WESTERN ASSET INTERMEDIATE MUNI FUND INC. Form N-Q

April 25, 2012

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-Q

QUARTERLY SCHEDULE OF PORTFOLIO HOLDINGS OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANY

Investment Company Act file number 811-6506

Western Asset Intermediate Muni Fund Inc. (Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

620 Eighth Avenue, New York, New York (Address of principal executive offices)

10018 (Zip code)

Robert I. Frenkel, Esq.

Legg Mason & Co., LLC

100 First Stamford Place

Stamford, CT 06902 (Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: 1-888-777-0102

Date of fiscal year November 30

end:

Date of reporting period: February 29, 2012

ITEM 1. SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS.

WESTERN ASSET

INTERMEDIATE MUNI

FUND INC.

FORM N-Q

FEBRUARY 29, 2012

WESTERN ASSET INTERMEDIATE MUNI FUND INC.

Schedule of investments (unaudited)

February 29, 2012

| | | MATURITY | FACE | |
|---|--------|----------|---------------|---------------|
| SECURITY | RATE | DATE | AMOUNT | VALUE |
| MUNICIPAL BONDS 131.0% | | | | |
| Alaska 1.4% | | | | |
| Anchorage, AK, GO, Refunding, FGIC | 6.000% | 10/1/14 | \$ 500,000 | \$ 565,100 |
| North Slope Boro, AK, Refunding, NATL | 5.000% | 6/30/15 | 1,250,000 | 1,414,150 |
| Total Alaska | | | | 1,979,250 |
| Arizona 3.0% | | | | |
| Pinal County, AZ, Electric District No. 3 | 4.000% | 7/1/23 | 1,000,000 | 1,038,630 |
| Pinal County, AZ, Electric District No. 3 | 5.000% | 7/1/24 | 1,760,000 | 1,958,000 |
| Pinal County, AZ, Electric District No. 3 | 5.000% | 7/1/25 | 1,280,000 | 1,413,312 |
| Total Arizona | | | | 4,409,942 |
| Arkansas 0.7% | | | | |
| Warren County, AR, Solid Waste Disposal Revenue, | | | | |
| Potlatch Corp. Project | 7.000% | 4/1/12 | 1,000,000 | 1,003,000(a) |
| California 11.3% | | | | |
| California Statewide CDA Revenue: | | | | |
| Lodi Memorial Hospital, CMI | 5.000% | 12/1/22 | 2,000,000 | 2,174,160 |
| Proposition 1A Receivables Program | 5.000% | 6/15/13 | 3,000,000 | 3,173,700 |
| Los Angeles, CA, COP, Hollywood Presbyterian | | | | |
| Medical Center, INDLC | 9.625% | 7/1/13 | 350,000 | 375,603(b) |
| M-S-R Energy Authority, CA, Gas Revenue | 6.125% | 11/1/29 | 3,000,000 | 3,493,020 |
| Modesto, CA, Irrigation District Electric Revenue | 5.000% | 7/1/24 | 4,130,000 | 4,746,485 |
| Modesto, CA, Irrigation District Electric Revenue | 5.000% | 7/1/25 | 2,000,000 | 2,277,720 |
| San Francisco, CA, Airport Improvement Corp., Lease | | | | |
| Revenue, United Airlines Inc. | 8.000% | 7/1/13 | 115,000 | 121,826(b) |
| Total California | | | | 16,362,514 |
| Colorado 5.4% | | | | |
| Colorado Educational & Cultural Facilities Authority | | | | |
| Revenue Charter School: | | | | |
| Bromley School Project, XLCA | 5.125% | 9/15/20 | 1,155,000 | 1,204,677 |
| Refunding & Improvement, University Lab School, | | | | |
| XLCA | 5.250% | 6/1/24 | 1,350,000 | 1,374,691 |
| E-470 Public Highway Authority Revenue, CO | 5.250% | 9/1/25 | 2,000,000 | 2,135,400 |
| Public Authority for Colorado Energy, Natural Gas | | | | |
| Purchase Revenue | 6.125% | 11/15/23 | 2,000,000 | 2,308,640 |
| SBC Metropolitan District, CO, GO, ACA | 5.000% | 12/1/25 | 750,000 | 754,770 |
| Total Colorado | | | | 7,778,178 |
| Connecticut 5.7% | | | | |
| Connecticut State HEFA Revenue, Bristol Hospital | 5.500% | 7/1/21 | 2,000,000 | 2,023,720 |
| Connecticut State Special Obligation Parking Revenue, | | | | |
| Bradley International Airport, ACA | 6.375% | 7/1/12 | 960,000 | 966,259(a) |
| Connecticut State, Development Authority PCR, | | | | |
| Connecticut Light & Power Co. Project | 4.375% | 9/1/28 | 5,000,000 | 5,268,000 |
| Total Connecticut | | | | 8,257,979 |
| Florida 7.1% | | | | |

| Citizens Property Insurance Corp., FL | 5.000% | 6/1/16 | 5,000,000 | 5,569,950 |
|---|--------|----------|-----------|--------------|
| Miami-Dade County, FL, School Board, COP | 5.000% | 2/1/24 | 2,000,000 | 2,239,820 |
| Old Palm Community Development District, FL, Palm | | | | |
| Beach Gardens | 5.375% | 5/1/14 | 925,000 | 903,170 |
| Orange County, FL, Health Facilities Authority Revenue, | | | | |
| Hospital Adventist Health Systems | 6.250% | 11/15/24 | 1,500,000 | 1,559,490(c) |
| Total Florida | | | | 10,272,430 |

WESTERN ASSET INTERMEDIATE MUNI FUND INC.

Schedule of investments (unaudited) (cont d)

February 29, 2012

| SECURITY | RATE | MATURITY DATE | FACE AMOUNT | VALUE |
|---|----------|------------------|---|--------------------|
| | KAIL | DATE | AMOUNT | VALUE |
| Georgia 8.0% | | | | |
| Athens, GA, Housing Authority Student Housing Lease | £ 25007 | 12/1/22 | ¢ 070,000 | ¢ 1,005,007() |
| Revenue, University of Georgia East Campus, AMBAC | 5.250% | 12/1/23 | \$ 970,000 | \$ 1,005,997(c) |
| Atlanta, GA, Water & Wastewater Revenue | 6.000% | 11/1/23 | 2,000,000 | 2,445,880 |
| Chatham County, GA, Hospital Authority Revenue, | 6.0000 | 1/1/17 | (50,000 | 654.624 |
| Hospital Memorial Health Medical Center | 6.000% | 1/1/17 | 650,000 | 654,634 |
| DeKalb Private Hospital Authority Revenue, GA, | 5,000% | 11/15/00 | 2 000 000 | 2 101 000 |
| Anticipation CTFS, Children s Health Care of Atlanta Inc. | 5.000% | 11/15/29 | 2,000,000 | 2,181,000 |
| DeKalb, Newton & Gwinnett Counties, GA, Joint | | | | |
| Development Authority Revenue, GGC Foundation LLC | | = 11.120 | 4 000 000 | 4 450 740 |
| Project | 6.000% | 7/1/29 | 1,000,000 | 1,128,510 |
| Georgia Municipal Electric Authority, Power Revenue, | - | 4.440 | • | 2.11.1.20 |
| Refunding, AGM | 5.000% | 1/1/18 | 3,000,000 | 3,114,420 |
| Griffin, GA, Combined Public Utilities Revenue, | | | | |
| Refunding & Improvement, AMBAC | 5.000% | 1/1/21 | 1,000,000 | 1,037,100 |
| Total Georgia | | | | 11,567,541 |
| Illinois 2.8% | | | | |
| Chicago, IL, O Hare International Airport Revenue, | | | | |
| Refunding Bonds, Lien A-2, AGM | 5.750% | 1/1/19 | 1,500,000 | 1,596,780(a) |
| Glendale Heights, IL, Hospital Revenue, Refunding | | | | |
| Glendale Heights Project | 7.100% | 12/1/15 | 555,000 | 636,901(b) |
| Illinois Development Finance Authority, Chicago Charter | | | | |
| School Foundation Project A | 5.250% | 12/1/12 | 80,000 | 82,969(b) |
| Illinois Finance Authority Revenue, Memorial Health | | | | |
| System | 5.250% | 4/1/29 | 1,670,000 | 1,793,179 |
| Total Illinois | | | | 4,109,829 |
| Indiana 3.8% | | | | |
| Indiana State Finance Authority, Environmental | | | | |
| Revenue, U.S. Steel Corp. | 6.000% | 12/1/19 | 1,000,000 | 1,047,100 |
| Indianapolis, IN, Thermal Energy System, Multi-Mode | 5.000% | 10/1/23 | 4,000,000 | 4,514,600(d) |
| Total Indiana | 2.000,0 | 10, 1, 20 | .,000,000 | 5,561,700 |
| Iowa 0.1% | | | | 2,201,700 |
| Muscatine, IA, Electric Revenue | 9.700% | 1/1/13 | 165,000 | <i>177</i> ,784(b) |
| | 9.700% | 1/1/13 | 103,000 | 1//,/04(0) |
| Kansas 1.8% | | | | |
| Burlington, KS, Environmental Improvement Revenue, | | | | |
| Kansas City Power & Light | 5.250% | 4/1/13 | 2,500,000 | 2,590,875(e) |
| Louisiana 0.0% | | | | |
| Louisiana Public Facilities Authority Hospital Revenue, | | | | |
| Southern Baptist Hospital Inc. Project, Aetna | 8.000% | 5/15/12 | 55,000 | 55,767(b) |
| Maryland 0.3% | | | | |
| Maryland State Health & Higher EFA Revenue, | | | | |
| Refunding Mercy Medical Center, AGM | 6.500% | 7/1/13 | 380,000 | 385,548 |
| Massachusetts 2.2% | | , | 7,000 | , |
| Lancaster, MA, GO, AMBAC | 5.375% | 4/15/17 | 1,130,000 | 1,146,600 |
| Euneuster, mr., co, mindre | 3.313/0 | 7/15/17 | 1,130,000 | 1,170,000 |
| | | | | |

| Massachusetts State DFA Revenue, Curry College, ACA | 6.000% | 3/1/20 | 395,000 | 395,581 |
|---|--------|--------|---------|------------|
| Massachusetts State HEFA Revenue: | | | | |
| Caritas Christi Obligation | 6.500% | 7/1/12 | 535,000 | 545,812(b) |

WESTERN ASSET INTERMEDIATE MUNI FUND INC.

Schedule of investments (unaudited) (cont d)

February 29, 2012

| SECURITY | RATE | MATURITY DATE | FACE AMOUNT | VALUE | |
|---|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|--------|
| Massachusetts continued | | | | | |
| Milford-Whitinsville Regional Hospital Total Massachusetts | 6.500% | 7/15/23 | \$ 1,000,000 | \$ 1,032,460 3,120,453 | |
| Michigan 12.9% | | | | | |
| Detroit, MI, Water Supply System Revenue, Senior Lien Jenison, MI, Public Schools GO, Building and Site, | 5.250% | 7/1/26 | 5,000,000 | 5,461,750 |) |
| FGIC Michigan State Housing Development Authority Rental | 5.500% | 5/1/20 | 1,000,000 | 1,008,320 | O(c) |
| Housing Revenue | 5.250% | 10/1/24 | 2,640,000 | 2,774,614 | 4 |
| Michigan State, Hospital Finance Authority Revenue: | | | | | |
| Oakwood Obligated Group | 5.500% | 11/1/18 | 1,000,000 | 1,058,200 | |
| Refunding, Hospital Sparrow Obligated | 5.000% | 11/15/12 | 500,000 | 513,730 | |
| Refunding, Hospital Sparrow Obligated | 5.000% | 11/15/14 | 1,190,000 | 1,294,934 | |
| Walled Lake, MI, Consolidated School District, NATL Wayne County, MI, Airport Authority Revenue, Detroit | 5.000% | 5/1/22 | 1,000,000 | 1,071,910 |) |
| Metropolitan Airport | 5.000% | 12/1/16 | 5,000,000 | 5,550,450 | |
| Total Michigan | | | | 18,733,908 | 8 |
| Missouri 1.8% | | | | | |
| Missouri State Environmental Improvement & Energy | | | | | |
| Resources Authority, KC Power & Light Co. Project | 4.900% | 7/1/13 | 2,500,000 | 2,585,100 | (a)(e) |
| Nevada 3.0% | | | | | |
| Humboldt County, NV, PCR, Idaho Power Co. Project | 5.150% | 12/1/24 | 4,000,000 | 4,339,680 |) |
| New Hampshire 4.6% | | | | | |
| New Hampshire HEFA Revenue: | | | | | |
| Covenant Health, Unrefunded Balance | 6.500% | 7/1/17 | 75,000 | 75,941 | 1 |
| Healthcare Systems Covenant Health | 5.000% | 7/1/28 | 6,400,000 | 6,659,712 | 2 |
| Total New Hampshire | | | | 6,735,653 | 3 |
| New Jersey 9.3% | | | | | |
| New Jersey State EFA Revenue, University of | | | | | |
| Medicine & Dentistry | 7.125% | 12/1/23 | 2,000,000 | 2,493,260 |) |
| New Jersey State Higher Education Assistance | | | , , | , , | |
| Authority, Student Loan Revenue | 5.375% | 6/1/24 | 4,000,000 | 4,456,760 |) |
| New Jersey State Higher Education Assistance | | | | | |
| Authority, Student Loan Revenue, AGC | 5.875% | 6/1/21 | 1,515,000 | 1,690,725 | 5(a) |
| New Jersey State Transportation Trust Fund Authority | | | | | |
| Revenue | 5.250% | 6/15/23 | 4,000,000 | 4,850,280 |) |
| Ringwood Borough, NJ, Sewer Authority Special | | | | | |
| Obligation | 9.875% | 7/1/13 | 50,000 | 53,881 | 1(b) |
| Total New Jersey | | | | 13,544,906 | 5 |
| New Mexico 2.1% | | | | | |
| Bernalillo County, NM, Gross Receipts Tax Revenue, | | | | | |
| AMBAC | 5.250% | 10/1/18 | 1,100,000 | 1,362,680 |) |
| New Mexico Finance Authority Revenue, Subordinated | | | | , , | |
| Lien, Public Project Revolving Fund, NATL | 5.000% | 6/15/19 | 1,415,000 | 1,618,166 | 5 |
| <u>-</u> | | | | | |

Total New Mexico 2,980,846

New York 3.8%

New York State Dormitory Authority, New York & Presbyterian Hospital, AGM

Presbyterian Hospital, AGM 5.250% 2/15/24 3,025,000 3,255,354

WESTERN ASSET INTERMEDIATE MUNI FUND INC.

Schedule of investments (unaudited) (cont d)

February 29, 2012

| 67-04-7-T-1 | | MATURITY | FACE | | |
|---|----------------------------|-----------|------------------|----|--------------|
| SECURITY | RATE | DATE | AMOUNT | VA | LUE |
| New York continued | | | | | |
| New York State Thruway Authority Highway & Bridge | | | • | | |
| Trust Fund Revenue, AMBAC | 5.000% | 4/1/21 | \$ 2,000,000 | \$ | 2,251,500 |
| Total New York | | | | | 5,506,854 |
| North Carolina 1.3% | | | | | |
| North Carolina Eastern Municipal Power Agency, Power | | | | | |
| Systems Revenue | 5.000% | 1/1/26 | 1,750,000 | | 1,954,103 |
| Ohio 6.5% | | | | | |
| American Municipal Power-Ohio Inc., Electricity | | | | | |
| Purchase Revenue | 5.000% | 2/1/13 | 2,000,000 | | 2,063,440 |
| Kettering, OH, City School District, School | | | | | |
| Improvement, AGM | 5.000% | 12/1/19 | 1,000,000 | | 1,080,650 |
| Ohio State Air Quality Development Authority Revenue, | | | | | |
| FirstEnergy Generation Corp. | 5.625% | 6/1/18 | 1,000,000 | | 1,135,500 |
| Ohio State Water Development Authority, Pollution | | | | | |
| Control Facilities Revenue, FirstEnergy Nuclear | 2.255 | 50.05 | 7 000 000 | | 5 155 550 |
| Generation Corp. | 3.375% | 7/1/15 | 5,000,000 | | 5,175,750(e) |
| Total Ohio | | | | | 9,455,340 |
| Oklahoma 1.9% | | | | | |
| Grand River Dam Authority, OK, Revenue | 5.000% | 6/1/30 | 2,500,000 | | 2,811,950 |
| Pennsylvania 8.8% | | | | | |
| Conneaut, PA, School District, GO, AMBAC | 9.500% | 5/1/12 | 15,000 | | 15,211(b) |
| Harrisburg, PA, Parking Authority Parking Revenue, | | | | | |
| AGM | 5.500% | 5/15/20 | 1,000,000 | | 1,010,390(c) |
| Montgomery County, PA, IDA Revenue, New Regional | | | | | |
| Medical Center Project, FHA | 5.000% | 8/1/24 | 2,000,000 | | 2,274,480 |
| Northampton County, PA, IDA Revenue, Mortgage | - - - - - - - - - - | = 4440 | 4.247.000 | | |
| Moravian Hall Square Project, Radian | 5.500% | 7/1/19 | 1,365,000 | | 1,382,267 |
| Pennsylvania State IDA Revenue, Economic | - - - - - - - - - - | = 11.12.1 | 4 000 000 | | 1001 (10 |
| Development, AMBAC | 5.500% | 7/1/21 | 1,000,000 | | 1,021,640 |
| Pennsylvania State Public School Building Authority | | | | | |
| Lease Revenue, Philadelphia School District Project, | 5 00007 | 6/11/07 | 2 000 000 | | 2 222 900 |
| AGM Philadelphia, PA, Gas Works Revenue, 7th General | 5.000% | 6/1/27 | 2,000,000 | | 2,333,800 |
| Ordinance, AMBAC | 5.000% | 10/1/17 | 1.000.000 | | 1,145,500 |
| Philadelphia, PA, Water & Wastewater, FGIC | 5.250% | 11/1/14 | 2,000,000 | | 2,056,980 |
| Pittsburgh, PA, School District GO, AGM | 5.375% | 9/1/16 | 1,350,000 | | 1,573,074 |
| Total Pennsylvania | 3.37370 | 2/1/10 | 1,550,000 | | 12,813,342 |
| Puerto Rico 1.4% | | | | | 12,013,312 |
| Puerto Rico 1.4% Puerto Rico Commonwealth Government Development | | | | | |
| Bank, NATL | 4.750% | 12/1/15 | 2,000,000 | | 2,061,740 |
| | 4.730% | 12/1/13 | ۷,000,000 | | 2,001,740 |
| Rhode Island 0.6% | 5.075~ | 5/15/15 | 1 000 000 | | 004.700 |
| Central Falls, RI, GO, Radian | 5.875% | 5/15/15 | 1,000,000 | | 884,780 |
| South Carolina 0.8% | | | | | |

| Greenville County, SC, School District Installment | | | | |
|--|--------|---------|-----------|--------------|
| Purchase, Revenue, Refunding, Building Equity | 6.000% | 12/1/21 | 1,100,000 | 1,158,322(c) |
| Tennessee 5.3% | | | | |
| Jackson, TN, Water & Sewer Revenue | 7.200% | 7/1/12 | 15,000 | 15,342(b) |
| Tennessee Energy Acquisition Corp., Gas Revenue | 5.250% | 9/1/20 | 2,030,000 | 2,235,680 |
| Tennessee Energy Acquisition Corp., Gas Revenue | 5.250% | 9/1/23 | 4,940,000 | 5,380,648 |
| Total Tennessee | | | | 7,631,670 |

WESTERN ASSET INTERMEDIATE MUNI FUND INC.

Schedule of investments (unaudited) (cont d)

February 29, 2012

| | | MATURITY | FACE | |
|--|----------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| SECURITY | RATE | DATE | AMOUNT | VALUE |
| Texas 6.5% | | | | |
| Dallas-Fort Worth, TX, International Airport | | | | |
| Revenue, Refunding, AGM | 5.500% | 11/1/20 | \$ 1,000,000 | 1,066,220(a) |
| El Paso County, TX, Housing Finance Corp., La | | | | |
| Plaza Apartments, Subordinated | 8.000% | 7/1/30 | 240,000 | 234,336 |
| El Paso County, TX, Housing Finance Corp., MFH | 6.250% | 10/1/04 | 260,000 | 262.124 |
| Revenue, American Village Communities | 6.250% | 12/1/24 | 360,000 | 362,124 |
| El Paso, TX, Water & Sewer Revenue: | 6.0000 | 2/1/15 | 055 000 | 055 000() |
| Refunding & Improvement, AGM Refunding & Improvement, AGM, Unrefunded | 6.000% | 3/1/15 | 955,000 | 955,000(c) |
| | 6.000% | 2/1/15 | 45,000 | 45,000 |
| Balance North Toyon Tollway Authority Boyonya, NATI | 5.125% | 3/1/15 1/1/28 | 45,000 2,000,000 | 45,000 2,180,740 |
| North Texas Tollway Authority Revenue, NATL Sabine River Authority, Texas PCR, Southwestern | 3.123% | 1/1/28 | 2,000,000 | 2,180,740 |
| Electric Power Co., NATL | 4.950% | 3/1/18 | 3,000,000 | 3,344,610 |
| Southwest Higher Education Authority Inc., | 4.930 // | 3/1/10 | 3,000,000 | 3,344,010 |
| Southern Methodist University Project, AMBAC | 5.500% | 10/1/19 | 1,000,000 | 1,030,470(c) |
| Texas State Department Housing Community | 3.300 /0 | 10/1/19 | 1,000,000 | 1,030,470(0) |
| Affairs Home Mortgage Revenue, RIBS, | | | | |
| GNMA/FNMA/FHLMC-Collateralized | 13.284% | 7/2/24 | 125,000 | 140,737(a)(d)(f) |
| Total Texas | 13.20170 | 77272 1 | 125,000 | 9,359,237 |
| Utah 1.0% | | | | 7,557,257 |
| Spanish Fork City, UT, Water Revenue: | | | | |
| AGM | 5.500% | 6/1/16 | 350,000 | 354,452(c) |
| AGM, Unrefunded Balance | 5.500% | 6/1/16 | 1,135,000 | 1,149,437(c) |
| Total Utah | 3.300 // | 0/1/10 | 1,133,000 | 1,503,889 |
| Virginia 3.3% | | | | 1,505,005 |
| Pittsylvania County, VA, GO | 5.500% | 2/1/22 | 540,000 | 646,310 |
| Pittsylvania County, VA, GO | 5.500% | 2/1/23 | 1,030,000 | 1,227,719 |
| Pittsylvania County, VA, GO | 5.600% | 2/1/24 | 2,490,000 | 2,961,083 |
| Total Virginia | 3.000 // | 2/1/24 | 2,470,000 | 4,835,112 |
| Washington 0.8% | | | | 1,033,112 |
| Washington State Health Care Facilities Authority | | | | |
| Revenue, Multicare Health System | 5.750% | 8/15/29 | 1,000,000 | 1,147,730 |
| | 3.73070 | 0/13/29 | 1,000,000 | 1,147,730 |
| Wisconsin 1.7% | | | | |
| La Crosse, WI, Resource Recovery Revenue, Refunding Bonds, Northern States Power Co. | | | | |
| | 6.000% | 11/1/21 | 2,000,000 | 2 417 520(-) |
| Project TOTAL INVESTMENTS BEFORE SHORT-TERM INV | | | 2,000,000 | 2,417,520(a) 190,094,472 |
| | ESTMENTS (COST | \$170,005,762) | | 190,094,472 |
| SHORT-TERM INVESTMENTS 1.8% | | | | |
| California 0.4% | | | | |
| California Health Facilities Financing Authority | | | | |
| Revenue, Adventist Health System, LOC-Bank of | 0.2107 | 0.11.100 | 500 000 | 520,000() 41 |
| America N.A. | 0.210% | 9/1/28 | 520,000 | 520,000(g)(h) |
| Indiana 0.3% | | | | |

| Indiana State Finance Authority Revenue, Lease | | | | |
|--|--------|--------|-----------|-----------------|
| Appropriation, SPA-JPMorgan Chase | 0.110% | 2/1/39 | 500,000 | 500,000(g)(h) |
| New York 0.9% | | | | |
| New York City, NY, TFA, Future Tax Secured | | | | |
| Revenue, SPA-Dexia Credit Local | 0.250% | 8/1/23 | 1,300,000 | 1,300,000(g)(h) |

WESTERN ASSET INTERMEDIATE MUNI FUND INC.

Schedule of investments (unaudited) (cont d)

February 29, 2012

| and many | | MATURITY | | FACE | |
|--|-----------|----------|----|---------|---------------------|
| SECURITY | RATE | DATE | 1 | AMOUNT | VALUE |
| Virginia 0.2% | | | | | |
| Virginia Small Business Financing | | | | | |
| Authority, Hospital Revenue, Carilion | | | | | |
| Clinic Obligation, SPA-Wells Fargo Bank | | | | | |
| N.A. | 0.120% | 7/1/42 | \$ | 300,000 | \$ 300,000(g)(h) |
| TOTAL SHORT-TERM INVESTMENTS (Cost \$2, | ,620,000) | | | | 2,620,000 |
| TOTAL INVESTMENTS 132.8% (Cost \$178,685 | 5,782#) | | | | 192,714,472 |
| Municipal Auction Rate Cumulative | | | | | |
| Preferred Stock, at Liquidation Value | | | | | |
| (34.4%) | | | | | (50,000,000) |
| Other Assets in Excess of Liabilities 1.6% | | | | | 2,350,078 |
| TOTAL NET ASSETS 100.0% | | | | | \$ 145,064,550 |

- Income from this issue is considered a preference item for purposes of calculating the alternative minimum tax (AMT). (a)
- Bonds are escrowed to maturity by government securities and/or U.S. government agency securities and are considered by the (b) manager to be triple-A rated even if issuer has not applied for new ratings.
- Pre-Refunded bonds are escrowed with U.S. government obligations and/or U.S. government agency securities and are considered by the manager to be triple-A rated even if issuer has not applied for new ratings.
- (d) Variable rate security. Interest rate disclosed is as of the most recent information available.
- (e) Maturity date shown represents the mandatory tender date.
- (f) Residual interest bonds coupon varies inversely with level of short-term tax-exempt interest rates.
- Variable rate demand obligations have a demand feature under which the Fund can tender them back to the issuer or liquidity provider (g) on no more than 7 days notice.
- (h) Maturity date shown is the final maturity date. The security may be sold back to the issuer before final maturity.
- Aggregate cost for federal income tax purposes is substantially the same.

Abbreviations used in this schedule:

AMBAC

CDA

ACA - American Capital Assurance - Insured Bonds **AGC** - Assured Guaranty Corporation - Insured Bonds **AGM**

- Assured Guaranty Municipal Corporation - Insured Bonds

- American Municipal Bond Assurance Corporation - Insured Bonds

- Communities Development Authority

CMI - California Mortgage Insurance Program - Insured Bonds

- Certificates of Participation

CTFS - Certificates

COP

FGIC

GO

NATL PCR

RIBS

SPA

DFA - Development Finance Agency
EFA - Educational Facilities Authority

- Financial Guaranty Insurance Company - Insured Bonds

FHA - Federal Housing Administration

FHLMC - Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation
FNMA - Federal National Mortgage Association
GNMA - Government National Mortgage Association

- General Obligation

HEFA - Health & Educational Facilities Authority
IDA - Industrial Development Authority

INDLC - Industrial Indemnity Company - Insured Bonds

LOC - Letter of Credit
MFH - Multi-Family Housing

- National Public Finance Guarantee Corporation - Insured Bonds

- Pollution Control Revenue

Radian - Radian Asset Assurance - Insured Bonds

- Residual Interest Bonds

- Standby Bond Purchase Agreement - Insured Bonds

TFA - Transitional Finance Authority

XLCA - XL Capital Assurance Inc. - Insured Bonds

See Notes to Schedule of Investments.

6

WESTERN ASSET INTERMEDIATE MUNI FUND INC.

Schedule of investments (unaudited) (cont d)

February 29, 2012

Summary of Investments by Industry*

| Industrial Revenue | 18.4% |
|-----------------------------------|--------|
| Power | 17.9 |
| Health Care | 13.9 |
| Transportation | 10.7 |
| Local General Obligation | 6.9 |
| Pre-Refunded/Escrowed to Maturity | 6.4 |
| Education | 5.3 |
| Water & Sewer | 5.2 |
| Special Tax Obligation | 5.0 |
| Leasing | 3.7 |
| Solid Waste/Resource Recovery | 1.9 |
| Housing | 1.8 |
| Other | 1.5 |
| Short-Term Investments | 1.4 |
| | 100.0% |

^{*}As a percentage of total investments. Please note that Fund holdings are as of February 29, 2012 and are subject to change.

Ratings Table**

Standard & Poor s/Moody s/Fitch

| AAA/Aaa | 1.2% |
|------------|--------|
| AA/Aa | 28.7 |
| A | 52.7 |
| BBB/Baa | 10.3 |
| BB/Ba | 1.6 |
| CCC/Caa | 0.5 |
| A-1/VMIG 1 | 1.4 |
| NR | 3.6 |
| | 100.0% |

^{**} As a percentage of total investments.

The ratings shown are based on each portfolio security s rating as determined by Standard & Poor s, Moody s or Fitch, each a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (NRSRO). These ratings are the opinions of the NRSRO and are not measures of quality or guarantees of performance. Securities may be rated by other NRSROs, and these ratings may be higher or lower. In the event that a security is rated by multiple NRSROs and receives different ratings, the Fund will treat the security as being rated in the highest rating category received from a NRSRO.

See pages 8 through 10 for definitions of ratings.

Bond ratings

The definitions of the applicable rating symbols are set forth below:

Long-term security ratings (unaudited)

Standard & Poor s Ratings Service (Standard & Poor s) Long-term Issue Credit Ratings Ratings from AA to CCC may be modified by the addition of a plus (+) or minus () sign to show relative standings within the major rating categories.

| AAA | An obligation rated | AAA | has the highest rating assigned by Standard & Poor | r s. The obligor | s capacity to meet its financial |
|-----|---------------------|-----|--|------------------|----------------------------------|
|-----|---------------------|-----|--|------------------|----------------------------------|

commitment on the obligation is extremely strong.

AA An obligation rated AA differs from the highest-rated obligations only to a small degree. The obligor s capacity to meet

its financial commitment on the obligation is very strong.

A An obligation rated A is somewhat more susceptible to the adverse effects of changes in circumstances and economic

conditions than obligations in higher-rated categories. However, the obligor s capacity to meet its financial commitment

on the obligation is still strong.

BBB An obligation rated BBB exhibits adequate protection parameters. However, adverse economic conditions or changing

circumstances are more likely to lead to a weakened capacity of the obligor to meet its financial commitment on the

obligation.

BB An obligation rated BB is less vulnerable to nonpayment than other speculative issues. However, it faces major ongoing

uncertainties or exposure to adverse business, financial, or economic conditions, which could lead to the obligor s

inadequate capacity to meet its financial commitment on the obligation.

B An obligation rated B is more vulnerable to nonpayment than obligations rated BB, but the obligor currently has the

capacity to meet its financial commitment on the obligation. Adverse business, financial, or economic conditions will

likely impair the obligor s capacity or willingness to meet its financial commitment on the obligation.

CCC An obligation rated CCC is currently vulnerable to nonpayment, and is dependent upon favorable business, financial, and

economic conditions for the obligor to meet its financial commitment on the obligation. In the event of adverse business, financial, or economic conditions, the obligor is not likely to have the capacity to meet its financial commitment on the

obligation.

CC An obligation rated CC is currently highly vulnerable to nonpayment.

C The C rating may be used to cover a situation where a bankruptcy petition has been filed or similar action has been taken,

but payments on this obligation are being continued.

D An obligation rated D is in payment default. The D rating category is used when payments on an obligation are not made

on the date due, even if the applicable grace period has not expired, unless Standard & Poor s believes that such payments will be made during such grace period. The D rating also will be used upon the filing of a bankruptcy petition or the

taking of a similar action if payments of an obligation are jeopardized.

Moody s Investors Service (Moody s) Long-term Obligation Ratings Numerical modifiers 1, 2 and 3 may be applied to each generic rating from Aa to Caa, where 1 is the highest and 3 the lowest ranking within its generic category.

Aaa Obligations rated Aaa are judged to be of the highest quality, with minimal credit risk.

Aa Obligations rated Aa are judged to be of high quality and are subject to very low credit risk.

A Obligations rated A are considered upper-medium grade and are subject to low credit risk.

Baa Obligations rated Baa are subject to moderate credit risk. They are considered medium grade and as such may possess

certain speculative characteristics.

Obligations rated Ba are judged to have speculative elements and are subject to substantial credit risk.

Obligations rated B are considered speculative and are subject to high credit risk.

Ba

Long-term security ratings (unaudited) (cont d)

Caa Obligations rated Caa are judged to be of poor standing and are subject to very high credit risk.

Ca Obligations rated Ca are highly speculative and are likely in, or very near, default, with some prospect of recovery for

principal and interest.

C Obligations rated C are the lowest rated class and are typically in default, with little prospect of recovery for principal and

interest.

Fitch Ratings Service (Fitch) Structured, Project & Public Finance Obligations Ratings from AA to CCC may be modified by the addition of a plus (+) or minus () sign to show relative standings within the major rating categories.

AAA Obligations rated AAA by Fitch denote the lowest expectation of default risk. They are assigned only in cases of

exceptionally strong capacity for payment of financial commitments. This capacity is highly unlikely to be adversely

affected by foreseeable events.

AA Obligations rated AA denote expectations of very low default risk. They indicate very strong capacity for payment of

financial commitments. This capacity is not significantly vulnerable to foreseeable events.

A Obligations rated A denote expectations of low default risk. The capacity for payment of financial commitments is

considered strong. This capacity may, nevertheless, be more vulnerable to adverse business or economic conditions than

is the case for higher ratings.

BBB Obligations rated BBB indicate that expectations of default risk are currently low. The capacity for payment of financial

commitments is considered adequate but adverse business or economic conditions are more likely to impair this capacity.

BB Obligations rated BB indicate an elevated vulnerability to default risk, particularly in the event of adverse changes in

business or economic conditions over time; however, business or financial flexibility exists which supports the servicing

of financial commitments.

B Obligations rated B indicate that material default risk is present, but a limited margin of safety remains. Financial

commitments are currently being met; however, capacity for continued payment is vulnerable to deterioration in the

business and economic environment.

CCC Default is a real possibility.

CC Default of some kind appears probable.

C Default is imminent or inevitable, or the issuer is in standstill.

NR Indicates that the obligation is not rated by Standard & Poor s, Moody s or Fitch.

Short-term security ratings (unaudited)

Standard & Poor s Municipal Short-Term Notes Ratings

SP-1 A short-term obligation rated SP-1 is rated in the highest category by Standard & Poor s. Strong capacity to pay principal and interest. An issue determined to possess a very strong capacity to pay debt service is given a plus (+) designation.

SP-2 A short-term obligation rated SP-2 is a Standard & Poor's rating indicating satisfactory capacity to pay principal and

interest, with some vulnerability to adverse financial and economic changes over the term of the notes.

SP-3 A short-term obligation rated SP-3 is a Standard & Poor s rating indicating speculative capacity to pay principal and

interest.

A-1 A short-term obligation rated A-1 is rated in the highest category by Standard & Poor s. The obligor s capacity to meet its financial commitment on the obligation is strong. Within this category, certain obligations are designated with a plus sign (+). This indicates that the obligor s capacity to meet its financial commitment on these obligations is extremely strong.

Short-term security ratings (unaudited) (cont d)

| A-2 | A short-term obligation rated A-2 by Standard & Poor s is somewhat more susceptible to the adverse effects of changes in |
|-----|--|
| | circumstances and economic conditions than obligations in higher rating categories. However, the obligor s capacity to |

meet its financial commitment on the obligation is satisfactory.

A-3 A short-term obligation rated A-3 by Standard & Poor s exhibits adequate protection parameters. However, adverse

economic conditions or changing circumstances are more likely to lead to a weakened capacity of the obligor to meet its

financial commitment on the obligation.

B A short-term obligation rated B by Standard & Poor s is regarded as having significant speculative characteristics. Ratings of B-1, B-2 and B-3 may be assigned to indicate finer distinctions within the B category. The obligor currently has the

capacity to meet its financial commitment on the obligation; however, it faces major ongoing uncertainties which could

lead to the obligor s inadequate capacity to meet its financial commitment on the obligation.

Moody s Variable Rate Demand Obligations (VRDO) Ratings

VMIG 1 Moody s highest rating for issues having a variable rate demand feature VRDO. This designation denotes superior credit

quality. Excellent protection is afforded by the superior short-term credit strength of the liquidity provider and structural

and legal protections that ensure the timely payment of purchase price on demand.

VMIG 2 This designation denotes strong credit quality. Good protection is afforded by the strong short-term credit strength of the

liquidity provider and structural and legal protections that ensure the timely payment of purchase price on demand.

VMIG 3 This designation denotes acceptable credit quality. Adequate protection is afforded by the strong short-term credit

strength of the liquidity provider and structural and legal protections that ensure the timely payment of purchase price on

demand.

SG This designation denotes speculative-grade credit quality. Demand features rated in this category may be supported by a

liquidity provider that does not have an investment grade short term rating or may lack the structural and/or legal

protections necessary to ensure the timely payment of purchase price upon demand.

Moody s Short-Term Municipal Obligations Ratings

MIG 1 Moody s highest rating for short-term municipal obligations. This designation denotes superior credit quality. Excellent

protection is afforded by established cash flows, highly reliable liquidity support, or demonstrated broad-based access to

the market for refinancing.

MIG 2 This designation denotes strong credit quality. Margins of protection are ample, although not as large as the preceding

group.

MIG 3 This designation denotes acceptable credit quality. Liquidity and cash flow protection may be narrow, and market access

for refinancing is likely to be less well-established.

SG This designation denotes speculative-grade credit quality. Debt instruments in this category may lack sufficient margins

of protection.

Moody s Short-Term Obligations Ratings

P-1 Moody s highest rating for commercial paper and for VRDO prior to the advent of the VMIG 1 rating. Have a superior

ability to repay short-term debt obligations.

P-2 Have a strong ability to repay short-term debt obligations.

P-3 Have an acceptable ability to repay short-term debt obligations.

NP Issuers do not fall within any of the Prime rating categories.

Fitch s Short-Term Issuer or Obligations Ratings

| F1 | Fitch s highest rating indicating the strongest intrinsic capacity for timely payment of financial commitments; may have an added + to denote any exceptionally strong credit feature. |
|----|--|
| F2 | Fitch rating indicating good intrinsic capacity for timely payment of financial commitments. |
| F3 | Fitch rating indicating intrinsic capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is adequate. |
| В | Fitch rating indicating minimal capacity for timely payment of financial commitments, plus heightened vulnerability to near term changes in financial and economic conditions. |
| C | Fitch rating indicating default is a real possibility. |
| NR | Indicates that the obligation is not rated by Standard & Poor s, Moody s or Fitch. |

10

| Notes to schedule of investments (unaudited | e of investments (unau | dited) |
|---|------------------------|--------|
|---|------------------------|--------|

1. Organization and significant accounting policies

Western Asset Intermediate Muni Fund Inc. (the Fund) was incorporated in Maryland on December 19, 1991 and is registered as a diversified, closed-end management investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act). The Board of Directors authorized 100 million shares of \$0.001 par value common stock. The Fund s investment objective is to provide common shareholders a high level of current income exempt from regular federal income taxes consistent with prudent investing.

The following are significant accounting policies consistently followed by the Fund and are in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP).

(a) Investment valuation. The valuations for fixed income securities and certain derivative instruments are typically the prices supplied by independent third party pricing services, which may use market prices or broker/dealer quotations or a variety of fair valuation techniques and methodologies. Short-term fixed income securities that will mature in 60 days or less are valued at amortized cost, unless it is determined that using this method would not reflect an investment s fair value. Futures contracts are valued daily at the settlement price established by the board of trade or exchange on which they are traded. If independent third party pricing services are unable to supply prices for a portfolio investment, or if the prices supplied are deemed by the manager to be unreliable, the market price may be determined by the manager using quotations from one or more broker/dealers. When reliable prices are not readily available, such as when the value of a security has been significantly affected by events after the close of the exchange or market on which the security is principally traded, but before the Fund calculates its net asset value, the Fund values these securities as determined in accordance with procedures approved by the Fund s Board of Directors.

The Fund has adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board Codification Topic 820 (ASC Topic 820). ASC Topic 820 establishes a single definition of fair value, creates a three-tier hierarchy as a framework for measuring fair value based on inputs used to value the Fund s investments, and requires additional disclosure about fair value. The hierarchy of inputs is summarized below.

- Level 1 quoted prices in active markets for identical investments
- Level 2 other significant observable inputs (including quoted prices for similar investments, interest rates, prepayment speeds, credit risk, etc.)
- Level 3 significant unobservable inputs (including the Fund s own assumptions in determining the fair value of investments)

The inputs or methodology used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities.

The Fund uses valuation techniques to measure fair value that are consistent with the market approach and/or income approach, depending on the type of security and the particular circumstance. The market approach uses prices and other relevant information generated by market transactions involving identical or comparable securities. The income approach uses valuation techniques to discount estimated future cash flows

to present value.

The following is a summary of the inputs used in valuing the Fund s assets carried at fair value:

ASSETS

| | QUOTED PRICES | OTHER SIGNIFICANT DBSERVABLE INPUTS | SIGNIFICANT UNOBSERVABLE INPUTS | |
|------------------------|------------------|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------|
| DESCRIPTION | (LEVEL 1) | (LEVEL 2) | (LEVEL 3) | TOTAL |
| Municipal bonds | | \$ 190,094,472 | | \$ 190,094,472 |
| Short-term investments | | 2,620,000 | | 2,620,000 |
| Total investments | | \$ 192,714,472 | | \$ 192,714,472 |

See Schedule of Investments for additional detailed categorizations.

| Notes to schedule of investments (| unaudited) (continued) |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|
|------------------------------------|------------------------|

(b) Futures Contracts. The Fund may use futures contracts generally to gain exposure to, or hedge against, changes in interest rates or gain exposure to, or hedge against, changes in certain asset classes. A futures contract represents a commitment for the future purchase or sale of an asset at a specified price on a specified date.

Upon entering into a futures contract, the Fund is required to deposit cash or cash equivalents with a broker in an amount equal to a certain percentage of the contract amount. This is known as the initial margin and subsequent payments (variation margin) are made or received by the Fund each day, depending on the daily fluctuation in the value of the contract.

Futures contracts involve, to varying degrees, risk of loss. In addition, there is the risk that the Fund may not be able to enter into a closing transaction because of an illiquid secondary market.

(c) Security transactions. Security transactions are accounted for on a trade date basis.

2. Investments

At February 29, 2012, the aggregate gross unrealized appreciation and depreciation of investments for federal income tax purposes were substantially as follows:

| Gross unrealized appreciation | \$ 14,219,869 |
|-------------------------------|------------------|
| Gross unrealized depreciation | (191,179) |
| Net unrealized appreciation | \$ 14,028,690 |

3. Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

Financial Accounting Standards Board Codification Topic 815 requires enhanced disclosure about an entity s derivative and hedging activities.

At February 29, 2012, the Fund did not have any derivative instruments outstanding.

During the period ended February 29, 2012, the volume of derivative activity for the Fund was as follows:

Average Market Value

Futures contracts (to sell) \$ 2,445,172

At February 29, 2012, there were no open positions held in this derivative.

4. Recent accounting pronouncement

In May 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Accounting Standard Update No. 2011-04, Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820) - Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs (ASU No. 2011-04). ASU No. 2011-04 establishes common requirements for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements. ASU No. 2011-04 is effective during interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. Management is currently evaluating the impact the adoption of ASU No. 2011-04 will have on the Fund s financial statements and related disclosures.

| ITEM 2. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURI |
|--------------------------------|
|--------------------------------|

- (a) The registrant s principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that the registrant s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 30a- 3(c) under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act)) are effective as of a date within 90 days of the filing date of this report that includes the disclosure required by this paragraph, based on their evaluation of the disclosure controls and procedures required by Rule 30a-3(b) under the 1940 Act and 15d-15(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- (b) There were no changes in the registrant s internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 30a-3(d) under the 1940 Act) that occurred during the registrant s last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are likely to materially affect the registrant s internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 3. EXHIBITS.

Certifications pursuant to Rule 30a-2(a) under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, are attached hereto.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Western Asset Intermediate Muni Fund Inc.

By /s/ R. Jay Gerken

R. Jay Gerken

Chief Executive Officer

Date: April 25, 2012

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By /s/ R. Jay Gerken

R. Jay Gerken

Chief Executive Officer

Date: April 25, 2012

By /s/ Richard F. Sennett

Richard F. Sennett Principal Financial Officer

Date: April 25, 2012