

Golub Capital BDC, Inc.
Form N-2/A
February 20, 2014

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 19, 2014

Securities Act File No. 333-193308

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

FORM N-2

x **REGISTRATION STATEMENT UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933**
x **Pre-effective Amendment No. 1**
o **Post-effective Amendment No.**

GOLUB CAPITAL BDC, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)

**150 South Wacker Drive, Suite 800
Chicago, Illinois 60606**

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

(312) 205-5050

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

**David B. Golub
Golub Capital BDC, Inc.
150 South Wacker Drive, Suite 800
Chicago, Illinois 60606**

(Name and Address of Agent for Service)

Copies to:

**Thomas J. Friedmann
David J. Harris
William J. Tuttle
Dechert LLP
1900 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006
(202) 261-3300**

Approximate date of proposed public offering: As soon as practicable after the effective date of this Registration Statement.

If any of the securities being registered on this form are offered on a delayed or continuous basis in reliance on Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933, other than securities offered in connection with a dividend reinvestment plan, check the following box. x

It is proposed that this filing will become effective (check appropriate box):

when declared effective pursuant to section 8(c).

The Registrant hereby amends this Registration Statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the Registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this Registration Statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 or until the Registration Statement shall become effective on such date as the Securities and Exchange Commission, acting pursuant to said Section 8(a), may determine.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

The information in this prospectus is not complete and may be changed. We may not sell these securities until the registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is effective. This prospectus is not an offer to sell these securities and is not soliciting an offer to buy these securities in any state where the offer or sale is not permitted.

PRELIMINARY PROSPECTUS

SUBJECT TO COMPLETION , 2014

\$1,000,000,000

GOLUB CAPITAL BDC, INC.

Common Stock

Preferred Stock

Warrants

Subscription Rights

Debt Securities

We are an externally managed, closed-end, non-diversified management investment company that has elected to be regulated as a business development company under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended. Our investment objective is to generate current income and capital appreciation by investing primarily in senior secured, one stop, second lien and subordinated loans of, and warrants and minority equity securities in, U.S. middle-market companies.

GC Advisors LLC serves as our investment adviser. Golub Capital LLC serves as our administrator. GC Advisors LLC and Golub Capital LLC are affiliated with Golub Capital (as defined herein), a leading lender to middle-market companies that has over \$8.0 billion of capital under management.

We may offer, from time to time, in one or more offerings or series, together or separately, up to \$1,000,000,000 of our common stock, preferred stock, warrants representing rights to purchase shares of our common stock, preferred stock or debt securities, subscription rights or debt securities, which we refer to, collectively, as the securities. We may sell our common stock through underwriters or dealers, at-the-market to or through a market maker into an existing trading market or otherwise directly to one or more purchasers or through agents or through a combination of methods of sale. The identities of such underwriters, dealers, market makers or agents, as the case may be, will be described in one or more supplements to this prospectus. The securities may be offered at prices and on terms to be described in one or more supplements to this prospectus. In the event we offer common stock, the offering price per share of our common stock exclusive of any underwriting commissions or discounts will not be less than the net asset value per share of our common stock at the time we make the offering except (1) in connection with a rights offering to our existing stockholders, (2) with the consent of the majority of our common stockholders and approval of our

board of directors or (3) under such circumstances as the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC, may permit. See Risk Factors for more information.

Our common stock is traded on The NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol GBDC. The last reported closing price for our common stock on February 18, 2014 was \$18.96 per share. The net asset value of our common stock on December 31, 2013 (the last date prior to the date of this prospectus on which we determined net asset value) was \$15.23 per share.

Shares of closed-end investment companies, including business development companies, frequently trade at a discount to their net asset value. If our shares trade at a discount to our net asset value, it will likely increase the risk of loss for purchasers in this offering. Investing in our securities involves a high degree of risk. Before buying any securities, you should read the discussion of the material risks of investing in our securities, including the risk of leverage, in Risk Factors beginning on page 13 of this prospectus.

This prospectus contains important information you should know before investing in our securities. Please read it before you invest and keep it for future reference. We file annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other information about us with the SEC. We maintain a website at <http://www.golubcapitalbdc.com> and make all of our annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other publicly filed information available on or through our website. You may also obtain such information, free of charge, and make shareholder inquiries by contacting us at 150 South Wacker Drive, Suite 800, Chicago, Illinois 60606, Attention: Investor Relations, or by calling us collect at (312) 205-5050. The SEC also maintains a website at <http://www.sec.gov> that contains such information.

We generally invest in securities that have been rated below investment grade by independent rating agencies or that would be rated below investment grade if they were rated. These securities, which may be referred to as junk, have predominantly speculative characteristics with respect to the issuer's capacity to pay interest and repay principal. In addition, many of our debt investments have floating interest rates that reset on a periodic basis and typically do not fully pay down principal prior to maturity, which may increase our risk of losing part or all of our investment.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or determined if this prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

This prospectus may not be used to consummate sales of securities unless accompanied by a prospectus supplement.

The date of this prospectus is _____, 2014.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

You should rely only on the information contained in this prospectus. We have not, and the underwriters have not, authorized any other person to provide you with different information. If anyone provides you with different or inconsistent information, you should not rely on it. We are not, and the underwriters are not, making an offer to sell these securities in any jurisdiction where the offer or sale is not permitted. You should assume that the information appearing in this prospectus is accurate only as of the date on the front cover of this prospectus. Our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and prospects may have changed since that date. We will update these documents to reflect material changes only as required by law.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>PROSPECTUS SUMMARY</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>FEES AND EXPENSES</u>	<u>9</u>
<u>RISK FACTORS</u>	<u>13</u>
<u>SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS</u>	<u>42</u>
<u>USE OF PROCEEDS</u>	<u>43</u>
<u>DISTRIBUTIONS</u>	<u>44</u>
<u>SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA</u>	<u>46</u>
<u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION, RESULTS OF OPERATIONS AND CASH FLOWS</u>	<u>48</u>
<u>PRICE RANGE OF COMMON STOCK</u>	<u>82</u>
<u>THE COMPANY</u>	<u>83</u>
<u>PORTFOLIO COMPANIES</u>	<u>94</u>
<u>MANAGEMENT</u>	<u>109</u>
<u>MANAGEMENT AGREEMENTS</u>	<u>118</u>
<u>RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS AND CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS</u>	<u>127</u>
<u>CONTROL PERSONS AND PRINCIPAL STOCKHOLDERS</u>	<u>130</u>
<u>DETERMINATION OF NET ASSET VALUE</u>	<u>132</u>
<u>DIVIDEND REINVESTMENT PLAN</u>	<u>135</u>
<u>MATERIAL U.S. FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSIDERATIONS</u>	<u>137</u>
<u>DESCRIPTION OF OUR CAPITAL STOCK</u>	<u>144</u>
<u>DESCRIPTION OF OUR PREFERRED STOCK</u>	<u>149</u>
<u>DESCRIPTION OF OUR SUBSCRIPTION RIGHTS</u>	<u>150</u>
<u>DESCRIPTION OF WARRANTS</u>	<u>152</u>
<u>DESCRIPTION OF OUR DEBT SECURITIES</u>	<u>154</u>
<u>REGULATION</u>	<u>165</u>
<u>CUSTODIAN, TRANSFER AND DIVIDEND PAYING AGENT AND REGISTRAR</u>	<u>172</u>
<u>BROKERAGE ALLOCATION AND OTHER PRACTICES</u>	<u>172</u>
<u>PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION</u>	<u>173</u>
<u>LEGAL MATTERS</u>	<u>175</u>
<u>INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u>	<u>175</u>
<u>AVAILABLE INFORMATION</u>	<u>175</u>
<u>INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u>	<u>E-1</u>

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABOUT THIS PROSPECTUS

This prospectus is part of a registration statement that we have filed with the SEC using the shelf registration process.

Under the shelf registration process, we may offer from time to time up to \$1,000,000,000 of our common stock, preferred stock, warrants representing rights to purchase shares of our common stock, preferred stock or debt securities, subscription rights or debt securities on the terms to be determined at the time of the offering. We may sell our common stock through underwriters or dealers, at-the-market to or through a market maker, into an existing trading market or otherwise directly to one or more purchasers or through agents or through a combination of methods of sale. The identities of such underwriters, dealers, market makers or agents, as the case may be, will be described in one or more supplements to this prospectus. The securities may be offered at prices and on terms described in one or more supplements to this prospectus. This prospectus provides you with a general description of the securities that we may offer. Each time we use this prospectus to offer securities, we will provide a prospectus supplement that will contain specific information about the terms of that offering. The prospectus supplement may also add, update or change information contained in this prospectus, and the prospectus and prospectus supplement will together serve as the prospectus. Please carefully read this prospectus and any prospectus supplement, together with any exhibits, before you make an investment decision. Any exhibits will nonetheless be summarized in the prospectus or applicable prospectus supplement.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

This summary highlights some of the information in this prospectus. It is not complete and may not contain all of the information that you may want to consider. You should read the more detailed information set forth under Risk Factors and the other information included in this prospectus carefully.

Except as otherwise indicated, the terms:

we, us, our and Golub Capital BDC refer to Golub Capital BDC, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and its consolidated subsidiaries, including Funding, Securitization Issuer, Holdings and Revolver Funding, and, for the periods prior to consummation of the BDC Conversion (as defined below), Golub Capital BDC LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, and its consolidated subsidiaries;

Holdings refers to Golub Capital BDC 2010-1 Holdings LLC, our direct subsidiary, and Securitization Issuer refers to Golub Capital BDC 2010-1 LLC, a direct subsidiary of Holdings and our indirect subsidiary;

Funding refers to Golub Capital BDC Funding, LLC, our direct subsidiary;

Controlling Class refers to the most senior class of notes of the Securitization Issuer then outstanding;

Debt Securitization refers to the \$350 million term debt securitization that we completed on July 16, 2010, as amended on February 15, 2013 in which the Securitization Issuer issued an aggregate of \$350 million of notes including \$203 million of Class A Notes, which bear interest at a rate of three-month London Interbank Offered Rate, or LIBOR, plus 1.74%, \$12 million of Class B Notes, which bear interest at a rate of three-month LIBOR plus 2.40%, and \$135 million face amount of Subordinated Notes that do not bear interest;

Credit Facility refers to the senior secured revolving credit facility that Funding entered into on July 21, 2011 with Wells Fargo Securities, LLC as administrative agent and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as lender as most recently amended on October 31, 2013, for up to \$250 million that bears interest at a rate of one-month LIBOR plus 2.25% per annum through the reinvestment period, which ends on October 21, 2014, and bears interest at a rate of one-month LIBOR plus 2.75% for the period following the reinvestment period through the stated maturity date of October 22, 2018.

Revolver Funding refers to Golub Capital BDC Revolver Funding LLC, our direct subsidiary;

Revolver refers to the \$15 million revolving line of credit, which may be increased to an amount not to exceed \$30 million that Revolver Funding entered into with The PrivateBank and Trust Company, or the PrivateBank, that bears interest, at the election of Revolver Funding, at a rate of either one-, two-, or three-month LIBOR plus 3.50% per annum or PrivateBank's prime rate plus 1.50% per annum through November 22, 2014 and either one-, two-, or three-month LIBOR plus 2.50% per annum or PrivateBank's prime rate plus 0.50% per annum for the period subsequent to November 22, 2014 and matures on November 22, 2019;

SLF refers to Senior Loan Fund LLC, an unconsolidated Delaware limited liability company, or LLC. Effective May 31, 2013, we entered into an agreement to co-invest with United Insurance Company of America, or United Insurance, through SLF primarily in senior secured loans of middle-market companies. SLF is capitalized as transactions are completed and all portfolio and investment decisions in respect of SLF must be approved by the SLF investment committee consisting of one representative of each of us and United Insurance (with approval from each representative required). Our representative to the SLF investment committee is currently Gregory A. Robbins, our managing director. SLF is capitalized with subordinated notes and LLC subscriptions from us and United Insurance. We have committed to fund \$87.5 million of subordinated notes and United Insurance has committed to fund \$12.5 million of subordinated notes. As of December 31, 2013, we owned 87.5% of the LLC equity interests of SLF and United Insurance owns 12.5% of the equity interests;

TABLE OF CONTENTS

GC Advisors refers to GC Advisors LLC, our investment adviser;

Administrator refers to Golub Capital LLC, an affiliate of GC Advisors and our administrator and for periods prior to February 5, 2013, GC Service Company, LLC; and

Golub Capital refers, collectively, to the activities and operations of Golub Capital Incorporated, Golub Capital LLC (formerly Golub Capital Management LLC), which entity employs all of Golub Capital's investment professionals, GC Advisors and associated investment funds and their respective affiliates.

On April 13, 2010, we converted from a limited liability company into a corporation. In this conversion, Golub Capital BDC, Inc. succeeded to the business of Golub Capital BDC LLC and its consolidated subsidiary, and the members of Golub Capital BDC LLC became stockholders of Golub Capital BDC, Inc. In this prospectus, we refer to such transactions as the BDC Conversion. Prior to the BDC Conversion, Golub Capital BDC LLC held all of the outstanding limited liability company interests in our predecessor, Golub Capital Master Funding LLC, or GCMF.

Golub Capital BDC

We are an externally managed, closed-end, non-diversified management investment company that has elected to be regulated as a business development company under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, or the 1940 Act. In addition, for tax purposes, we have elected to be treated as a regulated investment company, or RIC, under Subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code. We were formed in November 2009 to continue and expand the business of our predecessor, GCMF, which commenced operations in July 2007, to make investments primarily in senior secured, one stop (a loan that combines characteristics of traditional first lien senior secured loans and second lien or subordinated loans), second lien and subordinated (a loan that ranks senior only to a borrower's equity securities and ranks junior to all of such borrower's other indebtedness in priority of payment) loans and warrants and minority equity securities of middle-market companies that are, in most cases, sponsored by private equity firms. In this prospectus, the term middle-market generally refers to companies having earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, or EBITDA, of between \$5 million and \$50 million annually.

Our investment objective is to generate current income and capital appreciation by investing primarily in senior secured, one stop, second lien, subordinated loans of, and warrants and minority equity securities in, U.S. middle-market companies. We intend to achieve our investment objective by (1) accessing the established loan origination channels developed by Golub Capital, a leading lender to middle-market companies with over \$8.0 billion of capital under management, (2) selecting investments within our core middle-market company focus, (3) partnering with experienced private equity firms, or sponsors, in many cases with whom we have invested alongside in the past, (4) implementing the disciplined underwriting standards of Golub Capital and (5) drawing upon the aggregate experience and resources of Golub Capital.

We seek to create a diverse portfolio that includes primarily senior secured, one stop, second lien and subordinated loans and warrants and minority equity securities by primarily investing approximately \$5 million to \$25 million of capital, on average, in the securities of U.S. middle-market companies. We may also selectively invest more than \$25 million in some of our portfolio companies and generally expect that the size of our individual investments will vary proportionately with the size of our capital base.

In the current environment, we continue to focus on senior secured loans and one-stop investments given the greater principal protection from the first lien security interest associated with such loans.

We generally invest in securities that have been rated below investment grade by independent rating agencies or that would be rated below investment grade if they were rated. These securities, which may be referred to as junk, have predominantly speculative characteristics with respect to the issuer's capacity to pay interest and repay principal. In

addition, many of our debt investments have floating interest rates that reset on a periodic basis and typically do not fully pay down principal prior to maturity, which may increase our risk of losing part or all of our investment.

As of December 31, 2013, our portfolio at fair value was comprised of 24.6% senior secured loans, 59.5% one stop loans, 9.4% second lien loans, 0.5% subordinated loans, 3.2% equity and 2.8% of investments

2

TABLE OF CONTENTS

in SLF. As of September 30, 2013, our portfolio at fair value was comprised of 28.9% senior secured loans, 54.1% one stop loans, 11.0% second lien loans, 2.2% subordinated loans, 3.3% equity and 0.5% of investments in SLF. As of September 30, 2012, our portfolio at fair value was comprised of 40.7% senior secured loans, 39.5% one stop loans, 6.6% second lien loans, 10.0% subordinated loans and 3.2% equity.

As of December 31, 2013, September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, we had debt and equity investments in 139, 135 and 121 portfolio companies, respectively. For the three months ended December 31, 2013 and for the years ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, our income producing assets, which represented nearly 100% of our total portfolio, had a weighted average annualized interest income (which excludes income resulting from amortization of fees and discounts) yield of 8.6%, 9.1%, and 9.3%, respectively, and a weighted average annualized investment income (which includes interest income and amortization of fees and discounts) yield of 9.3%, 10.1%, and 10.2%, respectively.

As of December 31, 2013, SLF had commitments from us and United Insurance of \$100.0 million of subordinated notes, of which approximately \$32.4 million and \$4.7 million in aggregate principal amount was funded at December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013, respectively. As of December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013, our investment in SLF consisted of subordinated notes of \$28.4 million and \$4.1 million, respectively, and LLC equity interests of \$4.1 million and \$0.6 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013, United Insurance's investment in SLF consisted of subordinated notes of \$4.1 million and \$0.6 million, respectively, and LLC equity interests of \$0.6 million and \$0.1 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2013, we and United Insurance owned 87.5% and 12.5%, respectively, of both the outstanding subordinated notes and LLC equity interests.

Our Adviser

Our investment activities are managed by our investment adviser, GC Advisors. GC Advisors is responsible for sourcing potential investments, conducting research and due diligence on prospective investments and equity sponsors, analyzing investment opportunities, structuring our investments and monitoring our investments and portfolio companies on an ongoing basis. GC Advisors was organized in September 2008 and is a registered investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended, or the Advisers Act. Under our amended and restated investment advisory agreement, or the Investment Advisory Agreement, with GC Advisors, we pay GC Advisors a base management fee and an incentive fee for its services. See Management Agreements Investment Advisory Agreement Management Fee for a discussion of the base management fee and incentive fee, including the cumulative income incentive fee and the income and capital gains incentive fee, payable by us to GC Advisors. Unlike most closed-end funds whose fees are based on assets net of leverage, our base management fee is based on our average-adjusted gross assets (including assets purchased with borrowed funds and securitization-related assets, leverage, unrealized depreciation or appreciation on derivative instruments and cash collateral on deposit with custodian but adjusted to exclude cash and cash equivalents so that investors do not pay the base management fee on such assets) and, therefore, GC Advisors benefits when we incur debt or use leverage. For purposes of the Investment Advisory Agreement, cash equivalents means U.S. government securities and commercial paper instruments maturing within 270 days of purchase (which is different than the definition under U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, or GAAP, which defines cash equivalents as U.S. government securities and commercial paper instruments maturing within 90 days of purchase). Additionally, under the incentive fee structure, GC Advisors benefits when capital gains are recognized and, because it determines when a holding is sold, GC Advisors controls the timing of the recognition of capital gains. Our board of directors is charged with protecting our interests by monitoring how GC Advisors addresses these and other conflicts of interest associated with its management services and compensation. While not expected to review or approve each borrowing, our independent directors periodically review GC Advisors services and fees as well as its portfolio management decisions and portfolio performance. In connection with these

reviews, our independent directors consider whether our fees and expenses (including those related to leverage) remain appropriate. See Management Agreements Investment Advisory Agreement Board Approval of the Investment Advisory Agreement.

GC Advisors is an affiliate of Golub Capital and has entered into a staffing agreement, or the Staffing Agreement, with two Golub Capital affiliates, Golub Capital Incorporated and Golub Capital LLC. Under the Staffing Agreement, these companies make experienced investment professionals available to GC Advisors and

TABLE OF CONTENTS

provide access to the senior investment personnel of Golub Capital and its affiliates. The Staffing Agreement provides GC Advisors with access to investment opportunities, which we refer to in the aggregate as deal flow, generated by Golub Capital and its affiliates in the ordinary course of their businesses and commits the members of GC Advisors investment committee to serve in that capacity. As our investment adviser, GC Advisors is obligated to allocate investment opportunities among us and its other clients fairly and equitably over time in accordance with its allocation policy. See Related Party Transactions and Certain Relationships. However, there can be no assurance that such opportunities will be allocated to us fairly or equitably in the short-term or over time. GC Advisors seeks to capitalize on the significant deal origination, credit underwriting, due diligence, investment structuring, execution, portfolio management and monitoring experience of Golub Capital's investment professionals.

An affiliate of GC Advisors, the Administrator, provides the administrative services necessary for us to operate. See Management Agreements Administration Agreement for a discussion of the fees and expenses we are required to reimburse to the Administrator.

About Golub Capital

Golub Capital, founded in 1994, is a leading lender to middle-market companies, with a long track record of investing in senior secured, one stop, second lien and subordinated loans. As of December 31, 2013, Golub Capital manages over \$6.0 billion of invested or available capital for senior secured, one stop, second lien and subordinated loan investments in middle-market companies. Since its inception, Golub Capital has closed deals with over 150 middle-market sponsors and repeat transactions with over 100 sponsors.

Golub Capital's middle-market lending group is managed by a four-member senior management team consisting of Lawrence E. Golub, David B. Golub, Andrew H. Steurman and Gregory W. Cashman. As of December 31, 2013, Golub Capital's more than 55 investment professionals had an average of over 12 years of investment experience and were supported by more than 100 administrative and back office personnel that focus on operations, finance, legal and compliance, accounting and reporting, marketing, information technology and office management.

Market Trends

We have identified the following trends that may affect our business:

Target Market. We believe that small and middle-market companies in the United States with annual revenues between \$10 million and \$2.5 billion represent a significant growth segment of the U.S. economy and often require substantial capital investments to grow. Middle market companies have generated a significant number of investment opportunities for investment funds managed or advised by Golub Capital, and we believe that this market segment will continue to produce significant investment opportunities for us.

Specialized Lending Requirements. We believe that several factors render many U.S. financial institutions ill-suited to lend to U.S. middle-market companies. For example, based on the experience of our management team, lending to U.S. middle-market companies (1) is generally more labor intensive than lending to larger companies due to the smaller size of each investment and the fragmented nature of information for such companies, (2) requires due diligence and underwriting practices consistent with the demands and economic limitations of the middle market and (3) may also require more extensive ongoing monitoring by the lender.

Demand for Debt Capital. We believe there is a large pool of uninvested private equity capital for middle-market companies. We expect private equity firms will seek to leverage their investments by combining equity capital with

senior secured loans and subordinated debt from other sources, such as us.

Competition from Bank Lenders. We believe that many traditional bank lenders to middle-market businesses have either exited or de-emphasized their service and product offerings in the middle market. These traditional lenders have instead focused on lending and providing other services to large corporate clients. We believe this has resulted in fewer key players and the reduced availability of debt capital to the companies we target.

Market Environment. We believe that as part of the path of economic recovery following the credit crisis, there has been increased competition for new middle-market investments due to some new non-bank

TABLE OF CONTENTS

finance companies that have entered the market and due to improving financial performance of middle-market companies. However, we believe that our scale and strong market position will continue to allow us to find investment opportunities with attractive risk-adjusted returns.

Competitive Strengths

Deep, Experienced Management Team. We are managed by GC Advisors, which, as of September 30, 2013, had access through the Staffing Agreement to the resources and expertise of Golub Capital's more than 155 employees, led by our chairman, Lawrence E. Golub, and our chief executive officer, David B. Golub. As of December 31, 2013, the more than 55 investment professionals of Golub Capital had an average of over 12 years of investment experience and were supported by more than 100 administrative and back office personnel that focus on operations, finance, legal and compliance, accounting and reporting, marketing, information technology and office management. Golub Capital seeks to hire and retain high-quality investment professionals and reward those personnel based on investor returns. In 2013, Golub Capital was awarded Finance Monthly's Global Awards 2013 Credit Asset Manager of the Year and DealMakers M&A Awards 2013 Middle Market Lender of the Year. In 2012, Golub Capital was awarded the Association for Corporate Growth (ACG) New York Champion's Award for Senior Lender Firm of the Year and the M&A Advisor award for Lender Firm of the Year. These awards do not constitute an endorsement by such organizations of the securities being offered by this prospectus.

Leading U.S. Debt Platform Provides Access to Proprietary Relationship-Based Deal Flow. GC Advisors gives us access to the deal flow of Golub Capital, one of the leading middle-market lenders in the United States. Golub Capital has been ranked a Top 3 Traditional Middle Market Bookrunner every year from 2008 through 4Q 2013 by Thomson Reuters LPC for senior secured loans of up to \$100 million for leveraged buyouts (based on number of deals completed). Since its inception, Golub Capital has closed deals with over 150 middle-market sponsors and repeat transactions with over 100 sponsors. We believe that Golub Capital receives relationship-based early looks and last looks at many investment opportunities in the U.S. middle-market market, allowing it to be highly selective in the transactions it pursues.

Disciplined Investment and Underwriting Process. GC Advisors utilizes the established investment process of Golub Capital for reviewing lending opportunities, structuring transactions and monitoring investments. Using its disciplined approach to lending, GC Advisors seeks to minimize credit losses through effective underwriting, comprehensive due diligence investigations, structuring and the implementation of restrictive debt covenants.

Regimented Credit Monitoring. Following each investment, GC Advisors implements a regimented credit monitoring system. This careful approach, which involves ongoing review and analysis by teams of professionals, has enabled us to identify problems early and to assist borrowers before they face difficult liquidity constraints.

Concentrated Middle-Market Focus. Because of our focus on the middle-market, we understand the following general characteristics of middle-market lending:

- middle-market companies are generally less leveraged than large companies and, we believe, offer more attractive investment returns in the form of upfront fees, prepayment penalties and higher interest rates;
- middle-market issuers are more likely to have simple capital structures;
- carefully structured covenant packages enable middle-market lenders to take early action to remediate poor financial performance; and
- middle-market lenders can undertake thorough due diligence investigations prior to investment.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Organizational Structure

The following shows a simplified organizational chart reflecting our relationship with our investment adviser and administrator and our direct and indirect ownership interests in certain of our subsidiaries, including the membership interests of the Securitization Issuer, as of the date of this prospectus:

Recent Developments

On January 17, 2014, Senior Loan Fund II LLC, or SLF II, a wholly owned subsidiary of SLF, entered into a senior secured revolving credit facility, or the SLF Credit Facility, with Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, as administrative agent, and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as lender, which allows SLF II to borrow up to \$50.0 million at any one time outstanding. The period from the closing date through January 17, 2015 is referred to as the reinvestment period. All amounts outstanding under the SLF Credit Facility are required to be repaid by January 17, 2019. Through the reinvestment period, the SLF Credit Facility bears interest at one-month LIBOR plus a rate between 1.75% and 2.25%, depending on the composition of the collateral asset portfolio, per annum. After the reinvestment period, the rate will reset to LIBOR plus 2.75% per annum for the remaining term of the SLF Credit Facility. In addition to the stated interest expense on the SLF Credit Facility, SLF is required to pay a non-usage fee rate of 0.50% per annum through July 17, 2014 and thereafter between 0.50% and 2.00% per annum depending on the size of the unused portion of the SLF Credit Facility. The SLF Credit Facility is collateralized by all of the assets held by SLF II. SLF and SLF II have made customary representations and warranties and are required to comply with various covenants, reporting requirements and other customary requirements for similar credit facilities.

On February 4, 2014, our board of directors declared a quarterly distribution of \$0.32 per share payable on March 28, 2014 to holders of record as of March 17, 2014.

Operating and Regulatory Structure

Our investment activities are managed by GC Advisors and supervised by our board of directors, a majority of whom are independent of us, GC Advisors and its affiliates.

As a business development company, we are required to comply with certain regulatory requirements. For example, while we are permitted to finance investments using leverage, which may include the issuance of shares of preferred stock, or notes and other borrowings, our ability to use leverage is limited in significant respects. See Regulation. Any decision on our part to use leverage will depend upon our assessment of the attractiveness of available investment opportunities in relation to the costs and perceived risks of such leverage. GC Advisors makes recommendations to our board of directors with respect to leverage policies. Our board of directors determines our leverage policy, including approving in advance the incurrence of material indebtedness and the execution of material contracts, and directs GC Advisors to implement such policies. The use of leverage to finance investments creates certain risks and potential conflicts of interest. See Risk Factors Risks Relating to our Business and Structure There are significant potential conflicts of interest that could affect our investment returns Our management and incentive fee structure may create incentives for GC Advisors that are not fully aligned with the interests of our stockholders, Risks Relating to our Business and Structure Regulations governing our operation as a business development

TABLE OF CONTENTS

company affect our ability to, and the way in which we, raise additional capital. As a business development company, the necessity of raising additional capital exposes us to risks, including the typical risks associated with leverage and Risks Relating to our Business and Structure We intend to finance our investments with borrowed money, which will magnify the potential for gain or loss on amounts invested and may increase the risk of investing in us.

Also, as a business development company, we are generally prohibited from acquiring assets other than qualifying assets unless, after giving effect to any acquisition, at least 70% of our total assets are qualifying assets. Qualifying assets generally include securities of eligible portfolio companies, cash, cash equivalents, U.S. government securities and high-quality debt investments maturing in one year or less from the time of investment. Under the rules of the 1940 Act, eligible portfolio companies include (1) private domestic operating companies, (2) public domestic operating companies whose securities are not listed on a national securities exchange (e.g., the New York Stock Exchange, NYSE Amex Equities and The NASDAQ Stock Market) or registered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, and (3) public domestic operating companies having a market capitalization of less than \$250 million. Public domestic operating companies whose securities are quoted on the over-the-counter bulletin board and through Pink Sheets LLC are not listed on a national securities exchange and therefore are eligible portfolio companies. See Regulation.

Conflicts of Interest

Subject to certain 1940 Act restrictions on co-investments with affiliates, GC Advisors offers us the right to participate in all investment opportunities that it determines are appropriate for us in view of our investment objective, positions, policies, strategies and restrictions as well as regulatory requirements and other relevant factors. Such offers are subject to the exception that, in accordance with GC Advisors' code of ethics and allocation policies, we might not participate in each individual opportunity but will, on an overall basis, be entitled to participate equitably with other entities sponsored or managed by GC Advisors and its affiliates.

To the extent that we compete with entities sponsored or managed by GC Advisors or its affiliates for a particular investment opportunity, GC Advisors will allocate investment opportunities across the entities for which such opportunities are appropriate, consistent with (1) its internal conflict of interest and allocation policies, (2) the requirements of the Advisers Act and (3) certain restrictions under the 1940 Act regarding co-investments with affiliates. GC Advisors' allocation policies are intended to ensure that, over time, we may generally share equitably in investment opportunities with other investment funds, accounts or other investment vehicles, together referred to as accounts, sponsored or managed by GC Advisors or its affiliates, particularly those involving a security with limited supply or involving differing classes of securities of the same issuer which may be suitable for us and such other accounts.

GC Advisors and its affiliates have other clients with similar or competing investment objectives, including several private funds that are pursuing an investment strategy similar to ours, some of which are continuing to seek new capital commitments. In serving these clients, GC Advisors may have obligations to other clients or investors in those entities. Our investment objective may overlap with such affiliated accounts. GC Advisors' allocation procedures are designed to allocate investment opportunities among the accounts sponsored or managed by GC Advisors and its affiliates in a manner consistent with its obligations under the Advisers Act. If two or more accounts with similar investment strategies are actively investing, GC Advisors will seek to allocate investment opportunities among eligible accounts in a manner that is fair and equitable over time and consistent with its allocation policy. GC Advisors has put in place a conflict-resolution policy that addresses the co-investment restrictions set forth under the 1940 Act. See Risk Factors Risks Relating to our Business and Structure There are significant potential conflicts of interest that could affect our investment returns Conflicts related to obligations GC Advisors' investment committee,

GC Advisors or its affiliates have to other clients.

GC Advisors seeks to ensure the equitable allocation of investment opportunities when we are able to invest alongside other accounts sponsored or managed by GC Advisors and its affiliates. When we invest alongside such other accounts, such investments are made consistent with GC Advisors' allocation policy. Under this allocation policy, GC Advisors will determine separately a recommended allocation, or Target

7

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Allocation, as a percentage of total capital of us and similar eligible accounts and a minimum and maximum investment size for each asset class in which we and similar eligible accounts sponsored or managed by GC Advisors and its affiliates invest. If an investment opportunity is appropriate for us and another similar eligible account, the opportunity will be allocated based on the applicable Target Allocation multiplied by total capital of each of us and such other eligible accounts, subject to minimum and maximum investment size limits. Where there is an insufficient amount of an investment opportunity to fully satisfy us and other accounts sponsored or managed by GC Advisors or its affiliates, the allocation policy further provides that allocations among us and such other accounts will generally be made pro rata to us and each other eligible account based on the total capital allocated to the asset class of the investment and subject to the minimum and maximum investment size limits for each such party. In situations in which co-investment with other entities sponsored or managed by GC Advisors or its affiliates is not permitted or appropriate, such as when, in the absence of exemptive relief described below, we and such other entities would be making different investments in the same issuer, GC Advisors will need to decide whether we or such other entity or entities will proceed with the investment. GC Advisors will make these determinations based on its policies and procedures, which generally require that such opportunities be offered to eligible accounts on a basis that will be fair and equitable over time, including, for example, through random or rotational methods. We and GC Advisors have submitted an exemptive application to the SEC to permit greater flexibility to negotiate the terms of co-investments if our board of directors determines that it would be advantageous for us to co-invest with other accounts sponsored or managed by GC Advisors or its affiliates in a manner consistent with our investment objectives, positions, policies, strategies and restrictions as well as regulatory requirements and other pertinent factors. Our board of directors regularly reviews the allocation policy of Golub Capital and annually reviews the code of ethics of GC Advisors. See Related Party Transactions and Certain Relationships.

Additionally, under our incentive fee structure, GC Advisors benefits when we recognize capital gains and, because GC Advisors determines when a holding is sold, GC Advisors controls the timing of the recognition of such capital gains. See Risk Factors Risks Relating to our Business and Structure There are significant potential conflicts of interest that could affect our investment returns Our management and incentive fee structure may create incentives for GC Advisors that are not fully aligned with the interests of our stockholders. In addition, because the base management fee that we pay to GC Advisors is based on our average adjusted gross assets, including those assets acquired through the use of leverage, GC Advisors has a financial incentive to incur leverage.

Our principal executive offices are located at 150 South Wacker Drive, Suite 800, Chicago, Illinois 60606, and our telephone number is (312) 205-5050. Our corporate website is located at www.golubcapitalbdc.com. Information on our website is not incorporated into or a part of this prospectus.

TABLE OF CONTENTS**FEES AND EXPENSES**

The following table is intended to assist you in understanding the costs and expenses that an investor in shares of our common stock will bear directly or indirectly. However, we caution you that some of the percentages indicated in the table below are estimates and may vary. Actual costs and expenses incurred by investors in shares of our common stock may be greater than the percentage estimates in the table below. The following table excludes one-time fees payable to third parties not affiliated with GC Advisors that were incurred in connection with the Debt Securitization but includes all of the applicable ongoing fees and expenses of the Debt Securitization. Whenever this prospectus contains a reference to fees or expenses paid by us or Golub Capital BDC, or that we will pay fees or expenses, our common stockholders will indirectly bear such fees or expenses.

Stockholder transaction expenses:		
Sales load (as a percentage of offering price)		(1)
Offering expenses (as a percentage of offering price)		(2)
Dividend reinvestment plan expenses	None	(3)
Total stockholder transaction expenses (as a percentage of offering price)		
Annual expenses (as a percentage of net assets attributable to common stock):		
Management fees	2.32	% ⁽⁴⁾
Incentive fees payable under the Investment Advisory Agreement (20%)	1.84	% ⁽⁵⁾
Interest payments on borrowed funds	2.48	% ⁽⁶⁾
Other expenses	0.83	% ⁽⁷⁾
Total annual expenses	7.47	% ⁽⁸⁾

- (1) In the event that the securities to which this prospectus relates are sold to or through underwriters or agents, a corresponding prospectus supplement will disclose the applicable sales load.
- (2) The related prospectus supplement will disclose the estimated amount of total offering expenses (which may include offering expenses borne by third parties on our behalf), the offering price and the offering expenses borne by us as a percentage of the offering price.
- (3) The expenses associated with the dividend reinvestment plan are included in Other expenses. See Dividend Reinvestment Plan.

Our management fee is calculated at an annual rate equal to 1.375% and is based on the average adjusted gross assets (including assets purchased with borrowed funds and securitization-related assets, leverage, unrealized depreciation or appreciation on derivative instruments and cash collateral on deposit with custodian but adjusted to exclude cash and cash equivalents so that investors do not pay the base management fee on such assets) at the end of the two most recently completed calendar quarters and is payable quarterly in arrears. See Management Agreements Investment Advisory Agreement Management Fee. The management fee referenced in the table above is based on actual amounts incurred during the three months ended December 31, 2013 by GC Advisors in its capacity as investment adviser to us and collateral manager to the Securitization Issuer, annualized for a full year.

GC Advisors, as collateral manager for the Securitization Issuer under the collateral management agreement, is entitled to receive an annual fee in an amount equal to 0.35% of the principal balance of the portfolio loans held by the Securitization Issuer at the beginning of the collection period relating to each payment date, which is payable in arrears on each payment date. This fee, which is less than the management fee payable under the Investment Advisory Agreement, is paid directly by the Securitization Issuer to GC Advisors and offset against such management fee. Accordingly, the 1.375% management fee paid by us to GC Advisors under the Investment Advisory Agreement on all of our assets, including those indirectly held through the Securitization Issuer, is reduced, on a dollar-for-dollar

basis, by an amount equal to such 0.35% fee paid to GC Advisors by the Securitization Issuer. The term "collection period" refers to a quarterly period running from the day after the end of the prior collection period to the fifth business day of the calendar month in which a payment date occurs. This fee may be waived by the collateral manager. The collateral management agreement does not include any incentive fee payable to GC Advisors.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

For purposes of this table, the SEC requires that the Management fees percentage be calculated as a percentage of net assets attributable to common stock, rather than total assets, including assets that have been funded with borrowed monies because common stockholders bear all of this cost. If the base management fee portion of the Management fees percentage were calculated instead as a percentage of our total assets, our base management fee portion of the Management fees percentage would be approximately 1.21% of total assets. The base management fee in the table above is based on net assets of \$660.0 million and leverage of \$591.6 million as of December 31, 2013.

The incentive fee referenced in the table above is based on actual amounts incurred during the three months ended December 31, 2013, annualized for a full year. We have structured the calculation of the incentive fee to include a fee limitation such that no incentive fee will be paid to GC Advisors for any quarter if, after such payment, the (5) cumulative incentive fees paid to GC Advisors since the effective date of our election to become a business development company would be greater than 20.0% of our Cumulative Pre-Incentive Fee Net Income (as defined below).

We accomplish this limitation by subjecting each quarterly incentive fee payable under the Income and Capital Gain Incentive Fee Calculation (as defined below) to a cap, or the Incentive Fee Cap. The Incentive Fee Cap in any quarter is equal to the difference between (a) 20.0% of Cumulative Pre-Incentive Fee Net Income and (b) cumulative incentive fees of any kind paid to GC Advisors by Golub Capital BDC since April 13, 2010, the effective date of our election to become a business development company. To the extent the Incentive Fee Cap is zero or a negative value in any quarter, no incentive fee would be payable in that quarter. Cumulative Pre-Incentive Fee Net Income is equal to the sum of (a) Pre-Incentive Fee Net Investment Income (as defined below) for each period since April 13, 2010 and (b) cumulative aggregate realized capital gains, cumulative aggregate realized capital losses, cumulative aggregate unrealized capital depreciation and cumulative aggregate unrealized capital appreciation since April 13, 2010.

Pre-Incentive Fee Net Investment Income means interest income, dividend income and any other income (including any other fees such as commitment, origination, structuring, diligence and consulting fees or other fees that we receive from portfolio companies, but excluding fees for providing managerial assistance) accrued during the calendar quarter, minus operating expenses for the calendar quarter (including the base management fee, taxes, any expenses payable under the Investment Advisory Agreement and an administration agreement, or the Administration Agreement, with the Administrator, any expenses of securitizations and any interest expense and dividends paid on any outstanding preferred stock, but excluding the incentive fee). Pre-Incentive Fee Net Investment Income includes, in the case of investments with a deferred interest feature such as market discount, debt instruments with payment-in-kind, or PIK, interest, preferred stock with PIK dividends and zero coupon securities, accrued income that we have not yet received in cash.

The income and capital gain incentive fee calculation, or the Income and Capital Gain Incentive Fee Calculation, has two parts. The income component is calculated quarterly in arrears based on our Pre-Incentive Fee Net Investment Income for the immediately preceding calendar quarter.

Pre-Incentive Fee Net Investment Income does not include any realized capital gains, realized capital losses or unrealized capital appreciation or depreciation. Because of the structure of the income component, it is possible that an incentive fee may be calculated under this formula with respect to a period in which we have incurred a loss. For example, if we receive Pre-Incentive Fee Net Investment Income in excess of the hurdle rate (as defined below) for a calendar quarter, the income component will result in a positive value and an incentive fee will be paid unless the payment of such incentive fee would cause us to pay incentive fees on a cumulative basis that exceed 20.0% of our Cumulative Pre-Incentive Fee Net Income.

Pre-Incentive Fee Net Investment Income, expressed as a rate of return on the value of our net assets (defined as total assets less indebtedness and before taking into account any incentive fees payable during the period) at the end of the

immediately preceding calendar quarter, is compared to a fixed hurdle rate of 2.0% quarterly. If market interest rates rise, we may be able to invest our funds in debt instruments that provide for a higher return, which would increase our Pre-Incentive Fee Net Investment Income and make it easier for GC Advisors to surpass the fixed hurdle rate and receive an incentive fee

10

TABLE OF CONTENTS

based on such net investment income. Our Pre-Incentive Fee Net Investment Income used to calculate this part of the incentive fee is also included in the amount of our total assets (excluding cash and cash equivalents but including assets purchased with borrowed funds and securitization-related assets, unrealized depreciation or appreciation on derivative instruments and cash collateral on deposit with custodian) used to calculate the 1.375% base management fee.

We calculate the income component of the Income and Capital Gain Incentive Fee Calculation with respect to our Pre-Incentive Fee Net Investment Income quarterly, in arrears, as follows:

zero in any calendar quarter in which the Pre-Incentive Fee Net Investment Income does not exceed the hurdle rate; 100.0% of our Pre-Incentive Fee Net Investment Income with respect to that portion of such Pre-Incentive Fee Net Investment Income, if any, that exceeds the hurdle rate but is less than 2.5% in any calendar quarter. We refer to this portion of our Pre-Incentive Fee Net Investment Income (which exceeds the hurdle rate but is less than 2.5%) as the catch-up provision. The catch-up is meant to provide GC Advisors with 20.0% of the Pre-Incentive Fee Net Investment Income as if a hurdle rate did not apply if this net investment income exceeds 2.5% in any calendar quarter; and 20.0% of the amount of our Pre-Incentive Fee Net Investment Income, if any, that exceeds 2.5% in any calendar quarter.

The sum of these calculations yields the income incentive fee. This amount is appropriately adjusted for any share issuances or repurchases during the quarter.

The second part of the Income and Capital Gain Incentive Fee Calculation, or the Capital Gain Incentive Fee, equals (a) 20.0% of our Capital Gain Incentive Fee Base (as defined below), if any, calculated in arrears as of the end of each calendar year (or upon termination of the Investment Advisory Agreement, as of the termination date), commencing with the calendar year ending December 31, 2010, less (b) the aggregate amount of any previously paid Capital Gain Incentive Fees. Our Capital Gain Incentive Fee Base equals the sum of (1) our realized capital gains, if any, on a cumulative positive basis from April 13, 2010 through the end of each calendar year, (2) all realized capital losses on a cumulative basis and (3) all unrealized capital depreciation on a cumulative basis.

The cumulative aggregate realized capital losses are calculated as the sum of the amounts by which (a) the net sales price of each investment in our portfolio when sold is less than (b) the accreted or amortized cost base of such investment.

The cumulative aggregate realized capital gains are calculated as the sum of the differences, if positive, between (a) the net sales price of each investment in our portfolio when sold and (b) the accreted or amortized cost basis of such investment.

The aggregate unrealized capital depreciation is calculated as the sum of the differences, if negative, between (a) the valuation of each investment in our portfolio as of the applicable Capital Gain Incentive Fee calculation date and (b) the accreted or amortized cost basis of such investment.

As described above, the incentive fee will not be paid at any time where after such payment the cumulative incentive fees paid to date would be greater than 20.0% of the Cumulative Pre-Incentive Net Income since April 13, 2010. We

will accrue the Capital Gain Incentive Fee if, on a cumulative basis, the sum of net realized gains/(losses) plus net unrealized appreciation/(depreciation) is positive. The Capital Gain Incentive Fee is calculated on a cumulative basis from the date we elected to become a business development company through the end of each calendar year. For the year ended September 30, 2013 and the three months ended December 31, 2013, the Capital Gain Incentive Fee was zero. For a more detailed discussion of the calculation of the incentive fee, see Management Agreements Investment

Advisory Agreement Management Fee.

(6)

Interest payments on borrowed funds represents our annualized interest expense as of December 31, 2013 and includes interest payable on the notes issued by the Securitization Issuer. For the three months ended December 31, 2013, the effective annualized average interest rate on our total debt outstanding, which

11

TABLE OF CONTENTS

includes all interest and amortization of debt issuance costs on the Debt Securitization but excludes secured borrowings, was 3.1%. Debt issuance costs represent fees and other direct incremental costs incurred in connection with the Debt Securitization. These fees include a structuring and placement fee paid to Wells Fargo Securities, LLC for its services in connection with the initial structuring and subsequent amendment of the Debt Securitization of \$1.74 million and \$0.75 million, respectively, as well as legal fees, accounting fees, rating agency fees and all other costs associated with the Debt Securitization. We do not currently anticipate issuing debt securities or preferred stock in the next 12 months.

Includes our overhead expenses, including payments under the Administration Agreement based on our allocable portion of overhead and other expenses incurred by the Administrator, and any acquired fund fees and expenses that are not required to be disclosed separately. See Management Agreements Administration Agreement. Other expenses are based on actual amounts incurred during the three months ended December 31, 2013, annualized for a full year. Other expenses also includes the ongoing administrative expenses to the trustee, collateral manager, independent accountants, legal counsel, rating agencies and independent managers in connection with developing (7) and maintaining reports and providing required services in connection with the administration of the Debt Securitization. The administrative expenses are paid by the Securitization Issuer on each payment date in two parts: (1) a component that is paid in a priority to other amounts distributed by the Securitization Issuer, subject to a cap equal to the sum of 0.04% per annum on the adjusted principal balance of the portfolio loans and other assets held by the Securitization Issuer on the last day of the collection period relating to such payment date, plus \$150,000 per annum, and (2) a component that is paid in a subordinated position relative to other amounts distributed by the Securitization Issuer, equal to any amounts that exceed the aforementioned administrative expense cap.

All of our expenses, including all expenses of the Debt Securitization, are disclosed in the appropriate line items under Annual Expenses (as a percentage of net assets attributable to common stock). Total annual expenses as a percentage of consolidated net assets attributable to common stock are higher than the total annual expenses percentage would be for a company that is not leveraged. We borrow money to leverage our net assets and increase (8) our total assets. The SEC requires that the Total annual expenses percentage be calculated as a percentage of net assets (defined as total assets less indebtedness and after taking into account any incentive fees payable during the period), rather than the total assets, including assets that have been funded with borrowed monies. The reason for presenting expenses as a percentage of net assets attributable to common stockholders is that our common stockholders bear all of our fees and expenses.

Example

The following example demonstrates the projected dollar amount of total cumulative expenses that would be incurred over various periods with respect to a hypothetical investment in our common stock. **This example and the expenses in the table above should not be considered a representation of our future expenses, and actual expenses (including the cost of debt, if any, and other expenses) may be greater or less than those shown.**

	1 year	3 years	5 years	10 years
You would pay the following expenses on a \$1,000 investment, assuming a 5% annual return	\$ 75	\$ 219	\$ 355	\$ 669

The foregoing table is to assist you in understanding the various costs and expenses that an investor in our common stock will bear directly or indirectly. While the example assumes, as required by the SEC, a 5% annual return, our performance will vary and may result in a return greater or less than 5%. The incentive fee under the Investment Advisory Agreement, which, assuming a 5% annual return, would either not be payable or have an immaterial impact on the expense amounts shown above, is not included in the example. Under our Investment Advisory Agreement, no incentive fee would be payable if we have a 5% annual return. If we achieve sufficient returns on our investments, including through the realization of capital gains, to trigger an incentive fee of a material amount, our expenses, and

returns to our investors, would be higher. The example assumes that all dividends and other distributions are reinvested at net asset value. Under certain circumstances, reinvestment of dividends and other distributions under our dividend reinvestment plan may occur at a price per share that differs from net asset value. See [Dividend Reinvestment Plan](#) for more information.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

RISK FACTORS

Investing in our securities involves a number of significant risks. Before you invest in our securities, you should be aware of various risks, including those described below. You should carefully consider these risk factors, together with all of the other information included in this prospectus and the applicable prospectus supplement, before you decide whether to make an investment in our securities. The risks set out below are not the only risks we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or not presently deemed material by us may also impair our operations and performance. If any of the following events occur, our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows could be materially and adversely affected. In such case, our net asset value and the trading price of our common stock could decline, and you may lose all or part of your investment. The risk factors described below are the principal risk factors associated with an investment in us as well as those factors generally associated with an investment company with investment objectives, investment policies, capital structure or trading markets similar to ours.

Risks Relating to Our Business and Structure

We are subject to risks associated with the current interest rate environment.

Since the economic downturn that began in mid-2007, interest rates have remained low. Because longer-term inflationary pressure is likely to result from the U.S. government's fiscal policies and challenges during this time, we will likely experience rising interest rates, rather than falling rates, over our investment horizon.

To the extent we borrow money or issue debt securities or preferred stock to make investments, our net investment income will depend, in part, upon the difference between the rate at which we borrow funds or pay interest or dividends on such debt securities or preferred stock and the rate at which we invest these funds. In addition, many of our debt investments and borrowings have floating interest rates that reset on a periodic basis. As a result, a significant change in market interest rates could have a material adverse effect on our net investment income. In periods of rising interest rates, our cost of funds will increase because the interest rates on the Class A Notes and Class B Notes issued under the Debt Securitization and amounts borrowed under the Credit Facility are floating, which could reduce our net investment income to the extent any debt investments have fixed interest rates. We expect that our long-term fixed-rate investments will be financed primarily with issuances of equity and long-term debt securities. We may use interest rate risk management techniques in an effort to limit our exposure to interest rate fluctuations. Such techniques may include various interest rate hedging activities to the extent permitted by the 1940 Act and applicable commodities laws. These activities may limit our ability to participate in the benefits of lower interest rates with respect to the hedged borrowings. Adverse developments resulting from changes in interest rates or hedging transactions could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

You should also be aware that a rise in the general level of interest rates typically will lead to higher interest rates applicable to our debt investments. Accordingly, an increase in interest rates may result in an increase of the amount of incentive fees payable to GC Advisors.

In addition, a decline in the prices of the debt we own could adversely affect the trading price of our common stock and our net asset value. Also, an increase in interest rates available to investors could make an investment in our common stock less attractive if we are not able to increase our distribution rate, which could reduce the value of our common stock.

We are dependent upon GC Advisors for our future success and upon their access to the investment professionals and partners of Golub Capital and its affiliates.

We do not have any internal management capacity or employees. We depend on the diligence, skill and network of business contacts of the senior investment professionals of GC Advisors to achieve our investment objective. We expect that GC Advisors will evaluate, negotiate, structure, close and monitor our investments in accordance with the terms of the Investment Advisory Agreement. We can offer no assurance, however, that the senior investment professionals of GC Advisors, including the members of its investment committee, will continue to provide investment advice to us. If these individuals do not maintain their existing relationships with Golub Capital and its affiliates and do not develop new relationships with other sources of investment

TABLE OF CONTENTS

opportunities, we may not be able to grow our investment portfolio. In addition, individuals with whom the senior investment professionals of GC Advisors have relationships are not obligated to provide us with investment opportunities. Therefore, we can offer no assurance that such relationships will generate investment opportunities for us.

GC Advisors is an affiliate of Golub Capital and depends upon access to the investment professionals and other resources of Golub Capital and its affiliates to fulfill its obligations to us under the Investment Advisory Agreement. GC Advisors also depends upon Golub Capital to obtain access to deal flow generated by the professionals of Golub Capital and its affiliates. Under the Staffing Agreement, Golub Capital provides GC Advisors with the resources necessary to fulfill these obligations. The Staffing Agreement provides that Golub Capital makes available to GC Advisors experienced investment professionals and provides access to the senior investment personnel of Golub Capital for purposes of evaluating, negotiating, structuring, closing and monitoring our investments. We are not a party to the Staffing Agreement and cannot assure you that Golub Capital will fulfill its obligations under the agreement. If Golub Capital fails to perform, we cannot assure you that GC Advisors will enforce the Staffing Agreement, that such agreement will not be terminated by either party or that we will continue to have access to the investment professionals of Golub Capital and its affiliates or their information and deal flow.

Our business model depends to a significant extent upon strong referral relationships with sponsors. Any inability of GC Advisors to maintain or develop these relationships, or the failure of these relationships to generate investment opportunities, could adversely affect our business.

We depend upon Golub Capital's relationships with sponsors, and we intend to rely to a significant extent upon these relationships to provide us with potential investment opportunities. If Golub Capital fails to maintain such relationships, or to develop new relationships with other sponsors or sources of investment opportunities, we will not be able to grow our investment portfolio. In addition, individuals with whom the principals of Golub Capital have relationships are not obligated to provide us with investment opportunities, and, therefore, we can offer no assurance that these relationships will generate investment opportunities for us in the future.

We have a limited operating history as a business development company.

Our predecessor, GCMF, was formed in June 2007 and commenced operations in July 2007. Prior to the completion of our initial public offering in April 2010, we did not operate as a business development company or RIC. As a result of our limited operating history, we are subject to the business risks and uncertainties associated with recently formed businesses, including the risk that we will not achieve our investment objective and that the value of your investment could decline substantially.

The 1940 Act and the Code impose numerous constraints on the operations of business development companies and RICs that do not apply to other accounts sponsored or managed by GC Advisors and its affiliates. Business development companies are required, for example, to invest at least 70% of their total assets in qualifying assets. Moreover, qualification for taxation as a RIC requires satisfaction of source-of-income, asset diversification and distribution requirements. Neither we nor GC Advisors has significant experience operating under these constraints, which may hinder our ability to take advantage of attractive investment opportunities and to achieve our investment objective.

Our business model depends to a significant extent upon strong referral relationships with sponsors. Any inability of

We may not replicate the historical results achieved by our predecessor, GCMF, or other entities managed or sponsored by members of GC Advisors investment committee, or by GC Advisors or its affiliates.

Our investments may differ from those of our predecessor, GCMF, and existing accounts that are or have been sponsored or managed by members of GC Advisors investment committee, GC Advisors or affiliates of GC Advisors. Investors in our securities are not acquiring an interest in any accounts that are or have been sponsored or managed by members of GC Advisors investment committee, GC Advisors or affiliates of GC Advisors. We may consider co-investing in portfolio investments with other accounts sponsored or managed by members of GC Advisors investment committee, GC Advisors or its affiliates. Any such investments are subject to regulatory limitations and approvals by directors who are not interested persons, as defined in the 1940 Act. We can offer no assurance, however, that we will obtain such approvals or develop

TABLE OF CONTENTS

opportunities that comply with such limitations. We also cannot assure you that we will replicate the historical results achieved by members of the investment committee, and we caution you that our investment returns could be substantially lower than the returns achieved by them in prior periods. Additionally, all or a portion of the prior results may have been achieved in particular market conditions which may never be repeated. Moreover, current or future market volatility and regulatory uncertainty may have an adverse impact on our future performance.

Our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows depend on our ability to manage our business effectively.

Our ability to achieve our investment objective depends on our ability to manage our business and to grow. This depends, in turn, on GC Advisors' ability to identify, invest in and monitor companies that meet our investment criteria. The achievement of our investment objectives on a cost-effective basis depends upon GC Advisors' execution of our investment process, its ability to provide competent, attentive and efficient services to us and, to a lesser extent, our access to financing on acceptable terms. GC Advisors has substantial responsibilities under the Investment Advisory Agreement, as well as responsibilities in connection with the management of other accounts sponsored or managed by GC Advisors, members of GC Advisors' investment committee or Golub Capital and its affiliates. The personnel of the Administrator and its affiliates, may be called upon to provide managerial assistance to our portfolio companies. These activities may distract them or slow our rate of investment. Any failure to manage our business and our future growth effectively could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

There are significant potential conflicts of interest that could affect our investment returns.

As a result of our arrangements with GC Advisors and its affiliates and GC Advisors' investment committee, there may be times when GC Advisors or such persons have interests that differ from those of our securityholders, giving rise to a conflict of interest.

Conflicts related to obligations GC Advisors' investment committee, GC Advisors or its affiliates have to other clients.

The members of GC Advisors' investment committee serve or may serve as officers, directors or principals of entities that operate in the same or a related line of business as we do or of accounts sponsored or managed by GC Advisors or its affiliates. Similarly, GC Advisors or its affiliates currently manage and may have other clients with similar or competing investment objectives. In serving in these multiple capacities, they may have obligations to other clients or investors in those entities, the fulfillment of which may not be in the best interests of us or our stockholders. For example, Lawrence E. Golub and David B. Golub have management responsibilities for other accounts sponsored or managed by GC Advisors or its affiliates. Our investment objective may overlap with the investment objectives of such affiliated accounts. For example, GC Advisors currently manages several private funds that are pursuing an investment strategy similar to ours, some of which are continuing to seek new capital commitments, and we may compete with these and other accounts sponsored or managed by GC Advisors and its affiliates for capital and investment opportunities. As a result, those individuals may face conflicts in the allocation of investment opportunities among us and other accounts advised by or affiliated with GC Advisors. GC Advisors seeks to allocate investment opportunities among eligible accounts in a manner that is fair and equitable over time and consistent with its allocation policy. However, we can offer no assurance that such opportunities will be allocated to us fairly or equitably in the short-term or over time. If sufficient securities or loan amounts are available to satisfy our and each

such account's proposed investment, the opportunity will be allocated in accordance with GC Advisor's pre-transaction determination. Where there is an insufficient amount of an investment opportunity to fully satisfy us and other accounts sponsored or managed by GC Advisors or its affiliates, the allocation policy further provides that allocations among us and other accounts will generally be made pro rata to us and each other eligible account based on the total capital allocated to the asset class of the investment and subject to the minimum and maximum investment size limits for each such party. However, there can be no assurance that we will be able to participate in all investment opportunities that are suitable to us.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

GC Advisors investment committee, GC Advisors or its affiliates may, from time to time, possess material nonpublic information, limiting our investment discretion.

Principals of GC Advisors and its affiliates and members of GC Advisors investment committee may serve as directors of, or in a similar capacity with, companies in which we invest, the securities of which are purchased or sold on our behalf. In the event that material nonpublic information is obtained with respect to such companies, or we become subject to trading restrictions under the internal trading policies of those companies or as a result of applicable law or regulations, we could be prohibited for a period of time from purchasing or selling the securities of such companies, and this prohibition may have an adverse effect on us.

Our management and incentive fee structure may create incentives for GC Advisors that are not fully aligned with the interests of our stockholders.

In the course of our investing activities, we pay management and incentive fees to GC Advisors. The management fee is based on our average adjusted gross assets and the incentive fee is computed and paid on income, both of which include leverage. As a result, investors in our common stock will invest on a gross basis and receive distributions on a net basis after expenses, resulting in a lower rate of return than one might achieve through direct investments. Because these fees are based on our average adjusted gross assets, GC Advisors benefits when we incur debt or use leverage. Although GC Advisors makes recommendations to our board of directors with respect to leverage policies, our board of directors determines our leverage policy, including approving in advance the incurrence of material indebtedness and the execution of material contracts.

Additionally, the incentive fee payable by us to GC Advisors may create an incentive for GC Advisors to cause us to realize capital gains or losses that may not be in the best interests of us or our stockholders. Under the incentive fee structure, GC Advisors benefits when we recognize capital gains and, because GC Advisors determines when a holding is sold, GC Advisors controls the timing of the recognition of such capital gains. Our board of directors is charged with protecting our stockholders interests by monitoring how GC Advisors addresses these and other conflicts of interest associated with its management services and compensation. While they are not expected to review or approve each borrowing, our independent directors periodically review GC Advisors services and fees as well as its portfolio management decisions and portfolio performance. In connection with these reviews, our independent directors consider whether our fees and expenses (including those related to leverage) remain appropriate. As a result of this arrangement, GC Advisors or its affiliates may from time to time have interests that differ from those of our securityholders, giving rise to a conflict.

The management and incentive fees payable by us to GC Advisors may create an incentive for GC Advisors to make investments on our behalf that are riskier or more speculative than would be the case in the absence of such compensation arrangement. The way in which these fees are payable to GC Advisors is determined may encourage GC Advisors to use leverage to increase the return on our investments. Under certain circumstances, the use of leverage may increase the likelihood of default, which would disfavor our securityholders.

The part of the management and incentive fees payable to GC Advisors that relates to our net investment income is computed and paid on income that may include interest income that has been accrued but not yet received in cash, such as market discount, debt instruments with PIK interest, preferred stock with PIK dividends and zero coupon securities. This fee structure may be considered to involve a conflict of interest for GC Advisors to the extent that it may encourage GC Advisors to favor debt financings that provide for deferred interest, rather than current cash

payments of interest. GC Advisors may have an incentive to invest in deferred interest securities in circumstances where it would not have done so but for the opportunity to continue to earn the fees even when the issuers of the deferred interest securities would not be able to make actual cash payments to us on such securities. This risk could be increased because GC Advisors is not obligated to reimburse us for any fees received even if we subsequently incur losses or never receive in cash the deferred income that was previously accrued.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Our incentive fee may induce GC Advisors to make certain investments, including speculative investments.

The incentive fee payable by us to GC Advisors may create an incentive for GC Advisors to make investments on our behalf that are riskier or more speculative than would be the case in the absence of such compensation arrangement.

The way in which the incentive fee payable to GC Advisors is determined may encourage GC Advisors to use leverage to increase the return on our investments. Under certain circumstances, the use of leverage may increase the likelihood of default, which would disfavor our securityholders.

The incentive fee payable by us to GC Advisors also may create an incentive for GC Advisors to invest on our behalf in instruments that have a deferred interest feature. Under these investments, we accrue the interest over the life of the investment but do not receive the cash income from the investment until the end of the term. Our net investment income used to calculate the income portion of our investment fee, however, includes accrued interest. Thus, a portion of this incentive fee is based on income that we have not yet received in cash, such as market discount, debt instruments with PIK interest, preferred stock with PIK dividends and zero coupon securities.

The valuation process for certain of our portfolio holdings creates a conflict of interest.

The majority of our portfolio investments are expected to be made in the form of securities that are not publicly traded. As a result, our board of directors will determine the fair value of these securities in good faith as described below in . Many of our portfolio investments are recorded at fair value as determined in good faith by our board of directors and, as a result, there may be uncertainty as to the value of our portfolio investments. In connection with that determination, investment professionals from GC Advisors may provide our board of directors with portfolio company valuations based upon the most recent portfolio company financial statements available and projected financial results of each portfolio company. In addition, Lawrence E. Golub and David B. Golub have an indirect pecuniary interest in GC Advisors. The participation of GC Advisors' investment professionals in our valuation process, and the indirect pecuniary interest in GC Advisors by Lawrence E. Golub and David B. Golub, could result in a conflict of interest as GC Advisors' management fee is based, in part, on our average adjusted gross assets (including leverage but excluding cash) and our incentive fees will be based, in part, on unrealized gains and losses.

Conflicts related to other arrangements with GC Advisors or its affiliates.

We have entered into a license agreement with Golub Capital LLC under which Golub Capital LLC has granted us a non-exclusive, royalty-free license to use the name Golub Capital . See Management Agreements License Agreement.

In addition, we pay to the Administrator our allocable portion of overhead and other expenses incurred by the Administrator in performing its obligations under the Administration Agreement, such as rent and our allocable portion of the cost of our chief financial officer and chief compliance officer and their respective staffs. These arrangements create conflicts of interest that our board of directors must monitor.

The Investment Advisory Agreement with GC Advisors and the Administration Agreement with the Administrator were not negotiated on an arm's-length basis and may not be as favorable to us as if they had been negotiated with an unaffiliated third party.

The Investment Advisory Agreement and the Administration Agreement, including its assignment to Golub Capital LLC, were negotiated between related parties. Consequently, their terms, including fees payable to GC Advisors, may not be as favorable to us as if they had been negotiated with an unaffiliated third party. In addition, we may choose not to enforce, or to enforce less vigorously, our rights and remedies under these agreements because of our desire to maintain our ongoing relationship with GC Advisors, the Administrator and their respective affiliates. Any such decision, however, would breach our fiduciary obligations to our stockholders.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Our ability to enter into transactions with our affiliates will be restricted, which may limit the scope of investments available to us.

We are prohibited under the 1940 Act from participating in certain transactions with our affiliates without the prior approval of our independent directors and, in some cases, the SEC. Any person that owns, directly or indirectly, five percent or more of our outstanding voting securities is our affiliate for purposes of the 1940 Act, and we are generally prohibited from buying or selling any security from or to such affiliate, absent the prior approval of our independent directors. We consider GC Advisors and its affiliates to be our affiliates for such purposes. The 1940 Act also prohibits certain joint transactions with certain of our affiliates, which could include investments in the same portfolio company, without prior approval of our independent directors and, in some cases, the SEC. We are prohibited from buying or selling any security from or to, among others, any person who owns more than 25% of our voting securities or certain of that person's affiliates, or entering into prohibited joint transactions with such persons, absent the prior approval of the SEC.

We may, however, invest alongside GC Advisors and its affiliates other clients in certain circumstances where doing so is consistent with applicable law and SEC staff, or Staff, interpretations. For example, we may invest alongside such accounts consistent with guidance promulgated by the Staff permitting us and such other accounts to purchase interests in a single class of privately placed securities so long as certain conditions are met, including that GC Advisors, acting on our behalf and on behalf of its other clients, negotiates no term other than price. We may also invest alongside GC Advisors other clients as otherwise permissible under regulatory guidance, applicable regulations and GC Advisors' allocation policy. Under this allocation policy, GC Advisors will determine separately a Target Allocation as a percentage of total capital of us and similar eligible accounts and a minimum and maximum investment size for each asset class in which we and similar eligible accounts sponsored or managed by GC Advisors and its affiliates invest. If an investment opportunity is appropriate for us and another similar eligible account, the opportunity will be allocated based on the applicable Target Allocation multiplied by total capital of each of us and such other eligible accounts, subject to minimum and maximum investment size limits. Where there is an insufficient amount of an investment opportunity to fully satisfy us and other accounts sponsored or managed by GC Advisors or its affiliates, the allocation policy further provides that allocations among us and such other accounts will generally be made pro rata to us and each other eligible account based on the total capital allocated to the asset class of the investment and subject to the minimum and maximum investment size limits for each such party. However, we can offer no assurance that investment opportunities will be allocated to us fairly or equitably in the short-term or over time.

In situations in which co-investment with other accounts sponsored or managed by GC Advisors or its affiliates is not permitted or appropriate, such as when, in the absence of exemptive relief described below, we and such other entities may make investments in the same issuer or where the different investments could be expected to result in a conflict between our interests and those of other GC Advisors clients, GC Advisors needs to decide whether we or such other entity or entities will proceed with such investments. GC Advisors makes these determinations based on its policies and procedures, which generally require that such investment opportunities be offered to eligible accounts on a basis that is fair and equitable over time, including, for example, through random or rotational methods. Moreover, in certain circumstances, we may be unable to invest in an issuer in which an account sponsored or managed by GC Advisors or its affiliates has previously invested. Similar restrictions limit our ability to transact business with our officers or directors or their affiliates. These restrictions may limit the scope of investment opportunities that would otherwise be available to us.

We and GC Advisors have submitted an application for exemptive relief from the SEC to permit greater flexibility to negotiate the terms of co-investments if our board of directors determines that it would be advantageous for us to

co-invest with other accounts sponsored or managed by GC Advisors or its affiliates in a manner consistent with our investment objectives, positions, policies, strategies and restrictions as well as regulatory requirements and other pertinent factors. We believe that co-investments by us and other accounts sponsored or managed by GC Advisors and its affiliates may afford us additional investment opportunities and an ability to achieve greater diversification.

Accordingly, our application for exemptive relief seeks an exemptive order permitting us to invest with accounts sponsored or managed by GC Advisors or its affiliates in the same portfolio companies under circumstances in which such investments would otherwise not be

TABLE OF CONTENTS

permitted under the 1940 Act. We expect that such exemptive relief permitting co-investments, if granted, would apply only if our independent directors review and approve each co-investment.

We operate in a highly competitive market for investment opportunities, which could reduce returns and result in losses.

A number of entities compete with us to make the types of investments that we plan to make. We compete with public and private funds, commercial and investment banks, commercial financing companies and, to the extent they provide an alternative form of financing, private equity and hedge funds. Many of our competitors are substantially larger and have considerably greater financial, technical and marketing resources than we do. For example, we believe some of our competitors may have access to funding sources that are not available to us. In addition, some of our competitors may have higher risk tolerances or different risk assessments, which could allow them to consider a wider variety of investments and establish more relationships than us. Furthermore, many of our competitors are not subject to the regulatory restrictions that the 1940 Act imposes on us as a business development company or the source of income, asset diversification and distribution requirements we must satisfy to maintain our qualification as a RIC. The competitive pressures we face may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. As a result of this competition, we may not be able to take advantage of attractive investment opportunities from time to time, and we may not be able to identify and make investments that are consistent with our investment objective.

With respect to the investments we make, we do not seek to compete based primarily on the interest rates we offer, and we believe that some of our competitors may make loans with interest rates that will be lower than the rates we offer. In the secondary market for acquiring existing loans, we compete generally on the basis of pricing terms. With respect to all investments, we may lose some investment opportunities if we do not match our competitors' pricing, terms and structure. However, if we match our competitors' pricing, terms and structure, we may experience decreased net interest income, lower yields and increased risk of credit loss. We may also compete for investment opportunities with accounts managed or sponsored by GC Advisors or its affiliates. Although GC Advisors allocates opportunities in accordance with its policies and procedures, allocations to such other accounts will reduce the amount and frequency of opportunities available to us and may not be in the best interests of us and our securityholders. Moreover, the performance of investments will not be known at the time of allocation. See Risk Factors Risks Relating to Our Business and Structure There are significant potential conflicts of interest that could affect our investment returns Conflicts related to obligations GC Advisors investment committee, GC Advisors or its affiliates have to other clients and Related Party Transactions and Certain Relationships.

We will be subject to corporate-level income tax if we are unable to qualify as a RIC.

To qualify as a RIC under the Code, we must meet certain source-of-income, asset diversification and distribution requirements. The distribution requirement for a RIC is satisfied if we distribute at least 90% of our net ordinary income and net short-term capital gains in excess of net long-term capital losses, if any, to our stockholders on an annual basis. We are subject, to the extent we use debt financing, to certain asset coverage ratio requirements under the 1940 Act and financial covenants under loan and credit agreements that could, under certain circumstances, restrict us from making distributions necessary to qualify as a RIC. If we are unable to obtain cash from other sources, we may fail to qualify as a RIC and, thus, may be subject to corporate-level income tax. To qualify as a RIC, we must also meet certain asset diversification requirements at the end of each calendar quarter. Failure to meet these requirements may result in our having to dispose of certain investments quickly in order to prevent the loss of our qualification as a RIC. Because most of our investments are in private or thinly traded public companies, any such

dispositions could be made at disadvantageous prices and may result in substantial losses. If we fail to qualify as a RIC for any reason and become subject to corporate-level income tax, the resulting corporate taxes could substantially reduce our net assets, the amount of income available for distributions to stockholders and the amount of our distributions and the amount of funds available for new investments. Such a failure would have a material adverse effect on us and our securityholders. See [Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations](#) [Taxation as a RIC](#).

19

TABLE OF CONTENTS

We may need to raise additional capital to grow because we must distribute most of our income.

We may need additional capital to fund new investments and grow our portfolio of investments. We intend to access the capital markets periodically to issue debt or equity securities or borrow from financial institutions in order to obtain such additional capital. Unfavorable economic conditions could increase our funding costs, limit our access to the capital markets or result in a decision by lenders not to extend credit to us. A reduction in the availability of new capital could limit our ability to grow. In addition, we are required to distribute at least 90% of our net ordinary income and net short-term capital gains in excess of net long-term capital losses, if any, to our stockholders to maintain our qualification as a RIC. As a result, these earnings are not available to fund new investments. An inability to access the capital markets successfully could limit our ability to grow our business and execute our business strategy fully and could decrease our earnings, if any, which may have an adverse effect on the value of our securities.

We may have difficulty paying our required distributions if we recognize income before, or without, receiving cash representing such income.

For U.S. federal income tax purposes, we include in income certain amounts that we have not yet received in cash, such as the accretion of original issue discount. This may arise if we receive warrants in connection with the making of a loan and in other circumstances, or through contracted PIK interest, which represents contractual interest added to the loan balance and due at the end of the loan term. Such original issue discount, which could be significant relative to our overall investment activities, or increases in loan balances as a result of contracted PIK arrangements, is included in income before we receive any corresponding cash payments. We also may be required to include in income certain other amounts that we do not receive in cash.

That part of the incentive fee payable by us that relates to our net investment income is computed and paid on income that may include interest that has been accrued but not yet received in cash, such as market discount, debt instruments with PIK interest, preferred stock with PIK dividends and zero coupon securities. If a portfolio company defaults on a loan that is structured to provide accrued interest, it is possible that accrued interest previously used in the calculation of the incentive fee will become uncollectible.

Since in certain cases we may recognize income before or without receiving cash representing such income, we may have difficulty meeting the requirement to distribute at least 90% of our net ordinary income and net short-term capital gains in excess of net long-term capital losses, if any, to our stockholders to maintain our qualification as a RIC. In such a case, we may have to sell some of our investments at times we would not consider advantageous, raise additional debt or equity capital or reduce new investment originations to meet these distribution requirements. If we are not able to obtain such cash from other sources, we may fail to qualify as a RIC and thus be subject to corporate-level income tax. See Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations Taxation as a RIC.

Regulations governing our operation as a business development company affect our ability to, and the way in which we, raise additional capital. As a business development company, the necessity of raising additional capital exposes us to risks, including the typical risks associated with leverage.

We may issue debt securities or preferred stock and/or borrow money from banks or other financial institutions, which we refer to collectively as senior securities, up to the maximum amount permitted by the 1940 Act. Under the provisions of the 1940 Act, we are permitted as a business development company to issue senior securities in amounts

such that our asset coverage, as defined in the 1940 Act, equals at least 200% of gross assets (other than the U.S. Small Business Administration, or SBA, debentures of a small business investment company, or SBIC, subsidiary, as permitted by exemptive relief we have been granted by the SEC) less all liabilities and indebtedness not represented by senior securities, after each issuance of senior securities (other than the SBA debentures of an SBIC subsidiary, as permitted by exemptive relief we have been granted by the SEC). If the value of our assets declines, we may be unable to satisfy this ratio. If that happens, we may be required to sell a portion of our investments and, depending on the nature of our leverage, repay a portion of our indebtedness at a time when such sales may be disadvantageous. Also, any amounts that we use to service our indebtedness would not be available for distributions to our common stockholders. If we issue senior securities, we will be exposed to typical risks associated with leverage,

TABLE OF CONTENTS

including an increased risk of loss. As of December 31, 2013, we had \$591.6 million of outstanding borrowings, including \$215.0 million outstanding under the Debt Securitization.

In the absence of an event of default, no person or entity from which we borrow money has a veto right or voting power over our ability to set policy, make investment decisions or adopt investment strategies. If we issue preferred stock, which is another form of leverage, the preferred stock would rank senior to common stock in our capital structure, preferred stockholders would have separate voting rights on certain matters and might have other rights, preferences or privileges more favorable than those of our common stockholders, and the issuance of preferred stock could have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a transaction or a change of control that might involve a premium price for holders of our common stock or otherwise be in your best interest. Holders of our common stock will directly or indirectly bear all of the costs associated with offering and servicing any preferred stock that we issue.

In addition, any interests of preferred stockholders may not necessarily align with the interests of holders of our common stock and the rights of holders of shares of preferred stock to receive dividends would be senior to those of holders of shares of our common stock. We do not, however, anticipate issuing preferred stock in the next 12 months.

We are not generally able to issue and sell our common stock at a price below net asset value per share. We may, however, sell our common stock, or warrants, options or rights to acquire our common stock, at a price below the then-current net asset value per share of our common stock if our board of directors determines that such sale is in the best interests of us and our stockholders, and if our stockholders approve such sale. In any such case, the price at which our securities are to be issued and sold may not be less than a price that, in the determination of our board of directors, closely approximates the market value of such securities (less any distributing commission or discount). If we raise additional funds by issuing common stock or senior securities convertible into, or exchangeable for, our common stock, then the percentage ownership of our stockholders at that time will decrease, and you might experience dilution.

We intend to finance our investments with borrowed money, which will magnify the potential for gain or loss on amounts invested and may increase the risk of investing in us.

The use of leverage magnifies the potential for gain or loss on amounts invested. The use of leverage is generally considered a speculative investment technique and increases the risks associated with investing in our securities. We may issue senior debt securities to banks, insurance companies and other lenders. Lenders of these senior securities will have fixed dollar claims on our assets that are superior to the claims of our common stockholders, and we would expect such lenders to seek recovery against our assets in the event of a default. We may pledge up to 100% of our assets and may grant a security interest in all of our assets under the terms of any debt instruments we may enter into with lenders. In addition, under the terms of any credit facility or other debt instrument we enter into, we are likely to be required by its terms to use the net proceeds of any investments that we sell to repay a portion of the amount borrowed under such facility or instrument before applying such net proceeds to any other uses. If the value of our assets decreases, leveraging would cause our net asset value to decline more sharply than it otherwise would have had we not leveraged, thereby magnifying losses or eliminating our equity stake in a leveraged investment. Similarly, any decrease in our revenue or income will cause our net income to decline more sharply than it would have had we not borrowed. Such a decline would also negatively affect our ability to make distributions on our common stock or any outstanding preferred stock. Our ability to service our debt depends largely on our financial performance and is subject to prevailing economic conditions and competitive pressures. Our common stockholders bear the burden of any increase in our expenses as a result of our use of leverage, including interest expenses and any increase in the base management fee payable to GC Advisors.

As a business development company, we generally are required to meet a coverage ratio of total assets to total borrowings and other senior securities, which include the Class A Notes and Class B Notes issued by the Securitization Issuer, our other borrowings (other than the SBA debentures of an SBIC subsidiary, as permitted by exemptive relief we have been granted by the SEC) and any preferred stock that we may issue in the future, of at least 200%. If this ratio declines below 200%, we cannot incur additional debt and could be required to sell a portion of our investments to repay some debt when it is disadvantageous to do so. This could have a material adverse effect on our operations, and we may not be able to make distributions in amounts sufficient to maintain our status as a RIC, or at all. The amount of leverage that we employ will

TABLE OF CONTENTS

depend on GC Advisors and our board of directors assessment of market and other factors at the time of any proposed borrowing. We cannot assure you that we will be able to obtain credit at all or on terms acceptable to us.

On September 13, 2011, we received exemptive relief from the SEC allowing us to modify the asset coverage requirement to exclude the SBA debentures from this calculation. As such, our ratio of total consolidated assets to outstanding indebtedness may be less than 200%. This provides us with increased investment flexibility but also increases our risks related to leverage.

The following table illustrates the effect of leverage on returns from an investment in our common stock as of December 31, 2013, assuming various annual returns, net of expenses. The calculations in the table below are hypothetical and actual returns may be higher or lower than those appearing in the table below.

	Assumed Return on Our Portfolio (Net of Expenses)				
	-10%	-5%	0%	5%	10%
Corresponding return to common stockholder ⁽¹⁾	-22 %	-12 %	-2 %	7 %	17 %

Assumes \$1,264.8 million in total assets, \$591.6 million in debt and secured borrowings outstanding and \$660.0 (1) million in net assets as of December 31, 2013 and an effective annual interest rate of 2.73% as of December 31, 2013.

Based on our outstanding indebtedness of \$591.6 million as of December 31, 2013 and the effective annual interest rate of 2.73% as of that date, our investment portfolio would have been required to experience an annual return of at least 1.28% to cover annual interest payments on the outstanding debt.

We are subject to risks associated with the Debt Securitization.

As a result of the Debt Securitization, we are subject to a variety of risks, including those set forth below. We use the term debt securitization in this prospectus to describe a form of secured borrowing under which an operating company (sometimes referred to as an originator or sponsor) acquires or originates mortgages, receivables, loans or other assets that earn income, whether on a one-time or recurring basis (collectively, income producing assets), and borrows money on a non-recourse basis against a legally separate pool of loans or other income producing assets. In a typical debt securitization, the originator transfers the loans or income producing assets to a single-purpose, bankruptcy-remote subsidiary (also referred to as a special purpose entity), which is established solely for the purpose of holding loans and income producing assets and issuing debt secured by these income producing assets. The special purpose entity completes the borrowing through the issuance of notes secured by the loans or other assets. The special purpose entity may issue the notes in the capital markets to a variety of investors, including banks, non-bank financial institutions and other investors. In the Debt Securitization, an institutional investor purchased the notes issued by the Securitization Issuer in a private placement.

We are subject to certain risks as a result of our indirect interests in the Subordinated Notes and membership interests of the Securitization Issuer.

Under the terms of the master loan sale agreement governing the Debt Securitization, (1) we sold and/or contributed to Holdings all of our ownership interest in our portfolio loans and participations for the purchase price and other consideration set forth in the master loan sale agreement and (2) Holdings, in turn, sold and/or contributed to the Securitization Issuer all of its ownership interest in such portfolio loans and participations for the purchase price and

other consideration set forth in the master loan sale agreement. Following these transfers, the Securitization Issuer, and not Holdings or us, held all of the ownership interest in such portfolio loans and participations. As a result of the Debt Securitization, as of December 31, 2013, we held indirectly through Holdings the Subordinated Notes as well as membership interests, which comprise 100% of the equity interests, in the Securitization Issuer. As a result, we consolidate the financial statements of Holdings and the Securitization Issuer, as well as our other subsidiaries, in our consolidated financial statements. Because each of Holdings and the Securitization Issuer is disregarded as an entity separate from its owner for U.S. federal income tax purposes, the sale or contribution by us to Holdings, and by Holdings to the Securitization Issuer, did not constitute a taxable event for U.S. federal income tax purposes. If the U.S. Internal Revenue Service were to take a contrary position, there could be a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition,

TABLE OF CONTENTS

results of operations or cash flows. The securities issued by the Securitization Issuer, or by any securitization vehicle we sponsor in the future, could be acquired by another business development company or securitization vehicle subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions. We may also, from time to time, hold asset-backed securities, or the economic equivalent thereof, issued by a securitization vehicle sponsored by another business development company to the extent permitted under the 1940 Act.

The Subordinated Notes and membership interests in the Securitization Issuer are subordinated obligations of the Securitization Issuer.

The Subordinated Notes are the most junior class of notes issued by the Securitization Issuer, are subordinated in priority of payment to every other class of notes issued by the Securitization Issuer and are subject to certain payment restrictions set forth in the indenture governing the notes. Therefore, Holdings only receives cash distributions on the Subordinated Notes if the Securitization Issuer has made all cash interest payments to all other notes it has issued, and we only receive cash distributions in respect of our indirect ownership of the Securitization Issuer to the extent that Holdings receives any cash distributions in respect of its direct ownership of the Securitization Issuer. The Subordinated Notes are also unsecured and rank behind all of the secured creditors, known or unknown, of the Securitization Issuer, including the holders of the senior notes it has issued. Consequently, to the extent that the value of the Securitization Issuer's portfolio of loan investments has been reduced as a result of conditions in the credit markets, or as a result of defaulted loans or individual fund assets, the value of the Subordinated Notes at their redemption could be reduced.

The membership interests in the Securitization Issuer represent all of the equity interest in the Securitization Issuer. As such, the holder of the membership interests is the residual claimant on distributions, if any, made by the Securitization Issuer after holders of all classes of notes issued by the Securitization Issuer have been paid in full on each payment date or upon maturity of such notes under the Debt Securitization documents. Such payments may be made by the Securitization Issuer only to the extent permitted under the Debt Securitization documents on any payment date or upon payment in full of the notes issued by the Securitization Issuer.

The interests of holders of the senior classes of securities issued by the Securitization Issuer may not be aligned with our interests.

The Class A Notes are the debt obligations ranking senior in right of payment to other securities issued by the Securitization Issuer in the Debt Securitization. As such, there are circumstances in which the interests of holders of the Class A Notes may not be aligned with the interests of holders of the other classes of notes issued by, and membership interests of, the Securitization Issuer. For example, under the terms of the Class A Notes, holders of the Class A Notes have the right to receive payments of principal and interest prior to holders of the Class B Notes, the Subordinated Notes and the membership interests.

For as long as the Class A Notes remain outstanding, holders of the Class A Notes comprise the Controlling Class under the Debt Securitization and, as such, they have the right to act in certain circumstances with respect to the portfolio loans in ways that may benefit their interests but not the interests of holders of more junior classes of notes and membership interests, including by exercising remedies under the indenture in the Debt Securitization. If the Class A Notes are paid in full, the Class B Notes would comprise the Controlling Class.

If an event of default has occurred and acceleration occurs in accordance with the terms of the indenture, the Controlling Class, as the most senior class of notes then outstanding will be paid in full before any further payment or distribution on the more junior classes of notes and membership interests. In addition, if an event of default occurs,

The Subordinated Notes and membership interests in the Securitization Issuer are subordinated obligations of the S

holders of a majority of the Controlling Class will be entitled to determine the remedies to be exercised under the indenture, subject to the terms of the indenture. For example, upon the occurrence of an event of default with respect to the notes issued by the Securitization Issuer, the trustee or holders of a majority of the Controlling Class may declare the principal, together with any accrued interest, of all the notes of such class and any junior classes to be immediately due and payable. This would have the effect of accelerating the principal on such notes, triggering a repayment obligation on the part of the Securitization Issuer. If at such time the portfolio loans were not performing well, the Securitization Issuer may not have sufficient proceeds available to enable the trustee under the indenture to repay the obligations of holders of the Class B Notes or the Subordinated Notes, or to pay a dividend to holders of the membership interests.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Remedies pursued by the Controlling Class could be adverse to the interests of the holders of the notes that are subordinated to the Controlling Class (which would include the Class B Notes and Subordinated Notes to the extent the Class A Notes constitute the Controlling Class or the Subordinated Notes, to the extent the Class B Notes constitute the Controlling Class), and the Controlling Class will have no obligation to consider any possible adverse effect on such other interests. Thus, we cannot assure you that any remedies pursued by the Controlling Class will be in the best interests of Holdings or that Holdings will receive any payments or distributions upon an acceleration of the notes. Any failure of the Securitization Issuer to make distributions on the notes we indirectly hold, whether as a result of an event of default or otherwise, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows and may result in an inability of us to make distributions sufficient to maintain our status as a RIC.

The Securitization Issuer may fail to meet certain asset coverage tests.

Under the documents governing the Debt Securitization, there are two asset coverage tests applicable to the Class A Notes and Class B Notes. The first such test compares the amount of interest received on the portfolio loans held by the Securitization Issuer to the amount of interest payable in respect of the Class A Notes and Class B Notes. To meet this first test, interest received on the portfolio loans must equal at least 115% of the interest payable in respect of the Class A Notes and Class B Notes. The second such test compares the principal amount of the portfolio loans to the aggregate outstanding principal amount of the Class A Notes and Class B Notes. To meet this second test at any time, the aggregate principal amount of the portfolio loans must equal at least 158% of the outstanding principal amount of the Class A Notes and the Class B Notes, taken together. If either coverage test is not satisfied, interest and principal received by the Securitization Issuer are diverted on the following payment date to pay the Class A Notes in full and then the Class B Notes in full (in order of seniority) to the extent necessary to cause all coverage tests to be satisfied on a pro forma basis after giving effect to all payments made in respect of the notes, which we refer to as a mandatory redemption. If any asset coverage test with respect to the Class A Notes or Class B Notes is not met, proceeds from the portfolio of loan investments that otherwise would have been distributed to the Securitization Issuer and the holders of the Subordinated Notes will instead be used to redeem first the Class A Notes and then the Class B Notes, to the extent necessary to satisfy the applicable asset coverage tests or to obtain the necessary ratings confirmation.

The value of the Class B Notes could be adversely affected by a mandatory redemption because such redemption could result in the Class B Notes being redeemed at par at a time when they are trading in the secondary market at a premium to their stated principal amount and when other investments bearing the same rate of interest may be difficult or expensive to acquire. A mandatory redemption could also result in a shorter investment duration than a holder of Class B Notes may have wanted or anticipated, which could, in turn, result in such a holder incurring breakage costs on related hedging transactions. In addition, the reinvestment period under the Debt Securitization may extend through as late as July 20, 2015, which could affect the value of the collateral securing the Class B Notes.

We may not receive cash from the Securitization Issuer.

We receive cash from the Securitization Issuer only to the extent that Holdings receives payments on the Subordinated Notes or membership interests. The Securitization Issuer may only make payments on such securities to the extent permitted by the payment priority provisions of the indenture governing the notes, which generally provides that principal payments on the Subordinated Notes may not be made on any payment date unless all amounts owing under the Class A Notes and Class B Notes are paid in full. In addition, if the Securitization Issuer does not meet the asset coverage tests or the interest coverage test set forth in the documents governing the Debt Securitization, cash would be diverted from the Class B Notes and the Subordinated Notes to first pay the Class A Notes in amounts sufficient to cause such tests to be satisfied. In the event that we fail to indirectly receive cash from the Securitization Issuer, we

could be unable to make such distributions in amounts sufficient to maintain our status as a RIC, or at all.

We may be required to assume liabilities of the Securitization Issuer.

As part of the Debt Securitization, we entered into a master loan sale agreement under which we would be required to repurchase any loan (or participation interest therein) which was sold to the Securitization Issuer in breach of any representation or warranty made by us with respect to such loan on the date such loan

TABLE OF CONTENTS

was sold. To the extent we fail to satisfy any such repurchase obligation, the trustee may, on behalf of the Securitization Issuer, bring an action against us to enforce these repurchase obligations.

The structure of the Debt Securitization is intended to prevent, in the event of our bankruptcy or the bankruptcy of Holdings, the consolidation of the Securitization Issuer with our operations or those of Holdings. If the true sale of these assets were not respected in the event of our insolvency, a trustee or debtor-in-possession might reclaim the assets of the Securitization Issuer for our estate. However, in doing so, we would become directly liable for all of the indebtedness then outstanding under the Debt Securitization, which would equal the full amount of debt of the Securitization Issuer reflected on our consolidated balance sheet. In addition, we cannot assure you that the recovery in the event we were consolidated with the Securitization Issuer for purposes of any bankruptcy proceeding would exceed the amount to which we would otherwise be entitled as an indirect holder of the Class B Notes and the Subordinated Notes had we not been consolidated with the Securitization Issuer.

In addition, in connection with the Debt Securitization, we indirectly gave the lenders certain customary representations with respect to the legal structure of the Securitization Issuer and the quality of the assets transferred to it. We remain indirectly liable for any incorrect statements or omissions for a period of at least one year, and potentially for the life of the Debt Securitization.

The Securitization Issuer may issue additional Subordinated Notes.

Under the terms of the Debt Securitization documents, the Securitization Issuer could issue additional Subordinated Notes and use the net proceeds of such issuance to purchase additional portfolio loans. Any such additional issuance, however, would require the consent of the collateral manager and the approval of a majority of the Subordinated Notes. Among the other conditions that must be satisfied in connection with an additional issuance of Subordinated Notes, the aggregate principal amount of all additional issuances of Subordinated Notes may not exceed \$97 million; the Securitization Issuer must notify each rating agency of such issuance prior to the issuance date; and the terms of the Subordinated Notes to be issued must be identical to the terms of previously issued Subordinated Notes (except that all monies due on such additional Subordinated Notes will accrue from the issue date of such notes and that the prices of such Subordinated Notes do not have to be identical to those of the initial Subordinated Notes). We do not expect to cause the Securitization Issuer to issue any additional Subordinated Notes at this time, and the terms of the Debt Securitization documents do not provide for additional issuances of Class A Notes or Class B Notes without amendment of the Debt Securitization documents.

We are subject to risks associated with the Credit Facility and the Revolver.

On July 21, 2011, Funding, our wholly-owned subsidiary, entered into the Credit Facility with Wells Fargo Securities LLC, as administrative agent and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as lender, or the Lender. On November 22, 2013, Revolver Funding, our wholly-owned subsidiary, entered into the Revolver with PrivateBank. As a result of the Credit Facility and the Revolver, we are subject to a variety of risks, including those set forth below.

Our interests in Funding and Revolver Funding are subordinated.

We own 100% of the equity interests in each of Funding and Revolver Funding, respectively. We consolidate the financial statements of both Funding and Revolver Funding in our consolidated financial statements and treat the indebtedness of Funding and Revolver Funding as our leverage. Our interests in each of Funding and Revolver Funding, respectively, are subordinated in priority of payment to every other obligation of Funding and Revolver Funding, respectively, and are subject to certain payment restrictions set forth in the Credit Facility and the Revolver.

We receive cash distributions on our equity interests in Funding and Revolver Funding only if Funding and Revolver Funding, respectively, have made all required cash interest payments to their respective lenders. We cannot assure you that distributions on the assets held by Funding or Revolver Funding will be sufficient to make any distributions to us or that such distributions will meet our expectations.

Our equity interests in Funding and Revolver Funding, respectively, rank behind all of the secured and unsecured creditors, known or unknown, of Funding and Revolver Funding, respectively, including their

TABLE OF CONTENTS

respective lenders. Consequently, to the extent that the value of Funding s or Revolver Funding s respective portfolios of loan investments have been reduced as a result of conditions in the credit markets, defaulted loans, capital gains and losses on the underlying assets, prepayment or changes in interest rates, the return on our investment in Funding and Revolver Funding, respectively, could be reduced. Accordingly, our investment in Funding and Revolver Funding, respectively, may be subject to up to 100% loss.

We may not receive cash on our equity interests from Funding or Revolver Funding.

We receive cash from Funding and Revolver Funding, respectively, only to the extent that we receive distributions on our equity interests in Funding and Revolver Funding, respectively. Funding and Revolver Funding each may make payments on such interests only to the extent permitted by the payment priority provisions of the Credit Facility and the Revolver, respectively. The Credit Facility and Revolver each generally provide that payments on such interests may not be made on any payment date unless all amounts owing to the respective lenders and other secured parties are paid in full. In addition, if Funding or Revolver Funding do not meet their respective asset coverage tests or the interest coverage tests set forth in the Credit Facility and Revolver documents, respectively, cash would be diverted from us to first pay the Lender or PrivateBank, as applicable, in amounts sufficient to cause such tests to be satisfied. In the event that we fail to receive cash from Funding or Revolver Funding, we could be unable to make distributions to our stockholders in amounts sufficient to maintain our status as a RIC, or at all. We also could be forced to sell investments in portfolio companies at less than their fair value in order to continue making such distributions.

The ability to sell investments held by each of Funding and Revolver Funding is limited.

Each of the Credit Facility and Revolver place significant restrictions on our ability, as servicer, to sell investments. As a result, there may be times or circumstances during which we are unable to sell investments or take other actions that might be in our best interests.

Our ability to invest in public companies may be limited in certain circumstances.

To maintain our status as a business development company, we are not permitted to acquire any assets other than qualifying assets specified in the 1940 Act unless, at the time the acquisition is made, at least 70% of our total assets are qualifying assets (with certain limited exceptions). Subject to certain exceptions for follow-on investments and investments in distressed companies, an investment in an issuer that has outstanding securities listed on a national securities exchange may be treated as qualifying assets only if such issuer has a common equity market capitalization that is less than \$250 million at the time of such investment.

To the extent we use debt to finance our investments, changes in interest rates will affect our cost of capital and net investment income.

To the extent we borrow money to make investments, our net investment income depends, in part, upon the difference between the rate at which we borrow funds and the rate at which we invest those funds. As a result, we can offer no assurance that a significant change in market interest rates will not have a material adverse effect on our net investment income in the event we continue to use debt to finance our investments. In periods of rising interest rates, our cost of funds will increase because the interest rates on the Class A Notes and Class B Notes issued under the

Debt Securitization and amounts borrowed under the Credit Facility are floating, which could reduce our net investment income to the extent any debt investments have fixed interest rates. We expect that our long-term fixed-rate investments will be financed primarily with issuances of equity and long-term debt securities. We may use interest rate risk management techniques in an effort to limit our exposure to interest rate fluctuations. Such techniques may include various interest rate hedging activities to the extent permitted by the 1940 Act and applicable commodities laws.

You should also be aware that a rise in the general level of interest rates typically will lead to higher interest rates applicable to our debt investments. Accordingly, an increase in interest rates may result in an increase of the amount of incentive fees payable to GC Advisors.

We may enter into reverse repurchase agreements, which are another form of leverage.

We may enter into reverse repurchase agreements as part of our management of our temporary investment portfolio. Under a reverse repurchase agreement, we will effectively pledge our assets as collateral

TABLE OF CONTENTS

to secure a short-term loan. Generally, the other party to the agreement makes the loan in an amount equal to a percentage of the fair value of the pledged collateral. At the maturity of the reverse repurchase agreement, we will be required to repay the loan and correspondingly receive back our collateral. While used as collateral, the assets continue to pay principal and interest which are for the benefit of us.

Our use of reverse repurchase agreements, if any, involves many of the same risks involved in our use of leverage, as the proceeds from reverse repurchase agreements generally will be invested in additional securities. There is a risk that the market value of the securities acquired in the reverse repurchase agreement may decline below the price of the securities that we have sold but remain obligated to purchase. In addition, there is a risk that the market value of the securities retained by us may decline. If a buyer of securities under a reverse repurchase agreement were to file for bankruptcy or experience insolvency, we may be adversely affected. Also, in entering into reverse repurchase agreements, we would bear the risk of loss to the extent that the proceeds of such agreements at settlement are less than the fair value of the underlying securities being pledged. In addition, due to the interest costs associated with reverse repurchase agreements, our net asset value would decline, and, in some cases, we may be worse off than if we had not used such agreements.

Adverse developments in the credit markets may impair our ability to enter into new debt financing arrangements.

During the economic downturn in the United States that began in mid-2007, many commercial banks and other financial institutions stopped lending or significantly curtailed their lending activity. In addition, in an effort to stem losses and reduce their exposure to segments of the economy deemed to be high risk, some financial institutions limited routine refinancing and loan modification transactions and even reviewed the terms of existing facilities to identify bases for accelerating the maturity of existing lending facilities. To the extent these circumstances arise again in the future, it may be difficult for us to finance the growth of our investments on acceptable economic terms, or at all.

If we do not invest a sufficient portion of our assets in qualifying assets, we could fail to qualify as a business development company or be precluded from investing according to our current business strategy.

As a business development company, we may not acquire any assets other than qualifying assets unless, at the time of and after giving effect to such acquisition, at least 70% of our total assets are qualifying assets. See Regulation Qualifying Assets.

In the future, we believe that most of our investments will constitute qualifying assets. However, we may be precluded from investing in what we believe are attractive investments if such investments are not qualifying assets for purposes of the 1940 Act. If we do not invest a sufficient portion of our assets in qualifying assets, we could violate the 1940 Act provisions applicable to business development companies. As a result of such violation, specific rules under the 1940 Act could prevent us, for example, from making follow-on investments in existing portfolio companies (which could result in the dilution of our position) or could require us to dispose of investments at inappropriate times in order to come into compliance with the 1940 Act. If we need to dispose of such investments quickly, it could be difficult to dispose of such investments on favorable terms. We may not be able to find a buyer for such investments and, even if we do find a buyer, we may have to sell the investments at a substantial loss. Any such outcomes would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

If we do not maintain our status as a business development company, we would be subject to regulation as a registered closed-end investment company under the 1940 Act. As a registered closed-end investment company, we would be subject to substantially more regulatory restrictions under the 1940 Act which would significantly decrease our operating flexibility.

Many of our portfolio investments are recorded at fair value as determined in good faith by our board of directors and, as a result, there may be uncertainty as to the value of our portfolio investments.

The majority of our portfolio investments take the form of securities that are not publicly traded. The fair value of securities and other investments that are not publicly traded may not be readily determinable, and we value these securities at fair value as determined in good faith by our board of directors, including to reflect significant events affecting the value of our securities. As discussed in more detail under Management's

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition, Results of Operations and Cash Flows Critical Accounting Policies, most, if not all, of our investments (other than cash and cash equivalents) are classified as Level 3 under Accounting Standards Codification, or ASC, Topic 820, *Fair Value Measurement*. This means that our portfolio valuations are based on unobservable inputs and our own assumptions about how market participants would price the asset or liability in question. Inputs into the determination of fair value of our portfolio investments require significant management judgment or estimation. Even if observable market data are available, such information may be the result of consensus pricing information or broker quotes, which may include a disclaimer that the broker would not be held to such a price in an actual transaction. The non-binding nature of consensus pricing and/or quotes accompanied by disclaimers materially reduces the reliability of such information. We have retained the services of several independent service providers to review the valuation of these securities. The types of factors that the board of directors may take into account in determining the fair value of our investments generally include, as appropriate, comparison to publicly traded securities including such factors as yield, maturity and measures of credit quality, the enterprise value of a portfolio company, the nature and realizable value of any collateral, the portfolio company's ability to make payments and its earnings and discounted cash flow, the markets in which the portfolio company does business and other relevant factors. Because such valuations, and particularly valuations of private securities and private companies, are inherently uncertain, may fluctuate over short periods of time and may be based on estimates, our determinations of fair value may differ materially from the values that would have been used if a ready market for these securities existed. Our net asset value could be adversely affected if our determinations regarding the fair value of our investments were materially higher than the values that we ultimately realize upon the disposal of such securities.

We adjust quarterly the valuation of our portfolio to reflect our board of directors' determination of the fair value of each investment in our portfolio. Any changes in fair value are recorded in our consolidated statement of operations as net change in unrealized appreciation or depreciation.

We may experience fluctuations in our quarterly operating results.

We could experience fluctuations in our quarterly operating results due to a number of factors, including the interest rate payable on the debt securities we acquire, the default rate on such securities, the level of our expenses, variations in and the timing of the recognition of realized and unrealized gains or losses, the degree to which we encounter competition in our markets and general economic conditions. In light of these factors, results for any period should not be relied upon as being indicative of our performance in future periods.

New or modified laws or regulations governing our operations may adversely affect our business.

We and our portfolio companies are subject to regulation by laws at the U.S. federal, state and local levels. These laws and regulations, as well as their interpretation, may change from time to time, and new laws, regulations and interpretations may also come into effect. Any such new or changed laws or regulations could have a material adverse effect on our business. In particular, on July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, or Dodd-Frank, became law. The scope of Dodd-Frank impacts many aspects of the financial services industry, and it requires the development and adoption of many implementing regulations over the next several months and years. The effects of Dodd-Frank on the financial services industry will depend, in large part, upon the extent to which regulators exercise the authority granted to them and the approaches taken in implementing regulations. The likely impact of Dodd-Frank cannot be ascertained with any degree of certainty.

Many of our portfolio investments are recorded at fair value as determined in good faith by our board of directors and

Additionally, changes to the laws and regulations governing our operations, including those associated with RICs, may cause us to alter our investment strategy in order to avail ourselves of new or different opportunities or result in the imposition of corporate-level taxes on us. Such changes could result in material differences to our strategies and plans and may shift our investment focus from the areas of expertise of GC Advisors to other types of investments in which GC Advisors may have little or no expertise or experience. Any such changes, if they occur, could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and the value of your investment. If we invest in commodity interests in the future, GC Advisors may determine not to use investment strategies that trigger additional Commodities Futures Trading Commission,

TABLE OF CONTENTS

or CFTC, regulation or may determine to operate subject to CFTC regulation, if applicable. If we or GC Advisors were to operate subject to CFTC regulation, we may incur additional expenses and would be subject to additional regulation.

Our board of directors may change our investment objective, operating policies and strategies without prior notice or stockholder approval.

Our board of directors has the authority, except as otherwise provided in the 1940 Act, to modify or waive our investment objective and certain of our operating policies and strategies without prior notice and without stockholder approval. However, absent stockholder approval, we may not change the nature of our business so as to cease to be, or withdraw our election as, a business development company. Under Delaware law, we also cannot be dissolved without prior stockholder approval. We cannot predict the effect any changes to our current investment objective, operating policies and strategies would have on our business, operating results and the price value of our common stock. Nevertheless, any such changes could adversely affect our business and impair our ability to make distributions.

Provisions of the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware and our certificate of incorporation and bylaws could deter takeover attempts and have an adverse effect on the price of our securities.

The General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware, or the DGCL, contains provisions that may discourage, delay or make more difficult a change in control of us or the removal of our directors. Our certificate of incorporation and bylaws contain provisions that limit liability and provide for indemnification of our directors and officers. These provisions and others also may have the effect of deterring hostile takeovers or delaying changes in control or management. We are subject to Section 203 of the DGCL, the application of which is subject to any applicable requirements of the 1940 Act. This section generally prohibits us from engaging in mergers and other business combinations with stockholders that beneficially own 15% or more of our voting stock, or with their affiliates, unless our directors or stockholders approve the business combination in the prescribed manner. If our board of directors does not approve a business combination, Section 203 of the DGCL may discourage third parties from trying to acquire control of us and increase the difficulty of consummating such an offer.

We have also adopted measures that may make it difficult for a third party to obtain control of us, including provisions of our certificate of incorporation classifying our board of directors in three classes serving staggered three-year terms, and provisions of our certificate of incorporation authorizing our board of directors to classify or reclassify shares of our preferred stock in one or more classes or series, to cause the issuance of additional shares of our stock, and to amend our certificate of incorporation, without stockholder approval, to increase or decrease the number of shares of stock that we have authority to issue. These provisions, as well as other provisions of our certificate of incorporation and bylaws, may delay, defer or prevent a transaction or a change in control that might otherwise be in the best interests of our securityholders.

GC Advisors can resign on 60 days notice, and we may not be able to find a suitable replacement within that time, resulting in a disruption in our operations that could adversely affect our financial condition, business and results of operations.

GC Advisors has the right to resign under the Investment Advisory Agreement at any time upon not less than 60 days written notice, whether we have found a replacement or not. If GC Advisors resigns, we may not be able to find a new investment adviser or hire internal management with similar expertise and ability to provide the same or equivalent services on acceptable terms within 60 days, or at all. If we are unable to do so quickly, our operations are likely to experience a disruption, our financial condition, business and results of operations as well as our ability to pay distributions are likely to be adversely affected and the market price of our shares may decline. In addition, the coordination of our internal management and investment activities is likely to suffer if we are unable to identify and reach an agreement with a single institution or group of executives having the expertise possessed by GC Advisors and its affiliates. Even if we are able to retain comparable management, whether internal or external, the integration of such management and their lack of familiarity with our investment objective may result in additional costs and time delays that may adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

The Administrator can resign on 60 days notice, and we may not be able to find a suitable replacement, resulting in a disruption in our operations that could adversely affect our financial condition, business and results of operations.

The Administrator has the right to resign under the Administration Agreement at any time upon not less than 60 days written notice, whether we have found a replacement or not. If the Administrator resigns, we may not be able to find a new administrator or hire internal management with similar expertise and ability to provide the same or equivalent services on acceptable terms, or at all. If we are unable to do so quickly, our operations are likely to experience a disruption, our financial condition, business and results of operations as well as our ability to pay distributions are likely to be adversely affected and the market price of our shares may decline. In addition, the coordination of our internal management and administrative activities is likely to suffer if we are unable to identify and reach an agreement with a service provider or individuals with the expertise possessed by the Administrator. Even if we are able to retain a comparable service provider or individuals to perform such services, whether internal or external, their integration into our business and lack of familiarity with our investment objective may result in additional costs and time delays that may adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

We incur significant costs as a result of being a publicly traded company.

As a publicly traded company, we incur legal, accounting and other expenses, including costs associated with the periodic reporting requirements applicable to a company whose securities are registered under the Exchange Act, as well as additional corporate governance requirements, including requirements under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, or the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, and other rules implemented by the SEC.

Our compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act involves significant expenditures, and non-compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act would adversely affect us and the market price of our common stock.

Under current SEC rules, we are required to report on our internal control over financial reporting pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and related rules and regulations of the SEC. As a result, we incur expenses that may negatively impact our financial performance and our ability to make distributions. This process also results in a diversion of management's time and attention. We cannot ensure that our evaluation, testing and remediation process is effective or that our internal control over financial reporting will be effective. In the event that we are unable to maintain compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and related rules, we and the market price of our securities would be adversely affected.

We are highly dependent on information systems and systems failures could significantly disrupt our business, which may, in turn, negatively affect the market price of our common stock and our ability to pay dividends and other distributions.

Our business depends on the communications and information systems of GC Advisors and its affiliates. Any failure or interruption of such systems could cause delays or other problems in our activities. This, in turn, could have a

The Administrator can resign on 60 days notice, and we may not be able to find a suitable replacement, resulting in

material adverse effect on our operating results and negatively affect the market price of our securities and our ability to pay dividends and other distributions to our securityholders.

Risks Relating to Our Investments

Economic recessions or downturns could impair our portfolio companies and harm our operating results.

Many of our portfolio companies are susceptible to economic slowdowns or recessions and may be unable to repay our loans during these periods. Therefore, our non-performing assets are likely to increase and the value of our portfolio is likely to decrease during these periods. Adverse economic conditions may decrease the value of collateral securing some of our loans and the value of our equity investments. Economic slowdowns or recessions could lead to financial losses in our portfolio and a decrease in revenues, net income and assets. Unfavorable economic conditions also could increase our funding costs, limit our access to the capital markets or result in a decision by lenders not to extend credit to us. These events could prevent us from increasing our investments and harm our operating results.

A portfolio company's failure to satisfy financial or operating covenants imposed by us or other lenders could lead to defaults and, potentially, termination of its loans and foreclosure on its assets, which could

TABLE OF CONTENTS

trigger cross-defaults under other agreements and jeopardize our portfolio company's ability to meet its obligations under the debt securities that we hold. We may incur expenses to the extent necessary to seek recovery upon default or to negotiate new terms with a defaulting portfolio company. In addition, lenders in certain cases can be subject to lender liability claims for actions taken by them when they become too involved in the borrower's business or exercise control over a borrower. It is possible that we could become subject to a lender's liability claim, including as a result of actions taken if we render significant managerial assistance to the borrower. Furthermore, if one of our portfolio companies were to file for bankruptcy protection, even though we may have structured our investment as senior secured debt, depending on the facts and circumstances, including the extent to which we provided managerial assistance to that portfolio company, a bankruptcy court might re-characterize our debt holding and subordinate all or a portion of our claim to claims of other creditors.

Global capital markets could enter a period of severe disruption and instability. These conditions have historically affected and could again materially and adversely affect debt and equity capital markets in the United States and around the world and our business.

The U.S. and global capital markets experienced extreme volatility and disruption during the economic downturn that began in mid-2007, and the U.S. economy was in a recession for several consecutive calendar quarters during the same period. This economic decline materially and adversely affected the broader financial and credit markets and has reduced the availability of debt and equity capital for the market as a whole and to financial firms, in particular. At various times, these disruptions resulted in a lack of liquidity in parts of the debt capital markets, significant write-offs in the financial services sector relating to subprime mortgages and the repricing of credit risk in the broadly syndicated market. These disruptions in the capital markets also increased the spread between the yields realized on risk-free and higher risk securities and reduced the availability of debt and equity capital for the market as a whole and financial services firms in particular. These conditions may reoccur for a prolonged period of time again or materially worsen in the future, including as a result of the U.S. government spending cuts that took effect March 1, 2013, the recent government shutdown in October 2013, or any further spending cuts or shutdowns. Unfavorable economic conditions, including future recessions, also could increase our funding costs, limit our access to the capital markets or result in a decision by lenders not to extend credit to us. We may in the future have difficulty accessing debt and equity capital on attractive terms, or at all, and a severe disruption and instability in the global financial markets or deteriorations in credit and financing conditions may cause us to reduce the volume of loans we originate and/or fund, adversely affect the value of our portfolio investments or otherwise have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Our debt investments may be risky and we could lose all or part of our investment.

The debt that we invest in is typically not initially rated by any rating agency, but we believe that if such investments were rated, they would be below investment grade (rated lower than Baa3 by Moody's Investors Service, lower than BBB- by Fitch Ratings or lower than BBB- by Standard & Poor's Ratings Services), which under the guidelines established by these entities is an indication of having predominantly speculative characteristics with respect to the issuer's capacity to pay interest and repay principal. Bonds that are rated below investment grade are sometimes referred to as high yield bonds or junk bonds. Therefore, our investments may result in an above average amount of risk and volatility or loss of principal. To the extent we make investments with a deferred interest feature such as market discount, debt instruments with PIK interest, preferred stock with PIK dividends and zero coupon securities, the higher interest rates on these investments may reflect the payment deferral and may reflect an increased credit risk

associated with such instruments.

Our investments in leveraged portfolio companies may be risky, and you could lose all or part of your investment.

Investment in leveraged companies involves a number of significant risks. Leveraged companies in which we invest may have limited financial resources and may be unable to meet their obligations under their debt securities that we hold. Such developments may be accompanied by a deterioration in the value of any collateral and a reduction in the likelihood of our realizing any guarantees that we may have obtained in

31

TABLE OF CONTENTS

connection with our investment. Smaller leveraged companies also may have less predictable operating results and may require substantial additional capital to support their operations, finance their expansion or maintain their competitive position.

Our investments in private and middle-market portfolio companies are risky, and you could lose all or part of your investment.

Investment in private and middle market companies involves a number of significant risks. Generally, little public information exists about these companies, and we rely on the ability of GC Advisors' investment professionals to obtain adequate information to evaluate the potential returns from investing in these companies. If GC Advisors is unable to uncover all material information about these companies, it may not make a fully informed investment decision, and we may lose money on our investments. Middle market companies generally have less predictable operating results and may require substantial additional capital to support their operations, finance expansion or maintain their competitive position. Middle market companies may have limited financial resources, may have difficulty accessing the capital markets to meet future capital needs and may be unable to meet their obligations under their debt securities that we hold, which may be accompanied by a deterioration in the value of any collateral and a reduction in the likelihood of our realizing any guarantees we may have obtained in connection with our investment.

In addition, such companies typically have shorter operating histories, narrower product lines and smaller market shares than larger businesses, which tend to render them more vulnerable to competitors' actions and market conditions, as well as general economic downturns. Additionally, middle market companies are more likely to depend on the management talents and efforts of a small group of persons. Therefore, the death, disability, resignation or termination of one or more of these persons could have a material adverse impact on our portfolio company and, in turn, on us. Middle market companies also may be parties to litigation and may be engaged in rapidly changing businesses with products subject to a substantial risk of obsolescence. In addition, our executive officers, directors and GC Advisors may, in the ordinary course of business, be named as defendants in litigation arising from our investments in the portfolio companies.

The lack of liquidity in our investments may adversely affect our business.

We may invest all of our assets in illiquid securities, and a substantial portion of our investments in leveraged companies are and will be subject to legal and other restrictions on resale or will otherwise be less liquid than more broadly traded public securities. The illiquidity of these investments may make it difficult for us to sell such investments if the need arises. In addition, if we are required to liquidate all or a portion of our portfolio quickly, we may realize significantly less than the value at which we have previously recorded our investments. We may also face other restrictions on our ability to liquidate an investment in a portfolio company to the extent that we, GC Advisors, Golub Capital or any of its affiliates have material nonpublic information regarding such portfolio company.

Price declines and illiquidity in the corporate debt markets may adversely affect the fair value of our portfolio investments, reducing our net asset value through increased net unrealized depreciation.

As a business development company, we are required to carry our investments at market value or, if no market value is ascertainable, at fair value as determined in good faith by our board of directors. As part of the valuation process, we may take into account the following types of factors, if relevant, in determining the fair value of our investments:

a comparison of the portfolio company's securities to publicly traded securities;

Our investments in leveraged portfolio companies may be risky, and you could lose all or part of your investment.

the enterprise value of the portfolio company;
the nature and realizable value of any collateral;
the portfolio company's ability to make payments and its earnings and discounted cash flow;
the markets in which the portfolio company does business; and
changes in the interest rate environment and the credit markets generally that may affect the price at which similar investments may be made in the future and other relevant factors.

32

TABLE OF CONTENTS

When an external event such as a purchase transaction, public offering or subsequent equity sale occurs, we use the pricing indicated by the external event to corroborate our valuation. We record decreases in the market values or fair values of our investments as unrealized depreciation. Declines in prices and liquidity in the corporate debt markets may result in significant net unrealized depreciation in our portfolio. The effect of all of these factors on our portfolio may reduce our net asset value by increasing net unrealized depreciation in our portfolio. Depending on market conditions, we could incur substantial realized losses and may suffer additional unrealized losses in future periods, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Our portfolio companies may prepay loans, which may reduce our yields if capital returned cannot be invested in transactions with equal or greater expected yields.

The loans in our investment portfolio may be prepaid at any time. It is not clear at this time when each loan may be prepaid. Whether a loan is prepaid will depend both on the continued positive performance of the portfolio company and the existence of favorable financing market conditions that allow such company the ability to replace existing financing with less expensive capital. As market conditions change, we do not know when, and if, prepayment may be possible for each portfolio company. In some cases, the prepayment of a loan may reduce our achievable yield if the capital returned cannot be invested in transactions with equal or greater expected yields, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our portfolio companies may be unable to repay or refinance outstanding principal on their loans at or prior to maturity, and rising interests rates may make it more difficult for portfolio companies to make periodic payments on their loans.

Our portfolio companies may be unable to repay or refinance outstanding principal on their loans at or prior to maturity. This risk and the risk of default is increased to the extent that the loan documents provide for moderate or no loan amortization in the early years of the loan, with all or the majority of the amortization deferred until loan maturity. By deferring amortization until loan maturity, the risk to us may increase because a portfolio company may be unable to satisfy its obligations to us in full at maturity, whether through refinancing, cash on hand or a combination of both. Any failure to collect on a loan in full, whether at maturity or through attempts to foreclose on collateral securing the portfolio's companies borrowings, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. In addition, if general interest rates rise, there is a risk that our portfolio companies will be unable to pay escalating interest amounts, which could result in a default under their loan documents with us. Rising interests rates could also cause portfolio companies to shift cash from other productive uses to the payment of interest, which may have a material adverse effect on their business and operations and could, over time, lead to increased defaults. Any failure of one or more portfolio companies to repay or refinance its debt at or prior to maturity or the inability of one or more portfolio companies to make ongoing payments following an increase in contractual interest rates could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

We have not yet identified the portfolio company investments we will acquire.

While we currently hold a portfolio of investments, we have not yet identified additional potential investments for our portfolio that we will acquire with the proceeds of any offering of securities pursuant to this prospectus. Privately negotiated investments in illiquid securities or private middle-market companies require substantial due diligence and

Our portfolio companies may prepay loans, which may reduce our yields if capital returned cannot be invested in tra

structuring, and we cannot assure you that we will achieve our anticipated investment pace. As a result, you will be unable to evaluate any future portfolio company investments prior to purchasing our shares of common stock. Additionally, GC Advisors selects all of our investments, and our stockholders will have no input with respect to such investment decisions. These factors increase the uncertainty, and thus the risk, of investing in our securities.

We anticipate that we will use substantially all of the net proceeds of any offering of our securities within approximately six months following the completion of any offering of our securities, depending on the availability of appropriate investment opportunities consistent with our investment objectives and market conditions. Until such appropriate investment opportunities can be found, we will invest the net proceeds

TABLE OF CONTENTS

primarily in cash, cash equivalents, U.S. government securities and high-quality debt investments that mature in one year or less from the date of investment. We expect these temporary investments to earn yields substantially lower than the income that we expect to receive in respect of investments in senior secured, one stop, second lien and subordinated loans and warrants and minority equity securities. As a result, any distributions we make during this period may be substantially smaller than the distributions that we expect to pay when our portfolio is fully invested.

We are a non-diversified investment company within the meaning of the 1940 Act, and therefore we are not limited with respect to the proportion of our assets that may be invested in securities of a single issuer.

We are classified as a non-diversified investment company within the meaning of the 1940 Act, which means that we are not limited by the 1940 Act with respect to the proportion of our assets that we may invest in securities of a single issuer. To the extent that we assume large positions in the securities of a small number of issuers, our net asset value may fluctuate to a greater extent than that of a diversified investment company as a result of changes in the financial condition or the market's assessment of the issuer. We may also be more susceptible to any single economic or regulatory occurrence than a diversified investment company. Beyond our asset diversification requirements as a RIC under the Code, we do not have fixed guidelines for diversification, and our investments could be concentrated in relatively few portfolio companies.

Our portfolio may be concentrated in a limited number of portfolio companies and industries, which will subject us to a risk of significant loss if any of these companies defaults on its obligations under any of its debt instruments or if there is a downturn in a particular industry.

Our portfolio may be concentrated in a limited number of portfolio companies and industries. As a result, the aggregate returns we realize may be significantly and adversely affected if a small number of investments perform poorly or if we need to write down the value of any one investment. Additionally, while we are not targeting any specific industries, our investments may be concentrated in relatively few industries. As a result, a downturn in any particular industry in which we are invested could also significantly impact the aggregate returns we realize.

We may hold the debt securities of leveraged companies that may, due to the significant volatility of such companies, enter into bankruptcy proceedings.

Leveraged companies may experience bankruptcy or similar financial distress. The bankruptcy process has a number of significant inherent risks. Many events in a bankruptcy proceeding are the product of contested matters and adversary proceedings and are beyond the control of the creditors. A bankruptcy filing by an issuer may adversely and permanently affect the issuer. If the proceeding is converted to a liquidation, the value of the issuer may not equal the liquidation value that was believed to exist at the time of the investment. The duration of a bankruptcy proceeding is also difficult to predict, and a creditor's return on investment can be adversely affected by delays until the plan of reorganization or liquidation ultimately becomes effective. The administrative costs of a bankruptcy proceeding are frequently high and would be paid out of the debtor's estate prior to any return to creditors. Because the standards for classification of claims under bankruptcy law are vague, our influence with respect to the class of securities or other obligations we own may be lost by increases in the number and amount of claims in the same class or by different classification and treatment. In the early stages of the bankruptcy process, it is often difficult to estimate the extent of, or even to identify, any contingent claims that might be made. In addition, certain claims that have priority by law (for

We are a non-diversified investment company within the meaning of the 1940 Act, and therefore we are not limited

example, claims for taxes) may be substantial.

Our failure to make follow-on investments in our portfolio companies could impair the value of our portfolio.

Following an initial investment in a portfolio company, we may make additional investments in that portfolio company as follow-on investments, in seeking to:

increase or maintain in whole or in part our position as a creditor or equity ownership percentage in a portfolio company;

exercise warrants, options or convertible securities that were acquired in the original or subsequent financing; or

34

TABLE OF CONTENTS

preserve or enhance the value of our investment.

We have discretion to make follow-on investments, subject to the availability of capital resources. Failure on our part to make follow-on investments may, in some circumstances, jeopardize the continued viability of a portfolio company and our initial investment, or may result in a missed opportunity for us to increase our participation in a successful portfolio company. Even if we have sufficient capital to make a desired follow-on investment, we may elect not to make a follow-on investment because we may not want to increase our level of risk, because we prefer other opportunities or because of regulatory or other considerations. Our ability to make follow-on investments may also be limited by GC Advisors' allocation policy.

Because we generally do not hold controlling equity interests in our portfolio companies, we may not be able to exercise control over our portfolio companies or to prevent decisions by management of our portfolio companies that could decrease the value of our investments.

To the extent we do not hold controlling equity positions in our portfolio companies, we are subject to the risk that a portfolio company may make business decisions with which we disagree, and that the management and/or stockholders of a portfolio company may take risks or otherwise act in ways that are adverse to our interests. Due to the lack of liquidity of the debt and equity investments that we typically hold in our portfolio companies, we may not be able to dispose of our investments in the event we disagree with the actions of a portfolio company and may therefore suffer a decrease in the value of our investments.

Defaults by our portfolio companies will harm our operating results.

A portfolio company's failure to satisfy financial or operating covenants imposed by us or other lenders could lead to defaults and, potentially, termination of its loans and foreclosure on its assets. This could trigger cross-defaults under other agreements and jeopardize such portfolio company's ability to meet its obligations under the debt or equity securities that we hold. We may incur expenses to the extent necessary to seek recovery upon default or to negotiate new terms, which may include the waiver of certain financial covenants, with a defaulting portfolio company.

Our portfolio companies may incur debt that ranks equally with, or senior to, our investments in such companies.

We have invested a portion of our capital in second lien and subordinated loans issued by our portfolio companies and intend to continue to do so in the future. The portfolio companies usually have, or may be permitted to incur, other debt that ranks equally with, or senior to, the debt securities in which we invest. By their terms, such debt instruments may provide that the holders are entitled to receive payment of interest or principal on or before the dates on which we are entitled to receive payments in respect of the debt securities in which we invest. Also, in the event of insolvency, liquidation, dissolution, reorganization or bankruptcy of a portfolio company, holders of debt instruments ranking senior to our investment in that portfolio company would typically be entitled to receive payment in full before we receive any distribution in respect of our investment. After repaying senior creditors, the portfolio company may not have any remaining assets to use for repaying its obligation to us. In the case of debt ranking equally with debt securities in which we invest, we would have to share any distributions on an equal and ratable basis with other creditors holding such debt in the event of an insolvency, liquidation, dissolution, reorganization or bankruptcy of the relevant portfolio company.

Additionally, certain loans that we make to portfolio companies may be secured on a second priority basis by the same collateral securing senior secured debt of such companies. The first priority liens on the collateral will secure the portfolio company's obligations under any outstanding senior debt and may secure certain other future debt that may be permitted to be incurred by the portfolio company under the agreements governing the loans. The holders of obligations secured by first priority liens on the collateral will generally control the liquidation of, and be entitled to receive proceeds from, any realization of the collateral to repay their obligations in full before us. In addition, the value of the collateral in the event of liquidation will depend on market and economic conditions, the availability of buyers and other factors. There can be no assurance that the proceeds, if any, from sales of all of the collateral would be sufficient to satisfy the loan obligations secured by the second priority liens after payment in full of all obligations secured by the first

TABLE OF CONTENTS

priority liens on the collateral. If such proceeds were not sufficient to repay amounts outstanding under the loan obligations secured by the second priority liens, then we, to the extent not repaid from the proceeds of the sale of the collateral, will only have an unsecured claim against the portfolio company's remaining assets, if any.

We have made in the past, and may make in the future, unsecured loans to portfolio companies, meaning that such loans will not benefit from any interest in collateral of such companies. Liens on a portfolio company's collateral, if any, will secure the portfolio company's obligations under its outstanding secured debt and may secure certain future debt that is permitted to be incurred by the portfolio company under its secured loan agreements. The holders of obligations secured by such liens will generally control the liquidation of, and be entitled to receive proceeds from, any realization of such collateral to repay their obligations in full before us. In addition, the value of such collateral in the event of liquidation will depend on market and economic conditions, the availability of buyers and other factors.

There can be no assurance that the proceeds, if any, from sales of such collateral would be sufficient to satisfy our unsecured loan obligations after payment in full of all secured loan obligations. If such proceeds were not sufficient to repay the outstanding secured loan obligations, then our unsecured claims would rank equally with the unpaid portion of such secured creditors' claims against the portfolio company's remaining assets, if any.

The rights we may have with respect to the collateral securing the loans we make to our portfolio companies with senior debt outstanding may also be limited pursuant to the terms of one or more intercreditor agreements that we enter into with the holders of such senior debt. Under a typical intercreditor agreement, at any time that obligations that have the benefit of the first priority liens are outstanding, any of the following actions that may be taken in respect of the collateral will be at the direction of the holders of the obligations secured by the first priority liens:

- the ability to cause the commencement of enforcement proceedings against the collateral;
- the ability to control the conduct of such proceedings;
- the approval of amendments to collateral documents;
- releases of liens on the collateral; and
- waivers of past defaults under collateral documents.

We may not have the ability to control or direct such actions, even if our rights are adversely affected.

If we make subordinated investments, the obligors or the portfolio companies may not generate sufficient cash flow to service their debt obligations to us.

We may make subordinated investments that rank below other obligations of the obligor in right of payment. Subordinated investments are subject to greater risk of default than senior obligations as a result of adverse changes in the financial condition of the obligor or in general economic conditions. If we make a subordinated investment in a portfolio company, the portfolio company may be highly leveraged, and its relatively high debt-to-equity ratio may create increased risks that its operations might not generate sufficient cash flow to service all of its debt obligations.

The disposition of our investments may result in contingent liabilities.

A significant portion of our investments involve private securities. In connection with the disposition of an investment in private securities, we may be required to make representations about the business and financial affairs of the portfolio company typical of those made in connection with the sale of a business. We may also be required to indemnify the purchasers of such investment to the extent that any such representations turn out to be inaccurate or with respect to potential liabilities. These arrangements may result in contingent liabilities that ultimately result in funding obligations that we must satisfy through our return of distributions previously made to us.

If we make subordinated investments, the obligors or the portfolio companies may not generate sufficient cash flow

TABLE OF CONTENTS

GC Advisors liability is limited, and we have agreed to indemnify GC Advisors against certain liabilities, which may lead GC Advisors to act in a riskier manner on our behalf than it would when acting for its own account.

Under the Investment Advisory Agreement and the collateral management agreement, GC Advisors does not assume any responsibility to us other than to render the services called for under those agreements, and it is not responsible for any action of our board of directors in following or declining to follow GC Advisors' advice or recommendations. Under the terms of the Investment Advisory Agreement and the collateral management agreement, GC Advisors, its officers, members, personnel, and any person controlling or controlled by GC Advisors are not liable to us, any subsidiary of ours, our directors, our stockholders or any subsidiary's stockholders or partners for acts or omissions performed in accordance with and pursuant to the Investment Advisory Agreement and the collateral management agreement, except those resulting from acts constituting gross negligence, willful misconduct, bad faith or reckless disregard of GC Advisors' duties under the Investment Advisory Agreement and the collateral management agreement.

In addition, we have agreed to indemnify GC Advisors and each of its officers, directors, members, managers and employees from and against any claims or liabilities, including reasonable legal fees and other expenses reasonably incurred, arising out of or in connection with our business and operations or any action taken or omitted on our behalf pursuant to authority granted by the Investment Advisory Agreement and the collateral management agreement, except where attributable to gross negligence, willful misconduct, bad faith or reckless disregard of such person's duties under the Investment Advisory Agreement and the collateral management agreement. These protections may lead GC Advisors to act in a riskier manner when acting on our behalf than it would when acting for its own account.

We may be subject to risks under hedging transactions and may become subject to risks if we invest in foreign securities.

Under the 1940 Act, a business development company may not acquire any asset other than assets of the type listed in the 1940 Act, which are referred to as qualifying assets, unless, at the time the acquisition is made, qualifying assets represent at least 70% of the company's total assets. In order for our investments to be classified as qualifying assets, among other requirements, such investments must be in issuers organized under the laws of, and which have their principal place of business in, any state of the United States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands or any other possession of the United States.

We may invest in non-U.S. companies, including emerging market issuers, to the limited extent such investments are permitted under the 1940 Act. We expect that these investments would focus on the same types of investments that we make in U.S. middle-market companies and accordingly would be complementary to our overall strategy and enhance the diversity of our holdings. Investing in securities of emerging market issuers involves many risks including economic, social, political, financial, tax and security conditions in the emerging market, potential inflationary economic environments, regulation by foreign governments, different accounting standards and political uncertainties. Economic, social, political, financial, tax and security conditions also could negatively affect the value of emerging market companies. These factors could include changes in the emerging market government's economic and fiscal policies, the possible imposition of, or changes in, currency exchange laws or other laws or restrictions applicable to the emerging market companies or investments in their securities and the possibility of fluctuations in the rate of exchange between currencies.

We have engaged in and, in the future, may engage in hedging transactions to the limited extent such transactions are permitted under the 1940 Act and applicable commodities laws. Engaging in hedging transactions or investing in foreign securities would entail additional risks to our stockholders. We could, for example, use instruments such as

GC Advisors liability is limited, and we have agreed to indemnify GC Advisors against certain liabilities, which may

interest rate swaps, caps, collars and floors and, if we were to invest in foreign securities, we could use instruments such as forward contracts or currency options and borrow under a credit facility in currencies selected to minimize our foreign currency exposure. In each such case, we generally would seek to hedge against fluctuations of the relative values of our portfolio positions from changes in market interest rates or currency exchange rates. Hedging against a decline in the values of our portfolio positions would not eliminate the possibility of fluctuations in the values of such positions or prevent losses if the values of the positions declined. However, such hedging could establish other positions designed to gain from those same developments, thereby offsetting the decline in the value of such portfolio positions.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Such hedging transactions could also limit the opportunity for gain if the values of the underlying portfolio positions increased. Moreover, it might not be possible to hedge against an exchange rate or interest rate fluctuation that was so generally anticipated that we would not be able to enter into a hedging transaction at an acceptable price. Use of a hedging transaction could involve counterparty credit risk.

While we may enter into hedging transactions to seek to reduce currency exchange rate and interest rate risks, unanticipated changes in currency exchange rates or interest rates could result in poorer overall investment performance than if we had not engaged in any such hedging transactions. In addition, the degree of correlation between price movements of the instruments used in a hedging strategy and price movements in the portfolio positions being hedged could vary. Moreover, for a variety of reasons, we might not seek to establish a perfect correlation between the hedging instruments and the portfolio holdings being hedged. Any such imperfect correlation could prevent us from achieving the intended hedge and expose us to risk of loss. In addition, it might not be possible to hedge fully or perfectly against currency fluctuations affecting the value of securities denominated in non-U.S. currencies because the value of those securities would likely fluctuate as a result of factors not related to currency fluctuations. Our ability to engage in hedging transactions may also be adversely affected by rules adopted by the CFTC.

We may not realize gains from our equity investments.

When we invest in one stop, second lien and subordinated loans, we may acquire warrants or other equity securities of portfolio companies as well. We may also invest in equity securities directly. To the extent we hold equity investments, we will attempt to dispose of them and realize gains upon our disposition of them. However, the equity interests we receive may not appreciate in value and may decline in value. As a result, we may not be able to realize gains from our equity interests, and any gains that we do realize on the disposition of any equity interests may not be sufficient to offset any other losses we experience.

Risks Relating to Offerings Pursuant to this Prospectus

Investing in our securities may involve an above average degree of risk.

The investments we make in accordance with our investment objective may result in a higher amount of risk than alternative investment options and a higher risk of volatility or loss of principal. Our investments in portfolio companies involve higher levels of risk, and therefore, an investment in our securities may not be suitable for someone with lower risk tolerance.

Shares of closed-end investment companies, including business development companies, often trade at a discount to their net asset value.

Shares of closed-end investment companies, including business development companies, may trade at a discount from net asset value. This characteristic of closed-end investment companies and business development companies is separate and distinct from the risk that our net asset value per share may decline. We cannot predict whether our common stock will trade at, above or below net asset value.

There is a risk that investors in our equity securities may not receive distributions or that our distributions may not grow over time and a portion of our distributions may be a return of capital.

We intend to make distributions on a quarterly basis to our stockholders out of assets legally available for distribution.

We cannot assure you that we will achieve investment results that will allow us to make a specified level of cash distributions or year-to-year increases in cash distributions. Our ability to pay distributions might be adversely affected by the impact of one or more of the risk factors described in this prospectus. Due to the asset coverage test applicable to us under the 1940 Act as a business development company, we may be limited in our ability to make distributions. If we declare a dividend and if more stockholders opt to receive cash distributions rather than participate in our dividend reinvestment plan, we may be forced to sell some of our investments in order to make cash dividend payments.

The market price of our securities may fluctuate significantly.

The market price and liquidity of the market for our securities may be significantly affected by numerous factors, some of which are beyond our control and may not be directly related to our operating performance. These factors include:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

significant volatility in the market price and trading volume of securities of business development companies or other companies in our sector, which are not necessarily related to the operating performance of the companies; changes in regulatory policies, accounting pronouncements or tax guidelines, particularly with respect to RICs and business development companies;

loss of our qualification as a RIC or business development company;

changes in earnings or variations in operating results;

changes in the value of our portfolio investments;

changes in accounting guidelines governing valuation of our investments;

any shortfall in revenue or net income or any increase in losses from levels expected by investors or securities analysts;

departure of GC Advisors or any of its affiliates key personnel;

operating performance of companies comparable to us;

general economic trends and other external factors; and

loss of a major funding source.

If we issue preferred stock, debt securities or convertible debt securities, the net asset value and market value of our common stock may become more volatile.

We cannot assure you that the issuance of preferred stock and/or debt securities would result in a higher yield or return to the holders of our common stock. The issuance of preferred stock, debt securities or convertible debt would likely cause the net asset value and market value of our common stock to become more volatile. If the dividend rate on the preferred stock, or the interest rate on the debt securities, were to approach the net rate of return on our investment portfolio, the benefit of leverage to the holders of our common stock would be reduced. If the dividend rate on the preferred stock, or the interest rate on the debt securities, were to exceed the net rate of return on our portfolio, the use of leverage would result in a lower rate of return to the holders of common stock than if we had not issued the preferred stock or debt securities. Any decline in the net asset value of our investment would be borne entirely by the holders of our common stock. Therefore, if the market value of our portfolio were to decline, the leverage would result in a greater decrease in net asset value to the holders of our common stock than if we were not leveraged through the issuance of preferred stock. This decline in net asset value would also tend to cause a greater decline in the market price for our common stock.

There is also a risk that, in the event of a sharp decline in the value of our net assets, we would be in danger of failing to maintain required asset coverage ratios which may be required by the preferred stock, debt securities, convertible debt or units or of a downgrade in the ratings of the preferred stock, debt securities, convertible debt or units or our current investment income might not be sufficient to meet the dividend requirements on the preferred stock or the interest payments on the debt securities. In order to counteract such an event, we might need to liquidate investments in order to fund redemption of some or all of the preferred stock, debt securities or convertible debt. In addition, we would pay (and the holders of our common stock would bear) all costs and expenses relating to the issuance and ongoing maintenance of the preferred stock, debt securities, convertible debt or any combination of these securities. Holders of preferred stock, debt securities or convertible debt may have different interests than holders of common stock and may at times have disproportionate influence over our affairs.

We are a holding company and depend on payments from our subsidiaries in order to make payments on any debt securities that we may issue as well as to pay dividends on our common stock. Any debt securities that we issue will be structurally subordinated to the obligations of our subsidiaries.

We are a holding company and fund a majority of our investments through wholly-owned subsidiaries, and a majority of the assets that we hold directly are the equity interests in such subsidiaries, including the Subordinated Notes. We depend upon the cash flow from our subsidiaries and the receipt of funds from them

TABLE OF CONTENTS

in the form of payments on the Subordinated Notes, dividends, and other distributions, any of which may be subject to restriction or limitations based on the organizational documents of the subsidiaries and the agreements governing the debt of any such subsidiary. In addition, because we are a holding company, any debt securities that we issue will be structurally subordinated to the obligations of our subsidiaries. In the event that one of our subsidiaries becomes insolvent, liquidates, reorganizes, dissolves or otherwise winds up, its assets will be used first to satisfy the claims of its creditors. Consequently, any claim by us or our creditors, including holders of any debt securities that we may issue, against any subsidiary will be structurally subordinated to all of the claims of the creditors of such subsidiary. We cannot assure security holders that they will receive any payments required to be made under the terms of any debt securities that we may issue, dividends or other distributions.

Holders of any preferred stock that we may issue will have the right to elect members of the board of directors and have class voting rights on certain matters.

The 1940 Act requires that holders of shares of preferred stock must be entitled as a class to elect two directors at all times and to elect a majority of the directors if dividends on such preferred stock are in arrears by two years or more, until such arrearage is eliminated. In addition, certain matters under the 1940 Act require the separate vote of the holders of any issued and outstanding preferred stock, including changes in fundamental investment restrictions and conversion to open-end status and, accordingly, preferred stockholders could veto any such changes. Restrictions imposed on the declarations and payment of dividends or other distributions to the holders of our common stock and preferred stock, both by the 1940 Act and by requirements imposed by rating agencies, might impair our ability to maintain our qualification as a RIC for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

Your interest in us may be diluted if you do not fully exercise your subscription rights in any rights offering. In addition, if the subscription price is less than our net asset value per share, then you will experience an immediate dilution of the aggregate net asset value of your shares.

In the event we issue subscription rights, stockholders who do not fully exercise their subscription rights should expect that they will, at the completion of a rights offering pursuant to this prospectus, own a smaller proportional interest in us than would otherwise be the case if they fully exercised their rights. We cannot state precisely the amount of any such dilution in share ownership because we do not know at this time what proportion of the shares will be purchased as a result of such rights offering.

In addition, if the subscription price is less than the net asset value per share of our common stock, then our stockholders would experience an immediate dilution of the aggregate net asset value of their shares as a result of the offering. The amount of any decrease in net asset value is not predictable because it is not known at this time what the subscription price and net asset value per share will be on the expiration date of a rights offering or what proportion of the shares will be purchased as a result of such rights offering. Such dilution could be substantial.

These dilutive effects may be exacerbated if we were to conduct multiple subscription rights offerings, particularly if such offerings were to occur over a short period of time. In addition, subscription rights offerings and the prospect of future subscription rights offerings may create downward pressure on the secondary market price of our common stock due to the potential for the issuance of shares at a price below our net asset value, without a corresponding change to our net asset value.

Our stockholders will experience dilution in their ownership percentage if they do not participate in our dividend reinvestment plan.

All dividends declared in cash payable to stockholders that are participants in our dividend reinvestment plan are automatically reinvested in shares of our common stock. As a result, our stockholders that do not participate in our dividend reinvestment plan will experience dilution in their ownership percentage of our common stock over time.

The trading market or market value of our publicly issued debt securities may fluctuate.

Our publicly issued debt securities may or may not have an established trading market. We cannot assure you that a trading market for our publicly issued debt securities will ever develop or be maintained if

TABLE OF CONTENTS

developed. In addition to our creditworthiness, many factors may materially adversely affect the trading market for, and market value of, our publicly issued debt securities. These factors include, but are not limited to, the following:

- the time remaining to the maturity of these debt securities;
- the outstanding principal amount of debt securities with terms identical to these debt securities;
- the ratings assigned by national statistical ratings agencies;
- the general economic environment;
- the supply of debt securities trading in the secondary market, if any;
- the redemption or repayment features, if any, of these debt securities;
- the level, direction and volatility of market interest rates generally; and
- market rates of interest higher or lower than rates borne by the debt securities.

You should also be aware that there may be a limited number of buyers when you decide to sell your debt securities.

This too may materially adversely affect the market value of the debt securities or the trading market for the debt securities.

Terms relating to redemption may materially adversely affect your return on any debt securities that we may issue.

If your debt securities are redeemable at our option, we may choose to redeem your debt securities at times when prevailing interest rates are lower than the interest rate paid on your debt securities. In addition, if your debt securities are subject to mandatory redemption, we may be required to redeem your debt securities also at times when prevailing interest rates are lower than the interest rate paid on your debt securities. In this circumstance, you may not be able to reinvest the redemption proceeds in a comparable security at an effective interest rate as high as your debt securities being redeemed.

Our credit ratings may not reflect all risks of an investment in our debt securities.

Our credit ratings are an assessment by third parties of our ability to pay our obligations. Consequently, real or anticipated changes in our credit ratings will generally affect the market value of our debt securities. Our credit ratings, however, may not reflect the potential impact of risks related to market conditions generally or other factors discussed above on the market value of or trading market for the publicly issued debt securities.

Sales of substantial amounts of our common stock in the public market may have an adverse effect on the market price of our common stock.

Sales of substantial amounts of our common stock, or the availability of such common stock for sale, could adversely affect the prevailing market prices for our common stock. If this occurs and continues, it could impair our ability to raise additional capital through the sale of securities should we desire to do so.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Some of the statements in this prospectus constitute forward-looking statements, which relate to future events or our performance or financial condition. The forward-looking statements contained in this prospectus involve risks and uncertainties, including statements as to:

our future operating results;
our business prospects and the prospects of our portfolio companies;
the effect of investments that we expect to make;
our contractual arrangements and relationships with third parties;
actual and potential conflicts of interest with GC Advisors and other affiliates of Golub Capital;
the dependence of our future success on the general economy and its effect on the industries in which we invest;
the ability of our portfolio companies to achieve their objectives;
the use of borrowed money to finance a portion of our investments;
the adequacy of our financing sources and working capital;
the timing of cash flows, if any, from the operations of our portfolio companies;
the ability of GC Advisors to locate suitable investments for us and to monitor and administer our investments;
the ability of GC Advisors or its affiliates to attract and retain highly talented professionals;
our ability to qualify and maintain our qualification as a RIC and as a business development company;
the impact on our business of Dodd-Frank and the rules and regulations issued thereunder; and
the effect of changes to tax legislation and our tax position.

Such forward-looking statements may include statements preceded by, followed by or that otherwise include the words may, might, will, intend, should, could, can, would, expect, believe, estimate, or similar words. The forward-looking statements contained in this prospectus involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from those implied or expressed in the forward-looking statements for any reason, including the factors set forth as Risk Factors and elsewhere in this prospectus. anticipa

We have based the forward-looking statements included in this prospectus on information available to us on the date of this prospectus, and we assume no obligation to update any such forward-looking statements. Actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in our forward-looking statements and future results could differ materially from historical performance. Although we undertake no obligation to revise or update any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, you are advised to consult any additional disclosures that we may make directly to you or through reports that we have filed or in the future may file with the SEC, including annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K. This prospectus contains statistics and other data that have been obtained from or compiled from information made available by third-party service providers. We have not independently verified such statistics or data.

You should understand that, under Sections 27A(b)(2)(B) of the Securities Act and Section 21E(b)(2)(B) of the Exchange Act, the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 do not apply to statements made in connection with any offering of securities pursuant to this prospectus, any prospectus supplement or in periodic reports we file under the Exchange Act.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

USE OF PROCEEDS

Unless otherwise specified in a prospectus supplement, we intend to use all or substantially all of the net proceeds from the sale of our securities to invest in portfolio companies in accordance with our investment objective and strategies and for general corporate purposes. We expect that our new investments will consist primarily of senior secured, one stop, second lien and subordinated loans. We will also pay operating expenses, including management and administrative fees, and may pay other expenses such as due diligence expenses relating to potential new investments, from the net proceeds of any offering of our securities. We may also use a portion of the net proceeds from the sale of our securities to repay amounts outstanding under our Credit Facility, which bore an annual interest rate of 2.42% (*i.e.*, one-month LIBOR plus 2.25% per annum) on the outstanding balance as of \$166.0 million as of December 31, 2013 and matures on October 20, 2018, and our Revolver, which, at the election of Revolver Funding, bears an interest rate of either one-, two-, or three-month LIBOR plus 3.50% per annum or PrivateBank's prime rate plus 1.50% per annum through November 22, 2014 and either one-, two-, or three-month LIBOR plus 2.50% per annum or PrivateBank's prime rate plus 0.50% per annum for the period subsequent to November 22, 2014 and matures on November 22, 2019.

We anticipate that we will use substantially all of the net proceeds of an offering for the above purposes within approximately six months after the completion of any offering of our securities, depending on the availability of appropriate investment opportunities consistent with our investment objective and market conditions. We cannot assure you that we will achieve our targeted investment pace.

Until such appropriate investment opportunities can be found, we will invest the net proceeds of any offering of our securities primarily in cash, cash equivalents, U.S. government securities and high-quality debt investments that mature in one year or less from the date of investment. These temporary investments may have lower yields than our other investments and, accordingly, may result in lower distributions, if any, during such period. Our ability to achieve our investment objective may be limited to the extent that the net proceeds from an offering, pending full investment, are held in lower yielding interest-bearing deposits or other short-term instruments. See Regulation Temporary Investments for additional information about temporary investments we may make while waiting to make longer-term investments in pursuit of our investment objective.

TABLE OF CONTENTS**DISTRIBUTIONS**

To the extent that we have income available, we intend to make quarterly distributions to our stockholders. Our quarterly distributions, if any, are determined by our board of directors. Any distributions to our stockholders will be declared out of assets legally available for distribution.

We have elected to be treated, and intend to qualify annually, as a RIC under Subchapter M of the Code. To maintain RIC qualification, we must distribute at least 90% of our net ordinary income and net short-term capital gains in excess of our net long-term capital losses, if any. In addition, we are subject to ordinary income and capital gain distribution requirements under U.S. federal excise tax rules for each calendar year. If we do not meet the required distributions we will be subject to a 4% nondeductible federal excise tax on the undistributed amount.

The following table reflects the cash distributions, including dividends and returns of capital per share that we have paid on our common stock since October 1, 2011.

Record Dates	Payment Dates	Distributions Declared	
		Per Share	Dollar amount
(in thousands except per share data)			
Fiscal year ended September 30, 2012			
December 19, 2011	December 29, 2011	0.32	6,955
March 16, 2012	March 29, 2012	0.32	8,187
June 15, 2012	June 29, 2012	0.32	8,204
September 13, 2012	September 27, 2012	0.32	8,211
Fiscal year ending September 30, 2013			
December 14, 2012	December 28, 2012	0.32	9,146
March 14, 2013	March 28, 2013	0.32	10,793
June 13, 2013	June 27, 2013	0.32	12,722
September 13, 2013	September 27, 2013	0.32	12,733
Fiscal year ending September 30, 2014			
December 17, 2013	December 27, 2013	0.32	13,850
March 17, 2014 ⁽²⁾	March 28, 2014	0.32	13,864
Total ⁽¹⁾		\$ 3.20	\$ 104,665

(1) Includes a return of capital for tax purposes of approximately \$0.04 per share for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012 and \$0.11 per share for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013.

(2) Amount represents the projected distribution based upon 43,325,575 shares outstanding as of February 18, 2014.

We currently intend to distribute net capital gains (*i.e.*, net long-term capital gains in excess of net short-term capital losses), if any, at least annually out of the assets legally available for such distributions. However, we may decide in the future to retain such capital gains for investment and elect to treat such gains as deemed distributions to you. If this happens, you will be treated for U.S. federal income tax purposes as if you had received an actual distribution of the capital gains that we retain and reinvested the net after tax proceeds in us. In this situation, you would be eligible to claim a tax credit (or, in certain circumstances, a tax refund) equal to your allocable share of the tax we paid on the capital gains deemed distributed to you. See Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations Taxation of U.S. Stockholders. We cannot assure you that we will achieve results that will permit us to pay any cash distributions, and

if we issue senior securities, we will be prohibited from making distributions if doing so would cause us to fail to maintain the asset coverage ratios stipulated by the 1940 Act or if such distributions are limited by the terms of any of our borrowings.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Unless you elect to receive your distributions in cash, we intend to make such distributions in additional shares of our common stock under our dividend reinvestment plan. Although distributions paid in the form of additional shares of our common stock will generally be subject to U.S. federal, state and local taxes in the same manner as cash distributions, investors participating in our dividend reinvestment plan will not receive any corresponding cash distributions with which to pay any such applicable taxes. If you hold shares of our common stock in the name of a broker or financial intermediary, you should contact such broker or financial intermediary regarding your election to receive distributions in cash in lieu of shares of our common stock. Any distributions reinvested through the issuance of shares through our dividend reinvestment plan will increase our gross assets on which the base management fee and the incentive fee are determined and paid to GC Advisors. See Dividend Reinvestment Plan.

45

TABLE OF CONTENTS**SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA**

The following selected consolidated financial data of Golub Capital BDC as of and for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2013, 2012, 2011, 2010 and 2009 are derived from our consolidated financial statements that have been audited by McGladrey LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm. For the period prior to September 30, 2009, the financial data refers to the financial condition and results of operations of our predecessor, GCMF. Golub Capital BDC's consolidated financial statements for the three-month period ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 are unaudited. However, in our opinion, all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation have been made. Interim results may be subject to significant quarterly variations and may not be indicative of the results of operations to be expected for a full fiscal year. The financial data should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition, Results of Operations and Cash Flows included elsewhere in this prospectus.

	Golub Capital BDC ⁽¹⁾						GCMF
	As of and for the three months ended		As of and for the years ended				
	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012	September 30, 2013	September 30, 2012	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010	September 30, 2009
	(unaudited)(unaudited)(In thousands, except per share data)						
Statement of Operations							
Data:							
Total investment income	\$25,579	\$18,594	\$83,774	\$57,859	\$39,150	\$33,150	\$33,338
Base management fee	3,824	2,468	11,749	8,495	5,789	3,328	2,849
Incentive fee	3,032	2,394	9,844	6,228	348	55	
All other expenses	5,463	4,154	17,786	15,260	10,197	6,400	5,011
Net investment income	13,260	9,578	44,395	27,876	22,816	23,367	25,478
Net realized (loss) gain on investments and derivative instruments	(4,994)	94	(1,363)	(3,372)	2,037	(40)	(3,972)
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on investments, derivative instruments and secured borrowings	6,571	(353)	3,488	7,256	(3,514)	2,921	(1,489)
Net increase in net assets resulting from operations	14,837	9,319	46,520	31,760	21,339	26,248	20,017
Per share data:							
Net asset value	\$15.23	\$14.66	\$15.21	\$14.60	\$14.56	\$14.71	N/A ⁽²⁾
Net investment income	0.31	0.34	1.29	1.15	1.16	N/A ⁽²⁾	N/A ⁽²⁾
Net realized (loss) gain on investments and derivative instruments	(0.12)		(0.04)	(0.14)	0.10	N/A ⁽²⁾	N/A ⁽²⁾
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on investments, derivative instruments and secured	0.15	(0.01)	0.10	0.30	(0.18)	N/A ⁽²⁾	N/A ⁽²⁾

Edgar Filing: Golub Capital BDC, Inc. - Form N-2/A

borrowings							
Net increase in net assets resulting from operations	0.34	0.33	1.35	1.31	1.09	N/A ⁽²⁾	N/A ⁽²⁾
Per share distributions declared	0.32	0.32	1.28	1.28	1.27	0.55	N/A ⁽²⁾
From net investment income	N/A	N/A	1.15	1.24	1.19	0.49	N/A
From capital gains	N/A	N/A			0.09		N/A
From return of capital	N/A	N/A	0.13	0.04		0.06	N/A
Dollar amount of distributions declared	13,850	9,146	45,394	31,556	25,069	9,742	N/A
From net investment income	N/A	N/A	40,605	30,484	23,254	8,620	N/A
From capital gains	N/A	N/A			1,815		N/A
From return of capital	N/A	N/A	4,789	1,072		1,122	N/A

46

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Golub Capital BDC ⁽¹⁾										GCMF			
	As of and for the three months ended					As of and for the years ended								
	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012	September 30, 2013	September 30, 2012	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010	September 30, 2009			September 30, 2009				
	(unaudited)	(unaudited)	(In thousands, except per share data)											
Balance Sheet data at period end:														
Investments, at fair value	\$1,179,919	\$768,342	\$1,024,645	\$672,910	\$459,827	\$344,869	\$376,294							
Cash and cash equivalents	71,683	60,646	54,717	50,927	69,766	92,990	30,614							
Other assets	13,225	10,015	12,294	10,259	30,051	4,904	2,214							
Total assets	1,264,827	839,003	1,091,656	734,096	559,644	442,763	409,122							
Total debt	591,566	400,450	420,909	352,300	237,683	174,000	315,306							
Total liabilities	604,846	419,613	433,420	358,967	243,095	182,222	316,370							
Total net assets	659,981	419,390	658,236	375,129	316,549	260,541	92,752							
Other Data														
Weighted average annualized yield on income producing investments at fair value ⁽³⁾	8.6	%	9.7	%	9.1	%	9.3	%	8.6	%	8.4	%	8.1	%
Number of portfolio companies at period end	139		129		135		121		103		94		95	

(1) Includes the financial information of GCMF for the period prior to the BDC Conversion.

(2) Per share data are not provided as we did not have shares of common stock outstanding or an equivalent prior to the initial public offering on April 14, 2010.

Weighted average yield on income producing investments is computed by dividing (a) annualized interest income (3)(other than interest income resulting from amortization of fees and discounts) on accruing loans and debt securities by (b) total income producing investments at fair value.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION, RESULTS OF OPERATIONS AND CASH FLOWS

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows should be read in conjunction with Selected Consolidated Financial Data and the financial statements and the related notes thereto of us appearing elsewhere in this prospectus. The information in this section contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Please see Risk Factors and Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements for a discussion of the uncertainties, risks and assumptions associated with these statements.

Overview

We are an externally managed, closed-end, non-diversified management investment company that has elected to be regulated as a business development company under the 1940 Act. In addition, for U.S. federal income tax purposes, we have elected to be treated as a RIC under Subchapter M of the Code. As a business development company and a RIC, we are also subject to certain constraints, including limitations imposed by the 1940 Act and the Code. We were formed in November 2009 to continue and expand the business of our predecessor, GCMF, which commenced operations in July 2007, in making investments primarily in senior secured, one stop (a loan that combines characteristics of traditional first lien senior secured loans and second lien or subordinated loans), second lien and subordinated (a loan that ranks senior only to a borrower's equity securities and ranks junior to all of such borrower's other indebtedness in priority of payment) loans and warrants and minority equity securities of middle market companies that are, in most cases, sponsored by private equity firms.

Our shares are currently listed on The NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol GBDC.

Our investment objective is to maximize the total return to our stockholders in the form of current income and capital appreciation through debt and minority equity investments. We intend to achieve our investment objective by (1) accessing the established loan origination channels developed by Golub Capital, a leading lender to middle-market companies with over \$8.0 billion in capital under management, (2) selecting investments within our core middle-market company focus, (3) partnering with experienced sponsors, in many cases with whom we have invested alongside in the past, (4) implementing the disciplined underwriting standards of Golub Capital and (5) drawing upon the aggregate experience and resources of Golub Capital.

Our investment activities are managed by GC Advisors and supervised by our board of directors of which a majority of the members are independent of us.

Under the Investment Advisory Agreement, which was most recently reapproved by our board of directors in February 2014, we have agreed to pay GC Advisors an annual base management fee based on our average adjusted gross assets as well as an incentive fee based on our investment performance. We have also entered into the Administration Agreement with the Administrator, under which we have agreed to reimburse the Administrator for our allocable portion (subject to the review and approval of our independent directors) of overhead and other expenses incurred by the Administrator in performing its obligations under the Administration Agreement.

We seek to create a diverse portfolio that includes primarily senior secured, one stop, second lien and subordinated loans and warrants and minority equity securities by investing approximately \$5 to \$25 million of capital, on average,

in the securities of middle-market companies. We may also selectively invest more than \$25 million in some of our portfolio companies and generally expect that the size of our individual investments will vary proportionately with the size of our capital base.

As of December 31, 2013, our portfolio at fair value was comprised of 24.6% senior secured loans, 59.5% one stop loans, 9.4% second lien loans, 0.5% subordinated loans, 3.2% equity and 2.8% of investments in SLF. As of September 30, 2013, our portfolio at fair value was comprised of 28.9% senior secured loans, 54.1% one stop loans, 11.0% second lien loans, 2.2% subordinated loans, 3.3% equity and 0.5% of investments in SLF. As of September 30, 2012, our portfolio at fair value was comprised of 40.7% senior secured loans, 39.5% one stop loans, 6.6% second lien loans, 10.0% subordinated loans and 3.2% equity.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

As of December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011, we had debt and equity investments in 139, 135, 121, and 103 portfolio companies, respectively. For the three months ended December 31, 2013 and years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011, our income producing assets, which represented nearly 100% of our total portfolio, had a weighted average interest income (which excludes income resulting from amortization of fees and discounts) yield of 8.6%, 9.1%, 9.3%, and 8.6% and a weighted average investment income (which includes interest income and amortization of fees and discounts) yield of 9.3%, 10.1%, 10.2%, and 9.9%, respectively.

Revenues: We generate revenue in the form of interest income on debt investments and capital gains and distributions, if any, on portfolio company investments that we originate or acquire. Our debt investments, whether in the form of senior secured, one stop, second lien or subordinated loans, typically have a term of three to seven years and bear interest at a fixed or floating rate. In some instances, we receive payments on our debt investments based on scheduled amortization of the outstanding balances. In addition, we receive repayments of some of our debt investments prior to their scheduled maturity date. The frequency or volume of these repayments fluctuates significantly from period to period. Our portfolio activity also reflects the proceeds of sales of securities. In some cases, our investments provide for deferred interest payments or PIK interest. The principal amount of loans and any accrued but unpaid interest generally become due at the maturity date. In addition, we may generate revenue in the form of commitment, origination, amendment, structuring or due diligence fees, fees for providing managerial assistance and consulting fees. Loan origination fees, original issue discount and market discount or premium are capitalized, and we accrete or amortize such amounts as interest income. We record prepayment premiums on loans as interest income. When we receive partial principal payments on a loan in an amount that exceeds its amortized cost, we record the excess principal payment as interest income. Dividend income on preferred equity securities is recorded as dividend income on an accrual basis to the extent that such amounts are payable by the portfolio company and are expected to be collected. Dividend income on common equity securities is recorded on the record date for private portfolio companies or on the ex-dividend date for publicly traded portfolio companies. Distributions received from LLC, and limited partnership, or LP, investments are evaluated to determine if the distribution should be recorded as dividend income or a return of capital. Generally, we will not record distributions from equity investments in LLCs and LPs as dividend income unless there are sufficient accumulated tax-basis earnings and profits in the LLC or LP prior to the distribution. Distributions that are classified as a return of capital are recorded as a reduction in the cost basis of the investment.

We recognize realized gains or losses on investments based on the difference between the net proceeds from the disposition and the cost basis of the investment or derivative instrument, without regard to unrealized gains or losses previously recognized. We record current period changes in fair value of investments and derivative instruments that are measured at fair value as a component of the net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on investments in the consolidated statements of operations.

Partial loan sales: The Company follows the guidance in ASC Topic 860 *Transfers and Servicing*, or ASC Topic 860, when accounting for loan participations and other partial loan sales. Such guidance requires a participation or other partial loan sale to meet the definition of a participating interest, as defined in the guidance, in order for sale treatment to be allowed. Participations or other partial loan sales, which do not meet the definition of a participating interest, remain on our consolidated statements of assets and liabilities and the proceeds are recorded as a secured borrowing until the definition is met.

Expenses: Our primary operating expenses include the payment of fees to GC Advisors under the Investment Advisory Agreement, our allocable portion of overhead expenses under the Administration Agreement and other operating costs described below. Additionally, we pay interest expense on our outstanding debt. We bear all other out-of-pocket costs and expenses of our operations and transactions, including:

organizational expenses;

calculating our net asset value (including the cost and expenses of any independent valuation firm);

49

TABLE OF CONTENTS

fees and expenses incurred by GC Advisors payable to third parties, including agents, consultants or other advisors, in monitoring financial and legal affairs for us and in monitoring our investments and performing due diligence on our prospective portfolio companies or otherwise relating to, or associated with, evaluating and making investments, which fees and expenses may include, among other items, due diligence reports, appraisal reports, any studies that may be commissioned by GC Advisors and travel and lodging expenses;
interest payable on debt, if any, incurred to finance our investments and expenses related to unsuccessful portfolio acquisition efforts;

offerings of our common stock and other securities;

investment advisory and management fees;

administration fees and expenses, if any, payable under the Administration Agreement (including payments under the Administration Agreement between us and the Administrator based upon our allocable portion of the Administrator's overhead in performing its obligations under the Administration Agreement, including rent and the allocable portion of the cost of our chief compliance officer, chief financial officer and their respective staffs);

fees payable to third parties, including agents, consultants or other advisors, relating to, or associated with evaluating and making, investments in portfolio companies, including costs associated with meeting financial sponsors;

transfer agent, dividend agent and custodial fees and expenses;

U.S. federal and state registration and franchise fees;

all costs of registration and listing our shares on any securities exchange;

U.S. federal, state and local taxes;

independent directors' fees and expenses;

costs of preparing and filing reports or other documents required by the SEC or other regulators;

costs of any reports, proxy statements or other notices to stockholders, including printing costs;

costs associated with individual or group stockholders;

costs associated with Sarbanes-Oxley Act compliance;

our allocable portion of any fidelity bond, directors and officers/errors and omissions liability insurance, and any other insurance premiums;

direct costs and expenses of administration, including printing, mailing, long distance telephone, copying, secretarial and other staff, independent auditors and outside legal costs;

proxy voting expenses; and

all other expenses incurred by us or the Administrator in connection with administering our business.

During periods of asset growth, we expect our general and administrative expenses to be relatively stable or decline as a percentage of total assets and increase during periods of asset declines. Incentive fees, interest expenses and costs relating to future offerings of securities would be additive to the expenses described above.

GC Advisors, as collateral manager for the Securitization Issuer under the collateral management agreement, is entitled to receive an annual fee in an amount equal to 0.35% of the principal balance of the portfolio loans held by the Securitization Issuer at the beginning of the collection period relating to each payment date, which is payable in arrears on each payment date. This fee, which is less than the management fee payable under the Investment Advisory Agreement, is paid directly by the Securitization Issuer to GC Advisors and offset against such management fee. Accordingly, the 1.375% management fee paid by us to GC Advisors under the Investment Advisory Agreement on all of our assets, including those indirectly held through the Securitization Issuer, is reduced, on a dollar-for-dollar basis, by an amount equal to such 0.35%

TABLE OF CONTENTS

fee paid to GC Advisors by the Securitization Issuer. The term "collection period" refers to a quarterly period running from the day after the end of the prior collection period to the fifth business day of the calendar month in which a payment date occurs. This fee may be waived by the collateral manager. The collateral management agreement does not include any incentive fee payable to GC Advisors. In addition, the Securitization Issuer paid Wells Fargo Securities, LLC a structuring and placement fee for its services in connection with the initial structuring and subsequent amendment of the Debt Securitization. The Securitization Issuer also agreed to pay ongoing administrative expenses to the trustee, collateral manager, independent accountants, legal counsel, rating agencies and independent managers in connection with developing and maintaining reports, and providing required services in connection with the administration of the Debt Securitization. The administrative expenses are paid by the Securitization Issuer on each payment date in two parts: (1) a component that is paid in a priority to other amounts distributed by the Securitization Issuer, subject to a cap equal to the sum of 0.04% per annum on the adjusted principal balance of the portfolio loans and other assets held by the Securitization Issuer on the last day of the collection period relating to such payment date, plus \$150,000 per annum, and (2) a component that is paid in a subordinated position relative to other amounts distributed by the Securitization Issuer, equal to any amounts that exceed the aforementioned administrative expense cap. We believe that these administrative expenses approximate the amount of ongoing fees and expenses that we would be required to pay in connection with a traditional secured credit facility. Our common stockholders indirectly bear all of these expenses.

Recent Developments

On January 17, 2014, SLF II, a wholly-owned subsidiary of SLF, entered into the SLF Credit Facility with Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, as administrative agent, and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as lender, which allows SLF II to borrow up to \$50.0 million at any one time outstanding. The period from the closing date through January 17, 2015 is referred to as the reinvestment period. All amounts outstanding under the SLF Credit Facility are required to be repaid by January 17, 2019. Through the reinvestment period, the SLF Credit Facility bears interest at one-month LIBOR plus a rate between 1.75% and 2.25%, depending on the composition of the collateral asset portfolio, per annum. After the reinvestment period, the rate will reset to LIBOR plus 2.75% per annum for the remaining term of the SLF Credit Facility. In addition to the stated interest expense on the SLF Credit Facility, SLF is required to pay a non-usage fee rate of 0.50% per annum through July 17, 2014 and thereafter between 0.50% and 2.00% per annum depending on the size of the unused portion of the SLF Credit Facility. The SLF Credit Facility is collateralized by all of the assets held by SLF II. SLF and SLF II have made customary representations and warranties and are required to comply with various covenants, reporting requirements and other customary requirements for similar credit facilities.

On February 4, 2014, our board of directors declared a quarterly distribution of \$0.32 per share payable on March 28, 2014 to holders of record as of March 17, 2014.

TABLE OF CONTENTS**Consolidated Results of Operations****Comparison of the Three Months Ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012**

Consolidated operating results for the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

	For the three months ended December 31,		Variances
	2013	2012	2013 vs. 2012
	(In thousands)		
Interest income	\$23,474	\$15,887	\$7,587
Income from accretion of discounts and origination fees	1,908	2,440	(532)
Interest income from subordinated notes in SLF	181		181
Dividend income	16	267	(251)
Total investment income	25,579	18,594	6,985
Total expenses	12,319	9,016	3,303
Net investment income	13,260	9,578	3,682
Net realized (losses) gains on investments and derivative instruments	(4,994)	94	(5,088)
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on investments and secured borrowings	6,571	(353)	6,924
Net income	\$14,837	\$9,319	\$5,518
Average earning portfolio company investments, at fair value	\$1,089,469	\$663,077	\$426,392
Average debt outstanding ⁽¹⁾	\$494,588	\$338,768	\$155,820

For the three months ending December 31, 2013, we have excluded \$14.4 million of secured borrowings, at fair (1) value, which were the result of participations and partial loan sales that did not meet the definition of a participating interest, as defined in the guidance to ASC Topic 860.

The results of operations for the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 may not be indicative of the results we report in future periods. Net income can vary substantially from period to period for various reasons, including the recognition of realized gains and losses and unrealized appreciation and depreciations. As a result, quarterly comparisons of net income may not be meaningful.

Investment Income

Interest income increased by \$7.6 million from the three months ended December 31, 2012 to the three months ended December 31, 2013 and was primarily driven by an increase in the average earning investment balance, which is the annual average balance of accruing loans in our investment portfolio, of \$426.4 million and an increase in prepayment fees of \$0.5 million. These gains were partially offset by decline in the weighted average annualized interest income yield (which excludes income resulting from amortization of fees and discounts), which declined from 9.7% for the three months ended December 31, 2012 to 8.6% for the three months ended December 31, 2013. The decrease in yield

was driven by the interest rate compression on new investments.

Income from accretion of discounts and amortization of premiums decreased by \$0.5 million from the three months ended December 31, 2012 to the three months ended December 31, 2013. Income from the accretion of discounts and the amortization of premiums will fluctuate from quarter-to-quarter depending on the volume of payoffs and the discounts and premiums on the loans at the time of payoffs. A decline in payoffs by \$32.5 million from the three months ended December 31, 2012 to the three months ended December 31, 2013 contributed to the decline in income from accretion of discounts and amortization of premiums.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

For the three months ended December 31, 2013, we recorded dividend income of less than \$0.1 million and received return of capital distributions of \$1.4 million. For the three months ended December 31, 2012, we recorded dividend income of \$0.3 million and received return of capital distributions of \$0.1 million.

The annualized interest income yield (which excludes income resulting from amortization of fees and discounts) by security type for the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 was as follows:

	For the three months ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Senior secured	7.2 %	7.3 %
One stop	8.7 %	9.1 %
Second lien	11.6 %	11.2 %
Subordinated debt	13.9 %	14.0 %
Subordinated notes in SLF ⁽¹⁾	4.3 %	N/A

(1) SLF's proceeds from the subordinated notes were utilized by SLF to fund senior secured loans. Annualized interest rate yields on senior secured and one stop investments have declined from the three months ended December 31, 2012 to the three months ended December 31, 2013 due to a general downward trend of interest rate compression on new investments between the two periods. The increase in yield on second lien debt is attributed to the inclusion of \$0.4 million of prepayment fees. Interest rate yields on subordinated debt remained stable for the three months ended December 31, 2013 as compared to the three months ended December 31, 2012. For additional details on investment yields and asset mix, refer to the Liquidity and Capital Resources Portfolio Composition, Investment Activity and Yield section below.

Expenses

The following table summarizes our expenses:

	For the three months ended December 31,		Variances 2013 vs. 2012
	2013	2012	
	(In thousands)		
Interest and other debt financing expenses	\$ 4,092	\$ 2,995	\$ 1,097
Base management fee	3,824	2,468	1,356
Incentive fee	3,032	2,394	638
Professional fees	658	493	165
Administrative service fee	582	548	34
General and administrative expenses	131	118	13
Total expenses	\$ 12,319	\$ 9,016	\$ 3,303

Interest and other debt financing expenses increased from the three months ended December 31, 2012 to the three months ended December 31, 2013 primarily due to an increase in the weighted average of outstanding borrowings from \$338.8 million for the three months ended December 31, 2012 to \$494.6 million for the three months ended December 31, 2013. We increased our use of debt under the Debt Securitization, Small Business Administration, or SBA, debentures through the SBICs and the Credit Facility, entered into by Funding with Wells Fargo Securities,

LLC as administrative agent and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. as lender to \$215.0 million, \$196.3 million and \$165.9 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2013 from outstanding balances of \$203.0, \$135.0 million and \$91.5 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2012. The increase in interest and debt financing expenses was partially offset by a decrease in the effective annualized average interest rate on our outstanding debt from 3.4% for the three months ended December 31, 2012 to 3.1% for the three months ended December 31, 2013.

The base management fee increased as a result of a sequential increase in average assets from December 31, 2012 to December 31, 2013. The incentive fee increased by \$0.6 million from the three months ended December 31, 2012 to the three months ended December 31, 2013 primarily due to the increase in our

TABLE OF CONTENTS

average earning investment balances and related net investment income. The professional fees and the administrative service fee increased from December 31, 2012 to December 31, 2013 due to an increase in costs associated with servicing a growing investment portfolio.

The Administrator pays for certain expenses incurred by us. These expenses are subsequently reimbursed in cash. Total expenses reimbursed by us to the Administrator for the three months ended December 31, 2013 were \$0.3 million. There were no expense reimbursements made during the three months ended December 31, 2012.

As of December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013, included in accounts payable and accrued expenses were \$0.3 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, for accrued expenses paid on behalf of us by the Administrator.

Net Realized and Unrealized Gains and Losses

The following table summarizes our net realized and unrealized gains (losses) for the periods presented:

	For the three months ended December 31,		Variances
	2013	2012	2013 vs. 2012
	(In thousands)		
Net realized (loss) gain on investments	\$(4,994)	\$94	\$ (5,088)
Net realized (loss) gain	(4,994)	94	(5,088)
Unrealized appreciation on investments	13,772	5,415	8,357
Unrealized (depreciation) on investments	(7,366)	(5,768)	(1,598)
Unrealized appreciation on investments in SLF ⁽¹⁾	651		651
Unrealized (depreciation) on investments in SLF ⁽¹⁾	(410)		(410)
Unrealized appreciation on secured borrowings	6		6
Unrealized (depreciation) on secured borrowings	(82)		(82)
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on investments, investments in SLF, and secured borrowings	\$6,571	\$ (353)	\$ 6,924

(1) Unrealized appreciation and (depreciation) on investments in SLF include our investments in the subordinated notes and LLC equity interests in SLF.

For the three months ended December 31, 2013, we had net realized losses on investments totaling \$5.0 million primarily due to the sale of one underperforming portfolio company and the write off of two non-accrual portfolio companies.

During the three months ended December 31, 2013, we had \$13.8 million in unrealized appreciation on 64 portfolio company investments, which was partially offset by \$7.4 million in unrealized depreciation on 115 portfolio company investments. Unrealized appreciation during the three months ended December 31, 2013 resulted from an increase in fair value primarily due to the rise in market prices and a reversal of prior period unrealized depreciation associated with the portfolio company investment sales and write-offs. Unrealized depreciation primarily resulted from the amortization of discounts and negative credit related adjustments that caused a reduction in fair value. Additionally, we had \$0.1 million in net unrealized depreciation on secured borrowing proceeds related to two portfolio companies for the three months ended December 31, 2013. The unrealized depreciation resulted from the amortization of

discounts and the rise in market prices associated with the investments funded by the secured borrowing proceeds.

For the three months ended December 31, 2013, we had \$0.6 million in unrealized appreciation on our investment in SLF LLC equity interests, which was partially offset by \$0.4 million in unrealized depreciation on our investment in SLF subordinated notes. The unrealized depreciation was the result of the lower yielding contractual rate compared to comparable market pricing of subordinated notes. Unrealized appreciation on the SLF LLC equity interests was driven by positive credit-related adjustments associated with SLF's investment portfolio as well the offsetting impact of the pricing on the subordinated notes.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

For the three months ended December 31, 2012, we had realized gains on investments totaling \$0.1 million as the result of the sale of portfolio company investments for total proceeds of \$14.0 million.

During the three months ended December 31, 2012, we had \$5.8 million in unrealized depreciation on 94 portfolio company investments, which was partially offset by \$5.4 million in unrealized appreciation on 47 portfolio company investments. Unrealized depreciation during the three months ended December 31, 2012 primarily resulted from the accretion of discounts and negative credit related adjustments which caused a reduction in fair value. Unrealized appreciation during the three months ended December 31, 2012 resulted from an increase in fair value primarily due to the rise in market prices and a reversal of prior period unrealized depreciation.

Comparison of the Years Ended September 30, 2013, September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011

Consolidated operating results for the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

	For the years ended September 30,			Variances	
	2013	2012	2011	2013 vs. 2012	2012 vs. 2011
	(In thousands)				
Interest income	\$73,960	\$52,393	\$34,076	\$21,567	\$18,317
Income from amortization of discounts and origination fees	7,594	5,089	5,074	2,505	15
Interest income from subordinated notes of SLF	23			23	
Dividend income	2,197	377		1,820	377
Total investment income	83,774	57,859	39,150	25,915	18,709
Total expenses	39,379	29,983	16,334	9,396	13,649
Net investment income	44,395	27,876	22,816	16,519	5,060
Net realized (losses) gains on investments and derivative instruments	(1,363)	(3,372)	2,037	2,009	(5,409)
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on investments, derivative instruments and secured borrowings	3,488	7,256	(3,514)	(3,768)	10,770
Net income	\$46,520	\$31,760	\$21,339	\$14,760	\$10,421
Average earning portfolio company investments, at fair value	\$810,880	\$564,323	\$406,881	\$246,557	\$157,442
Average debt outstanding ⁽¹⁾	\$378,843	\$306,969	\$201,294	\$71,874	\$105,675

For the year ending September 30, 2013, we have excluded \$8.8 million of secured borrowings, at fair value, (1) which were the result of participations and partial loan sales that did not meet the definition of a participating interest, as defined in the guidance to ASC Topic 860.

Net income can vary substantially from period to period for various reasons, including the recognition of realized gains and losses and unrealized appreciation and depreciations. As a result, annual comparisons of net income may not be meaningful.

Investment Income

Investment income increased from 2012 to 2013 by \$25.9 million as a result of an increase in the average earning investment balance of \$246.6 million, an increase in prepayment fees of \$1.3 million and an increase in dividend income of \$1.8 million, which were partially offset by a decline in the weighted average investment income (which includes interest income and amortization of fees and discounts) yield of 0.1%. Investment income increased from 2011 to 2012 by \$18.7 million as a result of an increase in the average earning investment balance, which is the annual average balance of accruing loans in our investment portfolio, of \$157.4 million as well as an increase in the weighted average investment income (which includes interest income and amortization of fees and discounts) yield of 0.3%.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

The decrease in the yield from 2012 to 2013 was driven primarily by the change in asset mix of our portfolio. Higher yielding subordinated debt investments decreased from 10.0% of the portfolio as of September 30, 2012 to 2.2% of the portfolio as of September 30, 2013 while lower yielding one stop investments increased from 30.5% of the portfolio as of September 30, 2012 to 54.1% of the portfolio as of September 30, 2013. The increase in the yield from 2011 to 2012 was driven primarily by the change in asset mix of our portfolio. Higher yielding second lien and one stop investments increased from 43.5% of the portfolio as of September 30, 2011 to 46.1% of the portfolio as of September 30, 2012.

The interest income yield (which excludes income resulting from amortization of fees and discounts) by security type for the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011 was as follows:

	For the years ended September 30,					
	2013		2012		2011	
Senior secured	7.4	%	7.1	%	7.1	%
One stop	8.8	%	9.4	%	9.2	%
Second lien ⁽¹⁾	11.7	%	11.0	%	12.0	%
Subordinated debt	16.8	%	14.0	%	13.0	%
Subordinated notes of SLF ⁽²⁾	4.3	%	N/A		N/A	

(1) Second lien loans include loans structured as first lien last out term loans.

(2) The proceeds from these notes were applied to co-investments with United Insurance to fund senior secured loans. Interest rate yields on senior secured, one stop, second lien and fixed rate subordinated debt have fluctuated, as shown in the table above, for the year ended September 30, 2013 as compared to the year ended September 30, 2012. The increase in yields on second lien and subordinated debt is attributed to the inclusion of \$0.6 million and \$1.2 million, respectively, of prepayment fees, while we have seen interest rate compression on new investments, when excluding prepayment fees.

For the years ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, interest rate yields on senior secured, one stop, second lien and fixed rate subordinated debt remained relatively consistent as pricing on new originations remained relatively consistent over those two years. For additional details on investment yields and asset mix, refer to Portfolio Composition, Investment Activity and Yield.

Expenses

The following table summarized our expenses:

	For the years ended September 30,			Variances	
	2013	2012	2011	2013 vs. 2012	2012 vs. 2011
	(In thousands)				
Interest and other debt financing expenses	\$ 12,427	\$ 10,781	\$ 6,550	\$ 1,646	\$ 4,231
Base management fee	11,749	8,495	5,789	3,254	2,706
Incentive fee	9,844	6,228	348	3,616	5,880
Professional fees	2,200	2,231	2,204	(31)	27

Edgar Filing: Golub Capital BDC, Inc. - Form N-2/A

Administrative service fee	2,625	1,713	837	912	876
General and administrative expenses	534	535	606	(1)	(71)
Total expenses	\$ 39,379	\$ 29,983	\$ 16,334	\$ 9,396	\$ 13,649

Interest and other debt financing expenses increased from the year ended September 30, 2012 to the year ended September 30, 2013 primarily due to an increase in the weighted average of outstanding borrowings from \$307.0 million for the year ended September 30, 2012 to \$378.8 million for the year ended September 30, 2013. We increased our use of debt under the Debt Securitization and SBA debentures through the SBICs to \$203.0 million and \$169.5 million, respectively, as of September 30, 2013 and outstanding balances of \$174.0 and \$123.5 million, respectively, as of September 30, 2012 while reducing our use of debt through the Credit Facility, which had outstanding balances of \$29.6 and \$54.8 million as of September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The increase in interest and debt financing expenses was partially offset by a

TABLE OF CONTENTS

decrease in the effective average annual interest rate on our outstanding debt from 3.5% for the year ended September 30, 2012 to 3.3% for the year ended September 30, 2013.

Interest and other debt financing expenses increased from the year ended September 30, 2011 to the year ended September 30, 2012 primarily due to an increase in the weighted average of outstanding borrowings from \$201.3 for the year ended September 30, 2011 to \$307.0 million for the year ended September 30, 2012. In addition to the \$174.0 million of borrowings under the Debt Securitization that was outstanding for the years ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, we increased our use of debt through GC SBIC IV, L.P., or SBIC IV, and the Credit Facility, which had outstanding balances of \$123.5 million and \$54.8 million, respectively, as of September 30, 2012 and outstanding balances of \$61.3 million and \$2.4 million, respectively, as of September 30, 2011. To a lesser extent, the increase in interest expense was also caused by an increase in the effective average interest rate on our outstanding debt from 3.3% for the year ended September 30, 2011 to 3.5% for the year ended September 30, 2012.

The base management fee increased as a result of a sequential increase in average assets from 2011 to 2013. The administrative service fee increased from 2011 to 2013 due to an increase in costs associated with servicing a growing investment portfolio. In addition, as permitted under the Administration Agreement, beginning January 1, 2012, the allocable portion of the cost of our chief compliance officer and chief financial officer and their respective staffs were charged to us, which was also partially related to the increase in the administrative service fee from the year ended September 30, 2011 to the year ended September 30, 2012. These costs are permitted to be charged under the terms of the Administration Agreement but were previously being waived by the Administrator.

The incentive fee increased by \$5.9 million and \$3.6 million from the years ended September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2012 to the years ended September 30, 2012 and 2013, respectively. The incentive fee increases are net of irrevocable incentive fee waivers by the Investment Adviser of \$0.3 million and \$0.6 million for the years ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, respectively. Incentive fee expense increased in the year ended September 30, 2013 primarily due to the increase in our average earning investment balances and related investment income. Incentive fee expense increased in the year ended September 30, 2012 also due to an increase in our average earning investment balances and related investment income. In addition, the incentive fee expense for the year ended September 30, 2011 was relatively small as our Pre-Incentive Fee Net Investment Income did not exceed or only marginally exceeded the hurdle rate as defined in the Investment Advisory Agreement.

The incentive fee waiver of \$0.6 million for the year ended September 30, 2012 was attributable to interest spread payments from a total return swap, or TRS. As described in the Net Realized and Unrealized Gains and Losses section below, we entered into the TRS, with Citibank, N.A., or Citibank, for the purpose of gaining economic exposure to a portfolio of broadly syndicated loans. We subsequently terminated the TRS on April 11, 2012. For the periods ending September 30, 2011 and prior, we had included interest spread payments, which represent the difference between the interest and fees received on the referenced assets underlying the TRS and the interest paid to Citibank on the settled notional value of the TRS, from the TRS in the capital gains component of the incentive fee calculation as this is consistent with GAAP which records such payments in net realized gains/(losses) on derivative instruments in the consolidated statement of operations. However, we changed our methodology in the first fiscal quarter of fiscal year 2012 pursuant to discussions with the Staff resulting in the TRS interest spread payments being included in the income component of the incentive fee calculation.

For the year ended September 30, 2012, we received interest spread payments from the TRS of \$2.6 million. For the three months ended December 31, 2011, including the interest spread payments from the TRS in the income component of the incentive fee calculation caused an increase in the incentive fee by \$0.6 million. Upon reviewing the incentive fee calculation and the treatment of the interest spread payments from the TRS, the Investment Adviser irrevocably waived the incremental portion of the incentive fee attributable from the TRS interest spread payments for

the three months ended December 31, 2011.

The Administrator pays for certain expenses incurred by us. These expenses are subsequently reimbursed in cash. Total expenses reimbursed by us to the Administrator for the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011 were \$1.0 million, \$0.5 million and \$0.3 million, respectively.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

As of September 30, 2013 and 2012, included in accounts payable and accrued expenses were \$0.3 million and \$40,000, respectively, for accrued expenses paid on behalf of us by the Administrator.

Net Realized and Unrealized Gains and Losses

The following table summarizes our net realized and unrealized gains (losses) for the periods presented:

	For the years ended September 30,			Variances	
	2013	2012	2011	2013 vs. 2012	2012 vs. 2011
	(In thousands)				
Net realized (loss) gain on investments	\$(1,363)	\$(5,467)	\$1,997	\$4,104	\$(7,464)
Net realized gain on TRS		3,854	40	(3,854)	3,814
Net realized (loss) on financial futures contracts		(1,759)		1,759	(1,759)
Net realized (loss) gain	(1,363)	(3,372)	2,037	2,009	(5,409)
Unrealized appreciation on investments	17,956	15,632	7,220	2,324	8,412
Unrealized (depreciation) on investments	(14,445)	(10,362)	(8,748)	(4,083)	(1,614)
Unrealized (depreciation) on investments in SLF ⁽¹⁾	(74)			(74)	
Unrealized appreciation on investments in SLF ⁽¹⁾	177			177	
Unrealized (depreciation) on secured borrowings	(126)			(126)	
Unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on TRS		1,845	(1,845)	(1,845)	3,690
Unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on financial futures contracts		141	(141)	(141)	282
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on investments, investments in SLF, derivative instruments and secured borrowings	\$3,488	\$7,256	\$(3,514)	\$(3,768)	\$10,770

(1) Unrealized appreciation and (depreciation) on investments in SLF include our investments in the subordinated notes and LLC interests in SLF.

For the year ended September 30, 2013, we had \$18.0 million in unrealized appreciation on 101 portfolio company investments, which was partially offset by \$14.4 million in unrealized depreciation on 108 portfolio company investments. Unrealized depreciation primarily resulted from the amortization of discounts and negative credit related adjustments that caused a reduction in fair value. Unrealized appreciation during the year ended September 30, 2013 resulted from an increase in fair value primarily due to the rise in market prices and a reversal of prior period unrealized depreciation. Additionally, we had \$0.1 million in unrealized depreciation on secured borrowing proceeds related to one portfolio company for the year ended September 30, 2013. The unrealized depreciation resulted from the amortization of discounts and the rise in market prices associated with the investments funded by the secured borrowing proceeds.

For the year ended September 30, 2013, we had \$0.2 million in unrealized appreciation on our investment in SLF LLC

interests, which was partially offset by \$0.1 million in unrealized depreciation on our investment in SLF subordinated notes. The unrealized depreciation was the result of the lower yielding contractual rate compared to comparable market pricing of subordinated notes. Unrealized appreciation on the SLF LLC interests was driven by positive credit related adjustments associated with SLF's investment portfolio as well the offsetting impact of the pricing on the subordinated notes.

We also had \$1.4 million in net realized losses on investments during the year ended September 30, 2013 primarily as a result of the sale of a non-accrual investment and the payoff of an under-performing loan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

For the year ended September 30, 2012, we had \$15.6 million in unrealized appreciation on 70 portfolio company investments, which was partially offset by \$10.4 million in unrealized depreciation on 74 portfolio company investments. Unrealized depreciation primarily resulted from the amortization of discounts and negative credit related adjustments that caused a reduction in fair value. Unrealized appreciation during the year ended September 30, 2012 resulted from an increase in fair value primarily due to the rise in market prices and a reversal of prior period unrealized depreciation. We also had \$5.5 million in realized losses on investments during the year ended September 30, 2012 primarily as a result of the sale of two non-accrual investments.

For the year ended September 30, 2011, we had \$8.7 million in unrealized depreciation on 76 portfolio company investments, which was partially offset by \$7.2 million in unrealized appreciation on 62 portfolio company investments. Unrealized depreciation primarily resulted from the amortization of discounts and negative credit related adjustments that caused a reduction in fair value. Unrealized appreciation during the year ended September 30, 2011 resulted from an increase in fair value primarily due to the rise in market prices and a reversal of prior period unrealized depreciation.

Termination of the Total Return Swap

On April 11, 2012, we terminated the TRS that we had entered into with Citibank.

The purpose of entering into the TRS was to gain economic exposure to a portfolio of broadly syndicated loans. Generally, under the terms of a total return swap, one party agrees to make periodic payments to another party based on the change in the market value of the assets referenced by the total return swap, which may include a specified security, basket of securities or securities indices during the specified period, in return for periodic payments based on a fixed or variable interest rate.

For the years ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, the change in the fair value of the TRS was \$1.8 million and \$(1.8) million, respectively. Realized gains on the TRS for the year ended September 30, 2012 were \$3.9 million, which consisted of spread interest income of \$2.7 million and a realized gain of \$1.2 million on the sale of the referenced loans. Realized gains on the TRS for the year ended September 30, 2011 were \$40,000, which consisted of spread interest income of \$44,000 and a realized loss of \$(4,000) on the sale of the referenced loans. As of September 30, 2011, the fair value of the TRS was \$(1.8) million comprised of spread interest income of \$0.6 million and an unrealized loss on the referenced loans of \$(2.4) million.

Cash collateral of \$19.9 million that had secured the obligations to Citibank under the TRS was returned to us during the year ended September 30, 2012 and was used to fund new middle market debt and equity investments.

Ten-Year U.S. Treasury Futures Contracts

In September of 2012, we sold our remaining ten-year U.S. Treasury futures contracts. We had entered into the futures contracts to mitigate our exposure to adverse fluctuation in interest rates related to our SBA debentures. The cash collateral underlying the futures contracts was returned to us.

Based on the daily fluctuation of the fair value of the futures contracts, we recorded an unrealized gain or loss equal to the daily fluctuation in fair value. Upon maturity or settlement of the futures contracts, we realized a gain or loss based on the difference of the fair value of the futures contracts at inception and the fair value of the futures contracts at settlement or maturity.

For the years ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, the realized loss on settlement of futures contracts was \$(1.8) million and zero, respectively, and the change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) related to the futures contracts was \$0.1 million and \$(0.1) million, respectively.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

For the three months ended December 31, 2013, we experienced a net increase in cash and cash equivalents of \$15.6 million. During the period we used \$138.9 million in operating activities, primarily as a result of fundings of portfolio investments of \$256.2 million. This was partially offset by proceeds from principal payments and sales of portfolio investments of \$103.6 million and net investment income of

TABLE OF CONTENTS

\$13.3 million. During the same period, cash used in investment activities of \$1.4 million was driven by the increase in restricted cash and cash equivalents. Lastly, cash provided by financing activities was \$155.9 million, primarily driven by borrowings on debt of \$215.4 million that were partially offset by repayments of debt of \$50.2 million and distributions paid of \$13.1 million.

For the three months ended December 31, 2012, we experienced a net increase in cash and cash equivalents of \$7.5 million. During the same period, we used \$72.5 million in operating activities, primarily as a result of fundings of portfolio investments of \$235.3 million. This was partially offset by proceeds from principal payments and sales of portfolio investments of \$145.6 million and net investment income of \$9.6 million. During the same period, cash used in investment activities of \$2.2 million was driven by the change in restricted cash and cash equivalents. Lastly, cash provided by financing activities was \$82.2 million, primarily due to borrowings on debt of \$107.7 million and proceeds from shares sold from a public offering of \$43.8 million, partially offset by repayments of debt of \$59.5 million and distributions paid of \$8.8 million.

For the year ended September 30, 2013, we experienced a net increase in cash and cash equivalents of \$2.4 million.

During the period we used \$297.6 million in operating activities, primarily as a result of fundings of portfolio investments of \$669.2 million. This was partially offset by proceeds from principal payments and sales of portfolio investments of \$336.2 million and net investment income of \$44.4 million. During the same period, cash used in investment activities of \$1.4 million was driven by the increase in restricted cash and cash equivalents. Lastly, cash provided by financing activities was \$301.4 million, primarily due to net proceeds from four equity offerings of an aggregate of \$280.9 million and borrowings on debt of \$376.4 million, partially offset by repayments of debt of \$316.6 million and distributions paid of \$43.3 million.

For the year ended September 30, 2012, we experienced a net decrease in cash and cash equivalents of \$32.5 million.

During the period we used \$158.3 million in operating activities, primarily as a result of fundings of portfolio investments of \$395.6 million. This was partially offset by proceeds from principal payments and sales of portfolio investments of \$191.5 million, return of cash collateral on deposit with custodian of \$21.2 million and net investment income of \$27.9 million. During the same period, cash used in investment activities of \$13.6 million was driven by the change in restricted cash and cash equivalents. Lastly, cash provided by financing activities was \$139.4 million, primarily due to net proceeds from the follow-on equity offering of \$57.2 million and borrowings on debt of \$178.3 million, partially offset by repayments of debt of \$63.7 million and distributions paid of \$23.9 million.

For the year ended September 30, 2011, we experienced a net decrease in cash and cash equivalents of \$14.9 million.

During the period we used \$118.1 million in operating activities, primarily as a result of fundings of portfolio investments of \$326.3 million. This was partially offset by proceeds from principal payments and sales of portfolio investments of \$217.9 million and net investment income of \$22.8 million. During the same period, cash provided by investment activities of \$8.4 million was driven by the change in restricted cash and cash equivalents. Lastly, cash provided by financing activities was \$94.9 million, primarily due to net proceeds from the follow-on equity offering of \$59.4 million and borrowings on debt of \$63.7 million, partially offset by distributions paid of \$23.9 million.

As of December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013 and 2012, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$31.9 million, \$16.3 million and \$13.9 million, respectively. In addition, we had restricted cash and cash equivalents of \$39.8 million, \$38.4 million and \$37.0 million as of December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Cash and cash equivalents are available to fund new investments, pay operating expenses and pay distributions. As of December 31, 2013, \$16.4 million of our restricted cash and cash equivalents could be used to fund new investments that meet the investment guidelines established in the Debt Securitization, which are described in further detail in Note 7 to our consolidated financial statements, and for the payment of interest expense on the notes issued in the Debt Securitization. \$15.4 million of such restricted cash and cash equivalents can be used to fund investments that

meet the guidelines under the Credit Facility as well as for the payment of interest expense and revolving debt of the Credit Facility. The remaining \$8.0 million of restricted cash and cash equivalents can be used to fund new investments that meet the

TABLE OF CONTENTS

regulatory and investment guidelines established by the SBA for our SBICs, which are described in further detail in Note 7 to our consolidated financial statements, and for interest expense and fees on our outstanding SBA debentures.

As of each of December 31, 2013, September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, we had outstanding commitments to fund investments totaling \$94.3 million, \$76.3 million and \$56.5 million, respectively. These amounts may or may not be funded to the borrowing party now or in the future. The unfunded commitments relate to loans with various maturity dates, but the entire amount was eligible for funding to the borrowers as of each of December 31, 2013, September 30, 2013 and 2012, subject to the terms of each loan's respective credit agreement.

On February 15, 2013, we amended the Debt Securitization to issue an additional \$29.0 million in Class A Notes, \$2.0 million in Class B Notes and \$19.0 million in Subordinated Notes. On November 15, 2013, Holdings sold the \$12.0 million in Class B Notes of the Debt Securitization and on November 20, 2013, the transaction closed and proceeds of \$12.0 million were received. The Class A Notes are included in the December 31, 2013, September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012 consolidated statements of financial condition as debt of Golub Capital BDC. The Class B Notes are included in the December 31, 2013 consolidated statements of financial condition as debt of the Company and at September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012 are eliminated in consolidation. As of December 31, 2013, September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, the Subordinated Notes were eliminated in consolidation. As of December 31, 2013, September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, we had outstanding debt under the Debt Securitization of \$215.0 million, \$203.0 million and \$174.0 million, respectively.

As of December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013, subject to leverage and borrowing base restrictions, we had approximately \$84.1 million and \$70.4 million, respectively, available for additional borrowings on the Credit Facility. As of December 31, 2013, subject to leverage and borrowing base restrictions, we had \$15.0 million available for additional borrowings on the Revolver. No borrowings under the Revolver were outstanding as of September 30, 2013.

On October 31, 2013, the Credit Facility was amended to, among other things, increase the size of the Credit Facility from \$100 million to \$250 million, extend the expiration of the reinvestment period from November 21, 2013 to October 21, 2014 and extend the stated maturity date from October 20, 2017 to October 22, 2018.

Under present SBIC regulations, the maximum amount of SBA-guaranteed debentures that may be issued by multiple licensees under common management is \$225.0 million and the maximum amount that a single SBIC licensee may issue is \$150.0 million. As of December 31, 2013, SBIC IV and GC SBIC V, L.P., or SBIC V, had \$150.0 million and \$46.3 million of outstanding SBA-guaranteed debentures, respectively, leaving incremental borrowing capacity of \$28.7 million for SBIC V, under present SBIC regulations. As of September 30, 2013, SBIC IV and SBIC V had \$146.3 million and \$33.2 million of outstanding SBA-guaranteed debentures, respectively. As of December 31, 2013, we had invested 18.3% and 5.8% of our assets in SBIC IV and SBIC V, respectively.

In accordance with the 1940 Act, with certain limited exceptions, we are only allowed to borrow amounts such that our asset coverage, as defined in the 1940 Act, is at least 200% after such borrowing. On September 13, 2011, we received exemptive relief from the SEC allowing us to modify the asset coverage requirement to exclude the SBA debentures from this calculation. As such, our ratio of total consolidated assets to outstanding indebtedness may be less than 200%. This provides us with increased investment flexibility but also increases our risks related to leverage. As of December 31, 2013, our asset coverage for borrowed amounts was 266.9% (excluding the SBA debentures).

Although we expect to fund the growth of our investment portfolio through the net proceeds from future securities offerings and through our dividend reinvestment plan as well as future borrowings, to the extent permitted by the 1940 Act, we cannot assure you that our efforts to raise capital will be successful. In addition to capital not being available,

it also may not be available on favorable terms.

We believe that our existing cash and cash equivalents and available borrowings as of December 31, 2013 will be sufficient to fund our anticipated requirements through at least December 31, 2014.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Debt Securitization

In the Debt Securitization that we completed on July 16, 2010, as amended on February 15, 2013, the Securitization Issuer issued an aggregate of \$350 million of notes and the aggregate \$203 million of Class A Notes and the aggregate of \$12 million of Class B Notes are secured by the assets of the Securitization Issuer. We structured the initial transactions and the subsequent amendment of the Debt Securitization with the assistance of Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, for which Wells Fargo Securities, LLC received structuring and placement fees. The transactions were executed through a private placement of an aggregate of \$203 million of Aaa/AAA Class A Notes. The Class A Notes bear interest at a rate of three-month LIBOR plus 1.74%. The aggregate of \$12 million face amount of Class B Notes bear interest at a rate of three-month LIBOR plus 2.40%, and the aggregate of \$135 million face amount of Subordinated Notes do not bear interest. In partial consideration for the loans transferred to the Securitization Issuer as part of the Debt Securitization, Holdings retained all of the Class B and Subordinated Notes, which totaled \$147 million, and it retained all of the membership interests in the Securitization Issuer, which Holdings initially purchased for \$250. All of the notes are scheduled to mature on July 20, 2023. On November 15, 2013, Holdings sold the \$12 million of Class B Notes of the Debt Securitization and on November 20, 2013, the transaction closed and proceeds of \$12 million were received. As discussed below, in accordance with ASC Topic 860, *Transfers and Servicing*, we are required to consolidate the special purpose vehicle used in an asset-backed securitization and treat the transaction as a secured borrowing. GC Advisors is our investment adviser and also the collateral manager for the Securitization Issuer, which results in the continued involvement of us in the business of the Securitization Issuer. In addition, the investments of the Securitization Issuer constitute a substantial percentage of our total assets. As a result of this continued involvement and the fact that the investments of the Securitization Issuer constitute a substantial percentage of our assets, we consolidate the financial statements of the Securitization Issuer.

An important aspect of a debt securitization transaction is that the purchaser of the notes must become comfortable through their due diligence investigation that the sale and/or contribution of income producing assets into a special purpose entity would be considered a true sale and/or contribution or, in other words, that as a result of such sale and/or contribution, the originator no longer owns the income producing assets. This structure seeks to reduce risk to noteholders by insulating them from the credit and bankruptcy risks faced by the originator. The structure of any debt securitization is in large part intended to prevent, in the event of a bankruptcy, the consolidation in the originator's bankruptcy case of the special purpose entity with the operations of the originator, based on equitable principles, and the noteholders must become comfortable with this analysis. As a result of this structure, debt securitization transactions frequently achieve lower overall borrowing costs than would be achieved if the borrowing had been structured as a traditional secured lending transaction.

In a typical sale transaction, the purchaser exchanges an asset for cash or some other asset, whereas in a contribution transaction, the contributor typically exchanges an asset for securities issued by the purchaser. In the Debt Securitization, we transferred the portfolio loans that comprise the collateral to Holdings in a transaction that was a partial sale and a partial capital contribution. Holdings then transferred these same portfolio loans to the Securitization Issuer in a transfer that was also a partial sale and a partial capital contribution. To the extent that we received cash proceeds from Holdings in consideration for the portfolio loans transferred to Holdings, such portion of the transfer constituted a sale. To the extent that Holdings received cash proceeds, Class B Notes and Subordinated Notes from the Securitization Issuer in consideration for the portfolio loans transferred by it to the Securitization Issuer, such portion of the transfer also constituted a sale. By contrast, to the extent that we received cash proceeds from Holdings equal to or less than the fair value of the portfolio loans transferred by us to Holdings, the difference between the fair value of such portfolio loans and the cash we received from Holdings was deemed to be a contribution to the capital of Holdings pursuant to the terms of the governing master loan sale agreement. Likewise, to the extent that the cash proceeds, Class B Notes and Subordinated Notes received by Holdings from the Securitization Issuer was less than the

fair value of the portfolio loans transferred from Holdings to the Securitization Issuer, such portion of the transfer was deemed to be a contribution to the capital of the Securitization Issuer by Holdings pursuant to the terms of such master loan sale agreement. In these transactions, there were no material differences between selling and/or contributing loans or participations, viewed from the perspective of the Securitization Issuer's ownership interests therein, as all of the ownership interests in such loans and

TABLE OF CONTENTS

participations were transferred to, and are now owned by, the Securitization Issuer under the terms of the master loan sale agreement, irrespective of whether such loans or participations were sold or contributed from us to Holdings and from Holdings to the Securitization Issuer.

GC Advisors, as collateral manager for the Securitization Issuer, selected the senior secured and second lien loans (or participations therein) that were transferred to the Securitization Issuer. The senior secured and second lien loans (or participations therein) were selected in accordance with the criteria set forth in the Debt Securitization documents.

These are primarily objective requirements determined by the constraints of the market for collateralized debt obligations, and are generally designed to comply with regulations governing commercial lending and similar financing activities in the United States and the requirements of Rule 3a-7 under the 1940 Act.

The Subordinated Notes are limited recourse, unsecured obligations of the Securitization Issuer payable solely from payments made under the portfolio loans and other assets held by the Securitization Issuer and, in the event of a portfolio loan event of default, from the proceeds of any liquidation of the collateral underlying such portfolio loans.

Additionally, for as long as the Class A Notes and Class B Notes remain outstanding, holders of the Subordinated Notes will not generally be entitled to exercise remedies under the indenture. As an unsecured class of notes, the interests and rights of holders of the Subordinated Notes in and to the portfolio loans and other assets owned by the Securitization Issuer are subject to the prior claims of secured creditors of the Securitization Issuer and are potentially subject to or will rank equally with the claims of other unsecured creditors of the Securitization Issuer.

The Class B Notes are subordinated in right of payment on each payment date to prior payments on the Class A Notes and to certain amounts payable by the Securitization Issuer as administrative expenses. The Subordinated Notes are subordinated in right of payment on each payment date to payments on the Class A Notes and the Class B Notes as well as to certain amounts payable by the Securitization Issuer as administrative expenses and to the claims of other unsecured creditors of the Securitization Issuer.

The Securitization Issuer may only make payments on such securities to the extent permitted by the payment priority provisions of the indenture governing the notes, which generally provides that principal payments on the Class B Notes and the Subordinated Notes may not be made on any payment date unless all amounts owing under the Class A Notes are paid in full. In addition, if the Securitization Issuer does not meet the asset coverage tests or the interest coverage test set forth in the documents governing the Debt Securitization, cash would be diverted from the Class B Notes and the Subordinated Notes to first pay the Class A Notes in amounts sufficient to cause such tests to be satisfied. In addition, no payments may be made on the membership interests in any period until all required payments in respect of the Class A Notes, the Class B Notes and Subordinated Notes have been paid in full. Therefore, to the extent that any losses are suffered by noteholders as a result of losses on the portfolio loans and other assets owned by the Securitization Issuer, such losses will be borne in the first instance by the holders of the membership interests, then by the Subordinated Notes, then by the holders of the Class B Notes and lastly by the holders of the Class A Notes.

We believe that the Debt Securitization benefits from internal credit enhancement, meaning that holders of more senior classes of notes issued by the Securitization Issuer benefit from the terms of subordination applicable to the more junior classes of notes issued by the Securitization Issuer. Thus, the Class A Notes enjoy the benefit of credit enhancement effectively provided by the subordination provisions of the Class B Notes and the Subordinated Notes.

Likewise, the Class B Notes enjoy the benefit of credit enhancement effectively provided by the subordination provisions of the Subordinated Notes.

The Debt Securitization documents expressly provide that we and our subsidiaries (other than the Securitization Issuer) are not, and cannot be held, liable for any shortfall in payments or any defaults on any of the classes of notes issued by the Securitization Issuer in connection with the Debt Securitization because such obligations are the

obligations of the Securitization Issuer only, and the sole recourse for such obligations is to the collateral owned by the Securitization Issuer rather than our assets or the assets of Holdings.

Under the terms of the documents related to the Debt Securitization, recourse to us and to Holdings is limited and generally consistent with the terms of other similarly structured finance transactions. Under the

TABLE OF CONTENTS

master loan sale agreement with respect to the Debt Securitization, (1) we sold and/or contributed to Holdings all of our ownership interest in certain of our portfolio loans and participations for the purchase price and other consideration set forth in the master loan sale agreement, and (2) Holdings, in turn, sold and/or contributed to the Securitization Issuer all of its ownership interest in such portfolio loans and participations for the purchase price and other consideration set forth in the master loan sale agreement. These transfers were structured by their terms to provide limited recourse to us by the Securitization Issuer relating to certain representations and warranties with respect to certain characteristics including title and quality of the portfolio loans that were transferred to the Securitization Issuer. If we breached these representations and warranties and such breach materially and adversely affected the value of the portfolio loans or the interests of holders of notes issued by the Securitization Issuer, then we could be required, within 30 days of notice or our knowledge of such breach, to (a) cure such breach in all material respects, (b) repurchase the portfolio loan or loans subject to such breach or (c) remove the portfolio loan or loans subject to such breach from the pool of loans and other assets held by the Securitization Issuer and substitute a portfolio loan or loans that meet the requirements of the Debt Securitization documents. This repurchase and substitution obligation of us constitutes the sole remedy available against us for any breach of a representation or warranty related to the portfolio loans transferred to the Securitization Issuer.

A collateral management agreement is an agreement entered into between an adviser and a debt securitization vehicle or similar issuer and sets forth the terms and conditions pursuant to which the adviser will provide advisory and/or management services with respect to the client's securities portfolio. Under the collateral management agreement between GC Advisors and the Securitization Issuer, GC Advisors' duties include (1) selecting portfolio loans to be acquired and selecting the portfolio loans to be sold or otherwise disposed of by the Securitization Issuer, (2) reinvesting in other portfolio loans, where appropriate, (3) instructing the trustee with respect to any acquisition, disposition or tender of, or offer with respect to, a portfolio loan or other assets received in the open market or otherwise by the Securitization Issuer and (4) performing all other tasks, and taking all other actions, that are specified in, or not inconsistent with, the duties of the collateral manager.

The Debt Securitization provides a number of benefits to us, most notably an ability on our part to finance new portfolio loans acquired by the Securitization Issuer at an attractive cost.

We have no direct ability to enforce the payment obligations on portfolio loans held by the Securitization Issuer as part of the Debt Securitization. The contribution of loans and participations did not constitute a realization event under the Investment Advisory Agreement, and no incentive fee was earned as a result of the Debt Securitization.

Under the Debt Securitization, the Securitization Issuer contracts with GC Advisors as the collateral manager regarding the portfolio loans held by the Securitization Issuer. GC Advisors, in its role as collateral manager for the Securitization Issuer, is the party responsible for enforcing payment obligations on portfolio loans of the Securitization Issuer as well as exercising rights to vote on amendments to and waivers of provisions in the credit agreements of portfolio companies.

A portion of the proceeds from the Debt Securitization were used to originate and acquire additional portfolio loans. Such additional portfolio loans are held by us directly or sold and/or contributed into one of our subsidiaries, which enabled us to borrow additional amounts in securitization or other structures using such portfolio loans as collateral. We believe that the Debt Securitization enables us to deploy our capital efficiently and to increase our capacity to provide financing for small to medium-sized businesses in our target market.

The Class B Notes may be transferred to: (1) qualified institutional buyers, as that term is defined in Rule 144A under the Securities Act, who are also qualified purchasers as that term is defined in Section 2(a)(51) of the 1940 Act; (2) a limited number of other institutional accredited investors within the meaning of Rule 501(a)(1), (2), (3) or (7) of

Regulation D under the Securities Act, who are also qualified purchasers; and (3) outside of the United States to qualified purchasers in compliance with Regulation S under the Securities Act. The Subordinated Notes may be transferred only to persons or entities that are either (x) qualified institutional buyers or (y) institutional accredited investors and, in either case, are qualified purchasers. By their terms, the Subordinated Notes may only be owned by U.S. persons. No Subordinated

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Note (or interests in such notes) may be acquired or owned by any person that is classified for U.S. federal income tax purposes as a disregarded entity (unless the beneficial owner of such person is a corporation that is not a subchapter S corporation or otherwise taxable as a corporation), partnership, subchapter S corporation or grantor trust unless such person obtains a legal opinion to the effect that such acquisition or ownership will not cause the Securitization Issuer to be treated as a publicly traded partnership taxable as a corporation.

Membership interests in the Securitization Issuer may be transferred only with the written consent of the designated manager of the Securitization Issuer, which is us. Even with such consent, such membership interests may not be transferred unless, simultaneously with the transfer of such membership interests: (1) a proportionate amount of the Subordinated Notes are transferred so that the ratio of the percentage interest of the Subordinated Notes so transferred to all Subordinated Notes and the ratio of the percentage interest of the membership interests so transferred to all membership interests are equal, (2) the transfers of membership interests and the Subordinated Notes referred to in this paragraph are made to the same person or entity, and (3) the percentage interest of the membership interests and the Subordinated Notes, respectively, so transferred is no less than ten percent. The membership interests and the Subordinated Notes must at all times be held in such proportion that the ratio set forth in clause (1) is always met.

As of September 30, 2013 and 2012, the Securitization Issuer held investments in 91 and 81 portfolio companies with a total fair value of \$343.2 million, \$290.1 million, respectively. The pool of loans in the Debt Securitization must meet certain requirements, including asset mix and concentration, collateral coverage, term, agency rating, minimum coupon, minimum spread and sector diversity requirements.

SBIC Licenses

On August 24, 2010, SBIC IV received approval for a license from the SBA to operate as an SBIC. On December 5, 2012, SBIC V received a license from the SBA to operate as an SBIC. As our wholly-owned subsidiaries, SBIC IV and SBIC V may rely on an exclusion from the definition of investment company under the 1940 Act. As such, these subsidiaries do not elect to be regulated as business development companies under the 1940 Act. SBIC IV and SBIC V have an investment objective substantially similar to ours and make similar types of investments in accordance with SBIC regulations. As SBICs, SBIC IV and SBIC V are subject to a variety of regulations and oversight by the SBA concerning the size and nature of the companies in which they may invest as well as the structures of those investments.

Prior to SBIC IV and SBIC V obtaining approval from the SBA, Golub Capital managed two SBICs licensed by the SBA for more than 14 years. The SBIC licenses allow our SBICs to incur leverage by issuing SBA-guaranteed debentures, subject to the issuance of a capital commitment and certain approvals by the SBA and customary procedures. These debentures are non-recourse to us, have interest payable semi-annually and a ten-year maturity. The interest rate is fixed at the time of issuance at a market-driven spread over U.S. Treasury Notes with ten-year maturities and is generally lower than rates on comparable bank and other debt. Under the regulations applicable to SBICs, an SBIC may have outstanding debentures guaranteed by the SBA generally in an amount of up to twice its regulatory capital, which generally equates to the amount of its equity capital. SBIC IV and SBIC V will be subject to regulation and oversight by the SBA, including requirements with respect to maintaining certain minimum financial ratios and other covenants.

SBIC IV and SBIC V may each borrow up to two times the amount of its regulatory capital, subject to customary regulatory requirements. As of December 31, 2013, we had committed and funded \$75.0 million to SBIC IV, and SBIC IV had SBA-guaranteed debentures of \$150.0 million outstanding that mature between March 2021 and September 2023. As of December 2013, we had committed \$37.5 million and funded \$26.1 million to SBIC V, and

SBIC V had SBA-guaranteed debentures of \$46.3 million outstanding that mature between September 2023 and March 2024.

On September 13, 2011, we received exemptive relief from the SEC allowing us to modify the asset coverage requirement under the 1940 Act to exclude SBA debentures from this calculation. As such, our ratio of total consolidated assets to outstanding indebtedness may be less than 200%. This provides us with increased investment flexibility, but also increases our risks related to leverage.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Revolving Credit Facility

On July 21, 2011, Funding, our wholly owned subsidiary, entered into the \$75.0 million senior, secured revolving Credit Facility with Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, as administrative agent and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as lender. In December 2012, the Credit Facility was amended to increase the size of the Credit Facility from \$75.0 million to \$150.0 million. In March 2013, the Credit Facility was amended to decrease the size of the Credit Facility from \$150.0 million to \$100.0 million. In October 2013, Funding entered into the Credit Facility Amendment, which, among other things, (a) increased the size of the Credit Facility from \$100 million to \$250 million, (b) extended the expiration of the revolving period to October 21, 2014, during which period Funding, subject to certain conditions, may make borrowings under the facility and (c) extended the stated maturity date from October 20, 2017 to October 22, 2018.

The period from the closing date until October 21, 2014 is referred to as the reinvestment period. All amounts outstanding under the Credit Facility are required to be repaid by October 20, 2018. Through the reinvestment period, the Credit Facility bears interest at one-month LIBOR plus 2.25% per annum. After the reinvestment period, the rate will reset to LIBOR plus 2.75% per annum for the remaining term of the Credit Facility. In addition to the stated interest expense on the Credit Facility, the Company is required to pay a fee of 0.50% per annum on any unused portion of the Credit Facility up to \$60.0 million and 2.00% on any unused portion in excess of \$60.0 million. The Credit Facility is secured by all of the assets held by Funding, and the Company has pledged its interests in Funding as collateral to Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as the collateral agent, under an ancillary agreement to secure the obligations of the Company as the transferor and servicer under the Credit Facility. Both the Company and Funding have made customary representations and warranties and are required to comply with various covenants, reporting requirements and other customary requirements for similar credit facilities. Borrowing under the Credit Facility is subject to the leverage restrictions contained in the 1940 Act.

As of December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company had outstanding debt under the Credit Facility of \$165.9 million, \$29.6 million and \$54.8 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013 and 2012, subject to leverage and borrowing base restrictions, we had approximately \$84.1 million, \$70.4 million and \$20.2 million, respectively, available for additional borrowings on the Credit Facility.

We plan to transfer certain loans and debt securities we have originated or acquired from time to time to Funding through a purchase and sale agreement and may cause Funding to originate or acquire loans in the future, consistent with our investment objectives.

TABLE OF CONTENTS**Portfolio Composition, Investment Activity and Yield**

As of December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013 and 2012, we had investments in 139, 135 and 121 portfolio companies, respectively, with a total value of \$1,147.2 million, \$1,019.8 million and \$672.9 million, respectively.

The following table shows the asset mix of our new origination commitments for the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	For the three months ended December 31,			
	2013		2012	
	(In thousands)	Percentage of Commitments	(In thousands)	Percentage of Commitments
Senior secured	\$ 35,404	12.3 %	\$ 58,251	22.2 %
One stop	203,245	70.9	161,000	61.4
Second lien	20,534	7.2	39,410	15.0
Subordinated notes in SLF ⁽¹⁾	24,216	8.4		
LLC equity interests in SLF ⁽¹⁾	1,432	0.5		
Equity securities	1,905	0.7	3,586	1.4
Total new investment commitments	\$ 286,736	100.0 %	\$ 262,247	100.0 %

⁽¹⁾ SLF's proceeds from the subordinated notes and LLC interests were utilized by SLF to fund senior secured loans. As of December 31, 2013 SLF funded senior secured loans to eleven different borrowers.

For the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, we had approximately \$99.1 million and \$131.6 million, respectively, in proceeds from principal payments and return of capital distributions of portfolio companies. For the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, we had sales of securities in three and six portfolio companies aggregating approximately \$4.5 million and \$14.0 million, respectively, in net proceeds.

The following table shows the asset mix of our new origination commitments for the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

	Years ended September 30,				2011	
	2013		2012		(In thousands)	Percentage of Commitments
	(In thousands)	Percentage of Commitments	(In thousands)	Percentage of Commitments	(In thousands)	Percentage of Commitments
Senior secured	\$ 161,849	22.0 %	\$ 175,089	40.9 %	\$ 94,442	26.0 %
One stop	420,235	57.1	171,060	39.9	108,374	29.8
Second lien	137,109	18.7	32,636	7.6	50,204	13.8
Subordinated debt			37,490	8.8	104,065	28.6
Subordinated notes of SLF ⁽¹⁾	4,140	0.6				
LLC interests of SLF ⁽¹⁾	591	0.1				
Equity securities	10,891	1.5	11,931	2.8	6,783	1.8
Total new investment commitments	\$ 734,815	100.0 %	\$ 428,206	100.0 %	\$ 363,868	100.0 %

(1) The proceeds from the subordinated notes and LLC interests were applied to co-investments with United Insurance to fund senior secured loans to four different borrowers as of September 30, 2013.

67

TABLE OF CONTENTS

The following table summarizes portfolio composition and investment activity as of and for the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 and as of and for the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

	As of and for the three months ended December 31,		As of and for the years ended September 30,		
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2011
	(In thousands)				
Investments, at fair value	\$1,179,919	\$768,342	\$1,019,811	\$672,910	\$459,827
Investment in SLF, at fair value ⁽¹⁾	32,750		\$4,834	\$	\$
Number of portfolio investments (at period end)	139	129	135	121	103
New investment fundings	\$256,213	\$235,325	\$669,252	\$395,556	\$326,260
Principal payments and sales of portfolio investments	\$103,570	\$145,609	\$336,154	\$191,509	\$217,884

(1) The investment in SLF includes our investments in both subordinated notes and LLC equity interests in SLF. The following table shows the par, amortized cost and fair value of our portfolio of investments, excluding derivative instruments, by asset class:

	As of December 31, 2013 ⁽¹⁾			As of September 30, 2013 ⁽¹⁾			As of September 30, 2012 ⁽¹⁾		
	Par	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Par	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Par	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
	(In thousands)								
Senior secured:									
Performing	\$293,736	\$290,313	\$290,641	\$298,989	\$295,180	\$295,493	\$274,846	\$270,209	\$272,461
Non-accrual ⁽²⁾	2,586	2,577	5	2,624	2,628	665	5,733	5,527	1,528
One stop:									
Performing	708,169	698,446	701,960	558,140	549,855	554,523	267,393	262,876	265,705
Non-accrual ⁽²⁾									
Second lien:									
Performing	110,476	108,188	110,955	112,714	111,319	112,873	44,267	42,775	44,108
Non-accrual ⁽²⁾				384	382		589	573	259
Subordinated debt:									
Performing	5,131	5,083	5,882	21,562	21,374	22,552	65,989	65,005	65,989
Non-accrual ⁽²⁾				3,034	3,001		2,870	2,810	1,435
Subordinated notes in SLF ⁽³⁾									
Performing	28,356	28,356	27,871	4,140	4,140	4,066	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-accrual ⁽²⁾							N/A	N/A	N/A
LLC equity interests in SLF ⁽³⁾									
Equity	N/A	4,051	4,879	N/A	591	768	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total	N/A	29,575	37,726	N/A	29,491	33,705	N/A	20,066	21,425
	\$1,148,454	\$1,166,589	\$1,179,919	\$1,001,587	\$1,017,961	\$1,024,645	\$661,687	\$669,841	\$672,910

(1) Seven, nine and fourteen of our loans included a feature permitting a portion of the interest due on such loan to be PIK interest as of December 31, 2013, September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, respectively.

(2) We refer to a loan as non-accrual when we cease recognizing interest income on the loan because we have stopped pursuing repayment of the loan or, in certain circumstances, it is past due 90 days or more on principal and interest or our management has reasonable doubt that principal or interest will not be collected. See Critical Accounting Policies Revenue Recognition.

68

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- (3) The proceeds from the subordinated notes and LLC interests in SLF were applied to co-investments with United Insurance to fund senior secured loans.

The following table shows the weighted average rate, spread over LIBOR of floating rate, fixed rate and fees of investments originated and the weighted average rate of sales and payoffs of portfolio companies during the three months ended December 31, 2013 and the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

	For the three months ended December 31, 2013	For the years ended September 30,		
		2013	2012	2011
Weighted average rate of new investment fundings ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	7.2 %	8.2 %	8.9 %	8.5 %
Weighted average spread over LIBOR of new floating rate investment fundings ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	6.1 %	6.7 %	7.0 %	6.4 %
Weighted average rate of new fixed rate investment fundings	N/A	15.6%	13.6%	13.6 %
Weighted average fees of new investment fundings	1.2 %	1.3 %	2.0 %	1.6 %
Weighted average rate of sales and payoffs of portfolio companies	9.6 %	8.9 %	7.6 %	6.3 %

For the year ended September 30, 2012, we have excluded \$20.4 million of broadly syndicated loans held for short term investment purposes. These loans had a weighted average rate of 2.6% and a weighted average spread over (1)LIBOR of 2.2%. Had we included the broadly syndicated loans in these rates, for the year ended September 30, 2012, our weighted average rate of new investments would have been 8.6%, and our weighted average spread over LIBOR would have been 6.7%.

For the year ended September 30, 2011, we have excluded \$22.0 million of broadly syndicated loans held for short term investment purposes. These loans had a weighted average rate of 3.9% and a weighted average spread over (2)LIBOR of 3.3%. Had we included the broadly syndicated loans in these rates, for the year ended September 30, 2011, our weighted average rate of new investments would have been 8.1%, and our weighted average spread over LIBOR would have been 5.9%.

For the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, the weighted average annualized interest income (which excludes income resulting from amortization of fees and discounts) yield on the fair value of income producing loans in our portfolio was 8.6% and 9.7%, respectively. As of December 31, 2013, 96.8% and 96.4% of our debt portfolio at fair value and at cost, respectively, had interest rate floors that limit the minimum applicable interest rates on such loans. As of September 30, 2013, 96.1% and 95.6% of our debt portfolio at fair value and at cost, respectively, had interest rate floors that limit the minimum applicable interest rates on such loans.

For the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the weighted average interest income (which excludes income resulting from amortization of fees and discounts) yield on the fair value of income producing loans in our portfolio was 9.1%, 9.3% and 8.6%, respectively. As of September 30, 2013, 96.1% and 84.4% of our debt portfolio at fair value and at cost, respectively, had interest rate floors that limit the minimum applicable interest rates on such loans. As of September 30, 2012, 84.4% and 83.7% of our debt portfolio at fair value and at cost, respectively, had interest rate floors that limit the minimum applicable interest rates on such loans.

As of December 31, 2013, the portfolio median EBITDA for our portfolio companies was \$22.8 million. The portfolio median EBITDA data is based on the most recently reported trailing twelve month EBITDA received from the

portfolio company. The portfolio median excludes underlying borrowers in SLF.

Senior Loan Fund

Effective May 31, 2013, we entered an agreement to co-invest with United Insurance through SLF, an unconsolidated Delaware LLC, primarily in senior secured loans of middle-market companies. SLF is capitalized as transactions are completed and all portfolio and investment decisions in respect to SLF must be approved by the SLF investment committee consisting of one representative of each of us and United Insurance. Our representative to the SLF investment committee is currently Gregory A. Robbins, our

TABLE OF CONTENTS

managing director. All investment decisions of SLF require unanimous approval of Mr. Robbins and the representative of United Insurance to the SLF investment committee. SLF is capitalized with subordinated notes and LLC interest subscriptions from us and United Insurance. We have committed to fund \$87.5 million of subordinated notes and United Insurance has committed to fund \$12.5 million of subordinated notes.

As of December 31, 2013, SLF had commitments of \$100.0 million of subordinated notes, of which approximately \$32.4 million and \$4.7 million in aggregate principal amount was funded at December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013, respectively. There were no commitments outstanding as of September 30, 2012 as SLF did not commence operations until May 2013. As of December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013, our investment in SLF consisted of subordinated notes of \$28.4 million and \$4.1 million, respectively, and LLC equity interests of \$4.1 million and \$0.6 million, respectively. As of September 30, 2013, United Insurance's investment in SLF consisted of subordinated notes of \$0.6 million and LLC equity interests of \$0.1 million. As of December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013, United Insurance's investment in SLF consisted of subordinated notes of \$4.1 million and \$0.6 million, respectively, and LLC equity interests of \$0.6 million and \$0.1 million, respectively. As of September 30, 2013, we and United Insurance owned 87.5% and 12.5%, respectively, of both the outstanding subordinated notes and LLC equity interests.

As of December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013, SLF had total assets at fair value of \$37.7 million and \$13.8 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2013, SLF's portfolio was comprised of 13 first lien senior secured loans and six revolving credit loans to U.S. middle market companies and none of these loans was on non-accrual status. As of September 30, 2013, SLF's portfolio was comprised of six first lien senior secured loans and three revolving credit loans to U.S. middle-market companies and none of these loans was on non-accrual status. The portfolio companies in SLF are in industries similar to those in which we may invest directly. SLF did not commence operations until May 2013 and had no assets outstanding as of September 30, 2012.

Below is a summary of SLF's portfolio, followed by a listing of the individual loans in SLF's portfolio as of December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013:

	As of December 31, 2013	As of September 30, 2013
	<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	
Senior secured loans ⁽¹⁾	\$ 36,690	\$ 13,677
Weighted average current interest rate on senior secured loans ⁽²⁾	5.7 %	5.9 %
Number of borrowers in SLF	11	4
Largest loan to a single borrower ⁽¹⁾	\$ 8,291	\$ 8,313
Total of five largest loans to borrowers ⁽¹⁾	\$ 24,775	\$ 13,620

(1) At principal amount.

(2) Computed as the (a) annual stated interest rate on accruing senior secured loans, divided by (b) total senior secured loans at principal amount.

TABLE OF CONTENTS**SLF Loan Portfolio as of December 31, 2013**

Portfolio Company	Business Description	Investment Type	Maturity Date	Current Interest Rate ⁽¹⁾	Principal Amount	Fair Value ⁽²⁾
<i>(In thousands)</i>						
ARG IH Corporation ⁽⁵⁾	Beverage, Food and Tobacco	Senior Loan	11/2020	5.0 %	\$2,167	2,183
Brasa (Holdings) Inc.	Personal, Food and Miscellaneous Services	Senior Loan	07/2019	5.8	8,291	8,391
Diversified Foodservice Supply, Inc. ⁽⁵⁾	Beverage, Food and Tobacco	Senior Loan	12/2018	6.0	4,120	4,078
Diversified Foodservice Supply, Inc. ^{(4),(5)}	Beverage, Food and Tobacco	Senior Loan	12/2018	N/A ⁽³⁾		(3)
El Pollo Loco Inc ⁽⁵⁾	Personal, Food and Miscellaneous Services	Senior Loan	10/2018	5.3	4,776	4,788
Paradigm DKD Group, LLC	Buildings and Real Estate	Senior Loan	11/2018	5.8	2,073	2,053
Paradigm DKD Group, LLC ⁽⁴⁾	Buildings and Real Estate	Senior Loan	11/2018	N/A ⁽³⁾		(7)
Plano Molding Company, LLC ⁽⁵⁾	Home and Office Furnishings, Housewares, and Durable Consumer	Senior Loan	10/2018	5.3	1,862	1,848
Rug Doctor LLC ⁽⁵⁾	Personal and Non Durable Consumer Products	Senior Loan	12/2016	6.3	5,130	5,079
Rug Doctor LLC ⁽⁵⁾	Personal and Non Durable Consumer Products	Senior Loan	12/2016	7.5	200	188
SoftWriters, Inc.	Diversified/Conglomerate Service	Senior Loan	09/2018	5.3	1,578	1,559
SoftWriters, Inc. ⁽⁴⁾	Diversified/Conglomerate Service	Senior Loan	09/2018	N/A ⁽³⁾		(3)
SoftWriters, Inc. ⁽⁴⁾	Diversified/Conglomerate Service	Senior Loan	09/2018	N/A ⁽³⁾		(8)
Systems Maintenance Services Holding, Inc. ⁽⁵⁾	Electronics	Senior Loan	10/2019	5.3	2,458	2,445
Take 5 Oil Change, L.L.C. ⁽⁵⁾	Automobile	Senior Loan	07/2018	6.3	1,441	1,441
Take 5 Oil Change, L.L.C. ⁽⁵⁾	Automobile	Senior Loan	07/2018	6.3	27	27
U.S. Water Services, Inc.	Utilities	Senior Loan	08/2018	5.5	2,218	2,218
U.S. Water Services, Inc.	Utilities	Senior Loan	08/2018	5.5	166	166

U.S. Water Services, Inc.	Utilities	Senior Loan	08/2018	6.5	183	183
					\$36,690	\$36,626

71

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- (1) Represents the weighted average annual current interest rate as of December 31, 2013. All interest rates are payable in cash.
- (2) Represents the fair value in accordance with ASC Topic 820 *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*. The determination of such fair value is not included in our board of directors' valuation process described elsewhere herein.
- (3) The entire commitment was unfunded at December 31, 2013. As such, no interest is being earned on this investment.
- (4) The negative fair value is the result of the capitalized discount on the loan or the unfunded commitment being valued below par.
- (5) We also hold a portion of the first lien senior secured loan in this portfolio company.

SLF Loan Portfolio as of September 30, 2013

Portfolio Company	Business Description	Investment Type	Maturity Date	Current Interest Rate ⁽¹⁾	Principal Amount	Fair Value ⁽²⁾
<i>(In thousands)</i>						
Brasa (Holdings) Inc.	Personal, Food and Miscellaneous Services	Senior Loan	7/20/2019	5.8 %	\$8,313	\$8,365
SoftWriters, Inc.	Diversified/Conglomerate Service	Senior Loan	9/12/2018	6.5	1,578	1,559
SoftWriters, Inc. ⁽⁴⁾	Diversified/Conglomerate Service	Senior Loan	9/12/2018	N/A ⁽³⁾		(8)
SoftWriters, Inc. ⁽⁴⁾	Diversified/Conglomerate Service	Senior Loan	9/12/2018	N/A ⁽³⁾		(3)
Take 5 Oil Change, L.L.C. ⁽⁵⁾	Automobile	Senior Loan	7/12/2018	6.3	1,445	1,434
Take 5 Oil Change, L.L.C. ⁽⁵⁾	Automobile	Senior Loan	7/12/2018	6.3	57	55
U.S. Water Services, Inc.	Utilities	Senior Loan	8/23/2018	5.5	2,218	2,206
U.S. Water Services, Inc.	Utilities	Senior Loan	8/23/2018	6.5	66	63
U.S. Water Services, Inc. ⁽⁴⁾	Utilities	Senior Loan	8/23/2018	N/A ⁽²⁾		(5)
					\$13,677	\$13,666

- (1) Represents the weighted average annual current interest rate as of September 30, 2013. All interest rates are payable in cash.
- (2) Represents the fair value in accordance with ASC Topic 820 *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*. The determination of such fair value is not included in our board of directors' valuation process described elsewhere herein.
- (3) The entire commitment was unfunded at September 30, 2013. As such, no interest is being earned on this investment.
- (4) The negative fair value is the result of the capitalized discount on the loan or the unfunded commitment being valued below par.

(5) We also hold a portion of the first lien senior secured loan in this portfolio company.

The amortized cost and fair value of the subordinated notes held by us was \$28.4 million and \$27.9 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2013 and \$4.1 million and \$4.1 million, respectively, as of September 30, 2013. We did not hold any subordinated notes in SLF as of September 30, 2012. The

TABLE OF CONTENTS

subordinated notes pay a weighted average interest rate of three-month LIBOR plus 4.0% and also entitle the holders thereof to receive a portion of the excess cash flow from the underlying loan portfolio. This may result in a return to the holders of the subordinated notes that is greater than both the contractual coupon on the subordinated notes as well as the weighted average current interest rate on SLF's portfolio of 5.7% and 5.9% at December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013, respectively. For the three months ended December 31, 2013, we earned interest income of \$0.2 million on the subordinated notes. We did not hold any subordinated notes during the three months ended December 31, 2012 as SLF did not commence operations until May 2013. For the year ended September 30, 2013, we earned interest income of \$23,000 on the subordinated notes.

Below is certain summarized financial information for SLF as of December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013 and for the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	As of December 31, 2013	As of September 30, 2013	
	(In thousands)		
Selected Balance Sheet Information, at fair value			
Investments in loans receivable, net of discount for loan origination fees	\$36,626	\$13,666	
Cash and other assets	1,037	155	
Total assets	\$37,663	\$13,821	
Payable for open trades	\$	\$8,259	
Other liabilities	234	37	
Total liabilities	234	\$8,296	
Subordinated notes and members' equity	37,429	5,525	
Total liabilities and members' capital	\$37,663	\$13,821	
Selected Statement of Operations Information:			
Total revenues	\$333	NA	(1)
Total expenses	\$231	NA	(1)
Net change in unrealized appreciation on investments and subordinated notes	\$642	NA	(1)
Net increase in net assets	\$744	NA	(1)

(1) SLF did not commence operations until May 2013.

SLF has elected to fair value the subordinated notes issued to us and United Insurance under ASC Topic 825 *Financial Instruments*, or ASC Topic 825. The subordinated notes are valued by calculating the net present value of the future expected cash flow streams using an appropriate risk-adjusted discount rate model. For the three months ended December 31, 2013, SLF recognized \$0.5 million in unrealized depreciation on the subordinated notes. For the year ended September 30, 2013, SLF recognized \$0.1 million in unrealized depreciation on the subordinated notes.

The following table presents the difference between fair value and the aggregate contractual principal amounts of subordinated notes for which the fair value option has been elected as of December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013:

TABLE OF CONTENTS**Contractual Obligations and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

A summary of our significant contractual payment obligations as of December 31, 2013 is as follows:

	Payments Due by Period (In millions)					More Than 5 Years ⁽¹⁾
	Total	Less Than 1 Year	1 3 Years	3 5 Year ⁽⁴⁾		
Debt Securitization	\$ 215.0	\$	\$	\$		\$ 215.0
SBA debentures	196.3					196.3
Credit Facility Revolver	165.9				165.9	
Unfunded commitments ⁽²⁾	94.3	94.3				
Total contractual obligations ⁽³⁾	\$ 671.5	\$ 94.3	\$	\$ 165.9		\$ 411.3

The notes offered in the Debt Securitization are scheduled to mature on July 20, 2023. The SBA debentures are (1) scheduled to mature between March 2021 and March 2024. The Credit Facility is scheduled to mature on October 20, 2018. The Revolver is scheduled to mature on November 22, 2019.

Unfunded commitments represent all amounts unfunded as of December 31, 2013. These amounts may or may not (2) be funded to the borrowing party now or in the future. The unfunded commitments relate to loans with various maturity dates, but we are showing this amount in the less than one year category as this entire amount was eligible for funding to the borrowers as of December 31, 2013.

(3) Total contractual obligations excludes \$14.4 million of secured borrowings.

We may become a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of our business to meet the financial needs of our portfolio companies. These instruments may include commitments to extend credit and involve, to varying degrees, elements of liquidity and credit risk in excess of the amount recognized in the balance sheet. As of December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013 and 2012, we had outstanding commitments to fund investments totaling \$94.3 million, \$76.3 million and \$56.5 million, respectively.

We have certain contracts under which we have material future commitments. We have entered into the Investment Advisory Agreement with GC Advisors in accordance with the 1940 Act. Under the Investment Advisory Agreement,

GC Advisors provides us with investment advisory and management services. For these services, we pay (1) a management fee equal to a percentage of the average adjusted value of our gross assets and (2) an incentive fee based on our performance. To the extent that GC Advisors or any of its affiliates provides investment advisory, collateral management or other similar services to a subsidiary of ours, we intend to reduce the base management fee by an amount equal to the product of (1) the total fees paid to GC Advisors by such subsidiary for such services and (2) the percentage of such subsidiary's total equity that is owned, directly or indirectly, by us.

We also have entered into the Administration Agreement with the Administrator. Under the Administration Agreement, the Administrator furnishes us with office facilities and equipment, provides us clerical, bookkeeping and record keeping services at such facilities and provides us with other administrative services necessary to conduct our day-to-day operations. We reimburse the Administrator for the allocable portion (subject to the review and approval of our board of directors) of overhead and other expenses incurred by it in performing its obligations under the Administration Agreement, including rent, the fees and expenses associated with performing compliance functions and our allocable portion of the cost of our chief financial officer and chief compliance officer and their respective staffs. The Administrator also provides on our behalf significant managerial assistance to those portfolio companies to

Edgar Filing: Golub Capital BDC, Inc. - Form N-2/A
which we are required to offer to provide such assistance.

74

TABLE OF CONTENTS

If any of the contractual obligations discussed above are terminated, our costs under any new agreements that we enter into may increase. In addition, we would likely incur significant time and expense in locating alternative parties to provide the services we receive under our Investment Advisory Agreement and our Administration Agreement. Any new investment advisory agreement would also be subject to approval by our stockholders.

Distributions

In order to qualify as a RIC and to avoid corporate-level U.S. federal income tax on the income we distribute to our stockholders, we are required under the Code to distribute at least 90% of our net ordinary income and net short-term capital gains in excess of net long-term capital losses, if any, to our stockholders on an annual basis. Additionally, we must meet the annual distribution requirements of the U.S. federal excise tax rules. We intend to make quarterly distributions to our stockholders as determined by our board of directors.

We may not be able to achieve operating results that will allow us to make distributions at a specific level or to increase the amount of our distributions from time to time. In addition, we may be limited in our ability to make distributions due to the asset coverage requirements applicable to us as a business development company under the 1940 Act. If we do not distribute a certain percentage of our income annually, we will suffer adverse U.S. federal income tax consequences, including the possible loss of our qualification as a RIC. We cannot assure stockholders that they will receive any distributions.

To the extent our taxable earnings fall below the total amount of our distributions for that fiscal year, a portion of those distributions may be deemed a return of capital to our stockholders for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Thus, the source of a distribution to our stockholders may be the original capital invested by the stockholder rather than our income or gains. Stockholders should read any written disclosure accompanying a dividend payment carefully and should not assume that the source of any distribution is our ordinary income or gains. For the year ended September 30, 2013, \$4.8 million, or \$0.11 per share, of our distributions to stockholders represented a return of capital. For the year ended September 30, 2012, \$1.1 million, or \$0.04 per share, of our distributions to stockholders represented a return of capital.

We have adopted an opt out dividend reinvestment plan for our common stockholders. As a result, if we declare a distribution, then our stockholders' cash distributions will be automatically reinvested in additional shares of our common stock unless a stockholder specifically opts out of our dividend reinvestment plan. If a stockholder opts out, that stockholder will receive cash distributions. Although distributions paid in the form of additional shares of our common stock will generally be subject to U.S. federal, state and local taxes in the same manner as cash distributions, stockholders participating in our dividend reinvestment plan will not receive any corresponding cash distributions with which to pay any such applicable taxes.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of financial statements and related disclosures in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and revenues and expenses during the periods reported.

Actual results could materially differ from those estimates. We have identified the following items as critical accounting policies.

Valuation of Portfolio Investments

We value investments for which market quotations are readily available at their market quotations. However, a readily available market value is not expected to exist for many of the investments in our portfolio, and we value these portfolio investments at fair value as determined in good faith by our board of directors under our valuation policy and process. We may seek pricing information with respect to certain of our investments from pricing services or brokers or dealers in order to value such investments. We also employ independent third party valuation firms for all of our investments for which there is not a readily available market value.

Valuation methods may include comparisons of the portfolio companies to peer companies that are public, the enterprise value of a portfolio company, the nature and realizable value of any collateral, the

TABLE OF CONTENTS

portfolio company's ability to make payments and its earnings, discounted cash flow, the markets in which the portfolio company does business and other relevant factors. When an external event such as a purchase transaction, public offering or subsequent equity sale occurs, we will consider the pricing indicated by the external event to corroborate the private equity valuation. Due to the inherent uncertainty of determining the fair value of investments that do not have a readily available market value, the fair value of the investments may differ significantly from the values that would have been used had a readily available market value existed for such investments and may differ materially from values that may ultimately be received or settled.

Our board of directors is ultimately and solely responsible for determining, in good faith, the fair value of investments that are not publicly traded, whose market prices are not readily available on a quarterly basis or any other situation where portfolio investments require a fair value determination.

With respect to investments for which market quotations are not readily available, our board of directors undertakes a multi-step valuation process each quarter, as described below:

Our quarterly valuation process begins with each portfolio company investment being initially valued by the investment professionals of GC Advisors responsible for credit monitoring.

Preliminary valuation conclusions are then documented and discussed with our senior management and GC Advisors.

The audit committee of our board of directors reviews these preliminary valuations.

At least once annually, the valuation for each portfolio investment is reviewed by an independent valuation firm.

The board of directors discusses valuations and determines the fair value of each investment in our portfolio in good faith.

The factors that are taken into account in fair value pricing investments include: available current market data, including relevant and applicable market trading and transaction comparables; applicable market yields and multiples; security covenants; call protection provisions; information rights; the nature and realizable value of any collateral; the portfolio company's ability to make payments, its earnings and discounted cash flows and the markets in which it does business; comparisons of financial ratios of peer companies that are public; comparable merger and acquisition transactions; and the principal market and enterprise values.

Determination of fair values involves subjective judgments and estimates. Under current auditing standards, the notes to our consolidated financial statements refer to the uncertainty with respect to the possible effect of such valuations, and any change in such valuations, on our consolidated financial statements.

We follow ASC Topic 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, as amended, for measuring fair value. Fair value is the price that would be received in the sale of an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Where available, fair value is based on observable market prices or parameters, or derived from such prices or parameters. Where observable prices or inputs are not available, valuation models are applied. These valuation models involve some level of management estimation and judgment, the degree of which is dependent on the price transparency for the assets or liabilities or market and the assets' or liabilities' complexity. Our fair value analysis includes an analysis of the value of any unfunded loan commitments. Assets and liabilities are categorized for disclosure purposes based upon the level of judgment associated with the inputs used to measure their value. The valuation hierarchical levels are based upon the transparency of the inputs to the valuation of the asset or liability as of the measurement date. The three levels are defined as follows:

Level 1: Inputs are unadjusted, quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities at the measurement date.

Level 2: Inputs include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets and inputs that are observable for the assets or liabilities, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

76

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Level 3: Inputs include significant unobservable inputs for the assets or liabilities and include situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the assets or liabilities. The inputs into the determination of fair value are based upon the best information available and may require significant management judgment or estimation.

In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, an asset's or a liability's categorization within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, and we consider factors specific to the asset or liability. We assess the levels of investments at each measurement date, and transfers between levels are recognized on the actual date of the event or change in circumstances that caused the transfers. There were no transfers among Level 1, 2 and 3 of the fair value hierarchy for investments during the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 or the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011. The following section describes the valuation techniques used by us to measure different assets and liabilities at fair value and includes the level within the fair value hierarchy in which the assets and liabilities are categorized.

Level 1 assets and liabilities are valued using quoted market prices. Level 2 assets and liabilities are valued using market consensus prices that are corroborated by observable market data and quoted market prices for similar assets and liabilities. Level 3 assets and liabilities are valued at fair value as determined in good faith by our board of directors, based on input of management, the audit committee and independent valuation firms that have been engaged at the direction of our board of directors to assist in the valuation of each portfolio investment without a readily available market quotation at least once during a trailing twelve-month period under a valuation policy and a consistently applied valuation process. This valuation process is conducted at the end of each fiscal quarter, with approximately 25% (based on fair value) of our valuations of debt and equity securities without readily available market quotations subject to review by an independent valuation firm. All assets and liabilities as of December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013 and 2012, other than cash and cash equivalents, were valued using Level 3 inputs of the fair value hierarchy.

When valuing Level 3 debt and equity investments and secured borrowing liabilities, we may take into account the following factors, where relevant, in determining the fair value of the investments: the enterprise value of a portfolio company, the nature and realizable value of any collateral, the portfolio company's ability to make payments and its earnings and discounted cash flows, the markets in which the portfolio company does business, comparisons to publicly traded securities, and changes in the interest rate environment and the credit markets generally that may affect the price at which similar investments may be made and other relevant factors. In addition, for certain debt and equity investments and secured borrowing liabilities, we may base our valuation on indicative bid and ask prices provided by an independent third party pricing service. Bid prices reflect the highest price that we and others may be willing to pay. Ask prices represent the lowest price that we and others may be willing to accept for an investment. We generally use the midpoint of the bid/ask range as our best estimate of fair value of such investment.

Fair value of our debt is estimated by discounting remaining payments using applicable market rates or market quotes for similar instruments at the measurement date, if available.

Due to the inherent uncertainty of determining the fair value of Level 3 assets and liabilities that do not have a readily available market value, the fair value of the assets and liabilities may differ significantly from the values that would have been used had a market existed for such assets and liabilities and may differ materially from the values that may ultimately be received or settled. Further, such assets and liabilities are generally subject to legal and other restrictions or otherwise are less liquid than publicly traded instruments. If we were required to liquidate a portfolio investment in a forced or liquidation sale, we may realize significantly less than the value at which such investment had previously been recorded.

Our investments, borrowings and derivatives are subject to market risk. Market risk is the potential for changes in the value due to market changes. Market risk is directly impacted by the volatility and liquidity in the markets in which the investments, borrowings and derivatives are traded.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Revenue Recognition:

Our revenue recognition policies are as follows:

Investments and Related Investment Income: Our board of directors determines the fair value of our portfolio of investments. Interest income is accrued based upon the outstanding principal amount and contractual interest terms of debt investments. Premiums, discounts, and origination fees are amortized or accreted into interest income over the life of the respective debt investment. For investments with contractual PIK interest, which represents contractual interest accrued and added to the principal balance that generally becomes due at maturity, we do not accrue PIK interest if the portfolio company valuation indicates that the PIK is not likely to be collectible. Dividend income on preferred equity securities is recorded as dividend income on an accrual basis to the extent that such amounts are payable by the portfolio company and are expected to be collected.

Dividend income on common equity securities is recorded on the record date for private portfolio companies or on the ex-dividend date for publicly traded portfolio companies. Distributions received from LLC and LP investments are evaluated to determine if the distribution should be recorded as dividend income or a return of capital. Generally, we will not record distributions from equity investments in LLCs and LPs as dividend income unless there are sufficient accumulated tax-basis earnings and profits in the LLC or LP prior to the distribution. Distributions that are classified as a return of capital are recorded as a reduction in the cost basis of the investment.

We account for investment transactions on a trade-date basis. Realized gains or losses on investments are measured by the difference between the net proceeds from the disposition and the cost basis of investment, without regard to unrealized gains or losses previously recognized. We report changes in fair value of investments from the prior period that are measured at fair value as a component of the net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on investments in our consolidated statement of operations.

We record the fair value of futures contracts based on the unrealized gain or loss of the reference securities of the futures contracts. Upon maturity or settlement of futures contracts, we will realize a gain or loss based on the difference of the fair value of the futures contracts at inception and the fair value of the futures contracts at settlement or expiration. This gain or loss would be included on the consolidated statements of operations as net realized gain (loss) on derivative instruments.

We recorded the fair value of our investment in the TRS based on the unrealized gain or loss of the reference securities of the TRS. For GAAP purposes, realized gains and losses on the TRS were composed of any gains or losses on the referenced portfolio of loans as well as the net interest received or owed at the time of the quarterly settlement. For GAAP purposes, unrealized gains and losses on the TRS were composed of the net interest income earned or interest expense owed during the period that was not previously settled as well as the change in fair value of the referenced portfolio of loans.

Non-accrual: Loans may be left on accrual status during the period we are pursuing repayment of the loan. Management reviews all loans that become past due 90 days or more on principal and interest or when there is reasonable doubt that principal or interest will not be collected for possible placement on non-accrual status. We generally reverse accrued interest when a loan is placed on non-accrual. Interest payments received on non-accrual loans may be recognized as income or applied to principal depending upon management's judgment. We restore non-accrual loans to accrual status when past due principal and interest is paid and, in our management's judgment, are likely to remain current. The total fair value of our non-accrual loans was \$0.7 million and \$3.2 million as of September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Partial loan sales: We follow the guidance in ASC Topic 860, when accounting for loan participations and other partial loan sales. Such guidance requires a participation or other partial loan sale to meet the definition of a participating interest, as defined in the guidance, in order for sale treatment to be allowed. Participations or other partial loan sales that do not meet the definition of a participating interest remain on our statements of assets and liabilities and the proceeds are recorded as a secured borrowing until the definition is met.

TABLE OF CONTENTS**Income taxes:**

We have elected to be treated as a RIC under Subchapter M of the Code and operate in a manner so as to qualify for the tax treatment applicable to RICs. In order to qualify as a RIC, we are required to meet certain source of income and asset diversification requirements and timely distribute to our stockholders at least 90% of investment company taxable income, as defined by the Code, for each year. We have made and intend to continue to make the requisite distributions to our stockholders, which will generally relieve us from U.S. federal income taxes.

Depending on the level of taxable income earned in a tax year, we may choose to retain taxable income in excess of current year distributions into the next tax year in an amount less than what would trigger payments of federal income tax under Subchapter M of the Code. We would then pay a 4% excise tax on such income, as required. To the extent that we determine that our estimated current year annual taxable income may exceed estimated current year distributions, we accrue excise tax, if any, on estimated excess taxable income as taxable income is earned.

Because federal income tax regulations differ from GAAP, distributions in accordance with tax regulations may differ from net investment income and realized gains recognized for financial reporting purposes. Differences may be permanent or temporary. Permanent differences are reclassified within capital accounts in the financial statements to reflect their tax character. Temporary differences arise when certain items of income, expense, gain or loss are recognized at some time in the future. Differences in classification may also result from the treatment of short-term gains as ordinary income for tax purposes.

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

We are subject to financial market risks, including changes in interest rates. Many of the loans in our portfolio have floating interest rates, and we expect that our loans in the future may also have floating interest rates. These loans are usually based on a floating LIBOR and typically have interest rate reset provisions that adjust applicable interest rates under such loans to current market rates on a quarterly basis. The loans that are subject to the floating LIBOR rates are also subject to a minimum base rate, or floor, that we charge on our loans if the current market rates are below the respective floors. As of December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013 and 2012, the weighted average LIBOR floor on the loans subject to floating interest rates was 1.29%, 1.34% and 1.51%, respectively. In addition, the Class A Notes and Class B Notes issued as a part of the Debt Securitization have a floating interest rate provision based on 3-month LIBOR that resets quarterly, the Credit Facility has a floating interest rate provision based on 1-month LIBOR that resets daily, and the Revolver has a floating interest rate provision based on either one-month, two-month or three-month LIBOR that resets at contract maturity. As of December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013, the weighted average LIBOR floor on the secured borrowings, that reset quarterly, was 1.24% and 1.25%. We expect that other credit facilities into which we enter in the future may have floating interest rate provisions.

Assuming that the consolidated statement of financial condition as of December 31, 2013 were to remain constant and that we took no actions to alter our existing interest rate sensitivity, the following table shows the annualized impact of hypothetical base rate changes in interest rates.

Change in interest rates	Increase (decrease) in interest income (in thousands)	Increase (decrease) in interest expense	Net increase (decrease) in investment income
--------------------------	---	--	---

Edgar Filing: Golub Capital BDC, Inc. - Form N-2/A

Down 25 basis points	\$ (74)	\$ (952)	\$ 878
Up 50 basis points	148	1,905	(1,757)
Up 100 basis points	721	3,811	(3,090)
Up 200 basis points	11,228	7,754	3,474
Up 300 basis points	22,207	11,698	10,509

Although we believe that this analysis is indicative of our existing sensitivity to interest rate changes, it does not adjust for changes in the credit market, credit quality, the size and composition of the assets in our portfolio and other business developments, including borrowing under the Debt Securitization, the Credit

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Facility, the Revolver or other borrowings, that could affect net increase in net assets resulting from operations, or net income. Accordingly, we can offer no assurances that actual results would not differ materially from the analysis above.

We may in the future hedge against interest rate fluctuations by using standard hedging instruments such as interest rate swaps, futures, options and forward contracts to the limited extent permitted under the 1940 Act and applicable commodities laws. While hedging activities may insulate us against adverse changes in interest rates, they may also limit our ability to participate in the benefits of lower interest rates with respect to the investments in our portfolio with fixed interest rates.

Senior Securities

Information about our senior securities is shown as of the dates indicated in the below table:

Class and Year	Total Amount Outstanding Exclusive of Treasury Securities ⁽¹⁾ (In thousands)	Asset Coverage per Unit ⁽²⁾	Involuntary Liquidating Preference per Unit ⁽³⁾	Average Market Value per Unit ⁽⁴⁾
Retired Credit Facility				
September 30, 2008	\$ 123,083	\$ 1,137		N/A
September 30, 2009	\$ 315,306	\$ 1,294		N/A
TRS				
September 30, 2011	\$ 77,986	\$ 8,120		N/A
Debt Securitization				
September 30, 2010	\$ 174,000	\$ 2,487		N/A
September 30, 2011	\$ 174,000	\$ 3,620		N/A
September 30, 2012	\$ 174,000	\$ 4,165		N/A
September 30, 2013	\$ 203,000	\$ 5,301		N/A
December 31, 2013	\$ 215,000	\$ 5,812		N/A
Credit Facility				
September 30, 2011	\$ 2,383	\$ 263,101		N/A
September 30, 2012	\$ 54,800	\$ 13,283		N/A
September 30, 2013	\$ 29,600	\$ 36,418		N/A
December 31, 2013	\$ 165,950	\$ 7,547		N/A
Revolver				
December 31, 2013	\$ 0	\$ N/A		N/A
SBA Debentures				
September 30, 2011	\$ 61,300	\$ 10,313		N/A
September 30, 2012	\$ 123,500	\$ 5,886		N/A
September 30, 2013	\$ 179,500	\$ 6,005		N/A
December 31, 2013	\$ 196,250	\$ 6,329		N/A
Total Debt ⁽⁵⁾				

Edgar Filing: Golub Capital BDC, Inc. - Form N-2/A

September 30, 2008	\$ 123,083	\$ 1,137	N/A
September 30, 2009	\$ 315,306	\$ 1,294	N/A
September 30, 2010	\$ 174,000	\$ 2,487	
September 30, 2011	\$ 254,369	\$ 2,240	N/A
September 30, 2012	\$ 228,800	\$ 2,632	N/A
September 30, 2013	\$ 232,600	\$ 3,859	N/A
December 31, 2013	\$ 380,950	\$ 2,770	N/A

80

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- (1) Total amount of each class of senior securities outstanding at the end of the period presented.
Asset coverage per unit is the ratio of the carrying value of our total consolidated assets, less all liabilities and
- (2) indebtedness not represented by senior securities, to the aggregate amount of senior securities representing indebtedness. Asset coverage per unit is expressed in terms of dollar amounts per \$1,000 of indebtedness.
The amount to which such class of senior security would be entitled upon the voluntary liquidation of the issuer in
- (3) preference to any security junior to it. The in this column indicates that the SEC expressly does not require this information to be disclosed for certain types of senior securities.
- (4) Not applicable because such senior securities are not registered for public trading.
- (5) These amounts exclude the SBA debentures pursuant to exemptive relief we received from the SEC on September 13, 2011.

81

TABLE OF CONTENTS**PRICE RANGE OF COMMON STOCK**

Our common stock began trading on April 15, 2010 and is currently traded on The Nasdaq Global Select Market under the symbol GBDC. The following table lists the high and low closing sale price for our common stock, the closing sale price as a percentage of net asset value, or NAV, and quarterly distributions per share since October 1, 2011.

Period	NAV ⁽¹⁾	Closing Sales Price		Premium of High Sales Price to NAV ⁽²⁾		Premium (Discount) of Low Sales Price to NAV ⁽²⁾		Declared Distributions ⁽³⁾
		High	Low					
Fiscal year ended September 30, 2012								
First quarter	\$14.53	\$16.00	\$14.16	10.1	%	(2.5)	%	\$0.32
Second quarter	\$14.69	\$15.95	\$14.57	8.6	%	(0.8)	%	\$0.32
Third quarter	\$14.58	\$15.18	\$14.25	4.1	%	(2.3)	%	\$0.32
Fourth quarter	\$14.60	\$16.00	\$15.05	9.6	%	3.1	%	\$0.32
Fiscal year ending September 30, 2013								
First quarter	\$14.66	\$16.32	\$14.75	11.3	%	0.6	%	\$0.32
Second quarter	\$14.80	\$16.66	\$15.82	12.6	%	6.9	%	\$0.32
Third quarter	\$15.12	\$17.98	\$16.02	18.9	%	6.0	%	\$0.32
Fourth quarter	\$15.21	\$18.50	\$16.76	21.6	%	10.2	%	\$0.32
Fiscal year ending September 30, 2014								
First quarter	\$15.23	\$19.11	\$16.74	25.5	%	9.9	%	\$0.32
Second quarter (through February 18, 2014)	N/A	\$18.98	\$17.83	N/A		N/A		\$0.32

NAV per share is determined as of the last day in the relevant quarter and therefore may not reflect the NAV per (1) share on the date of the high and low sales prices. The NAVs shown are based on outstanding shares at the end of each period.

(2) Calculated as of the respective high or low closing sales price divided by the quarter end NAV.

(3) Includes a return of capital for tax purposes of approximately \$0.04 per share for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012 and \$0.11 per share for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013.

Shares of business development companies may trade at a market price that is less than the NAV that is attributable to those shares. Our NAV was \$15.23, \$15.21 and \$14.60 as of December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Our shares traded on The NASDAQ Global Select Market at \$19.11, \$17.32 and \$15.90 as of December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, which represented a premium to NAV of 25.5%, 13.9% and 8.9%, respectively. The possibility that our shares of common stock will trade at a discount from net asset value or at a premium that is unsustainable over the long term is separate and distinct from the risk that our net asset value will decrease. It is not possible to predict whether our shares will trade at, above or below net asset value in the future.

On February 18, 2014, the last reported closing price of our common stock was \$18.96 per share. As of February 18, 2014, we had 352 stockholders of record.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

THE COMPANY

General

We are an externally managed, closed-end, non-diversified management investment company that has elected to be regulated as a business development company under the 1940 Act. In addition, for tax purposes, we have elected to be treated as a RIC under Subchapter M of the Code. We were formed in November 2009 to continue and expand the business of our predecessor, GCMF, which commenced operations in July 2007, to make investments primarily in senior secured, one stop, second lien and subordinated loans and warrants and minority equity securities of middle market companies that are, in most cases, sponsored by private equity firms.

Our investment objective is to generate current income and capital appreciation by investing primarily in senior secured, one stop, second lien, subordinated loans of, and warrants and minority equity securities in, U.S. middle market companies. We intend to achieve our investment objective by (1) accessing the established loan origination channels developed by Golub Capital, a leading lender to middle market companies with over \$8.0 billion of capital under management, (2) selecting investments within our core middle market company focus, (3) partnering with experienced sponsors, in many cases with whom we have invested alongside in the past, (4) implementing the disciplined underwriting standards of Golub Capital and (5) drawing upon the aggregate experience and resources of Golub Capital.

As of December 31, 2013, our portfolio at fair value was comprised of 24.6% senior secured loans, 59.5% one stop loans, 9.4% second lien loans, 0.5% subordinated loans, 3.2% equity and 2.8% of investments in SLF. As of September 30, 2013, our portfolio at fair value was comprised of 28.9% senior secured loans, 54.1% one stop loans, 11.0% second lien loans, 2.2% subordinated loans, 3.3% equity and 0.5% of investments in SLF. As of September 30, 2012, our portfolio at fair value was comprised of 40.7% senior secured loans, 39.5% one stop loans, 6.6% second lien loans, 10.0% subordinated loans and 3.2% equity.

We seek to create a diverse portfolio that includes primarily senior secured, one stop, second lien and subordinated loans and warrants and minority equity securities by investing approximately \$5 million to \$25 million of capital, on average, in the securities of middle market companies. We may also selectively invest more than \$25 million in some of our portfolio companies and generally expect that the size of our individual investments will vary proportionately with the size of our capital base.

In the current environment, we continue to focus on one stop investments given the greater principal protection from the first lien nature of these loans. However, we have recently seen some compelling risk/reward opportunities in subordinated debt.

Our Adviser

Our investment activities are managed by our investment adviser, GC Advisors. GC Advisors is responsible for sourcing potential investments, conducting research and due diligence on prospective investments and equity sponsors, analyzing investment opportunities, structuring our investments and monitoring our investments and portfolio companies on an ongoing basis. GC Advisors was organized in September 2008 and is a registered investment adviser under the Advisers Act. Under the Investment Advisory Agreement, we pay GC Advisors a base management fee and an incentive fee for its services. See Management Agreements Investment Advisory Agreement for a discussion of the base management fee and incentive fee, including the cumulative income incentive fee and the

income and capital gains incentive fee, payable by us to GC Advisors. Unlike most closed-end funds whose fees are based on assets net of leverage, our base management fee is based on our average-adjusted gross assets (including assets purchased with borrowed funds and securitization-related assets, leverage, unrealized depreciation or appreciation on derivative instruments, and cash collateral on deposit with custodian but adjusted to exclude cash and cash equivalents so that investors do not pay the base management fee on such assets) and, therefore, GC Advisors benefits when we incur debt or use leverage. For purposes of the Investment Advisory Agreement, cash equivalents means U.S. government securities and commercial paper instruments maturing within 270 days of purchase (which is different than the definition under GAAP, which defines cash equivalents as U.S. government securities and commercial paper instruments maturing within 90 days of purchase). Additionally, under the incentive fee structure, GC Advisors benefits when capital gains are recognized and, because it

TABLE OF CONTENTS

determines when a holding is sold, GC Advisors controls the timing of the recognition of capital gains. Our board of directors is charged with protecting our interests by monitoring how GC Advisors addresses these and other conflicts of interest associated with its management services and compensation. While not expected to review or approve each borrowing, our independent directors periodically review GC Advisors' services and fees as well as its portfolio management decisions and portfolio performance. In connection with these reviews, our independent directors consider whether our fees and expenses (including those related to leverage) remain appropriate. See Management Agreements, Investment Advisory Agreement, Board Approval of the Investment Advisory Agreement.

GC Advisors is an affiliate of Golub Capital and has entered into the Staffing Agreement with Golub Capital LLC. Under the Staffing Agreement, these companies make experienced investment professionals available to GC Advisors and provide access to the senior investment personnel of Golub Capital and its affiliates. The Staffing Agreement provides GC Advisors with access to deal flow generated by Golub Capital and its affiliates in the ordinary course of their businesses and commits the members of GC Advisors' investment committee to serve in that capacity. As our investment adviser, GC Advisors is obligated to allocate investment opportunities among us and its other clients fairly and equitably over time in accordance with its allocation policy. See Related Party Transactions and Certain Relationships. However, there can be no assurance that such opportunities will be allocated to us fairly or equitably in the short-term or over time. GC Advisors seeks to capitalize on the significant deal origination, credit underwriting, due diligence, investment structuring, execution, portfolio management and monitoring experience of Golub Capital's investment professionals.

Golub Capital LLC

Golub Capital LLC, an affiliate of GC Advisors, provides the administrative services necessary for us to operate. The Administrator furnishes us with office facilities and equipment and provides us clerical, bookkeeping, recordkeeping and other administrative services at such facilities. Under the Administration Agreement, the Administrator performs, or oversees the performance of, our required administrative services, which include, among other things, being responsible for the financial records we are required to maintain and preparing our reports to our stockholders and reports filed with the SEC. In addition, the Administrator also assists us in determining and publishing our net asset value, oversees the preparation and filing of our tax returns, printing and disseminating reports to our stockholders and generally oversees the payment of our expenses and the performance of administrative and professional services rendered to us by others. The Administrator may retain third parties to assist in providing administrative services to us. To the extent that the Administrator outsources any of its functions, we pay the fees associated with such functions on a direct basis without profit to the Administrator. We reimburse the Administrator for the allocable portion (subject to the review and approval of our board of directors) of the Administrator's overhead and other expenses incurred by it in performing its obligations under the Administration Agreement, including rent, the fees and expenses associated with performing compliance functions, and our allocable portion of the cost of our chief financial officer and chief compliance officer and their respective staffs. The Administrator also provides on our behalf significant managerial assistance to those portfolio companies to which we are required to provide such assistance.

About Golub Capital

Golub Capital, founded in 1994, is a leading lender to middle-market companies, with over \$8.0 billion of capital under management, Golub Capital has been ranked a Top 3 Traditional Middle Market Bookrunner each year from 2008 through 4Q 2013 by Thompson Reuters LPC for senior secured loans of up to \$100 million for leveraged buyouts (based on number of deals completed). In 2013, Golub Capital was awarded Finance Monthly's Global Awards 2013 Credit Asset Manager of the Year, and DealMakers M&A Awards 2013 Middle Market Lender of the Year. In 2012, Golub Capital was awarded ACG New York Champion's Award for Senior Lender Firm of the Year.

and the M&A Advisor award for Lender Firm of the Year. These awards do not constitute an endorsement by any such organization of the securities being offered by this prospectus.

Since its inception, Golub Capital has closed deals with over 150 middle-market sponsors and repeat transactions with over 100 sponsors. We believe that Golub Capital enjoys robust deal flow. Golub Capital

84

TABLE OF CONTENTS

received notice of approximately 1,600 potential investments in both 2012 and 2013, many of which we believe were proprietary or relationship-based opportunities.

Golub Capital has a long track record of investing in senior secured, one stop, second lien and subordinated loans. As of December 31, 2013, Golub Capital manages over \$6.0 billion of invested or available capital for senior secured, one stop, second lien and subordinated loan investments in middle-market companies. As of December 31, 2013, Golub Capital had debt and equity investments in 135 portfolio companies. Golub Capital has developed expertise in industries such as business and consumer services, consumer products, defense, value-added distribution, healthcare services, manufacturing, media and restaurants.

Golub Capital's middle market lending group is managed by a four-member senior management team consisting of Lawrence E. Golub, David B. Golub, Andrew H. Steuerman and Gregory W. Cashman. As of December 31, 2013, Golub Capital's more than 55 investment professionals had an average of over 12 years of investment experience and were supported by more than 100 administrative and back office personnel that focus on operations, finance, legal and compliance, accounting and reporting, marketing, information technology and office management.

Market Opportunity

We intend to pursue an investment strategy focused on investing primarily in senior secured, one stop, second lien and subordinated loans of, and warrants and minority equity securities in, U.S. middle-market companies. We believe the economic recession and the recent dislocation in U.S. credit markets have provided excellent conditions for middle-market lending. We find the middle-market attractive for the following reasons:

Target Market. We believe that small and middle-market companies in the United States with annual revenues between \$10 million and \$2.5 billion represent a significant growth segment of the U.S. economy and often require substantial capital investments to grow. Middle market companies have generated a significant number of investment opportunities for investment funds managed or advised by Golub Capital, and we believe that this market segment will continue to produce significant investment opportunities for us.

Specialized Lending Requirements. We believe that several factors render many U.S. financial institutions ill-suited to lend to U.S. middle-market companies. For example, based on the experience of our management team, lending to U.S. middle-market companies (1) is generally more labor intensive than lending to larger companies due to the smaller size of each investment and the fragmented nature of information for such companies, (2) requires due diligence and underwriting practices consistent with the demands and economic limitations of the middle market and (3) may also require more extensive ongoing monitoring by the lender.

Demand for Debt Capital. We believe there is a large pool of uninvested private equity capital for middle-market companies. We expect private equity firms will seek to leverage their investments by combining equity capital with senior secured loans and subordinated debt from other sources, such as us.

Competition from Bank Lenders. We believe that many traditional bank lenders to middle-market businesses have either exited or de-emphasized their service and product offerings in the middle market. These traditional lenders have instead focused on lending and providing other services to large corporate clients. We believe this has resulted in fewer key players and the reduced availability of debt capital to the companies we target.

Market Environment. We believe that as part of the path of economic recovery following the credit crisis, there has been increased competition for new middle-market investments due to some new non-bank finance companies that

have entered the market and due to improving financial performance of middle-market companies. However, we believe that our scale and strong market position will continue to allow us to find investment opportunities with attractive risk-adjusted returns.

Competitive Strengths

Deep, Experienced Management Team. We are managed by GC Advisors, which, as of December 31, 2013, had access through the Staffing Agreement to the resources and expertise of Golub Capital's more than

85

TABLE OF CONTENTS

155 employees, led by our chairman, Lawrence E. Golub, and our chief executive officer, David B. Golub. As of December 31, 2013, Golub Capital's more than 55 investment professionals had an average of over 12 years of investment experience and were supported by more than 100 administrative and back office personnel that focus on operations, finance, legal and compliance, accounting and reporting, marketing, information technology, and office management. Golub Capital seeks to hire and retain high-quality investment professionals and reward those personnel based on investor returns. In 2013, Golub Capital was awarded Finance Monthly's Global Awards 2013 Credit Asset Manager of the Year, and DealMakers M&A Awards 2013 Middle Market Lender of the Year. In 2012, Golub Capital was awarded The Association for Corporate Growth (ACG) New York Champion's Award for Senior Lender Firm of the Year and the M&A Advisor award for Lender Firm of the Year. These awards do not constitute an endorsement by such organization of the securities being offered by this prospectus.

Leading U.S. Debt Platform Provides Access to Proprietary Relationship-Based Deal Flow. GC Advisors gives us access to the deal flow of Golub Capital, one of the leading middle-market lenders in the United States. Golub Capital has been ranked a Top 3 Traditional Middle Market Bookrunner each year from 2008 through 4Q 2013 by Thompson Reuters LPC for senior secured loans of up to \$100 million for leveraged buyouts (based on number of deals completed). We believe this market position makes Golub Capital the first choice lender to many sponsors. Since its inception, Golub Capital has closed deals with over 150 middle-market sponsors and repeat transactions with over 100 sponsors. We believe that Golub Capital receives relationship-based early looks and last looks at many investment opportunities in the U.S. middle-market market, allowing it to be highly selective in the transactions it pursues.

Disciplined Investment and Underwriting Process. GC Advisors utilizes the established investment process of Golub Capital for reviewing lending opportunities, structuring transactions and monitoring investments. Using its disciplined approach to lending, GC Advisors seeks to minimize credit losses through effective underwriting, comprehensive due diligence investigations, structuring and the implementation of restrictive debt covenants. We expect that GC Advisors will select borrowers whose businesses will retain significant value, even in a depressed market or a distressed sale. We intend to reduce risk further by focusing on proven, successful sponsors. While emphasizing thorough credit analysis, we intend to maintain strong relationships with sponsors by offering rapid initial feedback from senior investment professionals to each investment opportunity shown to us.

Regimented Credit Monitoring. Following each investment, GC Advisors implements a regimented credit monitoring system. This careful approach, which involves ongoing review and analysis by teams of professionals, has enabled us to identify problems early and to assist borrowers before they face difficult liquidity constraints. If necessary, GC Advisors can assume the role of deal sponsor in a work-out situation and has extensive restructuring experience, both in and out of bankruptcy. We believe in the need to prepare for possible negative contingencies in order to address them promptly should they arise.

Concentrated Middle-Market Focus. Because of our focus on the middle-market, we understand the following general characteristics of middle-market lending:

middle-market companies are generally less leveraged than large companies and, we believe, offer more attractive investment returns in the form of upfront fees, prepayment penalties and higher interest rates;
middle-market issuers are more likely to have simple capital structures;
carefully structured covenant packages enable middle-market lenders to take early action to remediate poor financial performance; and
middle-market lenders can undertake thorough due diligence investigations prior to investment.

Investment Criteria/Guidelines

Our investment objective is to generate current income and capital appreciation, by investing primarily in senior secured, one stop, second lien and subordinated loans of, and warrants and minority equity securities in, U.S. middle-market companies. We seek to generate strong risk-adjusted net returns by assembling a diversified portfolio of investments across a broad range of industries and private equity investors.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

We primarily target U.S. middle-market companies controlled by private equity investors that require capital for growth, acquisitions, recapitalizations, refinancings and leveraged buyouts. We may also make opportunistic loans to independently owned and publicly held middle-market companies. We seek to partner with strong management teams executing long-term growth strategies. Target businesses will typically exhibit some or all of the following characteristics:

annual EBITDA of \$5 million to \$50 million;
sustainable leading positions in their respective markets;
scalable revenues and operating cash flow;
experienced management teams with successful track records;
stable, predictable cash flows with low technology and market risks;
a substantial equity cushion in the form of capital ranking junior to our investment;
low capital expenditures requirements;
a North American base of operations;
strong customer relationships;
products, services or distribution channels having distinctive competitive advantages;
defensible niche strategy or other barriers to entry; and
demonstrated growth strategies.

While we believe that the criteria listed above are important in identifying and investing in prospective portfolio companies, not all of these criteria will be met by each prospective portfolio company.

Investment Process Overview

We view our investment process as consisting of four distinct phases described below:

Origination. GC Advisors sources investment opportunities through access to a network of over 10,000 individual contacts developed in the financial services and related industries by Golub Capital and managed through a proprietary customer relationship database. Among these contacts is an extensive network of private equity firms and relationships with leading middle market senior lenders. The senior deal professionals of Golub Capital supplement these leads through personal visits and marketing campaigns. It is their responsibility to identify specific opportunities, to refine opportunities through candid exploration of the underlying facts and circumstances and to apply creative and flexible thinking to solve clients' financing needs. Golub Capital's origination personnel are located in offices in New York and Chicago. Each originator maintains long-standing customer relationships and is responsible for covering a specified target market. We believe those originators' strength and breadth of relationships across a wide range of markets generate numerous financing opportunities, which we believe enables GC Advisors to be highly selective in recommending investments to us.

Underwriting. We utilize the systematic, consistent approach to underwriting developed by Golub Capital, with a particular focus on determining the value of a business in a downside scenario. The key criteria that we consider include (1) strong and resilient underlying business fundamentals, (2) a substantial equity cushion in the form of capital ranking junior in right of payment to our investment and (3) a conclusion that overall downside risk is manageable. While the size of this equity cushion will vary over time and across industries, the equity cushion generally sought by GC Advisors today is between 35% and 45% of total portfolio capitalization. We generally focus on the criteria developed by Golub Capital for evaluating prospective portfolio companies. In evaluating a particular company, we put more emphasis on credit considerations (such as (1) loan-to-value ratio (which is the amount of our loan divided by the enterprise value of the company in which we are investing), (2) the ability of the company to maintain a liquidity cushion through economic cycles and in downside scenarios, (3) the ability of the company to

TABLE OF CONTENTS

service its fixed charge obligations under a variety of scenarios and (4) its anticipated strategic value in a downturn) than on profit potential and loan pricing. Our due diligence process for middle market credits will typically entail:

a thorough review of historical and pro forma financial information,
on-site visits,
interviews with management and employees,
a review of loan documents and material contracts,
third-party quality of earnings accounting due diligence,
when appropriate, background checks on key managers and research relating to the company's business, industry, markets, customers, suppliers, products and services and competitors, and
the commission of third-party market studies when appropriate.

The following chart illustrates the stages of Golub Capital's evaluation and underwriting process:

ILLUSTRATIVE DEAL EVALUATION PROCESS

Execution. In executing transactions for us, GC Advisors utilizes the due diligence process developed by Golub Capital. Through a consistent approach to underwriting and careful attention to the details of execution, it seeks to close deals as fast or faster than competitive financing providers while maintaining discipline with respect to credit, pricing and structure to ensure the ultimate success of the financing. Upon completion of due diligence, the investment team working on an investment delivers a memorandum to GC Advisors' investment committee. Once an investment has been approved by the investment committee on a consensus basis, it moves through a series of steps generally, including initial documentation using standard document templates, final documentation, including resolution of business points and the execution of original documents held in escrow. Upon completion of final documentation, a loan is funded upon the execution of an investment committee memorandum by members of GC Advisors' investment committee.

Monitoring. We view active portfolio monitoring as a vital part of our investment process. We consider board observation rights, where appropriate, regular dialogue with company management and sponsors and detailed, internally generated monitoring reports to be critical to our performance. Golub Capital has developed a monitoring template that is designed to reasonably ensure compliance with these standards. This template is used by GC Advisors as a tool to assess investment performance relative to our investment plan. In addition, our portfolio companies may rely on us to provide them with financial and capital markets expertise.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

As part of the monitoring process, GC Advisors regularly assesses the risk profile of each of our investments and rates each of them based on an internal system developed by Golub Capital and its affiliates. This system is not generally accepted in our industry or used by our competitors. It is based on the following categories, which we refer to as GC Advisors' internal performance rating:

Internal Performance Ratings

Rating Definition

5	Involves the least amount of risk in our portfolio. The borrower is performing above expectations, and the trends and risk factors are generally favorable.
4	Involves an acceptable level of risk that is similar to the risk at the time of origination. The borrower is generally performing as expected, and the risk factors are neutral to favorable.
3	Involves a borrower performing below expectations and indicates that the loan's risk has increased somewhat since origination. The borrower may be out of compliance with debt covenants; however, loan payments are generally not past due.
2	Involves a borrower performing materially below expectations and indicates that the loan's risk has increased materially since origination. In addition to the borrower being generally out of compliance with debt covenants, loan payments may be past due (but generally not more than 180 days past due).
1	Involves a borrower performing substantially below expectations and indicates that the loan's risk has substantially increased since origination. Most or all of the debt covenants are out of compliance and payments are substantially delinquent. Loans rated 1 are not anticipated to be repaid in full and we will reduce the fair market value of the loan to the amount we anticipate will be recovered.

Our internal performance ratings do not constitute any rating of investments by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization or represent or reflect any third-party assessment of any of our investments.

For any investment rated 1, 2 or 3, GC Advisors will increase its monitoring intensity and prepare regular updates for the investment committee, summarizing current operating results and material impending events and suggesting recommended actions.

GC Advisors monitors and, when appropriate, changes the internal performance ratings assigned to each investment in our portfolio. In connection with our valuation process, GC Advisors and our board of directors review these internal performance ratings on a quarterly basis.

The following table shows the distribution of our investments on the 1 to 5 internal performance rating scale at fair value as of December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013 and 2012:

Internal Performance Rating	December 31, 2013		September 30, 2013		September 30, 2012	
	Investments at Fair Value (In thousands)	Percentage of Total Investments	Investments at Fair Value (In thousands)	Percentage of Total Investments	Investments at Fair Value (In thousands)	Percentage of Total Investments
5	\$161,868	13.7 %	\$178,993	17.5 %	\$145,414	21.6 %
4	946,309	80.2	750,611	73.3	468,182	69.6

3	68,726	5.8	88,458	8.6	55,149	8.2
2	2,414	0.2	6,521	0.6	340	0.1
1	602	0.1	62	0.0	3,825	0.5
Total	\$1,179,919	100.0 %	\$1,024,645	100.0 %	\$672,910	100.0 %

Investment Committee

The purpose of GC Advisors' investment committee, which is comprised of officers of GC Advisors, is to evaluate and approve all of our investments, subject to the oversight of our board of directors. The investment committee process is intended to bring the diverse experience and perspectives of the committee's members to

TABLE OF CONTENTS

the analysis and consideration of each investment. The investment committee currently consists of Lawrence E. Golub, David B. Golub, Andrew H. Steuerman and Gregory W. Cashman. The investment committee serves to provide investment consistency and adherence to our core investment philosophy and policies. The investment committee also determines appropriate investment sizing and suggests ongoing monitoring requirements.

In addition to reviewing investments, investment committee meetings serve as a forum to discuss credit views and outlooks. Potential transactions and deal flow are reviewed on a regular basis. Members of the investment team are encouraged to share information and credit views with the investment committee early in their analysis. We believe this process improves the quality of the analysis and assists the deal team members to work more efficiently.

Each transaction is presented to the investment committee in a formal written report. All of our new investments must be approved by a consensus of the investment committee. Each member of the investment committee performs a similar role for other investment funds, accounts or other investment vehicles, collectively referred to as accounts, sponsored or managed by Golub Capital and its affiliates.

Investment Structure

Once we have determined that a prospective portfolio company is suitable for investment, we work with the management of that company and its other capital providers to structure an investment. We negotiate among these parties to agree on how our investment is expected to perform relative to the other capital in the portfolio company's capital structure.

We structure our investments, which typically have maturities of three to seven years, as follows:

Senior Secured Loans. We structure these investments as senior secured loans. We obtain security interests in the assets of the portfolio company that serve as collateral in support of the repayment of such loans. This collateral may take the form of first-priority liens on the assets of the portfolio company borrower. Our senior secured loans may provide for moderate loan amortization in the early years of the loan, with the majority of the amortization deferred until loan maturity.

One Stop Loans. We structure our one stop loans as senior secured loans. We obtain security interests in the assets of the portfolio company that serve as collateral in support of the repayment of these loans. This collateral may take the form of first-priority liens on the assets of the portfolio company. One stop loans typically provide for moderate loan amortization in the initial years of the facility, with the majority of the amortization deferred until loan maturity. One stop loans generally allow the borrower to make a large lump sum payment of principal at the end of the loan term, and there is a risk of loss if the borrower is unable to pay the lump sum or refinance the amount owed at maturity. In many cases, we are the sole lender, or we together with our affiliates are the sole lenders, of one stop loans, which can afford us additional influence over the borrower in terms of monitoring and, if necessary, remediation in the event of underperformance.

Second Lien Loans. We structure these investments as junior, secured loans. We obtain security interests in the assets of the portfolio company that serve as collateral in support of the repayment of such loans. This collateral may take the form of second priority liens on the assets of a portfolio company. Second lien loans typically provide for moderate loan amortization in the initial years of the facility, with the majority of the amortization deferred until loan maturity.

Subordinated Loans. We structure these investments as unsecured, subordinated loans that provide for relatively high, fixed interest rates that provide us with significant current interest income. These loans typically have interest-only payments (often representing a combination of cash pay and PIK interest) in the early years, with amortization of principal deferred until loan maturity. Subordinated loans generally allow the borrower to make a large lump sum payment of principal at the end of the loan term, and there is a risk of loss if the borrower is unable to pay the lump sum or refinance the amount owed at maturity.

Subordinated loan investments are generally more volatile than secured loans and may involve a greater risk of loss of principal. In addition, the PIK feature of many subordinated loans, which effectively operates as negative amortization of loan principal, increases credit risk exposure over the life of the loan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Warrants and Minority Equity Securities. In some cases, we may also receive nominally priced warrants or options to buy a minority equity interest in the portfolio company in connection with a loan. As a result, as a portfolio company appreciates in value, we may achieve additional investment return from this equity interest. We may structure such warrants to include provisions protecting our rights as a minority-interest holder, as well as a put, or right to sell such securities back to the issuer, upon the occurrence of specified events. In many cases, we may also seek to obtain registration rights in connection with these equity interests, which may include demand and piggyback registration rights.

Senior Loan Fund. We have invested in SLF, which as of September 30, 2013 consisted of a portfolio of loans to four different borrowers in industries similar to the companies in our portfolio. SLF invests in debt securities that are secured by a first lien on some or all of the issuer's assets, including traditional senior debt and any related revolving or similar credit facility, in generally the same manner as our senior secured loans.

We tailor the terms of each investment to the facts and circumstances of the transaction and the prospective portfolio company, negotiating a structure that protects our rights and manages our risk while creating incentives for the portfolio company to achieve its business plan and improve its operating results. We seek to limit the downside potential of our investments by:

- selecting investments that we believe have a very low probability of loss;
- requiring a total return on our investments (including both interest and potential equity appreciation) that we believe will compensate us appropriately for credit risk; and
- negotiating covenants in connection with our investments that afford our portfolio companies as much flexibility in managing their businesses as possible, consistent with the preservation of our capital. Such restrictions may include affirmative and negative covenants, default penalties, lien protection, change of control provisions and board rights, including either observation or rights to a seat on the board of directors under some circumstances.

We expect to hold most of our investments to maturity or repayment, but we may sell some of our investments earlier if a liquidity event occurs, such as a sale, recapitalization or worsening of the credit quality of the portfolio company.

Investments

We seek to create a diverse portfolio that includes primarily senior secured, one stop, second lien and subordinated loans and warrants and minority equity securities by investing approximately \$5 million to \$25 million of capital, on average, in the securities of middle-market companies. Set forth below is a list of our ten largest portfolio company investments as of December 31, 2013, as well as the top ten industries in which we and our subsidiaries were invested as of December 31, 2013, in each case calculated as a percentage of our total investments as of such date.

Portfolio Company ⁽¹⁾	Fair Value of Investments (In thousands)	Percentage of Total Investments %
Atkins Nutritionals, Inc	\$ 41,021	3.5
Packaging Coordinators, Inc.	39,897	3.4
ABRA, Inc	39,228	3.3
API Healthcare Corporation	33,722	2.9
PMI Holdings, Inc.	33,150	2.8
Dialysis Newco, Inc.	31,520	2.7
Market Track, LLC	29,493	2.5

Edgar Filing: Golub Capital BDC, Inc. - Form N-2/A

Boot Barn, Inc.	24,614	2.1	
Vision Source L.P.	20,606	1.7	
Starplex Operating, L.L.C.	18,465	1.6	
	\$ 311,716	26.4	%

(1) Excludes the Company's investments in SLF subordinated notes and LLC equity interests with a fair value totaling \$32,750 that represents 2.8% of total investments.

91

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Industry	Fair Value of Investments (In thousands)	Percentage of Total Investments
Healthcare, Education and Childcare	\$ 172,632	14.6 %
Retail Stores	163,073	13.8
Diversified Conglomerate Service	144,309	12.2
Beverage, Food and Tobacco	120,065	10.2
Electronics	83,772	7.1
Personal, Food and Miscellaneous Services	68,224	5.8
Leisure, Amusement, Motion Pictures and Entertainment	60,750	5.1
Diversified Conglomerate Manufacturing	56,187	4.8
Automobile	52,426	4.4
Containers, Packaging and Glass	42,836	3.6
	\$ 964,274	81.7 %

Managerial Assistance

As a business development company, we offer, and must provide upon request, managerial assistance to our portfolio companies. This assistance could involve monitoring the operations of our portfolio companies, participating in board and management meetings, consulting with and advising officers of portfolio companies and providing other organizational and financial guidance. The Administrator or an affiliate of the Administrator provides such managerial assistance on our behalf to portfolio companies that request this assistance. We may receive fees for these services and reimburse the Administrator or an affiliate of the Administrator, as applicable, for its allocated costs in providing such assistance, subject to the review and approval by our board of directors, including our independent directors.

Competition

Our primary competitors in providing financing to middle market companies include public and private funds, other business development companies, commercial and investment banks, commercial financing companies and, to the extent they provide an alternative form of financing, private equity and hedge funds. Many of our competitors are substantially larger and have considerably greater financial, technical and marketing resources than we do. For example, we believe some competitors may have access to funding sources that are not available to us. In addition, some of our competitors may have higher risk tolerances or different risk assessments, which could allow them to consider a wider variety of investments and establish more relationships than us. Furthermore, many of our competitors are not subject to the regulatory restrictions that the 1940 Act imposes on us as a business development company or to the source-of-income, asset diversification and distribution requirements we must satisfy to maintain our qualification as a RIC.

We use the expertise of the investment professionals of Golub Capital and its affiliates to which we have access to assess investment risks and determine appropriate pricing for our investments in portfolio companies. In addition, the relationships of the senior members of Golub Capital and its affiliates enable us to learn about, and compete effectively for, financing opportunities with attractive middle-market companies in the industries in which we invest. For additional information concerning the competitive risks we face, see **Risk Factors** **Risks Relating to our Business and Structure**. We operate in a highly competitive market for investment opportunities, which could reduce returns and result in losses.

Administration

We do not have any direct employees, and our day-to-day investment operations are managed by GC Advisors. We have a chief executive officer, chief financial officer and chief compliance officer and, to the extent necessary, our board of directors may elect to hire additional personnel going forward. Our officers are employees of Golub Capital LLC, an affiliate of GC Advisors, and our allocable portion of the cost of our chief financial officer and chief compliance officer and their respective staffs are paid by us pursuant to the Administration Agreement. Some of our executive officers described under Management are also officers of GC Advisors. See Management Agreements Administration Agreement.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Properties

We do not own any real estate or other physical properties materially important to our operation. Our headquarters are located at 150 South Wacker Drive, Suite 800, Chicago, IL 60606 and are provided by the Administrator pursuant to the Administration Agreement. We believe that our office facilities are suitable and adequate to our business.

Legal Proceedings

We, GC Advisors, the Administrator and our wholly-owned subsidiaries are not currently subject to any material legal proceedings.

93

TABLE OF CONTENTS**PORTFOLIO COMPANIES**

The following table sets forth certain information as of December 31, 2013 for each portfolio company in which we had an investment. The general terms of each type of investment, including information on our security interests in the assets of the portfolio companies and the expected interest rates on such investments, are described in The Company Investment Structure. Other than our equity investments and our investment in SLF, our only formal relationships with our portfolio companies are the managerial assistance that we may provide upon request and the board observer or participation rights we may receive in connection with our investment. As indicated by footnote to the following table, we are deemed to control, as defined in the 1940 Act, SLF because we own more than 25% of SLF's outstanding voting securities. Other than our investment in SLF, we do not control, as defined in the 1940 Act, any of our portfolio companies. We are an affiliated person, as defined in the 1940 Act, of two portfolio companies. In general, under the 1940 Act, we would control a portfolio company if we owned, directly or indirectly, more than 25.0% of its voting securities and would be an affiliate of a portfolio company if we owned, directly or indirectly, five percent or more of its voting securities. The loans in our current portfolio were either originated or purchased in the secondary market by Golub Capital and its affiliates. As of December 31, 2013, there were 88 portfolio companies with a total fair value of \$340.8 million securing the notes issued as part of the Debt Securitization. The pool of loans in the Debt Securitization must meet certain requirements, including asset mix and concentration, collateral coverage, term, agency rating, minimum coupon, minimum spread and sector diversity requirements.

Name and Address of Portfolio Company	Industry	Type of Investment	Interest Rate ⁽¹⁾	Maturity	Fair Value (Dollars in Thousands)	Percentage of Class Held ⁽²⁾
ABP Corporation 19 Fid Kennedy Avenue Boston, MA 02210	Beverage, Food and Tobacco	Senior Loan*	6.00% (LIBOR+4.75%)	06/2016	\$4,478	
		Senior Loan	N/A ⁽³⁾ (PRIME+3.50%)	06/2016		
ABRA, Inc. 6601 Shingle Creek Parkway, Suite 200 Minneapolis, MN 55427	Automobile	One Stop	8.00% (PRIME+4.75%)	05/2018	934	
		One Stop	7.25% (LIBOR+6.00%)	05/2018	5,092	
		One Stop*	7.25% (LIBOR+6.00%)	05/2018	26,229	
		One Stop	N/A ⁽³⁾ (LIBOR+5.75%)	05/2018		
		One Stop	9.00% (LIBOR+7.75%)	05/2018	4,797	
		LLC Interest				2,176
				12/2018	4,495	

Edgar Filing: Golub Capital BDC, Inc. - Form N-2/A

Aderant North America, Inc. 3525 Piedmont Rd NE Atlanta, GA 30305	Diversified Conglomerate Service	Senior Loan*	6.25% (LIBOR+5.00%)			
Advanced Pain Management Holdings, Inc. 4131 W. Loomis Road Suite 300 Greenfield, WI 53221	Healthcare, Education and Childcare	Senior Loan	N/A ⁽³⁾ (LIBOR+5.00%)	02/2018		
		Senior Loan	N/A ⁽³⁾ (LIBOR+5.00%)	02/2018		
		Senior Loan*	6.25% (LIBOR+5.00%)	02/2018	7,345	
		Common Stock			743	1.2 %
		Preferred Stock			886	1.2 %
Affordable Care Inc. 4990 Highway 70 West Kinston, NC 28504	Personal, Food and Miscellaneous Services	Senior Loan	N/A ⁽³⁾ (LIBOR+4.75%)	12/2017		
		Senior Loan	6.00% (LIBOR+4.75%)	12/2018	3,532	
AGData, L.P. 2100 Rexford Road Suite 300 Charlotte, NC 28211	Farming and Agriculture	One Stop*	7.25% (LIBOR+6.00%)	08/2016	3,828	

94

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Name and Address of Portfolio Company	Industry	Type of Investment	Interest Rate ⁽¹⁾	Maturity	Fair Value (Dollars in Thousands)	Percentage of Class Held ⁽²⁾
Agility Recovery Solutions Inc. 2101 Rexford Road, Suite 350E Charlotte, NC 28211	Diversified Conglomerate Service	One Stop*	8.25% (LIBOR+7.00%)	09/2018	9,349	
		One Stop	N/A ⁽³⁾ (LIBOR+7.00%)	09/2018		
American Driveline Systems, Inc. 201 Gibraltar Road Horsham, PA 19044	Automobile	Senior Loan*	7.00% (LIBOR+5.00%)	01/2016	2,537	
		Senior Loan	7.14% (LIBOR+5.00%)	01/2016	248	
American Importing Company, Inc. 380 St. Peter Street Suite 1000 St. Paul, MN 55102	Beverage, Food and Tobacco	One Stop	7.00% (LIBOR+5.75%)	05/2018	14,769	
		Senior Loan*	6.50%/0.75% PIK ⁽⁴⁾ (LIBOR+5.00%)	03/2016	1,658	
Ameriquel Group, LLC 18200 Highway 41 N Evanville, IN 47725	Beverage, Food and Tobacco	Senior Loan*	9.00%/1.25% PIK ⁽⁴⁾ (LIBOR+7.50%)	03/2016	713	
		One Stop*	9.93% (LIBOR+6.25%)	04/2018	33,722	
API Healthcare Corporation 1550 Innovation Way Hartford, WI 53027	Diversified Conglomerate Service	Senior Loan	5.00% (LIBOR+4.00%)	11/2020	2,372	
		One Stop	N/A ⁽³⁾ (LIBOR+6.00%)	12/2018	(13) ⁽⁵⁾	
ARG IH Coporation (Arby s) 1155 Perimeter Center W, #1200 Atlanta, GA 30338	Beverage, Food and Tobacco	One Stop	7.25% (LIBOR+6.00%)	12/2018	13,993	
		One Stop*	N/A ⁽³⁾ (LIBOR+4.00%)	11/2018	(5) ⁽⁵⁾	
Arise Virtual Solutions, Inc. 3450 Lakeside Drive Miramar, FL 33027	Telecommunications	One Stop	7.25% (LIBOR+6.00%)	12/2018	13,993	
		One Stop*	N/A ⁽³⁾ (LIBOR+4.00%)	11/2018	(5) ⁽⁵⁾	
Ascensus, Inc. 200 Dryden Road Dresher, PA 19025	Finance	One Stop	7.25% (LIBOR+6.00%)	12/2018	13,993	
		One Stop*	N/A ⁽³⁾ (LIBOR+4.00%)	11/2018	(5) ⁽⁵⁾	
				12/2019	4,203	

Edgar Filing: Golub Capital BDC, Inc. - Form N-2/A

		One	5.00%		
		Stop*	(LIBOR+4.00%)		
		One	9.00%	12/2020	6,242
		Stop*	(LIBOR+8.00%)		
Assured Partners Capital, Inc. 200 Colonial Center Pkwy, Suite 150 Lake Mary, FL 32726	Insurance	Senior Loan*	5.75% (LIBOR+4.50%)	12/2018	2,371
		Senior Loan	N/A ⁽³⁾ (LIBOR+4.75%)	12/2019	
		Senior Loan	6.00% (LIBOR+4.75%)	06/2019	172
Atkins Nutritionals, Inc. 1050 17th Street Suite 1000 Denver, CO 80265	Beverage, Food and Tobacco	One Stop*	6.25% (LIBOR+5.00%)	01/2019	22,560
		One Stop*	9.75% (LIBOR+8.50%)	04/2019	17,637
		LLC Interest			824
					0.5%

95

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Name and Address of Portfolio Company	Industry	Type of Investment	Interest Rate ⁽¹⁾	Maturity	Fair Value (Dollars in Thousands)	Percentage of Class Held ⁽²⁾
Avatar International, LLC 1000 Primera Boulevard Suite 3144 Lake Mary, FL 32746	Healthcare, Education and Childcare	One Stop*	8.75% (LIBOR+7.50%)	09/2016	6,995	
		One Stop	N/A ⁽³⁾ (LIBOR+7.50%)	09/2016	(64) ⁽⁵⁾	
		One Stop	9.25% (LIBOR+8.00%)	09/2016	1,525	
		LP Interest			183	1.1 %
Barcelona Restaurants, LLC ⁽⁹⁾ 22 Elizabeth Street Norwalk, CT 06830	Retail Stores	LP Interest			2,879	7.4 %
Benetech, Inc. 2245 Sequoia Drive Suite 300 Aurora, IL 60506	Mining, Steel, Iron and Non-Precious Metals	One Stop*	7.25% (LIBOR+6.00%)	10/2017	5,434	
		One Stop*	8.00% (PRIME+4.75%)	10/2017	201	
Benihana, Inc. 8685 NW 53rd Terrace #201 Miami, FL 33166	Retail Stores	One Stop	N/A ⁽³⁾ (PRIME+4.25%)	07/2018		
		One Stop* LLC Interest	6.75% (LIBOR+5.50%)	01/2019	14,071 830	0.6 %
Beyond Trust Software, Inc. 5090 North 40th Street, Suite 400 Phoenix, AZ 85018	Electronics	One Stop	7.25% (LIBOR+6.00%)	12/2019	11,840	
Boot Barn, Inc. 15776 Laguna Canyon Road Irvine, CA 92618	Retail Stores	One Stop*	7.00% (LIBOR+5.75%)	05/2019	24,614	
Candy Intermediate Holdings, Inc. 7301 W. Harrison Street Forest Park, IL 60130	Beverage, Food and Tobacco Retail Stores	Senior Loan	7.50% (LIBOR+6.25%)	06/2018	4,682	
				12/2017	1,244	

Edgar Filing: Golub Capital BDC, Inc. - Form N-2/A

Capital Vision Services, LLC 1950 Old Gallows Road Suite 520 Vienna, VA 22182		One Stop	8.50% (LIBOR+7.25%)			
		One Stop	8.50% (LIBOR+7.25%)	12/2017	323	
		One Stop*	8.50% (LIBOR+7.25%)	12/2017	13,324	
		LLC Interest			562	0.6%
Captive Resources Midco, LLC 201 East Commerce Drive Schaumburg, IL 60173	Insurance	Senior Loan*	7.75% (PRIME+4.50%)	10/2018	3,543	
		Senior Loan LLC Units	N/A ⁽³⁾ (LIBOR+5.50%)	10/2017		
					135	0.1%
Certara L.P. 10 N. Tucker Blvd. Suite 350 St. Louis, MO 63101	Healthcare, Education and Childcare	One Stop	N/A ⁽³⁾ (LIBOR+6.25%)	12/2018	(22) ⁽⁵⁾	
		One Stop*	7.25% (LIBOR+6.25%)	12/2018	17,518	
		LP Interest			541	0.4%
Chase Industries, Inc. 10021 Commerce Park Dr, West Chester, OH 45246	Diversified Conglomerate Manufacturing	One Stop*	6.82% (LIBOR+5.00%)	11/2017	13,426	

96

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Name and Address of Portfolio Company	Industry	Type of Investment	Interest Rate ⁽¹⁾	Maturity	Fair Value (Dollars in Thousands)	Percentage of Class Held ⁽²⁾
Competitor Group Holdings, Inc. 4477 Waples Street Suite 160 San Diego, CA 92011	Leisure, Amusement, Motion Pictures and Entertainment	One Stop	9.76% (PRIME+6.75%)	11/2018	743	
		One Stop* LLC Interest	9.00% cash/1.00% PIK ⁽⁴⁾ (LIBOR+7.75%)	11/2018	11,526	
					150	0.5%
Consona Holdings, Inc. 450 East 96th Street Suite 300 Indianapolis, IN 46240	Diversified Conglomerate Service	Senior Loan*	6.75% (LIBOR+5.50%)	08/2018	1,024	
		Senior Loan*	7.25% (LIBOR+6.00%)	08/2018	1,547	
		Senior Loan	N/A ⁽³⁾ (LIBOR+5.50%)	08/2017		
DDC Center Inc. 1001 DDC Way Fairfield, OH 45014	Healthcare, Education and Childcare	One Stop*	9.25% (LIBOR+6.25%)	10/2014	7,849	
		One Stop	N/A ⁽³⁾ (LIBOR+6.25%)	10/2014		
Delta Educational Systems, Inc. 144 Business Park Drive Suite 201 Virginia Beach, VA 23462	Healthcare, Education and Childcare	Senior Loan*	8.00% (PRIME+4.75%)	12/2016	1,762	
Dialysis Newco, Inc. 424 Church Street Suite 1900 Nashville, TN 37219	Healthcare, Education and Childcare	Senior Loan	5.25% (LIBOR+4.25%)	08/2020	6,345	
		Second Lien	N/A ⁽³⁾ (LIBOR+8.50%)	02/2021		
		Second Lien* LLC Interest	9.75% (LIBOR+8.50%)	02/2021	23,901	
					1,274	
Diversified Foodservice Supply, Inc. ⁽⁴⁾	Beverage, Food and Tobacco	Senior loan	N/A ⁽³⁾ (LIBOR+ 4.75%)	12/2018	(3) ⁽⁵⁾	

Edgar Filing: Golub Capital BDC, Inc. - Form N-2/A

607 Dempster Street
Mount Prospect, IL
60056

		Senior loan*	6.00% (LIBOR+ 4.75%)	12/2018	4,431	
Document Technologies, LLC 2 Ravinia Drive Atlanta, GA 30346	Diversified Conglomerate Service	Senior Loan	N/A ⁽³⁾ (LIBOR+4.25%)	12/2018		
		Senior Loan*	5.50% (LIBOR+4.25%)	12/2018	6,690	
		LLC Interest			624	0.2%
Drilling Info, Inc. P.O. Box 5545 Austin, TX 78763	Oil and Gas	One Stop	N/A ⁽³⁾ (LIBOR+5.00%)	06/2018		
		One Stop	6.00% (LIBOR+5.00%)	06/2018	952	
		One Stop	6.00% (LIBOR+5.00%)	06/2018	408	
DTLR, Inc. 7455-N New Ridge Road Hanover, MD 21076	Retail Stores	One Stop*	11.00% (LIBOR+8.00%)	12/2015	16,541	
EAG, Inc. 810 Kifer Road Sunnyvale, CA 94086	Diversified Conglomerate Service	Senior Loan*	6.00% (LIBOR+4.50%)	07/2017	2,425	
Ecommerce Industries, Inc. 4400 Alliance Gateway Freeway Suite 154 Fort Worth, TX 76177	Electronics	One Stop*	9.60% (LIBOR+6.75%)	10/2016	12,345	

97

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Name and Address of Portfolio Company	Industry	Type of Investment	Interest Rate ⁽¹⁾	Maturity	Fair Value (Dollars in Thousands)	Percentage of Class Held ⁽²⁾
El Pollo Loco Inc. 3535 Harbor Boulevard Suite 100 Costa Mesa, CA 92626	Personal, Food and Miscellaneous Services	Senior Loan	5.25% (LIBOR+4.25%)	10/2018	5,201	
Encore Rehabilitation Services, LLC 30230 Orchard Lake Road Suite 140 Farmington Hills, MI 48334	Healthcare, Education and Childcare	One Stop	7.50% (LIBOR+6.25%)	06/2017	5,066	
		One Stop LLC Interest	N/A ⁽³⁾ (LIBOR+6.25%)	06/2017	349	1.1 %
Evolution1, Inc. 22 Waterville Road Avon, CT 06001	Insurance	Senior Loan	7.00% (PRIME+3.75%)	06/2016	56	
		Senior Loan*	6.25% (LIBOR+4.75%)	06/2016	4,549	
Express Oil Change, LLC 190 West Valley Avenue Birmingham, AL 35209	Retail Stores	Senior Loan*	6.75% (PRIME+3.50%)	12/2017	1,795	
		Senior Loan	6.35% (LIBOR+4.75%)	12/2017	94	
		Senior Loan	6.75% (PRIME+3.50%)	12/2017	115	
		LLC Interest			66	
Firebirds International, LLC 13850 Ballantyne Corp. Place Suite 450 Charlotte, NC 28277	Beverage, Food and Tobacco	One Stop	7.00% (LIBOR+5.75%)	05/2018	180	
		One Stop	N/A ⁽³⁾ (LIBOR+5.75%)	05/2018		
		One Stop	N/A ⁽³⁾ (LIBOR+5.75%)	05/2018		
				05/2018	907	

Edgar Filing: Golub Capital BDC, Inc. - Form N-2/A

		One Stop*	7.00% (LIBOR+5.75%)			
First Watch Restaurants, Inc. 9027 Town Center Parkway Bradenton, FL 34202	Beverage, Food and Tobacco	One Stop	8.75% (LIBOR+7.50%)	12/2016	417	
		One Stop	8.86% (LIBOR+7.50%)	12/2016	1,068	
		One Stop* Common Stock	8.77% (LIBOR+7.50%)	12/2016	11,356	
					691	1.1 %
Floor & Decor Outlets of America, Inc. 2233 Lake Park Drive Suite 400 Smyrna, GA 30080	Retail Stores	One Stop*	7.75% (LIBOR+6.50%)	05/2019	11,330	
Focus Brands Inc. 200 Glenridge Point Parkway Suite 200 Atlanta, GA 30342	Personal, Food and Miscellaneous Services	Second Lien	10.25% (LIBOR+9.00%)	08/2018	11,446	
Fort Dearborn Company 1530 Morse Avenue Elk Grove, IL 60007	Containers, Packaging and Glass	Senior Loan*	5.86% (LIBOR+4.25%)	10/2017	39	
		Senior Loan*	6.37% (LIBOR+4.75%)	10/2018	156	
		Senior Loan*	5.25% (LIBOR+4.25%)	10/2017	547	
		Senior Loan*	5.75% (LIBOR+4.75%)	10/2018	2,197	
G & H Wire Company, Inc. 2165 Earlywood Drive Franklin, IN 46131	Healthcare, Education and Childcare	Senior Loan*	7.00% (LIBOR+5.50%)	11/2016	8,497	
		Senior Loan LP Interest	N/A ⁽³⁾ (LIBOR+5.50%)	11/2016		
					118	0.2%

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Name and Address of Portfolio Company	Industry	Type of Investment	Interest Rate ⁽¹⁾	Maturity	Fair Value (Dollars in Thousands)	Percentage of Class Held ⁽²⁾
Goode Seed Co-Invest, LLC 5209 SE International Way Milwaukie, OR 97222	Beverage, Food and Tobacco	LLC Units			411	3.4 %
Healogics, Inc. 5220 Belfort Road Suite 130 Jacksonville, FL 32256	Healthcare, Education and Childcare	Second Lien*	9.25% (LIBOR+8.00%)	02/2020	16,824	
		Preferred Stock			1,057	0.6 %
HighJump Acquisition LLC 6455 City West Parkway Eden Prairie, MN 55344 Hospitalists Management Group, LLC 4535 Dressler Road NW Canton, OH 44718	Diversified Conglomerate Service	One Stop	8.75% (LIBOR+7.50%)	07/2016	7,124	
		Senior Loan	6.51% (LIBOR+5.00%)	05/2017	400	
		Senior Loan	7.06% (PRIME+4.00%)	05/2017	764	
		Senior Loan	6.50% (LIBOR+5.00%)	05/2017	3,398	
		Common Stock			10	0.1 %
Hosting.com, Inc. 1400 Glenarm Place Suite 100 Denver, CO 80202	Telecommunications	Senior Loan	6.50% (PRIME+3.25%)	10/2016	14	
		Senior Loan*	5.75% (LIBOR+4.50%)	10/2016	801	
The Hygenic Corporation 1245 Home Avenue Akron, OH 44310	Personal and Non-Durable Consumer Products	Senior Loan*	5.75% (LIBOR+4.50%)	10/2018	3,455	
		Senior Loan LP Interest	N/A ⁽³⁾ (LIBOR+4.50%)	10/2017	74	(8)

Edgar Filing: Golub Capital BDC, Inc. - Form N-2/A

ILC Dover, LP One Moonwalker Road Frederica, DE 19946	Aerospace and Defense	Senior Loan	7.29% (LIBOR+6.00%)	07/2017	597		
		Senior Loan	7.29% (LIBOR+6.00%)	07/2017	4,281		
		Senior Loan	8.25% (PRIME+5.00%)	07/2017	17		
IntegraMed America, Inc. 2 Manhattanville Road Purchase, NY 10577	Healthcare, Education and Childcare	One Stop	N/A ⁽³⁾ (LIBOR+7.25%)	09/2017			
		One Stop*	8.50% (LIBOR+7.25%)	09/2017	14,420		
		Common Stock				676	0.7 %
Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc. 1710 Commercial Park Coralville, IA 52241	Chemicals, Plastic and Rubber	Subordinated Debt	12.00% cash/2.00% PIK ⁽⁴⁾	04/2015	321		
		Subordinated Debt	12.00% cash/2.00% PIK ⁽⁴⁾	04/2015	905		
		Subordinated Debt	12.00% cash/2.00% PIK ⁽⁴⁾	04/2015	321		
Integration Appliance, Inc. 200 Portage Avenue Palo Alto, CA 94306	Diversified Conglomerate Service	Senior Loan	9.50% (LIBOR+8.25%)	09/2018	709		
		Senior Loan	9.50% (LIBOR+8.25%)	09/2018	5,315		
ITC Global, Inc. 150 2nd Ave. North Suite 1100 St. Petersburg, FL 33701	Telecommunications	One Stop	N/A ⁽³⁾ (PRIME+5.50%)	07/2018	10		
		One Stop	7.75% (LIBOR+6.75%)	07/2018	8,540		
		Preferred Stock				311	0.3%

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Name and Address of Portfolio Company	Industry	Type of Investment	Interest Rate ⁽¹⁾	Maturity	Fair Value (Dollars in Thousands)	Percentage of Class Held ⁽²⁾
ITEL Laboratories, Inc. ⁽⁴⁾ 6745 Phillips Industrial Boulevard Jacksonville, FL 32256	Building and Real Estate	Senior Loan	N/A ⁽³⁾ (LIBOR+4.75%)	06/2018		
		Senior Loan*	6.00% (LIBOR+4.75%)	06/2018	799	
It Sugar LLC 3155 SW 10th Street Suite A Deerfield Beach, FL 33442	Beverage, Food and Tobacco	Senior Loan	10.00% (LIBOR+8.50%)	04/2017	4,202	
		Subordinated Debt	8.00%	10/2017	2,458	
Julio & Sons Company 1101 N Brower Suite 160 Irving, TX 75061	Beverage, Food and Tobacco	One Stop	8.50% (LIBOR+7.00%)	09/2016	224	
		One Stop	N/A ⁽³⁾ (LIBOR+7.00%)	09/2016		
		One Stop*	8.50% (LIBOR+7.00%)	09/2016	7,032	
		LLC Interest		04/2018	504	0.8 %
K&N Engineering, Inc. 1455 Citrus Street Riverside, CA 92507	Automobile	Senior Loan	N/A ⁽³⁾ (LIBOR+4.50%)	04/2018		
		Senior Loan	6.75% (PRIME+3.75 %)	04/2018	3,529	
		Senior Loan*	5.75% (LIBOR+4.50%)	04/2018	3,732	
		Common Stock			78	0.1 %
		Preferred Stock A			38	0.1 %
		Preferred Stock B			10	0.1 %
LMP TR Holdings, LLC 1516 Demonbreun Street Nashville, TN 37203	Leisure, Amusement, Motion Pictures and Entertainment	LLC units			712	4.6 %
Marathon Data Operating	Diversified Conglomerate	One Stop	7.50% (LIBOR+6.25%)	08/2017	4,761	

Edgar Filing: Golub Capital BDC, Inc. - Form N-2/A

Co., LLC 4810 Belmar Boulevard Wall Township, NJ 07753	Service	One Stop	N/A ⁽³⁾ (LIBOR+6.25%)	08/2017		
		Common Stock			293	0.9 %
		Preferred Stock			218	0.9 %
Market Track, LLC 125 High Rock Avenue 1st Floor Saratoga Springs, NY 12866	Printing and Publishing	One Stop	N/A ⁽³⁾ (LIBOR+6.00%)	10/2019	(22) ⁽⁵⁾	
		One Stop	7.25% (LIBOR+6.00%)	10/2019	29,197	
		One Stop	N/A ⁽³⁾ (LIBOR+6.00%)	10/2019	(22) ⁽⁵⁾	
		Preferred Stock			166	0.3 %
		Common Stock			174	0.2 %
The Marshall Retail Group, LLC 5385 Wynn Road Las Vegas, NV 89118	Retail Stores	Senior Loan	N/A ⁽³⁾ (LIBOR+6.50%)	10/2016		
		Senior Loan*	8.00% (LIBOR+6.50%)	10/2016	9,365	
Massage Envy, LLC 14350 N 87th Street Suite 200 Scottsdale, AZ 85260	Personal and Non-Durable Consumer Products	One Stop	8.50% (LIBOR+7.25%)	09/2018	16,527	
		One Stop LLC Interest	N/A ⁽³⁾ (LIBOR+7.25%)	09/2018	749	0.4%

100

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Name and Address of Portfolio Company	Industry	Type of Investment	Interest Rate ⁽¹⁾	Maturity	Fair Value (Dollars in Thousands)	Percentage of Class Held ⁽²⁾
Maverick Healthcare Group, LLC 2536 W. Birchwood Avenue Suite 101 Mesa, AZ 85202	Healthcare, Education and Childcare	Senior Loan*	7.25% (LIBOR+5.50%)	12/2016	2,019	
Metal Spinners, Inc. 800 Growth Parkway Angola, IN 46703	Diversified Natural Resources, Precious Metals and Minerals	Senior Loan*	8.00% (LIBOR+6.50%)	12/2014	1,338	
		Senior Loan*	8.00% (LIBOR+6.50%)	12/2014	2,647	
MSC Software Corporation 2 MacArthur Place Santa Ana, CA 92707	Diversified Conglomerate Service	One Stop*	8.51% (LIBOR+6.50%)	11/2017	9,898	
MyWebGrocer, Inc. ⁽⁴⁾ 20 Winooski Falls Way Winooski, VT 05404	Grocery	Senior Loan	N/A ⁽³⁾ (LIBOR+4.75%)	05/2018		
		Senior Loan	6.00% cash/4.00% PIK ⁽⁴⁾ (LIBOR+4.75%)	05/2018	14,271	
		LLC Units			1,269	1.0%
NameMedia, Inc. 230 Third Avenue Waltham, MA 02451	Telecommunications	Senior Loan	N/A ⁽³⁾ (LIBOR+6.00%)	11/2014		
National Veterinary Associates, Inc. 4165 E. Thousand Oaks Blvd. Suite 350 Westlake Village, CA 91362	Personal, Food and Miscellaneous Services	Senior Loan	6.25% (LIBOR+5.00%)	12/2017	1,433	
		Senior Loan	6.25% (LIBOR+5.00%)	12/2017	6,006	
		Senior Loan	N/A ⁽³⁾ (LIBOR+5.00%)	12/2017		
Navex Global, Inc. 160 Pine Street San Francisco, CA 94111	Diversified Conglomerate Service	One Stop	N/A ⁽³⁾ (LIBOR+7.50%)	12/2016	(25) ⁽⁵⁾	

Edgar Filing: Golub Capital BDC, Inc. - Form N-2/A

		One Stop* LP Interest	9.00% (LIBOR+7.50%)	12/2016	17,290		
NetSmart Technologies, Inc. 3500 Sunrise Highway Great River, NY 11739	Diversified Conglomerate Service	One Stop*	8.79% (LIBOR+6.00%)	12/2017	650		
		One Stop	8.77% (LIBOR+6.00%)	12/2017	8,324		
NeuroTherm, Inc. 30 Upton Drive, Suite 2 Wilmington, MA 01887	Healthcare, Education and Childcare	Senior Loan*	6.50% (LIBOR+5.00%)	02/2016	1,298		
		Senior Loan Common Stock	6.50% (LIBOR+5.00%)	02/2016	23	876	1.2 %
Northern Brewer, LLC 1945 W. Country Road, C2 Roseville, MN 55113	Beverage, Food and Tobacco	One Stop	8.06% (LIBOR+6.50%)	02/2018	453		
		One Stop LLC Interest	8.00% (LIBOR+6.50%)	02/2018	6,372	218	1.2%

101

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Name and Address of Portfolio Company	Industry	Type of Investment	Interest Rate ⁽¹⁾	Maturity	Fair Value (Dollars in Thousands)	Percentage of Class Held ⁽²⁾
Northwestern Management Services, LLC 951 Broken Sound Parkway NW #185 Boca Raton, FL 33487	Healthcare, Education and Childcare	Senior Loan	6.50% (LIBOR+5.25%)	10/2017	4,019	
		Senior Loan Senior Loan	6.50% (LIBOR+5.25%)	10/2017	48	