

Fidelity National Financial, Inc.
Form DEF 14A
May 02, 2018

Use these links to rapidly review the document

[TABLE OF CONTENTS](#)

[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material under §240.14a-12

Fidelity National Financial, Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

Fidelity National Financial, Inc.

**601 Riverside Avenue
Jacksonville, Florida 32204**

May 2, 2018

Dear Shareholder:

On behalf of the board of directors, I cordially invite you to attend the annual meeting of the shareholders of Fidelity National Financial, Inc. The meeting will be held on June 13, 2018 at 10:00 a.m., Eastern Time, in the Peninsular Auditorium at 601 Riverside Avenue, Jacksonville, Florida 32204. The formal Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement for this meeting are attached to this letter.

The Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement contain more information about the annual meeting, including:

who can vote; and

the different methods you can use to vote, including the telephone, Internet and traditional paper proxy card.

Whether or not you plan to attend the annual meeting, please vote by one of these outlined methods to ensure that your shares are represented and voted in accordance with your wishes.

On behalf of the board of directors, I thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

Raymond R. Quirk
Chief Executive Officer

Table of Contents

**Fidelity National Financial, Inc.
601 Riverside Avenue
Jacksonville, Florida 32204**

**NOTICE OF
ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS**

To the Shareholders of Fidelity National Financial, Inc.:

Notice is hereby given that the 2018 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Fidelity National Financial, Inc. will be held on June 13, 2018 at 10:00 a.m., Eastern Time, in the Peninsular Auditorium at 601 Riverside Avenue, Jacksonville, Florida 32204 in order to:

1. elect three Class I directors to serve until the 2021 Annual Meeting of Shareholders or until their successors are duly elected and qualified or their earlier death, resignation or removal;
2. approve a non-binding advisory resolution on the compensation paid to our named executive officers;
3. ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the 2018 fiscal year; and
4. approve our Fifth Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation to, among other things, remove provisions relating to the tracking stock structure.

At the meeting, we will also transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment thereof.

The board of directors set April 16, 2018 as the record date for the meeting. This means that owners of FNF Group common stock at the close of business on that date are entitled to:

receive notice of the meeting; and

vote at the meeting and any adjournments or postponements of the meeting.

As result of the Split-Off described below, no shares of FNFV Group common stock were outstanding as of the record date.

All shareholders are cordially invited to attend the annual meeting in person. However, even if you plan to attend the annual meeting in person, please read these proxy materials and cast your vote on the matters that will be presented at the annual meeting. You may vote your shares through the Internet, by telephone, or by mailing the enclosed proxy card. Instructions for our registered shareholders are described under the question "How do I vote?" on page 3 of the proxy statement.

Sincerely,

Michael L. Gravelle
Corporate Secretary

Jacksonville, Florida
May 2, 2018

PLEASE COMPLETE, DATE AND SIGN THE ENCLOSED PROXY AND MAIL IT PROMPTLY IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE (OR VOTE VIA TELEPHONE OR INTERNET) TO ASSURE REPRESENTATION OF YOUR SHARES.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE COMPANY</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND RELATED MATTERS</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>CERTAIN INFORMATION ABOUT OUR DIRECTORS</u>	<u>13</u>
<u>PROPOSAL NO. 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS</u>	<u>20</u>
<u>CERTAIN INFORMATION ABOUT OUR EXECUTIVE OFFICERS</u>	<u>20</u>
<u>COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS</u>	<u>22</u>
<u>PROPOSAL NO. 2: ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	<u>59</u>
<u>PROPOSAL NO. 3: RATIFICATION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u>	<u>60</u>
<u>PROPOSAL NO. 4: FIFTH AMENDED AND RESTATED CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION</u>	<u>62</u>
<u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS, DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS</u>	<u>63</u>
<u>CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS</u>	<u>65</u>
<u>SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS</u>	<u>75</u>
<u>OTHER MATTERS</u>	<u>75</u>
<u>AVAILABLE INFORMATION</u>	<u>75</u>
<u>ANNEX A FIFTH AMENDED AND RESTATED CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION</u>	<u>A-1</u>

Table of Contents

Fidelity National Financial, Inc.

601 Riverside Avenue
Jacksonville, Florida 32204

PROXY STATEMENT

The enclosed proxy is solicited by the board of directors, or *the board*, of Fidelity National Financial, Inc., or *FNF* or *the Company*, for use at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on June 13, 2018 at 10:00 a.m., Eastern Time, or at any adjournment thereof, for the purposes set forth herein and in the accompanying Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders. The annual meeting will be held in the Peninsular Auditorium at 601 Riverside Avenue, Jacksonville, Florida.

It is anticipated that such proxy, together with this proxy statement, will first be mailed on or about May 2, 2018 to all shareholders entitled to vote at the meeting.

The Company's principal executive offices are located at 601 Riverside Avenue, Jacksonville, Florida 32204, and its telephone number at that address is (904) 854-8100.

GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE COMPANY

We are a leading provider of (i) title insurance, escrow and other title-related services, including trust activities, trustee sales guarantees, recordings and reconveyances and home warranty products and (ii) technology and transaction services to the real estate and mortgage industries. FNF is the nation's largest title insurance company operating through its title insurance underwriters Fidelity National Title Insurance Company, Chicago Title Insurance Company, Commonwealth Land Title Insurance Company, Alamo Title Insurance and National Title Insurance of New York Inc. which collectively issue more title insurance policies than any other title company in the United States. Through our subsidiary ServiceLink Holdings, LLC, or *ServiceLink*, we provide mortgage transaction services including title-related services and facilitation of production and management of mortgage loans.

We underwent significant change in 2017.

On September 29, 2017, we completed our tax-free distribution to our FNF Group shareholders of all 83.3 million shares of New BKH Corp., or *New BKH*, common stock that we previously owned, which we refer to as the *Spin-Off*. Immediately following the Spin-Off, New BKH and our majority-owned subsidiary Black Knight Financial Services, Inc., or *BKFS*, engaged in a series of transactions resulting in the formation of a new publicly-traded holding company, Black Knight, Inc., or *Black Knight*, which owns all of the outstanding shares of BKFS. In the Spin-Off, holders of FNF Group common stock received approximately 0.30663 shares of Black Knight common stock for each share of FNF Group common stock held at the close of business on September 20, 2017. Black Knight's common stock is listed under the symbol "BKI" on the New York Stock Exchange. The BK Distribution is expected to generally be tax-free to FNF Group shareholders for U.S. federal income tax purposes, except to the extent of any cash received in lieu of New Black Knight's fractional shares.

Table of Contents

On November 17, 2017 we completed our previously announced split-off, which we refer to as the *Split-Off*, of our former wholly-owned subsidiary Cannae Holdings, Inc., or *Cannae*, which consists of the businesses, assets and liabilities formerly attributed to our FNF Ventures Group, or *FNFV Group*, including Ceridian Holding, LLC, American Blue Ribbon Holdings, LLC and T-System Holding LLC. The Split-Off was accomplished by our redemption of all of the outstanding shares of our FNFV Group common stock for outstanding shares of common stock of Cannae on a one-for-one basis. As a result of the Split-Off, Cannae is a separate, publicly traded company whose stock is listed under the symbol "CNNE" on the New York Stock Exchange.

As a result of these transactions, FNF is now a more streamlined company with a pure focus within the title and real estate space. We expect to continue to focus on growing our business organically and through strategic acquisitions.

On March 19, 2018, we announced that we and certain of our wholly-owned subsidiaries had entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger, or the *Merger Agreement*, with Stewart Information Services Corporation, or *Stewart*. Upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in the Merger Agreement, we expect to acquire Stewart for \$50.00 per share of common stock, subject to potential adjustment as described below, representing an equity value of approximately \$1.2 billion. The consideration will be paid 50% in cash and 50% in FNF common stock. Stewart stockholders will also have the option to elect to receive their consideration in all cash or all stock, subject to pro rata reductions to the extent the cash or stock option is oversubscribed. The FNF common stock component will be subject to a fixed exchange ratio that is based on FNF's volume weighted average price for the twenty trading days prior to the signing of the merger agreement. For those Stewart stockholders who elect to receive all FNF stock, the exchange ratio will be equal to 1.2850, subject to potential adjustment as described below and proration to the extent the stock option is oversubscribed. We refer to the transactions contemplated by the Merger Agreement as the *Stewart Merger*. The Stewart Merger is subject to a number of risks and uncertainties, including the risk that Stewart stockholders may not adopt the merger agreement; the risk that the necessary regulatory approvals may not be obtained or may be obtained subject to conditions that are not anticipated; risks that any of the closing conditions to the proposed merger may not be satisfied in a timely manner; the risk that the businesses will not be integrated successfully, that such integration may be more difficult, time-consuming or costly than expected or that the expected benefits of the acquisition will not be realized; and other risks detailed in the "Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Information," "Risk Factors" and other sections of the Company's Form 10-K and other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING

Your shares can be voted at the annual meeting only if you vote by proxy or if you are present and vote in person. Even if you expect to attend the annual meeting, please vote by proxy to assure that your shares will be represented.

Why did I receive this proxy statement?

The board is soliciting your proxy to vote at the annual meeting because you were a holder of FNF Group stock at the close of business on April 16, 2018, which we refer to as the record date, and therefore you are entitled to vote at the annual meeting. This proxy statement contains information about the matters to be voted on at the annual meeting, and the voting process, as well as information about the Company's directors and executive officers.

Table of Contents

Who is entitled to vote?

All record holders of FNF Group stock as of the close of business on April 16, 2018 are entitled to vote. As of the close of business on that day, 274,588,956 shares of FNF Group stock were issued and outstanding and eligible to vote. Each share is entitled to one vote on each matter presented at the annual meeting.

If you hold your FNF Group shares through a broker, bank or other nominee, you are considered a "beneficial owner," and you will receive separate instructions from the nominee describing how to vote your shares. As the beneficial owner, you have the right to direct your nominee on how to vote your shares. Beneficial owners may also vote their shares in person at the annual meeting after first obtaining a legal proxy from their nominees by following the instructions provided by their nominees, and presenting the legal proxy to the election inspectors at the annual meeting.

What shares are covered by the proxy card?

The proxy card covers all shares of FNF Group stock held by you of record (i.e., shares registered in your name) and any shares of FNF Group stock held for your benefit in our 401(k) plan.

How do I vote?

You may vote using any of the following methods:

In person at the annual meeting. All shareholders may vote in person at the annual meeting by bringing the enclosed proxy card or proof of identification, but if you are a beneficial owner (as opposed to a record holder), you must obtain a legal proxy from your broker, bank or nominee and present it to the inspectors at the annual meeting with your ballot when you vote at the meeting; or

By proxy. There are three ways to vote by proxy:

by mail, using the enclosed proxy card and return envelope;

by telephone, using the telephone number printed on the proxy card and following the instructions on the proxy card; or

by the Internet, using a unique password printed on your proxy card and following the instructions on the proxy card.

Even if you expect to attend the annual meeting, please vote by proxy to assure that your shares will be represented.

What does it mean to vote by proxy?

It means that you give someone else the right to vote your shares in accordance with your instructions. In this case, we are asking you to give your proxy to our Chief Executive Officer, Corporate Secretary, Assistant Corporate Secretary, and each of them, who are sometimes referred to as the "proxy holders." By giving your proxy to the proxy holders, you assure that your vote will be counted even if you are unable to attend the annual meeting. If you give your proxy but do not include specific instructions on how to vote on a particular proposal described in this proxy statement, the proxy holders will vote your shares in accordance with the recommendation of the board for such proposal.

On what am I voting?

You will be asked to consider four proposals at the annual meeting.

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

Proposal No. 1 asks you to elect three Class I directors to serve until the 2021 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

Proposal No. 2 asks you to approve, on a non-binding advisory basis, the compensation paid to our named executive officers in 2017.

Table of Contents

Proposal No. 3 asks you to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the 2018 fiscal year.

Proposal No. 4 asks you to approve our Fifth Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation to, among other things, remove provisions relating to our tracking stock structure.

How does the Board recommend that I vote on these proposals?

The board recommends that you vote:

1. "FOR" the election of the three Class I director nominees to serve until the 2021 Annual Meeting of Shareholders;
2. "FOR" the approval of the non-binding advisory resolution on the compensation paid to our named executive officers;
3. "FOR" the ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the 2018 fiscal year; and
4. "FOR" the approval of our Fifth Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation.

What happens if other matters are raised at the meeting?

Although we are not aware of any matters to be presented at the annual meeting other than those contained in the Notice of Annual Meeting, if other matters are properly raised at the annual meeting in accordance with the procedures specified in FNF's certificate of incorporation and bylaws, all proxies given to the proxy holders will be voted in accordance with their best judgment.

What if I submit a proxy and later change my mind?

If you have submitted your proxy and later wish to revoke it, you may do so by doing one of the following: giving written notice to the Corporate Secretary prior to the annual meeting; submitting another proxy bearing a later date (in any of the permitted forms) prior to the annual meeting; or casting a ballot in person at the annual meeting.

Who will count the votes?

Broadridge Investor Communications Services will serve as proxy tabulator and count the votes, and the results will be certified by the inspector of election.

How many votes must each proposal receive to be adopted?

The following votes must be received:

For Proposal No. 1 regarding the election of directors, a majority of votes of the FNF Group stock cast is required to elect a director. Abstentions and broker non-votes will have no effect.

For Proposal No. 2 regarding a non-binding advisory vote on the compensation paid to our named executive officers, the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of the FNF Group stock present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote would be required for approval. Even though your vote is advisory and therefore will not be binding on the Company, the board will review the voting result and take it into consideration when making future decisions regarding the compensation paid to our named executive officers. Abstentions will have the effect of a vote against this proposal and broker non-votes will have no effect.

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

For Proposal No. 3 regarding the ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP, under Delaware law, the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of the FNF Group stock present

Table of Contents

in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote would be required for approval. Abstentions will have the effect of a vote against this proposal. Because this proposal is considered a "routine" matter under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange, nominees may vote in their discretion on this proposal on behalf of beneficial owners who have not furnished voting instructions.

For Proposal No. 4 regarding the approval of our Fifth Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation, a majority of votes of the outstanding shares of FNF Group stock entitled to vote is required to approve the proposal to remove the tracking stock structure. Abstentions and broker non-votes will have the effect of a vote against this proposal.

What constitutes a quorum?

A quorum is present if a majority of the outstanding shares of the FNF Group stock entitled to vote at the annual meeting are present in person or represented by proxy. Broker non-votes and abstentions will be counted for purposes of determining whether a quorum of each class is present.

What are broker non-votes? If I do not vote, will my broker vote for me?

Broker non-votes occur when nominees, such as banks and brokers holding shares on behalf of beneficial owners, do not receive voting instructions from the beneficial owners at least ten days before the meeting. If that happens, the nominees may vote those shares only on matters deemed "routine" by the Securities and Exchange Commission and the rules promulgated by the New York Stock Exchange thereunder.

The Company believes that all the proposals to be voted on at the annual meeting, except for Proposal 3 regarding the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm, are not "routine" matters. On non-routine matters, such as Proposals No. 1, 2 and 4, nominees cannot vote unless they receive voting instructions from beneficial owners. Please be sure to give specific voting instructions to your nominee so that your vote can be counted.

What effect does an abstention have?

With respect to Proposal 1, abstentions or directions to withhold authority will not be included in vote totals and will not affect the outcome of the vote. With respect to Proposals 2, 3 and 4, abstentions will have the effect of a vote against such proposals pursuant to our bylaws and Delaware law, which with respect to Proposals 2 and 3, require that the proposal receives the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares present or represented by proxy and entitled to vote, and with respect to Proposal 4, require that the proposal receives the affirmative vote a majority of outstanding share entitled to vote.

Who pays the cost of soliciting proxies?

We pay the cost of the solicitation of proxies, including preparing and mailing the Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders, this proxy statement and the proxy card. Following the mailing of this proxy statement, directors, officers and employees of the Company may solicit proxies by telephone, facsimile transmission or other personal contact. Such persons will receive no additional compensation for such services. Brokerage houses and other nominees, fiduciaries and custodians who are holders of record of shares of FNF Group stock will be requested to forward proxy soliciting material to the beneficial owners of such shares and will be reimbursed by the Company for their charges and expenses in connection therewith at customary and reasonable rates. In addition, the Company has retained Georgeson Inc. to assist in the solicitation of proxies for an estimated fee of \$9,500 plus reimbursement of expenses.

Table of Contents

What if I share a household with another shareholder?

We have adopted a procedure approved by the Securities and Exchange Commission, called "householding." Under this procedure, FNF Group shareholders of record who have the same address and last name and do not participate in electronic delivery of proxy materials will receive only one copy of our Annual Report and Proxy Statement unless one or more of these shareholders notifies us that they wish to continue receiving individual copies. This procedure will reduce our printing costs and postage fees. Shareholders who participate in householding will continue to receive separate proxy cards. Also, householding will not in any way affect dividend check mailings. If you are a shareholder who resides in the same household with another shareholder, or if you hold more than one account registered in your name at the same address, and wish to receive a separate proxy statement and annual report or notice of internet availability of proxy materials for each account, please contact, Broadridge, toll free at 1-866-540-7095. You may also write to Broadridge, Householding Department, at 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, New York 11717. Beneficial shareholders can request information about householding from their banks, brokers or other holders of record. We hereby undertake to deliver promptly upon written or oral request, a separate copy of the Annual Report to Shareholders, or this Proxy Statement, as applicable, to a shareholder at a shared address to which a single copy of the document was delivered.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE HIGHLIGHTS

Our board is focused on good governance practices, which promote the long-term interests of our shareholders and support accountability of our board of directors and management. Our board of directors has implemented the following measures to improve our overall governance practices. See "Corporate Governance and Related Matters" for more detail on FNF's governance practices.

Proxy access right adopted in response to support from shareholders

Majority voting in uncontested director elections proposed by management and adopted in response to shareholder support

Independent leadership of our board of directors by our strong Lead Independent Director

Annual performance evaluations of the board of directors and committees

Robust stock ownership guidelines for our executive officers and directors

Clawback policy

Shareholders may act by written consent

Independent audit, compensation and corporate governance and nominating committees

Shareholder engagement on compensation and governance issues

No supermajority voting requirement for shareholders to act

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND RELATED MATTERS

Corporate Governance Guidelines

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

Our corporate governance guidelines provide, along with the charters of the committees of the board of directors, a framework for the functioning of the board of directors and its committees and to establish a common set of expectations as to how the board of directors should perform its functions. The Corporate Governance Guidelines address the composition of the board of directors, the selection of directors, the functioning of the board of directors, the committees of the board of directors, the evaluation and compensation of directors and the expectations of directors, including ethics and conflicts of interest. These guidelines specifically provide that a majority of the members of the board

Table of Contents

of directors must be outside directors whom the board of directors has determined have no material relationship with us and whom otherwise meet the independence criteria established by the New York Stock Exchange. The board of directors reviews these guidelines and other aspects of our governance at least annually. The board reviewed our corporate governance guidelines in April 2018 and approved changes relating to the factors our board will consider in selecting nominees for director, including those relating to all aspects of diversity. A copy of our Corporate Governance Guidelines is available for review on the Investor Relations page of our website at www.fnf.com. Shareholders may also obtain a copy by writing to the Corporate Secretary at the address set forth under "Available Information" below.

Code of Ethics and Business Conduct

Our board of directors has adopted a Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers, which is applicable to our Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Financial Officer and our Chief Accounting Officer, and a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, which is applicable to all our directors, officers and employees. The purpose of these codes is to: (i) promote honest and ethical conduct, including the ethical handling of conflicts of interest; (ii) promote full, fair, accurate, timely and understandable disclosure; (iii) promote compliance with applicable laws and governmental rules and regulations; (iv) ensure the protection of our legitimate business interests, including corporate opportunities, assets and confidential information; and (v) deter wrongdoing. Our codes of ethics were adopted to reinvigorate and renew our commitment to our longstanding standards for ethical business practices. Our reputation for integrity is one of our most important assets and each of our employees and directors is expected to contribute to the care and preservation of that asset. Under our codes of ethics, an amendment to or a waiver or modification of any ethics policy applicable to our directors or executive officers must be disclosed to the extent required under Securities and Exchange Commission and/or New York Stock Exchange rules. We intend to disclose any such amendment or waiver by posting it on the Investor Relations page of our website at www.fnf.com.

Copies of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and our Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers are available for review on the Investor Relations page of our website at www.fnf.com. Shareholders may also obtain a copy of any of these codes by writing to the Corporate Secretary at the address set forth under "Available Information" below.

The Board

Our board is composed of Douglas K. Ammerman, Willie D. Davis, William P. Foley, II, Thomas M. Hagerty, Janet Kerr, Daniel D. (Ron) Lane, Richard N. Massey, Heather H. Murren, Raymond R. Quirk, John D. Rood, Peter O. Shea, Jr. and Cary H. Thompson, with Mr. Foley serving as non-executive Chairman of the Board. After discussion among Mr. Davis and the other directors, it was decided that Mr. Davis would not be nominated for re-election at the annual meeting. In order for the Board to continue to benefit from Mr. Davis' valuable insight and a diverse point of view, Mr. Davis has been appointed by the board to serve as Director Emeritus for a three-year term beginning after our 2018 annual meeting of shareholders. As Director Emeritus, Mr. Davis will be invited to attend and participate in board meetings, but will not vote on board matters, and will receive an annual cash retainer of \$40,000 and an annual equity retainer with a value of approximately \$107,500, which are equal to 1/2 of the cash and equity retainers received by our other directors, for his service. In determining that Mr. Davis should serve as Director Emeritus, Mr. Davis and the board considered that he still provides valuable insight and a diverse point of view during board deliberations.

Our board met five times in 2017. All directors attended at least 75% of the meetings of the board and of the committees on which they served during 2017. Our non-management directors also met periodically in executive sessions without management, and our Lead Director presides over these executive sessions. We do not, as a general matter, require our board members to attend our annual

Table of Contents

meeting of shareholders, although each of our directors is invited to attend our 2018 annual meeting. During 2017, one of our board members attended the annual meeting of shareholders.

Majority Voting

In February 2017, in response to our shareholders' support of our proposal at our 2016 annual shareholders meeting concerning majority voting in uncontested director elections, our board of directors amended and restated our bylaws to implement a "majority voting" bylaw.

Pursuant to Section 3.1 of our bylaws, each director shall be elected by a majority of the votes cast with respect to the director at any meeting for the election of directors at which a quorum is present. However, if as of 10 days in advance of the date we file our proxy statement with the SEC the number of director nominees exceeds the number of directors to be elected in such election (a "contested election"), the directors shall be elected by the vote of plurality of the votes cast.

In an uncontested election of directors, any incumbent director who does not receive a majority of the votes cast will promptly tender his resignation to the board of directors. The board will decide, after considering the recommendation of the corporate governance and nominating committee, whether to accept or reject the tendered resignation, or whether other action should be taken. The director nominee in question will not participate in the recommendation or decision making process. We will publicly disclose an explanation by the board of its decision within 90 days after we publish the election results. If the board determines to accept a director's resignation, or if a director nominee who is not an incumbent director is not elected, then the board, in its sole discretion, may fill any resulting vacancy in accordance with our bylaws.

Director Independence

All of our directors other than Mr. Quirk, who is our Chief Executive Officer, are non-employees. During the first quarter of 2018, the board of directors determined that Douglas K. Ammerman, Willie D. Davis, Thomas M. Hagerty, Janet Kerr, Daniel D. Lane, Richard N. Massey, Heather H. Murren, John D. Rood, Peter O. Shea, Jr. and Cary H. Thompson are independent under the criteria established by the New York Stock Exchange and our Corporate Governance Guidelines. The board of directors also determined that Messrs. Massey, Lane and Thompson meet the additional independence standards of the New York Stock Exchange for compensation committee members.

In determining independence, the board considered all relationships that might bear on our directors' independence from FNF. The board of directors determined that William P. Foley, II is not independent because he was the Executive Chairman and an employee of FNF during 2015 and the first eight days of 2016; and Raymond R. Quirk is not independent because he is the Chief Executive Officer and an employee of FNF.

In considering the independence of Douglas K. Ammerman, Thomas M. Hagerty, Daniel D. Lane, Heather H. Murren, Richard N. Massey, John D. Rood and Cary H. Thompson, the board of directors considered the following factors:

In 2017, Messrs. Hagerty, Mr. Massey and Mr. Rood each served as directors of and own equity interests in our subsidiary ServiceLink and in Black Knight, which was our subsidiary prior to the Spin-Off in September 2017. Mr. Thompson also served as a director of ServiceLink and owns equity interests in ServiceLink and Black Knight.

Mr. Hagerty is a Managing Director of Thomas H. Lee Partners, L.P., which owns approximately 20.9% of the outstanding interests in ServiceLink.

Messrs. Ammerman, Hagerty, Massey and Rood each own a small non-voting minority interest in Black Knight Sports and Entertainment LLC, which owns the Vegas Golden Knights.

Table of Contents

Mr. Foley is the majority interest holder, and is Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Black Knight Sports and Entertainment LLC.

Ms. Murren's spouse is the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of MGM Resorts International, which owns a majority interest in the T-Mobile Arena where the Vegas Golden Knights play home games. FNF is a season ticket holder for Vegas Golden Knights home games. In addition, FNF may use MGM hotel and conference facilities from time to time for corporate events. Amounts paid by FNF to entities owned or controlled by MGM Resorts International are at market rates.

Mr. Thompson is a Vice Chairman of Bank of America Merrill Lynch, and FNF made payments to and received payments from entities affiliated with Bank of America Merrill Lynch in 2017. The board of directors determined that these payments do not impair Mr. Thompson's independence because his compensation from Bank of America Merrill Lynch is not dependent on the amount of business Bank of America Merrill Lynch or its affiliates does with FNF or its subsidiaries.

The board of directors determined that these relationships were not of a nature that would impair the independence of Mr. Ammerman, Mr. Hagerty, Ms. Murren, Mr. Massey, Mr. Rood or Mr. Thompson. Ms. Kerr, Mr. Lane and Mr. Shea had no relationships with the Company that required consideration in determining their independence.

Committees of the Board

The board has three standing committees: an audit committee, a compensation committee and a corporate governance and nominating committee. The charter of each of the audit, compensation and corporate governance and nominating committee is available on the Investor Relations page of our website at www.fnf.com. Shareholders also may obtain a copy of any of these charters by writing to the Corporate Secretary at the address set forth under "Available Information" below.

Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee

The members of the corporate governance and nominating committee are Peter O. Shea, Jr. (Chair) and Richard N. Massey. Each of Messrs. Shea and Massey was deemed to be independent by the board, as required by the New York Stock Exchange. The corporate governance and nominating committee met two times in 2017.

The primary functions of the corporate governance and nominating committee, as identified in its charter, are:

identifying individuals qualified to become members of the board and making recommendations to the board regarding nominees for election;

reviewing the independence of each director and making a recommendation to the board with respect to each director's independence;

developing and recommending to the board the corporate governance principles applicable to us and reviewing our corporate governance guidelines at least annually;

making recommendations to the board with respect to the membership of the audit, compensation and corporate governance and nominating committees;

overseeing the evaluation of the performance of the board and its committees on a continuing basis, including an annual self-evaluation of the performance of the corporate governance and nominating committee;

considering director nominees recommended by shareholders; and

Table of Contents

reviewing our overall corporate governance and reporting to the board on its findings and any recommendations.

Audit Committee

The members of the audit committee are Douglas K. Ammerman (Chair), Heather H. Murren and John D. Rood. The board has determined that each of the audit committee members is financially literate and independent as required by the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the New York Stock Exchange, and that each of Mr. Ammerman, Ms. Murren and Mr. Rood is an audit committee financial expert, as defined by the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission. The board of directors also reviewed Mr. Ammerman's service on the audit committee in light of his concurrent service on the audit committees of four other companies. The board of directors considered Mr. Ammerman's extensive financial and accounting background and expertise as a former partner of KPMG, his knowledge of our company and understanding of our financial statements as a long-time director and audit committee member, and the fact that Mr. Ammerman is retired from active employment, and determined that Mr. Ammerman's service on the audit committees of four public companies, including FNF's audit committee, would not impair his ability to effectively serve on FNF's audit committee. The audit committee met nine times in 2017.

The primary functions of the audit committee include:

appointing, compensating and overseeing our independent registered public accounting firm;

overseeing the integrity of our financial statements and our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements;

discussing the annual audited financial statements and unaudited quarterly financial statements with management and the independent registered public accounting firm;

establishing procedures for the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints (including anonymous complaints) we receive concerning accounting, internal accounting controls, auditing matters or potential violations of law;

approving audit and non-audit services provided by our independent registered public accounting firm;

discussing earnings press releases and financial information provided to analysts and rating agencies;

discussing with management our policies and practices with respect to risk assessment and risk management;

reviewing any material transaction between our chief financial officer or chief accounting officer that has been approved in accordance with our Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers, and providing prior written approval of any material transaction between us and our chief executive officer; and

producing an annual report for inclusion in our proxy statement, in accordance with applicable rules and regulations.

The audit committee is a separately-designated standing committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

Table of Contents

Report of the Audit Committee

The audit committee of the board of directors submits the following report on the performance of certain of its responsibilities for the year 2017:

The primary function of our audit committee is oversight of (i) the quality and integrity of our financial statements and related disclosures, (ii) our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, (iii) the independent registered public accounting firm's qualifications and independence, and (iv) the performance of our internal audit function and independent registered public accounting firm. Our audit committee acts under a written charter, and we review the adequacy of our charter at least annually. Our audit committee is comprised of the three directors named below, each of whom has been determined by the board of directors to be independent as defined by New York Stock Exchange independence standards. In addition, our board of directors has determined that each of Mr. Ammerman, Ms. Murren and Mr. Rood is an audit committee financial expert as defined by the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

In performing our oversight function, we reviewed and discussed with management and Ernst & Young LLP, or *EY*, our independent registered public accounting firm, our audited financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2017. Management and *EY* reported to us that our consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position and results of operations and cash flows of FNF and its subsidiaries in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. We also discussed with *EY* matters covered by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board Auditing Standards No. 16 (Communications With Audit Committees).

We have received and reviewed the written disclosures and the letter from *EY* required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent accountant's communications with the audit committee concerning independence, and have discussed with them their independence. In addition, we have considered whether *EY*'s provision of non-audit services to us is compatible with their independence.

Finally, we discussed with our internal auditors and *EY* the overall scope and plans for their respective audits. We met with *EY* at each meeting. Management was present for some, but not all, of these discussions. These discussions included the results of their examinations, their evaluations of our internal controls and the overall quality of our financial reporting.

Based on the reviews and discussions referred to above, we recommended to our board of directors that the audited financial statements referred to above be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017, and that *EY* be appointed independent registered public accounting firm for FNF for 2018.

In carrying out our responsibilities, we look to management and the independent registered public accounting firm. Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of our financial statements and for maintaining effective internal control. Management is also responsible for assessing and maintaining the effectiveness of internal control over the financial reporting process. The independent registered public accounting firm is responsible for auditing our annual financial statements and expressing an opinion as to whether the statements are fairly stated in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. The independent registered public accounting firm performs its responsibilities in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board. Our members are not professionally engaged in the practice of accounting or auditing, and are not experts under the Exchange Act in either of those fields or in auditor independence.

Table of Contents

The foregoing report is provided by the following independent directors, who constitute the committee:

AUDIT COMMITTEE
Douglas K. Ammerman (Chair)
Heather H. Murren
John D. Rood

Compensation Committee

The members of the compensation committee are Richard N. Massey (Chair), Daniel D. Lane and Cary H. Thompson. Each of Messrs. Massey, Lane and Thompson was deemed to be independent by the board, as required by the New York Stock Exchange. The compensation committee met six times during 2017. Our compensation committee reviews its charter annually. The functions of the compensation committee include the following:

reviewing and approving corporate goals and objectives relevant to the Chief Executive Officer's compensation, evaluating their performance in light of those goals and objectives, and setting the Chief Executive Officer's compensation level based on this evaluation;

setting salaries and approving incentive compensation and equity awards, as well as compensation policies, for all other officers who are designated as Section 16 officers by our board;

making recommendations to the board with respect to incentive-compensation programs and equity-based plans that are subject to board approval;

approving any employment or severance agreements with our Section 16 officers;

granting any awards under equity compensation plans and annual bonus plans to our Chief Executive Officer, the Executive Chairman and the Section 16 Officers;

approving the compensation of our directors; and

producing an annual report on executive compensation for inclusion in our proxy statement, in accordance with applicable rules and regulations.

For more information regarding the responsibilities of the compensation committee, please refer to the section of this proxy statement entitled "Compensation Discussion and Analysis and Executive and Director Compensation" above.

Board Leadership Structure and Role in Risk Oversight

We have separated the positions of CEO and Chairman of the board of directors in recognition of the differences between the two roles. Richard N. Massey, one of our independent directors, serves as our Lead Director. Our board has adopted a Charter of the Lead Independent Director that defines the responsibilities of the Lead Director, which include:

preside at meetings of the board of directors in the absence of, or upon the request of, the Chairman;

review board meeting agendas and schedules in collaboration with the Chairman and recommend matters for the board to consider and information to be provided to the board;

serve as a liaison and supplemental channel of communication between non-employee/independent directors and the Chairman without inhibiting direct communications between the Chairman and other directors;

Table of Contents

serve as the principal liaison for consultation and communication between the non-employee/independent directors and shareholders;

advise the Chairman concerning the retention of advisors and consultants who report directly to the board; and

be available to major shareholders for consultation and direct communication.

The board considers it to be useful and appropriate to designate a Lead Director to serve in a lead capacity to coordinate the activities of the other non-employee directors and to perform such other duties and responsibilities as the board may determine. The board of directors administers its risk oversight function directly and through committees. The audit committee oversees FNF's financial reporting process, risk management program, legal and regulatory compliance, performance of the independent auditor, internal audit function, and financial and disclosure controls. Management identifies strategic risks of FNF and aligns the annual audit plan with the auditable risks. Management presents the identified risks and the audit plan to the audit committee for review and approval. Management also reports quarterly to the audit committee and the board of directors regarding claims. The audit committee also receives quarterly reports on compliance matters. The corporate governance and nominating committee considers the adequacy of FNF's governance structures and policies. The compensation committee reviews and approves FNF's compensation and other benefit plans, policies and programs and considers whether any of those plans, policies or programs creates risks that are likely to have a material adverse effect on FNF. Each committee provides reports on its activities to the full board of directors.

Contacting the Board

Any shareholder or other interested person who desires to contact any member of the board or the non-management members of the board as a group may do so by writing to: Board of Directors, c/o Corporate Secretary, Fidelity National Financial, Inc., 601 Riverside Avenue, Jacksonville, FL 32204. Communications received are distributed by the Corporate Secretary to the appropriate member or members of the board.

CERTAIN INFORMATION ABOUT OUR DIRECTORS

Director Criteria, Qualifications and Experience and Process for Selecting Directors

FNF underwent significant change in 2017. On September 29, 2017, we completed the Spin-Off of Black Knight to our FNF Group shareholders. On November 17, 2017, we completed the Split-Off of Cannae, which consisted of the businesses, assets and liabilities formerly attributed to our FNFV Group, including Ceridian Holding, LLC, American Blue Ribbon Holdings, LLC and T-System Holding LLC.

As a result of these transactions, FNF is now a more streamlined company with a pure focus within the title and real estate space. We expect to continue to focus on growing our business organically and through strategic acquisitions. As part of that strategy, on March 18, 2018, we announced that we had entered into the Merger Agreement with Stewart.

Our board and the corporate governance and nominating committee is committed to include the best available candidates for nomination to election to our board based on merit. Our board and our corporate governance and nominating committee continuously evaluates our board's composition with the goal of developing a board that meets our strategic goals, and one that includes diverse, experienced and highly qualified individuals.

Table of Contents

The corporate governance and nominating committee does not set specific, minimum qualifications that nominees must meet in order for the committee to recommend them to the board, but rather believes that each nominee should be evaluated based on his or her individual merits, taking into account our needs and the overall composition of the board. In accordance with our Corporate Governance Guidelines, the corporate governance and nominating committee considers, among other things, the following criteria in fulfilling its duty to recommend nominees for election as directors:

personal qualities and characteristics, accomplishments and reputation in the business community;

current knowledge and contacts in the communities in which we do business and in our industry or other industries relevant to our business;

ability and willingness to commit adequate time to the board and committee matters;

the fit of the individual's skills and personality with those of other directors and potential directors in building a board that is effective, collegial and responsive to our needs; and

diversity of viewpoints, background, experience, and other demographics, and all aspects of diversity in order to enable the Board to perform its duties and responsibilities effectively, including candidates with a diversity of age, gender, nationality, race, ethnicity, and sexual orientation.

Each year in connection with the nomination of candidates for election to the board, the corporate governance and nominating committee evaluates the background of each candidate, including candidates that may be submitted by shareholders.

We believe that the current composition of our board has served us well and that our current directors possess relevant experience, skills and qualifications that contributed to a well-functioning board that effectively oversees our long-term strategy. FNF has undergone significant change in recent years, and our board believes that it has been important to maintain consistency in our board to execute upon our long-term strategy, while selectively adding new board members who have important skill sets, experience or diversity of viewpoint. Our board believes our board, which is composed of directors who have a strong understanding of our business, operational and strategic goals, as well as our industry and the risks we face, has been crucial to our ability to effectively execute on our long-term strategy.

In connection with the 2018 annual meeting of shareholders, the corporate governance and nominating committee undertook to examine ways that it could foster the diversity of our board across many dimensions to maintain its ability to operate at a high-functioning level and to reflect the board's commitment to inclusiveness. In connection with this examination, the committee revised our Corporate Governance Guidelines to expressly include diversity of age, gender, nationality, race, ethnicity, and sexual orientation as a part of the criteria the committee may consider when selecting nominees for election to the board, all in the context of the needs of our board at any given point in time. Specifically, the corporate governance and nominating committee is focused on considering highly qualified women and individuals from minority groups who may be recommended by our directors, management, or our shareholders as candidates for nomination as directors.

Proxy Access

In February 2016, in response to our shareholders' support of a "proxy access" shareholder proposal at our 2015 annual shareholders meeting, our board of directors amended and restated our bylaws to implement a "proxy access" procedure for shareholder director nominations. Pursuant to Section 3.1 of our bylaws, a shareholder, or a group of up to 25 shareholders, may include in our proxy

Table of Contents

materials director nominees constituting up to two individuals or 20% of our board, whichever is greater, provided that:

the nominating shareholder(s) own a number of shares representing 3% or more of the total voting power of the Company's outstanding shares of capital stock entitled to vote in the election of directors;

the nominating shareholder(s) have owned that number of shares continuously for at least three years; and

the nominating shareholder(s) and their director nominee(s) otherwise satisfy the applicable requirements of Section 3.1 of the amended and restated bylaws.

A shareholder who wishes to suggest a qualified candidate for director to the corporate governance and nominating committee but does not meet the requirements described above may do so by writing to our Corporate Secretary at 601 Riverside Avenue, Jacksonville, Florida 32204. The submission must provide the information required by, and otherwise comply with the procedures set forth in, Section 3.1 of our bylaws. Section 3.1 also requires that the nomination notice be submitted by a prescribed time in advance of the meeting. The corporate governance and nominating committee and the board apply the same criteria in evaluating candidates nominated by shareholders as in evaluating candidates recommended by other sources. Upon receipt of a shareholder-proposed director candidate that does not meet the "proxy access" requirements of our bylaws, the corporate secretary will assess the board's needs, primarily whether or not there is any current pending vacancy or a possible need to be filled by adding or replacing a director. The corporate secretary will also prepare a director profile by comparing the desired list of criteria with the candidate's qualifications. Submissions that meet the criteria outlined above and in our corporate governance guidelines will be forwarded to the Chairman of the corporate governance and nominating committee for further review and consideration. To date, no suggestions with respect to candidates for nomination have been received from shareholders.

Information About the Director Nominees and Continuing Directors

The matrix below lists the skills and experience that we consider most important for our directors in light of our current business and structure. In addition, biographical information concerning our nominees proposed for election at the annual meeting as Class I directors of the Company, as well as our continuing Class II and Class III directors, including each directors' relevant experience, qualifications, skills and diversity, is included below.

Table of Contents

*

After discussion among Mr. Davis and the other directors, it was decided that Mr. Davis would not be nominated for re-election at the annual meeting. In order for the Board to continue to benefit from Mr. Davis' valuable insight and a diverse point of view, Mr. Davis has been appointed by the board to serve as Director Emeritus for a three-year term beginning after our 2018 annual meeting of shareholders. As Director Emeritus, Mr. Davis will be invited to attend and participate in board meetings, but will not vote on board matters, and will receive an annual cash retainer of \$40,000 and an annual equity retainer with a value of approximately \$107,500, which are equal to 1/2 of the cash and equity retainers received by our other directors, for his service.

Nominees for Class I Director Term Expiring 2021 (if elected)

Name	Position with FNF
Raymond R. Quirk	Chief Executive Officer and Director
Heather H. Murren	Member of the Audit Committee
John D. Rood	Member of the Audit Committee

Raymond R. Quirk. Raymond R. Quirk has served as Chief Executive Officer of FNF since December 2013 and as a director of FNF since February 2017. Previously, he served as the President of FNF and he has served in that position since April 2008. Previously, Mr. Quirk served as Co-President since May 2007 and Co-Chief Operating Officer of FNF from October 2006 until May 2007. Mr. Quirk was appointed as President of FNF in 2002. Since joining FNF in 1985, Mr. Quirk has served in numerous executive and management positions, including Executive Vice President, Co-Chief Operating Officer and Division Manager and Regional Manager, with responsibilities for managing direct and agency operations nationally. Mr. Quirk also serves on the board of directors of J. Alexander's Holdings, Inc.

Mr. Quirk's qualifications to serve on the FNF board of directors include his more than 30 years of experience with FNF, his deep knowledge of our business and industry and his strong leadership abilities.

Heather H. Murren. Ms. Murren is a private investor. She retired as a Managing Director and group head of Global Securities and Economics at Merrill Lynch in 2002 after more than a decade on Wall Street. In 2002, Ms. Murren founded the nonprofit Nevada Cancer Institute, a cancer research

Table of Contents

and treatment center, where she served as Chairman and CEO and then as a board member until the institute merged into Roseman University in 2013. She was appointed by Congress to serve on the Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission from 2009 to 2011. The Commission's findings, "The Financial Crisis Inquiry Report" was listed on the New York Times bestseller list. Ms. Murren was appointed and served as a Commissioner on the White House Commission on Enhancing National Cybersecurity in 2016. The Commissions' findings were presented to President Obama in December 2016. She serves on the Board of Trustees of the Johns Hopkins University and the Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory and formerly served on the board of Mannkind Corporation.

Ms. Murren's qualifications include her strong background in finance gained during her time at Merrill Lynch, her leadership experience as a group leader at a leading Wall Street firm and as founder, Chair and CEO at various non-profits, and her regulatory and cyber-security knowledge from serving on the Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission and Commission on Enhancing National Cybersecurity.

John D. Rood. Mr. Rood has served on our board of directors since May 2013. Mr. Rood is the founder and Chairman of The Vestcor Companies, a real estate firm with more than 30 years of experience in multifamily development and investment. Mr. Rood also serves on the board of directors of Black Knight. From 2004 to 2007, Mr. Rood served as the US Ambassador to the Commonwealth of the Bahamas. Mr. Rood previously served on the board of Alico, Inc., and currently serves on several private boards. He was appointed by Governor Jeb Bush to serve on the Florida Fish and Wildlife Commission where he served until 2004. He was appointed by Governor Charlie Crist to the Florida Board of Governors, which oversees the State of Florida University System, where he served until 2013. Mr. Rood was appointed by Mayor Lenny Curry to the JAXPORT Board of Directors, where he served from October 2015 to July 2016. Governor Rick Scott appointed Mr. Rood to the Florida Prepaid College Board in July 2016, where Mr. Rood serves as Chairman of the Board, and to the Enterprise Florida, Inc. board of directors in September 2016. Mr. Rood has participated in numerous risk and audit training programs with KPMG, Booz Allen and the National Association of Corporate Directors. He is a Board Leadership Fellow with NACD.

Mr. Rood's qualifications to serve on the FNF board of directors include his experience in the real estate industry, his leadership experience as a United States Ambassador, his financial literacy and his experience as a director on boards of both public and private companies.

Incumbent Class II Directors Term Expiring 2019

Name	Position with FNF
Richard N. Massey	Lead Director Chairman of the Compensation Committee Member of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee and the Executive Committee
Janet Kerr	Director
Daniel D. (Ron) Lane	Member of the Compensation Committee
Cary H. Thompson	Member of the Compensation Committee and the Executive Committee

Richard N. Massey. Mr. Massey has served as a director of the Company since 2006. Mr. Massey has been a partner of Westrock Capital, LLC, a private investment partnership, since January 2009. Mr. Massey was Chief Strategy Officer and General Counsel of Alltel Corporation from January 2006 to January 2009. From 2000 until 2006, Mr. Massey served as Managing Director of Stephens Inc., a private investment bank, during which time his financial advisory practice focused on software and information technology companies. Mr. Massey also serves as a director of Black Knight and FGL Holdings, and as a director of the Oxford American Literary Project and as Chairman of the Arkansas

Table of Contents

Razorback Foundation. Mr. Massey formerly served as a director of Fidelity National Information Services, Inc. (*FIS*) and Bear State Financial, Inc.

Mr. Massey's qualifications to serve on the FNF board include his experience in corporate finance and investment banking and as a financial and legal advisor to public and private businesses, as well as his expertise in identifying, negotiating and consummating mergers and acquisitions.

Janet Kerr. Ms. Kerr has served as a director FNF since March 2016. Ms. Kerr is Vice-Chancellor of Pepperdine University and Professor Emeritus of Law at Pepperdine University School of Law where she taught for 30 years and was awarded the Laure Sudreau-Rippe Endowed Chair in 2011. She is also currently Of Counsel to Nave & Cortell. Having developed several successful technology companies, Ms. Kerr provides expertise in startup counseling; corporate organization and governance; mergers, acquisitions, and other strategic relationships; and seed, angel, venture capital and other financing arrangements. Ms. Kerr also serves as Chief Executive Officer of Kerr Strategic Consulting. Ms. Kerr has extensive board experience. She currently serves on the boards of La-Z-Boy, Inc., Tilly's, Inc., and AppFolio Inc., and currently or has in the past served as chair of the corporate governance and nominating committees of each of these companies. Ms. Kerr formerly served on the boards of TCW Strategic Income Fund, Inc., TCW Funds and CKE Restaurants, Inc. She has also served as a consultant to various companies regarding Sarbanes-Oxley Act compliance and corporate governance. Ms. Kerr is a well-known author in the areas of securities, corporate law and corporate governance, having published numerous articles and a book on the subjects. Ms. Kerr was appointed by ISS/Risk Metrics to serve on the Governance Exchange Advisory Council, and she served as a Director/Member of Advisory Board at Larta Institute. She is also a member of the National Association of Corporate Directors and Women Corporate Directors.

Ms. Kerr's qualifications to serve on the FNF board of directors include her more than 30 years of corporate governance experience, which uniquely positions Ms. Kerr to contribute to our board, and her significant expertise in the regulatory, governance and legal matters of public companies.

Daniel D. (Ron) Lane. Mr. Lane has served as a director of the Company since 2005, and as a director of predecessors of FNF since 1989. Since February 1983, Mr. Lane has been a principal, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Lane/Kuhn Pacific, Inc., a corporation comprising several community development and home building partnerships, all of which are headquartered in Newport Beach, California. Mr. Lane served as a director of CKE Restaurants, Inc. from 1993 through 2010, and served as a director of FIS from February 2006 to July 2008, and as a director of LPS from July 2008 until March 2009. Mr. Lane is also a member of the Board of Trustees of the University of Southern California.

Mr. Lane's qualifications to serve on the FNF board include his extensive experience in and knowledge of the real estate industry, particularly as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Lane/Kuhn Pacific, Inc., his financial literacy and his experience as a member of the boards of directors of other companies.

Cary H. Thompson. Cary H. Thompson has served as a director of the Company since 2005, and as a director of predecessors of FNF since 1992. Mr. Thompson currently is Executive Vice Chairman of Global Corporate and Investment Banking, Bank of America Merrill Lynch, having joined that firm in May 2008. From 1999 to May 2008, Mr. Thompson was Senior Managing Director and Head of West Coast Investment Banking at Bear Stearns & Co., Inc. Mr. Thompson served as a director of FIS from February 2006 to July 2008, as a director of Lender Processing Services, Inc. from July 2008 to March 2009, and on the board of managers of Black Knight Financial Services, LLC from January 2014 until April 2015.

Table of Contents

Mr. Thompson's qualifications to serve on the FNF board include his experience in corporate finance and investment banking, his knowledge of financial markets and his expertise in negotiating and consummating financial transactions.

Class III Directors Term Expiring 2020

Name	Position with FNF
William P. Foley, II	Non-executive Chairman of the Board
Douglas K. Ammerman	Chairman of the Audit Committee
Thomas M. Hagerty	Director
Peter O. Shea, Jr.	Chairman of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee

William P. Foley, II. Mr. Foley has served as Chairman of the board of directors of FNF since 2005, and as a director of predecessors of FNF since 1984. Mr. Foley served as Executive Chairman of FNF from October 2006 until January 2016. Mr. Foley served as Chief Executive Officer of FNF from 1984 until May 2007 and as President of FNF from 1984 until December 1994. Mr. Foley has also served as Executive Chairman of Black Knight, Inc. and its predecessors since January 2014, as Co-Executive Chairman of FGL Holdings since April 2016, and as Executive Chairman of Cannae since November 2017. Mr. Foley served as Vice Chairman of the board of directors of FIS from March 2012 through May 2017. Prior to that, he served as Executive Chairman of FIS from February 2006 through February 2011 and as non-executive Chairman of FIS from February 2011 to March 2012. Within the past five years, Mr. Foley formerly served as a director of Remy International, Inc. Mr. Foley also serves on the boards of directors of The Foley Family Charitable Foundation, Inc. and the Cummer Museum of Arts and Gardens, and is a founder, trustee and director of The Folded Flag Foundation, Inc. Mr. Foley also is Chairman, CEO and President of Foley Family Wines Holdings, Inc., which is the holding company of numerous vineyards and wineries located in the U.S. and in New Zealand, and Executive Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Black Knight Sports and Entertainment LLC, which is the company that owns the Vegas Golden Knights, a National Hockey League team. After receiving his B.S. degree in engineering from the United States Military Academy at West Point, Mr. Foley served in the U.S. Air Force, where he attained the rank of captain.

Mr. Foley's qualifications to serve on the FNF board of directors include his more than 30 years as a director and executive officer of FNF, his experience as a board member and executive officer of public and private companies in a wide variety of industries, and his strong track record of building and maintaining shareholder value and successfully negotiating and implementing mergers and acquisitions.

Douglas K. Ammerman. Mr. Ammerman has served as a director of the Company since 2005. Mr. Ammerman is a retired partner of KPMG LLP, where he became a partner in 1984. Mr. Ammerman formally retired from KPMG in 2002. He also serves as a director of William Lyon Homes, Stantec Inc. and J. Alexander's Holdings Inc. Mr. Ammerman formerly served on the boards of Remy International, Inc. and El Pollo Loco, Inc.

Mr. Ammerman's qualifications to serve on the FNF board of directors include his financial and accounting background and expertise, including his 18 years as a partner with KPMG, and his experience as a director on the boards of other companies.

Thomas M. Hagerty. Mr. Hagerty has served as a director of the Company since 2005, and as a director of predecessors of FNF since 2005. Mr. Hagerty is a Managing Director of Thomas H. Lee Partners, L.P. Mr. Hagerty has been employed by Thomas H. Lee Partners, L.P. and its predecessor, Thomas H. Lee Company, since 1988. Mr. Hagerty currently serves as a director of Black Knight, FleetCor Technologies, Ceridian HCM Holdings, Inc., FIS and several private companies. Mr. Hagerty formerly served as a director of First Bancorp and MoneyGram International, Inc.

Table of Contents

Mr. Hagerty's qualifications to serve on the FNF board of directors include his managerial and strategic expertise working with large growth-oriented companies as a Managing Director of Thomas H. Lee Partners, L.P., a leading private equity firm, and his experience in enhancing value at such companies, along with his expertise in corporate finance.

Peter O. Shea, Jr. Peter O. Shea, Jr. has served as a director of the Company since April 2006. Mr. Shea is the President and Chief Executive Officer of J.F. Shea Co., Inc., a private company with operations in home building, commercial property development and management and heavy civil construction. Prior to his service as President and Chief Executive Officer, he served as Chief Operating Officer of J.F. Shea Co., Inc.

Mr. Shea's qualifications to serve on the FNF board of directors include his experience in managing multiple and diverse operating companies and his knowledge of the real estate industry, particularly as President and Chief Executive Officer of J.F. Shea Co., Inc.

PROPOSAL NO. 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The certificate of incorporation and the bylaws of the Company provide that our board shall consist of at least one and no more than fourteen directors. Our directors are divided into three classes. The board determines the number of directors within these limits. The term of office of only one class of directors expires in each year. The directors elected at this annual meeting will hold office for their respective terms or until their successors are elected and qualified. The current number of directors is twelve. The board believes that each of the nominees will stand for election and will serve if elected as a director.

At this annual meeting, the persons listed below have been nominated to stand for election to the board as Class I directors for a three-year term expiring in 2021.

Raymond R. Quirk
Heather H. Murren
John D. Rood

THE BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT THE SHAREHOLDERS VOTE "FOR" THE ELECTION OF EACH OF THE LISTED NOMINEES.

CERTAIN INFORMATION ABOUT OUR EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The executive officers of the Company are set forth in the table below, together with biographical information, except for Mr. Quirk, whose biographical information is included in this proxy statement under the section titled "Certain Information about our Directors Information About the Director Nominees and Continuing Directors."

Name	Position with FNF	Age
Raymond R. Quirk	Chief Executive Officer	71
Michael J. Nolan	President	58
Roger Jewkes	Chief Operating Officer	59
Brent B. Bickett	Executive Vice President Corporate Strategy	53
Anthony J. Park	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	51
Peter T. Sadowski	Executive Vice President and Chief Legal Officer	63
Michael L. Gravelle	Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary	56

Michael J. Nolan. Mr. Nolan has served as President of the Company since January 2016. He served as the Co-Chief Operating Officer from September 2015 until January 2016. Additionally, he has served as President of Eastern Operations for Fidelity National Title Group since January 2013 and

Table of Contents

Executive Vice President-Division Manager since May 2010. Previously, Mr. Nolan served as Regional Manager from 2003 through 2010 and state and branch manager positions from 1998-2003. Since joining company in 1983, Mr. Nolan has served in numerous executive and management positions, including President, Executive Vice President, Division Manager and Regional Manager, with responsibilities for managing direct and agency operations for the Midwest and East coast. Also, Mr. Nolan has overall responsibility for the Company's operations in Canada as well as IPX, Fidelity's 1031 exchange company, and FRS, Fidelity's relocation company.

Roger Jewkes. Mr. Jewkes has served as Chief Operating Officer of FNF since January 2016, and served as Co-Chief Operating Officer from September 2015 to January 2016. Previously, he served as an Executive Vice President of FNF and was appointed to that position in 2001. Since joining FNF through an acquisition in 1987, Mr. Jewkes has served in several executive and operational management positions including President of Western Operations, Executive Vice President, Division Manager and Regional Manager, with responsibilities for managing a significant number of direct operations along with some ancillary companies held by FNF.

Brent B. Bickett. Mr. Bickett has served as Executive Vice President of Corporate Strategy of FNF since January 2016. Mr. Bickett served as President of FNF from December 2013 until January 2016. Mr. Bickett has primary responsibility for managing FNF's merger and acquisition activities, strategic initiatives, portfolio investments and investor relations group. Mr. Bickett joined FNF in 1999 and served as Executive Vice President, Corporate Finance, of FNF from 2003 to 2013. Mr. Bickett has also served as President of Cannae since April 2017.

Anthony J. Park. Mr. Park has served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of FNF since October 2005. Prior to being appointed CFO of the Company, Mr. Park served as Controller and Assistant Controller of FNF from 1991 to 2000 and served as the Chief Accounting Officer of FNF from 2000 to 2005.

Peter T. Sadowski. Mr. Sadowski has served as Executive Vice President and Chief Legal Officer of FNF since 2008. Prior to that, Mr. Sadowski served as Executive Vice President and General Counsel of FNF since 1999. Mr. Sadowski has also served as Executive Vice President and Chief Legal Officer of Cannae since April 2017. Mr. Sadowski also is a member of the California Coastal Conservancy.

Michael L. Gravelle. Mr. Gravelle has served as the Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary of FNF since January 2010 and served in the capacity of Executive Vice President, Legal since May 2006 and Corporate Secretary since April 2008. Mr. Gravelle joined FNF in 2003, serving as Senior Vice President. Mr. Gravelle joined a subsidiary of FNF in 1993, where he served as Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary beginning in 1996 and as Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary beginning in 2000. Mr. Gravelle has also served as Executive Vice President, General Counsel & Corporate Secretary of Black Knight, Inc. and its predecessors since January 2014, and as Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary of Cannae Holdings, Inc., since April 2017. He served as Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporation Secretary of Remy from February 2013 until March 2015.

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The following discussion and analysis of compensation programs should be read with the compensation tables and related disclosures that follow. This discussion contains forward-looking statements that are based on our current plans and expectations regarding future compensation programs. Compensation programs that we adopt in the future may differ materially from the programs summarized in this discussion. The following discussion may also contain statements regarding corporate performance targets and goals. These targets and goals are disclosed in the limited context of our compensation programs and should not be understood to be statements of management's expectations or estimates of results or other guidance. We specifically caution investors not to apply these statements to other contexts.

In this compensation discussion and analysis, we provide an overview of our approach to compensating our named executive officers in 2017, including the objectives of our compensation programs and the principles upon which our compensation programs and decisions are based. Our named executive officers, and their titles, in 2017 were:

Raymond R. Quirk, our Chief Executive Officer;

Michael J. Nolan, our President;

Brent B. Bickett, our Executive Vice President, Corporate Strategy;

Roger S. Jewkes, our Chief Operating Officer; and

Anthony J. Park, our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Split-Off of FNFV Group and Spin-Off Black Knight

On November 17, 2017, we completed the Split-Off of our FNFV Group by redeeming 100% of our FNFV Group shares for shares of Cannae Holdings, Inc. (*Cannae*) (the *Split-Off*). Our FNFV Group shareholders were strongly in favor of the Split-Off, with more than 99% of the votes cast at the special meeting to approve the Split-Off voted in favor.

On September 29, 2017, we returned significant value to our FNF Group shareholders when we completed the Spin-Off of Black Knight. As a result of the Spin-Off, our FNF Group shareholders received approximately 0.30663 shares of Black Knight, the new publicly traded parent of our former majority-owned subsidiary BKFS.

Both Cannae and Black Knight are independent publicly-traded companies, and FNFV Group shares are no longer outstanding. For additional information concerning the Split-Off and the Spin-Off, see the section in this proxy statement titled "General Information about the Company" above. These transactions positively impacted our executive compensation programs by allowing us to continue simplifying these programs and renew focus on our core title operations.

Table of Contents

Financial Highlights

FNF has performed well for our shareholders over the past several years. In 2017, we generated approximately \$7.7 billion in total revenue (a 5.6% increase from 2016), and approximately \$771 million in net earnings (an 18.6% increase from 2016). As reflected in the charts below, from 2015 through 2017, we have delivered strong growth in total revenue and net earnings.

During this three year period, from January 1, 2015 through December 31, 2017, we delivered a total return to our shareholders of 60.1%, compared to S&P 500 total return of 36.6% during the same period. This includes a return of approximately \$278 million to our shareholders in the form of cash dividends. Total shareholder return is based on stock price changes as adjusted to account for the Black Knight Spin-Off in 2017 (assuming that the underlying shares were sold on the spin-off closing date) and cash dividends paid.

Pay for Performance

The primary goal of our executive compensation programs in 2017 was to drive continued growth and successful execution of our strategic business objectives. We believe our programs achieve this goal by:

tying material portions of our named executive officers' compensation to the performance of our core title operations;

structuring our performance-based programs to focus our named executive officers on attaining pre-established, objectively-determinable key performance goals that are aligned with and support our key strategic business objectives in our various operations, which, in turn, are aimed at growing long-term shareholder value for our shareholders;

recognizing our executives' leadership abilities, scope of responsibilities, experience, effectiveness, and individual performance achievements; and

attracting, motivating, and retaining a highly qualified and effective management team that can deliver superior performance and build shareholder value over the long term.

As in past years, there was a direct correlation between our named executive officers' pay and our performance in 2017. Here are a few highlights:

We far exceeded both our adjusted title revenue and adjusted title pre-tax profit margin goals as set by our compensation committee under our annual incentive plan. Consistent with this strong performance, our named executive officers earned an annual incentive equal to 200% of their respective target annual incentive opportunities. See the "FNF Annual Incentive Performance Measures and Results" section below.

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

We far exceeded the quarterly adjusted title pre-tax profit margin goals set by our compensation committee as performance criteria for our 2016 restricted stock awards. As a result, we expect

Table of Contents

these awards to fully vest, subject to each executive's continued employment with us to satisfy the time-based vesting requirements for those awards.

Prior to the Split-Off, our FNFV Group created substantial value for our FNFV Group shareholders with our investment in One Digital, which we sold on June 6, 2017 for \$560 million, representing a 4.6x cash on cash return multiple and a 41% IRR. After repayment of debt, payout to option holders and a minority equity investor and other transaction related payments, FNFV Group received \$331 million from the sale, which includes \$326 million of cash and \$5 million of purchase price holdback receivable.

Note that the financial measures used as performance targets for our named executive officers described in this discussion are non-GAAP measures and differ from the comparable GAAP measures reported in our financial statements. The measures are adjusted to exclude the impact of certain non-recurring and other items. We explain how we calculate these measures in the "Analysis of Compensation Components" section below.

SHAREHOLDER VOTE ON 2016 EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

At our 2017 annual meeting of shareholders, we held a non-binding advisory vote, also called a "say on pay" vote, on the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in the 2017 proxy statement. A majority of our shareholders approved our "say on pay" proposal, with approximately 70% of the votes cast in favor of the proposal and approximately 30% of the votes cast against the proposal. This reflects significantly more support than at our 2016 annual meeting of shareholder where only 54% of our shareholders approved our "say on pay" proposal. The compensation committee considered these results when evaluating our executive compensation program.

SHAREHOLDER OUTREACH AND CHANGES TO OUR COMPENSATION PROGRAMS IN 2017

Our compensation committee is committed to listening and responding to the views of our shareholders in creating and tailoring our executive compensation programs. Following the 2017 annual meeting of shareholders and the 2016 "say on pay" shareholder vote, our President, Chief Financial Officer, and Treasurer met with our investors in break-out sessions at investor conferences, as well as in independent one-on-one investor meetings, to discuss our business and stock price performance, as well as discuss and receive feedback on our compensation programs. In this regard, we met with investors at more than 13 investor conferences and numerous one-on-one meetings. The investors with whom we met in 2017 represented 13 of our top 20 shareholders, who collectively owned more than 40% of our shares as of December 31, 2017.

We believe that we have been highly responsive to our shareholders' concerns, and have created and continued compensation programs that achieved our strategic corporate objectives, focused our executives on achieving superior operating results and shareholder returns, balanced short-term and long-term incentives, and maintained a strong correlation between pay and performance.

IMPROVEMENTS TO OUR COMPENSATION PROGRAMS

In response to feedback received during our investor outreach efforts, and the analysis of our compensation programs by proxy advisory firms, we have made a number of improvements to our compensation programs over the last four years to address concerns raised by our shareholders and

Table of Contents

proxy advisory firms. Following are highlights of the key changes, demonstrating the responsiveness of our compensation committee:

Areas of Improvement

Pay Programs Have Been Simplified

Improvements

We continued to simplify our compensation programs. In 2017, our named executive officers earned base salary, an annual performance-based cash incentive, restricted stock awards and standard employee benefits. Messrs. Bickett and Park also received a payment under our legacy Investment Success Incentive Plan. Further simplifying our incentive program, the Investment Success Incentive Plan was assumed by Cannae in connection with the Split-Off and will no longer be a component of our incentive program. Finally, in 2017, our named executive officers' long-term equity awards continued to consist only of restricted stock awards, all of which had performance- and time-based vesting conditions. No named executive officer received only time-based equity awards in 2017 and no named executive officer received an equity award granted outside our formulaic long-term incentive program (a "one-off" award) in 2017.

The Split-Off and Black Knight Spin-Off

In anticipation of the Split-Off we did not issue any FNFV equity incentive awards in 2017. Further, as discussed below, our Investment Success Incentive Plan was assumed by Cannae in connection with the Split-Off. The assumption of the Investment Success Incentive Plan will simplify our compensation programs. For purposes of the CD&A, we will no longer need to distinguish between our core and non-core businesses and we will no longer need to discuss tracking stocks, awards earned under the Investment Success Incentive Plan following the Split-Off, and Black Knight compensation earned following the Black Knight Spin-Off.

25

Table of Contents

Areas of Improvement

Annual Incentive Plan Performance Goals are Rigorously Set, Despite Volatile and Unpredictable Economic Environment

Improvements

The adjusted title revenue and adjusted pre-tax title margin performance targets under our 2017 annual incentive plan were approximately 3% higher and 0.5% lower than the targets under our 2016 plan, respectively. Our annual incentive plan targets correlate with our annual strategic financial plans, which are based on our forecasted originations for the year and the relative mix of purchase versus refinance originations. Further, these annual incentive plan targets have a significant impact on long-term stock price. These expectations are based on forecasts provided by the Mortgage Bankers Association (MBA), Fannie Mae, anticipated changes in interest rates and recent and expected industry and company trends. We prepare a base plan as well as upside and downside scenarios, which, taken together, form the strategic financial plan and the basis of the performance targets. When we set our 2017 performance targets in March 2017, our assumptions included a decline in refinance volumes of 49%, a 2% increase in the residential purchase market, and a 6% decline in the national commercial market. In light of these assumptions, the adjusted title revenue and adjusted pre-tax title margin performance targets were rigorous. Our 2017 results exceeded these performance targets due to numerous factors, including a 4% increase in agency title insurance premiums driven by our active management of our agent portfolio, a 3% increase in direct title insurance premiums, and enhancements to our underwriting processes which have resulted in lower policy year loss ratios. Our executives' performance directly affected each of these factors.

Long-Term Performance Goals are Rigorously Set, Despite Volatile and Unpredictable Economic Environment

The pre-tax title margin performance target applicable to our restricted stock awards granted in 2017 was approximately 0.5% higher than the target under our 2016 awards. This target is based on the forecasts discussed above. Our executives' strong performance in 2017 led to our far exceeding these goals.

GOVERNANCE AND COMPENSATION BEST PRACTICES

We periodically review our compensation programs and make adjustments that are believed to be in the best interests of our company and our shareholders. As part of this process, we review compensation trends and consider current best practices, and make changes in our compensation programs when we deem it appropriate, all with the goal of continually improving our approach to executive compensation.

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

Some of the best practices adopted by our compensation committee or full board of directors include the following:

Things We Do:

- þ Permit shareholder action by written consent
- þ Separate the positions of Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board
- þ An independent lead director to help manage the affairs of our Board
- þ Deliver total compensation predominantly through variable pay
- þ Allow "proxy access"
- þ Have majority voting in uncontested director elections
- þ Maintain robust stock ownership requirements
- þ Maintain a clawback policy for incentive based compensation
- þ Have a high ratio of performance-based compensation to total compensation, and a low ratio for fixed benefits/perquisites (non-performance-based compensation)
- þ Undertake an annual review of compensation risk
- þ Limit perquisites
- þ Have performance-based vesting provision in restricted stock grants to our officers, including our named executive officers
- þ Require that any dividends or dividend equivalents on restricted stock and other awards that are subject to performance based vesting conditions are subject to the same underlying vesting requirements applicable to the awards that is, no payment of dividends or dividend equivalents are made unless and until the award vests;
- þ Have transparent executive compensation disclosures in our annual proxy statements
- þ Use a thorough methodology for comparing our executive compensation to market practices
- þ A policy that annual grants of restricted stock will utilize a vesting schedule of not less than three years
- þ Retain an independent compensation consultant that reports solely to our compensation committee, and that does not provide our compensation committee services other than executive compensation consulting
- þ Cap payouts on incentive awards
- þ Use non-discretionary, pre-established, objectively determinable performance goals for our incentive awards

Things We Don't Do:

- ý Have supermajority voting provisions in our Certificate of Incorporation
- ý Provide tax gross-ups or reimbursement of taxes on perquisites
- ý Have liberal change in control definitions
- ý Include modified single-trigger severance provisions which provide severance upon a voluntary termination of employment following a change in control in our executive agreements
- ý Allow hedging and pledging transactions involving our securities
- ý Have multi-year guarantees for salary increases, non-performance based bonuses or guaranteed equity compensation in our executive employment agreements

Table of Contents

COMPONENTS OF TOTAL COMPENSATION AND PAY MIX

We compensate our executive officers primarily through a mix of base salary, annual cash incentives and long-term equity-based incentives. We also provide our executive officers with the same retirement and employee benefit plans that are offered to our other employees, as well as limited other benefits, although these items are not significant components of our compensation programs. The following table provides information regarding the elements of compensation provided to our named executive officers in 2017:

Category of Compensation	Type of Compensation	Purpose of the Compensation
<i>Fixed Cash Compensation:</i>	Salary	Salary provides a level of assured, regularly-paid, cash compensation that is competitive and helps attract and retain key employees.
<i>Short-term Performance-based Cash Incentives:</i>	Annual Cash Incentive Tied to Financial Metrics	Cash incentives under our annual incentive plan are designed to motivate our employees to work towards achieving our key annual adjusted title revenue and adjusted pre-tax title margin goals.
<i>Long-term Equity Incentives:</i>	Performance-Based Restricted Stock Tied to Financial Metrics	Performance-based restricted stock helps to tie our named executive officers' long-term financial interests to our adjusted pre-tax title margin and to the long-term financial interests of our shareholders, as well as to retain key executives through a three-year vesting period and maintain a market competitive position for total compensation.
<i>Investment/Business Specific Incentives:</i>	Investment Success Incentive Program	Our Investment Success Incentive Program was designed to help us maximize our return on investment in the FNFV companies and investments by aligning a significant portion of the executive's long-term incentive compensation with our return related to the investments. The purpose of the program was to retain and incentivize executives to identify and execute on monetization and liquidity opportunities that will maximize returns. Following the Split-Off, the Investment Success Incentive Program was assumed by Cannae and we no longer have any obligations under this program.

Table of Contents

Category of Compensation	Type of Compensation	Purpose of the Compensation
Benefits & Other:	ESPP, 401(k) Plan, health insurance and other benefits	Our named executive officers' benefits generally mirror our company-wide employee benefit programs. For security reasons and to make travel more efficient and productive for our named executive officers, they are eligible to travel on our corporate aircraft.

Allocation of Total Compensation for 2017

The following chart and table show the average allocation of 2017 Total Compensation reported in the Summary Compensation Table among the components of our compensation programs:

**Named Executive Officers'
2017 Compensation Mix**

	<i>2017 Compensation Mix</i>						
	Annual Cash Salary	Performance- Based Restricted Stock Incentives	Investments Based Restricted Stock Programs (FNFV)	Benefits and Other	Total Compensation	Performance Based Compensation	
Raymond R. Quirk	11.2%	33.5%	52.2%	0.0%	3.1%	100%	85.7%
Anthony J. Park	15.3%	30.7%	34.1%	15.8%	4.1%	100%	80.6%
Michael J. Nolan	15.4%	38.4%	44.0%	0.0%	2.2%	100%	82.4%
Brent B. Bickett	7.5%	22.6%	22.0%	44.1%	3.8%	100%	88.7%
Roger S. Jewkes	15.5%	38.6%	39.0%	0.0%	6.9%	100%	77.6%

Table of Contents

As illustrated above, a significant portion of each named executive officer's total compensation is based on performance-based cash and equity incentives that are tied to our financial performance, stock and equity price. Combined, performance-based forms of compensation comprised between 77.6% and 88.7% of our named executive officers' total compensation in 2017.

Our compensation committee believes this emphasis on performance-based incentive compensation is an effective way to use compensation to help us achieve our business objectives while directly aligning our executive officers' interests with the interests of our shareholders.

Analysis of Compensation Components

Base Salary

Our compensation committee typically reviews salary levels annually as part of our performance review process, as well as in the event of promotions or other changes in our named executive officers' positions or responsibilities. When establishing base salary levels, our compensation committee considers the peer compensation data provided by its external independent compensation consultant, Mercer, as well as a number of qualitative factors, including each named executive officer's experience, knowledge, skills, level of responsibility and performance. Messrs. Bickett's and Jewkes' 2017 base salaries were unchanged from 2016. Mr. Quirk, Mr. Nolan, and Mr. Park received increases in their base salaries in 2017 to reflect their excellent performance and to move their total compensation close to the 50th percentile of market.

Annual Performance-Based Cash Incentives

We award annual cash incentives based upon the achievement of pre-defined business and financial objectives relating to our core operations, which are specified in the first quarter of the year. Annual incentives play an important role in our approach to total compensation, as they motivate participants to achieve key fiscal year objectives by conditioning the payment of incentives on the achievement of defined, objectively determinable financial performance goals.

In the first quarter of 2017, our compensation committee approved our fiscal year business performance objectives and a target incentive opportunity for each participant, as well as the potential incentive opportunity range for maximum and threshold performance. No annual incentive payments are payable to a named executive officer if the pre-established, minimum performance levels are not met, and payments are capped at a maximum performance payout level. The financial performance results are derived from our annual financial statements (as reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC), which are subject to an audit by our independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young LLP. However, as discussed below, we use financial measures as performance targets for our named executive officers that differ from the comparable GAAP measures reported in our financial statements. The incentive award target opportunities are expressed as a percentage of the individual's base salary. Our named executive officers' 2017 target incentive opportunities were the same as their 2016 target incentive opportunities.

The amount of the annual incentives actually paid depends on the level of achievement of the pre-established goals as follows:

If threshold performance is not achieved, no incentive will be paid.

If threshold performance is achieved, the incentive payout will equal 50% of the executive's target incentive opportunity.

If target performance is achieved, the incentive payout will equal 100% of the executive's target incentive opportunity.

Table of Contents

If maximum performance is achieved, the incentive payout will equal 200% of the executive's target incentive opportunity.

Between these levels, the payout is interpolated.

An important tenet of our pay for performance philosophy is to utilize our compensation programs to motivate our executives to achieve performance levels that reach beyond what is expected of us as a company. The performance targets for the FNF incentive plan are approved by our compensation committee and are based on discussions between management and our compensation committee. Target performance levels are intended to be difficult to achieve, but not unrealistic. Maximum performance levels are established to limit short-term incentive awards so as to avoid excessive compensation while encouraging executives to reach for performance beyond the target levels.

In setting 2017 performance targets under our annual incentive plans, our compensation committee considered the following factors, which are discussed in more detail below:

the Mortgage Bankers Association's projection that mortgage originations would decline;

our 2017 business plan, including our underlying assumptions that refinance volumes would decline by 49%, the residential purchase market would increase by 2%, and the national commercial market would decline by 6%;

2017 performance targets as compared to 2016 performance targets and 2016 actual performance;

alignment of the 2017 performance targets with the investment community's published projections for us and our publicly-traded title company competitors; and

the effect that reaching performance targets would have on our growth and margins.

FNF Annual Incentive Performance Measures and Results. The 2017 performance goals under the FNF incentive plan were based on adjusted title revenue and adjusted pre-tax title margin relating to our title segment. We believe that these performance measures are among the most important measures of the financial performance of our core business, and they can have a significant impact on long-term stock price and the investing community's expectations. When combined with the strong focus on long-term shareholder return created by our equity-based incentives and our named executive officers' significant stock ownership, these two annual performance measures provide a degree of checks and balances, requiring our named executive officers to consider both short-term and long-term performance of our businesses and investments. The annual incentive performance targets are synchronized with shareholder expectations, desired increase in our stock price, our annual budget, our long-term financial plan, and our board of directors' expectations. Further, both measures are measures that executives can directly affect.

Table of Contents

In the following table, we explain how we calculate the performance measures and why we use them.

Performance Measure	How Calculated	Reason for Use
Adjusted Title Revenue	Adjusted title revenue is based on GAAP revenue from our title segment as reported in our annual financial statements, excluding realized gains and losses.	Adjusted title revenue is an important measure of our growth, our ability to satisfy and retain our clients, gain new clients and the effectiveness of our services and solutions. Adjusted title revenue is widely followed by investors.
Adjusted Pre-Tax Title Margin	Adjusted pre-tax title margin is determined by dividing the earnings before income taxes and non-controlling interests from our title segment, excluding realized gains and losses, purchase accounting amortization and other unusual items, by total revenues of the title segment excluding realized gains and losses.	We selected adjusted pre-tax title margin as a measure for the short-term incentives because it is a financial measure that is significantly influenced by the performance of our executives, promotes a focus on operational efficiency and cost management, aligns the executives' short-term incentive opportunity with one of our key corporate growth objectives and is commonly used within the title industry.

The title insurance business is directly impacted by managements' effectiveness in executing on our business strategy, and macro-economic factors such as mortgage interest rates, credit availability, job markets, economic growth, and changing demographics. Due to the year-to-year changes in these key economic factors, we do not think comparisons of financial and business goals and performance from one year to another are meaningful indicators of the rigor of our performance goals or managements' performance in a given year. Instead, we think our performance goals and managements' performance relative to those goals should be assessed in light of the economic environment within which the goals were established and management operated. In setting the threshold, target and maximum goals relating to the performance measures under the FNF incentive plan, the committee considered management's expectations for 2017 with respect to forecasted originations, interest rates and the relative mix of purchase versus refinance originations. These expectations are based on forecasts available in March 2017 provided by the Mortgage Bankers Association (MBA) and Fannie Mae, anticipated rising interest rates making housing less affordable and significantly depressing the residential and refinance markets, and recent and expected industry and company trends as reflected in our 2017 strategic financial plan. We prepare a base plan as well as upside and downside scenarios, which, taken together, form the strategic financial plan and the basis of the performance measure targets. To establish threshold and maximum goals, percentage adjustments were applied to the target goals. The pre-tax title margin threshold and maximum goals were set at 2.5% below and 2.5% above the target, respectively, and title revenue threshold and maximum goals were set at 7.5% below and 7.5% above the target, respectively. Target performance levels are intended to be difficult to achieve, but not unrealistic. Maximum performance levels are established to limit short-term incentive awards so as to avoid excessive compensation while encouraging executives to reach for performance beyond the target levels. All of the goals are subject to review and approval by our compensation committee.

Table of Contents

Our 2017 results exceeded target thresholds due to strong performance by our executive officers and numerous factors, including a 4% increase in agency title insurance premiums driven by our active management of our agent portfolio to maximize profitability and minimize claims risk, a 3% increase in direct title insurance premiums driven by increased purchase transactions and escrow fees, and enhancements to our underwriting processes which have resulted in lower policy year loss ratios compared to older years that, in turn, allowed us to reduce our provision for claim losses in the fourth quarter of 2017.

Set forth below are the 2017 weightings of the threshold, target and maximum performance levels, and 2017 performance results under our annual incentive plan. Dollar amounts are in millions.

Performance Metric	Weight	Threshold	Target	Maximum	Results
Adjusted Title Revenue (Title Segment)	25%	\$ 6,012.5	\$ 6,500.0	\$ 6,987.5	\$ 7,205.0
Adjusted Pre-Tax Title Margin (Title Segment)	75%	9.5%	12.0%	14.5%	14.5%

The table below shows each named executive officer's target percentage under our annual incentive plan, the calculation of their 2017 incentive awards based on the 2017 performance multiplier from the results shown in the tables above, and the amounts earned under the annual incentive plans.

Name	2017 Base Salary	2017		2017 Performance Multiplier	2017 Total Incentive Earned
		Annual Incentive Target (%)	Annual Incentive Target (\$)		
Raymond R. Quirk	\$ 1,000,000	150%	\$ 1,500,000	200%	\$ 3,000,000
Anthony J. Park	\$ 525,000	100%	\$ 525,000	200%	\$ 1,050,000
Michael J. Nolan	\$ 630,000	125%	\$ 787,500	200%	\$ 1,575,000
Roger S. Jewkes	\$ 630,000	125%	\$ 787,500	200%	\$ 1,575,000
Brent B. Bickett	\$ 550,500	150%	\$ 825,750	200%	\$ 1,651,500

Long-Term Equity Incentives

In October 2017, we granted performance-based restricted stock to each of our named executive officers.

We do not attempt to time the granting of awards to any internal or external events. Our general practice has been for our compensation committee to grant equity awards during the fourth quarter of each year following the release of our financial results for the third quarter. We also may grant awards in connection with significant new hires, promotions or changes in duties.

Our compensation committee's determinations are not formulaic; rather, in the context of competitive market compensation data and our stated pay philosophy, our compensation committee determines the share amounts on a subjective basis in its discretion and may differ among individual executive officers in any given year. Following is a brief discussion regarding the awards made in 2017.

Performance-Based Restricted Stock. In 2017, the proportion of the FNF equity awards consisting of performance-based restricted stock remained at 100% and we did not grant stock options to our executive officers.

The restricted stock awards vest over three years, provided we achieve pre-tax title margin in our title segment of 8.5% in at least two of the five quarters beginning October 1, 2017. We considered various alternative measures but we again selected adjusted pre-tax title margin because it is one of the most important and impactful measures in evaluating the performance of our core operations, as well as the performance of our executives as it is a measure that executives can directly affect. Adjusted pre-tax title margin measures our achievements in operating efficiency, profitability and capital management. It is also a key measure used by investors and has a significant impact on long-term stock price. We increased the adjusted pre-tax margin performance metric from 8% to 8.5% for these awards

Table of Contents

because of recent and expected industry and company trends, including a rising interest rate environment, MBA's forecasts with respect to the mortgage originations for 2018 and the Urban Land Institute's forecast for the commercial real estate market in 2018, with the goal of setting a target that reflects superior performance compared to our title competitors. Although we considered using a longer performance period for these awards, we determined that achievement of the criteria in at least two of the five quarters beginning October 1, 2017, which is the performance period we have historically used with respect to our performance-based equity awards, was the appropriate performance period because of the difficulty in predicting future performance of the mortgage market, particularly for a period of more than one year, because it is largely driven by interest rates and other economic forces outside of our control, and because of the seasonality inherent in the title business, with the first quarter typically much weaker than the remaining quarters due to weather conditions and holidays impacting opened order activity in November and December resulting in fewer closings in the first quarter.

Adjusted pre-tax title margin is determined by dividing the earnings before income taxes and non-controlling interests from our title segment, excluding realized gains and losses, purchase accounting amortization and other unusual items, by total revenues of the title segment excluding realized gains and losses.

With respect to all restricted stock awards, credit is provided for dividends paid on unvested shares, but payment of those dividends is subject to the same vesting requirements as the underlying shares in other words, if the underlying shares do not vest, the dividends are forfeited.

Business/Investment Specific Incentives

The Investment Success Incentive Program. In connection with the Split-Off, Cannae assumed the Investment Success Incentive Program and we no longer have any obligations under the program.

The Investment Success Incentive Program was a performance-based cash incentive program that our compensation committee established in 2014 to help us maximize the returns on our investments in One Digital (formerly Digital Insurance) and other investments. Under the program, amounts were earned upon liquidity events that result in a positive return on our investment. For this purpose, return was determined relative to the value of our investment in One Digital as of July 1, 2014, which was \$70,800,000. Upon a liquidity event, 10% of any incremental value is contributed to an incentive pool and payments are made to participants based on their allocated percentages of the pool, which are as follows: Mr. Park 2%; and Mr. Bickett 12%. Since Messrs. Quirk, Jewkes and Nolan focus on our core title business and did not focus our FNFV businesses, they did not participate in this program.

On June 6, 2017, prior to the completion of the Split-Off, FNFV completed the sale of One Digital to Achilles Acquisition LLC. As a result of the sale, FNFV indirectly received \$331,301,000, of which \$5,365,000 was held in escrow to cover any indemnity claims. This represents \$260,501,000 in excess of the \$70,800,000 base value of the investment used to measure gain for purposes of the awards. Because of the escrow holdback, our compensation committee, exercising negative discretion, determined to pay only \$25,514,000 of the incentive attributable to the sale in 2017, and held back the remaining \$536,000 allocated to the incentive pool pending the distribution of the escrowed funds.

Because the Investment Success Incentive Program was assumed by Cannae in connection with the Split-Off, the \$536,000 remaining in the incentive pool may be paid at the discretion of Cannae's compensation committee, and subject to the other conditions to payment contained in the incentive award agreements, such as the requirement that participants must remain employed through the payment date to be entitled to a payment.

Table of Contents

The following table shows the return on investment relating to the sale of One Digital and the resulting payouts to the named executive officers under the Investment Success Incentive Program.

Name	Percentage of Incentive Pool	Total Incentive Paid
Brent B. Bickett	12%	\$ 3,061,638
Anthony J. Park	2%	\$ 510,273

In the sale by Ceridian of Comdata to FleetCor in 2014, the sale consideration was paid in shares of FleetCor common stock, with approximately 25% held in escrow to cover any potential indemnity claims, and any remaining escrowed funds payable to Ceridian in annual 1/3 installments over three years. As a result of the sale, we indirectly acquired (through our approximately 32% ownership interest in Ceridian) approximately 2.39 million shares of FleetCor common stock, with 25% of those shares held back in the indemnity escrow. The sale resulted in payments being made under the Investment Success Incentive Program in 2014; however, our compensation committee exercised discretion reserved under the Investment Success Incentive Program and reduced the incentives payable in 2014 by 25%, which we refer to as the *Holdback Amount*. In accordance with the Investment Success Incentive Program terms, our compensation committee reserved the right to decide whether the Holdback Amount (or a portion of it) would be forfeited or whether it would be paid to the participants at a future date. In November 2016, approximately 50% of the remaining escrow holdback was released from escrow and Cannae distributed approximately 50% of the Holdback Amount remaining after the November 2016 release.

The following table shows the payments made to our named executive officers in connection with the 2017 release of the Holdback Amount.

Name	Percentage of Incentive Pool	Total Incentive Paid
Brent B. Bickett	10%	\$ 156,000
Anthony J. Park	2%	\$ 31,000

Benefit Plans

We provide retirement and other benefits to our U.S. employees under a number of compensation programs. Our named executive officers generally participate in the same compensation programs as our other executives and employees. All employees in the United States, including our named executive officers, are eligible to participate in our 401(k) plan and our employee stock purchase plan, or *ESPP*. In addition, our named executive officers are eligible to participate in broad-based health and welfare plans. We do not offer pensions or supplemental executive retirement plans for our named executive officers.

401(k) Plan. We sponsor a defined contribution savings plan that is intended to be qualified under Section 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code. The plan contains a cash or deferred arrangement under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code. Participating employees may contribute up to 40% of their eligible compensation, but not more than statutory limits, which were generally \$18,000 in 2017. Vesting in matching contributions, if any, occurs proportionally each year over three years based on continued employment with us.

Deferred Compensation Plan. We provide our named executive officers, as well as other key employees, with the opportunity to defer receipt of their compensation under a nonqualified deferred compensation plan. None of our named executive officers elected to defer 2017 compensation into the plan. A description of the plan and information regarding our named executive officers' interests under the plan can be found in the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation table and accompanying narrative.

Table of Contents

Employee Stock Purchase Plan. We maintain an *ESPP* through which our executives and employees can purchase shares of our common stock through payroll deductions and through matching employer contributions. At the end of each calendar quarter, we make a matching contribution to the account of each participant who has been continuously employed by us or a participating subsidiary for the last four calendar quarters. For officers, including our named executive officers, matching contributions are equal to 1/2 of the amount contributed during the quarter that is one year earlier than the quarter in which the matching contribution was made. The matching contributions, together with the employee deferrals, are used to purchase shares of our common stock on the open market. For information regarding the matching contributions made to our named executive officers in 2017 see " Summary Compensation Table."

Health and Welfare Benefits. We sponsor various broad-based health and welfare benefit plans for our employees. Certain executives, including our named executive officers, are provided with additional life insurance. The taxable portion of the premiums on this additional life insurance is reflected in the "Summary Compensation Table" under the column "All Other Compensation" and related footnote.

Other Benefits. We continue to provide a few additional benefits to our executives. In general, the additional benefits provided are intended to help our named executive officers be more productive and efficient and to protect us and our executives from certain business risks and potential threats. For example, in 2017, certain of our named executive officers received personal use of the corporate aircraft. Our compensation committee regularly reviews the additional benefits provided to our executive officers and believes they are minimal. Further detail regarding other benefits in 2017 can be found in the "Summary Compensation Table" under the column "All Other Compensation" and related footnote.

Employment Agreements and Post-Termination Compensation and Benefits

We have entered into employment agreements with each of our named executive officers. These agreements provide us and the executives with certain rights and obligations following a termination of employment, and in some instances, following a change in control. We believe these agreements are necessary to protect our legitimate business interests, as well as to protect the executives in the event of certain termination events. For a discussion of the material terms of the agreements, see the narrative following " Grants of Plan-Based Awards" and " Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control," below.

ROLE OF COMPENSATION COMMITTEE, COMPENSATION CONSULTANT AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Our compensation committee is responsible for reviewing, approving and monitoring all compensation programs for our named executive officers. Our compensation committee is also responsible for administering the Fidelity National Financial, Inc. Annual Incentive Plan, or our *annual incentive plan*, the Fidelity National Financial, Inc. Amended and Restated 2005 Omnibus Incentive Plan, or our *omnibus incentive plan*, administering programs that are implemented under the omnibus incentive plan and approving individual grants and awards under those plans for our executive officers. During 2017, our compensation committee engaged Mercer (the *compensation consultant*), an independent compensation consultant, to conduct an annual review of our compensation programs for our named executive officers and other key executives and our board of directors. Mercer was selected, and its fees and terms of engagement were approved, by our compensation committee. Mercer reported directly to the compensation committee, received compensation only for services related to executive compensation issues, and neither it nor any affiliated company provided any other services to us. In April 2018, the compensation committee reviewed the independence of Mercer in accordance with the rules of the New York Stock Exchange regarding the independence of consultants to the compensation committee, and affirmed the consultant's independence and that no conflicts of interest existed.

Table of Contents

The compensation consultant provided our compensation committee with relevant market data on compensation, including annual salary, annual incentives, long-term incentives, other benefits, total compensation and pay mix, and alternatives to consider when making compensation decisions. Mercer also assists our compensation committee in its annual review of a compensation risk assessment.

Our Chairman, Mr. Foley, participated in the 2017 executive compensation process by making recommendations with respect to the compensation of our Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Quirk, and his direct reports. Mr. Quirk, our Chief Executive Officer, made recommendations with respect to the compensation of his direct reports, as discussed further below. In addition, Michael L. Gravelle, our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary, coordinated with our compensation committee members and the compensation consultant in preparing the committee's meeting agendas and, at the direction of the compensation committee, assisted the compensation consultant in gathering financial information about FNF and stock ownership information for our executives for inclusion in the consultant's reports to our compensation committee. Our executive officers do not make recommendations to our compensation committee with respect to their own compensation.

While our compensation committee carefully considers the information provided by, and the recommendations of, Mercer and the individuals who participate in the compensation process, our compensation committee retains complete discretion to accept, reject or modify any recommended compensation decisions.

Establishing Executive Compensation Levels

Our compensation committee considers a number of important qualitative and quantitative factors when determining the overall compensation of our named executive officers in 2017, including:

the executive officer's experience, knowledge, skills, level of responsibility and potential to influence our company's performance;

the executive officer's prior salary levels, annual incentive awards, annual incentive award targets and long-term equity incentive awards;

the business environment and our business objectives and strategy;

our financial performance in the prior year;

the need to retain and motivate executives (even in the current business cycle, it is critical that we not lose key people and long term incentives help to retain key people);

corporate governance and regulatory factors related to executive compensation; and

marketplace compensation levels and practices.

In evaluating the compensation of our named executive officers, our compensation committee also considers the recommendations of our Chairman. Our compensation committee also considers our Chief Executive Officer's recommendations with respect to the compensation of his direct reports. In making their recommendations, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer review the performance of the other named executive officers, job responsibilities, importance to our overall business strategy, and our compensation philosophy. Neither our Chairman nor our Chief Executive Officer makes a recommendation to our compensation committee regarding his own compensation. The compensation decisions are not formulaic, and the members of our compensation committee did not assign precise weights to the factors listed above. Our compensation committee utilized their individual and collective business judgment to review, assess, and approve compensation for our named executive officers.

Table of Contents

To assist our compensation committee, the compensation consultant conducted marketplace reviews of the compensation we pay to our executive officers. They gathered marketplace compensation data on total compensation, which consists of annual salary, annual incentives, long-term incentives, executive benefits, executive ownership levels, overhang and dilution from our omnibus incentive plan, compensation levels as a percent of revenue, pay mix and other key statistics. This data is collected and analyzed twice during the year, once in the first quarter and again in the fourth quarter. The marketplace compensation data provides a point of reference for our compensation committee, but our compensation committee ultimately makes subjective compensation decisions based on all of the factors described above.

For 2017, Mercer used two marketplace data approaches: (1) an aggregation of three general executive compensation survey with a specific focus on companies with revenues of between \$4.5 billion and \$18 billion, and (2) compensation information for a group of 19 companies, or the *FNF peer group*. The FNF peer group was based on a revenue range of ¹/₂ to 2 times the projected 2017 revenue for FNF (which at the time was estimated to be \$9 billion), industry focus (generally the insurance industry based on Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS) Code), nature and complexity of operations, and because they compete with us for business and/or executive talent. The 2017 peer group was consistent with the peer group used by the compensation committee in 2016. When defining the peer group, our compensation committee, working with the compensation consultant, considered the standards used by ISS for identifying peer groups for public companies. The 2017 peer group consisted of:

Alleghany Corporation	Genworth Financial, Inc.
American Financial Group	Lincoln National Corp.
Aon plc	Loews Corporation
Assurant Inc.	Marsh & McLennan Companies, Inc.
Automatic Data Processing, Inc.	Principal Financial Group
CNA Financial Corporation	Reinsurance Group of American Inc.
Computer Sciences Corporation	Unum Group
Discover Financial Services	W.R. Berkley Corporation
Everest Re Group Ltd.	XL Group Ltd

First American Financial Corporation

The revenue range of these companies at that time was between \$5.3 billion and \$13.4 billion, with median revenue of approximately \$9 billion. This compares to our 2017 revenue estimate at that time of approximately \$9 billion. The compensation committee primarily focused on a reasonable range around the 50th percentile of the data when considering our named executive officers' 2017 base salaries, annual performance-based cash incentives and long-term equity incentives.

While the compensation decisions of our compensation committee ultimately were subjective judgments, our compensation committee also considered the following factors in making compensation decisions for our named executive officers. In determining the total compensation for Mr. Quirk, our compensation committee considered his more than 33 years of experience with FNF working in the title business and his importance to the continued successful operation of FNF's title business. In determining the total compensation for Mr. Park, our compensation committee considered his role and responsibility for accounting and financial reporting matters, as well as his 27 years of experience with FNF. In determining the total compensation for Mr. Bickett, our compensation committee considered his contribution to corporate finance matters, corporate development and mergers and acquisitions, as

Table of Contents

well as his 19 years of experience with FNF. In determining the total compensation for Mr. Jewkes, our compensation committee considered his role and responsibility for oversight of our day-to-day title operations, as well as his 31 years of experience with FNF and its predecessor companies. In determining the total compensation for Mr. Nolan, our compensation committee considered his role and responsibility for oversight of our title operations, his involvement in our investor relations, as well as his 35 years of experience with FNF.

The marketplace compensation information in this discussion is not deemed filed or a part of this compensation discussion and analysis for certification purposes.

OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS HAVE SIGNIFICANT OWNERSHIP STAKES

Our named executive officers and our board of directors maintain significant long-term investments in our company. Collectively, as reported in the table "Security Ownership of Management and Directors," they beneficially own an aggregate of 10,794,224 shares of our common stock and options to acquire an additional 4,976,008 shares of our common stock, which in total is equal to 5.7% of our shares entitled to vote. The fact that our executives and directors hold such a large investment in our shares is part of our company culture and our compensation philosophy. Management's sizable investment in our shares aligns their economic interests directly with the interests of our shareholders, and their wealth will rise and fall as our share price rises and falls. This promotes teamwork among our management team and strengthens the team's focus on achieving long term results and increasing shareholder return.

We have formal stock ownership guidelines for all corporate officers, including our named executive officers, and members of our board of directors. The guidelines were established to encourage such individuals to hold a multiple of their base salary (or annual retainer) in our common stock and, thereby, align a significant portion of their own economic interests with those of our shareholders. Further, the award agreements for our 2017 restricted stock awards provide that our executives who do not hold shares of our stock with a value sufficient to satisfy the applicable stock ownership guidelines must retain 50% of the shares acquired as a result of the lapse of vesting restrictions until the executive satisfies the applicable stock ownership guideline. The ownership levels are shown in the "Security Ownership of Management and Directors" table above.

The guidelines call for the executive to reach the ownership multiple within four years. Shares of restricted stock and gain on stock options count toward meeting the guidelines. The guidelines, including those applicable to members of our board of directors, are as follows:

Position	Minimum Aggregate Value
Chairman of the Board	10 × annual cash retainer
Chief Executive Officer	5 × base salary
Other Officers	2 × base salary
Members of the Board	5 × annual cash retainer

Each of our named executive officers and non-employee directors met these stock ownership guidelines as of December 31, 2017.

HEDGING AND PLEDGING POLICY

In order to more closely align the interests of our directors and executive officers with those of our shareholders and to protect against inappropriate risk taking, we maintain a hedging and pledging policy, which prohibits our executive officers and directors from engaging in hedging or monetization

Table of Contents

transactions with respect to our securities, engaging in short-term or speculative transactions in our securities that could create heightened legal risk and/or the appearance of improper or inappropriate conduct or holding FNF securities in margin accounts or pledging them as collateral for loans without our approval.

CLAWBACK POLICY

In December 2010, our compensation committee adopted a policy to recover any incentive-based compensation from our executive officers if we are required to prepare an accounting restatement due to material noncompliance with financial reporting requirements, and the incentive-based compensation paid during the preceding three-year period would have been lower had the compensation been based on the restated financial results.

TAX AND ACCOUNTING CONSIDERATIONS

Our compensation committee considers the impact of tax and accounting treatment when determining executive compensation.

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code places a limit of \$1,000,000 on the amount that can be deducted in any one year for compensation paid to certain executive officers. Before being repealed by the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act in 2017, there was an exception for certain performance-based compensation. Our compensation committee took the deduction limitation under Section 162(m) into account when structuring and approving awards under our annual incentive plan and our omnibus plan in 2017, and designed our named executive officers' annual incentives and restricted stock awards with the intention that they would qualify for the performance-based compensation exception under Section 162(m). However, there are uncertainties as to the application of Section 162(m), making it possible that a deduction relating to amounts intended to qualify as performance-based compensation may be challenged or disallowed. The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act eliminated the performance-based compensation exception under Section 162(m) for awards that are not grandfathered and it increased the coverage of Section 162(m) to, among other things, include Chief Financial Officers. These changes will cause more of our named executive officer's compensation to be non-deductible under Section 162(m) in the future, and eliminate our ability to structure performance-based awards to be exempt from Section 162(m). We will continue to monitor developments under Section 162(m).

Our compensation committee also considers the accounting impact when structuring and approving awards. We account for share-based payments, including stock option grants, in accordance with ASC Topic 718, which governs the appropriate accounting treatment of share-based payments under generally accepted accounting principles (*GAAP*).

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The compensation committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K with management, and the compensation committee recommended to the board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement.

THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

Richard N. Massey
Daniel D. (Ron) Lane
Cary H. Thompson

Table of Contents**Executive Compensation**

The following table contains information concerning the cash and non-cash compensation awarded to or earned by our named executive officers for the years indicated.

Summary Compensation Table

Name and Principal Position	Fiscal Year	Salary (\$)(1)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)(2)	Option Awards (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive	All Other Compensation (\$)(4)	Total (\$)
						Plan Compensation (\$)(3)		
Raymond R. Quirk	2017	1,000,000		4,674,993		3,000,000	286,223	8,961,216
Chief Executive Officer	2016	831,692		4,249,986		2,382,977	233,402	7,698,057
	2015	780,000		2,613,000	1,150,600	2,298,016	258,161	7,099,777
Anthony J. Park	2017	525,000		1,165,990		1,591,273	138,538	3,420,801
Executive Vice President and	2016	483,000		1,099,990		984,952	122,161	2,690,103
Chief Financial Officer	2015	435,000		731,640	261,500	913,666	146,139	2,487,945
Michael J. Nolan	2017	630,000		1,801,998		1,575,500	90,848	4,098,346
President	2016	557,308		1,805,263		1,369,806	64,726	3,797,103
Roger Jewkes	2017	630,000		1,589,983		1,575,500	281,774	4,077,257
Chief Operating Officer	2016	630,000		1,604,167		1,515,074	278,616	4,027,857
Brent B. Bickett	2017	550,500		1,606,477		4,869,138	277,662	7,303,777
Executive Vice President,	2016	550,500		1,515,538		1,719,663	220,727	4,006,428
Corporate Strategy	2015	550,500		1,045,200	470,700	1,918,046	349,363	4,333,809

- (1) Amounts shown are not reduced to reflect the named executive officers' elections, if any, to defer receipt of salary into our 401(k) plan, ESPP, or deferred compensation plans.
- (2) Represents the grant date fair value of the restricted stock awards granted in 2017 computed in accordance with ASC Topic 718, excluding forfeiture assumptions. See the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table for details regarding each award. Assumptions used in the calculation of these amounts are included in Note O to our audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017 included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 23, 2018. The restricted stock awards are performance-based.
- (3) Represents performance-based compensation earned in 2017 under our annual incentive plan by each executive, and under the Investment Success Incentive Program by Messrs. Park and Bickett.
- (4) Amounts shown for 2017 include matching contributions to our ESPP; dividends paid on restricted stock that vested in 2017; life insurance premiums paid by us; health insurance fees paid by us under the executive medical plan; personal use of a company airplane; automobile allowance; and matching contributions to our 401(k) plan.

	Quirk (\$)	Park (\$)	Nolan (\$)	Bickett (\$)	Jewkes (\$)
ESPP Matching Contributions FNF	40,777	35,100	13,500	41,287	47,250
Restricted Stock Dividends	185,704	36,490	71,223	58,222	71,849
Life Insurance Premiums	321	207	387	207	387
Personal Airplane Use FNF	14,853	5,529		116,734	95,076
Executive Medical	38,830	55,474		55,474	55,474
Company Match 401(k)	5,738	5,738	5,738	5,738	5,738
Automobile Allowance					6,000

The following tables set forth information concerning awards granted to the named executive officers during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017.

Table of Contents

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

(a) Name	(b) Grant Date	(c) Award Type	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards(1)			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards(2)			(j) All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#)(3)	(k) Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$)(4)
			(d) Threshold (\$)	(e) Target (\$)	(f) Maximum (\$)	(g) Threshold (#)	(h) Target (#)	(i) Maximum (#)		
Raymond R. Quirk	10/30/2017	FNF Group Performance-Based Restricted Stock FNF Annual Incentive Plan	750,000	1,500,000	3,000,000		125,841		4,674,993	
Anthony J. Park	10/30/2017	FNF Group Performance-Based Restricted Stock FNF Annual Incentive Plan	262,500	525,000	1,050,000		31,386		1,165,990	
Brent B. Bickett	10/30/2017	FNF Group Performance-Based Restricted Stock FNF Annual Incentive Plan	304,125	608,250	1,216,500		43,243		1,606,477	
Roger S. Jewkes	10/30/2017	FNF Group Performance-Based Restricted Stock FNF Annual Incentive Plan	393,750	787,500	1,575,000		42,799		1,589,983	
Michael J. Nolan	10/30/2017	FNF Group Performance-Based Restricted Stock FNF Annual Incentive Plan	393,750	787,500	1,575,000		48,506		1,801,998	

- (1) With respect to the annual incentive plan, the amount shown in column (d) is 50% of the target amount shown in column (e), and the amount shown in column (f) is 200% of the target amount shown in column (e).
- (2) The amounts shown in column (h) reflect the number of shares of performance-based restricted stock granted to each named executive officer under our omnibus plan.
- (3) The amounts shown in column (k) represent the grant date fair value of each restricted stock award based upon a \$37.15 per share grant date fair value.

Table of Contents

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End

Name	Grant Date	Option Awards(1)				Stock Awards(2)			
		Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock that Have Not Vested (\$)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)
Raymond R. Quirk	11/8/2012	120,578		14.38	11/8/2019				
	11/21/2013	656,181		17.76	11/21/2020				
	11/3/2014	232,640		21.84	11/3/2021				
	10/29/2015	200,180	100,093	25.53	10/29/2022	34,348	1,337,168		
	12/21/2016					112,575	4,382,545		
	10/30/2017							125,841	4,938,001
Anthony J. Park	11/3/2014	29,080		21.84	11/3/2021				
	10/29/2015	45,495	22,748	25.53	10/29/2022	9,618	374,429		
	12/21/2016					29,137	1,134,303		
	10/30/2017							31,386	1,231,587
Michael J. Nolan	11/21/2013	75,884		17.76	11/21/2020				
	11/3/2014	75,608		21.84	11/3/2021				
	10/29/2015	77,342	38,672	25.53	10/29/2022	16,030	624,048		
	3/3/2016					2,840	110,561		
	12/21/2016					45,029	1,752,979		
	10/30/2017							48,506	1,903,375
Brent B. Bickett	11/21/2013	51,709		17.76	11/21/2020				
	11/3/2014	58,160		21.84	11/3/2021				
	10/29/2015	81,892	40,947	25.53	10/29/2022	13,739	534,859		
	12/21/2016					40,144	1,562,806		
	10/30/2017							43,243	1,696,855
Roger Jewkes	11/21/2013	255,909		17.76	11/21/2020				
	11/3/2014	90,148		21.84	11/3/2021				
	10/29/2015	68,242	34,123	25.53	10/29/2022	13,739	534,859		
	3/4/2016					2,809	109,354		
	12/21/2016					39,733	1,546,806		
	10/30/2017							42,799	1,679,433

(1) Option grants made in 2015, 2014, 2013, and 2012 were granted under the omnibus incentive plan as part of our 2015, 2014, 2013, and 2012 long-term incentive compensation and vest in equal installments over a period of three years on each anniversary of the date of grant. The number of options outstanding and the exercise prices were adjusted pursuant to the anti-dilution provisions of the omnibus incentive plan in connection with the Black Knight Spin-Off.

(2) We made the October 2015, March 2016, December 2016 and October 2017 stock awards under the omnibus incentive plan. The October 2015 grants vest in equal installments over a period of three years on each anniversary of the date of grant given that we achieved pre-tax margin of 8.5% in our title segment in at least two of the five quarters beginning October 1, 2015. The March 2016 grants vest in equal installments over a period of three

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

years on each anniversary of the grant date. The December 2016 grants vest in equal installments over a period of three years on each anniversary of the grant date given that we achieved title operating margin of 8% in our title segment in at least two of the six quarters beginning January 1, 2017. The October 2017 grants vest in equal installments over a period of three years on each anniversary of the grant date provided that we achieve title operating margin of 8.5% in our title segment in at least two of the five quarters beginning October 1, 2017. Market values are based on the December 29, 2017 closing price of \$39.24 per share. The number of stock awards outstanding were adjusted pursuant to the anti-dilution provisions of the omnibus incentive plan in connection with the Black Knight Spin-Off.

Table of Contents**Option Exercises and Stock Vested**

The following table sets forth information concerning each exercise of stock options, stock appreciation rights and similar instruments, and each vesting of stock, including restricted stock, restricted stock units and similar instruments, during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017 for each of the named executive officers on an aggregated basis:

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)
Raymond R. Quirk			203,001	7,718,584
Anthony J. Park	189,636	2,475,975	88,331	3,034,522
Brent B. Bickett	445,131	8,690,804	153,738	4,937,396
Roger Jewkes			54,066	2,038,470
Michael J. Nolan	110,210	1,606,566	55,945	2,109,111

(1)

For each named executive officer, except Mr. Jewkes and Mr. Nolan, includes restricted stock awards of Black Knight common stock issued in exchange for a profits interest award originally issued by Black Knight Financial Services, LLC in connection with the IPO, which vested on January 9, 2017, the third anniversary of the date of grant of the profits interest awards. For Mr. Park and Mr. Bickett, also includes restricted shares of common stock of FNFV which Messrs. Park and Bickett received prior to our spin-off of FNFV on November 17, 2017. For Mr. Bickett, also includes 55,556 options in OneDigital which were vested and cashed out for consideration of \$1,055,309 in connection with FNFV's sale of OneDigital.

Employment Agreements

We have entered into employment agreements with all of our named executive officers. Additional information regarding post-termination benefits provided under these employment agreements can be found in the "Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control" section.

Raymond R. Quirk

We entered into a three-year amended and restated employment agreement with Mr. Quirk, effective October 10, 2008 with a provision for automatic annual extensions beginning on the first anniversary of the effective date and continuing thereafter unless either party provides timely notice that the term should not be extended. Under the terms of the agreement, Mr. Quirk's minimum annual base salary is \$740,000, with an annual cash incentive target of 150% of his annual base salary, with amounts payable depending on performance relative to targeted results. Mr. Quirk is entitled to supplemental disability insurance sufficient to provide at least $\frac{2}{3}$ of his pre-disability base salary, and Mr. Quirk and his eligible dependents are entitled to medical and other insurance coverage we provide to our other top executives as a group. Mr. Quirk is also entitled to, but does not receive, the payment of initiation and membership dues in any social or recreational clubs that we deem appropriate to maintain our business relationships, and he is eligible to receive equity grants under our equity incentive plans, as determined by our compensation committee.

Effective as of February 4, 2010, FNF and Mr. Quirk entered into an amendment to Mr. Quirk's employment agreement. The amendment provides that, if any payments or benefits to be paid to Mr. Quirk pursuant to the terms of the employment agreement would be subject to the excise tax imposed by Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code, then Mr. Quirk may elect for such payments to be reduced to one dollar less than the amount that would constitute a "parachute payment" under Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code. If Mr. Quirk does not elect to have such payments so

Table of Contents

reduced, Mr. Quirk is responsible for payment of any excise tax resulting from such payments and shall not be entitled to a gross-up payment under the employment agreement.

Mr. Quirk's employment agreement contains provisions related to the payment of benefits upon certain termination events. The details of these provisions are set forth in the "Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control" section.

Anthony J. Park

We entered into a three-year amended and restated employment agreement with Mr. Park, effective October 10, 2008 with a provision for automatic annual extensions beginning on the first anniversary of the effective date and continuing thereafter unless either party provides timely notice that the term should not be extended. Under the terms of the agreement, Mr. Park's minimum annual base salary is \$375,000, with an annual cash incentive target equal to at least 100% of his annual base salary, with amounts payable depending on performance relative to targeted results. Mr. Park is entitled to supplemental disability insurance sufficient to provide at least $\frac{2}{3}$ of his pre-disability base salary, and Mr. Park and his eligible dependents are entitled to medical and other insurance coverage we provide to our other top executives as a group. Mr. Park is also entitled to, but does not receive, the payment of initiation and membership dues in any social or recreational clubs that we deem appropriate to maintain our business relationships, and he is eligible to receive equity grants under our equity incentive plans, as determined by our compensation committee.

Effective as of February 4, 2010, FNF and Mr. Park entered into an amendment to Mr. Park's employment agreement. The amendment provides that, if any payments or benefits to be paid to Mr. Park pursuant to the terms of the employment agreement would be subject to the excise tax imposed by Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code, then Mr. Park may elect for such payments to be reduced to one dollar less than the amount that would constitute a "parachute payment" under Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code. If Mr. Park does not elect to have such payments so reduced, Mr. Park is responsible for payment of any excise tax resulting from such payments and shall not be entitled to a gross-up payment under the employment agreement.

Mr. Park's employment agreement contains provisions related to the payment of benefits upon certain termination events. The details of these provisions are set forth in the "Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control" section.

Michael J. Nolan

We entered into a three-year amended and restated employment agreement with Mr. Nolan, effective March 2, 2016 with a provision for automatic annual extensions beginning on the second anniversary of the effective date and continuing thereafter unless either party provides timely notice that the term should not be extended. Mr. Nolan is entitled to a minimum annual base salary of \$575,000 and an annual cash bonus target of 100% of his annual base salary, with amounts payable depending on performance relative to targeted results. Mr. Nolan and his eligible dependents are entitled to medical and other insurance coverage we provide to our other top executives as a group. Mr. Nolan is also eligible to receive equity grants under our equity incentive plans, as determined by our compensation committee.

If any payments or benefits to be paid to Mr. Nolan pursuant to the terms of the employment agreement would be subject to the excise tax imposed by Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code, then Mr. Nolan may elect for such payments to be reduced to one dollar less than the amount that would constitute a "parachute payment" under Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code. If Mr. Nolan does not elect to have such payments so reduced, Mr. Nolan is responsible for payment of any excise tax resulting from such payments and shall not be entitled to a gross-up payment under the employment agreement.

Table of Contents

Mr. Nolan's employment agreement contains provisions related to the payment of benefits upon certain termination events. The details of these provisions are set forth in the "Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control" section.

Brent B. Bickett

We entered into a three-year amended and restated employment agreement with Mr. Bickett, effective July 2, 2008 with a provision for automatic annual extensions beginning on the first anniversary of the effective date and continuing thereafter unless either party provides timely notice that the term should not be extended. Effective as of January 1, 2012, we entered into an amendment to the employment agreement with Mr. Bickett pursuant to which Mr. Bickett was entitled to a minimum annual base salary of \$276,500 and an annual cash bonus target of 150% of his annual base salary, with amounts payable depending on performance relative to targeted results. Effective as of July 1, 2012, we entered into an additional amendment to the employment agreement with Mr. Bickett in connection with his increased role and full-time status with us. Under the terms of the agreement, as amended, Mr. Bickett's minimum annual base salary is \$550,500, with an annual cash bonus target of 150% of his annual base salary, with amounts payable depending on performance relative to targeted results. Mr. Bickett is entitled to purchase supplemental disability insurance sufficient to provide at least 60% of his pre-disability base salary, and Mr. Bickett and his eligible dependents are entitled to medical and other insurance coverage we provide to our other top executives as a group. Mr. Bickett is also eligible to receive equity grants under our equity incentive plans, as determined by our compensation committee.

Effective as of February 4, 2010, FNF and Mr. Bickett entered into an amendment to Mr. Bickett's employment agreement. The amendment provides that, if any payments or benefits to be paid to Mr. Bickett pursuant to the terms of the employment agreement would be subject to the excise tax imposed by Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code, then Mr. Bickett may elect for such payments to be reduced to one dollar less than the amount that would constitute a "parachute payment" under Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code. If Mr. Bickett does not elect to have such payments so reduced, Mr. Bickett is responsible for payment of any excise tax resulting from such payments and shall not be entitled to a gross-up payment under the employment agreement.

Mr. Bickett's employment agreement contains provisions related to the payment of benefits upon certain termination events. The details of these provisions are set forth in the "Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control" section.

Roger S. Jewkes

We entered into a three-year amended and restated employment agreement with Mr. Jewkes, effective March 3, 2016 with a provision for automatic annual extensions beginning on the second anniversary of the effective date and continuing thereafter unless either party provides timely notice that the term should not be extended. Mr. Jewkes is entitled to a minimum annual base salary of \$630,000 and an annual cash bonus target of 100% of his annual base salary, with amounts payable depending on performance relative to targeted results. Mr. Jewkes and his eligible dependents are entitled to medical and other insurance coverage we provide to our other top executives as a group. Mr. Jewkes is also eligible to receive equity grants under our equity incentive plans, as determined by our compensation committee.

If any payments or benefits to be paid to Mr. Jewkes pursuant to the terms of the employment agreement would be subject to the excise tax imposed by Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code, then Mr. Jewkes may elect for such payments to be reduced to one dollar less than the amount that would constitute a "parachute payment" under Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code. If Mr. Jewkes does not elect to have such payments so reduced, Mr. Jewkes is responsible for payment of

Table of Contents

any excise tax resulting from such payments and shall not be entitled to a gross-up payment under the employment agreement.

Mr. Jewkes' employment agreement contains provisions related to the payment of benefits upon certain termination events. The details of these provisions are set forth in the "Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control" section.

Annual Incentive Awards

In 2017, our compensation committee approved performance-based cash incentive award opportunities for our named executive officers. The performance-based cash incentive award opportunities are calculated by multiplying base salary by the named executive officer's applicable percentage approved by our compensation committee based on the level of performance that we achieved. More information about the annual incentive awards, including the targets and criteria for determining the amounts payable to our named executive officers, can be found in the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" section.

Long Term Equity Incentive Awards

In October 2017, our compensation committee approved grants of performance-based restricted stock to all our named executive officers. The performance element applicable to the performance-based restricted stock is based upon achievement of pre-tax margin in our title segment of 8.5% in at least two of the five quarters beginning October 1, 2017. The restricted stock also vests proportionately each year over three years based on continued employment with us. More information about the long term equity incentive awards can be found in the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" section.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

Under our nonqualified deferred compensation plan, which was amended and restated effective January 1, 2009, participants, including our named executive officers, can defer up to 75% of their base salary and 100% of their monthly, quarterly and annual incentives, subject to a minimum deferral of \$18,000. Deferral elections are made during specified enrollment periods. Deferrals and related earnings are not subject to vesting conditions.

Participants' accounts are bookkeeping entries only and participants' benefits are unsecured. Participants' accounts are credited or debited daily based on the performance of hypothetical investments selected by the participant, and may be changed on any business day.

Upon retirement, which generally means separation of employment after attaining age 60, an individual may elect either a lump-sum withdrawal or installment payments over 5, 10 or 15 years. Similar payment elections are available for pre-retirement survivor benefits. In the event of a termination prior to retirement, distributions are paid over a 5-year period. Account balances less than the applicable Internal Revenue Code Section 402(g) limit will be distributed in a lump-sum. Participants can elect to receive in-service distributions in a plan year designated by the participant and these amounts will be paid within two and one-half months from the close of the plan year in which they were elected to be paid. The participant may also petition us to suspend elected deferrals, and to receive partial or full payout under the plan, in the event of an unforeseeable financial emergency, provided that the participant does not have other resources to meet the hardship.

Plan participation continues until termination of employment. Participants will receive their account balance in a lump-sum distribution if employment is terminated within two years after a change in control.

In 2004, Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code was passed. Section 409A changed the tax laws applicable to nonqualified deferred compensation plans, generally placing more restrictions on the

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

timing of deferrals and distributions. The deferred compensation plan contains amounts deferred before and after the passage of Section 409A.

For amounts subject to Section 409A, which in general terms includes amounts deferred after December 31, 2004, a modification to a participant's payment elections may be made upon the following events:

Retirement: Participants may modify the distribution schedule for a retirement distribution from a lump-sum to annual installments or vice versa, however, a modification to the form of payment requires that the payment(s) commence at least five years after the participant's retirement, and this election must be filed with the administrator at least 12 months prior to retirement.

In-service Distributions: Participants may modify each in-service distribution date by extending it by at least five years; however, participants may not accelerate the in-service distribution date and this election must be filed with the administrator at least 12 months prior to the scheduled in-service distribution date.

Deferral amounts that were vested on or before December 31, 2004 are generally not subject to Section 409A and are governed by more liberal distribution provisions that were in effect prior to the passage of Section 409A. For example, a participant may withdraw these grandfathered amounts at any time, subject to a withdrawal penalty of ten percent, or may change the payment elections for these grandfathered amounts if notice is timely provided.

The table below describes the contributions and distributions made with respect to the named executive officers' accounts under our nonqualified deferred compensation plan. None of the named executive officers deferred 2017 compensation under the plan. Messrs. Quirk, Jewkes and Nolan do not have balances in the nonqualified deferred compensation plan.

Name	Executive Contributions in Last FY (\$)	Registrant Contributions in Last FY (\$)	Aggregate Earnings in Last FY (\$)	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions (\$)	Aggregate Balance at Last FYE (\$)
Anthony J. Park			60,760		375,004
Brent B. Bickett			118,733		674,846

Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control

In this section, we discuss the nature and estimated value of payments and benefits we would provide to our named executive officers in the event of termination of employment or a change in control. The amounts described in this section reflect amounts that would have been payable under (i) our plans, and (ii) where applicable, their employment agreements if their employment had terminated on December 31, 2017.

The types of termination situations include a voluntary termination by the executive, with or without good reason, a termination by us either for cause or not for cause and termination in the event of disability or death. We also describe the estimated payments and benefits that would be provided upon a change in control without a termination of employment. The actual payments and benefits that would be provided upon a termination of employment would be based on the named executive officers' compensation and benefit levels at the time of the termination of employment and the value of accelerated vesting of share-based awards would be dependent on the value of the underlying stock.

For each type of employment termination, the named executive officers would be entitled to benefits that are available generally to our domestic salaried employees, such as distributions under our 401(k) savings plan, certain disability benefits and accrued vacation. We have not described or provided an estimate of the value of any payments or benefits under plans or arrangements that do not discriminate in scope, terms or operation in favor of a named executive officer and that are generally

Table of Contents

available to all salaried employees. In addition to these generally available plans and arrangements, the named executive officers would be entitled to benefits under our nonqualified deferred compensation plan, as described above in the "Nonqualified Deferred Compensation" table and accompanying narrative.

Potential Payments under Employment Agreements

As discussed above, we have entered into employment or service agreements with our named executive officers. The agreements contain provisions for the payment of severance benefits following certain termination events. Below is a summary of the payments and benefits that the named executive officers would receive in connection with various employment or service termination scenarios.

Under the terms of each agreement, if the executive's employment is terminated by us for any reason other than for cause and not due to death or disability, or by the executive for good reason then the executive is entitled to receive:

any accrued obligations,

a prorated annual incentive based on the actual incentive the named executive officer would have earned for the year of termination,

in the case of Messrs. Quirk, Park and Bickett, a lump-sum payment equal to 200% of the sum of the executive's (a) annual base salary and (b) the highest annual bonus paid to the executive within the three years preceding his termination or, if higher, the target bonus opportunity in the year in which the termination of employment occurs,

in the case of Messrs. Jewkes and Nolan, a lump-sum payment equal to 100% of the sum of the executive's (a) annual base salary and (b) the target bonus opportunity in the year in which the termination of employment occurs,

immediate vesting and/or payment of all equity awards (except performance-based awards, which vest pursuant to the terms of the awards),

the right to convert any life insurance provided by us into an individual policy, plus a lump sum cash payment equal to 36 months (18 months in the case of Messrs. Jewkes and Nolan) of premiums, and

other COBRA coverage (so long as the executive pays the premiums) for a period of three years (18 months in the case of Messrs. Jewkes and Nolan) or, if earlier, until eligible for comparable benefits from another employer, plus a lump sum cash payment equal to the sum of 36 (18 in the case of Messrs. Jewkes and Nolan) monthly COBRA premium payments.

Under the terms of each agreement, if the executive's employment or service terminates due to death or disability then the executive (or his estate) is entitled to receive:

any accrued obligations,

a prorated annual bonus based on (a) the target annual bonus opportunity in the year in which the termination occurs or the prior year if no target annual bonus opportunity has yet been determined and (b) the fraction of the year the executive was employed, and

immediate vesting and/or payment of all equity awards.

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

In addition, Messrs. Quirk's and Park's employment agreements provide for supplemental disability insurance sufficient to provide at least 2/3 of the executive's pre-disability base salary. Mr. Bickett is entitled to purchase supplemental disability insurance sufficient to provide 60% of his pre-disability base salary. For purposes of the agreements, an executive will be deemed to have a "disability" if he is entitled to receive long-term disability benefits under our long-term disability plan.

Table of Contents

If the executive's employment or service is terminated by us for cause or by the executive without good reason our only obligation is the payment of any accrued obligations.

For purposes of each agreement, "cause" means the executive's:

persistent failure to perform duties consistent with a commercially reasonable standard of care,

willful neglect of duties,

conviction of, or pleading nolo contendere to, criminal or other illegal activities involving dishonesty,

material breach of the employment or service agreement, or

impeding or failing to materially cooperate with an investigation authorized by our board.

For purposes of Messrs. Quirk's, Park's and Bickett's agreements, "good reason" includes:

a material diminution in the executive's position or title or the assignment of duties to the executive that are materially inconsistent with the executive's position or title,

a material diminution of the executive's base salary or annual bonus opportunity,

within six months immediately preceding or within two years immediately following a change in control, (1) a material adverse change in the executive's status, authority or responsibility, (2) a material adverse change in the position to whom the executive reports or to the executive's service relationship as a result of such reporting structure change, or a material diminution in the authority, duties or responsibilities of the position to whom the executive reports, (3) a material diminution in the budget over which the executive has managing authority, or (4) a material change in the geographic location of the executive's place of employment, or

our material breach of any of our obligations under the employment agreement.

For purposes of Messrs. Quirk's, Park's, and Bickett's agreements, a "change in control" means:

an acquisition by an individual, entity or group of more than 50% of our voting power,

a merger in which we are not the surviving entity, unless our shareholders immediately prior to the merger hold more than 50% of the combined voting power of the resulting corporation after the merger,

a reverse merger in which we are the surviving entity but in which more than 50% of the combined voting power is transferred to persons different from those holding the securities immediately prior to such merger,

during any period of two consecutive years during the employment term, a change in the majority of our board, unless the changes are approved by 2/3 of the directors then in office,

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

a sale, transfer or other disposition of our assets that have a total fair market value equal to or more than 1/3 of the total fair market value of all of our assets immediately before the sale, transfer or disposition, other than a sale, transfer or disposition to an entity (1) which immediately after the sale, transfer or disposition owns 50% of our voting stock or (2) 50% of the voting stock of which is owned by us after the sale, transfer or disposition, or

our shareholders approve a plan or proposal for the liquidation or dissolution of our company.

For purposes of Messrs. Jewkes' and Nolan's agreements, "good reason" includes:

a material diminution of the executive's title, annual base salary or annual bonus opportunity, or

our material breach of any of our obligations under the employment agreement.

Table of Contents

Potential Payments under FNF Omnibus Incentive Plan

In addition to the post-termination rights and obligations set forth in the employment agreements of our named executive officers, our omnibus incentive plan provides for the potential acceleration of vesting and/or payment of equity awards in connection with a change in control. Under our omnibus incentive plan, except as otherwise provided in a participant's award agreement, upon the occurrence of a change in control any and all outstanding options and stock appreciation rights will become immediately exercisable, any restriction imposed on restricted stock, restricted stock units and other awards will lapse, and any and all performance shares, performance units and other awards with performance conditions will be deemed earned at the target level, or, if no target level is specified, the maximum level.

For purposes of our omnibus plan, the term "change in control" means the occurrence of any of the following events:

an acquisition by an individual, entity or group of 25% or more of our voting power (except for acquisitions by us or any of our employee benefit plans),

during any period of two consecutive years, a change in the majority of our board, unless the change is approved by 2/3 of the directors then in office,

a reorganization, merger, share exchange, consolidation or sale or other disposition of all or substantially all of our assets; excluding, however, a transaction pursuant to which we retain specified levels of stock ownership and board seats, or

our shareholders approve a plan or proposal for our liquidation or dissolution.

Estimated Cash Payments upon Termination of Employment

Our estimate of the cash severance amounts that would be provided to the named executive officers assumes that their employment terminated on December 31, 2017. The severance amounts do not include a prorated 2017 annual incentive since the named executive officers would have been paid based on their service through the end of the year and therefore would have received the amount whether or not the termination occurred. For a termination of employment by us for any reason other than cause or a termination by the executive for good reason, the following payments would have been made under the agreements: Mr. Quirk \$8,144,364; Mr. Park \$3,339,157; Mr. Nolan \$2,355,800; Mr. Bickett \$4,594,359; and Mr. Jewkes \$2,415,704.

For a termination of employment due to death or disability, none of the named executive officers would be entitled to severance or enhanced payments or benefits.

Estimated Equity Payments upon Termination of Employment or Change in Control

As disclosed in the Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End tables, each named executive officer had outstanding unvested stock options and restricted stock awards on December 31, 2017. Under the terms of our omnibus plan and award agreements, these stock options and restricted stock awards would vest upon a change in control and would vest as to a pro-rated portion upon termination for death or disability. In addition, under the named executive officers' employment agreements, these stock options and restricted stock awards would vest upon a termination due to death or disability, and the portion of these stock options and restricted stock awards that vest based solely on the passage of time would vest upon any termination of employment by us not for cause or a termination by the executive for good reason. In any other termination event, all unvested stock options and restricted stock awards would expire at the employment termination date.

The following estimates are based on a common stock price of \$39.24 per share which was the closing price of our common stock on December 29, 2017. The stock option amounts reflect the excess

Table of Contents

of this share price over the exercise price of the unvested stock options that would vest. The restricted stock amounts were determined by multiplying the number of shares that would vest by the applicable closing price. Our estimate of the value of equity that would vest assumes that a change in control and, as applicable, a termination of employment occurred on December 31, 2017.

The estimated value of the stock options held by the named executive officers that would vest upon a change in control or upon a termination of the named executive officers' employment by us not for cause, including a termination due to death or disability, or a termination by the executives for good reason would be as follows: Mr. Quirk \$1,372,275; Mr. Park \$311,875; Mr. Nolan \$530,193; Mr. Bickett \$561,383; and Mr. Jewkes \$467,826.

The estimated value of restricted stock awards (including accumulated dividends) held by the named executive officers that would vest upon a change in control would be as follows: Mr. Quirk \$10,884,357; Mr. Park \$2,800,055; Mr. Nolan \$4,490,537; Mr. Bickett \$3,877,762; and Mr. Jewkes \$3,958,072. The estimated value of restricted stock awards (including accumulated dividends) held by the named executive officers that would vest upon a termination of the named executive officers' employment by us not for cause or a termination by the named executive officers for good reason would be as follows: Mr. Quirk \$5,912,379; Mr. Park \$1,559,995; Mr. Nolan \$2,574,065; Mr. Bickett \$2,169,231; and Mr. Jewkes \$2,267,084. The estimated value of the restricted stock awards (including accumulated dividends) held by the named executive officers that would vest upon a termination of the named executive officers' employment due to death or disability would be as follows: Mr. Quirk \$10,884,357; Mr. Park \$2,800,055; Mr. Nolan \$4,490,537; Mr. Bickett \$3,877,762; and Mr. Jewkes \$3,958,072.

In connection with certain change in control transactions, our named executive officers may require ServiceLink to purchase their ServiceLink profits interest awards for an amount equal to the fair market value of the interests. For this purpose, a change in control would include an acquisition of more than 50% of the combined voting power of the stock of Black Knight or a sale of all or substantially all of Black Knight's or our assets.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

The compensation committee is currently composed of Richard N. Massey (Chair), Cary H. Thompson, and Daniel D. (Ron) Lane. During fiscal year 2017, no member of the compensation committee was a former or current officer or employee of FNF or any of its subsidiaries. In addition, during fiscal year 2017, none of our executive officers served (i) as a member of the compensation committee or board of directors of another entity, one of whose executive officers served on our compensation committee, or (ii) as a member of the compensation committee of another entity, one of whose executive officers served on our board.

Discussion of Our Compensation Policies and Practices as They Relate to Risk Management

We reviewed our compensation policies and programs for all employees, including our named executive officers, and determined that our compensation programs are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on our company. In conducting the analysis, we reviewed the structure of our executive, non-officer and sales commission incentive programs and the internal controls and risk abatement processes that are in place for each program. We also reviewed data compiled across our direct title operations, agency title operations, ServiceLink, and corporate operations relative to total revenue, total profits, total compensation expenses and incentive program expenses (including as a percentage of both revenue and total compensation expenses).

We believe that several design features of our executive compensation programs mitigate risk. We set base salaries at levels that provide our employees with assured cash compensation that is appropriate to their job duties and level of responsibility and that, when taken together with incentive

Table of Contents

awards, motivate them to perform at a high level without encouraging inappropriate risk taking to achieve a reasonable level of secure compensation.

With respect to our executives' incentive opportunities, we believe that our use of measurable corporate financial performance goals, multiple performance levels and minimum, target and maximum achievable payouts, together with the compensation committee's discretion to reduce awards, serve to mitigate excessive risk-taking. The risk of overstatement of financial figures to which incentives are tied is mitigated by the compensation committee's review and approval of the awards and payments under the awards, our ability to recover any incentive-based compensation pursuant to our clawback policy and the internal and external review of our financials. We also believe that our balance of stock options and restricted stock and use of multi-year vesting schedules in our long-term incentive awards encourages recipients to deliver incremental value to our shareholders and aligns their interests with our sustainable long-term performance, thereby mitigating risk. In addition, in 2009 we increased required stock ownership multiples for some executives and included stock retention requirements in our restricted stock awards, both of which help to align our executives' interests with our long-term performance and mitigate risk.

With respect to our non-officer incentive program, we believe that our use of clearly communicated performance goals and close monitoring by our corporate accounting group, corporate underwriting group and senior management serve to mitigate excessive risk-taking. Our sales commission incentive program is based on revenue generation, which is critical to our performance. We have controls in place that mitigate the risk that transactions might be recommended or executed to earn short-term, commission-based incentive compensation, including operational management oversight and approval, management reporting, and detailed underwriting guidelines and approval escalation.

2017 CEO Pay Ratio

As required by the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, we are providing the following information about the relationship of the annual total compensation of our CEO and the annual total compensation of our employees for 2017, which we refer to as the *CEO pay ratio*. Our CEO pay ratio information is a reasonable good faith estimate calculated in a manner consistent with Item 402(u) of Regulation S-K.

The ratio of the annual total compensation of our CEO, calculated as described above, to the median of the annual total compensation of all employees for 2017 was 174 to 1. This ratio was based on the following:

the annual total compensation of our CEO, determined as described above, was \$8,961,216; and

the median of the annual total compensation of all employees (other than our CEO), determined in accordance with SEC rules, was \$51,464.

Methodology for Determining Our Median Employee. For purposes of the above CEO pay ratio disclosure, we are required to identify a median employee based on our worldwide workforce, without regard to their location, compensation arrangements, or employment status (full-time versus part-time). The median employee is determined by identifying the employee whose compensation is at the median of the compensation of our employee population (other than our CEO). Accordingly, to identify the median of the compensation of our employee population, the methodology and the material assumptions and estimates that we used were as follows:

Employee Population. We determined that, as of November 30, 2017, the date we selected to identify the median employee, our total global employee population consisted of approximately 26,600 individuals working for FNF.

Table of Contents

Compensation Measure Used to Identify the Median Employee. Given the geographical distribution of our employee population, we use a variety of pay elements to structure the compensation arrangements of our employees. Consequently, for purposes of measuring the compensation of our employees to identify the median employee, rather than using annual total compensation, we selected base salary/wages and overtime pay, plus paid incentive bonus through November 30, 2017 as the compensation measure.

We annualized the compensation of employees to cover the full calendar year, and also annualized any new hires in 2017 as if they were hired at the beginning of the fiscal year, as permitted by SEC rules, in identifying the median employee.

We did not make any cost-of-living adjustments in identifying the median employee.

Using this methodology, we estimated that the median employee was an employee with base salary/wages and overtime pay plus paid incentive bonus for the year ended December 31, 2017 of \$51,323.

Annual Total Compensation of Median Employee. In order to determine the annual total compensation of the median employee, we identified and calculated the elements of that employee's compensation for 2017 in accordance with the requirements of Item 402(c)(2)(x) of Regulation S-K, resulting in annual total compensation in the amount of \$51,464.

Annual Total Compensation of Chief Executive Officer. With respect to the annual total compensation of our CEO, in accordance with SEC rules, we included the amount reported for Mr. Quirk in the "Total" column for 2017 in the Summary Compensation Table included in this proxy statement.

Director Compensation

Compensation of our Chairman

William P. Foley, II serves as non-executive Chairman of the Board, and we have entered into a non-executive director services agreement with him on January 8, 2016. In determining the total compensation for Mr. Foley we considered his ongoing role as non-executive Chairman for developing and implementing our long-term strategy and investments, particularly in light of his substantial knowledge of our operations as our founder and a long-time executive.

In 2017, pursuant to his director services agreement, Mr. Foley received an annual board retainer of \$390,000 for his services relating to FNF and \$390,000 for his services relating to FNFV and a long-term incentive award of 18,843 restricted shares for his board duties.

Prior to the Split-Off, Mr. Foley also received a payment of \$18,114,690 in connection with the sale of One Digital and a payment of \$1,014,000 from Cannae in connection with the release of escrow proceeds related to the sale by Ceridian of Comdata to FleetCor in 2014 under the Investment Success Incentive Program discussed above, which only pays out under a predetermined formula based on liquidity, monetization and similar events where the returns can be objectively determined. As a result of the sale of OneDigital, FNFV's shareholders indirectly received \$260,501,000 in excess of the \$70,800,000 base value of the investment used to measure gain for purposes of the awards. Mr. Foley's payment reflects his allocated 71% of the 10% incentive pool, less the held back amount relating to the pending distribution of escrowed funds. Mr. Foley's Comdata escrow payment reflects his allocated 65% of the remaining Holdback Amount. The Investment Success Incentive Program was assumed by Cannae in connection with the Split-Off, and we will make no further payments to Mr. Foley with respect to that plan.

We entered into a non-executive director services agreement with Mr. Foley on January 8, 2016. The agreement provides that Mr. Foley will receive an annual retainer of \$780,000. The agreement

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

provides that Mr. Foley and his eligible dependents are entitled to medical and other insurance coverage we provide to our other top executives as a group and that Mr. Foley is entitled to continued use of our aircraft. Mr. Foley is also entitled to receive equity grants under our equity incentive plans, as determined by our compensation committee, with the grant date fair value of the annual grant being at least \$600,000.

Under the terms of Mr. Foley's agreement, if his service is terminated by us for any reason other than for cause, due to death or disability, by him for good reason or if he is not nominated to run for re-election as chairman of the board, is nominated, but does not receive enough votes to be re-elected to the board, or is removed as chairman of the board for reasons other than cause, then he is entitled to receive:

any accrued obligations, and

immediate vesting and/or payment of all our equity awards.

If we terminate Mr. Foley's service for cause or he resigns without good reason our only obligation is the payment of any accrued obligations.

For purposes of Mr. Foley's agreement, "Cause" means Mr. Foley's:

persistent failure to perform duties consistent with a commercially reasonable standard of care,

willful neglect of duties,

conviction of, or pleading nolo contendere to, criminal or other illegal activities involving dishonesty,

material breach of the employment or service agreement, or

impeding or failing to materially cooperate with an investigation authorized by our board.

For purposes of Mr. Foley's agreement, "good reason" includes:

a material diminution in his position or title or the assignment of duties to him that are materially inconsistent with his position or title,

a material diminution of his annual retainer,

within six months immediately preceding or within two years immediately following a change in control, (1) a material adverse change in this status, authority or responsibility, (2) a material adverse change in the position to whom he reports or to his service relationship as a result of such reporting structure change, or a material diminution in the authority, duties or responsibilities of the position to whom he reports,

our material breach of any of our obligations under the employment agreement, or

election of a new director to the board of directors who he did not consent to or vote for.

For purposes of Mr. Foley's agreement, a "change in control" means:

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

an acquisition by an individual, entity or group of more than 50% of our voting power,

a merger in which we are not the surviving entity, unless our shareholders immediately prior to the merger hold more than 50% of the combined voting power of the resulting corporation after the merger,

a reverse merger in which we are the surviving entity but in which more than 50% of the combined voting power is transferred to persons different from those holding the securities immediately prior to such merger,

Table of Contents

during any period of two consecutive years during the employment term, a change in the majority of our board, unless the changes are approved by 2/3 of the directors then in office,

a sale, transfer or other disposition of our assets that have a total fair market value equal to or more than 1/3 of the total fair market value of all of our assets immediately before the sale, transfer or disposition, other than a sale, transfer or disposition to an entity (1) which immediately after the sale, transfer or disposition owns 50% of our voting stock or (2) 50% of the voting stock of which is owned by us after the sale, transfer or disposition, or our shareholders approve a plan or proposal for the liquidation or dissolution of our company.

Mr. Foley remains the Executive Chairman of Black Knight's Board of Directors. The compensation paid by Black Knight to Mr. Foley for his service as Executive Chairman of Black Knight prior to the Spin-Off is included in these discussions and the tables that follow because FNF owned a majority of Black Knight's outstanding stock through September 29, 2017, when the Spin-Off was completed. Mr. Foley received salary of \$461,538 paid by Black Knight for his service as executive chairman of the Black Knight board through the date of the Black Knight Spin-Off. Mr. Foley also received a long-term incentive award of 203,160 restricted shares of Black Knight, which vest proportionately each year over three years from the date of grant based upon continued service on New Black Knight's board, subject to the achievement of performance-based criteria.

As shown in the table below, which reflect the compensation earned by Mr. Foley as (1) non-executive Chairman of FNF, (2) under the Investment Success Incentive Program with respect to services provided to FNFV, and (3) as Executive Chairman of Black Knight, only approximately 4% of Mr. Foley's compensation disclosed in the discussion above and tables that follow was earned in his role as non-executive Chairman of FNF with the remaining 96% earned under the Investment Success Incentive Program and with respect to services provided to FNFV and Cannae and as Executive Chairman of Black Knight. Compensation earned by Mr. Foley as non-executive chairman of FNF represents 15% of the total compensation earned by our Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Quirk, in 2017 as reported in the Summary Compensation Table. Details relating to the compensation Mr. Foley earned as Executive Chairman of Black Knight can be found in Black Knight's Annual Proxy Statement for its 2018 annual meeting of shareholders.

Breakdown of Mr. Foley's Compensation Earned by Company

Company	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)(1)	Stock Awards (\$)(2)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)(3)	All Other Compensation (\$)(4)	Total (\$)
FNF	402,500	700,017		209,428	1,311,945
FNFV	390,000		19,128,690		19,518,690
Black Knight	461,538	7,699,764		390,518	8,551,820

(1) With respect to FNF, reflects Mr. Foley's cash fee for service as Chairman of the FNF Board. With respect to Black Knight, reflects Mr. Foley's cash salary for service as Executive Chairman of Black Knight's Board of Director's through the date of the Black Knight Spin-Off.

(2) Reflects the grant date fair value of (1) the restricted stock award Mr. Foley received for service as a director and non-executive Chairman of FNF, which consisted of 18,843 restricted shares of FNF Group stock, and (2) the restricted stock award Mr. Foley received as Executive Chairman of Black Knight, which consisted of 203,160 shares of Black Knight stock. Assumptions used in the calculation of the amounts of the FNF awards are included in Note O to our audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017 included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 23, 2018. Assumptions used in the calculation of the

Table of Contents

amounts of the Black Knight awards are included in Note 13 to the audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017 included in Black Knight's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 23, 2018.

- (3) With respect to FNFV, reflects the amount earned by Mr. Foley pursuant to the Investment Success Incentive Program, a cash incentive award relating to the FNFV companies and investments granted in 2014.
- (4) Amount includes ESPP matching contributions and dividends on restricted stock for FNF, and for Black Knight, amount includes ESPP matching contributions, personal airplane use, life insurance premiums, executive medical plan premiums and 401(k) matching contributions through the date of the Black Knight Spin-Off.

Compensation of our Other Directors

Mr. Quirk, who is a salaried employee, receives no additional compensation for services as a member of our board. In 2017, all non-employee directors other than Mr. Foley received an annual retainer of \$80,000, payable quarterly. The chairman and each member of the audit committee received an additional annual fee (payable in quarterly installments) of \$100,000 and \$35,000, respectively, for their service on the audit committee. The chairman and each member of the compensation committee received an additional annual fee (payable in quarterly installments) of \$25,000 and \$15,000, respectively, for their service on such committees. The chairman and each member of the corporate governance and nominating committee received an additional annual fee (payable in quarterly installments) of \$20,000 and \$10,000, respectively, for their service on such committees. Mr. Massey, who serves as our Lead Independent Director, does not receive any additional compensation for that role. In addition, in 2017 each non-employee director received a long-term incentive award of 5,787 restricted shares. These restricted share awards were granted under our omnibus plan and vest proportionately each year over three years from the date of grant based upon continued service on our board, subject to the achievement of performance-based criteria. In addition, Ms. Murren received a new director award of 4,961 restricted shares in connection with joining our board. We also reimburse each non-employee director for all reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with attendance at board and committee meetings and director education programs. Finally, each non-employee member of our board is eligible to participate in our deferred compensation plan to the extent he or she elects to defer any board or committee fees. Mr. Ammerman deferred the fees he earned in 2017 for his services as a director and the chairman of the audit committee.

In addition, Messrs. Hagerty, Massey and Rood serve as non-employee directors of Black Knight and, in 2017 prior to the Black Knight Spin-Off, received an annual retainer of \$60,000, payable quarterly, plus \$2,000 for each board meeting attended in 2017. Mr. Rood received an additional annual fee (payable in quarterly installments) of \$25,000 for his service on the Black Knight audit committee and \$15,000 for his service on the risk committee, plus a fee of \$1,500 for each audit committee and risk committee meeting attended in 2017 prior to the Black Knight Spin-Off. Messrs. Massey and Hagerty received an additional annual fee (payable in quarterly installments) of \$15,000 and \$10,000, respectively, for their service on the Black Knight compensation committee, plus a fee of \$1,500 for each compensation committee meeting attended in 2017 prior to the Black Knight Spin-Off. Mr. Hagerty also received an annual fee of \$10,000 for his service on the Black Knight nominating and corporate governance committee plus a fee of \$1,500 for each nominating and corporate governance committee meeting attended in 2017 prior to the Black Knight Spin-Off.

Mr. Rood received an additional retainer of \$10,000 for his services on the ServiceLink audit and risk committees. Mr. Foley no longer receives cash compensation or benefits for his services as Chairman of ServiceLink.

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth information concerning the compensation of our non-employee directors for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2017:

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash \$(1)	Stock Awards \$(2)	Option Awards \$(3)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation \$(4)	All Other Compensation \$(5)	Total \$(6)
William P. Foley, II	1,254,038	8,399,781		19,128,690	599,946	29,382,455
Douglas K. Ammerman		214,987			13,010	227,997
Willie D. Davis	125,000	214,987			13,010	352,997
Thomas M. Hagerty	224,000	214,987			13,594	452,581
Janet E. Kerr	87,500	214,987			4,336	306,823
Daniel D. (Ron) Lane	104,000	214,987			13,010	331,997
Richard N. Massey	239,500	214,987			13,335	467,822
Heather H. Murren	32,967	363,767				396,734
John D. Rood	176,750	214,987			13,010	404,747
Peter O. Shea, Jr.	104,000	214,987			13,010	331,997
Cary H. Thompson	104,000	214,987			13,010	331,997
Frank P. Willey	87,500	214,987			13,010	315,497

- (1) Represents the cash portion of annual board and committee retainers and meeting fees earned for services as a FNF director in 2017 for Messrs. Ammerman, Davis, Lane, Shea and Thompson, Ms. Kerr and Ms. Murren, as a FNF and Black Knight director for Messrs. Foley, Hagerty and Massey, and as a FNF, Black Knight and ServiceLink director for Mr. Rood.
- (2) Amounts shown for all directors represent the grant date fair value of restricted stock awards granted in 2017, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. For all directors, except Mr. Foley, these amounts include a grant date fair value of \$214,987 with respect to awards of performance-based restricted shares granted in October 2017, and for Ms. Murren, \$148,780 with respect to time-based restricted shares granted in May 2017, each of which vest over a period of three years from the grant date. For Mr. Foley these amounts are described in Note 5, below. Assumptions used in the calculation of the amounts of the FNF awards are included in Note O to our audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017 included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 23, 2018. Restricted stock awards granted for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017 for each director were as follows: Mr. Foley 18,843; Mr. Ammerman 5,787; Mr. Davis 5,787; Mr. Hagerty 5,787; Ms. Kerr 5,787; Mr. Lane 5,787; Mr. Massey 5,787; Ms. Murren 10,748; Mr. Rood 5,787; Mr. Shea, Jr. 5,787; Mr. Thompson 5,787; and Mr. Willey 5,787. The fair value of the awards as shown above is based on a per share fair value of \$37.15 and, with respect to Ms. Murren's new director restricted stock award, a per share fair value of \$29.99. As of December 31, 2017, FNF restricted stock awards outstanding for each director were as follows: Mr. Foley 103,434; Mr. Ammerman 15,577; Mr. Davis 15,577; Mr. Hagerty 15,577; Ms. Kerr 17,331; Mr. Lane 15,577; Mr. Massey 15,820; Ms. Murren 10,748; Mr. Rood 15,577; Mr. Shea, Jr. 15,577; Mr. Thompson 15,577; and Mr. Willey 15,577.
- (3) There were no option awards granted for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017. As of December 31, 2017, FNF option awards outstanding for each director were as follows: Mr. Foley 2,512,942; Mr. Ammerman 37,989; Mr. Davis 92,777; Mr. Hagerty 99,139; Ms. Kerr 0; Mr. Lane 7,138; Mr. Massey 94,565; Ms. Murren 0; Mr. Rood 84,740; Mr. Shea, Jr. 92,777; Mr. Thompson 92,777; and Mr. Willey 92,777.
- (4) Amounts shown for all directors reflect dividends paid on shares of our restricted stock in 2017.

Table of Contents

- (5) With respect to Mr. Foley, amount includes (1) for FNF, dividends on restricted stock and ESPP matching contributions, and (2) for Black Knight, amount includes ESPP matching contributions, personal airplane use, life insurance premiums, executive medical plan premiums and 401(k) matching contributions through the date of the Black Knight Spin-Off.

	Foley (\$)
ESPP Matching Contributions FNF	17,163
ESPP Matching Contributions Black Knight	24,895
Restricted Stock Dividends	192,265
Life Insurance Premiums	247
Personal Airplane Use Black Knight	329,769
Executive Medical	29,869
Company match 401(k) Black Knight	5,738

PROPOSAL NO. 2: ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

In accordance with Section 14A of the Exchange Act and Rule 14a-21(a) promulgated thereunder, we are asking our shareholders to approve, in a non-binding advisory vote, the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in this proxy statement pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K.

We believe that our compensation programs are structured to appropriately balance guaranteed base salary and performance-based at-risk annual and long-term incentives so as to incent our executives to drive strong short- and long-term performance while providing enough ensured annual compensation in the form of base salary to discourage excessive risk taking. We believe that the success of this approach is evidenced by our strong operating results. In 2017, we generated approximately \$7.7 billion in total revenue (a 5.6% increase from 2016), and approximately \$771 million in net earnings (an 18.6% increase from 2016). As reflected in the charts below, from 2015 through 2017, we have delivered strong growth in total revenue and net earnings.

During this three year period, from January 1, 2015 through December 31, 2017, we delivered a total return to our shareholders of 60.1%, compared to S&P 500 total return of 36.6% during the same period. This includes a return of approximately \$278 million to our shareholders in the form of cash dividends. Total shareholder return is based on stock price changes as adjusted to account for the Black Knight Spin-Off in 2017 (assuming that the underlying shares were sold on the spin-off closing date) and cash dividends paid.

We currently hold our "say on pay" vote every year. A majority of our shareholders approved our "say on pay" proposal in 2017, with approximately 70% of the votes cast in favor of the proposal and approximately 30% of the votes cast against the proposal.

Table of Contents

Our compensation committee is committed to hearing and responding to the views of our shareholders in creating and tailoring our executive compensation programs. Following the 2017 annual meeting of shareholders, our compensation committee instructed management to continue to engage in extensive shareholder outreach so they could better understand and respond to the concerns of our shareholders, including with respect to our compensation programs. This shareholder outreach plan is described in detail in the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" section of this proxy statement.

We urge our shareholders to read the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" section of this proxy statement, which describes in detail our compensation philosophy and how our compensation programs operate and are designed to achieve our business and compensation objectives, as well as the "Summary Compensation Table" and other related compensation tables and disclosures, which provide detailed information on the compensation of our named executive officers.

We ask our shareholders to vote on the following resolution at the annual meeting:

"RESOLVED, that the Company's shareholders approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the named executive officers, as disclosed in the Company's Proxy Statement for the 2018 Annual Meeting of Shareholders pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis and Executive and Director Compensation section, the compensation tables and related narrative."

The vote on this resolution is not intended to address any specific element of compensation; rather, the vote relates to the compensation of our named executive officers, as described in this proxy statement in accordance with the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Approval of this resolution requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote. However, as this is an advisory vote, the results will not be binding on the Company, the board or the compensation committee, and will not require us to take any action. The final decision on the compensation of our named executive officers remains with our compensation committee and the board, although the compensation committee and the board will consider the outcome of this vote when making compensation decisions.

THE BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT THE SHAREHOLDERS VOTE "FOR" THE APPROVAL, ON AN ADVISORY BASIS, OF THE COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, AS DISCLOSED IN THIS PROXY STATEMENT.

**PROPOSAL NO. 3: RATIFICATION OF INDEPENDENT
REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

General Information About Ernst & Young LLP

Although shareholder ratification of the appointment of our independent registered public accounting firm is not required by our bylaws or otherwise, we are submitting the selection of EY LLP to our shareholders for ratification as a matter of good corporate governance practice. Even if the selection is ratified, our audit committee in its discretion may select a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time if it determines that such a change would be in the best interests of the Company and our shareholders. If our shareholders do not ratify the audit committee's selection, the audit committee will take that fact into consideration, together with such other factors it deems relevant, in determining its next selection of independent registered public accounting firm.

In choosing our independent registered public accounting firm, our audit committee conducts a comprehensive review of the qualifications of those individuals who will lead and serve on the engagement team, the quality control procedures the firm has established, and any issue raised by the most recent quality control review of the firm. The review also includes matters required to be considered under the Securities and Exchange Commission rules on "Auditor Independence," including

Table of Contents

the nature and extent of non-audit services to ensure that they will not impair the independence of the accountants.

Representatives of EY are expected to be present at the annual meeting. These representatives will have an opportunity to make a statement if they so desire and will be available to respond to appropriate questions.

Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The audit committee has appointed EY to audit the consolidated financial statements of the Company for the 2018 fiscal year. EY has continuously acted as our independent registered public accounting firm since August 2, 2017. As discussed below, EY was engaged by our audit committee following a comprehensive, competitive process to determine the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the Company's 2017 fiscal year.

For services rendered to us during or in connection with our year ended December 31, 2017, we were billed the following fees by EY:

	2017	
	(In thousands)	
Audit Fees	\$	2,875
Audit-Related Fees		
Tax Fees		15
All Other Fees		850

Prior to engaging EY, KPMG LLP had served as our independent registered public accounting firm since the fiscal year ending December 31, 1988. For services rendered to us during or in connection with our years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, we were billed the following fees by KPMG LLP:

	2017		2016	
	(In thousands)			
Audit Fees	\$	1,084	\$	6,220
Audit-Related Fees		563		1,019
Tax Fees		190		794
All Other Fees				43

Audit Fees. Audit fees consisted principally of fees for the audits, registration statements and other filings related to the Company's 2017 and 2016 financial statements, and audits of the Company's subsidiaries required for regulatory reporting purposes, including billings for out of pocket expenses incurred.

Audit-Related Fees. Audit-related fees in 2017 and 2016 consisted principally of fees for Service Organization Control Reports.

Tax Fees. Tax fees for 2017 and 2016 consisted principally of fees for tax compliance, tax planning and tax advice.

All Other Fees. All other fees relate primarily to services provided for regulatory inspection readiness assessments.

Approval of Accountants' Services

In accordance with the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, all audit and audit-related work and all non-audit work performed by KPMG LLP is approved in advance by the audit committee,

Table of Contents

including the proposed fees for such work. Our pre-approval policy provides that, unless a type of service to be provided by EY has been generally pre-approved by the audit committee, it will require specific pre-approval by the audit committee. In addition, any proposed services exceeding pre-approved maximum fee amounts also requi