

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES INC /CA/
Form 10KSB/A
July 29, 2008

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-KSB /A
(AMENDMENT NO. 1)

x ANNUAL REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007

o TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____.

Commission file number: 33-23617

Material Technologies, Inc.
(Name of small business issuer in its charter)

Delaware 95-4622822
(State or other (I.R.S.
jurisdiction of Employer
incorporation Identification
or organization) No.)

11661 San
Vicente 90049
Boulevard, (Zip Code)
Suite 707
Los Angeles,
California
(Address of
principal
executive
offices)

Issuer's telephone number: (310) 208-5589

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act:

Common stock, par value \$0.001
(Title of class)

Check whether the issuer (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Check if there is no disclosure of delinquent filers in response to Item 405 of Regulation S-B contained in this form, and no disclosure will be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-KSB or any amendment to this Form 10-KSB.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

For the year ended December 31, 2007, our revenue was \$201,917.

As of July 22, 2008, the number of shares of Class A common stock outstanding was 186,828,995. As of July 21, 2008, the aggregate market value of our common stock held by non-affiliates was approximately \$1,568,784.97 (based upon 156,878,497 shares at \$ 0.01 per share).

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

The following documents are incorporated herein by reference: (i) Registration Statement on Form S-1, filed April 30, 1997; (ii) Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year December 31, 2000, filed March 30, 2001; (iii) Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year December 31, 2003, filed April 9, 2004; (iv) Current Report on Form 8-K, filed August 24, 2006; (v) Current Report on Form 8-K, filed November 8, 2006; (vi) Current Report on Form 8-K, filed February 6, 2007; (vii) Registration Statement on Form S-1, filed January 19, 1996; (viii) Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2005, filed November 14, 2005; (ix) Current Report on Form 8-K/A, filed January 5, 2006; (x) Current Report on Form 8-K, filed May 17, 2006; (xi) Current Report on Form 8-K, filed June 8, 2006; (xii) Current Report on Form 8-K/A, filed June 15, 2006; (xiii) Registration Statement on Form SB-2, filed June 15, 2006; (xiv) Current Report on Form 8-K, filed June 9, 2006; (xv) Current Report on Form 8-K, filed November 2, 2006; (xvi) Current Report on Form 8-K, filed November 28, 2006; (xvii) Current Report on Form 8-K, filed January 3, 2007; and (xviii) Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year December 31, 2006, filed April 3, 2007, are incorporated in Part III, Item 13.

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format (check one): Yes No

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>ITEM DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS</u> <u>1</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>ITEM DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY</u> <u>2</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>ITEM LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u> <u>3</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>ITEM SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY</u> <u>4 HOLDERS</u>	<u>9</u>
<u>ITEM MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED</u> <u>5 STOCKHOLDER MATTERS</u>	<u>9</u>
<u>ITEM MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF</u> <u>6 FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u>	<u>12</u>
<u>ITEM FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u> <u>7</u>	<u>19</u>
<u>ITEM CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH</u> <u>8 ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL</u> <u>DISCLOSURE</u>	<u>19</u>
<u>ITEM CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u> <u>8A</u>	<u>20</u>
<u>ITEM OTHER INFORMATION</u> <u>8B</u>	<u>23</u>
<u>ITEM DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, PROMOTERS AND</u> <u>9 CONTROL PERSONS; COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 16(A)</u> <u>OF THE EXCHANGE ACT</u>	<u>23</u>
<u>ITEM EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u> <u>10</u>	<u>26</u>
<u>ITEM SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL</u> <u>11 OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED</u> <u>STOCKHOLDER MATTERS</u>	<u>28</u>
<u>ITEM CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED</u> <u>12 TRANSACTIONS</u>	<u>29</u>
<u>ITEM EXHIBITS</u> <u>13</u>	<u>30</u>

<u>ITEM PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES</u>	<u>35</u>
<u>14</u>	

<u>SIGNATURES</u>	<u>36</u>
-------------------	-----------

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1 – DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS.

Development of Business

We were formed as a Delaware corporation on March 4, 1997. We are the successor to the business of Material Technology, Inc., a Delaware corporation, also doing business as Tensiodyne Scientific, Inc. Material Technology, Inc. was the successor to the business of Tensiodyne Corporation that began developing the Fatigue Fuse in 1983. Our two predecessors, Tensiodyne Corporation and Material Technology, Inc. were engaged in developing and testing our Fatigue Fuse and, beginning in 1993, developing our Electrochemical Fatigue Sensor.

Our Business

Over the last several years, we were engaged in research and development of metal fatigue detection, measurement, and monitoring technologies. We have now developed several monitoring devices for metal fatigue detection and measurement. We are currently marketing our technology.

Our efforts have been dedicated to developing devices and systems that indicate the true status of fatigue damage in a metal component. We have developed two products. The first is a small, simple device that continuously integrates the effect of fatigue loading in a structural member, called a Fatigue Fuse. The second is an instrument that detects very small growing fatigue cracks in metals, the Electrochemical Fatigue Sensor. The Electrochemical Fatigue Sensor has demonstrated in the laboratory that it can detect cracks as small as 10 microns (0.0004 inches), which we believe is smaller than any other practical crack detection technology. The Company holds the patents on the Fatigue Fuse and the license on the technology on the Electrochemical Fatigue Sensor from the University of Pennsylvania and licenses both of those technologies to us.

We have completed the technology to the point where we are now performing real world bridge inspections.

On September 25, 2007, the Federal Highway Administration (FHA) has signed a \$347,500 contract with us to purchase equipment and training as part of their Steel Bridge Testing Program. They will use our EFS system in the laboratory and on actual bridges to find growing fatigue cracks. Following the completion of this program, the FHA will recommend technologies for use on bridges for specific bridge problems.

Our on-call contract with the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation (PennDOT) is continuing to produce good results. Since May 2007, we have used the EFS on 12 bridges in Pennsylvania, totaling over \$100,000 so far. We anticipate further work orders to be issued for the next inspection season. We have also received interest from several inspection companies in Pennsylvania to purchase EFS equipment, as well as training and licensing, in order to execute these further work orders, with licensing fees payable to us for each bridge inspected. One such company has

Table of Contents

already been trained at their cost to help us execute on-call contracts in 2008.

We completed a contract with Massachusetts (MassHighway) for \$24,290. We then met with MassHighway representatives who hired us to conduct additional bridge inspections during 2008.

New York State contracted with us to provide EFS inspection services on a high profile fracture critical bridge for \$9,630. As a result of this initial inspection for the New York State Department of Transportation, we will be performing a follow up inspection. Additionally, they are evaluating purchase of equipment, training for their engineers, and licensing in 2008.

We have completed an inspection of a fracture critical bridge in West Sacramento, California, and are also in the process of analyzing and reporting the results. At the same time we have met with several high-ranking state and national officials in California, with more meetings planned, all discussing the use of EFS across the state.

We have also formed a strategic alignment with a California-based independent testing laboratory called Smith Emery Company. Smith Emery Company is over 100 years old and has over 400 employees in California as well as an office in China. They perform weld testing, building façade testing, and metallurgical failure analysis. Engineers and technicians have already been trained at their cost to execute contracts in the western U.S. region.

We have signed a contract with the Canadian National Railway to inspect a bridge in Wisconsin. The Canadian National Railway owns a number of bridges in the United States.

We have completed and sent PennDOT a report on the nine bridges we inspected in Pennsylvania. We hope to meet with PennDOT in the near future to discuss the use of EFS on their remaining steel bridges.

We met with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers to present at the U.S. Secretary of Defense's office on May 1 and 2, 2008. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers owns all of the bridges over U.S. federal waterways.

We have scheduled inspections in 2008 for the following entities so far:

- Virginia Department of Transportation
 - Canadian National Railway
- Alabama Department of Transportation
 - MassHighway
- New York Department of Transportation

We have been hired to perform inspections with the following entities which have not yet been scheduled:

- New Jersey Department of Transportation
 - PennDOT

Table of Contents

- Union Pacific Railroad
- URS Engineers

Our Technologies

The Fatigue Fuse

The Fatigue Fuse is designed to be affixed to a structure to give warnings at pre-selected percentages of the fatigue life that have been used up (i.e., how close to failure the structure has progressed). It warns against a condition of widespread generalized cracking due to fatigue.

The Fatigue Fuse is a thin piece of metal similar to the material being monitored. It consists of a series of parallel metal strips connected to a common base, much as fingers are attached to a hand. Each “finger” has a different geometric pattern, called “notches,” defining its boundaries. Each finger incorporates an application-specific notch near the base. By applying the laws of physics and fracture mechanics to determine the geometric contour of each notch, the fatigue life of each finger is finite and predictable. When the fatigue life of a finger (Fuse) is reached, the Fuse breaks.

By implementing different geometry for each finger notch in the array, different increments of fatigue life are observable. Typically, notches will be designed to facilitate observing increments of fatigue life of 10% to 20%. By mechanically attaching or bonding these devices to different areas of the structural member of concern, the Fuse undergoes the same fatigue history (strain cycles) as the structural member. Therefore, breakage of a Fuse indicates that an increment of fatigue life has been reached for the structural member. The notch and the size and shape of the notch concentrate energy on each finger. The Fuse is intimately attached to the structural member of interest. Therefore, the Fuse experiences the same strain and wear history as the member. Methods are available for remote indication of Fuse fracturing.

In a new structure, we generally assume there is no fatigue and can thus design the Fatigue Fuse for 100% of its life potential. But in an existing structure, one that has experienced loading and wear, we must determine the fatigue status of that structural member so we can design the Fatigue Fuse to monitor the remaining fatigue life potential.

We believe that the Fatigue Fuse is of value in monitoring aircraft, ships, bridges, conveyor systems, mining equipment, cranes, etc. Little special training is needed to qualify individuals to report any broken segments of the Fatigue Fuse to the appropriate engineering authority for necessary action. The success of the device is contingent upon our successful marketing of the Fatigue Fuse, and no assurance can be given that we will be able to overcome the obstacles relating to introducing a new product to the market. To implement our ability to produce and market the Fatigue Fuse, we need substantial additional capital and no assurance can be given that this needed capital will be available.

The Electrochemical Fatigue Sensor (EFS”)

The EFS is a device that employs the principle of electrochemical/mechanical interaction of

Table of Contents

metals under repeated loading to find growing cracks. It is an instrument that detects very small cracks and has the potential to determine crack growth rates. The Electrochemical Fatigue Sensor has demonstrated in the laboratory that it can detect cracks as small as 10 microns (0.0004 inches), which we believe is smaller than any other practical technology. We believe that nothing comparable to this instrument currently exists in materials technology. We have inspected approximately 33 bridges to date using this technology.

The EFS functions by treating the location of interest (the target) associated with the structural member as an electrode of an electrochemical cell (similar to a battery). By imposing a constant voltage-equivalent circuit as the control mechanism for the electrochemical reaction at the target surface, current flows as a function of stress action. The EFS is always a dynamic process; therefore stress action is required, e.g., to measure a bridge structural member it is necessary that cyclic loads be imposed, such as normal traffic on the bridge would do. The results are a specific set of current waveforms and amplitudes that characterize and indicate fatigue damage i.e., growing fatigue cracks.

Status of our Technologies

Currently, our primary focus is on the commercialization of the EFS.

Status of the EFS

From May 2007 through June 2008, we have successfully used EFS on 23 highway and railroad bridges. We are now actively marketing the EFS for bridges.

Status of the Fatigue Fuse

To date, certain organizations have included our Fatigue Fuse in test programs. We have already completed the tests for welded steel civil bridge members conducted at the University of Rhode Island. In 1996, Westland Helicopter, a British firm, tested the Fatigue Fuse on helicopters. That test was successful with the legs of the Fatigue Fuses failing in sequence as predicted. At the present time, we are applying Fatigue Fuses to several portable aluminum bridges for the U.S. Army.

The Fatigue Fuse has been at this stage for the past several years as we have not had the necessary financial resources to finalize our development and commence marketing. At the present time we have elected to defer future development of the Fatigue Fuse and apply our resources to pursue the EFS technology.

Commercial Markets for our Products and Technologies

Our technology is applicable to many market sectors such as bridges and aerospace as well as ships, cranes, railways, power plants, nuclear facilities, chemical plants, mining equipment, piping systems, and heavy iron.

Table of Contents

Application of Our Technologies For Bridges

Our EFS and Fatigue Fuse products primarily address the detection of fatigue in structures such as bridges. In the United States alone, there are more than 610,000 bridges of which over 260,000 are rated by the Federal Highway Administration as requiring major repair, rehabilitation, or replacement. Our EFS and Fatigue Fuse products can be effectively used as fatigue detection devices for all metal bridges located within the United States. Our detection devices also address maintenance problems associated with bridge structures.

Although there are normal business imperatives, the bridge market is essentially macro-economically and government policy driven. In our opinion, only technology can provide the solution. The need for increased spending accelerates significantly each year as infrastructure ages. The Federal government has mandated bridge repair and detection through the passage of the Intermodal Surface Transportation and Efficiency Act in 1991 and again in the \$200 billion, 1998 Transportation Equity Act. We have completed several contracts to install our fatigue detection products on bridge structures within the United States, and are in negotiations for several others.

Our Patent Protections

We are the owner and/or assignee of eight patents as follows:

Title	USPTO No.
Devise for Monitoring Fatigue Life	4,590,804
Method of Making a Device for Monitoring Fatigue Life	4,639,997
Metal Fatigue Detector	5,237,875
Device for Monitoring the Fatigue Life of a Structural Member and a Method of Making Same	5,319,982
Device for Monitoring the Fatigue Life of a Structural Member and a Method of Making Same	5,425,274
Methods and Devices for Electro Chemically Determining Metal Fatigue Status	5,419,201

Table of Contents

Apparatus for and Method for Interrogating a Fatigue Fuse	Provisional
Indicator for Fatigue Fuse	Provisional

Our Patents are Encumbered

The patents described in the preceding section are pledged as collateral to secure the repayment of loans extended to us or indebtedness that we currently owe. On August 30, 1986, we entered into a funding agreement with the Advanced Technology Center, whereby ATC paid \$45,000 to us for the purchase of a royalty of 3% of future gross sales and 6% of sublicensing revenue. The royalty is limited to the \$45,000 plus an 11% annual rate of return. The payment of future royalties was secured by equipment we used in the development of technology as specified in the funding agreement, however, no lien against our equipment or our patents in favor of ATC vested until we generated royalties from product sales.

On May 4, 1987, we entered into a funding agreement with ATC whereby ATC provided \$63,775 to us for the purchase of a royalty of an additional 3% of future gross sales and 6% of sublicensing revenue. The agreement was amended August 28, 1987, and as amended, the royalty cannot exceed the lesser of (1) the amount of the advance plus a 26% annual rate of return or, (2) total royalties earned for a term of 17 years. As with our first agreement with ATC, no lien or encumbrance against our assets, including our patents, vested in favor of ATC until we generated royalties from product sales.

On September 28, 2006, we entered into an agreement with Ben Franklin Technology, the successor to ATC, to give Ben Franklin 3,334 shares of our common stock, valued at \$40,000, in exchange for a general release of the above liabilities.

On May 27, 1994, we borrowed \$25,000 from Sherman Baker, one of our shareholders. We gave Mr. Baker a promissory note due May 31, 2002 and we pledged our patents as collateral to secure the repayment of this note. As of December 31, 2007, there is a first priority security interest in our patents as collateral for the repayment of the amounts we owe to Mr. Baker. As additional consideration for this loan, we granted to Mr. Baker a 1% royalty interest in the Fatigue Fuse and a 0.5% royalty interest in the Electrochemical Fatigue Sensor. We are in default of the repayment terms of the note held by Mr. Baker, and at December 31, 2007, we owe Mr. Baker \$56,761 in principal and accrued interest. Mr. Baker has not taken any action to foreclose his interest in the collateral and we are in discussions with Mr. Baker, with the expectation that we will cure any default in the note he holds and avoid any foreclosure of his security interest held in our patents. We believe that although we have not yet cured our defaults on the loans to Mr. Baker, our current communications with him suggest that Mr. Baker does not have the present intention of foreclosing on the patents as collateral or the pursuit of legal action against us to collect the balance due under our note.

Distribution of our Products

Subject to available financing, we have and continue to exhibit the Electrochemical Fatigue Sensor, and to a lesser extent the Fatigue Fuse, at various trade shows and intend to also market

Table of Contents

our products directly to end users including certain state regulatory agencies charged with overseeing bridge maintenance, companies engaged in manufacturing and maintaining large ships and tankers, and the military. Although we intend to undertake marketing, dependent on the availability of funds, within and without the United States, no assurance can be given that any such marketing activities will be implemented.

Competition

Other technologies exist which identify cracks which may be the result of fatigue damage. Single cracks larger than a minimum size can be found by nondestructive inspection methods such as dye penetrant, radiography, eddy current, acoustic emission, and ultrasonics. Tracking of load and strain history, to subsequently estimate fatigue damage by computer processing, is possible with recording instruments such as strain gauges and counting accelerometers. These methods have been used for over 40 years and also offer the advantage of having been accepted in the market, whereas our products remain largely unproven. Companies marketing these alternate technologies include Magnaflux Corporation, Kraut-Kramer-Branson, Dunegan-Endevco, and Micro Measurements. These companies have more substantial assets, greater experience, and more resources than us, including, but not limited to, established distribution channels and an established customer base. The familiarity and loyalty to these technologies may be difficult to dislodge. Because we are still in the development stage, we are unable to predict whether our technologies will be successfully developed and commercially attractive in potential markets.

Employees

We have six full-time employees. In addition, we retain consultants on an independent contractor basis for specialized work.

ITEM 2 – DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY

We lease an office at 11661 San Vicente Blvd., Suite 707, Los Angeles, California, 90049. The space consists of 830 square feet and will be adequate for our current and foreseeable needs. The total rent is payable at \$2,582 per month on a month-to-month basis. Either party may cancel the lease on 30 days notice.

ITEM 3 – LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Stephen Beck

In July 2002, we settled a lawsuit related to a contract dispute with Mr. Stephen Beck. In March 2006, Mr. Beck filed a lawsuit against us alleging breach of contract related to the lawsuit settlement and sought approximately \$135,000 in damages, plus the issuance of 12,989 shares of our common stock plus interest.

In December 2006, we entered into a settlement and release agreement, as well as irrevocable escrow instructions, to settle the lawsuit Mr. Beck filed in March 2006. As consideration under

Table of Contents

the settlement, we issued 5,000,000 shares of our common stock to Mr. Beck, with the shares to be held by an escrow agent and distributed to Mr. Beck monthly with a trading limit equal to 8% of the previous month's trading volume of our common stock, until Mr. Beck has received a total of \$800,000. As we have guaranteed this debt to Mr. Beck in the amount of \$800,000, we have recorded a liability as of December 31, 2007 for this amount. As Mr. Beck receives proceeds from the sale of his shares into the market and 7.5% (net of any expenses incurred by us) of any cash raised by us from the sale of equity, we will reduce our guarantee by that amount. We have paid a total of \$285,182 to Mr. Beck in cash as part of the settlement. Mr. Beck also had anti-dilution rights on those shares to maintain his percentage ownership through September 27, 2008. We issued another 5,000,000 shares to Mr. Beck to be held in escrow until the conditions are met with respect to the anti-dilution shares. As of the date of this Report, we have issued a total of 1,393,617 shares of common stock to Mr. Beck pursuant to the anti-dilution provision in the settlement arrangement. In or about February 2008, Mr. Beck reached the \$800,000 guarantee from the sale of our common stock and the cash received from us for 7.5% of the capital we raised. Therefore, as of the date of this Report, we have no further liability to Mr. Beck.

On September 12, 2007, we filed a complaint for declaratory relief against Mr. Beck in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles, Central Judicial District, seeking a judicial determination as to the respective rights and duties of us and Mr. Beck with respect to certain terms and conditions of the settlement agreement and escrow instructions.

On October 1, 2007, Mr. Beck served us with a Motion for Enforcement of Settlement and Entry of Judgment (Motion"). Mr. Beck's motion was denied.

On February 7, 2008, we filed a first amended complaint in our action against Mr. Beck for declaratory relief which now also seeks to have the settlement agreement and escrow instructions rescinded. On March 6, 2008, Mr. Beck filed a cross-complaint against us and Robert M. Bernstein, our President and a Director, for breach of contract, specific performance, declaratory relief, conversion, intentional interference with contract (against Mr. Bernstein only) and, in the alternative, equitable restitution.

Gem Advisors, Inc., GEM Global Emerging Markets, and Global Emerging Markets of North America, Inc.

On June 15, 2005, we filed a Complaint in the Los Angeles Superior Court, State of California, case number BC336689, against Gem Advisors, Inc., GEM Global Emerging Markets, and Global Emerging Markets of North America, Inc., seeking a declaration regarding certain agreements we entered into with the parties. We did not seek monetary damages. On November 16, 2005, Gem Advisors, Inc. filed an Answer and Cross-Complaint, seeking approximately \$1.9 million in damages arising out of finders fees for certain transactions. On November 30, 2005, default judgments were entered against the other defendants who failed to respond to our Complaint. In September 2006, this case was dismissed as to all parties because the parties thought they could agree on the terms of a written settlement agreement. However, the parties failed to reach a settlement and no formal settlement agreement was ever executed.

Table of Contents

On November 30, 2007, Gem Advisors, Inc. filed a lawsuit against us, Robert M. Bernstein, and Lawrence I. Washor (who represented us in the lawsuit against Gem Advisors, Inc. filed on June 15, 2005), for breach of contract (settlement), breach of contract (for transfer to Gem Advisors, Inc. of 585,000 shares we held in another company), breach of covenant of good faith and fair dealing, and fraud and deceit – promise made without intention to perform (the only cause of action asserted against Robert M. Bernstein and Lawrence I. Washor). Gem Advisors, Inc. is seeking damages in excess of \$250,000. On April 10, 2008, the Court dismissed Lawrence I. Washor from the lawsuit.

ITEM 4 – SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None.

PART II

ITEM 5 – MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Market Information

Our common stock is quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol MTTG. The following table sets forth the high and low bid prices per share of common stock for the last two fiscal years. These prices represent inter-dealer quotations without retail markup, markdown, or commission and may not necessarily represent actual transactions.

	High	Low
Fiscal year ended December 31, 2006:		
First quarter	\$0.29	\$0.09
Second quarter	\$0.35	\$0.08
Third quarter	\$0.10	\$0.03
Fourth quarter	\$13.80	\$0.03
Fiscal year ended December 31, 2007:		
First quarter	\$3.70	\$0.41
Second quarter	\$1.65	\$1.01
Third quarter	\$1.97	\$0.55
Fourth quarter	\$0.75	\$0.40

The closing price of our common stock on July 21, 2008 was \$0.01.

Holders

As of the date of this Report, we had 186,828,995 shares of our Class A common stock issued and outstanding and held by approximately 1,727 holders of record. The number of record holders was determined from the records of our transfer agent and does not include beneficial owners of common stock whose shares are held in the names of various security brokers, dealers, and registered clearing agencies. The transfer agent for our Class A common stock is Interwest Transfer Company, Inc., 1981 East 4800 South, Suite 100, Salt Lake City, Utah 84117.

Table of Contents

Dividends

We have never declared or paid any cash dividends on our common stock. We do not anticipate paying any cash dividends to stockholders in the foreseeable future. In addition, any future determination to pay cash dividends will be at the discretion of the Board of Directors and will be dependent upon our financial condition, results of operations, capital requirements, and such other factors as the Board of Directors deem relevant.

Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans

On April 18, 2006, our Board of Directors approved the 2006 Non-Qualified Stock Grant and Option Plan (the 2006 Plan”) with 100,000 shares of our common stock available for issuance under the plan. The plan offers selected employees, directors, and consultants an opportunity to acquire our common stock, and serves to encourage such persons to remain employed by us and to attract new employees. As of the date of this Report, we have issued all 100,000 shares of common stock under the plan.

On December 1, 2006, our Board of Directors approved the 2006/2007 Non-Qualified Company Stock Grant and Option Plan (the 2006/2007 Plan”) with 3,000,000 shares of our common stock available for issuance under the plan. The plan offers selected employees, directors, and consultants an opportunity to acquire our common stock, and serves to encourage such persons to remain employed by us and to attract new employees. As of the date of this Report, we have not issued any options or shares of common stock under the 2006/2007 Plan.

On April 22, 2008, our Board of Directors approved the 2008 Incentive and Nonstatutory Stock Option Plan (the “2008 Plan”) with 100,000,000 shares of our common stock available for issuance under the plan. On May 23, 2008, our Board of Directors amended the 2008 Plan increasing the number of shares of our common stock available for issuance under the plan to 400,000,000. The 2008 Plan offers selected employees, directors, and consultants an opportunity to acquire our common stock, and serves to encourage such persons to remain employed by us and to attract new employees. As of the date of this Report, we have issued all 400,000,000 stock options to employees under the 2008 Plan.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

On September 28, 2007, we issued 400,000 shares of common stock to an employee subject to vesting, which were ultimately forfeited due to the employee’s termination.

On October 2, 2007, we issued 76,300 shares of common stock to one entity in consideration for services.

On October 8, 2007, we issued 1,430,400 shares of common stock to three entities and one individual under Regulation S in consideration for prior investments.

On October 8, 2007, we sold 770,000 shares of common stock to one entity at \$0.65 per share for total gross proceeds of \$505,000 under Regulation S.

Table of Contents

On October 12, 2007, we issued 4,000,000 shares of common stock to an entity in exchange for certain of their shares. However, the exchange was cancelled and each side returned the other's shares.

On December 3, 2007, we sold 2,027,900 shares of common stock to 42 individuals and entities at \$0.24 per share for total gross proceeds of \$486,696.

On December 6, 2007, we sold 62,500 shares of common stock to one entity at \$0.40 per share for total gross proceeds of \$25,000 under Regulation S.

On December 10, 2007, we issued 250,000 shares to one individual in exchange for services.

On January 9, 2008, we issued 425,000 shares of common stock to one individual in exchange for consulting services.

On January 14, 2008, we issued a total of 7,000,000 shares of common stock to two entities for investor relations services.

On January 21, 2008, we issued 425,000 shares of common stock to one individual in exchange for services.

On February 19, 2008, we issued 200,000 shares of common stock to one individual in exchange for services.

On February 25, 2008, we issued 150,000 shares of common stock to one individual in exchange for consulting services.

On February 27, 2008, we issued 150,000 shares of common stock to one individual in exchange for consulting services.

On February 27, 2008, we issued 200,000 shares of common stock to one individual in exchange for consulting services.

On February 27, 2008, we issued 25,000 shares of common stock to one individual in exchange for consulting services.

On April 9, 2008, we issued options to purchase a total of 15,390,546 shares of Class A common stock to two individuals at an exercise price of \$0.025 per share.

On April 9, 2008, we issued options to purchase a total of 48,000 shares of Class B common stock to two individuals at an exercise price of \$0.50 per share.

On April 11, 2008, we issued 77,600 shares of common stock to four individuals under Regulation S for total gross proceeds of \$18,624.

Table of Contents

On July 11, 2008, we issued a total of 8,577,907 shares of common stock to two entities pursuant to their conversion of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock.

Unless otherwise indicated, we relied on the exemption from registration relating to offerings that do not involve any public offering pursuant to Section 4(2) under the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Act") and/or Rule 506 of Regulation D of the Act. We believe that each investor had adequate access to information about us through the investor's relationship with us.

ITEM 6 – MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Disclaimer Regarding Forward Looking Statements

Our Management's Discussion and Analysis contains not only statements that are historical facts, but also statements that are forward-looking (within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Forward-looking statements are, by their very nature, uncertain and risky. These risks and uncertainties include international, national and local general economic and market conditions; demographic changes; our ability to sustain, manage, or forecast growth; our ability to successfully make and integrate acquisitions; raw material costs and availability; new product development and introduction; existing government regulations and changes in, or the failure to comply with, government regulations; adverse publicity; competition; the loss of significant customers or suppliers; fluctuations and difficulty in forecasting operating results; changes in business strategy or development plans; business disruptions; the ability to attract and retain qualified personnel; the ability to protect technology; and other risks that might be detailed from time to time in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Although the forward-looking statements in this Annual Report reflect the good faith judgment of our management, such statements can only be based on facts and factors currently known by them. Consequently, and because forward-looking statements are inherently subject to risks and uncertainties, the actual results and outcomes may differ materially from the results and outcomes discussed in the forward-looking statements. You are urged to carefully review and consider the various disclosures made by us in this Report and in our other reports as we attempt to advise interested parties of the risks and factors that may affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations and prospects.

Overview

We research and develop technologies that detect and measure metal fatigue. We have developed two products. Our two products are the Fatigue Fuse and Electrochemical Fatigue Sensor. We generate very little revenue from the sale and licensing of our products, and thus we are a development stage company.

Our biggest challenge is funding the continued research and development and commercialization of our products until we can generate sufficient revenue to support our operations. We try to

Table of Contents

keep our overhead low and utilize outside consultants as much as possible in order to reduce expenses, and thus far we have been successful in raising enough capital through loans and financing to fund operations. For the foreseeable future, we will continue to raise capital in this manner.

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared using the accrual method of accounting in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and have been prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the settlement of liabilities in the normal course of business. We have sustained operating losses since our inception (October 21, 1983). In addition, we have used substantial amounts of working capital in our operations. Further, at December 31, 2007, the deficit accumulated during the development stage amounted to approximately \$313,208,402.

In view of these matters, realization of a major portion of the assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet is dependent upon our ability to meet our financing requirements and the success of our future operations. During 2007, we received approximately \$4,000,000 in private financing, primarily from the sale of equity and debt securities. We plan to continue to raise funds through the sale of our securities for the foreseeable future. In addition in 2007, we received contracts to inspect certain bridges with nine states which generated gross revenue of approximately \$201,917. We have begun marketing our current technologies while continuing to develop new methods and applications. We will need to raise additional capital to finance future activities and no assurances can be made that current or anticipated future sources of funds will enable us to finance future operations. In light of these circumstances, substantial doubt exists about our ability to continue as a going concern. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded assets or liabilities that might be necessary should we be unable to continue as a going concern.

Results of Operations for the Year Ended December 31, 2007 as Compared to the Year Ended December 31, 2006

Introduction

In 2007, we had revenues from bridge testing. Our revenues for 2007 totaled \$201,917. We continued to fund the majority of our operations through the issuance of our stock, resulting in large expenses in the areas of research and development and consulting. The amount of cash used in our operations was approximately \$2,664,630 in 2007 compared to approximately \$1,779,256 in 2006. We anticipate that we will continue to fund a substantial portion of our operations through the sale of our securities until such time as we can begin to generate substantial revenue from the sale of our products, and we do not have an estimate of when such revenues will begin.

Revenues and Loss from Operations

Our revenue, research and development costs, general and administrative expenses, and loss from operations for the year ended December 31, 2007 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2006 are as follows:

Table of Contents

	Year Ended December 31, 2007	Year Ended December 31, 2006	Percentage Change
Revenue	\$ 201,917	\$ 39,446	411.89%
Research and development costs	3,701,966	902,446	310.21%
General and administrative expenses	98,557,941	138,892,926	(29.04)%
Loss from Operations	\$ 102,057,990	\$ 139,755,926	(26.74)%

Our revenues for both 2007 and 2006 were derived exclusively from bridge testing.

Of the \$3,701,966 in research and development costs for 2007, \$197,005 was incurred in salaries to our in-house engineering staff which included an officer and director, \$359,861 was paid to outside consultants and for related expense reimbursements, and we valued the issuance of 2,116,000 shares of our common stock that were issued to various consultants at \$3,145,100. Of the \$1,013,969 in research and development costs for 2006, \$111,523 was incurred in salaries to our in-house engineering staff which included an officer and director, \$ 271,279 was paid to outside consultants and for related expense reimbursements, and we valued the issuance of 36,028 shares of our common stock that were issued to various consultants at \$631,167.

General and administrative expenses were \$98,557,941 and \$138,781,403, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006. The major expenses incurred during each of the years were:

	Year Ended December 31, 2007	Year Ended December 31, 2006
Consulting services	\$ 16,855,747	\$ 125,332,072
Officer's salary	284,916	211,574
Officer's stock based compensation	60,048,000	6,575,342
Secretarial salaries	132,754	114,561
Professional Fees	1,053,280	974,704
Office expense	97,459	52,855
Rent	139,173	28,176
Impairment loss	19,294,875	1,913,445
Payroll taxes	42,334	28,255
Telephone	27,929	17,375

Of the \$16,855,747 in consulting expense for the year ended December 31, 2007, \$12,394,888 was related to the issuance of 8,926,724 shares of common stock. In addition, we charged

Table of Contents

\$1,100,000 in consulting fees through an increase in convertible debt of \$1,100,000 and charged \$2,845,000 to consulting in connection with the acquisition of shares of Rocket City Automotive. Of the \$125,332,072 in consulting expense for the year ended December 31, 2006, \$124,543,689 was related to the issuance of 35,021,248 shares of common stock.

Other Income and Expenses and Net Loss

Our gain on modification of convertible debt, modification of research and development sponsorship agreement, loss on subscription receivables, interest expense, other-than-temporary impairment of marketable securities, change in fair value of derivative and warrant liabilities, loss on settlement of lawsuits, and net loss for the year ended December 31, 2007 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2006 are as follows:

	Year Ended December 31, 2007	Year Ended December 31, 2006	Percentage Change
Gain on modification of convertible debt	\$ -0-	\$ 1,033,479	(100)%
Interest expense	(2,374,032)	(1,625,592)	46.04%
Net unrealized and realized loss of marketable securities	(3,986,553)	(3,798,516)	4.95%
Change in fair value of derivative and warrant liabilities	34,962,617	(33,780,874)	(196.5)%
Interest income	60,179	37,120	62.12%
Other	-0-	7,008	(100)%
Provision for income taxes	(800)	(800)	
Net loss	\$ (73,396,579)	\$ (177,884,101)	(58.74)%

Our loss of the gain on modification of convertible debt of \$1,033,479 from 2006 is related to our modification of the Palisades debt and removal of associated derivative liability. Our interest expense includes amortization of debt discounts totaling \$2,041,213 in 2007 and \$968,716 in 2006. The change in fair value of derivative and warrant liabilities represents the change in derivative values related to warrants and convertible debt with Palisades and Golden Gate.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Introduction

During the year ended December 31, 2007, as with 2006, we did not generate positive cash flow. As a result, we funded our operations through the private sale of equity and debt securities, the issuance of our securities in exchange for services, and loans.

Table of Contents

Our cash, investments in marketable securities held for trading, investments in marketable securities available for sale, accounts receivable, prepaid services, prepaid expenses and other current assets, total current assets, total assets, total current liabilities, and total liabilities as of December 31, 2007, as compared to December 31, 2006, were as follows:

	December 31, 2007	December 31, 2006
Cash	\$ 809,710	\$ 129,296
Marketable securities – trading	300,000	135,136
Marketable securities – available-for-sale	1,009,267	-0-
Accounts receivable	108,661	116,707
Inventories	62,216	-0-
Prepaid expenses and other	47,962	40,006
Total current assets	2,337,546	421,145
Total assets	2,425,280	432,780
Total current liabilities	691,380	542,802
Total liabilities	14,240,655	46,986,215

Cash Requirements

For the year ended December 31, 2007, our net cash used in operations was \$(2,664,630) compared to \$(1,779,256) for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Negative operating cash flows during the year ended December 31, 2007 were primarily created by a net loss from operations of \$(73,396,579), offset by impairment losses of \$19,257,375 incurred in connection with the acquisition of three subsidiaries, the issuance of stock for services of \$16,195,289, other-than-temporary impairment of marketable securities available for sale of amortization of discount on convertible debentures of \$3,986,200 and an increase in officer stock based compensation of \$60,000,000. There was also an increase in the fair value of derivative and warrant liabilities of \$34,962,617.

Negative operating cash flows during the year ended December 31, 2006 were primarily created by a net loss from operations of \$(177,884,101), offset by impairment losses of \$1,913,445 incurred in connection with the acquisition of a subsidiary, a loss on write off of subscription receivables of \$1,346,010, the issuance of stock for services of \$126,199,122, other-than-temporary impairment of marketable securities available for sale of \$3,798,516, amortization of discount on convertible debentures of \$968,716, a increase in the fair value of derivative and warrant liabilities of \$33,780,874 and an increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses of \$1,197,776, and an increase in officer stock based compensation 6,575,342. There was also a gain on modification of convertible debt of \$1,033,479.

Because of our need for cash to fund our continuing research and development, we do not have an opinion as to how indicative these results will be of future results.

Table of Contents

Sources and Uses of Cash

Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, were \$(648,543) and \$236,372, respectively. For the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, the net cash came primarily from the sale of securities in the amount of \$537,174 and \$242,506, respectively, offset by the amount for purchase of securities of \$(1,702,038) and \$(7,307), respectively. Net cash from investment activities in 2007 was further increased by the \$600,000 cash we received in connection with the acquisition of three subsidiaries, and \$400,000 in redemptions of certificate of deposits.

Net cash provided by financing activities for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, were \$3,993,588 and \$1,630,734, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2007, the net cash came primarily from the sale of common stock and warrants of \$4,566,631 and proceeds from convertible debentures and other notes payable of \$20,000. For the year ended December 31, 2006, the net cash came primarily from the sale of common stock and warrants of \$1,680,553 and proceeds from convertible debentures and other notes payable of \$50,000.

We are not generating sufficient cash flow from operations to fund growth. We cannot predict when we will begin to generate revenue from the sale of our products, and until that time, we will need to raise additional capital through the sale of our securities. If we are unsuccessful in raising the required capital, we may have to curtail operations.

Critical Accounting Policies

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. In consultation with our Board of Directors, we have identified the following accounting policies that it believes are key to an understanding of its financial statements. These are important accounting policies that require management's most difficult, subjective judgments.

The first critical accounting policy relates to revenue recognition. Income from our research is recognized at the time services are rendered and billed.

The second critical accounting policy relates to research and development expense. Costs incurred in the development of our products are expensed as incurred.

The third critical accounting policy relates to the valuation of non-monetary consideration issued for services rendered. We value all services rendered in exchange for our common stock at the quoted price of the shares issued at date of issuance or at the fair value of the services rendered, whichever is more readily determinable. All other services provided in exchange for other non-monetary consideration is valued at either the fair value of the services received or the fair value of the consideration relinquished, whichever is more readily determinable.

Table of Contents

Our accounting policy for equity instruments issued to consultants and vendors in exchange for goods and services follows the provisions of EITF 96-18, “Accounting for Equity Instruments That are Issued to Other Than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling, Goods or Services ” and EITF 00-18, Accounting Recognition for Certain Transactions Involving Equity Instruments Granted to Other Than Employees.” The measurement date for the fair value of the equity instruments issued is determined at the earlier of (i) the date at which a commitment for performance by the consultant or vendor is reached or (ii) the date at which the consultant or vendor’s performance is complete. In the case of equity instruments issued to consultants, the fair value of the equity instrument is recognized over the term of the consulting agreement. In accordance to EITF 00-18, an asset acquired in exchange for the issuance of fully vested, nonforfeitable equity instruments should not be presented or classified as an offset to equity on the grantor’s balance sheet once the equity instrument is granted for accounting purposes. Accordingly, we record the fair value of nonforfeitable common stock issued for future consulting services as prepaid services in our consolidated balance sheet.

The fourth critical accounting policy is our accounting for conventional convertible debt. When the convertible feature of the conventional convertible debt provides for a rate of conversion that is below market value, this feature is characterized as a beneficial conversion feature (BCF”). We record a BCF as a debt discount pursuant to EITF Issue No. 98-5 (EITF 98-05”), Accounting for Convertible Securities with Beneficial Conversion Features or Contingency Adjustable Conversion Ratio,” and EITF Issue No. 00-27, Application of EITF Issue No. 98-5 to Certain Convertible Instrument(s).” In those circumstances, the convertible debt will be recorded net of the discount related to the BCF. We amortize the discount to interest expense over the life of the debt using the effective interest method.

The fifth critical account policy relates to the accounting for non-conventional convertible debt and the related stock purchase warrants. In the case of non-conventional convertible debt, we bifurcate our embedded derivative instruments and records them under the provisions of SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities,” as amended, and EITF Issue No. 00-19, Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in, a Company’s Own Stock. ” These embedded derivatives include the conversion feature, liquidated damages related to registration rights and default provisions. The accounting treatment of derivative financial instruments requires that we record the derivatives and related warrants at their fair values as of the inception date of the agreement and at fair value as of each subsequent balance sheet date. In addition, under the provisions of EITF Issue No. 00-19, as a result of entering into the non-conventional convertible debenture, we are required to value and classify all other non-employee stock options and warrants as derivative liabilities at that date and mark them to market at each reporting date thereafter. Any change in fair value will be recorded as non-operating, non-cash income or expense at each reporting date. If the fair value of the derivatives is higher at the subsequent balance sheet date, we will record a non-operating, non-cash charge. If the fair value of the derivatives is lower at the subsequent balance sheet date, we will record non-operating, non-cash income. We value our derivatives primarily using the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model. The derivatives are classified as long-term liabilities.

Table of Contents

The sixth critical accounting policy relates to the recording of marketable securities held for trading and available-for-sale. Marketable securities purchased with the intent of selling them in the near term are classified as trading securities. Trading securities are initially recorded at cost and are adjusted to their fair value, with the change in fair value during the period included in earnings as unrealized gains or losses. Realized gains or losses on dispositions are based upon the net proceeds and the adjusted book value of the securities sold, using the specific identification method, and are recorded as realized gains or losses in the consolidated statements of operations. Marketable securities that are not classified as trading securities are classified as available-for-sale securities. Available-for-sale securities are initially recorded at cost. Available-for-sale securities with quoted market prices are adjusted to their fair value, subject to an impairment analysis (see below). Any change in fair value during the period is excluded from earnings and recorded, net of tax, as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). Any decline in value of available-for-sale securities below cost that is considered to be other than temporary is recorded as a reduction of the cost basis of the security and is included in the statement of operations as a write down of the market value (see below).

The seventh critical accounting policy is our accounting for the fair market value of non-marketable securities we have acquired. Non-marketable securities are originally recorded at cost. In the case of non-marketable securities we acquired with our common stock, we value the securities at a significant discount to the stated per share cost based upon our historical experience with similar transactions as to the amount ultimately realized from the sale of the shares. Such investments are reduced when we have indications that a permanent decline in value has occurred. At such time as quoted market prices become available, the net cost basis of these securities will be reclassified to the appropriate category of marketable securities. Until that time, the securities will be recorded at their net cost basis, subject to an impairment analysis (see below).

In accordance with the guidance of EITF 03-1, The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments, we assess any decline in value of available-for-sale securities and non-marketable securities below cost as to whether such decline is other than temporary. If a decline is determined to be other than temporary, the decline is recorded as a reduction of the cost basis of the security and is included in the statement of operations as an impairment write down of the investment.

ITEM 7 – FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements required to be filed pursuant to this Item 7 begin on page F-1 of this Report.

ITEM 8 – CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

Effective September 11, 2007, KMJ/Corbin and Company, LLP (“KMJ”) resigned as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007.

Table of Contents

We engaged KMJ on January 21, 2005. For the last two fiscal years, KMJ's reports on our financial statements did not contain an adverse opinion or a disclaimer of opinion, nor were the reports qualified or modified as to audit scope, or accounting principles, but they were modified as to uncertainty about our ability to continue as a going concern. For the last two fiscal years and any subsequent interim period preceding the dismissal, there were no disagreements with KMJ on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope or procedure which, if not resolved to the satisfaction of KMJ would have caused KMJ to make reference to the matter in their reports.

We engaged Weinberg & Company, P.A. (hereinafter "Weinberg") as our principal accountants to audit our financial statements effective as of September 11, 2007. Effective November 5, 2007, we dismissed Weinberg as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007. Weinberg never issued a report on our financial statements. During their engagement, there were no disagreements with Weinberg on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope or procedure which, if not resolved to the satisfaction of Weinberg would have caused Weinberg to make reference to the matter in their reports.

We engaged Kabani & Company, Inc. (hereinafter "Kabani") as our principal accountants to audit our financial statements effective as of November 5, 2007. Effective March 13, 2008, we dismissed Kabani as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008. Kabani's services were limited to a review of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended September 30, 2007. During their engagement, there were no disagreements with Kabani on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope or procedure which, if not resolved to the satisfaction of Kabani would have caused Kabani to make reference to the matter in their reports.

We engaged Gruber & Co. LLC (hereinafter "Gruber") as the principal accountants to audit our financial statements effective as of March 13, 2008. We, during our most recent fiscal year and any subsequent interim period to the date hereof, did not have discussions nor have we consulted with Gruber regarding the following: (i) the application of accounting principles to a specified transaction, either completed or proposed or the type of audit opinion to be rendered on the our financial statements, and neither a written report was provided to us nor oral advice was provided that Gruber concluded was an important factor considered by us in reaching a decision as to the accounting, auditing or financial reporting issue; or (ii) any matters that were the subject of a "disagreement," as that term is defined in Item 304(a)(1)(iv) of Regulation S-B and the related instructions to Item 304 of Regulation S-B, or a reportable event.

ITEM 8A – CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our President and Chief Financial Officer (the "Certifying Officers") are responsible for establishing and maintaining our disclosure controls and procedures. The Certifying Officers have designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information is made known to them, particularly during the period in which this report was prepared. The

Table of Contents

Certifying Officers have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Report. Based on that evaluation, the Certifying Officers have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective at the reasonable assurance level due to the material weaknesses described below.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) and for assessing the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. Our internal control system is designed to provide reasonable assurance to our management and board of directors regarding the preparation and fair presentation of published financial statements in accordance with United States' generally accepted accounting principles.

Our internal control over financial reporting is supported by written policies and procedures that pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets; provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and our board of directors; and provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on our financial statements.

Our management has assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of the end of our most recent fiscal year. Management's assessment included an evaluation of the design of our internal control over financial reporting and testing of the operational effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. Based on this assessment, our management has concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was not effective at the reasonable assurance level due to the material weaknesses described below.

In light of the material weaknesses described below, we performed additional analysis and other post-closing procedures to ensure our consolidated financial statements were prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Accordingly, we believe that the consolidated financial statements included in this Report fairly present, in all material respects, our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented.

A material weakness is a control deficiency (within the meaning of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board ("PCAOB") Auditing Standard No. 2) or combination of control deficiencies, that result in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected. The Certifying Officers have identified the following three material weaknesses which have caused the Certifying Officers to conclude that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective at the reasonable assurance level:

1. We do not yet have written documentation of our internal control policies and procedures. Written documentation of key internal controls over financial reporting is a

Table of Contents

requirement of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and will be applicable to us for the year ending December 31, 2008. The Certifying Officers evaluated the impact of our failure to have written documentation of our internal controls and procedures on our assessment of our disclosure controls and procedures and has concluded that the control deficiency that resulted represented a material weakness.

2. We do not have sufficient segregation of duties within accounting functions, which is a basic internal control. Due to our size and nature, segregation of all conflicting duties may not always be possible and may not be economically feasible. However, to the extent possible, the initiation of transactions, the custody of assets and the recording of transactions should be performed by separate individuals. The Certifying Officers evaluated the impact of our failure to have segregation of duties on our assessment of our disclosure controls and procedures and has concluded that the control deficiency that resulted represented a material weakness.

3. We had a significant number of audit adjustments last fiscal year. Audit adjustments are the result of a failure of the internal controls to prevent or detect misstatements of accounting information. The failure could be due to inadequate design of the internal controls or to a misapplication or override of controls. The Certifying Officers evaluated the impact of our significant number of audit adjustments last year and have concluded that the control deficiency that resulted represented a material weakness.

On November 27, 2007, the Certifying Officers concluded that in valuing previous periods' non-cash security transactions, we utilized discounts to the respective share's trading prices as well as its derivative liabilities which they have determined are without foundation.

As a result of this evaluation and conclusion, the Certifying Officers in conjunction with our Board of Directors, concluded that previously issued consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2006, as well as all of our quarterly reports on Form 10-QSB during the 2005 and 2006 fiscal years, can no longer be relied upon. In this regard, we will amend and restate our financial statements to eliminate all discounts and will refile our Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006 and its Form 10-QSB for the quarters ended March 31, 2007 and June 30, 2007. The net effect of the restatements will be to increase the accumulated deficit at June 30, 2007 from \$100,909,477 to \$292,944,478.

The Certifying Officers have discussed this matter with our current independent registered public accounting firm.

To remediate the material weaknesses in our disclosure controls and procedures identified above, in addition to working with our independent auditors, we have continued to refine our internal procedures to begin to implement segregation of duties and to reduce the number of audit adjustments.

This Report does not include an attestation report of our independent registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by our independent registered public accounting firm pursuant to temporary rules of the SEC that permit the Company to provide only management's report in this Annual Report.

Table of Contents

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during our most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 8B – OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

ITEM 9 – DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, PROMOTERS, CONTROL PERSONS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE; COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 16(a) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT

Directors and Executive Officers

The following table sets forth the names, positions, and ages of our current directors and executive officers. Our executive officers are appointed by the Board of Directors. The directors serve one-year terms until their successors are elected. The executive officers serve until their death, resignation or removal by the Board of Directors. Unless described below, there are no family relationships among any of the directors and officers, and none of our officers or directors serves as a director of another reporting issuer.

Name	Age	Position(s)
Robert M. Bernstein	74	Chief Executive Officer, President, Chief Financial Officer, Director
Marybeth Miceli Newton	31	Chief Operating Officer
Joel R. Freedman	47	Secretary and Director
William I. Berks	77	Vice President and Director
Brent Phares	36	Chief Engineer

Robert M. Bernstein, President, CEO, Chief Financial Officer, and Director. Mr. Bernstein received a Bachelor of Science degree from the Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania in 1956. From August 1959 until his certification expired in August 1972, he was a Certified Public Accountant licensed in Pennsylvania. From 1961 to 1981, he was a consultant specializing in mergers, acquisitions, and financing. From 1981 to 1986, Mr. Bernstein was Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Blue Jay Enterprises, Inc. of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, an oil and gas exploration company. In December 1985, Mr. Bernstein formed a research and development partnership for our company, funding approximately \$750,000 for research on the Fatigue Fuse. In October 1988, Mr. Bernstein became our President, CEO, and Chief Financial Officer.

Table of Contents

Joel R. Freedman, Secretary and Director. From October 1989 and continuing through the present, Mr. Freedman has been our Secretary and a Director. From 1983 through 1999, Mr. Freedman was President of Genesis Advisors, Inc., an investment advisory firm in Bala Cynwyd, Pennsylvania. From January 2000 through December 2002, Mr. Freedman was a Senior Vice President of PMG Capital Corp., a securities brokerage and investment advisory firm in West Conshohocken, Pennsylvania. From December 2002 and continuing through the present, Mr. Freedman has been Senior Vice President of Wachovia Securities, LLC, a securities brokerage and investment advisory firm in Conshohocken, Pennsylvania.

William Berks, Vice President and Director. Mr. Berks joined us as our Vice President and Director in June 1997. Mr. Berks holds six patents and has over 30 years experience in spacecraft mechanical systems engineering. Mr. Berks has a Bachelor of Science in Aeronautical Engineering and a Master of Science in Applied Mechanics from Polytechnic Institute of New York, as well as a Master of Science in Industrial Engineering from Stevens Institute of Technology. Prior to joining us, Mr. Berks was with TRW Incorporated for 26 years in a variety of management positions, where his duties included flight hardware fabrication and testing and where he was responsible for overseeing 350 employees.

Marybeth Miceli, Chief Operating Officer. Ms. Miceli has over 12 years experience in nondestructive evaluation and testing of civil infrastructure. Ms. Miceli joined us as our Chief Operating Officer in July 2007. From June 2005 through August 2007, Ms. Miceli was Director of Marketing for Sam Schwartz, LLC, Engineering and Planning Consultants, New York, in the areas of infrastructure management, non-destructive testing, and fatigue testing. From January 2001 through May 2005, Ms. Miceli was with Lucius Pitkin, Inc., Engineering Consultants, where Ms. Miceli's responsibilities included Quality Assurance Manager, and Assistant Radiation Safety Officer. Among Ms. Miceli's duties was the supervision and performance of failure analysis investigations, fatigue testing investigations, and interfacing with government agencies on testing, regulations, and safety. Ms. Miceli is currently in the first year of a three year term serving as a director of the American Society of Non-destructive Testing, and Chairman in 2003 of the Metropolitan New York Chapter. Ms. Miceli is a graduate of Johns Hopkins University and has a Master of Science in Materials Science and Engineering, from Virginia Polytechnic Institute. Ms. Miceli is a member of the American Society of Metals and has published several papers on non-destructive testing of bridge components and other related subjects.

Brent M. Phares, Chief Engineer. Dr. Phares has over 15 years of management, inspection, research, and testing experience related to bridge structures. From October 2001 and continuing through the present, Dr. Phares has been the Associate Director for Bridges and Structures at Iowa State University. In this position, Dr. Phares is responsible for the development and deployment of innovative bridge evaluation and techniques and for the development of applications for innovative materials in bridge engineering. From June 2001 through October 2004, Dr. Phares served as President and CEO of MGPS, Inc., an engineering firm specializing in the evaluation of civil infrastructure based on innovative sensors and monitoring strategies. Dr. Phares has served as a consulting Research Engineer at the Federal Highway Administration's Nondestructive Evaluation Validation Center where he led the execution of

Table of Contents

several validation and developmental studies. Dr. Phares is a registered professional engineer and serves as a voting member of many national and international technical committees. Dr. Phares joined us in June 2007.

Committees of the Board Of Directors

We presently do not have an audit committee, compensation committee, nominating committee, an executive committee of our board of directors, stock plan committee or any other committee of our board of directors.

Advisory Board

Since 1987, we and our predecessors have had an Advisory Board consisting of very senior experienced businessmen and technologists, most of whom are nationally prominent. These individuals consult with us on an as needed basis. Members of the Advisory Board serve at will. The Advisory Board advises our management on technical, financial, and business matters and may in the future be additionally compensated for these services. A brief biographical description of the members of the advisory board is as follows:

Campbell Laird. Campbell Laird, age 64, received his Ph.D. in 1963 from the University of Cambridge. His Ph.D. thesis title was Studies of High Strain Fatigue.” He is presently Professor and graduate group Chairman in the Department of Materials, Science & Engineering at the University of Pennsylvania. His research has focused on the strength, structure, and fatigue of materials, in which areas he published in excess of 250 papers. He is co-inventor of the EFS.

Samuel I. Schwartz. Samuel I. Schwartz, age 50, is presently President of Sam Schwartz Co., consulting engineers, primarily in the bridge industry. Mr. Schwartz received his BS in Physics from Brooklyn College in 1969, and his Masters in Civil Engineering from the University of Pennsylvania in 1970. From February 1986 to March 1990, he was the Chief Engineer/First Deputy Commissioner, New York City Department of Transportation and from April 1990 to the present acted as a director of the Infrastructure Institute at the Cooper Union College, New York City, New York. From April 1990 to 1994 he was a Senior Vice President of Hayden Wegman Consulting Engineers, and is a columnist for the New York Daily News.

Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires the Company’s directors and executive officers and persons who own more than ten percent of a registered class of the Company’s equity securities to file with the SEC initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of Common Stock and other equity securities of the Company. Officers, directors and greater than ten percent shareholders are required by SEC regulations to furnish the Company with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file.

During the most recent fiscal year, to the Company’s knowledge, the following delinquencies occurred:

Table of Contents

Name	No. of Late Reports	No. of Transactions Reported Late	No. of Failures to File
Robert M. Bernstein	3	3	-0-
William Berks	1	1	-0-
Brent Phares	2	2	-0-
Marybeth Miceli	1	1	-0-

Code of Ethics

We have adopted a corporate code of ethics. We believe our code of ethics is reasonably designed to deter wrongdoing and promote honest and ethical conduct; provide full, fair, accurate, timely and understandable disclosure in public reports; comply with applicable laws; ensure prompt internal reporting of code violations; and provide accountability for adherence to the code. A copy of the Code of Ethics is attached hereto.

Terms of Office

Our directors are appointed for a one year term to hold office until the next annual general meeting of the holders of our Common Stock or until removed from office in accordance with our by-laws. Our officers are appointed by our board of directors and hold office until removed by our board of directors.

On November 17, 2006, we entered into an indemnification agreement with each of our directors. Under the terms of the indemnification agreements, we agreed to indemnify each director to the fullest extent permitted by law if the director was or is a party or threatened to be made a party to any action or lawsuit by reason of the fact that he is or was a director. The indemnification shall cover all expenses, penalties, fines and amounts paid in settlement, including attorneys' fees. A director will not be indemnified for intentional misconduct for the primary purpose of his own personal benefit.

ITEM 10 – EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Summary Compensation Table

Set forth below is a summary of compensation for our principal executive officer and our two most highly compensated officers other than our principal executive officer (collectively, the “named executive officers”) for our last two fiscal years. There have been no annuity, pension or retirement benefits ever paid to our officers, directors or employees.

With the exception of reimbursement of expenses incurred by our named executive officers during the scope of their employment and unless expressly stated otherwise in a footnote below, none of the named executive officers received other compensation, perquisites and/or personal benefits in excess of \$10,000.

Table of Contents

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Non-equity Incentive All			Total (\$)
					Option Award (\$)	Plan Compensation (\$)	Other Compensation (\$)	
Robert M. Bernstein, CEO, President, CFO	2007	\$ 250,000	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	\$ 250,000
	2006	\$ 206,500	\$ -0-	\$ 180,000,000	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	\$ 180,206,500
Marybeth Miceli Newton, COO	2007 ¹	\$ 52,083.33	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	\$ 52,083.33
Brent Phares, Chief Engineer	2007 ²	\$ 65,625	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	\$ 65,625

Employment Agreements

On October 1, 2006, we entered into an Employment Agreement with Robert M. Bernstein, our Chief Executive Officer, President and Chief Financial Officer, which provides certain terms and conditions with respect to Mr. Bernstein's employment. The Employment Agreement is for a three year term. Under the Employment Agreement, Mr. Bernstein will be paid an annual salary of \$250,000, with one year of paid severance if he is terminated without good cause prior to the expiration of the employment term.

Other Compensation

There are no annuity, pension or retirement benefits proposed to be paid to officers, directors, or employees of our company in the event of retirement at normal retirement date as there was no existing plan as of December 31, 2007 provided for or contributed to by our company.

Director Compensation

Our directors are not compensated for their services, but are entitled for reimbursement of expenses incurred in attending board of directors meetings.

Grants of Plan Based Awards

There were no grants of plan based awards made in 2007.

1 Joined us July 6, 2007.

2 Joined us June 1, 2007.

Table of Contents

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

There were no outstanding equity awards as of December 31, 2007.

ITEM 11 – SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The following table sets forth certain information regarding our shares of outstanding common stock beneficially owned as of the date hereof by (i) each of our directors and executive officers, (ii) all directors and executive officers as a group, and (iii) each other person who is known by us to own beneficially more than 5% of our common stock based upon 186,828,995 shares of Class A common stock outstanding.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owners ¹	Class A Common Stock		Class B Common Stock	
	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent Ownership of Class ²	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent Ownership of Class
Robert M. Bernstein, President, CEO, CFO, and Director	400,767,177 ³	68.29%	597,000 ⁴	99.5%
William Berks , Vice President and Director	2,710,048 ⁵	1.45%	0	0%
Joel R. Freedman, Secretary and Director	3,700,501 ⁶	1.98%	0	0%
Marybeth Miceli, Chief Operating Officer	2,237,501 ⁷	1.19%	0	0%

1 C/o our address, 11661 San Vicente Blvd., Suite 707, Los Angeles, CA 90049, unless otherwise noted.

2 Except as otherwise indicated, we believe that the beneficial owners of common stock listed above, based on information furnished by such owners, have sole investment and voting power with respect to such shares, subject to community property laws where applicable. Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission and generally includes voting or investment power with respect to securities. Shares of common stock subject to options or warrants currently exercisable, or exercisable within 60 days, are deemed outstanding for purposes of computing the percentage of the person holding such options or warrants, but are not deemed outstanding for purposes of computing the percentage of any other person.

3 Includes 30,000,000 options to purchase shares of Class A common stock at \$0.011 per share expiring on April 22, 2018; 70,000,000 options to purchase shares of Class A common stock at \$0.007 per share expiring on May 6, 2018; and 300,000,000 options to purchase shares of Class A common stock at \$0.00462 per share expiring on May 23, 2008.

4 Each share of Class B common stock has 2,000 votes on any matter which is brought for shareholders vote. As a result, Mr. Bernstein holds 1,194,000,000 votes represented by the Class B common stock, and 89.25% of the overall votes.

5 Includes 200,000 options to purchase shares of Class A common stock at \$0.011 per share expiring on April 30, 2016.

6 Includes 200,000 options to purchase shares of Class A common stock at \$0.011 per share expiring on April 30, 2016.

7 Includes 200,000 options to purchase shares of Class A common stock at \$0.011 per share expiring on April 30, 2016.

28

Table of Contents

Brent Phares, Chief Engineer	3,513,334 8	1.89%	0	0%
All executive officers and directors as a group (five persons)	412,928,561	70.27%	597,000	99.5%
UTEK Corporation 2109 Palm Avenue Tampa, FL 33605	17,821,937	9.54%	0	0%

ITEM 12 – CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

None.

8 Includes 200,000 options to purchase shares of Class A common stock at \$0.011 per share expiring on April 30, 2016.

Table of Contents

ITEM 13 – EXHIBITS

- 3.1 Certificate of Incorporation of Material Technologies, Inc., dated March 4, 19971
- 3.2 Certificate of Amendment to Articles of Incorporation, dated February 16, 20002
- 3.3 Certificate of Amendment to Articles of Incorporation, dated July 12, 20002
- 3.4 Certificate of Amendment to Articles of Incorporation, dated July 31, 20002
- 3.5 Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation, dated September 12, 20033
- 3.6 Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation of Material Technologies, Inc., dated May 31, 20064
- 3.7 Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation of Material Technologies, Inc., dated October 25, 20064
- 3.8 Bylaws of Material Technologies, Inc. 1
- 4.1 Class A Convertible Preferred Stock Certificate of Designation1
- 4.2 Class B Convertible Preferred Stock Certificate of Designation1
- 4.3 Class E Convertible Preferred Stock Certificate of Designation5
- 10.1 License Agreement between Tensiodyne Scientific Corporation and the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania, dated August 26, 1993 1
- 10.2 Sponsored Research Agreement between Tensiodyne Scientific Corporation and the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania, dated August 31, 1993 1
- 10.3 Amendment No. 1 to the License Agreement between Tensiodyne Scientific Corporation and the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania, dated October 15, 1993 1

- 10.4 Repayment Agreement between Tensiodyne
Scientific Corporation and the Trustees of the
University of Pennsylvania, dated October 15, 1993 1

1Incorporated by reference from our registration statement on Form S-1 filed with the Commission on April 30, 1997.

2Incorporated by reference from our Annual Report on Form 10-KSB filed with the Commission on March 30, 2001.

3Incorporated by reference from our Annual Report on Form 10-KSB filed with the Commission on April 9, 2004.

4Incorporated by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on November 8, 2006.

5Incorporated by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on February 6, 2007.

Table of Contents

- 10.5 Teaming Agreement between Tensiodyne Scientific Corporation and Southwest Research Institute, dated August 23, 1996 1
- 10.6 Letter Agreement between Tensiodyne Scientific Corporation, Robert M. Bernstein, and Stephen Forrest Beck and Handwritten modification, dated February 8, 1995 1
- 10.7 Agreement between Tensiodyne Corporation and Tensiodyne 1985-1 R&D Partnership 6
- 10.8 Amendment to Agreement between Material Technologies, Inc. and Tensiodyne 1985-1 R&D Partnership 6
- 10.9 Agreement between Advanced Technology Center of Southeastern Pennsylvania and Material Technologies 6
- 10.10 Addendum to Agreement between Advanced Technology Center of Southeastern Pennsylvania and Material Technologies, Inc. 6
- 10.11 Class A Senior Preferred Convertible Debenture issued to Palisades Capital, LLC, dated September 23, 2003 3
- 10.12 Stock Purchase Agreement, dated April 7, 2005, with Birchington Investments Ltd. 7
- 10.13 Escrow Agreement with Birchington Investments Ltd, dated April 7, 2005 7
- 10.14 Workout Agreement with the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania, dated August 15, 2005 7
- 10.15 Securities Purchase Agreement with Golden Gate Investors, Inc., dated December 16, 2005 8
- 10.16 Convertible Debenture issued to Golden Gate Investors, Inc., dated December 16, 2005 8
- 10.17 Common Stock Purchase Warrant issued to Golden Gate Investors, Inc., dated December 16, 2005 8
- 10.18 Registration Rights Agreement for Golden Gate Investors, Inc., dated December 16, 2005 8

6Incorporated by reference from our registration statement on Form S-1 which became effective on January 19, 1996.

7Incorporated by reference from our Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB filed with the Commission on November 14, 2005.

8Incorporated by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K/A filed with the Commission on January 5, 2006.

Table of Contents

- 10.19 Letter Agreement with Golden Gate Investors, Inc., dated December 16, 2005 8
- 10.20 Letter Agreement with Golden Gate Investors, Inc., dated December 16, 2005 8
- 10.21 Addendum to Convertible Debenture, Warrant to Purchase Common Stock and Securities Purchase Agreement with Golden Gate Investors, Inc., dated December 16, 2005 8
- 10.22 Addendum to Convertible Debenture and Warrant to Purchase Common Stock with Golden Gate Investors, Inc., dated December 16, 2005 8
- 10.23 Addendum to Convertible Debenture, Warrant to Purchase Common Stock and Securities Purchase Agreement, dated May 2, 2006, with Golden Gate Investors, Inc. 9
- 10.24 Securities Purchase Agreement, dated May 30, 2006, with La Jolla Cove Investors, Inc. 10
- 10.25 Warrant to Purchase Common Stock issued to La Jolla Cove Investors, Inc., dated May 30, 2006 10
- 10.26 Addendum to Warrant to Purchase Common Stock, dated as of June 12, 2006, issued to La Jolla Cove Investors, Inc. 11
- 10.27 Addendum to Convertible Debenture, Warrant to Purchase Common Stock and Securities Purchase Agreement dated as of May 2, 2006 12
- 10.28 Regulation S Distribution Agreement and Instruction of Escrow, dated May 31, 2006 13
- 10.29 Acquisition Agreement with UTEK Corporation and Materials Monitoring Technologies, Inc., dated August 18, 2006 14
- 10.30 License Agreement between Material Monitoring Technologies, Inc. and North Carolina A&T State University, dated August 18, 2006 14
- 10.31 Consulting Agreement with Mannur J. Sundaresan, PhD, dated August 18, 2006 14

⁹Incorporated by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on May 17, 2006.

¹⁰Incorporated by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on June 8, 2006

¹¹Incorporated by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K/A filed with the Commission on June 15, 2006.

12Incorporated by reference from our registration statement on Form SB-2 filed with the Commission on June 15, 2006.

13Incorporated by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on June 9, 2006.

14Incorporated by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on August 24, 2006.

Table of Contents

- 10.32 Settlement Agreement and General Release, dated August 23, 2006, with Ben Franklin Technology Partners of Southeastern Pennsylvania 15
- 10.33 Settlement Agreement and General Release, dated October 27, 2006 16
- 10.34 Warrant Agreement, dated October 27, 2006, with Palisades Capital, LLC 16
- 10.35 Warrant Agreement, dated October 27, 2006, with Hyde Investments, Ltd. 16
- 10.36 Warrant Agreement, dated October 27, 2006, with Livingston Investments, Ltd. 16
- 10.37 Warrant Agreement, dated October 27, 2006, with Palisades Capital, LLC 16
- 10.38 Warrant Agreement, dated October 27, 2006, with GCH Capital, Ltd. 16
- 10.39 Amendment to Class A Senior Secured Convertible Debenture, dated October 27, 2006, with Palisades Capital, LLC 16
- 10.40 Amendment to Class A Senior Secured Convertible Debenture, dated October 27, 2006, with Hyde Investments, Ltd. 16
- 10.41 Amendment to Class A Senior Secured Convertible Debenture, dated October 27, 2006, with Livingston Investments, Ltd. 16
- 10.42 Stockholder Lockup Agreement, dated October 27, 2006 with Robert M. Bernstein 16
- 10.43 Escrow Agreement, dated October 27, 2006 16
- 10.44 Employment Agreement with Robert M. Bernstein, dated October 1, 2006 17
- 10.45 Stock Grant and General Release Agreement with Robert M. Bernstein, dated November 21, 2006 17
- 10.46 Settlement Agreement and Release with Stephen F. Beck, dated as of December 27, 2006 18
- 10.47

Irrevocable Escrow Instructions with Stephen F.
Beck, dated as of December 27, 2006¹⁸

15Incorporated by reference from our Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB filed with the Commission on November 14, 2006.

16Incorporated by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on November 2, 2006.

17Incorporated by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on November 28, 2006

18Incorporated by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on January 3, 2007.

Table of Contents

- 10.48 Promissory Note, dated March 30, 2007, with Nathan J. Esformes19
- 10.49 Acquisition Agreement with UTEK Corporation and Damage Assessment Technologies, Inc., dated May 3, 200720
- 10.50 Acquisition Agreement with UTEK Corporation and Non-Destructive Assessment Technologies, Inc., dated June 28, 200721
- 10.51 Agreement with Livingston Investments, Ltd., dated as of July 3, 200722
- 10.52 Amendment No. 2 to Class A Senior Secured Convertible Debenture, dated October 11, 2007, with Palisades Capital, LLC22
- 10.53 Acquisition Agreement with Brent Phares and Bridge Testing Concepts, Inc., dated September 28, 200723
- 10.54 Amendment to Consulting Agreement with Strategic Advisors, Ltd., dated April 9, 200822
- 10.55 Consulting Agreement with Bud Shuster, dated April 9, 200822
- 10.56 Consulting Agreement with Kelly Shuster, dated April 9, 200822
- 10.57 Class A Common Stock Option Agreement with Bud Shuster, dated April 9, 200822
- 10.58 Class A Common Stock Option Agreement with Kelly Shuster, dated April 9, 200822
- 10.59 Class B Common Stock Option Agreement with Bud Shuster, dated April 9, 200822
- 10.60 Class B Common Stock Option Agreement with Kelly Shuster, dated April 9, 200822
- 14.1 Code of Ethics
- 23.1 Consent of Gruber & Company, LLC
- 31.1 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer

31.2 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief
Financial Officer

32 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as
adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes
Oxley Act of 2002.

19Incorporated by reference from our Annual Report on Form 10-KSB filed with the Commission on April 3, 2007.

20Incorporated by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on May 4, 2007.

21Incorporated by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on July 3, 2007.

22 Incorporated by reference from our Annual Report on Form 10-KSB filed with the Commission on April 14, 2008.

23Incorporated by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on October 29, 2007.

34

Table of Contents

ITEM 14 – PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

KMJ/Corbin and Company, LLP, Weinberg & Company, P.A., and Kabani & Company, Inc. (the “Independent Auditors”) were each, at various times, our independent auditor and examined our financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006. The Independent Auditors performed the services listed below and were as paid the aggregate fees listed below for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006.

Audit Fees

The Independent Auditors were paid aggregate fees of approximately \$40,000 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 and approximately \$106,750 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006 for professional services rendered for the audit of our annual financial statements and for the reviews of the financial statements included in our quarterly reports on Form 10-QSB during these periods.

Audit Related Fees

The Independent Auditors were not paid additional fees for either the year ended December 31, 2007 or the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006 for assurance and related services reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of our financial statements.

Tax Fees

The Independent Auditors were not paid fees for the year ended December 31, 2007 or the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006 for professional services rendered for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning during this fiscal year period.

All Other Fees

The Independent Auditors were not paid any other fees for professional services during the year ended December 31, 2007 or the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: July 24, 2008

Material Technologies, Inc.

/s/ Robert M. Bernstein

By: Robert M. Bernstein

Its: Chief Executive Officer, President, and Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Executive Officer, Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)

Table of Contents

GRUBER & COMPANY LLC

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Material Technologies, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of Material Technologies, Inc.(A Development Stage Company) as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 and the related statements of operations, stockholders deficit and cash flows for the years then ended and for the period of inception October 21, 1983 to December 31, 2007. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform our audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as at December 31, 2007 and 2006 and the results of its' operations and its' stockholders deficit and cash flows for the years then ended and for the period of inception October 21, 1983 to December 31, 2007 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in the notes to these financial statements the Company has incurred losses. This raises substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. These financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ Gruber & Company LLC
Gruber & Company LLC
Lake Saint Louis, Missouri
July 24, 2008

Table of Contents

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (A Development Stage Company)		
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET		
DECEMBER 31,		
	2006	2007
	(Restated)	
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 129,296	\$ 809,710
Investments in marketable securities held for trading	135,136	300,000
Investment in certificate of deposits and commercial paper	-	1,009,267
Accounts receivable	116,707	108,661
Inventories	-	62,216
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	40,006	47,692
Total current assets	421,145	2,337,546
Property and equipment, net	5,371	82,546
Intangible assets, net	3,916	2,840
Deposit	2,348	2,348
	\$ 432,780	\$ 2,425,280

Continued . . .

F-2

Table of Contents

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.		
(A Development Stage Company)		
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET		
	December 31,	
	2006	2007
	(Restated)	
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 427,664	\$ 599,619
Current portion of research and development sponsorship payable	25,000	25,000
Notes payable	90,138	66,761
Total current liabilities	542,802	691,380
Accrued legal settlement	1,050,000	480,000
Research and development sponsorship payable, net of current portion	747,713	760,650
Notes payable, long-term	-	213,508
Convertible debentures and accrued interest payable, net of discount	169,160	1,981,194
Derivative and warrant liabilities	44,476,540	10,113,923
	46,443,413	13,549,275
Total liabilities	46,986,215	14,240,655
Minority interest in consolidated subsidiary	825	825
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' deficit:		
Class A preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, liquidation preference of \$720 per share; 350,000 shares authorized; 337 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2006 and 2007	-	-
Class B preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, liquidation preference of \$10,000 per share; 15 shares authorized; none issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2006 and 2007	-	-
Class C preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, liquidation preference of \$0.001 per share; 25,000,000 shares authorized; 1,517 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2006 and 2007	1	1

Class D preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, liquidation preference of		
\$0.001 per share; 20,000,000 shares authorized; 0 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2006 and 2007	-	-
Class E convertible preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, no liquidation preference; 60,000 shares authorized; 55,000 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2007	-	55
Class A Common Stock, \$0.001 par value, 1,699,400,000 shares authorized; 99,785,276 shares issued and 72,425,587 shares outstanding as of December 31, 2006; 546,173,718 shares issued and 126,347,453 outstanding as of December 31, 2007	72,426	126,348
Class B Common Stock, \$0.001 par value, 600,000 shares authorized, issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2006 and 2007	600	600
Warrants subscribed	10,000	10,000
Additional paid-in-capital	193,188,217	301,348,331
Deficit accumulated during the development stage	(239,811,823)	(313,208,402)
Treasury stock (2,076 shares at cost at December 31,2006 and 85,977 shares at cost at December 31, 2007	(13,681)	(93,133)
Total stockholders' deficit	(46,554,260)	(11,816,200)
	\$ 432,780	\$ 2,425,280

See report of independent registered public accounting firm and accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statement
F-3

Table of Contents

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			
(A Development Stage Company)			
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS			
	For the Year Ended		From October 21, 1983 (Inception) through December 31, 2007
	2006 (Restated)	2007	
Revenues:			
Research and development	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,392,085
Revenue from bridge testing	39,446	201,917	318,624
Other	-	-	274,125
Total revenues	39,446	201,917	5,984,834
Costs and expenses:			
Research and development	1,013,969	3,701,966	20,562,989
General and administrative	138,781,403	98,557,941	303,495,241
Modification of research and development sponsorship agreement	-	-	5,963,120
Loss on settlement of lawsuits	-	-	1,267,244
Total costs and expenses	139,795,372	102,259,907	331,288,594
Loss from operations	(139,755,926)	(102,057,990)	(325,303,760)
Other income (expense):			
Gain on modification of convertible debt	1,033,479	-	586,245
Loss on subscription receivable			(1,368,555)
Interest expense	(1,625,592)	(2,374,032)	(11,740,193)
Other-than-temporary impairment of marketable securities available for sale			(9,785,947)
Net unrealized and realized loss of marketable securities	(3,798,516)	(3,986,553)	(9,398,218)
Change in fair value of investments derivative liability	-	-	(210,953)
Change in fair value of derivative and warrant	(33,780,874)	34,962,617	43,587,089

Edgar Filing: MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES INC /CA/ - Form 10KSB/A

liabilities			
Interest income	37,120	60,179	466,882
Other	7,008	-	(25,992)
Other expense, net	(38,127,375)	28,662,211	12,110,358
Loss before provision for income taxes	(177,883,301)	(73,395,779)	(313,193,402)
Provision for income taxes	(800)	(800)	(15,000)
Net loss	\$ (177,884,101)	\$ (73,396,579)	\$ (313,208,402)
Per share data:			
Basic and diluted net loss per share	\$ (40.10)	\$ (0.68)	
Weighted average Class A common shares outstanding - basic and diluted	4,435,708	107,708,004	

See report of independent registered public accounting firm
and accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statement

F-4

Table of Contents

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			
(A Development Stage Company)			
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE LOSS			
	For the Year Ended		From October
	September 30,		21, 1983
	2006	2007	(Inception)
	(Restated)	(Restated)	through
			December 31,
			2007
			(Unaudited)
			(Restated)
Net loss	\$ (177,884,101)	\$ (73,396,579)	\$ (313,208,402)
Other comprehensive loss:			
Temporary increase (decrease)			
in market			
value of securities available			
for sale	-	-	-
Reclassification to			
other-than-temporary			
impairment of marketable			
securities			
available for sale	-	-	-
	-	-	-
Net comprehensive loss	\$ (177,884,101)	\$ (73,396,579)	\$ (313,208,402)

See report of independent registered public accounting firm
and accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statement

F-5

Table of Contents

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.														
(A Development Stage Company)														
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' (DEFICIT))														
												Deficit		
												Accumulated		
	Class A	Class B	Class A	Class B	Class C	Class D	Class E							
	Common	Common	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred			Additional	During the			
	Shares	Shares	Stock	Stock	Stock	Stock	Stock	Stock	Stock	Paid-in	Development			
	Outstanding	Outstanding	Outstanding	Outstanding	Outstanding	Outstanding	Outstanding	Outstanding	Outstanding	Capital	Stage			
Initial Issuance of Common Stock														
October 21, 1983	-	\$ -	-	\$ -	-	\$ -	-	\$ -	-	\$ -	-	\$ -	2,500	\$ -
Adjustment to give effect to recapitalization on December 15, 1986														
Cancellation of shares	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(4)	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,496	-
Balance - October 21, 1983														
Shares issued By Tensidyne Corporation in connection with pooling of interests	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,342	-
Net (loss), year ended December 31, 1983	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(4,317)
Balance December 31, 1983	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,838	(4,317)
Capital contribution	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	21,755	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10,700	-

Balance December 31, 1987	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	282,331	(333,938)
Issuance of Common Stock Sale of Stock	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	101,752	-
Services Rendered	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	70,600	-
Net (Loss), Year Ended December 31, 1988	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(142,335)
Balance December 31, 1988	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	454,683	(476,273)
Issuance of Common Stock Sale of Stock	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,000	-
Services Rendered	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18,000	-
Net (Loss), Year Ended December 31, 1989	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(31,945)
Balance December 31, 1989	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	474,683	(508,218)
Sale of Stock	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	59,250	-
Services Rendered	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	32,400	-
Net Income, Year Ended December 31, 1990	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	133,894
Balance December 31, 1990	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	566,333	(374,324)

See accompanying notes and independent accountants' report.

Services Rendered																					
Warrant Conversion	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	304,999	-
Cancellation of Shares	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(7,569)	-
Net (Loss) for Year Ended December 31, 1993	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(929,900)
Balance December 31, 1993	-	-	60,000	60	350	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,355,620	(1,805,526)
Adjustment to Give Effect to Recapitalization on February 1, 1994	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	385,424	-
Issuance of Shares for Services Rendered	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	223	-
Sale of Stock	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24,786	-
Issuance of Shares for the Modification of Agreements	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net (Loss) for the Year Ended December 31, 1994	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(377,063)
Balance December 31, 1994	6	-	60,000	60	350	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,766,053	(2,182,589)
Issuance of Common Stock in Consideration for Modification of Agreement	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	153	-
Net (Loss) for the Year	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(197,546)

Ended
December 31,
1995

Balance December 31, 1995	6	-	60,000	60	350	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,766,206	(2,380,135)
---------------------------------	---	---	--------	----	-----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	-----------	-------------

Issuance of
Shares for
Services

Rendered	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16,466	-
----------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	--------	---

Sale of Stock	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	174,040	-
---------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---------	---

Issuance of
Shares for
the

Modification of Agreements	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-------------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Cancellation of Shares Held in Treasury	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(154,600)	-
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	-----------	---

Net (Loss) for the Year																	
----------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Ended
December 31,
1996

	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(450,734)
--	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	-----------

Balance
December 31,
1996

	8	-	60,000	60	350	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,802,112	(2,830,869)
--	---	---	--------	----	-----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	-----------	-------------

See accompanying notes and independent accountants' report.

F-7

Sale of Stock	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100,000	-
Conversion of Indebtedness	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	166,000	-
Class A Common Stock Issued in Cancellation of \$372,000																
Accrued Wages Due Officer	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	372,000	-
Issuance of Shares for Services Rendered	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,471	-
Adjustment to Give Effect to Recapitalization on 9-Mar-97	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net (Loss) for the Year Ended December 31, 1997	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(133,578)
Balance December 31, 1997	18	-	60,000	60	350	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,442,583	(2,964,447)
Shares Issued in Cancellation of Indebtedness	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	170,000	-
Conversion of Options	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	125,000	-
Issuance of Shares for Services Rendered	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	112,162	-
Shares Issued in Cancellation of Redeemable Preferred Stock	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	150,000	-
Shares Returned to Treasury and Cancelled	(2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Modification of Royalty Agreement	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,332	-

Issuance of Warrants to Officer	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	27,567	-
Net (Loss) for the Year Ended December 31, 1998	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(549,187)
Balance December 31, 1998	32	-	60,000	60	350	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,034,644	(3,513,634)
Shares Issued in Cancellation of Indebtedness	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	166,667	-
Issuance of Shares for Services Rendered	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	95,099	-
Shares Issued in Modification of Licensing Agreement	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sale of Stock	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	173,540	-
Net (Loss) for the Year Ended December 31, 1999	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(539,283)
Balance December 31, 1999	47	-	60,000	60	350	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,469,950	(4,052,917)
Issuance of Shares for Services Rendered - as restated	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	824,516	-
Shares Issued to Investors Pursuant to Settlement Agreement	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shares Issued for Cash and Non-Recourse Promissory Notes	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,995,000	-

Shares Issued for Cash	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	281,694	-
Shares Issued in Cancellation of Indebtedness	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100,000	-
Shares Issued as Compensation Pursuant to Escrow Agreement	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,184	-
Shares Returned from Escrow	(1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Common Shares Converted into Class B Common	-	-	40,000	40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(40)	-
Preferred Shares Converted into Common	-	-	-	-	(13)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net (Loss) for the Year Ended December 31, 2000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(1,199,695)
Balance December 31, 2000	80	-	100,000	100	337	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,675,304	(5,252,612)
Issuance of Shares for Services Rendered	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	804,336	-
Shares Issued for Cash	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	286,567	-
Shares Issued in Connection with Private Offering	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shares Issued to Officer	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,128,000	-
Net (Loss) for the Year Ended December 31, 2001	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(3,548,559)

See accompanying notes and independent accountants' report.

Balance December 31,	140	-	100,000	100	337	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8,894,207	(8,801)
Balance Shares for Services rendered	73	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,185,631	
Balance Shares to University of Pennsylvania Shares issued Settlement	4	-													-
Lawsuit	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	40,000	
Shares Issued Cash	93	-	-	-	-	-	143	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,153,736	
Printing costs Shares issued Cancellation			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(200,412)	
President's Invest in Shares	-	-	200,000	200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Cancellation of Shares in stock	(4)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Shares issued Company's President															
Investment Compensation Shares Issued Connection	43	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	260,000	
Private Printing (Loss) for Year ended December 31,	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Balance - December 31,	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		(3,852)
Balance - December 31,	362	-	300,000	300	337	-	-	-	143	-	-	-	-	11,333,162	(12,653)
Balance Shares for Services rendered	25,934	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	484,308	

ance														
ares to														
ersity of														
sylvania	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
es														
ased for														
illation	(4)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(24,432)
s issued														
tlement														
vsuit	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
s issued														
sh	113	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,074	4	-	-	-	-	235,194
ing costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(81,975)
s issued														
ncellation														
fee note														
le	73,333	73	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,583,054
s issued														
mpany's														
lent														
st														
ensation	106,667	107	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	319,893
s issued														
mpany's														
lent														
deration														
ote														
vable	16,667	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	49,983
er's														
ensation														
ng to														
illation of														
27, 2000														
w														
ment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19,617
s issued														
ncellation														
tedness														
n legal	3	-												10,000
s														
ed to														
ry by														
pany														
ers in														
deration														
e														
illation														

Notes																
Change in																
Company by																
on																
Stock																
Options	(17)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(769,823)	
Change of																
A																
Common																
for Class																
Common	(1)	-	300,000	300											(300)	
Change of																
A																
Common																
for Class																
Preferred	(24,800)	(25)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,440,000	5,440	-	-		(5,415)	
Shares Issued																
in Connection																
Private																
Offering	23,355	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		(23)	
Investment for																
Company in																
Consolidated																
Equity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		37,597	
(Loss) for																
Year																
Ended																
December 31,																
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		(1,885)	
Balance -																
December 31,																
	221,628	\$ 221	600,000	\$ 600	337	\$-	-	\$-	4,217	\$ 4	5,440,000	\$ 5,440	-	\$-	13,190,840	\$ (14,539)
Balance																
Shares for																
Options																
Granted	22,406	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		14,252,173	
Shares																
Acquired and																
Retained	(4)	-													(4,167)	
Balance of																
Shares for																
Conversion																
Legal and																
Accounting																
Payable	250	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		64,467	
Balance of																
Shares																
Issued	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		4,550	
Change																

Shares in															
ely Park															
tments															
	28,889	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12,973,484
icial															
ersion															
re															
nvertible															
ature	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,125,000

See accompanying notes and independent accountants' report.
 F-9

4,025	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	207,471
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(13,713)
9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(2,700)	(3)	-	-	-	-	3
11,733	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(3,520,000)	(3,520)	-	-	3,508
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
288,947	288	600,000	\$ 600	337	-	-	-	1,517	1	1,920,000	1,920	-	-	41,803,616
111,913	112	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,105,322
333	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,100
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
39,500	40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,582,560
15,173	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,963,105
3,950	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(4)

7,329	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	313,132
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(19,140)
1,667	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(500,000)	(500)	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(1,125,000)
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
468,813	468	\$ 600,000	\$ 600	\$ 337	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 1,517	\$ 1	\$ 1,420,000	\$ 1,420	-	\$ -	54,625,691
35,199,295	35,200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	126,163,933
21,500	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	257,978
3,416	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	173,063
3,333	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	39,997
208,333	208	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	119,792
49,689	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	379,646
83,333	83	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,649,517
(6,300)	(6)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(62,575)

19,693	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	384,796
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(410,952)
4,733	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(1,420,000)	(1,420)	-	-	1,415
(929)	(1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(45,642)
119,164	119	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,502,326
6,245,664	6,246	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(6,246)
5,850	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(6)
30,000,000	30,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(30,000)
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,575,342
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	450,697
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	419,445
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
72,425,587	72,426	600,000	600	337	-	-	-	1,517	1	-	-	-	-	193,188,217
12,686,300	12,686	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8,712,410

-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	55,000	55	1,072,445
15,412,500	15,413	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18,866,963
10,000,000	10,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13,822,000
1,570,000	1,570	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,812,641
2,583,456	2,583	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(2,583)

See accompanying notes and independent accountants' report.
F-10

led and																
ed to																
ry	(418,114)	(418)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	418
s issued																
ervices	12,037,724	12,038	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16,183,251
s																
ed in																
lation																
e																
le	10,050,000	10,050	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	994,950
ng costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(6,861,793)
tion on																
ient																
y on																
ment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	501,412
n of																
in																
on																
chase of																
t City																
otive	(10,000,000)	(10,000)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(6,990,000)
nized																
's stock																
ensation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	60,048,000
oss) for																
ar																
umber 31,																
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(73,390)
	126,347,453	\$ 126,348	600,000	\$ 600	337	\$-	-	\$-	1,517	\$ 1	-	\$-	55,000	\$ 55	\$ 301,348,331	(313,200)

See accompanying notes and independent accountants' report.

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

(A Development Stage Company)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	For the Year Ended December		From October 21, 1983 (Inception) through December 31, 2007
	2006 (Restated)	2007	
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net loss	\$ (177,884,101)	\$ (73,396,579)	\$ (313,208,402)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:			
Gain on modification of convertible debt	(1,033,479)	-	(586,245)
Impairment loss	1,913,445	19,257,375	21,391,528
Loss on charge off of subscription receivables	1,346,010		1,368,555
Issuance of common stock for services	126,199,122	16,195,289	206,484,840
Increase in debt for services and fees	462,826	3,993,799	4,456,625
Officer's stock based compensation	6,575,342	60,000,000	66,575,342
Issuance of common stock for modification of research and development sponsorship agreement		-	7,738,400
Change in fair value of derivative and warrant liabilities		(34,962,617)	(41,351,889)
Net realized and unrealized loss on marketable securities	3,798,516	3,986,200	7,895,705
Other-than-temporary impairment of marketable securities available for sale	-		9,785,946
Legal fees incurred for note payable			1,456,142
Accrued interest expense added to principal	615,988	328,891	1,495,005
Amortization of discount on convertible debentures	968,716	2,041,213	10,106,277

Edgar Filing: MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES INC /CA/ - Form 10KSB/A

Change in fair value of investments derivative liability	33,780,874	-	3,223,323
Accrued interest income added to principal	(22,559)	(1,177)	(304,998)
Depreciation and amortization	8,219	7,581	227,784
Other non-cash adjustments	66,341	-	(114,730)
(Increase) decrease in trade receivables	(45,883)	8,046	(158,989)
(Increase) decrease in inventories	-	(62,216)	(62,216)
(Increase) decrease in prepaid expenses and other current assets	273,591	9,225	242,573
Increase in deposits			(2,348)
(Decrease) increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses	1,197,776	(69,660)	2,508,896
Net cash used in operating activities	(1,779,256)	(2,664,630)	(10,832,876)
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Proceeds from the sale of marketable securities	242,506	137,174	3,458,476
Purchase of marketable securities	(7,307)	(302,038)	(2,206,379)
Investment in certificate of deposits and commercial paper	-	(1,400,000)	(1,400,000)
Redemptions of certificate of deposits and commercial paper	-	400,000	400,000
Payment received on officer loans	(5,000)	-	876,255
Funds advanced to officers	-	-	(549,379)
Proceeds received in acquisition of consolidated subsidiaries		600,000	600,000
Purchase of property and equipment	(2,827)	(83,679)	(356,252)
Investment in joint ventures	-	-	(102,069)
Proceeds from foreclosure	-	-	44,450
Proceeds from the sale of property and equipment	9,000	-	19,250
Payment for license agreement	-	-	(6,250)

Net cash provided by investing activities	236,372	(648,543)	778,102
---	---------	-----------	---------

Continued . . .
F-12

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

(A Development Stage Company)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	For the Year Ended December		From October 21, 1983 (Inception) through December 31, 2007
	2006 (Restated)	2007	
Cash flow from financing activities:			
Proceeds from the sale of common stock and warrants	\$ 1,680,553	\$ 4,566,631	\$ 9,445,953
Proceeds from convertible debentures and other notes payable	50,000	200,000	2,047,766
Proceeds from the sale of preferred stock	-	-	473,005
Costs incurred in offerings	(22,530)	(643,591)	(1,130,932)
Capital contributions	-	-	301,068
Purchase of treasury stock	(33,188)	(79,452)	(167,375)
Principal reduction on notes payable	(50,000)	(50,000)	(100,000)
Payment on proposed reorganization	-	-	(5,000)
Net cash provided by financing activities	1,624,835	3,993,588	10,864,485
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	81,951	680,415	809,711
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	47,345	129,296	-
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 129,296	\$ 809,711	\$ 809,711
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:			
Interest paid during the period	\$ 2,669	\$ 3,838	
	\$ 800	\$ 800	

Income taxes paid during the period

Supplemental disclosures of non-cash investing and financing activities:

2007

During the year ended December 31, 2007, the Company issued 12,037,724 shares of its Class A common stock for consulting and other services valued at \$16,195,289. Included in the 12,037,724 shares issued, 2,970,000 shares were issued to current officers of the company which were valued at \$4,398,500.

During 2007, the Company received \$1,000,000 in consideration of issuing 2,500,000 units. Each unit consists of one share of the Company's Class A common stock and a warrant to purchase one share of the Company's common stock at a price of \$.60 per share. In connection with the private offering the Company paid \$239,065 in fees and issued warrants to purchase 2,118,334 shares of the Company's common stock at a price of \$.60 per share. In other private offerings, the Company received \$1,634,154 through the issuance of 5,686,300 shares of common stock and warrants. Also during 2007, 4,500,000 of common stock were issued through the exercise of the 4,500,000 warrants. Through the exercise of the warrants, the Company received \$2,171,542 net of \$528,458 in closing costs.

In connection with the above indicated private offering and related exercise of the warrants, , the Company issued 1,570,000 shares of its Class A common stock. The 1,570,000 shares were valued at \$1,814,213 and charged against the proceeds received.

During 2007, the Company issued 50,000 shares its Class E Series convertible preferred stock in exchange for receiving all of the outstanding shares of Stress Analysis Technologies, Inc. ("SATI") The Company valued the acquisition at \$975,000 and charged off \$875,000 as it deemed the intangible assets acquired to be fully impaired. In connection with this transaction, the Company issued an additional 5,000 preferred shares valued at \$97,500 for fees in connection with the purchase. The \$97,500 was charged to equity.

During 2007, the Company issued 13,912,500 shares its common stock in the acquisition of two subsidiaries.

The assets acquired included \$500,000 cash and licenses originally valued at \$18,380,875. The Company charged of the full costs assigned to the licenses as being impaired.

See report of independent registered public accounting firm and accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

F-13

During 2007, the Company issued 10,000,000 shares its common stock in exchange for 3,000,000 shares in a company whose shares are traded on the OTO exchange. pink sheets). The Company valued the shares received at \$10,986,000. In October 2007, the Company and the other party to the share exchange decided to return the shares received. The Company received the 10,000,000 shares it originally issued and cancelled them. The Company recognized a loss of \$3,986,000 which was charged to operations on the return of the shares.

During 2007, the Company issued 10,800,000 shares in escrow pursuant to an agreement it has with its Convertible debenture holders. During 2007, 10,050,000 shares of Class A common stock was issued to certain debenture holders in the conversion of \$1,005,000 of indebtedness. In addition, for services rendered by certain debenture holders, the amount due on the debentures was increased by \$1,100,000.

During 2007, the Company received 418,114 shares of prior issued common stock which was subsequently cancelled.

During 2007, the Company acquired all of the outstanding shares of Bridge Concept Inc, a corporation wholly owned by to its chief engineer. In consideration for the shares received in Bridge, the Company issued 1,500,000 of its common stock and \$37,500 which was paid in October 2007. The Company treated the acquisition as a related party transaction and valued the entire acquisition at \$39,000. The \$39,000 was assigned to the intellectual property of Bridge which was charged off to operations as being impaired.

During 2007, the Company issued 2,583,456 shares of its common stock pursuant to anti-dilution provisions in two agreements.

2006

In November 2006, the Company authorized a 1 for 300 reverse stock split. All issuances of shares have been restated to reflect the impact of reverse stock split.

During 2006, the Company issued 35,190,742 shares of its Class A common stock for consulting services valued at \$126,199,125

During 2006, the Company issued 3,416 shares of its Class A common stock in connection with a legal settlement. The shares were valued at \$173,066.

During 2006. the Company issued 4,733 shares of its Class A common stock through the conversion of 1,420,000 shares of Class D preferred stock.

In 2006, the Company issued 12,000,000 Class A common shares in connection with proposed financing. In addition 10,000,000 shares were placed in escrow pursuant to the Beck Settlement agreement. In addition, the Company issued 40,000,000 shares to current shareholders for services rendered, of the 40,000,000 shares, 5,358,689 shares were not issued, but held by the Company at December 31, 2006. These 27,358,689 shares are considered issued, but not outstanding as of December 31, 2006.

During 2006, the Company issued 229,833 shares in exchange for the cancellation of \$378,000 of indebtedness.

During 2006, the Company issued 3,333 shares of its common stock in exchange for the cancellation of its obligation to pay royalties on future sales to Advances Technology Center (See Note 10). The 3,333 shares were valued at \$40,000.

During 2006, the Company agreed to increase the obligation to the debtholder from \$1,331,860 to \$2,000,000.

The increase in the amount due pertained to services rendered by the debt holder and for other consideration and was charged to interest expense during the period.

During 2006, the company recorded a debt discount related to the Beneficial Conversion Feature of the convertible debt issued in the amount of \$450,697.

During 2006, the company issued 119,164 shares, of common stock for \$500,000 in cash and licensed technology valued at \$1,913,445. The Company deemed the technology received impaired and charged off the \$1,913,445 to operations in 2006.

During 2006, the Company issued 19,693 shares of its common stock in connection with various offerings. The 19,693 shares were valued at \$418,812 which was charged against the proceeds received.

During 2006, the Company purchased 929 shares of its common stock for \$45,643.

During 2006, certain shareholders returned to the Company 12,967 shares of its common stock which was subsequently canceled.

See report of independent registered public accounting firm and accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

During 2006 the Company issued 6,245,664 shares pursuant to antidilution provisions of various agreements.

During 2006, the Company issued 5,850 shares to Birchington pursuant to the downside price protection provision of the exchange agreement.

During 2006, the Company issued 30,000,000 shares of its common stock to its President pursuant to an employment agreement. The shares vest over a 3 year period. For the year ended December 31, 2006, \$6,575,342 was recognized as compensation and charged to operations.

See report of independent registered public accounting firm and accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

F-15

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

NOTE 1 – ORGANIZATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Organization

Material Technologies, Inc. (the “Company”) was organized on October 21, 1983, under the laws of the state of Delaware.

The Company is in the development stage, as defined in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (“SFAS”) No. 7, Accounting and Reporting by Development Stage Enterprises, with its principal activity being research and development in the area of metal fatigue technology with the intent of future commercial application.

On January 22, 2003, the Company formed Matech International, Inc., a Nevada corporation (“International”). International was formed as a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company to advertise, market and sell the Company’s videoscope technology which is presently utilized in the inspection of stress and crack points in turbine engines on the wings of airplanes. At the present time there is no activity in International and the Company does not anticipate nor reasonably foresee any business activity in International in the near future.

On March 13, 2003, the Company formed Matech Aerospace, Inc., a Nevada corporation (“Aerospace”). Aerospace was formed as a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company to advertise, market and sell all manufacturing and marketing rights to the Company’s products and technologies in all commercial markets within the United States. During 2003, Aerospace sold shares of its common stock to investors. As of December 31, 2007, the Company holds a 99% interest in Aerospace. At the present time there is no activity in Aerospace and the Company does not anticipate nor reasonably foresee any business activity in Aerospace in the near future.

On August 18, 2006, the Company acquired 100% of the issued and outstanding stock of Materials Monitoring Technologies, Inc., (“Monitoring”) which was organized in the State of Florida on August 1, 2006. On the acquisition date, Monitoring had \$500,000 in cash, a license to utilize patented technology relating to the structural health monitoring of bridges and railroads, and an agreement with a consultant to provide services associated with the development, application, and testing of the licensed technology through August 2007 (see Note 7). As Monitoring had no customers, expenses, or operations, the acquisition of Monitoring was treated as an acquisition of assets of \$500,000 in cash and \$1,913,445 for intellectual property for 119,164 shares (post-split) of common stock. The \$1,913,445 was charged to operations as the value of the intellectual properties was deemed by management to be impaired.

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

On January 26, 2007, the Company acquired 100% of the issued and outstanding stock of Stress Analysis Technologies, Inc. ("SATI"), which was organized in the State of Florida on October 19, 2006. In consideration for the SATI shares received, the Company issued 50,000 shares of its Class E convertible preferred stock which has a stipulated value of \$975,000 (see Note 11). On the acquisition date, SATI had \$100,000 in cash and a license to utilize patented technology relating to the structural monitoring of bridges. Under the terms of the license, royalties and fees are due on revenue generated through the utilization of the licensed technology. The license expires on January 23, 2023. As SATI had no customers, expenses, or operations, the acquisition of SATI was treated as an acquisition of assets of \$100,000 in cash and \$875,000 was charged to operations as management deemed the underlying value of the license to be impaired.

On April 30, 2007, the Company acquired 100% of the issued and outstanding stock of Damage Assessment Technologies, Inc. ("DATI"), which was organized in the State of Florida on April 23, 2007. On the acquisition date, DATI had \$250,000 in cash, a license to utilize patented technology relating to the damage assessment, and has an agreement with a consultant to provide services associated with the development, application, and testing of the licensed technology through August 2007 (see Note 7). As DATI had no customers, expenses, or operations, the acquisition of DATI was treated as an acquisition of assets of \$250,000 in cash and \$11,000,000 of intellectual property for 7,500,000 shares of common stock. The \$11,000,000 value assigned to the intellectual properties was deemed impaired by management and charged to operations.

On June 28, 2007, the Company acquired 100% of the issued and outstanding stock of Non-Destructive Assessment Technologies, Inc. ("NDATI"), which was organized in the State of Florida on May 24, 2007. On the acquisition date, NDATI had \$250,000 in cash, a license to utilize patented technology relating to the damage assessment, and an agreement with a consultant to provide services associated with the development, application, and testing of the licensed technology through August 2007 (see Note 7). As NDATI had no customers, expenses, or operations, the acquisition of NDATI was treated as an acquisition of assets of \$250,000 in cash and \$7,380,876 of intellectual property for 6,412,500 shares of common stock. The \$7,380,876 assigned to the intellectual properties was deemed impaired by management and charged to operations.

On September 28, 2007, the Company acquired from its chief engineer 100% of the issued and outstanding stock of Bridge Testing Concepts, Inc. ("BTCI"), which was organized in the State of California on July 30, 2007. On the date of acquisition, BTCI's sole asset consisted of technology relating to the testing of fatigue on bridges. In consideration for the shares of BTCI, the Company issued 1,500,000 shares of its common stock and paid \$37,500 in October 2007. The Company treated the acquisition as a related party transaction and valued the shares issued at par. The total purchase price of \$39,000 was assigned to the intellectual property received. Management deemed the value of the technology to be impaired and charged the \$39,000 to operations.

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

Unless otherwise noted, common stock refers to the Company's Class A common stock. Effective on November 8, 2006, the Company declared a 1-for-300 reverse split of the Company's Class A common stock. All shares amounts and per share amounts have been adjusted throughout the financial statements for this reverse stock split.

Going Concern

The Company's consolidated financial statements are prepared using the accrual method of accounting in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and have been prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the settlement of liabilities in the normal course of business. The Company has sustained operating losses since its inception (October 21, 1983). In addition, the Company has used substantial amounts of working capital in its operations. Further, at December 31, 2007, deficit accumulated during the development stage amounted to approximately \$ 313,208,402.

In view of these matters, realization of a major portion of the assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet is dependent upon the Company's ability to meet its financing requirements and the success of its future operations. During 2007, the Company received approximately \$4,000,000 (net of offering costs) through the issuance of 12,686,300 shares of its common stock and exercise of warrants and received \$600,000 through the acquisitions of three wholly owned subsidiaries as discussed in above. The Company plans to continue raising funds through the sale of its common stock through private offerings which management expects to continue in 2008. The Company has commenced to market its current technologies while continuing to develop new methods and applications.

Management believes that these sources of funds and current liquid assets will allow the Company to continue as a going concern through the end of 2008. Management of the Company will need to raise additional debt and/or equity capital to finance future activities beyond 2008. However, no assurances can be made that current or anticipated future sources of funds will enable the Company to finance future periods' operations. In light of these circumstances, substantial doubt exists about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. These consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded assets or liabilities that might be necessary should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern.

Restatement of Financial Statements

In valuing previous period's non-cash security transactions, the Company utilized discounts to the respective share's trading prices which it has determined are without foundation. In addition, the Company has also adjusted its derivative liabilities to fair value. Therefore, it has restated its 2005 and 2006 financial statements eliminating all discounts. The net effect of the restatements was to increase is accumulated deficit at December 31, 2006 from \$72,358,976 to \$239,811,823 (See Note 13).

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

Recent Accounting Pronouncement

SFAS No. 159 - In February 2007, the FASB issued Statement No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities—Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115. This Statement permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. The objective is to improve financial reporting by providing entities with the opportunity to mitigate volatility in reported earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently without having to apply complex hedge accounting provisions. This Statement is expected to expand the use of fair value measurement, which is consistent with the Board's long-term measurement objectives for accounting for financial instruments. This Statement applies to all entities, including not-for-profit organizations. Most of the provisions of this Statement apply only to entities that elect the fair value option. However, the amendment to FASB Statement No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities, applies to all entities with available-for-sale and trading securities. Some requirements apply differently to entities that do not report net income. This Statement is effective as of the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after November 15, 2007. Early adoption is permitted as of the beginning of a fiscal year that begins on or before November 15, 2007, provided the entity also elects to apply the provisions of FASB Statement No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. No entity is permitted to apply this Statement retrospectively to fiscal years preceding the effective date unless the entity chooses early adoption. The choice to adopt early should be made after issuance of this Statement but within 120 days of the beginning of the fiscal year of adoption, provided the entity has not yet issued financial statements, including required notes to those financial statements, for any interim period of the fiscal year of adoption. This Statement permits application to eligible items existing at the effective date (or early adoption date). The Company has evaluated the impact of the implementation of SFAS No. 159 and does not believe the impact will be significant to the Company's overall results of operations or financial position.

SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007) – In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement No. 141 (revised 2007), Business Combinations. This statement replaces FASB Statement No. 141 Business Combinations. The objective of this Statement is to improve the relevance, representational faithfulness, and comparability of the information that a reporting entity provides in its financial reports about a business combination and its effects. To accomplish that, this Statement establishes principles and requirements for how the acquirer 1) recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree, 2) recognizes and measures the goodwill acquired in the business combination or a gain from a bargain purchase, and 3) determines what information to disclose to enable users of the financial statements to evaluate the nature and financial effects of

F-19

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

the business combination. This Statement applies prospectively to business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. The Company is currently assessing the potential effect of SFAS 141 (revised 2007) on its financial statements.

SFAS No. 160 – In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements—an amendment of ARB No. 51. The objective of this Statement is to improve the relevance, comparability, and transparency of the financial information that a reporting entity provides in its consolidated financial statements by establishing accounting and reporting standards that require 1) the ownership interests in subsidiaries held by parties other than the parent be clearly identified, labeled, and presented in the consolidated statement of financial position within equity, but separate from the parent’s equity, 2) the amount of consolidated net income attributable to the parent and to the noncontrolling interest be clearly identified and presented on the face of the consolidated statement of income, 3) changes in a parent’s ownership interest while the parent retains its controlling financial interest in its subsidiary be accounted for consistently, 4) when a subsidiary is deconsolidated, any retained noncontrolling equity investment in the former subsidiary be initially measured at fair value, and 5) entities provide sufficient disclosures that clearly identify and distinguish between the interests of the parent and the interests of the noncontrolling owners. This Statement is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2008. The Company is currently assessing the potential effect of SFAS 160 on its financial statements.

SFAS No. 161 - In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement No. 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities—an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133. This Statement changes the disclosure requirements for derivative instruments and hedging activities. Entities are required to provide enhanced disclosures about (a) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (b) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under Statement 133 and its related interpretations, and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity’s financial position, financial performance, and cash flows.

This Statement is intended to enhance the current disclosure framework in Statement 133. The Statement requires that objectives for using derivative instruments be disclosed in terms of underlying risk and accounting designation. This disclosure better conveys the purpose of derivative use in terms of the risks that the entity is intending to manage. Disclosing the fair values of derivative instruments and their gains and losses in a tabular format should provide a more complete picture of the location in an entity’s financial statements of both the derivative positions existing at period end and the effect of using derivatives during the reporting period. Disclosing information about credit-risk-related contingent features should provide information on the potential effect on an entity’s liquidity from using derivatives. Finally, this Statement

F-20

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

requires cross-referencing within the footnotes, which should help users of financial statements locate important information about derivative instruments.

This Statement is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008, with early application encouraged. This Statement encourages, but does not require, comparative disclosures for earlier periods at initial adoption. The Company is currently evaluating SFAS 161 and has not yet determined its potential impact on its future results of operations or financial position.

NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying financial statements include the accounts and transactions of Material Technologies, Inc., its wholly owned subsidiaries Matech International, Inc., (“International”) Materials Monitoring Technologies, Inc., (“Monitoring”), Stress Analysis Technologies, Inc. (“SATI”), Damage Assessment Technologies, Inc., (“DATI”), Non-Destructive Assessment Technologies, Inc., (“NDATI”), Bridge Testing Concepts, Inc., (“BTCI”) and its substantially owned subsidiary Matech Aerospace, Inc., (“Aerospace”). Intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation. The minority owners’ interests in a subsidiary have been reflected as minority interest in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Significant estimates include the fair value of marketable securities, the value of shares issued for non-cash consideration, and the recoverability of deferred tax assets. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash Equivalents

For purposes of the statements of cash flows, the Company considers cash equivalents to include highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less.

Investments

Marketable securities purchased with the intent of selling them in the near term are classified as trading securities. Trading securities are initially recorded at cost and are adjusted to their fair value, with the change in fair value during the period included in earnings as unrealized gains or losses. Realized gains or losses on dispositions are based upon the net proceeds and the adjusted

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

book value of the securities sold, using the specific identification method, and are recorded as realized gains or losses in the consolidated statements of operations. Marketable securities that are not classified as trading securities are classified as available-for-sale securities. Available-for-sale securities are initially recorded at cost. Available-for-sale securities with quoted market prices are adjusted to their fair value. Any change in fair value during the period is excluded from earnings and recorded, net of tax, as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). Any decline in value of available-for-sale securities below cost that is considered to be "other than temporary" is recorded as a reduction of the cost basis of the security and is included in the statement of operations as an impairment loss.

Non-marketable securities consist of equity securities for which there are no quoted market prices. Such investments are initially recorded at their cost. In the case of non-marketable securities acquired with the Company's common stock, the Company values the securities at a significant discount to the stated per share cost based upon the Company's historical experience with similar transactions as to the amount ultimately realized from the sale of the shares. Such investments will be reduced if the Company receives indications that a permanent decline in value has occurred. At such time as quoted market prices become available, the net cost basis of these securities will be reclassified to the appropriate category of marketable securities. Until that time, the securities will be recorded at their net cost basis, subject to an impairment analysis (see Note 3).

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable are reported at the customers' outstanding balances less any allowance for doubtful accounts. The Company does not accrue interest on overdue accounts receivable.

The allowance for doubtful accounts is charged to income in amounts sufficient to maintain the allowance for uncollectible accounts at a level management believes is adequate to cover any probable losses. Management determines the adequacy of the allowance based on historical write-off percentages and information collected from individual customers. As of December 31, 2007, management believes all accounts receivable are collectible. Accordingly, no allowance for doubtful accounts is included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

Long-Lived Assets

The Company accounts for its long-lived assets in accordance with SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets. SFAS No. 144 requires that long-lived assets be reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that

F-22

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

the historical cost carrying value of an asset may no longer be appropriate. The Company assesses recoverability of the carrying value of an asset by estimating the future net cash flows expected to result from the asset, including eventual disposition. If the future net cash flows are less than the carrying value of the asset, an impairment loss is recorded equal to the difference between the asset's carrying value and fair value or disposable value. As of December 31, 2007, the Company does not believe there has been any impairment of its long-lived assets.

Intangible Assets

Intangible assets consist of patents, license agreements and website design costs and are recorded at cost. Patents and license agreements are amortized over 17 years and website design costs are amortized over five years. In accordance with SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, the carrying values of intangible assets are evaluated for impairment annually or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the historical cost carrying value may no longer be appropriate. As of December 31, 2007, the Company deemed all of its acquired licenses in 2007 to be impaired and has charged the total cost assigned of \$19,294,875 to operations. In 2006, the Company charged to operations the value assigned to the licenses acquired in that year amounting to \$1,913,445.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes under the provisions of SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. Under SFAS No. 109, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for future tax benefits or consequences attributable to temporary differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. A valuation allowance is provided for significant deferred tax assets when it is more likely than not that such assets will not be realized through future operations.

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

Convertible Debentures

If the conversion feature of conventional convertible debt provides for a rate of conversion that is below market value, this feature is characterized as a beneficial conversion feature ("BCF"). A BCF is recorded by the Company as a debt discount pursuant to EITF Issue No. 98-5 ("EITF 98-05"), Accounting for Convertible Securities with Beneficial Conversion Features or Contingency Adjustable Conversion Ratio, and EITF Issue No. 00-27, Application of EITF Issue No. 98-5 to Certain Convertible Instruments. In those circumstances, the convertible debt will be recorded net of the discount related to the BCF. The Company amortizes the discount to interest expense over the life of the debt using the effective interest method.

Derivative Financial Instruments

In the case of non-conventional convertible debt, the Company bifurcates its embedded derivative instruments and records them under the provisions of SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, as amended, and EITF Issue No. 00-19, Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in, a Company's Own Stock. The Company's derivative financial instruments consist of embedded derivatives related to the non-conventional notes ("Notes") entered into with Golden Gate Investors ("GGI") and Palisades Capital, LLC or its registered assigns ("Palisades") (see Note 9). These embedded derivatives include the conversion features, liquidated damages related to registration rights, warrants issued and default provisions. The accounting treatment of derivative financial instruments requires that the Company record the derivatives and related warrants at their fair values as of the inception date of the agreement and at fair value as of each subsequent balance sheet date. Any change in fair value will be recorded as non-operating, non-cash income or expense at each reporting date. If the fair value of the derivatives is higher at the subsequent balance sheet date, the Company will record a non-operating, non-cash charge. If the fair value of the derivatives is lower at the subsequent balance sheet date, the Company will record non-operating, non-cash income.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company's financial instruments consist of cash and cash equivalents, investments, accounts receivable, accounts payable, accrued expenses, notes payable and convertible debentures. Pursuant to SFAS No. 107, Disclosures About Fair Value of Financial Instruments, the Company is required to estimate the fair value of all financial instruments at the balance sheet date. The Company cannot determine the estimated fair value of the convertible debentures as instruments similar to the convertible debentures could not be found. Other than this item, the Company considers the carrying values of its financial instruments in the financial statements to approximate their fair values.

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue in accordance with Staff Accounting Bulletin (“SAB”) No. 101, Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements, as revised by SAB No. 104. As such, the Company recognizes revenue on its bridge inspections when the inspection is completed and the required inspection report is provided to the client.

Research and Development

The Company expenses research and development costs as incurred.

Basic & Diluted Net Loss per Share

The Company adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 128, Earnings Per Share (“EPS”). SFAS No. 128 provides for the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share. Basic EPS includes no dilution and is computed by dividing income or loss available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution of securities that could share in the earnings or losses of the entity. For the year December 31, 2006 and 2007, basic and diluted loss per share is the same. Since the calculation of diluted per share amounts would result in an anti-dilutive calculation that is not permitted and therefore not included. If such shares were included in diluted EPS, they would have resulted in weighted-average common shares of 29,600,224 and 158,951,824, for 2006 and 2007, respectively. Such amounts include shares potentially issuable pursuant to shares held in escrow (see Note 11), convertible debentures (see Note 9), and outstanding options and warrants (see Note 13).

Issuance of Stock for Non-Cash Consideration

All issuances of the Company's stock for non-cash consideration have been assigned a per share amount equaling either the market value of the shares issued or the value of consideration received, whichever is more readily determinable. The majority of the non-cash consideration received pertains to services rendered by consultants and others and has been valued at the market value of the shares on the dates issued.

The Company's accounting policy for equity instruments issued to consultants and vendors in exchange for goods and services follows the provisions of EITF 96-18, Accounting for Equity Instruments That are Issued to Other Than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling, Goods or Services and EITF 00-18, Accounting Recognition for Certain Transactions Involving Equity Instruments Granted to Other Than Employees. The measurement date for the fair value of the equity instruments issued is determined at the earlier of (i) the date at which

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

commitment for performance by the consultant or vendor is reached or (ii) the date at which the consultant or vendor's performance is complete. In the case of equity instruments issued to consultants, the fair value of the equity instrument is recognized over the term of the consulting agreement. In accordance with EITF 00-18, an asset acquired in exchange for the issuance of fully vested, nonforfeitable equity instruments should not be presented or classified as an offset to equity on the grantor's balance sheet once the equity instrument is granted for accounting purposes. Accordingly, the Company records the fair value of the fully vested non-forfeitable common stock issued for future consulting services as prepaid services in its consolidated balance sheet.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company adopted SFAS No. 123 (Revised 2004), Share Based Payment ("SFAS No. 123R"), under the modified-prospective transition method on January 1, 2006. SFAS No. 123R requires companies to measure and recognize the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value. Share-based compensation recognized under the modified-prospective transition method of SFAS No. 123R includes share-based compensation based on the grant-date fair value determined in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, for all share-based payments granted prior to and not yet vested as of January 1, 2006 and share-based compensation based on the grant-date fair-value determined in accordance with SFAS No. 123R for all share-based payments granted after January 1, 2006. SFAS No. 123R eliminates the ability to account for the award of these instruments under the intrinsic value method prescribed by Accounting Principles Board ("APB") Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and allowed under the original provisions of SFAS No. 123. Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, the Company accounted for our stock option plans using the intrinsic value method in accordance with the provisions of APB Opinion No. 25 and related interpretations.

As of December 31 2007, the Company had no options outstanding.

Concentrations of Credit Risk

The Company maintains its cash balances at financial institutions that are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") up to \$100,000. From time to time, the Company's cash balances exceed the amount insured by the FDIC. Management believes the risk of loss of cash balances in excess of the insured limit to be low.

During the year ended December 31, 2007, the Company's revenues were generated from three customers. During 2006, the Company's revenues were generated from one customer.

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

NOTE 3 – INVESTMENTS

Rocket City

In April 2007, the Company issued 10,000,000 shares of its common stock in exchange for 3,000,000 common shares of Rocket City Automotive Group, Inc. In August 2007, Rocket City declared a 40:1 reverse stock split. The Company initially valued the 3,000,000 shares received at \$10,374,000. The Company and Rocket City agreed in November 2007 to rescind the transaction whereby the Company recognized a loss on the transaction of \$3,986,000.

Birchington

In 2005, the Company entered into two agreements (the “Birchington Agreements”) with Birchington Investments Limited (“Birchington”), a corporation organized under the laws of the British Virgin Islands. The Company reviewed the recorded value of the Birchington shares for impairment as of December 31, 2006, pursuant to EITF 03-1 and determined that the Company’s investment in Birchington had no value. As of December 31, 2007, there has been no change to the status of this investment.

Mutual Funds

As of December 31, 2007, the Company’s investments in open-end mutual funds approximate their cost of \$300,000. The Company considers its investments in this account as being held for trading. During 2007, the Company purchased \$302,038 and sold \$137,174 of this investment with no gain or loss.

Investments as of December 31, 2007 are as follows:

	Adjusted Cost	Unrealized Loss	Fair Value
Marketable trading securities	\$ 300,000	-	\$ 300,000
Non-marketable securities			
– Birchington	\$ -	-	\$ -

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

Commercial Paper

As of December 31, 2007, the Company has investments in a bank's commercial paper totaling \$1,400,000 which accrue interest at rates ranging from 4.0% to 4.8% and mature on various dates through April 2008. As of December 31, 2007, accrued interest on these investments totaled \$10,758 which was credited to operations. Of the \$1,410,758 held at December 31, 2007, \$401,491 is considered to be a cash equivalent and is included in cash and cash equivalents on the balance sheet.

NOTE 4 - INVENTORIES

Inventories at December 31, 2007 consist of the following:

Finished goods	\$ 62,216
	\$ 62,216

Inventories consist of sensors and other parts used in the Company's bridge testing operations.

NOTE 5 – PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

	2006	2007
Office and computer equipment	\$ 27,645	\$ 27,645
Manufacturing equipment	129,676	213,354
	157,321	240,999
Less accumulated depreciation	(151,950)	(158,453)
	\$ 5,371	\$ 82,546

Depreciation charged to operations was \$6,363 and \$6,505, for 2006, and 2007, respectively.

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

NOTE 6 – INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Intangible assets consist of the following at December 31:

	Period of Amortization	2006	2007
Patent costs	17 years	\$ 28,494	\$ 28,494
License agreement (see Note 7)	17 years	6,250	6,250
Website	5 years	5,200	5,200
		39,944	39,944
Less accumulated amortization		(36,028)	(37,104)
		\$ 3,916	\$ 2,840

Amortization charged to operations for 2006 and 2007 was \$1,856, and \$1,076, respectively.

Estimated amortization expense for remaining life of the intangibles is as follows:

2008	\$1,076
2009	\$1,076
2010	\$ 688

NOTE 7 – LICENSE AGREEMENTS

University of Pennsylvania

In 1993, the Company entered into a license agreement with the University of Pennsylvania (the “University”) for the development and marketing of EFS.

Under the terms of the agreement, the Company issued to the University one share of its common stock, and a 5% royalty on sales of the product. The Company valued the license agreement at \$6,250. The license terminates upon the expiration of the underlying patents, unless sooner terminated as provided in the agreement. The Company is amortizing the license over 17 years.

In addition to the license agreement, the Company also agreed to sponsor the development of EFS. Under the sponsorship agreement, the Company agreed to reimburse the University development costs totaling approximately \$200,000, to be paid in 18 monthly installments

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

of \$11,112. Under the agreement, the Company reimbursed the University \$10,000 in 1996 for the costs it incurred in the procurement and maintenance of its patents on EFS.

In 2006, the Company and the University agreed to modify the terms of the license and sponsorship agreements and related obligations. The modification of the license agreement increased the University's royalty to 7% of the sales of related products and provided for the issuance of additional shares of the Company's common stock to equal 5% of the outstanding stock of the Company as of the effective date of the modification, subject to anti-dilution adjustments. The modification of the sponsorship agreement included paying the University 30% of any amounts raised by the Company in excess of \$150,000 (excluding amounts received on government grants or contracts) up to the amount owing to the University.

The parties agreed that the balance owed on the sponsorship agreement was \$200,000 and commencing September 30, 1997, the balance accrued compound interest at a rate of 1.5% per month (19.6% effective annual rate) until maturity on December 16, 2001, when the loan balance and accrued interest became fully due and payable.

In August 2005, the parties entered into an agreement (the "Workout Agreement") that again modified the terms of the Company's obligation under the sponsorship agreement. Pursuant to the Workout Agreement, retroactive to January 1, 2005, interest will be charged only on the December 31, 2004 balance of \$760,831 ("Remaining Obligation") at a monthly rate of 0.5% simple interest. The Company is obligated to pay \$25,000 annually due on the anniversary date of the Workout Agreement. Further, the Company is also obligated to pay within ten days following the filing of the Company's Forms 10-QSB or 10-KSB an amount equal to 10% of the Company's operating income (as defined) as reflected in the quarterly and annual filings. Under the revised terms of the Workout Agreement, the Company's CEO's annual cash salary is capped at \$250,000. The Company agreed to pay the University an amount equal to any cash salary paid to its CEO in excess of the \$250,000, which will be credited against the Remaining Obligation. In accordance with the terms of the Workout Agreement, the Company issued 15,173 (post split) shares of its common stock to the University in September 2005, representing 5.25% of the Company's outstanding shares as of the date of the Workout Agreement. The University cannot sell the shares for 18 months. The Company valued the shares at \$5,963,120, which was charged to operations as other expense as a modification of its research and development sponsorship agreement.

Interest expense charged to operations for 2006 and 2007 amounted to \$41,528 and \$41,617, respectively. The balances of the obligation (including accrued interest) at December 31, 2006 and 2007 were \$772,713 and \$785,650, respectively, and are reflected in research and development sponsorship payable in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. The current portion represents the minimum annual payment under the Workout Agreement, while the remaining balance is reflected as non-current as the Company does not expect to be required to make additional payments during the next twelve months.

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

North Carolina Agricultural and Technical State University (“NCAT”)

The Company acquired this sublicense in its purchase of Monitoring. The license allows the Company to utilize technology covered through two patents licensed to NCAT. Under the license, the Company is required to support collaborative research under the direction of the actual inventor of the patented processes and to deliver to NCAT within three months of the effective date of the license a report indicating the Company’s plans for commercializing the subject technology.

In partial consideration for the license, the Company must pay to NCAT a royalty equal to 3.5% of net sales of licensed products sold by the Company, its affiliates and from sublicensees. In the case of sub-licensees, the Company must pay NCAT 25% of any income, revenue, or other financial consideration received on any sublicense including but not limited to, advance payments, license issue fees, license maintenance fees, and option fees. Minimum royalties are due as follows:

Year beginning

August 2, 2009	\$30,000
August 2, 2010	\$30,000
August 2, 2011 and	\$50,000
each year thereafter	

The license remains in full force for the life of the last-to-expire patent. The license can be terminated by the Company by giving 90-day written notice and thereupon stop the manufacturing, use, or sale of any product developed under the license. In addition, the license terminates if the Company defaults under the royalty provisions of the license or files for bankruptcy protection.

ISIS Innovation Limited (“ISIS”)

In the 2007 acquisition of SATI, the Company acquired a license to develop and market the patented process known as “X-Ray diffraction method.” Under the terms of the exclusive license with ISIS Innovation Limited, the licensor was granted back the right to utilize the process on a perpetual, royalty-free basis. The licensee is responsible for all costs associated with maintaining and protecting the patent. In the case of sub-licensees, the Company must pay ISIS 25% of any income, revenue, or other financial consideration received on any sublicense including but not limited to, advance payments, license issue fees, license maintenance fees, and option fees, In addition, a 2.5% royalty on net sales is due with minimum royalties as follows:

F-31

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

Year beginning

January 29, 2010	\$21,000
January 29, 2011	\$32,000
January 29, 2012	\$42,000

Iowa State University Research Foundation (“ISURF”)

In the 2007 acquisition of NATI, the Company acquired a license to develop and market the patented process known as “Nondestructive evaluation and stimulate industrial innovation.” Under the terms of the non-exclusive license with ISURF, the Company is required to develop products for sale in the commercial market and to provide ISURF with a development plan and bi-annual development report until the first commercial product sale. The Company has the right to sublicense the patented process to third companies, but is required to pay a royalty fee of 25% of amounts earned by the Company under the sublicenses. For each product sold under the license, the Company is required to pay ISURF a royalty equal to 3% of the selling price with the following minimum royalty payments:

Year beginning

January 1, 2009	\$10,000
January 1, 2010	\$20,000
January 1, 2011 and each year thereafter	\$30,000

NOTE 8 – NOTES PAYABLE

On May 27, 1994, the Company borrowed \$25,000 from a shareholder. The loan is evidenced by a promissory note bearing interest at 6.5%. The note is secured by the Company’s patents and matured on May 31, 2002. The loan has not been paid and is now in default. As additional consideration for the loan, the Company granted to the shareholder a 1% royalty interest in the Fatigue Fuse and a 0.5% royalty interest in EFS (see Note 10). The balance due on this loan as of December 31, 2006 and 2007 was \$55,138 and \$56,761. Interest charged to operations for 2006 and 2007 was \$1,623 and \$1,623, respectively.

In October 1996, the Company borrowed \$25,000 from an unrelated third party. The loan bears interest at an annual rate of 11% and matured on October 15, 2000. The Company issued warrants to the lender for the purchase of one share of the Company’s common stock at a price

F-32

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

of \$300 per share. The loan was paid off April 2007. Interest charged to operations for the 2006 and 2007 amounted to \$2,752 and \$9, respectively.

On April 28, 2003, the Company borrowed \$10,000 from an unrelated third party. The loan is unsecured, non-interest bearing and due on demand.

On March 5, 2007, the Company borrowed \$200,000 from a shareholder. The loan is evidenced by an unsecured promissory note which is assessed interest at an annual rate of 8%. The note matures on March 5, 2009 when the principal and accrued interest become fully due and payable. The balance of the loan including accrued interest at December 31, 2007 is \$213,508. Interest charged to operations in 2007 amounted to \$13,508.

NOTE 9 – CONVERTIBLE DEBENTURES

Palisades

On September 23, 2003, the Company entered into a Class A Secured Convertible Debenture (the “Debentures”) with Palisades, pursuant to which Palisades agreed to loan the Company up to \$1,500,000. On December 1, 2003, after Palisades had funded \$240,000 of the original Debentures, the Company entered into additional Class A Secured Convertible Debentures with two additional investors, pursuant to which such investors would loan the Company up to \$650,000 each, and the Company agreed that Palisades would not make additional advances under the Debentures. The Company received a total of \$1,125,000 under the Debentures.

Under the Debentures, each holder has the option to convert the principal amount of all monies loaned under the Debentures, together with accrued interest, into common stock of the Company at the lesser of (i) 50% of the average ten closing prices for the Company’s common stock for the ten days immediately preceding the conversion date or (ii) \$0.10 (the lesser of the two being referred to as the “Conversion Price”). In addition, the Debentures provide that in the event the conversion price is less than \$0.10 per share when the holder elects to convert, the Company would have the right, and any time during the 75 days following the date of the holder’s notice of conversion, to prepay all or a portion of the Debentures that have been requested to be converted and the Company would therefore not be required to issue the conversion shares.

Since the Debentures allow the holders to convert the outstanding principal amount into shares of the Company’s common stock at a discount to fair value, the Company recorded the fair value of the conversion feature of \$1,125,000 in 2004.

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

The Company's CEO entered into a voting agreement and irrevocable proxy, which provides that as of September 23, 2006, if an event of default (as defined in the Debentures) continues for a period of not more than 30 days, all Class B common stock which the CEO owns of record, or becomes the owner of record in the future will be voted in accordance with the direction of a third party named in the Debentures (an affiliate of Palisades) or his designated successor. This loss of the CEO's voting rights would affect a change in the voting control of the Company.

In August 2006, the Company issued Palisades 8,333 shares of its common stock in exchange for reducing the balance due on the debenture by \$100,000. In addition during 2006, Palisades paid two consultants on behalf of the Company a total of \$249,610 which increased the balance due accordingly. In addition, in September 2006, the parties agreed to increase the total obligation due on the debenture (including accrued interest) from \$1,581,470 to \$2,000,000 as a result of Palisades' payment on behalf of the Company. The increase of \$418,530 was charged to interest expense.

The Debentures bear interest at an annual rate of 10%, are secured by substantially all assets of the Company and were scheduled to mature on December 31, 2006, when all principal and accrued interest was payable.

On October 27, 2006, the Company entered into a series of agreements with Palisades, whereby the Company extended the due date on over \$2,100,000 (including accrued interest) in debentures for two years from December 31, 2006 to December 31, 2008. Pursuant to the terms of a settlement agreement and general release, the Company agreed to:

1. Release each of the debenture holders from all liability arising prior to October 27, 2006;
2. Effectuate a 1-for-300 reverse stock split of the Company's Class A common stock;
3. Issue warrants to purchase an aggregate of 35,000,000 post-split shares of the Company's Class A common stock at an exercise price of \$0.001 per share;
4. Issue up to 30,000,000 post-split shares of the Company's Class A common stock to the Company's CEO, as consideration for the receipt of a general release from him and execution of a new employment agreement (Note 12);
5. Issue up to 40,000,000 post-split shares of the Company's Class A common stock to certain third-parties designated by the Company's CEO; and
6. Execute an amendment to each of the outstanding debentures held by the debenture holders to:

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

- o Extend the due date to December 31, 2008,
- o Increase the principal balance by 15%,
- o Maintain the conversion price at the lower of \$0.10 or 50% of the market price after the reverse stock split,
 - o Limit the number of shares the Company can issue pursuant to a registration statement on Form S-8,
- o Eliminate the 75-day waiting requirement between the time the Company receives a notice of conversion and the time the Company must deliver the applicable shares,
 - o Confirm that a default under one of the debentures will be considered a default under all of them,
- o Deposit 9.9% of the Company's issued and outstanding stock with an escrow agent to deliver upon a conversion by the debenture holders, and to maintain that balance with the escrow agent,
- o Limit the conversion so that no holder may own more than 4.99% of the Company's outstanding Class A common stock at any one time, and
 - o Add \$60,000 to the principal balance owed.

As a result of the settlement agreement and general release, the Company assessed the debt modification under EITF 96-19, Debtor's Accounting for a Modification or Exchange of Debt Instruments and determined that the modification resulted in a debt extinguishment. The Company recorded \$831,035 as a gain on the modification of debt during 2006.

During 2007, \$1,005,000 of indebtedness was cancelled in exchange for the issuance of 10,050,000 shares of the Company's common stock. In addition, the indebtedness was increased during the year by \$1,100,000 in exchange for the settlement on behalf of the Company of obligations it owed to various consultants. In these transactions, the Company charged to operations consulting fees totaling \$4,050,000 with an offset to equity of the same amount. The \$4,050,000 represents the value of the shares issued in excess of the agreed upon increase in indebtedness.

The balance of the Debenture, including accrued interest, at December 31, 2006 and 2007 was \$105,266 (net of unamortized discount of \$2,421,113), and \$1,903,143 (net of unamortized discount of \$993,233), respectively. Interest charged to operation in on the face amount of the debentures in 2006 was \$1,046,307 (including \$418,530 of interest charged in the debt restructuring and \$483,671 in interest charged in the reduction in the discount for conversion of indebtedness). In 2007, interest charged to operations amounted to \$274,998 (including a reduction in the discount for conversion of indebtedness of \$183,482) Amortization expense of the discount also charged to operations as interest expense in 2006 and 2007 amounted to \$399,420 and \$1,427,880, respectively.

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

Per EITF 00-19, paragraph 4, these convertible debentures do not meet the definition of a “conventional convertible debt instrument” since the debt is not convertible into a fixed number of shares. The debt can be converted into common stock at a conversion price that is a percentage of the market price; therefore the number of shares that could be required to be delivered upon “net-share settlement” is essentially indeterminate. Therefore, the convertible debenture is considered “non-conventional,” which means that the conversion feature must be bifurcated from the debt and shown as a separate derivative liability.

In addition, since the convertible debenture is convertible into an indeterminate number of shares of common stock, it is assumed that the Company could never have enough authorized and unissued shares to settle the conversion of the warrants into common stock. Therefore, the warrants issued in connection with this transaction are shown as a liability.

At December 31, 2006 and 2007, the fair value of the warrant and conversion derivative liabilities were \$44,258,479 and \$10,871,177, respectively.

GGI

To obtain funding for ongoing operations, the Company entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement (the “SPA”) and various amendments to the SPA with Golden Gate Investors, Inc. (“GGI”) on December 16, 2005 for the sale of (i) \$40,000 in unsecured convertible debentures (the “Notes”) and (ii) warrants to purchase 13,333 shares of the Company’s common stock.

The Notes bear interest at 5.25% per annum, mature three years from the date of issuance and are convertible into the number of shares of the Company’s common stock equal to the dollar amount of the Notes being converted multiplied by 110, less the product of the conversion formula multiplied by 100 times the dollar amount of the Notes being converted, which is divided by the conversion formula. The conversion formula is the lesser of (i) \$210, (ii) 80% (the “Discount Multiplier”) of the average of the three lowest volume weighted average prices during the twenty trading days prior to the conversion or (iii) 80% of the volume weighted average price on the trading day prior to the conversion. Accordingly, there is no limit on the number of shares into which the Notes may be converted. The Company agreed to register the shares that may be issued upon conversion of the Notes and exercise of the related warrants.

Beginning in the first full calendar month after the registration statement is declared effective, GGI had agreed to convert at least 5%, but no more than 10% of the face value of the Notes into shares of the Company’s common stock. If GGI converts more than 5% of the Notes in any calendar month, the excess over 5% shall be credited against the subsequent month’s minimum conversion amount. If GGI fails to convert at least 5% of the face amount of the Notes in any given calendar month, GGI will not be entitled to collect interest on the Notes for that month. If the volume weighted average price of the Company’s common stock is below \$60, the Company

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

shall have the right to prepay that portion of the Notes that GGI is required to convert, plus any accrued but unpaid interest at 130% of such amount. If at any time during the calendar month, the volume weighted average price is below \$30, GGI shall not be obligated to convert any portion of the Notes during that month.

Beginning in the first full month after the registration statement is declared effective, GGI agreed to exercise at least 5%, but no more than 10%, of the warrants per calendar month at an exercise price of \$327 per share. If GGI exercises more than 5% of warrants in any calendar month, the excess over 5% shall be credited against the subsequent month's minimum exercise amount. If GGI fails to exercise at least 5% of the warrants in any given calendar month, GGI would not be entitled to collect interest on the Notes for that month. The warrants are exercisable through the maturity date of December 16, 2008.

At any time prior to the registration statement being declared effective, GGI may demand repayment of 130% of the principal amount of the Notes, plus all accrued and unpaid interest thereon, in cash within 10 days of such demand. Additionally, the Company would be required to issue and pay to GGI 167 shares of common stock and \$15,000 in cash for each 30-day period, or portion thereof, that the Registration Statement is not effective. The cash payment increases to \$20,000 for each 30-day period, or portion thereof, after the first 90-day period.

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

In the event the Company breaches any representation or warranty in the SPA, the Company is required to pay in cash, 130% of the then outstanding principal balance of the Notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest.

For a period of one year after the effective date of the SPA, GGI agreed to restrict its ability to convert its Notes or exercise its warrants and receive shares of the Company's common stock such that the number of shares of common stock held by them in the aggregate and their affiliates after such conversion or exercise does not exceed 9.99% of the then issued and outstanding shares of common stock.

The Notes include certain features that are considered embedded derivative financial instruments, such as the conversion feature, events of default and a variable liquidated damages clause. These features are described below, as follows:

The Notes' conversion feature is identified as an embedded derivative and has been bifurcated and recorded on the Company's balance sheet at its fair value;

The SPA includes a penalty provision based on any failure to meet registration requirements for shares issuable under the conversion of the Notes or exercise of the warrants, which represents an embedded derivative, but such derivative has a de minimus value and has not been recorded in the accompanying consolidated financial statements; and

The SPA contains certain events of default including not having adequate shares registered to effectuate allowable conversions; in that event, the Company is required to pay a conversion default payment at 130% of the then outstanding principal balance on the Notes, which is identified as an embedded derivative, but such derivative has a de minimus value and has not been recorded in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

During 2006, the Company received an additional advance of \$50,000.

In conjunction with the Notes, the Company issued warrants to purchase 13,333 shares of common stock. The accounting treatment of the derivatives and warrants requires that the Company record the warrants at their fair values as of the inception date of the agreement, which totaled \$326,600.

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

The initial fair value assigned to the embedded derivatives and warrants was \$5,957,188. The Company recorded the first \$40,000 of fair value of the derivatives and warrants to debt discount (equal to the total proceeds received as of December 31, 2005), which will be amortized to interest expense over the term of the Notes. Amortization expense charged to operations during 2006 and 2005 was \$13,333 and \$0. The remaining balance of \$5,917,188 was recorded as interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2005.

In May 2006, the Company entered into an addendum to the GGI Notes. Per the terms of the agreement, the debenture amount was increased from \$40,000 to \$1,000,000, and upon notification that the registration statement for the Conversion Shares (as defined in the agreement) has been filed with the SEC, GGI shall advance the Company an additional \$20,000. Additionally, upon the effective registration of the underlying shares, the Company shall issue 66,667 registered shares to be held in escrow and GGI shall transfer the Company the remaining debenture balance. The agreement modified the terms of the conversion as follows:

the number of shares into which the Notes maybe converted is equal to the dollar amount of the Notes being converted divided by the conversion formula;

eliminates the provision that if the volume weighted average price is less than \$30 that GGI shall not be obligated to convert any portion of the Notes during that month;

if GGI elects to convert a portion of the Notes and, on the day that the election is made, the volume weighted average price is below the lesser of: (i) \$15, or (ii) the lowest price at which any of the 66,667 additional shares are issued or sold, the Company shall have the option to do one of the following: (a) redeem that portion of the Notes that GGI elected to convert, plus any accrued interest, at 108% of such amount, or (b) increase the discount multiplier to 99% on that portion of Notes that GGI elected to convert, or (c) one time during any six-month period, not permit any Notes to be converted by GGI for a period of 60 days; and

If GGI elects to convert a portion of the Notes and, on that day the election is made, the volume weighted average price is \$96 or higher, the Discount Multiplier shall be 72%.

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

The original 13,333 warrants issued have been cancelled. In May 2006 and in connection with the modification of the GGI Notes, the Company issued to GGI 166,667 warrants to purchase common stock at a price of \$3 per share, provided, however, in no event will the exercise price be lower or higher than the lowest price at which the Company sells any common stock (through direct issuance, conversion of debentures, etc, but not including stock issued for services) during the 30 days prior to the exercise date. GGI has agreed to exercise the warrant shares at a rate of at least 4,167 shares per week once the registration statement has been declared effective. Also, beginning in the first full calendar month after the registration of the underlying shares is declared effective, GGI must convert at least 10%, but no more than 40%, of the face value of the Notes per calendar month into common shares of the Company, provided that the common shares are available, registered and freely tradable. The Company may reduce the monthly maximum figure from 40% to 6% for any three calendar months (but not two consecutive calendar months) during the term of Notes by giving written notice at least 10 business days prior to the first applicable month. GGI and the Company shall enter into three additional \$1,000,000 convertible debentures, each with the same terms as above. The agreement also allows the Company to register up to an additional 66,667 shares for sale or issuance to parties other than GGI in the registration statement.

As a result of the modification of the debt, the Company recognized a gain on the debt extinguishment for the difference between the fair value of the Notes and warrant and derivative liabilities immediately before the modification and after the modification as part of the change in fair value of derivative and warrant liabilities.

The balance of the Debenture, including accrued interest, at December 31, 2006 and 2007 was \$63,894 (net of unamortized discount of \$26,667) and \$78,051 (net of unamortized discount of \$13,333), respectively. Interest expense on the Debentures for the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, excluding amortization of the discount, was \$1,202 and \$1,191, respectively. Interest expense on the Debentures for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, excluding amortization of the discount, was \$3,357 and \$2,456, respectively. Amortization of the discount for 2006 and 2007 which was charged to operations as interest expense amounted to \$13,333 and \$13,333, respectively.

At December 31, 2006 and 2007, the fair value of the warrant and conversion derivative liabilities were \$218,061 and \$26,746, respectively.

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

NOTE 10 – COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Royalties

On December 24, 1985, to provide funding for research and development of the Fatigue Fuse, the Company entered into various agreements with the Tensiodyne 1985-I R & D Partnership (the “Partnership.”) These agreements were amended on October 9, 1989, and under the revised terms, obligated the Company to pay the Partnership a royalty of 10% of future gross sales. In September 2006, the Company issued 3,333 (post split) shares of its common stock in exchange for the cancellation of the royalty obligation.

On August 30, 1986, the Company entered into a funding agreement with the Advanced Technology Center (“ATC”), whereby ATC paid \$45,000 to the Company for the purchase of a royalty of 3% of future gross sales and 6% of sublicense revenue. In September 2006, the Company issued 3,333 (post split) shares of its common stock in exchange for the cancellation of the royalty obligation.

On May 4, 1987, the Company entered into another funding agreement with ATC, whereby ATC provided \$63,775 to the Company for the purchase of a royalty of 3% of future gross sales and 6% of sublicense revenues. The agreement was amended August 28, 1987, and as amended, the royalty cannot exceed the lesser of (1) the amount of the advance plus a 26% annual rate of return or, (2) total royalties earned for a term of 17 years.

During 2006, ATC and the Company entered into a settlement agreement whereby in consideration for 3,334 shares of common stock, ATC cancelled its rights to any and all royalties on future Company sales. The 3,334 shares were valued at \$40,000 (based on the market price of the underlying stock on the date of grant) and charged to other expense as royalty settlement expense.

In 1994, the Company issued to Variety Investments, Ltd. of Vancouver, Canada (“Variety”) a 22.5% royalty interest on the Fatigue Fuse in consideration for the cash advances made to the Company by Variety. In December 1996, in exchange for the Company issuing one share of its common stock to Variety, Variety reduced its royalty interest to 20%. In 1998, in exchange for the Company issuing two shares of its common stock to Variety, Variety reduced its royalty interest to 5%.

As discussed in Note 8, the Company granted a 1% royalty interest in the Company's Fatigue Fuse and a 0.5% royalty interest in EFS to a shareholder as partial consideration on a \$25,000 loan made by the shareholder to the Company.

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

A summary of royalty interests that the Company has granted and are outstanding as of December 31, 2007 follows:

	Fatigue	Fuse	EFS	Server Array System	X-Ray Diffraction Method	Nondestructive evaluation and stimulate industrial innovation
Tensiodyne 1985-1 R&D* Partnership	-	-	-	-	-	-
Variety Investments, Ltd.	5.00%	-	-	-	-	-
University of Pennsylvania (see Note 7)						
Net sales of l i c e n s e d products	-	7.00%	-	-	-	-
Net sales of services	-	2.50%	-	-	-	-
N C A T (see Note 7)						
Net sales of l i c e n s e d products	-	-	3.50%	-	-	-
Sublicensing income	-	-	25.00%	-	-	-
ISIS (see Note 7)						
Net sales of l i c e n s e d products	-	-	-	2.5%	-	-
Sublicensing income	-	-	-	25.00%	-	-
ISURF (see Note 7)						
Net sales of l i c e n s e d products	-	-	-	-	-	3.0%
Sublicensing income	-	-	-	-	-	25.00%
Shareholder	1.00%	0.50%	-	-	-	-

* Royalties cancelled through issuance of shares of
Company's common stock (See Note 11).

Litigation

In July 2002, the Company settled a lawsuit related to a contract dispute with Mr. Stephen Beck. In March 2006, Mr. Beck filed a lawsuit against the Company alleging breach of contract related to the lawsuit settlement and sought approximately \$135,000 in damages, plus the issuance of 12,989 shares of the Company's common stock entitled, plus interest. During the three months ended June 30, 2006, the Company issued Mr. Beck 4,011 shares of its common stock related to ongoing negotiations with Mr. Beck. The value of the shares issued to Mr. Beck was \$173,244 and has been included in other income and expenses in the accompanying statement of operations.

F-42

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

In December 2006, the Company entered into a settlement agreement and release agreement, as well as irrevocable escrow instructions, to settle the lawsuit filed on March 8, 2006. As consideration under the settlement, the Company issued 5,000,000 shares of its common stock to Mr. Beck, with the shares to be held by an escrow agent and distributed to Mr. Beck monthly with a trading limit equal to 8% of the previous month's trading volume of the Company's common stock, until Mr. Beck has received a total of \$800,000. As the Company has guaranteed this debt to Mr. Beck in the amount of \$800,000, the Company originally recorded a liability for this amount at the time of the settlement. As Mr. Beck receives proceeds from the sale of his shares into the market, the Company is reducing its guarantee by that amount. Additionally during 2006, Mr. Beck was paid \$44,000 in cash as part of the settlement. Mr. Beck also had anti-dilution rights on those shares to maintain his percentage ownership for an agreed-upon period of 21 months. The Company issued another 5,000,000 shares to Mr. Beck to be held in escrow until the conditions are met with respect to the anti-dilution shares. On December 27, 2006, the Company issued 751,193 shares pursuant to the anti-dilution provision in the Beck settlement arrangement. On April 6, 2007, the Company issued Mr. Beck an additional 1,443,439 shares pursuant to the anti-dilution agreement. As of December 31, 2007, the Company's guarantee to Mr. Beck is \$230,000. During 2007, the Company paid Mr. Beck \$68,588 and Mr. Beck received approximately \$501,442 in proceeds from the sale of Company stock. The Company is recognizing to income the proceeds received by Mr. Beck on the sale the stock he owns in the Company. In addition, at December 31, 2007, the Company accrued an additional \$173,024 to Mr. Beck which was charged against equity and paid in 2008.

The Company has also been named as a defendant in a lawsuit alleging breach of contract due to the Company's failure to pay certain amounts due to a consultant for services. The Company asserts that the contract was unenforceable due to a number of factors. Legal counsel has advised the Company that it is premature to estimate the outcome or the range of damages that may occur if the case is not settled in the Company's favor.

In the ordinary course of business, the Company may be from time to time involved in other various pending or threatened legal actions. The litigation process is inherently uncertain and it is possible that the resolution of such matters might have a material adverse effect upon the Company's financial condition and results of operations. However, in the opinion of management, matters currently pending or threatened against the Company are not expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

Indemnities and Guarantees

During the normal course of business, the Company has made certain indemnities and guarantees under which it may be required to make payments in relation to certain transactions. These indemnities include certain agreements with the Company's officers under which the Company may be required to indemnify such person for liabilities arising out of their employment

relationship. They also include indemnities made to the holders of the convertible debentures, Mr. Beck, with regards to his settlement with the Company, and the sellers of investments in securities. The duration of these indemnities and guarantees varies, and in certain cases, is indefinite. The majority of these indemnities and guarantees do not provide for any limitation of the maximum potential future payments the Company would be obligated to make. Historically, the Company has not been obligated to make significant payments for these obligations and no liability has been recorded for these indemnities and guarantees in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

NOTE 11 – STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Class A Preferred Stock

The holders of the Class A convertible preferred stock have a liquidation preference of \$720 per share. Such amounts shall be paid on all outstanding Class A preferred shares before any payment shall be made or any assets distributed to the holders of the common stock or any other stock of any other series or class ranking junior to the shares as to dividends or assets.

These shares are convertible to shares of the Company's common stock at a conversion price of \$0.72 ("initial conversion price") per share of Class A preferred stock that will be adjusted depending upon the occurrence of certain events. The holders of these preferred shares shall have the right to vote and cast that number of votes which the holder would have been entitled to cast had such holder converted the shares immediately prior to the record date for such vote. The holders of these shares shall participate in all dividends declared and paid with respect to the common stock to the same extent had such holder converted the shares immediately prior to the record date for such dividend.

Class B Preferred Stock

The Company has designated 15 shares of Class B preferred stock, of which no shares have been issued. The holders of Class B preferred shares are entitled to a liquidation preference of \$10,000 per share. Such amounts shall be paid on all outstanding Class B preferred shares before any payment shall be made or any assets distributed to the holders of common stock or of any other stock of any series or class junior to the shares as to dividends or assets,

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

but junior to Class A preferred shareholders. Holders of Class B preferred shares are not entitled to any liquidation distributions in excess of \$10,000 per share.

The shares are redeemable by the holder or the Company at \$10,000 per share. The holders of these shares shall have the right to vote at one vote per Class B preferred share and shall participate in all common stock dividends declared and paid according to a formula as defined in the series designation.

Class C Preferred Stock

Each shareholder of Class C preferred stock is entitled to receive a cumulative dividend of 8% per annum for a period of two years. Dividends do not accrue or are payable except out of earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization. At June 30, 2007, no dividends are payable to Class C preferred shareholders. Holders of the Class C preferred stock are junior to holders of the Company's Class A and B preferred stock, but hold a higher position than common shareholders in terms of liquidation rights. Holders of Class C preferred stock have no voting rights. Holders of Class C preferred stock have the right to convert their shares to common stock on a 300-to-1 basis.

The Company requires an approval of at least two-thirds of the holders of Class C preferred shareholders to alter or change their rights or privileges by way of a reverse stock split, reclassification, merger, consolidation or otherwise, so as to adversely affect the manner by which the shares of Class C preferred stock are converted into common shares.

Class D Preferred Stock

Holders of Class D preferred stock have a \$0.001 liquidation preference, no voting rights and are junior to holders of all classes of preferred stock but senior to common shareholders in terms of liquidation rights. Class D preferred stockholders are entitled to dividends as declared by the Company's Board of Directors, which have not been declared as of June 30, 2007. Holders of Class D preferred stock have the right to convert their shares to common stock on a 300-to-1 basis. As of June 30, 2007, there were no Class D Preferred shares outstanding.

Class E Convertible Preferred Stock

On January 26, 2007, the Company amended its certificate of incorporation by filing a certificate of designation of rights, preferences, privileges and restrictions of the Company's Class E convertible preferred stock. The Company authorized 60,000 shares, each with an original issue price of \$19.50 per share. In each calendar quarter, the holders of the then outstanding Class E Convertible Preferred Stock are entitled to receive non-cumulative dividends in an amount equal to 5% of the original purchase price per annum. All dividends may be accrued by the Company

F-45

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

until converted into common shares. After one year from the issuance date, the holders of Class E convertible preferred stock have the right to convert the preferred shares held into shares of the Company's common stock at the average closing bid price of the ten days prior to the date of conversion. Class E Preferred shares have no liquidation preference, and has ten votes per share.

In connection with the acquisition of SATI, the Company issued 50,000 shares of Class E convertible preferred which were valued at the original purchase price of \$19.50 per share. The Company also issued an additional 5,000 shares to a consultant in connection with the SATI acquisition, which were valued at \$97,500 and charged to equity as costs of the acquisition.

Class A Common Stock

The holders of the Company's Class A common stock are entitled to one vote per share of common stock held.

During 2007, the Company issued 64,390,000 and cancelled 10,468,114 shares of its common stock.

During 2006, the Company issued 71,956,601 and cancelled 8,129 shares of its common stock.

From time to time, the Company issues its common shares and holds the shares in escrow on behalf of another party until consummation of certain transactions. The following is a reconciliation of shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2007:

Issued shares	546,173,718
Less shares held in escrow:	
Shares issued to the Company and held in escrow	(4,014,897)
Shares held in escrow pursuant to agreement debenture	
Holders	(8,000,000)
Shares held as collateral for potential debt financing	(400,000,000)
Contingent shares held related to the Beck settlement	
for antidilution purposes (see Note 10)	(7,805,368)
Other	(6,000)
	(419,826,265)
Outstanding shares (including shares committed)	126,347,453

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

Class B Common Stock

The holders of the Company's Class B common stock are not entitled to dividends, nor are they entitled to participate in any proceeds in the event of a liquidation of the Company. However, the holders are entitled to 600,000 votes for each share of Class B common stock held.

Common Shares Issued for Non Cash Consideration

The value assigned to shares issued for services were charged to operations in the period issued.

2007

On January 9, 2007, the Company issued 20,000 shares of its common stock for services rendered in connection with its bridge testing which were valued at \$46,000. On January 9, 2007, the Company issued 5,000 shares of its common stock for legal services valued at \$11,500. On January 10, 2007, the Company issued 1,800,000 shares in consideration for the cancellation of \$180,000 of convertible debt. On January 16, 2007, the Company issued 20,000 shares of its common stock to two consultants for services rendered valued at \$45,000. On January 22, 2007, the Company issued 30,000 shares of its common stock to two consultants for services rendered valued at \$58,500. On February 1, 2007, the Company issued 10,000 shares of its common stock to a consultant for services rendered valued at \$20,500. On February 2, 2007, the Company issued 4,000,000 shares in consideration for the cancellation of \$400,000 of convertible debt. On February 7, 2007, the Company issued 15,000 shares of its common stock for legal services in connection with its private offering valued at \$33,750. On February 14, 2007, the Company issued 20,000 shares of its common stock for services rendered in connection with its bridge testing which were valued at \$44,000. On February 28, 2007, the Company issued 350,000 shares of its common stock to two consultants for services rendered subject to three year lock up agreement which were valued at \$507,500. Also on February 28, 2007, the Company issued 300,000 shares of its common stock for accounting services subject to a three year lockup agreement which were valued at \$435,000. On March 2, 2007, the Company issued 26,000 shares of its common stock for services rendered in connection with its bridge testing which were valued at \$41,600. On March 2, 2007, the Company issued 20,000 shares of its common stock to two consultants for services rendered valued at \$32,000. On March 6, 2007, the Company issued 1,002,000 shares of its common stock to two consultants subject to three year lockup agreements for services rendered valued at \$641,280. On March 9, 2007, the Company issued 56.667 shares of its common stock to two consultants subject to three year lockup agreements for services rendered valued at \$71,967. On March 12, 2007, the Company issued 150,000 shares of its common stock to a consultant subject to three year lockup agreement for services rendered valued at \$217,500. On March 16, 2007, the Company issued 3,333 shares of its common stock to a consultant subject to three year lockup agreement for services rendered valued at \$4,963. On March 19, 2007, 50,000 shares of common stock were returned and

F-47

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

subsequently cancelled. On March 19, 2007, the Company issued 50,000 shares of its common stock to a consultant subject to three year lockup agreement for services rendered valued at \$77,500. On March 27, 2007, the Company issued 169,300 shares of its common stock to two consultants subject to three year lockup agreements for services rendered valued at \$279,345.

On April 6, 2007, the Company issued Mr. Stephen Beck 1,446,439 shares of its common stock pursuant to the anti-dilution provision of his settlement agreement with the Company. On April 9, 2007, the Company cancelled 9,040,000 shares that it held in reserve for future financing. These shares were originally considered issued but not outstanding. On April 17, 2007, the Company issued 6,693 shares of its common stock subject to a three year lockup agreement and valued at \$10,040. On April 20, 2007, the Company issued 405,000 shares of its common stock in connection with the exercise of warrants to purchase 2,250,000 shares of the Company's common stock,. The 405,000 shares were valued at \$587,250 and charged against equity. On April 25, 2007, the Company issued 2,261 shares of its common stock subject to a three year lockup agreement and valued at \$3,346. On April 27, 2007, the Company issued 30,000 of its common stock shares to a consultant subject to a 2 year lock up agreement and valued at \$45,000. On April 27, 2007, the Company issued 10,000,000 of its common stock in exchange for 3,000,000 common shares of Rocket City Automotive Group, Inc which were valued at \$13,832,000. On April 27, 2007, the Company issued 7,500,000 shares of its common stock in exchange for 100% of the outstanding stock in Damage Assessment Technologies, Inc. The 7,500,000 shares were valued at \$11,250,000 of which \$11,000,000 was expensed as an impairment loss. On May 3, 2007, the Company issued 1,250,000 shares of its common stock to an officer for consultant on the Company's technology subject to a three year lockup agreement and valued at \$1,837,500. Also on May 3, 2007, the Company issued a total of 2,450,000 shares of its common stock to 3 consultants subject to three year lockup agreements and valued at \$3,601,500. On May 3, 2007, the Company issued 750,000 shares of its common stock to a consultant involved in the Company's acquisition of Damage Assessment Technologies, Inc. valued at \$1,102,500 which was charged against equity. On May 11, 2007, the Company issued 304,000 shares of its common stock for investment relations services pursuant to the terms of the agreement with the consultant. The 304,000 shares were valued at \$456,000. On May 14, 2007, 350,000 shares of the Company's common stock were returned to treasury and cancelled. On May 21, 2007, the Company issued 644,000 shares of its common stock pursuant to the anti-dilution provision of an agreement the Company has with a consultant. On June 11, 2007, the Company issued 4,000 shares of its common stock pursuant to the terms of the agreement the Company has with an investment relations firm valued at \$5,600. On June 11, 2007, the Company issued 250,000 shares of its common stock to a consultant valued at \$350,000. On June 19, 2007, the Company issued 2,250,000 shares of its common stock in exchange for the cancellation of \$225,000 of debt owed on certain convertible notes. On June 21, 2007, the Company issued 1,000,000 shares to a consultant subject to a 3 year lockup agreement valued at \$1,070,000. On June 26, 2007, the Company issued 100,000,000 shares of its common stock for future financing. On June 27, 2007, the Company issued 6,412,500 shares of its common stock in

F-48

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

exchange for 100% of the outstanding stock of Non-Destructive Assessment Technologies, Inc (“NDTAI”). The 6,412,500 shares were valued at \$7,630,875 of which \$7,380,875 was charged to operations as an impairment loss. As part of the agreement to purchase NDATI, the Company issued 337,500 shares of its common stock to a consultant valued at \$64,463, which was charged against equity.

On July 17, 2007, the Company issued 264,810 shares of its common stock to Mr. Stephen Beck pursuant to the anti-dilution provision of Mr. Beck’s settlement agreement. The 264,810 shares were valued at par. On July 17, 2007, the Company issued 4,000 shares of its common stock to an investment relations firm for services valued at \$4,200. On July 20, 2007, the Company issued 106,500 shares of its common stock to a consultant valued at \$106,500. On July 27, 2007, the Company issued 100,000,000 shares in its name which it is holding for future financing. On July 30, 2007, the Company issued 250,000 shares of its common stock to a consultant valued at \$260,000. On July 30, 2007, the Company issued 4,000 shares of its common stock to an investment relations firm for services valued at \$4,160. On August 24, 2007, the Company issued 950,000 shares of its common stock to two consultants valued at \$988,000. On August 27, 2007, the Company issued 8,670 shares of its common stock to a consultant for services valued at \$8,583. On September 11, 2007, the Company issued 290,000 shares of its common stock for legal services valued at \$208,800. On September 19, 2007, the Company issued 2,000,000 shares of its common stock in exchange for the cancellation of \$200,000 of debt owed on certain convertible notes. On September 19, 2007, the Company issued 4,000 shares of its common stock to an investment relations firm for services valued at \$2,680. On September 21, 2007, the Company issued 250,000 shares of its common stock to two consultants valued at \$167,500. On September 24, 2007, the Company issued 1,000,000 shares of its common stock to a consultant valued at \$670,000. On September 26, 2007, the Company issued 240,000 shares of its common stock to a consultant valued at \$165,600. On September 28, 2007, the Company issued 1,500,000 to its chief engineer as part consideration in the acquisition of Bridge Testing Concepts, Inc., a corporation wholly owned by chief engineer. The Company deemed the acquisition as a related party transaction and valued the 1,500,000 shares at par. On September 28, 2007, the Company issued 675,000 shares of its common stock to a consultant valued at \$540,000.

On October 1, 2007, the Company issued 400,000 shares of its common stock to an employee. The shares vest over three years and were valued at \$288,000. The Company charged the \$288,000 to operations over the three year vesting period. On October 2, 2007, the Company issued 76,300 shares of its common stock to a consultant for services valued at \$51,121. On October 4, 2007, certain shareholders returned 18,114 shares of the Company’s common stock which were subsequently cancelled. On October 12, 2007, the Rocket City Automotive returned the 10,000,000 shares of the Company’s common stock which was subsequently cancelled. On December 6, 2007, the Company issued 62,500 shares of its common stock in connection with a private offering. The 62,500 shares were valued at \$26,250 which was charged against

F-49

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

the proceeds received. On December 10, 2007, the Company issued 250,000 shares to one of its advisors for services valued at \$155,000. On December 12, 2007, the Company issued 200,000,000 shares in its name for future financing. On December 27, 2007, the Company issued 231,207 shares of its common stock to Mr. Beck pursuant to the anti-dilution provision of his settlement agreement with the Company.

2006

On January 5, 2006, the Company issued 950 shares of its common stock to two consultants for services valued at \$14,450. On January 10, 2006, the Company issued 4,920 shares of its common stock to three consultants for services valued at \$295,200. On January 16, 2006, the Company issued 833 shares of its common stock to a consultant for services valued at \$50,000. On January 25, 2006, the Company issued 1,333 shares of its common stock to a consultant for services valued at \$600,000. On January 25, 2006, the Company issued 4,733 shares of its common stock in exchange for the cancellation of 4,733 shares of Class D preferred stock. On February 1, 2006, the Company issued 3,333 shares of its common stock to a consultant for services valued at \$150,000. On February 8, 2006, the Company issued 1,667 shares to one of its advisors in connection to the development of its products valued at \$45,000. On February 8, 2006, the Company issued 2000 shares of its common stock to a consultant for services valued at \$90,000. On February 13, 2006, the Company issued 4,010 shares of its common stock to Mr. Stephen Beck in connection with his lawsuit valued at par. On February 22, 2006, the Company issued 167 shares of its common stock for clerical services valued at \$7,000. On February 23, 2006, the Company issued 2,334 shares of its common stock to its attorney for services valued at \$101,000. On March 1, 2006, the Company issued 167 shares of its common stock to a consultant for services valued at \$7,000. On March 14, 2006, the Company issued 13,000 shares of its common stock in connection with its private offerings. The shares were valued \$429,000 and charged to consultant expense. On March 23, 2006, the Company issued 6,667 shares of its common stock to a consultant for services rendered valued at \$420,000. On March 29, 2006, 533 shares that were originally issued were returned to the Company, as they were issued in error.

On April 28, 2006, the Company issued 167 shares to an attorney for services valued at \$13,500. On May 9, 2006, the Company issued 833 shares of its common stock to a consultant for services rendered in connection with development of its products valued at \$62,500. On May 11, 2006, the Company issued 333 shares of its common stock to a consultant for services rendered in connection with development of its products valued at \$25,000. On May 12, 2006, the Company issued 667 to three attorneys for various services rendered valued at \$48,000. On the same day, the Company issued 167 shares of its common stock to an outside accountant for services valued at \$12,000. On May 15, 2006, the Company issued 667 shares of its common stock to a consultant for services rendered in connection with development of its products valued at \$40,000. On June 5, 2006, Company issued 83 shares of its common stock to an outside

F-50

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

accountant for services valued at \$2,750. On June 13, 2006, the Company issued 667 shares of its common stock to a consultant for services rendered in connection with development of its products valued at \$20,000. On June 16, 2006, the Company issued 167 shares to an attorney for services valued at \$4,500. On June 23, 2006, the Company issued 667 shares of its common stock to a consultant for services rendered in connection with the development of its products valued at \$20,000. On June 26, 2006, the Company issued 1667 shares to a consultant for services valued at \$50,000.

On July 11, 2006, the Company issued 333 shares of its common stock to an attorney for services valued at \$9,000. On July 20, 2006, the Company issued 583 shares of its common stock to an attorney for services valued at \$14,000. On July 25, 2006, the Company issued 833 shares of its common stock to a consultant for services rendered in connection with the development of its technologies valued at \$20,000. On July 27, 2006, the Company issued 667 shares of its common stock to an attorney for services valued at \$18,000. On August 11, 2006, the Company issued 8,333 shares of its common stock in consideration for the cancellation of \$100,000 due on a convertible debenture (see Note 9). On August 15, 2006, the Company issued 878 of its common stock to a consultant involved with the prior period's Birchington transaction. The shares were valued at \$23,693 and charged to consulting expense. On August 18, 2006, the Company issued 4,444 shares of its common stock to a consultant for services for services rendered in connection with the development of its products valued at \$106,667. On August 18, 2006, the Company issued 125,436 shares of its common stock in consideration for acquiring \$500,000 in cash and licensed technology valued at \$2,134,153. The Company deemed the licensed technology impaired and charged off the \$2,134,153 to operations in 2006. (see Note 1). On August 15, 2006, the Company issued 1,000 shares of its common stock to a consultant for services rendered in connection with the development of its technologies valued at \$21,000. On August 23, 2006, the Company issued 12,543 shares of common stock to three consultants valued at \$263,411 and charged to consulting expense. On August 25, 2006, the Company issued 3,333 shares of its common stock to a consultant for services valued at \$70,000. On September 8, 2006, the Company issued 3,333 shares of its common stock to a consultant for services valued at \$40,000. On September 11, 2006, the Company issued 21,500 shares of its common stock in consideration for the cancellation of \$450,697 due on three convertible debentures (see Note 9). On September 29, 2006, the Company issued 67 shares of its common stock to an outside accountant for services valued at \$800. On September 29, 2006, the Company issued 3,333 shares of its common stock in consideration for the cancellation of royalty obligations on future sales. The 3,333 shares were valued at \$40,000 (see Note 10).

On October 11, 2006, the Company issued 600 shares of its common stock to a consultant for services rendered in connection with the public relations valued at \$7,380. On October 18, 2006, the Company issued 3,333 shares of its common stock to a consultant for services rendered valued at \$37,000. On October 18, 2006, the Company issued 67 shares of its common stock for accounting services valued at \$740. On November 6, 2006, the Company cancelled 467 shares

F-51

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

held in treasury. On November 7, 2006, the Company issued 3,333 shares for services rendered in connection with the development of its technologies valued at \$50,000. Also on November 7, 2006, the Company issued a total of 5,667 shares of its common stock to three attorneys for legal services valued at \$78,200. On November 14, 2006, the Company's CEO returned 6,300 shares of the Company's common stock in exchange for the cancellation of \$62,077 of debt which he owed the Company. The Company recognized a loss of \$25,537 on this transaction. On November 16, 2006, the Company issued 200,000 shares of its common stock in exchange for a \$20,000 reduction on the amounts due on certain convertible debentures. On November 16, 2006, the Company issued 5,000 shares of its common stock for services rendered in connection with the development of its technologies valued at \$37,500. On November 20, 2006, the Company issued 5,000 shares of its common stock for services rendered in connection with the development of its technologies valued at \$37,500. On November 21, 2008, the Company issued 30,000,000 shares of its common stock to its CEO pursuant to an employment agreement. The shares were issued pursuant to a three year vesting schedule and were valued at \$180,000,000 which is being charged to operations pro rata over the three year period. On November 28, 2006, the Company issued 100,000 shares of its common stock for services rendered valued at \$599,000. On November 29, 2006, the Company issued 10,000 shares of its common stock for services rendered valued at \$60,000. On December 5, 2006, the Company issued 5,000 shares of its common stock for services rendered valued at \$30,500. On December 11, 2006, the Company issued 10,000 shares of its common stock for services rendered in connection with the development of its technologies valued at \$51,000. On December 11, 2006, the Company issued 3,000 shares of its common stock for legal services valued at \$15,300. On December 12, 2006, the Company issued 7,500 shares of its common stock for legal services valued at \$40,500. On December 21, 2006, the Company issued 2,000 shares of its common stock for legal services valued at \$10,000. On December 21, 2006, the Company issued 10,000 shares of its common stock for consulting services valued at \$50,000. On December 22, 2006, the Company issued 10,000 shares of its common stock for legal services valued at \$50,000. On December 27, 2006, the Company issued 34,641,311 shares of its common stock to various consultants valued at \$121,244,589. On December 28, 2006, the Company issued 300,000 shares to a consultant for services rendered valued at \$1,080,000. On December 28, 2006, the Company issued 6,245,070 shares pursuant to the anti-dilution provisions in the "Monitoring" purchase agreement. The shares were valued at par.

NOTE 12 – RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

As of December 31, 2007, the Company was owed \$8,524 from its CEO. The loan is assessed interest at an annual rate of 10%. Interest credited to operations relating to this loan in 2006 and 2007 amounted to \$195 and \$1,172, respectively.

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

On October 1, 2006 the Company entered into an employment agreement with the Company's CEO, which provides certain terms and conditions with respect to the CEO's employment. The agreement is for a three year term, and the CEO will be paid an annual salary of \$250,000, with one year of paid severance if he is terminated without good cause prior to the expiration of the employment term.

On November 21, 2006, the Company entered into a stock grant and general release agreement with the Company's CEO, for the purpose of showing the Company's appreciation for the CEO's work over the past several years. Under the agreement, the CEO was issued 30,000,000 shares of the Company's Class A common stock, restricted in accordance with Rule 144, and subject to forfeiture back to the Company in accordance with the terms of the agreement, if the CEO is not employed by the Company for three years from the date of the agreement. Additionally under the terms of the agreement, the CEO released the Company from any and all claims he may have against the Company for any monies owed to him as of the date of the agreement. The value assigned to the shares issued to the CEO has been determined to be \$180,000,000 based on the Company's trading price of the shares on date of issuance. The value will be recorded as additional compensation expense over the 36 month term of the agreement. In 2006 and 2007, the Company charged to operations \$6,575,342 and \$60,000,000, respectively.

Stock Options

The Company has the following stock option plans: The 1998 Stock Plan ("the 1998 Plan"), the 2002 Stock Issuance/Stock Plan ("the 2002 Plan"), the 2003 Stock Option, SAR and Stock Bonus Consultant Plan ("the 2003 Plan"), the 2006 Non-Qualified Stock Grant and Option Plan (the "2006 Plan"), and the 2006/2007 Non-Qualified Stock Grant and Option Plan (the "2006/2007 Plan").

In September 1998, the Company adopted the 1998 Plan and reserved 2,667 shares of its common stock for grant under the plan. Eligible participants include employees, advisors, consultants and officers who provide services to the Company. The option price is 100% of the fair market value of a share of common stock at either the date of grant or such other day as the Board may determine. The plan expires upon the earlier of all reserved shares being granted or September 10, 2008.

In February 2002, the Company adopted the 2002 Plan and reserved 66,667 shares of its common stock for grant under the plan. Eligible plan participants include employees, advisors, consultants and officers who provide services to the Company. The option price is 100% of the fair market value of a share of common stock at either the date of grant or such other day as the Board may determine. The plan expires upon the earlier of all reserved shares being awarded or December 31, 2007.

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

In September 2003, the Company adopted the 2003 Plan and reserved 33,333 shares of its common stock for grants. Eligible plan participants include independent consultants. The option price shall be no less than 85% of the fair market value of a share of common stock at date of grant. The plan expires upon the earlier of all reserved shares being granted or September 23, 2006.

In April 2006, the Company adopted the 2006 Plan and reserved 100,000 shares of its common stock for grant. Eligible plan participants include independent consultants, and the Company may issue shares of stock or options may be granted at any price. The plan expires upon the earlier of all reserved shares being granted or April 18, 2016.

In December 2006, the Company adopted the 2006/2007 Plan and reserved 3,000,000 shares of its common stock for grants. Eligible plan participants include independent consultants, and the Company may issue the shares of the stock or option may be granted at any price. The plan expires upon the earlier of all reserved shares being granted or December 1, 2016.

The Company also has agreements with two consultants whereby the Company will grant options to purchase shares of its common stock upon the Company increasing its annual revenue by \$5 million in any fiscal year over its revenues in 2002. The collective number of shares to be issued will give the two consultants a 15% interest in the outstanding shares of the Company's common stock. No grants have been made pursuant to these agreements as the Company has not achieved the required revenues.

There was no activity in any of the Company's stock option plans in 2006 or 2005 and no options were outstanding as of December 31, 2007.

Stock Warrants

During the year ended December 31, 2006 the Company issued 35,000,000 warrants to Palisades as part of the Company's modification of Palisades' convertible debentures (see Note 6). The Company has valued these warrants using a market capitalization method in accordance with its established accounting policy. The value of these warrants on the date of grant was \$1,668,000 and was included as a component of the Company's derivative liability balance (see Note 6). The warrants are exercisable at a price of the lesser of: (a) \$0.001 per share; or (b) 50% of the market price on the date of exercise.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2007, the Company issued warrants to purchase a total of 4,618,334 shares of the Company's common stock. These warrants were issued in connection with the Company's private stock offering (see Note 8). On April 16, 2007, warrants were exercised to purchase 2,250,000 shares of the Company's common stock on which

F-54

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

the Company received \$1,350,000 of which \$342,000 in offering costs. On July 12, 2007, warrants were exercised to purchase 2,250,000 shares of the Company's common stock on which the Company received \$1,350,000 of which \$186,458 was paid in offering costs.

NOTE 13 – RESTATEMENT OF PREVIOUSLY ISSUED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

In valuing previous period's non-cash security transactions, the Company utilized discounts to the respective share's trading prices which it has determined are without foundation. In addition, the Company has used similar discounts in recording its derivative liabilities. Therefore, it has restated its 2005, 2006 and prior 2007 financial statements eliminating all discounts. The net effect of the restatements is as follows:

2005

Net loss – as originally reported	\$ (20,749,260)
Prior period adjustments:	
Adjust non-cash compensation	1,075,850 (1)
Adjust derivative and warrant liability to market	(2,219,826) (2)
Retained deficit – restated	\$ (21,893,236)
Basic and dilutive loss per share	
As originally reported	\$ (60.13)
As restated	\$ (63.44)

2006

Net loss as originally reported	\$ (11,575,230)
Adjust non-cash compensation to market	(125,956,640) (1)
Adjust derivative and warrant liability to market	(40,352,231) (2)
Net loss – restated	\$ (177,884,101)
Basic and dilutive loss per share	
As originally reported	\$ (10.45)
As restated	\$ (40.10)

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

NOTE 14 - SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On January 9, 2008, the Company issued 15,413 shares of its common stock in exchange for the cancelation of \$5,000 of indebtedness.

On January 9, 2008, The Company issued 425,000 shares of common stock to one individual in exchange for consulting services valued at \$187,000.

On January 14, 2008, The Company issued a total of 7,000,000 shares of common stock to two entities for investor relations services valued at \$3,150,000.

On January 16, 2008, the Company issued 45,900 shares of its common stock in exchange for the cancelation of \$15,000 of indebtedness.

On January 17, 2008, the Company issued 4,000,000 shares of its common stock in exchange for the cancelation of \$400,000 of indebtedness.

On January 22, 2008, the Company issued 61,200 shares of its common stock in exchange for the cancelation of \$400,000 of indebtedness.

On January 24, 2008, the Company issued 107,900 shares of its common stock in exchange for the cancelation of \$51,131 of indebtedness.

On January 30, 2008, the Company issued 378,491 shares of its common stock to Mr. Stephen pursuant to the anti-dilution provision of a settlement agreement.

On February 19, 2008, The Company issued 200,000 shares of common stock to one individual in exchange for services valued at \$51,000.

On February 25, 2008, The Company issued 150,000 shares of common stock to one individual in exchange for consulting services valued at \$44,000

On February 25, 2008, The Company issued 150,000 shares of common stock to one individual in exchange for consulting services valued at \$34,500.

On February 27, 2008, The Company issued 25,000 shares of common stock to one individual in exchange for consulting services valued at \$5,625.

On January 22, 2008, the Company issued 61,200 shares of its common stock in exchange for the cancelation of \$400,000 of indebtedness.

On March 26, 2008, the Company issued to Palisades the 34,500,000 shares which were held in escrow. The Company valued the 34,500,000 shares at \$1,151,900 and charged this amount against its derivative warrant liability.

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

In April 2008, the Company's Board of Directors authorized an amendment to its articles of incorporation to 1) increase the number of Company authorized common shares to 1,500,000,000, 2) effect a one for 1,000 reverse stock split; and 3) change the name of the Company from Material Technologies, Inc. to Matech Corp.

In addition, the Board of Directors authorized a stock option plan for its employees, directors, and consultants. The Company reserved 100,000,000 shares of its Class A common shares to be issued under the plan. Shares under the plan will be issued at the trading price on date of grant. In April 2008, the Company granted Mr. Bernstein under the plan options to purchase 30,000,000 shares of the Company's Class A common stock at a price of \$.04 per share.

In April 2008, the holder of 1,300 shares of Class E Convertible Preferred Shares elected to convert the shares into 1,039,746 shares of the Company's common stock.

In April 2008, the Company issued 2,000,000 shares of its Class A common stock to its President under its 2008 stock option plan.

In April 2008, the Company issued 77,600 shares of its Class A common stock in exchange for \$18,624.

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

Analysis of corrections:

1) Schedule of corrections to non-cash compensation:

	Common Share Issuance		Compensation Expense			Market Value Per Share		Change Amount %	
			As Restated	As Originally		As Restated	As Originally		
				Post-Split	Change				
	Pre-Split	Post-Split							
2/7/2005	200,000	667	\$ 370,000	\$ 277,500	\$ 92,500	\$ 1.85	\$ 1.39	0.46	0.25
2/7/2005	200,000	667	370,000	\$ 277,500	92,500	\$ 1.85	\$ 1.39	0.46	0.25
3/11/2005	75,000	250	112,500	\$ 90,000	22,500	\$ 1.50	\$ 1.20	0.30	0.20
3/24/2005	500,000	1,667	725,000	\$ 580,000	145,000	\$ 1.45	\$ 1.16	0.29	0.20
4/4/2005	5,000	17	7,250	\$ 4,800	2,450	\$ 1.45	\$ 0.96	0.49	0.34
4/13/2005	50,000	167	67,500	\$ 54,000	13,500	\$ 1.35	\$ 1.08	0.27	0.20
4/20/2005	10,000	33	13,000	\$ 11,700	1,300	\$ 1.30	\$ 1.17	0.13	0.10
4/26/2005	125,000	417	162,500	\$ 130,000	32,500	\$ 1.30	\$ 1.04	0.26	0.20
8/3/2005	250,000	833	500,000	\$ 525,000	(25,000)	\$ 2.00	\$ 2.10	(0.10)	(0.05)
9/14/2005	4,552,000	15,173	5,963,120	\$ 7,738,400	(1,775,280)	\$ 1.31	\$ 1.70	(0.39)	(0.30)
9/26/2005	500,000	1,667	750,000	\$ 600,000	150,000	\$ 1.50	\$ 1.20	0.30	0.20
9/26/2005	200,000	667	300,000	\$ 240,000	60,000	\$ 1.50	\$ 1.20	0.30	0.20
9/26/2005	200,000	667	300,000	\$ 240,000	60,000	\$ 1.50	\$ 1.20	0.30	0.20

F-58

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

10/27/2005	410,000	1,367	164,000	\$ 123,000	41,000	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.30	0.10	0
10/28/2005	86,000	287	45,580	\$ 34,400	11,180	\$ 0.53	\$ 0.40	0.13	0
	7,363,000	24,546	\$ 9,850,450	\$ 10,926,300	\$ (1,075,850)				
1/10/2006	475,000	1,583	\$ 95,000	\$ 76,000	\$ 19,000	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.16	0.04	0
1/25/2006	4,000,000	13,333	600,000	512,000	88,000	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.13	0.02	0
2/1/2006	1,000,000	3,333	150,000	120,000	30,000	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.12	0.03	0
2/8/2006	500,000	1,667	45,000	36,000	9,000	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.07	0.02	0
2/8/2006	600,000	2,000	90,000	72,000	18,000	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.12	0.03	0
2/22/2006	50,000	167	7,000	5,600	1,400	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.11	0.03	0
2/23/2006	200,000	667	26,000	20,800	5,200	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.10	0.03	0
2/24/2006	500,000	1,667	75,000	52,000	23,000	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.10	0.05	0
3/1/2006	50,000	167	7,000	5,600	1,400	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.11	0.03	0
3/14/2006	3,900,000	13,000	429,000	343,200	85,800	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.09	0.02	0
3/23/2006	2,000,000	6,667	420,000	336,000	84,000	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.17	0.04	0
5/9/06	250,000	833	62,500	50,000	12,500	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.20	0.05	0
11/28/2006	50,000	50,000		239,600	(239,600)	\$ -	\$ 4.79	(4.79)	
11/28/2006	50,000	50,000		239,600	(239,600)	\$ -	\$ 4.79	(4.79)	
11/14/2006	(6,300)	(6,300)	(62,581)	(36,540)	(26,041)	\$ 9.93	\$ 5.80	4.13	0

F-59

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

12/27/2006	34,641,311	34,641,311	121,244,589	1,668,000	119,576,589	\$ 3.50	\$ 0.05	3.4
12/31/2006		-**	6,575,342	67,350	6,507,992			
		34,780,095	\$ 129,763,850	\$ 3,807,210	\$ 125,956,640			

** Bernstein compensation on 30,000,000 shares. Charged to operations over 3 year vesting period

- A Originally discounted due to 2 yr lock up
- B Originally discount due to 2 yr lock up and error in valuing by \$.01 per share
- C Originally discounted due to 2 yr lock up and error in valuing by \$.02 per share
- D 3 year vesting - required charge to expense over vesting period
- E Error in charging off total balance due
- F 95% discount as originally stated
- G Originally valued at \$0.05 per share, actual value \$3.5 per share
- H Originally discounted due to 30 month lock up
- I Originally discounted due to 1 year lock up
- J Error in original pricing
- K Error in original pricing,, and 15% discount

F-60

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

2) Change in derivative and warrant liabilities

	As Restated	As originally reported	Change Amount
2005	\$ (2,805,561)	\$ (585,735)	\$ (2,219,826)
2006	\$ (33,780,874)	\$ 6,571,357	\$ (40,352,231)