013. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of LHC Group, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in three-year period ended December 31, 2013, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), LHC Group, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated March 6, 2014 expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the LHC Group, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Baton Rouge, Louisiana

March 6, 2014

Table of Contents**LHC GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(Amounts in thousands, except share data)

| | As of December 31, | |
|---|--------------------|------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash | \$ 14,014 | \$ 9,720 |
| Receivables: | | |
| Patient accounts receivable, less allowance for uncollectible accounts of \$14,334 and \$11,863, respectively | 88,964 | 83,951 |
| Other receivables | 608 | 589 |
| Amounts due from governmental entities | 1,234 | 1,596 |
| Total receivables, net | 90,806 | 86,136 |
| Deferred income taxes | 9,251 | 7,671 |
| Prepaid income taxes | 4,069 | 7,436 |
| Prepaid expenses | 6,966 | 6,818 |
| Other current assets | 4,449 | 2,949 |
| Total current assets | 129,555 | 120,730 |
| Property, building and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$40,935 and \$34,331, respectively | 31,052 | 29,531 |
| Goodwill | 194,893 | 169,150 |
| Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$4,518 and \$3,054, respectively | 62,184 | 62,042 |
| Other assets | 4,542 | 5,441 |
| Total assets | \$ 422,226 | \$ 386,894 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable and other accrued liabilities | \$ 17,217 | \$ 14,897 |
| Salaries, wages and benefits payable | 31,927 | 29,890 |
| Self insurance reserve | 5,862 | 5,444 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 249 | |
| Amounts due to governmental entities | 4,391 | 4,979 |
| Total current liabilities | 59,646 | 55,210 |
| Deferred income taxes | 29,060 | 25,129 |
| Income tax payable | 3,415 | 3,415 |
| Revolving credit facility | 22,000 | 19,500 |
| Long-term debt, less current portion | 963 | |
| Total liabilities | 115,084 | 103,254 |
| Noncontrolling interest-redeemable | 11,258 | 11,426 |
| Stockholders equity: | | |
| LHC Group, Inc. stockholders equity: | | |
| Common stock \$0.01 par value: 40,000,000 shares authorized; 21,801,634 and 21,578,772 shares issued in 2013 and 2012, respectively | 218 | 216 |
| Treasury stock 4,693,647 and 4,653,039 shares at cost, respectively | (34,715) | (33,846) |
| Additional paid-in capital | 103,972 | 100,619 |
| Retained earnings | 223,534 | 201,192 |

Edgar Filing: LHC Group, Inc - Form 10-K

| | | |
|--|------------|------------|
| Total LHC Group, Inc. stockholders' equity | 293,009 | 268,181 |
| Noncontrolling interest - non-redeemable | 2,875 | 4,033 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 295,884 | 272,214 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 422,226 | \$ 386,894 |

See accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

F-2

Table of Contents**LHC GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

(Amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

| | For the Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|---------------------------------|------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net service revenue | \$ 658,283 | \$ 637,569 | \$ 633,872 |
| Cost of service revenue | 383,464 | 365,752 | 352,346 |
| Gross margin | 274,819 | 271,817 | 281,526 |
| Provision for bad debts | 13,929 | 11,875 | 12,320 |
| General and administrative expenses | 214,133 | 205,637 | 210,588 |
| Settlement with government agencies | | | 65,000 |
| Operating income (loss) | 46,757 | 54,305 | (6,382) |
| Interest expense | (1,995) | (1,550) | (1,018) |
| Non-operating income, including gain or loss on sales of assets | 243 | 184 | 1,781 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes and noncontrolling interests | 45,005 | 52,939 | (5,619) |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | 15,859 | 17,511 | (1,968) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | 29,146 | 35,428 | (3,651) |
| Less net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | 6,804 | 7,988 | 9,593 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to LHC Group, Inc. s common stockholders | \$ 22,342 | \$ 27,440 | \$ (13,244) |
| Earnings per share - basic: | | | |
| Net income (loss) attributable to LHC Group, Inc. s common stockholders | \$ 1.31 | \$ 1.54 | \$ (0.73) |
| Earnings per share - diluted: | | | |
| Net income (loss) attributable to LHC Group, Inc. s common stockholders | \$ 1.30 | \$ 1.53 | \$ (0.73) |
| Weighted average shares outstanding: | | | |
| Basic | 17,049,794 | 17,853,321 | 18,265,118 |
| Diluted | 17,132,751 | 17,899,195 | 18,265,118 |

See accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents**LHC GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY**

(Amounts in thousands, except share data)

| | LHC Group, Inc. | | | | | Retained Earnings | Noncontrolling Interest - Non-redeemable | Total Equity | Non-Controlling Interest - Redeemable | Net Income (Loss) |
|---|-----------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|----------------------------|-------------------|--|--------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | Common Stock | | Treasury | | Additional Paid-In Capital | | | | | |
| | Amount | Issued Shares | Amount | Shares | | | | | | |
| Balances at December 31, 2010 | \$ 181 | 21,180,286 | \$ (4,453) | 3,008,264 | \$ 91,017 | \$ 186,996 | \$ 1,747 | \$ 275,488 | \$ 13,535 | |
| Net income (loss) | | | | | | (13,244) | 1,075 | (12,169) | 8,518 | (\$ 3,651) |
| Transfer of noncontrolling interest | | | | | 205 | | 163 | 368 | | |
| Acquired noncontrolling interest | | | | | | | 1,372 | 1,372 | | |
| Noncontrolling interest distributions | | | | | | | (1,402) | (1,402) | (10,455) | |
| Purchase of additional controlling interest | | | | | (641) | | | (641) | (250) | |
| Sale of noncontrolling interest | | | | | 212 | | 96 | 308 | | |
| Nonvested stock compensation | | | | | 4,092 | | | 4,092 | | |
| Issuance of vested stock | | 155,687 | | | | | | | | |
| Treasury shares redeemed to pay income tax | | | (1,186) | 43,182 | | | | (1,186) | | |
| Repurchase of common stock | | | (577) | 24,159 | | | | (577) | | |
| Excess tax benefits-vesting nonvested stock | | | | | 221 | | | 221 | | |
| Issuance of common stock under Employee Stock Purchase Plan | 2 | 38,291 | | | 858 | | | 860 | | |
| Balances at December 31, 2011 | \$ 183 | 21,374,264 | \$ (6,216) | 3,075,605 | \$ 95,964 | \$ 173,752 | \$ 3,051 | \$ 266,734 | \$ 11,348 | |
| Net income | | | | | | 27,440 | 595 | 28,035 | 7,393 | 35,428 |
| Acquired noncontrolling interest | | | | | | | 1,636 | 1,636 | | |
| Noncontrolling interest distributions | | | | | | | (1,249) | (1,249) | (7,195) | |
| Purchase of additional controlling interest | | | | | (189) | | | (189) | (120) | |
| Sale of noncontrolling interest | | | | | 80 | | | 80 | | |
| Nonvested stock compensation | | | | | 4,390 | | | 4,390 | | |
| Issuance of vested stock | | 154,323 | | | | | | | | |
| Treasury shares redeemed to pay income tax | | | (672) | 36,621 | | | | (672) | | |
| Repurchase of common stock | | | (26,958) | 1,540,813 | | | | (26,958) | | |
| Excess tax benefits-vesting nonvested stock | | | | | (376) | | | (376) | | |
| Issuance of common stock under Employee Stock Purchase Plan | 1 | 50,185 | | | 782 | | | 783 | | |
| Reclassification of common stock at par value | 32 | | | | (32) | | | | | |
| Balances at December 31, 2012 | \$ 216 | 21,578,772 | \$ (33,846) | 4,653,039 | \$ 100,619 | \$ 201,192 | \$ 4,033 | \$ 272,214 | \$ 11,426 | |
| Net income | | | | | | 22,342 | 1,244 | 23,586 | 5,560 | 29,146 |
| Transfer of noncontrolling interest | | | | | | | (1,342) | (1,342) | 1,342 | |

Edgar Filing: LHC Group, Inc - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------|------------|-------------|-----------|------------|------------|----------|------------|-----------|
| Acquired noncontrolling interest | | | | | | | | | 608 |
| Purchase of additional controlling interest | | | (1,267) | | | | | (1,267) | (612) |
| Noncontrolling interest distributions | | | | | | (1,060) | | (1,060) | (7,066) |
| Nonvested stock compensation | | | | 3,886 | | | | 3,886 | |
| Issuance of vested stock | 184,403 | | | | | | | | |
| Treasury shares redeemed to pay income tax | | | (869) | 40,608 | | | | (869) | |
| Excess tax benefits-vesting nonvested stock | | | | (50) | | | | (50) | |
| Issuance of common stock under Employee Stock Purchase Plan | 2 | 38,459 | | 784 | | | | 786 | |
| Balances at December 31, 2013 | \$ 218 | 21,801,634 | \$ (34,715) | 4,693,647 | \$ 103,972 | \$ 223,534 | \$ 2,875 | \$ 295,884 | \$ 11,258 |

See accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

F-4

Table of Contents

LHC GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Amounts in thousands)

| | For the Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|------------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Operating activities | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 29,146 | \$ 35,428 | \$ (3,651) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | 8,325 | 7,806 | 7,521 |
| Provision for bad debts | 13,929 | 11,875 | 12,320 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 3,886 | 4,390 | 4,092 |
| Deferred income taxes | 2,351 | 2,204 | 4,378 |
| Loss on sale of assets | 20 | 105 | |
| Other intangibles impairment charge | 500 | 650 | |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions: | | | |
| Receivables | (18,961) | (4,497) | (21,024) |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | (749) | 1,780 | 6,247 |
| Prepaid income taxes | 3,299 | 18,855 | (17,926) |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 4,395 | (4,288) | 4,478 |
| Net amounts due to/from governmental entities | (226) | 464 | 189 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | 45,915 | 74,772 | (3,376) |
| Investing activities | | | |
| Cash paid for acquisitions, primarily goodwill and intangible assets | (26,920) | (6,758) | (11,680) |
| Proceeds from sale of assets | | 33 | |
| Purchases of property, building and equipment | (8,343) | (8,415) | (7,945) |
| Net cash (used in) investing activities | (35,263) | (15,140) | (19,625) |
| Financing activities | | | |
| Proceeds from line of credit | 73,000 | 188,561 | 142,995 |
| Payments on line of credit | (70,500) | (203,881) | (108,175) |
| Payments on capital leases | | | (14) |
| Excess tax benefits from vesting of restricted stock | 18 | | 320 |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock under ESPP | 786 | 783 | 860 |
| Proceeds from debt issuance | 1,212 | | |
| Noncontrolling interest distributions | (8,126) | (8,444) | (11,857) |
| Purchase of additional controlling interest | (1,879) | (309) | (891) |
| Sale of noncontrolling interest | | 80 | 308 |
| Redemption of treasury stock to pay income tax | (869) | | |
| Repurchase of common stock | | (26,958) | (577) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | (6,358) | (50,168) | 22,969 |
| Change in cash | 4,294 | 9,464 | (32) |
| Cash at beginning of period | 9,720 | 256 | 288 |
| Cash at end of period | \$ 14,014 | \$ 9,720 | \$ 256 |
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information | | | |
| Interest paid | \$ 1,961 | \$ 1,550 | \$ 1,018 |

Edgar Filing: LHC Group, Inc - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|-------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| Income taxes paid | \$ 21,606 | \$ 8,645 | \$ 11,363 |
|-------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|

Supplemental disclosure of non-cash transactions:

2012 non-cash transactions. In conjunction with the vesting of nonvested shares of stock, recipients incur personal income tax obligations. The Company allows the recipients to turn in shares of common stock to satisfy those personal tax obligations. During 2012, the Company obtained \$672,000 of treasury shares for tax payments on stock vesting.

2011 non-cash transactions. Consideration for one of the Company's acquisitions during 2011 was a transfer of a 26.32% ownership interest in one of the Company's wholly owned home health agencies. The transfer of the noncontrolling interest in the Company's existing home health agency was accounted for as an equity transaction, resulting in the Company recognizing additional paid in capital of \$206,000 and additional noncontrolling interest of \$294,000. Additionally, the Company acquired a majority ownership in four entities and recorded \$1.3 million of noncontrolling interest related to the acquisitions.

During 2011, the Company obtained \$1.2 million of treasury shares for tax payments on stock vesting.

The Company recorded \$3.4 million as an unrecognized tax position during 2011.

See accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

F-5

Table of Contents**LHC GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****1. Organization**

LHC Group, Inc. (the Company) is a health care provider specializing in the post-acute continuum of care primarily for Medicare beneficiaries. The Company provides home-based services, primarily through home nursing agencies and hospices, and facility-based services, primarily through long-term acute care hospitals. As of December 31, 2013, the Company, through its wholly and majority-owned subsidiaries, equity joint ventures and controlled affiliates, operated 311 service providers in 26 states within the domestic United States.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies*Use of Estimates*

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (US GAAP) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include all subsidiaries and entities controlled by the Company. Control is defined by the Company as ownership of a majority of the voting interest of an entity. The consolidated financial statements include entities in which the Company has the obligation to absorb losses of the entities or the right to receive benefits from the entities and generally has voting control over the entities or both, as a result of ownership, contractual or other financial interests in the entities. Third party equity interests in the consolidated joint ventures are reflected as noncontrolling interests in the Company's consolidated financial statements.

The following table summarizes the percentage of net service revenue earned by type of ownership or relationship the Company had with the operating entity for the periods presented:

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Wholly owned subsidiaries | 48.8% | 48.1% | 49.5% |
| Equity joint ventures | 48.5 | 49.1 | 47.1 |
| License leasing arrangements | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.4 |
| Management services | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

All significant inter-company accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Business combinations accounted for as purchases have been included in the consolidated financial statements from the respective dates of acquisition.

The following discussion describes the Company's consolidation policy with respect to its various ventures excluding wholly owned subsidiaries:

Equity Joint Ventures

A majority of the Company's equity joint ventures are structured as limited liability companies in which the Company typically owns a majority equity interest ranging from 51% to 91%. Each member of all but one of the Company's equity joint ventures participates in profits and losses in proportion to their equity interests. The

Table of Contents**LHC GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Company has one equity joint venture partner whose participation in losses is limited. The Company consolidates these entities as the Company has the obligation to absorb losses of the entities and the right to receive benefits from the entities and generally has voting control over the entities.

License Leasing Arrangements

The Company, through wholly owned subsidiaries, leases home health licenses necessary to operate certain of its home nursing agencies. As with wholly owned subsidiaries, the Company owns 100% of the equity of these entities and consolidates them based on such ownership, as well as the Company's obligation to absorb losses of the entities and the right to receive benefits from the entities.

Management Services

The Company has various management services agreements under which the Company manages certain operations of agencies and facilities. The Company does not consolidate these agencies or facilities, as the Company does not have an ownership interest and does not have an obligation to absorb losses of the entities or the right to receive the benefits from the entities.

Revenue Recognition

The Company reports net service revenue at the estimated net realizable amount due from Medicare, Medicaid, commercial insurance, managed care payors, patients and others for services rendered. All payors contribute to both the home-based services and facility-based services.

The following table sets forth the percentage of net service revenue earned by category of payor for the years ending December 31:

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|
| Payor: | | | |
| Medicare | 79.8% | 77.9% | 79.7% |
| Medicaid | 1.4 | 1.8 | 2.3 |
| Other | 18.8 | 20.3 | 18.0 |
| | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

The percentage of net service revenue contributed from each reporting segment was as follows for the years ending December 31:

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|-------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Home-based services | 88.5% | 88.4% | 88.0% |
| Facility-based services | 11.5 | 11.6 | 12.0 |
| | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

*Medicare**Home-Based Services*

Edgar Filing: LHC Group, Inc - Form 10-K

Home Nursing Services. The Company's home nursing Medicare patients are classified into one of 153 home health resource groups prior to receiving services. Based on this home health resource group, the Company

F-7

Table of Contents

LHC GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

is entitled to receive a standard prospective Medicare payment for delivering care over a 60-day period referred to as an episode. The Company recognizes revenue based on the number of days elapsed during an episode of care within the reporting period.

Final payments from Medicare may reflect one of four retroactive adjustments to ensure the adequacy and effectiveness of the total reimbursement: (a) an outlier payment if the patient's care was unusually costly; (b) a low utilization adjustment if the number of visits was fewer than five; (c) a partial payment if the patient transferred to another provider before completing the episode; or (d) a payment adjustment based upon the level of therapy services required in the population base. Management estimates the impact of these payment adjustments based on historical experience and records this estimate during the period the services are rendered. The Company's payment is also adjusted for geographic wage differences. In calculating the Company's reported net service revenue from home nursing services, the Company adjusts the prospective Medicare payments by an estimate of the adjustments.

Hospice Services. The Company is paid by Medicare under a per diem payment system. The Company receives one of four predetermined daily or hourly rates based upon the level of care the Company furnished. The Company records net service revenue from hospice services based on the daily or hourly rate and recognizes revenue as hospice services are provided.

Hospice payments are also subject to an inpatient cap and an overall Medicare payment cap. Inpatient cap relates to individual programs receiving more than 20% of its total Medicare reimbursement from inpatient care services and the overall Medicare payment cap relates to individual programs receiving reimbursements in excess of a cap amount, calculated by multiplying (a) the number of beneficiaries during the period by (b) a statutory amount that is indexed for inflation. The determination for each cap is made annually based on the twelve-month period ending on October 31 of each year. The Company monitors its limits on a provider-by-provider basis and records an estimate of its liability for reimbursements received in excess of the cap amount. Annually, the Company receives notification of whether any of its hospice providers have exceeded either cap. Adjustments resulting from these notifications have not been material.

Facility-Based Services

Long-Term Acute Care Services. The Company is reimbursed by Medicare for services provided under the long-term acute care hospital (LTACH) prospective payment system, which was implemented on October 1, 2002. Each patient is assigned a long-term care diagnosis-related group. The Company is paid a predetermined fixed amount intended to reflect the average cost of treating a Medicare patient classified in that particular long-term care diagnosis-related group. For selected patients, the amount may be further adjusted based on length-of-stay and facility-specific costs, as well as in instances where a patient is discharged and subsequently re-admitted, among other factors. The Company calculates the adjustment based on a historical average of these types of adjustments for claims paid. Similar to other Medicare prospective payment systems, the rate is also adjusted for geographic wage differences. Revenue is recognized for the Company's LTACHs as services are provided.

Medicaid, managed care and other payors

The Company's Medicaid reimbursement is based on a predetermined fee schedule applied to each service provided. Therefore, revenue is recognized for Medicaid services as services are provided based on this fee schedule. The Company's managed care and other payors reimburse the Company in a manner similar to either Medicare or Medicaid. Accordingly, the Company recognizes revenue from managed care and other payors in the same manner as the Company recognizes revenue from Medicare or Medicaid.

Table of Contents

LHC GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Management Services

The Company records management services revenue as such services are provided in accordance with the various management services agreements to which the Company is a party. As described in the management services agreements, the Company provides billing, management and other consulting services suited to and designed for the efficient operation of the applicable home nursing agency. The Company is responsible for the costs associated with the locations and personnel required for the provision of services. The Company is compensated based on a percentage of cash collections for one management service agreement and reimbursed for operating expenses plus a percentage of operating net income for two management service agreements.

Accounts Receivable and Allowances for Uncollectible Accounts

The Company reports accounts receivable net of estimated allowances for uncollectible accounts and adjustments. Accounts receivable are uncollateralized and primarily consist of amounts due from Medicare, other third-party payors, and patients. To provide for accounts receivable that could become uncollectible in the future, the Company establishes an allowance for uncollectible accounts to reduce the carrying amount of such receivables to their estimated net realizable value. Because Medicare is the Company's primary payor, the credit risk associated with receivables from other payors is limited. The Company believes the credit risk associated with its Medicare accounts, which represent 68.6% and 63.6% of its patient accounts receivable at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, is limited due to (i) the historical collection rate from Medicare and (ii) the fact that Medicare is a U.S. government payor. The Company does not believe that there are any other significant concentrations of receivables from any particular payor that would subject it to any significant credit risk in the collection of accounts receivable.

The amount of the provision for bad debts is based upon the Company's assessment of historical and expected net collections, business and economic conditions and trends in government reimbursement. Uncollectible accounts are written off when the Company has determined that the account will not be collected.

A portion of the estimated Medicare prospective payment system reimbursement from each submitted home nursing episode is received in the form of a request for anticipated payment (RAP). The Company submits a RAP for 60% of the estimated reimbursement for the initial episode at the start of care. The full amount of the episode is billed after the episode has been completed. The RAP received for that particular episode is deducted from the final payment. If a final bill is not submitted within the greater of 120 days from the start of the episode, or 60 days from the date the RAP was paid, any RAPs received for that episode will be recouped by Medicare from any other Medicare claims in process for that particular provider. The RAP and final claim must then be resubmitted. For subsequent episodes of care contiguous with the first episode for a particular patient, the Company submits a RAP for 50% instead of 60% of the estimated reimbursement.

The Company's Medicare population is paid at a prospectively set amount that can be determined at the time services are rendered. The Company's Medicaid reimbursement is based on a predetermined fee schedule applied to each individual service we provide. The Company's managed care contracts are structured similar to either the Medicare or Medicaid payment methodologies. Because of its payor mix, the Company is able to calculate its actual amount due at the patient level and adjust the gross charges down to the actual amount at the time of billing. This negates the need to record an estimated contractual allowance when reporting net service revenue for each reporting period.

Business Combination

The Company accounts for business combinations using the acquisition method. The assets typically acquired consist primarily of a Medicare license, trade names, certificate of need and/or a noncompete

Table of Contents

LHC GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

agreement. The assets acquired and liabilities assumed, if any, are measured at fair value on the acquisition date using the appropriate valuation method. The noncontrolling interest associated with joint venture acquisitions is also measured and recorded at fair value as of the acquisition date. The residual purchase price is recorded as goodwill. The operations of the acquisitions are included in the consolidated financial statements from their respective dates of acquisition.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill is reviewed annually for impairment or more frequently if circumstances indicate impairment may have occurred. In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-08, *Testing Goodwill for Impairment*, which provides an entity the option to perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount prior to performing the two-step goodwill impairment test. If the qualitative assessment indicates the possibility of an impairment, then the two-step goodwill impairment test is required. If it is more-likely-than-not that the fair value of a reporting is greater than its carrying amount, the two-step goodwill impairment test is not required. The Company adopted this guidance in 2013.

Effective November 30, 2013, the Company changed the date of the annual impairment test for goodwill and other intangible assets from September 30 to November 30 to better coincide with the timing of when the Company prepares its annual budget and financial plans. These financial plans are a key component in estimating the fair value of the Company's reporting units, which is the basis for performing the annual impairment test. The Company believes that the change in the annual impairment test date is preferable as it allows the Company to utilize its most current projections in the annual impairment test.

The Company performs its annual impairment review of goodwill at November 30, and when a triggering event occurs between annual impairment tests. For 2013, the Company performed a qualitative assessment of goodwill and determined that it is not more likely than not that the fair values of its reporting units are less than the carrying amounts.

The Company has not recognized any goodwill impairment charges in 2013, 2012 or 2011.

Included in intangible assets are definite-lived assets subject to amortization such as non-compete agreements and defensive assets, which are defined as trade names that are not actively used. Amortization of definite-lived intangible assets is calculated on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the related assets, ranging from two to five years.

The Company also has indefinite-lived assets that are not subject to amortization expense such as trade names, certificates of need and licenses to conduct specific operations within geographic markets. The Company has concluded that trade names, certificates of need and licenses have indefinite lives, because there are no legal, regulatory, contractual, economic or other factors that would limit the useful life of these intangible assets and the Company intends to renew and operate the certificates of need and licenses and use the trade names indefinitely. These indefinite-lived intangible assets are reviewed annually for impairment or more frequently if circumstances indicate impairment may have occurred. To determine whether an indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired, the Company performs a qualitative assessment to support the conclusion that the indefinite-lived intangible asset is not impaired. Based on the results of that qualitative assessment, the Company may perform a quantitative test. The Company utilizes a relief-from-royalty method in its quantitative impairment test of trade names. Under this method, the fair value of the trade name is determined by calculating the present value of the after-tax cost savings associated with owning the trade names and, therefore, not having to pay royalties for use.

Table of Contents**LHC GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

over its estimated useful life. The Company utilizes the cost approach in its quantitative impairment test for certificates of need and licenses. Under this method, assumptions are made about the cost to replace the certificates of need and licenses. During the twelve months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company recorded an impairment charge related to indefinite-lived intangible assets of \$500,000 and \$650,000, respectively. There were no impairment charges recorded in 2011.

Due to/from Governmental Entities

The Company's LTACHs are reimbursed for certain activities based on tentative rates. The amounts recorded in *due to/from governmental entities* on the Company's consolidated balance sheets relate to settled and open cost reports that are subject to the completion of audits and the issuance of final assessments. Final reimbursement is determined based on submission of annual cost reports and audits by the fiscal intermediary. Adjustments are accrued on an estimated basis in the period the related services were rendered and further adjusted as final settlements are determined. These adjustments are accounted for as changes in estimates. Additionally, reimbursements received in excess of hospice cap amounts are recorded in this account. Adjustments resulting from these notifications have not been material.

Property, Building and Equipment

Property, building and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the individual assets. The estimated useful life of buildings is 39 years, while the estimated useful lives of transportation equipment, and furniture and other equipment range from 3 to 10 years. The useful life for leasehold improvements is the lesser of the lease term or the expected life of the leasehold improvement. Routine repairs and maintenance costs are expensed when incurred.

Property, building and equipment is reviewed whenever events or changes in circumstances occur that indicate possible impairment. There were no impairments recognized during the periods ended December 31, 2013, 2012 or 2011.

The following table describes the Company's components of property, building and equipment (amounts in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|--|---------------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Land | \$ 673 | \$ 673 |
| Building and improvements | 8,997 | 6,109 |
| Transportation equipment | 6,181 | 6,232 |
| Fixed equipment | 4,137 | 3,516 |
| Office furniture and medical equipment | 51,999 | 47,332 |
| | 71,987 | 63,862 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | 40,935 | 34,331 |
| | \$ 31,052 | \$ 29,531 |

Depreciation expense for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 was \$6.9 million, \$6.9 million and \$6.6 million, respectively, which was recorded in general and administrative expenses. The Company writes off assets that are fully depreciated and no longer in use.

Table of Contents**LHC GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)*****Noncontrolling Interest***

The nonredeemable interest held by third parties in subsidiaries owned or controlled by the Company is reported on the consolidated balance sheets as noncontrolling interest as a component of stockholders' equity. Redeemable interest held by third parties in subsidiaries owned or controlled by the Company is reported on the consolidated balance sheets outside of permanent equity. All noncontrolling interest reported in the consolidated statements of operations reflects the respective interests in the income or loss after income taxes of the subsidiaries attributable to the other parties, the effect of which is removed from the net income (loss) available to LHC Group, Inc.

Stock-Based Employee Compensation

The Company grants restricted stock or restricted stock units to employees and members of its Board of Directors as a form of compensation. The expense for such awards is based on the grant date fair value of the award and is recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period. See Note 7 to these consolidated financial statements.

Earnings Per Share

Basic per share information is computed by dividing the item by the weighted-average number of shares outstanding during the period, under the treasury stock method. Diluted per share information is computed by dividing the item by the weighted-average number of shares outstanding plus dilutive potential shares.

The following table sets forth shares used in the computation of basic and diluted per share information for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|------------|------------|------------|
| Weighted average number of shares outstanding for basic per share calculation | 17,049,794 | 17,853,321 | 18,265,118 |
| Effect of dilutive potential shares: | | | |
| Options | 4,058 | 1,909 | |
| Nonvested restricted stock | 78,899 | 43,965 | |
| Adjusted weighted average shares for diluted per share calculation | 17,132,751 | 17,899,195 | 18,265,118 |
| Antidilutive shares | 182,225 | 345,122 | 316,928 |

Table of Contents**LHC GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****3. Acquisitions and Disposals****2013 Acquisitions**

Pursuant to its strategy for becoming the leading provider of post-acute health care services in the United States, the Company acquired the home-based service line of Addus HomeCare, which consisted of 19 home health agencies and one hospice agency, during the twelve months ended December 31, 2013. Additionally, in separate acquisitions, the Company acquired one hospice agency and four home health agencies. The Company maintains an ownership interest in the acquired entities as set forth below:

| Acquired Entity | Ownership Percentage | State of Operations | Acquisition Date |
|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| LHCG XXXVII, LLC (d/b/a Addus HealthCare) | 90% | Illinois | 03/01/2013 |
| LHCG XXXVIII, LLC (d/b/a Addus HealthCare) | 90% | California | 03/01/2013 |
| LHCG XLII, LLC (d/b/a/ Arkansas HomeCare) | 100% | Arkansas | 03/01/2013 |
| LHCG XLI, LLC (d/b/a South Carolina HomeCare) | 100% | South Carolina | 03/01/2013 |
| LHCG XXXIX, LLC (d/b/a Addus HealthCare) | 100% | Nevada | 03/01/2013 |
| LHCG XXXIV, LLC (d/b/a Alabama Hospice Care of Mobile) | 100% | Alabama | 04/01/2013 |
| LHCG XL, LLC (d/b/a Georgia Home Health) | 100% | Georgia | 07/01/2013 |
| LHCG XXVII, LLC (d/b/a Pennsylvania Home Health) | 100% | Pennsylvania | 07/01/2013 |
| LHCG XLVIII, LLC (d/b/a Minnesota Home Health) | 100% | Minnesota | 07/01/2013 |
| LHCG XLVII, LLC (d/b/a Wisconsin Home Health) | 100% | Wisconsin | 07/01/2013 |

Each of the acquisitions was accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting, and accordingly, the accompanying consolidated financial statements include the results of operations of each acquired entity from the date of acquisition.

The total aggregate purchase price for the Company's acquisitions was \$27.3 million, of which \$26.9 million was paid in cash and \$380,000 in assumed liabilities. Purchase prices are determined based on an analysis of comparable acquisitions and the target market's potential future cash flows. The Company paid \$590,000 in acquisition-related costs, which was recorded in general and administrative expenses.

Table of Contents**LHC GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The Company's home-based segment recognized aggregate goodwill of \$25.7 million, including \$622,000 of noncontrolling goodwill. Goodwill generated from the acquisitions was recognized based on the expected contributions of each acquisition to the overall corporate strategy. The Company expects its portion of goodwill to be fully tax deductible. The following table summarizes the aggregate consideration paid for the acquisitions and the amounts of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the acquisition dates, as well as the fair value at the acquisition dates of the noncontrolling interest acquired (amounts in thousands):

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Consideration | |
| Cash | \$ 26,920 |
| Fair value of total consideration transferred | |
| | \$ 26,920 |
| Recognized amounts of identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed | |
| Trade name | \$ 1,177 |
| Certificates of need/licenses | 598 |
| Other identifiable intangible assets | 331 |
| Other assets and (liabilities), net | (321) |
| Total identifiable assets | |
| | \$ 1,785 |
| Noncontrolling interest | |
| | \$ 608 |
| Goodwill, including noncontrolling interest of \$622 | |
| | \$ 25,743 |

Trade names, certificates of need and licenses are indefinite-lived assets and, therefore, not subject to amortization. Acquired trade names that are not being used actively are amortized over the estimated useful life on the straight line basis. The other identifiable assets include non-compete agreements that are amortized over the life of the agreements, ranging from two to five years. Noncontrolling interest is valued at fair value by applying a discount to the value of the acquired entity for lack of control. The fair value of the acquired intangible assets is preliminary pending the final valuations of those assets.

The following table contains unaudited pro forma consolidated income statement information assuming the 2013 acquisitions closed January 1, 2012 (amount in thousands, except earnings per share):

| | 2013 | 2012 |
|--------------------------------------|------------|------------|
| Net service revenue | \$ 670,014 | \$ 686,010 |
| Operating income | 44,563 | 50,354 |
| Net income | 21,031 | 25,028 |
| Basic and diluted earnings per share | 1.23 | 1.40 |

The pro forma disclosure in the table above includes adjustments for depreciation expense, amortization of intangible assets, income tax expense and an estimate of additional costs to provide administrative services for these locations as if the acquisition had occurred on January 1, 2012. This pro forma information is presented for illustrative purposes only and may not be indicative of the results of operations that would have actually occurred. In addition, future results may vary significantly from the results reflected in the pro forma information.

Purchase of Membership Interest in Company's Subsidiary

During the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, the Company purchased additional membership interests in six of its equity joint ventures. The total purchase price for the additional ownership from these equity transactions was \$1.9 million, resulting in the Company reducing noncontrolling interest-redeemable by \$612,000 and additional paid in capital by \$1.3 million.

Table of Contents

LHC GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

2012 Acquisitions

The total aggregate purchase price for the Company's acquisitions, which closed in the twelve months ended December 31, 2012, was \$5.1 million, which was paid primarily in cash. Purchase prices are determined based on an analysis of comparable acquisitions and the target market's potential future cash flows.

The Company's home-based segment recognized aggregate goodwill of \$4.4 million, including \$902,000 of noncontrolling goodwill. Goodwill generated from the acquisitions was recognized based on the expected contributions of each acquisition to the overall corporate strategy. The Company expects its portion of goodwill to be fully tax deductible. During the twelve months ended December 31, 2012, the Company sold membership interests in one of its wholly owned subsidiaries. The total sales price was \$80,000 for the sale of 40% membership interests and was accounted for as an equity transaction, resulting in the Company increasing additional paid in capital by \$80,000.

During the twelve months ended December 31, 2012, the Company purchased additional membership interests in three of its joint ventures. The total purchase price for the additional ownership from these equity transactions was \$309,000, resulting in the Company reducing noncontrolling interest-redeemable by \$120,000 and additional paid in capital by \$189,000.

2011 Acquisitions

The total purchase price for the Company's acquisitions, which closed in the twelve months ended December 31, 2011, was \$12.3 million, which was paid primarily in cash. Purchase prices were determined based on an analysis of comparable acquisitions and the target market's potential future cash flows. Consideration for one of the acquisitions was a transfer of a 26.32% ownership interest in one of the Company's wholly owned home health agencies. The transfer of the noncontrolling interest in the Company's existing home health agency was accounted for as an equity transaction, resulting in the Company recognizing additional paid in capital of \$206,000.

The Company's home-based segment recognized goodwill of \$7.4 million, including \$658,000 of noncontrolling goodwill. Goodwill generated from the acquisitions was recognized based on the expected contributions of each acquisition to the overall corporate strategy. The Company expects its portion of goodwill to be fully tax deductible.

During the twelve months ended December 31, 2011, the Company purchased additional ownership interests in three of its joint ventures. The total purchase price for the additional ownership was \$891,000 and was accounted for as an equity transaction, resulting in the Company reducing additional paid in capital by \$641,000.

During the twelve months ended December 31, 2011, the Company sold membership interests in three of its wholly owned subsidiaries. The total sales price was \$308,000 for the sale of 26% membership interests and was accounted for as equity transactions, resulting in the Company increasing additional paid in capital by \$212,000.

During the twelve months ended December 31, 2011, the Company settled the working capital amounts acquired on a 2011 acquisition paying \$155,000 in cash related to the settlements.

Table of Contents**LHC GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****4. Goodwill and Other Intangibles, Net**

The following table summarizes the changes in goodwill by segment during the twelve months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 (amounts in thousands):

| | 2013 | 2012 |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| Home-based services segment: | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 157,559 | \$ 153,140 |
| Goodwill from acquisitions | 25,121 | 3,517 |
| Goodwill related to noncontrolling interest | 622 | 902 |
| Home-based balance at end of period | \$ 183,302 | \$ 157,559 |
| Facility-based services segment: | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 11,591 | \$ 11,591 |
| Facility-based balance at end of period | 11,591 | 11,591 |
| Consolidated balance at end of period | \$ 194,893 | \$ 169,150 |

The Company determined that there was no impairment for the goodwill of any reporting units as of December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

The Company performed an impairment analysis on its indefinite-lived intangible assets related to the Company's trade names, licenses and certificates of need to determine the fair values as of September 30, 2013 and November 30, 2013. Lower revenue expectations caused primarily by projected Medicare reimbursement cuts reduced the fair values of certain intangible assets below their carrying values. Based on that analysis, the Company recorded an impairment charge of \$500,000 and \$650,000 for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, which was recorded in general and administrative expenses.

As a result of the impairment charge, the carrying values of the related intangible assets were adjusted to their estimated fair values as of November 30, 2013. Any further decline in the estimated fair values of these intangibles could result in additional impairment charges being recorded. The Company determined that except for the impairment charges described above, no other intangible assets were impaired at December 31, 2013.

The following tables summarize the changes in intangible assets during the twelve months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 (amounts in thousands):

| | Estimated useful life | December 31, 2013 | | Net carrying amount |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| | | Gross carrying amount | Accumulated amortization | |
| Indefinite-lived assets: | | | | |
| Trade names | Indefinite | \$ 46,707 | | \$ 46,707 |
| Certificates of need/licenses | Indefinite | 10,540 | | 10,540 |

Edgar Filing: LHC Group, Inc - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|--|----------|---------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| Indefinite-lived balance at end of period | | | \$ 57,247 | | \$ 57,247 |
| Definite-lived assets: | | | | | |
| Trade names | 3 months | 5 years | \$ 5,625 | \$ (1,055) | \$ 4,570 |
| Non-compete agreements | 3 months | 2 years | 3,830 | (3,463) | 367 |
| Definite-lived balance at end of period | | | \$ 9,455 | \$ (4,518) | \$ 4,937 |
| Balance at December 31, 2013 | | | \$ 66,702 | \$ (4,518) | \$ 62,184 |

F-16

Table of Contents**LHC GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

| | Estimated useful life | December 31, 2012 | | Net carrying amount |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| | | Gross carrying amount | Accumulated amortization | |
| Indefinite-lived assets: | | | | |
| Trade names | Indefinite | \$ 51,087 | | \$ 51,087 |
| Certificates of need/licenses | Indefinite | 10,100 | | 10,100 |
| Indefinite-lived balance at end of period | | \$ 61,187 | | \$ 61,187 |
| Definite-lived assets: | | | | |
| Trade names | 8 months 1 year | \$ 398 | \$ (77) | \$ 321 |
| Non-compete agreements | 2 months 3 years | 3,511 | (2,977) | 534 |
| Definite-lived balance at end of period | | \$ 3,909 | \$ (3,054) | \$ 855 |
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | | \$ 65,096 | \$ (3,054) | \$ 62,042 |

Intangible assets of \$61.1 million, net of accumulated amortization, related to the home-based services segment and \$1.1 million related to the facility-based services segment as of December 31, 2013.

5. Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes using the asset and liability method. Under the asset and liability method, deferred taxes are determined based on differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities and are measured using the enacted tax laws that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse.

Table of Contents**LHC GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Significant components of the Company's deferred tax assets and liabilities as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 were as follows (amounts in thousands):

| | 2013 | 2012 |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|
| Deferred tax assets: | | |
| Allowance for uncollectible accounts | \$ 5,127 | \$ 3,901 |
| Accrued employee benefits | 3,420 | 3,250 |
| Stock compensation | 1,503 | 1,593 |
| Accrued self-insurance | 2,257 | 2,097 |
| Acquisition costs | 1,155 | 861 |
| Net operating loss carry forward | 873 | 779 |
| Intangible asset impairment | 55 | 60 |
| Uncertain tax position - state tax portion | 215 | 215 |
| Other | 61 | 520 |
| Valuation allowance | (44) | (44) |
| Deferred tax assets | \$ 14,622 | \$ 13,232 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | | |
| Amortization of intangible assets | (25,202) | (21,455) |
| Tax depreciation in excess of book depreciation | (7,171) | (7,007) |
| Prepaid expenses | (786) | (765) |
| Non-accrual experience accounting method | (1,223) | (1,302) |
| Deferred state tax receivable | (49) | (161) |
| Deferred tax liabilities | (34,431) | (30,690) |
| Net deferred tax liability | \$ (19,809) | \$ (17,458) |

Based on the Company's historical pattern of taxable income, the Company believes it will produce sufficient income in the future to realize its deferred income tax assets. Management provides a valuation allowance for any net deferred tax assets when it is more likely than not that a portion of such net deferred tax assets will not be recovered.

The components of the Company's income tax expense (benefit) from continuing operations, less noncontrolling interest, were as follows (in thousands):

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| Current: | | | |
| Federal | \$ 11,962 | \$ 12,930 | \$ (5,924) |
| State | 1,546 | 2,377 | (636) |
| | 13,508 | 15,307 | (6,560) |
| Deferred: | | | |
| Federal | 1,448 | 1,955 | 4,545 |
| State | 903 | 249 | 47 |

Edgar Filing: LHC Group, Inc - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| | 2,351 | 2,204 | 4,592 |
| Total income tax expense (benefit) | \$ 15,859 | \$ 17,511 | \$ (1,968) |

F-18

Table of Contents**LHC GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

A reconciliation of the differences between income tax expense on net income attributable to LHC Group, Inc., computed at the federal statutory rate and provisions for income taxes for each period is as follows (in thousands):

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|---------------|---------------|----------------|
| Income taxes computed at federal statutory tax rate | \$ 13,360 | \$ 15,733 | \$ (5,232) |
| State income taxes, net of federal benefit | 1,641 | 1,739 | (443) |
| Reduction in valuation allowance | | | (392) |
| Nondeductible expenses | 1,022 | 844 | 675 |
| Uncertain tax position | | | 3,200 |
| Other items | 101 | 40 | 437 |
| Income tax credits | (265) | (845) | (213) |
| Total income tax expense (benefit) | \$ 15,859 | \$ 17,511 | \$ (1,968) |

The Company is subject to both federal and state income tax for jurisdictions within which it operates. Within these jurisdictions, the Company is open to examination for tax years ended after December 31, 2010.

As of December 31, 2013, \$3.4 million was recorded in income tax payable as an unrecognized tax benefit which, if recognized, would decrease the Company's effective tax rate. A reconciliation of the total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits follows:

| | |
|--|--------------|
| Total unrecognized tax benefits as of December 31, 2012 | \$ 3,415 |
| Increases (decreases) in unrecognized tax benefits as a result of: | |
| Tax positions taken during the current period | |
| Total unrecognized tax benefits as of December 31, 2013 | \$ 3,415 |

The Company recognizes interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions in interest expense and general and administrative expenses, respectively. During the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company recognized \$176,000 and \$132,000 in interest expense, respectively, and recorded an accrued liability of interest payments related to uncertain tax positions. During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company did not recognize any interest or penalties in its consolidated financial statements and did not record an accrued liability of interest or penalty payments related to uncertain tax positions.

6. Debt**Credit Facility**

As of December 31, 2013 the Company had \$22.0 million drawn and letters of credit in the amount of \$6.7 million outstanding under the credit facility. At December 31, 2012, the Company had \$19.5 million drawn and letters of credit in the amount of \$6.0 million outstanding under the credit facility. The interest rate on the credit facility at December 31, 2013 was 4.25%. The Company issues letters of credit as collateral on its workers' compensation insurance.

A credit fee of 0.5% was charged for any unused amounts on the Company's credit facility. The Company paid \$979,000 and \$1.0 million of credit fees on the credit facility during 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Edgar Filing: LHC Group, Inc - Form 10-K

The credit facility contains customary affirmative, negative and financial covenants. For example, the Company is restricted in incurring additional debt, disposing of assets, making investments, allowing

F-19

Table of Contents**LHC GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

fundamental changes to the Company's business or organization, making certain payments in respect of stock or other ownership interests, such as dividends, and stock repurchases in excess of \$50.0 million. Under the credit facility, the Company is also required to meet certain financial covenants with respect to minimum fixed charge coverage, consolidated net worth and leverage ratios.

The credit facility also contains customary events of default. These include bankruptcy and other insolvency events, cross-defaults to other debt agreements, a change in control involving the Company or any subsidiary guarantor, and the failure to comply with covenants.

Promissory Note

On January 7, 2014, the Company entered into a promissory note with American Bank & Trust Company in an aggregate principal amount of \$1.2 million. The promissory note matures on January 6, 2019. Principal payments of \$23,000 are due monthly over a period of 60 months. Interest rate related to the promissory note is 4.5%. The proceeds received from this note were used to finance the construction of an office building, which will house offices for both a home-based agency and a facility-based agency.

The scheduled principal payments on long-term debt for each of the five years subsequent to December 31, 2013 is as follows: (amounts in thousands)

| | |
|--------------|-----------------|
| 2014 | \$ 202 |
| 2015 | 230 |
| 2016 | 241 |
| 2017 | 252 |
| 2018 | 264 |
| Thereafter | 23 |
| Total | \$ 1,212 |

7. Stockholders' Equity**Stock Repurchase Program**

In October 2010, the Company's Board of Directors authorized a program to repurchase shares of the Company's common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, from time to time, in an amount not to exceed \$50.0 million ("Stock Repurchase Program"). The Company anticipates that it will finance the Stock Repurchase Program with cash from general corporate funds or draws under the credit facility. The Company may repurchase shares of common stock in open market purchase or in privately negotiated transactions in accordance with applicable securities laws, rules and regulations. The timing and extent to which the Company repurchases its shares will depend upon market conditions and other corporate considerations.

The Company uses the cost method to account for the repurchase of common stock and the average cost method to account for reissuance of treasury shares. During the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, no shares were repurchased. During the twelve months ended December 31, 2012, the Company repurchased 1,540,813 shares of common stock at an aggregate cost of \$27.0 million, including commissions, or an average cost per share of \$17.52. During the twelve months ended December 31, 2011, we repurchased 24,159 shares of common stock at an aggregate cost of \$577,000, including commissions, or an average cost per share of \$23.93. The remaining dollar value of shares authorized to be purchased under the share repurchase program was \$22.5 million at December 31, 2013.

Table of Contents**LHC GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)***Equity Based Awards*

At the Company's 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the stockholders of the Company approved the Company's 2010 Long Term Incentive Plan (the "2010 Incentive Plan"). The 2010 Incentive Plan is administered by the Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors (the "Compensation Committee"). A total of 1,500,000 shares of the Company's common stock are reserved and available for issuance pursuant to awards granted under the 2010 Incentive Plan. A variety of discretionary awards for employees, officers, directors and consultants are authorized under the 2010 Incentive Plan, including incentive or non-qualified statutory stock options and nonvested stock. All awards must be evidenced by a written award certificate which will include the provisions specified by the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee will determine the exercise price for non-statutory stock options, which cannot be less than the fair market value of our common stock as of the date of grant.

In the event of a change of control as defined in the 2010 Incentive Plan, all restricted periods and restrictions imposed on non-performance based restricted stock awards will lapse and outstanding options will become immediately exercisable in full.

*Share Based Compensation**Stock Options*

The following table represents stock options activity for the year ended December 31, 2013:

| | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Average Remaining Contractual Term | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|--|---------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| Options outstanding at January 1, 2013 | 15,000 | \$ 16.88 | 3.0 years | \$ 66,375 |
| Options granted | | | | |
| Options exercised | | | | |
| Options forfeited or expired | | | | |
| Options outstanding at December 31, 2013 | 15,000 | \$ 16.88 | 2.0 years | \$ |
| Options exercisable at December 31, 2013 | 15,000 | \$ 16.88 | 2.0 years | \$ 107,475 |

All options are fully vested and exercisable at December 31, 2013. There were no options granted and no compensation expense related to stock options grants recorded in the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 or 2011.

Nonvested Stock

The Company issues stock-based compensation to employees in the form of nonvested stock, which is an award of common stock subject to certain restrictions. The awards, which the Company calls nonvested shares, generally vest over a five year period, conditioned on continued employment for the full incentive period. Compensation expense for the nonvested stock is recognized for the awards that are expected to vest. The expense is based on the fair value of the awards on the date of grant recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period, which generally relates to the vesting period.

During 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, 198,243, 187,140 and 139,470 nonvested shares were granted to employees pursuant to the 2010 Incentive Plan.

Table of Contents**LHC GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The Company also issues nonvested stock to its independent directors of the Company's Board of Directors. During 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, 24,300, 26,100 and 15,200 nonvested shares of stock were granted to the independent directors under the 2005 Director Compensation Plan. The shares issued under the 2005 Director Compensation Plan were drawn from the 1,500,000 shares reserved and available for issuance under the 2010 Incentive Plan. The shares fully vest one year from the date of the grant.

The fair value of nonvested shares is determined based on the closing trading price of the Company's shares on the grant date. The weighted average grant date fair values of nonvested shares granted during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 were \$21.45, \$19.02 and \$26.37, respectively.

The following table represents the nonvested stock activity for the year ended December 31, 2013:

| | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|---|---------------------|---|
| Nonvested shares outstanding at January 1, 2013 | 486,061 | \$ 22.33 |
| Granted | 222,543 | \$ 21.45 |
| Vested | (184,027) | \$ 22.07 |
| Forfeited | (18,110) | \$ 21.19 |
| Nonvested shares outstanding at December 31, 2013 | 506,467 | \$ 22.08 |

During the year ended December 31, 2013, an independent director of the Company received a share based award, which was settled in cash at March 1, 2014. The amount of such cash payment was equal to the fair market value of 2,700 shares on the settlement date.

As of December 31, 2013, there was \$7.8 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested shares granted. That cost is expected to be recognized over the weighted average period of 3.24 years. The total fair value of shares vested in the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 was \$3.9 million, \$3.7 million and \$3.9 million, respectively. The Company records compensation expense related to non-vested share awards at the grant date for shares that are awarded fully vested and over the vesting term on a straight line basis for shares that vest over time. The Company has recorded \$3.9 million, \$4.4 million and \$4.1 million in compensation expense related to non-vested stock grants in the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

In 2006, the Company adopted the Employee Stock Purchase Plan allowing eligible employees to purchase the Company's common stock at 95% of the market price on the last day of each calendar quarter. There were 250,000 shares reserved for the plan.

On June 20, 2013, the Amended and Restated Employee Stock Purchase Plan was approved by the Company's stockholders. As a result of the amendment, the Employee Stock Purchase Plan was modified as follows:

An additional 250,000 shares of common stock were authorized for issuance over the term of the Employee Stock Purchase Plan.

The term of the Employee Stock Purchase Plan was extended from January 1, 2016 to January 1, 2023.

Table of Contents**LHC GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The following table represents the shares issued during 2013, 2012 and 2011 under the Employee Stock Purchase Plan.

| | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Per Share Price |
|--|-----------------------------|---|
| Shares available as of January 1, 2010 | 149,723 | |
| Additional shares authorized for issuance | 250,000 | |
| Shares issued in 2011 | 38,291 | \$ 23.40 |
| Shares issued in 2012 | 50,185 | \$ 15.58 |
| Shares issued in 2013 | 38,459 | \$ 20.39 |
| Shares available as of December 31, 2013 | 272,788 | |

Treasury Stock

In conjunction with the vesting of the non-vested shares of stock, recipients incur personal income tax obligations. The Company allows the recipients to turn in shares of common stock to satisfy those personal tax obligations. The Company redeemed 40,608, 36,621 and 43,182 shares of common stock related to these tax obligations during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

8. Leases

In certain instances, state laws may prohibit the sale of a home nursing agency or hospitals may be reluctant to sell their home health agencies. In these instances, the Company, through its wholly owned subsidiaries, enters into a lease agreement for a Medicare and Medicaid license, as well as the associated provider number to provide home health or hospice services. As of December 31, 2013, the Company had three license lease arrangements to operate four home nursing agencies and three hospice agencies.

One of the leases was entered into in 2007 and expires in 2017. Expense related to this lease was \$217,875, \$210,000 and \$245,000 for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively. Payment due under this lease is \$220,500 in 2014.

Two of the leases were amended during 2010 to extend the lease terms to one year with an automatic renewal clause of four years. Expense related to these leases was \$318,435, \$269,176 and \$135,892 for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The lease payments associated with these leases are based on a percentage of quarterly net profits; therefore, the future payments will vary with the future profits.

Table of Contents**LHC GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The Company leases office space and equipment at its various locations. Many of the leases contain renewal options with varying terms and conditions. Management expects that in the normal course of business, expiring leases will be renewed or, upon making a decision to relocate, replaced by leases for new locations. Operating lease terms range from three to ten years. Rent expense includes insurance, maintenance, and other costs as required by the lease. Total rental expense was approximately \$17.2 million, \$17.3 million and \$17.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Future minimum rental commitments under non-cancelable operating leases are as follows (in thousands):

| | Total |
|------------|------------------|
| 2014 | \$ 13,725 |
| 2015 | 9,100 |
| 2016 | 5,978 |
| 2017 | 3,215 |
| 2018 | 2,143 |
| Thereafter | 3,074 |
| | \$ 37,235 |

9. Employee Benefit Plan***Defined Contribution Plan***

The Company sponsors a 401(k) plan for all eligible employees. The plan allows participants to contribute up to \$17,500 in 2013, tax deferred (subject to IRS guidelines). The plan also allows discretionary Company contributions as determined by the Company's Board of Directors. Effective January 1, 2006, the Company implemented a discretionary match of up to two percent of participating employee contributions. The employer contribution will vest 20% after two years and 20% each additional year until it is fully vested in year six. Contribution expense to the Company was \$3.7 million, \$1.7 million and \$3.4 million in the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

10. Commitments and Contingencies***Contingencies***

The Company is involved in various legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. Although the results of litigation cannot be predicted with certainty, management believes the outcome of pending litigation will not have a material adverse effect, after considering the effect of the Company's insurance coverage, on the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements.

On October 17, 2011, the Company received a subpoena from the Department of Health and Human Services Office of Inspector General (the "OIG"). The subpoena requests documents related to the Company's agencies in Oregon, Washington and Idaho. The Company is continuing to produce the requested documents and is cooperating with the OIG's review in this matter. The Company cannot predict the outcome or effect of this review, if any, on the Company's business.

On June 13, 2012, a putative shareholder securities class action was filed against the Company and its Chairman and Chief Executive Officer in the United States District Court for the Western District of Louisiana, styled City of Omaha Police & Fire Retirement System v. LHC Group, Inc., et al., Case No. 6:12-cv-01609-JTT-CMH. The action was filed on behalf of LHC shareholders who purchased shares of the Company's common

Table of Contents

LHC GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

stock between July 30, 2008 and October 26, 2011. Plaintiff generally alleges that the defendants caused false and misleading statements to be issued in violation of Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, amended (the Exchange Act) and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder and that the Company s Chairman and Chief Executive Officer is a control person under Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act. On November 2, 2012, Lead Plaintiff City of Omaha Police & Fire Retirement System filed an Amended Complaint for Violations of the Federal Securities Laws (the Amended Complaint) on behalf of the same putative class of LHC shareholders as the original Complaint. In addition to claims under Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act, the Amended Complaint added a claim against the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer for violation of Section 20A of the Exchange Act. The Company believes these claims are without merit and intends to defend this lawsuit vigorously. On December 17, 2012, the Company and the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer filed a motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint, which was denied by Order dated March 15, 2013. The parties are presently conducting fact discovery. The Company cannot predict the outcome or effect of this lawsuit, if any, on the Company s financial condition and results of operations.

On October 18, 2013, a derivative complaint was filed by a purported Company shareholder against certain of the Company s current and former executive officers, employees and members of its Board of Directors in the United States District Court for the Western District of Louisiana, styled Plummer v. Myers, et al., Case No. 6:13-cv-02899-JTT-CMH. The action was brought derivatively on behalf of the Company, which is also named as a nominal defendant. Plaintiff generally alleges that the individual defendants breached their fiduciary duties owed to the Company. The complaint also alleges claims for insider selling and unjust enrichment against the Company s Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and the Company s former President and Chief Operating Officer. The Company believes these claims are without merit and intends to defend this lawsuit vigorously. By Order dated December 6, 2013, this action is currently stayed pending the conclusion of expert discovery in the related City of Omaha shareholder securities class action described above. The Company cannot predict the outcome or effect of this lawsuit, if any, on the Company s financial condition and results of operations.

On December 30, 2013, a derivative complaint was filed by a purported Company shareholder against certain of the Company s current and former executive officers, employees and members of its Board of Directors in the United States District Court of the Western District of Louisiana, styled McCormack v. Myers, et al., Case No. 6:13-cv-03301-JTT-CMH. The action was brought derivatively on the Company s behalf and the Company was also named as a nominal defendant. Plaintiff generally alleges that the individual defendants breached their fiduciary duties owed to the Company and wasted corporate assets. Plaintiff also alleges that the Company s Chairman and Chief Executive Officer caused false and misleading statements to be issued in violation of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder and that the Company s Directors are control persons under Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act. The complaint also alleges claims for insider selling, misappropriation of information and unjust enrichment against the Company s Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and the Company s former President and Chief Operating Officer. The Company anticipates that this derivative action will be consolidated with the related Plummer derivative action described above. The Company believes these claims are without merit and intends to defend this lawsuit vigorously. The Company cannot predict the outcome or effect of this lawsuit, if any, on the Company s financial condition and results of operations.

Except as discussed above, the Company is not aware of any pending or threatened investigations involving allegations of potential wrongdoing.

Any negative findings in the investigations or lawsuits could result in substantial financial penalties or awards against the Company or exclusion from future participation in the Medicare and Medicaid programs. At this time, the Company cannot predict the ultimate outcome of these inquiries or the potential range of damages, if any.

Table of Contents

LHC GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Settlement Agreement with the United States of America

On September 30, 2011, the Company announced that it had entered into a settlement agreement with the United States government to resolve an investigation the Company first announced on July 13, 2009. The investigation resulted from a qui tam complaint filed by a relator against the Company under the whistleblower provisions of the False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. sections 3729-3733. Pursuant to the settlement agreement, the Company paid the United States \$65 million (settlement amount) in a single lump sum payment. In exchange for the payment of the settlement amount, the United States and the relator released the Company from any civil or administrative monetary claim under the False Claim Act for the covered conduct. The released covered conduct includes claims involving home health services rendered by the Company from 2006 to 2008 with regard to whether such home health services were either not medically necessary or were delivered to patients who were not homebound. The OIG also agreed to release and refrain from instituting, directing or maintaining any administrative action seeking to exclude the Company from Medicare, Medicaid and other federal health care programs with respect to the covered conduct described above.

The government did not find that all aspects of the relator s complaint deserved intervention, including homebound and medical necessity claims for 2005 and coding related claims for 2005 through 2008. As previously reported, the Company reached an agreement in principle to settle these non-intervened claims for \$1.0 million with the relator and the government. On April 30, 2012, the Company entered into the final settlement agreement documenting the agreement in principle for these non-intervened claims.

Effective September 29, 2011, the Company entered into a five year Corporate Integrity Agreement (CIA) with the OIG. The CIA formalizes various aspects of the Company s already existing ethics and compliance programs and contains other requirements designed to help ensure Company s ongoing compliance with federal health care program requirements.

Joint Venture Buy/Sell Provisions

Most of the Company s joint ventures include a buy/sell option that grants to the Company and its joint venture partners the right to require the other joint venture party to either purchase all of the exercising member s membership interests or sell to the exercising member all of the non-exercising member s membership interest, at the non-exercising member s option, within 30 days of the receipt of notice of the exercise of the buy/sell option. In some instances, the purchase price is based on a multiple of the historical or future earnings before income taxes and depreciation and amortization of the equity joint venture at the time the buy/sell option is exercised. In other instances, the buy/sell purchase price will be negotiated by the partners and subject to a fair market valuation process. The Company has not received notice from any joint venture partners of their intent to exercise the terms of the buy/sell agreement nor has the Company notified any joint venture partners of its intent to exercise the terms of the buy/sell agreement.

Compliance

The laws and regulations governing the Company s operations, along with the terms of participation in various government programs, regulate how the Company does business, the services offered and its interactions with patients and the public. These laws and regulations and their interpretations, are subject to frequent change. Changes in existing laws or regulations, or their interpretations, or the enactment of new laws or regulations could materially and adversely affect the Company s operations and financial condition.

The Company is subject to various routine and non-routine governmental reviews, audits and investigations. In recent years, federal and state civil and criminal enforcement agencies have heightened and coordinated their

Table of Contents**LHC GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

oversight efforts related to the health care industry, including referral practices, cost reporting, billing practices, joint ventures and other financial relationships among health care providers. Violation of the laws governing the Company's operations, or changes in the interpretation of those laws, could result in the imposition of fines, civil or criminal penalties, and/or termination of the Company's rights to participate in federal and state-sponsored programs and suspension or revocation of the Company's licenses. The Company believes that it is in material compliance with all applicable laws and regulations.

11. Segment Information

The Company's segments consist of (a) home-based services and (b) facility-based services. Home-based services include home nursing services and hospice services. Facility-based services include long-term acute care services. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies.

A reclassification has been made to the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 segment information to reclassify \$400,000 of other intangibles impairment charge, \$162,400 of income tax expense and \$400,000 reduction of assets to the facility-based services segment. The amount was previously recorded in home-based services segment; however, the transactions are specific to the facility-based services segment.

The following tables summarize the Company's segment information for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 (amounts in thousands)

| | Year Ended December 31, 2013 | | |
|--|------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|
| | Home-Based Services | Facility-Based Services | Total |
| Net service revenue | \$ 582,891 | \$ 75,392 | \$ 658,283 |
| Cost of service revenue | 339,199 | 44,265 | 383,464 |
| Provision for bad debts | 12,843 | 1,086 | 13,929 |
| General and administrative expenses | 192,784 | 21,349 | 214,133 |
| Operating income | 38,065 | 8,692 | 46,757 |
| Interest expense | 1,800 | 195 | 1,995 |
| Non-operating income, including gain (loss) on sale of assets and entities | 149 | 94 | 243 |
| Income from continuing operations before income taxes and noncontrolling interests | 36,414 | 8,591 | 45,005 |
| Income tax expense | 14,342 | 1,517 | 15,859 |
| Income from continuing operations | 22,072 | 7,074 | 29,146 |
| Less net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | 5,552 | 1,252 | 6,804 |
| Net income attributable to LHC Group, Inc.'s common stockholders | \$ 16,520 | \$ 5,822 | \$ 22,342 |
| Total assets | \$ 385,915 | \$ 36,311 | \$ 422,226 |

Table of Contents**LHC GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

| | Year Ended December 31, 2012 | | |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|------------|
| | Home-Based Services | Facility-Based Services | Total |
| Net service revenue | \$ 563,741 | \$ 73,828 | \$ 637,569 |
| Cost of service revenue | 322,189 | 43,563 | 365,752 |
| Provision for bad debts | 10,593 | 1,282 | 11,875 |
| General and administrative expenses | 183,725 | 21,912 | 205,637 |
| Operating income | 47,234 | 7,071 | 54,305 |
| Interest expense | 1,356 | 194 | 1,550 |
| Non-operating income, including gain (loss) on sale of assets and entities | 133 | 51 | 184 |
| Income from continuing operations before income taxes and noncontrolling interests | 46,011 | 6,928 | 52,939 |
| Income tax expense | 15,619 | 1,892 | 17,511 |
| Income from continuing operations | 30,392 | 5,036 | 35,428 |
| Less net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | 6,964 | 1,024 | 7,988 |
| Net income attributable to LHC Group, Inc.'s common stockholders | \$ 23,428 | \$ 4,012 | \$ 27,440 |
| Total assets | \$ 350,140 | \$ 36,754 | \$ 386,894 |

| | Year Ended December 31, 2011 | | |
|---|------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|
| | Home-Based Services | Facility-Based Services | Total |
| Net service revenue | \$ 557,901 | \$ 75,971 | \$ 633,872 |
| Cost of service revenue | 307,744 | 44,602 | 352,346 |
| Provision for bad debts | 11,680 | 640 | 12,320 |
| General and administrative expenses | 190,264 | 20,324 | 210,588 |
| Settlement with government agencies | 65,000 | | 65,000 |
| Operating income (loss) | (16,787) | 10,405 | (6,382) |
| Interest expense | 914 | 104 | 1,018 |
| Non-operating income, including gain (loss) on sale of assets and entities | 1,645 | 136 | 1,781 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes and noncontrolling interests | (16,056) | 10,437 | (5,619) |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | (4,201) | 2,233 | (1,968) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | (11,855) | 8,204 | (3,651) |
| Less net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | 8,404 | 1,189 | 9,593 |
| | \$ (20,259) | \$ 7,015 | \$ (13,244) |

Edgar Filing: LHC Group, Inc - Form 10-K

Net income (loss) attributable to LHC Group, Inc. s
common stockholders

| | | | |
|--------------|------------|-----------|------------|
| Total assets | \$ 360,340 | \$ 36,036 | \$ 396,376 |
|--------------|------------|-----------|------------|

F-28

Table of Contents**LHC GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****12. Fair Value of Financial Instruments**

The carrying amounts of the Company's cash, receivables, accounts payable and accrued liabilities approximate their fair values because of their short maturity. The estimated fair value of intangible assets was calculated using level 3 inputs based on the present value of anticipated future benefits. For the year ended December 31, 2013, the carrying value of the Company's long-term debt was based on the current interest rates and approximates its fair value.

13. Allowance for Uncollectible Accounts

The following table summarizes the activity and ending balances in the allowance for uncollectible accounts (amounts in thousands):

| | Beginning of Year Balance | Additions | Deductions | End of Year Balance |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|------------|------------------------|
| Year ended December 31: | | | | |
| 2013 | \$ 11,863 | \$ 13,929 | \$ 11,458 | \$ 14,334 |
| 2012 | \$ 10,692 | \$ 11,875 | \$ 10,704 | \$ 11,863 |
| 2011 | \$ 9,769 | \$ 12,320 | \$ 11,397 | \$ 10,692 |

14. Concentration of Risk

The Company's Louisiana facilities accounted for approximately 26.0%, 28.5% and 31.1% of net service revenue during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Any material change in the current economic or competitive conditions in Louisiana could have a disproportionate effect on the Company's overall business results.

15. Unaudited Summarized Quarterly Financial Information

The following table represents the Company's unaudited quarterly results of operations (amounts in thousands, except share data):

| | First Quarter 2013 | Second Quarter 2013 | Third Quarter 2013 | Fourth Quarter 2013 |
|---|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Net service revenue | \$ 161,953 | \$ 166,302 | \$ 164,748 | \$ 165,280 |
| Gross margin | 68,705 | 69,293 | 66,782 | 70,039 |
| Net income attributable to LHC Group, Inc.'s common stockholders | 6,286 | 5,790 | 5,297 | 4,969 |
| Basic earnings per share: | | | | |
| Net income attributable to LHC Group, Inc.'s common stockholders | \$ 0.37 | \$ 0.34 | \$ 0.31 | \$ 0.29 |
| Diluted earnings per share: | | | | |
| Net income attributable to LHC Group, Inc.'s common stockholders | \$ 0.37 | \$ 0.34 | \$ 0.31 | \$ 0.29 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding: | | | | |
| Basic | 16,966,525 | 17,055,619 | 17,083,201 | 17,096,360 |
| Diluted | 17,073,543 | 17,127,017 | 17,182,013 | 17,228,499 |

Table of Contents**LHC GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

| | First Quarter 2012 | Second Quarter 2012 | Third Quarter 2012 | Fourth Quarter 2012 |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| Net service revenue | \$ 158,761 | \$ 158,055 | \$ 158,926 | \$ 161,827 |
| Gross margin | 68,902 | 65,837 | 67,692 | 69,386 |
| Net income attributable to LHC Group, Inc. s common stockholders | 7,741 | 5,963 | 6,336 | 7,400 |
| Basic earnings per share: | | | | |
| Net income attributable to LHC Group, Inc. s common stockholders | \$ 0.42 | \$ 0.32 | \$ 0.36 | \$ 0.43 |
| Diluted earnings per share: | | | | |
| Net income attributable to LHC Group, Inc. s common stockholders | \$ 0.42 | \$ 0.32 | \$ 0.36 | \$ 0.43 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding: | | | | |
| Basic | 18,333,838 | 18,385,783 | 17,656,842 | 17,056,611 |
| Diluted | 18,399,608 | 18,423,258 | 17,726,819 | 17,155,909 |

Because of the method used to calculate per share amounts, quarterly per share amounts may not necessarily total to the per share amounts for the entire year.

16. Subsequent Event

On February 1, 2014, the Company entered into a definitive stock purchase agreement with BioScrip, Inc. to acquire the home health, hospice, and community-based service subsidiaries doing business as Deaconess HomeCare and Elk Valley Health Services for \$60 million in cash, subject to post-closing net working capital adjustments. The Company will add 33 locations in five states. The acquisition is expected to close by the end of the first quarter of 2014.

Table of Contents**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

LHC GROUP, INC.

March 6, 2014

/s/ KEITH G. MYERS
Keith G. Myers
Chief Executive Officer

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints Keith G. Myers and Jeffrey M. Kreger and either of them (with full power in each to act alone) as true and lawful attorneys-in-fact with full power of substitution, for him and in his name, place and stead, in any and all capacities, to sign any and all amendments to this Annual Report on Form 10-K and to file the same, with all exhibits thereto and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorneys-in-fact, or their substitute or substitutes, may lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| Signature | Title | Date |
|---|---|---------------|
| /s/ KEITH G. MYERS Keith G. Myers | Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors | March 6, 2014 |
| /s/ JEFFREY M. KREGER Jeffrey M. Kreger | Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, Principal Accounting Officer | March 6, 2014 |
| /s/ MONICA F. AZARE Monica F. Azare | Director | March 6, 2014 |
| /s/ JOHN B. BREAUX John B. Breaux | Director | March 6, 2014 |
| /s/ TED W. HOYT Ted W. Hoyt | Director | March 6, 2014 |
| /s/ JOHN L. INDEST John L. Indest | Director | March 6, 2014 |
| /s/ GEORGE A. LEWIS George A. Lewis | Director | March 6, 2014 |

Edgar Filing: LHC Group, Inc - Form 10-K

/s/ RONALD T. NIXON

Director

March 6, 2014

Ronald T. Nixon

/s/ CHRISTOPHER S. SHACKELTON

Director

March 6, 2014

Christopher S. Shackelton

Table of Contents

| Signature | Title | Date |
|---|--------------|---------------|
| /s/ W.J. BILLY TAUZIN W.J. Billy Tauzin | Director | March 6, 2014 |
| /s/ KENNETH E. THORPE Kenneth E. Thorpe | Director | March 6, 2014 |
| /s/ DAN S. WILFORD Dan S. Wilford | Director | March 6, 2014 |

Table of Contents**EXHIBIT INDEX**

| Exhibit | Description of Exhibits |
|----------------|--|
| Number | Description of Exhibits |
| 3.1 | Certificate of Incorporation of LHC Group, Inc. (previously filed as Exhibit 3.1 to LHC Group's Form S-1/A (File No. 333-120792) filed on February 14, 2005). |
| 3.2 | Bylaws of LHC Group, Inc., as amended on December 3, 2007 (previously filed as Exhibit 3.2 to LHC Group's Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2008, filed on May 9, 2008). |
| 4.1 | Specimen Stock Certificate of LHC Group's Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share (previously filed as Exhibit 4.1 to LHC Group's Form S-1/A (File No. 333-120792) filed on February 14, 2005). |
| 10.1+ | LHC 2003 Key Employee Equity Participation Plan (previously filed as Exhibit 10.3 to LHC Group's Form S-1 (File No. 333-120792) filed on November 26, 2004). |
| 10.2+ | LHC Group, Inc. 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan (previously filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the Form S-1/A (File No. 333-120792) filed on February 14, 2005). |
| 10.3 | LHC Group, Inc. 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to LHC Group's Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010, filed on August 6, 2010). |
| 10.4+ | Form of Award under LHC Group, Inc. 2005 Director Compensation Plan (previously filed as Exhibit 10.11 to LHC Group's Form S-1/A (File No. 333-120792) filed on February 14, 2005). |
| 10.5+ | Form of Indemnity Agreement between LHC Group and directors and certain officers (previously filed as Exhibit 10.10 to the Form S-1/A (File No. 333-120792) filed on February 14, 2005). |
| 10.6+ | LHC Group, Inc. 2005 Director Compensation Plan (previously filed as Exhibit 10.5 to LHC Group's Form S-1/A (File No. 333-120792) filed on February 14, 2005). |
| 10.7 | Amendment to the LHC Group, Inc. Amended and Restated 2005 Non-Employee Directors Compensation Plan (previously filed as Exhibit 99.1 to LHC Group's Form 8-K filed on June 16, 2006). |
| 10.8+ | LHC Group, Inc. 2006 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (previously filed as Exhibit 99.2 to LHC Group's Form 8-K filed on June 16, 2006). |
| 10.9+ | Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between LHC Group, Inc. and Keith G. Myers, dated January 1, 2011 (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to LHC Group's Form 8-K filed on January 6, 2011). |
| 10.10+ | Amendment to the LHC Group, Inc. 2005 Non-Employee Directors Compensation Plan dated January 1, 2010 (previously filed as Exhibit 10.19 to LHC Group's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, filed on March 15, 2010). |
| 10.11 | Settlement Agreement among the United States of America, LHC Group, Inc. and certain of its subsidiaries and relator, dated September 29, 2011 (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to LHC Group's Form 8-K filed on September 30, 2011). |
| 10.12 | Corporate Integrity Agreement between the Office of Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services and LHC Group, Inc., dated September 29, 2011 (previously filed as Exhibit 10.2 to LHC Group's Form 8-K filed on September 30, 2011). |
| 10.13 | Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, by and among LHC Group, Inc., Capital One, National Association, as a lender, administrative agent, sole book runner and sole lead arranger, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as a lender and syndication agent, Compass Bank, as a lender and documentation agent, and Whitney Bank and Regions Bank, as lenders, dated August 31, 2012 (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Form 8-K filed on September 4, 2012). |
| 10.14 | Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between Donald D. Stelly and LHC Group, Inc. dated August 19, 2013 (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Form 8-K filed August 19, 2013). |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit | |
|----------------|--|
| Number | Description of Exhibits |
| *10.15 | Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between Joshua L. Proffitt and LHC Group, Inc., dated November 25, 2013. |
| *10.16 | Amended Employment Agreement between Jeffrey M. Kreger and LHC Group, Inc., dated July 31, 2013. |
| *10.17 | Stock Purchase Agreement between Deaconess HomeCare, LLC and LHC Group, Inc., dated as of January 31, 2014 (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Form 8-K file February 3, 2014). |
| *10.18 | Preferability letter from KPMG LLP. |
| 21.1 | Subsidiaries of the Registrant. |
| 23.1 | Consent of KPMG LLP. |
| 31.1 | Certification of Keith G. Myers, Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a- 14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2 | Certification of Jeffrey M. Kreger, Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a- 14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1* | Certification of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Schema Document |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Calculation Linkbase Document |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Definition Linkbase Document |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Label Linkbase Document |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Presentation Linkbase Document |

Attached as Exhibit 101 to this report are documents formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language). Users of this data are advised pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T that the interactive data file is deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of section 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise not subject to liability under these sections. The financial information contained in the XBRL-related documents is unaudited or unreviewed.

* This exhibit is furnished to the SEC as an accompanying document and is not deemed to be filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that Section, and the document will not be deemed incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933.

+ Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan.