

WASHINGTON REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST

Form 10-Q

August 08, 2011

[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

x **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For quarterly period ended June 30, 2011

OR

.. **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.**

COMMISSION FILE NO. 1-6622

WASHINGTON REAL ESTATE
INVESTMENT TRUST

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

MARYLAND **53-0261100**
(State of incorporation) (IRS Employer Identification Number)
6110 EXECUTIVE BOULEVARD, SUITE 800, ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND 20852

(Address of principal executive office) (Zip code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (301) 984-9400

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Title of Each Class | Name of exchange on which registered |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Shares of Beneficial Interest | New York Stock Exchange |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past ninety (90) days. YES NO

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). YES NO

As of August 1, 2011, 66,025,231 common shares were outstanding.

Table of Contents

WASHINGTON REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST

INDEX

| | Page |
|---|------|
| <u>Part I: Financial Information</u> | |
| Item 1. | |
| | 3 |
| | 4 |
| | 5 |
| | 6 |
| | 7 |
| Item 2. | 19 |
| Item 3. | 45 |
| Item 4. | 45 |
| <u>Part II: Other Information</u> | |
| Item 1. | 46 |
| Item 1A. | 46 |
| Item 2. | 46 |
| Item 3. | 46 |
| Item 4. | 46 |
| Item 5. | 46 |
| Item 6. | 47 |
| | 49 |

PART I

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1: FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The information furnished in the accompanying unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheets, Statements of Income, Statement of Changes in Shareholders' Equity and Statements of Cash Flows reflects all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring items, which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods. The accompanying financial statements and notes thereto should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes for the three years ended December 31, 2010 included in WRIT's 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents**WASHINGTON REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE DATA)****(UNAUDITED)**

| | June 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010⁽¹⁾ |
|---|--------------------------|--|
| Assets | | |
| Land | \$ 475,458 | \$ 432,149 |
| Income producing property | 2,022,986 | 1,938,629 |
| | 2,498,444 | 2,370,778 |
| Accumulated depreciation and amortization | (576,605) | (534,570) |
| Net income producing property | 1,921,839 | 1,836,208 |
| Development in progress | 39,413 | 26,240 |
| Total real estate held for investment, net | 1,961,252 | 1,862,448 |
| Investment in real estate sold or held for sale, net | | 41,892 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 42,886 | 78,767 |
| Restricted cash | 23,550 | 21,552 |
| Rents and other receivables, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$8,633 and \$8,394, respectively | 56,461 | 49,227 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 103,027 | 96,466 |
| Other assets related to properties sold or held for sale | | 17,529 |
| Total assets | \$ 2,187,176 | \$ 2,167,881 |
| Liabilities | | |
| Notes payable | \$ 659,934 | \$ 753,587 |
| Mortgage notes payable | 378,469 | 380,171 |
| Lines of credit | 245,000 | 100,000 |
| Accounts payable and other liabilities | 57,445 | 51,036 |
| Advance rents | 13,619 | 12,589 |
| Tenant security deposits | 9,988 | 9,418 |
| Other liabilities related to properties sold or held for sale | | 222 |
| Total liabilities | 1,364,455 | 1,307,023 |
| Equity | | |
| Shareholders' equity | | |
| Shares of beneficial interest; \$0.01 par value; 100,000 shares authorized: 66,017 and 65,870 shares issued and outstanding, respectively | 661 | 659 |
| Additional paid in capital | 1,133,823 | 1,127,825 |
| Distributions in excess of net income | (316,134) | (269,935) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | (636) | (1,469) |
| Total shareholders' equity | 817,714 | 857,080 |
| Noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries | 5,007 | 3,778 |

Edgar Filing: WASHINGTON REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| Total equity | 822,721 | 860,858 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 2,187,176 | \$ 2,167,881 |

(1) As adjusted (see note 3 to the consolidated financial statements)
See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

Table of Contents**WASHINGTON REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME****(IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE DATA)****(UNAUDITED)**

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--|------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Revenue | | | | |
| Real estate rental revenue | \$ 80,570 | \$ 72,402 | \$ 158,725 | \$ 145,953 |
| Expenses | | | | |
| Real estate expenses | 26,214 | 23,172 | 52,302 | 49,341 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 25,459 | 22,720 | 50,209 | 45,307 |
| General and administrative | 4,049 | 3,519 | 7,751 | 7,302 |
| | 55,722 | 49,411 | 110,262 | 101,950 |
| Real estate operating income | 24,848 | 22,991 | 48,463 | 44,003 |
| Other income (expense) | | | | |
| Interest expense | (17,097) | (16,785) | (34,223) | (33,623) |
| Acquisition costs | (322) | (409) | (1,971) | (464) |
| Other income | 310 | 297 | 616 | 586 |
| Gain (loss) on extinguishment of debt, net | | | | (42) |
| | (17,109) | (16,897) | (35,578) | (33,543) |
| Income from continuing operations | 7,739 | 6,094 | 12,885 | 10,460 |
| Discontinued operations: | | | | |
| Gain on sale of real estate | | 7,942 | | 7,942 |
| Income (loss) from operations of properties sold or held for sale | (10) | 985 | (468) | 1,884 |
| Income tax expense | (1,173) | | (1,173) | |
| | (1,183) | 8,927 | (1,641) | 9,826 |
| Net income | 6,556 | 15,021 | 11,244 | 20,286 |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries | (34) | (27) | (57) | (76) |
| Net income attributable to the controlling interests | \$ 6,522 | \$ 14,994 | \$ 11,187 | \$ 20,210 |
| Basic net income (loss) attributable to the controlling interests per share | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 0.12 | \$ 0.10 | \$ 0.19 | \$ 0.17 |
| Discontinued operations | (0.02) | 0.14 | (0.02) | 0.16 |
| Net income attributable to the controlling interests per share | \$ 0.10 | \$ 0.24 | \$ 0.17 | \$ 0.33 |

Edgar Filing: WASHINGTON REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|---------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|---------------|
| Diluted net income (loss) attributable to the controlling interests per share | | | | | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ | 0.12 | \$ | 0.10 | \$ | 0.19 | \$ | 0.17 |
| Discontinued operations | | (0.02) | | 0.14 | | (0.02) | | 0.16 |
| Net income attributable to the controlling interests per share | \$ | 0.10 | \$ | 0.24 | \$ | 0.17 | \$ | 0.33 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | | 65,954 | | 61,171 | | 65,920 | | 60,538 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | | 65,989 | | 61,287 | | 65,948 | | 60,649 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Dividends declared and paid per share | \$ | 0.4338 | \$ | 0.4325 | \$ | 0.8675 | \$ | 0.8650 |

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

Table of Contents**WASHINGTON REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY****(IN THOUSANDS)****(UNAUDITED)**

| | Shares | Shares of Beneficial Interest at Par Value | Additional Paid in Capital | Distributions in Excess of Net Income Attributable to the Controlling Interests | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income | Total Shareholders Equity | Noncontrolling Interests in Subsidiaries | Total Equity |
|---|--------|--|----------------------------|---|--|---------------------------|--|--------------|
| Balance, December 31, 2010 | 65,870 | \$ 659 | \$ 1,127,825 | \$ (269,935) | \$ (1,469) | \$ 857,080 | \$ 3,778 | \$ 860,858 |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | | | |
| Net income attributable to the controlling interests | | | | 11,187 | | 11,187 | | 11,187 |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | | | | | | | 57 | 57 |
| Change in fair value of interest rate hedge | | | | | 833 | 833 | | 833 |
| Total comprehensive income | | | | | | 12,020 | 57 | 12,077 |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interests | | | | | | | (107) | (107) |
| Contributions from noncontrolling interest | | | | | | | 1,279 | 1,279 |
| Dividends | | | | (57,386) | | (57,386) | | (57,386) |
| Shares issued under Dividend Reinvestment Program | 83 | 1 | 2,643 | | | 2,644 | | 2,644 |
| Share options exercised | 43 | 1 | 1,087 | | | 1,088 | | 1,088 |
| Share grants, net of share grant amortization and forfeitures | 21 | | 2,268 | | | 2,268 | | 2,268 |
| Balance, June 30, 2011 | 66,017 | \$ 661 | \$ 1,133,823 | \$ (316,134) | \$ (636) | \$ 817,714 | \$ 5,007 | \$ 822,721 |

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

Table of Contents**WASHINGTON REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(IN THOUSANDS)****(UNAUDITED)**

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Cash flows from operating activities | | |
| Net income | \$ 11,244 | \$ 20,286 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization, including amounts in discontinued operations | 50,708 | 47,277 |
| Provision for losses on accounts receivable | 2,138 | 2,359 |
| Real estate impairment | 599 | |
| Amortization of share grants, net | 2,515 | 2,701 |
| Amortization of debt premiums, discounts and related financing costs | 1,616 | 3,000 |
| Gain on sale of real estate | | (7,942) |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt, net | | 42 |
| Changes in operating other assets | (12,840) | (8,454) |
| Changes in operating other liabilities | 3,994 | 2,670 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 59,974 | 61,939 |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | |
| Real estate acquisitions, net | (126,870) | (68,000) |
| Net cash received for sale of real estate | 58,117 | 22,204 |
| Capital improvements to real estate | (10,399) | (9,072) |
| Development in progress | (11,802) | (992) |
| Non-real estate capital improvements | (231) | (164) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (91,185) | (56,024) |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | |
| Line of credit borrowings | 163,000 | 41,000 |
| Line of credit repayments | (18,000) | (62,000) |
| Dividends paid | (57,386) | (52,740) |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interests | (107) | (91) |
| Proceeds from dividend reinvestment program | 2,644 | 2,555 |
| Principal payments mortgage notes payable | (2,047) | (2,190) |
| Net proceeds from equity offerings | | 67,077 |
| Notes payable repayments, including penalties for early extinguishment | (93,862) | (1,224) |
| Net proceeds from exercise of share options | 1,088 | 3,833 |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (4,670) | (3,780) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | (35,881) | 2,135 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 78,767 | 11,203 |

Edgar Filing: WASHINGTON REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 42,886 | \$ 13,338 |
|--|-----------|-----------|

Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:

| | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Cash paid for interest, net of amounts capitalized | \$ 32,941 | \$ 31,173 |
|--|-----------|-----------|

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

Table of Contents

WASHINGTON REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

JUNE 30, 2011

(UNAUDITED)

NOTE 1: NATURE OF BUSINESS

Washington Real Estate Investment Trust (We or WRIT), a Maryland real estate investment trust, is a self-administered, self-managed equity real estate investment trust, successor to a trust organized in 1960. Our business consists of the ownership and development of income-producing real estate properties in the greater Washington metro region. We own a diversified portfolio of office buildings, medical office buildings, industrial/flex centers, multifamily buildings and retail centers.

Federal Income Taxes

We believe that we qualify as a real estate investment trust (REIT) under Sections 856-860 of the Internal Revenue Code and intend to continue to qualify as such. To maintain our status as a REIT, we are required to distribute 90% of our ordinary taxable income to our shareholders. When selling properties, we have the option of (a) reinvesting the sales proceeds of properties sold, allowing for a deferral of income taxes on the sale, (b) paying out capital gains to the shareholders with no tax to WRIT or (c) treating the capital gains as having been distributed to the shareholders, paying the tax on the gain deemed distributed and allocating the tax paid as a credit to the shareholders.

Generally, and subject to our ongoing qualification as a REIT, no provisions for income taxes are necessary except for taxes on undistributed REIT taxable income and taxes on the income generated by our taxable REIT subsidiaries (TRS s). Our TRS s are subject to corporate federal and state income tax on their taxable income at regular statutory rates. On April 5, 2011, we settled on the sale of Dulles Station, Phase I, an office property held by one of our TRS s. With the application of available net operating loss carryforwards, we recognized \$1.2 million in net federal and state income tax liabilities in connection with this sale.

NOTE 2: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Significant Accounting Policies

We have prepared our consolidated financial statements using the accounting policies described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Principles of Consolidation and Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements include the consolidated accounts of WRIT, its majority-owned subsidiaries and entities in which WRIT has a controlling interest, including where WRIT has been determined to be a primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity (VIE). All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

We have prepared the accompanying unaudited financial statements pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Certain information and note disclosures normally included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) have been condensed or omitted pursuant to those rules and regulations, although we believe that the disclosures made are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. In addition, in the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation of the results for the periods presented have been included. These unaudited financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Within these notes to the financial statements, we refer to the three months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010 as the 2011 Quarter and the 2010 Quarter , respectively, and the six months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010 as the 2011 Period and 2010 Period , respectively.

Table of Contents*Use of Estimates in the Financial Statements*

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make certain estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Reclassifications

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation due to the reclassification of certain properties as discontinued operations (see note 3 to the consolidated financial statements).

NOTE 3: REAL ESTATE ACQUISITIONS AND DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS*Acquisitions*

WRIT acquired the following properties and land for development during the 2011 Period:

| Acquisition | Property | Property | Rentable | Contract |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| Date | Name | Type | Square Feet | Purchase Price (in thousands) |
| January 11, 2011 | 1140 Connecticut Avenue | Office | 184,000 | \$ 80,250 |
| March 30, 2011 | 1227 25 th Street | Office | 130,000 | 47,000 |
| June 15, 2011 | 650 North Glebe Road ⁽¹⁾ | Multifamily | N/A | 11,800 |
| | | Total | 314,000 | \$ 139,050 |

⁽¹⁾ 650 North Glebe Road is 37,000 square feet of land acquired by a consolidated joint venture for the purpose of developing a 150 unit apartment community. WRIT is a 90% owner of the joint venture.

The results of operations from the acquired operating properties are included in the income statement as of their acquisition dates.

Noncontrolling Interests in Subsidiaries

During the 2011 Quarter we executed a joint venture operating agreement with a real estate developer to develop a 150-unit mid-rise multifamily property at 650 North Glebe Road in Arlington, Virginia. We estimate the total cost of the project to be \$43.5 million, with approximately 70% of the project financed with debt. WRIT will be a 90% owner of the joint venture, and, as managing member, will have management and leasing responsibilities when the project is completed and stabilized (defined as 90% of the residential units leased). WRIT is also responsible for obtaining the debt financing for the project. The real estate developer will own 10% of the joint venture and is responsible for the development, construction and lease-up of the property.

We have determined that this joint venture is a variable interest entity (VIE) primarily based on the fact that the equity investment at risk is not sufficient to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional financial support. We expect that 70% of the total development costs will be financed through debt. We have also determined that WRIT is the primary beneficiary of the VIE due to the fact that WRIT is providing 90% of the equity contributions, obtaining the debt financing and will manage the property after stabilization.

On June 15, 2011 the joint venture purchased the land at 650 North Glebe Road for a contract purchase price of \$11.8 million. As of June 30, 2011, we included \$12.8 million of land and capitalized development costs in Development in progress on our consolidated balance sheets.

Discontinued Operations

Edgar Filing: WASHINGTON REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST - Form 10-Q

We dispose of assets (sometimes using tax-deferred exchanges) that no longer meet our long-term strategy or return objectives and where market conditions for sale are favorable. The proceeds from the sales may be reinvested into other properties, used to fund development operations or to support other corporate needs, or distributed to our shareholders. Properties are considered held for sale when they meet the criteria specified by GAAP. Depreciation on these properties is discontinued at that time, but operating revenues, other operating expenses and interest continue to be recognized until the date of sale.

Table of Contents

We sold the following properties during the 2011 Period and 2010:

| Disposition Date | Property Name | Property Type | Rentable Square Feet | Contract Purchase Price (In millions) |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|
| April 5, 2011 | Dulles Station, Phase I | Office | 180,000 | \$ 58.8 |
| June 18, 2010 | Parklawn Portfolio ⁽¹⁾ | Office/Industrial | 229,000 | \$ 23.4 |
| December 21, 2010 | The Ridges | Office | 104,000 | 27.5 |
| December 22, 2010 | Ammendale I&II and Amvax | Industrial | 305,000 | 23.0 |
| Total 2010 | | | 638,000 | \$ 73.9 |

⁽¹⁾ The Parklawn Portfolio consists of three office properties (Parklawn Plaza, Lexington Building and Saratoga Building) and one industrial property (Charleston Business Center).

We recognized \$1.2 million in income tax expense during the 2011 Quarter in connection with the sale of Dulles Station, Phase I, which is included in discontinued operations (see note 1 to the consolidated financial statements - Nature of Business).

Operating results of the properties classified as discontinued operations are summarized as follows (in thousands):

| | Quarters Ended June 30, | | Periods Ended June 30, | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|------------------------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Revenues | \$ 45 | \$ 3,521 | \$ 1,177 | \$ 7,309 |
| Property expenses | (55) | (1,359) | (547) | (3,001) |
| Real estate impairment | | | (599) | |
| Depreciation and amortization | | (949) | (499) | (1,970) |
| Interest expense | | (228) | | (454) |
| | \$ (10) | \$ 985 | \$ (468) | \$ 1,884 |

Operating income (loss) by each property classified as discontinued operations is summarized below (in thousands):

| Property | Segment | Quarters Ended June 30, | | Periods Ended June 30, | |
|----------------------------|------------|-------------------------|--------|------------------------|----------|
| | | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Parklawn Plaza | Office | \$ | \$ 61 | \$ | \$ 132 |
| Lexington Building | Office | | 46 | | 65 |
| Saratoga Building | Office | | 121 | | 225 |
| Charleston Business Center | Industrial | | 176 | | 370 |
| The Ridges | Office | | 84 | | 191 |
| Ammendale I&II | Industrial | | 265 | | 516 |
| Amvax | Industrial | | 88 | | 178 |
| Dulles Station, Phase I | Office | (10) | 144 | (468) | 207 |
| | | \$ (10) | \$ 985 | \$ (468) | \$ 1,884 |

Edgar Filing: WASHINGTON REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST - Form 10-Q

The operating loss for Dulles Station, Phase I for the 2011 Period includes a \$0.6 million impairment charge to reflect the property's fair value less any selling costs based on its contract sales price.

Table of Contents**NOTE 4: UNSECURED LINES OF CREDIT PAYABLE**

As of June 30, 2011, we maintained a \$75.0 million unsecured line of credit (Credit Facility No. 1) maturing in June 2012 and a \$262.0 million unsecured line of credit (Credit Facility No. 2) maturing in November 2011. The amounts of these lines of credit unused and available at June 30, 2011 are as follows (in millions):

| | Credit Facility No. 1 | Credit Facility No. 2 |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Committed capacity | \$ 75.0 | \$ 262.0 |
| Borrowings outstanding | (74.0) | (171.0) |
| Letters of credit issued | (0.8) | (0.9) |
| Unused and available | \$ 0.2 | \$ 90.1 |

We executed borrowings and repayments on the unsecured lines of credit during the 2011 Quarter as follows (in millions):

| | Credit Facility No. 1 | Credit Facility No. 2 |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Balance at March 31, 2011 | \$ 60.0 | \$ 100.0 |
| Borrowings | 14.0 | 71.0 |
| Repayments | | |
| Balance at June 30, 2011 | \$ 74.0 | \$ 171.0 |

We made borrowings during the 2011 Quarter to partially fund the repayment of the 5.95% senior notes that matured on June 15, 2011 and for general corporate purposes. Subsequent to the end of the 2011 Quarter we entered into a new unsecured credit facility agreement that replaces Credit Facility No. 2 and expands its capacity to \$400.0 million (see note 12 to the consolidated financial statements Subsequent Events).

NOTE 5: NOTES PAYABLE

We repaid the remaining \$93.9 million of our 5.95% unsecured notes on their due date of June 15, 2011 using borrowings on our unsecured lines of credit and proceeds from the sale of Dulles Station, Phase I.

NOTE 6: DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

In May 2009, we entered into a forward interest rate swap with a notional amount of \$100 million that qualifies as a cash flow hedge. We enter into interest rate swaps to manage our exposure to variable rate interest risk. We do not purchase derivatives for speculation. We record our cash flow hedges at fair value in accordance with GAAP, based on various discounted cash flow methodologies and observable inputs. We record the effective portion of changes in fair value of cash flow hedges in other comprehensive income. This change in fair value of cash flow hedges is the only activity in other comprehensive income (loss) during the periods presented in our consolidated financial statements. We record the ineffective portion of changes in fair value of cash flow hedges in earnings in the period affected. We assess the effectiveness of our cash flow hedges both at inception and on an ongoing basis. We deemed the hedges to be effective for the 2011 and 2010 Quarters and Periods, as applicable.

The fair value and balance sheet locations of the interest rate swaps as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 are as follows (in millions):

| June 30, 2011 Fair Value | December 31, 2010 Fair Value |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|

| | | | | |
|--|----|-----|----|-----|
| Accounts payable and other liabilities | \$ | 0.6 | \$ | 1.5 |
|--|----|-----|----|-----|

Table of Contents

The interest rate swap has been effective since inception. The gain or loss on the effective swaps is recognized in other comprehensive income, as follows (in millions):

| | Quarters Ended June 30, | | Periods Ended June 30, | |
|---|-------------------------|--------|------------------------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | Fair | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| | Value | Value | Value | Value |
| Change in other comprehensive income (loss) | \$ 0.4 | \$ 0.1 | \$ 0.8 | (\$ 0.2) |

Derivative instruments expose us to credit risk in the event of non-performance by the counterparty under the terms of the interest rate hedge agreement. We believe that we minimize our credit risk on these transactions by dealing with major, creditworthy financial institutions. As part of our on-going control procedures, we monitor the credit ratings of counterparties and our exposure to any single entity, thus minimizing our credit risk concentration.

NOTE 7: STOCK BASED COMPENSATION

WRIT maintains short-term and long-term incentive plans for which components allow for stock-based awards to officers and non-officer employees. Stock based awards are provided to officers and non-officer employees, as well as trustees, under the Washington Real Estate Investment Trust 2007 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan which allows for awards in the form of restricted shares, restricted share units, options, and other awards up to an aggregate of 2,000,000 shares over the ten year period in which the plan will be in effect. Restricted share units are converted into shares of our stock upon full vesting through the issuance of new shares.

WRIT's Compensation Committee conducted an extensive review of our executive compensation philosophy and a fundamental redesign of our short-term and long-term incentive plans for our officers, resulting in new short-term incentive (New STIP) and new long-term incentive (New LTIP) plans, which were approved by the Compensation Committee and Board on February 17, 2011 and were effective as of January 1, 2011.

New STIP

Under the New STIP, officers will earn awards, payable 50% in cash and 50% in restricted shares, based on a percentage of salary and achieving various performance conditions within a one-year performance period (except for 15% of such restricted share awards which will be exclusively service-based).

With respect to the 50% of the New STIP award payable in restricted shares, (i) the restricted shares subject to performance conditions will vest over a three-year period commencing on the January 1 following the end of the one-year performance period, and (ii) the restricted shares subject only to a service condition will vest over a three year period commencing at the beginning of the one-year performance period.

With respect to the 50% of the award payable in cash, the officer may elect to defer up to 80% of the cash portion pursuant to WRIT's deferred compensation plan for officers. If the officer makes such election, the cash will be converted to restricted share units and WRIT will match 25% of deferred amounts in restricted share units.

For the service based awards we recognize compensation expense based on the grant date fair value, ratably over a three-year period commencing with the start of the performance period. With respect to the restricted shares subject to performance conditions expected to be awarded under the New STIP at the end of the one-year performance period, we recognize compensation expense based on the current fair market value of the probable award until the performance condition has been met, according to a graded vesting schedule over a four-year period commencing with the date the performance targets were established. Approximately 20% of the restricted shares subject to performance conditions awarded by the Compensation Committee at the end of the one-year performance period are based on subjective strategic acquisition and disposition goal criteria, for which we recognize compensation expense when the grant date occurs at the end of the one-year period.

New LTIP

Under the New LTIP, officers will earn awards, payable 50% in unrestricted shares and 50% in restricted shares, based on a percentage of salary and achieving various market and performance conditions during a defined three-year performance period (e.g., commencing on January 1, 2011 and concluding on December 31, 2013).

Table of Contents

New LTIP performance will be evaluated on objective and subjective performance goals and weightings. Of the officers' total potential award, 40% is subject to market conditions based on absolute total shareholder return (TSR) and relative TSR. The remaining 60% of the award is based primarily on strategic plan fulfillment, evaluated and determined by the Compensation Committee in its discretion at the end of the three-year performance period.

The unrestricted shares vest immediately at the end of the three-year performance period, and the restricted shares vest over a one-year period commencing on the January 1 following the end of the three-year performance period.

With respect to the 40% of the New LTIP subject to market conditions we recognize compensation expense ratably (over three years for the 50% unrestricted shares and over four years for the 50% restricted shares) based on the grant date fair value, as determined using a Monte Carlo simulation, and regardless of whether the market conditions are achieved and the awards ultimately vest. With respect to the 60% subjective portion of the New LTIP, we will recognize compensation expense for the 50% unrestricted shares when the grant date has occurred at the end of the three year performance period. We will recognize compensation expense for the 50% restricted shares over the one-year vesting period commencing upon the grant date at the end of the three-year performance period.

Modification of Prior LTIP Awards

In connection with the adoption of the New STIP and the New LTIP, the prior LTIP for officers was amended such that awards subject to performance conditions through 2012 under the prior LTIP were converted when the new plans were adopted into 154,400 restricted share units as of February 17, 2011. Such restricted share units will vest consistent with the periods in which they otherwise would have vested under the terms of the prior LTIP (i.e., either December 31, 2011 or December 31, 2012). We accounted for the amendment of these awards as a modification.

Prior LTIP

Other non-officer members of management continue to earn restricted share units under the prior LTIP based upon various percentages of their salaries that vest ratably over five years from the grant date based upon continued employment. We recognize compensation expense for these awards according to a graded vesting schedule over six years from the date the performance target was established.

Trustee Awards

We continue to award trustees share based compensation on an annual basis in the form of restricted shares which vest immediately and are restricted from sale for the period of the trustees' service.

Total Compensation Expense

Total compensation expense recognized in the consolidated financial statements for all share based awards, including share grants, restricted share units and performance share units, in the 2011 and 2010 Quarters was (in thousands):

| | Quarters Ended | | Periods Ended | |
|----------------------------------|----------------|--------|---------------|--------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Stock Based Compensation Expense | \$ 1.5 | \$ 1.4 | \$ 2.8 | \$ 3.0 |

Restricted and Unrestricted Shares

The total fair value of prior period shares vested during the 2011 Period is \$28,800; no shares vested during the 2011 Quarter. As of June 30, 2011, there are no remaining unvested restricted shares granted under prior LTIPs.

During the 2011 Period, 10,822 restricted shares, with a total fair value of \$0.3 million, were granted under the New STIP to officers for the portion of the New STIP award subject only to service conditions. We value these awards based on the fair market value on the date of grant. As of June 30, 2011, the total unamortized value of these unvested share awards was \$295,200, which we expect to recognize as compensation expense over a weighted average period of thirty months. As of June 30, 2011, the future expected expense related to restricted shares with performance conditions, estimated based on the probable number of restricted shares expected to be awarded under the New STIP, totaled \$1.0

million, which we expect to recognize as compensation cost over a weighted average period of 30 months.

Table of Contents

Restricted and Unrestricted Shares with Market Conditions

Stock based awards with market conditions under the New LTIP were granted in February 2011 with fair market values, as determined using a Monte Carlo simulation, as follows (in thousands):

| | Grant Date Fair Value | |
|--------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| | Restricted | Unrestricted |
| Relative TSR | \$ 1,016 | \$ 1,016 |
| Absolute TSR | \$ 348 | \$ 348 |

The unamortized value of these awards with market conditions as of June 30, 2011 was as follows (in thousands):

| | Unamortized Value at June 30, 2011 | |
|--------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| | Restricted | Unrestricted |
| Relative TSR | \$ 918 | \$ 884 |
| Absolute TSR | \$ 314 | \$ 302 |

We expect to recognize these unamortized values as compensation expense over a weighted average life of 42 months for the restricted shares and 30 months for the unrestricted shares.

Restricted Share Units

Restricted share units granted to officers and non-officers in prior periods continue to vest in the current year. The total fair value of restricted share units vested during the 2011 Quarter is zero and during the Period is \$247,100. In connection with the adoption of new executive incentive plans, on February 17, 2011, all performance share units were converted into a fixed number of restricted share units. The total number of restricted share units awarded was 89,000 and 65,400, which vest on December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The value of unvested restricted share units at June 30, 2011 was \$5.0 million, which we expect to recognize as compensation cost over a weighted average period of 28 months. As of June 30, 2011, the future expected expense related to restricted share units with performance conditions expected to be awarded to non-officers in December 2011 is \$1.1 million, which we expect to recognize as compensation cost over a weighted average period of 30 months.

Options

WRIT still has options outstanding from the 2001 Stock Option Plan and Stock Option Plan for Trustees. The 114,403 options outstanding at June 30, 2011, all of which are exercisable, have exercise prices between \$24.85 and \$33.09, with a weighted-average exercise price of \$27.23 and a weighted average remaining contractual life of 1.8 years. The aggregate intrinsic value of outstanding exercisable shares at June 30, 2011 was \$0.6 million. There were 31,582 options exercised in the 2011 Period, with an aggregate intrinsic value of \$0.2 million.

NOTE 8: FAIR VALUE DISCLOSURES

Financial Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value

For assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis, quantitative disclosures about the fair value measurements are required to be disclosed separately for each major category of assets and liabilities, as follows:

Level 1: Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets

Level 2: Significant Other Observable Inputs

Level 3: Significant Unobservable Inputs

Table of Contents

The only assets or liabilities we had at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 that are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis are the assets held in the Supplemental Executive Retirement Program (SERP) and the interest rate hedge contracts. We base the valuations related to these items on assumptions derived from significant other observable inputs and accordingly these valuations fall into Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy. The fair values of these assets and liabilities at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 are as follows (in millions):

| | June 30, 2011 | | | | December 31, 2010 | | | |
|---------------------|---------------|---------|---------|---------|-------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| | Fair Value | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Fair Value | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Assets: | | | | | | | | |
| SERP | \$ 1.8 | \$ | \$ 1.8 | \$ | \$ 1.7 | \$ | \$ 1.7 | \$ |
| Liabilities: | | | | | | | | |
| Derivatives | \$ 0.6 | \$ | \$ 0.6 | \$ | \$ 1.5 | \$ | \$ 1.5 | \$ |

Financial Assets and Liabilities Not Measured at Fair Value

The following disclosures of estimated fair value were determined by management using available market information and established valuation methodologies, including discounted cash flow. Many of these estimates involve significant judgment. The estimated fair value disclosed may not necessarily be indicative of the amounts we could realize on disposition of the financial instruments. The use of different market assumptions or estimation methodologies could have an effect on the estimated fair value amounts. In addition, fair value estimates are made at a point in time and thus, estimates of fair value subsequent to June 30, 2011 may differ significantly from the amounts presented.

Below is a summary of significant methodologies used in estimating fair values and a schedule of fair values at June 30, 2011.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents includes cash and commercial paper with original maturities of less than 90 days, which are valued at the carrying value, which approximates fair value due to the short maturity of these instruments.

Notes Receivable

The fair value of the notes is estimated based on quotes for debt with similar terms and characteristics or a discounted cash flow methodology using market discount rates if reliable quotes are not available.

Derivatives

The company reports its interest rate swap at fair value in accordance with GAAP, and thus the carrying value is the fair value.

Mortgage Notes Payable

Mortgage notes payable consist of instruments in which certain of our real estate assets are used for collateral. The fair value of the mortgage notes payable is estimated by discounting the contractual cash flows at a rate equal to the relevant treasury rates (with respect to the timing of each cash flow) plus credit spreads estimated through independent comparisons to real estate assets or loans with similar characteristics.

Lines of Credit Payable

Lines of credit payable consist of bank facilities which we use for various purposes including working capital, acquisition funding or capital improvements. The lines of credit advances are priced at a specified rate plus a spread. The carrying value of the lines of credit payable is estimated to be market value given the adjustable rate of these borrowings.

Notes Payable

The fair value of the notes payable is estimated by discounting the contractual cash flows at a rate equal to the relevant treasury rates (with respect to the timing of each cash flow) plus credit spreads derived using the relevant securities market prices.

Table of Contents

| (in thousands) | June 30, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | |
|-------------------------------|----------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| | Carrying Value | Fair Value | Carrying Value | Fair Value |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 42,886 | \$ 42,886 | \$ 78,767 | \$ 78,767 |
| Restricted cash | \$ 23,550 | \$ 23,550 | \$ 21,552 | \$ 21,552 |
| 2445 M Street note receivable | \$ 6,716 | \$ 7,895 | \$ 7,090 | \$ 8,048 |
| Mortgage notes payable | \$ 378,469 | \$ 405,771 | \$ 380,171 | \$ 399,282 |
| Lines of credit payable | \$ 245,000 | \$ 245,000 | \$ 100,000 | \$ 100,000 |
| Notes payable | \$ 659,934 | \$ 701,549 | \$ 753,587 | \$ 785,637 |

NOTE 9: EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE

We determine Basic earnings per share using the two-class method as our unvested restricted share awards have non-forfeitable rights to dividends, and are therefore considered participating securities. We compute basic earnings per share by dividing net income attributable to the controlling interest less the allocation of undistributed earnings to unvested restricted share awards by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the period.

We also determine Diluted earnings per share under the two-class method with respect to the unvested restricted share awards. We further evaluate any other potentially dilutive securities at the end of the period and adjust the basic earnings per share calculation for the impact of those securities that are dilutive. Our diluted earnings per share calculation includes the dilutive impact of employee stock options based on the treasury stock method and our long-term incentive share units under the contingently issuable method. The diluted earnings per share calculation also considers our operating partnership units and 3.875% convertible notes under the if-converted method. The operating partnership units and 3.875% convertible notes were anti-dilutive for the 2011 and 2010 Quarters and Periods and are not included in our earnings per share calculations.

Table of Contents

The following tables set forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share (amounts in thousands; except per share data):

| | Quarters Ended | | Periods Ended June 30, | |
|---|----------------|-----------|------------------------|-----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Numerator: | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 7,739 | \$ 6,094 | \$ 12,885 | \$ 10,460 |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (34) | (27) | (57) | (76) |
| Allocation of undistributed earnings to unvested restricted share awards and units | (38) | (50) | (83) | (77) |
| Adjusted income from continuing operations attributable to the controlling interests | 7,667 | 6,017 | 12,745 | 10,307 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, including gain on sale of real estate, net of taxes | (1,183) | 8,927 | (1,641) | 9,826 |
| Adjusted net income attributable to the controlling interests | \$ 6,484 | \$ 14,944 | \$ 11,104 | \$ 20,133 |
| Denominator: | | | | |
| Weighted average shares outstanding basic | 65,954 | 61,171 | 65,920 | 60,538 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | | | | |
| Employee stock options and restricted share awards | 35 | 116 | 28 | 111 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding diluted | 65,989 | 61,287 | 65,948 | 60,649 |
| Earnings per common share, basic: | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 0.12 | \$ 0.10 | \$ 0.19 | \$ 0.17 |
| Discontinued operations | (\$ 0.02) | \$ 0.14 | (\$ 0.02) | \$ 0.16 |
| | \$ 0.10 | \$ 0.24 | \$ 0.17 | \$ 0.33 |
| Earnings per common share, diluted: | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 0.12 | \$ 0.10 | \$ 0.19 | \$ 0.17 |
| Discontinued operations | (\$ 0.02) | \$ 0.14 | (\$ 0.02) | \$ 0.16 |
| | \$ 0.10 | \$ 0.24 | \$ 0.17 | \$ 0.33 |

NOTE 10: SEGMENT INFORMATION

We have five reportable segments: office, medical office, retail, multifamily and industrial/flex properties. Office buildings provide office space for various types of businesses and professions. Medical office buildings provide offices and facilities for a variety of medical services. Retail centers are typically neighborhood grocery store or drug store anchored retail centers. Multifamily properties provide rental housing for individuals and families throughout the Washington metropolitan area. Industrial/flex centers are used for flex-office, warehousing, services and distribution type facilities.

We evaluate performance based upon operating income from the combined properties in each segment. Our reportable operating segments are consolidations of similar properties. GAAP requires that segment disclosures present the measure(s) used by the chief operating decision maker for purposes of assessing segments performance. Net operating income is a key measurement of our segment profit and loss. Net operating income is defined as segment real estate rental revenue less segment real estate expenses.

Table of Contents

The following tables present revenues and net operating income for the 2011 and 2010 Quarters and Periods from these segments, and reconciles net operating income of reportable segments to net income as reported (in thousands):

| | Quarter Ended June 30, 2011 | | | | | | Consolidated |
|---|-----------------------------|----------------|------------|-------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| | Office | Medical Office | Retail | Multifamily | Industrial/ Flex | Corporate and Other | |
| Real estate rental revenue | \$ 35,936 | \$ 11,519 | \$ 12,237 | \$ 12,709 | \$ 8,169 | \$ | \$ 80,570 |
| Real estate expenses | 12,124 | 3,421 | 3,619 | 4,859 | 2,191 | | 26,214 |
| Net operating income | \$ 23,812 | \$ 8,098 | \$ 8,618 | \$ 7,850 | \$ 5,978 | \$ | \$ 54,356 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | | | | | | (25,459) |
| Interest expense | | | | | | | (17,097) |
| General and administrative | | | | | | | (4,049) |
| Acquisition costs | | | | | | | (322) |
| Other income | | | | | | | 310 |
| Discontinued operations: | | | | | | | |
| Income tax expense | | | | | | | (1,173) |
| Loss from discontinued operations | | | | | | | (10) |
| Net income | | | | | | | 6,556 |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | | | | | | | (34) |
| Net income attributable to the controlling interests | | | | | | | \$ 6,522 |
| Capital expenditures | \$ 3,367 | \$ 1,288 | \$ 1,030 | \$ 766 | \$ 258 | \$ 126 | \$ 6,835 |
| Total assets | \$ 991,238 | \$ 352,242 | \$ 308,655 | \$ 236,358 | \$ 223,536 | \$ 75,147 | \$ 2,187,176 |

| | Quarter Ended June 30, 2010 | | | | | | Consolidated |
|---|-----------------------------|----------------|-----------|-------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| | Office | Medical Office | Retail | Multifamily | Industrial/ Flex | Corporate and Other | |
| Real estate rental revenue | \$ 31,422 | \$ 11,288 | \$ 10,200 | \$ 11,914 | \$ 7,578 | \$ | \$ 72,402 |
| Real estate expenses | 10,427 | 3,603 | 2,566 | 4,523 | 2,053 | | 23,172 |
| Net operating income | \$ 20,995 | \$ 7,685 | \$ 7,634 | \$ 7,391 | \$ 5,525 | \$ | \$ 49,230 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | | | | | | (22,720) |
| Interest expense | | | | | | | (16,785) |
| General and administrative | | | | | | | (3,519) |
| Acquisition costs | | | | | | | (409) |
| Other income | | | | | | | 297 |
| Gain on sale of real estate | | | | | | | 7,942 |
| Income from discontinued operations | | | | | | | 985 |
| Net income | | | | | | | 15,021 |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | | | | | | | (27) |
| Net income attributable to the controlling interests | | | | | | | \$ 14,994 |

Edgar Filing: WASHINGTON REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|--------------|
| Capital expenditures | \$ 2,799 | \$ 1,960 | \$ 287 | \$ 498 | \$ 62 | \$ 103 | \$ 5,709 |
| Total assets | \$ 968,631 | \$ 357,696 | \$ 222,972 | \$ 233,976 | \$ 242,025 | \$ 41,540 | \$ 2,066,840 |

Table of Contents

| | Period Ended June 30, 2011 | | | | | | Consolidated |
|---|----------------------------|----------------|-----------|-------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| | Office | Medical Office | Retail | Multifamily | Industrial/ Flex | Corporate and Other | |
| Real estate rental revenue | \$ 70,039 | \$ 22,650 | \$ 24,384 | \$ 25,202 | \$ 16,450 | \$ | \$ 158,725 |
| Real estate expenses | 23,612 | 7,090 | 7,161 | 9,687 | 4,752 | | 52,302 |
| Net operating income | \$ 46,427 | \$ 15,560 | \$ 17,223 | \$ 15,515 | \$ 11,698 | \$ | \$ 106,423 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | | | | | | (50,209) |
| Interest expense | | | | | | | (34,223) |
| General and administrative | | | | | | | (7,751) |
| Acquisition costs | | | | | | | (1,971) |
| Other income | | | | | | | 616 |
| Discontinued operations: | | | | | | | |
| Income tax expense | | | | | | | (1,173) |
| Loss from discontinued operations | | | | | | | (468) |
| Net income | | | | | | | 11,244 |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | | | | | | | (57) |
| Net income attributable to the controlling interests | | | | | | | \$ 11,187 |
| Capital expenditures | \$ 5,833 | \$ 1,957 | \$ 1,471 | \$ 641 | \$ 497 | \$ 231 | \$ 10,630 |

| | Period Ended June 30, 2010 | | | | | | Consolidated |
|---|----------------------------|----------------|-----------|-------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| | Office | Medical Office | Retail | Multifamily | Industrial/ Flex | Corporate and Other | |
| Real estate rental revenue | \$ 62,395 | \$ 22,703 | \$ 20,701 | \$ 23,756 | \$ 16,398 | \$ | \$ 145,953 |
| Real estate expenses | 21,202 | 7,554 | 5,850 | 9,626 | 5,109 | | 49,341 |
| Net operating income | \$ 41,193 | \$ 15,149 | \$ 14,851 | \$ 14,130 | \$ 11,289 | \$ | \$ 96,612 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | | | | | | (45,307) |
| Interest expense | | | | | | | (33,623) |
| General and administrative | | | | | | | (7,302) |
| Acquisition costs | | | | | | | (464) |
| Other income | | | | | | | 586 |
| Gain (loss) on extinguishment of debt, net | | | | | | | (42) |
| Gain on sale of real estate | | | | | | | 7,942 |
| Income from discontinued operations | | | | | | | 1,884 |
| Net income | | | | | | | 20,286 |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | | | | | | | (76) |
| Net income attributable to the controlling interests | | | | | | | \$ 20,210 |
| Capital expenditures | \$ 5,124 | \$ 2,722 | \$ 205 | \$ 731 | \$ 290 | \$ 164 | \$ 9,236 |

NOTE 11: SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On July 1, 2011 we executed an unsecured credit facility agreement that replaces and expands Credit Facility No. 2 from \$262.0 million to \$400.0 million, with an accordion feature that allows us to increase the facility to \$600.0 million, subject to additional lender commitments. The new unsecured line of credit matures on July 1, 2014 with a one-year extension option and currently bears interest at a rate of LIBOR plus a

margin of 122.5 basis points.

On August 5, 2011, we executed five separate contracts for the sale at a gain of our industrial segment for an aggregate purchase price of \$350.0 million. The sales are expected to close in five separate settlements with the same buyer during the third and fourth quarters of 2011. Included in these sales are all properties in our industrial segment, as well as two office properties, Albemarle Point and the Crescent.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2: MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto appearing in Item 1 of this report and the more detailed information contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 25, 2011.

We refer to the three months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010 as the 2011 Quarter and the 2010 Quarter, respectively, and the six months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010 as the 2011 Period and the 2010 Period, respectively.

Forward-Looking Statements

This Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements which involve risks and uncertainties. Forward-looking statements include statements in this report preceded by, followed by or that include the words believe, expect, intend, anticipate, potential, project, will and other similar expressions. We claim the protection of the safe harbor for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 for these statements. The following important factors, in addition to those discussed elsewhere in this Form 10-Q, could affect our future results and could cause those results to differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements: (a) the effects of changes in Federal government spending; (b) the economic health of the greater Washington metro region, or other markets we may enter; (c) the timing and pricing of lease transactions; (d) the effect of the recent credit and financial market conditions; (e) the availability and cost of capital; (f) fluctuations in interest rates; (g) the economic health of our tenants; (h) the supply of competing properties; (i) consumer confidence; (j) unemployment rates; (k) consumer tastes and preferences; (l) our future capital requirements; (m) inflation; (n) compliance with applicable laws, including those concerning the environment and access by persons with disabilities; (o) governmental or regulatory actions and initiatives; (p) changes in general economic and business conditions; (q) terrorist attacks or actions; (r) acts of war; (s) weather conditions; (t) the effects of changes in capital available to the technology and biotechnology sectors of the economy, and (u) other factors discussed under the caption Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 25, 2011. We undertake no obligation to update our forward-looking statements or risk factors to reflect new information, future events, or otherwise.

General

Introductory Matters

We provide our Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) in addition to the accompanying consolidated financial statements and notes to assist readers in understanding our results of operations and financial condition. We organize the MD&A as follows:

Overview. Discussion of our business, operating results, investment activity and capital requirements, and summary of our significant transactions to provide context for the remainder of MD&A.

Results of Operations. Discussion of our financial results comparing the 2011 Quarter to the 2010 Quarter and the 2011 Period to the 2010 Period.

Liquidity and Capital Resources. Discussion of our financial condition and analysis of changes in our capital structure and cash flows.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates. Descriptions of accounting policies that reflect significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements.

When evaluating our financial condition and operating performance, we focus on the following financial and non-financial indicators:

Edgar Filing: WASHINGTON REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST - Form 10-Q

Net operating income (NOI), calculated as real estate rental revenue less real estate expenses excluding depreciation and amortization, interest expense and general and administrative expenses. NOI is a non-GAAP supplemental measure to net income.

Funds From Operations (FFO), calculated as set forth below under the caption Funds from Operations. FFO is a non-GAAP supplemental measure to net income.

Occupancy, calculated as occupied square footage as a percentage of total square footage as of the last day of that period.

Table of Contents

Leased percentage, calculated as the percentage of physical net rentable area leased for our commercial segments and percentage of apartments leased for our multifamily segment.

Rental rates.

Leasing activity, including new leases, renewals and expirations.

For purposes of evaluating comparative operating performance, we categorize our properties as same-store, non-same-store or discontinued operations. A same-store property is one that was owned for the entirety of the periods being evaluated and is included in continuing operations. A non-same-store property is one that was acquired or placed into service during either of the periods being evaluated and is included in continuing operations. Results for properties sold or held for sale during any of the periods evaluated are classified as discontinued operations.

Overview

Business

Our revenues are derived primarily from the ownership and operation of income-producing properties in the greater Washington metro region. As of June 30, 2011, we owned a diversified portfolio of 86 properties, totaling approximately 10.8 million square feet of commercial space and 2,540 multifamily units, and land held for development. These 86 properties consisted of 26 office properties, 16 industrial/flex properties, 18 medical office properties, 15 retail centers and 11 multifamily properties.

Operating Results

Our results of operations were as follows (in thousands):

| | 2011 Quarter | 2010 Quarter | \$ Change | % Change |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|------------|----------|
| Real estate rental revenue | \$ 80,570 | \$ 72,402 | \$ 8,168 | 11.3% |
| NOI ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 54,356 | \$ 49,230 | \$ 5,126 | 10.4% |
| Net income attributable to the controlling interests | \$ 6,522 | \$ 14,994 | \$ (8,472) | (56.5%) |
| FFO ⁽²⁾ | \$ 33,154 | \$ 30,721 | \$ 2,433 | 7.9% |

⁽¹⁾ See page 26 of the MD&A for reconciliations of NOI to net income.

⁽²⁾ See page 43 of the MD&A for reconciliations of FFO to net income.

The increases in real estate rental revenue and NOI are due to acquisitions made during the past year. NOI for the same-store portfolio has been flat, with higher rental rates and lower operating expenses offset by lower occupancy. The lower occupancy reflects continued difficulties in leasing vacant commercial space.

The decrease in net income attributable to the controlling interests is due to the gain on sale of the Parklawn Portfolio during the 2010 Quarter.

Investment and Sales Activity

We executed the sale of Dulles Station, Phase I, for a contract sale price of \$58.8 million during the 2011 Quarter. We also purchased land in Arlington, Virginia for a multifamily development as part of a joint venture of which WRIT is a 90% owner. We are currently exploring the marketplace for potential acquisitions during the remainder of 2011, continuing with our stated acquisition strategy of focusing on properties inside the Washington metro region's Beltway, near major transportation nodes and in areas with strong employment drivers and superior growth demographics.

On August 5, 2011, we executed five separate contracts for the sale of our industrial segment at a gain for an aggregate purchase price of \$350.0 million. The sales are expected to close in five separate settlements with the same buyer during the third and fourth quarters of 2011. Included in these sales are all properties in our industrial segment, as well as two office properties, Albemarle Point and the Crescent.

Table of Contents

Capital Requirements

Subsequent to the end of the 2011 Quarter, we replaced and extended Credit Facility No. 2, increasing its size from \$262.0 million to \$400.0 million. The new facility matures July 1, 2014 with a one-year extension option, bears interest at a rate of LIBOR plus a margin of 122.5 basis points and has an accordion feature that allows us to increase the facility to \$600.0 million, subject to additional lender commitments.

As of June 30, 2011, our unsecured lines of credit had \$245.0 million in borrowings outstanding and \$1.7 million in letters of credit issued, leaving, with the new facility, a remaining borrowing capacity of \$228.3 million.

We repaid the remaining \$93.9 million of our 5.95% unsecured notes during the 2011 Quarter, and have a combined \$14.0 million of unsecured and mortgage notes payable that mature during the remainder of 2011. We currently expect to pay these maturities with some combination of proceeds from new debt, property sales and equity issuances.

Significant Transactions

We summarize below our significant transactions during the 2011 and 2010 Periods:

2011 Period

The disposition of Dulles Station, Phase I, a 180,000 square foot office building in Herndon, Virginia for a contract sales price of \$58.8 million.

The acquisition of two office buildings for \$127.3 million, adding approximately 314,000 square feet. We incurred \$1.6 million in acquisition costs related to these purchase transactions.

The acquisition of approximately 37,000 square feet of land in Arlington, Virginia for \$11.8 million through a consolidated joint venture of which WRIT is a 90% owner. The joint venture intends to develop a 150 unit mid-rise apartment community on this land.

The execution of new leases for 0.8 million square feet of commercial space (excluding first generation leases at recently-built properties), with an average rental rate increase of 5.4% over expiring leases.

2010 Period

The acquisition of two office buildings for \$68.0 million, adding approximately 271,000 square feet, which were 100% leased at the end of the 2010 Period.

The disposition of the Parklawn Portfolio, consisting of three office properties and one industrial property, for a contract sales price of \$23.4 million and a gain on sale of \$7.9 million.

The repurchase of \$1.2 million of our 3.875% convertible notes at 99.3% of par, resulting in a net loss on extinguishment of debt of \$41,600.

The issuance of 2.3 million common shares at a weighted average price of \$29.60 under our sales agency financing agreement, raising \$67.1 million in net proceeds.

The execution of new leases for 0.9 million square feet of commercial space (excluding first generation leases at recently-built properties), with an average rental rate increase of 16.0% over expiring leases.

Results of Operations

The discussion that follows is based on our consolidated results of operations for the 2011 and 2010 Quarters and Periods. The ability to compare one period to another may be significantly affected by acquisitions completed and dispositions made during those periods.

Table of Contents

To provide more insight into our operating results, we divide our discussion into two main sections:

Consolidated Results of Operations: Overview analysis of results on a consolidated basis.

Net Operating Income (NOI): Detailed analysis of same-store and non-same-store NOI results by segment.
Consolidated Results of Operations

Real Estate Rental Revenue

Real estate rental revenue for properties classified as continuing operations is summarized as follows (all data in thousands except percentage amounts):

| | Quarters Ended June 30, | | | | Periods Ended June 30, | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|----------|-------|------------------------|------------|-----------|--------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | Change | | 2011 | 2010 | Change | |
| | | | \$ | % | | | \$ | % |
| Minimum base rent | \$ 70,039 | \$ 63,287 | \$ 6,752 | 10.7% | \$ 138,779 | \$ 126,263 | \$ 12,516 | 9.9% |
| Recoveries from tenants | 8,291 | 7,729 | 562 | 7.3% | 16,138 | 16,984 | (846) | (5.0%) |
| Provisions for doubtful accounts | (879) | (1,321) | 442 | 33.5% | (2,504) | (2,867) | 363 | 12.7% |
| Lease termination fees | 263 | 133 | 130 | 97.7% | 547 | 448 | 99 | 22.1% |
| Parking and other tenant charges | 2,856 | 2,574 | 282 | 11.0% | 5,765 | 5,125 | 640 | 12.5% |
| | \$ 80,570 | \$ 72,402 | \$ 8,168 | 11.3% | \$ 158,725 | \$ 145,953 | \$ 12,772 | 8.8% |

Minimum Base Rent: Minimum base rent from acquisitions (\$6.2 million) and a \$0.6 million increase from same-store properties caused the \$6.8 million increase in the 2011 Quarter. The same-store increase was due to higher rental rates (\$1.3 million), partially offset by lower occupancy (\$0.7 million).

Minimum base rent from acquisitions (\$11.8 million) and a \$0.7 million increase from same-store properties caused the \$12.5 million increase in the 2011 Period. The same-store increase was primarily due to higher rental rates (\$2.9 million) partially offset by lower occupancy (\$1.9 million).

Recoveries from Tenants: Recoveries from tenants increased by \$0.6 million in the 2011 Quarter due primarily to acquisitions.

Recoveries from tenants decreased by \$0.8 million in the 2011 Period due to lower reimbursements for real estate taxes (\$0.9 million), operating expenses (\$0.4 million) and common area maintenance (\$0.4 million) at same-store properties, partially offset by reimbursements from tenants at acquisitions (\$0.9 million). Lower occupancy across the commercial properties, lower property tax assessments and lower snow removal costs caused the decreases at same-store properties.

Provisions for Doubtful Accounts: Provisions for doubtful accounts decreased by \$0.4 million in the 2011 Quarter due to lower provisions in the office (\$0.4 million) and industrial (\$0.1 million) segments, partially offset by higher provisions in the retail segment (\$0.2 million).

Provisions for doubtful accounts decreased by \$0.4 million in the 2011 Period due primarily to lower provisions in the office (\$0.7 million) and industrial (\$0.3 million) segments, partially offset by higher provisions in the retail (\$0.4 million) and medical office (\$0.2 million) segments.

Lease Termination Fees: Lease termination fees increased in the 2011 Quarter and Period, primarily in the industrial segment.

Parking and Other Tenant Charges: Parking and other tenant charges from acquisitions primarily caused the \$0.3 million increase in the 2011 Quarter.

Edgar Filing: WASHINGTON REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST - Form 10-Q

Higher parking income (\$0.2 million) and antenna rents (\$0.1 million) from same-store properties and parking income from acquisitions (\$0.2 million) caused the \$0.6 million increase in parking and other tenant charges in the 2011 Period.

Table of Contents

A summary of occupancy for properties classified as continuing operations by segment follows:

| | 2011 | As of June 30, 2010 | Change |
|----------------|-------|------------------------|--------|
| Office | 88.7% | 90.6% | (1.9%) |
| Medical Office | 87.3% | 88.0% | (0.7%) |
| Retail | 92.0% | 94.4% | (2.4%) |
| Multifamily | 95.6% | 95.0% | 0.6% |
| Industrial | 78.4% | 79.3% | (0.9%) |
| Total | 87.9% | 89.0% | (1.1%) |

Occupancy represents occupied square footage indicated as a percentage of total square footage as of the last day of that period.

Our overall decrease in occupancy reflects the difficulties in leasing vacant space at our commercial properties, partially offset by improved leasing activity in our multifamily segment.

A detailed discussion of occupancy by sector can be found in the NOI section.

Real Estate Expenses

Real estate expenses for properties classified as continuing operations are summarized as follows (all data in thousands except percentage amounts):

| | Quarters Ended June 30, | | | | Periods Ended June 30, | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|----------|-------|------------------------|-----------|----------|------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | Change | | 2011 | 2010 | Change | |
| | | | \$ | % | | | \$ | % |
| Property operating expenses | \$ 18,667 | \$ 15,911 | \$ 2,756 | 17.3% | \$ 37,086 | \$ 34,826 | \$ 2,260 | 6.5% |
| Real estate taxes | 7,547 | 7,261 | 286 | 3.9% | 15,216 | 14,515 | 701 | 4.8% |
| | \$ 26,214 | \$ 23,172 | \$ 3,042 | 13.1% | \$ 52,302 | \$ 49,341 | \$ 2,961 | 6.0% |

Real estate expenses as a percentage of revenue were 32.5% and 32.0% for the 2011 and 2010 Quarters, respectively, and 33.0% and 33.8% for the 2011 and 2010 Periods, respectively.

Property Operating Expenses: Property operating expenses include utilities, repairs and maintenance, property administration and management, operating services, common area maintenance, property insurance, bad debt and other operating expenses.

Property operating expenses from acquisitions (\$1.3 million), the write-off of receivables (\$0.7 million) due to the liquidation of a large retail tenant in bankruptcy and higher repairs and maintenance costs at same-store properties (\$0.3 million) primarily caused the \$2.8 million increase in the 2011 Quarter.

Property operating expenses from acquisitions (\$2.5 million), the write-off of receivables (\$0.7 million) due to the liquidation of a large retail tenant and higher repairs and maintenance costs (\$0.4 million) at same-store properties, partially offset by lower snow removal costs (\$1.4 million) at same-store properties, primarily caused the \$2.3 million increase in the 2011 Period.

Real Estate Taxes: Real estate taxes on acquisitions (\$0.8 million) offset by lower assessments on same-store properties (\$0.5 million) caused the \$0.3 million increase in the 2011 Quarter.

Real estate taxes on acquisitions (\$1.5 million) offset by lower assessments on same-store properties (\$0.8 million) caused the \$0.7 million increase in the 2011 Period.

Table of Contents

Other Operating Expenses

Other operating expenses are summarized as follows (all data in thousands except percentage amounts):

| | Quarters Ended June 30, | | | | Periods Ended June 30, | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|----------|---------|------------------------|-----------|----------|--------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | Change | | 2011 | 2010 | Change | |
| | | | \$ | % | | | \$ | % |
| Depreciation and amortization | \$ 25,459 | \$ 22,720 | \$ 2,739 | 12.1% | \$ 50,209 | \$ 45,307 | \$ 4,902 | 10.8% |
| Interest expense | 17,097 | 16,785 | 312 | 1.9% | 34,223 | 33,623 | 600 | 1.8% |
| Acquisition costs | 322 | 409 | (87) | (21.3%) | 1,971 | 464 | 1,507 | 324.8% |
| General and administrative | 4,049 | 3,519 | 530 | 15.1% | 7,751 | 7,302 | 449 | 6.1% |
| | \$ 46,927 | \$ 43,433 | \$ 3,494 | 8.0% | \$ 94,154 | \$ 86,696 | \$ 7,458 | 8.6% |

Depreciation and Amortization: Depreciation and amortization expense from acquisitions (\$3.5 million), partially offset by lower depreciation and amortization expense at same-store properties (\$0.8 million), caused the \$2.7 million increase in the 2011 Quarter.

Depreciation and amortization expense from acquisitions (\$6.7 million), partially offset by lower depreciation and amortization expense at same-store properties (\$1.8 million), caused the \$4.9 million increase in the 2011 Period.

The lower depreciation and amortization expense at same-store properties is primarily due to lower amortization of intangible assets associated with the acquisition of properties.

Interest Expense: A summary of interest expense by debt type for the 2011 and 2010 Quarters and Periods appears below (in millions, except percentage amounts):

| | Quarters Ended June 30, | | | | Periods Ended June 30, | | | |
|---|-------------------------|---------|--------|--------|------------------------|---------|--------|--------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | Change | | 2011 | 2010 | Change | |
| | | | \$ | % | | | \$ | % |
| Notes payable | \$ 10.3 | \$ 10.3 | \$ | | \$ 20.8 | \$ 20.5 | \$ 0.3 | 1.5% |
| Mortgages | 5.8 | 6.0 | (0.2) | (3.3%) | 11.6 | 11.9 | (0.3) | (2.5%) |
| Lines of credit/short-term note payable | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 22.2% | 2.0 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 5.3% |
| Capitalized interest | (0.1) | (0.4) | 0.3 | 75.0% | (0.2) | (0.7) | 0.5 | 71.4% |
| Total | \$ 17.1 | \$ 16.8 | \$ 0.3 | 1.8% | \$ 34.2 | \$ 33.6 | \$ 0.6 | 1.8% |

Acquisition Costs: Acquisition costs increased by \$1.5 million for the 2011 Period due to the acquisitions of 1140 Connecticut Avenue and 1227 25th Street during the first quarter of 2011.

General and Administrative Expense: General and administrative expense increased by \$0.5 million in the 2011 Quarter due to annual salary increases (\$0.2 million) and higher estimates of incentive compensation (\$0.3 million).

General and administrative expense increased by \$0.4 million in the 2011 Period primarily due to annual salary increases.

Discontinued Operations

We dispose of assets (sometimes using tax-deferred exchanges) that no longer meet our long-term strategy or return objectives and where market conditions for sale are favorable. The proceeds from the sales may be reinvested into other properties, used to fund development operations or to support other corporate needs, or distributed to our shareholders.

Table of Contents

Properties we sold during 2011 and 2010 are as follows:

| Disposition Date | Property | Type | Rentable Square Feet | Contract Purchase Price (In millions) |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|
| April 5, 2011 | Dulles Station, Phase I | Office | 180,000 | \$ 58.8 |
| June 18, 2010 | Parklawn Portfolio ¹ | Office/Industrial | 229,000 | \$ 23.4 |
| December 21, 2010 | The Ridges | Office | 104,000 | 27.5 |
| December 22, 2010 | Ammendale I&II and Amvax | Industrial | 305,000 | 23.0 |
| | | 2010 Total | 638,000 | \$ 73.9 |

¹ The Parklawn Portfolio is comprised of three office properties (Parklawn Plaza, Lexington Building and Saratoga Building) and one industrial property (Charleston Business Center).

Operating results of the properties classified as discontinued operations are summarized as follows (in thousands, except for percentages):

| | Quarters Ended June 30, | | | | Periods Ended June 30, | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|------------|----------|------------------------|----------|------------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | \$ Change | % | 2011 | 2010 | \$ Change | % |
| Revenues | \$ 45 | \$ 3,521 | \$ (3,476) | (98.7%) | \$ 1,177 | \$ 7,309 | \$ (6,132) | (83.9%) |
| Property expenses | (55) | (1,359) | 1,304 | 96.0% | (547) | (3,001) | 2,454 | 81.8% |
| Real estate impairment | | | | | (599) | | (599) | |
| Depreciation and amortization | | (949) | 949 | 100.0% | (499) | (1,970) | 1,471 | 74.7% |
| Interest expense | | (228) | 228 | 100.0% | | (454) | 454 | 100.0% |
| Total | \$ (10) | \$ 985 | \$ (995) | (101.0%) | \$ (468) | \$ 1,884 | \$ (2,352) | (124.8%) |

The small loss from discontinued operations during the 2011 Quarter reflects the sale of Dulles Station, Phase I early in the quarter.

With the application of available net operating loss carryforwards, we recognized \$1.2 million in net federal and state income tax liabilities during the 2011 Quarter in connection with the sale of Dulles Station, Phase I.

The \$0.5 million loss from discontinued operations during the 2011 Period includes a \$0.6 million impairment of real estate at Dulles Station, Phase I, which reduced the property's carrying amount to its fair value.

Table of Contents*Net Operating Income*

NOI is the primary performance measure we use to assess the results of our operations at the property level. We believe that NOI is useful as a performance measure because, when compared across periods, NOI reflects the impact on operations of trends in occupancy rates, rental rates and operating costs on an unleveraged basis, providing perspective not immediately apparent from net income. NOI excludes certain components from net income in order to provide results more closely related to a property's results of operations. For example, interest expense is not necessarily linked to the operating performance of a real estate asset. In addition, depreciation and amortization, because of historical cost accounting and useful life estimates, may distort operating performance at the property level. As a result of the foregoing, we provide NOI as a supplement to net income calculated in accordance with GAAP. NOI does not represent net income calculated in accordance with GAAP. As such, it should not be considered an alternative to net income as an indication of our operating performance. A reconciliation of NOI to net income follows.

2011 Quarter Compared to 2010 Quarter

The following tables of selected operating data provide the basis for our discussion of NOI in the 2011 Quarter compared to the 2010 Quarter. All amounts are in thousands except percentage amounts.

| | 2011 | 2010 | \$ Change | % Change |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| Real Estate Rental Revenue | | | | |
| Same-store | \$ 73,081 | \$ 71,716 | \$ 1,365 | 1.9% |
| Non-same-store ⁽¹⁾ | 7,489 | 686 | 6,803 | 991.7% |
| Total real estate rental revenue | \$ 80,570 | \$ 72,402 | \$ 8,168 | 11.3% |
| Real Estate Expenses | | | | |
| Same-store | \$ 23,833 | \$ 22,909 | \$ 924 | 4.0% |
| Non-same-store ⁽¹⁾ | 2,381 | 263 | 2,118 | 805.3% |
| Total real estate expenses | \$ 26,214 | \$ 23,172 | \$ 3,042 | 13.1% |
| NOI | | | | |
| Same-store | \$ 49,248 | \$ 48,807 | \$ 441 | 0.9% |
| Non-same-store ⁽¹⁾ | 5,108 | 423 | 4,685 | 1,107.6% |
| Total NOI | \$ 54,356 | \$ 49,230 | \$ 5,126 | 10.4% |
| Reconciliation to Net Income | | | | |
| NOI | \$ 54,356 | \$ 49,230 | | |
| Acquisition costs | (322) | (409) | | |
| Other income | 310 | 297 | | |
| Interest expense | (17,097) | (16,785) | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | (25,459) | (22,720) | | |
| General and administrative expenses | (4,049) | (3,519) | | |
| Discontinued operations: | | | | |
| Gain sale of real estate | | 7,942 | | |
| Income tax expense | (1,173) | | | |
| Income (loss) from operations of properties sold or held for sale ⁽²⁾ | (10) | 985 | | |
| Net income | 6,556 | 15,021 | | |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (34) | (27) | | |
| Net income attributable to the controlling interests | \$ 6,522 | \$ 14,994 | | |

Table of Contents

| Occupancy | Quarters Ended June 30, | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Same-store | 88.1% | 89.4% |
| Non-same-store ⁽¹⁾ | 85.3% | 76.1% |
| Total | 87.9% | 89.0% |

⁽¹⁾ Non-same-store properties include:

2011 Office acquisitions 1140 Connecticut Avenue and 1227 2nd Street

2010 Office acquisitions Quantico Corporate Center (925 and 1000 Corporate Drive)

2010 Retail acquisition Gateway Overlook

2009 Medical Office acquisition Lansdowne Medical Office Building

⁽²⁾ Discontinued operations include gain on disposals and income from operations for:

2011 disposition Dulles Station, Phase I

2010 dispositions Parklawn Plaza, Lexington Building, Saratoga Building, Charleston Business Center, the Ridges,

Ammendale I&II and Amvax

Real estate rental revenue from same-store properties increased by \$1.4 million in the 2011 Quarter primarily due to higher rental rates (\$1.3 million) and lower reserves for uncollectible revenue (\$0.5 million), partially offset by lower occupancy (\$0.7 million).

Real estate expenses from same-store properties increased by \$0.9 million in the 2011 Quarter primarily due to the write-off of receivables (\$0.7 million) due to the announced liquidation of a large retail tenant in bankruptcy.

The decrease in same-store occupancy was the result of difficulties in leasing vacant space in the office, medical office, retail and industrial segments, partially offset by higher occupancy in the multifamily segment. The increase in non-same-store occupancy reflects the acquisitions made during the 2011 Period and 2010. Lansdowne Medical Office Building, which was vacant upon acquisition in 2009, was 26.7% leased as of the end of the 2011 Quarter. During the 2011 Quarter, 62.6% of the commercial square footage expiring was renewed as compared to 49.0% in the 2010 Quarter, excluding properties sold or classified as held for sale. During the 2011 Quarter, we executed new leases (excluding first generation leases at recently-built properties) for 414,300 commercial square feet at an average rental rate of \$24.43 per square foot, an increase of 11.0%, with average tenant improvements and leasing costs of \$21.91 per square foot.

An analysis of NOI by segment follows.

Table of Contents*Office Segment:*

| | 2011 | Quarters Ended June 30, | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|-----------|----------|
| | | 2010 | \$ Change | % Change |
| Real Estate Rental Revenue | | | | |
| Same-store | \$ 30,533 | \$ 30,747 | \$ (214) | (0.7%) |
| Non-same-store ⁽¹⁾ | 5,403 | 675 | 4,728 | 700.4% |
| Total real estate rental revenue | \$ 35,936 | \$ 31,422 | \$ 4,514 | 14.4% |
| Real Estate Expenses | | | | |
| Same-store | \$ 10,297 | \$ 10,275 | \$ 22 | 0.2% |
| Non-same-store ⁽¹⁾ | 1,827 | 152 | 1,675 | 1,102.0% |
| Total real estate expenses | \$ 12,124 | \$ 10,427 | \$ 1,697 | 16.3% |
| NOI | | | | |
| Same-store | \$ 20,236 | \$ 20,472 | \$ (236) | (1.2%) |
| Non-same-store ⁽¹⁾ | 3,576 | 523 | 3,053 | 583.7% |
| Total NOI | \$ 23,812 | \$ 20,995 | \$ 2,817 | 13.4% |

| Occupancy | 2011 | 2010 |
|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Same-store | 88.1% | 89.9% |
| Non-same-store ⁽¹⁾ | 92.6% | 100.0% |
| Total | 88.7% | 90.6% |

⁽¹⁾ Non-same-store properties include:
2011 acquisitions 1140 Connecticut Avenue and 1227 25th Street

2010 acquisitions Quantico Corporate Center (925 and 1000 Corporate Drive)

Real estate rental revenue from same-store properties decreased by \$0.2 million in the 2011 Quarter due to lower occupancy (\$0.6 million), partially offset by lower reserves for uncollectible revenue (\$0.4 million).

Real estate expenses from same-store properties slightly increased as higher repairs and maintenance (\$0.2 million) and operating supplies and services costs (\$0.1 million) were offset by lower real estate taxes (\$0.3 million) due to lower assessments across the portfolio.

The decrease in same-store occupancy was primarily due to the non-renewal of a major tenant at Monument II, partially offset by higher occupancy at One Central Plaza. The non-same-store occupancy of 92.6% reflects high occupancy at Quantico Corporate Center and 1140 Connecticut Avenue, partially offset by 1227 25th Street, which was 71.9% occupied at the end of the 2011 Quarter. During the 2011 Quarter, 65.2% of the square footage that expired was renewed compared to 51.8% in the 2010 Quarter, excluding properties sold or classified as held for sale. During the 2011 Quarter, we executed new leases for 217,500 square feet of office space at an average rental rate of \$26.87 per square foot, an increase of 12.1%, with average tenant improvements and leasing costs of \$29.14 per square foot.

Table of Contents*Medical Office Segment:*

| | 2011 | Quarters Ended June 30, | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|-----------|----------|
| | | 2010 | \$ Change | % Change |
| Real Estate Rental Revenue | | | | |
| Same-store | \$ 11,380 | \$ 11,277 | \$ 103 | 0.9% |
| Non-same-store ⁽¹⁾ | 139 | 11 | 128 | 1,163.6% |
| Total real estate rental revenue | \$ 11,519 | \$ 11,288 | \$ 231 | 2.0% |
| Real Estate Expenses | | | | |
| Same-store | \$ 3,267 | \$ 3,492 | \$ (225) | (6.4%) |
| Non-same-store ⁽¹⁾ | 154 | 111 | 43 | 38.7% |
| Total real estate expenses | \$ 3,421 | \$ 3,603 | \$ (182) | (5.1%) |
| NOI | | | | |
| Same-store | \$ 8,113 | \$ 7,785 | \$ 328 | 4.2% |
| Non-same-store ⁽¹⁾ | (15) | (100) | 85 | 85.0% |
| Total NOI | \$ 8,098 | \$ 7,685 | \$ 413 | 5.4% |

| Occupancy | 2011 | 2010 |
|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Same-store | 91.7% | 94.1% |
| Non-same-store ⁽¹⁾ | 25.8% | 1.8% |
| Total | 87.3% | 88.0% |

⁽¹⁾ Non-same-store properties include:
2009 acquisition Lansdowne Medical Office Building

Real estate rental revenue from same-store properties increased by \$0.1 million in 2011 Quarter due to higher rental rates (\$0.4 million), partially offset by lower occupancy (\$0.2 million) and lower reimbursements for real estate taxes (\$0.1 million).

Real estate expenses from same-store properties decreased by \$0.2 million in the 2011 Quarter due to recoveries of bad debt.

The decrease in same-store occupancy was driven by lower occupancy at Woodholme Medical Center and 8503 Arlington Boulevard. The increase in non-same-store occupancy to 25.8% in the 2011 Quarter reflects the continued lease-up of Lansdowne Medical Office Building, which was newly-constructed and vacant when purchased during the fourth quarter of 2009. During the 2011 Quarter, 63.1% of the square footage that expired was renewed compared to 60.4% in the 2010 Quarter. During the 2011 Quarter, we executed new leases (excluding first generation leases) for 61,400 square feet of medical office space at an average rental rate of \$36.13, an increase of 17.5%, with average tenant improvements and leasing costs of \$26.24 per square foot.

Table of Contents*Retail Segment:*

| | 2011 | Quarters Ended June 30, | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|-----------|----------|
| | | 2010 | \$ Change | % Change |
| Real Estate Rental Revenue | | | | |
| Same-store | \$ 10,290 | \$ 10,200 | \$ 90 | 0.9% |
| Non-same-store ⁽¹⁾ | 1,947 | | 1,947 | |
| Total real estate rental revenue | \$ 12,237 | \$ 10,200 | \$ 2,037 | 20.0% |
| Real Estate Expenses | | | | |
| Same-store | \$ 3,219 | \$ 2,566 | \$ 653 | 25.4% |
| Non-same-store ⁽¹⁾ | 400 | | 400 | |
| Total real estate expenses | \$ 3,619 | \$ 2,566 | \$ 1,053 | 41.0% |
| NOI | | | | |
| Same-store | \$ 7,071 | \$ 7,634 | \$ (563) | (7.4%) |
| Non-same-store ⁽¹⁾ | 1,547 | | 1,547 | |
| Total NOI | \$ 8,618 | \$ 7,634 | \$ 984 | 12.9% |

| Occupancy | 2011 | 2010 |
|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Same-store | 92.3% | 94.4% |
| Non-same-store ⁽¹⁾ | 90.0% | |
| Total | 92.0% | 94.4% |

⁽¹⁾ Non-same-store properties include:
2010 acquisition Gateway Overlook

Real estate rental revenue from same-store properties increased by \$0.1 million in the 2011 Quarter due to higher rental rates (\$0.2 million) partially offset by higher reserves for uncollectible revenue (\$0.1 million).

Real estate expenses from same-store properties increased by \$0.7 million in the 2011 Quarter due to the write-off of receivables due to the announced liquidation of a large tenant in bankruptcy at the Centre at Hagerstown.

The decrease in same-store occupancy was driven by lower occupancy at the Centre at Hagerstown and Frederick Crossing. The non-same-store occupancy of 90.0% reflects the acquisition of Gateway Overlook in 2010. During the 2011 Quarter, 57.7% of the square footage that expired was renewed compared to 72.5% in the 2010 Quarter. During the 2011 Quarter, we executed new leases for 38,500 square feet of retail space at an average rental rate of \$25.88, an increase of 9.3%, with average tenant improvements and leasing costs of \$13.89 per square foot.

Table of Contents*Multifamily Segment:*

| | 2011 | Quarters Ended June 30, | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|-----------|----------|
| | | 2010 | \$ Change | % Change |
| Real Estate Rental Revenue | | | | |
| Total | \$ 12,709 | \$ 11,914 | \$ 795 | 6.7% |
| Real Estate Expenses | | | | |
| Total | \$ 4,859 | \$ 4,523 | \$ 336 | 7.4% |
| NOI | | | | |
| Total | \$ 7,850 | \$ 7,391 | \$ 459 | 6.2% |

| Occupancy | 2011 | 2010 |
|-----------|-------|-------|
| Total | 95.6% | 95.0% |

Real estate rental revenue increased by \$0.8 million in the 2011 Quarter due primarily to higher rental rates (\$0.4 million), lower rent abatements (\$0.1 million) and higher occupancy (\$0.1 million).

Real estate expenses increased by \$0.3 million in the 2011 Quarter due primarily to higher administrative (\$0.1 million), utilities (\$0.1 million) and repairs and maintenance (\$0.1 million) costs.

The increase in occupancy was driven by higher occupancy at Roosevelt Towers and Clayborne Apartments, partially offset by lower occupancy at Kenmore Apartments.

Table of Contents*Industrial Segment:*

| | 2011 | Quarters Ended June 30, | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-------------------------|-----------|----------|
| | | 2010 | \$ Change | % Change |
| Real Estate Rental Revenue | | | | |
| Total | \$ 8,169 | \$ 7,578 | \$ 591 | 7.8% |
| Real Estate Expenses | | | | |
| Total | \$ 2,191 | \$ 2,053 | \$ 138 | 6.7% |
| NOI | | | | |
| Total | \$ 5,978 | \$ 5,525 | \$ 453 | 8.2% |

| Occupancy | 2011 | 2010 |
|------------------|-------|-------|
| Total | 78.4% | 79.3% |

Real estate rental revenue increased by \$0.6 million in the 2011 Quarter due primarily to higher common area maintenance reimbursements (\$0.2 million), higher lease termination fees (\$0.2 million), higher rental rates (\$0.1 million) and lower reserves for uncollectible revenue (\$0.1 million).

Real estate expenses increased by \$0.1 million in the 2011 Quarter as compared to the 2010 Quarter due to lower recoveries of bad debt.

The decrease in occupancy was driven by lower occupancy at Fullerton Industrial Center and 9950 Business Parkway. During the 2011 Quarter, 59.5% of the square footage that expired was renewed compared to 7.6% in the 2010 Quarter, excluding properties sold or classified as held for sale. During the 2011 Quarter, we executed new leases for 96,900 square feet of industrial space at an average rental rate of \$10.96, a decrease of 3.8%, with average tenant improvements and leasing costs of \$6.10 per square foot.

Table of Contents

2011 Period Compared to 2010 Period

The following tables of selected operating data provide the basis for our discussion of NOI in the 2011 Period compared to the 2010 Period. Occupancy data is not presented because the results are unchanged from the quarterly data presented in the previous section. All amounts are in thousands except percentage amounts.

| | 2011 | 2010 | \$ Change | % Change |
|--|------------|------------|------------|----------|
| Real Estate Rental Revenue | | | | |
| Same-store | \$ 145,176 | \$ 145,266 | \$ (90) | (0.1%) |
| Non-same-store ⁽¹⁾ | 13,549 | 687 | 12,862 | 1,872.2% |
| Total real estate rental revenue | \$ 158,725 | \$ 145,953 | \$ 12,772 | 8.8% |
| Real Estate Expenses | | | | |
| Same-store | \$ 47,878 | \$ 48,938 | \$ (1,060) | (2.2%) |
| Non-same-store ⁽¹⁾ | 4,424 | 403 | 4,021 | 997.8% |
| Total real estate expenses | \$ 52,302 | \$ 49,341 | \$ 2,961 | 6.0% |
| NOI | | | | |
| Same-store | \$ 97,298 | \$ 96,328 | \$ 970 | 1.0% |
| Non-same-store ⁽¹⁾ | 9,125 | 284 | 8,841 | 3,113.0% |
| Total NOI | \$ 106,423 | \$ 96,612 | \$ 9,811 | 10.2% |
| Reconciliation to Net Income | | | | |
| NOI | \$ 106,423 | \$ 96,612 | | |
| Acquisition costs | (1,971) | (464) | | |
| Other income | 616 | 586 | | |
| Interest expense | (34,223) | (33,623) | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | (50,209) | (45,307) | | |
| General and administrative expenses | (7,751) | (7,302) | | |
| Gain (loss) on extinguishment of debt | | (42) | | |
| Discontinued operations: | | | | |
| Gain sale of real estate | | 7,942 | | |
| Income tax expense | (1,173) | | | |
| Income (loss) from operations of properties sold or held for sale ⁽²⁾ | (468) | 1,884 | | |
| Net income | 11,244 | 20,286 | | |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (57) | (76) | | |
| Net income attributable to the controlling interests | \$ 11,187 | \$ 20,210 | | |

⁽¹⁾ Non-same-store properties include:

2011 Office acquisitions 1140 Connecticut Avenue and 1227 25th Street

2010 Office acquisitions Quantico Corporate Center (925 and 1000 Corporate Drive)

2010 Retail acquisition Gateway Overlook

Edgar Filing: WASHINGTON REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST - Form 10-Q

2009 Medical Office acquisition Lansdowne Medical Office Building

⁽²⁾ Discontinued operations include gain on disposals and income from operations for:
2011 disposition Dulles Station, Phase I

2010 dispositions Parklawn Plaza, Lexington Building, Saratoga Building, Charleston Business Center, the Ridges,

Ammendale I&II and Amvax

Real estate rental revenue from same-store properties decreased by \$0.1 million in the 2011 Period due to lower occupancy (\$1.9 million), lower common area maintenance reimbursements (\$0.9 million) and lower real estate tax reimbursements (\$0.9 million), partially offset by higher rental rates (\$2.9 million), lower reserves for uncollectible revenue (\$0.4 million) and higher parking income (\$0.2 million). The decreases in reimbursements were caused by lower occupancy, lower snow removal costs and lower property tax assessments.

Table of Contents

Real estate expenses from same-store properties decreased by \$1.1 million in the 2011 Period due to lower snow removal costs (\$1.4 million) and lower real estate taxes (\$0.8 million), partially offset by a write-off of receivables from a major retail tenant (\$0.7 million) and higher repairs and maintenance costs (\$0.4 million).

During the 2011 Period, 69.0% of the commercial square footage expiring was renewed as compared to 56.2% in the 2010 Period, excluding properties sold or classified as held for sale. During the 2011 Period, we executed new leases (excluding first generation leases at recently-built properties) for 830,600 commercial square feet at an average rental rate of \$22.48 per square foot, an increase of 5.4%, with average tenant improvements and leasing costs of \$14.26 per square foot.

An analysis of NOI by segment follows.

Table of Contents*Office Segment:*

| | 2011 | 2010 | Periods Ended June 30, | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------------|----------|
| | | | \$ Change | % Change |
| Real Estate Rental Revenue | | | | |
| Same-store | \$ 60,606 | \$ 61,719 | \$ (1,113) | (1.8%) |
| Non-same-store ⁽¹⁾ | 9,433 | 676 | 8,757 | 1,295.4% |
| Total real estate rental revenue | \$ 70,039 | \$ 62,395 | \$ 7,644 | 12.3% |
| Real Estate Expenses | | | | |
| Same-store | \$ 20,465 | \$ 21,049 | \$ (584) | (2.8%) |
| Non-same-store ⁽¹⁾ | 3,147 | 153 | 2,994 | 1,956.9% |
| Total real estate expenses | \$ 23,612 | \$ 21,202 | \$ 2,410 | 11.4% |
| NOI | | | | |
| Same-store | \$ 40,141 | \$ 40,670 | \$ (529) | (1.3%) |
| Non-same-store ⁽¹⁾ | 6,286 | 523 | 5,763 | 1,101.9 |
| Total NOI | \$ 46,427 | \$ 41,193 | \$ 5,234 | 12.7% |

⁽¹⁾ Non-same-store properties include:
2011 acquisitions 1140 Connecticut Avenue and 1227 25th Street

2010 acquisitions Quantico Corporate Center (925 and 1000 Corporate Drive)

Real estate rental revenue from same-store properties decreased by \$1.1 million in the 2011 Period primarily due to lower occupancy (\$1.4 million) and lower real estate tax (\$0.5 million) and operating expense (\$0.3 million) reimbursements, partially offset by higher rental rates (\$0.7 million) and lower reserves for uncollectible revenue (\$0.7 million).

Real estate expenses from same-store properties decreased by \$0.6 million in the 2011 Period primarily due to lower real estate taxes.

During the 2011 Period, 61.0% of the square footage that expired was renewed compared to 56.9% in the 2010 Period, excluding properties sold or classified as held for sale. During the 2011 Period, we executed new leases for 355,600 square feet of office space at an average rental rate of \$28.47 per square foot, an increase of 6.0%, with average tenant improvements and leasing costs of \$21.01 per square foot.

Table of Contents*Medical Office Segment:*

| | 2011 | 2010 | Periods Ended June 30, \$ Change | % Change |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------------------------------|----------|
| Real Estate Rental Revenue | | | | |
| Same-store | \$ 22,403 | \$ 22,692 | \$ (289) | (1.3%) |
| Non-same-store ⁽¹⁾ | 247 | 11 | 236 | 2,145.5% |
| Total real estate rental revenue | \$ 22,650 | \$ 22,703 | \$ (53) | (0.2%) |
| Real Estate Expenses | | | | |
| Same-store | \$ 6,785 | \$ 7,304 | \$ (519) | (7.1%) |
| Non-same-store ⁽¹⁾ | 305 | 250 | 55 | 22.0% |
| Total real estate expenses | \$ 7,090 | \$ 7,554 | \$ (464) | (6.1%) |
| NOI | | | | |
| Same-store | \$ 15,618 | \$ 15,388 | \$ 230 | 1.5% |
| Non-same-store ⁽¹⁾ | (58) | (239) | 181 | 75.7% |
| Total NOI | \$ 15,560 | \$ 15,149 | \$ 411 | 2.7% |

⁽¹⁾ Non-same-store properties include:
2009 acquisition Lansdowne Medical Office Building

Real estate rental revenue from same-store properties decreased by \$0.3 million in 2011 Period due to lower occupancy (\$0.4 million), higher reserves for uncollectible revenue (\$0.2 million) and lower operating expense (\$0.2 million) and real estate tax (\$0.2 million) reimbursements, partially offset by higher rental rates (\$0.8 million).

Real estate expenses from same-store properties decreased by \$0.5 million in the 2011 Period primarily due to lower snow removal costs (\$0.2 million) and recoveries of bad debt (\$0.2 million).

During the 2011 Period, 73.8% of the square footage that expired was renewed compared to 55.7% in the 2010 Period. During the 2011 Period, we executed new leases (excluding first generation leases) for 104,700 square feet of medical office space at an average rental rate of \$36.59, an increase of 15.6%, with average tenant improvements and leasing costs of \$24.13 per square foot.

Table of Contents*Retail Segment:*

| | 2011 | Periods Ended June 30, | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|------------------------|-----------|----------|
| | | 2010 | \$ Change | % Change |
| Real Estate Rental Revenue | | | | |
| Same-store | \$ 20,515 | \$ 20,701 | \$ (186) | (0.9%) |
| Non-same-store ⁽¹⁾ | 3,869 | | 3,869 | |
| Total real estate rental revenue | \$ 24,384 | \$ 20,701 | \$ 3,683 | 17.8% |
| Real Estate Expenses | | | | |
| Same-store | \$ 6,189 | \$ 5,850 | \$ 339 | 5.8% |
| Non-same-store ⁽¹⁾ | 972 | | 972 | |
| Total real estate expenses | \$ 7,161 | \$ 5,850 | \$ 1,311 | 22.4% |
| NOI | | | | |
| Same-store | \$ 14,326 | \$ 14,851 | \$ (525) | (3.5%) |
| Non-same-store ⁽¹⁾ | 2,897 | | 2,897 | |
| Total NOI | \$ 17,223 | \$ 14,851 | \$ 2,372 | 16.0% |

⁽¹⁾ Non-same-store properties include:
2010 acquisition Gateway Overlook

Real estate rental revenue from same-store properties decreased by \$0.2 million in the 2011 Period primarily due to higher reserves for uncollectible revenue (\$0.4 million), partially offset by higher rental rates (\$0.3 million).

Real estate expenses from same-store properties increased by \$0.3 million in the 2011 Period primarily due to the write-off of receivables due to the announced liquidation of a large tenant in bankruptcy at the Centre at Hagerstown (\$0.7 million), partially offset by lower snow removal costs (\$0.5 million).

During the 2011 Period, 97.7% of the square footage that expired was renewed compared to 78.4% in the 2010 Period. During the 2011 Period, we executed new leases for 117,200 square feet of retail space at an average rental rate of \$19.57, an increase of 7.1%, with average tenant improvements and leasing costs of \$5.23 per square foot.

Table of Contents*Multifamily Segment:*

| | 2011 | Periods Ended June 30, | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|------------------------|-----------|----------|
| | | 2010 | \$ Change | % Change |
| Real Estate Rental Revenue | | | | |
| Total | \$ 25,202 | \$ 23,756 | \$ 1,446 | 6.1% |
| Real Estate Expenses | | | | |
| Total | \$ 9,687 | \$ 9,626 | \$ 61 | 0.6% |
| NOI | | | | |
| Total | \$ 15,515 | \$ 14,130 | \$ 1,385 | 9.8% |

Real estate rental revenue increased by \$1.4 million in the 2011 Period due primarily to higher rental rates (\$0.8 million), lower rent abatements (\$0.3 million) and higher occupancy (\$0.2 million).

Real estate expenses increased by \$0.1 million in the 2011 Period due primarily to lower snow removal costs.

Table of Contents*Industrial Segment:*

| | 2011 | Periods Ended June 30, 2010 | \$ Change | % Change |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|-----------|----------|
| Real Estate Rental Revenue | | | | |
| Total | \$ 16,450 | \$ 16,398 | \$ 52 | 0.3% |
| Real Estate Expenses | | | | |
| Total | \$ 4,752 | \$ 5,109 | \$ (357) | (7.0%) |
| NOI | | | | |
| Total | \$ 11,698 | \$ 11,289 | \$ 409 | 3.6% |

Real estate rental revenue increased by \$0.1 million in the 2011 Period due primarily to higher rental rates (\$0.3 million), lower reserves for uncollectible revenue (\$0.3 million) and higher lease termination fees (\$0.3 million), partially offset by lower occupancy (\$0.5 million) and lower common area maintenance reimbursements (\$0.3 million).

Real estate expenses decreased by \$0.4 million in the 2011 Period due primarily to lower snow removal costs.

During the 2011 Period, 59.8% of the square footage that expired was renewed compared to 29.5% in the 2010 Period, excluding properties sold or classified as held for sale. During the 2011 Period, we executed new leases for 253,100 square feet of industrial space at an average rental rate of \$9.57, a decrease of 10.6%, with average tenant improvements and leasing costs of \$4.88 per square foot.

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Capital Structure

During 2011, we expect that we will have the following capital requirements. There can be no assurance that our capital requirements will not be materially higher or lower than these expectations.

Funding dividends on our common shares and noncontrolling interest distributions to third party unit holders;

Capital to refinance the \$14.0 million of remaining 2011 maturities on our mortgage notes payable and unsecured notes payable;

Approximately \$35.0 - \$45.0 million to invest in our existing portfolio of operating assets, including approximately \$20.0 - \$25.0 million to fund tenant-related capital requirements and leasing commissions;

Approximately \$1.0 million to fund first generation tenant-related capital requirements and leasing commissions;

Approximately \$25.0 - \$30.0 million to invest in our development projects;

Approximately \$182.4 million to fund our known property acquisitions, including \$127.3 million of acquisitions that closed in the 2011 Period; and

Funding for potential property acquisitions throughout the remainder of 2011, potentially offset by a portion of the proceeds from the expected sale of the industrial segment.

Debt Financing

Our total debt at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 is summarized as follows (in thousands):

| | June 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|-----------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Fixed rate mortgages | \$ 378,469 | \$ 380,171 |
| Unsecured credit facilities | 245,000 | 100,000 |
| Unsecured notes payable | 659,934 | 753,587 |
| | \$ 1,283,403 | \$ 1,233,758 |

Mortgage Debt

At June 30, 2011, our \$378.5 million in fixed rate mortgages, which includes a net \$6.4 million in unamortized discounts due to fair value adjustments, bore an effective weighted average fair value interest rate of 5.9% and had a weighted average maturity of 4.4 years. We may either initiate secured mortgage debt or assume mortgage debt from time-to-time in conjunction with property acquisitions.

Unsecured Credit Facilities

Edgar Filing: WASHINGTON REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST - Form 10-Q

Our primary external source of liquidity is our two revolving credit facilities.

Credit Facility No. 1 is a \$75.0 million unsecured credit facility set to expire in June 2012. We had \$74.0 million outstanding and \$0.8 million in letters of credit issued as of June 30, 2011, related to Credit Facility No. 1. Borrowings under the facility bear interest at our option of LIBOR plus a spread based on the credit rating on our publicly issued debt or the higher of SunTrust Bank's prime rate and the Federal Funds Rate in effect plus 0.5%. The interest rate spread is currently 42.5 basis points. All outstanding advances are due and payable upon maturity in June 2012. Interest only payments are due and payable generally on a monthly basis. In addition, we pay a facility fee based on the credit rating of our publicly issued debt which currently equals 0.15% per annum of the \$75.0 million committed capacity, without regard to usage. Rates and fees may be adjusted up or down based on changes in our senior unsecured credit ratings.

Table of Contents

Credit Facility No. 2 was a four-year \$262.0 million unsecured credit facility expiring in November 2011. We had \$171.0 million outstanding and \$0.9 million in letters of credit issued as of June 30, 2011, related to Credit Facility No. 2. On July 1, 2011 we executed an unsecured credit facility agreement that replaces and expands Credit Facility No. 2 from \$262.0 million to \$400.0 million, with an accordion feature that allows us to increase the facility to \$600.0 million, subject to additional lender commitments. Advances under this agreement bear interest at our option of LIBOR plus a spread based on the credit rating of our publicly issued debt or the higher of Wells Fargo Bank's prime rate and the Federal Funds Rate in effect on that day plus 0.5%. The interest rate spread is currently 122.5 basis points. Interest only payments are due and payable generally on a monthly basis. Credit Facility No. 2 requires us to pay the lender a facility fee on the total commitment of 0.15% per annum. These fees are payable quarterly. All outstanding advances are due and payable upon maturity in July 2014 with a one-year extension option.

An interest rate swap effectively fixes the interest rate on \$100.0 million of the outstanding balance on our unsecured lines of credit at 2.845% through the interest rate swap's maturity date of November 1, 2011.

Our unsecured credit facilities contain financial and other covenants with which we must comply. Some of these covenants include:

A minimum tangible net worth;

A maximum ratio of total liabilities to gross asset value, calculated using an estimate of fair market value of our assets;

A maximum ratio of secured indebtedness to gross asset value, calculated using an estimate of fair market value of our assets;

A minimum ratio of annual EBITDA (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization) to fixed charges, including interest expense;

A minimum ratio of unencumbered asset value, calculated using a fair value of our assets, to unsecured indebtedness;

A minimum ratio of net operating income from our unencumbered properties to unsecured interest expense; and

A maximum ratio of permitted investments to gross asset value, calculated using an estimate of fair market value of our assets. Failure to comply with any of the covenants under our unsecured credit facilities or other debt instruments could result in a default under one or more of our debt instruments. This could cause our lenders to accelerate the timing of payments and would therefore have a material adverse effect on our business, operations, financial condition and liquidity. In addition, our ability to draw on our unsecured credit facilities or incur other unsecured debt in the future could be restricted by the loan covenants. As of June 30, 2011, we were in compliance with our loan covenants.

We anticipate that in the near term we may rely to a greater extent upon our unsecured credit facilities and potentially maintain balances on our unsecured credit facilities for longer periods than has been our historical practice. To the extent that we maintain larger balances on our unsecured credit facilities or maintain balances on our unsecured credit facilities for longer periods, adverse fluctuations in interest rates could have a material adverse effect on earnings.

Unsecured Notes

We generally issue unsecured notes to fund our real estate assets long-term. In issuing future unsecured notes, we generally seek to ladder the maturities of our debt to mitigate exposure to interest rate risk in any particular future year.

Our unsecured notes have maturities ranging from May 2012 through February 2028, as follows (in thousands):

| | June 30, 2011 | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|-----------|
| | Note | Principal |
| 5.05% notes due 2012 | | 50,000 |
| 5.125% notes due 2013 | | 60,000 |
| 5.25% notes due 2014 | | 100,000 |
| 5.35% notes due 2015 | | 150,000 |
| 4.95% notes due 2020 | | 250,000 |
| 3.875% notes due 2026 ⁽¹⁾ | | 2,659 |
| 7.25% notes due 2028 | | 50,000 |
| | \$ | 662,659 |

Table of Contents

(1) On or after September 20, 2011, we may redeem the convertible notes at a redemption price equal to the principal amount of the notes plus any accrued and unpaid interest, if any, up to, but excluding, the purchase date. In addition, on September 15, 2011, September 15, 2016 and September 15, 2021 or following the occurrence of certain change in control transactions, holders of these notes may require us to repurchase the notes for an amount equal to the principal amount of the notes plus any accrued and unpaid interest thereon.

Our unsecured notes contain covenants with which we must comply. These include:

Limits on our total indebtedness;

Limits on our secured indebtedness;

Limits on our required debt service payments; and

Maintenance of a minimum level of unencumbered assets.

Failure to comply with any of the covenants under our unsecured notes could result in a default under one or more of our debt instruments. This could cause our debt holders to accelerate the timing of payments and would therefore have a material adverse effect on our business, operations, financial condition and liquidity. As of June 30, 2011, we were in compliance with our unsecured notes covenants.

We may from time to time seek to repurchase and cancel our outstanding notes through open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions or otherwise. Such repurchases, if any, will depend on prevailing market conditions, our liquidity requirements, contractual restrictions and other factors. The amounts involved may be material.

Common Equity

We have authorized for issuance 100.0 million common shares, of which 66.0 million shares were outstanding at June 30, 2011.

We have a dividend reinvestment program, whereby shareholders may use their dividends and optional cash payments to purchase common shares. The common shares sold under this program may either be common shares issued by us or common shares purchased in the open market. We use net proceeds under this program for general corporate purposes. For the 2011 Period, we issued 82,932 common shares at a weighted average price of \$31.57 per share, raising \$2.6 million in net proceeds.

Dividends

We pay dividends quarterly. The maintenance of these dividends is subject to various factors, including the discretion of our Board of Trustees, our results of operations, the ability to pay dividends under Maryland law, the availability of cash to make the necessary dividend payments and the effect of REIT distribution requirements, which require at least 90% of our taxable income to be distributed to shareholders.

The table below details our dividend and distribution payments for the 2011 and 2010 Quarters and Periods (in thousands).

| | Quarters Ended June 30, | | | | Periods Ended June 30, | | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|----------|-------|------------------------|-----------|----------|-------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | Change | | 2011 | 2010 | Change | |
| | | | \$ | % | | | \$ | % |
| Common dividends | \$ 28,796 | \$ 26,842 | \$ 1,954 | 7.3% | \$ 57,386 | \$ 52,740 | \$ 4,646 | 8.8% |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interests | 82 | 44 | 38 | 86.4% | 107 | 91 | 16 | 17.6% |
| | \$ 28,878 | \$ 26,886 | \$ 1,992 | 7.4% | \$ 57,493 | \$ 52,831 | \$ 4,662 | 8.8% |

Edgar Filing: WASHINGTON REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST - Form 10-Q

Dividends paid for the 2011 Quarter and Period as compared to the 2010 Quarter and Period increased due primarily to the issuance of 5.6 million common shares under our sales agency financing agreement during 2010.

Cash flows from operations are an important factor in our ability to sustain our dividend at its current rate. If our cash flows from operations were to decline significantly, we may have to borrow on our lines of credit to sustain the dividend rate or reduce our dividend.

Table of Contents*Historical Cash Flows*

Consolidated cash flow information is summarized as follows (in millions):

| | Periods Ended June 30, | | | |
|---|------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | Change | |
| | | | \$ | % |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | \$ 60.0 | \$ 61.9 | \$ (1.9) | (3.1%) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | \$ (91.2) | \$ (56.0) | \$ (35.2) | (62.9%) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | \$ (4.7) | \$ (3.8) | \$ (0.9) | (23.7%) |

Our operating activities generated \$60.0 million of net cash in the 2011 Period, a decrease from \$61.9 million in the 2010 Period that is attributable to higher interest payments and the disposition of eight properties during 2010 and 2011, partially offset by the operations of the properties acquired in 2010 and 2011.

Our investing activities used net cash of \$91.2 million in the 2011 Period compared to \$56.0 million in the 2010 Period. The increase in cash used by investing activities is due to the acquisition of land for development through a consolidated joint venture and two operating properties during the 2011 Period, as compared to one acquisition during the 2010 Period.

Our financing activities used net cash of \$4.7 million in the 2011 Period as compared to using \$3.8 million in the 2010 Period. The increase in cash used by financing activities is primarily due to the repayment of our 5.95% unsecured notes during the 2011 Period and the proceeds from an equity offering during the 2010 Period, partially offset by net borrowings of \$145.0 million from our unsecured lines of credit.

Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Debt Service Coverage

The following table sets forth our ratios of earnings to fixed charges and debt service coverage for the periods shown:

| | Quarters Ended June 30, | | Periods Ended June 30, | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|------|------------------------|------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Earnings to fixed charges | 1.4x | 1.3x | 1.4x | 1.3x |
| Debt service coverage | 2.8x | 2.7x | 2.7x | 2.6x |

We computed the ratio of earnings to fixed charges by dividing earnings by fixed charges. For this purpose, earnings consist of income from continuing operations attributable to the controlling interests plus fixed charges, less capitalized interest. Fixed charges consist of interest expense, including amortized costs of debt issuance, and interest costs capitalized.

We computed the debt service coverage ratio by dividing Adjusted EBITDA (which is earnings before interest expense, taxes, depreciation, amortization, real estate impairment, gain on sale of real estate, gain/loss from the extinguishment of debt and gain/loss on non-disposal activities) by interest expense and principal amortization. We believe that Adjusted EBITDA is appropriate for use in our debt service coverage ratio because it provides an estimate of the cash available to pay down long term debt. Adjusted EBITDA does not represent net income calculated in accordance with GAAP. As such, it should not be considered an alternative to net income as an indication of our operating performance. A reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDA to net income attributable to the controlling interests is in Exhibit 12 Computation of Ratios.

Funds From Operations

FFO is a widely used measure of operating performance for real estate companies. We provide FFO as a supplemental measure to net income calculated in accordance with GAAP. Although FFO is a widely used measure of operating performance for REITs, FFO does not represent net income calculated in accordance with GAAP. As such, it should not be considered an alternative to net income as an indication of our operating performance. In addition, FFO does not represent cash generated from operating activities in accordance with GAAP, nor does it represent cash available to pay distributions and should not be considered as an alternative to cash flow from operating activities, determined in accordance with GAAP, as a measure of our liquidity. The National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, Inc. (NAREIT) defines FFO (April, 2002 White Paper) as net income (computed in accordance with GAAP) excluding gains (or losses) associated with sales of property plus real estate depreciation and amortization. We consider FFO to be a standard supplemental measure for REITs because it facilitates an understanding of the

Edgar Filing: WASHINGTON REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST - Form 10-Q

operating performance of our properties without giving effect to real estate depreciation and amortization, which historically assumes that the value of real estate assets diminishes predictably over time. Since real estate

Table of Contents

values have instead historically risen or fallen with market conditions, we believe that FFO more accurately provides investors an indication of our ability to incur and service debt, make capital expenditures and fund other needs. Our FFO may not be comparable to FFO reported by other REITs. These other REITs may not define the term in accordance with the current NAREIT definition or may interpret the current NAREIT definition differently.

The following table provides the calculation of our FFO and a reconciliation of FFO to net income for the periods shown (in thousands):

| | Quarters Ended June 30, | | Periods Ended June 30, | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------|------------------------|-----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Net income attributable to the controlling interests | \$ 6,522 | \$ 14,994 | \$ 11,187 | \$ 20,210 |
| Adjustments: | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 25,459 | 22,720 | 50,209 | 45,307 |
| Discontinued operations: | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 949 | 499 | 1,970 |
| Gain on sale of real estate | | (7,942) | | (7,942) |
| Income tax expense | 1,173 | | 1,173 | |
| FFO as defined by NAREIT | \$ 33,154 | \$ 30,721 | \$ 63,068 | \$ 59,545 |

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

We base the discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. We discuss the most critical estimates in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 25, 2011.

Table of Contents

ITEM 3: QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The principal material financial market risk to which we are exposed is interest-rate risk. Our exposure to market risk for changes in interest rates relates primarily to refinancing long-term fixed rate obligations, the opportunity cost of fixed rate obligations in a falling interest rate environment and our variable rate lines of credit. We primarily enter into debt obligations to support general corporate purposes including acquisition of real estate properties, capital improvements and working capital needs.

As the majority of our outstanding debt is long-term, fixed rate debt, our interest rate risk has not changed significantly from what was disclosed in our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Debt Financing.

ITEM 4: CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Securities Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President of Accounting, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President of Accounting, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on the foregoing, our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President of Accounting concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

There have been no changes in WRIT's internal control over financial reporting (as defined by Rule 13a-15(f)) that occurred during the period covered by the report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, WRIT's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II

OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1: LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

None.

ITEM 1A: RISK FACTORS

None.

ITEM 2: UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

None.

ITEM 3: DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4: (REMOVED AND RESERVED)

ITEM 5: OTHER INFORMATION

None.

Table of Contents**ITEM 6: EXHIBITS**

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description | Incorporated by Reference | | | Filed Herewith | |
|----------------|--|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|---|
| | | Form | File Number | Filing Date | | |
| 3.6 | Former Declaration of Trust, as adopted on April 5, 1996 and as amended through December 1, 2009 | DEF 14A | 001-06622 | A | 4/1/2011 | |
| 3.7 | Articles of Amendment and Restatement, effective as of May 17, 2011 | DEF 14A | 001-06622 | B | 4/1/2011 | |
| 3.8 | Amended and Restated Bylaws of Washington Real Estate Investment Trust, as adopted on May 17, 2011 | 8-K | 001-06622 | 3.3 | 5/23/2011 | |
| 4.32 | Credit Agreement, dated as of July 1, 2011, by and among Washington Real Estate Investment Trust, as borrower, the financial institutions party thereto as lenders, each of The Bank of New York Mellon, Citibank, N.A. and Credit Suisse AG, Cayman Islands Branch as a documentation agent, Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, as lead arranger and bookrunner, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as administrative agent. | 8-K | 001-06622 | 4.1 | 7/6/2011 | |
| 12 | Computation of Ratios | | | | | X |
| 31.1 | Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act) | | | | | X |
| 31.2 | Certification of the Executive Vice President Accounting and Administration pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Exchange Act | | | | | X |
| 31.3 | Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Exchange Act | | | | | X |
| 32 | Certification of the Chief Executive Officer, Executive Vice President Accounting and Administration and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) of the Exchange Act and 18U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | | | | | X |

Table of Contents

| | | |
|-----|---|---|
| 101 | The following materials from our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2011 formatted in eXtensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Income, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (v) notes to these consolidated financial statements | X |
|-----|---|---|

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

WASHINGTON REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST

/s/ George F. McKenzie
George F. McKenzie
President and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Laura M. Franklin
Laura M. Franklin
Executive Vice President

Accounting, Administration and Corporate
Secretary

/s/ William T. Camp
William T. Camp
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial
Officer

DATE: August 8, 2011