

CONSOL ENERGY INC
Form 424B3
March 17, 2004
Table of Contents

As Filed Pursuant to Rule 424(b)(3)

Registration No. 333-109974

PROSPECTUS

CONSOL ENERGY INC.

52,374,425 shares of common stock

We are furnishing this document to allow the selling stockholders identified in this prospectus to sell up to an aggregate of 52,374,425 shares of our common stock. The selling stockholders may sell these shares from time to time in underwritten offerings, in regular brokerage transactions, in transactions directly with market makers or in privately negotiated transactions.

We will not receive any of the proceeds from the sale of the shares by the selling stockholders. The selling stockholders will pay all brokers' or underwriters' discounts and commissions, transfer taxes, and fees and disbursements of any counsel to the selling stockholders, if any.

Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol **CNX**. On March 8, 2004, the last reported sales price of our common stock as reported on the New York Stock Exchange was \$25.6 per share.

We urge you to read carefully the **Risk Factors** section beginning on page 2 where we describe specific risks associated with an investment in our company and these securities before you make your investment decision.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or passed upon the accuracy or adequacy of this prospectus. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

The date of this prospectus is March 17, 2004

Table of Contents**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
EXPLANATORY STATEMENT	ii
<u>PROSPECTUS SUMMARY</u>	1
<u>RISK FACTORS</u>	2
<u>FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS</u>	14
<u>USE OF PROCEEDS</u>	14
<u>MARKET FOR COMMON STOCK</u>	14
<u>SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA</u>	15
<u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATION</u>	19
<u>BUSINESS</u>	53
<u>MANAGEMENT</u>	87
<u>CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS</u>	97
<u>PRINCIPAL AND SELLING STOCKHOLDERS</u>	97
<u>DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STOCK</u>	108
<u>PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION</u>	112
<u>LEGAL MATTERS</u>	113
<u>EXPERTS</u>	114
<u>WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION</u>	114
<u>INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u>	F-1

EXPLANATORY STATEMENT

We entered into a registration rights agreement with the initial purchasers of the securities registered in the registration statement, of which this prospectus is a part. Under that agreement, we agreed to file a shelf registration statement under the Securities Act of 1933 for the resale of the securities and keep the shelf registration statement effective until the earlier of (1) two years following the effective date of the registration statement and (2) the time when all of the shares of common stock registered by the registration statement have been sold under the registration statement or otherwise cease to be registrable shares. A shelf registration statement on Form S-3 was declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 17, 2004. Under Securities and Exchange Commission rules, because we did not timely file our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2003, we became ineligible to use the existing Form S-3 registration statement when our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003 was filed with the Commission on March 12, 2004. As a result, we amended the existing registration statement on Form S-3 with a post-effective amendment on Form S-1, including this prospectus.

Table of Contents

PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

You should read the entire prospectus, including the information set forth in Risk Factors, and all the information incorporated by reference, before making an investment decision.

CONSOL Energy

We are a multi-fuel energy producer and energy services provider that primarily serves the electric power generation industry in the United States. That industry generates approximately two-thirds of its output by burning coal or gas, the two fuels we produce. At December 31, 2003, we produced high-Btu bituminous coal from 20 mining complexes in the United States and Australia. Coal produced from our mines has a high-Btu content which creates more energy per unit when burned compared to coals with lower Btu content. As a result, coals with greater Btu content can be more efficient to use. We also produce pipeline-quality coalbed methane gas from our coal properties in Pennsylvania, Virginia and West Virginia and conventional gas from our properties in Tennessee and Virginia. We believe that the use of coal and gas to generate electricity will grow as demand for power increases.

Historically, we rank among the largest coal producers in the United States based upon total revenue, net income and operating cash flow. Our production of approximately 60 million tons of coal in 2003 accounted for approximately 5% of the total tons produced in the United States and approximately 12% of the total tons produced east of the Mississippi River during that year. We are one of the premier coal producers in the United States by several measures:

We mine more high-Btu bituminous coal than any other United States producer;

We are the largest coal producer, in terms of tons produced, east of the Mississippi River;

We have the second largest amount of recoverable coal reserves among United States coal producers; and

We are the largest United States producer of coal from underground mines.

We also rank as one of the largest coalbed methane gas companies in the United States based on both our proved reserves and our current daily production. Our industry position is highlighted by several measures:

We possess one of the largest coalbed methane reserve bases among publicly traded oil and gas companies in the United States with approximately 1.0 trillion cubic feet of net proved reserves of gas;

Our principal coalbed methane operations produce gas from coal seams with a high gas content;

We currently have approximately 146 million cubic feet of gross average daily production;

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

At December 31, 2003, we operated more than 1,500 wells connected by approximately 800 miles of gathering lines and associated infrastructure; and

Our facilities have the capacity to transport 250 million cubic feet of gas per day.

Additionally, we provide energy services, including terminal services, industrial supply services and coal waste disposal services. We are developing our land assets that we previously used primarily to support our coal operations.

CONSOL Energy was organized as a Delaware corporation in 1991. Our address is CONSOL Plaza, 1800 Washington Road, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15241-1421 and our telephone number is (412) 831-4000.

Table of Contents

RISK FACTORS

Investing in our securities will be subject to risks, including risks inherent in our business. The value of your investment may decline and could result in a loss. You should carefully consider the following factors as well as other information contained in this prospectus before deciding to invest in our securities.

We have a significant amount of debt compared to our stockholders' equity, which limits our flexibility, imposes restrictions on us and could hinder our ability to compete and meet future capital and liquidity needs.

At December 31, 2003, we had outstanding approximately \$564 million in aggregate principal amount of indebtedness, including capital leases, and total stockholders' equity of \$291 million. We have become leveraged as a result of our policy of paying dividends. Since 1992, we have paid dividends aggregating \$1.2 billion, approximately the amount of our aggregate net income for the same period.

The degree to which we are leveraged could have important consequences to us, including the following:

a portion of our cash flow must be used to pay interest on our indebtedness and therefore is not available for use in our business;

our indebtedness increases our vulnerability to changes in general economic and industry conditions;

our ability to obtain additional financing for working capital, capital expenditures, general corporate purposes or other purposes could be impaired;

because some of our borrowings are short-term or at variable rates of interest, we are vulnerable to interest rate fluctuations, which could result in us incurring higher interest expenses if interest rates increase; and

our failure to comply with covenants and restrictions contained in the terms of our borrowings could lead to a default which could cause all or a significant portion of our debt to become immediately payable.

Stockholders' equity was reduced by comprehensive losses of approximately \$9 million in 2003, \$56 million in 2002 and \$37 million in 2001. These losses relate primarily to the recognition of a minimum pension liability as a result of the negative return on plan assets for non-contributory defined benefit retirement plans covering substantially all employees not covered by multi-employer retirement plans. Our minimum pension liability generally is calculated annually and reflects a number of factors including conditions in the stock markets and interest rates. We cannot predict whether we will be required to recognize such losses in the future. Further comprehensive losses would reduce our stockholders' equity and possibly preclude us from paying dividends, which likely would adversely affect our stock price. For these and other reasons, we may not pay dividends at the same levels as in recent periods or at all.

The SEC has informed us that it is conducting an inquiry regarding certain matters, which may include allegations contained in an anonymous letter that certain directors and senior executive officers have misappropriated corporate funds and other assets and engaged in other illegal or inappropriate activities.

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

We received a copy of an anonymous letter addressed to the SEC and delivered to our independent auditors, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. The letter, and other anonymous letters received subsequently, contains numerous allegations including assertions that certain directors and senior executive officers have misappropriated corporate funds and other assets and engaged in other illegal or inappropriate activities. We have been informed that the SEC commenced an informal, non-public inquiry in October 2003 regarding certain matters, which may be related to the anonymous letter. If the SEC determined to bring an action against us, it could have a material adverse effect upon us, our financial statements and the value of our common stock.

Table of Contents

We reported losses in recent periods and we may incur losses in future periods.

We reported a net loss of \$7.8 million in the twelve months ended December 31, 2003. Although we reported net income for each of the twelve months ended December 31, 2002, the six months ended December 31, 2001 and the twelve months ended June 30, 2001, net income was attributable to income tax benefits in the periods ended December 31, 2002 and 2001 and benefited substantially from an export sales excise tax resolution in the twelve months ended June 30, 2001. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 and the six months ended December 31, 2001, we incurred losses before income tax benefits of \$33.5 million, \$40.4 million and \$19.6 million, respectively. Our results reflect a number of factors in each period. For example, a decrease in tons of coal produced and tons of coal sold as a result of higher than usual customer inventory levels, decreased average sales price for gas in the industrial sector and lower demand for gas during the 2001-2002 winter heating season resulted in high levels of gas storage. During the fourth quarter of 2003, results were adversely affected by production problems at a number of mines. These and other conditions, including conditions beyond our control, could continue to affect our business, and we may incur losses in the future.

If we determine that some or all of our deferred tax assets will not be realized then we will need to reduce our deferred tax assets which could materially reduce our operating results and stockholders equity and possibly preclude dividend payments.

We account for our income taxes in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes, which requires that deferred tax assets and liabilities be recognized using enacted tax rates for the effect of temporary differences between the book and tax basis of recorded assets and liabilities. Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 109 also requires that deferred tax assets be reduced by a valuation allowance if it is more likely than not that some portion of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. At December 31, 2003, we had deferred tax assets in excess of deferred tax liabilities of approximately \$535 million. The deferred tax assets are evaluated periodically to determine if a valuation allowance is necessary. Realization of our deferred tax assets is principally dependent upon our achievement of projected future coal and non-coal mining regular taxable income. Our judgments regarding future profitability may change due to future market conditions, our ability to continue to successfully execute our business strategy and other factors. These changes, if any, may require possible valuation allowances to be recognized. These allowances could materially reduce our operating results and stockholders equity and possibly preclude dividend payments, which likely would adversely affect the price of our common stock.

We may need substantial additional financing in order for us to fund our operations, capital expenditures and to meet other obligations.

We have announced that we will incur approximately \$340 million to \$364 million for capital expenditures during 2004 for maintenance of production and expansion projects. We, along with other participants in the coal industry, have been informed by insurance companies that they no longer will provide surety bonds for workers compensation and other post employment benefits without collateral. As a result, we have satisfied these obligations by providing letters of credit or other assurances of payment. However, the issuance of letters of credit under our bank credit facilities reduces amounts that we can borrow under our bank credit facilities for other purposes, including to fund operations and capital expenditures. Cash generated by operations may not be sufficient to fund our currently planned capital expenditures and to provide the collateral necessary to meet workers compensation and other post employment benefits performance obligations. For these and other reasons, we may need substantial additional financing. We cannot be certain that we will be able to raise additional financing, as required, or that any financing, if available, will be on terms acceptable to us. Debt financing would increase our interest expense, reducing operating results, and could include covenants that are more restrictive than those in our current financings, including limitations on the payment of dividends and on the incurrence of additional debt. The issuance of additional equity could be dilutive to our existing stockholders. If we cannot obtain financing, it could reduce capital expenditures, particularly for expansion projects. Such a reduction in spending for these projects, however, could adversely affect future performance.

Table of Contents

We may be unable to comply with restrictions imposed by our senior credit facility which could result in a default under these agreements.

Our senior credit facility imposes a number of restrictions on us. A failure to comply with these restrictions could adversely affect our ability to borrow under our senior credit facility or result in an event of default under these agreements and our other debt. Our senior credit facility contains financial and other covenants that create limitations on our ability to, among other things, borrow the full amount under our senior credit facility or incur additional debt, and requires us to maintain various financial ratios and comply with various other financial covenants. These financial covenants include a funded debt ratio that requires us to maintain a ratio of total indebtedness for borrowed money as of the last day of each quarter to total earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization and excluding any extraordinary gains or losses for the four quarters ended on that date of not more than 3.5 to 1 and a ratio for the last four consecutive quarters of total earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization and excluding any extraordinary gains or losses to total interest payable (including amortization of debt discount) on indebtedness for borrowed money of not less than 4.5 to 1. A covenant also limits capital expenditures to \$455 million for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2004 and \$470 million for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2005. Our ability to comply with these restrictions depends upon our operating results, which recently have deteriorated from earlier periods, and we may be unable to comply with these covenants and other restrictions in our senior credit facility. In the event of a default, our lenders could terminate their commitments to us and declare all amounts borrowed, together with accrued interest and fees, immediately due and payable. If this were to occur, we might not be able to pay these amounts or we might be forced to seek an amendment to our debt agreements which could make the terms of these agreements more onerous for us. Failure to comply with these restrictions, even if waived by our bank lenders, also could adversely affect our credit ratings, which could increase the costs of debt financings to us and impair our ability to obtain additional debt financing.

Our credit ratings have recently been downgraded to a sub-investment grade rating, which could adversely affect our costs and expenses.

In December 2003, Standard and Poor's classed our long-term debt as BB- (13th lowest out of 22 rating categories). The rating indicates that an obligor faces major ongoing uncertainties or exposure to adverse business, financial, or economic conditions, which could lead to the obligor's inadequate capacity to meet its financial commitment on the obligation. The negative sign shows relative standing within the rating category. At the same time, Standard and Poor's placed our senior unsecured debt rating on CreditWatch with negative implications.

In December 2003, Moody's Investor Service classed our long-term debt as Ba3 (13th lowest out of 21 rating categories). The rating remains under review for possible further downgrade. Bonds that are rated Ba are considered to have speculative elements; their future cannot be considered as well-assured. The protection of interest and principal payments on debt rated Ba is considered moderate, and thereby not well safeguarded during both good and bad times. Uncertainty of ability to repay characterizes bonds in this class. The modifier 3 indicates that the obligation ranks in the lower end of its generic rating category.

The downgrading of our credit ratings could adversely affect our ability to borrow and result in more restrictive borrowing terms, including increased borrowing costs, more restrictive covenants and the extension of less open credit. This in turn could affect our operational flexibility.

We may not be able to maintain our competitive position because coal and gas markets are highly competitive and are affected by factors beyond our control.

We compete with coal producers in various regions of the United States for domestic sales, and it competes both with domestic and foreign coal producers for sales in international markets. Demand for our coal by our

Table of Contents

principal customers is affected by the price of competing coal and alternative fuel supplies, including nuclear, natural gas, oil and renewable energy sources, such as hydroelectric power. We sell coal to foreign electricity generators and to the more specialized metallurgical coal market, both of which are significantly affected by international demand and competition.

A significant decline in the prices we receive for our coal and gas could adversely affect our operating results and cash flows.

Our results of operations are highly dependent upon the prices we receive for our coal and gas, which are closely linked to consumption patterns of the electric generation industry and certain industrial and residential patterns where gas is the principal fuel. Extended or substantial price declines for coal or gas would adversely affect our operating results for future periods and our ability to generate cash flows necessary to improve productivity and expand operations. For example, in 1998, 1999 and 2001, demand for coal decreased because of the warm winters in the northeastern United States. This resulted in increased inventories that caused pricing decreases. Natural gas prices have been volatile.

We may not be able to produce sufficient amounts of coal to fulfill our customers requirements, which could harm our customer relationships.

We may not be able to produce sufficient amounts of coal to meet customer demand, including amounts that we are required to deliver under long-term contracts. Our inability to satisfy our contractual obligations could result in our customers initiating claims against us. Our inability to satisfy demand could otherwise harm our relationships with our customers.

If the coal or gas industry experiences overcapacity in the future, our profitability could be impaired.

During the mid-1970s and early 1980s, a growing coal market and increased demand for coal attracted new investors to the coal industry, spurred the development of new mines and resulted in added production capacity throughout the industry, all of which led to increased competition and lower coal prices. Increases in coal prices similarly could encourage the development of expanded capacity by new or existing coal producers. Any overcapacity could reduce coal prices in the future. Increased prices for gas typically stimulate additional exploration and often result in additional supplies brought to market. Increased gas supply could reduce gas prices in the future.

If customers do not extend existing contracts or enter into new long-term contracts for coal, the stability and profitability of our operations could be affected.

During the twelve months ended December 31, 2003, approximately 95% of the coal we produced was sold under contracts with terms of one year or more. If a substantial portion of our long-term contracts are modified or terminated, we would be adversely affected if we are unable to replace them or if our new contracts were not at the same level of profitability. The profitability of our long-term coal supply contracts depends on a variety of factors, which vary from contract to contract and fluctuate during the contract term, and includes our production costs and other factors. Price changes, if any, provided in long-term supply contracts are not intended to reflect our cost increases, and therefore increases in our costs may reduce our profit margins. In addition, in periods of declining market prices, provisions for adjustment or renegotiation of prices and other provisions may increase our exposure to short-term coal price volatility. As a result, we may not be able to obtain long-term agreements at favorable prices (compared to either market conditions, as they may change from time to time, or our cost structure) and long-term contracts may not contribute to our profitability.

We depend on two customers for a significant portion of our revenues and the loss of either one of these customers could adversely affect us.

During the twelve months ended December 31, 2003, two customers accounted for approximately 21% of our total revenue and one customer, Allegheny Energy, alone accounted for approximately 14% of our total

Table of Contents

revenue. Our business and operating results could be adversely affected if either one of these customers does not continue to purchase the same amount of coal or gas as it has purchased from us in the past or on terms, including pricing, it has under existing agreements.

Some of our long-term contracts require us to supply all of our customers' coal needs. If these customers' coal requirements decline, our operating results may be adversely affected.

We have requirements contracts with certain customers which require us to supply all of those customers' coal needs but allow the customers to defer or vary the amount of coal that they accept. For example, during 2002, the reduction in the amount required by certain of these customers contributed to the reduction in our earnings when we could not find alternative customers at the same price and volume levels. If these or other customers with requirements contracts need less coal in the future, it could adversely affect our operating results.

The creditworthiness of our customer base has declined.

Our ability to receive payment for coal or gas sold depends on the creditworthiness of our customers. In general, the creditworthiness of our customers has declined. If this trend were to continue, the number of customers with acceptable credit profiles could decline. The bankruptcy of a customer could result in a loss of revenue for coal or gas already shipped, or in adverse changes to our sales contracts being imposed by the courts.

We may not be able to accomplish acquisitions effectively, which requires us to outbid competitors, obtain financing on acceptable terms and integrate acquired operations.

The energy industry is a rapidly consolidating industry, with many companies seeking to consummate acquisitions and increase their market share. In this environment, we compete and will continue to compete with many other buyers for acquisitions. Some of those competitors may be able to outbid us for acquisitions because they have greater financial resources. As a result of these and other factors, future acquisitions may not be available to us on attractive terms. Our ability to consummate any acquisition will be subject to various conditions, including the negotiation of satisfactory agreements and obtaining necessary regulatory approvals and financing. Once any acquisition is completed, we may not be able to achieve expected operating benefits through cost reductions, increased efficiency and integration with our existing operations. As a result, our operating results may be adversely affected.

Disputes with our customers concerning contracts can result in litigation, which could result in our paying substantial damages or incurring loss of revenues.

From time to time, we have disputes with our customers over the provisions of long-term contracts relating to, among other things, coal quality, pricing and quantity. We may not be able to resolve any future disputes in a satisfactory manner, which could result in us paying substantial damages or suffering reduced revenues.

Coal mining is subject to conditions or events beyond our control, which could cause our quarterly or annual results to deteriorate.

Our coal mining operations are predominantly underground mines. These mines are subject to conditions or events beyond our control that could disrupt operations, affect production and the cost of mining at particular mines for varying lengths of time and have a significant impact on our operating results. These conditions or events have included:

variations in thickness of the layer, or seam, of coal;

amounts of rock and other natural materials intruding into the coal seam and other geological conditions;

equipment failures or repair;

Table of Contents

fires and other accidents; and

weather conditions.

We face numerous uncertainties in estimating our economically recoverable coal reserves, and inaccuracies in our estimates could result in lower than expected revenues, higher than expected costs and decreased profitability.

There are numerous uncertainties inherent in estimating quantities and values of economically recoverable coal reserves, including many factors beyond our control. As a result, estimates of economically recoverable coal reserves are by their nature uncertain. Information about our reserves consists of estimates based on engineering, economic and geological data assembled and analyzed by our staff. None of our coal reserve estimates has been reviewed by independent experts.

Some of the factors and assumptions which impact economically recoverable reserve estimates include:

geological conditions;

historical production from the area compared with production from other producing areas;

the assumed effects of regulations and taxes by governmental agencies;

assumptions governing future prices; and

future operating costs.

Each of these factors may in fact vary considerably from the assumptions used in estimating reserves. For these reasons, estimates of the economically recoverable quantities of coal attributable to a particular group of properties, and classifications of these reserves based on risk of recovery and estimates of future net cash flows, may vary substantially. Actual production, revenues and expenditures with respect to our reserves will likely vary from estimates, and these variances may be material. As a result, our estimates may not accurately reflect our actual reserves.

The exploration for, and production of, gas is an uncertain process with many risks.

The exploration for and production of gas involves numerous risks. The cost of drilling, completing and operating wells for coalbed methane or other gas is often uncertain, and a number of factors can delay or prevent drilling operations or production, including:

unexpected drilling conditions;

pressure or irregularities in formations;

equipment failures or repairs;

fires or other accidents;

adverse weather conditions;

pipeline ruptures or spills; and

shortages or delays in the availability of drilling rigs and the delivery of equipment.

Our future drilling activities may not be successful, and we cannot be sure that our drilling success rates will not decline. Unsuccessful drilling activities could result in higher costs without any corresponding revenues. Also, we may not be able to obtain any options or lease rights in potential drilling locations that we identify which, among other things, could prevent us from producing gas at potential drilling locations.

Table of Contents

The coal beds from which we produce methane gas frequently contain water which may hamper our ability to produce gas in commercial quantities.

The amount of coalbed methane that can be commercially produced depends upon the coal quality, the original gas content of the coal seam, the thickness of the seam, the reservoir pressure, the rate at which gas is released from the coal, and the existence of any natural fractures through which the gas can flow to the well bore. However, coal beds frequently contain water that must be removed in order for the gas to detach from the coal and flow to the well bore. Our ability to remove and dispose of sufficient quantities of water from the coal seam will determine whether or not we can produce gas in commercial quantities.

Disruption of rail, barge and other systems which deliver our coal, or of pipeline systems which deliver our gas, or increase in transportation costs could make our coal or gas less competitive.

Coal producers depend upon rail, barge, trucking, overland conveyor and other systems to provide access to markets. Disruption of transportation services because of weather-related problems, strikes, lock-outs or other events could temporarily impair our ability to supply coal to customers and adversely affect our profitability. Transportation costs represent a significant portion of the delivered cost of coal and, as a result, the cost of delivery is a critical factor in a customer's purchasing decision. Increases in transportation costs could make our coal less competitive.

The marketability of our gas production partly depends on the availability, proximity and capacity of pipeline systems owned by third parties. Unexpected changes in access to pipelines could adversely affect our operations.

Government laws, regulations and other legal requirements relating to protection of the environment and health and safety matters increase our costs of doing business and may restrict our operations.

We are subject to laws, regulations and other legal requirements enacted or adopted by federal, state and local, as well as foreign, authorities relating to protection of the environment and health and safety matters, including those legal requirements that govern discharges of substances into the air and water, the management and disposal of hazardous substances and wastes, the cleanup of contaminated sites, groundwater quality and availability, plant and wildlife protection, reclamation and restoration of mining properties after mining is completed and control of surface subsidence from underground mining. Complying with these requirements, including the terms of our permits, has had, and will continue to have, a significant effect on our costs of operations and competitive position. In addition, we could incur substantial costs, including clean up costs, fines and civil or criminal sanctions and third party damage claims for personal injury, property damage, wrongful death, or exposure to hazardous substances, as a result of violations of or liabilities under environmental laws.

For example, we incur and will continue to incur significant costs associated with the investigation and remediation of environmental contamination under the federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (Superfund) and similar state statutes and has been named as a potentially responsible party at Superfund sites in the past. Our costs for these matters, which currently relate predominantly to one site, could exceed our current accruals, which were \$2.7 million at December 31, 2003. To date, we have spent \$2.3 million for remediation of this waste disposal site and related expenses. The discovery of additional contaminants or the imposition of additional clean-up obligations or other liabilities could result in substantially greater costs than we have estimated.

We must obtain governmental permits and approvals for mining operations, which can be a costly and time consuming process and result in restrictions on our operations.

Regulatory authorities exercise considerable discretion in the timing and scope of permit issuance. Requirements imposed by these authorities may be costly and time consuming and may result in delays in the

Table of Contents

commencement or continuation of exploration or production operations. For example, we often are required to prepare and present to federal, state and local authorities data pertaining to the effect or impact that proposed exploration for or production of coal may have on the environment. Further, the public may comment on and otherwise engage in the permitting process, including through intervention in the courts. Accordingly, the permits we need may not be issued, or if issued, may not be issued in a timely fashion, or may involve requirements which restrict our ability to conduct our mining operations or to do so profitably.

The characteristics of coal may make it difficult for coal users to comply with various environmental standards related to coal combustion. As a result, they may switch to other fuels, which would affect the volume of our sales.

Coal contains impurities, including sulfur, mercury, chlorine and other elements or compounds, many of which are released into the air when coal is burned. Stricter environmental regulations of emissions from coal-fired electric generating plants could increase the costs of using coal thereby reducing demand for coal as a fuel source, the volume of our coal sales and price. Stricter regulations could make coal a less attractive fuel alternative in the planning and building of utility power plants in the future.

For example, in order to meet the federal Clean Air Act limits for sulfur dioxide emissions from electric power plants, coal users may need to install scrubbers, use sulfur dioxide emission allowances (some of which they may purchase), blend high sulfur coal with low sulfur coal or switch to other fuels. Each option has limitations. Lower sulfur coal may be more costly to purchase on an energy basis than higher sulfur coal depending on mining and transportation costs. The cost of installing scrubbers is significant and emission allowances may become more expensive as their availability declines. Switching to other fuels may require expensive modification of existing plants. Because higher sulfur coal currently accounts for a significant portion of our sales, the extent to which power generators switch to low-sulfur fuel could materially affect us if we cannot offset the cost of sulfur removal by lowering the delivered costs of our higher sulfur coals on an energy equivalent basis.

Other new and proposed reductions in emissions of mercury, sulfur dioxides, nitrogen oxides, particulate matter or greenhouse gases may require the installation of additional costly control technology or the implementation of other measures, including trading of emission allowances and switching to other fuels. For example, the Environmental Protection Agency recently proposed separate regulations to establish mercury emission limits nationwide and to reduce the interstate transport of fine particulate matter and ozone through reductions in sulfur dioxides and nitrogen oxides through the eastern United States. The Environmental Protection Agency continues to require reduction of nitrogen oxide emissions in 22 eastern states and the District of Columbia and will require reduction of particulate matter emissions over the next several years for areas that do not meet air quality standards for fine particulates. In addition, Congress and several states are now considering legislation to further control air emissions of multiple pollutants from electric generating facilities and other large emitters. These new and proposed reductions will make it more costly to operate coal-fired plants and could make coal a less attractive fuel alternative to the planning and building of utility power plants in the future. To the extent that any new or proposed requirements affect our customers, this could adversely affect our operations and results.

We have significant reclamation and mine closure obligations. If the assumptions underlying our accruals are materially inaccurate, we could be required to expend greater amounts than anticipated.

The Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act establishes operational, reclamation and closure standards for all aspects of surface mining as well as most aspects of deep mining. We accrue for the costs of current mine disturbance and of final mine closure, including the cost of treating mine water discharge where necessary. Estimates of our total reclamation and mine-closing liabilities, which are based upon permit requirements and our experience, were \$383 million at December 31, 2003. On January 1, 2003, we adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 143 (SFAS 143) to account for the costs related to the closure of mines and gas wells

Table of Contents

and the reclamation of the land upon exhaustion of coal and gas reserves. This statement requires the fair value of an asset retirement obligation be recognized in the period in which it is incurred if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. The present value of the estimated asset retirement costs is capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the long-lived asset. As a result of this change in accounting principle, we recognized a gain of \$5 million, net of a tax cost of \$3 million. At the time of adoption, total assets, net of accumulated depreciation, increased approximately \$59 million, and total liabilities increased approximately \$51 million. These amounts recorded upon adoption are dependent upon a number of variables, including the estimated future retirement costs, estimated proven reserves, assumptions involving profit margins, inflation rates, and the assumed credit-adjusted risk-free interest rates. Furthermore, these obligations are unfunded. If these accruals are insufficient or our liability in a particular year is greater than currently anticipated, our future operating results could be adversely affected.

Federal, state and local authorities extensively regulate our gas production activities.

The gas industry is subject to extensive legislation and regulation, which is under constant review for amendment or expansion. Any changes may affect, among other things, the pricing or marketing of gas production. State and local authorities regulate various aspects of gas drilling and production activities, including the drilling of wells (through permit and bonding requirements), the spacing of wells, the unitization or pooling of gas properties, environmental matters, safety standards, market sharing and well site restoration. If we fail to comply with statutes and regulations, we may be subject to substantial penalties, which would decrease our profitability.

Deregulation of the electric utility industry could have unanticipated effects on our industry.

Deregulation of the electric utility industry will enable purchasers of electricity to shop for the lowest cost suppliers. If our electric power generator customers become more sensitive to long-term price or quantity commitments in a more competitive environment, it may be more difficult for us to enter into long-term contracts and could subject our revenue stream to increased volatility which may adversely affect our profitability. Deregulation of the power industry may have other consequences for our industry, such as efforts to reduce coal prices, which may have a negative effect on our operating results.

The passage of legislation responsive to the Framework Convention on Global Climate Change or similar governmental initiatives could result in restrictions on coal use.

The United States and more than 160 other nations are signatories to the 1992 Framework Convention on Global Climate Change which is intended to limit or capture emissions of greenhouse gases, such as carbon dioxide. In December 1997, in Kyoto, Japan, the signatories to the convention established a potentially binding set of emissions targets for developed nations. Although the specific emissions targets vary from country to country, the United States would be required to reduce emissions to 93% of 1990 levels over a five-year budget period from 2008 through 2012. If the Kyoto Protocol or other comprehensive legislation focusing on greenhouse gas emissions is enacted by the United States, it could have the effect of restricting the use of coal. Other efforts to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases and federal initiatives to encourage the use of natural gas also may affect the use of coal as an energy source.

We are subject to the federal Clean Water Act and similar state laws which impose treatment, monitoring and reporting obligations.

The federal Clean Water Act and corresponding state laws affect coal mining operations by imposing restrictions on discharges into regulated waters. Permits requiring regular monitoring and compliance with effluent limitations and reporting requirements govern the discharge of

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

pollutants into regulated waters. New requirements under the Clean Water Act and corresponding state laws could cause us to incur significant additional costs that adversely affect our operating results.

Table of Contents

We have significant obligations for long-term employee benefits for which we accrue based upon assumptions which, if inaccurate, could result in us being required to expend greater amounts than anticipated.

We provide various long-term employee benefits to inactive and retired employees. We accrue amounts for these obligations. At December 31, 2003, the current and non-current portions of these obligations included:

post retirement medical and life insurance (\$1.6 billion);

coal workers' black lung benefits (\$456 million); and

workers' compensation (\$316 million).

However, if our assumptions are inaccurate, we could be required to expend greater amounts than anticipated. These obligations are unfunded, except for coal workers' black lung benefits, of which approximately 1% was funded at December 31, 2003. In addition, several states in which we operate consider changes in workers' compensation laws from time to time. Such changes, if enacted, could increase our benefit expense.

If lump sum payments made to retiring salaried employees pursuant to our defined benefit pension plan exceed the total of the service cost and the interest cost in a plan year, we would need to make an adjustment to operating results equaling the unrecognized actuarial gain or loss resulting from each individual who received a lump sum payment in that year, which may result in an adjustment that could materially reduce operating results.

Our defined benefit pension plan for salaried employees allows such employees to elect to receive a lump-sum distribution in lieu of annual payments when they retire from CONSOL Energy. Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 88, Employers' Accounting for Settlements and Curtailments of Defined Benefit Pension Plans and for Terminations Benefits, requires that if the lump-sum distributions made for a plan year, which for us is October 1 to September 30, exceed the total of the service cost and interest cost for the plan year, we would need to recognize for that year's results of operations an adjustment equaling the unrecognized actuarial gain or loss resulting from each individual who received a lump sum in that year. If lump sum payments exceed the total of the service cost and the interest cost, the adjustment could materially reduce operating results.

New regulations have expanded the definition of black lung disease and generally made it easier for claimants to assert and prosecute claims, which could increase our exposure to black lung benefit liabilities.

In January 2001, the United States Department of Labor amended the regulations implementing the federal black lung laws to give greater weight to the opinion of a claimant's treating physician, expand the definition of black lung disease and limit the amount of medical evidence that can be submitted by claimants and respondents. The amendments also alter administrative procedures for the adjudication of claims, which, according to the Department of Labor, results in streamlined procedures that are less formal, less adversarial and easier for participants to understand. These and other changes to the federal black lung regulations could significantly increase our exposure to black lung benefits liabilities.

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

In recent years, legislation on black lung reform has been introduced but not enacted in Congress. It is possible that this legislation will be reintroduced for consideration by Congress. If any of the proposals included in this or similar legislation is passed, the number of claimants who are awarded benefits could significantly increase. Any such changes in black lung legislation, if approved, may adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Fairmont Supply Company, our subsidiary, is a co-defendant in various asbestos litigation cases which could result in making payments in the future that are material.

One of our subsidiaries, Fairmont Supply Company, which distributes industrial supplies, currently is named as a defendant in approximately 22,600 asbestos claims in state courts in Pennsylvania, Ohio, West

Table of Contents

Virginia, Maryland, New Jersey and Mississippi. Because a very small percentage of products manufactured by third parties and supplied by Fairmont in the past may have contained asbestos and many of the pending claims are part of mass complaints filed by hundreds of plaintiffs against a hundred or more defendants, it has been difficult for Fairmont to determine how many of the cases actually involve valid claims or plaintiffs who were actually exposed to asbestos-containing products supplied by Fairmont. In addition, while Fairmont may be entitled to indemnity or contribution in certain jurisdictions from manufacturers of identified products, the availability of such indemnity or contribution is unclear at this time and, in recent years, some of the manufacturers named as defendants in these actions have sought protection from these claims under bankruptcy laws. Fairmont has no insurance coverage with respect to these asbestos cases. To date, payments by Fairmont with respect to asbestos cases have not been material. However, payments in the future with respect to pending or future asbestos cases could be material to our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

We have been informed by insurance companies that, unless provided with collateral, they no longer will issue surety bonds that we and other coal mining companies are required by law to obtain.

Various federal or state laws and regulations require us to obtain surety bonds or to provide other assurance of payment for certain of our long-term liabilities including mine closure or reclamation costs, workers' compensation and other post employment benefits. We, along with other participants in the coal industry, have been informed by insurance companies that they no longer will provide surety bonds for workers' compensation and other post employment benefits without collateral. We have satisfied our obligations under these statutes and regulations by providing letters of credit or other assurances of payment. However, letters of credit can be significantly more costly to us than surety bonds. The issuance of letters of credit under our bank credit facilities also reduces amounts that we can borrow under our bank credit facilities for other purposes.

We and certain of our officers are defendants in one or more purported class action lawsuits alleging the defendants issued false and misleading statements to the public that could result in our making substantial payments.

On October 21, 2003, a complaint was filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania on behalf of Seth Moorhead against us, J. Brett Harvey and William J. Lyons. The complaint alleges, among other things, that the defendants violated Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 promulgated under the Exchange Act and that during the period between January 24, 2002 and July 18, 2002 the defendants issued false and misleading statements to the public that failed to disclose or misrepresented the following, among other things that: (a) we utilized an aggressive approach regarding our spot market sales by reserving 20% of our production to that market, and that by increasing our exposure to the spot market, we were subjecting ourselves to increased risk and uncertainty as the price and demand for coal could be volatile; (b) we were experiencing difficulty selling the production that we had allocated to the spot market, and, nonetheless, we maintained our production levels which caused our coal inventory to increase; (c) our increasing coal inventory was causing our expenses to rise dramatically, thereby weakening our financial condition; and (d) based on the foregoing, defendants' positive statements regarding our earnings and prospects were lacking in a reasonable basis at all times and therefore were materially false and misleading. The complaint asks the court to (1) award unspecified damages to plaintiff and (2) award plaintiff reasonable costs and expenses incurred in connection with this action, including counsel fees and expert fees. Two other class action complaints have purportedly been filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania against us and certain officers and directors. We have not yet been served with either purported complaint. If we are not successful in defending one or more of these lawsuits we may have to make substantial payments to the plaintiffs.

Our rights plan may have anti-takeover effects that could prevent a change of control.

On December 19, 2003, we adopted a rights plan which, in certain circumstances, including a person or group acquiring, or the commencement of a tender or exchange offer that would result in a person or group acquiring, beneficial ownership of more than 15% of the outstanding shares

of our common stock, would entitle

Table of Contents

each right holder to receive, upon exercise of the right, shares of our common stock having a value equal to twice the right exercise price. For example, at an exercise price of \$80 per right, each right not otherwise voided would entitle our holders to purchase \$160 worth of shares of our common stock for \$80. Assuming that shares of our common stock had a per share value of \$16 at such time, the holder of each right would be entitled to purchase ten shares of our common stock for \$80, or a price of \$8 per share, one half its then market price. This and other provisions of our rights plan could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us, which could hinder stockholders' ability to receive a premium for our stock over the prevailing market prices.

Our share price may decline due to shares eligible for future sale.

A total of 52,374,425 shares of our common stock recently have been registered for resale by stockholders who purchased the shares in private placements in September and October 2003 by us and our former principal stockholder RWE Rheinbraun. This substantially exceeds the approximately 22 million shares held by stockholders other than RWE before September 23, 2003, the date that it initially sold shares in a private offering. Therefore, the number of shares potentially available for trading in the public markets has significantly increased since September 23, 2003. We cannot predict the effect, if any, that future sales of shares of our common stock, or the availability of such shares for sale, would have on the market price prevailing from time to time. Sales by holders of substantial amounts of our common stock in the public market, or the perception that such sales could occur, could adversely affect prevailing market prices for our common stock. A reduction in the market price of our common stock could impair our ability to raise additional capital through future public offerings of our equity securities.

We are a holding company and conduct substantially all of our operations through subsidiaries. Our ability to service our debt and pay dividends to holders of our common stock will depend upon our receiving distributions or similar payments from our subsidiaries.

Because substantially all of our operations are conducted through subsidiaries, our cash flow and, therefore, our ability to service our debt and pay dividends to holders of our common stock primarily depends upon the earnings of our subsidiaries and the distribution of those earnings to, or upon loans or other payments of funds by those subsidiaries to, us. Our subsidiaries are separate and distinct legal entities and have no obligation to pay any amounts due pursuant to our debt securities or to make any funds available to us for the payment of dividends or otherwise. In addition, the payment of dividends and the making of loans and advances to us by our subsidiaries may be subject to statutory or contractual restrictions, will be contingent upon the earnings of our subsidiaries and subject to various business considerations.

We may not continue to pay dividends.

The declaration and payment of dividends by us is subject to the discretion of our board of directors and is under their continuing review. The payment of dividends may be limited by the terms of additional financing. We may not pay dividends in the future.

Table of Contents**FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

Some statements in this prospectus or any prospectus supplement, and the documents incorporated by reference in this prospectus or any prospectus supplement are known as forward-looking statements, as that term is used in Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Forward-looking statements may relate to, among other things, future performance generally, business development activities, future capital expenditures, financing sources and availability and the effects of regulation and competition.

When we use the words believe, intend, expect, may, will, should, anticipate, could, estimate, plan, predict, project, or similar expressions, the statements which include those words are usually forward-looking statements. When we describe strategy that involves risks or uncertainties, we are making forward-looking statements.

We warn you that forward-looking statements are only predictions. Actual events or results may differ as a result of risks that we face, including those set forth in the sections of this prospectus called Risk Factors. Those are representative of factors that could affect the outcome of the forward-looking statements. These and the other factors discussed elsewhere in this prospectus or any prospectus supplement and the documents incorporated by reference in them are not necessarily all of the important factors that could cause our results to differ materially from those expressed in our forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made and we undertake no obligation to update them.

USE OF PROCEEDS

We will not receive any of the proceeds from the sale of the common stock described in this prospectus.

MARKET FOR COMMON STOCK

Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol CNX. The following table sets forth for the periods indicated the range of high and low sales prices per share of our common stock as reported on the New York Stock Exchange and the cash dividends declared on the common stock for the periods indicated.

	<u>High</u>	<u>Low</u>	<u>Dividends</u>
Twelve Month Period Ended December 31, 2002			
Quarter Ended March 31, 2002	27.49	21.19	.28
Quarter Ended June 30, 2002	28.32	21.25	.14
Quarter Ended September 30, 2002	21.54	9.80	.14
Quarter Ended December 31, 2002	17.90	10.65	.14
Twelve Month Period Ended December 31, 2003			
Quarter Ended March 31, 2003	18.01	14.55	.14
Quarter Ended June 30, 2003	24.61	15.65	.14
Quarter Ended September 30, 2003	22.95	18.18	.14

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

Quarter Ended December 31, 2003

26.80

18.67

.14

On February 13, 2004, there were approximately 13,800 holders of record of our common stock. The computation of the approximate number of shareholders is based upon a broker search.

The declaration and payment of dividends by CONSOL Energy is subject to the discretion of CONSOL Energy's board of directors, and no assurance can be given that CONSOL Energy will pay dividends in the future. CONSOL Energy's board of directors determines whether dividends will be paid quarterly. The determination to pay dividends will depend upon, among other things, general business conditions, CONSOL Energy's financial results, contractual and legal restrictions regarding the payment of dividends by CONSOL Energy, the credit ratings of CONSOL Energy, planned investments by CONSOL Energy and such other factors as the board of directors deems relevant. CONSOL Energy's credit facilities prohibit the payment of cash dividends on the common stock in excess of \$0.56 per share in any fiscal year.

Table of Contents**SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

The following table presents our selected consolidated financial and operating data for, and as of the end of, each of the periods indicated. The selected consolidated financial data for, and as of the end of, each of the twelve months ended December 31, 2003, December 31, 2002, June 30, 2001 and June 30, 2000, and the six months ended December 31, 2001 and June 30, 1999 are derived from our audited consolidated financial statements. The selected consolidated financial data for, and as of the end of, the twelve months ended December 31, 2001 and the six months ended December 31, 2000, are derived from our unaudited consolidated financial statements, and in the opinion of management include all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring accruals, that are necessary for a fair presentation of our financial position and operating results for these periods. The selected consolidated financial and operating data are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for any future period. The selected consolidated financial and operating data should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation and the financial statements and related notes included in this report. In 1999, we changed our fiscal year from a calendar year to a fiscal year ended June 30. In 2001, we changed our fiscal year from a fiscal year ended June 30 to a fiscal year ended December 31 in order to coordinate reporting periods with our majority shareholder at that time commencing with the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2002.

Statement Of Income Data (In thousands except per share data)	Twelve Months Ended December 31,			Six Months Ended December 31,		Twelve Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,
	2003	2002	2001	2001	2000	2001	2000	1999
Revenue:								
Sales (A)	\$ 2,042,851	\$ 2,003,345	\$ 2,095,463	\$ 964,460	\$ 992,201	\$ 2,123,202	\$ 2,094,850	\$ 1,081,922
Freight (A)	114,582	134,416	159,029	70,314	72,225	160,940	165,934	80,487
Other income	65,033	45,837	64,526	31,223	37,154	70,457	64,359	28,560
Total Revenue	2,222,466	2,183,598	2,319,018	1,065,997	1,101,580	2,354,599	2,325,143	1,190,969
Costs:								
Cost of goods sold and other operating charges	1,624,016	1,543,189	1,585,686	761,146	730,329	1,554,867	1,498,982	790,119
Freight expense	114,582	134,416	159,029	70,314	72,225	160,940	165,934	80,487
Selling, general and administrative expense	77,571	65,888	61,155	31,493	33,381	63,043	62,164	30,218
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	242,152	262,873	243,588	120,039	119,723	243,272	249,877	121,237
Interest expense	34,451	46,213	43,356	16,564	30,806	57,598	55,289	30,504
Taxes other than income	160,209	172,479	160,954	80,659	77,771	158,066	174,272	98,244
Export sales excise tax resolution	(614)	(1,037)	(118,120)	5,402		(123,522)		
Restructuring costs	3,606						12,078	
Total Costs	2,255,973	2,224,021	2,135,648	1,085,617	1,064,235	2,114,264	2,218,596	1,150,809
Earnings (Loss) before income taxes	(33,507)	(40,423)	183,370	(19,620)	37,345	240,335	106,547	40,160
Income taxes (benefits)	(20,941)	(52,099)	32,164	(20,679)	3,842	56,685	(493)	121
Earnings (Loss) before Cumulative Effect of Change in Accounting Principle	(12,566)	11,676	151,206	1,059	33,503	183,650	107,040	40,039
Cumulative Effect of Changes in Accounting for Mine Closing,	4,768							

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

Reclamation and Gas
Well Closing Costs, Net
of Income Taxes of
\$3,035

Net Income (Loss)	\$ (7,798)	\$ 11,676	\$ 151,206	\$ 1,059	\$ 33,503	\$ 183,650	\$ 107,040	\$ 40,039
Earnings per share:								
Basic (B)	\$ (0.10)	\$ 0.15	\$ 1.92	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.43	\$ 2.34	\$ 1.35	\$ 0.62
Dilutive (B)	\$ (0.10)	\$ 0.15	\$ 1.91	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.43	\$ 2.33	\$ 1.35	\$ 0.62
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding:								
Basic	81,732,589	78,728,560	78,671,821	78,699,732	78,584,204	78,613,580	79,499,576	64,784,685
Dilutive	82,040,418	78,834,023	78,964,557	78,920,046	78,666,391	78,817,935	79,501,326	64,784,685
Dividend per share	\$ 0.56	\$ 0.84	\$ 1.12	\$ 0.56	\$ 0.56	\$ 1.12	\$ 1.12	\$ 0.39

Table of Contents

Balance Sheet Data	At December 31,			At June 30,		
	2003	2002	2001	2001	2000	1999
	(In thousands)					
Working capital (deficiency)	\$ (353,759)	\$ (191,596)	\$ (70,505)	\$ (368,118)	\$ (375,074)	\$ (261,427)
Total assets	4,318,978	4,293,160	4,298,732	3,894,971	3,866,311	3,875,026
Short-term debt	68,760	204,545	77,869	360,063	464,310	345,525
Long-term debt (including current portion)	495,242	497,046	545,440	303,561	307,362	326,495
Total deferred credits and other liabilities	2,761,830	2,828,249	2,913,763	2,378,323	2,358,725	2,423,483
Stockholders equity	290,637	162,047	271,559	351,647	254,179	254,725

Other Operating Data	Twelve Months			Six Months		Twelve Months		Six Months
	Ended December 31,			Ended December 31,		Ended June 30,		Ended June 30,
	2003	2002	2001	2001	2000	2001	2000	1999
Coal:								
Tons sold (in thousands) (C)(D)	63,962	67,308	76,503	35,587	36,590	77,322	78,714	38,553
Tons produced (in thousands) (D)	60,388	66,230	73,705	34,355	32,508	71,858	73,073	38,244
Productivity (tons per manday) (D)	41.26	40.18	39.95	37.15	41.60	42.21	44.23	39.86
Average production cost (\$ per ton produced) (D)	\$ 26.24	\$ 24.73	\$ 22.21	\$ 23.73	\$ 21.93	\$ 21.35	\$ 20.00	\$ 21.47
Average sales price of tons produced (\$ per ton produced) (D)	\$ 27.61	\$ 26.76	\$ 24.66	\$ 25.02	\$ 23.41	\$ 23.93	\$ 23.66	\$ 25.12
Recoverable coal reserves (tons in millions) (D)(E)	4,146	4,275	4,365	4,365	4,372	4,411	4,461	4,705
Number of mining complexes (at period end)	20	22	27	27	23	23	22	24
Gas:								
Net sales volume produced (in billion cubic feet) (D)	44.46	41.30	33.92	17.61	14.18	29.75	14.20	2.67
Average sale price (\$ per mcf) (D) (F)	\$ 4.31	\$ 3.17	\$ 4.04	\$ 2.63	\$ 4.73	\$ 5.19	\$ 3.01	\$ 2.04
Average costs (\$ per mcf) (D)	\$ 2.35	\$ 2.18	\$ 2.38	\$ 2.27	\$ 1.94	\$ 2.16	\$ 1.60	\$ 2.31
Net estimated proved reserves (in billion cubic feet) (D)(G)	1,004	961	1,023	1,023	639	677	653	409

Table of Contents

Cash Flow Statement Data	Twelve Months			Six Months		Twelve Months		Six Months
	Ended December 31,			Ended December 31,		Ended June 30,		Ended June 30,
	2003	2002	2001	2001	2000	2001	2000	1999
(In thousands)								
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 381,127	\$ 329,556	\$ 347,356	\$ 93,084	\$ 181,568	\$ 435,839	\$ 295,028	\$ 84,995
Net cash used in investing activities	(204,614)	(339,936)	(114,160)	(11,598)	(131,078)	(233,321)	(299,554)	(100,790)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(181,517)	6,315	(228,184)	(82,529)	(48,419)	(194,074)	(10,852)	8,069
Other Financial Data								
(In thousands)								
Capital expenditures	\$ 290,652	\$ 295,025	\$ 266,825	\$ 162,700	\$ 109,007	\$ 213,132	\$ 142,270	\$ 105,032
EBIT (H)	(5,354)	(1,230)	194,330	(2,132)	65,590	262,052	156,165	68,438
EBITDA (H)	236,798	261,643	437,918	117,907	185,313	505,324	406,042	189,675
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges (I)			4.59		1.85	4.54	2.70	2.19

- (A) See note 30 of notes to consolidated financial statements for sales and freight by operating segment.
- (B) Basic earnings per share are computed using weighted average shares outstanding. Differences in the weighted average number of shares outstanding for purposes of computing dilutive earnings per share are due to the inclusion of the weighted average dilutive effect of employee and non-employee director stock options granted, totaling 307,829, 105,463 and 292,736 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2003, December 31, 2002 and 2001; 220,314 and 82,187 for the six months ended December 31, 2001 and 2000; and 204,335 and 1,750 for twelve months ended June 30, 2001 and 2000. There were no dilutive employee or non-employee director stock options for any of the previous periods presented.
- (C) Includes sales of coal produced by CONSOL Energy and purchased from third parties. Of the tons sold, CONSOL Energy purchased the following amount from third parties; 2.5 million tons in the twelve months ended December 31, 2003, 2.5 million tons in the twelve months ended December 31, 2002, 2.8 million tons in the twelve months ended December 31, 2001, 1.3 million tons in the six months ended December 31, 2001, 1.5 million tons in the six months ended December 31, 2000, 2.7 million tons in the twelve months ended June 30, 2001, 3.5 million tons in the twelve months ended June 30, 2000, 3.9 million tons in the twelve months ended June 30, 1999 and 2.2 million tons in the six months ended June 30, 1999. Sales of coal produced by equity affiliates were; 1.0 million tons in the twelve months ended December 31, 2003, 1.6 million tons in the twelve months ended December 31, 2002, 1.6 million tons in the twelve months ended December 31, 2001, 0.9 million tons in the six months ended December 31, 2001 and 0.7 million tons in the twelve months ended June 30, 2001. No sales from equity affiliates occurred in previous periods presented.
- (D) For entities that are not wholly owned but in which CONSOL Energy owns at least 50% equity interest, includes a percentage of their net production, sales or reserves equal to CONSOL Energy's percentage equity ownership. For coal, Glennies Creek Mine is reported as an equity affiliate for the entire December 2003 period and Line Creek was reported as an equity affiliate through February 2003. Line Creek Mine and Glennies Creek Mine are reported as equity affiliates for the December 31, 2002 period. Line Creek Mine was also reported as an equity affiliate for the December 31, 2001 and June 30, 2001 periods. No other

Table of Contents

periods have coal equity affiliates. For gas, Knox Energy makes up the equity earnings data in 2003 and 2002. Greene Energy was part of equity earnings in 2002 and 2001. Pocahontas Gas Partnership accounts for the majority of the information reported as an equity affiliate for approximately eight months in the December 31, 2001 period and for the entire year of the previous periods presented. Sales of gas produced by equity affiliates were .08 bcf in the twelve months ended December 31, 2003, .22 bcf in the twelve months ended December 31, 2002, 5.5 billion cubic feet or bcf in the twelve months ended December 31, 2001, 1.4 bcf in the six months ended December 31, 2001, and 7.7 bcf in the twelve months ended June 30, 2001.

(E) Represents proven and probable reserves at period end.

(F) Represents average net sales price before the effect of derivative transactions.

(G) Represents proved developed and undeveloped gas reserves at period end.

(H) EBIT is defined as earnings before deducting net interest expense (interest expense less interest income) and income taxes. EBITDA is defined as earnings before deducting net interest expense (interest expense less interest income), income taxes and depreciation, depletion and amortization. Although EBIT and EBITDA are not measures of performance calculated in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, management believes that they are useful to an investor in evaluating CONSOL Energy because they are widely used in the coal industry as measures to evaluate a company's operating performance before debt expense and cash flow. Financial covenants in our credit facility include ratios based on EBITDA. EBIT and EBITDA do not purport to represent cash generated by operating activities and should not be considered in isolation or as substitute for measures of performance in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. In addition, because EBIT and EBITDA are not calculated identically by all companies, the presentation here may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures of other companies. Management's discretionary use of funds depicted by EBIT and EBITDA may be limited by working capital, debt service and capital expenditure requirements, and by restrictions related to legal requirements, commitments and uncertainties. A reconciliation of EBIT and EBITDA to financial net income is as follows:

(In thousands)	Twelve Months			Six Months		Twelve Months		Six Months
	Ended December 31,			Ended December 31,		Ended June 30,		Ended June 30,
	2003	2002	2001	2001	2000	2001	2000	1999
Net Income (Loss)	\$ (7,798)	\$ 11,676	\$ 151,206	\$ 1,059	\$ 33,503	\$ 183,650	\$ 107,040	\$ 40,039
Add: Interest expense	34,451	46,213	43,356	16,564	30,806	57,598	55,289	30,504
Less: Interest income	(5,602)	(5,738)	(5,990)	(3,734)	(2,561)	(4,817)	(5,671)	(2,226)
Less: Interest income included in export sales excise tax resolution	(696)	(1,282)	(26,406)	4,658		(31,064)		
Less: Cumulative Effect of Changes in Accounting for Mine Closing, Reclamation and Gas Well Closing Costs, net of Income taxes of \$3,035	(4,768)							
Add: Income Tax Expense (Benefit)	(20,941)	(52,099)	32,164	(20,679)	3,842	56,685	(493)	121
Earnings (Loss) before interest and taxes (EBIT)	(5,354)	(1,230)	194,330	(2,132)	65,590	262,052	156,165	68,438
Add: Depreciation, depletion and amortization	242,152	262,873	243,588	120,039	119,723	243,272	249,877	121,237
Earnings before interest, taxes and depreciation, depletion and amortization	\$ 236,798	\$ 261,643	\$ 437,918	\$ 117,907	\$ 185,313	\$ 505,324	\$ 406,042	\$ 189,675

For purposes of computing the ratio of earnings to fixed charges, earnings represent income from continuing operations before income taxes plus fixed charges. Fixed charges include (a) interest on indebtedness (whether expensed or capitalized), (b) amortization of debt discounts and premiums and capitalized expenses related to indebtedness and (c) the portion of rent expense we believe to be representative of interest. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002, fixed charges exceeded earnings by \$24.7 million and \$30.6 million, respectively. For the six months ended December 31, 2001, fixed charges exceeded earnings by \$20.4 million.

Table of Contents

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION
AND RESULTS OF OPERATION**

General

CONSOL Energy incurred a loss before income taxes and before effect of change in accounting principle of \$34 million, recognized income tax benefits of \$21 million and recognized a \$5 million income adjustment for the effect of change in accounting for mine closing, reclamation, and gas well closing costs resulting in a net loss of \$8 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2003. CONSOL Energy incurred a loss before income taxes of \$40 million and recognized income tax benefits of \$52 million, resulting in net income of \$12 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2002.

Total coal sales for the twelve months ended December 31, 2003 were 64.0 million tons, including our portion of sales by equity affiliates, of which 61.5 million tons of sales were produced by CONSOL Energy operations, by our equity affiliates or sold from inventory of CONSOL Energy's produced coal, including coal sold from inventories and produced by equity affiliates. This compares with total coal sales of 67.3 million tons for the twelve months ended December 31, 2002, of which 64.8 million tons were produced by CONSOL Energy operations or sold from inventory of company produced coal including coal sold from inventories and produced by equity affiliates. The decrease in tons sold primarily is related to lower CONSOL Energy coal production in the period-to-period comparison.

CONSOL Energy produced 60.4 million tons, including our portion of production at equity affiliates in the 2003 period compared to 66.2 million tons, including our portion of production at equity affiliates in the 2002 period. The decrease in tons produced is primarily due to the closure of the Dilworth, Humphrey and Windsor mines, where economically mineable reserves were depleted in the last quarter of 2002. The decrease was also attributable to the sale of the assets at the Cardinal River and Line Creek mines in February 2003 and the idling of the Rend Lake mine in 2002 due to market conditions. Coal inventories, including our portion of inventories at equity affiliates, were 1.4 million tons at December 31, 2003 compared to 3.0 million tons at December 31, 2002.

Sales of coalbed methane gas, including our share of the sales from equity affiliates were 50.0 billion gross cubic feet in the 2003 period compared to 46.6 billion gross cubic feet in the 2002 period. The increased sales volume is primarily due to higher production volumes as a result of our on going drilling program. Our average sales price for coalbed methane gas, including our portion of sales from equity affiliates, was \$4.16 per thousand cubic feet in the 2003 period compared to \$3.17 per thousand cubic feet in the 2002 period. The increase in average sales price was driven by concerns for levels of natural gas in storage at the beginning of the year and by concerns over intermediate-term supplies of gas in the United States.

In December 2003, CONSOL Energy adopted a shareholder rights plan designed to ensure that all shareholders receive fair value for their common shares in the event of a proposed takeover and to guard against the use of partial tender offers or other coercive tactics to gain control of CONSOL Energy without offering fair value to CONSOL Energy shareholders.

In December 2003, Standard and Poor's lowered CONSOL Energy's rating of our long-term debt to BB- (11 lowest out of 22 rating categories). Standard and Poor's defines an obligation rated BB- as less vulnerable to nonpayment than other speculative issues. However, the rating indicates that an obligor faces major ongoing uncertainties or exposure to adverse business, financial, or economic conditions, which could lead to the obligor's inadequate capacity to meet its financial commitment on the obligation. The negative sign shows relative standing within the rating category. At the same time, Standard and Poor's placed CONSOL Energy's senior unsecured debt rating on CreditWatch with negative

implications.

In December 2003, Moody's Investor Service lowered its rating of CONSOL Energy's long-term debt from Ba1 to Ba3 (the lowest out of 21 rating categories). The rating remains under review for possible further downgrade. Bonds which are rated Ba are considered to have speculative elements; their future cannot be

Table of Contents

considered as well-assured. Often the protection of interest and principal payments may be very moderate, and thereby not well safeguarded during both good and bad times over the future. Uncertainty of position characterizes bonds in this class. The modifier 3 indicates that the obligation ranks in the lower end of its generic rating category.

A security rating is not a recommendation by a rating agency to buy, sell or hold securities. The security rating may be subject to change.

In January, 2004, CONSOL Energy announced that it intended to sell the stock in its wholly owned subsidiary CNX Australia Pty Limited to certain affiliates of AMCI, Inc. for \$27.5 million, the assumption of approximately \$21 million of debt, and associated interest rate swaps and foreign currency hedges. CNX Australia Pty Limited, through its wholly owned subsidiary CONSOL Energy Australia Pty Limited, owns a 50% interest in the Glennies Creek Mine in New South Wales, Australia with its joint venture partner Maitland Main Collieries Pty Limited, an affiliate of AMCI, Inc. Agreements were finalized on February 25, 2004 and are expected to result in a pre-tax gain of approximately \$13 million.

In January 2004, a Special Committee of the Board of Directors of CONSOL Energy completed its investigation of allegations against certain directors and officers of CONSOL Energy contained in an anonymous letter sent to the United States Securities and Exchange Commission. The Special Committee found no evidence of fraud or malfeasance and no evidence to suggest that CONSOL Energy's publicly issued financial statements were incorrect.

In January 2004, CONSOL Energy's Board of Directors elected three new independent members to the Board. They were: William E. Davis, a power industry executive; William P. Powell, an investment banker; and Joseph T. Williams, a former oil and gas industry executive.

In February 2004, CONSOL Energy's former majority shareholder, RWE AG, closed on a previously announced private placement sale of its remaining 16.6 million shares of CONSOL Energy common stock. On September 23 and 24, 2003, RWE closed on a previously announced sale of 14.1 million shares of CONSOL Energy common stock. On the same dates, CONSOL Energy closed on a previously announced sale of 11.0 million primary shares of its common stock, increasing the total shares of common stock outstanding to 89.9 million and reduced RWE's initial majority interest from 73.6% to 48.9%. On October 9, 2003, RWE closed on the sale of 27.3 million shares of CONSOL Energy common stock. That sale reduced RWE's ownership to 16.6 million shares, or 18.5%.

In February 2004, as a result of the sale of the remaining shares of CONSOL Energy common stock held by RWE AG and pursuant to the terms of the Placement Agreement, dated September 18, 2003, by and among CONSOL Energy, Friedman, Billings, Ramsey & Co., Inc. and RWE Rheinbraun AG, the remaining two directors representing RWE AG, Berthold Bonekamp and Dr. Rolf Zimmerman, resigned from the CONSOL Energy Board of Directors. Also in February 2004, Raj Gupta, a former oil and gas industry executive, was elected to the board of directors of CONSOL Energy. He will serve until the next election of directors at the annual meeting of shareholders.

Change in Fiscal Year

CONSOL Energy changed its fiscal year from a fiscal year ending June 30 to a calendar year ending December 31. CONSOL Energy had a transitional fiscal period ending December 31, 2001. CONSOL Energy's first full fiscal year ending December 31 was the year that started January 1, 2002 and ended December 31, 2002. CONSOL Energy undertook this change in order to align its fiscal year with that of RWE AG, its majority shareholder at that time.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations**

Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2003 compared with Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2002 (All dollar amounts in charts reported in millions)

Net Income

Net income changed primarily due to the following items:

	2003	2002	Dollar	Percentage
	Period	Period	Variance	Change
Gas Sales	\$ 208	\$ 147	\$ 61	41.5%
Coal Sales - Produced and Purchased	1,758	1,777	(19)	(1.1)%
Other Sales and Other Income	256	260	(4)	(1.5)%
Total Revenue	2,222	2,184	38	1.7%
Coal Cost of Goods Sold - Produced and Purchased	1,310	1,277	33	2.6%
Gas Cost of Goods Sold	84	65	19	29.2%
Other Cost of Goods Sold	230	201	29	14.4%
Total Cost of Goods Sold	1,624	1,543	81	5.2%
Depreciation, Depletion and Amortization	242	263	(21)	(8.0)%
Interest Expense	34	46	(12)	(26.1)%
Other	356	372	(16)	(4.3)%
Total Costs	2,256	2,224	32	1.4%
Earnings (Loss) before Income Taxes	(34)	(40)	6	15.0%
Income Taxes	21	52	(31)	(59.6)%
Earnings (Loss) Before Cumulative Effect of Change in Accounting	(13)	12	(25)	(208.3)%
Cumulative Effect of Change in Accounting Principle	5		5	100.0%
Net Income (Loss)	\$ (8)	\$ 12	\$ (20)	(166.7)%

Net income (loss) for the 2003 period was lower than the 2002 period primarily due to increased cost of goods sold and lower income tax benefits, offset, in part, by higher revenues and lower depreciation, depletion and amortization. The increase in cost of goods sold was mainly attributable to higher retiree medical costs and salaried pension expenses, increased gas volumes and royalty costs related to gas sales, and costs related to mine fires at the Loveridge Mine and Mine 84. Tax benefits were lower in the 2003 period primarily due to the tax effect of the current year's sale of our Canadian assets. The higher sales revenues are primarily attributable to the increased gas volumes sold in the 2003 period compared to the 2002 period. Depreciation, depletion and amortization expense declined primarily as a result of the equipment at the Dilworth

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

mine and the related preparation plant becoming fully depreciated prior to the 2003 period, coinciding with the closure of the mine due to economically depleted reserves.

Table of Contents*Revenue*

	2003	2002	Dollar	Percentage
	Period	Period	Variance	Change
Sales				
Produced Coal	\$ 1,683	\$ 1,693	\$ (10)	(0.6)%
Produced Coal Related Party	1	1		
Total Produced Coal	1,684	1,694	(10)	(0.6)%
Purchased Coal	74	83	(9)	(10.8)%
Gas	208	147	61	41.5%
Industrial Supplies	63	64	(1)	(1.6)%
Other	14	15	(1)	(6.7)%
Total Sales	2,043	2,003	40	2.0%
Freight Revenue	114	134	(20)	
Freight Revenue Related Party	1	1		
Total Freight Revenue	115	135	(20)	(14.8)%
Other Income	64	46	18	39.1%
Total Revenue and Other Income	\$ 2,222	\$ 2,184	\$ 38	1.7%

The decrease in our produced coal sales revenue was due mainly to the reduction in volumes sold during the 2003 period substantially offset by increased average sales price per ton.

	2003	2002	Dollar	Percentage
	Period	Period	Variance	Change
Produced tons sold (in millions)	60.9	63.2	(2.3)	(3.6)%
Average sales price per ton	\$ 27.67	\$ 26.80	\$ 0.87	3.2%

The decrease in tons sold is related to the closure of the Dilworth, Humphrey and Windsor mines, where economically mineable reserves were depleted in the last quarter of 2002. The decrease in tons sold was also attributable to the sale of the assets at the Cardinal River Mine in February 2003 and the idling of the Rend Lake mine in 2002 due to market conditions. Expected coal production in the fourth quarter of 2003 was also impacted by unfavorable mining conditions at Enlow Fork Mine, Mill Creek Mine and Mine 84, a roof fall along the west beltline at the Bailey Mine, equipment problems at VP #8 and Jones Fork Mines and flooding along the Ohio River. These decreases in tonnage were offset, in part, by increased sales of our produced coal primarily at the McElroy Mine and, to a lesser extent, at several other mines. The increased tonnage at the McElroy Mine is attributable to the mine running for the full 2003 year compared to being idled for two months of the 2002 year. The McElroy Mine increase is also attributable to the preparation plant expansion that was completed in the last quarter of 2002. The reductions in our produced coal sales revenue were substantially offset by the increase in average sales price per ton sold. The increase in average sales price primarily reflects higher prices negotiated in the second half of 2002.

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

The decrease in our purchased coal sales revenue was due mainly to a decrease in average sales price per ton of purchased coal.

	2003	2002		Percentage
	<u>Period</u>	<u>Period</u>	<u>Variance</u>	<u>Change</u>
Purchased tons sold (in millions)	2.4	2.5	(0.1)	(4.0)%
Average sales price per ton	\$ 31.16	\$ 33.49	\$ (2.33)	(7.0)%

The reduced average sales price is primarily due to sales of purchased coal in 2003 at prices under commitments made during periods of lower prices as compared to 2002 sales of coal purchased under

Table of Contents

commitments made during a period of higher prices. The reduced sales price is also due to CONSOL Energy purchasing and selling a lower quality coal in the 2003 period compared to the 2002 period.

The increase in gas sales revenue was primarily due to a higher average sales price per thousand cubic feet and increased volumes sold in the 2003 period compared to the 2002 period.

	2003	2002		Percentage
	Period	Period	Variance	Change
Gas sales volumes (in billion gross cubic feet)	50.0	46.4	3.6	7.8%
Average sales price per thousand cubic feet (including effects of derivative transactions)	\$ 4.16	\$ 3.17	\$ 0.99	31.2%

The 2003 gas market price increases were largely driven by the overall supply/demand imbalance that depleted United States storage levels by the end of March 2003 and the subsequent need to refill that storage prior to the start of the next winter heating season. CONSOL Energy enters into various physical gas supply transactions with our gas marketers, selling gas under short-term multi-month contract nominations generally not exceeding one year. CONSOL Energy has also entered into eight float-for-fixed gas swap transactions and two float-for-collar gas swap transactions that qualify as financial cash flow hedges, which exist parallel to the underlying physical transactions. In 2003, these cash flow hedges represented 11% of our total 2003 produced sales volumes at an average price of \$4.10 per thousand cubic feet. These cash flow hedges are expected to represent 24% of our estimated 2004 produced sales volumes at an average price of \$5.17 per thousand cubic feet. CONSOL Energy sold 90% of its gas sales volumes in the 2003 period at an average price of \$3.99 per thousand cubic feet compared to 77% of its gas sales volumes in the 2002 period at \$3.16 per thousand cubic feet under contracts agreed to in prior periods. Higher sales volumes were a result of wells coming on line from the ongoing drilling program, which allowed CONSOL Energy to take advantage of increased demand.

The decrease in revenues from the sale of industrial supplies was due to reduced sales volumes.

Freight revenue, outside and related party, is based on weight of coal shipped, negotiated freight rates and method of transportation (i.e., rail, barge, truck, etc.) used for the customers to which CONSOL Energy contractually provides transportation services. Freight revenue is the amount billed to customers for transportation costs incurred.

Other income consists of interest income, gain or loss on the disposition of assets, equity in earnings of affiliates, service income, royalty income, derivative gains and losses, rental income and miscellaneous income.

	2003	2002	Dollar	Percentage
	Period	Period	Variance	Change
Gain on sale of assets	\$ 23	\$ 13	\$ 10	76.9%
Royalty income	16	12	4	33.3%
Equity in loss of affiliates	(9)	(10)	1	10.0%
Foreign currency derivative	5		5	100.0%
Harbor maintenance fee refund	3		3	100.0%

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

Contract settlement		7	(7)	(100.0)%
Other miscellaneous	26	24	2	8.3%
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total other revenue	\$ 64	\$ 46	\$ 18	39.1%
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

The increase in gain on sale of assets primarily was related to the expiration in the 2003 period of an option granted to a third party to purchase property for which CONSOL Energy received nonrefundable proceeds of \$5 million and gains from the sale of surplus equipment.

Table of Contents

Royalty income increased due primarily to third parties producing more tonnage from CONSOL owned property in the period-to-period comparison.

The decrease in equity losses of affiliates is due mainly to the absence of \$4 million in losses incurred in 2002 attributable to a coal equity affiliate, Line Creek Mine, that was sold in February 2003. The decrease is also attributable to an equity affiliate's sale of property in the 2003 period that resulted in a gain of which our portion was approximately \$2 million. These changes were offset, in part by \$5 million of additional losses in the 2003 period due to a coal equity affiliate's, Glennies Creek Mine, coal recovery rate being lower due to a rock intrusion in the coal seam.

Foreign currency derivative gains are related to the foreign currency hedge contracts entered into on July 10, 2002 to permit CONSOL Energy Australia Pty (CEA) to purchase Australian dollars at a fixed exchange rate. CEA entered into these hedges in order to minimize exposure to foreign exchange rate fluctuations. CONSOL Energy sold its 50% interest in the Glennies Creek Mine as of February 25, 2004. As part of the transaction, the purchaser will assume CEA's debt related to Glennies Creek Mine and the associated hedging arrangements.

Other income also includes a \$3 million refund received from the federal government for harbor maintenance fees imposed by federal statute that was declared unconstitutional. We have pursued claims for these fees since 1991, and we do not expect other refunds related to these claims.

The increases in other income were partially offset in the 2003 period compared to the 2002 period due to \$7 million of income related to a contract settlement which occurred in the 2002 period.

An additional \$2 million increase in other income was due to various transactions that occurred throughout both periods, none of which were individually material.

Costs

	<u>2003</u> <u>Period</u>	<u>2002</u> <u>Period</u>	<u>Dollar</u> <u>Variance</u>	<u>Percentage</u> <u>Change</u>
Cost of Goods Sold and Other Charges				
Produced Coal	\$ 1,238	\$ 1,197	\$ 41	3.4 %
Purchased Coal	72	80	(8)	(10.0)%
Gas	84	65	19	29.2 %
Industrial Supplies	66	70	(4)	(5.7)%
Closed and Idle Mines	62	79	(17)	(21.5)%
Other	102	52	50	96.2 %
Total Cost of Goods Sold	\$ 1,624	\$ 1,543	\$ 81	5.2 %

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

Increased cost of goods sold and other charges for our produced coal was due mainly to the increased cost per unit of produced coal sold, offset, in part, by reduced sales tons.

	2003	2002		Percentage
	Period	Period	Variance	Change
Produced tons sold (in millions)	60.9	63.2	(2.3)	(3.6)%
Average cost of goods sold and other charges per ton	\$ 20.34	\$ 18.94	\$ 1.40	7.4%

Average cost of goods sold and other charges per ton for produced coal increased due mainly to increased medical expenses for retired employees and increased salaried pension expenses. Retiree medical and salaried pension expenses are actuarially determined based on several assumptions as discussed in *Critical Accounting Policies* and in the notes to the consolidated financial statements. Cost per ton for produced coal also increased

Table of Contents

due to higher supply cost per unit. These increases in costs were offset, in part, by a reduction in our produced sales volumes.

Purchased coal cost of goods sold and other charges decreased due primarily to a reduction in the average cost per ton and reduced volumes of purchased coal sold.

	2003	2002		Percentage
	Period	Period	Variance	Change
Purchased tons sold (in millions)	2.4	2.5	(0.1)	(4.0)%
Average cost of goods sold and other charges per ton	\$ 30.31	\$ 32.15	\$ 1.84	5.7%

The reduced average cost of purchased coal is primarily due to purchasing coal in the 2003 period under commitments made during the prior year when prices were lower. The lower average cost of purchased coal is also attributable to CONSOL Energy purchasing and reselling a lower quality coal in the 2003 period compared to the 2002 period.

Gas cost of goods sold and other charges increased due to increased average cost per thousand cubic feet sold and increased volumes.

	2003	2002		Percentage
	Period	Period	Variance	Change
Gas sales volumes (in billion gross cubic feet)	50.0	46.4	3.6	7.8%
Average cost per thousand cubic Feet	\$ 1.69	\$ 1.40	\$ 0.29	20.7%

The increase in average cost per thousand cubic feet of gas sold was attributable to a \$0.21 increase per thousand cubic feet in royalty expense. Royalty expense increased primarily due to the 31.2% increase in average sales price per thousand cubic feet in the 2003 period compared to the 2002 period. The increase is also due to additional employees, additional contractor maintenance cost and additional power charges attributable to the increased number of wells in production in the period-to-period comparison. Gas cost of goods sold and other charges also increased due to the increased volumes sold in the 2003 period as discussed previously. We currently plan to drill approximately 300 wells in the twelve-month period ending December 31, 2004. Variable costs on a per unit basis are not anticipated to increase as a result of the 2004 drilling program, although, due to the uncertainty of costs such as maintenance, contract labor, and corporate overhead, the incremental impact of the drilling program on per unit costs for 2004 cannot be reasonably predicted.

Industrial supplies cost of goods sold decreased due to reduced sales volumes.

Closed and idle mine cost decreased primarily due to approximately \$28 million of expense related to mines that were idled for all or part of the 2002 period that were operating in the 2003 period, or that were closed in the 2002 period. These mines include idled Loveridge, Shoemaker, McElroy, and Humphrey which was closed. Closed and idle mine cost also decreased approximately \$2 million due to the reduction of workforce at Rend Lake mine in the 2003 period related to the mine being placed on long-term idle status pending market conditions. These costs also decreased approximately \$2 million due to differences in the 2003 engineering survey adjustments related to mine closing and reclamation compared to the 2002 engineering survey adjustments. The additional \$2 million decrease in closed and idle mine cost was due to

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

various miscellaneous transactions which occurred throughout both periods, none of which were individually material. The decreases in closed and idle mine cost were offset, in part, by approximately \$17 million of additional mine closing and reclamation expenses related to changes in the method of accounting for these liabilities. In January 2003, CONSOL Energy adopted the Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 143, *Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations*. Under this statement, the interest accretion related to the discounted portions of mine closing, reclamation and gas well closing liabilities, previously reported as interest expense, are now reported as operating expenses. Under the previous method of accounting for mine closing, reclamation and gas well closing

Table of Contents

obligations, the estimated obligations for closed mines were fully accrued and adjusted annually as the estimates were updated by engineers. Miscellaneous cost of goods sold and other charges increased due to the following items:

	<u>2003</u> <u>Period</u>	<u>2002</u> <u>Period</u>	<u>Dollar</u> <u>Variance</u>	<u>Percentage</u> <u>Change</u>
Loveridge fire	\$ 17	\$	\$ 17	100.0 %
Mine Eighty-Four fire	5		5	100.0 %
Litigation settlements and contingencies	18	11	7	63.6 %
Cardinal River severance and pension cost	2		2	100.0 %
Supply inventory write-downs	5		5	100.0 %
Miscellaneous transactions	55	41	14	34.1 %
	<u>\$ 102</u>	<u>\$ 52</u>	<u>\$ 50</u>	<u>96.2 %</u>

In February 2003, Loveridge Mine experienced a fire near the bottom of the slope entry that is used to carry coal from the mine to the surface. The costs of extinguishing the fire are estimated to be approximately \$17 million attributable to cost of goods sold and other charges and other related expenses are estimated to be approximately \$3 million, net of expected insurance recovery applicable to both the cost of goods sold and other expenses. In late December 2002, the mine had begun the process of developing a new underground area that would be mined with longwall mining equipment that was expected to be installed later in 2003. The fire has delayed this installation until March 2004.

In January 2003, Mine Eighty-Four experienced a fire along several hundred feet of the conveyor belt entry servicing the longwall section of the mine. The fire was extinguished approximately two weeks later. On January 20, 2003, the mine resumed production on a limited basis with continuous mining machines, while repairs continued on the belt entry. The fire caused damage to the roof support system, the conveyor belt and the steel framework on which the belt travels. Repairs took several weeks to complete and are estimated to cost approximately \$5 million attributable to cost of goods sold and other related charges and \$2 million attributable to other expenses, net of expected insurance recovery applicable to both the cost of goods sold and to other expenses. Longwall coal production, which accounts for the majority of coal normally produced at the mine resumed on February 10, 2003.

Litigation settlements and contingencies increased over the prior year due to various contingent loss accruals related to various individual contingencies, waste management accruals and asbestos claims in both periods, none of which are individually material.

CONSOL Energy owned a 50% interest in Cardinal River until February 2003, when it and related assets were sold. Cardinal River mine severance and pension accruals are attributable to the costs for which CONSOL Energy remains responsible following the sale of the mine's assets. Supply inventory write-downs reflect adjustments made to supply inventories that are unique to the equipment used at locations where the mining activities have ceased, such as the Dilworth and Rend Lake mines.

Cost of goods sold and other charges also increased due to various miscellaneous transactions which occurred throughout both periods, none of which are individually material.

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

Freight expense is based on weight of coal shipped, negotiated freight rates and method of transportation (i.e., rail, barge, truck, etc.) used for the customers to whom CONSOL Energy contractually provides transportation. Freight expense is billed to customers and the revenue from such billing equals the transportation expense.

	2003	2002	Dollar	Percentage
	Period	Period	Variance	Change
Freight expense	\$ 115	\$ 134	\$ (19)	(14.2)%

Table of Contents

Selling, general and administrative costs have increased due to the following items:

	2003	2002	Dollar	Percentage
	Period	Period	Variance	Change
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Wages and salaries	\$ 29	\$ 27	\$ 2	7.4%
Other post employment and pension costs	11	6	5	83.3%
Professional consulting and other purchased services	15	11	4	36.4%
Other	23	22	1	4.5%
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total selling, general and administrative	\$ 78	\$ 66	\$ 12	18.2%
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Wages and salaries for selling, general and administrative employees have increased primarily due to merit increases, promotions and new hires throughout the 2003 period. In December 2003, CONSOL Energy implemented a reduction in workforce program primarily focused on reducing the number of positions in the selling, general and administrative areas to better align with its current business strategy. This program is expected to reduce approximately 100 positions and approximately \$10 million of wages, salaries and benefits in the administrative functions in the 2004 period.

Other post employment and pension costs have increased due mainly to increased medical expenses for retired employees and changes in actuarial assumptions used for pension. Retiree medical and salaried pension expenses are actuarially determined based on several assumptions as discussed in "Critical Accounting Policies" and in the notes to the consolidated financial statements included in this prospectus.

Costs of professional consulting and other purchased services have increased in the 2003 period primarily due to services provided in relation to a special investigation into matters alleged in an anonymous letter and transactions in connection with the reduction by RWE Rheinbraun, the former controlling stockholder, of its percentage ownership in CONSOL Energy.

Other selling, general and administrative costs increased primarily due to the cost of director and officer insurance costs incurred in the 2003 period. CONSOL Energy officers and directors were previously insured under the RWE AG general liability policy.

Depreciation, depletion and amortization has decreased due to the following items:

	2003	2002	Dollar	Percentage
	Period	Period	Variance	Change
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Coal	\$ 196	\$ 218	\$ (22)	(10.1)%
Gas	33	34	(1)	(2.9)%
Other	13	11	2	18.2%
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

Total depreciation, depletion and amortization	\$ 242	\$ 263	\$ (21)	(8.0)%
--	--------	--------	---------	--------

The decrease in coal depreciation, depletion and amortization was primarily attributable to the equipment at the Dilworth mine and the related preparation plant becoming fully depreciated prior to the 2003 period to coincide with the closure of the mine due to economically depleted reserves and other mine equipment becoming fully depreciated in the 2003 period. The decrease also relates to lower units-of-production financial depletion due to lower production volumes in the 2003 period compared to the 2002 period. Decreases in coal depreciation, depletion and amortization were offset, in part, by a \$4 million increase due to the depreciation of the assets recorded in relation to the adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations (SFAS No. 143). SFAS No. 143 requires depreciation of the capitalized asset retirement cost. The depreciation of these assets is generally determined on a units-of-production basis over the life of the producing asset.

Table of Contents

The decrease in gas depreciation, depletion and amortization was primarily due to a higher ratio of gas production coming from mine gob areas which have lives generally less than twelve months long. As a result the costs to produce these areas are expensed instead of capitalized and then amortized. This gob gas production is not included in the calculation of units-of-production depreciation or depletion for capitalized gas costs. The reduction in gas depreciation, depletion and amortization was offset, in part, by additional depreciation attributable to new assets placed in service during the 2003 period and additional depletion and depreciation related to the increased volumes from other than gob wells produced during the 2003 period. The reductions were also offset, in part, by a \$1 million increase due to the depreciation of the assets recorded in relation to the adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations (SFAS No. 143). SFAS No. 143 requires depreciation of the capitalized asset retirement cost. The depreciation of these assets is generally determined on a units-of-production basis over the life of the producing asset.

The increase in other depreciation, depletion and amortization was primarily due to additional depreciation on the integrated information technology system installed to support business processes. The system was implemented in stages beginning in January 2001 and was substantially completed in August 2003.

Interest expense has decreased primarily due to the following items:

	2003	2002	Dollar	Percentage
	Period	Period	Variance	Change
Mine closing, reclamation and gas well closing liabilities	\$	\$ 9	\$ (9)	(100.0)%
Commercial paper	1	6	(5)	(83.3)%
Other	33	31	2	6.5%
Total Interest Expense	\$ 34	\$ 46	\$ (12)	(26.1)%

Interest accretion on the discounted portions of mine closing, reclamation and gas well closing liabilities changed due to the implementation of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations. Under this statement, the interest accretion related to the discounted portions of mine closing, reclamation and gas well closing liabilities, previously reported as interest expense, are now reported as operating expense.

Interest expense on commercial paper decreased primarily due to a \$205 million reduction in the weighted average principal amount of commercial paper outstanding and a 1.0% per annum reduction in the weighted average interest rate on amounts outstanding in the 2003 period. As of July 2003, CONSOL Energy was no longer able to participate as a seller of commercial paper due to Standard and Poor's lowering its rating of our long-term debt to BB+. Subsequently, CONSOL Energy's debt ratings have been lowered by Standard and Poor's and Moody's.

Other interest expense increased due primarily to a \$4 million increase in interest expense related to the \$250 million principal amount of 7.875% Notes due in 2012, which were issued in March 2002 and therefore were not outstanding for the entire 2002 period. This increase was offset, in part, by a \$2 million reduction in interest expense due to the reduction of long-term debt through scheduled debt repayments.

Taxes other than income decreased primarily due to the following items:

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

	2003	2002	Dollar	Percentage
	Period	Period	Variance	Change
Payroll taxes	\$ 32	\$ 35	\$ (3)	(8.6)%
Property and other taxes	23	28	(5)	(17.9)%
Capital stock and franchise tax	1	6	(5)	(83.3)%
Production taxes	104	103	1	0.9%
Total Taxes Other Than Income	\$ 160	\$ 172	\$ (12)	(7.0)%

Table of Contents

Payroll taxes have decreased primarily due to the closure or idling of several mines in the period-to-period comparison. Dilworth, Rend Lake and Humphrey mines were not operating in the 2003 period and, therefore, the average number of employees was lower in the 2003 period compared to the 2002 period.

Decreased property and other taxes are attributable to the adjustment of prior year estimated accruals to the actual amounts paid in the 2003 period.

Capital stock and franchise tax has been reduced in the 2003 period due to the impacts of merging several CONSOL Energy companies over the past several years.

Increased production taxes are primarily due to higher severance taxes attributable to higher gas production volumes and associated sales price in the 2003 period compared to the 2002 period. This increase was offset, in part, by decreased black lung excise taxes related to the lower coal production volumes.

Restructuring Costs

Restructuring costs of approximately \$4 million in the 2003 period primarily represent the severance dollars paid related to the December 2003 reduction in workforce program implemented by CONSOL Energy. The program was primarily focused on reducing the number of positions in the selling, general and administrative areas and is expected to reduce approximately 100 positions and approximately \$10 million of wages, salaries and benefits in the administrative functions in the 2004 period. Also included in the restructuring costs are severance amounts paid throughout 2003 for positions that have been eliminated by CONSOL Energy management in an effort to reduce costs and realign our selling, general and administrative functions with today's strategies.

Export Sales Excise Tax Resolution

CONSOL Energy is no longer required to pay certain excise taxes on export sales. We have received refunds and related interest in the 2003 period for our claims for the years 1994 to 1999. Upon receipt of these refunds, we have adjusted our estimate of interest receivable to the amounts received. This adjustment resulted in additional income of \$1 million in the 2003 period. A receivable for the claims for the years 1991 to 1993 is still outstanding. There is no interest receivable related to these claims.

Income Taxes

	2003	2002		Percentage
	Period	Period	Variance	Change
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Earnings (Loss) Before Income Taxes	\$ (34)	\$ (40)	\$ 6	15.0%

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

Tax Expense (Benefit)	(21)	(52)	31	(59.6)%
Effective Income Tax Rate	62.4%	128.9%	(66.5)%	

CONSOL Energy's effective tax rate is sensitive to changes in annual profitability and percentage depletion. The 2003 effective tax rate changed from the 2002 effective rate primarily due to the tax effect of the current year's sale of our Canadian assets. Another significant cause of the change was due to provision to return adjustments recorded in 2002. See note 9 of notes to the consolidated financial statements included in this prospectus.

Cumulative Effect of Changes in Accounting for Mine Closing, Reclamation and Gas Well Closing Costs

Effective January 1, 2003, CONSOL Energy adopted SFAS No. 143, *Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations*, as required. CONSOL Energy reflected a gain of approximately \$5 million, net of a tax cost of approximately \$3 million. At the time of adoption, total assets, net of accumulated depreciation, increased

Table of Contents

approximately \$59 million and total liabilities increased approximately \$51 million. The amounts recorded upon adoption are dependent upon a number of variables, including the estimated future retirement costs, estimated proved reserves, assumptions involving profit margins, inflation rates and the assumed credit-adjusted risk-free interest rate.

Previous accounting standards generally used the units-of-production method to match estimated retirement costs with the revenues generated by the producing assets. In contrast, SFAS No. 143 requires depreciation of the capitalized asset retirement cost and accretion of the asset retirement obligation over time. The depreciation will generally be determined on a units-of-production basis, whereas the accretion to be recognized will escalate over the life of the producing assets, typically as production declines. Because of the long lives of the underlying producing assets, the impact on net income in the near term is not expected to be material.

Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2002 compared with Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2001 (unaudited)***Net Income***

CONSOL Energy's net income for the twelve months ended December 31, 2002 was \$12 million compared with \$151 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2001. Pre-tax income for the 2001 period was \$183.4 million including \$118.1 million related to the recognition of the export sales excise tax resolution. CONSOL Energy had a pre-tax loss of \$40.4 million in the 2002 period. Lower net income for 2002 was also the result of a 9 million ton reduction in volumes of our produced coal sold. The decrease in tons sold was due primarily to lower demand for coal in the 2002 period. Demand was weak primarily due to the continued sluggish United States economy and the lingering effect of higher than normal customer inventory levels. The decrease in tons sold was also due to the deferral of shipments by our customers during the year to later periods and reduced volumes from requirements contracts. Decreases in net income also resulted from lower average sales prices per million British thermal unit of coalbed methane gas sold in the 2002 period compared to the 2001 period. The average sales price was \$3.22 per million British thermal units for the year to date 2002 period, a \$0.66, or 17.0% decrease compared to the \$3.88 per million British thermal unit in the 2001 period. The decrease in average sales price was primarily due to reduced demand for gas in the industrial sector and lower demand for gas during the winter heating season that resulted in high levels of gas in storage. Net income also decreased due to increased cost of goods sold related to the increase in gas volumes sold. Costs also increased due to additional closed and idle mine costs, additional purchased coal costs and increases in miscellaneous cost of goods sold and other operating charges. These decreases were offset, in part, by income tax benefits recognized in the 2002 period compared to tax expense recognized in the 2001 period. The income tax benefit was due mainly to a pre-tax loss for the 2002 period compared to pre-tax income in the 2001 period without a comparable reduction in percentage depletion tax benefits. Decreases in net income were also offset, in part, by higher volumes of gas sold as a result of the August 22, 2001 acquisition of the remaining 50% interest in Pocahontas Gas Partnership. Gas sales volumes were 46.9 billion cubic feet in the 2002 period, a 44.5%, or 14.5 billion cubic feet increase from the 2001 period. Average sales price per ton of our produced coal sold also increased which offset, in part, the reduction to net income. The average sales price for our produced coal was \$26.80 in the 2002 period compared to \$24.88 in the 2001 period. The increase of \$1.92, or 7.7%, reflects the higher prices negotiated in 2001's more favorable coal market.

Revenue

Sales decreased \$92 million, or 4.4% to \$2,003 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2002 from \$2,095 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2001.

Revenues from the sale of our produced coal decreased \$101 million, or 5.6%, to \$1,694 million in the 2002 period from \$1,795 million in the 2001 period. The decrease in our produced coal sales revenues was due mainly to a decrease in the volume of our produced coal sold. Produced

coal sales volumes were 63 million tons in the

Table of Contents

2002 period, a 9 million ton, or 12.4%, decline from the 72 million tons sold in the 2001 period. The decrease in tons sold was due primarily to lower demand for coal in the 2002 period. Demand was weak primarily due to the continued sluggish United States economy and the lingering effect of higher than normal customer inventory levels. The decrease in tons sold was also due to the deferral of shipments by our customers during the year to later periods and reduced volumes from requirements contracts. The decrease in tons sold was offset, in part, by increases in the average sales price per ton of our produced coal sold. The average sales price for our produced coal was \$26.80 in the 2002 period compared to \$24.88 in the 2001 period. The increase of \$1.92, or 7.7%, reflects the higher prices negotiated in 2001's more favorable coal market.

Revenues from the sale of industrial supplies decreased \$22 million, or 25.0%, to \$64 million in the 2002 period from \$86 million in the 2001 period primarily due to reduced sales volumes. During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2001, the physical assets and operations associated with 18 industrial and store management sites were sold. The sale did not have a material impact on CONSOL Energy's financial position, results of operations or cash flow. Fairmont Supply continues to operate 12 service centers.

These decreases in revenues were partially offset by increased revenues from the sale of coalbed methane gas. Revenues from the sale of gas increased \$25 million, or 20.2% to \$147 million in the 2002 period from \$122 million in the 2001 period. The increase was due mainly to higher volumes of gas sold as a result of the August 22, 2001 acquisition of the remaining 50% interest in Pocahontas Gas Partnership. Sales volumes were 46.9 billion cubic feet in the 2002 period, a 44.5%, or 14.5 billion cubic feet increase from the 2001 period. The increase in sales volumes was offset, in part, by lower average sales prices in the 2002 period compared to the 2001 period. The average sales price was \$3.22 per million British thermal units for the year to date 2002 period, a \$0.66, or 17.0% decrease compared to the \$3.88 per million British thermal unit in the 2001 period. The decrease in average sales price was primarily due to reduced demand for gas in the industrial sector and lower demand for gas during the winter heating season that resulted in higher levels of gas in storage in the beginning of the 2002 period compared to the 2001 period.

Revenues from the sale of purchased coal increased \$5 million, or 6.9%, to \$83 million in the 2002 period from \$78 million in the 2001 period primarily due to increased average sales prices. The average sales price per ton of purchased coal increased \$5.39, or 19.2%, to \$33.50 in the 2002 period compared to \$28.12 in the 2001 period. The increase in price per ton reflects the higher prices negotiated in 2001's more favorable coal market. This increase was offset, in part, by reduced sales volumes. Sales volumes decreased 0.3 million tons, or 10.3%, to 2.5 million tons in the 2002 period compared to 2.8 million tons in the 2001 period. The decrease in tons sold was due primarily to lower demand for coal in the 2002 period. Demand was weak primarily due to the continued sluggish United States economy and the lingering effect of higher than normal customer inventory levels.

Freight revenue, outside and related party, decreased \$25 million, or 15.5%, to \$134 million in the 2002 period from \$159 million in the 2001 period. Freight revenue is based on weight of coal shipped, negotiated freight rates and method of transportation (e.g., rail, barge or truck) used for the customers to which CONSOL Energy contractually provides transportation services. Freight revenue is the amount billed to customers for transportation costs incurred.

Other income, which consists of interest income, gain or loss on the disposition of assets, equity in earnings of affiliates, service income, royalty income, rental income and miscellaneous income, was \$46 million in the 2002 period compared to \$65 million in the 2001 period. The decrease of \$19 million, or 29.0%, was primarily due to the \$21 million reduction in equity in earnings of affiliates. This was mainly due to the August 22, 2001 purchase of the remaining 50% interest in Pocahontas Gas Partnership and the remaining 25% interest in the Cardinal States Gathering Company. As a result of the acquisition, CONSOL Energy owns 100% of these entities and began to account for them as fully consolidated subsidiaries. Before the acquisition, CONSOL Energy accounted for these companies using the equity method. Other income also decreased by \$5 million as a result of various transactions that occurred throughout both periods, none of which was individually material. These decreases in other income were offset, in part, by a \$7 million income adjustment related to a coal contract settlement CONSOL Energy received in the 2002 period.

Table of Contents

Costs

Cost of Goods Sold and Other Operating Charges decreased \$43 million, or 2.7%, to \$1,543 million in the 2002 period from \$1,586 million in the 2001 period.

Cost of goods sold for our produced coal decreased \$42 million, or 3.4% to \$1,197 million in the 2002 period from \$1,239 million in the 2001 period. The decrease was primarily due to a 12.4% decrease in the volume of our produced coal sold. The decrease in tons sold was due primarily to lower demand for coal in the 2002 period. Demand was weak primarily due to the continued sluggish United States economy, and the lingering effect of higher than normal customer inventory levels. The decrease in tons sold was also due to the deferral of shipments by our customers during the year to later periods and reduced volumes from requirements contracts. The reduced cost of goods sold and other charges related to volume, was offset, in part, by a 10.3% increase in the cost per ton sold of our produced coal. The increase in cost primarily relates to employee benefit costs and supply costs. The rise in employee benefit costs is primarily due to increased medical costs and increased post employment benefit costs. Post employment benefit costs are calculated actuarially and have increased due to changes in assumptions, including discount rate and mortality tables used in this calculation.

Cost of goods sold for industrial supplies decreased \$23 million, or 24.0%, to \$70 million in the 2002 period from \$93 million in the 2001 period. The decrease in costs is related to reduced sales volumes resulting from the sale of 18 industrial and store management sites that took place in the 2001 period. Fairmont Supply continues to operate 12 service centers.

Coal property holding costs decreased \$9 million, or 66.0%, to \$5 million in the 2002 period from \$14 million in the 2001 period. The decrease was primarily due to leasehold surrenders that occurred in the 2001 period.

These decreases in cost of goods sold and other costs were offset, in part, by increased cost of goods sold for gas operations. Gas operations cost of goods sold increased \$9 million, or 15.8%, to \$65 million in the 2002 period from \$56 million in the 2001 period. The increase was due mainly to a 44.5% increase in the volume of gas sold as a result of the August 22, 2001 acquisition of the remaining 50% interest in Pocahontas Gas Partnership. The increase in volume was offset, in part, by a \$0.35, or 19.9% reduction in the cost per million British thermal units sold. The average cost per million British thermal units sold was \$1.40 in the 2002 period compared to \$1.75 in the 2001 period. The decrease was primarily due to a decrease in the cost of gob well drilling and lower royalty expense. Gob wells are drilled in previously mined areas of underground coal mines. Royalty expenses decreased \$0.07 per British thermal unit primarily due to the 17.1% decrease in average sales price per British thermal unit in the 2002 period compared to the 2001 period.

Cost of goods sold for closed and idled mine costs increased \$14 million, or 21.7%, to \$79 million in the 2002 period from \$65 million in the 2001 period. The increase is primarily due to \$32 million related to locations that were closed or idled during a portion of the 2002 period that were in operation during the 2001 period. This increase was offset, in part, by a decrease of \$18 million related to mine closing and reclamation liability adjustments as a result of updated engineering survey adjustments for closed and idled locations. Survey adjustments resulted in \$16 million of expense recognized in the 2001 period compared to \$2 million of income in the 2002 period.

Cost of goods sold for purchased coal increased \$4 million, or 5.4%, to \$80 million in the 2002 period from \$76 million in the 2001 period. The increased costs were primarily due to an increase of \$4.79, or 17.5%, in the average cost per ton of purchased coal, offset, in part, by a decrease of 0.3 million tons, or 10.3%, decrease in the volume of purchased tons sold. The average cost per ton of purchased coal was \$32.16 in the 2002 period compared to \$27.37 in the 2001 period.

Miscellaneous cost of goods sold and other operating charges increased \$4 million, or 7.9%, to \$47 million in the 2002 period from \$43 million in the 2001 period. The increase is due mainly to \$14 million of equipment

Table of Contents

removal cost in the 2002 period compared to \$9 million in the 2001 period. The increase in the 2002 period was also due to \$4 million of contribution expense related to the donation of property to The Conservation Fund and \$2 million of expense to recognize an allowance for doubtful accounts related to trade receivables. Bank fees also increased \$2 million in the 2002 period related to the renegotiation of our revolving credit facility. The new facility replaces the previous agreement, which expired on September 20, 2002 and allows for an aggregate of \$485 million of commercial paper principal and letters of credit to be issued. Miscellaneous cost of goods sold and other operating charges also increased \$9 million due to various miscellaneous transactions that occurred throughout both periods, none of which were individually material. These increases in cost of goods sold and other charges were offset, in part, by an \$18 million reduction in incentive compensation expense. Expense for this item was reduced in the 2002 period because performance targets for 2002 were not achieved. Miscellaneous costs of goods sold and other operating charges also includes \$11 million of expense for various contingent loss accruals related to asbestos, waste management site and various other contingencies in both the 2002 period and the 2001 period.

Freight expense decreased \$25 million, or 15.5%, to \$134 million in the 2002 period from \$159 million in the 2001 period. Freight expense is based on weight of coal shipped, negotiated freight rates and method of transportation (e.g., rail, barge or truck) used for the customers that CONSOL Energy contractually provides transportation. Freight expense is billed to customers and the revenue from such billings equals the transportation expense.

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$5 million, or 7.7%, to \$66 million in the 2002 period from \$61 million in the 2001 period. Administrative expenses increased \$4 million due to additional wages, salaries and other costs related to executive severance which occurred in the 2002 period and increased medical costs in the 2002 period. An increase of \$2 million was primarily due to expenses for training, licensing fees and professional consulting related to the conversion to a new integrated information technology system provided by SAP AG to support business processes. Implementation of the system was completed in 2003 at an estimated total cost of \$53 million, a portion of which was capitalized. These increases were offset, in part, by a \$1 million decrease in selling costs due to the reduction of sales employees at Fairmont Supply related to the sale of 18 industrial and store management sites that took place in the 2001 period. Fairmont Supply continues to operate 12 service centers.

Depreciation, depletion and amortization expense increased \$19 million, or 7.9%, to \$263 million in the 2002 period compared to the \$244 million in the 2001 period. The increase was primarily due to the August 22, 2001 acquisition of the remaining 50% interest in Pocahontas Gas Partnership and the remaining 25% interest in the Cardinal States Gathering Company. In the 2002 period, these entities were reported as fully consolidated. In the 2001 period, these entities were reported on the equity basis. Depreciation and amortization also increased due to more coal assets being placed in service in the 2002 period. These increases were offset, in part, by lower financial depletion related to the reduced production levels in the 2002 period compared to the 2001 period.

Interest expense increased \$3 million, or 6.6%, to \$46 million in the 2002 period compared to \$43 million in the 2001 period. This was due primarily to \$16 million of additional interest expense related to the March 7, 2002 issuance of \$250 million of 7.875% Notes due in 2012. The interest on the notes is payable March 1 and September 1 of each year commencing September 1, 2002. This increase was offset, in part, by a \$9 million reduction in interest expense related to commercial paper. The reduction was due primarily to a \$13 million reduction in the average levels of commercial paper outstanding during the 2002 period compared to the 2001 period, along with a decrease of 2.3% per annum in average interest rates in the period-to-period comparison. Interest expense was also reduced \$4 million due to the reduction of long-term debt through scheduled payments.

Taxes other than income increased \$11 million, or 7.2%, to \$172 million in the 2002 period compared to \$161 million in the 2001 period. The increase was due primarily to increased black lung excise taxes, real estate and personal property taxes and state reclamation fee taxes in the 2002 period compared to the 2001 period. In the 2001 period, due to certain black lung excise taxes being declared unconstitutional, \$11 million of prior year

Table of Contents

accruals, which were not paid and were no longer owed, were reversed. The increase in certain taxes was offset by \$4 million due to the reduction of 7 million tons of production in the 2002 period compared to the 2001 period. Real estate and personal property taxes increased \$8 million in the 2002 period compared to the 2001 period. This increase was due to \$3 million of additional taxes related to the properties owned by Windsor Coal Company, Southern Ohio Coal Company, Central Ohio Coal Company, Pocahontas Gas Partnership and Cardinal States Gathering Company which were acquired in 2001. Real estate and personal property taxes also increased \$1 million due to expanded permitting at our mining locations. The remaining \$4 million increase in real estate and personal property taxes was related to several transactions throughout the 2002 and 2001 periods, none of which were individually material. These increases in taxes other than income were offset, in part, by a \$3 million reduction in payroll taxes. The reduction in payroll taxes is primarily due to reduced employee counts as a result of several mines being idled during the 2002 period. Taxes other than income also decreased \$1 million as a result of various transactions throughout the 2002 and 2001 periods, none of which were individually material.

CONSOL Energy is no longer required to pay certain excise taxes on export coal sales. We have filed claims with the Internal Revenue Service seeking refunds for these excise taxes that were determined to be unconstitutional and were paid during the period 1991 through 1999. During the 2001 period, we recognized \$92 million of pre-tax earnings net of other charges and \$26 million of interest income related to these claims. During the 2002 period, we recognized \$1 million of interest income related to these claims. In the 2002 period, \$4 million has been collected on these claims. A \$93 million receivable remains in Other Receivables at December 31, 2002.

Income Taxes

Income taxes represent a \$52 million benefit in the 2002 period compared to \$32 million of expense in the 2001 period. The decrease in tax expense was due mainly to a pre-tax loss of \$40 million in the 2002 period compared to pre-tax income of \$183 million in the 2001 period without a comparable reduction in percentage depletion tax benefits.

Our effective tax rate is sensitive to changes in annual profitability and percentage depletion. The effective rate was 128.9% in the 2002 period compared to 17.5% in the 2001 period. Although we suffered a loss for the year, the percentage depletion deduction allowed for tax purposes did not have a corresponding reduction. Historically, the annual depletion benefit has been approximately \$30 million. The combination of this benefit and a pre-tax loss resulted in our effective tax rate. Additional detail is provided in note 9 of notes to consolidated financial statements.

Income taxes were also reduced due to adjusting the provision for income taxes at the time the returns are filed. These adjustments decreased income tax expense by \$4 million in the 2002 period and increased income tax expense \$1 million for the 2001 period. In the 2002 period, CONSOL Energy also received a \$2 million federal income tax benefit from a final agreement resolving disputed federal income tax items for the years 1995 to 1997.

Six Months Ended December 31, 2001 compared with Six Months Ended December 31, 2000 (unaudited)

Net Income

CONSOL Energy's net income for the six months ended December 31, 2001 was \$1 million compared with \$34 million for the six months ended December 31, 2000. The decrease of \$33 million was primarily due to lower prices for natural gas caused by general market declines and higher cost per ton of produced coal mined caused principally by adverse mining conditions and mechanical problems. The effects of lower prices for

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

natural gas and higher coal production costs were offset, in part, by a reduction in income tax expense due to a pre tax loss in the 2001 transitional period along with changes in percentage depletion allowances and higher volumes of gas sold.

Table of Contents

Revenue

Sales decreased \$28 million, or 2.8% to \$964 million for the six months ended December 31, 2001 from \$992 million for the six months ended December 31, 2000.

Revenues from the sale of coalbed methane gas and gathering fees decreased \$8 million, or 13.7% to \$48 million in the 2001 transitional period from \$56 million in the 2000 six month period. This decrease was due mainly to a 44.2% decrease in average sales price for the period. Average sales price for the 2001 transitional period was \$2.61 per million British thermal unit compared to \$4.68 per million British thermal unit for the six months ended December 31, 2000. The decrease in sales price was offset, in part, by higher volumes as a result of the August 22, 2001 acquisition of the remaining 50% interest in Pocahontas Gas Partnership. Sales volumes were 18.6 billion cubic feet in the 2001 transitional period, an increase of 6.5 billion cubic feet, or 53.4% from the 2000 six-month period.

Revenues from the sale of industrial supplies decreased \$30 million, or 46.5%, to \$34 million in the 2001 transitional period from \$64 million in the 2000 six month period. The decrease was due primarily to the sale of the physical assets, inventory and operations associated with 18 industrial and store management sites during the 2000 six month period. The sale did not have a material impact on CONSOL Energy's financial position, results of operations or cash flow.

These decreased revenues were partially offset by increased revenues from the sale of our produced coal. Revenues from the sale of our produced coal increased \$14 million, or 1.7%, to \$836 million in the 2001 transitional period from \$822 million in the 2000 six month period. The increase in produced coal sales revenues was due mainly to an increase of \$1.62, or 6.9%, in the average sales price per ton sold. The average sales price was \$25.07 in the 2001 transitional period compared to \$23.45 in the 2000 six month period. The increase in average sales price was due primarily to demand increases and low inventory levels at coal producers. The increase in average sales price was partially offset by a 2 million ton, or 4.8%, decrease in the volume of produced tons sold in the 2001 transitional period compared to the 2000 six month period. Produced coal sales volumes were 33 million tons in the 2001 transitional period compared to 35 million tons in the 2000 six-month period. The decreased sales volumes were due primarily to the decline in production as a result of the suspension of longwall production at Mine 84 early in July 2001. Mine 84 restarted longwall production in early December 2001 at production levels equal to full production levels in the months before production problems were encountered. This start was approximately one month earlier than originally projected. Production shortages were encountered at several other CONSOL Energy mines due to mechanical and geological difficulties. These production declines were offset by the production at several of the mines acquired from American Electric Power on July 2, 2001.

Revenues from the sale of purchased coal decreased \$11 million, or 21.6%, to \$40 million in the 2001 transitional period from \$51 million in the 2000 six-month period. Sales volumes decreased 13.3% to 1.3 million tons in the 2001 transitional period from 1.5 million tons in the 2000 six-month period. The decreased volumes were partially offset by a 4.8% increase in the price per ton of purchased coal sold. The average sales price per ton of purchased coal was \$29.84 in the 2001 transitional period compared to \$28.49 in the 2000 six-month period.

Freight revenue, outside and related party, decreased \$2 million, or 2.6%, to \$70 million in the 2001 transitional period from \$72 million in the 2000 six month period. Freight revenue is the amount billed to customers for transportation costs incurred.

Other income, which consists of interest income, gain or loss on the disposition of assets, equity in earnings of affiliates, service income, royalty income, rental income and miscellaneous income, was \$31 million in the 2001 transitional period compared to \$37 million in the 2000 six month period. The decrease of \$6 million, or 16.0%, was primarily due to the reduction in equity in earnings of affiliates. The reduction in equity in earnings

Table of Contents

of affiliates was primarily due to the August 22, 2001 purchase of the remaining 50% interest in Pocahontas Gas Partnership and the remaining 25% interest in the Cardinal States Gathering Company. As a result of the acquisition, CONSOL Energy owns 100% of these entities and began to account for them as fully consolidated subsidiaries. Before the acquisition, CONSOL Energy accounted for these companies using the equity method.

Costs

Cost of Goods Sold and Other Operating Charges increased \$31 million, or 4.2%, to \$761 million in the 2001 transitional period from \$730 million in the 2000 six month period.

Cost of goods sold for our produced coal increased \$28 million, or 4.8% to \$623 million in the 2001 transitional period from \$595 million in the 2000 six month period. The increase was primarily due to a 10.1% increase in the cost per ton sold of our produced coal, offset slightly by a 4.8% decrease in the volume of tons of our produced coal sold. The increased cost per ton produced is primarily due to a decline in productivity as measured in tons produced per manday. Manday is a term used to describe the scheduled hours worked per person per day. This term is sometimes used to determine productivity of our mining complexes. Tons produced per manday were 37.6 in the 2001 transitional period compared to 41.6 in the 2000 six-month period. The decline in productivity is mainly due to several mines experiencing mechanical and geological difficulties in the 2001 transitional period.

Cost of goods sold for gas operations increased \$9 million, or 51.7%, to \$27 million in the 2001 transitional period from \$18 million in the 2000 six month period. The increase in gas costs was due primarily to 53.4% higher volume of gas sold as a result of the acquisition of the remaining 50% interest in Pocahontas Gas Partnership on August 22, 2001. Sales volumes were 18.6 billion cubic feet in the 2001 transitional period compared to 12.1 billion cubic feet in the 2000 six-month period. The cost per million British thermal units sold remained stable at \$1.50 in the 2001 transitional period compared to \$1.51 in the 2000 six month period.

Cost of goods sold for purchased coal remained consistent at \$40 million in the 2001 transitional period compared to the 2000 six month period.

Cost of goods sold for closed and idled mine costs increased \$13 million to \$29 million in the 2001 transitional period from \$16 million in the 2000 six month period. The increase is due primarily to a \$10 million income adjustment for mine closing and perpetual care liabilities being recognized in the 2000 six-month period. The adjustment was the result of updated engineering studies and cost projections for closed and idled locations. The increase was also due to additional costs related to the closing or idling of Loveridge, Meigs #31 and Mine 84 in the 2001 transitional period compared to the 2000 six month period.

Cost of goods sold for industrial supplies decreased \$28 million, or 44.2%, to \$36 million in the 2001 transitional period from \$64 million in the 2000 six month period. The decrease in costs is related to reduced sales volumes resulting from the sale of 18 industrial and store management sites.

Freight expense decreased \$2 million, or 2.7%, to \$70 million in the 2001 transitional period from \$72 million in the 2000 six month period. Freight expense is billed to customers and the revenue from such billings equals the transportation expense.

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased \$2 million, or 5.7%, to \$31 million in the 2001 transitional period from \$33 million in the 2000 six-month period. The decrease was due primarily to decreased professional consulting fees. Professional consulting fees were reduced due to the completion of the review of business processes and information technology systems supporting those processes that took place in the 2000 period.

Depreciation, depletion and amortization expense remained stable at \$120 million for the 2001 transitional period and the 2000 six-month period.

Table of Contents

Interest expense decreased by \$14 million, or 46.2%, to \$17 million in the 2001 transitional period compared to \$31 million in the 2000 six month period. The decrease was due primarily to lower average debt levels outstanding during the 2001 transitional period compared to the 2000 six month period, along with a decrease of 3.6% per annum in average interest rates reflecting more favorable interest rates. Lower average debt levels resulted from the cash received in the acquisition of the Windsor Coal Company, Southern Ohio Coal Company and Central Ohio Coal Company from American Electric Power being used to reduce the outstanding amount of commercial paper in July 2001. Thereafter, we increased the outstanding amount of commercial paper by the issuance of approximately \$155 million of commercial paper beginning in August 2001 to finance the acquisition of the remaining 50% interest in Pocahontas Gas Partnership and the remaining 25% interest in the Cardinal States Gathering Company. Also, in December 2001, approximately \$18 million of commercial paper was issued to finance the acquisition of a 50% joint venture in Glennies Creek Mine. Interest expense is expected to increase during 2002 as a result of the refinancing of short-term debt with long-term notes with the interest rate of 7.875% per annum.

Taxes other than income increased \$3 million, or 3.7%, to \$81 million in the 2001 transitional period compared to \$78 million in the 2000 six month period. The increase was due primarily to increased excise taxes, severance taxes and payroll taxes in the 2001 transitional period. These costs increased primarily due to the acquisition of the Windsor Coal Company, Southern Ohio Coal Company and Central Ohio Coal Company from American Electric Power.

CONSOL Energy is no longer required to pay certain excise taxes on export coal sales. We have filed claims with the Internal Revenue Service seeking refunds for these excise taxes that were determined to be unconstitutional and were paid during the period 1991 through 1999. During the 2001 transitional period, we recognized a \$5 million reduction to the expected interest receivable amount recognized in the twelve months ended June 30, 2001 due to the change in the estimate of recoverable amounts.

Income Taxes

Income taxes were a \$21 million benefit in the 2001 transitional period compared to \$4 million of expense in the 2000 six-month period. The decrease of \$25 million was due mainly to a pre-tax loss of \$20 million in the 2001 transitional period with little loss of percentage depletion tax benefits. Our effective tax rate is sensitive to changes in annual profitability and percentage depletion.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make judgments, estimates and assumptions that affect reported amounts of assets and liabilities in the consolidated financial statements and at the date of the financial statements, as well as the reported amounts of income and expenses during the reporting period. Note 1 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements in this prospectus describes the significant accounting policies and methods used in the preparation of the consolidated financial statements. Actual results could differ from those estimates upon subsequent resolution of identified matters. Management believes that the estimates utilized are reasonable. The following critical accounting policies are materially impacted by judgments, assumptions and estimates used in the preparation of the consolidated financial statements.

Other Post Employment Benefits

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

CONSOL Energy has historically provided retiree health benefits to employees that retire with at least ten years of service and have attained age 55. Effective August 1, 2003, the eligibility requirement for Salaried Employees was changed to either 20 years of service and age 55, or 15 years of service and age 62. Our retiree health plans provide benefits to approximately 24,000 of our former employees and their eligible dependents. Eligibility requirements for hourly employees have not changed from CONSOL Energy's historical requirements.

Table of Contents

In addition to the change in eligibility requirements, other changes have been made to the Medical Plan which covers eligible Salaried Employees and Retirees. These changes include a new cost sharing structure where essentially all participants contribute 20% of plan costs. Annual cost increases in excess of 6% are paid entirely by the Plan participants.

After our review, various actuarial assumptions, including discount rate, expected trend in health care costs and per capita costs, are used by our independent actuary to estimate the cost and benefit obligations for our retiree health plan. The discount rate is determined each year at the measurement date (normally three months before the year-end date). The discount rate is an estimate of the current interest rate at which the Other Post Employment Benefit liabilities could be effectively settled at the measurement date. In estimating this rate, CONSOL Energy looks to rates of return on high-quality, fixed-income investments that receive one of the two highest ratings given by a recognized ratings agency. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2003, the discount rate used to calculate the period end liability and the following year's expense was 6.0%. The discount rate for the twelve months ended December 31, 2002 used to calculate the period end liability and the following year's expense was 6.75%. Changes to interest rates for the rates of returns on instruments that could be used to settle the actuarially determined plan obligations introduce substantial volatility to our costs.

Per capita costs on a per annum basis for Other Post Retirement Benefits were assumed to be \$4,037 at December 31, 2003. This was an 11.1% increase over the per capita cost on a per annum basis at December 31, 2002. If the actual increase in per capita cost of medical services or other post retirement benefits are significantly greater or less than the projected trend rates, the per capita cost assumption would need to be adjusted annually, which could have a significant effect on the costs and liabilities recognized in the financial statements. The estimated liability recognized in our consolidated financial statements at December 31, 2003 was \$1.6 billion compared to \$1.5 billion at December 31, 2002.

For the twelve months ended December 31, 2003, we paid approximately \$114 million for Other Post Employment Benefits, most of which were paid from operating cash flow. Significant increases in health and prescription drug costs for hourly retirees could have a material effect on CONSOL Energy's operating cash flow. The effect on CONSOL Energy's cash flow from operations for salaried employees has been limited to approximately 5% annually due to the cost sharing provision added to the benefit plan in 2003.

On December 8, 2003, the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 (the Act) was signed into law. The Act introduces a prescription drug benefit under Medicare (Medicare Part D) as well as a federal subsidy to sponsors of retiree health care benefit plans that provide a benefit that is at least actuarially equivalent to Medicare Part D. As permitted by recently issued accounting guidance, as of December 31, 2003, CONSOL Energy will defer accounting for the effects of the Act in the measure of its Accumulated Postretirement Benefit Obligation or APBO and the effect of the offset to plan costs. Specific guidance with respect to accounting for the effects of the Act has not been issued. Specific authoritative guidance, when issued, could require CONSOL Energy to change previously reported information. The impacts of the law change are currently being evaluated and currently are expected to result in a decrease of the APBO of between \$80 and \$160 million. Recognition of the subsidy as an offset to annual plan costs are preliminarily expected to be in the range of between \$13 and \$26 million.

Coal Workers' Pneumoconiosis

CONSOL Energy is responsible under the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969, as amended, for medical and disability benefits to employees and their dependents resulting from occurrences of coal workers' pneumoconiosis disease. CONSOL Energy is also responsible under various state statutes for pneumoconiosis benefits. After our review, our independent actuaries calculate the actuarial present value of the estimated pneumoconiosis obligation based on assumptions regarding disability incidence, medical costs, mortality, death benefits, dependents and discount rates. The discount rate is determined each year at the

Table of Contents

measurement date (normally three months before the year-end date). The discount rate is an estimate of the current interest rate at which the Coal Workers' Pneumoconiosis liabilities could be effectively settled at the measurement date. In estimating this rate, CONSOL Energy looks to rates of return on high-quality, fixed income investments that receive one of the two highest ratings given by a recognized ratings agency. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2003, the discount rate used to calculate the period end liability and the following year's expense was 6.0%. The discount rate for the twelve months ended December 31, 2002 used to calculate the period end liability and the following year's expense was 6.75%. In January 2001, the United States Department of Labor amended the regulations implementing the federal black lung laws to give greater weight to the opinion of a claimant's treating physician, expand the definition of black lung disease and limit the amount of medical evidence that can be submitted by claimants and respondents. The amendments also alter administrative procedures for the adjudication of claims, which according to the Department of Labor, results in streamlined procedures that are less formal, less adversarial and easier for participants to understand. These and other changes to the federal black lung regulations could result in changes in assumptions used in our actuarial determination of the liability, including interest, disability and mortality assumptions. Our experience to date related to these changes is not sufficient to determine the impact of these changes. These changes could significantly increase our exposure to black lung benefit liabilities. The estimated liability recognized in our consolidated financial statements at December 31, 2003 was approximately \$456 million compared to \$462 million at December 31, 2002.

At December 31, 2003, the fair value of plan assets for Coal Workers' Pneumoconiosis was \$2 million. Our historical policy has been to pay for these claims from operating cash flow, and not to fund specific amounts into restricted accounts. In 1998, a trust fund valued at approximately \$18 million was acquired as part of our acquisition of Rochester & Pittsburgh Coal Company. In 2000, as part of a contract renegotiation, we acquired an additional \$42 million that was placed into the trust for Coal Workers' Pneumoconiosis. As part of the acquisition of several mining companies from American Electric Power in 2001, an additional \$31 million was placed into a trust fund for Coal Workers' Pneumoconiosis. After Internal Revenue Service approval, these funds have been used to pay all of CONSOL Energy's Coal Workers' Pneumoconiosis benefits. This fund will be exhausted early in 2004, at which time we plan to resume paying these benefits from operating cash flow. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2003, we paid Coal Workers' Pneumoconiosis benefits of approximately \$13 million, of which approximately \$2 million was paid from operating cash flow.

Salaried Pensions

CONSOL Energy has non-contributory defined benefit retirement plans covering substantially all employees not covered by multi-employer retirement plans. The benefits for these plans are based primarily on years of service and employees' compensation near retirement. After our review, our independent actuaries calculate the actuarial present value of the estimated retirement obligation based on assumptions including rates of compensation, mortality rates, retirement age and interest rates. For the year ended December 31, 2003, compensation increases are assumed to range from 3% to 6% depending on age classification. This assumption was also used in the twelve months ended December 31, 2002. Retirement rate assumptions and mortality assumptions were unchanged for the year ended December 31, 2003. Retirement rate assumptions begin at 5% for employees at age 50 and increase gradually to 100% for employees at age 65. The discount rate is determined each year at the measurement date (normally three months before the year-end date). The discount rate is an estimate of the current interest rate at which the retirement plans could be effectively settled at the measurement date. In estimating this rate, CONSOL Energy looks to rates of return on high-quality, fixed-income investments that receive one of the two highest ratings given by a recognized ratings agency. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2003 the discount rate used to calculate the period end liability and the following year's expense was 6.0%. The discount rate for the twelve months ended December 31, 2002 used to calculate the period end liability and the following year's expense was 6.75%. Changes to any of these assumptions introduce substantial volatility to our costs. The estimated liability at December 31, 2003 was \$137 million compared to \$122 million at December 31, 2002. Due to the negative actuarial return on plan assets, the difference in the accumulated benefit obligation and the plan assets at December 31, 2003 of approximately

Table of Contents

\$158 million was recognized as a minimum pension liability. At December 31, 2002, the minimum pension liability was approximately \$150 million. CONSOL Energy expects to contribute approximately \$57 million to the pension plan in 2004.

The CONSOL Energy salaried plan allows for lump-sum distributions at the employees' election. According to Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 88, *Employers' Accounting for Settlements and Curtailments of Defined Benefit Pension Plans and for Termination Benefits*, if the lump-sum distributions made for the plan year, which is October 1 to September 30, exceed the total of the service cost and interest cost for the plan year settlement accounting is required. If this trigger event were to occur, CONSOL Energy would need to recognize in the current year's earnings an adjustment equaling the unrecognized actuarial gain or loss resulting from each individual who received a lump sum in that year. Generally, due to the lower interest rates currently being used to calculate lump-sum distributions, the impact would be to recognize actuarial losses. If this settlement accounting is triggered, the adjustment could materially impact net income.

Workers' Compensation

Workers' compensation is a system by which individuals who sustain employment related physical injuries or occupational diseases are compensated for their disabilities, medical costs, and on some occasions, for the costs of their rehabilitation. Workers' compensation will also compensate the survivors of workers who suffer employment related deaths. The workers' compensation laws are administered by state agencies with each state having its own set of rules and regulations regarding compensation that is owed to an employee that is injured in the course of employment. CONSOL Energy accrues for this type of liability by recognizing cost when the event occurs that gives rise to the obligation, i.e., when it is probable that the liability has been incurred and the cost can be reasonably estimated. To assist in the determination of this estimated liability CONSOL Energy utilizes the services of third party administrators in various states in which we do business to determine the liability that exists for workers' compensation. These third parties provide information that facilitates the estimation of the liability based on their knowledge and experience concerning similar past events. The estimated liability recognized in the financial statements at December 31, 2003, including the current portion, was approximately \$316 million compared to \$317 million at December 31, 2002. CONSOL Energy's policy has been to provide for workers' compensation benefits from operating cash flow. No funding has been provided to cover these benefits. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2003, we made payments for workers' compensation benefits of approximately \$57 million, all of which was paid from operating cash flow.

We changed our method of accounting for workers' compensation effective January 1, 2004. Prior to the change, we recorded our workers' compensation liability on an undiscounted basis. Under the new method, we will record the liability on a discounted basis, which will be actuarially determined using various assumptions, including discount rate and future cost trends. We believe this change is preferable since it will align the accounting with our other long-term employee benefit obligations, which are recorded on a discounted basis. Additionally, it will provide a better comparison with our industry peers, the majority of which record the workers' compensation liability on a discounted basis.

The change will be reflected as a cumulative effect from a change in accounting in the quarter ended March 31, 2004 according to Accounting Principles Board Opinion (ABP) No. 20, *Accounting Changes*. The effect of the change is expected to result in an income adjustment of approximately \$81 million, net of approximately \$51 million of deferred tax expense. The workers' compensation liability will decrease approximately \$132 million and deferred tax assets will be reduced by approximately \$51 million as a result of this accounting change.

Reclamation and Mine Closure Obligations

The Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act establishes operational, reclamation and closure standards for all aspects of surface mining as well as most aspects of deep mining. CONSOL Energy accrues for the costs of current mine disturbance and final mine closure, including the

cost of treating mine water discharge where

Table of Contents

necessary. Estimates of our total reclamation and mine-closing liabilities, which are based upon permit requirements and CONSOL Energy engineering expertise related to these requirements, including the current portion, were approximately \$383 million at December 31, 2003. This liability is reviewed annually by CONSOL Energy management and engineers. The estimated liability can significantly change if actual costs vary from assumptions or if governmental regulations change significantly.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 143, *Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations* (SFAS No. 143) requires that the fair value of an asset retirement obligation be recognized in the period in which it is incurred if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. The present value of the estimated asset retirement costs is capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the long-lived asset. Asset retirement obligations primarily relate to the closure of mines and gas wells and the reclamation of land upon exhaustion of coal and gas reserves. Changes in the variables used to calculate the liabilities can have a significant effect on the mine closing, reclamation and gas well closing liabilities. The amounts of assets and liabilities recorded are dependent upon a number of variables, including the estimated future retirement costs, estimated proven reserves, assumptions involving profit margins, inflation rates, and the assumed credit-adjusted risk-free interest rate.

SFAS No. 143 requires depreciation of the capitalized asset retirement cost and accretion of the asset retirement obligation over time. The depreciation will generally be determined on a units-of-production basis, whereas the accretion to be recognized will escalate over the life of the producing assets, typically as production declines.

Contingencies

CONSOL Energy is currently involved in certain legal proceedings. We have accrued our estimate of the probable costs for the resolution of these claims. This estimate has been developed in consultation with legal counsel involved in the defense of these matters and is based upon an analysis of potential results, assuming a combination of litigation and settlement strategies. We do not believe these proceedings will have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position. It is possible, however, that future results of operations for any particular quarterly or annual period could be materially affected by changes in our assumptions or the effectiveness of our strategies related to these proceedings.

Deferred Taxes

CONSOL Energy accounts for income taxes in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes* (SFAS No. 109) which requires that deferred tax assets and liabilities be recognized using enacted tax rates for the effect of temporary differences between the book and tax basis of recorded assets and liabilities. SFAS No. 109 also requires that deferred tax assets be reduced by a valuation allowance if it is more likely than not that some portion of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. At December 31, 2003, CONSOL Energy has deferred tax assets in excess of deferred tax liabilities of approximately \$535 million. The deferred tax assets are evaluated periodically to determine if a valuation allowance is necessary. State net operating loss carry-forwards of \$52 million have been fully reserved due to the uncertainty of realizing the benefits of these carry-forwards. However, CONSOL Energy is currently exploring several tax planning strategies that may allow a portion or all of the benefit to be recognized. No other valuation allowance has been recognized because CONSOL Energy has determined that it is more likely than not that all of these deferred tax assets will be realized.

Significant management judgment is required in determining the need, if any, for a valuation allowance to be recorded against the deferred tax assets. CONSOL Energy's need for a valuation allowance is based on both positive and negative evidence regarding its ability to generate sufficient future regular taxable income to realize its deferred tax assets. For the year ended December 31, 2003, our principal evaluation focused on if, and when, CONSOL Energy would return to being a regular versus an alternative minimum taxpayer. Positive evidence included the level of sales and pricing currently being negotiated under fixed price contracts, the projected

Table of Contents

reversal of certain temporary book to tax differences, particularly minimum tax preference items and the ability to employ various tax planning strategies if required. Negative evidence included the book and tax losses generated in recent periods and the inability to achieve forecasted results in the recent periods. CONSOL Energy concluded that the deferred tax assets, other than the state net operating losses generated, were more likely than not realizable. Through its evaluation, CONSOL Energy forecasts to begin paying regular tax and utilizing the alternative minimum deferred tax asset within five years. Our judgments regarding future profitability may change due to future market conditions, our ability to successfully execute our business strategy and other factors. These changes, if any, may require possible valuation allowances to be recognized. These allowances could materially impact net income in the period they were to be recognized.

Coal and Gas Reserve Values

There are numerous uncertainties inherent in estimating quantities and values of economically recoverable coal and gas reserves, including many factors beyond our control. As a result, estimates of economically recoverable coal and gas reserves are by their nature uncertain. Information about our reserves consists of estimates based on engineering, economic and geological data assembled and analyzed by our staff. The majority of our gas reserves have been reviewed by independent experts, Ralph E. Davis Associates, Inc. and Data and Consulting Services, a division of Schlumberger. None of our coal reserves have been reviewed by independent experts. Some of the factors and assumptions which impact economically recoverable reserve estimates include:

geological conditions;

historical production from the area compared with production from other producing areas;

the assumed effects of regulations and taxes by governmental agencies;

assumptions governing future prices; and

future operating costs.

Each of these factors may in fact vary considerably from the assumptions used in estimating reserves. For these reasons, estimates of the economically recoverable quantities of coal and gas attributable to a particular group of properties, and classifications of these reserves based on risk of recovery and estimates of future net cash flows, may vary substantially. Actual production, revenues and expenditures with respect to our reserves will likely vary from estimates, and these variances may be material.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

CONSOL Energy generally has satisfied its working capital requirements and funded its capital expenditures and debt service obligations from cash generated from operations and proceeds from borrowings. In the past, a principal source of borrowings had been the issuance of commercial paper. In July 2003, Standard and Poor's lowered its rating of our long-term debt to BB+ (11th lowest out of 22 rating categories) with a rating outlook of stable. Standard and Poor's defines an obligation rated BB+ as less vulnerable to nonpayment than other speculative issues. However, the rating indicates that an obligor faces major ongoing uncertainties or exposure to adverse business, financial, or economic conditions, which could lead to the obligor's inadequate capacity to meet its financial commitment on the obligation. The plus sign shows relative standing within the rating category. At the same time, Standard and Poor's placed CONSOL Energy's senior unsecured debt rating on

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

CreditWatch with negative implications. As a result of the lower debt rating, CONSOL Energy was no longer able to participate as a seller in the commercial paper market. CONSOL Energy believes alternative sources of short-term borrowing, including CONSOL Energy's Senior Revolving Credit facility and the Accounts Receivable Securitization facility described below, are available and sufficient to replace funding previously provided by the issuance of commercial paper.

In December 2003, Standard and Poor's lowered CONSOL Energy's rating of our long-term debt to BBth (13th out of 22 rating categories). Standard and Poor's defines an obligation rated BB as less vulnerable

Table of Contents

to nonpayment than other speculative issues. However, the rating indicates that an obligor faces major ongoing uncertainties or exposure to adverse business, financial, or economic conditions, which could lead to the obligor's inadequate capacity to meet its financial commitment on the obligation. The negative sign shows relative standing within the rating category. At the same time, Standard and Poor's placed CONSOL Energy's senior unsecured debt rating on CreditWatch with negative implications.

In December 2003, Moody's Investor Service lowered its rating of CONSOL Energy's long-term debt from Ba1 to Ba3 (13th lowest out of 21 rating categories). The rating remains under review for possible further downgrade. Bonds which are rated Ba are considered to have speculative elements; their future cannot be considered as well-assured. Often the protection of interest and principal payments may be very moderate, and thereby not well safeguarded during both good and bad times over the future. Uncertainty of position characterizes bonds in this class. The modifier 3 indicates that the obligation ranks in the lower end of its generic rating category.

A security rating is not a recommendation by a rating agency to buy, sell or hold securities. The security rating may be subject to change.

In September 2003, CONSOL Energy completed a 364-day \$150 million senior secured revolving loan agreement. The new agreement replaced a 364-day bank credit facility of \$218 million that expired September 15, 2003. The 364-day \$150 million facility under the new agreement was terminated on September 24, 2003 upon receipt of proceeds from the sale by CONSOL Energy of common stock in a private placement. Additionally, in September 2003, the existing multi-year senior revolving credit facility was amended to conform to the terms of the new 364-day agreement, including the provision of collateral to the participating banks consisting of substantially all of CONSOL Energy's assets, provided that the proceeds of collateral constituting any mineral property or extraction plant, equipment or facility, which may be applied to the principal amount of obligations under the facility is limited to 10% of CONSOL Energy's consolidated net tangible assets. The multi-year senior credit facility, as amended, provides for an aggregate of \$267 million that may be used for letters of credit and borrowings for other corporate purposes. Interest is based at CONSOL Energy's option, upon the Prime (Base) Rate or London Interbank Offered Rates (LIBOR) plus a spread, which is dependent on its credit rating. The multi-year senior revolving credit facility, as amended, has various covenants, including covenants that limit our ability to dispose of assets and merge with another corporation. We are also required to maintain a ratio of total consolidated indebtedness to twelve month trailing earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, depletion and amortization (EBITDA) of not more than 3.5 to 1.0, measured quarterly. This ratio was 2.72 to 1.0 at December 31, 2003. In addition, we are required to maintain a ratio of twelve months trailing EBITDA to interest expense and amortization of debt of no less than 4.5 to 1.0, measured quarterly. This ratio was 6.89 to 1.0 at December 31, 2003. At December 31, 2003, these two financial covenants would have limited CONSOL Energy's additional borrowing capacity at an average interest rate of 7% to approximately \$180 million. The senior revolving credit facility also has covenants restricting the level of annual capital expenditures to be made by CONSOL Energy. The capital expenditure limit is \$293.5 million, \$455.0 million and \$470.0 million for the twelve months ending December 31, 2003, 2004 and 2005, respectively. Capital expenditures were \$290.7 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2003. At December 31, 2003, this facility had approximately \$12.3 million letters of credit issued and \$65.0 million of borrowings outstanding, leaving approximately \$189.7 million of unused capacity. At February 1, 2004, there was approximately \$28.5 million of letters of credit issued and \$108.0 million of borrowings outstanding against it, leaving approximately \$130.3 million of unused capacity.

In September 2003, CONSOL Energy sold 11.0 million shares of its common stock in a private placement. The net proceeds of approximately \$190 million were placed in an interest bearing restricted cash account, to which CONSOL Energy has limited withdrawal rights, that will be used to support letters of credit issued on behalf of CONSOL Energy to satisfy financial assurance requirements with respect to environmental reclamation and self-insurance employee benefits obligations under various state and federal laws. CONSOL Energy must maintain a balance in the account equal to or greater than 102.5% of the aggregate amount of all letters of credit

Table of Contents

issued. At December 31, 2003, 28 letters of credit have been issued that are supported by the restricted cash account. The face amount of the letters of credit total \$184.8 million and were issued to:

The United Mine Workers of America 1992 Benefit Fund;

The Illinois Industrial Commission, Old Republic Insurance, Travelers Casualty & Surety Co., West Virginia Workers Compensation Division, United States Department of Labor, the Commonwealth of Kentucky and Maryland Workers Compensation Commission for self insuring workers compensation;

Highmark Life and Casualty for employee healthcare insurance;

The Bank of Nova Scotia, Commonwealth of Kentucky, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and the West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection for guarantee of performance of environmental obligations; and

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania for guarantee of subsidence bonds.

Several of these letters of credit were previously issued under the Senior Revolving Credit Facility.

In April 2003, CONSOL Energy and certain of its U.S. subsidiaries entered into a receivables facility with financial institutions for the sale on a continuous basis of eligible trade accounts receivable that will provide, on a revolving basis, up to \$125 million of short-term funding. CONSOL Energy formed CNX Funding Corporation, a wholly owned, special purpose, bankruptcy-remote subsidiary, for the sole purpose of buying and selling eligible trade receivables generated by certain subsidiaries of CONSOL Energy. Under the receivables facility, CONSOL Energy and certain subsidiaries, irrevocably and without recourse, sell all of their eligible trade accounts receivable to CNX Funding Corporation. CNX Funding Corporation then sells, on a revolving basis, an undivided percentage interest in the pool of eligible trade accounts receivable to financial institutions and their affiliates, while maintaining a subordinated interest in a portion of the trade receivables. CONSOL Energy has agreed to continue servicing the sold receivables for the financial institutions for a fee based upon market rates for similar services. The cost of funds are consistent with commercial paper rates plus a charge for administrative services paid to the financial institution. The receivables facility expires in 2006. At December 31, 2003, eligible accounts receivable total approximately \$109 million, of which the subordinated retained interest was approximately \$1 million. Accordingly, \$108 million of accounts receivable were removed from our consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2003. The proceeds are included in cash flows from operating activities in our consolidated statement of cash flows for the twelve months ended December 31, 2003.

CONSOL Energy believes that cash generated from operations and its borrowing capacity will be sufficient to meet its working capital requirements, anticipated capital expenditures (other than major acquisitions), scheduled debt payments, anticipated dividend payments in 2004 and to provide required letters of credit. Nevertheless, the ability of CONSOL Energy to satisfy its working capital requirements, debt service obligations, to fund planned capital expenditures or pay dividends will depend upon its future operating performance, which will be affected by prevailing economic conditions in the coal and gas industries and other financial and business factors, some of which are beyond CONSOL Energy's control.

In order to manage the market risk exposure of volatile natural gas prices in the future, CONSOL Energy enters into various physical gas supply transactions with our gas marketers (selling gas under short-term multi-month contract nominations generally not exceeding one year). CONSOL Energy has also entered into five float-for-fixed gas swap transactions and two float-for-collar gas swap transactions that qualify as financial cash flow hedges, which exist parallel to the underlying physical transactions. The fair value of these contracts resulted in other comprehensive loss of \$3.6 million (net of \$2.3 million of deferred tax) at December 31, 2003.

CONSOL Energy frequently evaluates potential acquisitions. CONSOL Energy has funded acquisitions primarily with cash generated from operations and a variety of other sources, depending on the size of the transaction, including debt financing. There can be no assurance that additional capital resources, including debt financing, will be available to CONSOL Energy on terms which CONSOL Energy finds acceptable, or at all.

Table of Contents*Cash Flows (in millions)*

	2003	2002	
	<u>Period</u>	<u>Period</u>	<u>Change</u>
Cash flows from operating activities	\$ 381	\$ 330	\$ 51
Cash provided by (used in) investing activities	\$ (205)	\$ (340)	\$ 135
Cash provided by (used in) financing activities	\$ (182)	\$ 6	\$ (188)

Cash flows from operating activities have increased primarily due to the following items:

The Accounts Receivable Securitization Facility that will provide, on a revolving basis, up to \$125 million of short-term funding. Costs for drawing against this facility are based on commercial paper interest rates. At December 31, 2003, eligible accounts receivable total approximately \$109 million, of which the subordinated interest retained by CONSOL Energy was approximately \$1 million. Accordingly, \$108 million of accounts receivable were removed from the consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2003;

The receipt of approximately \$68 million of refunds in the 2003 period for our black lung excise tax claims and related interest for the years 1994 to 1999 compared to \$4 million of refunds with respect to these claims in the 2002 period;

Coal inventories decreased 1.5 million tons in the 2003 period compared to an increase of 1.2 million tons in the 2002 period;

Higher workers' compensation payments in the 2003 period due to a \$21.6 million one-time payment made to the State of West Virginia. The one-time workers' compensation payment was to settle a dispute with the State of West Virginia Workers' Compensation Division related to the non-payment of workers' compensation premiums;

Other post-employment benefits were paid out of operating cash flows in the 2003 period. These benefits were primarily paid from trust assets in the 2002 period and did not impact operating cash flow;

Receivables of \$27.4 million in 2003 related to the expected insurance recoveries for two mine fires; and

Decreases in net income as previously discussed.

Net cash used in investing activities decreased primarily due to the following items:

Additional proceeds from sales of assets received in the 2003 period compared to 2002 period. The increase in proceeds was due mainly to the sale of CONSOL Energy's Canadian coal assets and port facilities to Fording Inc. for a note and cash in February 2003. The note was exchanged for 3.2 million units in the Fording Canadian Coal Trust, a newly organized publicly traded trust which acquired the assets of Fording Inc. CONSOL Energy sold the coal trust units in March 2003; and

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

A decrease in the amounts invested in equity affiliates in the 2003 period compared to the 2002 period. In 2002, CONSOL Energy invested \$28 million in a joint-venture with Allegheny Energy Supply Company, LLC, an affiliate of one of our largest coal customers, to build an 88-megawatt, gas-fired electric generating facility in the 2002 period. CONSOL Energy also invested in the Line Creek Mine equity affiliate in the 2002 period. The Line Creek equity affiliate was sold as part of the Canadian asset sale in the first quarter of 2003.

Net cash used in financing activities changed primarily due to the following items:

Approximately \$246 million in cash received in the 2002 period from the issuance of the 7.875% notes due 2012;

In 2003, payments of all amounts outstanding under the commercial paper program due to CONSOL Energy no longer being able to participate in the commercial paper market, as discussed previously;

Table of Contents

Scheduled long term payments on unsecured notes reduced outstanding debt in the 2002 period with no comparable payment in 2003 period;

Proceeds of approximately \$65 million were received from amounts drawn against the Senior Revolving Credit Facility, as discussed previously;

Dividend payments were reduced in the 2003 period compared to the 2002 period due to the reduction of quarterly dividend payments to \$0.14 per share beginning with the quarter ended June 30, 2002 from \$0.28 per share for each of the previous quarters; and

Approximately \$190 million was received in the 2003 period from the sale of 11,000,000 shares of common stock in a private placement in September 2003. The net proceeds of approximately \$190 million were subsequently placed in an interest bearing restricted cash account, from which CONSOL

Energy has limited withdrawal rights, that will be used to support letters of credit issued on behalf of CONSOL Energy to satisfy financial assurance requirements of environmental reclamation and self-insurance employee benefits under various state and federal laws.

The following is a summary of our significant contractual obligations at December 31, 2003 (in thousands):

Payments due by Year

	Within <u>1 Year</u>	1-3 <u>Years</u>	3-5 <u>Years</u>	After <u>5 Years</u>	<u>Total</u>
Short-term Notes Payable	\$ 68,760	\$	\$	\$	\$ 68,760
Long-term Debt	48,592	10,065	58,105	375,595	492,357
Capital Lease Obligations	4,738				4,738
Operating Lease Obligations	17,064	26,885	16,309	7,786	68,044
Total Contractual Obligations	\$ 139,154	\$ 36,950	\$ 74,414	\$ 383,381	\$ 633,899

Additionally, we have long-term liabilities relating to other post employment benefits, work-related injuries and illnesses, defined benefit pension plans, mine reclamation and closure, and other long-term liability costs. We estimate payments, net of any applicable trust reimbursements, related to these items at December 31, 2003 (in thousands) to be:

Payments due by Year

<u>Within 1 Year</u>	<u>1-3 Years</u>	<u>3-5 Years</u>	<u>Total</u>
\$ 358,371	\$ 638,461	\$ 595,053	\$ 1,591,885

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

As discussed in Critical Accounting Policies and in the notes to our consolidated financial statements, our determination of these long-term liabilities is calculated annually and is based on several assumptions, including then prevailing conditions, which may change from year to year. In any year, if our assumptions are inaccurate, we could be required to expend greater amounts than anticipated. Moreover, in particular, for periods after 2003 our estimates may change from the amounts included in the table, and may change significantly, if our assumptions change to reflect changing conditions.

Capital expenditures were approximately \$291 million in the 2003 period compared to approximately \$295 million in the 2002 period. We currently anticipate capital expenditures for the year ending December 31, 2004 to be approximately \$340 million to \$360 million. However, we may choose to defer certain capital projects in light of operating results and the availability of financing. Capital expenditures for pollution abatement and reclamation are projected to be \$3.7 million for the year ending December 31, 2004. Our capital expenditures have been and will be primarily used for replacement of mining and gas equipment, the expansion of mining and gas capacity and projects to improve the efficiency of the mining and gas operations. The projected capital

Table of Contents

expenditures for 2004 are not committed and are expected to be funded with cash generated by operations and existing borrowing capacity. In addition, cash requirements to fund employee-related, mine closure and other long-term liabilities included above, along with obligations related to long-term debt, capital and operating leases, are expected to be funded with cash generated by operations and existing borrowing capacity.

Debt

At December 31, 2003, CONSOL Energy had total long-term debt of \$495 million outstanding, including current portion of long-term debt of \$53 million. This long-term debt consisted of:

An aggregate principal amount of \$248 million (\$250 million of 7.875% notes due in 2012, net of \$2 million unamortized debt discount). The notes were issued at 99.174% of the principal amount. Interest on the notes is payable March 1 and September 1 of each year. Payment of the principal and premium, if any, and interest on the notes are guaranteed by most of CONSOL Energy subsidiaries that incur or guarantee certain indebtedness. The notes are senior unsecured obligations and will rank equally with all other unsecured and unsubordinated indebtedness of the guarantors;

An aggregate principal amount of \$90 million of unsecured notes which bear interest at fixed rates ranging from 8.21% to 8.28% per annum and are due at various dates between 2004 and 2007;

An aggregate principal amount of \$103 million of two series of industrial revenue bonds which were issued to finance the Baltimore port facility and bear interest at 6.50% per annum and mature in 2010 and 2011;

\$17 million aggregate principal amount of borrowings under a term loan facility which allows CONSOL Energy Australia Pty Limited to borrow up to \$17 million through March 31, 2004. The borrowed funds must be used for expenditures related to the design, construction, and acquisition of longwall mining equipment and infrastructure upgrades for the longwall mining equipment to enable the extraction of coal using longwall mining methods at Glennies Creek Mine, the joint venture owned 50% by CONSOL Energy Australia Pty Limited. Interest is paid quarterly at a rate of LIBOR plus 1.75%. The principal is payable in equal installments on March 31 and September 30 commencing March 31, 2006 and ending March 31, 2009. This debt was assumed by the purchaser of Glennies Creek Mine on February 25, 2004;

\$31 million in advance royalty commitments with an average interest rate of 8.723% per annum;

An aggregate principal amount of \$5 million of capital leases with an interest rate of 7.05% to 7.5% per annum; and

An aggregate principal amount of \$1 million of variable rate notes with a weighted average interest rate of 3.21% due at various dates ranging from 2004 through 2031.

At December 31, 2003, CONSOL Energy had an aggregate principal amount of \$65 million of borrowings and approximately \$12 million of letters of credit outstanding on the senior revolving credit facility. The senior revolving credit facility is secured by substantially all of our assets, provided that the proceeds of collateral constituting any mineral property or extraction plant, equipment or facility, which may be applied to the principal amount of obligations under the facility is limited to 10% of our consolidated net tangible assets. The senior revolving credit facility provides for an aggregate of \$267 million that may be used for letters of credit and borrowings for other corporate purposes. Interest is based at our option, upon the Prime (Base) Rate or London Interbank Offered Rates (LIBOR) plus a spread, which is dependent on our credit rating. The senior revolving credit facility has various covenants, including covenants that limit our ability to dispose of assets and merge with another corporation. We are also required to maintain a ratio of total consolidated indebtedness to twelve month trailing earnings before interest, taxes,

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

depreciation, depletion and amortization (EBITDA) of not more than 3.5 to 1.0, measured quarterly. This ratio was 2.73 to 1.0 at December 31, 2003. In addition, we are required to maintain a ratio of twelve months trailing EBITDA to interest expense and amortization of debt of no less than

Table of Contents

4.5 to 1.0, measured quarterly. This ratio was 6.87 to 1.0 at December 31, 2003. The senior revolving credit facility also has covenants restricting the level of annual capital expenditures to be made by CONSOL Energy. The capital expenditure limit is \$293.5 million, \$455.0 million and \$470.0 million for the twelve months ending December 31, 2003, 2004 and 2005, respectively. Capital expenditures were \$290.7 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2003.

A subsidiary of CONSOL Energy also had \$4 million aggregate principal amount of short-term debt outstanding under a working capital bank facility utilized by the joint venture operations at the Glennies Creek Mine in Australia. Drawings against this facility are made in Australian dollars and interest is based on the Australian Bank Bills Rate reset monthly. This debt was assumed by the purchaser of Glennies Creek mine on February 25, 2004.

Stockholders' Equity and Dividends

CONSOL Energy had stockholders' equity of \$291 million at December 31, 2003 and \$162 million at December 31, 2002. Stockholders' equity increased \$190 million in the twelve months ended December 31, 2003 due to the sale of 11,000,000 shares of common stock in a private placement. Stockholders' equity was reduced by \$5 million (net of \$3 million of deferred tax) in the twelve months ended December 31, 2003 due to Other Comprehensive Losses. These losses relate primarily to the recognition of minimum pension liability as a result of the negative return on plan assets for non-contributory defined benefit retirement plans. Comprehensive losses (gains) are generally calculated annually and reflect a number of factors including conditions in the stock markets and interest rates. Comprehensive losses have also been recognized for various miscellaneous cash flow hedges, an interest rate swap agreement and an interest rate lock agreement. These transactions were reflected as comprehensive losses and decreased stockholders' equity by approximately \$4 million. See consolidated statements of stockholders' equity and note 28 of the notes to consolidated financial statements.

CONSOL Energy paid ordinary cash dividends of \$46 million during the twelve months ended December 31, 2003, \$66 million during the twelve months ended December 31, 2002 and \$44 million during the six months ended December 31, 2001. The Board of Directors declared a dividend on January 30, 2004 of \$0.14 per share of common stock for shareholders of record on February 10, 2004, payable on February 27, 2004. The Board of Directors currently intends to pay quarterly dividends on the common stock. The declaration and payment of dividends by CONSOL Energy is subject to the discretion of the Board of Directors, and no assurance can be given that CONSOL Energy will pay such dividends or any additional dividends in the future. The determination as to the payment of dividends will depend upon, among other things, general business conditions, CONSOL Energy's financial results, contractual and legal restrictions regarding the payment of dividends by CONSOL Energy, the credit ratings of CONSOL Energy, planned investments by CONSOL Energy and such other factors as the Board of Directors deems relevant. Current outstanding indebtedness of CONSOL Energy does not restrict CONSOL Energy's ability to pay cash dividends, except that the credit facility would not permit dividend payments in the event of a default.

Off-Balance Sheet Transactions

CONSOL Energy does not maintain any off-balance sheet transactions, arrangements, obligations or other relationships with unconsolidated entities or others that are reasonably likely to have a material current or future effect on CONSOL Energy's condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources which are not disclosed in the notes to the consolidated financial statements included in this prospectus.

Inflation

Inflation in the United States has been relatively low in recent years and did not have a material impact on CONSOL Energy's results of operations for the twelve months ended December 31, 2003, twelve months ended December 31, 2002 and the six months ended December 31, 2001.

Table of Contents

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2003, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 46, *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities*, to expand upon and strengthen existing accounting guidance that addresses when we should include in our financial statements the assets, liabilities and activities of another entity. In general, a variable interest entity is a corporation, partnership, trust, or any other legal structure used for business purposes that either (a) does not have equity investors with voting rights or (b) has equity investors that do not provide sufficient financial resources for the entity to support its activities. Interpretation No. 46 requires a variable interest entity to be consolidated by a company if that company is subject to a majority of the risk of loss from the variable interest entities activities, is entitled to receive a majority of the variable interest entities residual returns, or both. The interpretation also requires disclosures about variable interest entities that we are not required to consolidate, but in which we have a significant variable interest. The consolidation requirements of Interpretation No. 46 applied immediately to variable interest entities created after January 31, 2003. Effective October 9, 2003, the FASB elected to defer the effective date until the first fiscal year or interim period that begins after December 15, 2003 for variable interest entities in which an enterprise is acquired before February 1, 2003. As of December 31, 2003, management believes that we do not have any variable interest entities, therefore, there is no impact from the adoption of this standard.

In May 2003, Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 150, *Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity* was issued and will be effective for CONSOL Energy for all financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003, and otherwise was effective for CONSOL Energy for the third quarter 2003. This statement affects the classification, measurement and disclosure requirements of certain freestanding financial instruments including mandatorily redeemable shares. As of December 31, 2003, CONSOL Energy does not hold any mandatorily redeemable freestanding financial instruments.

Effective December 31, 2003, CONSOL adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SAFS) No. 132, *Employers' Disclosure about Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits* an amendment of SFAB No. 87, 88 and 106. This standard requires additional disclosure about an employer's pension plans and postretirement benefit plans such as; the types of plan assets, investment strategy, measurement date, plan obligations, cash flows and components of net periodic benefit cost recognized during the interim periods. See note 19 to the consolidated financial statements included in this prospectus.

Table of Contents

Change in Accountants

Effective June 5, 2002, the Board of Directors of CONSOL Energy replaced the firm of Ernst & Young LLP as their independent auditor. Effective June 5, 2002, the Board of Directors of CONSOL Energy appointed the firm of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP to serve as their independent auditor. These actions were taken by the Board of Directors following the recommendation of the Audit Committee.

Ernst & Young LLP's report on the financial statements for CONSOL Energy for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001 did not contain any adverse opinion or disclaimer of opinion, and were not qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope or accounting principles.

During CONSOL Energy's two fiscal years ended December 31, 2001, prior to engaging PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, there were no disagreements between Ernst & Young LLP and CONSOL Energy on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosures, or auditing scope or procedures which, if not resolved to the satisfaction of Ernst & Young LLP, would have caused them to make reference to the subject matter of the disagreement(s) in connection with its report. There were also no reportable events as defined in Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or Regulation S-K during CONSOL Energy's two fiscal years ended December 31, 2001.

During CONSOL Energy's two fiscal years ended December 31, 2001, prior to engaging PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, CONSOL Energy did not consult PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP with respect to the application of accounting principles to a specified transaction, either completed or proposed; or with respect to the type of audit opinion that might be rendered on CONSOL Energy's consolidated financial statements; or with respect to any other matters or reportable events as set forth in Items 304(a)(2)(i) and (ii) of Regulation S-K.

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

In addition to the risks inherent in operations, CONSOL Energy is exposed to financial, market, political and economic risks. The following discussion provides additional detail regarding CONSOL Energy's exposure to the risks of changing natural gas prices, interest rates and foreign exchange rates.

CONSOL Energy is exposed to market price risk in the normal course of selling natural gas production and to a lesser extent in the sale of coal. CONSOL sells coal under both short-term and long-term contracts with fixed price and/or indexed price contracts that reflect market value. CONSOL Energy uses fixed-price contracts, collar-price contracts and derivative commodity instruments that qualify as cash-flow hedges under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133 to minimize exposure to market price volatility in the sale of natural gas. Our risk management policy strictly prohibits the use of derivatives for speculative positions.

CONSOL Energy has established risk management policies and procedures to strengthen the internal control environment of the marketing of commodities produced from its asset base. All of the derivative instruments are held for purposes other than trading. They are used primarily to mitigate uncertainty and volatility and cover underlying exposures. CONSOL Energy's market risk strategy incorporates fundamental risk management tools to assess market price risk and establish a framework in which management can maintain a portfolio of transactions within pre-defined risk parameters.

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

CONSOL Energy believes that the use of derivative instruments along with the risk assessment procedures and internal controls does not expose CONSOL Energy to material risk. The use of derivative instruments could materially affect CONSOL Energy's results of operations depending on interest rates, exchange rates or market prices. However, we believe that use of these instruments will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position or liquidity.

For a summary of accounting policies related to derivative instruments, see note 1 of notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Sensitivity analyses of the incremental effects on pre-tax income for the twelve months ended December 31, 2003 of a hypothetical 10 percent and 25 percent change in natural gas prices, foreign exchange and interest rates for open derivative instruments as of December 31, 2003 are provided in the following table:

	Incremental Decrease in Pre-tax Income Assuming a Hypothetical Price, Exchange Rate or Interest Rate Change of:	
	10%	25%
	(in millions)	
Natural Gas (a)	\$ 20.6	\$ 37.8
Foreign Currency (b)	\$ 1.1	\$ 2.7
Interest Rates (c)	\$ 0.3	\$ 0.7

- (a) CONSOL Energy remains at risk for possible changes in the market value of these derivative instruments; however, such risk should be mitigated by price changes in the underlying hedged item. The effect of this offset is not reflected in the sensitivity analyses. CONSOL Energy entered into derivative instruments to convert the market prices related to portions of the 2003 through 2005 anticipated sales of natural gas to fixed prices. The sensitivity analysis reflects an inverse relationship between increases in commodity prices and a benefit to earnings. When commodity prices increase, pretax income decreases. The fair value of these contracts was a loss of \$5.4 million (net of \$3.5 million deferred tax). We continually evaluate the portfolio of derivative commodity instruments and adjust the strategy to anticipated market conditions and risks accordingly.
- (b) CONSOL Energy uses foreign currency contracts to fix the costs of anticipated Australian dollar capital expenditures. The U.S. dollar notional amount of all foreign currency contracts was \$29 million as of December 31, 2003. The sensitivity analysis reflects a direct correlation between increases in foreign currency exchange rates relative to the U.S. dollar and a benefit to earnings. When foreign currency exchange rates increase relative to the U.S. dollar, pre-tax income increases. The fair value of these contracts resulted in \$4.9 million of income in the 2003 period.
- (c) CONSOL Energy uses interest rate swaps to hedge the interest rate risk exposure of forecasted interest payments on CONSOL Energy Australia Pty Ltd's, one of CONSOL Energy's subsidiaries, outstanding variable rate debt. These agreements effectively convert variable rate debt into fixed rate debt. The fair value of these contracts was a loss of \$0.8 million (net of \$0.5 million deferred tax). The use of these contracts is monitored by CONSOL Energy's executive management and treasury group.

CONSOL Energy is exposed to credit risk in the event of nonperformance by counterparties. The credit worthiness of counterparties is subject to continuing review.

CONSOL Energy's interest expense is sensitive to changes in the general level of interest rates in the United States. At December 31, 2003, CONSOL Energy had outstanding \$495 million aggregate principal amount of debt under fixed-rate instruments and \$69 million aggregate principal amount of debt under variable-rate instruments. CONSOL Energy's primary exposure to market risk for changes in interest rates relates to its commercial paper program in which CONSOL Energy was unable to participate after July 2003, and its senior revolving credit facility. At December 31, 2003, CONSOL Energy had no commercial paper outstanding and had an aggregate of \$65 million outstanding on the senior revolving credit facility. CONSOL Energy's commercial paper and senior revolving facility bore interest at a weighted average rate of 2.3% during the twelve months ended December 31, 2003. At December 31, 2002, CONSOL Energy had an aggregate of \$203 million in commercial paper outstanding. CONSOL Energy's commercial paper bore interest at an average rate of 2.1% during the twelve months ended December 31, 2002. A 100 basis-point increase in the average rate for CONSOL Energy's commercial paper and senior revolving facility would have decreased the twelve months ended December 31, 2003 net income by approximately \$0.5 million. A 100 basis-point increase in the average rate for CONSOL Energy's commercial paper would have decreased CONSOL Energy's twelve months ended December 31, 2002 net income by approximately \$1.8 million. The fair value of CONSOL Energy's financial instruments is set forth in note 27 and note 28 of the notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Almost all of CONSOL Energy's transactions are denominated in U.S. dollars, and, as a result, it does not have material exposure to currency exchange-rate risks. CONSOL Energy uses foreign currency contracts to fix the costs of anticipated Australian dollar capital expenditures. CONSOL Energy does not have a material exposure to currency exchange-rate risks other than for these foreign currency contracts.

Table of Contents

BUSINESS

CONSOL Energy's History

We are a multi-fuel energy producer and energy services provider that primarily serves the electric power generation industry in the United States. That industry generates approximately two-thirds of its output by burning coal or gas, the two fuels we produce. At December 31, 2003, we produce high-Btu bituminous coal from 20 mining complexes in the United States and Australia. We also produce pipeline-quality coalbed methane gas from our coal properties in Pennsylvania, Virginia and West Virginia and conventional gas from our properties in Tennessee and Virginia.

Recent Events

CONSOL Energy incurred a loss before income taxes and before effect of change in accounting principle of \$34 million, recognized income tax benefits of \$21 million, and recognized a \$5 million income adjustment for the effect of change in accounting for mine closing, reclamation and gas well closing costs, resulting in a net loss of \$8 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2003. CONSOL Energy incurred a loss before income taxes of \$40 million and recognized income tax benefits of \$52 million, resulting in net income of \$12 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2002.

Total coal sales for the twelve months ended December 31, 2003 were 64.0 million tons, including our portion of sales by equity affiliates, of which 61.5 million tons sold were produced by CONSOL Energy operations, by our equity affiliates or sold from inventory of our produced coal, including coal sold from inventories and produced by equity affiliates. This compares with total coal sales of 67.3 million tons for the twelve months ended December 31, 2002, of which 64.8 million tons sold were produced by CONSOL Energy operations or sold from inventory of our produced coal, including coal sold from inventories and produced by equity affiliates. The decrease in tons sold primarily is related to lower our coal production in the period-to-period comparison.

CONSOL Energy produced 60.4 million tons, including our portion of production at equity affiliates in the 2003 period compared to 66.2 million tons, including our portion of production at equity affiliates in the 2002 period. The decrease in tons produced is primarily due to the closure of the Dilworth, Humphrey and Windsor mines, where economically mineable reserves were depleted in the last quarter of 2002. The decrease was also attributable to the sale of the assets at the Cardinal River and Line Creek mines in February 2003 and the idling of the Rend Lake mine in 2002 due to market conditions. Coal inventories, including our portion of inventories at equity affiliates, were 1.4 million tons at December 31, 2003 compared to 3.0 million tons at December 31, 2002.

Sales of coalbed methane gas, including our share of the sales from equity affiliates were 50.0 billion gross cubic feet in the 2003 period compared to 46.6 billion gross cubic feet in the 2002 period. The increased sales volume is primarily due to higher production volumes as a result of our on going drilling program. Our average sales price for coalbed methane gas, including our portion of sales from equity affiliates, was \$4.16 per thousand cubic feet in the 2003 period compared to \$3.17 per thousand cubic feet in the 2002 period. The increase in average sales price was driven by concerns for levels of natural gas in storage at the beginning of the year, and by concerns over intermediate-term supplies of gas in the United States.

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

In December 2003, CONSOL Energy adopted a shareholder rights plan designed to ensure that all shareholders receive fair value for their common shares in the event of a proposed takeover and to guard against the use of partial tender offers or other coercive tactics to gain control of CONSOL Energy without offering fair value to CONSOL Energy shareholders.

In December 2003, Standard and Poor's lowered CONSOL Energy's rating of our long-term debt to BB (13th lowest out of 22 rating categories). Standard and Poor's defines an obligation rated BB as less vulnerable

Table of Contents

to nonpayment than other speculative issues. However, the rating indicates that an obligor faces major ongoing uncertainties or exposure to adverse business, financial, or economic conditions, which could lead to the obligor's inadequate capacity to meet its financial commitment on the obligation. The negative sign shows relative standing within the rating category. At the same time, Standard and Poor's placed CONSOL Energy's senior unsecured debt rating on CreditWatch with negative implications.

In December 2003, Moody's Investor Service lowered its rating of CONSOL Energy's long-term debt from Ba1 to Ba3 (13th lowest out of 21 rating categories). The rating remains under review for possible further downgrade. Bonds which are rated Ba are considered to have speculative elements; their future cannot be considered as well-assured. Often the protection of interest and principal payments may be very moderate, and thereby not well safeguarded during both good and bad times over the future. Uncertainty of position characterizes bonds in this class. The modifier 3 indicates that the obligation ranks in the lower end of its generic rating category.

A security rating is not a recommendation by a rating agency to buy, sell or hold securities. The security rating may be subject to change.

In January, 2004, CONSOL Energy announced that it intended to sell the stock in its wholly owned subsidiary CNX Australia Pty Limited to certain affiliates of AMCI, Inc. for \$27.5 million, the assumption of approximately \$21 million of debt, and associated interest rate swaps and foreign currency hedges. CNX Australia Pty Limited, through its wholly owned subsidiary CONSOL Energy Australia Pty Limited, owns a 50% interest in the Glennies Creek Mine in New South Wales, Australia with its joint venture partner Maitland Main Collieries Pty Limited, an affiliate of AMCI, Inc. Agreements were finalized on February 25, 2004 and are expected to result in a pre-tax gain of approximately \$13 million.

In January 2004, a Special Committee of the Board of Directors of CONSOL Energy completed its investigation of allegations against certain directors and officers of CONSOL Energy contained in an anonymous letter sent to the United States Securities and Exchange Commission. The Special Committee found no evidence of fraud or malfeasance and no evidence to suggest that CONSOL Energy's publicly issued financial statements were incorrect.

In January 2004, CONSOL Energy's Board of Directors elected three new independent members to the Board. They were: William E. Davis, a power industry executive; William P. Powell, an investment banker; and Joseph T. Williams, a former oil and gas industry executive. In February 2004, CONSOL Energy's Board of Directors elected Raj Gupta, a former oil and gas industry executive, as an independent member of the Board.

Loveridge Mine began full production in the beginning of March 2004. Loveridge Mine experienced a fire in February 2003 that delayed the development of a new underground area that was originally to begin production in 2003.

In February 2004, CONSOL Energy's former majority shareholder, RWE A.G., closed on a previously announced private placement sale of its remaining 16.6 million shares of CONSOL Energy common stock. On September 23 and 24, 2003, RWE closed on a previously announced sale of 14.1 million shares of CONSOL Energy common stock. On the same dates, CONSOL Energy closed on a previously announced sale of 11.0 million primary shares of its common stock, increasing the total shares of common stock outstanding to 89.8 million and reduced RWE's initial majority interest from 73.6% to 48.9%. On October 9, 2003, RWE closed on the sale of 27.3 million shares of CONSOL Energy common stock. That sale reduced RWE's ownership to 16.6 million shares, or 18.5%.

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

In February 2004, as a result of the sale of the remaining shares of CONSOL Energy common stock held by RWE AG and pursuant to the terms of the Placement Agreement, dated September 18, 2003, by and among CONSOL Energy, Friedman, Billings, Ramsey & Co., Inc. and RWE Rheinbraun AG, the remaining two

Table of Contents

directors representing RWE AG, Berthold Bonekamp and Dr. Rolf Zimmerman, resigned from the CONSOL Energy Board of Directors. Also in February 2004, Raj K. Gupta, a former oil and gas industry executive, was elected to the board of directors of CONSOL Energy. He will serve until the next election of directors at the annual meeting of shareholders.

Industry Segments

CONSOL Energy has two principle business units: Coal and Gas. The principal activities of the Coal unit are mining, preparation and marketing of steam coal, sold primarily to power generators, and metallurgical coal, sold to steel and coke producers. The Coal unit includes four reportable segments. These reportable segments are Northern Appalachian, Central Appalachian, Metallurgical and Other Coal. Each of these reportable segments includes a number of operating segments (mines). For the year ended December 31, 2003, the Northern Appalachian aggregated segment includes the following mines: Shoemaker, Blacksville #2, Robinson Run, McElroy, Bailey, Enlow Fork and Mine 84. For the year ended December 31, 2003, the Central Appalachian aggregated segment includes the following mines: Jones Fork, Mill Creek and Wiley-Mill Creek. For the year ended December 31, 2003, the Metallurgical aggregated segment includes the following mines: Buchanan, Amonate and V.P. #8. The Other Coal segment includes our purchased coal activities, idled mine cost, coal segment business units not meeting aggregation criteria as well as various activities assigned to the coal segment but not allocated to each individual mine. The principal activity of the Gas unit is to produce pipeline quality methane gas for sale primarily to gas wholesalers. Financial information concerning industry segments, as defined by generally accepted accounting principles, for the twelve months ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, the six months ended December 31, 2001, and the fiscal year ended June 30, 2001 is included in note 30 of notes to consolidated financial statements.

Coal Operations

Mining Complexes

At December 31, 2003, CONSOL Energy had 20 mining complexes located in the United States and Australia, including a 50% interest in the Glennies Creek mine located in Australia. In January 2004, CONSOL Energy announced that it intended to sell the stock in its wholly owned subsidiary CNX Australia Pty Limited to certain affiliates of AMCI, Inc. for \$27.5 million, the assumption of approximately \$21 million of debt, and associated interest rate swaps and foreign currency hedges. CNX Australia Pty Limited, through its wholly owned subsidiary CONSOL Energy Australia Pty Limited, owns a 50% interest in the Glennies Creek Mine in New South Wales, Australia with its joint venture partner Maitland Main Collieries Pty Limited, an affiliate of AMCI, Inc. Agreements were finalized on February 25, 2004 and are expected to result in a pre-tax gain of approximately \$13 million.

Table of Contents

The following map provides the location of CONSOL Energy's operations by region:

Table of Contents

The following table provides the location of each of CONSOL Energy's mining complexes at December 31, 2003 and 2002, the amount of coal reserves and a summary of the characteristics of the assigned and accessible coal reserves associated with each of its mining complexes. In February 2003, we sold our Cardinal River and Line Creek mines.

CONSOL ENERGY MINING COMPLEXES**Average Quality and Recoverable Reserves**

Mine/Reserve	Location	Reserve Class	Coal Seam	Average Coal Quality (As-Received) (1)							Recoverable Reserves (12/31/03) (2)		Recoverable Reserves (tons in millions) 12/31/2002
				Average Seam Thickness (feet)	Moisture (%)	Sulfur (%)	Heat Value (Btu/lb)	Owned (%)	Leased (%)	Tons (in millions)			
ASSIGNED OPERATING													
Northern Appalachia													
Enlow Fork	Enon, PA	Assigned	Pittsburgh	4.94	6.0	1.63	13,267	67%	33%	58.3	68.2		
		Accessible	Pittsburgh	5.40	6.0	1.92	13,219	81%	19%	165.5	165.5		
Bailey	Enon, PA	Assigned	Pittsburgh	5.64	6.0	2.00	13,223	11%	89%	96.1	93.1		
		Accessible	Pittsburgh	5.75	6.0	2.47	13,176	49%	51%	142.6	74.6		
Mine 84	Eighty Four, PA	Assigned	Pittsburgh	5.61	6.0	1.49	13,394	62%	38%	49.3	53.3		
		Accessible	Pittsburgh	5.38	6.0	1.94	13,324	88%	12%	58.5	58.5		
McElroy	Glen Easton, WV	Assigned	Pittsburgh	5.83	5.7	3.03	13,166	100%	0%	174.5	177.0		
		Shoemaker	Moundsville, WV	Assigned	Pittsburgh	5.54	7.3	3.40	12,864	100%	0%	45.2	70.0
Loveridge	Fairview, WV	Assigned	Pittsburgh	7.91	5.4	2.27	13,215	100%	0%	13.0	13.3		
		Accessible	Pittsburgh	7.39	5.5	2.81	13,347	100%	0%	93.9	107.0		
Robinson Run	Shinnston, WV	Assigned	Pittsburgh	7.16	6.0	3.16	13,278	69%	31%	28.4	34.0		
		Accessible	Pittsburgh	6.90	6.7	3.19	13,158	32%	68%	113.6	125.8		
Blacksville 2	Wana, WV	Assigned	Pittsburgh	6.65	6.0	2.53	13,315	100%	0%	34.5	40.0		
		Accessible	Pittsburgh	6.83	5.6	2.45	13,360	98%	2%	60.8	120.3		
Mahoning Valley	Cadiz, OH	Assigned	Pittsburgh	4.34	6.7	2.08	11,517	100%	0%	5.2	1.4		
Central Appalachia													
Buchanan	Mavisdale, VA	Assigned	Pocahontas 3	5.66	6.3	0.68	14,057	7%	93%	43.8	42.5		
		Accessible	Pocahontas 3	6.09	6.3	0.65	14,006	8%	92%	81.6	94.5		
VP-3	Vansant, VA	Assigned	Pocahontas 3	4.63	6.6	0.73	14,097	0%	100%	7.8	7.9		
VP-8	Rowe, VA	Assigned	Pocahontas 3	5.24	9.0	0.77	13,581	2%	98%	2.4	6.5		
Mill Creek Complex	Deane, KY	Assigned	Multiple	3.70	6.5	1.29	13,298	94%	6%	20.2	8.5		
		Accessible	Multiple	4.42	5.5	1.18	12,261	100%	0%	0.7	17.7		
Jones Fork Complex	Mousie, KY	Assigned	Multiple	3.57	7.0	1.00	12,925	37%	63%	34.5	15.7		
		Accessible	Multiple	3.48	7.2	.95	12,673	61%	39%	4.9	26.7		
Amonate Complex	Amonate, VA	Assigned	Multiple	3.35	6.7	0.71	13,072	24%	76%	9.4	7.8		
Elk Creek Complex	Emmett, WV		Multiple								10.8		

Table of Contents

Mine/Reserve	Location	Reserve Class	Coal Seam	Average Coal Quality				Recoverable Reserves			Recoverable Reserves (tons in millions) 12/31/2002
				Average Seam Thickness (feet)	(As-Received) (1)			(12/31/03) (2)			
					Moisture (%)	Sulfur (%)	Heat Value (Btu/lb)	Owned (%)	Leased (%)	Tons (in millions)	
Illinois Basin											
Rend Lake	Sesser, IL	Assigned	Illinois 6	6.77	11.8	1.21	12,149	12%	88%	21.3	21.3
		Accessible	Illinois 6	5.99	11.8	1.47	12,082	87%	13%	33.7	33.7
Ohio 11	Morganfield, KY	Assigned	Kentucky 11	4.44	11.6	2.87	11,877	0%	100%	8.3	8.3
		Accessible	Kentucky 11	4.44	11.5	2.88	11,890	0%	100%	2.2	2.2
Western U.S.											
Emery	Emery Co., UT	Assigned	Ferron I	7.50	7.0	0.73	11,803	80%	20%	21.5	21.7
		Accessible	Ferron A	8.82	7.0	0.93	11,683	47%	53%	12.3	12.3
Australia (New South Wales) (3)											
Glennies Creek	Hunter Valley, NSW	Assigned	Middle Liddel	7.68	7.0	0.45	12,778	0%	100%	9.6	10.2
Total Assigned Operating											
Assets Sold in February, 2003											
Cardinal River	Hinton, AL	Assigned	Jewell								0.7
Line Creek	Sparwood, BC	Assigned	Multiple								30.7
Total Assigned Operating and Accessible										1,458.8	1,597.3

- (1) We show average coal quality as it is received by the customer, including our estimation of the amount of moisture in the coal when shipped. The average coal quality we report may be based either on a processed, or washed, basis, or a non-processed, or raw, basis, depending upon the most generally intended market for the coal. Because out-of-seam dilution is not considered in our reserve calculation or because the diluting rock is assumed to be removed during processing, we do not include out-of-seam dilution adjustments to the quality values that we report.
- (2) We calculate our proven and probable reserve tons by identifying the area in which mineable coal exists, the thickness of the coal seam or seams we control and average coal density as reported by our laboratory based on core samples we receive from our field drilling. We then adjust the reserve calculation to account for the amount of coal that our experience indicates will not be recovered during the mining process and for losses that occur if the coal is processed after it is mined. Our reserve calculations do not include an adjustment for any moisture that may be added to the coal during mining or processing commonly referred to as excess moisture nor do the calculations generally include adjustments for dilution from rock lying immediately above or below the coal seam referred to as out-of-seam dilution that may be extracted during the mining process. Where out-of-seam dilution is included, we adjust the expected recovery of coal from the processing plant to remove the effect of dilution from the reserve calculation.
- (3) Reported reserves represent our 50% interest in the Glennies Creek Mine, which was sold on February 25, 2004.

Table of Contents

Excluded from the table above are approximately 112.5 million tons of reserves at December 31, 2003 that are assigned to projects that have not produced coal in 2003 or 2002. These assigned reserves are in the Northern Appalachia (Pennsylvania, Ohio and northern West Virginia) and Central Appalachia (Virginia, southern West Virginia and Eastern Kentucky) regions. These reserves are approximately 84% owned and 16% leased. Average quality on an as-received basis range from 5.4% to 7.0% moisture content, 0.54% to 4.05% sulfur content and 12,568 to 13,778 heat value (British thermal units per pound).

CONSOL Energy assigns coal reserves to each of its mining complexes. The amount of coal we assign to a mining complex generally is sufficient to support mining through the duration of its current mining permit. Under federal law, we must renew our mining permits every five years.

In addition, our mining complexes may have access to additional reserves that have not yet been assigned. We refer to these reserves as accessible. Accessible reserves are proven and probable unassigned reserves that can be accessed by an existing mining complex, utilizing the existing infrastructure of the complex to mine and to process the coal in this area. Mining an accessible reserve does not require additional capital spending beyond that required to extend or to continue the normal progression of the mine, such as the sinking of airshafts or the construction of portal facilities.

Some reserves may be accessible by more than one mining complex because of the proximity of many of our mining complexes to one another. In the table above, the accessible reserves indicated for a mining complex is based on our review of current mining plans and reflects our best judgment as to which mining complex is most likely to utilize the reserve.

Assigned and unassigned coal reserves are proven and probable reserves which are either owned in fee or leased. The leases have terms extending up to 30 years and generally provide for renewal through the anticipated life of the associated mine. These renewals are exercisable by the payment of minimum royalties. Under current mining plans all reported reserves will be mined out within the period of existing leases or within the time period of assured lease renewal periods.

At December 31, 2003, the Loveridge Mine was in development and is scheduled to begin production in early March 2004. At December 31, 2003, Rend Lake, Emery, Elk Creek, VP-3 and Ohio 11 complexes were idle. These mines are anticipated to remain idle until market conditions support reopening. In February 2003, we sold our Cardinal River and Line Creek Mines in western Canada. During 2002, CONSOL Energy ceased production at the Dilworth, Humphrey, Meigs, Muskingum and Windsor Mines due to the depletion of economically recoverable reserves.

Coal Reserves

At December 31, 2003, CONSOL Energy had an estimated 4.2 billion tons of proven and probable reserves. Reserves are the portion of the proven and probable tonnage that meet CONSOL Energy's economic criteria regarding mining height, preparation plant recovery, depth of overburden and stripping ratio. Generally, these reserves would be commercially mineable at year-end price and cost levels.

Proven reserves are reserves for which:

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

(a) quantity is computed from dimensions revealed in outcrops, trenches, workings or drill holes; grade and/or quality are computed from the results of detailed sampling; and

(b) the sites for inspection, sampling and measurement are spaced so closely and the geologic character is so well defined that size, shape, depth and mineral content of reserves are well-established.

Consol Energy's calculations of proven reserves generally do not rely on isolated points of observation. Small pods of measured reserves are not considered; continuity of observation points over a large area is

Table of Contents

necessary for proven status. Our estimates for proven reserves have the highest degree of geologic assurance. Estimates of rank, quality and quantity for these reserves have been computed from points of observation which are equal to or less than one half mile apart, except for our properties within the Pittsburgh 8 seam for which points of observation are 3,000 feet or less apart because of the well known continuity of that seam. The sites for measuring thickness of proven reserves are so closely spaced, and the geologic character is so well defined, that the average thickness, area, extent, size, shape and depth of coalbeds are well established.

Our reserve estimates are predicated on information obtained from our ongoing exploration drilling and in-mine channel sampling programs. Data including elevation, thickness, and, where samples are available, the quality of the coal from individual drill holes and channel samples are input into a computerized geological database. The information derived from the geological database is then combined with data on ownership or control of the mineral and surface interests to determine the extent of the reserves in a given area.

Probable reserves are reserves for which quantity and grade and/or quality are computed from information similar to that used for proven reserves, but for which the sites for inspection, sampling and measurement are farther apart or are otherwise less adequately spaced. The degree of assurance, although lower than that for proven reserves, is high enough to assume continuity between points of observation. Estimates for probable coal reserves have a moderate degree of geologic assurance and have been computed by us from points of observation which are between 0.5 and 1.5 miles apart, except for our properties within the Pittsburgh 8 seam for which points of observation are 3,000 feet or less because of the well known continuity of that seam. The sites for measuring thickness of proven reserves are so closely spaced, and the geologic character is so well defined, that the average thickness, area, extent, size, shape and depth of coalbeds are well established.

Information with respect to proven and probable coal reserves has been determined by CONSOL Energy's geologists and mining engineers and has not been reviewed by independent experts.

Drill hole spacing for confidence levels in reserve calculations is based on guidelines in U.S. Geological Survey Circular 891 (Coal Resource Classification System of the U.S. Geological Survey), except for our properties within the Pittsburgh 8 seam for which points of observation are 3,000 feet or less because of the well-known continuity of that seam. The sites for measuring thickness of proven reserves are so closely spaced, and the geologic character is so well defined, that the average thickness, area, extent, size, shape and depth of coalbeds are well established.

CONSOL Energy's coals fall within the range of commercially marketed coals in the United States. The marketability of coal depends on its value-in-use for a particular application, and this is affected by coal quality, including, for example, sulfur content, ash and heating value. Modern power plant boiler design aspects can compensate for coal quality differences that occur. Therefore, any of CONSOL Energy's coals can be marketed for power generation.

All mining reserves have their required permits or governmental approvals, or there is a very high probability that these approvals will be secured.

CONSOL Energy's reserves are located in northern Appalachia (54%), central Appalachia (10%), the mid-western United States (21%), the western United States (11%), and in western Canada and Australia (4%) at December 31, 2003.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth our unassigned proven and probable reserves by region:

CONSOL Energy UNASSIGNED Recoverable Coal Reserves as of 12/31/03

Coal Producing Region	Range of Average Product Quality (As-Received) (1)						Recoverable Reserves 12/31/03 (2)			Recoverable Reserves (tons in millions) 12/31/2002
	Moisture		Sulfur		Heat Value		Owned	Leased	Tons	
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(Btu/lb)	(Btu/lb)	(%)	(%)	(in millions)	
Northern Appalachia (Pennsylvania, Ohio, Northern West Virginia)	4.5	8.5	0.69	3.70	10,362	13,514	90	10	1,032.7	842.6
Central Appalachia (Virginia, Southern West Virginia, Eastern Kentucky)	6.3	7.2	0.51	1.11	12,186	14,215	55	45	167.3	176.0
Illinois Basin (Illinois, Western Kentucky, Indiana)	11.3	12.0	0.77	2.89	11,481	12,106	33	67	817.7	824.4
Western U.S. (Montana, Wyoming, Utah)	23.7	28.0	0.19	0.45	8,563	9,404	58	42	439.4	439.4
Western Canada (Alberta)		8.0	0.42	0.51	12,419	12,911		100	129.1	159.9
Total							60	40	2,586.2	2,442.3

- (1) We show coal quality as it is received by the customer, including our estimation of the amount of moisture in the coal when shipped. The coal quality we report may be based either on a processed, or washed, basis, or a non-processed, or raw, basis, depending upon the most generally intended market for the coal. Because out-of-seam dilution is not considered in our reserve calculation or because the diluting rock is assumed to be removed during processing, we do not include out-of-seam dilution adjustments to the quality values that we report.
- (2) We calculate our reserve tons by identifying the area in which mineable coal exists, the thickness of the coal seam or seams we control and average coal density as reported by our laboratory based on core samples we receive from our field drilling. We then adjust the reserve calculation to account for the amount of coal that our experience indicates will not be recovered during the mining process and for losses that occur if the coal is processed after it is mined. Our reserve calculations do not include an adjustment for any moisture that may be added to the coal during mining or processing commonly referred to as excess moisture nor do the calculations generally include adjustments for dilution from rock lying immediately above or below the coal seam referred to as out-of-seam dilution that may be extracted during the mining process. Where out-of-seam dilution is included, we adjust the expected recovery of coal from the processing plant to remove the effect of dilution from the reserve calculation.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes our proven and probable reserves as of December 31, 2003 by region and type of coal or sulfur content (sulfur content per million British thermal unit). Proven and probable reserves include both assigned and unassigned reserves. Amounts for unassigned reserves are net amounts based on various recovery rates reflecting CONSOL Energy's experience in recovering coal from seams. In reporting unassigned reserves, CONSOL Energy has assumed approximately 60% recovery of in-place coal for reserves that can be mined using the longwall method, approximately 50% recovery of in-place coal for reserves that will be mined using other underground methods and approximately 90% recovery for surface mines.

The table classifies bituminous coal as high volatile A, B and C. High volatile A, B and C bituminous coals are classified on the basis of heat value. The table also classifies bituminous coals as medium and low volatile which are classified on the basis of fixed carbon and volatile matter. Coal is ranked by the degree of alteration it has undergone since the initial deposition of the organic material. The lowest ranked coal, lignite, has undergone less transformation than the highest ranked coal, anthracite. From the lowest to the highest rank, the coals are: lignite; sub-bituminous; bituminous and anthracite. The ranking is determined by measuring the fixed carbon to volatile matter ratio and the heat content of the coal. As rank increases, the amount of fixed carbon increases, volatile matter decreases, and heat content increases. Bituminous coals are further characterized by the amount of volatile matter present. Bituminous coals with high volatile matter content are also ranked. High volatile A bituminous coals have a higher heat content than high volatile C bituminous coals. These characterizations of coal allow a user to predict the behavior of a coal when burned in a boiler to produce heat or when it is heated in the absence of oxygen to produce coke for steel production.

Table of Contents**CONSOL ENERGY PROVEN AND PROBABLE RECOVERABLE COAL RESERVES****BY PRODUCING REGION AND PRODUCT (IN MILLIONS OF TONS) AS OF****DECEMBER 31, 2003**

By Region	≤ 1.20 lbs			> 1.20 < 2.50 lbs			> 2.50 lbs			Total	Percentage By Region
	S02/MMBtu			S02/MMBtu			S02/MMBtu				
	Low	Med	High	Low	Med	High	Low	Med	High		
	Btu	Btu	Btu	Btu	Btu	Btu	Btu	Btu	Btu		
Northern Appalachia:											
Metallurgical:											
High Vol A Bituminous						187.2				187.2	4.5%
Steam:											
High Vol A Bituminous		49.4			10.0	107.7	38.5	50.4	1,789.5	2,045.5	49.2%
Low Vol Bituminous						15.9				15.9	0.4%
Region Total		49.4			10.0	310.8	38.5	50.4	1,789.5	2,248.6	54.1%
Central Appalachia:											
Metallurgical:											
High Vol A Bituminous		7.3	18.6			2.1				28.0	0.7%
Med Vol Bituminous	1.1	2.1	70.5							73.7	1.8%
Low Vol Bituminous			147.0	2.3						149.3	3.6%
Steam:											
High Vol A Bituminous	20.5	24.7	10.0	33.7	4.0	54.5			15.4	162.8	3.9%
Region Total	21.6	34.1	246.1	36.0	4.0	56.6			15.4	413.8	10.0%
Midwest Illinois Basin:											
Steam:											
High Vol B Bituminous					68.5	55.0	36.6	437.4	35.6	633.1	15.2%
High Vol C Bituminous					158.1		92.0			250.1	6.0%
Region Total					226.6	55.0	128.6	437.4	35.6	883.2	21.2%
Northern Powder River Basin:											
Steam:											
Subbituminous B			252.8							252.8	6.1%
Subbituminous C		186.6								186.6	4.5%
Region Total		186.6	252.8							439.4	10.6%
Utah Emery Field:											
High Vol B Bituminous					33.8					33.8	0.8%
Region Total					33.8					33.8	0.8%
Western Canada:											
Metallurgical:											
Med Vol Bituminous	18.7	86.1								104.8	2.5%
Low Vol Bituminous	22.5	1.8								24.3	0.6%
Region Total	41.2	87.9								129.1	3.1%
Hunter Valley, Australia (1)											
Metallurgical:											
High Vol A Bituminous		9.6								9.6	0.2%

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

Region Total	9.6										9.6	0.2%
Total Company	62.8	367.6	498.9	36.0	274.4	422.4	167.1	487.8	1,840.5	4,157.5	100.0%	
Percent of Total	1.5%	8.8%	12.0%	0.9%	6.6%	10.2%	4.0%	11.7%	44.3%	100.0%		

(1) Reported reserves represent our 50% interest in the Glennies Creek Mine, which was sold on February 25, 2004.

Table of Contents**CONSOL ENERGY PROVEN AND PROBABLE COAL RECOVERABLE RESERVES****BY PRODUCT (000 TONS) AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2003**

The following table classifies bituminous coal as high volatile A, B and C. High volatile A, B and C bituminous coals are classified on the basis of heat value. The table also classifies bituminous coals as medium and low volatile which are classified on the basis of fixed carbon and volatile matter.

By Product	≤ 1.20 lbs			> 1.20 < 2.50 lbs			≥ 2.50 lbs			Total	Percentage By Product
	S02/MMBtu			S02/MMBtu			S02/MMBtu				
	Low Btu	Med Btu	High Btu	Low Btu	Med Btu	High Btu	Low Btu	Med Btu	High Btu		
Metallurgical:											
High Vol A Bituminous		16.9	18.6			189.3				224.8	5.4%
Med Vol Bituminous	19.8	88.2	70.5							178.5	4.3%
Low Vol Bituminous	22.5	1.8	147.0	2.3						173.6	4.2%
Total Metallurgical	42.3	106.9	236.1	2.3		189.3				576.9	13.9%
Steam:											
High Vol A Bituminous	20.5	74.1	10.0	33.7	14.0	162.2	38.5	50.4	1,804.9	2,208.3	53.1%
High Vol B Bituminous					102.3	55.0	36.6	437.4	35.6	666.9	16.0%
High Vol C Bituminous					158.1		92.0			250.1	6.0%
Low Vol Bituminous						15.9				15.9	0.4%
Subbituminous B			252.8							252.8	6.1%
Subbituminous C		186.6								186.6	4.5%
Total Steam	20.5	260.7	262.8	33.7	274.4	233.1	167.1	487.8	1,840.5	3,580.6	86.1%
Total	62.8	367.6	498.9	36.0	274.4	422.4	167.1	487.8	1,840.5	4,157.5	100.0%
Percent of Total	1.5%	8.8%	12.0%	0.9%	6.6%	10.2%	4.0%	11.7%	44.3%	100.00%	

The following table categorizes the relative Btu values (low, medium and high) for each of CONSOL Energy's producing regions in Btus per pound of coal.

Region	Low	Medium	High
--------	-----	--------	------

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

Northern, Central Appalachia, Canada and Australia	< 12,500	12,500	13,000	> 13,000
Midwest	< 11,600	11,600	12,000	> 12,000
Northern Powder River Basin	< 8,400	8,400	8,800	> 8,800
Colorado and Utah	< 11,000	11,000	12,000	> 12,000

CONSOL Energy's reserve estimates are based on geological, engineering and market data assembled and analyzed by our staff of geologists and engineers located at individual mines, operations offices and at its principal office. The reserve estimates and general economic criteria upon which they are based are reviewed and adjusted annually to reflect production of coal from the reserves, analysis of new engineering and geological data, changes in property control, modification of mining methods and other factors. Reserve information, including the quantity and quality of reserves, coal and surface ownership, lease payments and other information relating to CONSOL Energy's coal reserve and land holdings, is maintained through a system of interrelated computerized databases developed by CONSOL Energy.

CONSOL Energy's reserve estimates are predicated on information obtained from its ongoing exploration drilling and in-mine channel sampling programs. Data including elevation, thickness, where samples are available, the quality of the coal from individual drill holes and channel samples are input into a computerized geological database. The information derived from the geological database is then combined with data on ownership or control of the mineral and surface interests to determine the extent of the reserves in a given area. None of our coal reserves have been reviewed by independent experts.

Table of Contents

Compliance Compared to Non-Compliance Coal

Coals are sometimes characterized as compliance or non-compliance coal. The phrase compliance coal, as it is commonly used in the coal industry, refers to compliance only with sulfur dioxide emissions standards and indicates that when burned, the coal will produce emissions that will meet the current standard without further cleanup. A coal considered a compliance coal for meeting sulfur dioxide standards may not meet an emission standard for a different pollutant such as mercury. Moreover, the term compliance coal is always used with reference to the then current regulatory limit. If the regulatory limit for sulfur dioxide is made more restrictive, it is likely to reduce significantly the amount of coal that can be labeled compliance. Currently, a compliance coal will meet the power plant emission standard of 1.2 pounds of sulfur dioxide per million British thermal units of fuel consumed. At December 31, 2003, 0.9 billion tons, or 22%, of our coal reserves met the current standard as a compliance coal. It is possible that no coal would be considered compliance if emission standards were restricted to a level that requires emissions-control technology to be used regardless of the sulfur content of the coal.

As a result of a 1998 court decision forcing the establishment of mercury emissions for power plants, the Environmental Protection Agency, on January 30, 2004, proposed a new regulatory program for controlling mercury. CONSOL Energy coals have mercury contents typical for their rank and location (approximately 0.05-0.1 parts mercury per million British thermal unit). Because most CONSOL Energy coals have high heating values, they have lower mercury contents (on a pound per British thermal unit basis) than lower rank coals at a given mercury concentration. Eastern bituminous coals tend to produce a greater proportion of flue gas mercury in the ionic or oxidized form (which is captured by scrubbers installed for sulfur control) than sub-bituminous coal, including coals produced in the Powder River Basin. High rank coals also may be more amenable to other methods of controlling mercury emissions, such as by carbon injection. In the case of mercury, the determination of the existence of a compliance coal for mercury will be based on an analysis of the requirements of the new program and may result in a coal that is compliant for sulfur dioxide standards, but non-compliant for mercury.

Production

In the twelve months ended December 31, 2003, 97% of CONSOL Energy's production came from underground mines and 3% from surface mines. Where the geology is favorable and where reserves are sufficient, CONSOL Energy employs longwall mining systems in its underground mines. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2003, 89% of its production came from mines equipped with longwall mining systems. Underground longwall systems are highly mechanized, capital intensive operations. Mines using longwall systems have a low variable cost structure compared with other types of mines and can achieve high productivity levels compared with those of other underground mining methods. Because CONSOL Energy has substantial reserves readily suitable to these operations, CONSOL Energy believes that these longwall mines can increase capacity at low incremental cost.

Table of Contents

The following table shows the production, in millions of tons, for CONSOL Energy's mines in the twelve months ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, the location of each mine, the type of mine, the type of equipment used at each mine and the year each mine was established or acquired by us. The table includes information for five mines, Dilworth, Humphrey, Meigs, Muskingum and Windsor, that closed during 2002 because of reserve depletion. In February 2003, we sold our Cardinal River and Line Creek Mines in western Canada. The table excludes idled complexes that have not produced in any of the periods presented.

Mine	Location	Mine Type	Mining Equipment	Transportation	Tons Produced (in millions)			Year Established or Acquired
					2003	2002	2001	
Northern Appalachia								
Enlow Fork	Enon, Pennsylvania	U	LW/CM	R R/B	9.9	9.6	10.3	1990
Bailey	Enon, Pennsylvania	U	LW/CM	R R/B	9.4	9.7	10.3	1984
McElroy	Glen Easton, West Virginia	U	LW/CM	B	6.3	4.7	6.6	1968
Robinson Run	Shinnston, West Virginia	U	LW/CM	R CB	5.7	5.0	4.9	1966
Mine No. 84	Eighty Four, Pennsylvania	U	LW/CM	R R/B T	4.0	4.0	1.4	1998
Blacksville 2	Wana, West Virginia	U	LW/CM	R R/B T	5.4	4.8	5.0	1970
Dilworth (1)	Rices Landing, Pennsylvania	U	LW/CM	B		3.6	4.7	1984
Shoemaker	Moundsville, West Virginia	U	LW/CM	B	3.8	3.4	4.1	1966
Loveridge (2)	Fairview, West Virginia	U	LW/CM	R T			1.1	1956
Humphrey (1)	Maidsville, West Virginia	U	CM	R		0.5	0.7	1956
Mahoning Valley	Cadiz, Ohio	S	S/L	R T	0.7	0.3	0.5	1974
Meigs (1)	Point Rock, Ohio	U	LW/CM	R		0.4	1.9	2001
Muskingum (1)	Cumberland, Ohio	S	D	R			0.5	2001
Windsor (1)	West Liberty, West Virginia	U	LW/CM	R		1.3	0.7	2001
Central Appalachia								
Buchanan	Mavisdale, Virginia	U	LW/CM	R	4.7	4.1	4.5	1983
VP-8	Rowe, Virginia	U	LW/CM	R	1.9	2.2	2.3	1993
Mill Creek (3)	Deane, Kentucky	U/S	CM	R	3.7	3.5	3.6	1994
Jones Fork (3)	Mousie, Kentucky	U/S	CM	R T	3.0	4.0	4.9	1992
Amonate (3)	Amonate, Virginia	U	CM	R	0.7	0.5	0.5	1925
Illinois Basin								
Rend Lake (4)	Sesser, Illinois	U	LW/CM	R T		1.7	2.0	1986
Western U.S.								
Emery (4)	Emery County, Utah	U	LW/CM	T	0.2			1945
Western Canada								
Cardinal River (5)	Hinton, Alberta, Canada	S	S/L	R	0.1	1.2	1.7	1969
Line Creek (5)	Sparwood, British Columbia, Canada	S	S/L	R	0.2	1.7	1.5	2000
Australia								
Glennies Creek (6)	Hunter Valley, New South Wales, Australia	U	LW/CM	R	0.6	0.1		2001

S = Surface
U = Underground
LW = Longwall
CM = Continuous Miner
S/L = Stripping Shovel and Front End Loaders
D = Dragline and Dozers
R = Rail
B = Barge
R/B = Rail to Barge
T = Truck
CB = Conveyor Belt

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

- (1) Production at the complex ceased during the twelve months ended December 31, 2002, due to the depletion of economically recoverable reserves.

Table of Contents

- (2) Complex was in development at December 31, 2003.
 (3) Amonate, Mill Creek and Jones Fork complexes include operations by independent mining contractors.
 (4) Rend Lake and Emery mines were idled for all or part of the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 due to market conditions.
 (5) Sold in February 2003.
 (6) CONSOL Energy's 50% interest in the Glennies Creek Mine was sold on February 25, 2004.

The amounts shown for tons produced for all periods presented by Cardinal River, Line Creek and Glennies Creek actually represent 50% of the production of each mine, reflecting our 50% interest in each mine.

Our sales of bituminous coal were at an average sales price per ton produced as follows:

	Twelve Months		Six Months	Twelve
	Ended December 31,		Ended	Months
	2003	2002	December 31,	Ended June 30,
			2001	2001
Average Sales Price for Ton Produced	\$ 27.61	\$ 26.76	\$ 25.02	\$ 23.93

Expansion projects are planned at several of our mining complexes. These projects include the expansion of McElroy Mine that is intended to increase capacity from about 7 million tons per year to about 11 million tons per year. The new preparation plant at McElroy, put into service in September 2002, was the first phase of the project. Currently, the remaining expansion is expected to be complete in the third quarter of 2004. A project also has begun to complete a preparation plant expansion at the shared Bailey and Enlow facility. The expansion of the preparation plant will allow production capacity at these two mines to be increased from about 20 million tons per year to over 22 million tons per year. This expansion currently is expected to be complete by the end of 2004.

Beginning in 2001, Mine 84 encountered a sandstone intrusion in the coal seam that extended across several longwall coal panels. Because sandstone is harder than coal, mining advance rates are slowed for both longwall and continuous mining machines. In 2003, Mine 84 production continued to be lower than anticipated because of the adverse geological conditions encountered periodically and associated mechanical problems. In addition, Mine 84 experienced a conveyor belt fire in early 2003. The fire was extinguished and the conveyor belt repaired allowing longwall operations to resume in February 2003. A new employee and material access portal will be completed at Mine 84 in the second quarter of 2004. The portal will reduce the time for employees and supplies to reach active work areas.

The Loveridge Mine, which was on long-term idle status in 2002 due to market conditions, experienced a mine fire in early 2003. A different area of the mine was entered in April and development production resumed in the third quarter of 2003. Longwall operations commenced in early March 2004.

A roof fall on the Bailey Mine Main-West beltline interrupted production in fourth quarter of 2003. The belt was repaired in early November 2003. Longwall mining in the area the beltline serves will be complete by the end of the first half of 2004. A new 7,500-ton underground bunker was completed at Bailey in late 2003. This system will support a new longwall that was also installed in late 2003.

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

A new longwall was installed in the Robinson Run Mine in third quarter of 2003. In addition, in the first half of 2003, equipment enhancements were made to the Robinson Run coal processing facility to increase productivity. A new coal preparation plant is projected to replace this facility in late 2006.

A new production slope was completed in late 2003 at the Jones Fork complex in eastern Kentucky. The project will allow the decommissioning of several miles of underground conveyor belt system and allow simultaneous mining of selected adjacent reserves by contractors.

Table of Contents

In 2003, a new mining area was established near our Amonate coal processing facility. The Miles Branch area began full production in July 2003 producing high quality, mid-volatile metallurgical coal. Miles Branch produced approximately 80 thousand tons in the year ended December 31, 2003.

In March 2004, the agreement to sell Emery Mine was terminated due to the potential buyer not securing the requisite financing. CONSOL Energy will be evaluating ownership versus other potential sales to third parties.

In January 2004, CONSOL Energy announced that it intended to sell the stock in its wholly owned subsidiary CNX Australia Pty Limited to certain affiliates of AMCI, Inc. for \$27.5 million, the assumption of approximately \$21 million of debt, and associated interest rate swaps and foreign currency hedges. CNX Australia Pty Limited, through its wholly owned subsidiary CONSOL Energy Australia Pty Limited, owns a 50% interest in the Glennies Creek Mine in New South Wales, Australia with its joint venture partner Maitland Main Collieries Pty Limited, an affiliate of AMCI, Inc. Agreements were finalized on February 25, 2004 and are expected to result in a pre-tax gain of approximately \$13 million.

Title to coal properties that we lease or purchase and the boundaries of such properties are verified, at the time we lease or acquire the properties, by law firms retained by us. Consistent with industry practice, abstracts and title reports are reviewed and updated approximately five years prior to planned development or mining of the property. If defects in title or boundaries of undeveloped reserves are discovered in the future, control of and the right to mine such reserves could be adversely affected.

The following table sets forth, with respect to properties that we lease to other coal operators, the total annual royalty tonnage mined from our properties, the total acreage leased and the amount of income (net of related expenses) we received from royalty payments from other operators for the twelve months ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001.

Year	Total Royalty Tonnage (in thousands)	Total Coal Acreage Leased	Total Royalty Income (in thousands)
2003	17,633	244,109	\$ 6,266
2002	17,680	202,033	\$ 7,451
2001	18,050	182,203	\$ 5,723

Royalty tonnage leased to third parties is not included in the amounts of produced tons that we report. Proven and probable reserves do not include reserves attributable to properties that we lease to third parties.

CONSOL Energy operates approximately 23% of the United States longwall mining systems.

Table of Contents

The following table ranks the 20 largest underground mines in the United States by tons of coal produced in calendar year 2002, the latest information available at the time of filing.

MAJOR U.S. UNDERGROUND COAL MINES 2002

In millions of tons

<u>Mine Name</u>	<u>Operating Company</u>	<u>Production</u>
Bailey	CONSOL Energy	9.7
Enlow Fork	CONSOL Energy	9.6
SUFCO	Canyon Fuel Company	7.6
Twentymile	Twentymile Coal Company	7.6
Cumberland	RAG Cumberland Resources Corp.	6.6
Emerald	RAG Emerald Resources Corp.	6.6
West Elk	Arch Coal Inc.	6.6
Galatia	The American Coal Co.	6.3
Bowie No. 2	Bowie Resources, LTD	5.4
Federal No. 2	Eastern Associated Coal Corp.	5.0
Robinson Run	CONSOL Energy	5.0
Blacksville 2	CONSOL Energy	4.8
McElroy	CONSOL Energy	4.7
Dotiki	Webster County Coal LLC	4.5
Mountaineer	Arch Coal, Inc.	4.2
Buchanan	CONSOL Energy	4.1
Jones Fork	CONSOL Energy	4.0
Deer Creek	Energy West Mining Co.	4.0
Eighty Four Mine	CONSOL Energy	4.0
Shoal Creek	Drummond Company, Inc.	4.0

Source: National Mining Association

Marketing and Sales

We sell coal produced by our mining complexes and additional coal that is purchased by us for resale from other producers. We maintain United States sales offices in Atlanta, Philadelphia and Pittsburgh and an overseas office in Brussels, Belgium. In addition, we sell coal through agents, brokers and unaffiliated trading companies. In the twelve months ended December 31, 2003, we sold 64 million tons of coal, including our percentage of sales in equity affiliates, 88% of which was sold in domestic markets. Our direct sales to domestic electricity generators represented 70% of our total tons sold in the twelve months ended December 31, 2003. Including equity affiliate sales, we had approximately 155 customers in the twelve months ended December 31, 2003. During the twelve months ended December 31, 2003, Allegheny Energy accounted for 14% of our total revenue.

Coal Contracts

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

We sell coal to customers under arrangements that are the result of both bidding procedures and extensive negotiations. We sell coal for terms that range from a single shipment to multi-year agreements for millions of tons. During the twelve months ended December 31, 2003, approximately 95% of the coal we produced was sold under contracts with terms of one year or more. The pricing mechanisms under our multiple-year agreements typically consist of contracts with one or more of the following pricing mechanisms:

Fixed price contracts; or

Annually negotiated prices that reflect market conditions at the time; or

Base-price-plus-escalation methods which allow for periodic price adjustments based on inflation indices or, in some cases, pass-through of actual cost changes.

Table of Contents

A few contracts have features of several contract types, such as provisions that allow for renegotiation of prices on a limited basis within a base-price-plus-escalation agreement. Such re-opener provisions allow both the customer and us an opportunity to adjust prices to a level close to then current market conditions. Each contract is negotiated separately, and the triggers for re-opener provisions differ from contract to contract. Some of our existing contracts with re-opener provisions adjust the contract price to market price at the time the re-opener provision is triggered. Market price generally is based on recent published transactions for similar quantities and quality of coal. Re-opener provisions could result in early termination of a contract or in requirements that certain volumes be purchased if the parties were to fail to agree on price and other terms that may be subject to renegotiation.

The following table sets forth, as of February 25, 2004, the total tons of coal CONSOL Energy is obligated to deliver under agreements during calendar years 2004 through 2008.

	Tons of Coal to be Delivered				
	(in millions of nominal tons)				
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
(1)	69.6	34.2	22.5	10.8	5.4
(2)	0.3	9.7	8.9	9.7	7.5
	69.9	43.9	31.4	20.5	12.9

- (1) Obligations to deliver coal at predetermined prices.
 (2) Obligations to deliver coal at prices to be determined by mutual agreement of the parties, including some agreements which contain predetermined price ranges.

The foregoing table does not include an aggregate of 3.5 million tons that we may be required to deliver during the calendar years 2004 through 2008 upon exercise of rights of customers under executed contracts to buy more coal at predetermined prices

We routinely engage in efforts to renew or extend contracts scheduled to expire. Although there are no guarantees that contracts will be renewed, we have been successful in the past in renewing or extending contracts.

Contracts also typically contain force majeure provisions allowing for the suspension of performance by the customer or us for the duration of specified events beyond the control of the affected party, including labor disputes. Some contracts may terminate upon continuance of an event of force majeure for an extended period, which are generally three to twelve months. Contracts also typically specify minimum and maximum quality specifications regarding the coal to be delivered. Failure to meet these conditions could result in substantial price reductions or termination of the contract, at the election of the customer. Although the volume to be delivered under a long-term contract is stipulated, we, or the buyer may vary the timing of delivery within specified limits or the buyer in some instances may vary the volume.

Many contracts provide the opportunity to adjust the contract prices. Contract prices may be adjusted as often as quarterly based upon indices which are pre-negotiated. Many of our recently negotiated contracts have had terms, generally no longer than three to five years. Exceptions to this are two agreements with FirstEnergy. A seventeen year, 76.5 million ton coal agreement entered into in January 2003, provides for annual shipments of 4.5 million tons to FirstEnergy Generation Corp., a subsidiary of FirstEnergy Corp., primarily from McElroy Mine. An eighteen year, 52 million ton coal agreement entered into in February 2004 provides for shipments in the first year of 1 million tons, and thereafter, annual shipments of 3 million tons, primarily from the Bailey and Enlow Fork mines. This agreement includes similar provisions as the 76.5

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

million ton agreement entered into in January 2003. The agreement includes a price re-opener provision every three years, beginning in 2005. If CONSOL Energy and FirstEnergy do not agree on price at that time, the contract can be terminated by either party.

Table of Contents

Distribution

Coal is transported from CONSOL Energy's mining complexes to customers by means of railroad cars, river barges, trucks, conveyor belts or a combination of these means of transportation. Currently, the Robinson Run Mine transports coal to customers by conveyor belt. Currently, the McElroy and Shoemaker complexes ship coal to customers by means of river barges. Currently trucks are used to transport coal from the Loveridge, Mine 84, Jones Fork, Blacksville and Mahoning Valley complexes. Currently, the Enlow Fork, Bailey, Mine No. 84, Robinson Run, Loveridge, Blacksville, Buchanan, Mill Creek, Jones Fork, VP-8 and Amonate complexes primarily transport coal to customers by rail.

We employ transportation specialists who negotiate freight and equipment agreements with various transportation suppliers, including railroads, barge lines, terminal operators, ocean vessel brokers and trucking companies.

We own five towboats and six harbor boats and a fleet of approximately 300 barges to serve customers along the Ohio and Monongahela Rivers. The barge operation allows us to control delivery schedules and serves as temporary floating storage for coal where land storage is unavailable. Approximately 31% of the coal that we produced was shipped on the inland waterways in the twelve months ended December 31, 2003.

Competition

The United States coal industry is highly competitive, with numerous producers in all coal producing regions. CONSOL Energy competes against other large producers and hundreds of small producers in the United States and overseas. The largest producer is estimated by the 2003 National Mining Association Survey to have produced approximately 18% (based on tonnage produced) of the total United States production in 2002. The U.S. Department of Energy reported 1,426 active coal mines in the United States in 2002, the latest year for which government statistics are available. Demand for our coal by our principal customers is affected by:

the price of competing coal and alternative fuel supplies, including nuclear, natural gas, oil and renewable energy sources, such as hydroelectric power;

coal quality;

transportation costs from the mine to the customer; and

the reliability of supply.

Continued demand for CONSOL Energy's coal and the prices that CONSOL Energy obtains are affected by demand for electricity, environmental and government regulation, technological developments and the availability and price of competing coal and alternative fuel supplies. We sell coal to foreign electricity generators and to the more specialized metallurgical coal market, both of which are significantly affected by international demand and competition.

Gas Operations

CONSOL Energy produces coalbed methane, which is pipeline quality gas that resides in coal seams. In the eastern United States, conventional natural gas fields typically are located in various types of sedimentary formations at depths ranging from 2,000 to 15,000 feet. Exploration companies often put their capital at risk by searching for gas in commercially exploitable quantities at these depths. By contrast, gas in the coal seams that CONSOL Energy drills or anticipates drilling is typically in formations less than 2,500 feet deep which are usually better defined than deeper formations. CONSOL Energy believes that this contributes to lower exploration costs than those incurred by producers that operate in deeper, less defined formations.

Nearly all of our gas production currently is from operations in southwestern Virginia. In this region, we operated 1,403 wells, 806 miles of gathering lines and various compression stations at December 31, 2003. Our

Table of Contents

southwestern Virginia operations control approximately 235,000 acres of gas rights. At December 31, 2003, we reported 983 billion cubic feet of net proved reserves of gas, of which approximately 34.3% is developed. Our December 2003 average daily gross production in this region is approximately 142 million cubic feet per day.

We have been developing gas production in southwestern Pennsylvania and northern West Virginia by gathering gas currently being vented to the atmosphere by our mines in the area. In this region, our December 2003 average daily gross production was approximately 3.9 million cubic feet per day. At December 31, 2003, we reported 19.6 billion cubic feet of net proved reserves of gas, of which approximately 79% is developed. We expect to expand production of gas in this area by drilling additional production wells into the coal seams that we own or control.

We have also been developing gas production in the Tennessee area through a 50% joint venture. In this area, our 50% portion of December 2003 average daily gross production was approximately 0.3 million cubic feet per day. At December 31, 2003, our portion of proved net gas reserves for this area was 1.6 billion cubic feet, of which 53.3% were developed.

CONSOL Energy has not filed reserve estimates with any federal agency.

Drilling

The total average daily gross rate of production controlled by CONSOL Energy during the twelve months ended December 31, 2003 was 139.1 million cubic feet. During the twelve months ended December 31, 2003, December 31, 2002, the six months ended December 31, 2001, and the twelve months ended June 30, 2001, we drilled in the aggregate, 251, 197, 141, and 203 development wells, respectively, all of which were productive. The net number of wells for those periods was approximately 244, 194, 141, and 157 wells, respectively. To date, we have not had any dry development wells. The following table illustrates the wells referenced above by geographic region:

Development Wells

	For the Twelve Months				For the Six		For the Twelve	
	Ended December 31,				Months Ended		Months Ended	
					December 31,		June 30,	
	2003		2002		2001		2001	
	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net
Virginia	237	237	191	191	141	141	203	157
Tennessee	14	7	6	3				

During the twelve months ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, the six months ended December 31, 2001 and the twelve months ended June 30, 2001, we drilled in the aggregate 52, 34, 21 and 6 exploratory wells, respectively. The net number of wells for those periods was 36, 25, 19 and

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

0, respectively. To date, we have not had any dry exploration wells, although some of the 2002 and 2003 wells are still being evaluated or are awaiting completion. Nine of the Northern West Virginia and Southwest Pennsylvania wells are also awaiting completion. The following table illustrates the exploratory wells by geographic region:

Exploration Wells

	For the Twelve Months				For the Six		For the Twelve	
	Ended December 31,				Months Ended		Months Ended	
					December 31,		June 30,	
	2003		2002		2001		2001	
Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	
Virginia	19	16	15	15	10	10	2	
Northern West Virginia/Southwest Pennsylvania	7	7	1	1	8	8	4	
Tennessee	26	13	18	9	3	1.5		

Table of Contents*Production*

The following table sets forth CONSOL Energy's net revenue interest production for the periods indicated.

	Twelve Months		Six Months	Twelve Months
	Ended December 31,		Ended	Ended June 30,
	2003	2002	December 31,	2001
Coalbed methane (in millions of cubic feet)	44,421	41,269	17,399	29,754

Water produced from our Virginia operations, which represents 95% of the total water produced by our gas operations, is injected into injection wells. Water from our Northern West Virginia/Southwest Pennsylvania operations is hauled to an independent treatment facility where it is treated and discharged.

Average Sales Prices and Lifting Costs

The following table sets forth the average sales price and the average lifting cost for all of our gas production for the periods indicated. Lifting cost is the cost of raising gas to the gathering system and does not include depreciation, depletion or amortization. See Note 33 of notes to consolidated financial statements included in this prospectus.

	Average Gas Sales Price and Lifting Cost for the			
	Twelve Months		Six Months	Twelve Months
	Ended December 31,		Ended	Ended June 30,
	2003	2002	December 31,	2001
Average gas sales price (per thousand cubic feet)	\$ 4.31	\$ 3.17	\$ 2.63	\$ 5.19
Average lifting cost (per thousand cubic feet)	\$ 0.46	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.53	\$ 0.42

Productive Wells and Acreage

The following table sets forth, at December 31, 2003, the number of CONSOL Energy's producing wells, developed acreage and undeveloped acreage.

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

	<u>Gross</u>	<u>Net</u>
Producing Wells	1,525	1,512
Developed Acreage	112,971	112,451
Undeveloped Acreage	378,034	262,718

We drilled 251 development wells in the twelve months ended December 31, 2003, of which 35 wells were in process at December 31, 2003. Nearly all of our development wells and acreage are located in southwestern Virginia. Some leases are beyond their primary term, but these leases are extended in accordance with their terms as long as certain drilling commitments are satisfied.

We currently plan to drill approximately 300 wells in the twelve-month period ending December 31, 2004. Two hundred of these wells are proposed to be conventional coalbed methane wells drilled into coal seams not yet mined. Sixty of the remaining wells are to be drilled into mine areas to produce gob gas, which is methane gas that has collected in abandoned areas of underground coal mines. Thirty-nine of the projected wells are conventional gas wells. Compared to coalbed methane wells, conventional gas wells put capital at a higher risk due to the potential for unsuccessful drilling. As such, the success rate of conventional gas wells may not reflect that of our coalbed methane drilling program. Two of these wells are proposed to be horizontal wells. Horizontal drilling techniques are designed to increase productivity and recovery rates in coal seams not conducive to vertical fracturing.

Table of Contents

Sales

In order to manage the market risk exposure of volatile natural gas prices in the future, CONSOL Energy enters into various physical gas supply transactions with our gas marketers, selling gas under short-term multi-month contracts generally not exceeding one year. Within the terms of the individual sales confirmations executed under the master marketing contracts, at December 31, 2003, we were obligated to deliver 45.0 billion cubic feet during the twelve-month period ending December 31, 2004. Reserves and production estimates are believed to be sufficient to satisfy these obligations. In the past, we have not failed to deliver quantities required under contract. We also have a gas-balancing agreement with TCO Interstate Pipeline. This agreement is in accordance with the Council of Petroleum Accountants Societies (COPAS) definition of producer imbalances, whereby the operator controls the physical production and delivery of gas to a transporter. Contracted quantities of gas rarely equal physical deliveries. As the operator, CONSOL Energy is responsible for monitoring this imbalance and requesting adjustments to contracted volumes as circumstances warrant. The imbalance agreement is managed internally using the sales method of accounting. The sales method recognizes revenue when the gas is taken and paid for by the purchaser. The imbalance amounts, for both volumes and dollars, were insignificant at December 31, 2003.

The hedging strategy and information regarding derivative instruments used are outlined in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosures About Market Risk, and in note 28 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Distribution

Our gas operations in Virginia have built separate gathering systems in their gas fields to deliver gas to market. While each gathering system begins at the individual wellhead, gas from wells is transported to market in each case by the Cardinal States Gathering Company's major gathering system. Cardinal States Gathering Company is a wholly owned subsidiary which operates two major gathering systems. The first gathering system is a 50-mile, 16-inch gathering system that is capable of transporting 100 million cubic feet of gas per day. This gathering system has processing and compression facilities and connects with a Columbia Transmission pipeline located in Mingo County, West Virginia. The second gathering system is a 30-mile, 20-inch gathering system capable of transporting 150 million cubic feet of gas per day. This gathering system also connects with a Columbia Transmission gathering system in Wyoming County, West Virginia.

Gas Reserves

CONSOL Energy's gas reserves are either owned or leased. Proved gas reserves are the estimated quantities which geological and engineering data demonstrate with reasonable certainty to be recovered in future years from known reservoirs under existing economic and operating conditions, i.e., prices and costs as of the date the estimate is made. Prices include consideration of changes in existing prices provided only by contractual arrangements, but not on escalations based upon future conditions. The following table shows our estimated proved developed and proved undeveloped reserves. Reserve information is net of a 1/8 royalty ownership. Reported reserves include 50% of the reserves for Pocahontas Gas Partnership as of June 30, 2001. CONSOL Energy owned a 50% interest in Pocahontas Gas Partnership until August 2001, when CONSOL Energy acquired the remaining 50% interest. Proved developed and proved undeveloped gas reserves are reserves that could be commercially recovered under current economic conditions, operating methods and government regulations. Proved developed and undeveloped gas reserves are defined by the Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 4.10(a) of Regulation S-X.

Table of Contents

	Net Gas Reserves			
	(millions of cubic feet)			
	As of December 31,			As of
	2003	2002	2001	June 30, 2001
Estimated proved developed reserves	353,778	330,246	364,143	234,386
Estimated proved undeveloped reserves	650,603	630,259	659,236	442,765
Total estimated proved developed and undeveloped reserves	1,004,381	960,505	1,023,379	677,151

Discounted Future Net Cash Flows

The following table shows, for CONSOL Energy's net estimated proved developed and undeveloped reserves, its estimated future net cash flows and total standardized measure of discounted, at 10%, future net cash flows:

	Discounted Future Net Cash Flows			
	(\$ in thousands)			
	As of December 31,			As of
	2003	2002	2001	June 30, 2001
Future net cash flows (net of income tax)	\$ 2,708,797	\$ 2,037,696	\$ 901,343	\$ 551,607
Total standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows (net of income tax)	\$ 1,011,186	\$ 735,181	\$ 345,826	\$ 189,156
Total standardized measure of pre-tax discounted future net cash flow	\$ 1,556,866	\$ 1,089,900	\$ 432,148	\$ 288,070

Competition

CONSOL Energy's gas operations primarily compete regionally in the northeastern United States. Competition throughout the country is regionalized. CONSOL Energy believes that the gas market is highly fragmented and not dominated by any single producer. CONSOL Energy believes that several of its competitors have devoted far greater resources than it has to gas exploration and development. CONSOL Energy believes that competition within its market is based primarily on price and the proximity of gas fields to customers.

Other

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

CONSOL Energy provides other services both to its own operations and to others. These include terminal services (including break bulk, general cargo and warehouse services), river and dock services, industrial supply services, coal waste disposal services, land resource services, research and development services and power generation.

Power Generation

In March 2002, we entered into a joint venture with Allegheny Energy Supply Company, LLC, an affiliate of one of our largest coal customers, to build an 88-megawatt, gas-fired electric generating facility. This facility was completed in June 2002 at a total cost of approximately \$56 million, of which CONSOL Energy paid approximately \$28 million, and is used for meeting peak load demands. The facility is in southwest Virginia and uses coalbed methane gas that we produce. In the twelve months ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, the facility operated for a total of 17,610 and 34,540 megawatt hours, respectively, and did not have a significant effect on earnings in either period.

Table of Contents

Land Resources

CONSOL Energy is developing property assets previously used primarily to support its coal operations or which currently are not utilized. CONSOL Energy expects to increase the value of its property assets by:

developing surface properties for commercial uses other than coal mining or gas development when the location of the property is suitable;

deriving royalty income from coal, oil and gas reserves CONSOL Energy owns but does not intend to develop;

deriving income from the sustainable harvesting of timber on land CONSOL Energy owns; and

deriving income from the rental of surface property for agricultural and non-agricultural uses.

CONSOL Energy's objective is to improve the return on these assets without detracting from its core businesses and without significant additional capital investment.

Industrial Supply Services

Fairmont Supply Company, a CONSOL Energy subsidiary, is a general-line distributor of mining and industrial supplies in the United States. Fairmont Supply has 12 customer service centers nationwide. Fairmont Supply also provides integrated supply procurement and management services. Integrated supply procurement is a materials management strategy that utilizes a single, full-line distributor to minimize total cost in the maintenance, repair and operating supply chain. Fairmont Supply offers value-added services including on-site stores management and procurement strategies.

Fairmont Supply provides mine supplies to CONSOL Energy's mining operations. Approximately 56% of Fairmont Supply's sales in the twelve months ended December 31, 2003, were made to CONSOL Energy's mines.

Terminal Services

In the twelve months ended December 31, 2003, approximately 2.7 million tons of coal were shipped through CONSOL Energy's exporting terminal in the Port of Baltimore. Approximately 90% of the tonnage shipped was produced by CONSOL Energy coal mines. The terminal can either store coal or load coal directly into vessels from rail cars. It is also one of the few terminals in the United States served by two railroads, Norfolk Southern and CSX Transportation.

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

On August 14, 2002, CONSOL Energy, through its subsidiary CNX Marine Terminals Inc., began operations as a general cargo and warehouse provider in Baltimore for shipments of metal, forest products and other bulk cargo.

River and Dock Services

CONSOL Energy's river operation, located in Elizabeth, Pennsylvania, transports coal from our mines with river loadout facilities along the Monongahela and Ohio Rivers in northern West Virginia and southwestern Pennsylvania to customers along these rivers. The river operation employs five CONSOL Energy owned towboats, six harbor boats and approximately 300 barges. In the twelve months ended December 31, 2003, our river vessels transported 7.9 million tons of our coal.

CONSOL Energy provides dock services at Kellogg Dock, located on the Mississippi River in southern Illinois, and Alicia Dock, located on the Monongahela River in Fayette County, Pennsylvania, north of the Dilworth mine. Kellogg Dock was idle for most of the twelve months ended December 31, 2003. CONSOL Energy transfers coal from rail cars to barges for customers that receive coal on the river system.

Table of Contents

Coal Waste Disposal Services

CONSOL Energy operates an ash disposal facility on a 61-acre site in northern West Virginia to handle ash residues for coal customers that are unable to dispose of ash on-site at their generating facilities. This facility became operational in early 1994. The ash disposal facility can process 200 tons of material per hour. CONSOL Energy has a long-term contract with a cogeneration facility to supply coal and take the residual fly ash and bottom ash. Bottom ash is sold locally for road construction and other purposes.

Employee and Labor Relations

At December 31, 2003, CONSOL Energy had 6,523 employees, 2,767 of whom were represented by the United Mine Workers of America and covered by the terms of the National Bituminous Coal Wage Agreement of 2002 which will expire on December 31, 2006. This agreement was negotiated with the United Mine Workers of America by the Bituminous Coal Operators' Association on behalf of its members, which include several of CONSOL Energy's subsidiaries.

Regulations

The coal mining and gas industries are subject to regulation by federal, state and local authorities on matters such as employee health and safety, permitting and licensing requirements, air quality standards, water pollution, plant and wildlife protection, the reclamation and restoration of properties after mining or gas operations are completed, the discharge of materials into the environment, surface subsidence from underground mining and the effects of mining and gas operations on groundwater quality and availability. In addition, the utility industry is subject to extensive regulation regarding the environmental impact of its power generation activities, which could affect demand for CONSOL Energy's coal. The possibility exists that new legislation or regulations may be adopted which would have a significant impact on CONSOL Energy's mining or gas operations or its customers' ability to use coal or gas and may require CONSOL Energy or its customers to change their operations significantly or incur substantial costs.

Numerous governmental permits and approvals are required for mining and gas operations. CONSOL Energy is, or may be, required to prepare and present to federal, state or local authorities data pertaining to the effect or impact that any proposed exploration for or production of coal or gas may have upon the environment and public and employee health and safety. All requirements imposed by such authorities may be costly and time-consuming and may delay commencement or continuation of exploration or production operations. Future legislation and administrative regulations may emphasize the protection of the environment, health and safety and, as a consequence, the activities of CONSOL Energy may be more closely regulated. Such legislation and regulations, as well as future interpretations of existing laws, may require substantial increases in equipment and operating costs to CONSOL Energy and delays, interruptions or a termination of operations, the extent of which cannot be predicted.

While it is not possible to quantify the costs of compliance with all applicable federal and state laws, those costs have been and are expected to continue to be significant. CONSOL Energy made capital expenditures for environmental control facilities of approximately \$1.4 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2003, \$1.4 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2002, \$3.5 million for the six months ended December 31, 2001 and \$2.9 million for the twelve months ended June 30, 2001. CONSOL Energy expects to have capital expenditures of \$3.7 million for 2004 for environmental control facilities. These costs are in addition to reclamation and mine closing costs. Compliance with these laws has substantially increased the cost of coal mining and gas production, but is, in general, a cost common to all domestic coal and gas producers.

Mine Health and Safety Laws

Stringent health and safety standards were imposed by federal legislation when the federal Coal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1969 was adopted. The federal Coal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977, which significantly

Table of Contents

expanded the enforcement of safety and health standards of the Coal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1969, imposes safety and health standards on all mining operations. Regulations are comprehensive and affect numerous aspects of mining operations, including training of mine personnel, mining procedures, blasting, the equipment used in mining operations and other matters. The federal Coal Mine Safety and Health Administration monitors compliance with these federal laws and regulations. In addition, as part of the Coal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1969 and the Coal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977, the Black Lung Benefits Act requires payments of benefits to disabled coal miners with black lung disease and to certain survivors of miners who die from black lung disease.

The states in which CONSOL Energy operates have programs for mine safety and health regulation and enforcement. The combination of federal and state safety and health regulations in the coal mining industry is, perhaps, the most comprehensive system for protection of employee safety and health affecting any industry. Most aspects of mine operations, particularly underground mine operations, are subject to extensive regulation. This regulation has a significant effect on CONSOL Energy's operating costs. However, CONSOL Energy's competitors in all of the areas in which it operates are subject to the same regulation.

Black Lung Legislation

Under federal black lung benefits legislation, each coal mine operator is required to make payments of black lung benefits or contributions to:

current and former coal miners totally disabled from black lung disease;

certain survivors of a miner who dies from black lung disease or pneumoconiosis; and

a trust fund for the payment of benefits and medical expenses to claimants whose last mine employment was before January 1, 1970, where no responsible coal mine operator has been identified for claims (where a miner's last coal employment was after December 31, 1969), or where the responsible coal mine operator has defaulted on the payment of such benefits.

In addition to the federal legislation, we are also liable under various state statutes for black lung claims. Our black lung benefit liabilities, including the current portions, totaled approximately \$456 million at December 31, 2003. These obligations are minimally funded at December 31, 2003.

In recent years, legislation on black lung reform has been introduced in, but not enacted by, Congress. It is possible that this legislation will be reintroduced for consideration by Congress. If any of the proposals included in this or similar legislation is passed, the number of claimants who are awarded benefits could significantly increase. Any such changes in black lung legislation, if approved, may adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The United States Department of Labor issued a final rule, effective January 19, 2001, amending the regulations implementing the federal black lung laws. The amendments give greater weight to the opinion of the claimant's treating physician, expand the definition of black lung disease and limit the amount of medical evidence that can be submitted by claimants and respondents. The amendments also alter administrative procedures for the adjudication of claims, which, according to the Department of Labor, results in streamlined procedures that are less formal, less adversarial and easier for participants to understand. These and other changes to the black lung regulations could significantly increase our exposure to black lung benefits liabilities. Experience to date related to these changes is not sufficient to determine the impact of these changes. The National Mining Association, an industry association of which CONSOL Energy is a member, challenged the amendments but the courts, to

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

date, with minor exception, affirmed the rules. However, the decision left many contested issues open for interpretation. Consequently, we anticipate increased litigation until the various federal District Courts have had an opportunity to rule on these issues.

Table of Contents

Workers Compensation

CONSOL Energy is required to compensate employees for work-related injuries. Our workers compensation liabilities, including the current portion, were \$316 million at December 31, 2003. These obligations are unfunded. The amount we expensed in the twelve months ended December 31, 2003, was \$53 million, while the related cash payment for this liability was \$57 million. Several states in which we operate consider changes in workers compensation laws from time to time. Such changes, if enacted, could adversely affect CONSOL Energy.

We changed our method of accounting for workers compensation effective January 1, 2004. Prior to the change, we recorded our workers compensation liability on an undiscounted basis. Under the new method, we will record the liability on a discounted basis, which will be actuarially determined using various assumptions, including discount rate and future cost trends. CONSOL Energy believes this change is preferable since it will align the accounting with our other long-term employee benefit obligations, which are recorded on a discounted basis. Additionally, it will provide a better comparison with our industry peers, the majority of which record the workers compensation liability on a discounted basis.

The change will be reflected as a cumulative effect from a change in accounting in the quarter ended March 31, 2004 according to Accounting Principles Board Opinion (ABP) No. 20, Accounting Changes. The effect of the change is expected to result in an income adjustment of approximately \$81 million, net of approximately \$51 million of deferred tax expense. The workers compensation liability will be decreased by approximately \$132 million and deferred tax assets will be reduced by approximately \$51 million as a result of this accounting change.

Retiree Health Benefits Legislation

The Coal Industry Retiree Health Benefit Act of 1992 requires CONSOL Energy to make payments to fund the cost of health benefits for our and other coal industry retirees. Based on available information, at December 31, 2003, CONSOL Energy's obligation is estimated at approximately \$415 million. We made payments of \$38 million (\$35 million expensed and \$3 million capitalized) for such health benefits in the twelve months ended December 31, 2003.

Environmental Laws

CONSOL Energy is subject to various federal environmental laws, including

the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977,

the Clean Air Act,

the Clean Water Act,

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

the Toxic Substances Control Act,

the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, and

the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act,

as well as state laws of similar scope in each state in which CONSOL Energy operates.

These environmental laws require reporting, permitting and/or approval of many aspects of coal mining and gas operations. Both federal and state inspectors regularly visit mines and other facilities to ensure compliance. CONSOL Energy has ongoing compliance and permitting programs designed to ensure compliance with such environmental laws.

Given the retroactive nature of certain environmental laws, CONSOL Energy has incurred and may in the future incur liabilities in connection with properties and facilities currently or previously owned or operated as well as sites to which CONSOL Energy or its subsidiaries sent waste materials.

Table of Contents

Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act

The Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act establishes operational, reclamation and closure standards for all aspects of surface mining as well as most aspects of deep mining. The Act requires that comprehensive environmental protection and reclamation standards be met during the course of and following completion of mining activities. Permits for all mining operations must be obtained from the Federal Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement or, where state regulatory agencies have adopted federally approved state programs under the Act, the appropriate state regulatory authority. All states in which CONSOL Energy's active mining operations are located have achieved primary jurisdiction for enforcement of the Act through approved state programs.

The Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act and similar state statutes, among other things, require that mined property be restored in accordance with specified standards and approved reclamation plans. The mine operator must submit a bond or otherwise secure the performance of these reclamation obligations. The earliest a reclamation bond can be released is five years after reclamation has been achieved. All states impose on mine operators the responsibility for repairing or compensating for damage occurring on the surface as a result of mine subsidence, a possible consequence of longwall mining. In addition, the Abandoned Mine Reclamation Fund, which is part of the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act, imposes a tax on all current mining operations, the proceeds of which are used to restore unreclaimed mines closed before 1977. The maximum tax is \$.35 per ton on surface-mined coal and \$.15 per ton on underground-mined coal.

In January 2003, CONSOL Energy adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 143 (SFAS 143) to account for the costs related to the closure of mines and gas wells and the reclamation of the land upon exhaustion of coal and gas reserves. This statement requires the fair value of an asset retirement obligation be recognized in the period in which it is incurred if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. The present value of the estimated asset retirement costs is capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the long-lived asset. Asset retirement obligations primarily relate to the closure of mines and gas wells and the reclamation of the land upon exhaustion of coal and gas reserves. The effect of this change was a gain of \$5 million, net of a tax cost of \$3 million. At the time of adoption, total assets, net of accumulated depreciation, increased approximately \$59 million, and total liabilities increased approximately \$51 million. The amounts recorded are dependent upon a number of variables, including the estimated future retirement costs, estimated proven reserves, assumptions involving profit margins, inflation rates, and the assumed credit-adjusted risk-free interest rate.

Our reclamation and mine-closing liabilities, including the current portion, were \$383 million at December 31, 2003. Our future operating results would be adversely affected if these accruals are determined to be insufficient. These obligations are unfunded. The amount that was expensed for the twelve months ended December 31, 2003 was \$16 million, while the related cash payment for such liability during the same period was \$33 million.

Under the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act, responsibility for unabated violations, unpaid civil penalties and unpaid reclamation fees of independent contract mine operators can be imputed to other companies which are deemed, according to the regulations, to have owned or controlled the contract mine operator. Sanctions against the owner or controller are quite severe and can include being blocked from receiving new permits and revocation of any permits that have been issued since the time of the violations or, in the case of civil penalties and reclamation fees, since the time such amounts became due.

Clear Air Act

The federal Clean Air Act and similar state laws and regulations, which regulate emissions into the air, affect coal mining, gas and processing operations primarily through permitting and/or emissions control requirements. In addition, the United States Environmental Protection Agency

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

has issued certain, and is considering further, regulations relating to fugitive dust and coal combustion emissions which could restrict CONSOL Energy's ability to develop new mines or require CONSOL Energy to modify its operations. In

Table of Contents

July 1997, the United States Environmental Protection Agency adopted new, more stringent National Ambient Air Quality Standards for particulate matter which may require some states to change existing implementation plans. Because coal mining operations and plants burning coal emit particulate matter, CONSOL Energy's mining operations and utility customers are likely to be directly affected when the revisions to the National Ambient Air Quality Standards are implemented by the states. Regulations may restrict CONSOL Energy's ability to develop new mines or could require CONSOL Energy to modify its existing operations, and may have a material adverse effect on CONSOL Energy's financial condition and results of operations.

The Clean Air Act also indirectly affects coal mining operations by extensively regulating the air emissions of coal fueled electric power generating plants. Coal contains impurities, such as sulfur, mercury and other constituents, many of which are released into the air when coal is burned. New environmental regulations governing emissions from coal-fired electric generating plants could reduce demand for coal as a fuel source and affect the volume of our sales. For example, the federal Clean Air Act places limits on sulfur dioxide emissions from electric power plants. In order to meet the federal Clean Air Act limits for sulfur dioxide emissions from electric power plants, coal users need to install scrubbers, use sulfur dioxide emission allowances (some of which they may purchase), blend high sulfur coal with low sulfur coal or switch to low sulfur coal or other fuels. The cost of installing scrubbers is significant and emission allowances may become more expensive as their availability declines. Switching to other fuels may require expensive modification of existing plants. Because higher sulfur coal currently accounts for a significant portion of our sales, the extent to which power generators switch to lower sulfur coal or other low-sulfur fuel could materially affect us if we cannot offset the cost of sulfur removal by lowering the costs of delivery of our higher sulfur coals on an energy equivalent basis.

Other new and proposed reductions in emissions of mercury, sulfur dioxides, nitrogen oxides, particulate matter or various greenhouse gases may require the installation of additional costly control technology or the implementation of other measures, including trading of emission allowances and switching to other fuels. For example, the Environmental Protection Agency recently proposed separate regulations to establish mercury emission limits nationwide and to reduce the interstate transport of fine particulate matter and ozone through reductions in sulfur dioxides and nitrogen oxides throughout the eastern United States. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) continues to require reduction of nitrogen oxide emissions in 22 eastern states and the District of Columbia and will require reduction of particulate matter emissions over the next several years for areas that do not meet air quality standards for fine particulates. EPA is also working on an implementation plan for the 8-hour ozone standard and this may require some customers to further reduce nitrogen oxide emissions, a precursor of ozone. In addition, the EPA has issued draft regulations, and Congress and several states are now considering legislation, to further control air emissions of multiple pollutants from electric generating facilities and other large emitters. These new and proposed reductions will make it more costly to operate coal-fired plants and could make coal a less attractive fuel alternative in the planning and building of utility power plants in the future. To the extent that any new and proposed requirements affect our customers, this could adversely affect our operations and results.

A regional haze program initiated by the EPA to protect and to improve visibility at and around national parks, national wilderness areas and international parks may restrict the construction of new coal-fired power plants whose operation may impair visibility at and around federally protected areas and may require some existing coal-fired power plants to install additional control measures designed to limit haze-causing emissions. These requirements could limit the demand for coal in some locations.

The Clean Air Act also imposes standards on sources of hazardous air pollutants. Rules regulating mercury emissions from coal-fired power plants were proposed by the EPA on January 30, 2004. These proposed rules, when finalized, will establish mercury emissions standards for both new and existing coal-fired power plants. Depending on the emission control option used in the final rule, coal-fired power plants will be required to address mercury emissions by 2010, and perhaps earlier. This will likely require significant new investment in controls by many power plant operators. These standards and future standards could have the effect of decreasing demand for coal.

Table of Contents

The United States Department of Justice, on behalf of the EPA, has filed lawsuits against several investor-owned electric utilities and brought an administrative action against one government-owned utility for alleged violations of the Clean Air Act. These lawsuits could require the utilities to pay penalties, install pollution control equipment or undertake other emission reduction measures which could adversely impact their demand for coal.

Any reduction in coal's share of the capacity for power generation could have a material adverse effect on CONSOL Energy's business, financial condition and results of operations. The effect such regulations, or other requirements that may be imposed in the future, could have on the coal industry in general and on CONSOL Energy in particular cannot be predicted with certainty.

CONSOL Energy believes it has obtained all necessary permits under the Clean Air Act. The expiration dates of these permits range from April 21, 2004 through June 30, 2008. CONSOL Energy monitors permits required by operations regularly and takes appropriate action to extend or obtain permits as needed. Permitting costs with respect to the Clean Air Act were \$104,000 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2003, less than \$19,000 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2002, the six months ended December 31, 2001, and the twelve months ended June 30, 2001.

Framework Convention On Global Climate Change

The United States and more than 160 other nations are signatories to the 1992 United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change which is intended to reduce or offset emissions of greenhouse gases such as carbon dioxide. In December 1997, in Kyoto, Japan, the signatories to the convention established a binding set of emissions targets for developed nations. Although the specific emissions targets vary from country to country, the United States would be required to reduce emissions to 93% of 1990 levels over a five-year budget period from 2008 through 2012. President Bush and the U.S. Senate officially have opposed the Kyoto Protocol and have proposed an alternative to reduce the intensity of United States emissions of greenhouse gases. If the Kyoto Protocol or other comprehensive regulations focusing on greenhouse gas emissions are implemented by the United States, it could have the effect of restricting the use of coal. Other efforts to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases and federal initiatives to encourage the use of coalbed methane gas also may affect the use of coal as an energy source.

Clean Water Act

The federal Clean Water Act and corresponding state laws affect coal mining and gas operations by imposing restrictions on discharges into regulated effluent waters. Permits requiring regular monitoring and compliance with effluent limitations and reporting requirements govern the discharge of pollutants into regulated waters. CONSOL Energy believes it has obtained all permits required under the Clean Water Act and corresponding state laws and is in substantial compliance with such permits. However, new requirements under the Clean Water Act and corresponding state laws may cause CONSOL Energy to incur significant additional costs that could adversely affect its operating results.

Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (Superfund)

The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (Superfund) and similar state laws create liabilities for the investigation and remediation of releases of hazardous substances into the environment and for damages to natural resources. Our current and former coal mining operations incur, and will continue to incur, expenditures associated with the investigation and remediation of facilities and environmental conditions, including underground storage tanks, solid and hazardous waste disposal and other matters under the Comprehensive

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act and similar state environmental laws. We also must comply with reporting requirements under the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act and the Toxic Substances Control Act.

From time to time, we have been the subject of administrative proceedings, litigation and investigations relating to environmental matters. We have been named as a potentially responsible party at Superfund sites in

Table of Contents

the past. We may become involved in future proceedings, litigation or investigations and incur liabilities that could be materially adverse to us. In September 1991, CONSOL Energy was named a potentially responsible party related to the Buckeye Landfill Superfund Site. The estimated total remaining remediation cost for all potentially responsible parties is approximately \$15 million at December 31, 2003. CONSOL Energy's portion of this claim is approximately 15% to 20%. CONSOL Energy believes it has a liability for the remaining remediation costs of approximately \$2.7 million at December 31, 2003. To date, CONSOL Energy has paid \$2.3 million for remediation of this waste disposal site and related expenses.

The magnitude of the liability and the cost of complying with environmental laws cannot be predicted with certainty due to the lack of specific information available with respect to many sites, the potential for new or changed laws and regulations and for the development of new remediation technologies and the uncertainty regarding the timing of work with respect to particular sites. As a result, we may incur material liabilities or costs related to environmental matters in the future and such environmental liabilities or costs could adversely affect our results and financial condition. In addition, there can be no assurance that changes in laws or regulations would not affect the manner in which we are required to conduct our operations.

Resource Conservation and Recovery Act

The federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and corresponding state laws and regulations affect coal mining and gas operations by imposing requirements for the treatment, storage and disposal of hazardous wastes. Facilities at which hazardous wastes have been treated, stored or disposed are subject to corrective action orders issued by the EPA which could adversely affect our results and financial condition.

Federal Coal Leasing Amendments Act

Mining operations on federal lands in the western United States are affected by regulations of the United States Department of the Interior. The Federal Coal Leasing Amendments Act of 1976 amended the Mineral Lands Leasing Act of 1920 which authorized the leasing of federal lands for coal mining. The Federal Coal Leasing Amendments Act increased the royalties payable to the United States government for federal coal leases and required diligent development and continuous operations of leased reserves within a specified period of time. Regulations adopted by the United States Department of the Interior to implement such legislation could affect coal mining by CONSOL Energy from federal leases for operations developed on such leases. CONSOL Energy's only operation with federal mineral leases is Emery Mine. Emery Mine is not currently mining on the federal mineral leases and incurred no lease expense in the year ended December 31, 2003. Emery Mine's asset for advance mining royalty related to the federal leases was \$0.5 million at December 31, 2003. These advance royalties will be amortized on a units-of-production method as the tons related to the lease are mined.

Federal Regulation of the Sale and Transportation of Gas

Various aspects of CONSOL Energy's gas operations are regulated by agencies of the federal government. The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission regulates the transportation and sale of natural gas in interstate commerce pursuant to the Natural Gas Act of 1938 and the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978. In the past, the federal government has regulated the prices at which gas could be sold. While first sales by producers of natural gas, and all sales of condensate and natural gas liquids can currently be made at uncontrolled market prices, Congress could reenact price controls in the future. Deregulation of wellhead sales in the natural gas industry began with the enactment of the Natural Gas Policy Act in 1978. In 1989, Congress enacted the Natural Gas Wellhead Decontrol Act, which removed all Natural Gas Act and Natural Gas Policy Act price and non-price controls affecting wellhead sales of natural gas effective January 1, 1993.

Commencing in April 1992, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission issued Order Nos. 636, 636-A, 636-B, 636-C and 636-D, which require interstate pipelines to provide transportation services separate, or unbundled, from the pipelines' sales of gas. Also, Order No. 636 requires pipeline operators to provide open access transportation on a nondiscriminatory basis that is equal for all natural gas shippers. Although Order

Table of Contents

No. 636 does not directly regulate CONSOL Energy's production activities, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission has stated that it intends for Order No. 636 to foster increased competition within all phases of the natural gas industry.

The courts have largely affirmed the significant features of Order No. 636 and numerous related orders pertaining to the individual pipelines, although certain appeals remain pending and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission continues to review and modify its open access regulations. In particular, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission has reviewed its transportation regulations, including how they operate in conjunction with state proposals for retail gas marketing restructuring, whether to eliminate cost-of-service rates for short-term transportation, whether to allocate all short-term capacity on the basis of competitive auctions, and whether changes to its long-term transportation policies may also be appropriate to avoid a market bias toward short-term contracts. In February 2000, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission issued Order No. 637 amending certain regulations governing interstate natural gas pipeline companies in response to the development of more competitive markets for natural gas and natural gas transportation. The goal of Order No. 637 is to fine tune the open access regulations implemented by Order No. 636 to accommodate subsequent changes in the market. Key provisions of Order No. 637 include:

(1) waiving the price ceiling for short-term capacity release transactions until September 30, 2002 (which was reversed pursuant to an order on remand issued by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission on October 31, 2002);

(2) permitting value-oriented peak/off-peak rates to better allocate revenue responsibility between short-term and long-term markets;

(3) permitting term-differentiated rates, in order to better allocate risks between shippers and the pipeline;

(4) revising the regulations related to scheduling procedures, capacity, segmentation, imbalance management, and penalties;

(5) retaining the right of first refusal and the five year matching cap for long-term shippers at maximum rates, but significantly narrowing the right of first refusal for customers that the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission does not deem to be captive; and

(6) adopting new web site reporting requirements that include daily transactional data on all firm and interruptible contracts and daily reporting of scheduled quantities at points or segments.

The new reporting requirements became effective September 1, 2000. CONSOL Energy cannot predict what action the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission will take on these matters, nor can it accurately predict whether the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's actions will, over the long-term, achieve the goal of increasing competition in markets in which CONSOL Energy's gas is sold.

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission has also issued numerous orders confirming the sale and abandonment of natural gas gathering facilities previously owned by interstate pipelines and acknowledging that if the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission does not have jurisdiction over services provided by these facilities, then such facilities and services may be subject to regulation by state authorities in accordance with state law. A number of states have either enacted new laws or are considering the adequacy of existing laws affecting gathering rates and/or services. Other state regulation of gathering facilities generally includes various safety, environmental, and in some circumstances,

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

nondiscriminatory take requirements, but does not generally entail rate regulation. Thus, natural gas gathering may receive greater regulatory scrutiny of state agencies in the future. CONSOL Energy's gathering operations could be adversely affected should they be subject in the future to increased state regulation of rates or services, although CONSOL Energy does not believe that it would be affected by such regulation any differently than other natural gas producers or gatherers. In addition, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's approval of transfers of previously-regulated gathering systems to independent

Table of Contents

or pipeline affiliated gathering companies that are not subject to Federal Energy Regulatory Commission regulation may affect competition for gathering or natural gas marketing services in areas served by those systems and thus may affect both the costs and the nature of gathering services that will be available to interested producers or shippers in the future.

CONSOL Energy owns certain natural gas pipeline facilities that it believes meet the traditional tests which the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission has used to establish a pipeline's status as a gatherer not subject to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission jurisdiction. Whether on state or federal land, natural gas gathering may receive greater regulatory scrutiny in the post-Order No. 636 environment.

Additional proposals and proceedings that might affect the gas industry are pending before Congress, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, the Minerals Management Service, state commissions and the courts. CONSOL Energy cannot predict when or whether any such proposals may become effective. In the past, the natural gas industry has been heavily regulated. There is no assurance that the regulatory approach currently pursued by various agencies will continue indefinitely. Notwithstanding the foregoing, CONSOL Energy does not anticipate that compliance with existing federal, state and local laws, rules and regulations will have a material or significantly adverse effect upon the capital expenditures, earnings or competitive position of CONSOL Energy or its subsidiaries. No material portion of CONSOL Energy's business is subject to renegotiation of profits or termination of contracts or subcontracts at the election of the federal government.

State Regulation of Gas Operations United States

CONSOL Energy's operations are also subject to regulation at the state and in some cases, county, municipal and local governmental levels. Such regulation includes requiring permits for the drilling of wells, maintaining bonding requirements in order to drill or operate wells and regulating the location of wells, the method of drilling and casing wells, the surface use and restoration of properties upon which wells are drilled, the plugging and abandoning of wells and the disposal of fluids used in connection with operations. CONSOL Energy's operations are also subject to various conservation laws and regulations. These include regulations that affect the size of drilling and spacing units or proration units and the density of wells which may be drilled and the unitization or pooling of gas properties. In addition, state conservation laws establish maximum rates of production from gas wells, generally prohibit the venting or flaring of gas and impose certain requirements regarding the ratability of production. State regulation of gathering facilities generally includes various safety, environmental and, in some circumstances, nondiscriminatory take requirements, but does not generally entail rate regulation. These regulatory burdens may affect profitability, and CONSOL Energy is unable to predict the future cost or impact of complying with such regulations.

Properties

See *Coal Operations* and *Gas Operations* for a description of CONSOL Energy's properties.

Legal Proceedings

CONSOL Energy is subject to various lawsuits and claims with respect to matters such as personal injury, wrongful death, damage to property, exposure to hazardous substances, environmental remediation, employment and contract disputes, and other claims and actions arising out of the normal course of business.

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

One of CONSOL Energy's subsidiaries, Fairmont Supply Company, which distributes industrial supplies, currently is defending against approximately 22,600 asbestos claims in state courts in Pennsylvania, Ohio, West Virginia, Maryland, New Jersey and Mississippi. Because a very small percentage of products manufactured by third parties and supplied by Fairmont in the past may have contained asbestos and many of the pending claims are part of mass complaints filed by hundreds of plaintiffs against a hundred or more defendants, it has been difficult for Fairmont to determine how many of the cases actually involve valid claims or plaintiffs who were

Table of Contents

actually exposed to asbestos-containing products supplied by Fairmont. In addition, while Fairmont may be entitled to indemnity or contribution from manufacturers of identified products in certain jurisdictions, the availability of such indemnity or contribution is unclear at this time and, in recent years, some of the manufacturers named as defendants in these actions have sought protection from these claims under bankruptcy laws. Fairmont has no insurance coverage with respect to these asbestos cases. To date, payments by Fairmont with respect to asbestos cases have not been material. However, there cannot be any assurance that payments in the future with respect to pending or future asbestos cases will not be material to the financial position, results of operations or cash flows of CONSOL Energy.

In September 1991, CONSOL Energy was named a potentially responsible party related to the Buckeye Landfill Superfund Site. The estimated total remaining remediation cost for all potentially responsible parties is approximately \$15 million at December 31, 2003. CONSOL Energy's portion of this claim is approximately 15% to 20%. CONSOL Energy believes it has a liability for the remaining remediation costs of approximately \$2.7 million at December 31, 2003. To date, CONSOL Energy has paid \$2.3 million for remediation of this waste disposal site and related expenses.

On October 21, 2003, a complaint was filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania on behalf of Seth Moorhead against CONSOL Energy, J. Brett Harvey and William J. Lyons. The complaint alleges, among other things, that the defendants violated Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 promulgated under the Exchange Act and that during the period between January 24, 2002 and July 18, 2002 the defendants issued false and misleading statements to the public that failed to disclose or misrepresented the following, among other things that:

CONSOL Energy utilized an aggressive approach regarding its spot market sales by reserving 20% of its production to that market, and that by increasing its exposure to the spot market, CONSOL Energy was subjecting itself to increased risk and uncertainty as the price and demand for coal could be volatile;

CONSOL Energy was experiencing difficulty selling the production that it had allocated to the spot market, and, nonetheless, CONSOL Energy maintained its production levels which caused its inventory to increase;

CONSOL Energy's increasing coal inventory was causing its expenses to rise dramatically, thereby weakening the company's financial condition; and

based on the foregoing, defendants' positive statements regarding CONSOL Energy's earnings and prospects were lacking in a reasonable basis at all times and therefore were materially false and misleading.

The complaint asks the court to (1) award unspecified damages to plaintiff and (2) award plaintiff reasonable costs and expenses incurred in connection with this action, including counsel fees and expert fees. Two other class action complaints have purportedly been filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania against CONSOL Energy and certain officers and directors. CONSOL Energy has not yet been served with either purported complaint.

In the opinion of management, the ultimate liabilities resulting from pending lawsuits and claims will not materially affect its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT**

The following is a list of CONSOL Energy's executive officers and directors, their ages as of February 1, 2004 and their positions and offices held with CONSOL Energy.

Name	Age	Position
J. Brett Harvey	53	President and Chief Executive Officer and Director
Peter B. Lilly	55	Chief Operating Officer - Coal
Ronald E. Smith	55	Executive Vice President - Gas Operations, Land Resources and Engineering Services
William J. Lyons	55	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Ronald G. Stovash	55	Senior Vice President of Central Appalachia Operations and Marketing
Stephen E. Williams	55	Vice President - General Counsel and Secretary
John L. Whitmire	63	Chairman of the Board of Directors
James E. Altmeyer, Sr.	65	Director
Phillip W. Baxter	55	Director
William E. Davis	61	Director
Raj K. Gupta	61	Director
Patricia A. Hammick	57	Director
William P. Powell	48	Director
Joseph T. Williams	66	Director

J. Brett Harvey, has been President and Chief Executive and a Director of CONSOL Energy Inc. since January 1998. Prior to joining CONSOL Energy Inc., Mr. Harvey served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of PacifiCorp Energy Inc., a subsidiary of PacifiCorp, from March 1995 until January 1998. Mr. Harvey was also President and Chief Executive Officer of Interwest Mining Company from January 1993 to January 1998, Vice President of PacifiCorp Fuels from November 1993 until January 1998. Mr. Harvey is Chairman of the National Mining Association and a representative of the NMA on the Marine Transportation System National Advisory Council, Vice Chairman of the World Coal Institute, member of the IEA Coal Industry Advisory Board, Vice Chairman of Waterways Council, Inc., member of the Board of Directors of the Bituminous Coal Operators' Association, member of the Executive Committee and the Board of the Center for Energy & Economic Development and Chairman of its finance committee, member of the CEO Group of the Coal based Generation Stakeholders, member of the Executive Advisory Board of the Virginia Coal Field Development Authority, member of the National Coal Council, member of The Conservation Fund Corporate Counsel and Chairman of the Greater Pittsburgh Council of Boy Scouts of America. He received a bachelor's degree in Mining Engineering from the University of Utah.

Peter B. Lilly has been Chief Operating Officer-Coal of CONSOL Energy since October 2002. Prior to joining CONSOL Energy, Mr. Lilly served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Triton Coal Company LLC and Vulcan Coal Holdings LLC from 1998 to 2002. Between 1991 and 1998, he served in various positions with Peabody Holding Company, Inc. - President and Chief Operating Officer from 1995 to 1998, Executive Vice President from 1994 to 1995, and as president of Eastern Associated Coal Corporation from 1991 to 1994.

Ronald E. Smith has been Executive Vice President - Gas Operations, Land Resources and Engineering Services of CONSOL Energy since April 1, 1992.

William J. Lyons has been Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of CONSOL Energy since February 1, 2001. From January 1, 1995 to February 1, 2001, Mr. Lyons held the position of Vice President - Controller for CONSOL Energy.

Ronald G. Stovash has been Senior Vice President of Central Appalachia Operations and Marketing for CONSOL Energy since July 2003. From April 2003 to July 2003, Mr. Stovash held the position of Vice President Sales and Marketing. From October 1999 to April 2003, Mr. Stovash held the position of Vice President Marketing. Prior to October 1999, Mr. Stovash was Vice President of Morgantown Operations for CONSOL Energy.

Table of Contents

Stephen E. Williams has been Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary of CONSOL Energy since March 2003. Prior to joining CONSOL Energy, Mr. Williams was a partner in, and Of Counsel to, McGuireWoods LLP, where he was the head of its energy and utilities section. From 1993 until 2000, Mr. Williams served as Senior Vice President and General Counsel of Consolidated Natural Gas Company, and held various positions with subsidiaries of that company commencing in 1974.

John L. Whitmire, Chairman of the Board of Directors, CONSOL Energy, has served as Chairman of the Board of Directors of CONSOL Energy Inc. since March 3, 1999. Mr. Whitmire currently serves ex officio on the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee and the Nominating, and Corporate Governance Committee. Prior to his election, Mr. Whitmire was the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Union Texas Petroleum Holdings, Inc., a position that he held from January 1996 until September 1998 when Union Texas Petroleum was acquired by ARCO. Before joining Union Texas Petroleum, Mr. Whitmire served for more than 30 years in various executive capacities with Phillips Petroleum Company, including Executive Vice President Exploration and Production, and as a Director from January 1994 to January 1996. Mr. Whitmire is a Director of the National Audubon Society, Global Santa Fe Corporation and El Paso Corporation. Mr. Whitmire received a Bachelor of Science degree in Mechanical Engineering from New Mexico State University.

James E. Altmeyer, Sr., Director, has been a Director of CONSOL Energy Inc. since November 2003. He currently serves as a member of the Audit Committee and Compensation Committee. Mr. Altmeyer has been President and CEO of Altmeyer Funeral Homes, Inc. of West Virginia, Ohio, and Virginia since 1972. He also has been president of Altmeyer Realty, a real estate holding company, and of Martin-Steadfast Insurance Company since 1972. Mr. Altmeyer has served on the Board of Directors of Wesbanco since 1987 and currently serves on its audit committee. Wesbanco is a multi-state bank holding company with offices in Pennsylvania, West Virginia and Ohio. Mr. Altmeyer also serves as a member of the executive committee of the Board of Directors of Wheeling Hospital; Chairman of the Fire Department Civil Service Commission of Wheeling; President of the American Legion Home Corporation; Vice Chairman of the Chambers Foundation and, in April 2003, he was appointed to the and on the U.S. Small Business Administration Advisory Council for Veterans Business Affairs. Mr. Altmeyer is a graduate of the U.S. Military Academy, West Point, New York.

Philip W. Baxter, Director, CONSOL Energy, was elected to the Board of Directors of CONSOL Energy Inc. in August 1999. Mr. Baxter currently serves as Chairman of the Audit Committee and as a member of the Compensation Committee. Mr. Baxter has been the President of Stan Johnson Company, a nationally recognized leader in commercial real estate brokerage specializing in single-tenant properties, since 2002. Mr. Baxter was Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President of the Tulsa-based energy conglomerate, Mapco Inc., in March 1998 when it merged with The Williams Company. During his 18 year career at Mapco, Mr. Baxter held a number of officer level positions including Chief Information Officer and Senior Vice President of Strategic Planning. Prior to his career at Mapco, he held a number of financial positions with Williams Energy Company, a subsidiary of The Williams Company. Mr. Baxter received a bachelor's degree in Business Administration from the University of Oklahoma and is a 1992 graduate of the Darden Executive Program of the University of Virginia.

William E. Davis, Director, CONSOL Energy, joined the Board of Directors in January 2004. He currently serves as a member of the Audit Committee and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Mr. Davis has been a Director of Abitibi Consolidated, the world's largest producer of newsprint and value-added paper since April 2003. Mr. Davis was the former Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer of Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation, an electricity and natural gas utility located in upstate New York from May 1993 to February 2002. Following the sale of Niagara Mohawk in February 2002, Mr. Davis served as Chairman of National Grid USA and as an Executive Director of National Grid (UK) until April 1, 2003 when he retired. Prior to joining Niagara Mohawk, from August 1984 to February 1990, Mr. Davis was Executive Deputy

Table of Contents

Commissioner of the New York State Energy Office. He currently serves on the Board of Trustees of Syracuse University, where he is a member of the executive committee and chairs the Academic Affairs Committee, and the Metropolitan Development Foundation of Central New York, where he serves as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Davis received a Master of Science Degree from George Washington University in 1971 and a Bachelor of Science degree in 1964 from the Naval Academy.

Raj K. Gupta, Director, CONSOL Energy, joined the Board in February 2004. He currently serves as a member of the Audit Committee and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. From 1965 to 2000, Mr. Gupta held various management positions with Phillips Petroleum Company, an international integrated oil and gas company now part of ConocoPhillips, including as Vice President of Strategic Planning, managing the company's strategic planning, growth and globalization efforts in South America, China, the Middle East and the former Soviet Union. He currently serves on the Board of Directors of Yukos Oil Company, Moscow, Russia, chairs its compensation committee and is a member of its audit and finance committees. Mr. Gupta earned a Master's degree in Industrial Engineering and Management Science from Kansas State University and a Bachelor of Science degree in Mechanical Engineering with Honors from Birla Engineering College in India.

Patricia A. Hammick, Director, CONSOL Energy, has served on the Board of Directors since June 2001. She currently serves as Chairman of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and a member of the Compensation Committee. She previously served on the Audit Committee in addition to being Chairman of a Special Committee. Ms. Hammick was Senior Vice President and a member of the management committee of Columbia Energy Group from 1998 through 2000 and was Vice President, Corporate Strategic Planning, for Columbia Energy Group from 1997 to 1998. She served as the Chief Operations Officer for the National Gas Supply Association in Washington, D.C. from 1983 to 1996 and held a management position with Gulf Oil Exploration and Production Company from 1979 through 1983. Prior to 1979, she worked for the American Petroleum Institute, the Center for Naval Analysis and the Naval Weapons Center. Ms. Hammick is currently an independent director of Dynergy, Inc. where she serves on the audit and governance committees. She became an adjunct professor in graduate studies at the George Washington University in 2001. She is a member of the Arthur Page Society and the National Association of Corporate Directors. Ms. Hammick received a bachelor's degree in chemical physics and mathematics from Rice University in 1968, a master's degree in Physics from the University of California in 1970, and her doctorate degree in Mathematical Statistics from George Washington University in 1989. She has received additional management and executive training at The Wharton School's Aresty Institute of Executive Education and Boston University's Gulf Oil Management Institute.

William P. Powell, Director, CONSOL Energy, has served on the Board of Directors since January 2004. He currently serves as chairman of the Compensation Committee and as a member of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Mr. Powell has been a Managing Director of Williams Street Advisors, a New York City-based investment banking advising boutique since May 2001. From 1998 to 2001, Mr. Powell served as Joint Global Head of the General Industrial Group of the Corporate Finance Department of UBS AG. Mr. Powell has been a Director of Cytec Industries, where he chairs the governance committee and has served on the audit committee since 1993. Mr. Powell has also served on the Board of Directors of International Executive Service Corp. since 1999. Mr. Powell received a Master of Business Administration degree from Duke University in 1980.

Joseph T. Williams, Director, CONSOL Energy Inc., has served on the Board of Directors since January 2004, and is a member of the Compensation Committee and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Mr. Williams is a retired oil and natural gas industry executive who has held positions as Chairman or Chief Executive Officer or both for NASDAQ, American, and New York Stock Exchange listed companies. Most recently, from October 2000 to December 2001, Mr. Williams served as Chairman of DevX Energy, Inc. From July 1998 to August 1999, Mr. Williams was the President and Chief Executive Officer of MCN Investment Corporation, a subsidiary of MCN Energy, Inc. Early in his career, Mr. Williams spent 18 years with Chevron Corp. where he held management positions with increasing responsibility in both domestic and international operations. His executive positions after leaving Chevron included assignments at Mitchell

Table of Contents

Energy and Development Corp., Lear Petroleum Corporation, and PG & E Resources Company where, as President and CEO, he oversaw the acquisition of several significant companies in building a mid-size independent oil and gas exploration and production company. He was a member of a number of industry organizations and was President of the Dallas Petroleum Club and Chairman of the Dallas Wildcat Committee. He is currently a member of the Society of Petroleum Engineers and the 25 Year Club of the Petroleum Industry. Mr. Williams received a Bachelor of Science in Petroleum Engineering from the University of Texas in 1960.

Compensation of Directors

Members of the Board of Directors who are employees of CONSOL Energy or any of its subsidiaries are not compensated for service on the Board of Directors or on any of its Committees. Members of the Board, other than Mr. Whitmire, who are not employees of CONSOL Energy or any of its subsidiaries receive an annual Board membership fee of \$30,000; an attendance fee of \$2,000 for each meeting of the Board of Directors; an attendance fee of \$2,000 for each meeting of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors and, if Chairman of the Audit Committee, a \$10,000 annual fee, an attendance fee of \$1,000 for each meeting of the Compensation Committee or Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board of Directors upon which they serve and, if Chairman of either of these Committees, an annual fee of \$2,000; and, in accordance with the terms of the Corporation's Equity Incentive Plan, an initial stock option grant of 4,000 shares and, thereafter, an annual grant of stock options to acquire 2,500 shares.

Audit Committee

The Board of Directors has determined that all members of the Audit Committee are financially literate and, within the meaning of Securities and Exchange Commission rules, Philip W. Baxter is independent and the Audit Committee financial expert.

Executive Compensation

The following table discloses the compensation for Mr. Harvey, the President and Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Koether, Executive Vice President until September 30, 2003, and the other four most highly compensated executive officers of CONSOL Energy or its subsidiaries who were serving as an executive officer at fiscal year ended December 31, 2003 whose annual salary plus other forms of compensation exceeded \$100,000 (the named executive officers). The information presented for 2001 represents the period from July 1, 2000 through June 30, 2001. The information provided for 2001T represents the period from July 1, 2001 through December 31, 2001. At January 1, 2002, CONSOL Energy converted to a fiscal year ending December 31, 2002.

Table of Contents**Summary Compensation Table**

Principal Position	Year	Annual Compensation (\$)			Long Term Compensation			
		Salary	Bonus ¹	Other Annual Compensation ²	Awards		Payouts	
					Restricted Stock Award (#)	# Securities Options/SARs	LTIP Payouts	All Other Compensation ³
J. Brett Harvey	2003	586,154	300,000 ⁴	62,893 ⁵		120,100		11,769
	2002	564,304		88,430 ⁵		120,000	72,800	12,000
President and Chief Executive Officer	2001T	273,228	650,000			120,000		5,100
	2001	485,752	410,000	60,979 ⁵		120,000	400,000	10,200
Christoph Koether	2003	230,270	364,388 ⁹			65,100		9,462
	2002	261,435				65,000		12,000
Executive Vice President	2001T	114,930		34,840 ⁶		92,500		
	2001	18,750				4,000		
Peter Lilly	2003	371,231	55,575 ⁴	153,567 ⁷		80,100		11,769
	2002	69,180		49,003 ⁷		80,000		
Chief Operating Officer Coal								1,700
Ronald E. Smith	2003	298,411	178,694 ⁴			65,100		11,769
	2002	286,530				55,000	30,940	12,000
Executive Vice President	2001T	132,900	335,071			55,000		5,100
	2001	244,800	180,000			43,000	140,000	10,200
William J. Lyons	2003	219,808	91,125 ⁴			25,100		11,769
	2002	198,135				20,000	8,190	11,823
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2001T	91,650	149,058			23,000		5,100
	2001	167,290	60,000			33,000	40,000	9,786
Ronald G. Stovash	2003	199,528	52,298 ⁴			18,100		11,400
	2002	184,260		22,330 ⁸		10,500	9,100	11,906
Senior Vice President	2001T	88,035	115,174			10,500		5,081
	2001	168,135	65,000	10,991 ⁸		10,500	76,720	9,992

1 Bonuses represent amounts paid during the period, but accrued with respect to previous periods.

2 Except as indicated, other annual compensation in the form of perquisites and other personal benefits has been omitted because it accounted for less than the lesser of \$50,000 or 10% of the total salary and bonus for the period reported. Perquisites and other personal benefits include payments for transfer assistance, travel expense, car allowance, financial planning assistance, use of corporate aircraft and country club membership fees.

3 Represents matching contributions to CONSOL Energy's 401(k) Plan.

4 Represents additional compensation awards granted by the Board not falling under the CONSOL Energy short-term plan.

5 Includes (a) \$27,642 in 2003 for financial planning assistance, (b) \$42,598 in 2002 for use of corporate aircraft and (c) \$18,333 in 2001 for transfer assistance.

6 Includes \$29,140 for transfer assistance.

7 Includes (a) \$100,000 in 2003 for lost compensation consideration and (b) \$46,551 in 2002 for transfer assistance.

8 Includes (a) in 2002, \$12,250 for country club membership fees and \$9,511 for car allowance and (b) in 2001, \$6,235 for country club membership fees and \$3,429 for car allowance.

9

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

Includes \$178,694 for severance payment in anticipation of short-term plan payout and additional compensation awards granted by the board not falling under the short-term plan.

Stock Option Grants

In April 2003, the Board of Directors of CONSOL Energy granted to eligible employees nonqualified stock options to acquire an aggregate of 1,237,700 shares of common stock at an exercise price equal to \$17.20 per share, the closing price on the date of the grant. These nonqualified stock options become exercisable in increments beginning in April 2004. The following table sets forth the individual grants of stock options made to Mr. Harvey and the other named executive officers of CONSOL Energy or its subsidiaries during the twelve months ended December 31, 2003. There were no stock appreciation rights granted during the twelve months ended December 31, 2003.

Table of Contents**Option/SAR Grants in Last Fiscal Year**

Name	Number of Securities Underlying Options/SARs Granted	% of Total Options/Granted to Employees In Fiscal Year	Exercise or Base Price (\$/Sh)	Expiration Date	Potential Realizable Value at Assumed Annual Rates of Stock Price Appreciation for Option Term	
					\$	
					5% (%)	10% (%)
J. Brett Harvey	120,100	9.7	17.20	2013	1,299,120	3,292,226
Peter Lilly	80,100	6.5	17.20	2013	866,441	2,195,731
Ronald E. Smith	65,100	5.2	17.20	2013	704,186	1,784,545
William J. Lyons	25,100	2.0	17.20	2013	271,506	688,050
Christoph Koether (1)	65,100	5.2	17.20	2013	704,186	1,784,545
Ronald G. Stovash	18,100	1.4	17.20	2013	195,787	496,164

(1) Mr. Koether served as Executive Vice President until September 30, 2003 and as a Director until October 22, 2003.

The stock options granted to these executive officers will terminate ten years after the date on which they were granted. The stock options will vest 25% per year, beginning one year after the grant date, except for 100 shares which fully vest one year after the grant. The vesting of the options will accelerate upon a change of control of CONSOL Energy. The stock options will terminate upon the occurrence of the following events:

immediately, if the employee is terminated for cause or his employment has been terminated for any other reason and he breaches a covenant not to compete with CONSOL Energy;

within three months if the employee is terminated without cause (except for reduction in force) or does so voluntarily; or

within three years following the death of the employee.

The following table sets forth the number of aggregated stock options which became exercisable or which were unexercisable for Mr. Harvey, Mr. Koether, Executive Vice President until September 30, 2003 and the other named executive officers of CONSOL Energy or its subsidiaries at December 31, 2003. There were no stock appreciation rights which were or became exercisable during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003.

**Aggregated Option/SAR Exercises in Last Fiscal Year
and Fiscal Year-End Option/SAR Values**

Name	Shares Acquired on Exercise	Value Realized (\$)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options/SARs at Fiscal Year End	Value of Unexercised in-the-Money Options/SARs at Fiscal Year-End (\$)
			(#)	
			Exercisable/ Unexercisable	Exercisable/ Unexercisable (1)
J. Brett Harvey			300,100/300,100	2,194,575/2,363,595
Peter Lilly			20,000/140,100	256,000/1,464,870
Ronald E. Smith			117,600/144,600	-833,159/1,149,523
William J. Lyons			55,350/59,850	375,466/461,192
Christoph Koether	16,250	165,225	50,250/160,100	3,937/1,169,445
Ronald G. Stovash			28,250/33,850	210,835/272,858

Table of Contents

(1) Calculated on the basis of the closing sale price of \$25.90 per share on December 31, 2003, less the exercise price per share.

The following table summarizes our equity compensation plan information as of December 31, 2003. Information is included for equity compensation plans approved by CONSOL Energy shareholders.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))
(a)	(b)	(c)	
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	4,280,878	\$19.2359	1,991,175
Total	4,280,878		

The Equity Incentive Plan was approved prior to CONSOL Energy's initial public offering by its then shareholders. There are not any equity plans that have not been approved by shareholders. In April 2003, the shareholders approved an amendment to the Equity Incentive Plan, including an increase in the total number of shares of common stock that can be covered by grants to a total of 6,500,000 shares.

Retirement Benefits

Pension benefits for salaried employees under the CONSOL Energy Inc. Retirement Plan are based on an employee's years of service and average monthly pay during the employee's three highest-paid years. Average monthly pay for this purpose includes regular compensation and 100% of annual variable compensation payments, but excludes other bonuses and compensation in excess of limits imposed by the Internal Revenue Code. The Internal Revenue Code limits the amount of annual benefits which may be payable from the pension trust. Retirement benefits provided under the pension plan in excess of these limitations are paid from CONSOL Energy's general revenues under separate, nonfunded pension restoration plans.

Pension Plan Table

Remuneration	Years of Service			
	15	20	25	30
\$ 90,000	\$ 21,600	\$ 28,800	\$ 35,500	\$ 36,700

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

\$145,000	\$ 34,800	\$ 46,400	\$ 57,200	\$ 58,900
\$200,000	\$ 48,000	\$ 64,000	\$ 79,000	\$ 81,100
\$255,000	\$ 61,200	\$ 81,500	\$ 100,700	\$ 103,300
\$310,000	\$ 74,400	\$ 99,100	\$ 122,400	\$ 125,500
\$365,000	\$ 87,600	\$ 116,700	\$ 144,100	\$ 147,700
\$420,000	\$ 100,800	\$ 134,300	\$ 165,800	\$ 169,900
\$530,000	\$ 127,200	\$ 169,500	\$ 209,200	\$ 214,300
\$640,000	\$ 153,600	\$ 204,600	\$ 252,600	\$ 258,600
\$750,000	\$ 180,000	\$ 239,800	\$ 296,100	\$ 303,000

Table of Contents

The foregoing table illustrates the straight life annuity amounts payable under the Pension and Retirement Plan and pension restoration plans to CONSOL Energy employees retiring at age 65 in 2003. Amounts shown above are subject to deduction for Social Security payments. The current years of service credited for retirement benefits for the named executive officers are as follows:

J. B. Harvey	17	R. G. Stovash	28
R. E. Smith	28	W. J. Lyons	28
P. B. Lilly	1	C. Koether	0

Employment Agreements

Employment Agreement with Mr. Harvey. J. Brett Harvey entered into an employment agreement with CONSOL Energy Inc. on December 11, 1997, as amended April 5, 2000. Under the terms of this contract, Mr. Harvey assumed his current position as the President and Chief Executive Officer on January 1, 1998. The employment agreement provides for a term through December 31, 2003. The term of the agreement is to be extended for an additional year, or through December 31, 2005, if Mr. Harvey remains employed by CONSOL Energy on December 31 of the year 2002. The term of the agreement can be extended for one year in a similar manner in succeeding years, e.g., if employed on December 31, 2003, the term is extended through December 31, 2006, but in no case is the term of the employment agreement to be extended beyond December 31, 2007, and it may be terminated earlier. Mr. Harvey's employment will terminate:

if he becomes disabled and would be eligible to receive disability benefits under CONSOL Energy Inc.'s employee retirement plan;

if either party terminates the agreement; or

for cause as determined by the Board of Directors of CONSOL Energy at any time.

If the agreement is terminated other than by CONSOL Energy for cause or if Mr. Harvey resigns, Mr. Harvey will receive severance payments in an amount equal to any incentive compensation received in the preceding 12 months and his then current base salary. These amounts would be paid to Mr. Harvey until the end of the term of the employment agreement. In the event of termination for cause, Mr. Harvey's compensation and benefits terminate at the end of the month in which the notice of termination is given.

Mr. Harvey receives a yearly base salary of \$600,000. He is entitled to participate in all incentive compensation programs for senior management of CONSOL Energy, including short-term and long-term incentive pay programs. He also is eligible for all employee benefit plans and policies applicable to CONSOL Energy employees. For employee retirement plan purposes, Mr. Harvey has been granted 11 years of additional service credit representing his years of employment at PacifiCorp, deducting from any such benefits amounts paid or payable to him pursuant to any retirement or similar plans of PacifiCorp.

Mr. Harvey's employment agreement contains certain confidentiality and non-competition obligations. Mr. Harvey must keep CONSOL Energy's non-public information confidential during the term of the employment agreement and for a period of 12 months after his termination. Mr. Harvey has agreed not to compete with the business of CONSOL Energy for so long as he receives severance benefits under the terms of the employment agreement.

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

Agreement with John L. Whitmire. CONSOL Energy entered into an agreement with Mr. Whitmire on February 22, 1999 pursuant to which he was engaged as the non-executive Chairman of the Board of CONSOL Energy, subject to election by our shareholders. Under the terms of the agreement, Mr. Whitmire receives cash compensation of \$100,000, shares of common stock having a fair market value of \$225,000 and stock options having a fair market value of \$25,000 each year. Initially, Mr. Whitmire was elected to serve as the Chairman of the Board by the shareholders of CONSOL Energy Inc. on March 3, 1999.

Table of Contents

Change in Control Agreements

On July 21, 2003, CONSOL Energy entered into a Change In Control Severance Agreement with each of Messrs. Harvey, Lilly, Smith, Lyons, and Stovash (each an Executive and, collectively, the Executives), which provides that if Executive is terminated (1) after, or in connection with, a Change in Control, as defined, for any reason other than cause, death or disability or if, within the two year period after a Change in Control, he is constructively terminated (which includes (a) an adverse change in his position, (b) a reduction in annual base salary or target bonus or a material reduction in employee benefits, (c) a material change in circumstances as determined by Executive, (d) the liquidation, dissolution, merger, consolidation or reorganization of CONSOL Energy or transfer of substantially all of our business or assets or (e) the relocation of Executive's principal work location to a location that increases his normal commute by more than 50 miles or that requires travel increases by an unreasonable amount or (2) other than for cause, death or disability, not more than three months prior to the date on which a Change in Control occurs or, at the request of a third party who initiates a Change in Control, Executive will receive:

a lump sum cash payment equal to (A) a multiple of Executive's base pay, plus (B) a multiple of Executive's incentive pay (the multiple, in each case, for Mr. Harvey is three, for Mr. Lilly 2.5, for Mr. Smith 2.0, for Mr. Lyons 2.0 and for Mr. Stovash 1.5);

a pro rated payment of his incentive pay for the year in which his termination of employment occurs;

for a specified period (for Mr. Harvey 36 months, for Mr. Lilly 30 months, for Mr. Smith 24 months, for Mr. Lyons 24 months and for Mr. Stovash 18 months), the continuation of medical and dental coverage (or a lump sum payment in lieu of continuation);

a lump sum cash payment equal to the total amount that Executive would have received under our 401(k) plan (for Mr. Harvey 36 months, for Mr. Lilly 30 months, for Mr. Smith 24 months, for Mr. Lyons 24 months and for Mr. Stovash 18 months) as a CONSOL Energy match if he was eligible to participate in our 401(k) plan for a specified period after his termination date and he contributed the maximum amount to the plan for the match;

a lump sum cash payment equal to the difference between the present value of his accrued pension benefits at his termination date under our qualified defined benefit plan and (if eligible) its pension restoration plan (together, the pension plans) and the present value of the accrued pension benefits to which Executive would have been entitled under the pension plans if he had continued participation in those plans for a specified period after his termination date (for Mr. Harvey 36 months, for Mr. Lilly 30 months, for Mr. Smith 24 months, for Mr. Lyons 24 months and for Mr. Stovash 18 months);

a lump sum cash payment of \$25,000 in order to cover the cost of outplacement assistance services and other expenses associated with seeking other employment; and

any amounts earned, accrued or owing but not yet paid as of his termination date, payable in a lump sum, and any benefits accrued or earned in accordance with the terms of any applicable benefit plans and programs of CONSOL Energy.

In addition, upon a Change in Control, all equity will become fully vested and/or exercisable on the date the Change in Control occurs and all stock options or stock appreciation rights will remain exercisable for the period set forth in the applicable award agreement.

A Change in Control means:

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

the acquisition after the date of the change in control severance agreement by any individual, entity or group (within the meaning of section 13(d)(3) or 14(d)(2) of the Exchange Act) (a Person) of beneficial ownership (within the meaning of Rule 13d-3 promulgated under the Exchange Act) of more than 25% of the combined voting power of the then outstanding voting stock of CONSOL Energy, other than any such acquisition (A) directly from CONSOL Energy that is approved by the incumbent board (as defined), (B) by CONSOL Energy or by any employee benefit plan (or related trust) sponsored or maintained by CONSOL Energy or any subsidiary, (C) by an underwriter in connection with a public offering, or (D) in a business combination that complies with the foregoing; or

Table of Contents

individuals who constitute the Board as of the date that RWE first beneficially owned 50% or less of the voting stock of CONSOL Energy (the incumbent board) (or whose election was approved by two-thirds of the incumbent board, other than any director elected as a result of an actual or threatened election contest) cease for any reason to constitute at least a majority of the Board; or

consummation of a reorganization, merger or consolidation of CONSOL Energy or a direct or indirect wholly owned subsidiary of CONSOL Energy, a sale or other disposition of all or substantially all of the assets of CONSOL Energy, or certain other transactions involving CONSOL Energy (each, a business combination), unless, in each case, immediately following such business combination, (A) all or substantially all of the individuals and entities who were the beneficial owners of voting stock of CONSOL Energy immediately prior to such business combination beneficially own, directly or indirectly, more than 50% of the combined voting power of the then outstanding shares of voting stock of the entity resulting from such business combination or any direct or indirect parent corporation of such entity, (B) no person other than CONSOL Energy beneficially owns 25% or more of the combined voting power of the then outstanding shares of voting stock of the entity resulting from such business combination or any direct or indirect parent corporation of such entity, and (C) at least a majority of the members of the Board of Directors of the entity resulting from such business combination or any direct or indirect parent corporation thereof are members of the incumbent board at the time of the execution of the initial agreement or of the action of the Board providing for such business combination; or

approval by the stockholders of CONSOL Energy of a complete liquidation or dissolution of CONSOL Energy, except pursuant to certain business combinations.

With respect to each of Messrs. Harvey, Lilly, Smith and Lyons, if it is determined that any payment or distribution (a Payment) by CONSOL Energy to or for his benefit would constitute an excess parachute payment within the meaning of section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code), CONSOL Energy will pay to him an additional amount (the Gross-Up Payment), subject to certain limitations, such that the net amount retained by him after deduction of any excise tax imposed under section 4999 of the Code, and any tax imposed upon the Gross-Up Payment, will be equal to the Payment.

The Change in Control Severance Agreement contains confidentiality, non-competition and non-solicitation obligations.

The term of the Change in Control Severance Agreement is three years, subject to a one year extension annually, commencing on January 1, 2005, unless CONSOL Energy gives notice not later than October 31 of the preceding year that it does not wish to extend the Agreement. Regardless of any such notice by CONSOL Energy, the Agreement will continue in effect for a period of 24 months beyond the term provided in the Agreement if a Change in Control occurs during the period that the Agreement is in effect.

Table of Contents**CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS**

We sell coal to RWE AG and its subsidiaries, which until February 2004, beneficially owned more than 10% of our common stock, on a basis reflecting the market value of the product. For the year ended December 31, 2003 such sales amounted to \$1.4 million with related freight revenue of \$0.6 million.

Also, RWE Rhenas, a subsidiary of RWE AG, periodically provided insurance brokerage coverage services to us without fee. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2003, we expensed \$2.8 million of insurance expense brokered through this subsidiary of RWE AG. Since September 30, 2003, this subsidiary no longer provided these services and we have been insured through an independent insurance company.

PRINCIPAL AND SELLING STOCKHOLDERS**Principal Stockholders**

The following table sets forth at March 9, 2004, information with respect to beneficial ownership by (1) beneficial owners of more than five percent of CONSOL Energy's common stock known by CONSOL Energy, based upon information filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, (2) each director and each nominee for director, (3) each executive officer named in the Summary Compensation table and (4) all directors and executive officers of CONSOL Energy as a group. The address of the directors and executive officers of CONSOL Energy is c/o CONSOL Energy Inc., 1800 Washington Road, Pittsburgh, PA 15241, and, unless otherwise indicated, the named person has the sole voting or investment powers with respect to shares of CONSOL Energy Common Stock set forth opposite such person's name.

<u>Name and Address</u>	<u>Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership (1)</u>	<u>Percent</u>
Capital Research and Management Company (2) 333 South Hope Street Los Angeles, CA 90071	8,953,800	9.96
Wellington Management Company, LLP (3) 75 State Street Boston, MA 02109	8,692,200	9.67
GLG Partners (4) 1 Curzon Street London, England W1J5HB	8,350,000	9.28
Eubel Brady & Suttman Asset Management, Inc. (5)	5,174,458	5.75

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

7777 Washington Village Drive

Suite 210

Dayton, OH 45459

State Street Research & Management Company (6)	5,100,000	5.60
One Financial Center, 31st Floor		
Boston, MA 02111-2690		
J. Brett Harvey (1)	353,823	*
Ronald E. Smith (1)	142,667	*
Christoph Koether (1)	66,600	*
William J. Lyons (1)	65,852	*
Peter B. Lilly (1)	45,100	*
Ronald G. Stovash (1)	34,450	*
John L. Whitmire (1)	85,434	*
Philip W. Baxter (1)	13,999	*
Patricia A. Hammick (1)	4,332	*
Joseph T. Williams (1)	2,000	*
James E. Altmeyer, Sr. (1)	2,000	*
William E. Davis (1)	0	*
William P. Powell (1)	0	*
Raj K. Gupta (1)	1,625	*
All Directors and Executive Officers as a group (1)	817,882	*

Table of Contents

* Indicates less than one percent (1%) ownership.

- (1) Includes shares issuable pursuant to options that were currently exercisable (or may become exercisable on or before May 1, 2004) as follows: Mr. Harvey, 330,200; Mr. Smith, 133,950; Mr. Koether, 66,600; Mr. Lyons, 61,700; Mr. Lilly, 40,100; Mr. Stovash, 32,850; Mr. Whitmire, 7,930; Mr. Baxter, 8,999; Ms. Hammick, 4,332 and for all directors and executive officers as a group, 686,661. Does not include stock options which will become exercisable in increments in future years in the following amounts: Mr. Harvey, 270,000; Mr. Smith, 128,250; Mr. Koether, 143,750; Mr. Lyons, 53,500; Mr. Lilly, 120,000; Mr. Stovash, 29,250; Mr. Baxter, 4,001; Ms. Hammick, 4,668; Mr. Williams, 4,000; Mr. Altmeyer, 4,000; Mr. Davis, 4,000; Mr. Powell, 4,000; and Mr. Gupta, 4,000 and for all directors and executive officers as a group, 773,419.
- (2) Based on a Schedule 13G filed by Capital Research and Management Company with the SEC on February 13, 2004.
- (3) Based on a Schedule 13G filed by Wellington Management Company, LLP with the SEC on February 12, 2004. Wellington Management Company, LLP has shared voting power for 7,510,100 shares and shared dispositive power for 8,692,200 shares.
- (4) Based on information provided to us by Friedman, Billings, Ramsey & Co. in connection with shares of our common stock purchased in private placements on October 9, 2003 and February 20, 2004.
- (5) Based on a Schedule 13G filed by Eubel Brady & Suttman Asset Management, Inc. with the SEC on February 12, 2004. The Schedule 13G stated that Eubel Brady & Suttman Asset Management, Inc., Ronald L. Eubel, Mark E. Brady, Robert J. Suttman, William E. Hazel and Bernard J. Holtgreive have shared voting and dispositive power for 5,174,458 shares.
- (6) Based on information provided to us by State Street Research & Management Company.

Table of Contents**Selling Stockholders**

The selling stockholders may from time to time offer and sell pursuant to this prospectus any or all of the shares of common stock. The term selling stockholders includes the holders listed below and the beneficial owners of the shares and their transferees, pledges, donees or other successors.

The information set forth in the following table is based upon information provided by each selling stockholder.

Name	Common stock beneficially owned prior to the offering	Common Stock Offered in this Offering	Common stock beneficially owned after the offering (1)	
			Number	Percent of Class
Aaron Wolfson c/o Wolfson Group	15,000	15,000		
Abraham Wolfson c/o Acta Realty	10,000	10,000		
AIM Equity Funds on behalf of AIM Capital Development Fund	546,600	546,600		
AIM Funds Group on behalf of AIM Small Cap Equity Fund	230,000	230,000		
AIM Variable Insurance Funds on behalf of AIM VI Capital Development Fund	60,000	60,000		
AIM Variable Insurance Funds, on behalf of AIM VI Small Cap Equity Fund	500	500		
Alford Turman	550	550		
Alice R. Hudspeth Regular	2,000	2,000		
Alice Rainey Hudspeth, Trustee U/W/O, John Bradshaw Rainey Jr. Trust, Dated 12/05-1995	3,600	3,600		
Alpha Q Trust	1,676	1,676		
Alpha US Sub Fund I, LLC	23,123	23,123		
Anchorwatch & Co.	3,700,000	3,700,000		
Ann B. Wilson	1,800	1,800		
Atlas Capital (Q.P.) L.P.	112,825	107,500	5,325	*
Atlas Capital Master Fund L.P.	338,500	322,500	16,000	*
Avast & Co.	911,600	617,000	294,600	*
AXIA Offshore Partners Ltd	11,027	11,027		
AXIA Partners LP	85,850	85,850		
Balboa Fund LP	69,826	65,000	4,826	*
Balboa Fund LTD	91,434	85,000	6,434	*
Banzai Offshore Fund Ltd	124,095	124,095		
Banzai Partners LP	85,000	85,000		
Barnett & Co as Nominee for the Boston Partners All Cap Value Fund	1,580	1,580		
Barnett & Co as Nominee for the Boston Partners Long Short Equity Fund	19,435	19,435		
Barnett & Co.	12,000	5,000	7,000	*
Barnett L. Gershen	3,700	3,700		
Bear Stearns Sec. Corp. custodian, Edward J. Nusrala, IRA	2,000	2,000		
Bear Stearns Sec. Corp., Custodian: Emerson Partners	20,000	20,000		
Bear Stearns Sec. Corp., Custodian: J. Steven Emerson	30,000	30,000		

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

Bear Stearns Sec. Corp., Custodian: J. Steven Emerson IRA II

175,000

175,000

Table of Contents

Name	Common stock beneficially owned prior to the offering	Common Stock Offered in this Offering	Common stock beneficially owned after the offering (1)	
			Number	Percent of Class
Bear Stearns Sec. Corp., Custodian: J. Steven Emerson ROTH IRA	75,000	75,000		
Bell Jones & Quinlisk Profit Sharing Plan	930	930		
Bill Ham	6,500	6,500		
BJC Health Care Services-Master Custodial Trust	50,019	50,019		
BJC Health Care Services-Pension Plan	21,421	21,421		
Bost & Co FBO Raytheon Master Pension	12,500	12,500		
Boston Partners Offshore Fund, LTD	16,100	16,100		
Boston & Co as nominee for GMI Master Pension Trust	52,400	52,400		
Boston & Co as nominee for the Employee Retirement Income Plan Trust of Minnesota Mining & Manufacturing Co.	335,520	335,520		
Boston Partners Long/Short Equity Fund II LP	3,300	3,300		
Boston Partners Long/Short Equity Fund LP	3,800	3,800		
Boston Provident Partners, LP	94,000	94,000		
BP Institutional Partners LP	6,000	6,000		
Bright Capital Long/Short Equity Partners	2,365	2,365		
Brookstone Corporation ESP FBO Stephen J. Dishman	600	600		
Canterbury/Westchester SMID Cap Value Fund	28,720	28,720		
Capital Crossover Partners, L.P.	600,000	600,000		
Capitalgest Sgr. Spa.	60,000	60,000		
CastleRock Fund, Ltd	224,900	224,900		
CastleRock Partners II, L.P.	22,100	22,100		
CastleRock Partners, L.P.	398,700	398,700		
Catequil Energy Overseas Partners Ltd	97,800	97,800		
Catequil Energy Partners L.P.	52,200	52,200		
Catequil Overseas Partners Ltd	370,865	370,865		
Catequil Partners L.P.	179,135	179,135		
CCHS Retirement Plan	110,000	110,000		
CEBT Comingled Employee Benefit Trust Capital Structure Arbitrage	11,190	11,190		
Chana Sasha Foundation	16,500	16,500		
Charles O. Requadt & Julie K. Requadt JTEN	3,600	3,600		
Charles Schwab & Co. Inc., custodian, Barnett L. Gershen IRA Rollover	1,400	1,400		
Charles Schwab & Co., custodian, Edward P. Hansen IRA	700	700		
Chelonia Fund LP	50,000	50,000		
Chesed Congregation of America	180,000	180,000		
Cheyne Value Fund LP	150,000	150,000		
Childrens Medical Center	18,400	18,400		
City of Austin Retirement System	95,000	95,000		
City of Boston	4,053	4,053		
Concho Group Ltd.	2,700	2,700		
Continental Casualty Company	250,000	250,000		
Cotran Investment Limited	200,000	200,000		
Creve & Co.	13,000	13,000		
Dacoma Investments, Ltd.	1,400	1,400		

Table of Contents

Name	Common stock beneficially owned prior to the offering	Common Stock Offered in this Offering	Common stock beneficially owned after the offering (1)	
			Number	Percent of Class
Dale McGleary	3,500	3,500		
David A. Todd	2,400	2,400		
David Eidelman & Rachel Eidelman JTWROS	3,500	3,500		
David Spolane & Marlene Spolane JT TEN	2,100	2,100		
Deutsche Bank AG, London Branch	905,393	500,000	405,393	*
Dorothy R. Middleton	500	500		
DSM PVM NV	115,000	115,000		
Eli Levitin	8,500	8,500		
Ell & Co as Nominee for Emerson Electric	55,700	55,700		
Ell & Co as Nominee for Loyola Retirement	12,300	12,300		
Ell & Co as Nominee for Loyola University Endowment	12,300	12,300		
Ell & Co as Nominee for Producers/Writers Guild	20,600	20,600		
Emily Todd & David A. Todd TTEES, Magnolia Charitable Trust U/A 10/1/98	2,600	2,600		
Emory University	26,200	26,200		
Euromobiliare Asset Management Sgr. Spa.	400,000	400,000		
Excelsior Value & Restructuring Fund	924,900	900,000	24,900	*
Farallon Capital Institutional Partners II, L.P.	41,671	41,671		
Farallon Capital Institutional Partners III, L.P.	45,835	45,835		
Farallon Capital Institutional Partners, L.P.	333,346	333,346		
Farallon Capital Offshore Investors, Inc.	837,527	837,527		
Farallon Capital Partners, L.P.	362,511	362,511		
FBR Ashton Limited Partnership (2)	423,001	244,401	178,600	*
FBR Opportunity Fund, LTD (2)	41,900	24,200	17,700	*
FBR Private Equity Fund LP (2)	30,000	30,000		
First Eagle Global Fund	660,000	85,000	575,000	*
First Security Bank Commingled Investment Fund for Qualified Employee Benefit Plans	53,600	53,600		
Firststar Bank, Custodian, H.J. Foster, IRA #1	2,500	2,500		
Firststar Bank, custodian, Joan G. Eastwood, IRA Rollover	500	500		
Fleet Maritime, Inc.	9,418	8,260	1,158	*
Flossmoor Q Trust	1,115	1,115		
Fountainhead Special Value Fund	40,000	40,000		
Francis A. Bonanno	640	640		
Frank Greek & Cathy Greek JT	5,000	5,000		
Franklin Mutual Advisers, LLC (3)	2,417,300	2,350,000	67,300	*
Fred Kavli Personal Portfolio	20,310	20,310		
Frederick R. Horstmann	10,000	10,000		
Gardner Lewis Capital Appreciation Fund LP	101,500	101,500		
Gardner Lewis Fund LP	70,700	70,700		
General Mills Group Trust - Agreement of Trust Establishing General Mills Group Trust, dated as of June 1, 1999	28,000	28,000		
General Motors Employees Global Group Pension Trust	5,418	5,418		
General Motors Welfare Benefits Trust	15,953	15,953		
Genesis Healthcare System Pension	2,168	2,168		
George Andrew Jackson and Linda Kennedy Jackson, JTWROS	3,600	3,600		

Table of Contents

Name	Common stock beneficially owned prior to the offering	Common Stock Offered in this Offering	Common stock beneficially owned after the offering (1)	
			Number	Percent of Class
George H. Welsh Revocable Living Trust DTD 8/1/90 Trust B Joan M. Welsh, Co TTEE	3,430	3,430		
Gerald Wayne Broesche & Brook Anne Broesche	1,900	1,900		
Giles W. Nolan Retirement Plan U/A DTD 09/09/96 Giles W. Nolan TTEE	370	370		
GLG Global Aggressive Fund	200,000	200,000		
GLG Global Macro Fund	200,000	200,000		
GLG Investments IV plc GLG Capital Appreciation (Distributing) Fund	60,000	60,000		
GLG Investments plc GLG Capital Appreciation Fund	40,000	40,000		
GLG Market Neutral Fund	1,300,000	1,300,000		
GLG North American Opportunity Fund	1,550,000	1,550,000		
Global Natural Resources II	40,900	40,900		
Global Natural Resources III	267,600	267,600		
Global Natural Resources III, L.P.	16,100	16,100		
Greg Kung	2,100	2,100		
H.J. Foster and Aggie L. Foster trustees, H.J. Foster & Aggie L. Foster Trust, dated 02-17-1994	1,300	1,300		
Hare & Co as Nominee for Brunswick Master Pension Trust	40,700	40,700		
Hare & Co as Nominee for USC Endowment	39,600	39,600		
Hartford Capital Appreciation Fund	1,628,700	1,628,700		
Hartford Capital Appreciation Fund, Inc.	3,371,300	3,371,300		
Harvest Partners II LP	260,000	260,000		
Hatfield Quality Meats	3,483	3,483		
Highway Patrol Retirement System Retirement Plan	38,000	38,000		
Hillel Weinberger	100,000	100,000		
Houston Pilot Pension Plan & Trust	5,200	5,200		
Hunter Global Investors Fund I L.P.	137,180	137,180		
Hunter Global Investors Fund II L.P.	9,500	9,500		
Hunter Global Investors Offshore Fund II Ltd.	34,580	34,580		
Hunter Global Investors Offshore Fund Ltd.	198,740	198,740		
IAT Reinsurance Company Ltd	79,300	79,300		
Investors Bank & Trust Co., custodian, Louise E. Liszewski IRA	1,000	1,000		
Investors of America LP	1,000,000	1,000,000		
Irving Levin Living J. Trust Small Cap Value	1,186	1,186		
J & S Black F.L.P.	3,500	3,500		
J.C. Lewis 1974-GC Investment	4,400	4,400		
J.C. Lewis T/U/W Investments	3,300	3,300		
Jabon R. Dawson & Jacqueline Dawson JTEN	500	500		
Jack Barrish	10,000	10,000		
Jeffry L. Lacy	800	800		
Jennifer Horstmann	10,000	10,000		
JMG Capital Partners, L.P.	368,350	368,350		
JMG Triton Offshore Fund LTD	368,350	368,350		
Jody Irwin Separate Property	1,200	1,200		

Table of Contents

Name	Common stock beneficially owned prior to the offering	Common Stock Offered in this Offering	Common stock beneficially owned after the offering (1)	
			Number	Percent of Class
John C. Middleton Jr.	2,000	2,000		
John M. Ryan	900	900		
Judy J. Kennell	2,100	2,100		
Katherine Colella	10,000	10,000		
Kavli Foundation	31,540	31,540		
Kevin J. Rainey	3,900	3,900		
King Investment Advisors, Inc.	14,000	14,000		
King Investment Advisors, Inc. Profit Sharing Plan	800	800		
Krasny Trust, Small Cap Value	2,951	2,951		
Kumar Enterprises Limited Partnership	560	560		
Leon A. Kent & Mike Kent	2,100	2,100		
Leonardo, L.P.	800,000	800,000		
Lyxor	209,800	209,800		
Mac & Co as Nominee for Verizon	232,600	232,600		
Manitoba Public Insurance	47,000	47,000		
Maria L. Vecchiotti	20,000	20,000		
Marinecrew & Co.	351,500	165,500	186,000	*
Market Street Real Assets	70,600	36,000	34,600	*
Mary L.G. Theroux, Trustee, Mary L.G. Theroux Charitable Remainder Unitrust 05-14-1996	3,100	3,100		
Mary L.G. Theroux, Trustee, Mary L.G. Theroux Revocable Living Trust U/A 09-30-1968	3,500	3,500		
Masonic Home of Virginia	3,242	3,242		
MEMC Electronic Materials	7,868	7,868		
Meta H. Lewis T/U/W Investments	2,600	2,600		
Michael J. Mathile Revocable Living Trust DTD 10/03/96 Trustee				
Michael J. Mathile	2,180	2,180		
Nextra Investment Management	300,000	300,000		
Northwest Airlines	78,789	78,789		
OZ Mac 13 Ltd.	12,595	10,990	1,605	*
OZ Master Fund, Ltd	468,773	406,000	62,773	*
OZF Credit Opportunities Master Fund II, Ltd	162,571	142,170	20,401	*
OZF Credit Opportunities Master Fund, Ltd	265,080	132,580	132,500	*
P/I Long Short Equity Hedged Fund	27,060	27,060		
Paul T. Dell Isola	25,000	25,000		
Pennant Offshore Partners, Ltd.	291,700	291,700		
Pennant Onshore Partners, L.P.	39,400	39,400		
Pennant Onshore Qualified, L.P.	168,900	168,900		
Pitt & Co as Nominee for Savannah Pension	22,800	22,800		
Points West International Investments Ltd.	89,265	89,265		
Portside Growth & Opportunity Fund	250,000	250,000		
Premier Health Care Pension Plan	8,520	8,520		
Prism Partners I, LP	125,000	125,000		
Prism Partners II Offshore Fund	125,000	125,000		
Pruett Family Partnership, Ltd.	500	500		
Public Service Co New Mexico	18,317	18,317		
Quissett Investors (Bermuda) L.P.	158,500	158,500		

Table of Contents

Name	Common stock beneficially owned prior to the offering	Common Stock Offered in this Offering	Common stock beneficially owned after the offering (1)	
			Number	Percent of Class
Quissett Partners, L.P.	95,500	95,500		
Raytheon Capital Appreciation Hedged Portfolio - Raytheon Master Pension Trust	178,300	178,300		
Raytheon Combined DB/DC Master Trust	172,500	102,000	70,500	*
Raytheon DB/DC Capital Appreciation Hedged Portfolio - Raytheon Master Pension Trust	13,200	13,200		
Raytheon Master Pension Trust	600,600	316,600	284,000	*
Raytheon Master Pension Trust DTD 05-17-00, Boston Safe & Trust Co. TTEE	153,740	153,740		
Reefbend & Co.	304,900	105,500	199,500	*
Relish & Co.	78,500	35,200	43,300	*
Rene L. Drouin and Julie Drouin JTWROS	1,300	1,300		
Rescueboat & Co.	3,700,000	3,700,000		
Richard Feinberg	6,000	6,000		
Richard Horstmann c/f Kimberly Horstmann UTMA NJ	10,000	10,000		
RNR, LLC	200,000	200,000		
Robert H. Dunlap Irrevocable Trust # 101, dated 04-02-1987	1,800	1,800		
Rockbay Capital Fund, LLC	10,300	10,300		
Rockbay Capital Institutional Fund, LLC	128,400	128,400		
Rockbay Capital Offshore Fund, Ltd	261,300	261,300		
Roger E. King	28,000	28,000		
Ronald Clarke & Gwendoline D. Clarke JTWROS	1,700	1,700		
Salomon Brothers Archer Investors L.P.	15,212	15,212		
Salomon Brothers Archer Investors Ltd	34,788	34,788		
Salomon Brothers Diversified Arbitrage Strategies Fund Ltd.	51,158	51,158		
Salomon Brothers Enhanced Arbitrage Strategies Fund	11,271	11,271		
Salomon Brothers Libra Strategies L.P.	15,353	15,353		
Salomon Brothers Libra Strategies Ltd.	19,518	19,518		
Salomon Brothers Market Neutral Arbitrage Fund L.P.	15,474	15,474		
Salomon Brothers Qualified Investor Portfolios Multi-Strategy Arbitrage Portfolio	204,665	204,665		
Salomon Smith Barney, custodian, Francis A. Bonanno, Jr. IRA	3,170	3,170		
Salomon Smith Barney, custodian, Joseph E. Eavey IRA	330	330		
Sam T. Searcy & Sharon M Searcy JTWROS	800	800		
Santa Barbara Cottage Hospital Foundation	56,460	56,460		
Santa Clara University Endowment Fund	25,360	25,360		
Schar Holdings, Inc.	280,583	280,583		
Scoggin Capital Management LP II	165,000	165,000		
Scoggin-International Fund Ltd.	165,000	165,000		
Scripps Health Charitable Foundation Investment Portfolio	18,990	18,990		
Scudder Flag Investors Equity Partners Fund	260,000	260,000		
Scudder Flag Investors Value Builder Fund	490,000	490,000		
Seneca Capital International, Ltd	922,000	922,000		

Table of Contents

<u>Name</u>	<u>Common stock beneficially owned prior to the offering</u>	<u>Common Stock Offered in this Offering</u>	<u>Common stock beneficially owned after the offering (1)</u>	
			<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent of Class</u>
Seneca Capital L.P.	677,000	677,000		
Shirley P. Rabke	3,300	3,300		
Shirley P. Rabke, Trustee, Rabke Family Trust, Dated 08-29-1986	2,500	2,500		
Signature Systems, Inc.	350	350		
Silver Capital Fund, LLC	280,583	280,583		
Southern California Edison	53,800	53,800		
Spindrift Investors (Bermuda) L.P.	510,900	510,900		
Spindrift Partners, L.P.	519,200	519,200		
SSR Energy & Natural Resources Hedge Fund, LLC	600,500	299,000	301,500	*
Steamboat & Co.	230,250	95,000	135,250	*
Steven Rothstein	5,000	5,000		
Suellen B. Louis	810	810		
Summerboat & Co as Nominee for University of Richmond Endowment	18,400	18,400		
Sure Fire Investments Family Limited Partnership	270	270		
Sutton Brook Capital Portfolio LP	750,000	750,000		
Tadeusz Witkowicz Family Trust U/A/D 09/22/93 Tadeusz Witkowicz, TTEE	8,400	8,400		
The Alfred I. duPont Testamentary Trust DTD 02-25-1936 Hugh M. Durden, John S. Lord, Herbert H. Payton, John F. Porter III, W.T. Thompson III, W.L. Thornton, TTEES	230,220	230,220		
The Cleveland Clinic Foundation	110,000	110,000		
The Manhattan and Bronx Surface Transit Operating Authority	9,891	9,891		
The McFarlan Home	2,500	2,500		
The Nemours Foundation Pension Plan DTD 05/01/02 The Northern Trust Company, TTEE	14,570	14,570		
The Oneida Tribe of Indians	11,400	11,400		
Third Point Offshore Fund Ltd.	937,425	937,425		
Third Point Partners, LP	554,415	554,415		
Thompson & Murff Investments, LP Limited Partnership	27,000	27,000		
Tinicum Partners, L.P.	16,665	16,665		
Trenton Capital (QP), Ltd.	61,100	61,100		
Trenton Capital, Ltd.	13,900	13,900		
Trident Selections	151,500	151,500		
Trident Selections, L.P.	3,000	3,000		
Tugboat & Co. c/o Jennison Utility Fund	1,580,000	1,580,000		
United Capital Management, Inc.	250,000	250,000		
Univar USA Inc.	3,365	3,365		
University of Cincinnati	34,200	34,200		
University of Nebraska	25,000	25,000		
University of Nebraska #2	5,700	5,700		
Upnorth Investments, Ltd.	11,550	11,550		
US Bank for benefit of Sisters of St. Joseph of Carondelet, St. Paul Province	10,500	10,500		
US Bank NA FBO Heartland Value Fund	1,000,000	1,000,000		

Table of Contents

Name	Common stock beneficially owned prior to the offering	Common Stock Offered in this Offering	Common stock beneficially owned after the offering (1)	
			Number	Percent of Class
US Bank NA FBO Heartland Value Plus Fund	200,000	200,000		
Vanguard Energy Fund	1,073,600	1,073,600		
Verizon Master Trust	182,600	182,600		
Victoire Finance et Gestion BO	56,116	56,116		
Voluntary Employees Beneficiary Association for General Mills and Bakery, Confectionary, Tobacco and Grain Millers (AFL-CIO) Health and Welfare Plan Amended and Restated Trust Agreement, dated as of June 19, 1990 (4)	7,600	7,600		
Wellington Trust Company, National Association Multiple Collective Investment Funds Trust, Energy Portfolio Amended and Restated Plan and Declaration of Trust, dated June 15, 1999 (5)	37,000	37,000		
Wellington Trust Company, National Association Multiple Common Trust Funds Trust, Energy Portfolio Amended and Restated Plan and Declaration of Trust, dated October 11, 1995 (6)	269,400	269,400		
William Blair Value Discovery Fund	120,551	120,551		
Williams & Connolly	4,322	4,322		
Wray & Todd Interests, Ltd.	12,800	12,800		
Wylie R. Barrow, Jr and Rosalind K. Barrows, JTWROS	1,500	1,500		
Wynnefield Small Cap Value Offshore Fund, Ltd.	200,000	30,000	170,000	*
Yaupon Fund LTD	18,680	18,680		
Yaupon Partners II, LP	6,140	6,140		
Yaupon Partners, LP	148,120	148,120		
York Capital Management L.P.	88,000	88,000		
York Distressed Opportunities Fund, L.P.	107,000	107,000		
York Global Value Partners, L.P.	13,000	13,000		
York Investment Limited	186,000	186,000		
York Offshore Investors Unit Trust	106,000	106,000		
ZWD Investments, LLC	920,000	920,000		

- (1) These shares were purchased in transactions not requiring registration and may or may not be sold in conjunction with the offering of shares under the registration statement, of which this prospectus is a part.
- (2) FBR Ashton Limited Partnership, FBR Opportunity Fund, LTD and FBR Private Equity Fund LP are affiliates of Friedman, Billings, Ramsey & Co., Inc. On September 23, 2003 and September 24, 2003, FBR acted as placement agent in connection with the sale of 11,000,000 primary shares of our common stock and, concurrently, on behalf of RWE Power AG, the sale of 14,100,000 shares of our common stock, in each case pursuant to a placement agent agreement, dated September 18, 2003, by and among us, RWE Power AG and FBR, which provided for a cash fee of \$0.50 per share sold to be paid to FBR. In connection with the placement, we agreed to increase by one the number of directors on our board of directors and to cause the appointment of a nominee selected by FBR. On October 9, 2003, FBR acted as placement agent in connection with the sale of 27,274,425 shares of our common stock on behalf of RWE Power AG pursuant to a placement agreement, dated October 2, 2003, by and among us, RWE Power AG and FBR, which provided for a cash fee of \$0.50 per share sold to be paid to FBR. In February 2004, FBR acted as placement agent in connection with the sale of 16,622,932 shares of our common stock on behalf of RWE Power AG pursuant to a placement agreement, dated February 12, 2004, by and among us, RWE Power AG and FBR, which provided for a cash fee of \$0.50 per share sold to be paid to FBR.

Table of Contents

- (3) Represents shares beneficially owned by advisory clients of Franklin Mutual Advisers, LLC: 938,100 shares beneficially owned by Mutual Qualified Fund; 1,187,100 shares beneficially owned by Mutual Beacon Fund; 45,200 shares beneficially owned by Mutual Beacon Fund (Canada); and 179,600 shares beneficially owned by Franklin Mutual Beacon Fund. Pursuant to advisory contracts with its clients, Franklin Mutual Advisers, LLC has voting and investment discretion over these securities beneficially owned by its clients. Franklin Mutual Advisers, LLC disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares owned by its advisory clients.
- (4) Wellington Management Company, LLP is the investment manager of the Voluntary Employees Beneficiary Association for General Mills and Bakery, Confectionary, Tobacco and Grain Mullers (AFL-CIO) Health and Welfare Plan and has dispositive power over the shares. The nominee name for Voluntary Employees Beneficiary Association for General Mills and Bakery, Confectionary, Tobacco and Grain Mullers (AFL-CIO) Health and Welfare Plan is Bost & Co.
- (5) Wellington Management Company, LLP is the investment manager of the Wellington Trust Company, National Association Multiple Collective Investment Funds Trust, Energy Portfolio and has dispositive power over the shares. The nominee name for Wellington Trust Company, National Association Multiple Collective Investment Funds Trust, Energy Portfolio is Finwell & Co.
- (6) Wellington Management Company, LLP is the investment manager of the Wellington Trust Company, National Association Multiple Common Trust Funds Trust, Energy Portfolio and has dispositive power over the shares. The nominee name for Wellington Trust Company, National Association Multiple Common Trust Funds Trust, Energy Portfolio is Landwave & Co.
- * Selling stockholder owns less than one percent of our common stock.

Table of Contents

DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STOCK

Our authorized capital stock consists of 500,000,000 shares of common stock, \$0.01 par value per share, of which 91,267,558 shares were issued and 89,861,900 shares were outstanding as of December 31, 2003 and 15,000,000 shares of preferred stock, \$0.01 par value per share, of which no shares were issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2003. Our board of directors has designated 912,676 shares of our preferred stock as Series A Junior Participating Preferred Shares, \$0.01 par value per share, referred to as the Preferred Shares, of which no shares were issued and outstanding. The Preferred Shares were designated in connection with the adoption of our Rights Agreement, which is described under Rights Plan. The following description of our capital stock is qualified in its entirety by reference to our Restated Certificate of Incorporation, Bylaws and the Rights Agreement, dated as of December 22, 2003, referred to as the Rights Agreement, between CONSOL Energy and EquiServe Trust Company, N.A., as Rights Agent.

Common Stock

Voting Rights. The holders of common stock are entitled to one vote per share on all matters submitted to a vote of the stockholders. Cumulative voting of shares of common stock is prohibited, which means that the holders of a majority of shares voting for the election of directors can elect all members of our board of directors. Except as otherwise required by applicable law, a majority vote is sufficient for any act of stockholders.

Dividend Rights. The holders of common stock are entitled to receive ratably such dividends, if any, as may be declared from time to time by our board of directors out of funds legally available for the payment of dividends.

Liquidation Rights. In the event of our liquidation, dissolution, or winding up, the holders of common stock are entitled to share ratably in all assets remaining after payment of liabilities and amounts owed to holders of preferred stock.

Other Matters. The holders of common stock have no preemptive or conversion rights or other subscription rights, and there are no redemption or sinking fund provisions applicable to the common stock.

The rights, preferences and privileges of holders of common stock are subject to, and may be injured by, the rights of the holders of shares of any series of preferred stock that CONSOL may designate and issue in the future. The issuance of preferred stock could decrease the amount of earnings and assets available for distribution to holders of common stock or adversely affect the rights and powers of the holders of common stock, including their voting rights.

Preferred Stock

We are authorized to issue up to 15,000,000 shares of preferred stock having rights senior to our common stock. Our board of directors is authorized to establish the powers, rights, preferences, privileges and designations of one or more series of preferred stock without further stockholder approval.

To date, no shares of our preferred stock have been issued. However, our board of directors has designated 912,676 Preferred Shares in connection with the Rights Agreement. The rights, preferences, privileges and restrictions of any series of preferred stock will be fixed by a certificate of designation relating to each series specifying the terms of the preferred stock, including:

the maximum number of shares in the series and the distinctive designation;

the terms on which dividends will be paid, if any;

the terms on which the shares may be redeemed, if at all;

Table of Contents

the liquidation preference, if any;

the terms of any retirement or sinking fund for the purchase or redemption of the shares of the series;

the terms and conditions, if any, on which the shares of the series will be convertible into, or exchangeable for, shares of any other class or classes of capital stock;

the voting rights, if any, on the shares of the series; and

any or all other preferences and relative, participating, operational or other special rights or qualifications, limitations or restrictions of the shares.

Rights Plan

We have adopted a stockholders rights plan pursuant to the Rights Agreement. The following description of the Rights Agreement is qualified in its entirety by reference to the Rights Agreement, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as Exhibit 4 to CONSOL Energy's Form 8-K filed on December 22, 2003 (file no. 001-14901).

On December 19, 2003, our board of directors declared a dividend distribution of one right which we refer to as a right for each outstanding share of our common stock to stockholders of record at the close of business on December 22, 2003. Each right entitles the registered holder to purchase from CONSOL Energy a unit consisting of one one-hundredth of a share or a unit of the Preferred Shares, or a combination of securities and assets of equivalent value, at a purchase price of \$80.00 per unit, subject to adjustment.

Initially, ownership of the rights will be evidenced by certificates representing shares of our common stock then outstanding, and no separate certificates or rights certificates evidencing the rights will be distributed. The rights will separate from the shares of our common stock and a distribution date will occur upon the earlier of (1) ten days following a public announcement that a person or group of affiliated or associated persons, referred to as an Acquiring Person, has acquired, or obtained the right to acquire, beneficial ownership of 15% or more of the outstanding shares of our common stock, referred to as the Stock Acquisition Date, or (2) the close of business on such date as may be fixed by our board of directors, which date will not be more than 65 days following the commencement of a tender offer or exchange offer that would result in a person or group beneficially owning 15% or more of the outstanding shares of our common stock (the earlier of (1) and (2) being referred to as the Distribution Date).

RWE A.G. and its affiliates and associates, referred to as Grandfathered Shareholders, CONSOL Energy, CONSOL Energy's subsidiaries and employee benefit plans of CONSOL Energy and its subsidiaries are generally excluded from being considered Acquiring Persons. However, if the aggregate number of shares of our common stock owned by RWE A.G. and its affiliates and associates (1) exceeds the number of shares of our common stock owned by these stockholders as of December 22, 2003 or (2) at any time after December 22, 2003 equals 15% or less of our outstanding common stock, then these stockholders will cease to be Grandfathered Shareholders that are excluded from the definition of Acquiring Person.

Until the Distribution Date,

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

- (1) the rights will be evidenced by the certificates representing shares of our common stock and will be transferred with and only with the certificates,
- (2) new certificates representing shares of our common stock issued after December 22, 2003 will contain a notation incorporating the Rights Agreement by reference and
- (3) the surrender for transfer of any certificates representing shares of our common stock outstanding will also constitute the transfer of the rights associated with the shares of our common stock represented by such certificate.

The rights are not exercisable until the Distribution Date and will expire at the close of business on December 22, 2013, unless the Rights Agreement is extended by our board of directors by amendment to the Rights Agreement, unless earlier redeemed by CONSOL Energy as described below or if

- (1) there is a transaction consummated pursuant to a tender offer or exchange offer for all outstanding shares of our common stock

Table of Contents

- (2) the price per share of our common stock offered in such transaction is not less than the price of our common stock paid to all holders of our common stock whose shares were purchased pursuant to such tender offer or exchange offer and
- (3) the form of consideration being offered to the remaining holders of our common stock pursuant to such transaction is the same as the form of consideration paid pursuant to such tender or exchange offer.

As soon as practicable after the Distribution Date, Rights Certificates will be mailed to holders of record of the shares of our common stock as of the close of business on the Distribution Date and, thereafter, the separate Rights Certificates alone will represent the rights. Except as otherwise determined by our board of directors, and except in connection with the exercise of employee stock options or stock appreciation rights or under any other benefit plan for employees or directors or in connection with the exercise of warrants or conversion of convertible securities, only shares of our common stock issued prior to the Distribution Date will be issued with rights.

Except in the circumstances described below, after the Distribution Date each right will be exercisable for one one-hundredth of a Preferred Share referred to as a Preferred Share Fraction. Each Preferred Share Fraction carries voting and dividend rights that are intended to produce the equivalent of one share of our common stock. The voting and dividend rights of the Preferred Shares are subject to adjustment in the event of dividends, subdivisions and combinations with respect to the shares of our common stock. In lieu of issuing certificates for Preferred Share Fractions which are less than an integral multiple of one Preferred Share (i.e., 100 Preferred Share Fractions), we may pay cash representing the current market value of the Preferred Share Fractions.

In the event that at any time following the Stock Acquisition Date,

we are the surviving corporation in a merger with an Acquiring Person and our shares of our common stock remain outstanding,

a person (other than a Grandfathered Shareholder) becomes the beneficial owner of more than 15% of the then outstanding shares of CONSOL common stock other than pursuant to a tender offer that provides fair value to all stockholders,

an Acquiring Person engages in one or more self-dealing transactions, as set forth in the Rights Agreement or

during such time as there is an Acquiring Person an event occurs that results in such Acquiring Person's ownership interest being increased by more than 1%, each holder of a right will thereafter have the right to receive, upon exercise, shares of our common stock (or, in certain circumstances, cash, property or other securities of CONSOL Energy) having a value equal to two times the exercise price of the right,

in lieu of requiring payment of the Purchase Price upon exercise of the rights following any of these events, we may permit the holders simply to surrender the rights, in which event they will be entitled to receive shares of our common stock (and other property, as the case may be) with a value of 50% of what could be purchased by payment of the full Purchase Price. Notwithstanding any of the foregoing, following the occurrence of any of the events described above, all rights that are, or (under certain circumstances specified in the Rights Agreement) were, beneficially owned by any Acquiring Person who was involved in the transaction giving rise to any of these events will be null and void. However, rights are not exercisable following the occurrence of any of the events set forth above until such time as the rights are no longer redeemable by us as set forth below.

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

In the event that, at any time following the Stock Acquisition Date, (1) we are acquired in a merger or other business combination transaction in which we are not the surviving corporation (other than a merger that is described in, or that follows a tender offer or exchange offer described above), or (2) 50% or more of our assets or earning power is sold or transferred, each holder of a right (except rights that previously have been voided as set forth above) will thereafter have the right to receive, upon exercise, common shares of the acquiring company having a value equal to two times the exercise price of the right. Again, provision is made to permit surrender of

Table of Contents

the rights in exchange for one-half of the value otherwise purchasable. The events set forth in this paragraph and in the preceding paragraph are referred to as the Triggering Events.

The Purchase Price payable and the number of units of Preferred Shares or other securities or property issuable upon exercise of the rights are subject to adjustment from time to time to prevent dilution

- (1) in the event of a stock dividend on, or a subdivision, combination or reclassification of, the Preferred Shares,
- (2) if holders of the Preferred Shares are granted certain rights or warrants to subscribe for Preferred Shares or convertible securities at less than the current market price of the Preferred Shares, or
- (3) upon the distribution to holders of the Preferred Shares of evidences of indebtedness or assets (excluding regular quarterly dividends) or of subscription rights or warrants (other than those referred to above).

With certain exceptions, no adjustment in the Purchase Price will be required until cumulative adjustments amount to at least 1% of the Purchase Price. No fractional units will be issued and, in lieu thereof, an adjustment in cash will be made based on the market price of the Preferred Shares on the last trading date prior to the date of exercise.

At any time after a person becomes an Acquiring Person and prior to the acquisition by such Person or group of 50% or more of the outstanding shares of our common stock, our board of directors may exchange all or part of the rights (other than rights held by such person or group which may have become void), in whole or in part, for shares of our common stock having a value equal to the spread between the value of shares of our common stock issuable upon exercise of a right and the exercise price.

At any time until ten days following the Stock Acquisition Date, we may redeem the rights in whole, but not in part, at a price of \$.01 per right. That ten-day redemption period may be extended by our board of directors so long as the rights are still redeemable. Immediately upon the action of the board of directors ordering redemption of the rights, the rights will terminate and the only right of the holders of rights will be to receive the \$.01 redemption price.

Until a right is exercised, the holder of the right, as such, will have no rights as a stockholder of CONSOL Energy, including, without limitation, the right to vote or to receive dividends. While the distribution of the rights will not be taxable to stockholders or to CONSOL Energy, stockholders may, depending upon the circumstances, recognize taxable income in the event that the rights become exercisable for Preferred Shares (or other consideration) of CONSOL Energy or for common shares of the acquiring company as set forth above.

Any of the provisions of the Rights Agreement may be amended by our board of directors so long as the rights are redeemable by the board except to reduce the redemption price. After such time, the provisions of the Rights Agreement may be amended by our board of directors in order to cure any ambiguity, to make changes that do not adversely affect the interests of holders of rights (excluding the interests of any Acquiring Person) or to shorten or lengthen any time period under the Rights Agreement.

Table of Contents

PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION

Pursuant to a Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of September 23, 2003, by and among us and Friedman, Billings, Ramsey & Co., Inc. (FBR), for the benefit of purchasers of our common stock in certain private placements in which FBR acted as placement agent, the registration statement of which this prospectus forms a part was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission covering the sale of the shares by the selling stockholders. We have agreed to keep effective the registration statement until the earlier of two years following the effective date of the registration statement and the time when all of the shares of common stock registered by this registration statement thereunder have been sold under the registration statement or otherwise cease to be registrable shares (as defined in the registration rights agreement). We will be permitted to suspend the use of this prospectus (which is a part of the registration statement) in connection with the sale of the shares by holders during certain time periods under certain circumstances relating to pending corporate developments and public filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission and similar events.

We will not receive any of the proceeds of the offering of the shares by the selling stockholders. The selling stockholders may sell their shares in transactions (which may include block transactions and underwritten offerings):

(1) on any exchange or market on which the securities are listed or quoted;

(2) in negotiated transactions; or

(3) through a combination of methods of sale.

These sales may be made at fixed prices that may be changed, at market prices prevailing at the time of sale, at prices related to prevailing market prices, or at negotiated prices. The selling stockholders may sell the shares (1) directly to purchasers; (2) through broker-dealers acting as agents for the selling stockholders; or (3) to broker-dealers who may purchase shares as principals and thereafter sell the shares as described above. In connection with the sale of common stock, the selling stockholders may enter into hedging transactions with broker dealers, which may in turn engage in short sales of common stock in the course of hedging the positions they assume. The selling stockholders also may sell the common stock offered hereby short and deliver the common stock to close out those short positions, or loan or pledge the common stock to broker dealers that in turn may sell the securities. In effecting sales, broker-dealers engaged by selling stockholders may arrange for other broker-dealers to participate. Broker-dealers may receive compensation in the form of discounts, placement fees, concessions or commissions from the selling stockholders and/or the purchasers of the shares for whom the broker-dealers may act as agents or to whom they may sell as principals, or both (which compensation as to a particular broker-dealer might be in excess of customary commissions). The selling stockholders and any broker-dealers, agents or underwriters that participate with the selling stockholders in the distribution of the shares may be deemed underwriters within the meaning of the Securities Act of 1933. Any commissions paid or any discounts or concessions allowed, and any profits received on the resale of the shares offered hereby and purchased by them may be deemed to be underwriting commissions or discounts under the Securities Act of 1933. To the extent required, a prospectus supplement with respect to the common stock will set forth the terms of the offering, including the names of any underwriters, dealers or agents, the number of shares of common stock to be sold, the price of the common stock, any underwriting discounts, placement fees or other items constituting underwriters' compensation.

Pursuant to the registration rights agreement, described above we have agreed to pay all expenses incident to the offer and sale of the shares offered by the selling stockholders, except that the selling stockholders will pay all brokers' or underwriters' discounts and commissions, transfer taxes, and fees and disbursements of any counsel to the selling stockholders, if any. We and the selling stockholders are obligated to indemnify each other against certain liabilities arising under the Securities Act.

Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol CNX .

Table of Contents

To comply with the securities laws of certain states, if applicable, the shares offered hereby will be offered or sold in such jurisdictions only through registered or licensed brokers or dealers. In addition, in some states the shares may not be sold unless they have been registered or qualified for sale in the applicable state or an exemption from the registration or qualification requirement is available and is complied with.

Under applicable rules and regulations under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, any person engaged in a distribution of the shares may be limited in its ability to engage in market activities with respect to such shares. In addition and without limiting the foregoing, each selling stockholder will be subject to applicable provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the rules and regulations thereunder, which provisions may limit the timing of purchase and sales of any of the shares by the selling stockholders. This may affect the marketability of the shares.

LEGAL MATTERS

The validity of the common stock that is being offered pursuant to this prospectus will be passed upon by Piper Rudnick LLP, New York, New York.

Table of Contents

EXPERTS

The financial statements as of December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002 and for the years ended December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002, included in this prospectus have been so included in reliance on the report of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, independent accountants, given on the authority of said firm as experts in auditing and accounting.

The consolidated financial statements of CONSOL Energy Inc. at December 31, 2001 and for the periods of six months ended December 31, 2001 and the twelve months ended June 30, 2001 appearing in this prospectus and registration statement have been audited by Ernst & Young LLP, independent auditors, as set forth in their report thereon appearing elsewhere herein, and are included in reliance upon such report given on the authority of such firm as experts in accounting and auditing.

The financial information included in this prospectus for the year ended December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002, relating to our total gas supply and our owned gas reserves of Pocahontas Gas Partnership, is derived from reserve reports prepared or reviewed by Ralph E. Davis Associates, Inc. The financial information included in this prospectus for the year ended December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002, six months ended December 31, 2001 and for the twelve months ended June 30, 2001, relating to the estimates of reserves from our oil and gas reserves, is derived from reserve reports prepared or reviewed by Data and Consulting Services, a division of Schlumberger. This information is included in this prospectus in reliance upon these firms as experts in matters contained in the reports.

WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION

We are subject to the reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and, in accordance with that Act, file annual and quarterly reports, proxy statements and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission. These reports, proxy statements and other information may be inspected and copies of these materials may be obtained upon payment of fees at the Public Reference Room maintained by the Commission at 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549. You may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the Commission at 1-800-SEC-0330. In addition, we are required to file electronic versions of these materials with the Commission through the Commission's Electronic and Data Gathering, Analysis and Retrieval system. The Commission maintains a World Wide Web site at <http://www.sec.gov> that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding registrants that file electronically with the Commission. Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange, and reports and other information concerning us may be inspected at the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. at 20 Broad Street, New York, New York 10005.

We have filed with the Commission a registration statement on Form S-1 under the Securities Act of 1933. This prospectus does not contain all of the information set forth in the registration statement and the exhibits thereto.

Table of Contents

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
Reports of Independent Auditors	F-2
Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss) for the Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2003, December 31, 2002, Six Months Ended December 31, 2001 and Twelve Months Ended June 30, 2001	F-4
Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2003, December 31, 2002 and December 31, 2001	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2003, December 31, 2002, Six Months Ended December 31, 2001 and Twelve Months Ended June 30, 2001	F-6
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2003, December 31, 2002, Six Months Ended December 31, 2001 and Twelve Months Ended June 30, 2001	F-7
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-8

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

CONSOL Energy Inc.

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders' equity and cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of CONSOL Energy Inc. and its subsidiaries (the Company) at December 31, 2003 and 2002, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management; our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Note 10 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company changed the manner in which it accounts for asset retirement costs as of January 1, 2003.

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

February 20, 2004

F-2

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

CONSOL Energy Inc.

We have audited the consolidated balance sheets of CONSOL Energy Inc. and subsidiaries (CONSOL Energy) as of December 31, 2001, and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders' equity and cash flows for the six months ended December 31, 2001 and for the twelve months ended June 30, 2001. These financial statements are the responsibility of CONSOL Energy's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of CONSOL Energy at December 31, 2001 and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for the six months ended December 31, 2001 and for the twelve months ended June 30, 2001, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

January 16, 2002,

except for the information included in Note 30 and Note 31

related to the periods December 31, 2001

and prior as to which the date is March 5, 2004.

F-3

Table of Contents**CONSOL ENERGY INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	For the Twelve Months Ended December 31,		For the Six Months Ended December 31,	For the Twelve Months Ended June 30,
	2003	2002		
Sales Outside	\$ 2,041,482	\$ 2,002,541	\$ 964,460	\$ 2,113,914
Sales Related Parties (Note 3)	1,369	804		9,288
Freight Outside	114,020	133,852	70,314	157,037
Freight Related Parties (Note 3)	562	564		3,903
Other Income (Note 4)	65,033	45,837	31,223	70,457
Total Revenue and Other Income	2,222,466	2,183,598	1,065,997	2,354,599
Costs of Goods Sold and Other Operating Charges (exclusive of depreciation, depletion and amortization shown below)	1,624,016	1,543,189	761,146	1,554,867
Freight Expense	114,582	134,416	70,314	160,940
Selling, General and Administrative Expenses	77,571	65,888	31,493	63,043
Depreciation, Depletion and Amortization	242,152	262,873	120,039	243,272
Interest Expense (Note 5)	34,451	46,213	16,564	57,598
Taxes Other Than Income (Note 6)	160,209	172,479	80,659	158,066
Export Sales Excise Tax Resolution (Note 7)	(614)	(1,037)	5,402	(123,522)
Restructuring Costs (Note 8)	3,606			
Total Costs	2,255,973	2,224,021	1,085,617	2,114,264
Earnings (Loss) Before Income Taxes	(33,507)	(40,423)	(19,620)	240,335
Income Taxes (Benefits) (Note 9)	(20,941)	(52,099)	(20,679)	56,685
Earnings (Loss) before Cumulative Effect of Change in Accounting Principle	\$ (12,566)	\$ 11,676	\$ 1,059	\$ 183,650
Cumulative Effect of Changes in Accounting for Mine Closing, Reclamation and Gas Well Closing Costs, Net of Income Taxes of \$3,035 (Note 10)	\$ 4,768	\$	\$	\$
Net Income (Loss)	\$ (7,798)	\$ 11,676	\$ 1,059	\$ 183,650
Earnings (Loss) per Share (Note 1):				
Basic	\$ (0.10)	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.01	\$ 2.34
Dilutive	\$ (0.10)	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.01	\$ 2.33

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

Weighted Average Number of Common Shares Outstanding (Note 1):				
Basic	81,732,589	78,728,560	78,699,732	78,613,580
Dilutive	82,040,418	78,834,023	78,920,046	78,817,935
Dividends per Share	\$ 0.56	\$ 0.84	\$ 0.56	\$ 1.12

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-4

Table of Contents**CONSOL ENERGY INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET****(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)**

	December 31,		
	2003	2002	2001
ASSETS			
Current Assets:			
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 6,513	\$ 11,517	\$ 15,582
Accounts and Notes Receivable:			
Trade	89,971	205,891	220,442
Other Receivables	91,401	127,226	123,336
Inventories (Note 11)	103,358	135,621	113,894
Recoverable Taxes	20,257	21,935	
Deferred Income Taxes (Note 9)	125,938	92,236	54,708
Prepaid Expenses	33,402	28,411	42,274
Total Current Assets	470,840	622,837	570,236
Property, Plant and Equipment (Note 13):			
Property, Plant and Equipment	5,495,096	5,257,456	4,965,659
Less Accumulated Depreciation, Depletion and Amortization	2,808,638	2,651,233	2,361,052
Total Property, Plant and Equipment Net	2,686,458	2,606,223	2,604,607
Other Assets:			
Deferred Income Taxes (Note 9)	409,090	420,718	520,906
Intangible Assets (Note 14)	375,049	388,362	403,347
Restricted Cash	190,918		
Investment in Affiliates	84,878	135,362	77,928
Other	101,745	119,658	121,708
Total Other Assets	1,161,680	1,064,100	1,123,889
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 4,318,978	\$ 4,293,160	\$ 4,298,732
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY			
Current Liabilities:			
Accounts Payable	\$ 134,772	\$ 151,371	\$ 171,923
Short-Term Notes Payable (Note 15)	68,760	204,545	77,869
Current Portion of Long-Term Debt and Capital Lease Obligations (Note 17 and 18)	53,330	8,615	72,771
Accrued Income Taxes			4,799
Other Accrued Liabilities (Note 16)	567,737	449,902	313,379
Total Current Liabilities	824,599	814,433	640,741
Long-Term Debt:			
Long-Term Debt (Note 17)	441,912	485,535	464,187
Capital Lease Obligations (Note 18)		2,896	8,482
Total Long-Term Debt	441,912	488,431	472,669
Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities:			
Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions (Note 19)	1,494,615	1,437,987	1,417,567

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

Pneumoconiosis Benefits (Note 20)	441,076	455,436	459,776
Mine Closing	312,208	332,920	333,738
Workers Compensation	255,785	261,250	269,075
Deferred Revenue	61,673	102,400	227,595
Salary Retirement	79,545	91,474	8,633
Reclamation	14,480	5,812	13,744
Other	102,448	140,970	183,635
	<u>2,761,830</u>	<u>2,828,249</u>	<u>2,913,763</u>
Total Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities	2,761,830	2,828,249	2,913,763
	<u>4,028,341</u>	<u>4,131,113</u>	<u>4,027,173</u>
Total Liabilities	4,028,341	4,131,113	4,027,173
Shareholders Equity:			
Common Stock, \$.01 Par Value; 500,000,000 Shares Authorized, 91,267,558 Issued and 89,861,900 Outstanding at December 31, 2003; 80,267,558 Issued; 78,749,001 Outstanding at December 31, 2002 and 78,705,638 Outstanding at December 31, 2001			
	913	803	803
Capital in Excess of Par Value	833,675	643,787	643,627
Preferred Stock, 15,000,000 Shares Authorized; None Issued and Outstanding			
Retained Earnings (Deficit)	(425,470)	(372,017)	(317,566)
Other Comprehensive Loss (Note 23)	(102,601)	(93,370)	(37,659)
Common Stock in Treasury, at Cost 1,405,658 Shares at December 31, 2003, 1,518,557 Shares at December 31, 2002, 1,561,920 Shares at December 31, 2001			
	(15,880)	(17,156)	(17,646)
	<u>290,637</u>	<u>162,047</u>	<u>271,559</u>
Total Stockholders Equity	290,637	162,047	271,559
	<u>\$ 4,318,978</u>	<u>\$ 4,293,160</u>	<u>\$ 4,298,732</u>
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	\$ 4,318,978	\$ 4,293,160	\$ 4,298,732

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**CONSOL ENERGY INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY**

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	<u>Common Stock</u>	<u>Capital in Excess of Par Value</u>	<u>Retained Earnings (Deficit)</u>	<u>Other Comprehensive Loss</u>	<u>Common Stock in Treasury</u>	<u>Total Stockholders Equity</u>
Balance at June 30, 2000	\$ 803	\$ 642,947	\$ (370,152)	\$ (322)	(19,097)	\$ 254,179
Net Income			183,650			183,650
Minimum Pension Liability (Net of \$10 tax)				(15)		(15)
Comprehensive Income (Loss)			183,650	(15)		183,635
Treasury Stock Issued (118,981 Shares)		539			1,345	1,884
Dividends (\$1.12 per Share)			(88,051)			(88,051)
Balance at June 30, 2001	803	643,486	(274,553)	(337)	(17,752)	351,647
Net Income			1,059			1,059
Minimum Pension Liability (Net of \$23,761 tax)				(37,322)		(37,322)
Comprehensive Income (Loss)			1,059	(37,322)		(36,263)
Treasury Stock Issued (9,383 shares)		141			106	247
Dividends (\$.56 per Share)			(44,072)			(44,072)
Balance at December 31, 2001	803	643,627	(317,566)	(37,659)	(17,646)	271,559
Net Income			11,676			11,676
Minimum Pension Liability (Net of \$34,438 tax)				(54,092)		(54,092)
Treasury Rate Lock (Net of \$476 tax)				746		746
Interest Rate Swap Contract (Net of \$338 tax)				(531)		(531)
Gas Cash Flow Hedge (Net of \$1,168 tax)				(1,834)		(1,834)
Comprehensive Income (Loss)			11,676	(55,711)		(44,035)
Treasury Stock Issued (42,729 shares)		160			490	650
Dividends (\$.84 per share)			(66,127)			(66,127)
Balance at December 31, 2002	803	643,787	(372,017)	(93,370)	(17,156)	162,047
Net Income (Loss)			(7,798)			(7,798)
Issuance of Common Stock	110	189,442				189,552
Minimum Pension Liability (Net of \$2,789 tax)				(5,279)		(5,279)
Treasury Rate Lock (Net of \$53 Tax)				(81)		(81)
Interest Rate Swap Contract (Net of \$176 Tax)				(276)		(276)
Gas Cash Flow Hedge (Net of \$2,289 tax)				(3,595)		(3,595)
Comprehensive Income (Loss)				(9,231)		(9,231)
Treasury Stock Issued (112,899 shares)		446			1,276	1,722
Dividends (\$.56 per share)			(45,655)			(45,655)
Balance at December 31, 2003	\$ 913	\$ 833,675	\$ (425,470)	\$ (102,601)	\$ (15,880)	\$ 290,637

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-6

Table of Contents**CONSOL ENERGY INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOW****(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)**

	For the Twelve Months Ended December 31,		For the Six Months Ended December 31,	For the Twelve Months Ended June 30,
	2003	2002	2001	2001
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:				
Net Income (Loss)	\$ (7,798)	\$ 11,676	\$ 1,059	\$ 183,650
Adjustments to Reconcile Net Income to Net Cash Provided By Operating Activities:				
Cumulative Effect of Change in Accounting Principle, net of tax	(4,768)			
Depreciation, Depletion and Amortization	242,152	262,873	120,039	243,272
Gain on Sale of Assets	(23,372)	(13,307)	(6,857)	(15,280)
Amortization of Advance Mining Royalties	7,013	9,946	5,589	17,192
Deferred Income Taxes	(18,511)	(45,557)	(20,943)	28,631
Equity in Earnings of Affiliates	8,851	9,818	(796)	(19,437)
Changes in Operating Assets:				
Accounts Receivable Securitization	108,000			
Accounts and Notes Receivable	40,745	12,061	58,677	(91,489)
Inventories	27,044	(21,727)	(10,692)	61,807
Prepaid Expenses	(8,210)	13,878	(14,138)	(4,247)
Changes in Other Assets	17,168	8,540	63,475	(21,827)
Changes in Operating Liabilities:				
Accounts Payable	(5,823)	(20,552)	5,188	787
Other Operating Liabilities	99,096	164,343	(135,801)	27,300
Changes in Other Liabilities	(91,354)	(61,690)	30,977	24,233
Other	(9,106)	(746)	(2,693)	1,247
	<u>388,925</u>	<u>317,880</u>	<u>92,025</u>	<u>252,189</u>
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	381,127	329,556	93,084	435,839
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:				
Capital Expenditures	(290,652)	(295,025)	(162,700)	(213,132)
Additions to Intangible Assets	(10,196)	(5,155)	(3,318)	(6,106)
Proceeds from Sale of Assets	108,904	28,213	5,601	12,875
Acquisitions Net of Cash Acquired (Note 2)			162,738	(39,072)
Investment in Equity Affiliates	(12,670)	(67,969)	(13,919)	12,114
	<u>(204,614)</u>	<u>(339,936)</u>	<u>(11,598)</u>	<u>(233,321)</u>
Net Cash Used in Investing Activities	(204,614)	(339,936)	(11,598)	(233,321)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:				
Payments on Commercial Paper	(202,953)	(119,993)	(36,564)	(102,455)
Payments on Long-Term Notes		(66,000)		

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

Proceeds from Short-Term Debt	65,000			
Payments on Miscellaneous Borrowings	(22)	(4,285)	(1,915)	(5,227)
Proceeds from Long-Term Notes	1,757	261,803		
Proceeds from Treasury Rate Lock		1,332		
Payments for Bond Issuance Costs		(1,065)		
Dividends Paid	(45,613)	(66,086)	(44,050)	(88,014)
Deposits to Restricted Cash	(190,918)			
Proceeds from Issuance of Common Stock, Net of Costs	189,552			
Issuance of Treasury Stock	1,680	609		1,622
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities	(181,517)	6,315	(82,529)	(194,074)
Net (Decrease) Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(5,004)	(4,065)	(1,043)	8,444
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period	11,517	15,582	16,625	8,181
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$ 6,513	\$ 11,517	\$ 15,582	\$ 16,625
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

See Note 25 Supplemental Cash Flow Information

F-7

Table of Contents

CONSOL ENERGY INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

Note 1 Significant Accounting Policies:

A summary of the significant accounting policies of CONSOL Energy Inc. and subsidiaries (CONSOL Energy) is presented below. These, together with the other notes that follow, are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Basis of Consolidation:

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of majority-owned and controlled subsidiaries. Investments in business entities in which CONSOL Energy does not have control, but has the ability to exercise significant influence over the operating and financial policies, are accounted for under the equity method. All significant intercompany transactions and accounts have been eliminated in consolidation.

Use of Estimates:

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and various disclosures. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The most significant estimates included in the preparation of the financial statements are related to other postretirement benefits, coal workers' pneumoconiosis, workers' compensation, salary retirement benefits, reclamation and mine closure liabilities, deferred tax assets and liabilities, contingencies and coal and gas reserve values.

Cash and Cash Equivalents:

Cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand and in banks as well as all highly liquid short-term securities with original maturities of three months or less. Overdrafts representing outstanding checks in excess of funds on deposit are classified as accounts payable.

Restricted Cash:

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

CONSOL Energy has restricted cash that was segregated in a reimbursement facility and was pledged as collateral on outstanding letters of credit and was classified as non-current on the balance sheet. The first reimbursement facility was signed and effective August 11, 2003, in the amount of \$918. The second reimbursement facility was signed and effective October 10, 2003, in the amount of \$190,000.

Inventories:

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market.

The cost of coal inventories is determined by the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method. Coal inventory costs include labor, supplies, equipment costs, operating overhead and other related costs. The cost of merchandise for resale is determined by the last-in, first-out (LIFO) method and includes industrial maintenance, repair and operating supplies for sale to third parties. The cost of supplies inventory is determined by the average cost method and includes operating and maintenance supplies to be used in our mining operations.

Property, Plant and Equipment:

Property, plant and equipment is carried at cost. Expenditures which extend the useful lives of existing plant and equipment are capitalized. Interest costs applicable to major asset additions are capitalized during the

Table of Contents**CONSOL ENERGY INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)**

construction period. Coal exploration costs are expensed as incurred. Development costs, which are costs incurred to attain production, are capitalized when the majority of production comes from development activities versus normal operating activities. Costs of additional mine facilities required to maintain production after a mine reaches the production stage, generally referred to as receding face costs, are expensed as incurred; however, the costs of additional airshafts and new portals are capitalized.

Gas well activity is accounted for under the successful efforts method of accounting. Costs of property acquisitions, successful exploratory wells, development wells and related support equipment and facilities are capitalized. The costs of producing properties are amortized using the units-of-production method over estimated proved developed gas reserves. Units-of-production amortization rates are revised whenever there is an indication of the need for revision, but at least once a year; those revisions are accounted for prospectively as changes in accounting estimates.

Maintenance, repairs and minor renewals are expensed as incurred. When properties are retired or otherwise disposed, the related cost and accumulated depreciation are removed from the respective accounts and any profit or loss on disposition is recognized in other income.

Depreciation of plant and equipment, including assets leased under capital leases, is provided on the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives or lease terms as follows:

	<u>Years</u>
Building and improvements	10 to 20
Machinery and equipment	3 to 20
Leasehold improvements	Life of Lease
Airshafts	Life of Area Benefited

Depletion of coal interests and amortization of mine development costs are computed using the units-of-production method over the estimated proven and probable reserves.

Costs for purchased and internally developed software are expensed until it has been determined that the software will result in probable future economic benefits and management has committed to funding the project. Thereafter, all direct costs of materials and services incurred in developing or obtaining software, including certain payroll and benefit costs of employees associated with the project, are capitalized and amortized using the straight-line method over the estimated useful life which does not exceed 7 years.

Intangible Assets

Intangible assets consist of leased coal interests and advance mining royalties. Advance mining royalties are advance payments made to lessors under terms of mineral lease agreements that are recoupable against future production using the units-of-production method for proven and probable coal reserves. For advance mining royalties, where coal reserves do not yet meet the criteria to be classified as proven and probable coal reserves, the straight-line method of amortization over the estimated useful life is used. Depletion of leased coal interests is computed using the units-of-production method for proven and probable coal reserves. For leased coal interests, where coal reserves do not yet meet the criteria to be classified as proven and probable coal reserves, the straight-line method of amortization over the estimated useful life is used. Advance mining royalties and leased coal interests are evaluated periodically for impairment issues or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable.

Table of Contents**CONSOL ENERGY INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)**

The estimated weighted average life used in the straight-line calculation for these intangible assets are as follows:

	Years
Advanced mining royalties	22
Leased coal interests	55

Impairment of Long-lived Assets:

Impairment of long-lived assets is recorded when indicators of impairment are present and the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets are less than the assets' carrying value. The carrying value of the assets is then reduced to their estimated fair value which is usually measured based on an estimate of future discounted cash flows.

Income Taxes:

The asset and liability method is used to account for income taxes. Under this approach, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in CONSOL Energy's financial statements or tax returns. The provision for income taxes represents income taxes paid or payable for the current year and the change in deferred taxes excluding the effects of acquisitions during the year. Deferred taxes result from differences between the financial and tax bases of the Company's assets and liabilities and are adjusted for changes in tax rates and tax laws when changes are enacted. Valuation allowances are recorded to reduce deferred tax assets where it is more likely than not that a deferred tax benefit will not be realized.

Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions:

Postretirement benefits other than pensions, except for those established pursuant to the Coal Industry Retiree Health Benefit Act of 1992 (the Health Benefit Act), are accounted for in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 106, Employers' Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions, which requires employers to accrue the cost of such retirement benefits for the employees' active service periods. Such liabilities are recorded on a discounted basis. Postretirement benefit obligations established by the Health Benefit Act are treated as a multi-employer plan which requires expense to be recorded for the associated obligations as payments are made. This treatment is in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) No. 92-13, Accounting for Estimated Payments in Connection with the Coal Industry Retiree Health Benefit Act of 1992.

Pneumoconiosis Benefits:

CONSOL Energy is required by federal and state statutes to provide benefits to certain current and former totally disabled employees or their dependents for awards related to coal workers' pneumoconiosis. CONSOL Energy is primarily self-insured for these benefits. Provisions are made for estimated benefits based on annual evaluations prepared by outside actuaries.

Mine Closing, Reclamation and Gas Well Closing Costs:

As of January 1, 2003, CONSOL Energy accrues for mine closing costs, perpetual care costs and dismantling and removing costs of gas related facilities using the accounting treatment prescribed by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations. This statement

Table of Contents

CONSOL ENERGY INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

requires the fair value of an asset retirement obligation be recognized in the period in which it is incurred if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. The present value of the estimated asset retirement costs is capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the long-lived asset. Depreciation of the capitalized asset retirement cost is generally determined on a units-of-production basis. Accretion of the asset retirement obligation is recognized over time and generally will escalate over the life of the producing asset, typically as production declines. Asset retirement obligations primarily relate to the closure of mines and gas wells and the reclamation of land upon exhaustion of coal and gas reserves. Under previously applied accounting standards, such obligations were recognized ratably over the life of the producing assets, primarily on a units-of-production basis.

Accrued mine closing costs, perpetual care costs, reclamation and costs of dismantling and removing gas related facilities are regularly reviewed by management and are revised for changes in future estimated costs and regulatory requirements.

Workers Compensation:

CONSOL Energy is primarily self-insured for workers compensation claims in the various states in which it operates. Annual undiscounted provisions are made for the estimated liability for awarded and pending claims based on information received from third party administrators. Third party administrators assist in the gathering, processing and cost accrual estimations.

CONSOL Energy changed its method of accounting for workers compensation effective January 1, 2004. Prior to the change, the Company recorded its workers compensation liability on an undiscounted basis. Under the new method, the Company will record the liability on a discounted basis, which will be actuarially determined using various assumptions, including discount rate and future cost trends. CONSOL Energy believes this change is preferable since it will align the accounting with the Company's other long-term employee benefit obligations, which are recorded on a discounted basis. Additionally, it will provide a better comparison with the Company's industry peers, the majority of which record the workers compensation liability on a discounted basis.

The change will be reflected as a cumulative effect from a change in accounting in the quarter ended March 31, 2004 according to Accounting Principles Board Opinion (APB) No. 20, Accounting Changes. The effect of the change is expected to result in an income adjustment of approximately \$81,000 net of approximately \$51,000 of deferred tax expense. The workers compensation liability will be reduced by approximately \$132,000 and the deferred tax assets will be reduced by approximately \$51,000 as a result of this change in accounting.

Deferred Revenue:

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

Deferred revenues represent funding received upon the negotiation of long-term contracts. The deferred revenues will be recognized as sales revenues in future periods by amortization on a rate per ton shipped over the life of the respective contract.

Retirement Plans:

CONSOL Energy has non-contributory defined benefit retirement plans covering substantially all employees not covered by multi-employer retirement plans. Prior to July 31, 2003, the benefits for these plans were based primarily on years of service and employee compensation near retirement. Effective August 1, 2003,

F-11

Table of Contents

CONSOL ENERGY INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

the salary pension plan changed to include early retirement reduction factors dependent on a combination of the age of the employee and the employee's years of service. CONSOL Energy's policy is to annually fund the defined benefit pension plans at or above the minimum required by law.

Revenue Recognition:

Sales are recognized when title passes to the customers. For domestic coal sales, this generally occurs when coal is loaded at mine or offsite storage locations. For export coal sales, this generally occurs when coal is loaded onto marine vessels at terminal locations. For gas sales, this occurs at the contractual point of delivery. For industrial supplies and equipment sales, this generally occurs when the products are shipped. For terminal, river and dock, land, research and development, and coal waste disposal services, revenue is recognized generally as the service is provided to the customer.

Freight Revenue and Expenses:

Shipping and handling costs invoiced to coal customers and paid to third-party carriers are recorded as Freight Revenue and Freight Expense, respectively.

Royalty Recognition:

Royalty expenses for coal and gas rights are included in cost of goods sold and other charges when the related revenue for the coal sale and the gas sale is recognized. These royalty expenses are paid in cash in accordance with the terms of each agreement. Revenues for coal and gas sold related to production under royalty contracts, versus owned by CONSOL Energy, are recorded gross. The recognized revenues for these transactions are not net of a related royalty fee.

Contingencies:

CONSOL Energy or its subsidiaries from time to time are subject to various lawsuits and claims with respect to such matters as personal injury, wrongful death, damage to property, exposure to hazardous substances, governmental regulations including environmental remediation, employment and contract disputes, and other claims and actions, arising out of the normal course of business. Liabilities are recorded when it is

probable that obligations have been incurred and the amounts can be reasonably estimated. Estimates are developed through consultation with legal counsel involved in the defense and are based upon an analysis of potential results, assuming a combination of litigation and settlement strategies.

Stock-based Compensation:

CONSOL Energy has implemented the disclosure-only provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation (SFAS No. 123). CONSOL Energy continues to measure compensation expense for its stock-based compensation plans using the intrinsic value based method of accounting prescribed by Accounting Principles Board Opinion (APB) No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, as amended. Generally, no stock-based employee compensation cost is reflected in net income, as all options granted under those plans had an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of the grant. In the twelve months ended December 31, 2003, \$848 of compensation expense was recognized due to a change in the vesting period of stock options for certain members of the CONSOL Energy Board of Directors. No other periods presented reflect compensation expense related to stock options.

Table of Contents

CONSOL ENERGY INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share if CONSOL Energy had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123, to stock-based employee compensation:

	For the		For the Six Months Ended December 31, 2001	For the Twelve Months
	Twelve Months Ended December 31,			Ended
	2003	2002		June 30, 2001
Net (loss) income, as reported	\$ (7,798)	\$ 11,676	\$ 1,059	\$ 183,650
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under Black-Scholes option pricing model	(3,245)	(2,408)	(1,494)	(1,158)
Pro forma net income (loss)	\$ (11,043)	\$ 9,268	\$ (435)	\$ 182,492
Earnings (loss) per share:				
Basic as reported	\$ (0.10)	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.01	\$ 2.34
Basic pro forma	\$ (0.14)	\$ 0.12	\$ (0.01)	\$ 2.32
Diluted as reported	\$ (0.10)	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.01	\$ 2.33
Diluted pro forma	\$ (0.14)	\$ 0.12	\$ (0.01)	\$ 2.32

The pro forma adjustments in the current period are not necessarily indicative of future period pro forma adjustments as the assumptions used to determine fair value can vary significantly and the number of future shares to be issued under these plans is unknown. See Note 22 Stock Based Compensation.

Earnings per Share:

Basic earnings per share are computed by dividing net earnings by the weighted average shares outstanding during the reporting period. Diluted earnings per share are computed similar to basic earnings per share except that the weighted average shares outstanding are increased to include additional shares from the assumed exercise of stock options, if dilutive. The number of additional shares is calculated by assuming that outstanding stock options were exercised and that the proceeds from such exercises were used to acquire shares of common stock at the average

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

market price during the reporting period. Options to purchase 1,084,193 shares, 1,095,693 shares, 1,101,693 shares, and 362,400 shares of common stock were outstanding at December 31, 2003, December 31, 2002, December 31, 2001, and June 30, 2001, respectively, but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the options' exercise prices were greater than the average market price of the common shares and, therefore, the effect would be anti-dilutive.

F-13

Table of Contents

CONSOL ENERGY INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

The computations for basic and diluted earnings per share from continuing operations are as follows:

	For the		For the Six Months Ended December 31, 2001	For the Twelve Months Ended June 30, 2001
	Twelve Months Ended			
	December 31, 2003	2002		
Net Income (Loss)	\$ (7,798)	\$ 11,676	\$ 1,059	\$ 183,650
Average shares of common stock Outstanding:				
Basic	81,732,589	78,728,560	78,699,732	78,613,580
Effect of stock options	307,829	105,463	220,314	204,355
Diluted	82,040,418	78,834,023	78,920,046	78,817,935
Earnings (Loss) per share:				
Basic	\$ (0.10)	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.01	\$ 2.34
Diluted	\$ (0.10)	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.01	\$ 2.33

The computation for basic and dilutive earnings per share before cumulative effect of accounting change is as follows:

	For the Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2003
Earnings Per Share Before Cumulative Effect of Change in Accounting Principle:	
Basic	(0.15)
Dilutive	(0.15)

Accounting for Derivative Instruments:

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

CONSOL Energy accounts for derivative instruments in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, (SFAS No. 133) and its corresponding amendments under SFAS No. 138. SFAS No. 133 requires the Company to measure every derivative instrument (including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts) at fair value and record them in the balance sheet as either an asset or liability. Changes in fair value of derivatives are recorded currently in earnings unless special hedge accounting criteria are met. For derivatives designated as fair value hedges, the changes in fair value of both the derivative instrument and the hedged item are recorded in earnings. Currently, the Company has no fair value hedges. For derivatives designated as cash flow hedges, the effective portions of changes in fair value of the derivative are reported in other comprehensive income. The ineffective portions of hedges are recognized in earnings in the current period.

CONSOL Energy formally assesses, both at inception of the hedge and on an ongoing basis, whether each derivative is highly effective in offsetting changes in fair values or cash flows of the hedged item. If it is determined that a derivative is not highly effective as a hedge or if a derivative ceases to be a highly effective hedge, the Company will discontinue hedge accounting prospectively.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements:

In January 2003, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 46, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, to expand upon and strengthen existing accounting guidance that addresses when a company should include in its

Table of Contents

CONSOL ENERGY INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

financial statements the assets, liabilities and activities of another entity. In general, a variable interest entity is a corporation, partnership, trust, or any other legal structure used for business purposes that either (a) does not have equity investors with voting rights or (b) has equity investors that do not provide sufficient financial resources for the entity to support its activities. Interpretation No. 46 requires a variable interest entity to be consolidated by a company if that company is subject to a majority of the risk of loss from the variable interest entities activities, is entitled to receive a majority of the variable interest entities residual returns, or both. The interpretation also requires disclosures about variable interest entities that the company is not required to consolidate, but in which it has a significant variable interest. The consolidated requirements of Interpretation No. 46 applied immediately to variable interest entities created after January 31, 2003. Effective December 2003, the FASB elected to defer the effective date until the first fiscal year or interim period that begins after March 15, 2004 for variable interest entities in which an enterprise holds a variable interest in that it acquired before February 1, 2003. As of December 31, 2003, management believes that CONSOL Energy does not have any variable interest entities, therefore, there is no impact from the adoption of this standard.

In May 2003, Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 150, Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity was issued and will be effective for CONSOL Energy for all financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003, and otherwise was effective for the Company for the third quarter 2003. This statement affects the classification, measurement and disclosure requirements of certain freestanding financial instruments including mandatorily redeemable shares. As of December 31, 2003, CONSOL Energy does not hold any mandatorily redeemable freestanding financial instruments.

In December 2003, CONSOL Energy adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 132, Employers Disclosure about Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits an amendment of SFAB No. 87, 88 and 106. This standard requires additional disclosure about an employer's pension plans and postretirement benefit plans such as; the types of plan assets, investment strategy, measurement date, plan obligations, cash flows and components of net periodic benefit cost recognized during the interim periods. See Note 19 for additional details.

Reclassifications:

Certain amounts in prior periods have been reclassified to conform with the report classifications of the year ended December 31, 2003, with no effect on previously reported net income or stockholders' equity.

Fiscal Year:

CONSOL Energy changed its fiscal year from a year ending June 30 to a year ending December 31. The transitional fiscal period was the six months ended December 31, 2001. CONSOL Energy's first full fiscal year ended December 31 was the year that started January 1, 2002 and ended December 31, 2002. This change was made in order to align its fiscal year with that of RWE A.G. which, at that time, beneficially owned directly or through subsidiaries approximately 74% of the common stock of CONSOL Energy.

Table of Contents**CONSOL ENERGY INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)****Note 2 Acquisitions and Dispositions:**

In February 2003, we sold our interests in Canadian coal assets and port facilities to Fording Inc. for a note and cash, including amounts to be adjusted through a working capital audit. The note was exchanged for 3.2 million units in the Fording Canadian Coal Trust, a newly organized publicly traded trust which acquired the assets of Fording Inc. We sold the units in the coal trust in March 2003. CONSOL Energy received total proceeds of approximately \$71,000 and recognized a \$2,000 loss on the sale.

In January, 2004, CONSOL Energy announced that it intended to sell the stock in its wholly owned subsidiary CNX Australia Pty Limited to certain affiliates of AMCI, Inc. for \$27,500, the assumption of \$21,275 of debt, and associated interest rate swaps and foreign currency hedges. CNX Australia Pty Limited, through its wholly owned subsidiary CONSOL Energy Australia Pty Limited, owns a 50% interest in the Glennies Creek Mine in New South Wales, Australia with its joint venture partner Maitland Main Collieries Pty Limited, an affiliate of AMCI, Inc. Agreements were finalized on February 25, 2004 and are expected to result in a pre-tax gain of approximately \$13 million. CONSOL Energy purchased the 50 percent share in Glennies Creek for \$17,950 on December 7, 2001, in order to expand international market share. Glennies Creek produces a high fluidity coking coal that will be sold primarily to steel makers in the Asia-Pacific region. The acquisition has been accounted for as a purchase and accordingly, the operating results of Glennies Creek Mine have been included in CONSOL Energy's consolidated financial statements using the equity method of accounting since the date of acquisition. Pro forma net income and earnings per share of CONSOL Energy, after giving effect to certain purchase accounting adjustments, would not materially change for the six months ended December 31, 2001 or the twelve months ended June 30, 2001.

On August 22, 2001, in order to expand existing gas operations, CONSOL Energy purchased the remaining 50% interest in the coalbed methane reserves and the remaining 25% interest in the production and pipeline gathering assets in southwestern Virginia of Pocahontas Gas Partnership and Cardinal States Gathering Company for \$155,312. Prior to the acquisition, CONSOL Energy owned 50% and 75%, respectively, of these two entities.

The acquisition has been accounted for as a purchase and, accordingly, the operating results for the portion of Pocahontas Gas Partnership and Cardinal States Gathering Company previously reported on the equity method and the newly acquired portions have been included in CONSOL Energy's operating results using full consolidation since the date of acquisition.

The following table summarizes the estimated fair values of the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed at the date of acquisition:

	<u>August 22, 2001</u>
Property, plant and equipment (including estimated reserves)	\$ 163,426

Total assets acquired	163,426
Current liabilities	(6,079)
Long-term liabilities and deferred credits	(2,035)
Total liabilities assumed	(8,114)
Net assets acquired	\$ 155,312

Table of Contents**CONSOL ENERGY INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)**

The unaudited pro forma results, assuming the acquisition of the interests in these entities had occurred July 1, 2000, are estimated to be:

	Pro Forma	Pro Forma
	Six Months Ended	Twelve Months Ended
	December 31,	June 30,
	2001	2001
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Revenues	\$ 1,089,066	\$ 2,442,530
Net Income	\$ 1,492	\$ 191,307
Net income per common share:		
Basic	\$ 0.02	\$ 2.43
Diluted	\$ 0.02	\$ 2.43

The pro forma results are not necessarily indicative of what actually would have occurred if the acquisition of the interest in these entities had been completed as of the beginning of each fiscal period presented, nor are they necessarily indicative of future consolidated results.

On July 2, 2001, CONSOL Energy entered into agreements with American Electric Power to supply coal to various American Electric Power coal-fired power plants and purchased, for a nominal amount, the stock of Windsor Coal Company, Southern Ohio Coal Company and Central Ohio Coal Company, subsidiaries of American Electric Power which owns mines in Ohio and West Virginia. Under the agreements, CONSOL Energy will supply approximately 34 million tons of coal through 2008. These tons will be supplied by the former American Electric Power affiliated mines and by other CONSOL Energy mines. The former American Electric Power affiliated mines all have limited economically mineable reserves. The Meigs #31 mine of Southern Ohio Coal Company closed on October 24, 2001, the Muskingum surface mine of Central Ohio Coal Company closed on December 14, 2001, the Meigs #2 mine of Southern Ohio Coal Company closed on March 6, 2002 and the Windsor mine of Windsor Coal Company closed on August 6, 2002. CONSOL Energy is expanding its McElroy Mine to meet the new supply agreement requirements.

In the twelve months ended December 31, 2002, after the appropriate tax treatment of this transaction was determined, the final purchase price allocation was completed. For income tax purposes, an agency approach to the acquired balance sheet was adopted.

As a result, there are no current or deferred taxes associated with this transaction. The final purchase price allocation of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in this transaction is as follows:

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

Cash	\$ 336,000
Current assets	4,191
Other assets	17,483
	<hr/>
Total assets acquired	357,674
	<hr/>
Current liabilities	16,157
Employee and mine-related liabilities	239,993
Other long-term liabilities and deferred credits	101,524
	<hr/>
Total liabilities assumed	357,674
	<hr/>
Net assets acquired	\$
	<hr/>

F-17

Table of Contents**CONSOL ENERGY INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)**

Subsequent to the acquisition, the cash was used by CONSOL Energy to pay down a portion of its short-term debt. The acquisition has been accounted for as a purchase and, accordingly, the operating results of Windsor Coal Company, Southern Ohio Coal Company and Central Ohio Coal Company have been included in CONSOL Energy's operating results since the date of acquisition. Pro forma revenues, assuming the acquisition of these companies had occurred on July 1, 2000, would be \$2,837,478 for the twelve months ended June 30, 2001. Pro forma net income would be \$196,307 or \$2.50 earnings per share basic and \$2.49 earnings per share dilutive for the twelve months ended June 30, 2001. The pro forma results are not necessarily indicative of what actually would have occurred if the acquisition had been completed as of July 1, 2000 nor are they necessarily indicative of future consolidated results.

Note 3 Transactions with Related Parties:

CONSOL Energy sells coal to RWE A.G., which was CONSOL Energy's majority shareholder until October 2003, on a basis reflecting the market value of the coal. Such Related Parties sales were as follows:

	For the Twelve Months Ended December 31,		For the Six Months Ended December 31,	For the Twelve Months Ended June 30,
	2003	2002	2001	2001
Coal sales	\$ 1,369	\$ 804	\$	\$ 9,288
Freight	562	564		3,903
Total Sales and Freight Revenue Related Parties	\$ 1,931	\$ 1,368	\$	\$ 13,191

Prior to March 9, 2001, CONSOL Energy and RWE AG had an agreement to investigate possible investments in which they may jointly participate. Under this agreement, expenses were to be shared equally. CONSOL Energy expended \$626 for the twelve months ended June 30, 2001 related to this agreement. No investments were made pursuant to this agreement. The agreement was terminated on March 9, 2001.

Also, a subsidiary of RWE A.G. periodically provided insurance brokerage services to CONSOL Energy without fee. CONSOL Energy expended \$2,849 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2003, \$1,423 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2002, \$222 for the six months ended December 31, 2001 and \$419 for the twelve months ended June 30, 2001 for insurance premiums for policies brokered by a subsidiary of RWE AG. Prepaid expense for insurance premiums brokered through this subsidiary of RWE AG was \$104 at June 30, 2001. For

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

the periods December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001 there was no prepaid expense related to the brokered insurance through RWE AG. As of September 30, 2003, RWE AG no longer provides insurance brokerage services to CONSOL Energy. Since September 30, 2003, insurance for CONSOL Energy is brokered through an outside insurance company.

F-18

Table of Contents**CONSOL ENERGY INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)****Note 4 Other Income:**

	For the Twelve Months		For the Six Months Ended December 31,	For the Twelve Months Ended June 30,
	Ended			
	December 31,		2001	2001
	2003	2002		
Gain on disposition of assets	\$ 23,372	\$ 13,307	\$ 6,857	\$ 15,280
Royalty income	16,016	11,807	6,568	10,409
Service income	6,982	4,959	2,342	6,587
Interest income	5,602	5,738	3,734	4,817
Gain (Loss) on derivative instruments	4,928	232		
Rental income	3,896	3,936	1,814	2,482
Harbor maintenance fee refund	2,563			
Timber revenue	2,546	1,770	740	
Ash disposal income	2,293	2,209	993	1,963
Equity in earnings (loss) of affiliates	(8,851)	(9,818)	796	19,437
Contract settlement		7,017		
Other	5,686	4,680	7,379	9,482
Total Other Income	\$ 65,033	\$ 45,837	\$ 31,223	\$ 70,457

Note 5 Interest Expense:

	For the Twelve Months		For the Six Months Ended December 31,	For the Twelve Months Ended June 30,
	Ended December 31,			
	December 31,		2001	2001
	2003	2002		
Interest on debt	\$ 37,881	\$ 39,729	\$ 13,237	\$ 48,719
Interest on other payables	3,331	3,235	1,960	4,828
Interest accretion on present valued perpetual care obligations		8,531	3,239	6,478
Interest capitalized	(6,761)	(5,282)	(1,872)	(2,427)

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

Total Interest Expense	<u>\$ 34,451</u>	<u>\$ 46,213</u>	<u>\$ 16,564</u>	<u>\$ 57,598</u>
------------------------	------------------	------------------	------------------	------------------

CONSOL Energy implemented Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations as of January 1, 2003. Under this statement, interest accretion on present valued perpetual care obligations is reported as operating expenses versus the previous method which reported the accretion as interest expense.

Table of Contents

CONSOL ENERGY INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

Note 6 Taxes Other Than Income:

	For the Twelve Months Ended		For the Six Months Ended December 31,	For the Twelve Months Ended June 30,
	December 31,			
	2003	2002	2001	2001
Production taxes	\$ 104,033	\$ 103,414	\$ 49,493	\$ 93,185
Payroll taxes	32,461	34,607	17,849	35,302
Property taxes	27,211	31,814	11,212	26,426
Capital Stock & Franchise Tax	454	5,800	3,318	6,029
VA Employment Enhancement Tax Credit	(5,666)	(5,633)	(3,230)	(6,526)
Other	1,716	2,477	2,017	3,650
Total Taxes Other Than Income	\$ 160,209	\$ 172,479	\$ 80,659	\$ 158,066

Note 7 Export Sales Excise Tax Resolution:

In 2001, certain excise taxes paid on export sales of coal were determined to be unconstitutional. CONSOL Energy has filed claims with the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) seeking refunds for these excise taxes that were paid during the period 1991 through 1999. The IRS has completed an audit of CONSOL Energy's refund claims and confirmed the validity of the claims filed by CONSOL Energy for the period 1994 through 1999. The United States Supreme Court denied review of the refund claim under the Tucker Act, which allows the refund of taxes for the periods 1991 through 1993. In 2003, additional income of \$614 was recorded, comprised of \$696 of interest income on the outstanding tax refund receivable balance related to the 1994 to 1996 period, offset by an \$82 increase in legal fees related to the refund for the 1991 to 1993 period. In 2002, additional income of \$1,037 was recorded, comprised of \$1,282 of interest income on the outstanding tax refund receivable balance related to the 1994 to 1996 period, offset by a \$245 reduction due to a change in recoverable amounts. Expected interest receivable amounts were reduced by \$5,402 in the six month period ended December 31, 2001 due to a change in the estimate of recoverable amounts. CONSOL Energy recognized \$123,522 as Earnings Before Income Taxes net of other charges in the year ended June 30, 2001. The following table rolls forward the associated receivable and payable balances:

For the Twelve Months Ended	For the Six Months Ended
December 31,	December 31,
	2001

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u> </u>
Included in Other Receivables			
Beginning of the year Receivable	\$ 93,230	\$ 95,893	\$ 134,592
Cash Receipts	(67,943)	(3,956)	(33,589)
Accrual Adjustments (a)	719	1,293	(5,110)
	<u>\$ 26,006</u>	<u>\$ 93,230</u>	<u>\$ 95,893</u>
Included in Accounts Payable			
Beginning of the year Payable	\$ (5,498)	\$ (5,319)	\$ (10,004)
Cash Payments	3,689	77	4,977
Accrual Adjustments (a)	(105)	(256)	(292)
	<u>\$ (1,914)</u>	<u>\$ (5,498)</u>	<u>\$ (5,319)</u>

(a) Featured on the Consolidated Statement of Income as Export Sales Excise Tax Resolution.

Table of Contents

CONSOL ENERGY INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

Note 8 Restructuring Costs:

In December 2003, CONSOL Energy reduced corporate overhead costs by eliminating selling, general and administrative and other positions within the company. The restructuring of the corporate overhead was a result of the developments in CONSOL Energy's business, including the operation of fewer mines than have been operated in the past, the sale of non-core business assets and de-emphasizing coal exports. Restructuring charges represent \$3,606 of estimated severance costs related to the workforce reduction of approximately 100 employees. Of the estimated severance costs, \$2,720 has been paid as of December 31, 2003. The remaining \$886 restructuring liability is included in Other Accrued Liabilities at December 31, 2003 and is expected to be fully paid by December 31, 2004.

Note 9 Income Taxes:

Income taxes (benefits) provided on earnings consisted of:

	For the Twelve Months Ended		For the Six	For the Twelve Months Ended
	December 31,		Months Ended	June 30,
	2003	2002	December 31, 2001	2001
Current:				
U.S. Federal	\$ (17,186)	\$ (16,428)	\$ (4,304)	\$ 19,527
U.S. State	4,716	9,392	692	7,368
Non-U.S.	10,040	494	3,876	1,159
	(2,430)	(6,542)	264	28,054
Deferred:				
U.S. Federal	(15,949)	(35,024)	(21,433)	20,902
U.S. State	3,030	(6,305)	(1,465)	7,372
Non-U.S.	(5,592)	(4,228)	1,955	357
	(18,511)	(45,557)	(20,943)	28,631
Total Income Taxes (Benefits)	\$ (20,941)	\$ (52,099)	\$ (20,679)	\$ 56,685

Table of Contents**CONSOL ENERGY INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)**

The components of the net deferred tax assets are as follows:

	December 31, 2003	December 31, 2002	December 31, 2001
Deferred Tax Assets:			
Postretirement benefits other than pensions	\$ 587,055	\$ 534,863	\$ 551,434
Pneumoconiosis benefits	184,850	185,414	178,853
Alternative minimum tax	155,619	137,914	64,735
Workers compensation	119,115	120,229	121,936
Mine closing	83,344	88,252	120,979
Net operating loss	28,105	7,544	7,544
Reclamation	8,784	9,774	16,577
Other	143,669	144,045	251,153
Total Deferred Tax Assets	1,310,541	1,228,035	1,313,211
Deferred Tax Liabilities:			
Property, plant and equipment	(687,629)	(598,075)	(618,076)
Other receivables	(20,483)	(36,112)	
Advance mining royalties	(19,238)	(32,952)	(35,440)
Other	(48,163)	(47,942)	(84,081)
Total Deferred Tax Liabilities	(775,513)	(715,081)	(737,597)
Net Deferred Tax Assets	\$ 535,028)	\$ 512,954)	\$ 575,614)

Significant management judgment is required in determining the need, if any, for a valuation allowance to be recorded against the deferred tax assets. CONSOL Energy's need for a valuation allowance is based on both positive and negative evidence regarding its ability to generate sufficient future regular taxable income to realize its deferred tax assets. For the year ended December 31, 2003 our principal evaluation focused on if, and when, CONSOL Energy would return to being a regular versus an alternative minimum taxpayer. Positive evidence included the level of sales and pricing currently being negotiated under fixed price contracts, the projected reversal of certain temporary book to tax differences, particularly minimum tax preference items and the ability to employ various tax planning strategies if required. Negative evidence included the book and tax losses generated in recent periods and the inability to achieve forecasted results in the recent periods. CONSOL Energy concluded that the deferred tax assets, other than the state net operating losses generated, were more likely than not realizable. Through its evaluation, CONSOL Energy forecasts to begin paying regular tax and utilizing the alternative minimum deferred tax asset within five years. Our judgments regarding future profitability may change due to future market conditions, our ability to successfully execute our business strategy and other factors. These changes, if any, may require possible valuation allowances to be recognized. These allowances could materially impact net income in the period they were to be recognized.

Edgar Filing: CONSOL ENERGY INC - Form 424B3

At December 31, 2003, CONSOL Energy generated a net operating loss for federal income tax purposes of \$58,748, which is available to offset future federal regular taxable income through 2023. At December 31, 2002, due to the acquisition of Rochester and Pittsburgh Coal Company, CONSOL Energy had a operating loss carry forward for regular federal income tax purposes of \$19,290, which are available to offset future regular federal taxable income, subject to Internal Revenue Code limitations, through 2010.

At December 31, 2003, state net operating loss carry forwards of \$51,661 have been fully reserved due to the uncertainty of realizing the benefits of these carry forwards. However, CONSOL Energy is currently exploring several tax planning strategies that may allow for a portion or all of the benefit to be recognized.

F-22

Table of Contents**CONSOL ENERGY INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)**

Deferred tax assets and liabilities for December 2002 include adjustments for the finalization of the purchase price allocation for the acquisition of Windsor Coal Company, Southern Ohio Coal Company and Central Ohio Coal Company on July 1, 2001.

The following is a reconciliation stated as a percentage of pretax income, of the United States statutory federal income tax rate to CONSOL Energy's effective tax rate:

	For the Twelve Months Ended							
	December 31,				For the Six Months Ended December 31,		For the Twelve Months Ended June 30,	
	2003		2002		2001		2001	
	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent
Statutory U.S. federal income tax rate	\$ (11,728)	35.0 %	\$ (14,148)	35.0%	\$ (6,867)	35.0%	\$ 84,117	35.0 %
Excess tax depletion	(27,356)	81.6	(30,606)	75.7	(14,071)	71.7	(29,177)	(12.1)
Tax settlements			(1,653)	4.1				
Nonconventional fuel tax credit					(3,886)	19.8	(6,138)	(2.6)
Federal effect on sale of foreign companies	4,315	(12.9)						
Net effect of state tax	5,635	(16.8)	(1,034)	2.6	(501)	2.6	9,704	4.0
Net effect of foreign tax	7,569	(22.6)	(3,733)	9.2	4,887	(24.9)	768	0.3
Other	624	(1.9)	(925)	2.3	(241)	1.2	(2,589)	(1.0)
Income Tax (Benefit) Expense/Effective Rate	\$ (20,941)	62.4 %	\$ (52,099)	128.9%	\$ (20,679)	105.4%	\$ 56,685	23.6 %

In the twelve months ended December 31, 2002, CONSOL Energy received a \$1,908 federal income tax benefit from a final agreement resolving disputed federal income tax items for the years 1995 to 1997.

Foreign income (loss) before taxes totaled (\$3,586) for the twelve months ended December 31, 2003, (\$8,768) for the twelve months ended December 31, 2002, \$2,868 for the six months ended December 31, 2001 and \$4,277 for the twelve months ended June 30, 2001.

Note 10 Changes in Accounting for Mine Closing, Reclamation & Gas Well Closing Costs:

Effective January 1, 2003, CONSOL Energy adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations (SFAS No. 143). As a result of this statement, CONSOL Energy recognized additional liabilities of \$51,692 for asset retirement obligations associated with the costs of mine closing, reclamation and gas well closing. In addition, CONSOL Energy capitalized asset retirement costs by increasing the carrying amount of related long-lived assets, net of the associated accumulated depreciation, by \$59,495.

The cumulative effect adjustment recognized upon adoption of this statement was a gain of \$4,768, net of a tax cost of approximately \$3,035. The cumulative effect adjustment was recognized in the three months ended March 31, 2003. Net income for the twelve months ended December 31, 2002 would not materially differ if this statement had been adopted January 1, 2002. The obligation for asset retirements is included in Mine Closing, Reclamation, Other Accrued Liabilities and Other Liabilities in the balance sheets.

Table of Contents**CONSOL ENERGY INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)**

The following table illustrates the pro forma impact on the carrying amounts of the obligations as of and for the period ended December 31, 2002 as if this statement had been adopted on January 1, 2002:

	Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2003	Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2002
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 452,751	\$ 450,420
Accretion Expense	23,624	24,793
Payments	(34,222)	(24,378)
Revisions in Estimated Cash Flows	(15,576)	
Other	(29,466)	1,916
Balance at end of period	<u>\$ 397,111</u>	<u>\$ 452,751</u>

Other includes adjustments for asset dispositions of (\$34,641) and (\$514) for the twelve months ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

Note 11 Inventories:

	December 31,		
	2003	2002	2001
Coal	\$ 28,362	\$ 67,119	\$ 33,897
Merchandise for resale	21,407	18,855	21,816
Supplies	53,589	49,647	58,181
Total Inventories	<u>\$ 103,358</u>	<u>\$ 135,621</u>	<u>\$ 113,894</u>

Merchandise for resale is valued using the LIFO cost method. The excess of replacement cost of merchandise for resale inventories over carrying LIFO value was \$4,247, \$3,744, and \$3,556 at December 31, 2003, December 31, 2002 and December 31, 2001, respectively.

Note 12 Accounts Receivable Securitization:

In April 2003, CONSOL Energy and certain of its U.S. subsidiaries entered into a trade account receivables facility with financial institutions for the sale on a continuous basis of eligible trade accounts receivable. CONSOL Energy formed CNX Funding Corporation, a wholly owned, special purpose, bankruptcy-remote subsidiary for the sole purpose of buying and selling eligible trade receivables generated by certain subsidiaries of CONSOL Energy. Under the receivables facility, CONSOL Energy and certain subsidiaries, irrevocably and without recourse, sell all of their eligible trade accounts receivable to financial institutions and their affiliates, while maintaining a subordinated interest in a portion of the pool of trade receivables. CONSOL Energy will continue to service the sold trade receivables for the financial institutions for a fee based upon market rates for similar services.

The receivables facility allows CONSOL Energy to receive on a revolving basis, up to \$125,000. The cost of funds is consistent with commercial paper rates, plus a charge for administrative services paid to the financial institutions. The receivables facility expires in 2006.

At December 31, 2003, eligible accounts receivable totaled approximately \$108,600 of which the subordinated retained interest was approximately \$600. Accordingly, \$108,000 of accounts receivable were removed from the consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2003. The \$108,000 of proceeds is included in cash flows from operating activities in the consolidated statement of cash flows. Costs associated with the Receivables Facility totaled \$548 and \$1,239 for the three months and the twelve months ended December 31,

Table of Contents

CONSOL ENERGY INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

2003, respectively. These costs have been recorded as financing fees, which are included in Cost of Goods Sold and Other Operating Charges in the consolidated statements of income. No servicing asset or liability has been recorded.

The key economic assumptions used to measure the retained interest at the date of the securitization for all such sales completed in 2003 were a discount rate of 1.63% and an estimated life of 35 days. At December 31, 2003, an increase in the discount rate or estimated life of 10% and 20% would have reduced the fair value of the retained interest by \$17 and \$34, respectively. These sensitivities are hypothetical and should be used with caution. As the figures indicate, changes in fair value based on a 10% variation in assumption to the change in fair value may not be linear. Also, in this example, the effect of a variation in a particular assumption on the fair value of the subordinated retained interest is calculated without changing any other assumption. Changes in one factor may result in changes in another.

Note 13 Property, Plant and Equipment

	December 31,		
	2003	2002	2001
Coal properties and surface lands	\$ 1,006,345	\$ 999,983	\$ 1,018,492
Plant and equipment	3,487,219	3,389,866	3,130,188
Mine development	363,912	321,309	354,992
Airshafts	637,620	546,298	461,987
	<u>5,495,096</u>	<u>5,257,456</u>	<u>4,965,659</u>
Less Accumulated depreciation, depletion and amortization	2,808,638	2,651,233	2,361,052
Net Property, Plant and Equipment	<u>\$ 2,686,458</u>	<u>\$ 2,606,223</u>	<u>\$ 2,604,607</u>

Plant and equipment includes gross assets under capital lease of \$19,389 at December 31, 2003, \$19,654 at December 31, 2002, and \$19,627 at December 31, 2001. Accumulated amortization for capital leases was \$13,634, \$12,205, and \$10,180 at December 31, 2003, December 31, 2002 and December 31, 2001, respectively. Development costs capitalized during 2003 were \$60,137, of which \$36,172 were at the McElroy Mine, \$23,739 were at the Loveridge Mine and \$226 were at various other mining locations. Development costs capitalized during 2002 were \$32,229, of which \$25,398 were at the McElroy Mine, \$838 were at the Loveridge Mine and \$5,993 were at various other mining locations. Development costs capitalized during the six-month period ended December 31, 2001 at Mine 84 were \$31,050.

Note 14 Intangible Assets

Intangible assets consist of leased coal interests and advance mining royalties. Advance mining royalties are advance payments made to lessors under terms of mineral lease agreements that are recoupable against future production using the units-of-production method for proven and probable coal reserves. For advance mining royalties, where coal reserves do not yet meet the criteria to be classified as proven and probable coal reserves, the straight-line method of amortization over the estimated useful life is used. Depletion of leased coal interests is computed using the units-of-production method for proven and probable coal reserves. For leased coal interests, where coal reserves do not yet meet the criteria to be classified as proven and probable coal reserves, the straight-line method of amortization over the estimated useful life is used. Advance mining royalties and leased coal interests are evaluated periodically for impairment issues or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. In the fourth quarter of 2003, it was determined that \$925 of advance mining royalties would not be recouped under the terms of the existing mineral

Table of Contents**CONSOL ENERGY INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)**

lease agreements, therefore an impairment charge was recognized to write-down the carrying value of advance mining royalties in the year ended December 31, 2003. This write-down, related to the coal segment, is included as a component of cost of goods sold on the accompanying consolidated statement of income.

	December 31,		
	2003	2002	2001
Leased coal interests	\$ 438,640	\$ 440,268	\$ 448,301
Advance mining royalties	340,294	340,229	332,366
Total gross carrying value	778,934	780,497	780,667
Less Accumulated depletion of leased coal interests	150,408	142,467	137,598
Less Accumulated amortization of advance mining royalties	253,477	249,668	239,722
Total accumulated depletion and amortization	403,885	392,135	377,320
Net Intangible Assets	\$ 375,049	\$ 388,362	\$ 403,347

Included in the December 31, 2003 gross carrying value for leased coal interests and advance mining royalties are \$4,994 and \$5,202 of current year additions, respectively.

Aggregate Depletion and Amortization Expense:

For the twelve months ended December 31, 2003	\$ 14,954
For the twelve months ended December 31, 2002	\$ 14,815
For the six months ended December 31, 2001	\$ 7,979
For the twelve months ended June 30, 2001	\$ 21,986

Estimated depletion and amortization expense of leased coal interests and advance mining royalties during the next five years is as follows:

<u>Year ended December 31,</u>	
2004	\$ 14,139
2005	\$ 5,315