

VERITAS DGC INC
Form 10-Q
December 06, 2006

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended October 31, 2006

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 1-7427

Veritas DGC Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

76-0343152

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

10300 Town Park Drive

Houston, Texas

(Address of principal executive offices)

77072

(Zip Code)

(832) 351-8300

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Edgar Filing: VERITAS DGC INC - Form 10-Q

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The number of shares of the Company's common stock, \$.01 par value, outstanding at November 30, 2006 was 36,199,968.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FORM 10-Q

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

| | |
|----------------|--|
| <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Financial Statements</u> |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the Three Months Ended October 31, 2006 and 2005 (Unaudited)</u> |
| | <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of October 31, 2006 and July 31, 2005 (Unaudited)</u> |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three Months Ended October 31, 2006 and 2005 (Unaudited)</u> |
| | <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u> |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> |
| <u>Item 3.</u> | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> |
| <u>Item 4.</u> | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> |

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

| | |
|----------------|-----------------|
| <u>Item 6.</u> | <u>Exhibits</u> |
|----------------|-----------------|

SIGNATURES

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

VERITAS DGC INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended October 31, | |
|---|---|-------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| | (In thousands, except per share amounts) | |
| Revenue | \$ 230,831 | \$ 168,678 |
| Cost of services | 165,792 | 136,666 |
| Research and development | 5,404 | 4,902 |
| Merger and related costs | 10,259 | |
| General and administrative | 11,432 | 8,855 |
| Operating income | 37,944 | 18,255 |
| Interest expense | 2,188 | 1,476 |
| Interest income | (4,983) | (1,901) |
| Gain on involuntary conversion of assets | | (2,000) |
| Other (income) expense, net | 29 | (126) |
| Income before provision for income taxes | 40,710 | 20,806 |
| Provision for income tax expense | 13,182 | 9,019 |
| Net income | \$ 27,528 | \$ 11,787 |
| Net income per share: | | |
| Basic: | | |
| Weighted average common shares | 35,973 | 34,689 |
| Net income per common share | \$.77 | \$.34 |
| Diluted: | | |
| Weighted average common shares | 40,748 | 36,838 |
| Net income per common share | \$.68 | \$.32 |
| Comprehensive income: | | |
| Net income | \$ 27,528 | \$ 11,787 |
| Other comprehensive income (net of tax, \$0 in all periods, except as specified): | | |
| Minimum pension liability adjustment (net of tax provision of \$155) | 363 | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | 862 | 4,905 |
| Total other comprehensive income | 1,225 | 4,905 |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 28,753 | \$ 16,692 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

VERITAS DGC INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Dollars in thousands, except par value)

(Unaudited)

| | October 31, 2006 | July 31, 2006 |
|--|---------------------|------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 353,819 | \$ 401,955 |
| Restricted cash investments | 303 | 302 |
| Accounts receivable (net of allowance for doubtful accounts: \$1,915 in October and \$1,895 in July) | 238,430 | 215,244 |
| Materials and supplies inventory | 7,451 | 6,366 |
| Prepayments and other | 37,386 | 18,917 |
| Deferred tax asset | 8,225 | 8,225 |
| Current assets held for sale | | 5,148 |
| Total current assets | 645,614 | 656,157 |
| Property and equipment | 546,225 | 359,683 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | 404,342 | 249,079 |
| Property and equipment, net | 141,883 | 110,604 |
| Multi-client data library | 324,069 | 296,603 |
| Deferred tax asset, net | 41,392 | 41,511 |
| Other assets | 22,656 | 22,699 |
| Noncurrent assets held for sale | | 30,456 |
| Total | \$ 1,175,614 | \$ 1,158,030 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Notes payable | \$ 155,000 | \$ 155,000 |
| Accounts payable, trade | 95,545 | 107,863 |
| Accrued and deferred income taxes | 35,131 | 29,224 |
| Deferred revenue | 47,581 | 29,280 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 58,256 | 70,313 |
| Current liabilities held for sale | | 21,245 |
| Total current liabilities | 391,513 | 412,925 |
| Non-current liabilities: | | |
| Other non-current liabilities | 34,268 | 34,561 |
| Total non-current liabilities | 34,268 | 34,561 |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Common stock, \$.01 par value; issued: 37,376,318 and 37,220,959 shares, respectively | 374 | 372 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 503,455 | 492,387 |
| Accumulated earnings | 255,904 | 228,376 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income: | | |
| Cumulative foreign currency translation adjustment | 22,645 | 21,783 |
| Minimum pension liability | (9,004 |) (9,367) |

Edgar Filing: VERITAS DGC INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|---|
| Treasury stock, at cost; 1,357,643 and 1,349,592 shares, respectively | (23,541 |) (23,007 |) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 749,833 | 710,544 | |
| Total | \$ 1,175,614 | \$ 1,158,030 | |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

2

VERITAS DGC INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended October 31, | |
|--|---------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net income | \$ 27,528 | \$ 11,787 |
| Non-cash items included in net income: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization, net (other than multi-client) | 14,619 | 9,045 |
| Amortization of multi-client data library | 57,925 | 52,840 |
| Share based compensation | 1,323 | 1,447 |
| Deferred income taxes | 467 | 364 |
| (Gain) / loss on disposition of property | (478) | 43 |
| Change in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Accounts receivable | (23,023) | (5,336) |
| Materials and supplies inventory | (645) | (1,298) |
| Prepayments and other | (13,749) | 574 |
| Accrued income tax | 6,817 | 2,933 |
| Accounts payable, deferred revenue and other accrued liabilities | (27,808) | (44,504) |
| Other | (300) | 1,502 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 42,676 | 29,397 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Investment in multi-client data library, net cash | (80,901) | (61,622) |
| Purchase of property and equipment | (19,221) | (11,451) |
| Proceeds from involuntary conversion of assets | | 13,600 |
| Proceeds from sales of property and equipment | 1,078 | 38 |
| Net cash used by investing activities | (99,044) | (59,435) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Proceeds from the sale of common stock | 2,734 | 6,560 |
| Excess tax benefit from stock based compensation | 5,588 | 732 |
| Principal payments on capital lease obligations | (444) | (370) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 7,878 | 6,922 |
| Currency gain on foreign cash | 354 | 1,694 |
| Decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (48,136) | (21,422) |
| Beginning cash and cash equivalents balance | 401,955 | 249,393 |
| Ending cash and cash equivalents balance | \$ 353,819 | \$ 227,971 |

Schedule of non-cash transactions:

| | | |
|---|----------|----------|
| Capitalization of depreciation and amortization resulting in an increase in multi-client data library | \$ 3,612 | \$ 5,609 |
|---|----------|----------|

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

VERITAS DGC INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

1. Summary of significant accounting policies

Basis of presentation

These consolidated financial statements are unaudited, but in the opinion of management, contain all appropriate adjustments, all of which are normally recurring adjustments unless otherwise disclosed. These financial statements, including selected notes, have been prepared in accordance with the applicable rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission and do not include all of the information and disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements. Certain reclassifications have been made to the prior period amounts to conform to the current period classification. These interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements presented in the Veritas DGC Inc. Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended July 31, 2006.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections* a replacement of APB Opinion No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3. SFAS No. 154 requires retrospective application to prior periods financial statements for changes in accounting principle, unless it is impracticable to determine either the period-specific effects or the cumulative effect of the change. SFAS 154 also requires that a change in depreciation, amortization, or depletion method for long-lived, non-financial assets be accounted for as a change in accounting estimate effected by a change in accounting principle. SFAS 154 is effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. The implementation of SFAS No. 154 did not have a material impact on the company's financial statements.

In February 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 155, *Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments* an amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 150. SFAS No. 155 (a) permits fair value remeasurement for any hybrid financial instrument that contains an embedded derivative that otherwise would require bifurcation, (b) clarifies that certain instruments are not subject to the requirements of SFAS 133, (c) establishes a requirement to evaluate interests in securitized financial assets to identify interests that may contain an embedded derivative requiring bifurcation, (d) clarifies what may be an embedded derivative for certain concentrations of credit risk and (e) amends SFAS 140 to eliminate certain prohibitions related to derivatives on a qualifying special-purpose entity. SFAS 155 is effective for us for the fiscal year beginning August 1, 2007. The implementation of SFAS No. 155 is not expected to have a material impact on the company's financial statements.

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation (FIN) No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* An Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109. FIN No. 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*. FIN No. 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. The new standard also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods and disclosure. The provisions of FIN No. 48 are effective for years beginning after December 15, 2006, which is our fiscal year beginning August 1, 2007. We are currently evaluating the provisions of FIN No. 48 to determine the impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. AUG AIR-1, *Accounting for Planned Major Maintenance Activities*. This guidance prohibits the use of the accrue-in-advance method of accounting for planned major activities because an obligation has not occurred and therefore a liability should not be recognized. The provisions of this guidance will be effective for us for the fiscal year beginning August 1, 2007. We are currently evaluating the provisions of this guidance to determine the impact on our consolidated financial statements.

4

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosure of fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 applies under other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements, and accordingly, does not require any new fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 is effective for our financial statements issued related to the fiscal year beginning August 1, 2008, and interim periods within that year. We are currently evaluating the effect, if any, that the adoption of this standard will have on our consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans, an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R). SFAS No. 158 requires an employer to: (a) Recognize in its statement of financial position an asset for a plan's overfunded status or a liability for a plan's underfunded status; (b) Measure a plan's assets and its obligations that determine its funded status as of the end of the employer's fiscal year (with limited exceptions); (c) Recognize changes in the funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan in the year in which the changes occur. Those changes will be reported in comprehensive income. The requirement to recognize the funded status of a benefit plan and the disclosure requirements are effective for us as of the end of the fiscal year ending on July 31, 2007. The requirement to measure plan assets and benefit obligations as of the date of our fiscal year-end balance sheet is effective for us for the fiscal year ending July 31, 2009. We are currently evaluating the provisions of this guidance to determine the impact on our consolidated financial statements. Had we adopted SFAS No. 158 as of July 31, 2006, the impact would have been an increase to liabilities and a decrease to stockholders' equity of approximately \$10 million.

In September 2006, the SEC issued SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 108, Financial Statements—Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements When Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements. SAB No. 108 addresses how a registrant should quantify the effect of an error in the financial statements for purposes of assessing materiality and requires that the effect be computed using both the current year income statement perspective (roll-over) and the year end balance sheet perspective (iron curtain) methods for fiscal years ending after November 15, 2006. If a change in the method of quantifying errors is required under SAB No. 108, this represents a change in accounting policy; therefore, if the use of both methods results in a larger, material misstatement than the previously applied method, the financial statements must be adjusted. SAB No. 108 allows the cumulative effect of such adjustments to be made to opening retained earnings upon adoption. The adoption of SAB No. 108 did not have an effect on our consolidated financial statements.

2. Business combinations

On September 4, 2006, the Company and Compagnie Générale de Géophysique (CGG), a French société anonyme, entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of September 4, 2006 (the Merger Agreement), by and among the Company, CGG, Volnay Acquisition Co. I, a Delaware corporation and wholly owned subsidiary of CGG (Merger Sub I), and Volnay Acquisition Co. II, a Delaware corporation and wholly owned subsidiary of CGG (Merger Sub II), under which CGG has agreed to acquire all of the issued and outstanding shares of common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, of the Company (Company Common Stock). Under the terms of the Merger Agreement, which was approved by the Boards of Directors of both the Company and CGG, Merger Sub I will merge with and into the Company with the Company continuing as the surviving corporation, and immediately thereafter, the Company will merge with and into Merger Sub II with Merger Sub II continuing as the surviving corporation as a wholly owned subsidiary of CGG.

Total consideration for the Company Common Stock is fixed at approximately \$1.5 billion in cash and approximately 47 million American Depository Shares (the ADSs) of CGG, with each ADS representing one-fifth of an ordinary share, nominal value 2.00 per share, of CGG (each a CGG Ordinary Share). Under the terms of the Merger Agreement, stockholders of the Company will have the right to elect to receive cash or ADSs, subject to a proration if either cash or stock is oversubscribed. The per share consideration was initially set at \$75.00 in cash or 2.2501 ADSs and is subject to adjustment upwards or downwards so that each share of Company Common Stock receives consideration representing equal value. This adjustment, however, will not increase or decrease the total amount of cash or the total number of ADSs to be issued in the transaction. Based on the closing price of CGG's ADSs on August 29, 2006, the stockholders of the Company would receive, in the aggregate, consideration comprised of approximately 51% ADSs and 49% cash.

Consummation of the transactions contemplated by the Merger Agreement is conditioned upon, among other things, (1) approval by the stockholders of the Company and CGG, (2) the receipt of required regulatory approvals as discussed below and (3) the effectiveness of the registration statement on Form F-4 and the registration statement on Form F-6 relating to the ADSs to be issued in the merger. In the event of a termination of the Merger Agreement under certain circumstances, the Company or CGG may be required to pay the other party certain termination fees as more fully explained in the Merger Agreement filed as Exhibit 2.1 to Veritas DGC Inc.'s Form 8-K dated September 4, 2006.

The merger was subject to review by the Antitrust Division of the U.S. Department of Justice, which is referred to as the Antitrust Division, and the Federal Trade Commission, which is referred to as the FTC, under the Hart Scott Rodino (HSR) Act. The Antitrust Division terminated the waiting period imposed by the HSR Act on October 25, 2006. CGG and Veritas submitted a notice of the merger to the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States (CFIUS), in accordance with the regulations implementing the Exon-Florio Amendment to the Defense Production Act of 1950, which is referred to as the Exon-Florio Amendment, and on November 16, 2006, the CFIUS issued a letter stating that had concluded its action, having found no national security issues sufficient to warrant further investigation. CGG and Veritas each conduct business in Norway and each submitted filings to the Norwegian antitrust authorities, but these authorities are deemed to have authorized the merger by not requesting the submission of a full notification. In addition, CGG and Veritas have each submitted filings to the Brazilian and United Kingdom antitrust authorities, though the approval of these authorities is not required to complete the merger.

The merger may also be subject to the regulatory requirements of other municipal, state, federal and foreign governmental agencies and authorities, including those relating to the offer and sale of securities

Upon closing of the transaction, several significant items would occur, including, without limitation, the following:

- All of our outstanding stock options would fully vest and restrictions on most outstanding restricted shares would vest.
- CGG will assume our convertible debt, which would continue to be fully convertible, and the holders of the debt would have the option of exercising their put right to CGG.
- Any outstanding borrowings and letters of credit under the credit facility would be due immediately upon closing of the transaction.
- A significant amount of severance compensation may be paid to executives of the company, including the named executive officers.
- Other material effects of the merger will be more fully discussed in the proxy statement/prospectus on Form F-4 which CGG has filed with the SEC and in the proxy statement/prospectus relating to the proposed transaction, which will be sent to each Veritas stockholder in connection with the meeting of Veritas stockholders that we intend to call to vote to adopt the merger agreement.

Due to the pending merger with CGG, on September 5, 2006, we announced that we terminated discussions with a third party relating to the possible sale of the Company's land seismic acquisition business. The depreciation expense of \$2.7 million previously not recorded related to these assets expected to be sold in fiscal 2006 was recorded in fiscal 2007 as if the assets were not held for sale at any time. For further discussion related to the assets and liabilities held for sale, see Note 7, Assets held for sale.

For the three months ended October 31, 2006, we have incurred \$10.3 million in certain merger and related costs. We terminated discussions with a third party relating to the possible sale of our land seismic acquisition business, during the first quarter of fiscal 2007. The \$10.3 million includes fees in connection with the termination of those discussions, consisting of amounts paid in settlement of all claims by the third party buyers and professional fees, including accounting and legal fees, and professional fees related to the pending merger with CGG.

3. Earnings per common share

Basic and diluted earnings per common share are computed as follows:

| | Three Months Ended October 31, | |
|--|---|-------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| | (In thousands, except per share amounts) | |
| Net income | \$ 27,528 | \$ 11,787 |
| Basic: | | |
| Weighted average common shares (including exchangeable shares) | 35,973 | 34,689 |
| Net income per share | \$.77 | \$.34 |
| Diluted: | | |
| Weighted average common shares (including exchangeable shares) | 35,973 | 34,689 |
| Shares issuable from assumed conversion of notes | 4,298 | 1,638 |
| Shares issuable from assumed exercise of options | 389 | 469 |
| Shares issuable from the assumed vesting of restricted stock | 88 | 42 |
| Total | 40,748 | 36,838 |
| Net income per share | \$.68 | \$.32 |

The shares issuable from assumed conversion of notes for the three months ended October 31, 2006 are based upon a stock price of \$72.01, which was the closing price of Veritas DGC's common stock at October 31, 2006. The shares issuable from assumed conversion of notes for the three months ended October 31, 2005 are based upon a stock price of \$32.21, which was the closing price of Veritas DGC's common stock at October 31, 2005.

The following options to purchase common shares have been excluded from the computation assuming dilution because the exercise prices of the options exceeded the average market price for the period of the underlying common shares.

| | Three Months Ended October 31, | |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Number of options | | 385,271 |
| Exercise price range | | \$ 33.94 - \$ 55.13 |
| Expiring through | | March 2012 |

4. Gain on involuntary conversion of assets

In January 2005, our seismic vessel Veritas Viking experienced an engine failure while acquiring data in the Gulf of Mexico and lost substantial amounts of overboard seismic equipment. This seismic equipment was insured at its replacement cost. A gain of \$2.0 million was recorded in the first quarter of fiscal 2006 due to the timing of the insurance settlements. This \$2.0 million gain in the first fiscal quarter of 2006 was included as a change in the amounts receivable in the consolidated statement of cash flows.

5. Income taxes

A reconciliation between the provision for income taxes and the amount computed by applying the U.S. statutory income tax rate to income before provision for income taxes is as follows:

| | For the three months ending October 31, (amounts in thousands) | |
|--|---|-----------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Income tax provision computed at the U.S. statutory rate | \$ 14,249 | \$ 7,282 |
| Increase (decrease) in taxes resulting from: | | |
| Non-U.S. activities | (993) | 1,153 |
| Foreign tax refund | (5,047) | |
| Non-deductible merger and related costs | 3,590 | |
| Other | 1,383 | 584 |
| Total | \$ 13,182 | \$ 9,019 |

The increase (decrease) in taxes resulting from non-U.S. activities includes non-U.S. earnings taxed at other than the U.S. statutory rate, non-U.S. withholding taxes, U.S. foreign tax credits, U.S. tax on non-U.S. branch operations or foreign dividends, foreign tax contingencies and changes in valuation allowances on foreign deferred taxes.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2007, the Company recorded a \$5.0 million tax benefit related to a refund received from a foreign taxing authority with respect to certain prior year tax matters. In addition, the Company incurred \$10.3 million of non-deductible merger and related costs.

6. Notes payable and debt structure

As of October 31, 2006, our notes payable consisted of \$155.0 million of Convertible Senior Notes due 2024. These notes are classified as a current liability as of October 31, 2006 because the conversion feature discussed below results in the notes being convertible at the option of the holders.

The Convertible Senior Notes bear interest at a per annum rate which equals the three-month LIBOR rate, adjusted quarterly, minus a spread of 0.75%. The interest rate of the notes, from September 15, 2006 through December 14, 2006, is 4.64%, based on a LIBOR rate of 5.39%. For the first quarter of fiscal 2007, the weighted average interest rate on the notes was 4.61%. The notes will mature on March 15, 2024 and may not be redeemed by us prior to March 20, 2009. Holders of the notes may require us to repurchase some, or all, of the notes on March 15, 2009, 2014 and 2019. They could also require repurchase upon a change of control (as defined in the indenture under which the Convertible Senior Notes were issued).

Under certain circumstances and at the option of the holder, the Convertible Senior Notes are convertible prior to the maturity date into cash and shares of our common stock. Certain of these circumstances may result in classification of the Convertible Senior Notes as current on our balance sheet. These circumstances include:

1. the closing sale price of our common stock is over 120% of the conversion price, which is currently \$24.03 (with 120% being \$28.84) for 20 trading days in the period of 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the fiscal quarter preceding the quarter in which the conversion occurs;
2. if we called the notes for redemption and the redemption has not occurred;
3. the occurrence of a five consecutive trading day period in which the trading price of the notes was less than 95% of the closing sale price of our common stock on such day multiplied by the conversion ratio; or
4. the occurrence of specified corporate transactions.

6. Notes payable and debt structure

Should any of these circumstances occur, the Convertible Senior Notes would be convertible at the then current stock price times the conversion ratio of 41.6146. This amount would be payable in cash equal to the principal amount of the notes, the par value adjusted for dividends or other equity transactions, and the additional amount payable in shares of our common stock. Currently, the maximum amount payable by us on conversion is \$155 million in cash plus approximately 6.5 million shares. This settlement method is prescribed in the indenture and is not at the discretion of any party. The shares issuable from such conversion are considered in the calculation of diluted earnings per share.

As of the beginning of the second fiscal quarter of 2006, the Convertible Senior Notes were convertible as the stock price remained greater than 120% of the Conversion Price for at least 20 trading days in the period of 30 consecutive trading days ending on October 31, 2005. The notes continued to be convertible as of October 31, 2006. Because of the convertibility, the notes have been classified as a current liability on our consolidated balance sheet as of October 31, 2006. The determination of the convertibility of the notes occurs quarterly. Depending upon the common stock price in the future, the notes may not be convertible in future quarters and therefore would not be classified as current on our consolidated balance sheet. Assuming a stock price of \$72.01 (which was the closing stock price at October 31, 2006), conversion of all the notes would result in our payment of \$155 million in cash and 4.3 million shares of common stock.

In connection with our issuance of the Convertible Senior Notes, we entered into a registration rights agreement pursuant to which we agreed to register the resale of the notes and underlying common stock by the holders thereof. If we failed to keep the shelf registration statement related to this debt effective or usable in accordance with the registration rights agreement, then we were required to pay liquidated damages to all holders of notes and all holders of our common stock issued upon conversion of the notes. The liquidated damages to be paid were equal to an annual rate of 0.50% of the principal amount. In November 2005, the shelf registration statement became no longer effective and, accordingly, we began accruing liquidated damages at approximately \$2,100 per day. We were not required to register the resale of the notes and underlying common stock after March 10, 2006 and therefore, our liability for the payment of liquidated damages ended on that date.

In addition to the notes, we also have a five-year \$85 million revolving loan agreement with a syndicate of banks. The facility provides for revolving loans and the issuance of letters of credit to Veritas DGC Inc. and certain of its subsidiaries of up to \$45 million in the United States, \$15 million in Canada, \$15 million in Singapore and \$10 million in the United Kingdom. As of October 31, 2006, there were no borrowings and \$5.9 million of outstanding letters of credit, leaving \$79.1 million available under the revolving loan facility.

The facility is secured by pledges of accounts receivable and the U.S. land data library, which, when added together, have a carrying amount of approximately \$268 million as of October 31, 2006. The facility is also secured by certain intercompany notes and stock in certain Veritas subsidiaries. Veritas and certain of its subsidiaries have also issued loan guarantees with respect to certain borrowings. Interest rates on borrowings under the facility are selected by the borrower at the time of any advance and the rates so selected may be either at LIBOR plus 1.00% or the Base Rate (defined as the lesser of the applicable prime rate or the federal funds rate). These rates may be adjusted upward depending upon our leverage ratio (calculated as a ratio of funded debt versus EBITDA for the previous four quarters) to a maximum of LIBOR plus 1.50% or the base rate plus 0.50%. The loan agreement and related documents contain customary financial covenants and default provisions, including a limit on the amount of cash dividends. These covenants contain certain financial measurements as of quarter ending dates, and, as of October 31, 2006, we were in compliance with these covenants.

We also have various unsecured lines of credit, with lending institutions that operate in geographic areas not covered by the lending institutions in our credit facility, totaling \$8.5 million that may be used exclusively for the issuance of letters of credit and bank guarantees. As of October 31, 2006, \$0.4 million in letters of credit were outstanding under these lines.

7. Pension plan

We maintain a contributory defined benefit pension plan (the Plan) for eligible participating employees in the United Kingdom. The following is the net periodic benefit cost by component:

9

| | Three Months Ended October 31, | |
|--|---------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Service cost (benefits earned during the period) | \$ 398 | \$ 331 |
| Interest cost on projected benefit obligation | 630 | 480 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (391) | (324) |
| Amortization of transition obligation | 2 | 2 |
| Amortization of prior service cost | (38) | (36) |
| Amortization of net (gain)/loss | 327 | 261 |
| Net periodic benefit costs | \$ 928 | \$ 714 |

We have made contributions to this plan based on the schedule of contributions on which the above service cost was based and expect to complete these contributions, totaling approximately \$1.6 million for the year, during fiscal 2007.

8. Assets held for sale

As of July 31, 2006, it was expected that the land acquisition seismic business would be sold to a third party with an expected closing to occur in the second fiscal quarter of fiscal 2007. The land seismic acquisition business met the criteria to be considered held for sale and was appropriately classified as of July 31, 2006 in accordance with FAS 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets. Further, approximately \$2.7 million of depreciation expense was not recorded in fiscal year 2006 to reflect the depreciation expense from the time the assets met the criteria until the end of fiscal year 2006. The assets held for sale were included in the NASA and EAME segments. The land seismic acquisition business was not considered a discontinued operation due to our continuing involvement in the business because of anticipated ongoing contracts.

In September 2006, these assets were no longer considered held for sale due to the pending merger with CGG. According to FAS 144, when an asset is no longer considered held for sale, the depreciation expense previously not recorded should be recognized as if the assets were not held for sale at any time. Therefore, we recorded \$2.7 million of additional depreciation expense in the first fiscal quarter of 2007. For further discussion on the pending merger with CGG, see Note 2, Business combinations.

The geophysical vessel, Veritas Searcher, and certain related equipment were sold during the first fiscal quarter for approximately \$1 million and a gain of approximately \$0.5 million. A new vessel, the Veritas Voyager was placed into service in July 2006 as a replacement to the Searcher.

The amounts reclassified as held for sale as of July 31, 2006 were as follows:

| | As of July 31, 2006 | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|
| | (amounts in thousands) | | |
| | Land acquisition | Searcher vessel | Total |
| Materials and inventory | \$ 440 | \$ | \$ 440 |
| Prepayments and other | 4,708 | | 4,708 |
| Current assets | 5,148 | | 5,148 |
| Geophysical vessel | | 8,331 | 8,331 |
| Geophysical equipment | 144,096 | 2,206 | 146,302 |
| Leasehold improvements and other | 23,863 | | 23,863 |
| Total | 167,959 | 10,537 | 178,496 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (138,003) | (10,037) | (148,040) |
| Property and equipment, net | 29,956 | 500 | 30,456 |
| Total assets | \$ 35,104 | 500 | \$ 35,604 |
| Deferred revenue | \$ 21,245 | | \$ 21,245 |
| Total current liabilities | \$ 21,245 | | \$ 21,245 |

7. Pension plan

9. Segment information

The company has four reportable segments: North and South America (NASA); Europe, Africa, Middle East and Commonwealth of Independent States (EAME); Asia Pacific (APAC); and Veritas Hampson Russell (VHR). In NASA, EAME and APAC, we conduct geophysical surveys on both a contract and a multi-client basis. When we conduct surveys on a contract basis, we acquire and process data for a single client who pays us to conduct the survey and owns the data we acquire. When we conduct surveys on a multi-client basis, we acquire and process data for our own account and license that data and associated products to multiple clients. NASA, EAME and APAC offer a common suite of these products and services to their customers, although each product or service may be adapted to meet the needs of the local markets. VHR licenses geophysical software and provides geophysical reservoir consulting services. This segmentation of our company is representative of the manner in which it is viewed and managed by our senior managers and our Board of Directors. A reconciliation of the reportable segments results to those of the total enterprise is given below:

| | For the Three Months Ended October 31, 2006 | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|------------|
| | NASA (In thousands) | EAME | APAC | VHR | Corporate | Total |
| Revenue | \$ 139,294 | \$ 54,248 | \$ 32,202 | \$ 5,087 | \$ | \$ 230,831 |
| Depreciation and amortization, net (other than multi-client) | 9,450 | 2,291 | 1,575 | 1,025 | 278 | 14,619 |
| Amortization of multi-client library | 37,082 | 20,749 | 94 | | | 57,925 |
| Operating income (loss) | 41,634 | 10,571 | 6,825 | 663 | (21,749) | 37,944 |
| Assets | 556,877 | 197,009 | 92,453 | 11,457 | 317,818 | 1,175,614 |

| | For the Three Months Ended October 31, 2005 | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|------------|
| | NASA (In thousands) | EAME | APAC | VHR | Corporate | Total |
| Revenue | \$ 102,842 | \$ 34,769 | \$ 26,783 | \$ 4,284 | \$ | \$ 168,678 |
| Depreciation and amortization, net (other than multi-client) | 4,839 | 1,208 | 1,819 | 961 | 218 | 9,045 |
| Amortization of multi-client library | 36,745 | 16,095 | | | | 52,840 |
| Operating income (loss) | 19,035 | 3,528 | 5,804 | (662) | (9,450) | 18,255 |
| Assets | 491,099 | 140,136 | 54,077 | 14,637 | 254,517 | 954,466 |

Corporate operating income (loss) includes overhead and certain non-recurring adjustments. The assets within the Corporate segment consist primarily of cash.

10. Stock based compensation

As of August 1, 2005, we adopted the Financial Accounting Standard Board Statement No. 123(R) (SFAS 123R) to account for stock based employee compensation. SFAS 123R requires us to record the cost of stock options and other equity-based compensation in our income statement based upon the estimated fair value of those awards. We elected to use the modified prospective method for adoption, which requires compensation expense to be recorded for all unvested stock options and other equity-based compensation beginning in the first quarter of adoption. Accordingly, prior periods have not been restated to reflect stock based compensation. For all unvested options outstanding as of August 1, 2005, the previously measured but unrecognized compensation expense, based on the fair value at the original grant date, will be recognized in the statement of operations over the remaining vesting period. For equity-based compensation granted subsequent to August 1, 2005, compensation expense, based on the fair value on the date of grant, will be recognized in the statement of operations over the vesting period. For deferred share units, it is our policy to determine the fair value of the units based on our common stock price at the date of grant which is then expensed over the applicable service period.

Prior to December 11, 2002, we had two employee nonqualified stock option plans under which options were granted to officers and select employees. Options generally vested over three years and were exercisable over a five to ten-year period from the date of grant. The exercise price for each option was the fair market value of the common stock on the grant date. Our Board of Directors authorized 5,954,550 shares of common stock to be issued under these option plans.

Prior to December 11, 2002, we also maintained a stock option plan for non-employee directors (the Director Plan) under which options were granted to our non-employee directors. The Director Plan provided that every year each eligible director was granted options to purchase 5,000 shares of our common stock which vest over a period of three years from the date of grant and are exercisable over five to ten years from the date of grant. The exercise price for each option granted was the fair market value at the date of grant. The Board of Directors authorized 600,000 shares of common stock to be issued under the Director Plan.

On December 11, 2002, we adopted our current Share Incentive Plan that provides for the issuance to directors, officers and select employees of: (1) nonqualified options to purchase our common stock, (2) incentive options to purchase our common stock, (3) share appreciation rights, (4) deferred share units, (5) restricted shares and (6) performance shares. Options issued to employees under the Share Incentive Plan have exercise prices equal to the fair market value at the date of grant; have five-year lives and vest over three years. Options issued to continuing non-employee directors under the Share Incentive Plan have exercise prices equal to the fair market value at the date of grant, have five-year lives and vest immediately. As of October 31, 2006, 1.3 million shares were reserved for issuance under this plan, with no more than 0.3 million of those shares issuable in any form other than stock options.

Information related to stock based compensation follows:

| | Three Months Ended October 31, 2006 (in millions of dollars) | Three Months Ended October 31, 2005 |
|--|---|--|
| Compensation costs recorded for all plans | \$ 1.3 | \$ 1.5 |
| Tax benefit recognized in income statement for share-based compensation arrangements | 0.4 | 0.4 |

Our policy of meeting the requirements upon exercise of stock options is to issue new shares.

As of October 31, 2006, there was approximately \$6.2 million, of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested share-based compensation arrangements. That cost is expected to be recognized on a straight line basis over the remaining vesting period, generally 2 years.

Stock options

The fair value of each option award granted after August 1, 2005 is estimated on the date of grant using a lattice-based option valuation model that uses the assumptions noted in the following table. No assumptions are listed for the three months ended October 31, 2006 as no options were granted during this period. Expected volatilities are based on implied volatilities from traded options on our stock and historical volatility of our stock. We use historical data to estimate option exercise and employee termination within the valuation model; separate groups of employees were reviewed and shown to have similar historical exercise behavior and are considered together for valuation purposes. The expected term of options granted is derived from the output of the option valuation model and represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. The risk-free rate for periods within the contractual life of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant.

| | 2006 | 2005 | |
|--------------------------|----------------|-------------|---|
| Expected volatility | Not applicable | 50.8 | % |
| Expected dividends | Not applicable | 0 | % |
| Expected term (in years) | Not applicable | 4.0 | |
| Risk free rate | Not applicable | 4.0 | % |

The following tables provides additional information related to this stock option plan:

| For the Three Months Ended October 31, 2006 | | | | | |
|---|------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|
| | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value | Weighted Average Contractual Life In Years | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (000 s) |
| Beginning balance | 1,178,473 | \$ 19.70 | | | |
| Options granted | | | | | |
| Options exercised | 106,956 | 17.82 | | | |
| Options forfeited | | | | | |
| Total outstanding | 1,071,517 | 19.77 | | 2.6 | \$ 55,977 |
| Options exercisable and vested | 818,384 | 19.35 | | 2.4 | \$ 43,100 |

The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the three months ended October 31, 2006 and 2005 was \$4.9 million and \$7.6 million, respectively. Cash received from option exercises under all share-based payment arrangements for the three months ended October 31, 2006 was approximately \$2.7 million. The tax benefit realized for the tax deductions resulting from option exercises of share-based payment arrangements was approximately \$5.3 million for the three months ended October 31, 2006.

Performance shares

During October 2005, certain participants were awarded rights to receive restricted shares of the company in October 2006. Restricted shares were earned and granted in October 2006. The number of shares was based upon established performance targets that were assessed at the end of fiscal year 2006. The vesting of the issued restricted shares will be two years after the performance targets were assessed and therefore the service period is from the date of grant through July 31, 2008. These shares were valued initially at \$31.94 per share for a total value of \$2.5 million based upon the market price of the shares at the award date and the expected outcome of the performance targets. Based on the results for the year ended July 31, 2006 and that the fiscal 2006 performance targets were exceeded, we accrued additional share based compensation related to the performance shares. During the first fiscal quarter of 2007, 70,113 shares of restricted stock were issued related to the performance shares valued at approximately \$4.6 million. We recorded \$1.3 million and \$0.4 million of compensation expense related to this plan in the fiscal year ended July 31, 2006 and in the first fiscal quarter of 2007, respectively. We expect to record an additional \$2.6 million over the remaining service period. As a fixed amount of restricted shares have now been issued, this compensation plan is no longer recorded as a liability, but is now included as additional paid in capital.

Restricted Stock

For the restricted shares, the shares generally vest ratably over 3 years, except for those related to the performance shares discussed above. The status of the restricted stock as of July 31, 2006 and the changes during the three months ended October 31, 2006 is presented below:

| | Number of Shares |
|-------------------------------|------------------|
| Nonvested at July 31, 2006 | 124,969 |
| Granted | 70,113 |
| Less: Vested | 34,782 |
| Less: Forfeited | 1,250 |
| Nonvested at October 31, 2006 | 159,050 |

The weighted average grant date fair value for the granted restricted stock was \$65.00 and \$31.94 per share for the three months ended October 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. All of the restricted stock granted in the first quarter of fiscal 2007 related to the performance shares discussed above. The total fair value of shares vested during the three months ended October 31, 2006 and 2005 was approximately \$2.3 million and \$0.2 million, respectively.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

We also have an employee stock purchase plan. The Board of Directors originally authorized 1,000,000 shares available for issuance under this plan. Participation is voluntary and substantially all full-time employees meeting limited eligibility requirements may participate. Contributions are made through payroll deductions and may not be less than 1% or more than 15% of the participant's base pay as defined. The participant's option to purchase common stock is deemed to be granted on the first day and exercised on the last day of the fiscal quarter at a price that is the lower of 85% of the market price on the first or last day of the fiscal quarter. In connection with the pending merger with CGG, we agreed to limit the number of shares to be issued after the merger agreement signing on September 4, 2006. In order to comply with the merger agreement, Veritas discontinued the ESPP on October 31, 2006. During the quarter ended October 31, 2006, 17,807 shares of common stock with a weighted fair value at grant of \$12.07 per share were issued related to the preceding quarter's grant. During the quarter ended October 31, 2006, there were 18,026 shares granted and all of these will be issued in the second quarter of fiscal 2007. The grant date fair value for these shares was \$13.15 per share. During the quarter ended October 31, 2005, 24,317 shares of common stock with a weighted fair value at grant of \$22.42 per share were issued related to the preceding fiscal quarter's grant. During the quarter ended October 31, 2005, there were 23,144 shares granted and all of these were issued in the second quarter of fiscal 2006 with a grant date fair value of \$7.52 per share. The fair value for the shares granted was determined using the Black-Scholes option valuation method with the following assumptions:

| For the quarter ended | Assumptions used in valuation model | | | | Expected life | Expected dividends |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|---|------------|---|---------------|--------------------|
| | Risk free rate | | Volatility | | | |
| October 31, 2005 | 3.5 | % | 47 | % | 90 days | |
| October 31, 2006 | 4.9 | % | 44 | % | 90 days | |

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Edgar Filing: VERITAS DGC INC - Form 10-Q

This report on Form 10-Q and the documents incorporated by reference contain forward-looking statements, within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These statements include statements incorporated by reference to other documents we file with the SEC. Forward-looking statements include, among other things, business strategy and expectations concerning industry conditions, market position, future operations, margins, profitability, liquidity and capital resources. Forward-looking statements generally can be identified by the use of terminology such as may, will, expect, intend, estimate, anticipate or believe or similar expressions or the negatives thereof. These expectations are based on management's assumptions and current beliefs based on currently available information. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in such statements are reasonable, we can give no assurance that such expectation will be correct. You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this report on Form 10-Q. Our operations are subject to a number of uncertainties, risks and other influences, many of which are outside our control, and any one of which, or a combination of which, could cause our actual results of operations to differ materially from the forward-looking statements. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations are disclosed in Risk Factors and elsewhere in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Overview

Edgar Filing: VERITAS DGC INC - Form 10-Q

On September 4, 2006, we entered into a merger agreement with Compagnie Générale de Géophysique, a société anonyme organized under the laws of the Republic of France (CGG), whereby CGG agreed to acquire Veritas in a part cash, part stock transaction. The transaction is currently expected to close in early calendar year 2007. See further discussion on the proposed merger in Note 2, Business Combinations, of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

We see strengthening conditions in the seismic industry, as it continues to move out of the weak 1999 - 2003 timeframe to what appears to be a robust future. We first noted a change in our marketplace during the second half of calendar 2004, when we began to see global exploration for oil and gas increasing worldwide in key basins of interest and exploration and production companies actively competing with each other for access to seismic acquisition assets in certain markets, driving prices upward.

While seismic has traditionally been used purely as an exploration tool, we are also seeing growing interest in new seismic reservoir imaging techniques, such as wide azimuth acquisition, which offers better delineation of complex structures and may advance the use of seismic in hydrocarbon production.

We believe all of these trends are promising for our business, although seismic spending will undoubtedly continue to fluctuate.

Our overall performance for the three months ended October 31, 2006 is the result of being well positioned to take advantage of the increased exploration and reservoir delineation spending. This positioning includes having quality multi-client data in areas of current customer interest and areas of emerging potential, data imaging capabilities that enable us to further illuminate the subsurface by producing the highest quality seismic images and field operations that provide technical as well as health, safety and environmental excellence.

Due to our sales and operational efforts and the robust seismic market, we have seen our backlog increase to \$550 million at October 31, 2006 from \$456 million at July 31, 2006 and \$459 million at October 31, 2005. We expect that approximately 90% of the backlog at October 31, 2006 will be completed during the next twelve months.

The company has four reportable segments: North and South America (NASA); Europe, Africa, Middle East and Commonwealth of Independent States (EAME); Asia Pacific (APAC); and Veritas Hampson Russell (VHR). In NASA, EAME and APAC, we conduct geophysical surveys on both a contract and a multi-client basis. When we conduct surveys on a contract basis, we acquire and process data for a single client who pays us to conduct the survey and owns the data we acquire. When we conduct surveys on a multi-client basis, we acquire and process data for our own account and license that data and associated products to multiple clients. NASA, EAME and APAC offer a common suite of these products and services to their customers, although each product or service may be

adapted to meet the needs of the local markets. VHR licenses geophysical software and provides geophysical reservoir consulting services. This segmentation of our company is representative of the manner in which it is viewed and managed by our senior managers and our Board of Directors.

Results of operations

Three months ended October 31, 2006 compared with three months ended October 31, 2005

Edgar Filing: VERITAS DGC INC - Form 10-Q

Revenues. Our revenues increased by 37% from \$168.7 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2006 to \$230.8 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2007 as discussed further below.

Multi-client revenue in the first quarter of fiscal 2007 of \$108.3 million increased by \$33.8 million, or 45%, compared to the prior year's first fiscal quarter. Land multi-client and marine multi-client contributed increases of \$17.8 million and \$16.0 million, respectively. The increases in the land multi-client revenue came primarily from Canada survey sales. Marine survey sales continue to remain strong globally with particularly good results coming from the Gulf of Mexico and the North Sea. In addition, we had significant multi-client sales from Kazakhstan, a new and promising area for Veritas.

Total contract revenue increased \$28.3 million, or 30%, from the prior year's first fiscal quarter with strong growth from marine acquisition and imaging work from across all geographic regions. Our NASA region continues to see significant growth in revenues from the Gulf of Mexico area. Our land contract business remains strong, improving from the first quarter results from the prior year that included a large project in South America.

All of our segments contributed to higher revenue, which was driven primarily by increases both in multi-client and contract work. NASA generated a \$36.5 million revenue increase primarily through multi-client land work in Canada, additional marine acquisition work in the Gulf of Mexico and increased imaging work. EAME had higher revenue of \$19.5 million coming from all product lines with the most significant increase in its marine multi client sales. Asia Pacific continued to experience increases in its marine contract and imaging work.

Revenue by contract type is as follows:

| | For the Three Months Ended October 31, | | Change | % | |
|----------------------|---|-------------|---------------|----------|---|
| | 2006 | 2005 | | | |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Multi-Client: | | | | | |
| Land | \$ 43,747 | \$ 25,899 | \$ 17,848 | 69 | % |
| Marine | 64,549 | 48,558 | 15,991 | 33 | % |
| Total Multi-Client | 108,296 | 74,457 | 33,839 | 45 | % |
| Contract: | | | | | |
| Land | 48,817 | 47,647 | 1,170 | 2 | % |
| Marine | 73,718 | 46,574 | 27,144 | 58 | % |
| Total Contract | 122,536 | 94,221 | 28,314 | 30 | % |
| Total Revenue | \$ 230,831 | \$ 168,678 | \$ 62,153 | 37 | % |

Revenue by segment is as follows:

| | For the Three Months Ended October 31, | | Change | % | |
|---------------|---|-------------|---------------|----------|---|
| | 2006 | 2005 | | | |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| NASA | \$ 139,294 | \$ 102,842 | \$ 36,452 | 35 | % |
| EAME | 54,248 | 34,769 | 19,479 | 56 | % |
| APAC | 32,202 | 26,783 | 5,419 | 20 | % |
| VHR | 5,087 | 4,284 | 803 | 19 | % |
| Total Revenue | \$ 230,831 | \$ 168,678 | \$ 62,153 | 37 | % |

Operating income. Operating income for the first quarter of fiscal 2007 of \$37.9 million more than doubled from the \$18.3 million in the prior year's first fiscal quarter. The increase in the operating income was primarily due to higher revenue and margins in both multi-client and contract work. Margin increases were generated by marine multi-client sales in the Gulf of Mexico, Canada, North Sea and Kazakhstan.

Operating income included \$10.3 million of merger and related costs. We terminated discussions with a third party relating to the possible sale of our land seismic acquisition business, during the first quarter of fiscal 2007. The \$10.3 million includes fees in connection with the termination of those discussions, consisting of amounts paid in settlement of all claims by the third party buyers and professional fees, including accounting and legal fees, and professional fees related to the pending merger with CGG.

Also included in operating income in the first quarter of fiscal 2007 was approximately \$2.7 million of depreciation that related to the land seismic acquisition assets that were previously considered held for sale during fiscal 2006. Another significant item within operating income was \$1.4 million of professional fees related to obtaining a significant refund from a foreign taxing authority. The benefit of the refund was recorded as a reduction of income tax expense, which is discussed below.

General and administrative expenses increased \$2.6 million from the prior year's first fiscal quarter primarily due to the professional fees incurred related to obtain the tax refund discussed above and discussed in the income tax section below.

Interest expense. Interest expense increased by \$0.7 million from the prior year's first fiscal quarter as a result of increases in the LIBOR rate applicable to the \$155 million convertible debt.

Interest income. Interest income increased \$3.1 million compared to the prior year's first fiscal quarter due to a higher cash balance and higher interest rates.

Involuntary conversion of assets. The company recognized a pre-tax insurance gain of \$2.0 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2006 related to insurance settlements for the equipment loss on the Veritas Viking experienced in the second quarter of fiscal year 2005.

Other income (expense), net. Other income and expense, net consisted of foreign exchange losses of \$0.3 million and other miscellaneous gains of \$0.3 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2007. For the first quarter of fiscal year 2006, other income and expense, net consisted of \$0.9 million of foreign exchange losses and other miscellaneous gains of \$1.0 million.

Income taxes. The company's effective tax rate for the quarter ended October 31, 2006 was 32%, which is lower than the 35% U.S. statutory rate and the prior year's first quarter tax rate of 43%. The reduction in the current quarter rate was attributable to non-U.S. activities and a \$5.0 million tax benefit related to a refund received from a foreign taxing authority with respect to certain prior year tax matters. This reduction was partially offset by a \$3.6 million tax provision related to \$10.3 million of non-deductible merger and related costs.

Liquidity and capital resources

Cash flow and liquidity

Edgar Filing: VERITAS DGC INC - Form 10-Q

Our internal sources of liquidity are cash on hand and cash flow from operations. External sources include existing credit facilities, public financing, equity sales, equipment financing, existing credit facilities, and trade credit. We believe that our current cash balance and cash flow from operations will be adequate to meet our liquidity needs over the next twelve months.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$42.7 million for the first three months of fiscal 2007, which is an increase from \$29.4 million for the first three months of fiscal 2006 primarily due to the increase in net income. Net cash used by investing activities increased to \$99.0 million in the first three months of fiscal 2007 from \$59.4 million in the first three months of fiscal 2006 primarily due to increased multi-client library and capital

17

expenditures. Our currently projected cash investments for fiscal 2007 include capital expenditures to replace and upgrade existing equipment of approximately \$230 to \$250 million and investment in our data library of approximately \$270 to \$300 million. We expect to fund the remainder of these investments from our current cash on hand and from internally generated funds.

As of the beginning of the second fiscal quarter of fiscal 2006, our convertible senior notes were convertible. See further discussion on the convertibility of the notes below. The notes continued to be convertible as of October 31, 2006. As of October 31, 2006, we had an adequate amount of cash to fund the conversion of the notes which would require a payment of the entire principal amount had all of the notes been converted on that date.

While we believe that we have adequate sources of funds to meet our liquidity needs even if we were required to fund the conversion of the notes, our ability to meet our obligations depends on our future performance, which is subject to many factors beyond our control. Key factors affecting future results include utilization levels of acquisition and processing assets and demand for multi-client library surveys, all of which are driven by exploration spending and, ultimately, the underlying commodity prices.

Debt structure

Edgar Filing: VERITAS DGC INC - Form 10-Q

As of October 31, 2006, our notes payable consisted of \$155.0 million of Convertible Senior Notes due 2024. These notes are classified as a current liability as of October 31, 2006 because the conversion feature discussed below results in the notes being convertible at the option of the holders.

The Convertible Senior Notes bear interest at a per annum rate which equals the three-month LIBOR rate, adjusted quarterly, minus a spread of 0.75%. The interest rate of the notes, from September 15, 2006 through December 14, 2006, is 4.64%, based on a LIBOR rate of 5.39%. For the first quarter of fiscal 2007, the weighted average interest rate on the notes was 4.61%. The notes will mature on March 15, 2024 and may not be redeemed by us prior to March 20, 2009. Holders of the notes may require us to repurchase some, or all, of the notes on March 15, 2009, 2014 and 2019. They could also require repurchase upon a change of control (as defined in the indenture under which the Convertible Senior Notes were issued).

Under certain circumstances and at the option of the holder, the Convertible Senior Notes are convertible prior to the maturity date into cash and shares of our common stock. Certain of these circumstances may result in classification of the Convertible Senior Notes as current on our balance sheet. These circumstances include:

1. the closing sale price of our common stock is over 120% of the conversion price, which is currently \$24.03 (with 120% being \$28.84) for 20 trading days in the period of 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the fiscal quarter preceding the quarter in which the conversion occurs;
2. if we called the notes for redemption and the redemption has not occurred;
3. the occurrence of a five consecutive trading day period in which the trading price of the notes was less than 95% of the closing sale price of our common stock on such day multiplied by the conversion ratio; or
4. the occurrence of specified corporate transactions.

Should any of these circumstances occur, the Convertible Senior Notes would be convertible at the then current stock price times the conversion ratio of 41.6146. This amount would be payable in cash equal to the principal amount of the notes, the par value adjusted for dividends or other equity transactions, and the additional amount payable in shares of our common stock. Currently, the maximum amount payable by us on conversion is \$155 million in cash plus approximately 6.5 million shares. This settlement method is prescribed in the indenture and is not at the discretion of any party. The shares issuable from such conversion are considered in the calculation of diluted earnings per share.

As of the beginning of the second fiscal quarter of 2006, the Convertible Senior Notes were convertible as the stock price remained greater than 120% of the Conversion Price for at least 20 trading days in the period of 30 consecutive trading days ending on October 31, 2005. The notes continued to be convertible as of October 31, 2006. Because of the convertibility, the notes have been classified as a current liability on our consolidated balance sheet as of October 31, 2006. The determination of the convertibility of the notes occurs quarterly. Depending upon the common stock price in the future, the notes may not be convertible in future quarters and therefore would not be classified as current on our consolidated balance sheet. Assuming a stock price of \$72.01 (which was the closing stock price at October 31, 2006), conversion of all the notes would result in our payment of \$155 million in cash and 4.3 million shares of common stock.

In connection with our issuance of the Convertible Senior Notes, we entered into a registration rights agreement pursuant to which we agreed to register the resale of the notes and underlying common stock by the holders thereof. If we failed to keep the shelf registration statement related to this debt effective or usable in accordance with the registration rights agreement, then we were required to pay liquidated damages to all holders of notes and all holders of our common stock issued upon conversion of the notes. The liquidated damages to be paid were equal to an annual rate of 0.50% of the principal amount. In November 2005, the shelf registration statement became no longer effective and, accordingly, we began accruing liquidated damages at approximately \$2,100 per day. We were not required to register the resale of the notes and underlying common stock after March 10, 2006 and therefore, our liability for the payment of liquidated damages ended on that date.

In addition to the notes, we also have a five-year \$85 million revolving loan agreement with a syndicate of banks. The facility provides for revolving loans and the issuance of letters of credit to Veritas DGC Inc. and certain of its subsidiaries of up to \$45 million in the United States, \$15 million in Canada, \$15 million in Singapore and \$10 million in the United Kingdom. As of October 31, 2006, there were no borrowings and \$5.9 million of outstanding letters of credit, leaving \$79.1 million available under the revolving loan facility.

The facility is secured by pledges of accounts receivable and the U.S. land data library, which, when added together, have a carrying amount of approximately \$268 million as of October 31, 2006. The facility is also secured by certain intercompany notes and stock in certain Veritas subsidiaries. Veritas and certain of its subsidiaries have also issued loan guarantees with respect to certain borrowings. Interest rates on borrowings under the facility are selected by the borrower at the time of any advance and the rates so selected may be either at LIBOR plus 1.00% or the Base Rate (defined as the lesser of the applicable prime rate or the federal funds rate). These rates may be adjusted upward depending upon our leverage ratio (calculated as a ratio of funded debt versus EBITDA for the previous four quarters) to a maximum of LIBOR plus 1.50% or the base rate plus 0.50%. The loan agreement and related documents contain customary financial covenants and default provisions, including a limit on the amount of cash dividends. These covenants contain certain financial measurements as of quarter ending dates, and, as of October 31, 2006, we were in compliance with these covenants.

We also have various unsecured lines of credit, with lending institutions that operate in geographic areas not covered by the lending institutions in our credit facility, totaling \$8.5 million that may be used exclusively for the issuance of letters of credit and bank guarantees. As of October 31, 2006, \$0.4 million in letters of credit were outstanding under these lines.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Edgar Filing: VERITAS DGC INC - Form 10-Q

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections a replacement of APB Opinion No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3. SFAS No. 154 requires retrospective application to prior periods financial statements for changes in accounting principle, unless it is impracticable to determine either the period-specific effects or the cumulative effect of the change. SFAS 154 also requires that a change in depreciation, amortization, or depletion method for long-lived, non-financial assets be accounted for as a change in accounting estimate effected by a change in accounting principle. SFAS 154 is effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. The implementation of SFAS No. 154 did not have a material impact on the company's financial statements.

In February 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 155, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments an amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 150. SFAS No. 155 (a) permits fair value remeasurement for any hybrid financial instrument that contains an embedded derivative that otherwise would require bifurcation, (b)

19

clarifies that certain instruments are not subject to the requirements of SFAS 133, (c) establishes a requirement to evaluate interests in securitized financial assets to identify interests that may contain an embedded derivative requiring bifurcation, (d) clarifies what may be an embedded derivative for certain concentrations of credit risk and (e) amends SFAS 140 to eliminate certain prohibitions related to derivatives on a qualifying special-purpose entity. SFAS 155 is effective for us for the fiscal year beginning August 1, 2007. The implementation of SFAS No. 155 is not expected to have a material impact on the company's financial statements.

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation (FIN) No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes—An Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109. FIN No. 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. FIN No. 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. The new standard also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods and disclosure. The provisions of FIN No. 48 are effective for years beginning after December 15, 2006, which is our fiscal year beginning August 1, 2007. We are currently evaluating the provisions of FIN No. 48 to determine the impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. AUG AIR-1, Accounting for Planned Major Maintenance Activities. This guidance prohibits the use of the accrue-in-advance method of accounting for planned major activities because an obligation has not occurred and therefore a liability should not be recognized. The provisions of this guidance will be effective for us for the fiscal year beginning August 1, 2007. We are currently evaluating the provisions of this guidance to determine the impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosure of fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 applies under other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements, and accordingly, does not require any new fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 is effective for our financial statements issued related to the fiscal year beginning August 1, 2008, and interim periods within that year. We are currently evaluating the effect, if any, that the adoption of this standard will have on our consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans, an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R). SFAS No. 158 requires an employer to: (a) Recognize in its statement of financial position an asset for a plan's overfunded status or a liability for a plan's underfunded status; (b) Measure a plan's assets and its obligations that determine its funded status as of the end of the employer's fiscal year (with limited exceptions); (c) Recognize changes in the funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan in the year in which the changes occur. Those changes will be reported in comprehensive income. The requirement to recognize the funded status of a benefit plan and the disclosure requirements are effective for us as of the end of the fiscal year ending on July 31, 2007. The requirement to measure plan assets and benefit obligations as of the date of our fiscal year-end balance sheet is effective for us for the fiscal year ending July 31, 2009. We are currently evaluating the provisions of this guidance to determine the impact on our consolidated financial statements. Had we adopted SFAS No. 158 as of July 31, 2006, the impact would have been an increase to liabilities and a decrease to stockholders' equity of approximately \$10 million.

In September 2006, the SEC issued SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 108, Financial Statements—Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements When Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements. SAB No. 108 addresses how a registrant should quantify the effect of an error in the financial statements for purposes of assessing materiality and requires that the effect be computed using both the current year income statement perspective (rollover) and the year end balance sheet perspective (iron curtain) methods for fiscal years ending after November 15, 2006. If a change in the method of quantifying errors is required under SAB No. 108, this represents a change in accounting policy; therefore, if the use of both methods results in a larger, material misstatement than the previously applied method, the financial statements must be adjusted. SAB No. 108 allows the cumulative effect of such adjustments to be made to opening retained earnings upon adoption. The adoption of SAB No. 108 did not have an effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Critical accounting policies

While all of our accounting policies are important in assuring that we adhere to current accounting standards, certain policies are particularly important due to their impact on our financial statements. Our critical accounting policies are described in detail in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Significant Accounting Policies included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 31, 2006, which description is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures Regarding Market Risk

As of October 31, 2006, we had \$155.0 million Convertible Senior Notes bearing interest at LIBOR less 0.75% with a fair value of \$465 million, based upon the bid price of 300.29 on October 31, 2006. These notes are not hedged and represent our total exposure to interest rate risk. Each 100 basis point increase in the LIBOR rate will increase our interest expense by \$1.6 million per year.

Item 4. **Controls and Procedures**

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision of and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as to the effectiveness, design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934) as of October 31, 2006. Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of October 31, 2006.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

During the first quarter of fiscal 2007, we did not make any change to our internal control over financial reporting that materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

21

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 6. **Exhibits**

Exhibits filed with this report:

Exhibit

| No. | Description |
|-------|--|
| *31.1 | Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes- Oxley Act of 2002 by Chief Executive Officer. |
| *31.2 | Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by Chief Financial Officer. |
| *32.1 | Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by Chief Executive Officer. |
| *32.2 | Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by Chief Financial Officer. |

* filed herewith

22

SIGNATURES

Edgar Filing: VERITAS DGC INC - Form 10-Q

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on the 6th day of December 2006.

VERITAS DGC INC.

By:

/s/ Mark E. Baldwin
Mark E. Baldwin
Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer
(Principal Financial Officer)

/s/ Dennis S. Baldwin
Dennis S. Baldwin
Vice President, Corporate Controller

(Chief Accounting Officer)

23
