REGIS CORP Form 10-O February 09, 2011 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE Х **ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended December 31, 2010

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE 0 **ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from

to

Commission file number 1-12725

2

Edgar Filing: REGIS CORP - Form 10-Q

Regis Corporation

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Minnesota (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

7201 Metro Boulevard, Edina, Minnesota (Address of principal executive offices) **41-0749934** (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

> **55439** (Zip Code)

(952) 947-7777

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

N/A

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to be submit and post such files). Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer x

Non-accelerated filer o (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes o No x

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common stock as of February 3, 2011:

Accelerated filer o

Smaller reporting company o

Common Stock, \$.05 par value Class **57,617,211** Number of Shares

REGIS CORPORATION

INDEX

Part I. Financial Information UNAUDITED

	<u>Item 1.</u>	Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements:	3
		Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2010 and June 30, 2010	3
		Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations for the three months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009	4
		Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations for the six months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009	5
		Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the six months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009	6
		Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	7
		Review Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	32
	<u>Item 2.</u>	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	33
	<u>Item 3.</u>	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	56
	<u>Item 4.</u>	Controls and Procedures	56
<u>Part II.</u>	Other Information		
	<u>Item 1.</u>	Legal Proceedings	57
	Item 1A.	Risk Factors	57
	<u>Item 2.</u>	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	59
	<u>Item 4.</u>	Reserved	59
	<u>Item 6.</u>	Exhibits	60
	<u>Signatures</u>		61

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

REGIS CORPORATION

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET (Unaudited)

As Of December 31, 2010 and June 30, 2010 (In thousands, except share data)

	I	December 31, 2010	June 30, 2010
ASSETS			
Current assets:			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	174,290	\$ 151,871
Receivables, net		29,277	24,312
Inventories		160,497	153,380
Deferred income taxes		16,863	16,892
Income tax receivable		24,632	46,207
Other current assets		29,951	36,203
Total current assets		435,510	428,865
Property and equipment, net		351,159	359,250
Goodwill		745,676	736,989
Other intangibles, net		114,568	118,070
Investment in and loans to affiliates		203,340	195,786
Other assets		88,279	80,612
Total assets	\$	1,938,532	\$ 1,919,572
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY			
Current liabilities:			
Long-term debt, current portion	\$	32,700	\$ 51,629
Accounts payable		53,883	57,683
Accrued expenses		155,671	160,797
Total current liabilities		242,254	270,109
Long-term debt and capital lease obligations		371,445	388,400
Other noncurrent liabilities		259,713	247,770
Total liabilities		873,412	906,279
Commitments and contingencies (Note 9)			
Shareholders equity:			
Common stock, \$0.05 par value; issued and outstanding 57,617,211 and 57,561,180 common			
shares at December 31, 2010 and June 30, 2010, respectively		2,881	2,878
Additional paid-in capital		338,514	332,372
Accumulated other comprehensive income		64,489	47,032
Retained earnings		659,236	631,011

Total shareholders equity		1,065,120	1,013,293
	+		
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$	1,938,532 \$	1,919,572

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

REGIS CORPORATION

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited)

For The Three Months Ended December 31, 2010 and 2009

(In thousands, except per share data)

		2010	2009
Revenues:			
Service	\$	430,939	\$ 435,125
Product		133,824	130,671
Royalties and fees		9,609	9,569
		574,372	575,365
Operating expenses:			
Cost of service		249,705	248,812
Cost of product		63,926	62,420
Site operating expenses		50,597	46,409
General and administrative		75,848	72,611
Rent		85,235	85,540
Depreciation and amortization		26,197	27,510
Total operating expenses		551,508	543,302
Operating income		22,864	32,063
Other income (expense):			
Interest expense		(8,738)	(9,069)
Interest income and other, net		2,604	1,411
Income before income taxes and equity in income of affiliated companies		16,730	24,405
Income taxes		(5,345)	(8,908)
Equity in income of affiliated companies, net of income taxes		3,120	2,657
	<i>ф</i>	14.505	¢ 10.154
Net income	\$	14,505	\$ 18,154
Net income per share:	¢	0.26	¢ 0.22
Basic	\$	0.26	\$ 0.32
Diluted	\$	0.24	\$ 0.30
Weighted everyon common and common equivalent charge outstanding.			
Weighted average common and common equivalent shares outstanding: Basic		56.684	56,287
Diluted)	
		68,136	67,570
Cash dividends declared per common share	\$	0.04	\$ 0.04
Cash urviuchus ucchaicu per common share	φ	0.04	φ 0.04

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

REGIS CORPORATION

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited)

For The Six Months Ended December 31, 2010 and 2009

(In thousands, except per share data)

		2010		2009
Revenues:				
Service	\$	870,468	\$	884,403
Product		262,429		276,824
Royalties and fees		19,720		19,688
		1,152,617		1,180,915
Operating expenses:				
Cost of service		499,206		504,781
Cost of product		125,001		141,915
Site operating expenses		99,606		99,085
General and administrative		149,922		145,171
Rent		170,343		171,390
Depreciation and amortization		52,241		54,701
Lease termination costs				3,552
Total operating expenses		1,096,319		1,120,595
Operating income		56,298		60,320
Other income (expense):				
Interest expense		(17,661)		(36,385)
Interest income and other, net		3,381		3,643
Income from continuing operations before income taxes and equity in income of affiliated				
companies		42,018		27,578
Income taxes		(14,992)		(10,527)
Equity in income of affiliated companies, net of income taxes		5,799		5,714
Income from continuing operations		32,825		22,765
Income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes (Note 2)				3,161
AT . '	¢	22.925	¢	25.026
Net income	\$	32,825	\$	25,926
AT . 1				
Net income per share:				
Basic:		0.50		0.41
Income from continuing operations		0.58		0.41
Income from discontinued operations	¢	0.50	¢	0.06
Net income per share, basic	\$	0.58	\$	0.47
Dilutadi				
Diluted:		0.54		0.40
Income from continuing operations		0.34		0.40 0.05
Income from discontinued operations	\$	0.54	\$	0.05
Net income per share, diluted	Φ	0.34	φ	0.43

Weighted average common and common equivalent shares outstanding:		
Basic	56,657	55,215
Diluted	68,053	65,615
Cash dividends declared per common share	\$ 0.08 \$	0.08

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Information.

REGIS CORPORATION

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

For The Six Months Ended December 31, 2010 and 2009

(In thousands)

	2010	2009
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income \$	32,825 \$	25,926
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation	47,354	49,723
Amortization	4,887	4,978
Equity in income of affiliated companies	(5,799)	(5,714)
Deferred income taxes	628	(3,240)
Impairment on discontinued operations		(154)
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation plans	(67)	
Stock-based compensation	5,004	4,636
Amortization of debt discount and financing costs	3,188	3,342
Other noncash items affecting earnings	693	(376)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities (1):		
Receivables	(4,592)	19,925
Inventories	(5,627)	(1,689)
Income tax receivable	21,575	11,854
Other current assets	6,672	4,935
Other assets	(2,046)	(32,063)
Accounts payable	(4,123)	(7,178)
Accrued expenses	(6,439)	(1,914)
Other noncurrent liabilities	8,700	4,330
Net cash provided by operating activities	102,833	77,321
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Capital expenditures	(30,663)	(24,346)
Proceeds from sale of assets	19	32
Asset acquisitions, net of cash acquired and certain obligations assumed	(8,106)	(684)
Proceeds from loans and investments	15,000	16,099
Disbursements for loans and investments	(15,000)	
Net cash used in investing activities	(38,750)	(8,899)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Borrowings on revolving credit facilities		337,000
Payments on revolving credit facilities		(342,000)
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt, net of \$5.2 million underwriting discount in 2009		167,325
Repayments of long-term debt and capital lease obligations	(42,592)	(313,289)
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation plans	67	
Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net of \$7.2 million underwriting discount in 2009	691	156,436
Dividends paid	(4,599)	(4,569)
Other		(2,878)
Net cash used in financing activities	(46,433)	(1,975)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	4,769	5,499

71,946
42,538
114,484

(1) Changes in operating assets and liabilities exclude assets acquired and liabilities assumed through acquisitions.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

REGIS CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION OF UNAUDITED INTERIM CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES:

The unaudited interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of Regis Corporation (the Company) as of December 31, 2010 and for the three and six months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, reflect, in the opinion of management, all adjustments necessary to fairly state the consolidated financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2010 and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for the interim periods. Adjustments consist only of normal recurring items, except for any discussed in the notes below. The results of operations and cash flows for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of results of operations and cash flows for the full year.

The Consolidated Balance Sheet data for June 30, 2010 was derived from audited Consolidated Financial Statements, but does not include all disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). The unaudited interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements should be read in conjunction with the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2010 and other documents filed or furnished with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) during the current fiscal year.

The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of the Company as of December 31, 2010 and for the three and six month periods ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 included in this Form 10-Q have been reviewed by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm. Their separate report dated February 9, 2011 appearing herein, states that they did not audit and they do not express an opinion on that unaudited financial information. Accordingly, the degree of reliance on their report on such information should be restricted in light of the limited nature of the review procedures applied. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP is not subject to the liability provisions of Section 11 of the Securities Act of 1933 for their report on the unaudited financial information because that report is not a report or a part of the registration statement prepared or certified by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP within the meaning of Sections 7 and 11 of the Act.

Stock-Based Employee Compensation:

Stock-based awards are granted under the terms of the 2004 Long Term Incentive Plan (2004 Plan). Additionally, the Company has outstanding stock options under its 1991 Stock Option Plan (1991 Plan) and 2000 Stock Option Plan (2000 Plan), although the Plans terminated in 2001 and 2010, respectively. On October 28, 2010 our stockholders approved an amendment to the 2004 Plan to increase the maximum number of shares of the Company s common stock authorized for issuance from 2,500,000 to 6,750,000. Under these plans, four types of stock-based compensation awards are granted: stock options, equity-based stock appreciation rights (SARs), restricted stock awards (RSAs) and restricted stock units (RSUs). The stock-based awards, other than the RSUs, expire within ten years from the grant date. The RSUs cliff vest after five years, and payment of the RSUs is deferred until January 31 of the year following vesting. Unvested awards are subject to forfeiture in the event of termination of employment. The Company utilizes an option-pricing model to estimate the fair value of options and SARs at their grant date. Stock options and SARs are granted at not less than fair market value on the date of grant. The Company s primary employee stock-based compensation awards on a straight-line basis over a five-year vesting period. Awards granted do not contain acceleration of vesting terms for

retirement eligible recipients.

Total compensation cost for stock-based payment arrangements totaled \$2.6 and \$2.3 million for the three months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 respectively, and \$5.0 and \$4.6 million for the six months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Stock options outstanding, weighted average exercise price and weighted average fair values as of December 31, 2010 were as follows:

Options	Shares	Weighted Average Exer Price	
	(in thousands)	φ	20.40
Outstanding at June 30, 2010	980	\$	29.48
Granted			
Exercised	(4)		15.09
Forfeited or expired	(4)		22.90
Outstanding at September 30, 2010	972	\$	29.56
Granted			
Exercised	(42)		15.04
Forfeited or expired	(32)		15.90
Outstanding at December 31, 2010	898	\$	30.73
Exercisable at December 31, 2010	623	\$	32.77

Outstanding options of 898,338 at December 31, 2010 had an intrinsic value (the amount by which the stock price exceeded the exercise or grant date price) of zero and a weighted average remaining contractual term of 5.0 years. Exercisable options of 623,238 at December 31, 2010 had an intrinsic value of zero and a weighted average remaining contractual term of 3.7 years. Of the outstanding and unvested options, 255,755 are expected to vest with a \$26.44 per share weighted average grant price, a weighted average remaining contractual life of 7.9 years and a total intrinsic value of zero.

All options granted relate to stock option plans that have been approved by the shareholders of the Company.

A rollforward of RSAs, RSUs and SARs outstanding, as well as other relevant terms of the awards, were as follows:

	Nonvested		SARs	Outstan	anding	
	Restricted Stock Outstanding Shares/Units (in thousands)		Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	Shares (in thousands)		Weighted Average Exercise Price
Balance, June 30, 2010	1,146	\$	24.70	1,110	\$	26.24
Granted	7		16.77			
Vested/Exercised	3		19.50			
Forfeited or expired	(18)		21.94	(21)		25.58
Balance, September 30, 2010	1,138	\$	24.68	1,089	\$	26.25
Granted						
Vested/Exercised	(11)		21.31			
Forfeited or expired				(13)		28.36
Balance, December 31, 2010	1,127	\$	24.71	1,076	\$	26.23

Outstanding and unvested RSAs of 911,666 at December 31, 2010 had an intrinsic value of \$15.1 million and a weighted average remaining unvested term of 1.9 years. Of the outstanding and unvested awards, 866,121 are expected to vest with a total intrinsic value of \$14.4 million.

Outstanding and unvested RSUs of 215,000 at December 31, 2010 had an intrinsic value of \$3.6 million and a weighted average remaining contractual term of 1.2 years. All unvested RSUs are expected to vest in fiscal year 2012.

Outstanding SARs of 1,075,700 at December 31, 2010 had a total intrinsic value of zero and a weighted average remaining contractual term of 7.1 years. Exercisable SARs of 452,670 at December 31, 2010 had a total intrinsic value of zero and a weighted average remaining contractual term of 6.0 years. Of the outstanding and unvested rights, 603,000 are expected to vest with a \$22.10 per share weighted average grant price, a weighted average remaining contractual life of 8.0 years and a total intrinsic value of zero.

During the three and six months ended December 31, 2010 total cash received from the exercise of share-based instruments was \$0.6 and \$0.7 million, respectively. During the three and six months ended December 31, 2009 total cash received from the exercise of share-based instruments was zero.

As of December 31, 2010, the total unrecognized compensation cost related to all unvested stock-based compensation arrangements was \$23.0 million. The related weighted average period over which such cost is expected to be recognized was approximately 3.1 years as of December 31, 2010.

The total intrinsic value of all stock-based compensation that was exercised during the three and six months ended December 31, 2010 was \$0.2 and \$0.2 million, respectively. The total intrinsic value of all stock-based compensation that was exercised during the three and six months ended December 31, 2009 was zero.

Goodwill:

Goodwill is tested for impairment annually or at the time of a triggering event. In evaluating whether goodwill is impaired, the Company compares the carrying value of each reporting unit, including goodwill, to the estimated fair value of the reporting unit. The carrying value of each reporting unit is based on the assets and liabilities associated with the operations of the reporting unit, including allocation of shared or corporate balances among reporting units. Allocations are generally based on the number of salons in each reporting unit as a percent of total company-owned salons.

The Company calculates the estimated fair value of the reporting units based on discounted future cash flows that utilize estimates in annual revenue, gross margins, fixed expense rates, allocated corporate overhead, and long-term growth for determining terminal value. The Company s estimated future cash flows also take into consideration acquisition integration and maturation. Where available and as appropriate, comparative market multiples are used to corroborate the results of the discounted cash flow. The Company considers its various concepts to be reporting units when testing for goodwill impairment because that is where the Company believes the goodwill resides. The Company periodically engages third-party valuation consultants to assist in evaluation of the Company s estimated fair value calculations. The Company s policy is to perform its annual goodwill impairment test during its third quarter of each fiscal year ending June 30.

In the situations where a reporting unit s carrying value exceeds its estimated fair value, the amount of the impairment loss must be measured. The measurement of impairment is calculated by determining the implied fair value of a reporting unit s goodwill. In calculating the implied fair value of goodwill, the fair value of the reporting unit is allocated to all other assets and liabilities of that unit based on the relative fair values. The excess of the fair value of the reporting unit over the amount assigned to its assets and liabilities is the implied fair value of goodwill. The goodwill impairment is measured as the excess of the carrying value of goodwill over its implied fair value.

As a result of the Company s annual impairment analysis of goodwill during the third quarter of fiscal year 2010, a \$35.3 million impairment charge was recorded within continuing operations for the excess of the carrying value of goodwill over the implied fair value of goodwill for the Regis salon concept. The estimated fair value of the Promenade salon concept exceeded its respective carrying value by approximately 10.0 percent. The respective fair values of the Company s remaining reporting units exceeded fair value by greater than 20.0 percent. While the Company has determined the estimated fair value of Promenade to be appropriate based on the historical level of revenue growth, operating income and cash flows, it is reasonably likely that Promenade may become impaired in future periods. The term reasonably likely refers to an occurrence that is more than remote but less than probable in the judgment of the Company. Because some of the factors that influence the inherent assumptions and estimates used in determining the fair value of the reportable segment are outside the control of management, changes in these underlying assumptions can adversely impact fair value. Potential impairment of a portion or all of the carrying value of the Promenade salon concept goodwill is dependent on many factors and cannot be predicted with certainty.

As of December 31, 2010, the Company s estimated fair value, as determined by the sum of our reporting units fair value, reconciled to within a reasonable range of our market capitalization which included an assumed control premium. The Company concluded there were no triggering events requiring the Company to perform an interim goodwill impairment test between the annual impairment testing and December 31, 2010.

A summary of the Company s goodwill balance as of December 31, 2010 by reporting unit is as follows:

Reporting Unit	Decembe	As of December 31, 2010 (Dollars in thousands)		
Regis	\$	103,654		
MasterCuts		4,652		
SmartStyle		48,686		
Supercuts		123,376		
Promenade		313,734		
Total North America Salons		594,102		
Hair Restoration Centers		151,574		
Total	\$	745,676		

Recent Accounting Standards Adopted by the Company:

Disclosure about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses

In July 2010, the FASB issued guidance to amend the disclosure requirements related to the credit quality of financing receivables and the allowance for credit losses. The guidance requires disclosures on a disaggregated basis on two defined levels: (1) portfolio segment; and (2) class of financing receivable. The guidance amends existing disclosures to require an entity to provide the following disclosures on a disaggregated basis: rollforward schedule of the allowance for credit losses from the beginning to the end of the reporting period on a portfolio segment basis, the related recorded investment in financing receivables for each disaggregated ending balance, the nonaccrual status of financing receivables by class of financing receivables, and impaired financing receivables by class of financing receivables. Additionally, the guidance requires, among other things, new disclosures on the credit quality indicators of financing receivables at the end of the reporting period by class of financing receivables and the aging of past due financing receivables at the end of the reporting periods ending on or after December 15, 2010. The new and amended disclosures about activity that occurs during a reporting period are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2010. The adoption of the new guidance on October 1, 2010, for disclosure requirements related to the credit quality of financing receivables and allowance for credit losses, did not have a material effect on the Company s financial position, results of operations, and cash flows.

Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments

In January 2010, the FASB issued guidance to amend the disclosure requirements related to recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements. The guidance requires new disclosures on the transfers of assets and liabilities between Level 1 (quoted prices in active market for identical assets or liabilities) and Level 2 (significant other observable inputs) of the fair value measurement hierarchy, including the reasons and the timing of the transfers. Additionally, the guidance requires a roll forward of activities on purchases, sales, issuance, and settlements of the assets and liabilities measured using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3 fair value measurements).

The Company adopted the new disclosure guidance on January 1, 2010 and the disclosure on the roll forward activities for Level 3 fair value measurements will be adopted by the Company on July 1, 2011.

Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements

In October 2009, the FASB issued guidance on the accounting for multiple-deliverable revenue arrangements. The guidance removes the criterion that entities must use objective and reliable evidence of fair value in separately accounting for deliverables and provides entities with a hierarchy of evidence that must be considered when allocating arrangement consideration. The new guidance also requires entities to allocate arrangement consideration to the separate units of accounting based on the deliverables relative selling price. The adoption of the new guidance on July 1, 2010, for multiple-deliverable revenue arrangements, did not have a material effect on the Company s financial position, results of operations, and cash flows.

Amendments to Accounting for Variable Interest Entities

In June 2009, the FASB issued guidance on the accounting for variable interest entities (VIE). The guidance requires a qualitative approach to identifying a controlling financial interest in a VIE and requires ongoing assessment of whether an entity is a VIE and whether an entity is a primary beneficiary of a VIE. This guidance requires enhanced disclosures that will provide users of financial statements with more transparent information about an enterprise s involvement in a VIE. The adoption of the new guidance on July 1, 2010, for variable interest entities, did not have a material effect on the Company s financial position, results of operations, and cash flows.

2. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS:

On February 16, 2009, the Company sold its Trade Secret salon concept (Trade Secret). The Company concluded, after a comprehensive review of strategic and financial options, to divest Trade Secret. The sale of Trade Secret included 655 company-owned salons and 57 franchise salons, all of which had historically been reported within the Company s North America reportable segment. The sale of Trade Secret included Cameron Capital I, Inc. (CCI). CCI owned and operated PureBeauty and BeautyFirst salons which were acquired by the Company on February 20, 2008.

The Company concluded that Trade Secret qualified as held for sale as of December 31, 2008, under accounting for the impairment or disposal of long-lived asset guidance, and is presented as discontinued operations in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for all periods presented. The operations and cash flows of Trade Secret have been eliminated from ongoing operations of the Company and there will be no significant continuing involvement in the operations after disposal pursuant to guidance in determining whether to report discontinued operations. The agreement included a provision that the Company would supply product to the purchaser of Trade Secret and provide certain administrative services for a transition period. Under this agreement, the Company recognized \$20.0 million of product revenues on the supply of product sold to the purchaser of Trade Secret during the six months ended December 31, 2009, and \$1.9 million of other income related to the administrative services during the six months ended December 31, 2009. The agreement was substantially complete as of September 30, 2009.

Beginning within the second quarter of fiscal year 2010, the Company has an agreement in which the Company provides warehouse services to the purchaser of Trade Secret. Under the warehouse services agreement, the Company recognized \$0.7 and \$1.1 million of other income related to warehouse services during the three months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. During the six months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company recognized \$1.4 and \$1.1 million, respectively, of other income related to warehouse services.

The following table provides the amounts due to the Company from the purchaser of Trade Secret:

	Classification	December 31, 2010 (Dollars in thousa		-	June 30, 2010 ands)	
Carrying value:						
Warehouse services	Receivables, net	\$	244	\$	359	
Note receivable, current	Other current assets		500		2,838	
Note receivable, current valuation						
allowance	Other current assets				(611)	
Note receivable, long-term	Other assets		32,026		29,000	

Note receivable, long-term valuation			
allowance	Other assets	(1,299)	
Total note receivable, net		\$ 31,471	\$ 31,586

During fiscal year 2010, the Company entered into a formal note receivable agreement with the purchaser of Trade Secret. On July 6, 2010, the purchaser of Trade Secret filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy. In connection with the bankruptcy by the purchaser of Trade Secret, the note receivable agreement was amended in October 2010. The note receivable agreement accrues interest at 8.0 percent which is payable quarterly beginning in December 2010. Principal payments of \$0.5 million are due quarterly beginning in December 2011 with the remainder of the principal due in September 2015.

The Company concluded that the note receivable is an impaired loan as the Company s cash flows were affected through the extension of payment term concessions. The Company evaluated the note receivable for impairment by comparing the carrying amount of the note receivable to the estimated fair value of the collateral. Collateral for the note receivable under the agreement is assets, including property and equipment, inventory, promissory notes and cash, of the purchaser of Trade Secret that the Company believes fully collateralizes the \$31.5 million net receivable as of December 31, 2010. Should the collateral decline there is a risk the Company may need to record reserves in future quarters.

Table of Contents

The long-term valuation allowance on the note receivable is related to accrued interest from April 2010 through October 2010 that the Company has determined the collectability to be less than probable. The Company suspended recognition of interest income effective April 2010 and will use the cash basis method for recognizing future interest income. During the three months ended December 31, 2010, the Company received a quarterly interest payment from the purchaser of Trade Secret totaling approximately \$0.7 million.

The Company utilized the consolidation of variable interest entities guidance to determine whether or not Trade Secret was a VIE, and if so, whether the Company was the primary beneficiary of Trade Secret. The Company concluded that Trade Secret is a VIE based on the fact that the equity investment at risk in Trade Secret is insufficient. The Company determined that it is not the primary beneficiary of Trade Secret based on its exposure to the expected losses of Trade Secret and as it is not the variable interest holder that is most closely associated with the relationship and the significance of the activities of Trade Secret. The exposure to loss related to the Company s involvement with Trade Secret is the carrying value of the amount due from the purchaser of Trade Secret and the guarantee of less than 30 operating leases. The Company has determined the exposure to the risk of loss on the guarantee of the operating leases to be remote.

The income from discontinued operations is summarized below:

		For the Six Months Ended December 31,	
	20	10 2009)
		(Dollars in thousands)	
Income from discontinued operations, before income taxes	\$	\$	154
Income tax benefit on discontinued operations			3,007
Income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes	\$	\$	3,161

During the first quarter of fiscal year 2010, the Company recorded a \$3.0 million tax benefit in discontinued operations to correct the prior year calculation of the income tax benefit related to the disposition of the Trade Secret salon concept. The Company does not believe the adjustment is material to its results of operations for the six months ended December 31, 2009 or its financial position or results of operations of any prior periods.

3. SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY:

Net Income Per Share:

The Company s basic earnings per share is calculated as net income divided by weighted average common shares outstanding, excluding unvested outstanding RSAs and RSUs. The Company s dilutive earnings per share is calculated as net income divided by weighted average common shares and common share equivalents outstanding, which includes shares issuable under the Company s stock option plan and long-term incentive plan and dilutive securities. Stock-based awards with exercise prices greater than the average market value of the Company s common stock are excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share. The Company s dilutive earnings per share will also reflect the assumed conversion under the Company s convertible debt if the impact is dilutive. The impact of the convertible debt is excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share obtainable upon conversion is greater than basic earnings per share.

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of shares used in the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share:

	For the Periods Ended December 31, Three Months Six Months							
	2010	2009 (Shares in thou	2010	ns 2009				
Weighted average shares for		<u> </u>	,					
basic earnings per share	56,684	56,287	56,657	55,215				
Effect of dilutive securities:								
Dilutive effect of stock-based								
compensation	294	125	238	91				
Dilutive effect of convertible								
debt	11,158	11,158	11,158	10,309				
Weighted average shares for								
diluted earnings per share	68,136	67,570	68,053	65,615				

The following table sets forth the awards which are excluded from the various earnings per share calculations:

	For the Periods Ended December 31,							
	Three Mo	nths	Six Months					
	2010	2009	2010	2009				
	(Shares in tho	usands)	(Shares in thou	isands)				
Basic earnings per share:								
RSAs (1)	912	819	912	819				
RSUs (1)	215	215	215	215				
	1,127	1,034	1,127	1,034				
Diluted earnings per share:								
Stock options (2)	898	1,026	898	1,026				
SARs (2)	1,076	1,108	1,076	1,108				
RSAs (2)	109	184	109	806				
	2,083	2,318	2,083	2,940				

(1) Shares were not vested

(2) Shares were anti-dilutive

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of the net income from continuing operations available to common shareholders and the net income from continuing operations for diluted earnings per share under the if-converted method:

		Three	l Months	For the Periods En	ded Dece	ember 31, Six Mo	nths	
		2010	line	2009 (Dollars in t	housand	2010	iitiis	2009
Net income from continuing operations available to common								
shareholders	\$	14,505	\$	18,154	\$	32,825	\$	22,765
Effect of dilutive securities:								
Interest on convertible debt		2,013		1,936		4,027		3,565
Net income from continuing operations for diluted earnings per share	\$	16,518	\$	20.090	\$	36,852	\$	26,330
share	Ψ	10,510	Ψ	20,090	Ψ	50,052	Ψ	20,550

Additional Paid-In Capital:

The change in additional paid-in capital during the six months ended December 31, 2010 was due to the following:

(Dollars in thousands)

Balance, June 30, 2010	\$ 332,372
Stock-based compensation	5,004
Exercise of stock options	689
Franchise stock incentive plan	389
Tax benefit realized upon exercise of stock options	67
Other	(7)
Balance, December 31, 2010	\$ 338,514

Comprehensive Income:

Components of comprehensive income for the Company include net income, changes in fair market value of financial instruments designated as hedges of interest rate or foreign currency exposure and foreign currency translation charged or credited to the cumulative translation account within shareholders equity. Comprehensive income for the three and six months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 was as follows:

		ŀ	For the Periods End	led De	cember 31,		
	Three M	Ionths			Six Mo	nths	
	2010		2009		2010		2009
	(Dollars in t	thousar	nds)		(Dollars in t	housan	lds)
Net income	\$ 14,505	\$	18,154	\$	32,825	\$	25,926
Other comprehensive income (loss):							
Changes in fair market value of							
financial instruments designated as							
cash flow hedges of interest rate							
exposure, net of taxes	(31)		31		(95)		2,132
Change in cumulative foreign currency							
translation	2,756		(641)		17,552		12,645
Total comprehensive income	\$ 17,230	\$	17,544	\$	50,282	\$	40,703

4. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS:

On July 1, 2008, the Company adopted fair value measurement guidance for financial assets and liabilities. On July 1, 2009, the Company adopted fair value measurement guidance for nonfinancial assets and liabilities. This guidance defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosure requirements about fair value measurements. This guidance defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. The fair value hierarchy prescribed by this guidance contains three levels as follows:

Level 1 Unadjusted quoted prices that are available in active markets for the identical assets or liabilities at the measurement date.

Level 2 Other observable inputs available at the measurement date, other than quoted prices included in Level 1, either directly or indirectly, including:

- Quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets;
- Quoted prices for identical or similar assets in non-active markets;

- Inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability; and
- Inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by other observable market data.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that cannot be corroborated by observable market data and reflect the use of significant management judgment. These values are generally determined using pricing models for which the assumptions utilize management s estimates of market participant assumptions.

Assets and Liabilities that are Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The fair value hierarchy requires the use of observable market data when available. In instances in which the inputs used to measure fair value fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the fair value measurement has been determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The Company s assessment of the significance of a particular item to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, including the consideration of inputs specific to the asset or liability. The following tables sets forth by level within the fair value hierarchy, the Company s financial assets and liabilities that were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis at December 31, 2010 and June 30, 2010, according to the valuation techniques the Company used to determine their fair values.

	 Value at per 31, 2010	L	evel 1	Using Inputs Lev	Aeasurements Considered a el 2 thousands)	Level 3
ASSETS						
Non-current assets						
Preferred shares	\$ 3,831	\$		\$		\$ 3,831
LIABILITIES						
Current liabilities						
Derivative instruments	\$ 380	\$		\$	380	\$
Non-current liabilities						
Derivative instruments	\$ 587	\$		\$	587	\$
Equity put option	24,082					24,082
· · · ·						

	 Value at 30, 2010	Level 1	Using Inputs Lev	Measurements Considered as vel 2 i thousands)	5	Level 3
ASSETS						
Non-current assets						
Derivative instruments	\$ 274	\$	\$	274	\$	
Preferred shares	3,502					3,502
LIABILITIES						
Current liabilities						
Derivative instruments	\$ 401	\$	\$	401	\$	
Non-current liabilities						
Derivative instruments	\$ 1,039	\$	\$	1,039	\$	
Equity put option	22,009					22,009

Changes in Financial Instruments Measured at Level 3 Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The following tables present the changes during the three and six months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 in our Level 3 financial instruments that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

	Prefer	0	Level 3 Fa Equi	cial Instruments Measu nir Value Classified as ty Put Option rs in thousands)	red Total
Balance at July 1, 2010	\$	3,502	\$	22,009 \$	25,511
Total realized and unrealized gains (losses) including translation:					
Included in other comprehensive income		230		2,514	2,744
Balance at September 30, 2010	\$	3,732	\$	24,523 \$	28,255
Total realized and unrealized gains (losses) including translation:					
Included in other comprehensive income		99		(441)	(342)
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$	3,831	\$	24,082 \$	27,913

	Changes in Financial Instruments Measured at Level 3 Fair Value Classified as						
		Equity Put Option	Total				
		(Dollars in thousand	ls)				
Balance at July 1, 2009	\$	24,161 \$	24,161				
Total realized and unrealized gains (losses) including							
translation:							
Included in other comprehensive income		1,029	1,029				
Balance at September 30, 2009	\$	25,190 \$	25,190				
Total realized and unrealized gains (losses) including							
translation:							
Included in other comprehensive income		(551)	(551)				
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$	24,639 \$	24,639				

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instrument:

Derivative instruments. The Company s derivative instrument assets and liabilities consist of cash flow hedges represented by interest rate swaps and forward foreign currency contracts. The instruments are classified as Level 2 as the fair value is obtained using observable inputs available for similar liabilities in active markets at the measurement date, as provided by sources independent from the Company. See breakout by type of contract and reconciliation to the balance sheet line item that each contract is classified within Note 7 of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Equity put option. The Company s merger of the European franchise salon operations with the operations of the Franck Provost Salon Group on January 31, 2008 contained an equity put and an equity call. In December 2010, a portion of the Equity Put was exercised. See further discussion within Note 6 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. The equity put option is valued using binomial lattice models that incorporate assumptions including the business enterprise value at that date and future estimates of volatility and earnings before interest, taxes,

and depreciation and amortization multiples.

Preferred Shares. The Company has preferred shares in Yamano Holding Corporation. See further discussion within Note 6 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. The preferred shares are classified as Level 3 as there are no quoted market prices and minimal market participant data for preferred shares of similar rating. The preferred shares are classified within investment in and loans to affiliates on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. The fair value of the preferred shares is based on the financial health of Yamano Holding Corporation and terms within the preferred share agreement which allow the Company to convert the subscription amount of the preferred shares into equity of MY Style, a wholly owned subsidiary of Yamano Holding Corporation. As of December 31, 2010, the subscription value of the preferred shares of 311,131,284 Yen (\$3.8 million) represents the fair value of the preferred shares.

Financial Instruments. In addition to the financial instruments listed above, the Company s financial instruments also include cash, cash equivalents, receivables, accounts payable and debt.

The fair value of cash and cash equivalents, receivables and accounts payable approximated the carrying values as of December 31, 2010. At December 31, 2010, the estimated fair values and carrying amounts of debt were \$425.2 and \$404.1 million, respectively. The estimated fair value of debt was determined based on internal valuation models, which utilize quoted market prices and interest rates for the same or similar instruments.

Table of Contents

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis. We measure certain assets, including the Company s equity method investments, tangible fixed assets and goodwill, at fair value on a nonrecurring basis when they are deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired. The fair values of our investments are determined based on valuation techniques using the best information available, and may include quoted market prices, market comparables, and discounted cash flow projections.

There were no assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis during the three and six months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009.

5. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLES:

The table below contains details related to the Company s recorded goodwill as of December 31, 2010 and June 30, 2010:

	No	Salo rth America	ons	International (Dollars in	ir Restoration Centers nds)	Consolidated
Gross goodwill at June 30,						
2010	\$	700,012	\$	41,661	\$ 150,380	\$ 892,053
Accumulated impairment						
losses		(113,403)		(41,661)		(155,064)
Net goodwill at June 30, 2010		586,609			150,380	736,989
Goodwill acquired (1)		3,949			1,197	5,146
Translation rate adjustments		3,544			(3)	3,541
Gross goodwill at						
December 31, 2010		707,505		41,661	151,574	900,740
Accumulated impairment						
losses		(113,403)		(41,661)		(155,064)
Net goodwill at December 31,						
2010	\$	594,102	\$		\$ 151,574	\$ 745,676

(1) See Note 6 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

The table below presents other intangible assets as of December 31, 2010 and June 30, 2010:

	December 31, 2010 Accumulated Cost Amortization Net Cost (Dollars in thousands)						June 30, 2010 Accumulated Amortization			Net	
Amortized intangible assets:											
Brand assets and trade names	\$ 80,088	\$	(13,223)	\$	66,865	\$	79,596	\$	(12,139)	\$	67,457

Customer lists	52.272	(31,369)	20.903	52.045	(28,652)	23,393
Franchise agreements	21.768	(8,264)	13.504	21,245	(7,543)	13,702
Lease intangibles	14.886	(4,778)	10,108	14.674	(4,360)	10,314
Non-compete	,			, - ·	()/	- ,-
agreements	341	(190)	151	320	(146)	174
Other	5,297	(2,260)	3,037	6,755	(3,725)	3,030
	\$ 174,652	\$ (60,084)	\$ 114,568	\$ 174,635	\$ (56,565)	\$ 118,070

All intangible assets have been assigned an estimated finite useful life and are amortized over the number of years that approximate their respective useful lives (ranging from one to 40 years). The cost of intangible assets is amortized to earnings in proportion to the amount of economic benefits obtained by the Company in that reporting period. The weighted average amortization periods, in total and by major intangible asset class, are as follows:

	Weighted A Amortization (In yea	n Period
	December 31, 2010	June 30, 2010
Amortized intangible assets:	51, 2010	30, 2010
Brand assets and trade names	39	39
Customer lists	10	10
Franchise agreements	22	22
Lease intangibles	20	20
Non-compete agreements	5	5
Other	22	18
Total	26	26

Total amortization expense related to the amortizable intangible assets was approximately \$2.5 million during each of the three months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and \$4.9 and \$5.0 million during the six months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. As of December 31, 2010, future estimated amortization expense related to amortizable intangible assets is estimated to be:

Fiscal Year	llars in ısands)
2011 (Remainder: six-month period)	\$ 4,886
2012	9,527
2013	9,216
2014	9,002
2015	5,977

6. ACQUISITIONS, INVESTMENT IN AND LOANS TO AFFILIATES:

Acquisitions

During the six months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company made salon and hair restoration center acquisitions and the purchase prices have been allocated to assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values at the dates of acquisition. Operations of the acquired companies have been included in the operations of the Company since the date of the respective acquisition.

Based upon purchase price allocations, the components of the aggregate purchase prices of the acquisitions made during the six months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 and the allocation of the purchase prices were as follows:

	For the Six Months Ended December 31,					
Allocation of Purchase Prices		2010		2009		
	(Dollars in thousands)					
Components of aggregate purchase prices:						
Cash	\$	8,106	\$	684		
Deferred purchase price		154				
	\$	8,260	\$	684		
Allocation of the purchase price:						
Current assets	\$	470	\$	69		
Property and equipment		2,424		385		
Goodwill		5,146		208		
Identifiable intangible assets		649		86		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses		(356)		(64)		
Other noncurrent liabilities		(73)				
	\$	8,260	\$	684		

The majority of the purchase price in salon acquisitions is accounted for as residual goodwill rather than identifiable intangible assets. This stems from the value associated with the walk-in customer base of the acquired salons, which is not recorded as an identifiable intangible asset under current accounting guidance, as well as the limited value and customer preference associated with the acquired hair salon brand. Key factors considered by consumers of hair salon services include

Table of Contents

personal relationships with individual stylists, service quality and price point competitiveness. These attributes represent the going concern value of the salon.

Residual goodwill further represents the Company s opportunity to strategically combine the acquired business with the Company s existing structure to serve a greater number of customers through its expansion strategies. In the acquisitions of international salons and hair restoration centers, the residual goodwill primarily represents the growth prospects that are not captured as part of acquired tangible or identified intangible assets. Generally, the goodwill recognized in the North American salon transactions is expected to be fully deductible for tax purposes and the goodwill recognized in the international salon transactions is non-deductible for tax purposes. Goodwill generated in certain acquisitions, such as the acquisition of hair restoration centers, is not deductible for tax purposes due to the acquisition structure of the transaction.

During the six months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, certain of the Company s salon acquisitions were from its franchisees. The Company evaluated the effective settlement of the pre-existing franchise contracts and associated rights afforded by those contracts. The Company determined that the effective settlement of the pre-existing franchise contracts at the date of the acquisition did not result in a gain or loss, as the agreements were neither favorable nor unfavorable when compared to similar current market transactions, and no settlement provisions exist in the pre-existing contracts. Therefore, no settlement gain or loss was recognized with respect to the Company s franchise buybacks.

Investment in and loans to affiliates

The table below presents the carrying amount of investments in and loans to affiliates as of December 31, 2010 and June 30, 2010:

	Dec	December 31, 2010		une 30, 2010		
		(Dollars in thousands)				
Empire Education Group, Inc.	\$	101,609	\$	102,882		
Provalliance		84,442		75,481		
MY Style		12,139		12,116		
Hair Club for Men, Ltd.		5,150		5,307		
	\$	203,340	\$	195,786		

Empire Education Group, Inc.

On August 1, 2007, the Company contributed its 51 wholly-owned accredited cosmetology schools to Empire Education Group, Inc. (EEG) in exchange for a 49.0 percent equity interest in EEG. In January 2008, the Company s effective ownership interest increased to 55.1 percent related to the buyout of EEG s minority interest shareholder. EEG operates 102 accredited cosmetology schools.

At December 31, 2010, the Company had a \$21.4 million outstanding loan receivable with EEG. The Company has also provided EEG with a \$15.0 million revolving credit facility, against which there were no outstanding borrowings as of December 31, 2010. The Company reviews the outstanding loan with EEG for changes in circumstances or the occurrence of events that suggest the Company s loan may not be recoverable. The \$21.4 million outstanding loan with EEG as of December 31, 2010 is in good standing with no associated valuation allowance. During each

of the three months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company recorded \$0.2 million of interest income related to the loan and revolving credit facility. During the six months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company recorded \$0.4 and \$0.3 million, respectively, of interest income related to the loan and revolving credit facility. The Company has also guaranteed a credit facility of EEG. The exposure to loss related to the Company s involvement with EEG is the carrying value of the investment, the outstanding loan and the guarantee of the credit facility.

The Company utilized consolidation of variable interest entities guidance to determine whether or not its investment in EEG was a variable interest entity (VIE), and if so, whether the Company was the primary beneficiary of the VIE. The Company concluded that EEG was not a VIE based on the fact that EEG had sufficient equity at risk. As the substantive voting control relates to the voting rights of the Board of Directors, the Company granted the other shareholder a proxy to vote such number of the Company s shares such that the other shareholder would have voting control of 51.0 percent of the common stock of EEG. The Company accounts for EEG as an equity investment under the voting interest model. During the six months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company recorded \$2.9 and \$2.7 million of equity earnings related to its investment in EEG. EEG declared and distributed a dividend in December 2010 for which the Company received \$4.1 million in cash and recorded tax expense of \$0.3 million.

Table of Contents

Provalliance

On January 31, 2008, the Company merged its continental European franchise salon operations with the operations of the Franck Provost Salon Group in exchange for a 30.0 percent equity interest in the newly formed Provalliance entity (Provalliance). The merger with the operations of the Franck Provost Salon Group, which are also located in continental Europe, created Europe s largest salon operator with approximately 2,500 company-owned and franchise salons as of December 31, 2010.

The merger agreement contains a right (Equity Put) to require the Company to purchase an additional ownership interest in Provalliance between specified dates in 2010 to 2018. The acquisition price is determined based on a multiple of the earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization of Provalliance for a trailing twelve month period adjusted for certain items as defined in the agreement which is intended to approximate fair value. The initial estimated fair value of the Equity Put as of January 31, 2008, approximately \$24.8 million, has been included as a component of the Company s investment in Provalliance. A corresponding liability for the same amount as the Equity Put was recorded in other noncurrent liabilities. Any changes in the estimated fair value of the Equity Put are recorded in the Company s consolidated statement of operations. There was no change in the fair value of the Equity put during the six months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009. Any changes related to foreign currency translation are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income. The Company recorded a \$2.1 million and \$0.4 million increase in the Equity Put related to foreign currency translation during the six months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, see further discussion within Note 4 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. If the Equity Put is exercised, and the Company fails to complete the purchase, the parties exercising the Equity Put will be entitled to exercise various remedies against the Company, including the right to purchase the Company s interest in Provalliance for a purchase price determined based on a discounted multiple of the earnings before interest and taxes of Provalliance for a trailing twelve month period. The merger agreement also contains an option (Equity Call) whereby the Company can acquire additional ownership interest in Provalliance between specific dates in 2018 to 2020 at an acquisition price determined consistent with the Equity Put.

In December 2010, a portion of the Equity Put was exercised. In January of 2011, the Company elected to honor the Equity Put and plans to acquire an additional 17 percent equity interest in Provalliance, bringing the Company s total equity interest to approximately 46 percent. The option to purchase the remainder of Provalliance s equity interest under the Equity Put continues to exist through 2018.

The Company expects to acquire the 17 percent additional equity interest prior to June 30, 2011 for approximately \$56 million (approximately 40 million) in cash. When the equity interest is purchased, the Company expects to recognize a gain of approximately \$1.0 to \$3.0 million representing the reversal of the Equity Put liability which will be extinguished upon settlement. After the reversal of the Equity Put liability associated with the additional 17 percent equity interest, the remaining Equity Put liability will be approximately \$21.0 million to \$23.0 million.

The Company utilized the consolidation of variable interest entities guidance to determine whether or not its investment in Provalliance was a VIE, and if so, whether the Company was the primary beneficiary of the VIE. The Company concluded that Provalliance is a VIE based on the fact that the holders of the equity investment at risk, as a group, lack the obligation to absorb the expected losses of the entity. The Equity Put is based on a formula that may or may not be at market when exercised, therefore, it could provide the Company with the characteristic of a controlling financial interest or could prevent the Franck Provost Salon Group from absorbing its share of expected losses by transferring such obligation to the Company. Under certain circumstances, including a decline in the fair value of Provalliance, the Equity Put could be exercised and the Franck Provost Group could be protected from absorbing the downside of the equity interest. As the Equity Put absorbs a large amount of variability this characteristic results in Provalliance being a VIE.

Regis determined that the Franck Provost Group has met the power criterion due to the Franck Provost Group having the authority to direct the activities that most significantly impact Provalliance s economic performance. The Company concluded based on the considerations above that the primary beneficiary of Provalliance is the Franck Provost Group. The Company has accounted for its interest in Provalliance as an equity method investment. The exposure to loss related to the Company s involvement with Provalliance is the carrying value of the investment and future changes in fair value of the Equity Put that is unable to be quantified as of this date.

In connection with the future purchase of the additional equity interest the Company will reassess the consolidation of variable interest entities guidance to determine whether the Company will now be considered the primary beneficiary of the VIE. The Company believes the future purchase will not have a significant impact on the conclusion that the primary beneficiary of Provalliance is the Franck Provost Group.

The tables below contain details related to the Company s investment in Provalliance:

	Impact on Condensed Consolidat	ed Balance Sheet					
	Classification	December 3	1, 2010	g Value at Jui thousands)	ne 30, 2	2010	
	Investment in and loans to		(
Investment in Provalliance	affiliates	\$	84,442	\$		75,481	
Equity Put Option	Other noncurrent liabilities		24,082			22,009	
	Impact on Condensed Consolidated St	atement of Opera	For the Th	ree Months cember 31,			
	Classification	2010		,	2009		
			(Dollars in	(Dollars in thousands)			
Equity in income, net of income taxes	Equity in income of affiliates companies, net of income taxes	\$	1.676	\$		1,382	
	Impact on Condensed Consolidated Sta		For the Six M Decem	Aonths Ende Iber 31,	d 2009		
			(Dollars in	thousands)			
Equity in income, net of	Equity in income of affiliates						
income taxes	companies, net of income taxes	\$	3,055	\$		2,607	
	Impact on Condensed Consolidated St	atement of Cash I	Six Mont	ths Ended 1ber 31,			
	Classification	2010		,	2009		
Equity in income, net of	Equity in income of affiliated						
income taxes	companies	\$	3,055	\$		2,607	
Cash dividends received	Other Assets		1,224			1,141	

MY Style

In April 2007, the Company purchased exchangeable notes issued by Yamano Holding Corporation (Exchangeable Note) and a loan obligation of a Yamano Holdings subsidiary, MY Style, formally known as Beauty Plaza Co. Ltd., (MY Style Note) for an aggregate amount of \$11.3 million (1.3 billion Yen as of April 2007). The Exchangeable Note contains an option for the Company to exchange a portion of the Exchangeable Note for shares of common stock of My Style. In connection with the issuance of the Exchangeable Note, the Company paid a premium of approximately \$5.5 million (573,000,000 Yen as of April 2007).

Exchangeable Note. In September 2008, the Company advanced an additional \$3.0 million (300,000,000 Yen as of September 2008) to Yamano Holding Corporation (Yamano). In connection with the 300,000,000 Yen advance, the exchangeable portion of the Exchangeable Note increased

from approximately 14.8 percent to 27.1 percent of the 800 outstanding shares of MY Style for 21,700,000 Yen. This exchange feature is akin to a deep-in-the-money option permitting the Company to purchase shares of common stock of MY Style. The option is embedded in the Exchangeable Note and does not meet the criteria for separate accounting under accounting for derivative instruments and hedging activities.

On March 28, 2010, the Company entered into an amendment agreement with Yamano in connection with the Exchangeable Note. The amendment revised the redemptions schedule for the 100,000,000 Yen and 211,131,284 Yen payments due September 30, 2013 and 2014, respectively, to March 28, 2010. The amendment was entered into in connection with a preferred share subscription agreement dated March 29, 2010 between the Company and Yamano. Under the preferred share subscription agreement, Yamano issued and the Company purchased one share of Yamano Class A Preferred Stock with a subscription amount of \$1.1 million (100,000,000 Yen) and one share of Yamano Class B Preferred Stock with a subscription amount of \$2.3 million (211,131,284 Yen), collectively the Preferred Shares . The portions of the Exchangeable Note that became due as of March 28, 2010 were contributed in-kind as payment for the Preferred Shares. The Preferred Shares have the same terms and rights, yield a 5.0 percent dividend that accrues if not paid and no voting rights.

Table of Contents

The Company determined that the March 2010 modifications were minor and the loan modification should not be treated as an extinguishment. The preferred shares will be accounted for as an available for sale debt security and recorded as part of the Company s investment within the investment in and loans to affiliates line item on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet with any changes in fair value recorded in other comprehensive income.

As of December 31, 2010, the principal amount outstanding under the Exchangeable Note is \$2.5 million (200,000,000 Yen). Principal payments of 100,000,000 Yen are due annually on September 30 through September 30, 2012. The Company reviews the Exchangeable Note with Yamano for changes in circumstances or the occurrence of events that suggest the Company s note may not be recoverable. The \$2.5 million outstanding Exchangeable Note with Yamano as of December 31, 2010 is in good standing with no associated valuation allowance. The Exchangeable Note accrues interest at 1.845 percent and interest is payable on September 30, 2012 with the final principal payment. The Company recorded less than \$0.1 million in interest income related to the Exchangeable Note during the six months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009.

<u>MY Style Note</u>. As of December 31, 2010, the principal amount outstanding under the MY Style Note is \$1.9 million (156,492,000 Yen). Principal payments of 52,164,000 Yen along with accrued interest are due annually on May 31 through May 31, 2013. The Company reviews the outstanding note with MY Style for changes in circumstances or the occurrence of events that suggest the Company s note may not be recoverable. The \$1.9 million outstanding note with MY Style as of December 31, 2010 is in good standing with no associated valuation allowance. The MY Style Note accrues interest at 3.0 percent. The Company recorded less than \$0.1 million in interest income related to the MY Style Note during the six months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009.

As of December 31, 2010, \$1.9 and \$12.1 million are recorded in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as current assets and investment in affiliates and loans, respectively, representing the Company s total investment in MY Style. The exposure to loss related to the Company s involvement with MY Style is the carrying value of the premium paid and the outstanding notes.

All foreign currency transaction gains and losses on the Exchangeable Note and MY Style Note are recorded through other income within the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations. The foreign currency transaction (loss) gain recorded through other income was \$(0.1) and \$0.1 million during the six months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Hair Club for Men, Ltd.

The Company acquired a 50.0 percent interest in Hair Club for Men, Ltd. through its acquisition of Hair Club in fiscal year 2005. The Company accounts for its investment in Hair Club for Men, Ltd. under the equity method of accounting. Hair Club for Men, Ltd. operates Hair Club centers in Illinois and Wisconsin. During the six months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 the Company recorded income of \$0.2 and \$0.4 million, respectively, and received cash dividends of \$0.5 and \$0.3 million, respectively. The exposure to loss related to the Company s involvement with Hair Club for Men, Ltd. is the carrying value of the investment.

7. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS:

The Company s primary market risk exposures in the normal course of business are changes in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates. The Company has established policies and procedures that govern the management of these exposures through the use of a variety of strategies, including the use of derivative financial instrument contracts. By policy, the Company does not enter into such contracts for the purpose of speculation or trading. Hedging transactions are limited to an underlying exposure. The Company has established an interest rate management policy that manages the interest rate mix of its total debt portfolio and related overall cost of borrowing. The Company s variable rate debt typically represents 35.0 to 45.0 percent of the total debt portfolio. The Company s foreign currency exchange rate risk management policy includes frequently monitoring market data and external factors that may influence exchange rate fluctuations in order to minimize fluctuation in earnings due to changes in exchange rates. The Company enters into arrangements with counterparties that the Company believes are creditworthy. Generally, derivative contract arrangements settle on a net basis. The Company assesses the effectiveness of its hedges on a quarterly basis using the critical terms method in accordance with guidance for accounting for derivative instruments and hedging activities.

The Company has primarily utilized derivatives which are designated as either cash flow or fair value hedges and qualify for hedge accounting treatment. For cash flow hedges and fair value hedges, changes in fair value are deferred in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) within shareholders equity until the underlying hedged item is recognized in earnings. Any hedge ineffectiveness is recognized immediately in current earnings. To the extent the changes offset, the hedge is effective. Any hedge ineffectiveness the Company has historically experienced has not been material. By policy, the

Table of Contents

Company designs its derivative instruments to be effective as hedges and aims to minimize fluctuations in earnings due to market risk exposures. If a derivative instrument is terminated prior to its contract date, the Company continues to defer the related gain or loss and recognizes it in current earnings over the remaining life of the related hedged item.

The Company also utilizes freestanding derivative contracts which do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment. The Company marks to market such derivatives with the resulting gains and losses recorded within current earnings in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations. For purposes of the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows, cash flows associated with all derivatives (designated as hedges or freestanding economic hedges) are classified in the same category as the related cash flows subject to the hedging relationship.

Cash Flow Hedges

The Company s cash flow hedges include interest rate swaps, forward foreign currency contracts and treasury lock agreements.

The Company uses interest rate swaps to maintain its variable to fixed rate debt ratio in accordance with its established policy. As of December 31, 2010, the Company had \$85.0 million of total variable rate debt outstanding, of which \$40.0 million was swapped to fixed rate debt, resulting in \$45.0 million of variable rate debt. The interest rate swap contracts pay fixed rates of interest and receive variable rates of interest. The contracts have maturity dates in July 2011 and the related debt have maturity dates in July 2012.

The Company repaid variable and fixed rate debt during the six months ended December 31, 2009. Prior to the repayments, the Company had two outstanding interest rate swaps totaling \$50.0 million on \$100.0 million aggregate variable rate debt with maturity dates between fiscal years 2013 and 2015. The interest rate swaps were terminated prior to the maturity dates in conjunction with the repayments of debt and were settled for an aggregate loss of \$5.2 million during the six months ended December 31, 2009 recorded within interest expense in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations. The Company also had two outstanding treasury lock agreements with maturity dates between fiscal years 2013 and 2015. The treasury lock agreements were terminated prior to the maturity dates in conjunction with the repayments of debt and were settled for a loss of less than \$0.1 million during the six months ended December 31, 2009 and recorded within interest expense in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations.

The Company uses forward foreign currency contracts to manage foreign currency rate fluctuations associated with certain forecasted intercompany transactions. The Company s primary forward foreign currency contracts hedge approximately \$0.6 million of monthly payments in Canadian dollars for intercompany transactions. The Company s forward foreign currency contracts hedge transactions through June 2012.

These cash flow hedges were designed and are effective as cash flow hedges. They were recorded at fair value within other noncurrent liabilities or other current assets in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet, with corresponding offsets primarily recorded in other comprehensive income, net of tax.

The Company uses freestanding derivative forward contracts to offset the Company s exposure to the change in fair value of certain foreign currency denominated investments and intercompany assets and liabilities. These derivatives are not designated as hedges and therefore, changes in the fair value of these forward contracts are recognized currently in earnings, thereby offsetting the current earnings effect of the related foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities.

In November 2009, the Company terminated its freestanding derivative contract on its remaining payments on the MY Style Note for a gain of \$0.7 million. The contract was settled in cash, discounted to present value. Gains and losses over the life of the contract were recognized currently in earnings in conjunction with marking the contract to fair value. A loss of \$0.2 million was recognized during the six months ended December 31, 2009.

The Company had the following derivative instruments in its Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2010 and June 30, 2010:

			alue	e June 30,				
Туре	Classification			June 30, 2010	Classification	mber 31, 2010	-	2010
		(In tho	usand	ls)		(In thou	isands)	
Designated as hedging	g instruments	Cash Flow Hedges:						
					Other noncurrent			
Interest rate swaps		\$	\$		liabilities	\$ (587)	\$	(1,039)
	Other				Other			
Forward foreign	current				current			
currency contracts	assets	\$	\$	274	liabilities	\$ (380)	\$	
Freestanding derivati	ve contracts	not designated as hee	lging	instruments:				
	Other	<u> </u>	0 0		Other			
Forward foreign	current				current			
currency contracts	assets	\$	\$		liabilities	\$	\$	(401)
Total		\$	\$	274		\$ (967)	\$	(1,440)

The tables below sets forth the (gain) or loss on the Company s derivative instruments recorded within accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI) in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet for the three and six months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009. The tables also sets forth the (gain) or loss on the Company s derivative instruments that has been reclassified from AOCI into current earnings during the three and six months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 within the following line items in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations.

		ain) / Loss Re Comprehe ix Months End	nsive Inco	me	(Gain) / Loss Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income Six Months Ended December 31,					
Туре		2010		2009	Classification	2	2010 (Ja: 4h	2009		
Designated as hedging instru	ments	Cash Flow	ousands) Hedges:				(In thousand	us)		
Interest rate swaps	\$	(274)	\$	(2,783)		\$	\$			
Forward foreign										
currency contracts		321		666	Cost of sales		48	(257)		
					Interest expense					
Treasury lock contracts				242	(income)					
Total	\$	47	\$	(1,875)		\$	48 \$	(257)		

As of December 31, 2010 the Company estimates that it will reclassify into earnings during the next twelve months a gain of \$0.4 million from the pretax amount recorded in AOCI as the anticipated cash flows occur.

The table below sets forth the (gain) or loss on the Company s derivative instruments for six months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 recorded within interest income and other, net in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations.

	Derivative Impact on Income at December 31,										
Туре	Classification		2010			2009					
				(In thous	ands)						
Freestanding derivative contracts	not designated as hedging	instruments:									
Forward foreign currency	Interest income										
contracts	and other, net	\$		401	\$	411					
		\$		401	\$	411					

8. LEASE TERMINATION COSTS:

The Company approved plans in June 2009 and July 2008 to close approximately 80 and 160, respectively, underperforming company-owned salons. As lease settlements were negotiated, the Company found that some lessors were willing to negotiate rent reductions which allowed the Company to keep operating certain salons. As a result, the number of salons closed was less than the amount of salons per the approved plans. For salons that did not receive rent reductions, the Company ceased using the right to use the leased property or negotiated a lease termination agreement with the lessors. Lease termination costs represents either the lease settlement or the net present value of remaining contractual lease payments related to closed salons, reduced by estimated sublease rentals. Lease termination costs from continuing operations are presented as a separate line item in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations. The plans are substantially complete.

The activity reflected in the accrual for lease termination costs is as follows:

	For the Six Months Ended December 31,								
Accrual for Lease Terminations	2	010		2009					
		(Dollars in	thousand	s)					
Balance at July 1	\$	1,386	\$	2,760					
Provision for lease termination costs:									
Provisions associated with store closings				3,552					
Cash payments		(824)		(1,026)					
Balance at September 30,	\$	562	\$	5,286					
Provision for lease termination costs:									
Provisions associated with store closings									
Cash payments		(114)		(1,377)					
Balance at December 31,	\$	448	\$	3,909					

9. LITIGATION:

The Company is a defendant in various lawsuits and claims arising out of the normal course of business. Like certain other large retail employers, the Company has been faced with allegations of purported class-wide wage and hour violations. Litigation is inherently unpredictable and the outcome of these matters cannot presently be determined. Although the Company s counsel believes that the Company has valid defenses in these matters, it could in the future incur judgments or enter into settlements of claims that could have a material adverse effect on its results of operations in any particular period.

During fiscal year 2010, the Company settled two legal claims regarding certain customer and employee matters for an aggregate charge of \$5.2 million plus a commitment to provide discount coupons. As of December 31, 2010 there was a \$4.3 million remaining liability recorded within accrued expenses related to the settlements.

10. FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS:

The table below contains details related to the Company s debt for the six months ending December 31, 2010 and 2009:

		ded		
Total Debt		2010		2009
		(Dollars in '	Thousand	ls)
Balance at June 30,	\$	440,029	\$	634,307
Net payments on revolving credit facilities				(5,000)
Issuance of convertible debt				172,500
Repayment of long-term debt and capital lease obligations		(3,334)		(301,004)
Debt discount				(24,696)
Amortization of debt discount		1,086		868
Debt associated with capital lease obligations		1,888		1,736
Balance at September 30,	\$	439,669	\$	478,711
Net payments on revolving credit facilities				
Repayment of long-term debt and capital lease obligations		(39,258)		(12,285)
Amortization of debt discount		1,110		984
Debt associated with capital lease obligations		2,624		2,931
Balance at December 31,	\$	404,145	\$	470,341

In July 2009, the Company amended the Fourth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, the Term Loan Agreement and the Amended and Restated Private Shelf Agreement. The amendments included increasing the Company's minimum net worth covenant from \$675.0 million to \$800.0 million, lowering the fixed charge coverage ratio requirement from 1.5x to 1.3x, amending certain definitions, including EBITDA and Fixed Charges, and limiting the Company's Restricted Payments (as defined in the agreement) to \$20 million if the Company's Leverage Ratio is greater than 2.0x. In addition, the amendments to the Fourth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement reduced the borrowing capacity of the revolving credit facility from \$350.0 million to \$300.0 million and the amendments to the Restated Private Shelf Agreement included the addition of one year after the amendment effective date, a risk based capital fee calculated on the daily average outstanding principal amount equal to an annual rate of 1.0 percent.

In July 2009, the Company issued \$172.5 million aggregate principal amount of 5.0 percent convertible senior notes due July 2014. The notes are unsecured, senior obligations of the Company and interest will be payable semi-annually in arrears on January 15 and July 15 of each year at a rate of 5.0 percent per year. The notes will be convertible subject to certain conditions further described below at an initial conversion rate of 64.6726 shares of the Company s common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of notes (representing an initial conversion price of approximately \$15.46 per share of the Company s common stock).

Holders may convert their notes at their option prior to April 15, 2014 if the Company s stock price meets certain price triggers or upon the occurrence of specified corporate events as defined in the convertible senior note agreement. On or after April 15, 2014, holders may convert each of their notes at their option at any time prior to the maturity date for the notes.

The Company has the choice of net-cash settlement, settlement in its own shares or a combination thereof and concluded the conversion option is indexed to its own stock. As a result, the Company allocated \$24.7 million of the \$172.5 million principal amount of the convertible senior

notes to equity, which resulted in a \$24.7 million debt discount. The allocation was based on measuring the fair value of the convertible senior notes using a discounted cash flow analysis. The discount rate was based on an estimated credit rating for the Company. The estimated fair value of the convertible senior notes was \$147.8 million, the resulting \$24.7 million debt discount will be amortized over the period the convertible senior notes are expected to be outstanding, which is five years, as additional non-cash interest expense. The combined debt discount amortization and the contractual interest coupon resulted in an effective interest rate on the convertible debt of 8.9 percent.

The following table provides equity and debt information for the convertible senior notes:

	For the Six Months Ended December 31,								
Convertible Senior Notes Due 2014		2010	2009						
		(Dollars in T	Thousand	ds)					
Principal amount on the convertible senior notes	\$	172,500	\$	172,500					
Unamortized debt discount		(18,544)		(22,844)					
Net carrying amount of convertible debt	\$	153,956	\$	149,656					

The following table provides interest rate and interest expense amounts related to the convertible senior notes:

		For the Six Months Ended December 31,								
Convertible Senior Notes Due 2014			2010		2009					
			(Dollars i	n thousan	ds)					
Interest cost related to contractual interest coupon	5.0%	\$	4,313	\$	3,953					
Interest cost related to amortization of the discount			2,196		1,852					
Total interest cost		\$	6,509	\$	5,805					

In connection with the convertible senior note offering, the Company issued 13,225,000 shares of common stock resulting in net proceeds of \$163.5 million. The proceeds from the convertible senior notes and the common stock issuance were utilized to repay \$267.0 million of private placement senior term notes of varying maturities and \$30.0 million of additional senior term notes under a Private Shelf Agreement. As a result of the repayment of debt during the six months ended December 31, 2009, the Company incurred \$12.8 million in make-whole payments and other fees along with \$5.2 million in interest rate swap settlements, as discussed in Note 7 of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, totaling \$18.0 million that was recorded as interest expense within the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations.

11. INCOME TAXES:

The determination of the annual effective income tax rate is based upon a number of significant estimates and judgments, including the estimated annual pretax income of the Company in each tax jurisdiction in which it operates and the development of tax planning strategies during the year. In addition, as a global enterprise, the Company s interim tax expense can be impacted by changes in tax rates or laws, the finalization of tax audits or reviews, as well as other factors that cannot be predicted with certainty. As such, there can be significant volatility in interim tax provisions.

During the three and six months ended December 31, 2010, the Company s continuing operations recognized tax expense of \$5.3 and \$15.0 million, respectively, with corresponding effective tax rates of 31.9 and 35.7 percent. This was compared to tax expense of \$8.9 and \$10.5 million with corresponding effective tax rates of 36.5 and 38.2 percent in the comparable periods of the prior year. The effective income tax rate for the three and six months ended December 31, 2010 was positively impacted by certain discrete items and a shift in the mix of worldwide income to lower taxing jurisdictions. The shift in the mix of worldwide income decreased the Company s income tax provision for the three and six months ended December 31, 2010 by \$0.7 million and decreased its effective income tax rate by 4.4 and 1.8 percent, respectively. The effective income tax rate for the six months ended December 31, 2009 was negatively impacted by an adjustment to correct its prior year deferred income tax balances. The adjustment increased the Company s income tax provision for the six months ended December 31, 2009 by \$0.4 million and increased its effective income tax rate by 1.5 percent. The Company does not believe the adjustment is material to the six months ended December 31, 2009 results of operations or its financial position or results of operations of any prior periods

The Company accrues for the effects of open uncertain tax positions and the related potential penalties and interest. There were no material adjustments to our recorded liability for unrecognized tax benefits during the three and six months ended December 31, 2010. It is reasonably possible that the amount of the unrecognized tax benefit with respect to certain of our unrecognized tax positions will increase or decrease during the next twelve months; however, we do not expect the change to have a significant effect on our consolidated results of operations or financial position.

The Company files tax returns and pays tax primarily in the United States, Canada, the United Kingdom, and the Netherlands as well as states, cities, and provinces within these jurisdictions. In the United States, fiscal years 2007 and after remain open for federal tax audit. The Company has been notified that the United States federal income tax returns for the years 2007 through 2009 have been selected for audit. For state tax audits, the statute of limitations generally spans three to four years, resulting in a number of states remaining open for tax audits dating back to fiscal year 2006. However, the Company is under audit in a number of states in which the statute of limitations has been extended to fiscal years 2000 and forward. Internationally (including Canada), the statute of limitations for tax audits varies by jurisdiction, but generally ranges from three to five years.

12. SEGMENT INFORMATION:

As of December 31, 2010, the Company owned, franchised, or held ownership interests in approximately 12,750 worldwide locations. The Company s locations consisted of 9,496 North American salons (located in the United States, Canada and Puerto Rico), 402 international salons, 96 hair restoration centers and approximately 2,750 locations in which the Company maintains an ownership interest.

The Company operates its North American salon operations through five primary concepts: Regis Salons, MasterCuts, SmartStyle, Supercuts and Promenade salons. The concepts offer similar products and services, concentrate on the mass market consumer marketplace and have consistent distribution channels. All of the company-owned and franchise salons within the North American salon concepts are located in high traffic, retail shopping locations that attract mass market consumers, and the individual salons display similar long-term economic characteristics. The salons share interdependencies and a common support base.

The Company operates its international salon operations, primarily in the United Kingdom, through three primary concepts: Regis, Supercuts, and Sassoon salons. Consistent with the North American concepts, the international concepts offer similar products and services, concentrate on the mass market consumer marketplace and have consistent distribution channels. All of the international salon concepts are company-owned and are located in malls, leading department stores, and high-street locations. Individual salons display similar long-term economic characteristics. The salons share interdependencies and a common support base.

The Company s company-owned and franchise hair restoration centers are located in the United States and Canada. The Company s hair restoration centers offer three hair restoration solutions; hair systems, hair transplants and hair therapy, which are targeted at the mass market consumer. Hair restoration centers are located primarily in office and professional buildings within larger metropolitan areas.

Based on the way the Company manages its business, it has reported its North American salons, international salons and hair restoration centers as three separate reportable segments.

Financial information for the Company s reporting segments is shown in the following tables:

Total Assets by Segment	Decem	ber 31, 2010	J	une 30, 2010			
		(Dollars in thousands)					
North American salons	\$	989,368	\$	992,410			
International salons		84,349		74,633			
Hair restoration centers		291,067		284,615			
Unallocated corporate		573,748		567,914			
Consolidated	\$	1,938,532	\$	1,919,572			

		For the Three Months Ended December 31, 2010								
		Sal	ons		1	Hair Restoration	1	Unallocated		
	Noi	th America		ternational		Centers		Corporate	Co	onsolidated
					(Dolla	rs in thousands)		•		
Revenues:										
Service	\$	388,656	\$	25,634	\$	16,649	\$		\$	430,939
Product		103,775		11,443		18,606				133,824
Royalties and fees		9,018				591				9,609
		501,449		37,077		35,846				574,372
Operating expenses:										
Cost of service		226,739		13,314		9,652				249,705
Cost of product		51,622		6,266		6,038				63,926
Site operating expenses		46,739		2,593		1,265				50,597
General and administrative		32,485		3,259		8,276		31,828		75,848
Rent		73,454		8,903		2,314		564		85,235
Depreciation and amortization		17,423		1,161		3,169		4,444		26,197
Total operating expenses		448,462		35,496		30,714		36,836		551,508
Operating income (loss)		52,987		1,581		5,132		(36,836)		22,864
Other income (expense):										
Interest expense								(8,738)		(8,738)
Interest income and other, net								2,604		2,604
Income (loss) before income taxes and										
equity in income of affiliated companies	\$	52,987	\$	1,581	\$	5,132	\$	(42,970)	\$	16,730

For the Three Months Ended December 31, 2010

		For the Three Months Ended December 31, 2009 Hair								
	Nor	Sal th America		nternational	-	Restoration Centers ars in thousands)		Unallocated Corporate	Co	onsolidated
Revenues:										
Service	\$	390,318	\$	28,366	\$	16,441	\$		\$	435,125
Product		100,614		11,980		18,077				130,671
Royalties and fees		8,969				600				9,569
		499,901		40,346		35,118				575,365
Operating expenses:										
Cost of service		224,952		14,854		9,006				248,812
Cost of product		50,828		6,109		5,483				62,420
Site operating expenses		42,298		2,744		1,367				46,409
General and administrative		29,776		3,460		8,794		30,581		72,611
Rent		73,109		9,730		2,235		466		85,540
Depreciation and amortization		18,131		1,538		3,061		4,780		27,510
Total operating expenses		439,094		38,435		29,946		35,827		543,302
Operating income (loss)		60,807		1,911		5,172		(35,827)		32,063
Other income (expense):										
Interest expense								(9,069)		(9,069)
Interest income and other, net								1,411		1,411
Income (loss) before income taxes and										
equity in income of affiliated companies	\$	60,807	\$	1,911	\$	5,172	\$	(43,485)	\$	24,405

		For the Six Months Ended December 31, 2010								
						Hair				
			ons]	Restoration		Unallocated		
	Nort	th America	Ir	nternational		Centers		Corporate	C	onsolidated
Revenues:					(Dolla	rs in thousands)				
Service	\$	785,977	\$	50.007	\$	22 404	\$		\$	870,468
Product	Ф	,	ф	50,997	\$	33,494	ф		ф	262,429
		203,895		21,138		37,396				· · · · · ·
Royalties and fees		18,510		50.105		1,210				19,720
		1,008,382		72,135		72,100				1,152,617
Operating expenses:										
Cost of service		454,036		26,042		19,128				499,206
Cost of product		101,355		11,511		12,135				125,001
Site operating expenses		93,068		4,783		1,755				99,606
General and administrative		62,363		6,211		16,855		64,493		149,922
Rent		147,072		17,573		4,578		1,120		170,343
Depreciation and amortization		34,655		2,248		6,312		9,026		52,241
Lease termination costs										
Total operating expenses		892,549		68,368		60,763		74,639		1,096,319
1 0 1										
Operating income (loss)		115,833		3,767		11,337		(74,639)		56,298
-F				-,		,		(,,		
Other income (expense):										
Interest expense								(17,661)		(17,661)
Interest income and other, net								3,381		3,381
Income (loss) from continuing operations										-)
before income taxes and equity in										
income of affiliated companies	\$	115,833	\$	3,767	\$	11,337	\$	(88,919)	\$	42,018

For the Six Months Ended December 31, 2009

		. .		Hair			Unallocated		
	Salor North America		 ternational	_	Restoration Centers ars in thousands)	Corporate		Consolidated	
Revenues:									
Service	\$	795,459	\$ 56,559	\$	32,385	\$		\$	884,403
Product		217,764	22,586		36,474				276,824
Royalties and fees		18,456			1,232				19,688
		1,031,679	79,145		70,091				1,180,915
Operating expenses:									
Cost of service		457,404	29,411		17,966				504,781
Cost of product		119,460	11,518		10,937				141,915
Site operating expenses		91,048	5,415		2,622				99,085
General and administrative		57,563	6,295		17,215		64,098		145,171
Rent		146,727	19,134		4,517		1,012		171,390
Depreciation and amortization		36,051	3,038		6,075		9,537		54,701
Lease termination costs			3,552						3,552
Total operating expenses		908,253	78,363		59,332		74,647		1,120,595
Operating income (loss)		123,426	782		10,759		(74,647)		60,320
Other income (expense):									
Interest expense							(36,385)		(36,385)
Interest income and other, net							3,643		3,643
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$	123,426	\$ 782	\$	10,759	\$	(107,389)	\$	27,578
before income taxes and equity in									

income of affiliated companies

13. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS:

Increase in equity interest of Provalliance.

In December 2010, a portion of the Equity Put was exercised. In January of 2011, the Company elected to honor the Equity Put and plans to acquire an additional 17 percent equity interest in Provalliance, bringing the Company s total equity interest to approximately 46 percent. The option to purchase the remainder of Provalliance s equity interest under the Equity Put continues to exist through 2018.

The Company expects to acquire the 17 percent additional equity interest prior to June 30, 2011 for approximately \$56 million (approximately 40 million) in cash. When the equity interest is purchased, the Company expects to recognize a gain of approximately \$1.0 to \$3.0 million representing the reversal of the Equity Put liability which will be extinguished upon settlement. After the reversal of the Equity Put liability associated with the additional 17 percent equity interest, the remaining Equity Put liability will be approximately \$21.0 million to \$23.0 million.

Changes in Senior Management.

On February 3, 2011, the Company announced that Randy L. Pearce, Senior Executive Vice President, Chief Financial and Administrative Officer, will replace Paul D. Finkelstein as President, effective immediately. Mr. Finkelstein will remain Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer through February 8, 2012. The Company also announced that Brent A. Moen, Vice President of Finance and Corporate Controller will be appointed to Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, replacing Mr. Pearce, effective immediately.

REVIEW REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Shareholders and Directors of Regis Corporation:

We have reviewed the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet of Regis Corporation as of December 31, 2010 and the related condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three and six month periods ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 and of cash flows for the six month periods ended December 31, 2010 and 2009. These interim financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management.

We conducted our reviews in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the accompanying condensed consolidated interim financial statements for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2010, and the related consolidated statements of operations, of changes in shareholders equity and comprehensive income and of cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein), and in our report dated August 27, 2010, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheet information as of June 30, 2010, is fairly stated, in all material respects in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP

Minneapolis, Minnesota February 9, 2011

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) is designed to provide a reader of our financial statements with a narrative from the perspective of our management on our financial condition, results of operations, liquidity and certain other factors that may affect our future results. Our MD&A is presented in five sections:

- Management s Overview
- Critical Accounting Policies
- Overview of Results
- Results of Operations
- Liquidity and Capital Resources

MANAGEMENT S OVERVIEW

Regis Corporation (RGS, we, our, or us) owns, franchises or holds ownership interests in beauty salons, hair restoration centers and educational institutions. As of December 31, 2010, we owned, franchised or held ownership interests in approximately 12,750 worldwide locations. Our locations consisted of 9,898 system wide North American and international salons, 96 hair restoration centers and approximately 2,750 locations in which we maintain an ownership interest. Our salon concepts offer generally similar products and services and serve mass market consumers. Our salon operations are organized to be managed based on geographical location. Our North American salon operations include 9,496 salons, including 1,974 franchise salons, operating in the United States, Canada and Puerto Rico primarily under the trade names of Regis Salons, MasterCuts, SmartStyle, Supercuts and Cost Cutters. Our international salon operations include 402 company-owned salons, located in the United Kingdom. Our hair restoration centers, operating under the trade name Hair Club for Men and Women, include 96 North American locations, including 32 franchise locations. As of December 31, 2010, we had approximately 56,000 corporate employees worldwide.

On February 16, 2009, we sold our Trade Secret salon concept (Trade Secret). We concluded, after a comprehensive review of strategic and financial options, to divest Trade Secret. The sale of Trade Secret included 655 company-owned salons and 57 franchise salons, all of which had historically been reported within the Company s North America reportable segment. The sale of Trade Secret included sale of Cameron Capital I, Inc. (CCI). CCI owned and operated PureBeauty and BeautyFirst salons which were acquired by us on February 20, 2008.

On January 31, 2008, we merged our continental European franchise salon operations with the Franck Provost Salon Group in exchange for a 30.0 percent equity interest in the newly formed entity, Provalliance. The merger with the operations of the Franck Provost Salon Group, which are also located in continental Europe, created Europe s largest salon operator with approximately 2,500 company-owned and franchise salons as of December 31, 2010.

On August 1, 2007, we contributed our 51 accredited cosmetology schools to Empire Education Group, Inc., creating the largest beauty school operator in North America. As of December 31, 2010, we own a 55.1 percent equity interest in Empire Education Group, Inc. (EEG). Our investment in EEG is accounted for under the equity method. The combined Empire Education Group, Inc. includes 102 accredited cosmetology schools with annual revenues of approximately \$175.0 million.

Our growth strategy consists of two primary, but flexible, components. Through a combination of organic and acquisition growth, we seek to achieve our long-term objective of six to ten percent annual revenue growth. We anticipate that going forward, the mix of organic and acquisition growth will be roughly equal. However, depending on several factors, including the ability of our salon development program to keep pace with the availability of real estate for new construction, hair restoration lead generation, the availability of attractive acquisition candidates and same-store sales trends, this mix will vary from year to year. Due to the decline in customer visitations we have reduced the pace of our new salon development and salon acquisitions. We expect to continue with our historical trend of building and/or acquiring 700 to 1,000 salons each year once customer visitations stabilize.

Maintaining financial flexibility is a key element in continuing our successful growth. With strong operating cash flow and balance sheet, we are confident that we will be able to financially support our long-term growth objectives.

We are in compliance with all covenants and other requirements of our financing arrangements as of December 31, 2010.

Salon Business

The strength of our salon business is in the fundamental similarity and broad appeal of our salon concepts that allow flexibility and multiple salon concept placements in shopping centers and neighborhoods. Each concept generally targets the middle market customer, however, each attracts a different demographic. We believe there are growth opportunities in all of our salon concepts. When commercial opportunities arise, we anticipate testing and developing new salon concepts to complement our existing concepts.

We execute our salon growth strategy by focusing on real estate. Our salon real estate strategy is to add new units in convenient locations with good visibility and customer traffic, as well as appropriate trade demographics. Our various salon and product concepts operate in a wide range of retailing environments, including regional shopping malls, strip centers and Walmart Supercenters. We believe that the availability of real estate will augment our ability to achieve the aforementioned long-term growth objectives. In fiscal year 2011, our outlook for constructed salons is approximately 160 units. In fiscal year 2011, capital expenditures and acquisitions are expected to be approximately \$95.0 and \$25.0 million, respectively.

Organic salon revenue is achieved through the combination of new salon construction and salon same-store sales results. Once customer visitations stabilize, we expect we will continue with our historical trend of building several hundred company-owned salons. We anticipate our franchisees will open approximately 70 to 100 salons in fiscal year 2011. Older, unprofitable salons will be closed or relocated. Our long-term outlook for our salon business is for annual consolidated low single digit same-store sales increases. Based on current fashion and economic cycles (i.e., longer hairstyles and lengthening of customer visitation patterns), we project our annual fiscal year 2011 consolidated same-store sales to be at the low end of the range of negative 1.0 percent to positive 2.0 percent.

Historically, our salon acquisitions have varied in size from as small as one salon to over one thousand salons. The median acquisition size is approximately ten salons. From fiscal year 1994 to December 31, 2010, we acquired 8,049 salons, net of franchise buybacks. Once customer visitations stabilize, we anticipate adding several hundred company-owned salons each year from acquisitions. Some of these acquisitions may include buying salons from our franchisees.

Hair Restoration Business

In December 2004, we acquired Hair Club for Men and Women. Hair Club for Men and Women is a provider of hair loss solutions with an estimated five percent share of the \$4 billion domestic market. This industry is comprised of numerous locations domestically and is highly fragmented. As a result, we believe there is an opportunity to consolidate this industry through acquisition. Expanding the hair loss business organically and through acquisition would allow us to add incremental revenue which is neither dependent upon, nor dilutive to, our existing salon businesses.

Our organic growth plans for hair restoration include the construction of a modest number of new locations in untapped markets domestically and internationally. However, the success of our hair restoration business is not dependent on the same real estate criteria used for salon expansion. In an effort to provide confidentiality for our customers, hair restoration centers operate primarily in professional or medical office buildings. Further, the hair restoration business is more marketing intensive. As a result, organic growth at our hair restoration centers will be dependent on successfully generating new leads and converting them into hair restoration customers. Our growth expectations for our hair

restoration business are not dependent on referral business from, or cross marketing with, our hair salon business, but these concepts continue to be evaluated closely for additional growth opportunities.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In preparing the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, we are required to make various judgments, estimates and assumptions that could have a significant impact on the results reported in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. We base these estimates on historical experience and other assumptions believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Estimates are considered to be critical if they meet both of the following criteria: (1) the estimate requires assumptions about material matters that are uncertain at the time the accounting estimates are made, and (2) other materially different estimates could have been reasonably made or material changes in the estimates are reasonably likely to occur from period to period. Changes in these estimates could have a material effect on our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Our significant accounting policies can be found in Note 1 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Part II, Item 8 of the June 30, 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K, as well as Note 1 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained within this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. We believe the accounting policies related to the valuation of goodwill, the valuation and estimated useful lives of long-lived assets, investment in and loans to affiliates, purchase price allocations, revenue recognition, self-insurance accruals, stock-based compensation expense, legal contingencies and estimates used in relation to tax liabilities and deferred taxes are most critical to aid in fully understanding and evaluating our reported financial condition and results

Table of Contents

of operations. Discussion of each of these policies is contained under Critical Accounting Policies in Part II, Item 7 of our June 30, 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K. There were no significant changes in or application of our critical accounting policies during the three and six months ended December 31, 2010.

Goodwill:

Goodwill is tested for impairment annually or at the time of a triggering event. In evaluating whether goodwill is impaired, the Company compares the carrying value of each reporting unit, including goodwill, to the estimated fair value of the reporting unit. The carrying value of each reporting unit is based on the assets and liabilities associated with the operations of the reporting unit, including allocation of shared or corporate balances among reporting units. Allocations are generally based on the number of salons in each reporting unit as a percent of total company-owned salons.

The Company calculates the estimated fair value of the reporting units based on discounted future cash flows that utilize estimates in annual revenue, gross margins, fixed expense rates, allocated corporate overhead, and long-term growth for determining terminal value. The Company s estimated future cash flows also take into consideration acquisition integration and maturation. Where available and as appropriate, comparative market multiples are used to corroborate the results of the discounted cash flow. The Company considers its various concepts to be reporting units when testing for goodwill impairment because that is where the Company believes the goodwill resides. The Company periodically engages third-party valuation consultants to assist in evaluation of the Company s estimated fair value calculations. The Company s policy is to perform its annual goodwill impairment test during its third quarter of each fiscal year ending June 30.

In the situations where a reporting unit s carrying value exceeds its estimated fair value, the amount of the impairment loss must be measured. The measurement of impairment is calculated by determining the implied fair value of a reporting unit s goodwill. In calculating the implied fair value of goodwill, the fair value of the reporting unit is allocated to all other assets and liabilities of that unit based on the relative fair values. The excess of the fair value of the reporting unit over the amount assigned to its assets and liabilities is the implied fair value of goodwill. The goodwill impairment is measured as the excess of the carrying value of goodwill over its implied fair value.

As a result of the Company s annual impairment analysis of goodwill during the third quarter of fiscal year 2010, a \$35.3 million impairment charge was recorded within continuing operations for the excess of the carrying value of goodwill over the implied fair value of goodwill for the Regis salon concept. The estimated fair value of the Promenade salon concept exceeded its respective carrying value by approximately 10.0 percent. The respective fair values of the Company s remaining reporting units exceeded fair value by greater than 20.0 percent. While the Company has determined the estimated fair value of Promenade to be appropriate based on the historical level of revenue growth, operating income and cash flows, it is reasonably likely that Promenade may become impaired in future periods. The term reasonably likely refers to an occurrence that is more than remote but less than probable in the judgment of the Company. Because some of the factors that influence the inherent assumptions and estimates used in determining the fair value of the reportable segment are outside the control of management, changes in these underlying assumptions can adversely impact fair value. Potential impairment of a portion or all of the carrying value of the Promenade salon concept goodwill is dependent on many factors and cannot be predicted with certainty.

As of December 31, 2010, the Company s estimated fair value, as determined by the sum of our reporting units fair value, reconciled to within a reasonable range of our market capitalization which included an assumed control premium. The Company concluded there were no triggering events requiring the Company to perform an interim goodwill impairment test between the annual impairment testing and December 31, 2010.

OVERVIEW OF RESULTS FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

• Revenues decreased 0.2 percent to \$574.4 million and consolidated same-store sales decreased 1.3 percent. The Company experienced a continued decline in customer visitations as well as adverse weather conditions in December 2010, partially offset by an increase in average ticket price.

• We acquired 32 corporate salon locations and one corporate hair restoration center, all of which were franchise location buybacks. We built 42 corporate locations and closed, converted or relocated 60 locations. Our franchisees constructed 14 locations and closed, sold back to us, converted or relocated 58 locations. As of December 31, 2010, we had 7,924 company-owned salon locations, 1,974 franchise salon locations and 96 hair restoration centers (64 company-owned and 32 franchise locations).

• In December 2010, the Company announced that its Board of Directors had concluded its review of strategic alternatives. After a thorough and robust process, the Board determined that the most appropriate course of action for maximizing shareholder value is for Regis to continue to execute upon its existing business plan as an independent public company. Regis previously announced in August 2010 that its Board had authorized the exploration of strategic alternatives.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Consolidated Results of Continuing Operations

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, certain information derived from our Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations, expressed as a percent of revenues. The percentages are computed as a percent of total consolidated revenues, except as noted.

	For the Periods Ended December 31,							
	Three Mor	nths	Six Months					
Results of Operations as a Percent of Revenues	2010	2009	2010	2009				
Service revenues	75.0%	75.6%	75.5%	74.9%				
Product revenues	23.3	22.7	22.8	23.4				
Franchise royalties and fees	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7				
Operating expenses:								
Cost of service (1)	57.9	57.2	57.3	57.1				
Cost of product (2)	47.8	47.8	47.6	51.3				
Site operating expenses	8.8	8.1	8.6	8.4				
General and administrative	13.2	12.6	13.0	12.3				
Rent	14.8	14.9	14.8	14.5				
Depreciation and amortization	4.6	4.8	4.5	4.6				
Lease termination costs				0.3				

Operating income	4.0	5.6	4.9	5.1
Income from continuing operations before income				
taxes and equity in income of affiliated companies	2.9	4.2	3.6	2.3
Income from continuing operations	2.5	3.2	2.8	1.9
Income from discontinued operations				0.3
Net income	2.5	3.2	2.8	2.2

(1)

Computed as a percent of service revenues and excludes depreciation expense.

(2) Computed as a percent of product revenues and excludes depreciation expense.

Consolidated Revenues

Consolidated revenues primarily include revenues of company-owned salons, product and equipment sales to franchisees, hair restoration center revenues, and franchise royalties and fees. As compared to the respective prior period, consolidated revenues decreased 0.2 percent to \$574.4 million during the three months ended December 31, 2010 and decreased 2.4 percent to \$1,152.6 million during the six months ended December 31, 2010. The following table details our consolidated revenues by concept. All service revenues, product revenues (which include product and equipment sales to franchisees), and franchise royalties and fees are included within their respective concept detailed in the table below:

	For the Periods Ended December 31,										
		Three Months				Six Months					
		2010		2009		2010		2009			
				(Dollars in	thousan	ids)					
North American salons:											
Regis	\$	108,928	\$	108,470	\$	216,433	\$	219,071			
MasterCuts		41,295		41,181		83,335		82,273			
SmartStyle		129,671		128,504		262,224		259,778			
Supercuts		78,310		76,978		157,633		156,048			
Promenade (2)		143,245		144,768		288,757		314,509			
Total North American salons		501,449		499,901		1,008,382		1,031,679			
International salons		37,077		40,346		72,135		79,145			
Hair restoration centers		35,846		35,118		72,100		70,091			
Consolidated revenues	\$	574,372	\$	575,365	\$	1,152,617	\$	1,180,915			
Percent change from prior year		(0.2)%		(2.1)%		(2.4)%		(1.7)%			
Salon same-store sales decrease (1)		(1.3)%		(3.7)%		(1.4)%		(4.1)%			

The percent changes in consolidated revenues during the three and six months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, were driven by the following:

	For the Periods Ended December 31,							
	Three Month	18	Six Month	S				
Percentage Increase (Decrease) in Revenues	2010	2009	2010	2009				
Acquisitions (previous twelve months)	1.1%	0.7%	0.8%	1.1%				
Organic (2)	0.0	(2.5)	(1.6)	(1.5)				
Foreign currency	0.1	0.7	0.0	(0.4)				
Franchise revenues	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Closed salons	(1.4)	(1.0)	(1.6)	(0.9)				
	(0.2)%	(2.1)%	(2.4)%	(1.7)%				

⁽¹⁾ Salon same-store sales increases or decreases are calculated on a daily basis as the total change in sales for company-owned salons which were open on a specific day of the week during the current period and the corresponding prior period. Quarterly salon same-store sales are the sum of the same-store sales computed on a daily basis. Salons relocated within a one mile radius are included in same-store sales as they are considered to have been open in the prior period. International same-store sales are calculated in local currencies so that foreign currency fluctuations do not impact the calculation. Management believes that same-store sales, a component of organic growth, are useful in determining the increase in salon revenues attributable to its organic growth (new salon construction and same-store sales growth) versus growth from acquisitions.

(2) Trade Secret, Inc. was sold by Regis Corporation on February 16, 2009. The agreement included a provision that the Company would supply product to the purchaser of Trade Secret and provide certain administrative services for a transition period. For the six months ended December 31, 2009, we generated revenue of \$20.0 million in product revenues, which represented 1.7 percent of consolidated revenues. The agreement was substantially complete as of September 30, 2009.

During the twelve months ended December 31, 2010, we acquired 76 salons (including 49 franchise salon buybacks), constructed 125 company-owned salons, and closed 284 salons (including 75 franchise salons). There was no change in organic during the three months ended December 31, 2010 as the consolidated same-store sales decrease of 1.3 percent was offset by the construction of 125 company-owned salons during the twelve months ended December 31, 2010. The decrease in organic during the six months ended December 31, 2010 was primarily due to the same-store sales decrease of 1.4 percent and the completion of an agreement to supply the purchaser of Trade Secret product at cost, partially offset by the construction of 125 company-owned salons during the twelve months ended December 31, 2010. The Company generated revenues of \$20.0 million for product sold to the purchaser of Trade Secret during the six months ended December 31, 2009.

Table of Contents

During the three months ended December 31, 2010, the foreign currency impact was driven by the weakening of the United States dollar against the Canadian dollar, partially offset by the strengthening of the United States dollar against the British pound and Euro, as compared to the exchange rates for the comparable prior periods. During the six months ended December 31, 2010, there was no foreign currency impact as the weakening of the United States dollar against the Canadian dollar was offset by the strengthening of the United States dollar against the British pound and Euro, as compared to the exchange rates for the comparable prior period. The impact of foreign currency was calculated by multiplying current year revenues in local currencies by the change in the foreign currency exchange rate between the current and prior fiscal year.

We acquired 77 salons (including seven franchise salon buybacks) during the twelve months ended December 31, 2009. The organic decrease was primarily due to the salon same-store sales decrease of 3.7 percent, partially offset by the construction of 178 company-owned salons during the twelve months ended December 31, 2009. We closed 289 salons (including 58 franchise salons) during the twelve months ended December 31, 2009.

During the three months ended December 31, 2009, the foreign currency impact was driven by the weakening of the United States dollar against the Canadian dollar, British pound, and Euro, as compared to the exchange rates for the comparable prior periods. During the six months ended December 31, 2009, the foreign currency impact was driven by the weakening of the United States dollar against the Canadian dollar and Euro, partially offset by the strengthening of the United States dollar against the British pound, as compared to the exchange rates for the comparable prior periods. The impact of foreign currency was calculated by multiplying current year revenues in local currencies by the change in the foreign currency exchange rate between the current and prior fiscal year.

Consolidated revenues are primarily comprised of service and product revenues, as well as franchise royalties and fees. Fluctuations in these three major revenue categories were as follows:

Service Revenues. Service revenues include revenues generated from company-owned salons and service revenues generated by hair restoration centers. Total service revenues for the three and six months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

		Decrease Over Prior Fiscal Year					
Periods Ended December 31,	1	Revenues	(Dollars	Dollar in thousands)	Percentage		
Three Months							
2010	\$	430,939	\$	(4,186)	(1.0)%		
2009		435,125		(9,953)	(2.2)		
Six Months							
2010	\$	870,468	\$	(13,935)	(1.6)%		
2009		884,403		(29,710)	(3.3)		

The decrease in service revenues during the three and six months ended December 31, 2010 was due to same-store service sales decreasing 2.1 and 2.3 percent, respectively, as a result of a decline in same-store customer visits. Partially offsetting the decrease in service revenues was growth due to acquisitions during the previous twelve months, and price increases and sales mix as the Company continues to increase hair color and waxing services. In addition, for the three months ended December 31, 2010, the weakening of the United States dollar against the Canadian dollar partially offset the decrease in service revenues.

The decrease in service revenues during the three months ended December 31, 2009 was due to same-store service sales decreasing 3.9 percent, as customer visits declined. Partially offsetting the decrease was growth due to acquisitions during the previous twelve months, increase in average ticket, and the weakening of the United States dollar against the Canadian dollar during the three months ended December 31, 2009.

The decrease in service revenues during the six months ended December 31, 2009 was due to same-store service sales decreasing 4.0 percent, as many consumers have continued to lengthen their visitation pattern due to the economy and the strengthening of the United States dollar against the British pound during the six months ended December 31, 2009. Partially offsetting the decrease was growth due to acquisitions during the previous twelve months and increase in average ticket.

Product Revenues. Product revenues are primarily sales at company-owned salons, hair restoration centers and sales of product and equipment to franchisees. Total product revenues for the three and six months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

		Increase (Decrease) Over Prior Fiscal Year					
Periods Ended December 31,	Revenues	(Dollars	Dollar in thousands)	Percentage			
Three Months							
2010	\$ 133,824	\$	3,153	2.4%			
2009	130,671		(2,103)	(1.6)			
Six Months							
2010	\$ 262,429	\$	(14,395)	(5.2)%			
2009	276,824		9,867	3.7			

The increase in product revenues during the three months ended December 31, 2010 was due to same-store product sales increasing 1.5 percent. Holiday product promotional deals and new product assortments had a positive impact on same-store product sales.

The decrease in product revenues during the six months ended December 31, 2010 was due to product sales of \$20.0 million to the purchaser of Trade Secret during the prior year comparable period, partially offset by a same-store sales increase of 1.6 percent.

The decrease in product revenues during the three months ended December 31, 2009 was due to same-store product sales decreasing 2.9 percent.

The growth in product revenues during the six months ended December 31, 2009 was due to product sales of \$20.0 million to the purchaser of Trade Secret, partially offset by same-store sales decrease of 4.3 percent.

Royalties and Fees. Total franchise revenues, which include royalties and fees, for the three and six months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

			Increase (Decrease) Over Prior Fiscal Year					
Periods Ended December 31,	R	levenues		Dollar thousands)	Percentage			
Three Months								
2010	\$	9,609	\$	40	0.4%			
2009		9,569		(5)	(0.1)			
Six Months								
2010	\$	19,720	\$	32	0.2%			
2009		19,688		(197)	(1.0)			

Total franchise locations open at December 31, 2010 were 2,006, including 32 franchise hair restoration centers, as compared to 2,078, including 33 franchise hair restoration centers, at December 31, 2009. We purchased 49 of our franchise salons and one franchise hair restoration center during the twelve months ended December 31, 2010. The decrease in franchise locations was offset by the impact of the weakening of the United States dollar against the Canadian dollar.

Total franchise locations open at December 31, 2009 were 2,078, including 33 franchise hair restoration centers, as compared to 2,073, including 33 franchise hair restoration centers, at December 31, 2008. We purchased seven of our franchise salons and zero franchise hair restoration centers during the twelve months ended December 31, 2009.

Gross Margin (Excluding Depreciation and Amortization)

Our cost of revenues primarily includes labor costs related to salon and hair restoration center employees, the cost of product used in providing services and the cost of products sold to customers and franchisees. The resulting gross margin for the three and six months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 was as follows:

		Gross	Margin as % of Service and Product		(Decrease) Inc	rease Over Prior Fis	cal Year
Periods Ended December 31,	Margin		Revenues	ollore	Dollar in thousands)		
Three Months			U)	0111151	in thousands)		
2010	\$	251,132	44.5%	\$	(3,432)	(1.3)%	(50)
2009		254,564	45.0		(1,372)	(0.5)	70
Six Months							
2010	\$	508,690	44.9%	\$	(5,841)	(1.1)%	60
2009		514,531	44.3		(11,927)	(2.3)	(30)

(1) Represents the basis point change in gross margin as a percent of service and product revenues as compared to the corresponding periods of the prior fiscal year.

Service Margin (Excluding Depreciation and Amortization). Service margin for the three and six months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 was as follows:

	:	Service	Margin as % of Service		(Decrease) In	crease Over Prior Fis	cal Year				
Periods Ended December 31,	I	Margin	Revenues		Dollar	Percentage	Basis Point(1)				
			(Dollars in thousands)								
Three Months											
2010	\$	181,234	42.1%	\$	(5,079)	(2.7)%	(70)				
2009		186,313	42.8		(1,927)	(1.0)	50				
Six Months											
2010	\$	371,262	42.7%	\$	(8,360)	(2.2)%	(20)				
2009		379,622	42.9		(10,576)	(2.7)	20				

(1) Represents the basis point change in service margin as a percent of service revenues as compared to the corresponding periods of the prior fiscal year.

The basis point decrease in service margin as a percent of service revenues during the three and six months ended December 31, 2010 was primarily due to an unexpected increase in salon payroll expense as staffing was increased in preparation for the holiday season and same-store sales declined during the period. In addition, the basis point decrease was due to a planned increase in payroll taxes as a result of states increasing unemployment tax rates.

The basis point increase in service margin as a percent of service revenues during the three and six months ended December 31, 2009 was primarily due to the benefit of the new leveraged salon pay plans implemented in the 2009 calendar year, partially offset by planned increases in salon health insurance costs.

⁴⁰

Product Margin (Excluding Depreciation and Amortization). Product margin for the three and six months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 was as follows:

			Margin as % of						
	F	roduct	Product		Increase (Dec	rease) Over Prior Fis	scal Year		
Periods Ended December 31,	Ι	Aargin	Revenues		Dollar	Percentage	Basis Point(1)		
			(Dollars in thousands)						
Three Months									
2010	\$	69,898	52.2%	\$	1,647	2.4%			
2009		68,251	52.2		555	0.8	120		
Six Months									
2010	\$	137,428	52.4%	\$	2,519	1.9%	370		
2009		134,909	48.7		(1,351)	(1.0)	(230)		

(1) Represents the basis point change in product margin as a percent of product revenues as compared to the corresponding periods of the prior fiscal year.

Trade Secret, Inc. was sold by Regis Corporation on February 16, 2009. The agreement included a provision that Regis Corporation would supply product to the purchaser at cost for a transition period. The agreement was substantially completed as of September 30, 2009.

The following tables breakout product revenue, cost of product and product margin as a percent of product revenues between product and product sold to the purchaser of Trade Secret.

	For the Periods Ended December 31,							
		Three			Six Months			
Breakout of Product Revenue	2010			2009	2010		2009	
Product	\$	133,824	\$	130,671	\$	262,429	\$	256,862
Product sold to purchaser of Trade Secret								19,962
Total product revenues	\$	133,824	\$	130,671	\$	262,429	\$	276,824

	For the Periods Ended December 31,								
	Three Months					Six Months			
Breakout of Cost of Product		2010		2009		2010		2009	
Cost of product	\$	63,926	\$	62,420	\$	125,001	\$	121,953	
Cost of product sold to purchaser of Trade Secret								19,962	
Total cost of product	\$	63,926	\$	62,420	\$	125,001	\$	141,915	

	For the Periods Ended December 31,						
	Three Mon	nths	Six Month	15			
Product Margin as % of Product Revenues	2010	2009	2010	2009			
Margin on product other than sold to purchaser of							
Trade Secret	52.2%	52.2%	52.4%	52.5%			
Margin on product sold to purchaser of Trade Secret							
Total product margin	52.2%	52.2%	52.4%	48.7%			

Product margin on product other than sold to purchaser of Trade Secret as a percent of product revenues during the three and six months ended December 31, 2010 was consistent and down ten basis points, respectively, with the comparable prior period. An improvement related to a reduction in commissions paid to new employees on retail product sales and increased product sales in our North American segment of higher-margin items such as the Company s private label was offset by an increase in sales of slightly lower profit margin appliances in our international segment.

The basis point improvement in product margin other than sold to purchaser of Trade Secret as a percent of product revenues during the three and six months ended December 31, 2009 was due to higher gross margins on promotional items, as well as a planned reduction in commissions paid to new employees on retail product sales.

Site Operating Expenses

This expense category includes direct costs incurred by our salons and hair restoration centers such as on-site advertising, workers compensation, insurance, utilities and janitorial costs. Site operating expenses for the three and six months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

		Site	Expense as % of Consolidated		Increase (Decrease) Over Prior Fiscal Year			
Periods Ended December 31,	Ol	perating	Revenues		Dollar	Percentage	Basis Point(1)	
			(Dollars in thousands)					
Three Months								
2010	\$	50,597	8.8%	\$	4,188	9.0%	70	
2009		46,409	8.1		(1,211)	(2.5)		
Six Months								
2010	\$	99,606	8.6%	\$	521	0.5%	20	
2009		99,085	8.4		3,063	3.2	40	

(1) Represents the basis point change in site operating expenses as a percent of consolidated revenues as compared to the corresponding periods of the prior fiscal year.

The basis point increase in site operating expenses as a percent of consolidated revenues during the three and six months ended December 31, 2010 was primarily due to a planned increase in advertising expense within a portion of the Company s Promenade salons, higher self insurance accruals and an increase in salon level telecommunications expenses related to the Company s internet in the salon initiative. The Company recorded an increase in self insurance accruals of \$0.5 million in the three months ended December 31, 2010, compared to a \$1.9 million reduction in the three months ended December 31, 2010 was partially offset by the prior year comparable period included \$3.6 million expense related to two legal claims on customer and employee matters.

Site operating expense as a percent of consolidated revenues during the three months ended December 31, 2009 was consistent with prior year site operating expense as a percent of consolidated revenues. Cost savings initiatives in freight and salon repairs areas mitigated negative leverage due to negative same-store sales. Self insurance expense increased during the six months ended December 31, 2009. The Company recorded a reduction in self insurance accruals of \$1.9 million in the six months ended December 31, 2009 compared to a \$6.7 million reduction in the six months ended December 31, 2008. The increase in self insurance expense was offset by the rubbish removal and utilities that we pay our landlords including six months expense during the three months ended December 31, 2008 due to the reclassification from rent expense.

The basis point increase in site operating expenses as a percent of consolidated revenues during the six months ended December 31, 2009 was primarily due to higher self insurance expense. The Company recorded a reduction in self insurance accruals of \$1.9 million in the six months ended December 31, 2009 compared to a \$6.7 million reduction in the six months ended December 31, 2008. In addition, the Company settled two legal claims related to customer and employee matters totaling \$3.6 million during the six months ended December 31, 2009. Partially offsetting the increase was cost savings initiatives realized in freight and salon repairs areas.

General and Administrative

General and administrative (G&A) includes costs associated with our field supervision, salon training and promotions, product distribution centers and corporate offices (such as salaries and professional fees), including costs incurred to support franchise and hair restoration center operations. G&A expenses for the three and six months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

		Expense as % of Consolidated	Increase (Decrease) Over Prior Fiscal Year				
Periods Ended December 31,	G&A	Revenues	Dollar	Percentage	Basis Point(1)		
		(
Three Months							
2010	\$ 75,848	13.2%	\$ 3,237	4.5%	60		
2009	72,611	12.6	80	0.1	30		
Six Months							
2010	\$ 149,922	13.0%	\$ 4,751	3.3%	70		
2009	145,171	12.3	(5,124)	(3.4)	(20)		

(1) Represents the basis point change in G&A as a percent of consolidated revenues as compared to the corresponding periods of the prior fiscal year.

The basis point increase in G&A costs as a percent of consolidated revenues during the three and six months ended December 31, 2010 was primarily due to expenditures associated with the Regis salon concept re-imaging project and professional fees incurred related to the exploration of strategic alternatives.

The basis point increase in G&A costs as a percent of consolidated revenues during the three months ended December 31, 2009 was primarily due to negative leverage from the decrease in same-store sales, an increase in Hair Club advertising, partially offset by the continuation of cost savings initiatives implemented by the Company.

The basis point improvement in G&A costs as a percent of consolidated revenues during the six months ended December 31, 2009 was due to the continuation of cost savings initiatives implemented by the Company and a reduction in marketing expense, partially offset by negative leverage due to negative same-store sales.

Rent

Rent expense, which includes base and percentage rent, common area maintenance, and real estate taxes, for the three and six months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, was as follows:

		Expense as % of Consolidated	(Decrease) Increase Over Prior Fiscal Year				
Periods Ended December 31,	Rent	Revenues		Dollar	Percentage	Basis Point(1)	
		(D	ollars i	n thousands)			
Three Months							
2010	\$ 85,235	14.8%	\$	(305)	(0.4)%	(10)	
2009	85,540	14.9		3,559	4.3	90	
Six Months							
2010							