

LIBERTY ALL STAR GROWTH FUND INC.
Form N-CSRS
September 01, 2011

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED

MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number: 811-04537

Liberty All-Star Growth Fund, Inc.

(exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

1290 Broadway, Suite 1100, Denver, Colorado 80203

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

Tane T. Tyler, General Counsel

ALPS Fund Services, Inc.

1290 Broadway, Suite 1100

Denver, Colorado 80203

(Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: 303-623-2577

Date of fiscal year end: December 31

Date of reporting period: January 1 June 30, 2011

Item 1. Reports to Stockholders.

LIBERTY ALL-STAR® GROWTH FUND, INC.

Period Ending June 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

Fund Statistics

Net Asset Value (NAV)		\$4.81	
Market Price		\$4.44	
Discount		7.7%	
	Quarter	Year-to-Date	One Year
Distributions	\$0.07	\$0.14	\$0.27
Market Price Trading Range	\$4.22 to \$4.68	\$4.20 to \$4.68	\$3.25 to \$4.68
Discount Range	4.9% to 8.3%	4.9% to 8.3%	4.9% to 11.0%
Performance			
Shares Valued at NAV	0.39%	8.34%	35.84%
Shares Valued at NAV with Dividends Reinvested	0.58%	8.69%	36.40%
Shares Valued at Market Price with Dividends Reinvested	(2.12)%	7.89%	38.83%
NASDAQ Composite Index	(0.04)%	5.00%	32.73%
Russell 3000 Growth Index	0.64%	6.98%	35.68%
S&P 500 Index	0.10%	6.02%	30.69%
	(0.03)%	6.32%	35.37%

Edgar Filing: LIBERTY ALL STAR GROWTH FUND INC. - Form N-CSRS

Lipper Multi-Cap Growth Mutual Fund Average*

NAV Reinvested Percentile Rank (1 = best; 100 = worst)	39th	19th	46th
Number of Funds in Category	500	492	463

* Percentile rank calculated using the Fund's NAV Reinvested return within the Lipper Multi-Cap Growth Open-end Mutual Fund Universe. Figures shown for the Fund and the Lipper Multi-Cap Growth Mutual Fund Average are total returns, which include dividends, after deducting fund expenses. Figures shown for the unmanaged NASDAQ Composite Index, the Russell 3000® Growth Index and the S&P 500 Index are total returns, including dividends. A description of the Lipper benchmark and the market indices can be found on page 34.

Past performance cannot predict future results. Performance will fluctuate with market conditions. Current performance may be lower or higher than the performance data shown. Performance information does not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders would pay on Fund distributions or the sale of Fund shares. An investment in the Fund involves risk, including loss of principal.

The Fund is a closed-end fund and does not continuously offer shares. The Fund trades in the secondary market, investors wishing to buy or sell shares need to place orders through an intermediary or broker by using the Fund's ticker symbol: ASG. The share price of a closed-end fund is based on the market's value. Shares of closed-end funds frequently trade at a discount to net asset value. The price of the Fund's shares is determined by a number of factors, several of which are beyond the control of the Fund. Therefore, the Fund cannot predict whether its shares will trade at, below or above net asset value.

Fellow Shareholders:

July 2011

Despite periodic bouts of investor worry and doubt, stocks managed to hold their own in the second quarter. As measured by the S&P 500 Index, stocks gained 0.10 percent in the quarter—essentially unchanged. While stocks did not gain ground in the quarter, neither did they give it up, and that may be considered a minor victory given that stocks declined for six straight weeks through May and mid-June, their longest losing streak since the market bottomed in March 2009. At one point in June (the 15th) the S&P 500 had declined 4.15 percent for the quarter, so by closing strong and crossing into positive territory the market gained momentum going into the third quarter.

The second quarter was dominated by a continuation of the risk on, risk off mentality as stocks and other asset classes responded to macro events that ranged from slowing domestic and global economic growth to sovereign debt problems in Southern Europe as well as deficit and debt ceiling challenges in the U.S. Sentiment turned quickly throughout the quarter based on geopolitical events and economic data in the U.S. and abroad. Other news was far more positive; corporate earnings generally continued to top estimates, the initial public offering market was active, as was merger and acquisition activity, and commodity prices backed away from near-record levels. The S&P 500 got off to a strong start in April by advancing 2.96 percent. But, it reversed direction and gave up 1.13 percent and 1.67 percent, respectively, in May and June. Only a strong finish in late June pushed the index back to the plus side.

While the technology-oriented NASDAQ Composite Index lagged the S&P 500 Index—returning -0.04 percent compared to +0.10 percent—growth stocks generally outperformed value stocks for the quarter. The Russell 3000® Growth Index gained 0.64 percent for the quarter compared to a decline of 0.68 percent for the Russell 3000® Value Index. While the Russell 3000® Growth Index gained a strong 3.37 percent in April, it was not immune to the broad-based selling that took place in May and June, as this index fell 1.16 percent and 1.50 percent, respectively, in those two months.

For the quarter, Liberty All-Star Growth Fund returned 0.39 percent with shares valued at net asset value (NAV) and 0.58 percent with shares valued at NAV with dividends reinvested, while it declined 2.12 percent with shares valued at market price (with dividends reinvested). The Fund's NAV performance ranked it in the 39th percentile of its peer group, the Lipper Multi-Cap Growth Mutual Fund Average, which declined -0.03 percent for the quarter. The Fund's reinvested NAV and market price returns both year-to-date and for the trailing one-year period ending June 30, 2011, exceed all the benchmarks shown on the inside front cover. The Fund ranks in the 19th and 46th percentiles for those respective periods within the Lipper Multi-Cap Growth peer group.

The discount at which Fund shares traded relative to their underlying NAV ranged from 4.9 percent to 8.3 percent over the quarter and ended the quarter at 7.7 percent, representing a continuation of the trend toward narrower discounts that the Fund experienced over the past 12 months, when the discount was as wide as 11.0 percent at one point. Also, although the Fund's expense ratio has declined, it is still being negatively impacted by higher expenses associated with actions by dissident shareholders.

The third quarter got off to a fast start in its first few trading days before running into headwinds generated by Euro zone debt fears and concerns over the U.S. debt ceiling. These abrupt swings suggest that investors may see more of the heightened volatility that characterized the second quarter. Whatever markets bring, we are confident in the Fund's structure and in the skills and dedication of the Fund's three investment managers. In that regard, we invite you to read our

President's Letter

Liberty All-Star® Growth Fund

interview with the Fund's small-cap growth manager, Matt Weatherbie of M.A. Weatherbie & Co., Inc., which we present in this quarterly report.

After the second quarter closed, ALPS Holdings, Inc., the parent company of your Fund's manager, ALPS Advisors, Inc., announced that it had signed an agreement to be acquired by DST Systems, Inc. As a result, two proposals relating to your Fund's advisory agreements will be submitted to Fund shareholders at a special shareholder meeting to be held on September 30. A proxy statement, which will be mailed separately, discusses these two proposals in detail. I ask for your support on these two proposals in order to provide continuity in the management and operation of your Fund. If you have not already done so, please review the proxy statement and cast your vote on each proposal. **The Board of Directors recommends that you vote FOR each proposal.**

We are gratified by the Fund's continued strong performance. Over the past one, three and five year periods the Fund's reinvested NAV and market price results exceed that of the S&P 500 Index, the Russell 3000® Growth Index and the Lipper Multi-Cap Growth Mutual Fund Average. We remain committed to a strong, high quality growth equity investment vehicle for our shareholders, and we thank you for your support and confidence in the Fund going forward.

Sincerely,

William R. Parmentier, Jr.

President and Chief Executive Officer

Liberty All-Star® Growth Fund, Inc.

The views expressed in the President's letter and the Manager Interview reflect the views of the President and Manager as of July 2011 and may not reflect their views on the date this report is first published or anytime thereafter. These views are not guarantees of future performance and involve certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions that are difficult to predict so actual outcomes and results may differ significantly from the views expressed. These views are subject to change at any time based upon economic, market or other conditions and the Fund disclaims any responsibility to update such views. These views may not be relied on as investment advice and, because investment decisions for the Fund are based on numerous factors, may not be relied on as an indication of trading intent.

Year	Per Share		Rights Offerings Shares Needed to	
	Distributions	Month Completed	Purchase One Additional Share	Subscription Price
1997	\$1.24			
1998	1.35	July	10	\$12.41
1999	1.23			
2000	1.34			
2001	0.92	September	8	6.64
2002	0.67			
2003	0.58	September	8*	5.72
2004	0.63			
2005	0.58			
2006	0.59			
2007	0.61			
2008	0.47			
2009**	0.24			
2010	0.25			
2011				
1 st Quarter	0.07			
2 nd Quarter	0.07			

* The number of shares offered was increased by an additional 25% to cover a portion of the over-subscription requests.

** Effective with the second quarter distribution, the annual distribution rate was changed from 10 percent to 6 percent.

DISTRIBUTION POLICY

Liberty All-Star Growth Fund, Inc.'s current policy is to pay distributions on its shares totaling approximately 6 percent of its net asset value per year, payable in four quarterly installments of 1.5 percent of the Fund's net asset value at the close of the New York Stock Exchange on the Friday prior to each quarterly declaration date. The fixed distributions are not related to the amount of the Fund's net investment income or net realized capital gains or losses and may be taxed as ordinary income up to the amount of the Fund's current and accumulated earnings and profits. If, for any calendar year, the total distributions made under the distribution policy exceed the Fund's net investment income and net realized capital gains, the excess will generally be treated as a non-taxable return of capital, reducing the shareholder's adjusted basis in his or her shares. If the Fund's net investment income and net realized capital gains for any year exceed the amount distributed under the distribution policy, the Fund may, in its discretion, retain and not distribute net realized capital gains and pay income tax thereon to the extent of such excess.

Edgar Filing: LIBERTY ALL STAR GROWTH FUND INC. - Form N-CSRS

Top 20 Holdings & Economic Sectors
June 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

Liberty All-Star® Growth Fund

Top 20 Holdings*	Percent of Net Assets
Salesforce.com, Inc.	2.27%
C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.	2.23
Apple, Inc.	1.96
QUALCOMM, Inc.	1.82
Core Laboratories N.V.	1.75
Intuitive Surgical, Inc.	1.75
Green Mountain Coffee Roasters, Inc.	1.70
FMC Technologies, Inc.	1.68
IHS, Inc., Class A	1.66
Baidu, Inc.	1.63
ARM Holdings PLC	1.59
ACE Ltd.	1.52
Expeditors International of Washington, Inc.	1.49
Oceaneering International, Inc.	1.48
Rockwell Automation, Inc.	1.45
Rue21, Inc.	1.45
Google, Inc., Class A	1.36
American Tower Corp., Class A	1.35
LKQ Corp.	1.26
Signature Bank	1.25
	32.65%

Economic Sectors*	Percent of Net Assets
Information Technology	26.42%
Industrials	16.23
Health Care	15.50
Consumer Discretionary	12.63
Financials	10.13
Energy	9.29
Consumer Staples	3.31
Materials	2.45
Telecommunication Services	1.35
Utilities	0.71
Other Net Assets	1.98
	100.00%

* Because the Fund is actively managed, there can be no guarantee that the Fund will continue to hold securities of the indicated issuers and sectors in the future.

Edgar Filing: LIBERTY ALL STAR GROWTH FUND INC. - Form N-CSRS

Liberty All-Star® Growth Fund

Major Stock Changes in the Quarter

The following are the major (\$500,000 or more) stock changes - both purchases and sales - that were made in the Fund's portfolio during the second quarter of 2011.

Security Name	Purchases (Sales)	Shares as of 6/30/11
Purchases		
F5 Networks, Inc.	5,400	9,200
Urban Outfitters, Inc.	18,900	18,900
Sales		
Alliance Data Systems Corp.	(10,100)	0
Brigham Exploration Co.	(15,500)	14,300
CVS Caremark Corp.	(23,100)	0
E-Commerce China Dangdang, Inc.	(28,873)	0
Janus Capital Group, Inc.	(76,400)	0
Plains Exploration & Production Co.	(17,800)	0

Semi-Annual Report (Unaudited) | June 30, 2011

5

Investment Managers/

Portfolio Characteristics

Liberty All-Star® Growth Fund

THE FUND'S THREE GROWTH INVESTMENT MANAGERS AND THE MARKET CAPITALIZATION ON WHICH EACH FOCUSES:

MANAGERS' DIFFERING INVESTMENT STRATEGIES ARE REFLECTED IN PORTFOLIO CHARACTERISTICS

The portfolio characteristics table below is a regular feature of the Fund's shareholder reports. It serves as a useful tool for understanding the value of the Fund's multi-managed portfolio. The characteristics are different for each of the Fund's three investment managers. These differences are a reflection of the fact that each has a different capitalization focus and investment strategy. The shaded column highlights the characteristics of the Fund as a whole, while the first three columns show portfolio characteristics for the Russell Smallcap, Midcap and Largecap Growth indices. See page 34 for a description of these indices.

PORTFOLIO CHARACTERISTICS *As of June 30, 2011 (Unaudited)*

	RUSSELL GROWTH:			Market Capitalization Spectrum			TOTAL FUND 129*
	SMALLCAP	MIDCAP	LARGECAP	Small	Large		
	INDEX	INDEX	INDEX	M.A. WEATHERBIE	TCW (MID-CAP)	TCW (LARGE-CAP)	
Number of Holdings	1,161	469	591	60	56	31	129*
Weighted Average Market Capitalization (billions)	\$1.5	\$8.5	\$85.2	\$2.8	\$9.6	\$59.1	\$23.3
Average Five-Year Earnings							
Per Share Growth	13%	12%	13%	13%	24%	25%	20%
Dividend Yield	0.6%	0.9%	1.4%	0.3%	0.4%	0.6%	0.4%
Price/Earnings Ratio**	23x	22x	18x	25x	33x	26x	27x
Price/Book Value Ratio	4.8x	5.1x	5.3x	5.2x	8.5x	5.9x	6.5x

* Certain holdings are held by more than one manager.

** Excludes negative earnings.

Matthew A. Weatherbie, CFA

President and Founder

M. A. Weatherbie & Co., Inc.

USING BOTTOM-UP STOCK PICKING M. A. WEATHERBIE FINDS QUALITY SMALL-CAPS WITH ROBUST GROWTH PROSPECTS

M. A. Weatherbie & Co. manages the portion of the Liberty All-Star Growth Fund that is allocated to small-cap growth stocks. M. A. Weatherbie focuses on high quality companies that demonstrate superior earnings growth prospects, yet are reasonably priced relative to their intrinsic value. The firm seeks to provide superior returns relative to small capitalization growth indices over a full market cycle. Recently, we spoke with the firm's President and founder, Matthew A. Weatherbie, CFA. The Fund's Advisor, ALPS Advisors, Inc., moderated the interview.

Growth rates generated by the overall economy continue to disappoint. So, there's a premium on companies and industries generating real growth. What industries and specific companies appear especially attractive for M. A. Weatherbie & Co. right now?

As bottom-up stock pickers, we do not focus on certain industries or concentrate on any specific sectors of the economy. But, in this slow growth economy there are certain types of companies that are especially attractive to us, and they are the ones that control their own destiny. An example is a consumer company like Ulta Salon, Cosmetics & Fragrance. This company operates cosmetics stores using a business model and formula that have proven to work, with the result that its growth is not dependent on the economy. The company's competitive advantage is offering a full range of cosmetics, from lower price point products to the most popular mid-priced brands and even high priced brands that formerly were only available in department stores. Ulta Salon also operates salons within the stores, so in addition to a full line of products there is a service component to its strategy, and that combination makes it a very convenient, one-stop destination for consumers. Ulta Salon is driving incremental growth from opening additional highly profitable stores across the country.

Two additional examples of companies that should grow earnings in the current environment are IHS and Solera Holdings. IHS is an information services company with clients in four major areas: energy, product life cycle, environment and security. IHS provides industry data, technical documents, custom software applications and consulting services to clients in some 30 countries. Solera Holdings is a leading software and services provider to the automobile insurance claim processing industry. It is active in more than 50 countries on six continents. These companies are attractive due to the high fixed cost nature of their businesses, which serves as a barrier to entry. Their performance advantage comes from being able to sell and resell databases of critical industry information to a growing number of clients.

M. A. Weatherbie invests a portion of the portfolio in what it calls Opportunity growth stocks, or stocks where earnings have been temporarily depressed but whose prospects for a return to earnings growth in the future is good. It would seem that in the current environment it wouldn't be hard to find stocks exhibiting such pricing distortions. What is an example of one of these stocks?

A stock where we feel there is a lot of upside ahead of it is Portfolio Recovery Associates. This company purchases charged-off receivable portfolios from banks, usually buying these portfolios for just a few cents on the dollar and then collecting 20 to 25 percent of the portfolio's value over a few years. Portfolio Recovery Associates is a cyclical growth company whose stock is selling for only about 11 times earnings. Right now, its earnings are in a strong up-cycle because of actions the company took a few years ago when it purchased very attractively priced portfolios in the 2008 and 2009 financial crisis and recession. Now, even with modest improvement in the economy, more people are able to commit to a payment program so the company has seen better than expected collections on portfolios of that 2008/09 vintage.

Another name in the same category is Thor Industries, which is the largest assembler of recreational vehicles, especially towables. Again, this company is benefitting from a moderate increase in spending and cost savings associated with its leading position in the RV industry. As a result, it should see a good cyclical recovery in earnings as the consumer stabilizes and the financing situation improves.

How do small cap companies typically perform at this stage in the economic cycle? They often lead a recovery, and did so in 2009. In a slow growth economy which seems to be losing momentum, what are the general expectations for small caps? How does M. A. Weatherbie's bottom-up investment process identify those small cap companies with the potential to outperform in a slowing economy?

The consensus, if you were to ask the average person who feels he or she knows something about the stock market, would likely be that smaller companies tend to be more economically sensitive and, therefore, in the early stages of an economic recovery they would tend to outperform. In fact, in 2009 in anticipation of an economic recovery that is what happened. Now, at this stage in the economic cycle, many would say that small-caps are fairly valued or even a little

overvalued, depending on which metrics you use.

My counter to that is that small-cap is a sort of generic term. What we at Weatherbie do is invest in high quality, smaller-cap growth companies whose business models and business prospects allow them to grow at a superior rate even in a sluggish economy. In fact, based on our own bottom-up earnings growth analysis, we are projecting a 20 percent earnings growth rate for our portfolio companies in 2011, 2012 and into 2013. These are our own bottom-up projections based on our detailed knowledge of these companies and do not depend on a certain growth rate in GDP.

In this slow growth economy there are certain types of companies that are especially attractive to us, and they are the ones that control their own destiny.

What is a new holding in the portion of the Liberty All-Star Growth Fund that you manage, and what was the rationale for purchasing it?

Normally we are sparing in our use of initial public offerings (IPOs) because we want to make sure that our portfolio

Based on our own bottom-up earnings growth analysis, we are projecting a 20 percent earnings growth rate for our portfolio companies in 2011, 2012 and into 2013

companies have robust business models. But, we did buy a recent technology IPO called Fusion-io. This is a data storage company that we think is extremely well positioned to grow in the next few years. The way that Fusion-io manages the explosive growth in stored information makes it attractive to social media companies like Facebook, Apple, Microsoft and others. Fusion-io offers a new storage memory platform that significantly improves the processing capabilities within a data center by moving critical data closer to the CPU where it is processed. Called data decentralization, this combination of hardware and software increases data center efficiency. There has been a tremendous demand for new storage solutions to deal with companies like Facebook and others that are in the vanguard of social media. The unique and differentiated solutions that Fusion-io offers

enable the company to realize gross margins in the range of 55 percent. Right now, Fusion-io has about \$150 million in annual revenues; but the total addressable market could be over \$20 billion, so there is plenty of room for robust growth. Finally, we like the management, including the venture capital managers who took the company public. With IPOs it is important to look at the quality of the venture capitalists backing the firm; in Fusion-io's case, one of the prominent backers is a VC firm we have had great success with in the past.

Matt, thank you for the great insights.

Edgar Filing: LIBERTY ALL STAR GROWTH FUND INC. - Form N-CSRS

Schedule of Investments
June 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

Liberty All-Star® Growth Fund

	SHARES	MARKET VALUE
COMMON STOCKS (98.02%)		
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY (12.63%)		
Automobiles (0.47%)		
Thor Industries, Inc.	23,408	\$675,087
Distributors (1.26%)		
LKQ Corp. ^(a)	69,676	1,817,847
Diversified Consumer Services (1.40%)		
Capella Education Co. ^(a)	16,845	704,964
Global Education & Technology Group Ltd. ^{(a)(b)}	46,213	249,550
Strayer Education, Inc.	6,900	872,091
Xueda Education Group ^{(a)(b)}	24,423	195,384
		2,021,989
Hotels, Restaurants & Leisure (1.21%)		
BJ's Restaurants, Inc. ^(a)	14,705	769,954
Ctrip.com International Ltd. ^{(a)(b)}	22,866	985,067
		1,755,021
Household Durables (0.61%)		
Harman International Industries, Inc.	19,304	879,683
Internet & Catalog Retail (2.26%)		
Amazon.com, Inc. ^(a)	8,240	1,684,998
Expedia, Inc.	8,500	246,415
HomeAway, Inc. ^(a)	300	11,610
priceline.com, Inc. ^(a)	2,600	1,331,018
		3,274,041
Specialty Retail (3.27%)		
CarMax, Inc. ^(a)	28,500	942,495
Monro Muffler Brake, Inc.	12,479	465,342
Rue21, Inc. ^(a)	64,347	2,091,277
Ulta Salon, Cosmetics & Fragrance, Inc. ^(a)	10,750	694,235
Urban Outfitters, Inc. ^(a)	18,900	532,035
		4,725,384
Textiles, Apparel & Luxury Goods (2.15%)		
Fossil, Inc. ^(a)	10,400	1,224,288
Gildan Activewear, Inc.	22,900	805,393
Under Armour, Inc., Class A ^(a)	14,033	1,084,891
		3,114,572
CONSUMER STAPLES (3.31%)		
Food & Staples Retailing (0.70%)		

Edgar Filing: LIBERTY ALL STAR GROWTH FUND INC. - Form N-CSRS

Costco Wholesale Corp.	12,500	1,015,500
Food Products (2.61%)		
Green Mountain Coffee Roasters, Inc. ^(a)	27,600	2,463,576

See Notes to Schedule of Investments and Financial Statements.

10

www.all-starfunds.com

Edgar Filing: LIBERTY ALL STAR GROWTH FUND INC. - Form N-CSRS

Liberty All-Star® Growth Fund

Schedule of Investments

	SHARES	MARKET VALUE
COMMON STOCKS (continued)		
Food Products (continued)		
Mead Johnson Nutrition Co.	19,400	\$1,310,470
		3,774,046
ENERGY (9.29%)		
Energy Equipment & Services (7.38%)		
CARBO Ceramics, Inc.	5,900	961,405
Core Laboratories N.V.	22,711	2,533,185
Dril-Quip, Inc. ^(a)	12,049	817,284
FMC Technologies, Inc. ^(a)	54,300	2,432,097
Oceanering International, Inc.	53,000	2,146,500
Schlumberger Ltd.	20,595	1,779,408
		10,669,879
Oil, Gas & Consumable Fuels (1.91%)		
Brigham Exploration Co. ^(a)	14,300	427,999
Occidental Petroleum Corp.	15,800	1,643,832
Ultra Petroleum Corp. ^(a)	15,300	700,740
		2,772,571
FINANCIALS (10.13%)		
Capital Markets (3.23%)		
Affiliated Managers Group, Inc. ^(a)	12,883	1,306,980
The Charles Schwab Corp.	57,000	937,650
Duff & Phelps Corp., Class A	25,643	329,000
FXCM, Inc., Class A	24,773	245,748
SEI Investments Co.	39,200	882,392
T. Rowe Price Group, Inc.	16,000	965,440
		4,667,210
Commercial Banks (1.25%)		
Signature Bank ^(a)	31,600	1,807,520
Consumer Finance (0.48%)		
Green Dot Corp., Class A ^(a)	20,700	703,386
Diversified Financial Services (2.23%)		
MSCI, Inc., Class A ^(a)	46,189	1,740,402
Portfolio Recovery Associates, Inc. ^(a)	17,476	1,481,790
		3,222,192
Insurance (2.05%)		
ACE Ltd.	33,300	2,191,806
Greenlight Capital Re Ltd., Class A ^(a)	29,248	768,930

2,960,736

Real Estate Management & Development (0.89%)

FirstService Corp.^(a)

37,387

1,291,347

See Notes to Schedule of Investments and Financial Statements.

Semi-Annual Report (Unaudited) | June 30, 2011

11

Edgar Filing: LIBERTY ALL STAR GROWTH FUND INC. - Form N-CSRS

Schedule of Investments
June 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

Liberty All-Star® Growth Fund

	SHARES	MARKET VALUE
COMMON STOCKS (continued)		
HEALTH CARE (15.50%)		
Biotechnology (3.51%)		
BioMarin Pharmaceutical, Inc. ^(a)	58,218	\$1,584,112
Dendreon Corp. ^(a)	24,500	966,280
Human Genome Sciences, Inc. ^(a)	39,588	971,489
Ironwood Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ^(a)	19,500	306,540
Pharmasset, Inc. ^(a)	2,100	235,620
Vertex Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ^(a)	19,500	1,013,805
		5,077,846
Health Care Equipment & Supplies (4.50%)		
Accuray, Inc. ^(a)	40,464	324,117
Intuitive Surgical, Inc. ^(a)	6,800	2,530,348
Masimo Corp.	27,973	830,239
ResMed, Inc. ^(a)	12,699	393,034
Varian Medical Systems, Inc. ^(a)	22,600	1,582,452
Volcano Corp. ^(a)	26,200	845,998
		6,506,188
Health Care Providers & Services (2.19%)		
IPC The Hospitalist Co., Inc. ^(a)	16,559	767,510
Lincare Holdings, Inc.	24,990	731,457
PSS World Medical, Inc. ^(a)	33,687	943,573
VCA Antech, Inc. ^(a)	34,193	724,892
		3,167,432
Health Care Technology (1.86%)		
athenahealth, Inc. ^(a)	22,183	911,721
Cerner Corp. ^(a)	29,100	1,778,301
		2,690,022
Life Sciences Tools & Services (1.04%)		
Life Technologies Corp. ^(a)	29,000	1,510,030
Pharmaceuticals (2.40%)		
Allergan, Inc.	18,600	1,548,450
Mylan, Inc. ^(a)	38,200	942,394
Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd. ^(b)	20,210	974,526
		3,465,370
INDUSTRIALS (16.23%)		
Aerospace & Defense (2.91%)		
Aerovironment, Inc. ^(a)	26,655	942,254
HEICO Corp.	17,664	966,927
Precision Castparts Corp.	8,100	1,333,665
TransDigm Group, Inc. ^(a)	10,593	965,976

4,208,822

See Notes to Schedule of Investments and Financial Statements.

12

www.all-starfunds.com

Edgar Filing: LIBERTY ALL STAR GROWTH FUND INC. - Form N-CSRS

Liberty All-Star® Growth Fund

Schedule of Investments

	SHARES	MARKET VALUE
COMMON STOCKS (continued)		
Air Freight & Logistics (3.72%)		
C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.	40,850	\$3,220,614
Expeditors International of Washington, Inc.	42,100	2,155,099
		5,375,713
Commercial Services & Supplies (1.64%)		
American Reprographics Co. ^(a)	45,920	324,654
Stericycle, Inc. ^(a)	10,457	931,928
Waste Connections, Inc.	35,080	1,113,089
		2,369,671
Electrical Equipment (1.98%)		
II-VI, Inc. ^(a)	29,672	759,603
Rockwell Automation, Inc.	24,200	2,099,592
		2,859,195
Machinery (0.68%)		
Graco, Inc.	19,590	992,429
Professional Services (4.43%)		
Huron Consulting Group, Inc. ^(a)	27,950	844,370
ICF International, Inc. ^(a)	15,501	393,415
IHS, Inc., Class A ^(a)	28,819	2,404,081
Resources Connection, Inc.	98,098	1,181,100
Robert Half International, Inc.	34,900	943,347
Stantec, Inc. ^(a)	22,172	643,653
		6,409,966
Road & Rail (0.87%)		
Knight Transportation, Inc.	23,321	396,224
Landstar System, Inc.	18,523	860,949
		1,257,173
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (26.42%)		
Communications Equipment (4.13%)		
Aruba Networks, Inc. ^(a)	37,700	1,114,035
F5 Networks, Inc. ^(a)	9,200	1,014,300
InterDigital, Inc.	8,751	357,478
Polycom, Inc. ^(a)	13,253	852,168
QUALCOMM, Inc.	46,365	2,633,069
		5,971,050
Computers & Peripherals (2.14%)		
Apple, Inc. ^(a)	8,460	2,839,768
Fusion-io, Inc. ^(a)	8,313	250,138

3,089,906

Electronic Equipment & Instruments (1.68%)

FARO Technologies, Inc. ^(a)	20,331	890,498
FLIR Systems, Inc.	18,439	621,579

See Notes to Schedule of Investments and Financial Statements.
 Semi-Annual Report (Unaudited) | June 30, 2011

13

Edgar Filing: LIBERTY ALL STAR GROWTH FUND INC. - Form N-CSRS

Schedule of Investments
June 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

Liberty All-Star® Growth Fund

	SHARES	MARKET VALUE
COMMON STOCKS (continued)		
Electronic Equipment & Instruments (continued)		
National Instruments Corp.	22,853	\$678,505
Universal Display Corp. ^(a)	6,800	238,612
		2,429,194
Internet Software & Services (5.05%)		
Baidu, Inc. ^{(a)(b)}	16,800	2,354,184
comScore, Inc. ^(a)	12,048	312,043
Google, Inc., Class A ^(a)	3,875	1,962,223
LinkedIn Corp., Class A ^(a)	200	18,018
Monster Worldwide, Inc. ^(a)	53,749	787,960
Qihoo 360 Technology Co. Ltd. ^{(a)(b)}	1,100	21,351
VistaPrint Ltd. ^(a)	15,480	740,718
Youku.com, Inc. ^{(a)(b)}	32,464	1,115,138
		7,311,635
IT Services (3.13%)		
Cognizant Technology Solutions Corp., Class A ^(a)	20,100	1,474,134
FleetCor Technologies, Inc. ^(a)	9,336	276,719
VeriFone Systems, Inc. ^(a)	40,002	1,774,089
Visa, Inc., Class A	11,915	1,003,958
		4,528,900
Semiconductors & Semiconductor Equipment (2.86%)		
ARM Holdings PLC ^(b)	80,700	2,294,301
Cavium, Inc. ^(a)	21,188	923,585
Hittite Microwave Corp. ^(a)	14,793	915,834
		4,133,720
Software (7.43%)		
ANSYS, Inc. ^(a)	15,648	855,476
Concur Technologies, Inc. ^(a)	13,994	700,680
QLIK Technologies, Inc. ^(a)	37,377	1,273,061
RealPage, Inc. ^(a)	25,871	684,805
Salesforce.com, Inc. ^(a)	22,000	3,277,560
Solera Holdings, Inc.	24,440	1,445,870
SuccessFactors, Inc. ^(a)	23,900	702,660
Ultimate Software Group, Inc. ^(a)	6,353	345,794
VMware, Inc., Class A ^(a)	14,660	1,469,372
		10,755,278
MATERIALS (2.45%)		
Chemicals (1.71%)		
CF Industries Holdings, Inc.	6,100	864,187
Praxair, Inc.	14,800	1,604,172
		2,468,359

Edgar Filing: LIBERTY ALL STAR GROWTH FUND INC. - Form N-CSRS

Metals & Mining (0.74%)

Allegheny Technologies, Inc.	7,600	482,372
------------------------------	-------	---------

See Notes to Schedule of Investments and Financial Statements.

14

www.all-starfunds.com

Edgar Filing: LIBERTY ALL STAR GROWTH FUND INC. - Form N-CSRS

Liberty All-Star® Growth Fund

Schedule of Investments

	SHARES	MARKET VALUE
COMMON STOCKS (continued)		
Metals & Mining (continued)		
Silver Wheaton Corp.	17,900	\$590,700
		1,073,072
TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICES (1.35%)		
Wireless Telecommunication Services (1.35%)		
American Tower Corp., Class A ^(a)	37,300	1,950,790
UTILITIES (0.71%)		
Electric Utilities (0.71%)		
ITC Holdings Corp.	14,389	1,032,698
TOTAL COMMON STOCKS		
(COST OF \$107,959,194)		141,782,470
PAR VALUE		
SHORT TERM INVESTMENT (2.02%)		
REPURCHASE AGREEMENT (2.02%)		
Repurchase agreement with State Street Bank & Trust Co., dated 06/30/11, due 07/01/11 at 0.01%, collateralized by several Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac instruments with various maturity dates, market value of \$2,981,016 (Repurchase proceeds of \$2,918,001)	\$2,918,000	2,918,000
TOTAL SHORT TERM INVESTMENT		
(COST OF \$2,918,000)		2,918,000
TOTAL INVESTMENTS (100.04%)		
(COST OF \$110,877,194) ^(c)		144,700,470
LIABILITIES IN EXCESS OF OTHER ASSETS (-0.04%)		(62,706)
NET ASSETS (100.00%)		\$144,637,764
NET ASSET VALUE PER SHARE		
(30,080,350 SHARES OUTSTANDING)		\$4.81

See Notes to Schedule of Investments and Financial Statements.
Semi-Annual Report (Unaudited) | June 30, 2011

15

Schedule of Investments
June 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

Liberty All-Star® Growth Fund

Notes to Schedule of Investments:

- (a) Non-income producing security.
- (b) American Depositary Receipt.
- (c) Cost of investments for federal income tax purposes is \$111,293,081.

Gross unrealized appreciation and depreciation at June 30, 2011 based on cost of investments for federal income tax purposes is as follows:

Gross unrealized appreciation	\$ 38,211,545
Gross unrealized depreciation	(4,804,156)
Net unrealized appreciation	\$ 33,407,389

For Fund compliance purposes, the Fund's industry classifications refer to any one or more of the industry sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or ratings group indexes, and/or as defined by Fund management. This definition may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine industry sub-classifications for reporting ease. Industries are shown as a percent of net assets. These industry classifications are unaudited.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

16

www.all-starfunds.com

Edgar Filing: LIBERTY ALL STAR GROWTH FUND INC. - Form N-CSRS

Liberty All-Star® Growth Fund

Statement of Assets and Liabilities

ASSETS:

Investments at market value (Cost \$110,877,194)	\$144,700,470
Cash	854
Receivable for investment securities sold	430,567
Dividends and interest receivable	46,028
Prepaid and other assets	18,381
Total Assets	145,196,300

LIABILITIES:

Payable for investments purchased	369,066
Investment advisory fee payable	91,663
Payable for administration, pricing and bookkeeping fees	28,689
Accrued expenses	69,118
Total Liabilities	558,536

Net Assets \$144,637,764

NET ASSETS REPRESENTED BY:

Paid-in capital	\$113,600,217
Overdistributed net investment income	(5,094,192)
Accumulated net realized gain on investments	2,308,463
Net unrealized appreciation on investments	33,823,276

Net Assets \$144,637,764

Shares of common stock outstanding
(authorized 60,000,000 shares at \$0.10 Par) 30,080,350

Net Asset Value Per Share \$4.81

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Semi-Annual Report (Unaudited) | June 30, 2011

17

Edgar Filing: LIBERTY ALL STAR GROWTH FUND INC. - Form N-CSRS

Statement of Operations
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

Liberty All-Star® Growth Fund

INVESTMENT INCOME:

Dividends (Net of foreign taxes withheld at source which amounted to \$4,006)	\$326,017
Interest	170

Total Investment Income 326,187

EXPENSES:

Investment advisory fee	566,612
Administration fee	141,653
Pricing and bookkeeping fees	34,831
Audit fee	13,996
Custodian fee	24,910
Directors' fees and expenses	36,925
Insurance expense	4,064
Legal fees	245,354
NYSE fee	14,088
Shareholder communication expenses	33,353
Transfer agent fees	27,377
Miscellaneous expenses	3,759

Total Expenses 1,146,922

Net Investment Loss (820,735)

REALIZED AND UNREALIZED GAIN ON INVESTMENTS:

Net realized gain on investment transactions 4,716,433

Net unrealized appreciation on investments:

Beginning of year	26,342,708
End of period	33,823,276

Net change in unrealized appreciation 7,480,568

Net Realized and Unrealized Gain on Investments 12,197,001

Net Increase in Net Assets from Operations \$11,376,266

See Notes to Financial Statements.

18

www.all-starfunds.com

Liberty All-Star® Growth Fund

Statements of Changes in Net Assets

	For the Six	
	Months Ended	For the
	June 30, 2011	Year Ended
	(Unaudited)	December 31, 2010
FROM OPERATIONS:		
Net investment loss	\$(820,735)	\$(1,175,567)
Net realized gain on investment transactions	4,716,433	5,137,175
Net change in unrealized appreciation	7,480,568	20,620,701
Net Increase in Net Assets From Operations	11,376,266	24,582,309
DISTRIBUTIONS TO SHAREHOLDERS:		
From net investment income	(4,211,249)	(5,615,921)
Tax return of capital		(1,904,168)
Total Distributions	(4,211,249)	(7,520,089)
Net Increase in Net Assets	7,165,017	17,062,220
NET ASSETS:		
Beginning of period	137,472,747	120,410,527
End of period (Includes overdistributed net investment income of \$ (5,094,192) and \$ (62,208), respectively)	\$144,637,764	\$137,472,747

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Semi-Annual Report (Unaudited) | June 30, 2011

19

	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 (Unaudited)
Per Share Operating Performance:	
Net asset value at beginning of period	\$4.57
Income from investment operations:	
Net investment loss ^(a)	(0.03)
Net realized and unrealized gain/(loss) on investments	0.41
Total from Investment Operations	0.38
Less Distributions to Shareholders:	
Net investment income	(0.14)
Net realized gain on investments	
Tax return of capital	
Total Distributions	(0.14)
Net asset value at end of period	\$4.81
Market price at end of period	\$4.44
Total Investment Return For Shareholders:^(b)	
Based on net asset value	8.7% ^(c)
Based on market price	7.9% ^(c)
Ratios and Supplemental Data:	
Net assets at end of period (millions)	\$145
Ratio of expenses to average net assets	1.62% ^(d)
Ratio of net investment loss to average net assets	(1.16)% ^(d)
Portfolio turnover rate	15% ^(e)

^(a) Calculated using average shares outstanding during the period.

^(b) Calculated assuming all distributions are reinvested at actual reinvestment prices. The net asset value and market price returns will differ depending upon the level of any discount from or premium to net asset value at which the Fund's shares traded during the period. Past performance is not a guarantee of future results.

^(c) Not annualized.

^(d) Annualized.

^(e) The benefits derived from custody credits and directed brokerage arrangements, if any had an impact of less than 0.01%.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

20

www.all-starfunds.com

Edgar Filing: LIBERTY ALL STAR GROWTH FUND INC. - Form N-CSRS

Liberty All-Star® Growth Fund

Financial Highlights

For the Year Ended December 31, 2010	For the Year Ended December 31, 2009	For the Year Ended December 31, 2008	For the Year Ended December 31, 2007	For the Year Ended December 31, 2006
\$4.00	\$3.24	\$6.03	\$5.69	\$5.97
(0.04)	(0.02)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.04)
0.86	1.02	(2.29)	0.98	0.35
0.82	1.00	(2.32)	0.95	0.31
(0.19)		(0.02)	(0.61)	(0.47)
(0.06)	(0.24)	(0.45)		(0.12)
(0.25)	(0.24)	(0.47)	(0.61)	(0.59)
\$4.57	\$4.00	\$3.24	\$6.03	\$5.69
\$4.25	\$3.36	\$2.60	\$5.96	\$5.37
21.8%	34.6%	(40.0%)	17.9%	6.4%
34.8%	40.8%	(51.3%)	23.5%	10.2%
\$137	\$120	\$96	\$172	\$157
1.79%	1.44%	1.46%	1.28% ^(e)	1.40% ^(e)
(0.95%)	(0.58%)	(0.74%)	(0.51%) ^(e)	(0.73%) ^(e)
80%	135%	97%	60%	52%

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Semi-Annual Report (Unaudited) | June 30, 2011

21

NOTE 1. ORGANIZATION

Liberty All-Star® Growth Fund, Inc. (the Fund) is a Maryland corporation registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the Act), as amended, as a diversified, closed-end management investment company.

Investment Goal

The Fund seeks long-term capital appreciation.

Fund Shares

The Fund may issue 60,000,000 shares of common stock at \$0.10 par.

NOTE 2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Actual results could differ from these estimates. The following is a summary of significant accounting policies consistently followed by the Fund in the preparation of its financial statements.

Security Valuation

Equity securities including common stocks and exchange traded funds are valued at the last sale price at the close of the principal exchange on which they trade, except for securities listed on the National Association of Securities Dealers Automated Quotations (NASDAQ) exchange, which are valued at the NASDAQ official closing price. Unlisted securities or listed securities for which there were no sales during the day are valued at the closing bid price on such exchanges or over-the-counter markets.

Short-term debt obligations maturing in more than 60 days for which market quotations are readily available are valued at current market value. Short-term debt obligations maturing within 60 days are valued at amortized cost, which approximates market value.

Investments for which market quotations are not readily available are valued at fair value as determined in good faith under consistently applied procedures approved by and under the general supervision of the Fund's Board of Directors.

Foreign Securities

The Fund invests in foreign securities including American Depositary Receipts, which may involve a number of risk factors and special considerations not present with investments in securities of U.S. corporations.

Security Transactions

Security transactions are recorded on trade date. Cost is determined and gains/(losses) are based upon the specific identification method for both financial statement and federal income tax purposes.

Repurchase Agreements

Edgar Filing: LIBERTY ALL STAR GROWTH FUND INC. - Form N-CSRS

The Fund may engage in repurchase agreement transactions with institutions that the Fund's investment advisor has determined are creditworthy. The Fund, through its custodian, receives

delivery of underlying securities collateralizing a repurchase agreement. Collateral is at least equal, at all times, to the value of the repurchase obligation including interest. A repurchase agreement transaction involves certain risks in the event of default or insolvency of the counterparty. These risks include possible delays or restrictions upon a Fund's ability to dispose of the underlying securities and a possible decline in the value of the underlying securities during the period while the Fund seeks to assert its rights.

Income Recognition

Interest income is recorded on the accrual basis. Corporate actions and dividend income are recorded on the ex-date.

Fair Value Measurements

The Fund discloses the classification of its fair value measurements following a three-tier hierarchy based on the inputs used to measure fair value. Inputs refer broadly to the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, including assumptions about risk. Inputs may be observable or unobservable. Observable inputs reflect the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability that are developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity. Unobservable inputs reflect the reporting entity's own assumptions about the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability that are developed based on the best information available.

Various inputs are used in determining the value of the Fund's investments as of the end of the reporting period. When inputs used fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level in the hierarchy within which the fair value measurement falls is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The designated input levels are not necessarily an indication of the risk or liquidity associated with these investments. These inputs are categorized in the following hierarchy under applicable financial accounting standards:

Level 1 Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical investments

Level 2 Other significant observable inputs (including quoted prices for similar investments, interest rates, prepayment speeds, credit risk, etc.)

Level 3 Significant unobservable inputs (including the Fund's own assumptions in determining the fair value of investments)

The following is a summary of the inputs used to value the Fund's investments as of June 30, 2011:

Investments in Securities at	Valuation Inputs			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Value*				
Common Stocks	\$ 141,782,470	\$	\$	\$ 141,782,470
Short Term Investment		2,918,000		2,918,000
Total	\$ 141,782,470	\$ 2,918,000	\$	\$ 144,700,470

*See Schedule of Investments for industry classifications

For the six months ended June 30, 2011, the Fund did not have any significant transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 securities. The Fund did not have any securities which used significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) in determining fair value.

Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

Liberty All-Star® Growth Fund

Distributions to Shareholders

The Fund currently has a policy of paying distributions on its common shares totaling approximately 6% of its net asset value per year. The distributions are payable in four quarterly distributions of 1.5% of the Fund's net asset value at the close of the New York Stock Exchange on the Friday prior to each quarterly declaration date. Distributions to shareholders are recorded on ex-date.

NOTE 3. FEDERAL TAX INFORMATION

The timing and character of income and capital gain distributions are determined in accordance with income tax regulations, which may differ from GAAP. Reclassifications are made to the Fund's capital accounts for permanent tax differences to reflect income and gains available for distribution (or available capital loss carryforwards) under income tax regulations. If, for any calendar year, the total distributions made under the distribution policy exceed the Fund's net investment income and net realized capital gains, the excess will generally be treated as a non-taxable return of capital, reducing the shareholder's adjusted basis in his or her shares. If the Fund's net investment income and net realized capital gains for any year exceed the amount distributed under the distribution policy, the Fund may, in its discretion, retain and not distribute net realized capital gains and pay income tax thereon to the extent of such excess.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, permanent book and tax basis differences resulting primarily from excess distributions were identified and reclassified among the components of the Fund's net assets as follows:

Accumulated Net	Accumulated Net	
Investment Income	Realized Gain	Paid-In Capital
\$6,729,280	\$41,416	(\$6,770,696)

Net investment income and net realized gains/(losses), as disclosed on the Statement of Operations, and net assets were not affected by this reclassification.

Included in the amount reclassified was a net operating loss offset to Paid-In Capital of \$1,154,775.

Classification of Distributions to Shareholders

Net investment income/(loss) and net realized gain/(loss) may differ for financial statement and tax purposes. The character of distributions made during the year from net investment income or net realized gains may differ from its ultimate characterization for federal income tax purposes. Also, due to the timing of dividend distributions, the fiscal year in which amounts are distributed may differ from the fiscal year in which the income or realized gain was recorded by the Funds. The amount and characteristics of the tax basis distributions and composition of distributable earnings / (accumulated losses) are finalized at fiscal year end; accordingly, tax basis balances have not been determined as of June 30, 2011.

The tax character of distributions paid during the year ended December 31, 2010 was as follows:

	12/31/10
Distributions paid from:	
Ordinary income	\$ 5,615,921
Tax return of capital	1,904,168
Total	\$ 7,520,089

The following capital loss carryforwards are available to reduce taxable income arising from future net realized gains on investments, if any to the extent permitted by the Internal Revenue Code:

Year of Expiration	Capital Loss Carryforward
2017	\$1,769,353

The Fund used capital loss carry forwards of \$5,615,921 to offset taxable capital gains during the period ended December 31, 2010.

Future realized gains offset by the loss carryforwards are not required to be distributed to shareholders. However, under the Fund's distribution policy, such gains may be distributed to shareholders in the year the gains are realized. Any such gains distributed may be taxable to shareholders as ordinary income.

As of December 31, 2010, the components of distributable earnings on a tax basis were as follows:

Accumulated Capital Losses	Net Unrealized Appreciation	Other Cumulative Effect of Timing Differences
(\$2,080,911)	\$ 25,953,441	0

The differences between book-basis and tax-basis are primarily due to deferral of losses from wash sales.

Under current tax regulations, capital losses on securities transactions realized after October 31 may be deferred and treated as occurring on the first day of the following fiscal year.

As of December 31, 2010, for federal income tax purposes, the Fund elected to defer post-October losses of \$311,558 to December 31, 2011.

Federal Income Tax Status

For federal income tax purposes, the Fund currently qualifies, and intends to remain qualified, as a regulated investment company under the provisions of Subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code by distributing substantially all of its investment company taxable net income including realized gain, not offset by capital loss carryforwards, if any, to its shareholders. Accordingly, no provision for federal income or excise taxes has been made.

Management has concluded that the Fund has taken no uncertain tax positions that require recognition in the financial statements. The Fund files income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and Colorado. For the years ended December 31, 2007, December 31, 2008, December 31, 2009, and December 31, 2010 the Fund's returns are still open to examination by the appropriate taxing authorities.

NOTE 4. FEES AND COMPENSATION PAID TO AFFILIATES**Investment Advisory Fee**

ALPS Advisors, Inc. (AAI) serves as the investment advisor to the Fund. AAI receives a monthly investment advisory fee based on the Fund's average daily net assets at the following annual rates:

Average Daily	Annual
Net Assets	Fee Rate
First \$300 million	0.80%
Over \$300 million	0.72%

AAI retains multiple Portfolio Managers to manage the Fund's investments in various asset classes. AAI pays each Portfolio Manager a portfolio management fee based on the assets of the investment portfolio that they manage. The portfolio management fee is paid from the investment advisory fees collected by AAI and is based on the Fund's average daily net assets at the following annual rates:

Average Daily	Annual
Net Assets	Fee Rate
First \$300 million	0.40%
Over \$300 million	0.36%

Administration, Bookkeeping and Pricing Services Agreement

ALPS Fund Services, Inc. (ALPS) provides administrative and other services to the Fund for a monthly administration fee based on the Fund's average daily net assets at the following annual rates:

Average Daily	Annual
Net Assets	Fee Rate
First \$300 million	0.20%
Over \$300 million	0.18%

In addition, ALPS provides bookkeeping and pricing services to the Fund for an annual fee consisting of: (i) \$38,000 paid monthly plus 0.015% on the average daily net assets for the month; and (ii) a multi-manager fee based on the number of portfolio managers; provided that during any 12-month period, the aggregate amount of (i) shall not exceed \$140,000 (exclusive of out-of-pocket expenses and charges). The Fund also reimburses ALPS for out-of-pocket expenses and charges, including fees payable to third parties for pricing the Fund's portfolio securities and direct internal costs incurred by ALPS in connection with providing fund accounting oversight and monitoring and certain other services.

Fees Paid to Officers

All officers of the Fund, including the Fund's Chief Compliance Officer, are employees of AAI or its affiliates, and receive no compensation from the Fund. The Board of Directors has appointed a Chief Compliance Officer to the Fund in accordance with federal securities regulations.

NOTE 5. PORTFOLIO INFORMATION

Purchases and Sales of Securities

For the six months ended June 30, 2011, the cost of purchases and proceeds from sales of securities, excluding short-term obligations, were \$20,752,149 and \$25,632,283 , respectively.

NOTE 6. INDEMNIFICATION

In the normal course of business, the Fund enters into contracts that contain a variety of representations and warranties and which provide general indemnities. The Fund's maximum exposure under these arrangements is unknown, as this would involve future claims against the Fund. Also, under the Fund's organizational documents and by contract, the Directors and Officers of the Fund are indemnified against certain liabilities that may arise out of their duties to the Fund. However, based on experience, the Fund expects the risk of loss due to these warranties and indemnities to be minimal.

NOTE 7. RESULTS OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

On June 30, 2011, the Annual Meeting of Shareholders of the Fund was held to elect two Directors. On April 1, 2011, the record date for the meeting, the Fund had outstanding 30,080,350 shares of common stock. The votes cast at the meeting were as follows:

Proposal to elect two Directors:

	For	Withheld
John A. Benning	22,363,442	3,898,538
Richard C. Rantzow	22,385,817	3,876,163

NOTE 8. OTHER MATTERS**Maryland Statutes**

By resolution of the Board of Directors, the Fund has opted into the Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act and the Maryland Business Combination Act. In general, the Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act provides that control shares of a Maryland corporation acquired in a control share acquisition may not be voted except to the extent approved by shareholders at a meeting by a vote of two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast on the matter (excluding shares owned by the acquiror and by officers or directors who are employees of the corporation).

Control shares are voting shares of stock which, if aggregated with all other shares of stock owned by the acquiror or in respect of which the acquiror is able to exercise or direct the exercise of voting power (except solely by virtue of a revocable proxy), would entitle the acquiror to exercise voting power in electing directors within certain statutorily defined ranges (one-tenth but less than one-third, one-third but less than a majority, and more than a majority of the voting power). In general, the Maryland Business Combination Act prohibits an interested shareholder (a shareholder that holds 10% or more of the voting power of the outstanding stock of the corporation) of a Maryland corporation from engaging in a business combination (generally defined to include a merger, consolidation, share exchange, sale of a substantial amount of assets, a transfer of the corporation's securities and similar transactions to or with the interested shareholder or an entity affiliated with the interested shareholder) with the corporation for a period of five years after the most recent date on which the interested shareholder became an interested shareholder. At the time of adoption, March 19, 2009, the Board and the Fund were not aware, and currently are not aware, of any shareholder that held control shares or that was an interested shareholder under the statutes.

9. SUBSEQUENT EVENT

The Funds have evaluated the need for disclosures and/or adjustments resulting from subsequent events through the date the financial statements were issued. Based on this evaluation, no adjustments were required to the financial statements as of June 30, 2011.

On July 19, 2011, ALPS Holdings, Inc. (ALPS) and its various subsidiaries (including, ALPS Advisors, Inc., ALPS Fund Services, Inc. and ALPS Distributors, Inc.), entered into a merger agreement (Transaction Agreement) providing for the acquisition of ALPS by DST Systems, Inc. (DST). If the transaction contemplated by the Transaction Agreement (the Transaction) is completed, ALPS will become a wholly owned subsidiary of DST, a publicly traded company. Completion of the Transaction is subject to a number of conditions, including without limitation obtaining regulatory approval and the consent to the Transaction by a certain percentage of ALPS clients representing a specified percentage of the annualized revenue of ALPS and its subsidiaries. ALPS and DST currently expect to complete the Transaction in the fourth quarter of 2011.

BOARD CONSIDERATION OF THE RENEWAL OF THE FUND MANAGEMENT AND PORTFOLIO MANAGEMENT AGREEMENTS

The Investment Company Act of 1940 requires that the Board of Directors (Board) of the Liberty All-Star Growth Fund, Inc. (Fund), including all of the Directors who are not interested persons of the Fund (Independent Directors), annually review the Fund's investment advisory agreements and consider whether or not to renew them for an additional year. At its meeting on June 30, 2011, the Board, including a majority of the Independent Directors, conducted such a review and approved the continuation of the Fund Management Agreement between the Fund and ALPS Advisors, Inc. (AAI) and the two separate Portfolio Management Agreements, among the Fund, AAI and the following independent investment management firms (each, a Portfolio Manager): M.A. Weatherbie & Co., Inc. (Weatherbie) and TCW Investment Management Company (TCW).

Prior to the Board action, the Independent Directors met to consider management's recommendations with respect to the renewal of the Fund Management Agreement and the Portfolio Management Agreements (each, an Agreement and, collectively, the Agreements). In reaching its decision to renew each Agreement, the Board considered the overall fairness of the Agreement and whether the Agreement was in the best interest of the Fund. The Board further considered factors it deemed relevant with respect to the Fund, including (1) the nature, quality and extent of services provided to the Fund by AAI, its affiliates and each Portfolio Manager; (2) the performance of the Fund and the Portfolio Managers; (3) the level of the Fund's management and portfolio management fees and expense ratios; (4) the costs of the services provided and profits realized by AAI and its affiliates from their relationship with the Fund; (5) the extent to which economies of scale would be realized as the Fund grows and whether fee levels will reflect economies of scale for the benefit of shareholders; (6) the fall-out benefits to AAI, each Portfolio Manager and their respective affiliates (i.e., any direct or indirect benefits to be derived by AAI, each Portfolio Manager and their respective affiliates from their relationships with the Fund); and (7) other general information about AAI and each Portfolio Manager. In considering each Agreement, the Board did not identify any single factor or information as all-important or controlling and each Director may have attributed different weight to each factor.

The Board considered these factors in the context of the Fund's multi-manager methodology, which seeks to achieve more consistent and less volatile performance over the long term than if a single Portfolio Manager was employed. The Fund allocates its portfolio assets among Portfolio Managers recommended by AAI and approved by the Board, currently two for the Fund. The Board noted that each Portfolio Manager employs a different investment style and/or strategy, and from time to time AAI rebalances the Fund's portfolio assets among the Portfolio Managers. The Board also noted AAI continuously analyzes and evaluates each Portfolio Manager's investment performance and portfolio composition and, from time to time, recommends changes in the Portfolio Managers.

In connection with its deliberations, the Board took into account information furnished throughout the year at regular and special Board meetings, as well as information prepared specifically in connection with the annual renewal and approval process. Information furnished and discussed throughout the year included AAI's analysis of the Fund's investment performance and related financial information for the Fund, presentations given by the Fund's Portfolio Managers, as well as periodic reports on legal,

Re-Approval of the

Investment Advisory Contracts
June 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

Liberty All-Star® Growth Fund

compliance, brokerage commissions and execution and other services provided by AAI, the Portfolio Managers and their affiliates. Information furnished specifically in connection with the renewal process included, among other things, a report of the Fund's investment performance over various time periods as compared to a peer universe and a market index and the Fund's fees and expenses as compared to comparable groups of closed-end funds and open-end multi-managed funds based, in part, on information from Lipper, Inc. (Lipper), an independent organization, as well as additional materials prepared by AAI. The information provided by AAI generally included information reflecting the Fund's management fees, expense ratios, investment performance and profitability, including AAI's profitability with respect to the Fund.

As part of the process to consider the Agreements, legal counsel to the Independent Directors requested information from AAI and each Portfolio Manager. In response to these requests, the Independent Directors received reports from AAI and each Portfolio Manager that addressed specific factors designed to inform the Board's consideration of each Agreement. Counsel also provided the Independent Directors and the Board with a memorandum discussing the legal standards applicable to their consideration of the Agreements. Based on its evaluation of all material factors, the Board unanimously concluded that the terms of each Agreement were reasonable and fair and that the renewal of each Agreement was in the best interests of the Fund and its shareholders. The following is a summary of the Board's discussion and conclusions regarding these matters.

Nature, Extent and Quality of the Services Provided

The Board considered the nature, extent and quality of the portfolio manager selection, evaluation and monitoring services provided by AAI, and the portfolio management services provided by each Portfolio Manager, in light of the investment objective of the Fund. In connection with its review, the Board considered its long association with AAI and AAI's relationships with the Portfolio Managers and their personnel and the Board's familiarity with their culture to evaluate the services to be provided. In particular, the Board considered the AAI team's long-term history of care and conscientiousness in the management of the Fund and the oversight of the Portfolio Managers. The Board also considered the nature, extent and quality of the administrative services provided to the Fund by ALPS Fund Services, Inc., an affiliate of AAI. The Board noted the steps that AAI has taken to encourage strong performance, including AAI's willingness to recommend Portfolio Manager changes when necessary to address performance issues.

The Board also considered each Portfolio Manager's demonstrated consistency in investment approach. The Board considered that TCW manages the large-cap and mid-cap growth and Weatherbie manages the small-cap growth portions of the Fund's portfolio. The Board reviewed the background and experience of the personnel at AAI responsible for Portfolio Manager selection, evaluation and monitoring for the Fund and at the Portfolio Manager responsible for managing the Fund's portfolio. The Board also considered the overall financial strength of AAI, effect on the Fund of any turnover in personnel at each Portfolio Manager, the insurance maintained by each Portfolio Manager and the compliance records of AAI and each Portfolio Manager.

The Board determined that the quality of the services provided by the senior advisory personnel employed by AAI and by the Portfolio Managers had been consistent with or superior to quality norms in the industry, and that AAI and the respective Portfolio Managers would continue to have sufficient

Liberty All-Star® Growth Fund

Investment Advisory Contracts

personnel, with the appropriate experience, to serve the Fund effectively. The Board also determined that the AAI and Portfolio Managers personnel who provide services to the Fund had appropriate education and experience to serve the Fund effectively and had demonstrated their continuing ability to attract and retain well-qualified personnel. In addition, the Board noted that the structure of AAI's operations was sufficient to retain and properly motivate the Fund's current senior advisory personnel. The Board concluded that the nature, extent and quality of the services provided by AAI and the Portfolio Managers were appropriate and consistent with the terms of the Agreements and that the Fund was likely to continue to benefit from services provided under the Agreements.

Investment Performance

The Board reviewed the long-term and short-term investment performance of the Fund over multiple periods, which generally included annual total returns both on an absolute basis and relative to an appropriate benchmark and/or Lipper peer universe. The Board reviewed the Fund's performance based on both net asset value and market price and, in general, considered long-term performance to be more important in its evaluation than short-term performance. In addition, the Board reviewed the performance of other investment companies and accounts managed by the Portfolio Managers and the performance of the allocated portions of the Fund in the context of the Portfolio Managers' different investment strategies and styles and the contribution of each Portfolio Manager to the Fund's overall strategy and performance.

Among other information, the Board considered that the Fund's return was strong on an absolute and a relative basis in 2010 and the first quarter of 2011. In particular, the Board considered that the Fund's performance results exceeded those of the Lipper Multi-Cap Growth Mutual Fund Average and the Russell 3000 Growth Index for all relevant periods. The performance information demonstrated to the Board the Fund's generally consistent pattern of favorable long-term performance and supported the renewal of the Agreements.

Costs of the Services Provided to the Fund

The Board reviewed the fees paid by the Fund to AAI and the fees paid by AAI to the Portfolio Managers as well as information provided by AAI about the management fees and overall expense ratio, for selected closed-end growth, core and value funds and multi-manager open-end equity funds. The Board noted that the Fund's management fee and total expense ratio were higher than the median for the closed-end growth, core and value funds and the multi-manager open-end equity funds. The Board determined, however, that the higher contractual management fees and expense ratios were generally consistent with the higher costs and greater complexity associated with the management of a closed-end multi-manager fund. The Board also considered the additional expense incurred by the Fund as a result of the proxy solicitation by dissident shareholders, which increased the Fund's total expense ratio.

The Board noted that AAI currently does not have any institutional clients with investment objectives and strategies comparable to those of the Fund. The Board reviewed the breakpoint schedule that lowers the management fee rate as the Fund's assets increase. The Board also considered the management fees paid to the Portfolio Managers and the fee rates charged by the Portfolio Managers to their other accounts, including institutional accounts. The Board considered that the Portfolio Managers were paid by AAI, not the Fund. The Board also considered the

Re-Approval of the

Investment Advisory Contracts
June 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

Liberty All-Star® Growth Fund

differences in the level of services provided and the differences in responsibility of AAI and the Portfolio Managers to the Fund and to other accounts. The Board concluded that the management fees payable by the Fund to AAI and the fees payable by AAI to the Portfolio Managers were reasonable in relation to the nature and quality of the services provided, taking into account the management fees paid by selected closed-end growth, core and value funds and multi-manager open-end equity funds.

Profitability and Costs of Services to AAI

The Board considered the level of profits realized by AAI in connection with the operation of the Fund. The Board reviewed the profitability information setting forth the overall profitability of the Fund to AAI for the year ended December 31, 2010, as well as overall profitability information relating to the calendar years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008. In reviewing the information, attention was given to the methodology followed in allocating costs to the Fund, it being recognized that allocation methodologies are inherently subjective and various allocation methodologies may be reasonable while producing different results. In this respect, the Board noted that, while being continuously refined, AAI's cost allocation methodology was consistent with that followed in profitability report presentations for the Fund made in prior years. The Board took into account management's ongoing costs and expenditures in providing and improving services for the Fund as well as the ongoing need to meet regulatory and compliance requirements. In addition, the Board considered information prepared by management comparing the profitability of AAI on an overall basis to other investment company managers. The Board also considered the extent to which AAI and its affiliates might derive ancillary benefits from the Fund, noting that an affiliate of AAI serves as the Fund's administrator and receives compensation for acting in this capacity.

The Board considered that AAI has advised the Board that it does not regard Portfolio Manager profitability as meaningful to an evaluation of the Portfolio Manager Agreements because the willingness of the Portfolio Managers to serve in such capacity depends primarily upon arm's-length negotiations with AAI, AAI generally is aware of the fees charged by the Portfolio Managers to other clients, and AAI believes that the fees agreed upon with the Portfolio Managers are reasonable in light of the quality of investment advisory services rendered. The Board accepted AAI's explanations in light of the Board's findings as to the reasonableness of the aggregate management fees paid by the Fund and the fact that each Portfolio Manager's fee is paid by AAI and not the Fund. The Board acknowledged that, as a business matter, AAI was entitled to earn reasonable profits for its services to the Fund. The Board determined that AAI's profitability was reasonable in relation to the services provided and to the costs of providing management services to the Fund and supported the renewal of the Agreements.

Extent of Economies of Scale as the Fund Grows and Whether Fee Levels Reflect Economies of Scale

The Board considered whether economies of scale are realized by AAI as the Fund grows larger and the extent to which this is reflected in the level of management fees charged. The Board reviewed the fee breakpoint schedules under the Agreements and concluded that the schedules reflect economies of scale with respect to the selection, evaluation and monitoring of Portfolio Managers and other services performed by AAI and the management of Fund assets by each Portfolio Manager. In this regard, the

Board recognized that, although the Fund is not currently at an asset level at which it can take advantage of the breakpoints in its fee schedule, the schedule is structured so that when the Fund's assets increase, economies of scale may be shared for the benefit of shareholders. Based on the foregoing, the Board concluded that the breakpoint schedules allow the Fund to realize economies of scale, which supports the renewal of the Agreements.

Benefits to be Derived from the Relationship with the Fund

The Board also considered the potential fall-out benefits that AAI or the Portfolio Managers might receive in connection with their association with the Fund. In its consideration of the Agreements, the Board noted, among other things, that AAI and the Portfolio Managers may derive ancillary benefits from the Fund's operations. For example, under the Agreements, AAI may request that transactions giving rise to brokerage commissions be executed through brokers and dealers that provide brokerage or research services to the Fund or AAI. Each Portfolio Manager, through its position as a Portfolio Manager to the Fund, also may engage in soft dollar transactions. In advance of the meeting, the Board received information regarding each Portfolio Manager's procedures for executing portfolio transactions for the allocated portion(s) of the Fund and each Portfolio Manager's soft dollar policies and procedures. In addition, the Board considered that a Portfolio Manager may be affiliated with registered broker-dealers who may, from time to time, receive brokerage commissions from the Fund in connection with the purchase and sale of portfolio securities; provided, however, that those transactions, among other things, must be consistent with seeking best execution. The Board determined that the foregoing ancillary benefits were consistent with the renewal of the Agreements.

Conclusions

Based on its evaluation of all material factors, the Board unanimously concluded that the terms of each Agreement were reasonable and fair and that the renewal of each Agreement was in the best interests of the Fund and its shareholders.

Description of Lipper

Benchmark and Market Indices

Liberty All-Star® Growth Fund

LIPPER MULTI-CAP GROWTH MUTUAL FUND AVERAGE

The average of funds that, by portfolio practice, invest in a variety of market capitalization ranges without concentrating 75% of their equity assets in any one market capitalization range over an extended period of time. Multi-Cap growth funds typically have an above-average price-to-earnings ratio, price-to-book ratio, and three-year sales-per-share growth value, compared to the S&P SuperComposite 1500 Index.

NASDAQ COMPOSITE INDEX

Measures all NASDAQ domestic and international based common type stocks listed on the NASDAQ Stock Market.

RUSSELL 3000® GROWTH INDEX

Measures the performance of those Russell 3000® companies with higher price-to-book-ratios and higher forecasted growth values. The Russell 3000® Index measures the performance of the 3,000 largest U.S. companies based on total market capitalization, which represents approximately 98% of the investable U.S. equity market.

RUSSELL 1000® GROWTH INDEX (LARGECAP)

Measures the performance of those Russell 1000® companies with higher price-to-book ratios and higher forecasted growth values. The Russell 1000® Index measures the performance of the 1,000 largest companies in the Russell 3000® Index.

RUSSELL MIDCAP® GROWTH INDEX

Measures the performance of those Russell Midcap® companies with higher price-to-book ratios and higher forecasted growth values. The Russell Midcap® Index measures the performance of the 800 smallest companies in the Russell 1000® Index.

RUSSELL 2000® GROWTH INDEX (SMALLCAP)

Measures the performance of those Russell 2000® companies with higher price-to-book ratios and higher forecasted growth values. The Russell 2000® Index measures the performance of the 2,000 smallest companies in the Russell 3000® Index.

RUSSELL 2000® VALUE INDEX

Measures the performance of the broad value segment of U.S. equity value universe. It includes those Russell 3000 Index companies with lower price-to-book ratios and lower forecasted growth values. The Russell 3000 Value Index is constructed to provide a comprehensive, unbiased,

Edgar Filing: LIBERTY ALL STAR GROWTH FUND INC. - Form N-CSRS

and stable barometer of the broad value market. The Index is completely reconstituted annually to ensure new and growing equities are included and that the represented companies continue to reflect value characteristics.

S&P 500 INDEX

A representative sample of 500 leading companies in leading industries of the U.S. economy. Focuses on the large-cap segment of the market with approximately 75% coverage of U.S. equities. of the market with approximately 75% coverage of U.S. equities.

Liberty All-Star® Growth Fund

Notes
June 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

Semi-Annual Report (Unaudited) | June 30, 2011

35

Notes

Liberty All-Star® Growth Fund

36

www.all-starfunds.com

INVESTMENT ADVISOR

ALPS Advisors, Inc.
1290 Broadway, Suite 1100
Denver, Colorado 80203
303-623-2577
www.all-starfunds.com

**INDEPENDENT REGISTERED
PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

Deloitte & Touche LLP
555 Seventeenth Street, Suite 3600
Denver, Colorado 80202

CUSTODIAN

State Street Bank & Trust Company
One Lincoln Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02111

**INVESTOR ASSISTANCE,
TRANSFER & DIVIDEND
DISBURSING AGENT & REGISTRAR**

Computershare Trust Company, N.A.
P.O. Box 43078
Providence, Rhode Island 02940-3078
1-800-LIB-FUND (1-800-542-3863)
www.computershare.com

A description of the Fund's proxy voting policies and procedures is available (i) on the Securities and Exchange Commission's (SEC) website at www.sec.gov, and (ii) without charge, upon request, by calling 1-800-542-3863. Information regarding how the Fund voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the 12-month period ended June 30th is available from the SEC's website at www.sec.gov. Information regarding how the Fund voted proxies relating to portfolio securities is also available at www.all-starfunds.com.

The Fund files a complete schedule of portfolio holdings with the SEC for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year on Form N-Q. The Fund's Form N-Q's are available on the SEC's website at www.sec.gov and may be reviewed and copied at the SEC's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling 1-800-SEC-0330.

Notice is hereby given in accordance with Section 23(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 that the Fund may purchase at market prices from time to time shares of its own common stock in the open market.

This report is transmitted to shareholders of Liberty All-Star® Growth Fund, Inc. for their information. It is not a prospectus or other document intended for use in the purchase of Fund shares.

LEGAL COUNSEL

K&L Gates LLP
1601 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006

DIRECTORS

John A. Benning*
Thomas W. Brock*
Edmund J. Burke
George R. Gaspari*
Richard W. Lowry*, Chairman
Dr. John J. Neuhauser*
Richard C. Rantzow*

OFFICERS

William R. Parmentier, Jr., President
Mark T. Haley, CFA, Senior Vice President
Edmund J. Burke, Vice President
Jeremy O. May, Treasurer
Kimberly R. Storms, Assistant Treasurer
Tané Tyler, Secretary
Alex Marks, Assistant Secretary
Melanie H. Zimdars, Chief Compliance Officer

* Member of the Audit Committee

Item 2. Code of Ethics.

- (a) The registrant has, as of the end of the period covered by this report, adopted a code of ethics that applies to the registrant's principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions, regardless of whether these individuals are employed by the registrant or a third party.
- (b) The registrant's Board adopted, effective December 10, 2007, a revised code of ethics described in 2(a) above. There have been no revisions to the code since that date.
- (c) During the period covered by this report, there were not any waivers or implicit waivers to a provision of the code of ethics adopted in 2(a) above.

Item 3. Audit Committee Financial Expert.

Not Applicable to this report.

Item 4. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.

Not Applicable to this report.

Item 5. Audit Committee of Listed Registrants.

Not Applicable to this report.

Item 6. Schedule.

- (a) The registrant's Schedule I Investments in securities of unaffiliated issuers (as set forth in 17 CFR 210.12-12) is included in Item 1 of this Form N-CSR.
- (b) Not Applicable to registrant.

Item 7. Disclosure of Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures for Closed-End Management Investment Companies.

The Fund has delegated to ALPS Advisors, Inc. (the Advisor) the responsibility to vote proxies relating to portfolio securities held by the Fund. In deciding to delegate this responsibility to the Advisor, the Fund's Board reviewed and approved the policies and procedures adopted by the Advisor. These included the procedures that the Advisor follows when a vote presents a conflict between the interests of the Fund and its shareholders and the Advisor, its affiliates, its other clients or other persons.

The Advisor's policy is to vote all proxies for Fund securities in a manner considered by the Advisor to be in the best interest of the Fund and its shareholders without regard to any benefit to the Advisor, its affiliates, its other clients or other persons. The Advisor or an affiliate examines each proposal and votes against the proposal, if, in its judgment, approval or adoption of the proposal would be expected to impact adversely the current or potential market value of the issuer's securities. The Advisor or an affiliate also examines each proposal and votes the proxies against the proposal, if, in its judgment, the proposal would be expected to affect adversely the best interest of the Fund. The Advisor or an affiliate determines the best interest of the Fund in light of the potential economic return on the Fund's investment.

The Advisor addresses potential material conflicts of interest by having predetermined voting guidelines. For those proposals that require special consideration or in instances where special circumstances may require varying from the predetermined guideline, a Proxy Committee determines the vote in the best interest of the Fund, without consideration of any benefit to the Advisor, its affiliates, its other clients or other persons. The Proxy Committee is composed of

representatives of equity investments, equity research, compliance, legal and fund administration functions. In addition to the responsibilities described above, the Proxy Committee has the responsibility to review, on a semi-annual basis, the Advisor's proxy voting policies to ensure consistency with internal and regulatory agency policies and to develop additional predetermined voting guidelines to assist in the review of proxy proposals.

The Proxy Committee may vary from a predetermined guideline if it determines that voting on the proposal according to the predetermined guideline would be expected to impact adversely the current or potential market value of the issuer's securities or to affect adversely the best interest of the client. References to the best interest of a client refer to the interest of the client in terms of the potential economic return on the client's investment. In determining the vote on any proposal, the Proxy Committee does not consider any benefit other than benefits to the owner of the securities to be voted. A member of the Proxy Committee is prohibited from voting on any proposal for which he or she has a conflict of interest by reason of a direct relationship with the issuer or other party affected by a given proposal. Persons making recommendations to the Proxy Committee or its members are required to disclose to the Committee any relationship with a party making a proposal or other matter known to the person that would create a potential conflict of interest.

The Advisor has retained RiskMetric (Institutional Shareholder Services (ISS)), a third party vendor, to implement its proxy voting process. ISS provides proxy analysis, record keeping services and vote disclosure services.

Item 8. Portfolio Managers of Closed-End Management Investment Companies.

Not Applicable to this report.

Item 9. Purchases of Equity Securities by Closed-End Management Investment Company and Affiliated Purchasers.

During the six months ended June 30, 2011, there were no purchases made by or on behalf of the registrant or any affiliated purchaser, as defined in Rule 10b-18(a)(3) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act), of shares or other units of any class of the registrant's equity securities that are registered by the registrant pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act.

Item 10. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

There have not been any material changes to the procedures by which shareholders may recommend nominees to the registrant's board of directors, since those procedures were last disclosed in response to the requirements of Item 7(d)(2)(ii)(G) of Schedule 14A or this Item.

Item 11. Controls and Procedures.

- (a) The registrant's principal executive officer and principal financial officers, based on their evaluation of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days of the filing of this report, have concluded that such controls and procedures are adequately designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the registrant in Form N-CSR is accumulated and communicated to the registrant's management, including the principal executive officer and principal financial officer, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.
- (b) There were no changes in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's second fiscal quarter of the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Item 12. Exhibits.

(a)(1) The registrant's Code of Ethics for Principal Executive and Senior Financial Officers that applies to the registrant's principal executive officer and principal financial officer and as described in Item 2 hereof is incorporated by reference to Exhibit-99-12(a)(1) to the registrant's Form N-CSR for its fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, filed electronically with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 7, 2008.

(a)(2) Certifications pursuant to Rule 30a-2(a) under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (17 CFR 270.30a-2(a)) attached hereto as Exhibit 99.CERT.

(a)(3) Not applicable.

(b) Certification pursuant to Rule 30a-2(b) under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (17 CFR 270.30a-2(b)) attached hereto as Exhibit 99.906CERT.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

LIBERTY ALL-STAR GROWTH FUND, INC.

By: /s/ William R. Parmentier, Jr.
William R. Parmentier, Jr. (Principal Executive Officer)
President

Date: September 1, 2011

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

LIBERTY ALL-STAR GROWTH FUND, INC.

By: /s/William R. Parmentier, Jr.
William R. Parmentier, Jr. (Principal Executive Officer)
President

Date: September 1, 2011

By: /s/ Jeremy O. May
Jeremy O. May (Principal Financial Officer)
Treasurer

Date: September 1, 2011