

CIMAREX ENERGY CO
Form 10-K
February 23, 2016
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D C 20549

Form 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934

Commission file number 001-31446

CIMAREX ENERGY CO.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 45-0466694
(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer
incorporation or organization) Identification No.)

1700 Lincoln Street, Suite 3700, Denver, Colorado 80203

(Address of principal executive offices including ZIP code)

(303) 295-3995

(Registrant's telephone number)

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common Stock (\$0.01 par value)	New York Stock Exchange

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. YES NO

Edgar Filing: CIMAREX ENERGY CO - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). YES NO

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a
smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). YES NO

Aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of Cimarex Energy Co. as of June 30, 2015 was approximately \$10.2 billion.

Number of shares of Cimarex Energy Co. common stock outstanding as of February 12, 2016 was 94,819,854.
Documents Incorporated by Reference: Portions of the Registrant's Proxy Statement for its 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DESCRIPTION

Item	Page
<u>Glossary</u>	
<u>Part I</u>	
<u>1.& 2. Business and Properties</u>	6
<u>1A. Risk Factors</u>	15
<u>1B. Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	28
<u>3. Legal Proceedings</u>	28
<u>4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	28
<u>Part II</u>	
<u>5. Market for the Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	29
<u>6. Selected Financial Data</u>	31
<u>7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	32
<u>7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	56
<u>8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	57
<u>9. Changes In and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	88
<u>9A. Controls and Procedures</u>	88
<u>9B. Other Information</u>	90
<u>Part III</u>	
<u>10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	91
<u>11. Executive Compensation</u>	92
<u>12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	92
<u>13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	92
<u>14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	93
<u>Part IV</u>	
<u>15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u>	94

Table of Contents

GLOSSARY

Bbl/d—Barrels (of oil or natural gas liquids) per day

Bbls—Barrels (of oil or natural gas liquids)

Bcf—Billion cubic feet

Bcfe—Billion cubic feet equivalent

Btu—British thermal unit

GAAP—Generally accepted accounting principles in the U.S.

MBbls—Thousand barrels

Mcf—Thousand cubic feet (of natural gas)

Mcfe—Thousand cubic feet equivalent

MMBbl/MMBbls—Million barrels

MMBtu—Million British thermal units

MMcf—Million cubic feet

MMcf/d—Million cubic feet per day

MMcfe—Million cubic feet equivalent

MMcfe/d—Million cubic feet equivalent per day

Net Acres—Gross acreage multiplied by working interest percentage

Net Production—Gross production multiplied by net revenue interest

NGL or NGLs—Natural gas liquids

PUD—Proved undeveloped

Tcf—Trillion cubic feet

Tcfe—Trillion cubic feet equivalent

Energy equivalent is determined using the ratio of one barrel of crude oil, condensate or NGL to six Mcf of natural gas

Table of Contents

PART I

Cautionary Information about Forward-Looking Statements

Throughout this Form 10-K, we make statements that may be deemed “forward-looking” statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. In particular, in our Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, we are providing “2016 Outlook,” which contains projections for certain 2016 operational activities. All statements, other than statements of historical facts, that address activities, events, outcomes and other matters that Cimarex plans, expects, intends, assumes, believes, budgets, predicts, forecasts, projects, estimates or anticipates (and other similar expressions) will, should or may occur in the future are forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are based on management’s current belief, based on currently available information, as to the outcome and timing of future events. When considering forward-looking statements, you should keep in mind the risk factors and other cautionary statements in this Form 10- K. Forward-looking statements include statements with respect to, among other things:

- Fluctuations in the price we receive for our oil and gas production;
- Timing and amount of future production of oil and natural gas;
- Reductions in the quantity of oil and gas sold due to decreased industrywide demand and/or curtailments in production from specific properties or areas due to mechanical, transportation, marketing, weather or other problems;
- Reserve estimates;
- Cash flow and anticipated liquidity;
- Amount, nature and timing of capital expenditures;
- Access to capital markets;
- Legislation and regulatory changes;
- Operating costs and other expenses;
- Operating and capital expenditures that are either significantly higher or lower than anticipated because the actual cost of identified projects varied from original estimates and/or from the number of exploration and development opportunities being greater or fewer than currently anticipated;
- Exploration and development opportunities that we pursue may not result in economic, productive oil and gas properties;
- Drilling of wells;
- Estimates of proved reserves, exploitation potential or exploration prospect size;
- Increased financing costs due to a significant increase in interest rates;
- De-risking of acreage.

We caution you that these forward-looking statements are subject to all of the risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond our control, incident to the exploration for and development, production and sale of oil and gas. These risks include, but are not limited to, commodity price volatility, inflation, lack of availability of goods and services, environmental risks, drilling and other operating risks, regulatory changes, the uncertainty inherent in estimating proved

Table of Contents

oil and natural gas reserves and in projecting future rates of production and timing of development expenditures and other risks described herein.

Reserve engineering is a subjective process of estimating underground accumulations of oil and natural gas that cannot be measured in an exact way. The accuracy of any reserve estimate depends on the quality of available data and the interpretation of such data by our engineers. As a result, estimates made by different engineers often vary from one another. In addition, the results of drilling, testing and production activities may justify revisions of estimates that were made previously. If significant, such revisions could change the timing of future production and development drilling. Accordingly, reserve estimates are generally different from the quantities of oil and natural gas that are ultimately recovered.

Should one or more of the risks or uncertainties described above or elsewhere in this Form 10-K cause our underlying assumptions to be incorrect, our actual results and plans could differ materially from those expressed in any forward-looking statements.

All forward-looking statements, express or implied, included in this Form 10-K and attributable to Cimarex are qualified in their entirety by this cautionary statement. This cautionary statement should also be considered in connection with any subsequent written or oral forward-looking statements that Cimarex or persons acting on its behalf may issue. Cimarex does not undertake any obligation to update any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of filing this Form 10-K with the Securities and Exchange Commission, except as required by law.

Table of Contents

ITEMS 1 AND 2. BUSINESS AND PROPERTIES

General

Cimarex Energy Co., a Delaware corporation formed in 2002, is an independent oil and gas exploration and production company. Our operations are located mainly in Oklahoma, Texas and New Mexico. On our website -- www.cimarex.com -- you will find our annual reports, proxy statements and all of our Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) filings. Throughout this Form 10-K we use the terms “Cimarex,” “company,” “we,” “our,” and “us” to refer to Cimarex Energy Co. and its subsidiaries.

Our principal business objective is to profitably grow proved reserves and production for the long-term benefit of our shareholders. Our strategy centers on maximizing cash flow from producing properties to reinvest in exploration and development opportunities. We consider merger and acquisition opportunities that enhance our competitive position and we occasionally divest non-core assets. Key elements to our approach include:

- Maintaining a strong financial position
- Investment in a diversified portfolio of drilling opportunities with varying geologic characteristics, in different geographic areas and with assorted exposure to oil, natural gas and NGLs
- Detailed evaluation and ranking of investment decisions based on rate of return
- Tracking predicted versus actual results in a centralized exploration management system, providing feedback to improve results
- Attracting quality employees and maintaining integrated teams of geoscientists, landmen and engineers
- Maximizing profitability by efficiently operating our properties

Conservative use of leverage has long been the key to our financial strategy. We believe that low leverage and our full-cycle returns mitigate financial risk, which enables us to better withstand volatility in commodity prices and provide competitive returns to shareholders. See Item 5 Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities – Stock Performance Graph and Item 6 Selected Financial Data for additional financial and operating information for fiscal years 2011 – 2015.

Proved Oil and Gas Reserves

Our total proved reserves declined 7% to 2.9 Tcfe in 2015, largely as a result of 399 Bcfe of negative price related revisions. Proved undeveloped reserves as a percentage of total proved reserves increased to 25% from 23% a year ago. We added 571 Bcfe of new reserves through extensions and discoveries and net positive performance revisions, replacing 159% of production. The change in our proved reserves is as follows (in Bcfe):

Reserves at December 31, 2014	3,132.3
Revisions of previous estimates	(276.2)
Extensions and discoveries	428.7
Purchases of reserves	—
Production	(359.3)
Sales of reserves	(16.1)
Reserves at December 31, 2015	2,909.4

Table of Contents

Revisions of previous estimates in the above table include negative commodity price and operating cost related revisions of 399 and 19 Bcfe, respectively, partially offset by net positive performance revisions of 142 Bcfe.

A breakdown by commodity of our proved oil and gas reserves follows:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
Total Proved Reserves:			
Gas (Bcf)	1,517.0	1,666.7	1,293.5
Oil (MMBbls)	107.8	119.0	108.5
NGL (MMBbls)	124.3	125.3	92.0
Equivalent (Bcfe)	2,909.4	3,132.3	2,497.0
% Developed	75	77	80

See “Supplemental Oil and Gas Information” in Item 8 of this report for further information.

Production volumes totaled 985 MMcfe of natural gas equivalent per day, a 13% increase over 2014. Production volumes are comprised of 47% natural gas, 31% oil and 22% NGLs. The following tables show our production volumes by region, the average commodity prices received and production cost per unit of production (Mcfe). Separate data also is included for our Cana-Woodford project, which is part of our Mid-Continent region and is part of our largest producing field.

Years Ended December 31,	Production Volumes				Net Average Daily Volumes			
	Gas (MMcf)	Oil (MBbls)	NGL (MBbls)	Equivalent (MMcfe)	Gas (MMcf)	Oil (MBbls)	NGL (MBbls)	Equivalent (MMcfe)
2015								
Permian Basin	66,006	15,719	6,220	197,644	180.8	43.1	17.0	541.5
Mid-Continent	100,801	2,746	6,757	157,821	276.2	7.5	18.5	432.4
Other	2,180	198	86	3,878	6.0	0.5	0.3	10.6
Total Company	168,987	18,663	13,063	359,343	463.0	51.1	35.8	984.5
Cana-Woodford	77,882	2,206	5,957	126,865	213.4	6.0	16.3	347.6
2014								
Permian Basin	45,200	12,552	4,187	145,636	123.8	34.4	11.5	399.0
Mid-Continent	106,711	2,682	6,980	164,682	292.4	7.3	19.1	451.2
Other	3,217	405	176	6,704	8.8	1.1	0.5	18.4
Total Company	155,128	15,639	11,343	317,022	425.0	42.8	31.1	868.6
Cana-Woodford	76,915	1,903	5,937	123,952	210.7	5.2	16.3	339.6
2013								
Permian Basin	35,414	10,739	2,823	116,783	97.0	29.4	7.7	320.0
Mid-Continent	84,779	2,171	4,757	126,345	232.3	5.9	13.0	346.1
Other	5,055	470	296	9,659	13.8	1.4	0.9	26.5

Edgar Filing: CIMAREX ENERGY CO - Form 10-K

Total Company	125,248	13,380	7,876	252,787	343.1	36.7	21.6	692.6
Cana-Woodford	50,919	1,150	3,863	81,000	139.5	3.2	10.6	221.9

7

Table of Contents

Years Ended December 31,	Average Realized Price			Production Cost (per Mcfe)
	Gas (per Mcf)	Oil (per Bbl)	NGL (per Bbl)	
2015				
Permian Basin	\$ 2.55	\$ 43.58	\$ 11.94	\$ 1.06
Mid-Continent	\$ 2.51	\$ 41.90	\$ 15.41	\$ 0.52
Other	\$ 3.16	\$ 48.01	\$ 14.72	\$ 1.72
Total Company	\$ 2.53	\$ 43.38	\$ 13.75	\$ 0.83
Cana-Woodford	\$ 2.51	\$ 41.54	\$ 15.59	\$ 0.26
2014				
Permian Basin	\$ 4.48	\$ 82.44	\$ 30.04	\$ 1.58
Mid-Continent	\$ 4.42	\$ 88.23	\$ 35.03	\$ 0.58
Other	\$ 4.40	\$ 92.82	\$ 32.09	\$ 2.31
Total Company	\$ 4.43	\$ 83.70	\$ 33.14	\$ 1.08
Cana-Woodford	\$ 4.32	\$ 88.21	\$ 34.89	\$ 0.24
2013				
Permian Basin	\$ 3.91	\$ 93.02	\$ 26.13	\$ 1.48
Mid-Continent	\$ 3.70	\$ 93.48	\$ 31.25	\$ 0.76
Other	\$ 3.74	\$ 102.67	\$ 29.81	\$ 1.85
Total Company	\$ 3.76	\$ 93.44	\$ 29.36	\$ 1.13
Cana-Woodford	\$ 3.57	\$ 94.33	\$ 30.64	\$ 0.27

Acquisitions and Divestitures

In 2015 we sold interests in various non-core oil and gas properties for \$41 million. Cimarex made no material property acquisitions in 2015.

Table of Contents

Exploration and Production Overview

Cimarex has one reportable segment, exploration and production (E&P). Our E&P activities take place primarily in two areas: the Permian Basin and the Mid-Continent region. Almost all of our exploration and development (E&D) capital is allocated between these two areas. In 2015, E&D investment totaled \$877 million. Of that, 55% was invested in the Permian Basin and 43% in the Mid-Continent region.

In 2015, Cimarex drilled or participated in 219 gross (99 net) wells, of which we operated 123 gross (81.9 net) wells. At year-end, we were in the process of drilling or participating in 20 gross (9.9 net) wells and there were 49 gross (20 net) wells waiting on completion. A summary of our 2015 exploration and development activity by region is as follows:

	E&D Capital (in millions)	Gross Wells Drilled	Net Wells Drilled	% Completed As Producers
Permian Basin	\$ 482	85	60	99
Mid-Continent	381	134	39	99
Other	14	—	—	—
	\$ 877	219	99	99

The Permian region encompasses west Texas and southeast New Mexico. Cimarex's Permian Basin efforts are located in the western half of the Permian Basin known as the Delaware Basin. In 2015, we focused on drilling horizontal wells that yielded oil and liquids-rich gas from the Wolfcamp shale, the Bone Spring formation, and the Avalon shale. Cimarex saw improved results in its Wolfcamp shale wells, as measured by production and reserves, with the implementation of long laterals and continued improvement in well completion design and in the Bone Spring wells via upsized well completions.

The Permian region produced 542 MMcfe per day in 2015, which was 55% of our total company production. Oil production in the Permian Basin in 2015 averaged a record 43,067 barrels per day, a 25% increase over 2014.

Table of Contents

Our Mid-Continent region consists of Oklahoma and the Texas Panhandle. Our activity in 2015 in the Mid-Continent was focused in the Cana-Woodford shale and the Meramec horizon, both in Oklahoma. We continued to implement larger well completions in the Cana-Woodford shale that were highly successful in 2014. We applied those same techniques to delineate the Meramec horizon, located above the Woodford. Cimarex continues to evaluate the size and potential of the Meramec play.

During 2015, production from the Mid-Continent averaged 432 MMcfe per day, or 44% of total company production. Due to the timing of well completions, production from the region decreased 4% in 2015 versus 2014.

Wells Drilled

We drilled the following exploratory and developmental wells in 2015:

	Wells Drilled					
	2015		2014		2013	
	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net
Exploratory						
Productive	—	—	1	0.4	1	1.0
Dry	—	—	1	0.5	3	2.4
Total	—	—	2	0.9	4	3.4
Developmental						
Productive	219	98.7	309	173.6	359	181.0
Dry	3	1.7	1	0.1	2	1.0
Total	222	100.4	310	173.7	361	182.0

We have working interests in the following productive wells by region as of December 31, 2015:

	Gas		Oil	
	Gross	Net	Gross	Net
Mid-Continent	3,766	1,447	494	165
Permian Basin	998	500	4,962	1,025
Other	106	11	22	5
	4,870	1,958	5,478	1,195

Significant Properties

All of our oil and gas assets (proved reserves and undeveloped acreage) are located in the United States. We have varying levels of ownership interests in our properties consisting of working, royalty and overriding royalty interests. We operate the wells that comprise 76% of our proved reserves. In 2015, proved reserves in the Watonga-Chickasha field were approximately 56% of the company's total proved reserves. The Cana-Woodford shale makes up the majority of this field. No other field had reserves in excess of 15% of our total proved reserves.

Edgar Filing: CIMAREX ENERGY CO - Form 10-K

At December 31, 2015, 63% of our total proved reserves were located in the Mid-Continent region and 37% were in the Permian Basin. We owned an interest in 10,348 gross (3,153 net) productive oil and gas wells. The following table summarizes our estimated proved oil and gas reserves by region as of December 31, 2015.

	Gas (Bcf)	Oil (MMBbl)	NGL (MMBbl)	Equivalent (Bcfe)	% of Total Proved Reserves
Mid-Continent	1,134.4	29.0	87.6	1,834.6	63
Permian Basin	378.5	78.5	36.6	1,069.0	37
Other	4.1	0.3	0.1	5.8	—
	1,517.0	107.8	124.3	2,909.4	100

Table of Contents

At December 31, 2015, our ten largest producing fields held 83% of total proved reserves. We are the principal operator of our production in each of these fields.

Field	Region	% of Total Proved Reserves	Average Working Interest %	Approximate Average Depth (feet)	Primary Formation
Watonga-Chickasha (Cana)	Mid-Continent	56.3	45.8	13,000'	Woodford
Ford, West	Permian Basin	6.6	56.6	9,500'	Wolfcamp
Lusk	Permian Basin	5.0	55.2	9,500'	Bone Spring
Dixieland	Permian Basin	4.6	98.6	11,000'	Wolfcamp
Cottonwood Draw	Permian Basin	2.8	72.6	3,000'-10,000'	Delaware/Wolfcamp
Phantom	Permian Basin	1.7	59.2	11,500'	Bone Spring
Two Georges	Permian Basin	1.7	91.4	11,500'	Bone Spring
Sandbar	Permian Basin	1.6	54.6	7,500'	Bone Spring
Grisham	Permian Basin	1.3	98.7	11,000'	Wolfcamp
Benson	Permian Basin	1.0	76.0	9,500'	Bone Spring
		82.6			

Acreage

The following table sets forth the gross and net acres of both developed and undeveloped leases held by Cimarex as of December 31, 2015. Gross acres are the total number of acres in which we own a working interest. Net acres are the gross acres multiplied by our working interest.

	Acreage		Developed		Total	
	Undeveloped Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net
Mid-Continent						
Kansas	18,231	18,191	—	—	18,231	18,191
Oklahoma	121,821	85,819	699,891	292,344	821,712	378,163
Texas	22,700	12,437	133,519	57,163	156,219	69,600
	162,752	116,447	833,410	349,507	996,162	465,954
Permian Basin						
New Mexico	75,025	53,388	199,752	138,776	274,777	192,164
Texas	131,658	107,886	195,368	145,363	327,026	253,249
	206,683	161,274	395,120	284,139	601,803	445,413
Other						
Arizona	2,097,841	2,097,841	17,207	—	2,115,048	2,097,841
California	383,647	383,647	—	—	383,647	383,647
Colorado	51,354	29,389	37,696	2,120	89,050	31,509

Edgar Filing: CIMAREX ENERGY CO - Form 10-K

Gulf of Mexico	25,000	13,000	38,388	9,724	63,388	22,724
Louisiana	3,472	400	4,020	1,048	7,492	1,448
Michigan	31,773	31,695	1,183	1,183	32,956	32,878
Montana	34,301	9,127	7,768	1,761	42,069	10,888
Nevada	1,196,299	1,196,299	440	1	1,196,739	1,196,300
New Mexico	1,641,778	1,634,170	18,572	2,618	1,660,350	1,636,788
Texas	13,998	5,343	31,111	9,667	45,109	15,010
Utah	80,527	59,433	32,552	1,575	113,079	61,008
Wyoming	99,133	13,777	43,147	4,201	142,280	17,978
Other	191,629	168,331	10,723	5,472	202,352	173,803
	5,850,752	5,642,452	242,807	39,370	6,093,559	5,681,822
Total	6,220,187	5,920,173	1,471,337	673,016	7,691,524	6,593,189

Table of Contents

The table below summarizes by year and region our undeveloped acreage expirations in the next five years. In most cases, the drilling of a commercial well will hold the acreage beyond the expiration.

	Acreage		2017		2018		2019		2020	
	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net
Mid-Continent	19,679	17,978	25,219	20,582	11,207	6,474	2	2	—	—
Permian Basin	38,445	37,960	17,739	17,724	17,393	16,684	6,482	6,482	—	—
Other	19,281	18,936	52,494	51,479	31,603	30,823	63,333	59,098	23,744	23,688
	77,405	74,874	95,452	89,785	60,203	53,981	69,817	65,582	23,744	23,688
% of undeveloped	1.2	1.3	1.5	1.5	1.0	0.9	1.1	1.1	0.4	0.4

Marketing

Our oil and gas production is sold under short-term arrangements at market-responsive prices. We sell our oil at prices tied directly or indirectly to field postings. Our gas is sold under price mechanisms related to either monthly or daily index prices on pipelines where we deliver our gas.

We sell our oil and gas to a broad portfolio of customers. Our major customers during 2015 were Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P. (Sunoco) and Enterprise Products Partners L.P. (Enterprise). Sunoco and Enterprise accounted for 21% and 17%, respectively, of our consolidated revenues in 2015.

Sunoco is a significant purchaser of our oil in Southeast New Mexico and Canadian County, Oklahoma. Enterprise is a significant oil purchaser in Oklahoma and West Texas. If either of these entities were to stop purchasing our production, we believe there are a number of other purchasers to whom we could sell our production with some delay. If both parties were to discontinue purchasing our product, we believe there would be challenges initially, but ample markets to handle the disruption.

We regularly monitor the credit worthiness of all our customers and may require parent company guarantees, letters of credit or prepayments when deemed necessary.

Corporate Headquarters and Employees

Our corporate headquarters is located at 1700 Lincoln St., Suite 3700, Denver, Colorado 80203. On December 31, 2015, and 2014, Cimarex had 925 and 991 employees, respectively. None of our employees are subject to collective bargaining agreements.

Competition

The oil and gas industry is highly competitive, particularly for prospective undeveloped leases and purchases of proved reserves. There is also competition for rigs and related equipment used to drill for and produce oil and gas, however, to a lesser extent in the current market environment. Our competitive position is also highly dependent on our ability to recruit and retain geological, geophysical and engineering expertise. We compete for prospects, proved reserves, oil-field services and qualified oil and gas professionals with major and diversified energy companies and other independent operators that have larger financial, human and technological resources than we do.

We compete with integrated, independent and other energy companies for the sale and transportation of our oil and gas to marketing companies and end users. The oil and gas industry competes with other energy industries that supply fuel and power to industrial, commercial and residential consumers. Many of these competitors have greater financial and human resources. The effect of these competitive factors cannot be predicted.

Table of Contents

Proved Reserves Estimation Procedures

Proved oil and gas reserve quantities are based on estimates prepared by Cimarex in accordance with the SEC's rules for reporting oil and gas reserves. Our reserve definitions conform with definitions of Rules 4-10(a) (1)-(32) of Regulation S-X of the SEC. All of our reserve estimates are maintained by our internal Corporate Reservoir Engineering group, which is comprised of reservoir engineers and engineering technicians. The objectives and management of this group are separate from and independent of the exploration and production functions of the company. The primary objective of our Corporate Reservoir Engineering group is to maintain accurate forecasts on all properties of the company through ongoing monitoring and timely updates of operating and economic parameters (production forecasts, prices and regional differentials, operating expenses, ownership, etc.) in accordance with guidelines established by the SEC. This separation of function and responsibility is a key internal control.

Cimarex engineers are responsible for estimates of proved reserves. Corporate engineers interact with the exploration and production departments to ensure all available engineering and geologic data is taken into account prior to establishing or revising an estimate. After preparing the reserves update, the corporate engineers review their recommendations with the Vice President of Corporate Engineering. After approval from the Vice President of Corporate Engineering, the revisions are entered into our reserves database by the engineering technician.

During the course of the year, the Vice President of Corporate Engineering presents summary reserves information to senior management and to our Board of Directors for their review. From time to time, the Vice President of Corporate Engineering also will confer with the Vice President of Exploration, Chief Operating Officer and the Chief Executive Officer regarding specific reserves-related issues. In addition, Corporate Reservoir Engineering maintains a set of basic guidelines and procedures to ensure that critical checks and reviews of the reserves database are performed on a regular basis.

Together, these internal controls are designed to promote a comprehensive, objective and accurate reserves estimation process. As an additional confirmation of the reasonableness of our internal estimates, DeGolyer and MacNaughton, an independent petroleum engineering consulting firm, reviewed greater than 80% of the total future net revenue discounted at 10% attributable to the total interests owned by Cimarex as of December 31, 2015. The individual primarily responsible for overseeing the review is a Senior Vice President with DeGolyer and MacNaughton and a Registered Professional Engineer in the State of Texas with over 41 years of experience in oil and gas reservoir studies and evaluations.

The technical employee primarily responsible for overseeing the oil and gas reserves estimation process is Cimarex's Vice President of Corporate Engineering. This individual graduated from the Colorado School of Mines with a Bachelor of Science degree in Engineering and has more than 21 years of practical experience in oil and gas reservoir evaluation. He has been directly involved in the annual reserves reporting process of Cimarex since 2002 and has served in his current role for the past 11 years.

Title to Oil and Gas Properties

We undertake title examination and perform curative work at the time we lease undeveloped acreage, prepare for the drilling of a prospect or acquire proved properties. We believe title to our properties is good and defensible, and is in accordance with industry standards. Nevertheless, we are involved in title disputes from time to time that result in litigation. Our oil and gas properties are subject to customary royalty interests, liens incidental to operating agreements, tax liens and other burdens and minor encumbrances, easements and restrictions.

Government Regulation

Oil and gas production and transportation is subject to extensive federal, state and local laws and regulations. Compliance with existing laws often is difficult and costly, but has not had a significant adverse effect on our operations or financial condition. In recent years, we have been most directly impacted by federal and state environmental regulations and energy conservation rules. We are also impacted by federal and state regulation of pipelines and other oil and gas transportation systems.

Table of Contents

The states in which we conduct operations establish requirements for drilling permits, the method of developing fields, the size of well spacing units, drilling density within productive formations and the unitization or pooling of properties. In addition, state conservation laws include requirements for waste prevention, establish limits on the maximum rate of production from wells, generally prohibit the venting or flaring of natural gas and impose certain requirements regarding the ratability of production.

Environmental Regulation. Various federal, state and local laws regulating the discharge of materials into the environment, or otherwise relating to the protection of the environment, directly impact oil and gas exploration, development and production operations, which consequently impact our operations and costs. These laws and regulations govern, among other things, emissions to the atmosphere, discharges of pollutants into waters, underground injection of waste water, the generation, storage, transportation and disposal of waste materials, and protection of public health, natural resources and wildlife. These laws and regulations may impose substantial liabilities for noncompliance and for any contamination resulting from our operations and may require the suspension or cessation of operations in affected areas.

Cimarex is committed to environmental protection and believes we are in material compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations. We obtain permits for our facilities and operations in accordance with the applicable laws and regulations. There are no known issues that have a significant adverse effect on the permitting process or permit compliance status of any of our facilities or operations. Expenditures are required to comply with environmental regulations. These costs are a normal, recurring expense of operations and not an extraordinary cost of compliance with current government regulations.

We do not anticipate that we will be required under current environmental laws and regulations to expend amounts that will have a material adverse effect on our financial position or operations. However, due to continuing changes in these laws and regulations, we are unable to predict with any reasonable degree of certainty any potential delays in development plans that could arise, or our future costs of complying with governmental requirements. We maintain levels of insurance customary in the industry to limit our financial exposure in the event of a substantial environmental claim resulting from sudden, unanticipated and accidental discharges of oil, produced water or other substances as well as additional coverage for certain other pollution events.

Gas Gathering and Transportation. The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) requires interstate gas pipelines to provide open access transportation. FERC also enforces the prohibition of market manipulation by any entity, and the facilitation of the sale or transportation of natural gas in interstate commerce. Interstate pipelines have implemented these requirements, providing us with additional market access and more fairly applied transportation services and rates. FERC continues to review and modify its open access and other regulations applicable to interstate pipelines.

Under the Natural Gas Policy Act (NGPA), natural gas gathering facilities are expressly exempt from FERC jurisdiction. What constitutes “gathering” under the NGPA has evolved through FERC decisions and judicial review of such decisions. We believe that our gathering systems meet the test for non-jurisdictional “gathering” systems under the NGPA and that our facilities are not subject to federal regulations. Although exempt from FERC oversight, our natural gas gathering systems and services may receive regulatory scrutiny by state and federal agencies regarding the safety and operating aspects of the transportation and storage activities of these facilities.

In addition to using our own gathering facilities, we may use third-party gathering services or interstate transmission facilities (owned and operated by interstate pipelines) to ship our gas to markets.

Additional proposals and proceedings that might affect the oil and gas industry are pending before the U.S. Congress, FERC, Bureau of Land Management (BLM), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), state legislatures, state

agencies, local governments and the courts. We cannot predict when or whether any such proposals may become effective and what effect they will have on our operations. We do not anticipate that compliance with existing federal, state and local laws, rules or regulations will have a material adverse effect upon our capital expenditures, earnings or competitive position.

Federal and State Income and Other Local Taxation

Cimarex and the petroleum industry in general are affected by both federal and state income tax laws, as well as

Table of Contents

other local tax regulations involving ad valorem, personal property, franchise, severance and other excise taxes. We have considered the effects of these provisions on our operations and do not anticipate that there will be any undisclosed impact on our capital expenditures, earnings or competitive position.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

The following risks and uncertainties, together with other information set forth in this Form 10-K, should be carefully considered by current and future investors in our securities. These risks and uncertainties are not the only ones we face. Additional risks and uncertainties presently unknown to us or currently deemed immaterial also may impair our business operations. The occurrence of one or more of these risks or uncertainties could materially and adversely affect our business, our financial condition, and the results of our operations, which in turn could negatively impact the value of our securities.

Oil, gas, and NGL prices fluctuate due to a number of uncontrollable factors, creating a component of uncertainty in our development plans and overall operations. Declines in prices adversely affect our financial results and rate of growth in proved reserves and production.

Oil and gas markets are volatile. We cannot predict future prices. The prices we receive for our production heavily influence our revenue, profitability, access to capital, and future rate of growth. The prices we receive depend on numerous factors beyond our control. These factors include, but are not limited to, changes in domestic and global supply and demand for oil and gas, the level of domestic and global oil and gas exploration and production activity, geopolitical instability, the actions of the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries, weather conditions, technological advances affecting energy consumption, governmental regulations and taxes, and the price and technological advancement of alternative fuels.

Our proved oil and gas reserves and production volumes will decrease unless those reserves are replaced with new discoveries or acquisitions. Accordingly, for the foreseeable future, we expect to make substantial capital investments for the exploration and development of new oil and gas reserves. Historically, we have paid for these types of capital expenditures with cash flow provided by our production operations, our revolving credit facility, and proceeds from the sale of senior notes or equity. Low prices reduce our cash flow and the amount of oil and gas that we can economically produce and may cause us to curtail, delay, or defer certain exploration and development projects. Moreover, low prices also may impact our abilities to borrow under our revolving credit facility and to raise additional debt or equity capital to fund acquisitions.

If prices stay at recent lower levels or decrease, we will be required to take write-downs of the carrying values of our oil and gas properties and/or our goodwill.

Accounting rules require that we periodically review the carrying value of our oil and gas properties and goodwill for possible impairment.

As of December 31, 2015, the carrying value of our oil and gas properties subject to a ceiling test exceeded the calculated value of the ceiling limitation and impairment was necessary. If pricing conditions stay at current levels or decline further we will incur full cost ceiling impairments in future quarters. Because the ceiling calculation uses rolling 12-month average commodity prices, the effect of lower quarter-over-quarter prices in 2016 compared to 2015 is a lower ceiling value each quarter. This will result in ongoing impairments each quarter until prices stabilize or improve. Impairment charges do not affect cash flow from operating activities, but do adversely affect our net income and various components of our balance sheet.

U.S. or global financial markets may impact our business and financial condition.

A credit crisis or other turmoil in the U.S. or global financial system may have a negative impact on our business and our financial condition. Our ability to access the capital markets may be restricted at a time when we would like, or need, to raise financing. This could have an impact on our flexibility to react to changing economic and business conditions. Deteriorating economic conditions could have a negative impact on our lenders, the purchasers of our oil and gas production and the working interest owners in properties we operate, causing them to fail to meet their obligations to us.

Table of Contents

Failure to economically replace oil and gas reserves could negatively affect our financial results and future rate of growth.

In order to replace the reserves depleted by production and to maintain or increase our total proved reserves and overall production levels, we must either locate and develop new oil and gas reserves or acquire producing properties from others. This requires significant capital expenditures and can impose reinvestment risk for us, as we may not be able to continue to replace our reserves economically. While we occasionally may seek to acquire proved reserves, our main business strategy is to grow through exploration and drilling. Without successful exploration and development, our reserves, production and revenues could decline rapidly, which would negatively impact the results of our operations.

Exploration and development involves numerous risks, including new governmental regulations and the risk that we will not discover any commercially productive oil or gas reservoirs. Additionally, it can be unprofitable, not only from drilling dry holes, but also from drilling productive wells that do not return a profit because of insufficient reserves or declines in commodity prices.

Our drilling operations may be curtailed, delayed, or canceled for many reasons. Factors such as unforeseen poor drilling conditions, title problems, unexpected pressure irregularities, equipment failures, accidents, adverse weather conditions, compliance with environmental and other governmental requirements, bans, moratoria or other restrictions implemented by local governments and the cost of, or shortages or delays in the availability of, drilling and completion services could negatively impact our drilling operations.

Our proved reserve estimates may be inaccurate and future net cash flows are uncertain.

Estimates of total proved oil and gas reserves (consisting of proved developed and proved undeveloped reserves) and associated future net cash flow depend on a number of variables and assumptions. See “Forward-Looking Statement” in this report. Among others, changes in any of the following factors may cause actual results to vary considerably from our estimates:

- timing of development expenditures;
- amount of required capital expenditures and associated economics;
- recovery efficiencies, decline rates, drainage areas, and reservoir limits;
- anticipated reservoir and production characteristics and interpretations of geologic and geophysical data;
- production rates, reservoir pressure, unexpected water encroachment, and other subsurface conditions;
- oil, gas, and NGL prices;
- governmental regulation;
- access to assets restricted by local government action;
- operating costs;
- property, severance, excise and other taxes incidental to oil and gas operations;
- workover and remediation costs; and
- federal and state income taxes.

Our proved oil and gas reserve estimates are prepared by Cimarex engineers in accordance with guidelines established by the SEC. DeGolyer and MacNaughton, independent petroleum engineers, reviewed our reserve estimates for properties that comprised at least 80% of the discounted future net cash flows before income taxes, using a 10% discount rate, as of December 31, 2015.

Table of Contents

The cash flow amounts referred to in this filing should not be construed as the current market value of our proved reserves. In accordance with SEC guidelines, the estimated discounted net cash flow from proved reserves is based on the average of the previous 12 months' first-day-of-the-month prices and costs as of the date of the estimate, whereas actual future prices and costs may be materially different.

Hedging transactions may limit our potential gains and involve other risks.

To limit our exposure to price risk, we enter into hedging agreements from time to time, and use commodity derivatives. Hedges limit volatility and increase the predictability of a portion of our cash flow. These transactions also limit our potential gains when oil and gas prices exceed the prices established by the hedges.

In certain circumstances, hedging transactions may expose us to the risk of financial loss, including instances in which:

- the counterparties to our hedging agreements fail to perform;
- there is a sudden unexpected event that materially increases oil and natural gas prices; or
- there is a widening of price basis differentials between delivery points for our production and the delivery point assumed in the hedge arrangement.

Because we account for derivative contracts under mark-to-market accounting, during periods we have hedging transactions in place we expect continued volatility in derivative gains or losses on our income statement as changes occur in the relevant price indexes.

The adoption of derivatives legislation could have an adverse effect on our ability to use derivative instruments as hedges against fluctuating commodity prices.

In July 2010, the Dodd-Frank Act was enacted, representing an extensive overhaul of the framework for regulation of U.S. financial markets. The Dodd-Frank Act called for various regulatory agencies, including the SEC and the Commodities Futures Trading Commission (CFTC), to establish regulations for implementation of many of its provisions. The Dodd-Frank Act contains significant derivatives regulations, including requirements that certain transactions be cleared on exchanges and that cash collateral (margin) be posted for such transactions. The Dodd-Frank Act provides for an exemption from the clearing and cash collateral requirements for commercial end-users, such as Cimarex, and it includes a number of defined terms used in determining how this exemption applies to particular derivative transactions and the parties to those transactions.

We have satisfied the requirements for the commercial end-user exception to the clearing requirement and intend to continue to engage in derivative transactions. In December 2015, the CFTC approved final rules on margin requirements that will have an impact on our hedging counterparties and an interim final rule exemption from the margin requirements for certain uncleared swaps with commercial end-users. The final rules did not impose additional requirements on commercial end-users. The ultimate effect of these new rules and any additional regulations is currently uncertain. New rules and regulations in this area may result in significant increased costs and disclosure obligations as well as decreased liquidity as entities that previously served as hedge counterparties exit the market.

Table of Contents

We have been an early entrant into new or emerging resource plays. As a result, our drilling results in these areas are uncertain. The value of our undeveloped acreage may decline and we may incur impairment charges if drilling results are unsuccessful.

New or emerging oil and gas resource plays have limited or no production history. Consequently, in those areas it is difficult to predict our future drilling costs and results. Therefore, our cost of drilling, completing and operating wells in these areas may be higher than initially expected. Similarly, our production may be lower than initially expected, and the value of our undeveloped acreage may decline if our results are unsuccessful. As a result, we may be required to write down the carrying value of our undeveloped acreage in new or emerging plays.

Furthermore, unless production is established during the primary term of certain of our undeveloped oil and gas leases, the leases will expire, and we will lose our right to develop those properties.

Our business depends on oil and gas pipeline and transportation facilities, some of which are owned by others.

In addition to the existence of adequate markets, our oil and natural gas production depends in large part on the proximity and capacity of pipeline systems, as well as storage, transportation, processing and fractionation facilities, most of which are owned by third parties. The lack of availability or the lack of capacity on these systems and facilities could result in the curtailment of production or the delay or discontinuance of drilling plans. This is more likely in remote areas without established infrastructure, such as our Culberson County, Texas area where we have significant development activities. The lack of availability or capacity in these facilities or the loss of these facilities due to weather, fire or other reasons, for an extended period of time could negatively affect our revenues.

A limited number of companies purchase a majority of our oil, NGLs and natural gas. The loss of a significant purchaser could have a material adverse effect on our ability to sell production.

Federal and state regulation of oil and natural gas, local government activity, adverse court rulings, tax and energy policies, changes in supply and demand, pipeline pressures, damage to or destruction of pipelines and general economic conditions could adversely affect our ability to produce and market oil and natural gas.

Competition in our industry is intense and many of our competitors have greater financial and technological resources.

We operate in the competitive area of oil and gas exploration and production. Many of our competitors are large, well-established companies that have larger operating staffs and greater capital resources. These competitors may be willing to pay more for exploratory prospects and productive oil and gas properties. They may also be able to define, evaluate, bid for and purchase a greater number of properties and prospects than our financial or human resources permit.

Because our activity is also concentrated in areas of heavy industry competition, there is heightened demand for personnel, equipment, power, services, facilities and resources, resulting in higher costs than in other areas. Such intense competition also could result in delays in securing, or the inability to secure, the personnel, equipment, power, services, resources or facilities necessary for our development activities, which could negatively impact our production volumes. We also face higher costs in remote areas where vendors can charge higher rates due to that remoteness along with the inability to attract employees to those areas and the ability to deploy their resources in easier to access areas.

We are subject to complex laws and regulations that can adversely affect the cost, manner, and feasibility of doing business.

Exploration, production and the sale of oil and gas are subject to extensive laws and regulations, including those implemented to protect the environment, human health and safety and wildlife. Federal, state, and local regulatory agencies frequently require permitting and impose conditions on our activities. During the permitting process, these regulatory agencies often exercise considerable discretion in both the timing and scope of the permits, and the public, including special interest groups, often has an opportunity to influence the timing and outcome of the process. The requirements or conditions imposed by these agencies can be costly and can delay the commencement of our operations. In addition, a number of initiatives have been put forth by the President Obama administration not in the form of regulation but rather as Presidential or Secretarial Memoranda which have the potential to impact the cost of doing business or could result in

Table of Contents

substantial delays in permitting, drilling and other oil and gas activities. One example is the Presidential Memorandum on “no net loss” which will take the form of agency action by the Department of Interior, EPA and other agencies to ensure that harmful effects to lands are avoided, minimized and those which remain mitigated up to and including prohibiting actions which may have been previously allowed or requiring compensation.

Failing to comply with any of the applicable laws and regulations, or Presidential initiatives, could result in the suspension or termination of our operations and subject us to administrative, civil and criminal liabilities and penalties. Such costs could have a material adverse effect on both our financial condition and operations.

Environmental matters and costs can be significant.

As an owner, lessee, or operator of oil and gas properties, we are subject to various complex, stringent and constantly evolving environmental laws and regulations. Our operations inherently create the risk of environmental liability to the government and private parties stemming from our use, generation, handling and disposal of water and waste materials, as well as the release of hydrocarbons or other substances into the air, soil, or water. The environmental laws and regulations to which we are subject impose numerous obligations applicable to our operations, including: the acquisition of permits before conducting regulated activities associated with drilling for and producing oil and gas; the restriction of types, quantities, and concentration of materials that can be released into the environment; the limitation or prohibition of drilling activities on certain lands lying within wilderness, wetlands, waters of the United States, and other protected areas; the application of specific health and safety criteria addressing worker protection; and the imposition of substantial liabilities for pollution resulting from our operations. Numerous governmental authorities, such as the EPA and analogous state agencies have the power to enforce compliance with these laws and regulations and the permits issued under them. Such enforcement actions often involve taking difficult and costly compliance measures or corrective actions. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations may result in the assessment of sanctions, including administrative, civil or criminal penalties, the imposition of investigatory or remedial obligations, and the issuance of orders limiting or prohibiting some or all of our operations. In addition, we may experience delays in obtaining or be unable to obtain required permits, which may delay or interrupt our operations and limit our growth and revenue.

Liabilities under certain environmental laws can be joint and several and may in some cases be imposed regardless of fault on our part such as where we own a working interest in a property operated by another party. We also could be held liable for damages or remediating lands or facilities previously owned or operated by others regardless of whether such contamination resulted from our own actions and regardless if we were in compliance with all applicable law at the time. Further, claims for damages to persons or property, including natural resources, may result from the environmental, health and safety impacts of our operations. Since these environmental risks generally are not fully insurable and can result in substantial costs, such liabilities could have a material adverse effect on both our financial condition and operations.

Our financial condition and results of operations may be materially adversely affected if we incur costs and liabilities due to a failure to comply with environmental regulations or a release of hazardous substances into the environment.

Our operations are subject to environmental laws and regulations relating to the management and release of hazardous substances, pollutants, solid and hazardous wastes and petroleum hydrocarbons. These laws generally regulate the generation, storage, treatment, discharge, transportation and disposal of pollutants and solid and hazardous waste and may impose strict and, in some cases, joint and several liability for the investigation and remediation of affected areas where hazardous substances may have been released or disposed. The most significant of these environmental laws are as follows:

The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended, referred to as CERCLA or the Superfund law, and comparable state laws, which imposes liability on generators, transporters and arrangers of hazardous substances at sites where hazardous substance releases have occurred or are threatening to occur;

- The Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (OPA), under which owners and operators of onshore facilities and pipelines, lessees or permittees of an area in which an offshore facility is located, and owners and operators of vessels are liable for removal costs and damages that result from a discharge of oil into navigable waters of the United States;

Table of Contents

- The Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), as amended, and comparable state statutes, which governs the treatment, storage and disposal of solid waste;
- The Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended, also known as the Clean Water Act (CWA), which governs the discharge of pollutants, including natural gas wastes into federal and state waters;
- The Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA), which governs the disposal of wastewater in underground injection wells; and
- The Clean Air Act (CAA) which governs the emission of pollutants into the air.

We believe we are in substantial compliance with the requirements of CERCLA, RCRA, OPA, CWA, SDWA, CAA and related state and local laws and regulations. We also believe we hold all necessary and up-to-date permits, registrations and other authorizations required under such laws and regulations. Although the current costs of managing our wastes as they presently are classified are reflected in our budget, any legislative or regulatory reclassification of oil and natural gas exploration and production wastes could increase our costs to manage and dispose of such wastes and have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and operations.

Federal regulatory initiatives relating to the protection of threatened or endangered species could result in increased costs and additional operating restrictions or delays.

The Federal Endangered Species Act (ESA) was established to protect endangered and threatened species. Pursuant to the ESA, if a species is listed as threatened or endangered, restrictions may be imposed on activities adversely affecting that species' habitat. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) may designate critical habitat and suitable habitat areas it believes are necessary for survival of a threatened or endangered species. A critical habitat or suitable habitat designation could result in further material restrictions to federal land use and may materially delay or prohibit land access for oil and natural gas development. Similar protections are offered to migratory birds under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act. We conduct operations on federal oil and natural gas leases in areas where certain species are currently listed as threatened or endangered, or could be listed as such, under the ESA. Operations in areas where threatened or endangered species or their habitat are known to exist may require us to incur increased costs to implement mitigation or protective measures and also may restrict or preclude our drilling activities in those areas or during certain seasons, such as breeding and nesting seasons. On March 27, 2014, the FWS announced the listing of the lesser prairie chicken, whose habitat is over a five-state region, including Texas, New Mexico and Oklahoma, where we conduct operations, as a threatened species under the ESA. Listing of the lesser prairie chicken as a threatened species imposes restrictions on disturbances to critical habitat by landowners and drilling companies that would harass, harm or otherwise result in a "taking" of this species. However, the FWS also announced a final rule that will limit regulatory impacts on landowners and businesses from the listing if those landowners and businesses have entered into certain range-wide conservation planning agreements, such as those developed by the Western Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies (WAFWA), pursuant to which such parties agreed to take steps to protect the lesser prairie chicken's habitat and to pay a mitigation fee if its actions harm the lesser prairie chicken's habitat. We entered into a voluntary Candidate Conservation Agreement (CCA) with the WAFWA, whereby we agreed to take certain actions and limit certain activities, such as limiting drilling on certain portions of our acreage during nesting seasons, in an effort to protect the lesser prairie chicken. Such CCA could result in increased costs to us from species protection measures, time delays or limitations on drilling activities, which costs, delays or limitations may be significant. While a federal judge in Texas vacated the listing of the lesser prairie chicken in 2015, listing petitions continue to be filed with the FWS which could impact our operations. Many non-governmental organizations (NGOs) work closely with the FWS regarding the listing of many species, including species with broad and even nationwide ranges. The recent listing of the Mexican Long Nosed bat, whose habitat includes the Permian Basin where we operate, is an example of the NGOs' influence on ESA listing decisions. The increase in endangered species listings may impact our ability to explore for or produce oil and gas in certain areas and increase our costs.

Our hydraulic fracturing activities are subject to risks that could negatively impact our operations and profitability.

We use hydraulic fracturing for the completion of almost all of our wells. Hydraulic fracturing is a process that involves pumping fluid and proppant at high pressure into a hydrocarbon bearing formation to create and hold open fractures. Those fractures enable gas or oil to move through the formation's pores to the well bore. Typically, the fluid

Table of Contents

used in this process is primarily water. In plays where hydraulic fracturing is necessary for successful development, the demand for water may exceed the supply. A lack of readily available water or a significant increase in the cost of water could cause delays or increased completion costs.

While hydraulic fracturing historically has been regulated by state oil and natural gas commissions, the practice has become increasingly controversial in certain parts of the country, resulting in increased scrutiny and regulation from federal agencies. For example, the EPA has asserted federal regulatory authority over certain hydraulic-fracturing activities under the SDWA involving the use of diesel fuels and published permitting guidance in February 2014 addressing the use of diesel in fracturing operations. Although the EPA has delegated the permitting authority for the SDWA's Underground Injection Control Class II programs in Oklahoma, Texas or New Mexico where we maintain operational acreage, the EPA is encouraging state programs to review and consider use of such draft guidance. Also, the EPA is updating chloride water quality criteria for the protection of aquatic life under the Clean Water Act, which criteria are used by states for establishing acceptable discharge limits. The EPA is expected to release draft criteria in early 2016. On April 7, 2015, the EPA published in the Federal Register a proposed rule requiring federal pre-treatment standards for wastewater generated during the hydraulic fracturing process. Hydraulic fracturing stimulation requires the use of a significant volume of water with some resulting "flowback water" as well as "produced water." If adopted, the new pretreatment rules will require shale gas operations to pretreat wastewater before transferring it to treatment facilities. The public comment period for the proposed rates ended on June 8, 2015 and final rules have not yet been issued. Moreover, in May 2014, the EPA issued an Advanced Notice of Proposed Rulemaking seeking public comment on its intent to develop and issue regulations under the Toxic Substances Control Act regarding the disclosure of information related to the chemicals used in hydraulic fracturing. The public comment period ended on September 18, 2014.

In addition, on March 26, 2015, the federal Bureau of Land Management published a final rule governing hydraulic fracturing on federal and Indian lands. The rule requires public disclosure of chemicals used in hydraulic fracturing on federal and Indian lands, confirmation that wells used in fracturing operations meet appropriate construction standards, development of appropriate plans for managing flowback water that returns to the surface, increased standards for interim storage of recovered waste fluids, and submission to the Bureau of Land Management of detailed information on the geology, depth and location of preexisting wells. This rule originally was scheduled to take effect on June 24, 2015. However, the rule is the subject of several pending lawsuits filed by industry groups, two Indian tribes, and at least four states, alleging that federal law does not give the Bureau of Land Management authority to regulate hydraulic fracturing. The federal judge has enjoined the rule until the merits of the case can be heard sometime in 2016.

There are also certain governmental reviews either underway or being proposed that focus on environmental aspects of hydraulic fracturing practices. The EPA has commenced a study of the potential environmental effects of hydraulic fracturing on drinking water and groundwater. The EPA's draft report was released on June 4, 2015. The findings of the report suggest that hydraulic fracturing does not pose a systemic risk to groundwater although there are risks to both groundwater and soils posed by inadequate water handling practices in certain situations. A public comment period on the report was open until August 28, 2015 and a series of public hearings were conducted by the EPA's Scientific Advisory Board (SAB) throughout the fall of 2015. The EPA issued its final report and concluded there is no evidence of widespread contamination associated with hydraulic fracturing. The SAB has indicated that they want to continue to study the matter. Other governmental agencies, including the U.S. Department of Energy and the U.S. Department of the Interior, have evaluated or are evaluating various other aspects of hydraulic fracturing. These ongoing or proposed studies could spur initiatives to further regulate hydraulic fracturing.

Additionally, Congress from time to time has considered the adoption of legislation to provide for federal regulation of hydraulic fracturing and to require disclosure of the chemicals used in the hydraulic fracturing process. Most producing states, including Texas and Colorado, have adopted, and other states are considering adopting, regulations

that could impose more stringent permitting, public disclosure, and well construction requirements on hydraulic-fracturing operations or otherwise seek to ban fracturing activities altogether.

Any of the above factors could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows and could make it more difficult or costly for us to perform fracturing to stimulate production from dense subsurface rock formations and, in the event of local prohibitions against commercial production of natural gas, may

Table of Contents

preclude our ability to drill wells. In addition, our fracturing activities could become subject to additional permitting requirements and result in permitting delays as well as potential increases in costs. Restrictions on hydraulic fracturing could also reduce the amount of oil and natural gas that we are ultimately able to produce from our reserves.

Air quality regulations could negatively impact our operations and profitability.

In August 2012, the EPA adopted rules that subject oil and natural gas production, processing, transmission and storage operations to regulation under the New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) and National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants programs. The rules include NSPS standards for completions of hydraulically fractured gas wells and establishes specific new requirements for emissions from compressors, controllers, dehydrators, storage vessels, natural gas processing plants and certain other equipment. The final rules seek to achieve a 95% reduction in volatile organic compounds (VOCs) emitted by requiring the use of reduced emission completions or “green completions” on all hydraulically-fractured wells constructed or refractured after January 1, 2015. The EPA received numerous requests for reconsideration of these rules from both industry and the environmental community. In response, the EPA has issued, and will likely continue to issue, revised rules responsive to some of these requests for reconsideration. In addition, on January 14, 2015, the EPA announced a series of steps it plans to take to address methane and smog-forming VOC emissions from the oil and gas industry. Proposed rules implementing the various elements of the package were published in September 2015 and the public comment period ended in December 2015. These standards, as well as any future laws and their implementing regulations, may require us to obtain pre-approval for the expansion or modification of existing facilities or the construction of new facilities expected to produce air emissions, impose stringent air permit requirements, or utilize specific equipment or technologies to control emissions. Compliance with such rules could result in significant costs, including increased capital expenditures and operating costs, and could adversely impact our business.

The adoption of climate change legislation or regulations restricting emission of greenhouse gases could result in increased operating costs and reduced demand for the oil and natural gas we produce.

Studies have suggested that emission of certain gases, commonly referred to as greenhouse gases (GHGs) may be impacting the earth's climate. Methane, a primary component of natural gas, and carbon dioxide, also present in natural gas as a secondary product, sometimes considered an impurity or a by-product of the burning of oil and natural gas, are examples of GHGs. The U.S. Congress and various states have been evaluating, and in some cases implementing, climate-related legislation and other regulatory initiatives that restrict emissions of GHGs. In December 2009, the EPA published its findings that emissions of GHGs present an endangerment to public health and the environment because emissions of such gases are contributing to the warming of the earth's atmosphere and other climatic changes. Based on these findings, the EPA adopted regulations under existing provisions of the Federal Clean Air Act that establish Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) and Title V permit reviews for GHG emissions from certain large stationary sources. Facilities required to obtain PSD and/or Title V permits under EPA's GHG Tailoring Rule for their GHG emissions also may be required to meet "Best Available Control Technology" standards that will be established by the states or, in some cases, by the EPA on a case-by-case basis. The EPA has also adopted rules requiring the monitoring and reporting of GHG emissions from specified sources in the United States, including, among others, certain oil and natural gas production facilities on an annual basis, which includes certain of our operations. In recent proposed rulemaking EPA is widening the scope of annual GHG reporting to include not only activities associated with completion and workover of gas wells with hydraulic fracturing and activities associated with oil and natural gas production operations, but also completions and workovers of oil wells with hydraulic fracturing, gathering and boosting systems and transmission pipelines.

While Congress has from time to time considered legislation to reduce emissions of GHGs, there has not been significant activity in the form of adopted legislation to reduce GHG emissions at the federal level in recent years. In the absence of such federal climate legislation, a number of state and regional efforts have emerged that are aimed at

tracking and/or reducing GHG emissions by means of cap and trade programs that typically require major sources of GHG emissions, such as electric power plants, to acquire and surrender emission allowances in return for emitting those GHGs. In January 2015, President Obama announced a series of administration actions to reduce methane emissions, including rulemaking by the EPA and the BLM as well as updating of standards by the Department of Transportation's Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Administration. The current administration intends to promulgate proposed climate change rulemaking this summer aimed at reducing GHG emissions by 45% by 2025 compared to 2012 levels. On January 22, 2016, the Department of the Interior announced its proposed emissions mandate on oil and natural gas producers who

Table of Contents

operate on federal and Indian lands. While we expect new legislation and regulations to increase the cost of business, at this time it is not possible to quantify the impact on our business. Any such future laws and final regulations that require reporting of GHGs or otherwise limit emissions of GHGs from our equipment and operations could require us to incur costs to develop and implement best management practices aimed at reducing GHG emissions, install and maintain emissions control technologies, as well as monitor and report on GHG emissions associated with our operations, which would increase our operating costs, and such requirements also could adversely affect demand for the oil and natural gas that we produce.

Legislation or regulatory initiatives intended to address seismic activity could restrict our ability to dispose of saltwater produced in connection with our oil and gas production, which could limit our ability to produce oil and gas economically and have a material adverse effect on our business.

We dispose of large volumes of saltwater produced in connection with our drilling and production operations, pursuant to permits issued to us or third party operators of disposal wells by governmental authorities overseeing produced water disposal activities. While these permits are issued pursuant to existing laws and regulations, these legal requirements are subject to change, which could result in the imposition of more stringent operating constraints or new monitoring and reporting requirements, owing to, among other things, concerns of the public or governmental authorities regarding such gathering or disposal activities.

There exists a growing concern that the injection of produced water into belowground disposal wells triggers seismic activity in certain areas, including Oklahoma and Texas, where we operate. In response to these concerns, regulators in some states are pursuing initiatives designed to impose additional requirements in the permitting of saltwater disposal wells or otherwise to assess any relationship between seismicity and the use of such wells. For example, in 2014, the Oklahoma Corporation Commission adopted rules for operators of saltwater disposal wells in certain seismically-active areas, or Areas of Interest, in the Arbuckle formation, requiring operators to monitor and record well pressure and discharge volume on a daily basis and further requiring operators of wells permitted for disposal of 20,000 barrels per day or more of saltwater to conduct mechanical integrity testing. Throughout 2015, the Oklahoma Corporation Commission's Oil and Gas Conservation Division, or OGCD, issued a series of directives, expanding the areas of interest for induced seismicity and enhanced disposal restrictions and limiting the depths at which produced water could be injected or, in the alternative, reducing disposal volumes. Additional regulations and restrictions have been proposed in Oklahoma in 2016.

In addition, in 2014 the Texas Railroad Commission, or TRC, published a new rule governing permitting or re-permitting of disposal wells in Texas that would require, among other things, the submission of information on seismic events occurring within a specified radius of the disposal well location, as well as logs, geologic cross sections and structure maps relating to the disposal area in question. If a permittee or a prospective permittee fails to demonstrate that the saltwater or other fluids are confined to the disposal zone or if scientific data indicates such a disposal well is likely to be or determined to be contributing to seismic activity, then the TRC may deny, modify, suspend or terminate the permit application or existing operating permit for that well.

The adoption and implementation of any new laws, regulations, or directives that restrict our ability to dispose of produced water, by changing the depths of disposal wells, reducing the volume of oil and natural gas wastewater disposed in such wells, restricting disposal well locations or otherwise, or by requiring us or third parties who dispose of our saltwater to shut down disposal wells, could increase disposal costs or require us to shut in a substantial number of our oil and natural gas wells or otherwise have a material adverse effect on our ability to produce oil and gas economically and, accordingly, could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We use some of the latest available horizontal drilling and completion techniques, which involve risk and uncertainty in their application.

Our horizontal drilling operations utilize some of the latest drilling and completion techniques. The risks of such techniques include, but are not limited to, the following:

- landing the wellbore in the desired drilling zone;

Table of Contents

- staying in the desired drilling zone while drilling horizontally through the formation;
- running casing the entire length of the wellbore;
- being able to run tools and other equipment consistently through the horizontal wellbore;
- the ability to fracture stimulate the planned number of stages;
- the ability to run tools the entire length of the wellbore during completion operations; and
- the ability to successfully clean out the wellbore after completion of the final fracture stimulation stage.

Any of the above factors could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

We may be subject to information technology system failures, network disruptions and breaches in data security and our business, financial position, results of operations and cash flows could be negatively affected by such security threats and disruptions.

As an oil and gas producer, we face various security threats, including cybersecurity threats such as attempts to gain unauthorized access to sensitive information or to render data or systems unusable; threats to the security of our facilities and infrastructure or third-party facilities and infrastructure, such as gathering and processing facilities, pipelines and refineries; and threats from terrorist acts. Cybersecurity attacks are becoming more sophisticated and include, but are not limited to, malicious software, attempts to gain unauthorized access to data and systems, and other electronic security breaches that could lead to disruptions in critical systems, unauthorized release of confidential or otherwise protected information, and corruption of data, which could have an adverse effect on our reputation, business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. While we have not suffered any material losses relating to such attacks, there can be no assurance that we will not suffer such losses in the future.

We rely heavily on our information systems, and the availability and integrity of these systems are essential for us to conduct our business and operations. In addition to cybersecurity and data security threats, other information system failures and network disruptions could have a material adverse effect on our ability to conduct our business. We could experience system failures due to power or telecommunications failures, human error, natural disasters, fire, sabotage, hardware or software malfunction or defects, computer viruses, intentional acts of vandalism or terrorism and similar acts or occurrences. Such system failures could result in the unanticipated disruption of our operations, communications or processing of transactions, as well as loss of, or damage to, sensitive information, facilities, infrastructure and systems essential to our business and operations, the failure to meet regulatory standards and the reporting of our financial results, and other disruptions to our operations, which, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

While management has taken steps to address these concerns by implementing network security and internal control measures to monitor and mitigate security threats and to increase security for our information, facilities, and infrastructure, our implementation of such procedures and controls may result in increased costs, and there can be no assurance that a system failure or data security breach will not occur and have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, as cybersecurity threats continue to evolve, we may be required to expend additional resources to continue to modify or enhance our protective measures or to investigate or remediate any cybersecurity or information technology infrastructure vulnerabilities.

Our limited ability to influence operations and associated costs on non-operated properties could result in economic losses that are partially beyond our control.

Other companies operate approximately 26% of our net production. Our success in properties operated by others depends upon a number of factors outside of our control. These factors include timing and amount of capital expenditures, the operator's expertise and financial resources, approval of other participants in drilling wells, selection of technology and maintenance of safety and environmental standards. Our dependence on the operator and other

working interest owners

24

Table of Contents

for these projects could prevent the realization of our targeted returns on capital in drilling or acquisition activities.

Our business involves many operating risks that may result in substantial losses for which insurance may be unavailable or inadequate.

Our operations are subject to hazards and risks inherent in drilling for oil and gas, such as fires, natural disasters, explosions, formations with abnormal pressures, casing collapses, uncontrollable flows of underground gas, blowouts, surface cratering, pipeline ruptures or cement failures. Other such risks include theft, vandalism, environmental hazards such as natural gas leaks, oil spills and discharges of toxic gases. Any of these risks can cause substantial losses resulting from:

- injury or loss of life;
- damage to, loss of or destruction of, property, natural resources and equipment;
- pollution and other environmental damages;
- regulatory investigations, civil litigation and penalties;
- damage to our reputation;
- suspension of our operations; and
- costs related to repair and remediation.

In addition, our liability for environmental hazards may include conditions created by the previous owners of properties that we purchase or lease.

We maintain insurance coverage against some, but not all, potential losses. We do not believe that insurance coverage for all environmental damages that could occur is available at a reasonable cost. Losses could occur for uninsurable or uninsured risks, or in amounts in excess of existing insurance coverage. The occurrence of an event that is not fully covered by insurance could harm our financial condition and results of operation.

We may not be able to generate enough cash flow to meet our debt obligations.

At December 31, 2015, our long-term debt consisted of \$750 million of 4.375% senior notes due in 2024 and \$750 million of 5.875% senior notes due in 2022. In addition to interest expense and principal on our long-term debt, we have demands on our cash resources including, among others, operating expenses and capital expenditures.

Our ability to pay the principal and interest on our long-term debt and to satisfy our other liabilities will depend upon future performance and our ability to repay or refinance our debt as it becomes due. Our future operating performance and ability to refinance will be affected by economic and capital market conditions, results of operations and other factors, many of which are beyond our control. Our ability to meet our debt service obligations also may be impacted by changes in prevailing interest rates, as borrowing under our existing revolving credit facility bears interest at floating rates.

We may not generate sufficient cash flow from operations. Without sufficient cash flow, there may not be adequate future sources of capital to enable us to service our indebtedness or to fund our other liquidity needs. If we are unable to service our indebtedness and fund our operating costs, we will be forced to adopt alternative strategies that may include:

- reducing or delaying capital expenditures;
- seeking additional debt financing or equity capital;

Table of Contents

- selling assets; or
- restructuring or refinancing debt.

We may be unable to complete any such strategies on satisfactory terms, if at all. Our inability to generate sufficient cash flows to satisfy our debt obligations, or to refinance our indebtedness on commercially reasonable terms, would materially and adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

The instruments governing our indebtedness contain various covenants limiting the discretion of our management in operating our business.

The indenture governing our senior notes and our credit agreement contain various restrictive covenants that may limit management's discretion in certain respects. In particular, these agreements limit Cimarex's and its subsidiaries' ability to, among other things:

- create certain liens;
- consolidate, merge or transfer all, or substantially all, of our assets and our restricted subsidiaries;
- enter into sale and leaseback transactions.

In addition, our revolving credit agreement requires us to maintain a total debt to capitalization ratio (as defined in the credit agreement) of not more than 65%. Also, the indenture, under which we issued our senior unsecured notes, restricts us from incurring additional indebtedness, subject to certain exceptions, unless our fixed charge coverage ratio (as defined in the indenture) is at least 2.25. The additional indebtedness limitation does not prohibit us from borrowing under our revolving credit facility. See Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further information.

If we fail to comply with the restrictions in the indenture governing our senior notes or the agreement governing our credit facility or any other subsequent financing agreements, a default may allow the creditors, if the agreements so provide, to accelerate the related indebtedness as well as any other indebtedness to which a cross-acceleration or cross-default provision applies. In addition, lenders may be able to terminate any commitments they had made to make available further funds.

Our acquisition activities may not be successful, which may hinder our replacement of reserves and adversely affect our results of operations.

The successful acquisition of properties requires an assessment of several factors, including:

- geological risks and recoverable reserves;
- future oil and gas prices and their appropriate market differentials;
- operating costs; and
- potential environmental risks and other liabilities.

The accuracy of these assessments is inherently uncertain. In connection with these assessments, we perform a review of the subject properties we believe to be generally consistent with industry practices. Our review will not reveal all existing or potential problems nor will it permit us to become sufficiently familiar with the properties to fully assess their deficiencies and capabilities. Inspections will not likely be performed on every well or facility, and structural and environmental problems are not necessarily observable even when an inspection is undertaken. Furthermore, the seller may be unwilling or unable to provide effective contractual protection against all or part of the identified problems.

We may lose leases if production is not established within the time periods specified in the leases.

Table of Contents

Unless production is established within the spacing units covering the undeveloped acres on which some of the locations are identified, the leases for such acreage will expire. If we are not able to renew leases before they expire, any proved undeveloped reserves associated with such leases will be removed from our proved reserves. The combined net acreage expiring in the next three years represents 3.7% of our total net undeveloped acreage at December 31, 2015. At that date, we had leases representing 74,874 net acres expiring in 2016, 89,785 net acres expiring in 2017, and 53,981 net acres expiring in 2018. Our actual drilling activities may materially differ from those presently identified, which could adversely affect our business.

Our disposition activities may be subject to factors beyond our control, and in certain cases we may retain unforeseen liabilities for certain matters.

We regularly sell non-core assets in order to increase capital resources available for other core assets and to create organizational and operational efficiencies. We also occasionally sell interests in core assets for the purpose of accelerating the development and increasing efficiencies in such core assets. Various factors could materially affect our ability to dispose of such assets, including the approvals of governmental agencies or third parties and the availability of purchasers willing to acquire the assets with terms we deem acceptable.

Sellers often retain certain liabilities or agree to indemnify buyers for certain matters related to the sold assets. The magnitude of any such retained liability or of the indemnification obligation is difficult to quantify at the time of the transaction and ultimately could be material. Also, as is typical in divestiture transactions, third parties may be unwilling to release the company from guarantees or other credit support provided prior to the sale of the divested assets. As a result, after a divestiture, the company may remain secondarily liable for the obligations guaranteed or supported to the extent that the buyer of the assets fails to perform these obligations.

Competition for experienced, technical personnel may negatively impact our operations.

Our exploratory and development drilling success depends, in part, on our ability to attract and retain experienced professional personnel. The loss of any key executives or other key personnel could have a material adverse effect on our operations. As we continue to develop our asset base and the scope of our operations, our future profitability will depend on our ability to attract and retain qualified personnel, particularly individuals with a strong background in geology, geophysics, engineering and operations.

We are involved in various legal proceedings, the outcome of which could have an adverse effect on our liquidity.

In the normal course of business, we have various lawsuits and related disputed claims, including but not limited to claims concerning title, royalty payments, environmental issues, personal injuries, and contractual issues. Although we currently believe the resolution of these lawsuits and claims, individually or in the aggregate, would not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations, our assessment of our current litigation and other legal proceedings could change in light of the discovery of facts with respect to legal actions or other proceedings pending against us not presently known to us or determinations by judges, juries or other finders of fact that are not in accord with our evaluation of the possible liability or outcome of such proceedings. Therefore, there can be no assurance that outcomes of future legal proceedings would not have an adverse effect on our liquidity and capital resources.

Certain federal income tax deductions currently available with respect to natural gas and oil exploration and development may be eliminated, as a result of future legislation.

Various proposals have been made recommending the elimination of certain key U.S. federal income tax incentives currently available to oil and natural gas exploration and production companies. Legislation is often introduced in

Congress which would implement many of these proposals. These changes include, but are not limited to, (i) the repeal of the percentage depletion allowance for oil and gas properties; (ii) the elimination of current deductions for intangible drilling and development costs; and (iii) an extension of the amortization period for certain geological and geophysical expenditures. It is unclear, however, whether any such changes will be enacted or how soon such changes could be effective.

The passage of this legislation or any other similar change in U.S. federal income tax law could eliminate or

Table of Contents

postpone certain tax deductions that are currently available with respect to oil and natural gas exploration and development, and any such change could have an adverse effect on our financial position.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The information set forth under the heading “Litigation” in Note 10 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K is incorporated by reference in response to this item.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

28

Table of Contents

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Our \$0.01 par value common stock trades on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) under the symbol XEC. A cash dividend was paid to stockholders in each quarter of 2015. Future dividend payments will depend on the company's level of earnings, financial requirements and other factors considered relevant by the Board of Directors.

Stock Prices and Dividends by Quarter. The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low sales price per share of Common Stock on the NYSE and the quarterly dividends paid per share.

2015	High	Low	Dividends Paid Per Share
First Quarter	\$ 118.87	\$ 91.74	\$ 0.16
Second Quarter	\$ 132.18	\$ 108.59	\$ 0.16
Third Quarter	\$ 118.87	\$ 97.23	\$ 0.16
Fourth Quarter	\$ 124.91	\$ 85.00	\$ 0.16

2014	High	Low	Dividends Paid Per Share
First Quarter	\$ 121.71	\$ 92.38	\$ 0.14
Second Quarter	\$ 143.75	\$ 111.49	\$ 0.16
Third Quarter	\$ 150.71	\$ 125.25	\$ 0.16
Fourth Quarter	\$ 129.12	\$ 96.02	\$ 0.16

The closing price of Cimarex stock as reported on the New York Stock Exchange on February 12, 2016, was \$84.67. At December 31, 2015, Cimarex's 94,820,570 shares of outstanding common stock were held by approximately 1,960 stockholders of record.

The following table sets forth information with respect to the equity compensation plans available to directors, officers, and employees of the company at December 31, 2015:

(a)	(b)	(c)
Number of securities		Number of securities remaining available
		for future issuance

Edgar Filing: CIMAREX ENERGY CO - Form 10-K

Plan Category	to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants, and rights	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants, and rights	under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	299,229	\$ 93.76	4,423,042
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	—	—	—
Total	299,229	\$ 93.76	4,423,042

Table of Contents

The following graph compares the cumulative 5-year total return attained by stockholders on Cimarex Energy Co.'s common stock relative to the cumulative total returns of the S&P 500 index, the Dow Jones US Exploration & Production index, and the S&P Oil & Gas Exploration & Production index. The graph tracks the performance of a \$100 investment in our common stock and in each of the indexes (with the reinvestment of all dividends) from December 31, 2010 to December 31, 2015. The stock price performance included in this graph is not necessarily indicative of future stock price performance.

	12/2010	12/2011	12/2012	12/2013	12/2014	12/2015
Cimarex Energy Co.	\$ 100.00	\$ 70.26	\$ 66.01	\$ 120.78	\$ 122.66	\$ 104.00
S&P 500	\$ 100.00	\$ 102.11	\$ 118.45	\$ 156.82	\$ 178.29	\$ 180.75
Dow Jones US Exploration & Production	\$ 100.00	\$ 95.81	\$ 101.39	\$ 133.68	\$ 119.27	\$ 90.97
S&P Oil & Gas Exploration & Production	\$ 100.00	\$ 93.57	\$ 96.98	\$ 123.65	\$ 110.55	\$ 72.80

Stock Repurchases. In December 2005, the Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to four million shares of our common stock. The authorization expired on December 31, 2011. Through December 31, 2007, we had repurchased and cancelled a total of 1,364,300 shares at an overall average price of \$39.05. No shares have been repurchased since the quarter ended September 30, 2007.

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The selected financial data set forth below should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying notes thereto provided in Item 8 of this report.

	For the Years Ended December 31,				
	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
	(in millions, except per share amounts)				
Operating Results:					
Oil, gas and NGL sales	\$ 1,418	\$ 2,373	\$ 1,953	\$ 1,582	\$ 1,704
Total Revenues	\$ 1,453	\$ 2,424	\$ 1,998	\$ 1,624	\$ 1,758
Net income (loss) (1)	\$ (2,409)	\$ 507	\$ 565	\$ 354	\$ 530
Earnings (loss) per share to common Stockholders:					
Basic	\$ (25.92)	\$ 5.79	\$ 6.48	\$ 4.08	\$ 6.17
Diluted	\$ (25.92)	\$ 5.78	\$ 6.47	\$ 4.07	\$ 6.15
Cash dividends declared per share	\$ 0.64	\$ 0.64	\$ 0.56	\$ 0.48	\$ 0.40
Cash flow data:					
Net cash flow provided by operating activities	\$ 692	\$ 1,619	\$ 1,324	\$ 1,193	\$ 1,292
Net cash used in investing activities	\$ (1,009)	\$ (1,740)	\$ (1,531)	\$ (1,415)	\$ (1,429)
Net cash provided by financing activities	\$ 691	\$ 522	\$ 142	\$ 289	\$ 25
December 31,					
	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
	(in millions, except proved reserves amounts)				
Balance sheet data:					
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 779	\$ 406	\$ 5	\$ 70	\$ 2
Oil and Gas Properties, net (1)	\$ 3,276	\$ 6,904	\$ 5,966	\$ 5,005	\$ 4,126
Goodwill	\$ 620	\$ 620	\$ 620	\$ 620	\$ 620
Total assets (1) (2)	\$ 5,243	\$ 8,708	\$ 7,243	\$ 6,294	\$ 5,355
Long-term Obligations					
Long-term debt (principal)	\$ 1,500	\$ 1,500	\$ 924	\$ 750	\$ 405
Deferred Income Taxes	\$ 353	\$ 1,755	\$ 1,460	\$ 1,121	\$ 904
Other	\$ 197	\$ 194	\$ 164	\$ 313	\$ 302
Stockholders' equity	\$ 2,798	\$ 4,501	\$ 4,022	\$ 3,475	\$ 3,131
Proved Reserves:					
Oil (MBbls)	107,798	118,992	108,533	77,921	72,322
Gas (Bcf)	1,517	1,667	1,294	1,252	1,216
NGL (MBbls)	124,277	125,273	92,044	89,909	65,815
Total equivalent (Bcfe)	2,909	3,132	2,497	2,259	2,045

(1)

During 2015, we recorded non-cash full cost ceiling impairments to our oil and gas properties totaling \$3.7 billion (\$2.4 billion, net of tax).

- (2) At December 31, 2015, we adopted new guidance which requires debt issuance costs (except for those related to revolving credit facilities) to be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of the related debt liability rather than as an asset. Such costs were previously recorded as deferred assets. Prior periods have been adjusted to conform to this guidance. See Notes 1 and 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements of this report for additional information.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this report and also with "Risk Factors" in Item 1A of this report. This discussion also includes forward-looking statements. Please refer to "Cautionary Information about Forward-Looking Statements" in Part I of this report for important information about these types of statements.

OVERVIEW

Cimarex is an independent oil and gas exploration and production company. Our operations are entirely located in the United States, mainly in Oklahoma, Texas and New Mexico. Currently our operations are focused in two main areas: the Permian Basin and the Mid-Continent region. Our Permian Basin region encompasses west Texas and southeast New Mexico. Our Mid-Continent region consists of Oklahoma and the Texas Panhandle.

Our principal business objective is to profitably grow proved reserves and production for the long-term benefit of our stockholders through a diversified drilling portfolio. Our strategy centers on maximizing cash flow from producing properties and profitably reinvesting that cash flow in exploration and development. We consider property acquisitions, dispositions and occasional mergers to enhance our competitive position.

We believe that detailed technical analysis, operational focus and a disciplined capital investment process mitigates risk and positions us to continue to achieve profitable increases in proved reserves and production. Our robust drilling portfolio and limited long-term commitments provide the flexibility to respond quickly to industry volatility.

Our investments are generally funded with cash flow provided by operating activities together with bank borrowings, sales of non-strategic assets and occasional public financing. Conservative use of leverage has long been a part of our financial strategy. We believe that maintaining a strong balance sheet mitigates financial risk and enables us to withstand low prices.

Market Conditions

The oil and gas industry is cyclical and commodity prices can be volatile. In the second half of 2014, oil prices began a rapid and significant decline as global oil supplies began to outpace demand. During 2015 and thus far in 2016, global oil supply has continued to outpace demand resulting in further deterioration in realized prices for oil production.

Prices for domestic natural gas and NGLs began to decline during the third quarter of 2014 and have continued to be weak throughout 2015 and thus far in 2016. The declines in these prices are primarily due to an imbalance between supply and demand across North America.

Our revenue, profitability and future growth are highly dependent on the prices we receive for our oil and natural gas production. Compared to 2014, our realized oil price for 2015 fell 48% to \$43.38 per barrel. Similarly, our realized price for natural gas dropped 43% to \$2.53 per mcf and our realized price for NGLs declined 59% to \$13.75 per barrel. The U.S. oil and gas industry continues to confront weak commodity prices due to oversupply, growing inventories and concern over global demand.

Continued downward pressure on commodity prices has adverse effects on our business and financial position. Our ability to access capital markets may be restricted, which could have an impact on our flexibility to react to changing economic and business conditions. Further, the global oversupply situation could have an adverse impact on our

business partners, customers and lenders, potentially causing them to fail to meet their obligations to us.

Based on current economic conditions, our 2016 exploration and development expenditures are projected to range from \$600-\$650 million. Investments in gathering and processing infrastructure and other fixed assets are expected to approximate an additional \$50 million.

32

Table of Contents

2015 Summary of Operating and Financial Results

Continued weakness in commodity prices had a significant adverse impact on our results of operations, our balance sheet and the amount of cash flow available to invest in exploration and development activities.

The following is a summary of certain 2015 operating and financial results:

- Average daily production increased 13% to 984.5 MMcfe per day.
 - Oil production grew 19% to 51,132 barrels per day, gas volumes increased by 9% to 463.0 MMcf per day and NGL volumes rose 15% to 35,789 barrels per day.
 - We added 571 Bcfe of proved reserves from extensions and discoveries and net positive performance revisions, replacing 159% of production.
 - In the second quarter of 2015 we completed a common stock offering and received net proceeds of \$730.0 million.
 - Cash on hand at year end was \$779.4 million.
 - Production revenues declined 40% to \$1.4 billion.
 - Cash flow provided by operating activities of \$691.5 million was 57% lower than that of the prior year.
 - We incurred non-cash impairments of our oil and gas properties totaling \$3.7 billion.
 - We had a net loss for the year of \$2.4 billion, or \$25.92 per diluted share.
 - Proved reserves decreased 7.1% to 2,909.4 Bcfe primarily due to negative price-related revisions of 398.8 Bcfe.
- In response to lower commodity prices we significantly reduced our 2015 exploration and development expenditures to \$877.0 million compared to \$1.9 billion in 2014.

Total debt at December 31, 2015 consisted of \$1.5 billion of senior notes, with \$750 million maturing in 2022 and \$750 million maturing in 2024, unchanged from total debt at December 31, 2014.

Table of Contents

Proved Reserves

	Year Ended December 31, 2015			
	Gas	Oil	NGL	Total Gas
	(MMcf)	(MBbl)	(MBbl)	Equivalents
	(MMcfe)			(MMcfe)
Permian Basin	378,516	78,482	36,598	1,069,002
Mid-Continent	1,134,434	29,048	87,639	1,834,554
Other	4,002	268	40	5,851
Total	1,516,952	107,798	124,277	2,909,407

	Year Ended December 31, 2014			
	Gas	Oil	NGL	Total Gas
	(MMcf)	(MBbl)	(MBbl)	Equivalents
	(MMcfe)			(MMcfe)
Permian Basin	370,729	90,081	35,253	1,122,734
Mid-Continent	1,280,234	27,791	89,621	1,984,709
Other	15,770	1,120	399	24,880
Total	1,666,733	118,992	125,273	3,132,323

Year-end 2015 proved reserves declined by 7% to 2.9 Tcfe, compared to 3.1 Tcfe at year-end 2014. Proved natural gas reserves were 1.5 Tcf, oil contributed 0.65 Tcfe and NGLs accounted for 0.75 Tcfe. Our Mid-Continent's reserves accounted for 63% of total proved reserves with the remainder in the Permian Basin.

During 2015, we added 429 Bcfe of proved reserves through extensions and discoveries, primarily in the Mid-Continent and Permian Basin. In the Mid-Continent, we added 177 Bcfe. In the Permian Basin, we added 251 Bcfe. In addition, we had net positive performance revisions of 142 Bcfe. The performance revisions included 47 Bcfe for better than expected performance of PUD reserves converted to proved developed reserves during the year and positive adjustments of 95 Bcfe to previously booked PUD reserves.

During 2015, we had net negative reserve revisions of 276 Bcfe. The significant decrease in commodity prices seen in 2015 resulted in negative revisions of 399 Bcfe due to prices. In addition, 19 Bcfe of negative revisions was due to increases in operating expenses, which shortened the economic lives of properties. These decreases were partially offset by the 142 Bcfe of net positive performance revisions discussed above.

The process of estimating quantities of oil, gas and NGL reserves is complex. Significant decisions are required in the evaluation of all available geological, geophysical, engineering and economic data. The data for a given field may also change substantially over time as a result of numerous factors including, but not limited to, additional development activity, evolving production history, contractual arrangements and continual reassessment of the viability of production under varying economic conditions. As a result, material revisions to existing reserve estimates may occur from time to time. See SUPPLEMENTAL OIL AND GAS INFORMATION (UNAUDITED) in Item 8 of this report for further discussion regarding year-over-year changes in our proved reserves.

Although every reasonable effort is made to ensure that our reserve estimates represent the most accurate assessments possible, subjective decisions and available data for our various fields make these estimates generally less precise than other estimates included in financial statement disclosures. See Proved Reserves Estimation Procedures in Items 1 and 2 of this report for a discussion of our reserve estimation process.

Revenues

Almost all of our revenues are derived from sales of our oil, natural gas and NGL production. Increases or decreases in our revenue, profitability and future production growth are highly dependent on the commodity prices we receive. Prices are market driven and we expect that future prices will continue to fluctuate due to supply and demand factors, seasonality and geopolitical and economic factors.

Table of Contents

Oil sales contributed 57% of our total production revenue for 2015. Gas sales accounted for 30% and NGL sales contributed 13%. A \$1.00 per barrel change in our realized oil price would have resulted in an \$18.7 million change in revenues. A \$0.10 per Mcf change in our realized gas price would have resulted in a \$16.9 million change in our gas revenues. A \$1.00 per barrel change in NGL prices would have changed revenues by \$13.1 million.

The following table presents our average realized commodity prices and certain major U.S. index prices. Our realized prices do not include settlements of commodity derivative contracts.

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
Oil Prices:			
Average realized sales price (\$/Bbl)	\$ 43.38	\$ 83.70	\$ 93.44
Average WTI Midland price (\$/Bbl)	\$ 48.39	\$ 86.18	\$ 95.33
Average WTI Cushing price (\$/Bbl)	\$ 48.80	\$ 93.01	\$ 97.97
Gas Prices:			
Average realized sales price (\$/Mcf)	\$ 2.53	\$ 4.43	\$ 3.76
Average Henry Hub price (\$/Mcf)	\$ 2.67	\$ 4.43	\$ 3.65
NGL Prices:			
Average realized sales price (\$/Bbl)	\$ 13.75	\$ 33.14	\$ 29.36

During 2015, 2014 and 2013, approximately 84%, 80% and 80%, respectively, of our oil production was in the Permian Basin, the sale of which is tied to the WTI Midland benchmark price. The majority of the remaining oil production is from our Mid-Continent region.

Prior to 2014, our average realized prices for gas and NGLs were net of certain processing fees. Beginning in 2014, these fees were no longer netted against realized prices. The resulting positive impact on gas prices for 2014 was \$0.07 per Mcf. The positive impact on NGL prices was \$3.54 per barrel. These positive impacts to prices were equally offset by increased transportation, processing and other operating costs. See Note 1, Basis of Presentation – Oil, Gas and NGL Sales, to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this report for additional information regarding these processing fees.

See RESULTS OF OPERATIONS below for analysis of the impact changes in realized prices had on our year-over-year revenues.

Operating costs and expenses

Costs associated with producing oil and natural gas are substantial. Some of these costs vary with commodity prices, some trend with the type and volume of production and others are a function of the number of wells we own. At the end of 2015, we owned interests in 10,348 gross productive wells.

We use the full cost method of accounting for our oil and gas operations. Accounting rules require us to perform a quarterly ceiling test calculation to test our oil and gas properties for possible impairment. If the net capitalized cost of our oil and gas properties subject to amortization (the carrying value) exceeds the ceiling limitation, the excess is charged to expense. The ceiling limitation is equal to the sum of the present value discounted at 10% of estimated future net cash flows from proved reserves, the cost of properties not being amortized, the lower of cost or estimated fair value of unproven properties included in the costs being amortized, and all related tax effects. Estimated future net cash flows are determined by proved reserve quantities and commodity prices net of operating costs and capital

expenditures.

The carrying value of our oil and gas properties subject to the ceiling test exceeded the calculated value of the ceiling limitation for each of the four quarters of 2015 resulting in aggregate impairments of \$3.7 billion (\$2.4 billion, net of tax). The impairments resulted primarily from the impact of decreases in the 12-month average trailing prices for oil, natural gas and NGLs utilized in determining the estimated future net cash flows from proved reserves. If pricing conditions stay at current early 2016 levels or decline further, we will incur full cost ceiling impairments in future quarters, the magnitude of which will be affected by one or more of the other components of the ceiling test calculations, until prices stabilize or improve over a 12-month period.

35

Table of Contents

At December 31, 2015, commodity prices used in the ceiling calculation, based on the required trailing 12-month average, were \$2.59 per Mcf of gas and \$50.28 per barrel of oil, resulting in a pretax impairment of \$965.3 million for the fourth quarter of 2015. To demonstrate the impact of commodity prices on the ceiling calculation, had average prices of \$2.45 per Mcf of gas and \$46.03 per barrel of oil been used instead, our pre-tax ceiling test impairment would have been approximately \$1.259 billion at December 31, 2015. This would have increased our total 2015 impairments by approximately \$294 million. The lower commodity prices were calculated based on a 12-month simple average of the commodity prices on the first day of the month for the 11 months ended February 2016 and the prices for February 2016 were used for the remaining month in the 12-month average.

The above calculation of the impact of lower commodity prices was prepared based on the presumption that all other inputs and assumptions are held constant with the exception of oil and natural gas prices. Therefore, this calculation strictly isolates the potential impact of commodity prices on our ceiling test limitation. An amount of any future write-downs or impairment is difficult to reasonably predict and will depend upon not only commodity prices but also other factors that include, but are not limited to, incremental proved reserves that may be added each period, revisions to previous reserve estimates, capital expenditures, operating costs, and all related tax effects. There are numerous uncertainties inherent in the estimation of proved reserves and accounting for oil and natural gas properties in future periods and the estimate described in this paragraph should not be construed as indicative of our development plans or future results.

The ceiling limitation calculation is not intended to be indicative of the fair market value of our proved reserves or future results. Impairment charges do not affect cash flow from operating activities, but do adversely affect our net income and various components of our balance sheet. Any recorded impairment of oil and gas properties is not reversible at a later date.

Depletion, depreciation and amortization (DD&A) of our producing properties is computed using the units-of-production method. The economic life of each producing well depends upon the estimated proved reserves for that well, which in turn depend upon the assumed realized sales price for future sales of production. Therefore, fluctuations in oil and gas prices will impact the level of proved reserves used in the calculation. Higher prices generally have the effect of increasing reserves, which reduces depletion expense. Conversely, lower prices generally have the effect of decreasing reserves, which increases depletion expense. The cost of replacing production also impacts our DD&A rate. In addition, changes in estimates of reserve quantities, estimates of operating and future development costs, reclassifications of properties from unproved to proved and impairments of oil and gas properties will also impact depletion expense. DD&A is calculated quarterly before the ceiling test impairment calculation. The impairments of our oil and gas properties, discussed above, resulted in lower DD&A rates in each quarter following the impairment.

Production expense generally consists of costs for labor, equipment, maintenance, salt water disposal, compression, power, treating and miscellaneous other costs. Production expense also includes well workover activity necessary to maintain production from existing wells.

Transportation, processing and other operating costs principally consist of expenditures to prepare and transport production from the wellhead, together with gas processing costs and costs to transport production to a specified sales point. Costs vary by region and will fluctuate with increases or decreases in production volumes, contractual fees and changes in fuel and compression costs.

General and administrative (G&A) expenses consist primarily of salaries and related benefits, office rent, legal fees, consultants, systems costs and other administrative costs incurred in our offices and not directly associated with exploration, development or production activities. Our G&A expense is reported net of amounts reimbursed to us by working interest owners of the oil and gas properties we operate and net of amounts capitalized pursuant to the full

cost method of accounting.

A discussion of changes in operating costs and expenses is included in RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, below.

36

Table of Contents

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

2015 compared to 2014

For the year ended December 31, 2015, we had a net loss of \$2.4 billion (\$25.92 per diluted share), compared to net income of \$507.2 million (\$5.78 per diluted share) for 2014. The net loss in 2015 was primarily a result of lower realized commodity prices, which also brought about impairments of our oil and gas properties. Year-over-year changes are discussed further in the analysis that follows.

Production Revenue (in thousands or as indicated)	Years Ended December 31,		Percent Change Between 2015 / 2014	Price / Volume Change		
	2015	2014		Price	Volume	Total
Oil sales	\$ 809,664	\$ 1,308,958	(38) %	\$ (752,492)	\$ 253,198	\$ (499,294)
Gas sales	428,227	687,930	(38) %	(321,075)	61,372	(259,703)
NGL sales	179,647	375,941	(52) %	(253,292)	56,998	(196,294)
Total production revenue	\$ 1,417,538	\$ 2,372,829	(40) %	\$ (1,326,859)	\$ 371,568	\$ (955,291)
Total oil volume — thousand barrels	18,663	15,639	19 %			
Oil volume — barrels per day	51,132	42,846				