

Edgar Filing: ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE INC - Form 10-Q

ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE INC  
Form 10-Q  
May 13, 2005

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

X Quarterly report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities  
--- Exchange Act of 1934

FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2005

OR

--- Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities  
--- Exchange Act of 1934

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER: 0-15245

ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE, INC.  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

NEVADA (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) 93-0946274 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

730 PASEO CAMARILLO,  
CAMARILLO, CALIFORNIA 93010  
(Address of principal executive offices)

TELEPHONE NUMBER (805) 419-8700, FAX NUMBER (805) 419-8689  
WWW.ECHO-INC.COM

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code; web site address)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days:

Yes X No ---  
--- ---

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes --- No X ---

As of April 30, 2005, there were 6,547,481 shares of the Registrant's Common Stock outstanding.

ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE, INC.

Edgar Filing: ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE INC - Form 10-Q

INDEX

	Page No.
PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1. CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED):	
Consolidated Balance Sheets March 31, 2005 and September 30, 2004	3
Consolidated Statements of Operations Three months and six months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004	4
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Six months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004	5
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	6
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	10
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	22
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	22
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	23
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	23
Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K	24
Signatures	25

2

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE, INC.  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
(UNAUDITED)

ASSETS  
-----

MARCH 31, 2005	SEPTEMBER 30, 2004
-------------------	-----------------------

Current assets:

## Edgar Filing: ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE INC - Form 10-Q

Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 6,580,000	\$ 7,576,000
Restricted cash	1,121,000	1,024,000
Settlement deposits	19,421,000	18,282,000
Settlement receivable less allowance of \$25,000 and \$22,000	904,000	451,000
Accounts receivable less allowance of \$121,000 and \$111,000	2,077,000	1,943,000
Prepaid expenses and other assets	382,000	368,000
Deferred tax asset	115,000	279,000
	-----	-----
Total current assets	30,600,000	29,923,000
Noncurrent assets:		
Property and equipment, net	2,450,000	2,293,000
Software, net	7,976,000	6,844,000
Other assets, net	346,000	368,000
	-----	-----
Total assets	\$41,372,000	\$ 39,428,000
	=====	=====
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
-----		
Current liabilities:		
Short-term borrowings and current portion of long-term debt and capital leases	\$ 691,000	\$ 878,000
Accounts payable	297,000	305,000
Settlement payable	20,325,000	18,733,000
Accrued expenses	1,956,000	2,003,000
	-----	-----
Total current liabilities	23,269,000	21,919,000
Noncurrent liabilities:		
Long-term debt and capital leases	838,000	704,000
Deferred tax liability	466,000	565,000
	-----	-----
Total liabilities	24,573,000	23,188,000
	-----	-----
Commitments and contingencies - see Note 6		
Stockholders' equity:		
Common stock, \$.01 par value, 36,000,000 authorized: 6,544,381 and 6,451,331 shares issued; 6,506,112 and 6,413,062 shares outstanding	65,000	64,000
Additional paid-in capital	25,020,000	24,658,000
Accumulated deficit	(7,820,000)	(8,016,000)
Less treasury stock at cost, 38,269 common shares	(466,000)	(466,000)
	-----	-----
Total stockholders' equity	16,799,000	16,240,000
	-----	-----
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$41,372,000	\$ 39,428,000
	=====	=====

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Edgar Filing: ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE INC - Form 10-Q

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS  
(UNAUDITED)

	THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31,		SIX MONTHS ENDED MARCH	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
REVENUES:	\$13,321,000	\$11,983,000	\$26,081,000	\$23,000,000
COSTS AND EXPENSES:				
Processing and transaction expense	8,561,000	7,684,000	16,732,000	14,000,000
Other operating costs	1,410,000	1,323,000	2,743,000	2,000,000
Research and development expense	469,000	344,000	917,000	0
Selling, general and administrative expenses	2,643,000	1,926,000	5,364,000	3,000,000
	13,083,000	11,277,000	25,756,000	21,000,000
Income from operations	238,000	706,000	325,000	1,000,000
Interest income	30,000	17,000	58,000	0
Interest expense	(30,000)	(59,000)	(58,000)	0
Gain on sale of building	-0-	1,319,000	-0-	1,000,000
Income before provision for income taxes	238,000	1,983,000	325,000	2,000,000
Provision for income taxes	(94,000)	(777,000)	(129,000)	(1,000,000)
Net income	\$ 144,000	\$ 1,206,000	\$ 196,000	\$ 1,000,000
Basic net earnings per share	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.03
Diluted net earnings per share	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.03
Weighted average shares outstanding				
Basic	6,469,645	6,340,018	6,448,242	6,000,000
Diluted	6,946,036	7,006,689	6,931,745	6,000,000

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Edgar Filing: ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE INC - Form 10-Q

	SIX MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31,	
	2005	2004
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 196,000	\$ 1,795,000
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Gain on sale of building	-0-	(1,319,000)
Depreciation	352,000	269,000
Amortization of software and other assets	808,000	681,000
Provision for losses on accounts and notes receivable	13,000	38,000
Deferred income taxes	65,000	1,158,000
Stock option compensation	8,000	17,000
Tax benefit from exercise of stock option	61,000	-0-
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Restricted cash	(97,000)	(284,000)
Settlement deposits	(1,139,000)	(638,000)
Accounts receivable	(144,000)	(396,000)
Settlement receivable	(456,000)	288,000
Accounts payable	(8,000)	(502,000)
Settlement payable	1,592,000	371,000
Deferred income	-0-	69,000
Accrued expenses	(47,000)	(98,000)
Prepaid expenses	(14,000)	(29,000)
Net cash provided by operating activities	1,190,000	1,420,000
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Other assets	3,000	8,000
Purchase of equipment	(470,000)	(332,000)
Proceeds from sale of building	-0-	2,233,000
Purchased and capitalized software	(1,921,000)	(1,565,000)
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(2,388,000)	344,000
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from issuance of notes payable	400,000	811,000
Repayment of notes payable	(223,000)	(1,742,000)
Repayment of capitalized leases	(269,000)	(321,000)
Proceeds from private placement of common stock	-0-	2,693,000
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	294,000	57,000
Net cash provided by financing activities	202,000	1,498,000
Net (decrease) increase in cash	(996,000)	3,262,000
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	7,576,000	2,908,000
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 6,580,000	\$ 6,170,000

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE, INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 - BASIS OF PRESENTATION:  
-----

The accompanying consolidated financial statements as of March 31, 2005, and for the three and six month periods then ended are unaudited and reflect all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair statement of the financial position and the results of operations for the interim periods. The consolidated financial statements herein should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto, together with management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations, contained in the Company's Annual Report to Stockholders incorporated by reference in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2004. The results of operations for the three and six months ended March 31, 2005 are not necessarily indicative of the likely results for the entire fiscal year ending September 30, 2005.

Certain reclassifications have been made to the prior year financial statements to conform with the current year presentations. Beginning with the first fiscal quarter of 2005, the Company revised the way it classifies certain commission expenses paid to its independent sales agents who sell the Company's bankcard processing services to merchants. The gross commissions paid are now recorded as processing and transaction expense in the consolidated statements of operations. Previously, the commissions paid to the independent sales agents were recorded as a reduction to the revenue earned on the transaction.

For the presentation of the three and six months ended March 31, 2004, the Company has revised amounts previously reported to conform to the revised classification. None of the classification changes has an impact on the gross margin, operating income, net income, net cash flow or any element of the Company's consolidated balance sheets for all periods presented. The Company does not consider the effect of these revisions in classification in 2004 or in prior periods, individually or in the aggregate, to be material.

NOTE 2 - STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION:  
-----

The Company has elected to account for its stock-based compensation plans in accordance with APB Opinion No. 25 and to adopt only the disclosure requirements of FAS 123, as amended by SFAS No. 148.

The Company measures compensation expense for its employee stock-based compensation under APB 25. The Company provides pro-forma disclosures of net income and earnings per share as if a fair value method had been applied using the Black Scholes option pricing model. Compensation expense is recognized in association with the issuance of stock options for the difference, if any, between the trading price of the stock at the time of issuance and the price to be paid by the optionee. Compensation expense is recorded over the vesting period. Pro forma compensation costs for employee stock and stock option awards is amortized over the related service periods using the straight-line method.

The following table compares net income and earnings per share as reported to the pro forma amounts that would be reported had compensation expense been recognized for the stock-compensation plans in accordance with the fair value

## Edgar Filing: ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE INC - Form 10-Q

recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123, as amended by SFAS No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation":

6

	THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31,		SIX MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31,	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
Net income (loss), as reported	\$ 144,000	\$1,206,000	\$ 196,000	\$1,795,000
Add: Stock-based employee compensation expense included in reported net income, net of related tax effect	-0-	5,000	5,000	10,000
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effects	(136,000)	(83,000)	(264,000)	(171,000)
Pro forma net income (loss)	\$ 8,000	\$1,128,000	(63,000)	\$1,634,000
Net earnings (loss) per share:				
Basic - as reported	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.29
Basic - pro forma	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.18	\$ (0.01)	\$ 0.26
Diluted - as reported	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.26
Diluted - pro forma	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.16	\$ (0.01)	\$ 0.24

NOTE 3 - EARNINGS PER SHARE:

The Company calculates earnings per share as required by Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 128, "Earnings per Share".

	THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31,		SIX MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31,	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
Numerator:				
Net income	\$ 144,000	\$1,206,000	\$ 196,000	\$1,795,000
Denominator:				
Weighted average shares outstanding for basic earnings per share	6,469,645	6,340,018	6,448,242	6,260,963
Effect of dilutive stock options	476,391	666,671	483,503	518,946
Adjusted weighted average shares				

## Edgar Filing: ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE INC - Form 10-Q

outstanding for diluted earnings per share	6,946,036	7,006,689	6,931,745	6,779,909
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Basic net earnings per share	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.29
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Diluted net earnings per share	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.26
	=====	=====	=====	=====

For the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, approximately 77,500 option shares and 5,000 option shares, and for the six months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, approximately 84,500 option shares and 42,500 option shares, respectively, attributable to the exercise of outstanding options were excluded from the calculation of diluted EPS because the effect was antidilutive.

### NOTE 4 - SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION:

-----

7

THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31		SIX MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31	
-----	-----	-----	-----
2005	2004	2005	2004
-----	-----	-----	-----

#### Cash paid for:

Interest	\$30,000	\$59,000	\$ 58,000	\$115,000
Income taxes	2,000	-0-	112,000	7,000

Significant non-cash transaction for the six months ended March 31, 2005 was as follows:

- A note was issued for \$39,000 for the purchase of capital equipment.

Significant non-cash transaction for the six months ended March 31, 2004 was as follows:

- Software purchases of \$285,000 and capital equipment of \$152,000 were acquired under capital leases.

### NOTE 5 - SEGMENT INFORMATION:

-----

The Company primarily operates in two business segments: Bankcard and transaction processing and check-related products, all of which are located in the United States.

The Company's reportable operating segments have been determined in accordance with the Company's internal management structure, which is organized based on the Company's product lines. The Company evaluates performance based upon two primary factors, one is the segment's operating income and the other is based on the segment's contribution to the Company's future strategic growth.



Edgar Filing: ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE INC - Form 10-Q

	THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31		SIX MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
<b>Revenues:</b>				
Bankcard and transaction processing	\$ 9,865,000	\$ 9,327,000	\$19,047,000	\$18,079,000
Check-related products	3,456,000	2,656,000	7,034,000	5,387,000
	-----	-----	-----	-----
	\$ 13,321,000	\$11,983,000	\$26,081,000	\$23,466,000
	=====	=====	=====	=====
<b>Operating income:</b>				
Bankcard and transaction processing	\$ 1,058,000	\$ 1,291,000	\$ 2,390,000	\$ 2,842,000
Check-related products	264,000	237,000	905,000	649,000
Other - corporate expenses	(1,084,000)	(822,000)	(2,970,000)	(1,772,000)
	-----	-----	-----	-----
	\$ 238,000	\$ 706,000	\$ 325,000	\$ 1,719,000
	=====	=====	=====	=====
	MARCH 31 2005	SEPTEMBER 30 2004		
	-----	-----		
<b>Total assets:</b>				
Bankcard and transaction processing	\$ 8,392,000	\$ 8,014,000		
Check-related products	26,219,000	23,933,000		
Other	6,761,000	7,481,000		
	-----	-----		
	\$ 41,372,000	\$39,428,000		
	=====	=====		

NOTE 6 - COMMITMENTS, CONTINGENT LIABILITIES, AND GUARANTEES:

-----

The Company currently relies on cooperative relationships with, and sponsorship by, one bank in order to process its Visa, MasterCard and other bankcard transactions. The agreement between the bank and the Company requires the Company to

assume and compensate the bank for bearing the risk of "chargeback" losses. Under the rules of Visa and Mastercard, when a merchant processor acquires card transactions, it has certain contingent liabilities for the transactions processed. This contingent liability arises in the event of a billing dispute between the merchant and a cardholder that is ultimately resolved in the cardholder's favor. In such a case, the disputed transaction is charged back to the merchant and the disputed amount is credited or otherwise refunded to the cardholder. If the Company is unable to collect this amount from the merchant's account, and if the merchant refuses or is unable to reimburse the Company for the chargeback due to merchant fraud, insolvency or other reasons, the Company will bear the loss for the amount of the refund paid to the cardholder. The Company utilizes a number of systems and procedures to manage merchant risk. In addition, the Company requires cash deposits by certain merchants which are held by the Company's sponsoring bank to minimize the risk that chargebacks are not

## Edgar Filing: ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE INC - Form 10-Q

collectible from merchants.

A cardholder, through its issuing bank, generally has until the later of up to four months after the date a transaction is processed or the delivery of the product or service to present a chargeback to the Company's sponsoring bank as the merchant processor. Therefore, management believes that the maximum potential exposure for the chargebacks would not exceed the total amount of transactions processed through Visa and MasterCard for the last four months and other unresolved chargebacks in the process of resolution. For the last four months through March 31, 2005, this potential exposure totaled approximately \$365 million. At March 31, 2005, the Company, through its sponsoring bank, had approximately \$86,000 of unresolved chargebacks that were in the process of resolution. At March 31, 2005, the Company, through its sponsoring bank, had access to \$9.0 million in merchant deposits to cover any potential chargeback losses.

For the three-month period ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, the Company processed approximately \$280 million (2005) and \$273 million (2004) of Visa and MasterCard transactions, which resulted in \$1.7 million in gross chargeback activities for the three months ended March 31, 2005 and \$1.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004. Substantially all of these chargebacks were recovered from the merchants.

The Company's contingent obligation with respect to chargebacks constitutes a guarantee as defined in Financial Accounting Interpretation No. 45, "Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantee, Including Indirect Guarantees of Others" ("FIN 45"). FIN 45 requires that guarantees issued or modified subsequent to December 31, 2002 be initially recorded as liabilities in the Statement of Financial Position at fair value. Since the Company's agreement with its sponsoring bank, which establishes the guarantee obligation, was entered into prior to December 31, 2002 and has not been modified since that date, the measurement provisions of FIN 45 are not applicable to this guarantee arrangement.

In accordance with SFAS No. 5, "Accounting for Contingencies", the Company records a reserve for chargeback loss allowance based on its processing volume and historical trends and data. As of March 31, 2005 and 2004, the allowance for chargeback losses, which is classified as a component of the allowance for uncollectible accounts receivable, was \$50,000 and \$53,000, respectively. The expense associated with the valuation allowance is included in processing and transaction expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of income. For the three-month period ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, the Company expensed \$0 and \$8,000, respectively.

In its check guarantee business, the Company charges the merchant a percentage of the face amount of the check and guarantees payment of the check to the merchant in the event the check is not honored by the check writer's bank. Merchants typically present customer checks for processing on a regular basis and, therefore, dishonored checks are generally identified within a few days of the date the checks are guaranteed by the Company. Accordingly, management believes that its best estimate of the Company's maximum potential exposure for dishonored checks at any given balance sheet date would not exceed the total amount of checks guaranteed in the 10 days prior to the balance sheet date. As of March 31, 2005, the Company estimates that its maximum potential dishonored check exposure was approximately \$1.2 million.

For the quarters ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, the Company guaranteed approximately \$9,293,000 (2005) and \$4,231,000 (2004) of merchant checks, which resulted in \$35,000 (2005) and \$21,000 (2004) of dishonored checks presented to the Company for payments. The Company has the right to collect the full amount

## Edgar Filing: ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE INC - Form 10-Q

of the check from the check writer. Based on its actual collection experience, the Company collects approximately 50-60% of the total dishonored checks with image and 10-20% without image. The Company establishes a reserve for this activity based on historical and projected loss experience. As of March 31, 2005 and 2004, the reserve for check guarantee loss was \$52,000 (2005) and \$24,000 (2004). The expense associated with the valuation allowance is included in processing and transaction expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of income.

9

ITEM 2.                   MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL  
-----  
                          CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS  
-----

### FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The following discussion of the financial condition and results of operations of Electronic Clearing House, Inc. should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere herein. This discussion contains forward-looking statements, including statements regarding the Company's strategy, financial performance and revenue sources, which involve risks and uncertainties. The Company's actual results may differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of certain factors, including, but not limited to, those set forth elsewhere herein, and in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2004.

### OVERVIEW

Electronic Clearing House, Inc. is an electronic payment processor that provides for the payment processing needs of merchants, banks and collection agencies. We derive the majority of our revenues from two main business segments: bankcard and transaction processing services, whereby we provide solutions to merchants and banks to allow them to accept credit and debit card payments from consumers; and check-related products, whereby we provide various services to merchants and banks to allow them to accept and process check payments from consumers. The principal services we offer within these two segments include the following:

With respect to our bankcard and transaction processing services:

- Debit and credit card processing; and
- U-Haul transaction processing.

With respect to our check-related products:

- Check verification - prior to accepting a check, the merchant searches our NCN database of negative and positive check writer accounts and attempts to match a specific piece of information to determine whether the check writer has current, delinquent check-related debts;
- Electronic check conversion - the conversion of a paper check at the point of sale to a direct bank debit which is processed for settlement through the Federal Reserve System's Automated Clearing House, or ACH, network. The ACH is the electronic banking network through which the vast majority of electronic fund transfers are made in the United States;
- Check guarantee - if we approve a check transaction and a check is subsequently dishonored by the check writer's bank, the

## Edgar Filing: ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE INC - Form 10-Q

- merchant is reimbursed by us and we acquire the rights to collect the delinquent amount from the check writer;
- Check re-presentation - we convert a merchant's returned check to an electronic ACH transaction for resubmission through the ACH network;
- Check collection - we provide national scale collection services for a merchant or bank.

We operate our services under the following brands:

- MERCHANTAMERICA, our retail provider of payment processing services to both the merchant and community bank markets;
- National Check Network(R), or NCN(R), our proprietary database of negative and positive check writer accounts used for back-end check verification, check authorization and check capture services, and for membership to collection agencies. Negative check writer accounts typically identify a check writer's delinquent history in the form of non-sufficient funds and other negative transactions; and
- XPRESSCHEX(R), Inc., our registered collection agency that provides retail check verification, check conversion, ACH services, check collection and check guarantee services.

10

Overall, our ability to program and oversee the management of a merchant's point-of-sale system, provide credit card and debit card processing, provide multiple check services for the processing of checks, provide both electronic and traditional collection services, and fully integrate all of these services into a single Internet-based reporting capability allows us to provide for the majority of the payment processing needs of our customers.

Bankcard and transaction processing services provide for the majority of our revenues. We typically receive a percentage-based fee on the dollar amount processed and a transaction fee on the number of transactions processed. For the quarter ended March 31, 2005, the bankcard and transaction processing business segment accounted for approximately 74.1% of the Company's total revenue.

Over the past several years, we have invested significant resources and management focus in our check services business. Check services revenues are based on a fixed fee per transaction or a fee based on the amount of the check for each transaction. For the quarter ended March 31, 2005, the check services business segment accounted for approximately 25.9% of the Company's total revenue. We are one of a few check processors in the nation with both an ACH engine, which gives us the ability to transfer and settle funds, and a robust check writer database (NCN), which provides a valuable service for check risk management to merchants. The NCN database includes over 20 million negative check writer records, 120 million positive records, and is generated and refreshed daily by 270 affiliated collection agencies that continually contribute to the database to enrich its depth and value.

NCN provides an ongoing revenue stream as collection agencies, major national merchants, other transaction processors, and thousands of small merchants access the NCN database daily to verify the status of a check writer in real time. Check verification has been recognized as one of the lowest cost and most effective ways for retailers to lower the risks and loss experience in accepting checks as a form of payment and our NCN database is one of only four major databases in the nation that can serve this market need on a national scale.

XPRESSCHEX revenues are growing due to the increased use of our ACH and check

## Edgar Filing: ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE INC - Form 10-Q

conversion services, which include capturing of the necessary check data at the merchant's point of sale. The merchant could also transmit the necessary check data to us in a batch mode. We then submit the transaction electronically to the ACH for settlement. Since we provide ACH and settlement services to the merchants, all settlement funds received by us on behalf of the merchants are recorded as settlement deposit and payable, and all settlement funds paid by us in advance are recorded as settlement receivable. XPRESSCHEX also maintains an active collection agency, registered in 48 states, that serves primarily as a referral agent to select NCN members that are collection agencies and are located in various regions of the country. This ability to provide local collection capability through one national entity is a distinctive advantage we have over other check service companies who operate centralized collection agencies and only go to local agencies as a secondary or last option.

In 2000, Visa U.S.A. announced its intention to utilize its processing network (VisaNet), which connects to over 14,000 banks and about 5 million merchants, to electronically process checks. This program is referred to as the Visa Point-Of-Sale ("POS") Check Service. The Visa POS Check Service was offered as a pilot program by Visa to its member banks from December of 2000 to December of 2002 over which time several banks electronically connected their check writer data to the Visa network, making verification of the check writer's bank account balance possible when checks drawn on these select banks were processed. In December of 2002, the program was officially released out of pilot and, as of March 2005, depending on the geographic location of a merchant in the U.S., anywhere from 0% to as high as 30% of all the checking accounts are electronically connected to the Visa network through the banks that are now participating in the Visa POS Check Service.

Being able to approve or decline a check in real time at the point of sale requires some method to verify the check writer has either an adequate balance in the bank to cover the check or, if that is not possible, to verify if the check written has a match in a negative check account database. In order to provide this check service on 100% of the checks received by a merchant, Visa needed a solution to approve or decline (and for those approved, electronically deposit) the checks that processed through the program on a bank that had not yet connected its check writer data to the Visa network. We are currently one of two companies that provide this service to Visa as a Third-Party Processor. When a Visa member bank signs up to offer the Visa POS Check Service to its merchants, it chooses a Third-Party Processor from the certified providers and we have been chosen by approximately 90% of the banks in the program to date.

11

In addition to being a Third-Party Processor, we are one of only five companies that are currently certified as an Acquirer Processor with Visa, a role that accepts transactions from the merchant's point-of-sale terminal/systems and reformats them for submission to the Visa network. Most financial institutions presently in the Visa POS Check Service are large national or regional banks and already had terminal management service providers that could act as an Acquirer Processor for the Visa POS Check Service. In the future, as smaller financial institutions make the decision to enter the Visa POS Check Service, it is expected that many will have no prior relationship with a terminal management provider and therefore, may potentially choose us as their Acquirer Processor. To date, ECHO is the only company to register as both a Third-Party Processor and an Acquirer Processor with Visa under the Visa POS Check Service program.

We derive transaction revenue in our role as a Third-Party Processor and/or Acquirer Processor by negotiating a transaction fee with Visa and/or the bank that chose us as its Third-Party Processor and/or Acquirer Processor. This transaction fee averages \$0.09 per transaction. The party that sells the service to the merchant (usually the bank) enjoys the largest mark-up on the

## Edgar Filing: ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE INC - Form 10-Q

product, offering the service in the range of \$0.30 to \$0.60 per check, with external cost in the \$0.12 to \$0.20 range, depending on what the bank negotiates with Visa and any other third-party providers.

During the third quarter of fiscal 2003, a major national retail merchant with approximately 3,000 storefronts initiated the Visa POS Check Service program in all of its stores nationwide. We are the Third-Party Processor in this Visa POS relationship. As of March 31, 2005, this retail merchant was the largest merchant in the Visa POS Check Service program, measured by the volume of transactions initiated by the merchant and, in January of 2005, the bank that sponsored this merchant into the Visa POS Check Service program announced that they had secured an agreement with the merchant to continue through 2005.

ECHO has invested significant resources and management focus in its check services business, particularly with respect to the Visa POS Check Service program and we anticipate continued growth in the Visa POS Check Service program as the marketing efforts of participating banks in the Visa POS Check Service program become more widely implemented.

### STRATEGY

Our strategy is to provide merchants, banks and industry-specific resellers with electronic connectivity to various payment services in the credit card, debit card and check-related markets. Our platform of services is very flexible, enabling merchant customization and scalability to meet the requirements of high transaction volumes, as well as access to the Visa POS Check Service program. Our services enable merchants to maximize revenues by offering a wide variety of payment options, reducing the costs associated with processing and handling checks, improving collections and managing risk more effectively.

We plan to grow our check services business by aggressively cross-selling to our credit card customers and continuing to train the sales teams and associates of Visa member banks on the many benefits the Visa POS Check program provides to merchants. In addition to providing sales training to Visa banks, our strategy is to focus part of our sales team on mid-size retail chains that can benefit most from automating check processing and verification. These mid-size accounts typically offer higher margins than larger accounts and offer a less competitive marketplace.

As the Visa POS Check Service program continues to grow, new enhancements are requested. These include enhanced fraud detection, check guarantee, decline reversal techniques, and accelerated program set-up, to name a few, and the Company's strategy is to focus on providing these types of enhancements to the program. As the market gains acceptance of the Visa POS Check Service, it is expected that this will create a new marketing channel for us to cross-sell our other check products such as electronic check re-presentation, check guarantee, and collections to the Visa member banks participating in the Visa POS Check Service program.

We also have a strategy to bundle all of our services and market them to smaller regional and community banks under what we call our Agent Bank Program. We are providing a solution to allow smaller banks to offer a full spectrum of bankcard and check processing services to their customer base using ECHO's MERCHANTAMERICA product offering. The program is being sold at a low incremental cost to ECHO and still provides a better priced and a more integrated product offering to small banks than they can currently receive from other providers. Most significantly, our program allows the banks to retain ownership of their merchants, which provides both stability and economic benefits to the bank that other programs generally do not provide. To date, 21 banks have enlisted in the program and the program is showing signs of continued growth for the balance of the year.

SALES AND MARKETING

As a result of the growing interest in the Visa POS Check Service, we plan to hire more sales staff to aggressively promote the Visa POS Check Service during the balance of this year. We sell our bankcard and check services through several marketing channels, including independent sales organizations (i.e., authorized resellers of our products and services), our own internal sales force and direct merchant referrals by existing merchants. Approximately 20% of our new accounts have historically been generated through the authorized resellers of our products and services. We also offer merchant services through a direct online sales channel, MERCHANTAMERICA. We have developed a comprehensive marketing plan to promote the MERCHANTAMERICA brand name and this marketing plan was officially rolled out in January 2005, including through our first regional merchant directory in San Diego. We are still in the process of evaluating the results of this marketing campaign.

Management believes that we are unique in the number of payment services that we offer to our merchants, the combination of transaction types that we manage directly, our ability to integrate additional services and our ability to support each merchant through one vertically integrated source.

Our marketing strategy is to maximize cross-selling opportunities to our existing base of merchants and financial institutions in the Visa POS Check Service program; sell integrated suites of payment services, bankcard and check processing services to small banks; enhance and market MERCHANTAMERICA; and develop the private label check service program.

COMPETITION

Bankcard processing and check processing services are highly competitive industries and are characterized by rapid technological change, rapid rates of product obsolescence and introductions of competitive products often at lower prices and/or with greater functionality than those currently on the market.

We believe we are in the top 50 credit card processors in the nation based upon total annual volume processed and in the top 10 based upon the extent of our authorization and settlement capture abilities. We believe we are in the top four check processors in the nation of check verification and conversion transactions. Many of our competitors have much greater financial and marketing resources than us. As a result, they may be better able to respond more quickly to new or emerging technologies and changes in customer requirements. Many competitors also have economies of scale cost advantages over ECHO due to their high processing volumes that may make it difficult for ECHO to compete. Our competitors also have the financial resources to offer services to large merchants at a much lower rate than us in order to gain market share. We believe that our success will depend upon our ability to continuously develop new products and services and to enhance our current products and to introduce them promptly into the market.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2005  
-----

Financial highlights for the second quarter of fiscal 2005 as compared to the same period last year were as follows:

--Total revenue increased 11.2% to \$13.3 million

--Gross margin from processing and transaction revenue was 35.4% for the current quarter as compared to 35.6% for the same prior year period

## Edgar Filing: ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE INC - Form 10-Q

--Diluted EPS of \$0.02 as compared to diluted EPS of \$0.17  
--Bankcard and transaction processing revenue increased 5.8% to \$9.9 million  
--Check-related revenue increased 30.1% to \$3.4 million  
--ACH transactions processed increased 41.6% to 7.7 million transactions

REVENUE. Total revenue increased 11.2% to \$13,321,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2005, from \$11,983,000 for the same period last year. The increase can be primarily attributed to the 5.8% growth in bankcard processing revenue and 30.1% growth in the check services business segment as compared to the same period last year. This growth has occurred organically from our existing merchants and from other marketing initiatives.

13

COST OF SALES. A major portion of our bankcard processing expense is fixed as a percentage of the total processing volume, which is calculated by the total dollar value processed, with the remaining costs based on the number of transactions processed. A major component of the Company's bankcard processing expense, the interchange fees paid to the card issuing banks, is normally fixed as a percentage of each bankcard transaction dollar processed.

Processing-related expenses, consisting primarily of data center processing costs, interchange fees, third-party processing fees, commission expense, and communication expense, increased from \$7,684,000 in the second fiscal quarter of 2004 to \$8,561,000 in the quarter ended March 31, 2005, a 11.4% increase. The increase was directly attributable to the 11.2% increase in revenue for the current quarter and the increase in commission expense.

Gross margin from processing and transaction services remained relatively constant at 35.4% for the current quarter as compared to 35.6% for the same period last year. This slight decrease was due to the higher commission expense which was offset by the relatively fixed data center processing costs.

EXPENSE. Other operating costs such as personnel costs, telephone and depreciation expenses increased 6.6%, from \$1,323,000 in the second quarter of 2004 to \$1,410,000 for the current fiscal quarter as a result of the increase in personnel costs.

Research and development expense increased from \$344,000 in the prior year quarter to \$469,000 in the quarter ended March 31, 2005. Research and development initiatives are critical in order for us to maintain the technological advantages over some of our competitors and to strengthen our infrastructure due to growth. We have been investing in several major software development projects over the past few years. Several of these projects are in the final phase of development, and we anticipate that this level of investment will continue throughout the remainder of this fiscal year.

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased from \$1,926,000 in the second fiscal quarter of 2004 to \$2,643,000 for the current fiscal quarter, an increase of 37.2%. This \$717,000 increase was primarily attributable to: 1) \$225,000 of legal expense primarily related to a patent litigation claim; 2) \$300,000 of selling expenses in promoting the MERCHANTAMERICA San Diego campaign which was rolled out in January 2005; and 3) approximately \$94,000 in professional service expenses and salaries for Sarbanes-Oxley Act Section 404 Compliance efforts currently in process. As a percentage of total revenue, selling, general and administrative expenses increased from 16.1% in the second fiscal quarter of 2004 to 19.8% in the quarter ended March 31, 2005.



## Edgar Filing: ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE INC - Form 10-Q

**OPERATING INCOME.** Operating income for the quarter ended March 31, 2005 was \$238,000, as compared to operating income of \$706,000 in the same period last year. The decrease in operating income was primarily due to the increase in research and development expense and the selling, general and administrative expenses described above.

**INTEREST EXPENSE.** Net interest expense decreased from \$42,000 for the prior year quarter to \$0 in the current fiscal year. This was primarily due to the repayment of loans associated with the sale of the Company's prior corporate office building in March 2004.

**EFFECTIVE TAX RATE.** The effective tax rate for the quarter ended March 31, 2005 was 39.5% as compared to 39.2% for the prior year quarter. The statutory rate is approximately 40%.

### SEGMENT RESULTS

**Bankcard and Transaction Processing.** Bankcard processing and transaction revenue increased 5.8%, from \$9,327,000 in the second fiscal quarter 2004 to \$9,865,000 for the quarter ended March 31, 2005. This revenue increase was mainly attributable to organic growth and new merchants generated from other marketing programs.

Operating income from our bankcard and transaction processing segment was \$1,058,000 for the quarter ended March 31, 2005 as compared to \$1,291,000 in the same period last year. This decrease in operating income was primarily attributable to the higher selling expenses related to the Merchant America San Diego marketing campaign.

**Check Related Products.** Check-related revenues increased from \$2,656,000 for the second fiscal quarter 2004 to \$3,456,000 for the current fiscal quarter, an increase of 30.1%. This was attributable to the increase in ACH processing revenue, which increased as a result of a 41.6% increase in total ACH transactions processed for a total of 7.7 million transactions in the quarter ended March 31, 2005, as compared to 5.4 million in the prior year quarter.

14

Check services revenue made up 25.9% of total revenues in the quarter ended March 31, 2005, as compared to 22.2% in the prior year quarter. Check-related operating income was \$264,000 for the quarter ended March 31, 2005, as compared to \$237,000 in the same period last year. The small increase in operating income in this business segment was primarily attributable to the 30.1% increase in revenue and offset by the increase in research and development expenses.

### SIX MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2005 AND 2004

-----  
Financial highlights for the six months ended March 31, 2005, as compared to the same period last year, were as follows:

- Total revenue increased 11.1% from \$23.5 million to \$ 26.1 million
- Gross margins from processing and transaction revenue decreased from 37.0% to 35.4%
- Diluted EPS of \$0.03 as compared to diluted EPS of \$0.26
- Bankcard and transaction processing revenue increased 5.4% to \$19.1 million
- Bankcard processing volume increased 3.6% to \$542.2 million

## Edgar Filing: ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE INC - Form 10-Q

--Check-related revenue increased 30.6% to \$7.0 million

--ACH transactions processed increased 29.0% to 16.4 million transactions

REVENUE. Total revenue increased 11.1% to \$26,081,000 for the six months ended March 31, 2005, from \$23,466,000 for the same six-month period last year. This revenue increase was the result of organic growth from our existing merchants and new merchants generated from other marketing programs and from our continued focus on check services in general.

COST OF SALES. Processing-related expenses increased from \$14,703,000 for the six-month period in 2004 to \$16,732,000 for the same six months ended March 31, 2005, a 13.8% increase. This increase was directly attributable to the 11.1% increase in revenue. Additionally, we paid a higher commission % for this six-month period as compared to the same period last year.

Gross margin from processing and transaction services decreased to 35.4% in the current six-month period from 37.0% for the six-month period last year.

EXPENSE. Other operating costs increased from \$2,663,000 for the six months ended March 31, 2004 to \$2,743,000 for the six months ended March 31, 2005, an increase of 3.0%. Research and development expense increased from \$727,000 in the six months ended March 31, 2004 to \$917,000 in the current six-month period. We are continuing to invest in infrastructure improvement and software enhancement to remain competitive in our industry.

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased from \$3,654,000 for the six months ended March 31, 2004 to \$5,364,000 in the current six-month period, an increase of 46.8%. This increase was primarily attributable to higher legal and professional expenses and marketing expenses. As a percentage of total revenue, selling, general and administrative expenses increased from 15.6% for the six months ended March 31, 2004 to 20.6% in the current six-month period.

OPERATING INCOME. Operating income for the six months ended March 31, 2005 was \$325,000, as compared to operating income of \$1,719,000 for the same period last year.

INTEREST EXPENSE. Net interest expense decreased from \$85,000 for the six months ended March 31, 2004, to \$0 for the current six-month period.

EFFECTIVE TAX RATE. Effective tax rate for the six months ended March 31, 2005 was 39.7%, as compared to 39.2% for the six months ended March 31, 2004 and the statutory rate of approximately 40%.

### SEGMENT RESULTS

Bankcard and Transaction Processing. Bankcard processing and transaction revenue increased 5.4%, from \$18,079,000 for the six months ended March 31, 2004 to \$19,047,000 for the current six-month period. This revenue increase was mainly attributable to a 3.6% increase in bankcard processing volume as compared to the same six-month period last year. The processing volume increase was due to our organic growth and other marketing initiatives.

The bankcard and transaction processing segment generated a gross margin of 27.7% for the six months ended March 31,

2005 as compared to 29.6% in the same period last year.

Check Related Products. Check-related revenues increased from \$5,387,000 for the six months ended March 31, 2004 to \$7,034,000 for the current six-month period,

## Edgar Filing: ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE INC - Form 10-Q

an increase of 30.6%. This was attributable to the growth in ACH revenue and the increase in other electronic check processing and collection revenue.

Check services revenue accounted for 27.0% of our total revenue for the current six-month period as compared to 23.0% in the same prior year period. Check-related operating income was \$905,000 for the current six-month period as compared to \$649,000 in the same period last year. The improvement in operating income was primarily attributable to the 30.6% increase in check services revenue.

### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

As of March 31, 2005, we had available cash and cash equivalents of \$6,580,000, restricted cash of \$1,121,000 in reserve with our primary processing bank and a working capital of \$7,331,000.

Accounts receivable net of allowance for doubtful accounts increased from \$1,943,000 at September 30, 2004 to \$2,077,000 at March 31, 2005. Allowance for doubtful accounts mainly reserved for chargeback losses increased to \$121,000 at March 31, 2005 from \$111,000 at September 30, 2004.

Net cash provided by operating activities for the six months ended March 31, 2005 was \$1,190,000, as compared to net cash provided by operating activities of \$1,420,000 for the six months ended March 31, 2004.

Settlement receivable/payable represent amounts due to/from merchants and result from timing differences in our settlement process with those merchants. These timing differences account for the difference between the time that funds are received in our bank accounts and the time that settlement payments are made to merchants. Therefore, at any given time, settlement receivable/payable may vary and ultimately depends on the volume of transactions processed and the timing of the cut-off date. Settlement deposits represent cash deposited in our bank accounts from the merchant settlement transactions.

In the six months ended March 31, 2005, we used \$470,000 for the purchase of equipment and \$1,921,000 for the acquisition and capitalization of software costs. We paid off \$492,000 of notes payable and capitalized lease obligations. We also had proceeds of \$294,000 from stock option exercises and \$400,000 from our equipment lease line.

During fiscal year 2004, we negotiated a secured \$3,000,000 line of credit and a \$1,000,000 equipment lease line with Bank of the West. As of March 31, 2005, we have fully utilized the \$1 million equipment lease line. We have not drawn down against the \$3,000,000 line of credit.

At March 31, 2005, we had the following cash commitments:

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS	PAYMENT DUE BY PERIOD				
TOTAL	LESS THAN 1 YEAR	2-3 YEARS	4-5 YEARS	AFTER 5 YEARS	
Long-term debt including interest	\$1,231,000	\$ 456,000	\$ 566,000	\$ 209,000	\$ -0-
Capital lease obligations	442,000	311,000	116,000	15,000	-0-

## Edgar Filing: ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE INC - Form 10-Q

Operating leases	1,755,000	534,000	989,000	232,000	-0-
Total contractual cash obligations	\$3,428,000	\$1,301,000	\$1,671,000	\$ 456,000	\$ -0-

Our primary source of liquidity is expected to be cash flow generated from operations and cash and cash equivalents currently on hand and the secured \$3,000,000 line of credit which has yet to be utilized.

### RISK FACTORS

16

Our business, and accordingly, your investment in our common stock, is subject to a number of risks. These risks could affect our operating results and liquidity. You should consider the following risk factors, among others, before investing in our common stock.

#### RISKS RELATED TO OUR BUSINESS

WE RELY ON COOPERATIVE RELATIONSHIPS WITH, AND SPONSORSHIP BY, BANKS, THE ABSENCE OF WHICH MAY AFFECT OUR OPERATIONS.

We currently rely on a cooperative relationship with, and sponsorship by, one bank in order to process our Visa, MasterCard and other bankcard transactions. We also rely on several banks for access to the Automated Clearing House ("ACH") for submission of both credit card and check settlements. Our banking relationships are currently with smaller banks (with assets of less than \$500,000,000). Even though smaller banks tend to be more susceptible to mergers or acquisitions and are therefore less stable, these banks find the programs we offer more attractive and we believe we cannot obtain similar relationships with larger banks at this time. A bank could at any time curtail or place restrictions on our processing volume because of its internal business policies or due to other adverse circumstances. If a volume restriction is placed on us, it could materially adversely affect our business operations by restricting our ability to process credit card transactions and receive the related revenue. Our relationships with our customers and merchants would also be adversely affected by our inability to process these transactions.

We currently maintain one primary bankcard processing and sponsorship relationship with First Regional Bank in Agoura Hills, California. Our agreement with First Regional Bank continues through 2005. We also maintain several banking relationships for ACH processing. While we believe our current bank relationship is sound, we cannot assure that these banks will not restrict our increasing processing volume or that we will always be able to maintain these relationships or establish new banking relationships. Even if new banking relationships are available, they may not be on terms acceptable to us. With respect to First Regional Bank, while we believe its ability to terminate our respective relationships is cost-prohibitive, it may determine that the cost of terminating their agreements is less than the cost of continuing to perform in accordance with their terms, and may therefore determine to terminate the agreement prior to its expiration. Ultimately, our failure to maintain these banking relationships and sponsorships may have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

MERCHANT FRAUD WITH RESPECT TO BANKCARD AND ACH TRANSACTIONS COULD CAUSE US TO

## Edgar Filing: ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE INC - Form 10-Q

INCUR SIGNIFICANT LOSSES.

We significantly rely on the processing revenue derived from bankcard and ACH transactions. If any merchants were to submit or process unauthorized or fraudulent bankcard or ACH transactions, depending on the dollar amount, ECHO could incur significant losses which could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations. ECHO assumes and compensates the sponsoring bank for bearing the risk of these types of transactions.

We have implemented systems and software for the electronic surveillance and monitoring of fraudulent bankcard and ACH use. As of March 31, 2005, we maintained a dedicated chargeback reserve of \$697,000 at our primary bank specifically earmarked for such activity. Additionally, through our sponsoring bank, we had access to approximately \$9.0 million in merchant deposits to cover any potential chargeback losses. Despite a long history of managing such risk, we cannot guarantee that these systems will prevent fraudulent transactions from being submitted and processed or that the funds set aside to address such activity will be adequate to cover all potential situations that might occur. We do not have insurance to protect us from these losses. There is no assurance that our chargeback reserve will be adequate to offset against any unauthorized or fraudulent processing losses that we may incur. Depending on the size of such losses, our results of operations could be immediately and materially adversely affected.

EXCESSIVE CHARGEBACK LOSSES COULD SIGNIFICANTLY AFFECT OUR RESULTS OF OPERATIONS AND LIQUIDITY.

Our agreements with our sponsoring bank require us to assume and compensate the bank for bearing the risk of "chargeback" losses. Under the rules of Visa and MasterCard, when a merchant processor acquires card transactions, it has certain contingent liabilities for the transactions processed. This contingent liability arises in the event of a billing dispute between the merchant and a cardholder that is ultimately resolved in the cardholder's favor. In such a case, the disputed transaction is charged back to the merchant and the disputed amount is credited or otherwise refunded to the cardholder. If we are unable to collect this amount from the merchant's account, or if the merchant refuses or is unable to reimburse us for the chargeback due to merchant fraud, insolvency or other reasons, we will bear the loss for the amount of the refund paid to the cardholders.

17

A cardholder, through its issuing bank, generally has until the later of up to four months after the date a transaction is processed or the delivery of the product or service to present a chargeback to our sponsoring bank as the merchant processor. Therefore, management believes that the maximum potential exposure for the chargebacks would not exceed the total amount of transactions processed through Visa and MasterCard for the last four months and other unresolved chargebacks in the process of resolution. For the last four months through March 31, 2005, this potential exposure totaled approximately \$365 million. At March 31, 2005, the Company, through its sponsoring bank, had approximately \$86,000 of unresolved chargebacks that were in the process of resolution. At March 31, 2005, the Company, through its sponsoring bank, had access to \$9.0 million in merchant deposits to cover any potential chargeback losses.

For the three-month period ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, the Company processed approximately \$280 million (2005) and \$273 million (2004) of Visa and MasterCard transactions, which resulted in \$1.7 million in gross chargeback activities for the three months ended March 31, 2005 and \$1.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004. Substantially all of these chargebacks were recovered from

## Edgar Filing: ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE INC - Form 10-Q

the merchants.

Nevertheless, if we are unable to recover these chargeback amounts from merchants, having to pay the aggregate of any such amounts would significantly affect our results of operations and liquidity.

FAILURE TO PARTICIPATE IN THE VISA POS CHECK SERVICE PROGRAM WOULD CAUSE US TO SIGNIFICANTLY SHIFT OUR OPERATING AND MARKETING STRATEGY.

We have significantly increased our infrastructure, personnel and marketing strategy to focus on the potential growth of our check services through the Visa POS Check Service program. We currently provide critical back-end infrastructure for the service, including our NCN database for verification and our access to the Federal Reserve System's Automated Clearing House for funds settlement, for checks written on bank accounts with banks not participating in the program.

Because we believe the market will continue to gain acceptance of the Visa POS Check Service program, we have expended significant resources to market our check conversion services and verification services to our merchant base, to solidify our strategic relationships with the various financial institutions that have chosen us as their Acquirer Processor and Third-Party Processor under the program, and to sell our other check products such as electronic check re-presentments and check collection services to the Visa member banks. We have also increased our personnel to handle the increased volume of transactions arising directly from our participation in the program.

If we fail to adequately market our services through this relationship, this could materially affect our marketing strategy going forward. Additionally, if we fail to adequately grow our infrastructure to address increases in the volume of transactions, cease providing services as a Third-Party Processor or Acquirer Processor or are otherwise removed or terminated from the Visa program, this would require us to dramatically shift our current operating strategy.

THE BUSINESS IN WHICH WE COMPETE IS HIGHLY COMPETITIVE AND THERE IS NO ASSURANCE THAT OUR CURRENT PRODUCTS AND SERVICES WILL STAY COMPETITIVE OR THAT WE WILL BE ABLE TO INTRODUCE NEW PRODUCTS AND SERVICES TO COMPETE SUCCESSFULLY.

We are in the business of processing payment transactions and designing and implementing integrated systems for our customers so that they can better use our services. This business is highly competitive and is characterized by rapid technological change, rapid rates of product obsolescence, and rapid rates of new products introduction. Our market share is relatively small as compared to most of our competitors and most of these competitors have substantially more financial and marketing resources to run their businesses. While we believe our small size provides us the ability to move quickly in some areas, our competitors' greater resources enables them to investigate and embrace new and emerging technologies quickly to respond to changes in customers needs, and to devote more resources to product and services development and marketing. We may face increased competition in the future and there is no assurance that current or new competition will allow us to keep our customers. If we lose customers, our business operations may be materially adversely affected, which could cause us to cease our business or curtail our business to a point where we are no longer able to generate sufficient revenues to fund operations. There is no assurance that our current products and services will stay competitive with those of our competitors or that we will be able to introduce new products and services to compete successfully in the future.

IF WE ARE UNABLE TO PROCESS SIGNIFICANTLY INCREASED VOLUME ACTIVITY, THIS COULD AFFECT OUR OPERATIONS AND WE COULD LOSE OUR COMPETITIVE POSITION.

We have built transaction processing systems for check verification, check conversion, ACH processing, and bank card processing activity. While current estimates regarding increased volume are within the capabilities of each system, it is possible that a significant increase in volume in one of the markets would exceed a specific system's capabilities. To minimize this risk, ECHO has redesigned and upgraded its check related processing systems and has purchased a high-end system to process bankcard activity. This system is not yet operational, and even when it becomes operational, no assurance can be given that the current systems would be able to handle a significant increase in volume or that the operational enhancements and improvements will be completed in such time to avoid such a situation. In the event we are unable to process increases in volume, this could significantly adversely affect our banking relationships, our merchant customers and our overall competitive position. Losses of such relationships would severely impact our results of operations and financial condition.

WE INCUR FINANCIAL RISK FROM OUR CHECK GUARANTEE SERVICE.

The check guarantee business is essentially a risk management business. Any limitation of a risk management system could result in financial obligations being incurred by ECHO relative to our check guarantee activity. While ECHO has provided check guarantee services for several years, there can be no assurance that our current risk management systems are adequate to assure against any financial loss relating to check guarantee. ECHO is enhancing its current risk management systems and it is being conservative with reference to the type of merchants to which it offers guarantee services in order to minimize this risk but no assurance can be given that such measures will be adequate.

SECURITY BREACHES COULD IMPACT OUR CONTINUED OPERATIONS.

We process confidential financial information and maintain several levels of security to protect this data. Security includes card-based identification systems at our data center locations that restrict access to the specific facilities, various employee monitoring and access restriction policies, and various firewall and network management methodologies that restrict unauthorized access through the Internet. While these systems have worked effectively in the past, there can be no assurance that they will continue to operate without a security breach in the future. Depending upon the nature of the breach, the consequences of security breaches could be significant and dramatic to ECHO's continued operations.

THE INDUSTRY IN WHICH WE OPERATE INVOLVES RAPIDLY CHANGING TECHNOLOGY AND OUR FAILURE TO IMPROVE OUR PRODUCTS AND SERVICES OR TO OFFER NEW PRODUCTS AND SERVICES COULD CAUSE US TO LOSE CUSTOMERS.

Our business industry involves rapidly changing technology. Recently, we have observed rapid changes in technology as evidenced by the Internet and Internet-related services and applications, new and better software, and faster computers and modems. As technology changes, ECHO's customers desire and expect better products and services. Our success depends on our ability to improve our existing products and services and to develop and market new products and services. The costs and expenses associated with such an effort could be significant to us. There is no assurance that we will be able to find the funds necessary to keep up with new technology or that if such funds are available that we can successfully improve our existing products and services or successfully develop new products and services. Our failure to provide improved products and services to our customers or any delay in providing such products and services could cause us to lose customers to our competitors. Loss of customers could have a material adverse effect on ECHO.

## Edgar Filing: ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE INC - Form 10-Q

OUR INABILITY TO PROTECT OR DEFEND OUR TRADE SECRETS AND OTHER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY COULD HARM OUR BUSINESS.

We have expended a considerable amount of time and money to develop information systems for our merchants. We regard these information systems as trade secrets that are extremely important to our payment processing operations. We rely on trade secret protection and confidentiality and/or license agreements with employees, customers, partners and others to protect this intellectual property and have not otherwise taken steps to obtain additional intellectual property protection or other protection on these information systems. We cannot be certain that we have taken adequate steps to protect our intellectual property. In addition, our third-party confidentiality agreements can be breached and, if they are, there may not be an adequate remedy available to us. If our trade secrets become known, we may lose our competitive position, including the loss of our merchant and bank customers. Such a loss could severely impact our results of operations and financial condition.

19

Additionally, while we believe that the technology underlying our information systems does not infringe upon the rights of any third parties, there is no assurance that third parties will not bring infringement claims against us. We also have the right to use the technology of others through various license agreements. If a third party claimed our activities and/or these licenses were infringing their technology, while we may have some protection from our third party licensors, we could face additional infringement claims or otherwise be obligated to stop utilizing intellectual property critical to our technology infrastructure. If we are not able to implement other technology to substitute the intellectual property underlying a claim, our business operations could be severely affected. Additionally, infringement claims would require us to incur significant defense costs and expenses and, to the extent we are unsuccessful in defending these claims, could cause us to pay monetary damages to the person or entity making the claim. Continuously having to defend such claims or otherwise making monetary damage payments could materially adversely affect our results of operations.

IF WE DO NOT CONTINUE TO INVEST IN RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, WE COULD LOSE OUR COMPETITIVE POSITION.

Because technology in the payment processing industry evolves rapidly, we need to continue to invest in research and development in both the bankcard processing business segment and the check-related products segment in order to remain competitive. Research and development expenses increased from \$344,000 for the quarter ended March 31, 2004 to \$469,000 for the quarter ended March 31, 2005. Most of our research and development project costs were capitalized once we entered into coding and testing phases. We continue to evaluate projects, which we believe will assist us in our efforts to stay competitive. Although we believe that our investment in these projects will ultimately increase earnings, there is no assurance as to when or if these new products will show profitability or if we will ever be able to recover the costs invested in these projects. Additionally, if we fail to commit adequate resources to grow our technology on pace with market growth, we could quickly lose our competitive position, including the loss of our merchant and bank customers.

FAILURE TO OBTAIN ADDITIONAL FUNDS CAN IMPACT OUR OPERATIONS AND FUTURE GROWTH.

We use funds generated from operations, as well as funds obtained through credit facilities and equity financing, to finance our operations. In light of our recent financing efforts, and as a result of the cash flow generated from operations, we believe we have sufficient cash to support our business



## Edgar Filing: ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE INC - Form 10-Q

activities, including research, development and marketing costs. However, future growth may depend on our ability to continue to raise additional funds, either through operations, bank borrowings, or equity or debt financings. There is no assurance that we will be able to continue to raise the funds necessary to finance growth or continue to generate the funds necessary to finance operations, and even if such funds are available, that the terms will be acceptable to us. The inability to generate the necessary funds from operations or from third parties in the future may require us to scale back our research, development and growth opportunities, which could harm our overall operations.

WHILE WE MAINTAIN INSURANCE PROTECTION AGAINST CLAIMS RELATED TO OUR SERVICES, THERE IS NO ASSURANCE THAT SUCH PROTECTION WILL BE ADEQUATE TO COVER POTENTIAL CLAIMS AND OUR INABILITY TO OTHERWISE PAY SUCH CLAIMS COULD HARM OUR BUSINESS.

We maintain errors and omissions insurance for the services we provide. While we believe the limit on our errors and omissions insurance policy is adequate and consistent with industry practice, if claims are brought by our customers or other third parties, we could be required to pay the required claim or make significant expenditures to defend against such claims in amounts that exceed our current insurance coverage. There is no assurance that we will have the money to pay potential plaintiffs for such claims if they arise beyond the amounts insured by us. Making these payments could have a material adverse effect on our business.

INVOLVEMENT IN LITIGATION COULD HARM OUR BUSINESS.

We are involved in various lawsuits arising in the ordinary course of business. Although we believe that the claims asserted in such lawsuits are without merit, the cost to us for the fees and expenses to defend such lawsuits could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flow. In addition, there can be no assurance that we will not at some time in the future experience significant liability in connection with such claims. For the three months ended March 31, 2005, we have spent approximately \$225,000 in legal fees and expenses defending these claims.

OUR INABILITY TO RECOVER FROM NATURAL DISASTERS COULD HARM OUR BUSINESS.

We currently maintain two data centers: one in Camarillo, California and one in Albuquerque, New Mexico. Should a

20

natural disaster occur in any of the locations, it is possible that ECHO would not be able to fully recover full functionality at one of its data centers. To minimize this risk, ECHO has started to centralize its data processing functionality in Camarillo in 2004 and intends to make Albuquerque a fully redundant site as early as possible. Prior to that time, it is possible that a natural disaster could limit or completely disable a specific service offered by ECHO until such time that the specific location could resume its functionality. Our inability to provide such service could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

INCREASES IN THE COSTS OF TECHNICAL COMPLIANCE COULD HARM OUR BUSINESS.

The services which ECHO offers require significant technical compliance. This includes compliance to both Visa and MasterCard regulations and association rules, NACHA guidelines and regulations with regard to the Federal Reserve System's Automated Clearing House and check-related issues, and various banking requirements and regulations. ECHO has personnel dedicated to monitoring our

## Edgar Filing: ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE INC - Form 10-Q

compliance to the specific industries we serve and, when possible, ECHO is moving the technical compliance responsibility to other parties, as is the case with our prior purchase of the Oasis Technologies bankcard processing system wherein the vendor, Oasis Technologies, assumes much of the compliance obligations regularly updated by Visa and MasterCard. As the compliance issues become more defined in each industry, the costs associated with that compliance may present a risk to ECHO. These costs could be in the form of additional hardware, software or technical expertise that ECHO must acquire and/or maintain. While ECHO believes it currently has these costs under control, we have no control over those entities that set the compliance requirements so no assurance can be given that ECHO will always be able to underwrite the costs of compliance in each industry wherein we compete.

THE BUSINESS ACTIVITIES OF OUR MERCHANTS COULD AFFECT OUR BUSINESS AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

We provide direct and back-end bankcard and check processing services to merchants across many industries. To the extent any of these merchants conduct activities which are deemed illegal, or otherwise become involved in activities that incur civil liability from third parties, legal authorities or those third parties could attempt to pursue claims against us for aiding the activities of those merchants. While we believe that the services we provide do not directly aid in the activities of our merchants, and while we have no intent to assist any such activities, other than to provide general processing services consistent with past practice, any claims by legal authorities or third parties would require us to expend financial and management resources to address and defend such claims, the aggregate effect of which could have an adverse impact on our business and results of operations.

RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH OUR COMMON STOCK

-----  
IF WE NEED TO SELL OR ISSUE ADDITIONAL SHARES OF COMMON STOCK OR ASSUME ADDITIONAL DEBT TO FINANCE FUTURE GROWTH, OUR STOCKHOLDERS' OWNERSHIP COULD BE DILUTED OR OUR EARNINGS COULD BE ADVERSELY IMPACTED.

While management believes that our cash flow from operations together with cash on hand and our established lines of credit will be sufficient to meet our current working capital and other commitments, our business strategy may include expansion through internal growth, by acquiring complementary businesses or by establishing strategic relationships with targeted customers and suppliers. If we choose to execute on these business strategies, to properly fund these strategies and our other activities, we may issue additional equity securities that could dilute our stockholders' stock ownership. We may also assume additional debt and incur impairment losses related to goodwill and other tangible assets if we acquire another company and this could negatively impact our results of operations.

WE HAVE ADOPTED A NUMBER OF ANTI-TAKEOVER MEASURES THAT MAY DEPRESS THE PRICE OF OUR COMMON STOCK.

Our rights agreement, as amended, our ability to issue additional shares of preferred stock and some provisions of our articles of incorporation and bylaws could make it more difficult for a third party to make an unsolicited takeover attempt of us. We also have staggered three-year terms for our directors. These anti-takeover measures may depress the price of our common stock by making it more difficult for third parties to acquire us by offering to purchase shares of our stock at a premium to its market price.

OUR STOCK PRICE HAS BEEN VOLATILE.

## Edgar Filing: ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE INC - Form 10-Q

Our common stock is quoted on the NASDAQ SmallCap Market, and there can be substantial volatility in the market price of our common stock. Over the course of the quarter ended March 31, 2005, the market price of our common stock has been as high as \$9.22 and as low as \$7.99. Additionally, over the course of the year ended September 30, 2004, the market price

21

of our common stock was as high as \$13.06 and as low as \$6.15. The market price of our common stock has been, and is likely to continue to be, subject to significant fluctuations due to a variety of factors, including quarterly variations in operating results, operating results which vary from the expectations of securities analysts and investors, changes in financial estimates, changes in market valuations of competitors, announcements by us or our competitors of a material nature, loss of one or more customers, additions or departures of key personnel, future sales of common stock and stock market price and volume fluctuations. In addition, general political and economic conditions such as a recession, or interest rate or currency rate fluctuations may adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

WE HAVE NOT PAID AND DO NOT CURRENTLY PLAN TO PAY DIVIDENDS, AND YOU MUST LOOK TO PRICE APPRECIATION ALONE FOR ANY RETURN ON YOUR INVESTMENT.

Some investors favor companies that pay dividends, particularly in general downturns in the stock market. We have not declared or paid any cash dividends on our common stock. We currently intend to retain any future earnings for funding growth, and we do not currently anticipate paying cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. Because we may not pay dividends, your return on this investment likely depends on your selling our stock at a profit.

### ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

-----

We could be exposed to market risk from changes in interest rates on our lease lines. Our exposure to interest rate risk relates to the \$3,000,000 line of credit and \$1,000,000 equipment lease line which was fully utilized as of March 31, 2005. A hypothetical 1% interest rate change would have no material impact on our results of operations.

### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

-----

As of March 31, 2005, the end of the period covered by this report, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-14(c) and 15d-14(c) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in causing material information to be recorded, processed, summarized and reported by our management on a timely basis and to ensure that the quality and timeliness of our public disclosures complies with our Securities and Exchange Commission disclosure obligations.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2005, there was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that materially affects, or that is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

22

# Edgar Filing: ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE INC - Form 10-Q

## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

### ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are involved in various legal matters arising in the ordinary course of business. Based upon current information, management, after consultation with legal counsel, believes the ultimate disposition thereof will have no material effect upon either our results of operations or financial position.

In August 2003, one of our independent sales organizations filed a breach of contract arbitration claim against us in Los Angeles, California. The dispute involved a disagreement related to the manner in which commissions were to be calculated under the agreement. The agreement with the ISO required binding arbitration of all disputes arising under the agreement. The arbitration proceedings occurred in December 2004. In January 2005, the arbitration panel overseeing the dispute awarded the independent sales organization \$501,000, which exceeded our previous accrual of \$300,000 at September 30, 2004. In addition to this award amount, we paid arbitration fees and legal fees (on behalf of the independent sales organization) equal to \$135,000 in the aggregate.

### ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

We held our Annual Meeting of Stockholders on February 7, 2005. At the Annual Meeting, there were 6,472,331 shares of Common Stock entitled to vote, and 5,539,735 (85.59%) were represented at the meeting in person or by proxy. Immediately prior to and following the Annual Meeting, the Board of Directors was comprised of Herbert L. Lucas, Jr., Carl R. Terzian, Aristides W. Georgantas, Richard D. Field and Joel M. Barry.

The following summarizes vote results for those matters submitted to our stockholders for action at the Annual Meeting:

1. Proposal to elect Messrs. Joel M. Barry and Aristides W. Georgantas to serve as our Class III directors for three years and until their successors have been elected and qualified.

Director	For	Withheld
Joel M. Barry	5,429,918	109,817
Aristides W. Georgantas	5,503,358	36,377

2. Proposal to approve the Amended and Restated 2003 Incentive Stock Option Plan (amending and restating our then existing 2003 Incentive Stock Option Plan) which, among other matters, (i) increased the maximum number of shares of common stock that may be issued pursuant to awards granted under the plan from 900,000 to 1,150,000, and (ii) permitted the grant of restricted stock under the plan.

For	Against	Abstain	Broker Non-Votes
1,996,742	744,799	163,771	2,634,423

3. Proposal to ratify the selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our

Edgar Filing: ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE INC - Form 10-Q

independent public accountants for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2005.

For	Against	Abstain	Broker Non-Votes
5,507,383	20,719	11,633	-0-

23

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

(a) Exhibits:

- 31.1 Certification of Joel M. Barry, Chief Executive Officer of the Registrant, dated May 12, 2005, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Alice L. Cheung, Chief Financial Officer of the Registrant, dated May 12, 2005, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of Joel M. Barry, Chief Executive Officer of the Registrant, dated May 12, 2005, in accordance with 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification of Alice L. Cheung, Chief Financial Officer of the Registrant, dated May 12, 2005, in accordance with 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

(b) Reports on Form 8-K:

The following reports on Form 8-K were filed during the quarter ended March 31, 2005:

Date of Filing	Item Reported
February 1, 2005	On January 28, 2005, the Registrant issued a press release providing an update regarding its anticipated fiscal year 2005 expenses in connection with both compliance under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and various legal matters.
February 10, 2005	On February 10, 2005, the Registrant issued a press release announcing its financial results for the quarter ended December 31, 2004.

24

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE, INC.

Edgar Filing: ELECTRONIC CLEARING HOUSE INC - Form 10-Q

-----  
(Registrant)

Date: May 12, 2005

By: \s\ Alice Cheung

-----  
Alice Cheung, Treasurer and  
Chief Financial Officer

25